

Plan Name	Opinion	Comment	Name	Date Provided
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	sikh americans are community of interest. please keep communities of rochester hills, sterling heights and troy together. this will represent my community's interests.	amardeep mann	2022-03-13 18:07:00+00
Lange Congressional	Like	I believe the 13 congressional maps will bring a challenge to most. But change is good.	Jacqueline Sproles	2022-02-20 02:53:11+00
Chestnut	Like	Madison Heights and Hazel Park has more in common with Ferndale, Royal Oak, and Berkley, I like that this keeps us with Oakland County	Jennifer Nagle	2022-02-16 14:34:27+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	The "Independent Commission" is bogus as expected. The goal is clearly to favor Democrats as much as possible by lumping large swathes of rural areas with dense urban areas in order to swing seats blue. District 6 is a prime example of this, but you see it all over the map, particularly in the southeast.	J Roberson	2022-01-28 16:51:10+00
Chestnut	Like	Thanks Roy, for the cover. We democrats are suckering the masses into willingly serve us.	democrat insider	2022-01-27 00:41:20+00

This map appears to be cleanly described, with continuous square lines roughly displayed as blocked rectangular sections. Only a couple of districts seem to intrude into another, mainly in the Detroit region due to high population density and residence distribution. Looks Fair and Non-Partisan. Like it. Holds true to the expectation of non-forced district boundaries.

Chestnut

Like

Roy Vanderlinden

2022-01-23 22:53:43+00

We, the democrats control the Independent Redistricting Commission, suckers. We gerrymandered this map badly so we, the democrats, will win by creating districts with a large majority republicans by packing them together in a district and creating districts with a narrow democrat majority. We violated municipal and county boundaries to do this deed. Thanks Mr. Eid and Ms. Szeztela, both "independents" who are democrats and we have more democrats posed as republicans on the commission also. We win suckers enjoy our "cruel" rule. democrat insider

Chestnut

Like

2022-01-22 04:17:50+00

We, the democrats control the Independent Redistricting Commission, suckers. We gerrymandered this map badly so we, the democrats, will win by creating districts with a large majority republicans by packing them together in a district and creating districts with a narrow democrat majority. We violated municipal and county boundaries to do this deed. Thanks Mr. Eid and Ms. Szeztela, both "independents" who are democrats and we have more democrats posed as republicans on the commission also. We win suckers enjoy our "cruel" rule. democrat insider

Linden

Like

2022-01-22 04:17:05+00

Hickory	Like	<p>We, the democrats control the Independent Redistricting Commission, suckers. We gerrymandered this map badly so we, the democrats, will win by creating districts with a large majority republicans by packing them together in a district and creating districts with a narrow democrat majority. We violated municipal and county boundaries to do this deed. Thanks Mr. Eid and Ms. Szeztela, both "independents" who are democrats and we have more democrats posed as republicans on the commission also. We win suckers enjoy our "cruel" rule. democrat insider Monroe County and Downriver should have their own districts. They are different communities of interest. What does rural arm areas of Monroe have in common with Taylor? The map needs to start from scratch keeping Monroe with 2 districts.</p>	Candice Ferrell	2022-01-22 04:15:13+00
Magnolia	Dislike			2022-01-10 23:42:38+00

Chestnut	Like	Love being in the 6th district I could never understand living in Washtenaw County 10 minutes from Ann Arbor why we were put in the republican Gerrymandered district of Tim Walberg goodbye Walberg.	Deborah Buzzy	2022-01-09 15:38:45+00
----------	------	---	---------------	------------------------

Chestnut	Like	"Honest Broker is resorting to names because she/he isn't "Honest" enough to use their own name. Who I voted for shouldn't matter, but for the record I have voted for candidates from Both parties. I just want to see people properly represented, and this independent commission (It has members from both parties), is step up from one party deciding who stays in power. I hope you have a good day. Bless you.	Jason P Bauer	2022-01-08 19:23:03+00
----------	------	--	---------------	------------------------

Chestnut	Dislike	I believe Jason Bauer is happy about the gerrymandered map is because his democrat (marxist) party is going to steal the election from the will of the majority of the people in Michigan by packing rural/suburban districts with super majority for republicans by violating municipal/county boundaries and split up the democrat vote into narrow majority districts. A crook is a crook whether stealing money from a bank or stealing elections from the people. This map is unfair. we worked hard at the redistricting meeting and fought for a fair map. We did get the map voted on. It met all the criteria set up by the state. This map does not	honest broker	2022-01-08 01:12:09+00
Palm	Dislike	follow the rules.	Stella Lubeckyj	2022-01-07 18:15:38+00

Chestnut	Like	No map will be perfect, I am glad we are taking steps to not Gerrymander like the old maps, I work in GR as great many people do, and am glad that where I work and live are now in the same district. I believe the people upset are mostly upset because they know that one party is losing its major advantage of the last 20+ years, and finally the state might see some change.	Jason Bauer	2022-01-07 16:48:21+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This is just another map that makes no sense. Living "downriver" and now being grouped with Ann Arbor and much of Washtenaw County seems a bit ridiculous. What do they see that is consistent from one end of 6 to the other?	Richard Gyolai	2022-01-07 14:15:34+00

I just moved out of MAGAcomb and that loopy Q-wacko McClain's district, and now they put me back into it. I'm fine with it as long as the rest of the map is more sensible & competitive. No Republican can complain about this map after the map we've been living with the last 10 years....which was designed by one party solely to steal/invalidate the power of people's vote. If this means more competitive races, then it's good for the process.

Chestnut	Opinion	I believe in democracy, not a party. Split a precinct up precinct 18A will now have two State	Tom Rodgers	2022-01-06 23:10:15+00
Linden	Dislike	Senators As the Mayor of Madison Heights I would like an explanation as to why this sliver has been annexed from the rest of the city. If this division was not intentional, please have it corrected or supply the reasoning as to why this one precinct has	Susan Nash	2022-01-06 20:15:05+00
Hickory	Dislike	been excluded.	ROSLYN GRAFSTEIN	2022-01-06 18:36:12+00

What ever happened to simplicity? We have a mobile society, people move when they don't like certain things in the area. Continuing to redraw these lines, only chases voters that have abandoned their previous district.

Hickory	Dislike	This district 31 represents what? or What's left?	Michael Kole	2022-01-04 19:10:55+00
Linden	Dislike	This is the most unfair map.	leroy houston	2022-01-04 00:04:41+00
Hickory	Dislike	This is the most unfair map.	leroy houston	2022-01-04 00:02:48+00
Hickory	Dislike	This is the most unfair map.	leroy houston	2022-01-04 00:01:49+00
Hickory	Like	Of the maps, this is the most fair House map.	Martin Zmiejko	2022-01-03 16:50:39+00
Linden	Like	This is the most fair of the Senate maps.	Martin Zmiejko	2022-01-03 16:49:33+00
Apple V2	Dislike	I am in favor of Birch, not Apple. I do not believe Troy should be included with Macomb County.	Leslie M Collins	2022-01-03 16:04:16+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is extremely gerrymandered, and is the worst map of the bunch.	Martin Zmiejko	2022-01-03 16:02:31+00
Chestnut	Like	after waiting only 15 years, I finally live in a competitive district.	Edo Gelbard	2022-01-03 04:51:55+00

Hickory	Dislike	<p>Please explain how the needs of the city Detroit combine with the needs of suburban cities? It doe not and to do so it makes gerrymandering front and center thus giving the Democrats a one sided advantage for Madison Hts and Royal Oak. This map needs to be challenged in court it is one sided and vile. These maps are not well done. The needs of the suburb cities vs the city of Detroit differ greatly. To combine Detorit into the suburbs is a misplacement of</p>	Robert Noble	2022-01-02 14:13:48+00
Linden	Dislike	<p>logic.</p>	Robert Noble	2022-01-02 14:09:06+00
Linden	Like	<p>While my district is strange in the parts outside of Livingston County (splitting three counties), at least we're kept together so I'll take it.</p>	Dan Wholihan	2021-12-31 20:16:38+00

Hickory	Dislike	<p>This district is awful and there's no reason for it. My district looks like a middle finger and the district next to me looks like somebody smoking a pipe. Waterloo belongs with Jackson. Genoa belongs with Brighton (or maybe Howell). Hamburg belongs with Brighton and Pinckney. They don't belong with Ann Arbor City. US-23 doesn't even go to Hamburg or Genoa Twp.</p> <p>While I have a lot of complaints about my State House district, I don't have complaints about my district for Chestnut. It's a fair district that keeps Livingston County together and in a competitive district.</p>	Dan Wholihan	2021-12-31 20:14:23+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>Finding myself to be in the 9th district, which currently has Lisa McLain as their rep., motivates me to put all my efforts into working for the campaign of whomever ends up running against her in the upcoming year. We will lose Elissa Slotkin to the 7th district. :( I hope she wins there, as our loss will be their gain, if she does.</p>	Dan Wholihan	2021-12-31 20:10:07+00
Chestnut	Opinion	<p>Finding myself to be in the 9th district, which currently has Lisa McLain as their rep., motivates me to put all my efforts into working for the campaign of whomever ends up running against her in the upcoming year. We will lose Elissa Slotkin to the 7th district. :( I hope she wins there, as our loss will be their gain, if she does.</p>	Barbara Trollman	2021-12-31 17:39:08+00

Hickory	Dislike	<p>Message for Tyler Dykstra---</p> <p>50/50 partisan balance is wishful thinking. This map is gerrymandered to gift the democrat party a guaranteed majority against the wishes of the majority of the people.</p> <p>Time to go to the courts. The democrat party redistricting committee is violating municipal and county boundaries to gerrymander for the democrat (marxist)</p>	unbiased observer	2021-12-31 16:18:36+00
Linden	Dislike	<p>party.</p> <p>Time to go to the courts. The democrat party redistricting committee is violating municipal and county boundaries to gerrymander for the democrat (marxist)</p>	critical thinker	2021-12-31 16:11:11+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>party.</p> <p>I would like to thank the redistricting committee. I watch some of the meetings and I know how much work you put into this. Time will tell how well you did. Thank you for all your hard work.</p>	critical thinker	2021-12-31 09:49:27+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>I am pleased with the results.</p>	Ailsa Morozow	2021-12-31 03:33:40+00

None of the maps Represent  
 Detroiter's we are split up on  
 every map to ensure we have  
 no political power and no  
 control over our tax dollars  
 being allocated to us and for  
 us. Taxation without  
 Representation another JIM  
 CROW POLICY being re-  
 instated. I have nothing in  
 common with Grosse pointe,  
 Sterling Heights, Dearborn, St.  
 Clair Shores. The needs and  
 wants are very different for  
 other communities. Detroit is  
 the Unicorn of the State  
 needs are very different We  
 are just Sharecroppers in the  
 State of Michigan. Tax dollars  
 are always diverted to  
 another community. Via  
 contracts or re-allocation THIS

Szetela House	Dislike	IS A JOKE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	Kimberly Canty	2021-12-30 22:16:11+00
Hickory	Like	Well done. Maintaining most of the previous 43rd District is wise, and the area is a community that truly one that works being represented together.	Heidi R Warrington	2021-12-30 20:22:18+00
Hickory	Like		Heidi R Warrington	2021-12-30 20:18:29+00

I want to express my heartfelt appreciation and commend the redistricting committee for the committee's hard work and dedication in completing the redistricting maps for Michigan in the face of so many difficulties and challenges, from the census information delays to the Covid pandemic, and probably a few others. Kudos to you all.

I know that your work will not make everyone happy. As I read the comments section, I am struck by how many of the negative comments are along very narrow, parochial lines, with criticism of how it affects their city or region. These very parochial criticisms give me some confidence that your maps are getting the big picture right.

I would hope that more people would have the bigger picture in mind. My primary hope and is that these  
This seems to be stretched into new dimensions. Looking forward to a powerful presence by the new 9th District.

Chestnut

Like

Patrick McNabb

2021-12-30 20:09:58+00

Chestnut

Like

Heidi Warrington

2021-12-30 20:09:47+00

Linden	Like	This appears to be the most fairly drawn Senate Map One more note on districts 10-13. The VAPs of all four districts taken together provide an average VAP of 608,296 per district. D10 is 11,976 people above average ("PAA") and D11 is 15,796 PAA. Together D10 + D11 are 27,745 PAA. Compare this with D12, which is 12,185 people below average ("PBA"), and D13, which is 15,562 PBA. Together, D12 + D13 are 27,747 PBA. Coincidence or is there more to this?	Heidi R Warrington	2021-12-30 20:05:46+00
Chestnut	Opinion		Luke S	2021-12-30 19:52:42+00

Does SCOTUS have a nutcracker? Chestnut poses a problem to the VRA regarding Minority-Majority Districts ("MMDs"). Districts 13 and 12 have the lowest and third-lowest VAPs, respectively. Both districts have less than 50% minority VAPs while D12 has a white VAP exceeding 50%. Further complicating this issue are neighboring districts 10 and 11, with the second and third largest total VAPs, respectively. Only time will tell whether the Court will crack this Chestnut.

As a lifelong resident of Ottawa County, this map is the only one of the three that makes sense given the culture and history of our community.

Chestnut

Opinion

Luke Stehney

2021-12-30 19:29:50+00

Linden

Like

Tyler Dykstra

2021-12-30 18:03:55+00

Hickory	Dislike	<p>Holland and Zeeland are a continuous community with very close historical and cultural connections. Why are they cut off from each other? Are these borders designed to represent the citizens of coherent communities, or are they designed to create a 50/50 partisan balance? I think the latter.</p>	Tyler Dykstra	2021-12-30 18:02:05+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>Northern Ottawa County is cut off from the rest of its rural farming community and will be permanently drowned out by the urban interests of Muskegon and Grand Rapids.</p>	Tyler Dykstra	2021-12-30 17:55:49+00
Hickory	Like	<p>Looks great, Ypsi as a standalone is a great instead of lumping with A2. This map seems to make so much sense culturally, grouping the interests of whole metro areas together, and having the same representatives represent both the cities and their suburbs and surrounding countryside areas.</p>	Andrew Clark	2021-12-30 17:10:58+00
Chestnut	Like		Thor SAwin	2021-12-30 17:06:02+00

Chestnut Hickory	Opinion Like	<p>Rob Krett-- the 9th district should remain solidly red. Your new district which you indicate is R+6 will benefit more from your vote there.</p> <p>This is an equitable map</p> <p>The suggestion that homogeneous districts are preferable to diverse districts with a mix of urban and rural folks are what is polarizing michigan and the united states. If we want to become a collection of city states and hamlets similar to medieval europe then we have abandoned the founding principals of the united states and our desire to live as a unified people. I hope that this map can help create legislators that are more centrist and can appeal to both rural and urban concerns. Thank you for your efforts to salvage the American experiment in democratic governance!</p>	<p>Thomas L</p> <p>John Considine</p>	<p>2021-12-30 15:44:31+00</p> <p>2021-12-30 15:00:57+00</p>
Linden	Like		eliot scott	2021-12-30 14:11:56+00

Hickory	Like	<p>breaking up ann arbor in what is basically a pie chart is a wonderful idea. it creates more competitive districts where legislators will need to work for both urban and rural folks and hopefully reduce partisan extremism. Thank you for your efforts to salvage our democracy!</p>	eliot scott	2021-12-30 13:48:09+00
Linden	Like	<p>It is definitely a step in the right direction. I'm sure certain partied wont like it but tough.</p>	Derek Bonevelle	2021-12-30 12:48:47+00
Linden	Opinion	<p>District 19 could have been done better. Why not keep Kalamazoo County as a single district, instead of trading two Kalamazoo Twps for two Van Buren Twps?</p>	Paul Foust	2021-12-30 04:37:56+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	<p>Provides less of a stair step, keeping cities with similar issues together.</p>	Mary	2021-12-30 00:00:26+00

Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This map creates a long and narrow congressional district from the city of Grand Rapids to the city of Kalamazoo - this map is drawn to provide a partisan advantage to the Democratic Party. This would be a disgrace if chosen and a complete violation of the voice of the people and their needs.</p>	Jenna Bragenzer	2021-12-29 22:52:59+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>This map puts the city of Grand Rapids and most of its metropolitan region into a lakeshore congressional district “ Grand Rapids is an urban community with different economic interests than those cities along the lakeshore. The northern suburbs of Grand Rapids would be included with a congressional district drawn all the way to Midland County “ this would hurt constituents of the district when needing access to their congressional district’s office.</p>	Jenna Bragenzer	2021-12-29 22:51:11+00

Lange Congressional	Like	<p>This map represents the voices of the people better than other map proposals because it keeps Kent County whole within one congressional district. Districting is about ensuring the voice of the people are heard and not for political gain for a party.</p> <p>I support this plan because it keeps Kent County whole within one congressional district and best represents the voice of the people.</p>	Jenna Bragenzer	2021-12-29 22:47:33+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>I favor this map as it is a fair representation of the communities served and would met the needs best</p>	Jenna Bragenzer	2021-12-29 22:41:14+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>I am in favor of this map as it is fair and represents all individuals and would better meet the needs of the communities that it serves</p>	Maureen Crosby	2021-12-29 19:39:24+00
Palm	Like	<p>I favor this map as it has the closest balance for community interest</p>	Maureen Crosby	2021-12-29 19:36:01+00
Birch V2	Like		Maureen Crosby	2021-12-29 19:27:38+00

9-28-21 v1 HD	Opinion	We have 81 counties in this state. Let's just pick a person from each county. Makes way more sense than this does. And can we stop lumping the whole UP together plus joining us with northern Michigan makes everything more complicated.	Nancy Ring	2021-12-29 19:09:32+00
Linden	Opinion	This one looks okay. Although our voting location is right on the border between 14 and 15! Hope the voting location's address is inside our district!	Patricia L ORorke	2021-12-29 19:08:52+00
Chestnut	Like	Chestnut looks pretty good! I like the District 9 map and that Albion is in with Battle Creek, but I do not like how it impacts the District 10 map which becomes completely Republican (i.e. Marshall and many small rural	Patricia L ORorke	2021-12-29 19:02:41+00
Szetela House	Opinion	communities)	Susan Evelyn Heeres	2021-12-29 19:01:04+00

Hickory	Dislike	It seems odd that the city of Ann Arbor is split among four state congressional districts. Ann Arbor is a politically active community. Imagine having to figure out how to contact which representative is representing each part of the City. We won't be happy with this new redistricting.	Patricia L ORorke	2021-12-29 18:57:00+00
Chestnut	Like	Chestnut seems pretty reasonable. The only possible outlier is midland, but if you remove that region from fint/saginaw district you're going to have to pull in more voters from the thumb or from north of howell and all three areas are similar, so someone will be complaining. With this map, the minority will drown out the voice of the majority! This is the	Richard Robert Reichenbach	2021-12-29 18:40:55+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	worst map and very unfair!! This map is awful--not quite as bad as Lange but still pretty bad!! With this map, the minority will drown out the voice of the majority! Very	Rosalind Cox	2021-12-29 18:38:43+00
Apple V2	Dislike	unfair.	Rosalind Cox	2021-12-29 18:32:24+00
Chestnut	Like	This map is pretty good but not as fair as Szetela.	Rosalind Cox	2021-12-29 18:28:37+00

Birch V2	Like	This map has good features but is not as fair as Szetela. A little more work could make this better. It does protect the communities of interest in Detroit.	Rosalind Cox	2021-12-29 18:26:01+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	This is the fairest map and the only one that shows true partisan fairness, adequately addresses COI and establishes voting rights districts!!	Rosalind J Cox	2021-12-29 18:21:21+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	This map is horrible and will virtually guarantee majorities by the party that receives the fewest votes. Please do not approve this one.	Rosalind Cox	2021-12-29 18:18:37+00
Palm	Dislike	While all the maps give an unfair advantage, this one is the worst and does not even come close to being fair. It packs Democrats in Washtenaw County which is not right. Please do not use.	Rosalind J Cox	2021-12-29 18:16:05+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This map creates the most majority-minority districts. Do not use as it is very unfair.	Rosalind Cox	2021-12-29 18:13:32+00

Szetela Senate	Opinion	<p>This map strengthens the voting rights act districts which many Detroiters asked for. However, it is still too partisan and we need fair maps please.</p>	Rosalind Cox	2021-12-29 18:11:30+00
Linden	Like	<p>Please try to reduce the partisan bias further. Overall, this is a good map.</p>	Rosalind J Cox	2021-12-29 18:06:54+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>This is one of the most fair maps, although it still favors one party. I think it's possible to make this even better--just please try.</p>	Rosalind Cox	2021-12-29 18:05:21+00
Chestnut	Opinion	<p>I like the map overall, but I am mad I am not in the 9 th district. I live on 26 mile on the Shelby side, so I feel like I should be in the 9 th district. The other side of 26 for Washington township is in the 9 th. I want to be in a deep red district because I have been my whole life. My new district is R +6, but my old district was R +34. Not a bad map at all, but I wish I would be in the 9 th.</p>	Rob Krett	2021-12-29 18:03:25+00

Pine v5	Dislike	<p>Terrible map. Do not choose this one. Each of the proposed House maps gives the majority of the seats to the minority of voters and that is not a fair map. Keep working! Thanks.</p> <p>Very unfair map!! There are really no fair house maps to choose from. Please listen to Detroit voters about being able to elect their own candidates.</p>	Rosalind Cox	2021-12-29 18:01:41+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>Each of the proposed maps give the majority of seats to the minority voters! No MI House map addresses the concerns heard from Detroit voters about being able to elect their own candidates.</p>	Rosalind J Cox	2021-12-29 17:58:49+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>elect their own candidates.</p>	Rosalind J Cox	2021-12-29 17:57:03+00
Hickory	Opinion	<p>All of these maps have Republican bias, but this one is the best of the worst which in not really a good thing as we want fair maps not just less unfair ones. Thanks.</p>	Rosalind J Cox	2021-12-29 17:54:09+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>The Citizens Commission has created much better district maps than either of the two major political parties ever has. Congratulations and kudos for completing this difficult project under strenuous conditions.</p>	William Tyler White	2021-12-29 17:28:40+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>Personally, I don't like any of these maps. But, this one seems the least fair.</p>	Andrew	2021-12-29 16:23:43+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>As far as I can tell, none of the State House maps preserve the Grandmont-Rosedale communities in one district. These five communities work together toward common interests in their area, and have a long and documented history of community advocacy. Splitting them up would lessen their impact, and would be detrimental to the west side of Detroit. I urge you to redraw the maps to preserve North Rosedale Park, Rosedale Park, Grandmont, Grandmont #1, and Minock Park in one district.</p>	Michael Malis	2021-12-29 16:07:41+00

As far as I can tell, none of the State House maps preserve the Grandmont-Rosedale communities in one district. These five communities work together toward common interests in their area, and have a long and documented history of community advocacy. Splitting them up would lessen their impact, and would be detrimental to the west side of Detroit. I urge you to redraw the maps to preserve North Rosedale Park, Rosedale Park, Grandmont, Grandmont #1, and Minock Park in one district.

Magnolia

Dislike

Michael Malis

2021-12-29 16:06:29+00

As far as I can tell, none of the State House maps preserve the Grandmont-Rosedale communities in one district. These five communities work together toward common interests in their area, and have a long and documented history of community advocacy. Splitting them up would lessen their impact, and would be detrimental to the west side of Detroit. I urge you to redraw the maps to preserve North Rosedale Park, Rosedale Park, Grandmont, Grandmont #1, and Minock Park in one district.

Hickory

Dislike

Michael Malis

2021-12-29 16:05:24+00

As far as I can tell, none of the State House maps preserve the Grandmont-Rosedale communities in one district. These five communities work together toward common interests in their area, and have a long and documented history of community advocacy. Splitting them up would lessen their impact, and would be detrimental to the west side of Detroit. I urge you to redraw the maps to preserve North Rosedale Park, Rosedale Park, Grandmont, Grandmont #1, and Minock Park in one district.

Szetela House

Dislike

Michael Malis

2021-12-29 16:03:55+00

Linden	Like	<p>I like the Linden map because it puts the City of Midland in the same district as Bay City and Saginaw. These Tri-Cities share much. To have a State Senator paying attention to the needs of these medium-sized cities is a good thing, rather than dilute attention with the needs of rural areas. The Linden map rightly puts the more rural areas of western Midland County in the more rural district to the west. The statements to simply "keep Midland County whole" are just not persuasive.</p>	Clifford Todd	2021-12-29 15:32:22+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>I like the Chestnut map because it puts the City of Midland in the same district with Saginaw and Bay City. This is a natural grouping, as embodied in our MBS Airport. The City of Midland shares less with western and northern Midland County. The Chestnut map rightly puts outer Midland County with their similar areas to the north and west.</p>	Clifford Todd	2021-12-29 15:18:37+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>This is an absolute S#\$% show map</p>	LEONARD J DORAZIO	2021-12-29 14:53:14+00

Szetela Senate	Dislike	<p>After looking at all of the maps, NONE of them represent where we work, spend our time or money. This is extremely disappointing. The Rose township area of Oakland county should be in the same district as Fenton or Holly.</p>	Patricia Banner	2021-12-29 14:38:49+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	<p>This map does not represent where we work, spend our time or money. As part of the Fenton School district, we should be included with Fenton - or even with the village of Holly!</p>	Patricia Banner	2021-12-29 14:29:37+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	<p>This map does not represent where we work, spend our time or our money. Include us with Fenton as we live in the Fenton school district.</p>	Patricia Banner	2021-12-29 14:21:25+00
Linden	Dislike	<p>This map does not represent where we work, spend our time or our money. Include us with Fenton.</p>	Patricia Banner	2021-12-29 14:16:03+00

I am a current resident of Muskegon County, former resident of Ottawa Co and also own a business in Ottawa Co. It makes no sense to split Holland from the rest of Ottawa Co and connecting Muskegon & Grand Haven to Gr Rapids. It would have made more sense to include Holland with Muskegon and Gr Haven. Furthermore, Wayland, Dorr, and Sparta are not part of the Gr Rapids district, even though they are nearly an extension of the suburbs now.

Reading other comments, especially for Oakland Co and the Detroit Metro areas, I suppose that the commission figured that if everyone was pissed off, they would consider it a booming success. Well, mission accomplished - you've managed to create such a mess that almost

Chestnut

Dislike

nobody is pleased.

Chad J Portenga

2021-12-29 14:14:54+00

Palm

Dislike

Does not represent where we work, spend time & \$.

Patricia Banner

2021-12-29 14:10:31+00

Cherry V2 Dislike This map does not represent where my family works, attends school, or spends our time and money. We should be included in the Fenton district. Patricia Banner 2021-12-29 14:04:44+00

Hickory Dislike And I thought nothing could be worse than what was done in 2010 - our community of beaches along Lake Erie are divided into (3) legislative districts. This so severely hinders the Community of Interest mandate it's ridiculous - you were looking for precincts to move into other districts and stripped Frenchtown Township and divided not only Monroe County into 4 districts; but divided Frenchtown into 3 districts and stripped the communities along Lake Erie too in order to dilute their influence with the power companies - how you enjoy whatever monetary benefits you get from these decisions while the rest of us suffer. Mary Etta Kreklau 2021-12-29 13:58:49+00

Linden	Dislike	This map makes sure that the environmental issues posed for the Great Lakes is shouted down by the commercial farming businesses in rural Monroe, Lenawee, and Hillsdale Counties that contribute to the excess pollution of the Great Lakes. Gee thanks.	Mary Etta Kreklau	2021-12-29 13:49:50+00
Hickory	Like	Matt Maddock is still in my State House District!!! Matt is a solid conservative patriot who represents me very well.	Tim Mauro-Vetter	2021-12-29 13:49:38+00
Chestnut	Opinion	I see ALOT of negative comments. It's impossible to please everyone. The goal of all this is to establish a district map that is better than what we had before. I, for one, think it accomplishes that. All your points are valid...but lets get this change done and move on to the next.	Darrell Jarvis	2021-12-29 13:23:39+00
Chestnut	Like	To Micheal S: This is actually Muskegon State Park property, so it's just keeping this area with the other Muskegon State Park property to the south. No residents on this section.	Laura Abramson	2021-12-29 13:20:06+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>This map for the 8th district is fair, it has a low partisan score, and links communities with common interests.</p> <p>Thank you for your work on this and please adopt it.</p>	Barb Handley-Miller	2021-12-29 12:37:14+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>Oakland County is divided into 6 DISTRICTS in Michigan.</p> <p>How is that helpful?</p> <p>This map ensures that communities of color are preserved and enhanced by joining Grand Rapids to Muskegon and Muskegon Heights. Having the lakeshore as part of the equation is good but is not the only element to our communities of interest.</p>	Tim Mauro-Vetter	2021-12-29 11:55:16+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>Good grief, Ann Arbor is split among four state congressional districts. There should be community cohesion. This map totally dilutes the Ann Arbor community vote.</p>	Josie A James	2021-12-29 05:22:53+00
Hickory	Dislike		Patrice Matujec	2021-12-29 04:32:30+00

has anyone anywhere on any of these boards said: "This map favors the Democrats"? Not that I can see. Instead, it seems the Republican partisans are haggling over splitting Midland or some such masquerade. We are arguing in all of this over how far away from partisan fairness we can tolerate. To my mind a little sickness is still not healthy. A little unfair is still unfair. We are asked to choose among maps that are grossly tilted toward the Republicans, or only those that are a wee bit unfair, or even ever so rarely one (Szetela Congressional) that actually carves out partisan fairness and meets other constitutional criteria. Wow! If that can be done once, it should be possible for all the electoral contests. Great that you've talked to each other, great that you've done a lot of hard, hard work, but most of

Lange Congressional Dislike

Peter Bane

2021-12-29 04:26:55+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>Very happy to have the GR area reunited in this map. Congratulations to the ICRC. I'm sure it was a difficult process with the late census information, the need to create your process from whole cloth and the reality that no set of maps will meet all the tests and please everyone. But all in all, you should be proud of your work. Jay Kilpatrick</p> <p>A truly dreadful map that cannot be called representative in any sense. The worst of the Congressional drafts. Profoundly partisan and extremely unfair. We will get rotten, oppressive government from this. A hack job. Toss it out.</p>	2021-12-29 04:19:01+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	Peter Bane	2021-12-29 04:12:12+00

I wish to bring to your attention, the extraordinary history of the Michigan State Senate over the last 39 years. That body has been under uninterrupted Republican control since February of 1984 and is expected to remain so until at least January of 2023.

The last Democratically-controlled Senate was elected in 1982, the year Gov. Blanchard was first elected. The margin was 20D-18R. A year later, Dem Senators Phil Mastin and David Serotkin were recalled and Republicans were elected to replace them in Feb. 1984, shifting the margin to 20R-18D. Republicans have controlled the Senate ever since. February 1984 to January 2023! This is a 39 year period. During those same years, Michigan has had Governors 20 years R to 19D. and the State House has gone Gregory Sullivan

Linden

Like

2021-12-29 04:10:59+00

Apple V2	Dislike	Not what we need. Apple V2 rewards the Republicans with more seats than they will earn from voters. It's strongly partisan, unfair, and should not be used. Really awful and not even the worst, which is Lange.	Peter Bane	2021-12-29 04:08:57+00
Chestnut	Like	Reasonably fair and responds to concerns about minority voters and Communities of Interest in the Detroit area, but both Birch V2 and especially Szetela are more fair and more adroitly drawn. You have a clear choice on Congressional maps. This is only #3 in my view. This map has many good features, though Szetela is a better choice. Birch V2 comes closest to having the most even number of voters in each district, but still has a lean towards the minority party that should be wrung out. It protects COI in Detroit better than other maps. I prefer Szetela, but Birch is close behind and could be made better.	Peter Bane	2021-12-29 04:05:28+00
Birch V2	Like		Peter Bane	2021-12-29 04:03:00+00

Szetela Congressional Like I like this map for many reasons. It's fair on partisan scoring. It respects many communities of interest, and I like that it groups Muskegon with Grand Rapids and the rest of SW Michigan. The areas are historically linked and this will help strengthen democratic representation across the proposed district. Peter Bane 2021-12-29 03:58:34+00

Szetela Congressional Like This map actually achieves partisan fairness with a near 0% Efficiency Gap, by combining the best features of Birch and Chestnut. In other words, it will mirror the popular vote for Congress statewide. It does well by Communities of Interest, a difficult criterion to meet. It also establishes Voting Rights Act districts. Bravo!! This is the one we need. Strongly urge you to adopt this. Peter Bane 2021-12-29 03:53:31+00

Lange Senate	Dislike	A dreadful map and deeply partisan, heavily favoring the Republicans who are nothing like a majority in the state. It has no minority-majority districts, and no redeeming features. It should be scrapped entirely.	Peter Bane	2021-12-29 03:48:18+00
Palm	Dislike	Palm packs Democrats in Washtenaw County, and it has a very bad partisan tilt toward the Republicans statewide. One of the worst, most unfair, unrepresentative maps. Put it aside.	Peter Bane	2021-12-29 03:45:50+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Kellom offers the most minority-majority districts, which may please some, but overall it is very partisan and will ensure control of the Senate by the minority party. Do not use it unmodified. There are better maps.	Peter Bane	2021-12-29 03:42:23+00

Szetela Senate	Opinion	<p>This map responds to comments of Detroiters who wanted strengthened Voting Rights Act districts, but the overall map is too partisan and favors the minority party. Less unfair is still not good enough. We need fair maps. Keep working. There are better choices than this one. Cherry still favors one party, but it is one of the fairer maps. It's possible to do better and you should try.</p>	Peter Bane	2021-12-29 03:36:02+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>This map does pretty well by Communities of Interest.</p>	Peter Bane	2021-12-29 03:31:15+00

This map approaches partisan fairness. Cherry might be almost as good, but this one has more even population between districts. It is probably the best of the Senate maps. Thank you for unpacking Democrats in Ingham and Washtenaw Counties. This could still be improved. Even though this map delivers two competitive districts and a small Democrat lean in the overall vote, I wish you would unpack and shift it slightly more in proportion to the historic trends in party voting statewide. This would give us the Senate the voters deserve. Since the MI House maps are so BAD, and you really need to delay to improve them, take the interval to make the Senate maps better than they are.

Linden

Like

Peter Bane

2021-12-29 03:22:00+00

This is the most unfair of the MI House maps. Don't use it. While many commenters are incensed about how some lines are drawn in the immediate area, these will matter less than maps that unfairly deliver control of the House to the minority of voters. All the House maps have a partisan tilt that will disenfranchise majority party voters. We've dealt with dreadful gerrymandering for decades in Michigan, and have paid a terrible price for the bad government it delivers. Not one of your MI House maps is fair. A less unfair map is still unfair. The principle of equal representation must be paramount, not just in the size of districts, but in over all state-wide effect of their partisan composition. There will be no way to achieve partisan fairness at the state level without some

Pine v5

Dislike

Peter Bane

2021-12-29 03:13:37+00

This map is terrible and not the worst: reject it. Its partisan tilt will give a majority in the MI House to a minority of voters - just the problem MCIRC was adopted to address. Without fair maps we will get unresponsive government. Flint is a result of bad redistricting. The voters threw out the Emergency Manager law that allowed it, but the partisan, gerrymandered legislature restored it. Keep lead poisoning in mind. It could happen to your children or grandchildren. Your choices will have life or death

Magnolia

Dislike

consequences. Please keep working to draw fair MI House maps. None of them provide partisan fairness. Each awards a minority of voters with a majority of representatives. This one is bad. Others are worse. None of the maps address the concerns of Detroit voters about electing candidates of their choice.

Peter Bane

2021-12-29 03:00:31+00

Szetela House

Dislike

Peter Bane

2021-12-29 02:55:43+00

All the Michigan House maps give unfair partisan advantage to the minority party, which is the MAIN problem the MCIRC was instituted to fix. Under any of these maps, a minority of voters would gain a majority of representatives in the MI house. Hickory is the least bad of the set you have presented. Please keep working to improve it. Partisan fairness is possible, as demonstrated by some of the Senate and US Congress maps, though only a few of those.

Hickory

Opinion

Peter Bane

2021-12-29 02:46:26+00

Hickory	Dislike	This is the most Gerrymandered map I have ever seen! East Grand Rapids and Kentwood are URBAN communities and don't belong with the Suburban/Semi-Rural Cascade Township. You are violating your "Compactness" Rule, Community of Interest Rule, and most likely Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act as well! You are disenfranchising the more sparsely populated township areas by combining them with the densely populated Urban Areas of Kentwood and East Grand Rapids which should be a part of the City of Grand Rapids. Good Work. A fair amount of voters in all areas should make for better	Thomas Nemcek	2021-12-29 02:28:08+00
Chestnut	Like	representation. I am glad that Tuscola County has been kept with its community of interest in the	Jay Moore II	2021-12-29 02:27:08+00
Chestnut	Opinion	thumb. Thank you.	Michael	2021-12-29 02:01:25+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole	Carolyn M Mayne	2021-12-29 01:49:45+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole	Carolyn M Mayne	2021-12-29 01:49:17+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole	Carolyn M Mayne	2021-12-29 01:48:19+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole	Carolyn M Mayne	2021-12-29 01:47:46+00

Linden	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole	Carolyn M Mayne	2021-12-29 01:47:10+00
Palm	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole	Carolyn M Mayne	2021-12-29 01:46:30+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole	Carolyn M Mayne	2021-12-29 01:45:49+00
Hickory	Like	Keep Midland County whole	Carolyn M Mayne	2021-12-29 01:44:43+00
Pine v5	Like	Keep Midland County whole	Carolyn M Mayne	2021-12-29 01:44:13+00
Magnolia	Like	Keep Midland County whole	Carolyn M Mayne	2021-12-29 01:43:39+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	Keep Midland County whole	Carolyn M Mayne	2021-12-29 01:42:23+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	Keep Midland County whole	Carolyn M Mayne	2021-12-29 01:41:42+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole	Carolyn M Mayne	2021-12-29 01:40:30+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole	Carolyn M Mayne	2021-12-29 01:38:27+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole	Carolyn Mayne	2021-12-29 01:36:11+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole	Carolyn Mayne	2021-12-29 01:33:47+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Keep Midland County whole	Carolyn Mayne	2021-12-29 01:30:58+00

this is straight  
gerrymandering. sliding up  
Macomb county so  
much.....Detroit has been  
sliced up as well.....I can not  
see any fairness from a  
macomb county perspective  
at all.....totally crazy....do not  
accept this map....I expect  
both R and Ds to challenge

Linden	Dislike	this map.....totally crazy...	Joseph Backus	2021-12-29 01:04:47+00
Palm	Like	Best option of all the maps	DFox	2021-12-29 00:50:40+00

Chestnut	Dislike	Splitting Berrien County and putting it with the rural interior counties makes no sense whatsoever. There was community input to keep the lakeshore communities together. Now we will have NO representation at the congressional level.	shirley myers	2021-12-29 00:41:03+00
Chestnut	Dislike	District 8 looks like the definition of gerrymandering!	Diane Bristol	2021-12-29 00:23:24+00
Hickory	Like	It's about time that the Port Huron are state congressional map be done fairly. While it will still likely lean in one direction, it's not the gerrymandered mess we have had for the past 10 years. I lived in this district and this map does not represent the northern half of Godwin Heights. Gerrymandered with the City of GR does not represent this district, which has vastly different needs than the city of Wyoming does. Precinct 1 of the City of Wyoming should have been	Ryan Quinn	2021-12-29 00:09:32+00
Hickory	Dislike	with District 83, not 82.	Joseph Lopez	2021-12-28 23:47:57+00

Hickory	Like	<p>This is better than what we've had for years. Our majority blue state of Michigan has been governed by the minority party since the 80s and it is time that we had a fair chance at gaining Dem. seats in Lansing. This is a more competitive map, even though it, along with the congressional redistricted map, still gives the edge to the Republican party. The Republican gerrymandering has been ridiculous for decades. Fairness is long past overdue.</p>	Barbara M Trollman	2021-12-28 23:47:30+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>While reviewing the maps, I believe that BIRCH provides the best representation for the state from the proposed maps.</p>	Jessica Toth	2021-12-28 23:36:48+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>Way to go Commission. The WORST map for Waterloo Township. Thank you for NOT Listening to the citizens who came out in droves and commented on maps.</p>	Cynthia Richardson	2021-12-28 23:35:20+00
Hickory	Like	<p>Its not perfect but better than if the legislature drew them. Michigan is 50-50 and should be drawn like it is.</p>	David Heyza	2021-12-28 23:33:24+00

		Splitting up walker and alpine is a clear ploy for partisan advantage, and does not represent the people of the districts		
		Grand rapids Forrest hills and cascade are all similar districts and should therefore all be		
Magnolia	Opinion	represented in one.	Lauren R Taylor	2021-12-28 23:18:46+00
Palm	Opinion	good	Lauren R Taylore	2021-12-28 23:12:56+00
		walker and alpine should NOT be separate as they are one community. I believe splitting them apart is a mistake and clearly a ploy for partisan		
Magnolia	Opinion	advantage.	Lauren R Taylor	2021-12-28 23:09:47+00
		Milan should be included in the Ann Arbor area district. Most of the people in Milan CITY have moved from the Ann Arbor/Ypsi area and still work there. It's a bedroom community for Ann Arbor and the cultural and political beliefs within the city represent that, not those of rural border counties or the backwoods along I-94 toward the airport and south. We have more in common with Saline and Pittsfield than Ida,		
Hickory	Dislike	Sumpter, and Belleville.	Jillyn Schultz	2021-12-28 23:02:39+00

I hope this makes a difference in the way we are represented in Lansing. It has been ridiculous that a majority blue state has been represented by a majority red in Lansing for all of these decades.

I am unhappy that I suddenly ended up with a Republican representative. I have been very happy with Elissa Slotkin as my rep. She truly works on her constituents' behalf. I hope she wins the new district she'll be running in, District 7. Our loss would be their gain.

Chestnut

Opinion

Barbara Trollman

2021-12-28 23:00:32+00

Congrats commissioners for choosing a congressional map!

Chestnut

Like

Yousif

2021-12-28 22:09:35+00

This map is best for Harrison Township, by including it in DISTRICT 10 and NOT District 9. Other maps include HT in District 9, along with the rural, Thumb Area communities, which is very partisan. Including HT with St. Clair Shores and Eastpointe to the south and Rochester/Shelby to the north is much more bi-partisan.

Chestnut

Like

Thank you for your efforts.

Sherry G.

2021-12-28 22:05:47+00

This map divides the Hispanic voters in Wyoming and groups them with other areas that do not represent them. The Pine V5, Magnolia and Hickory maps would keep the Hispanic community in Wyoming and Grand Rapids together to better represent their interests. Political candidates like Lily Schulting should not be pushing maps that split communities to serve their own interests rather than accurately representing the voters within the districts.

Szetela House

Dislike

Alexa Ebeling

2021-12-28 22:03:10+00

Linden	Like	Linden is the best choice for the Michigan State Senate maps. It is the least partisan. I urge you to approve Linden! I think this map looks fine - broadly speaking. Now redraw the state senate and	Janet Goldwasser	2021-12-28 21:22:26+00
Chestnut	Like	house of reps.	Daniel Turco	2021-12-28 21:21:31+00
Hickory	Opinion	I urge you to spend more time on the district maps for the Michigan State House. None of these meet the criteria for partisan fairness. If you must choose one of the proposed Michigan House maps, then choose Hickory. It's so funny seeing Midland City residents complain about gerrymandering even though it doesn't split the city after they have had an outsized voice in Lansing and DC politics for at least two decades. Keeping Bay City, Saginaw, and City of Midland together with Flint was a	Janet Goldwasser	2021-12-28 21:19:24+00
Chestnut	Like	great choice.	Alex Dewitt	2021-12-28 21:16:51+00

Linden	Like	Linden is my choice to maximize fairness for the Kalamazoo area. I live in Parchment, and we have been brutally gerrymandered for the past two decades. Please consider this option.	Rob Heasley	2021-12-28 20:30:56+00
Birch V2	Like	I'm glad that this puts all of the tri-cities together instead of letting Midland control a huge chunk of the state. It also keeps us together with Flint.	Alex Dewitt	2021-12-28 20:26:23+00

I live in the largest Arab American/MENA community in the country and we have submitted our own community of interest map C1510.

Thank you commissioners for taking our community of interest into account in the Congressional map of CHESTNUT, and the Senate map of LINDEN, which our community supports. I support the Chestnut map only in the way that it represents our community of interest shown in C1510, however when it comes to fair maps for the entire state we strongly support the Birch Map.

Birch V2

Like

Moe Ameedi

2021-12-28 19:52:00+00

This community of interest shares a rich history and has made significant economic contributions to Michigan. We are asking that you keep this community together. ACCESS has served this community for over 50 years and understands the needs of the community, they submitted the community of interest map C1510 in August. Thank you commissioners for taking our community of interest into account in the Congressional map of CHESTNUT, and the Senate map of LINDEN, which our community supports. I support the Chestnut map only in the way that it represents our community of interest shown in C1510, however when it comes to fair maps for the entire state we strongly support the Birch Map.

Birch V2

Like

Sara Ismail

2021-12-28 19:50:13+00

While reviewing the maps we see that BIRCH provides the best representation for the state from the proposed maps.

Birch V2

Like

Meredith Haddad

2021-12-28 19:42:01+00

The truth is, we may not be able to draw perfect lines and maps. Yet, you can ensure that communities of Interest, especially historically underrepresented communities, are not divided because of partisan lines. I support the Chestnut map only in the way that it represents our community of interest shown in C1510, however when it comes to fair maps for the entire state we strongly support the Birch Map.

Birch V2

Like

Mariam Bazzi

2021-12-28 19:33:00+00

In August ACCESS submitted community of interest map C1510 to ensure we have the representation needed to serve our community as they have done over the last 50 years.

Thank you commissioners for taking our community of interest into account in the Congressional map of CHESTNUT, and the Senate map of LINDEN, which our community supports. I support the Chestnut map only in the way that it represents our community of interest shown in C1510, however when it comes to fair maps for the entire state we strongly support the Birch Map.

Birch V2

Like

Manar AlNashi

2021-12-28 19:31:48+00

Thank you commissioners for taking our community of interest into account in the Congressional map of CHESTNUT, and the Senate map of LINDEN, which our community supports. I support the Chestnut map only in the way that it represents our community of interest shown in C1510, however when it comes to fair maps for the entire state we strongly support the Birch Map.

Birch V2

Like

Jenna Mahmoud

2021-12-28 19:30:41+00

Dearborn and Dearborn Heights have many commonalities, including history, culture, heritage, and ancestry. Many community members who live in Dearborn Heights, for example, either have family connections to Dearborn, work in the City of Dearborn We have done the work for our community and submitted our own community of interest map C1510.

Thank you commissioners for taking our community of interest into account in the Congressional map of CHESTNUT, and the Senate map of LINDEN, which our community supports. I support the Chestnut map only in the way that it represents our community of interest shown in C1510, however when it comes to fair maps for the entire state

we strongly support the Birch Aya Mohamed

Birch V2

Like

2021-12-28 19:19:59+00

Wayne, Oakland, and Macomb:Metropolitan Detroit is home to the largest and most diverse Arab and Middle Eastern & North African (MENA) community in the country.

We have done the work for our community and submitted our own community of interest map C1510.

Thank you commissioners for taking our community of interest into account in the Congressional map of CHESTNUT, and the Senate map of LINDEN, which our community supports. I support the Chestnut map only in the way that it represents our community of interest shown in C1510, however when it comes to fair maps for the entire state we strongly support the Birch Map.

Birch V2	Like		Eman Mohamed	2021-12-28 19:18:43+00
Birch V2	Like	The Birch Map offers fair maps compared to the rest of the proposed maps.	Zainab AIN	2021-12-28 19:00:18+00

This version of Congressional redistricting works well in several aspects for my own proposed district (new MI-06), as it keeps Washtenaw County intact, includes all of Milan and respects the connections between the communities of eastern Washtenaw and western Wayne County. Overall, however, it's not my favorite of the redistricting options. In particular, that single district stretching across the southern border of the lower peninsula is truly odd in terms of disregarding communities of interest. The ecology, economy, and other key elements of SW MI are better respected by keeping Berrien County in a US House district with others to the north, as Birch v2 does. For this reason and for some similarly arbitrary divisions in the eastern part of the Lower Peninsula this proposed map The Middle Eastern or North African community is concentrated in the Dearborn and Dearborn Heights area, this map ensures fair representation

Chestnut

Dislike

Catherine Daligga

2021-12-28 18:58:40+00

Birch V2

Like

Diana Abouali

2021-12-28 18:57:49+00

Magnolia	Like	<p>This too is a better way to keep Midland together. Looking at the districts around it though, it continues to gerrymander instead of keeping cities and counties whole</p> <p>Of the MI House maps posted for 45-day review, Hickory is the least partisan. If time permits, however, this map has room for improvement. Thank you for your conscientious work.</p>	Christian Velasquez	2021-12-28 18:56:43+00
Hickory	Opinion	<p>This is a better map keep Midland together and only adding enough to keep districts balanced in population.</p>	frances lichtman	2021-12-28 18:56:05+00
Pine v5	Like	<p>This map ensures fair representation across the state.</p>	Christian Velasquez	2021-12-28 18:53:46+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>Another poor example of pulling the city of Midland out of Midland county and carving up other counties unnecessarily to serve what appears to be a partisan gerrymandering goal. Leave counties whole as much as possible. Leave city of Midland with Midland county.</p>	Bilal Elkadry	2021-12-28 18:53:37+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>Another poor example of pulling the city of Midland out of Midland county and carving up other counties unnecessarily to serve what appears to be a partisan gerrymandering goal. Leave counties whole as much as possible. Leave city of Midland with Midland county.</p>	Christian Velasquez	2021-12-28 18:51:17+00

Birch V2	Dislike	<p>Why pull just the city of Midland out of Midland county? Why carve up Saginaw and Bay counties. Keep these cities and counties whole as best as possible. That was part of what the original redistricting commission proposal was based on. This is partisan gerrymandering. Dragging a district out along a highway between two cities where it looks like a dumbbell is a clear sign of gerrymandering. This goes against intent of the vote for an independent redistricting commission.</p>	Christian Velasquez	2021-12-28 18:47:27+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>Birch v2 does a reasonable job of balancing multiple and sometimes incompatible criteria for fair redistricting. I support it over the other proposed maps for Congressional districts.</p>	Christian Velasquez	2021-12-28 18:44:36+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>Thank you for considering our community of interest, BIRCH ensures fairer maps statewide.</p>	Catherine Daligga	2021-12-28 18:42:10+00
Birch V2	Like		Hassan Jaber	2021-12-28 18:35:18+00

Palm	Dislike	Carving up Midland, Bay, & Saginaw counties is a sure sign of gerrymandering. This goes against original proposal of the redistricting vote.	Christian & Jenee Velasquez	2021-12-28 18:35:06+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Makes no sense to split up Midland and Saginaw counties. This also goes against intent shared in original vote for redistricting. This map makes more sense for Midland. Keeping Midland whole and with counties that share more common	Christian & Jenee Velasquez	2021-12-28 18:31:35+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	interests.	Christian & Jenee Velasquez	2021-12-28 18:29:26+00
Birch V2	Like	We thank the commission for taking it into account in the Congressional map of CHESTNUT, the Senate map of LINDEN, but we cannot support the House maps presented by the commission. We support the Chestnut map only in the way that it represents our community of interest shown in C1510, however when it comes to fair maps for the entire state we strongly support the Birch Map.	Rachid Elabed	2021-12-28 18:28:46+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>We thank the commission for taking it into account in the Congressional map of CHESTNUT, the Senate map of LINDEN, but we cannot support the House maps presented by the commission. We support the Chestnut map only in the way that it represents our community of interest shown in C1510, however when it comes to fair maps for the entire state we strongly support the Birch Map.</p>	Rima M.	2021-12-28 18:27:44+00
Linden	Like	<p>The Linden and Cherry maps best reflect the constitutional criteria. I support Chestnut for my community of interest, yet for the state as a whole I recommend the Birch map.</p>	frances lichtman	2021-12-28 18:20:10+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>The city of Midland belongs with the County of Midland. As a like community or community of interest, this is one of the worst proposals for redistricting. This is opposite of what voters thought would happen and opposite of the wording of what they voted on. This is gerrymandering.</p>	Shams Al-Badry	2021-12-28 18:19:35+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike		Christian & Jenee Velasquez	2021-12-28 18:06:36+00

Birch V2	Like	This map seems to be the most fair This is the best choice in terms of partisan fairness. None of the choices seem to be what was envisioned when the voters approved the	William A Schneider	2021-12-28 18:04:56+00
Hickory	Like	redistricting committee. The city of Midland belongs together with the county of Midland. This is our strongest community tie. Breaking this up would be a blatantly	Patricia McCoin	2021-12-28 17:53:35+00
Lange Congressional	Like	partisan move. This is a terrible map for keeping like communities together. Putting Midland in with Flint & Saginaw is a blatantly partisan move. Independent and this	Christian Velasquez	2021-12-28 17:52:30+00
Chestnut	Dislike	commission should not ever be used in the same breath. I have family from Battle Creek (and other parts of Michigan such as Redford, Ann Arbor, Charlevoix, and Petoskey). I feel like this map does a fantastic job for the most part of representing Michigan's various	Christian & Jenee Velasquez	2021-12-28 17:51:38+00
Chestnut	Like	communities in a compact and fair manner. I support the Chestnut map.	Benjamin Greene	2021-12-28 17:51:08+00

Linden	Like	This seems like the best option for partisan fairness. Out of all the maps i believe this is most fair to all viewpoints.	Patricia McCoin	2021-12-28 17:47:30+00
Chestnut	Like		Tim Brewer	2021-12-28 17:26:03+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map seems quite specific to dilute certain viewpoints. This is the least desirable. Seems to be too specific to water down certain viewpoints.	Tim Brewer	2021-12-28 17:18:05+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Reject this map it literately divides the city of Hazel Park and Madison Heights, which would put citizens at odds over who would have the cities best interest in mind.	Tim Brewer	2021-12-28 17:13:42+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Big NO	Lora Trezil	2021-12-28 16:55:26+00
Birch V2	Like	Birch V2 is the best option	Trevor Dusty	2021-12-28 16:53:59+00
Birch V2	Like	I choose this map!	Tyler Dusty	2021-12-28 16:53:01+00

A district that divides Roseville would not be able to properly address the unique economic challenges, infrastructure needs and interests of Roseville's residents. We are a community of interest with a proud history. It is a community that has a significant population of older residents, as well as similar demographical and socioeconomic characteristics with a strong sense of togetherness and community. The proposed map dilutes and minimizes the importance Roseville has, as parts of Roseville would be split into two different districts, and would be anchored to different municipalities with significantly different needs and interests than Roseville. Roseville has a strong working-class background that deserves fair representation

Pine v5	Dislike	by its state house member. Does not make any since to mix up counties, that should	Gail Lozen	2021-12-28 16:50:43+00
Apple V2	Dislike	at least remain intact.	Lora Trezil	2021-12-28 16:50:18+00
Birch V2	Like	Birch V2 is my vote	Tabitha Miskokomon	2021-12-28 16:48:39+00
Chestnut	Like	Is a far fairer map than I currently have..	Lora Trezil	2021-12-28 16:45:49+00

Szetela House	Dislike	<p>I do not think this is choice makes sense and seems very intentional to water down rural viewpoints.</p> <p>Roseville would not be able to properly address the unique economic challenges, infrastructure needs and interests of Roseville's residents. We are a community of interest with a proud history. It is a community that has a significant population of older residents, as well as similar demographical and socioeconomic characteristics with a strong sense of togetherness and community. The proposed map dilutes and minimizes the importance Roseville has, as parts of Roseville would be split into two different districts, and would be anchored to different municipalities with significantly different needs and interests than Roseville. Roseville has a strong working-class background that deserves fair representation</p>	Tim Brewer	2021-12-28 16:45:28+00
Magnolia	Dislike	by its state house member.	Gail Lozen	2021-12-28 16:44:55+00

Hickory	Dislike	<p>I am not in favor of this map. Once again it seems to be slanted to certain viewpoints. Roseville would not be able to properly address the unique economic challenges, infrastructure needs and interests of Roseville's residents. We are a community of interest with a proud history. It is a community that has a significant population of older residents, as well as similar demographical and socioeconomic characteristics with a strong sense of togetherness and community. The proposed map dilutes and minimizes the importance Roseville has, as parts of Roseville would be split into two different districts, and would be anchored to different municipalities with significantly different needs and interests than Roseville. Roseville has a strong working-class background that deserves fair representation</p>	Tim Brewer	2021-12-28 16:42:14+00
Hickory	Dislike	by its state house member.	Gail Lozen	2021-12-28 16:42:03+00

Pine v5	Dislike	Looking at many of the proposed districts this seems very slanted to strengthen certain viewpoints.	Tim Brewer	2021-12-28 16:39:15+00
Magnolia	Like	This is the best choice for fairness for all political viewpoints. I suppose the job of redistricting is not an easy one and it is sad to have to pick the best of the bad.	Tim Brewer	2021-12-28 16:35:01+00
Birch V2	Like	I pick Birch V2	Sam Ditrapani	2021-12-28 16:33:39+00
Birch V2	Like	This one	Tracey Ditrapani	2021-12-28 16:31:28+00
Birch V2	Like	I like Birch V2	Octavia Renke	2021-12-28 16:28:06+00

A district that divides Roseville would not be able to properly address the unique economic challenges, infrastructure needs and interests of Roseville's residents. We are a community of interest with a proud history. It is a community that has a significant population of older residents, as well as similar demographical and socioeconomic characteristics with a strong sense of togetherness and community. The proposed map dilutes and minimizes the importance Roseville has, as parts of Roseville would be split into two different districts, and would be anchored to different municipalities with significantly different needs and interests than Roseville. Roseville has a strong working-class background that deserves fair representation by its state house member.

Szetela House

Dislike

Gail Lozen

2021-12-28 16:27:26+00

Having lived in Kent county area for many years i believe linking it with areas further to the south does not make sense. Palm is a better option

Szetela Senate

Dislike

Tim Brewer

2021-12-28 16:25:37+00

Birch V2	Like	If I have to pick one it's Birch V2 I don't think it makes sense to connect Ann Arbor with Jackson like this i believe palm	Nick Russell	2021-12-28 16:23:22+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	is a better map	Tim Brewer	2021-12-28 16:21:59+00
Birch V2	Like	Okay map For US Congress, please support Birch V2; For MI Senate, please support Linden; for MI House, please keep working, there are no fair maps for the MI House.	Annette Russell	2021-12-28 16:21:29+00
Hickory	Opinion	Thanks.	Ilene Michelle Sommers	2021-12-28 16:20:11+00
Birch V2	Like	Good map For our area i believe this is seems to be an attempt to water down our rural voices.	Marcus Keys	2021-12-28 16:19:08+00
Linden	Dislike	I prefer palm	Tim Brewer	2021-12-28 16:18:22+00
Birch V2	Like	I vote for BIRCH V2	Shannon Sommerville	2021-12-28 16:16:49+00
Palm	Like	This is the best map for equal representation for all groups	Tim Brewer	2021-12-28 16:14:36+00
Birch V2	Like	I vote for this map. Pine is a better option than this map. This map does not keep communities of interest	Matthew Keys	2021-12-28 16:11:53+00
Szetela House	Dislike	together.	Kathy A Swartz	2021-12-28 16:11:02+00
Birch V2	Like	Good map	Michele Keys	2021-12-28 16:10:18+00
Birch V2	Like	I like this map.	Michael Keys	2021-12-28 16:08:53+00

Hickory	Dislike	Hickory does not keep communities of interest that would be sharing resources together. Pine is a better option.	Kathy A Swartz	2021-12-28 16:08:36+00
Birch V2	Like	This is the better map. This map is the worst option for people of Jackson county as Washtenaw county will drown out the voices and therefore the needs of the Jackson community.	Michael Keys	2021-12-28 16:07:21+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Although none of the House Redistricting maps is ideal, Pine is the best of the House options. It is important to keep communities of interest together so the rural voice is not muted by urban communities.	Kathy A Swartz	2021-12-28 16:06:29+00
Pine v5	Like		Kathy A Swartz	2021-12-28 16:03:43+00
Pine v5	Dislike	There are no fair proposed MI House maps, please create a new map that does not give the majority of seats to the minority of voters and that addresses the concerns of Detroit voters. Thank you.	Marie Colombo	2021-12-28 16:01:02+00

Szetela House	Dislike	There are no fair MI House maps! please create a new map that that does not give the majority of seats to the minority of voters and that addresses the concerns of Detroit voters.	Marie Colombo	2021-12-28 15:56:30+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	Szetela is a bad option, Palm is the better option. This map does not keep communities of interest properly together for fair representation. Lange senate map is not a good option. Palm is the best option of the senate maps. Please do not choose this map as it does not keep communities of interest together.	Kathy A Swartz	2021-12-28 15:56:11+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike		Kathy A Swartz	2021-12-28 15:53:37+00
Hickory	Opinion	This is the best of the MI Congressional maps, although it lacks partisan fairness by giving the majority of seats to the minority of voters. IF you cannot produce a better map, I hesitantly support this one.	Marie Colombo	2021-12-28 15:51:41+00

Kellom Senate	Dislike	Kellom is not a good option, Palm is the better option. Urban areas of Jackson county should not be combined with Washtenaw county as this does not keep communities of interest together.	Kathy A Swartz	2021-12-28 15:51:34+00
Linden	Dislike	Palm is the better option. This map will not allow the rural residents of Jackson county to be fairly represented.	Kathy A Swartz	2021-12-28 15:49:28+00
Palm	Like	This map is the best option of the senate maps as it provides the best overall representation for all the citizens impacted.	Kathy A Swartz	2021-12-28 15:47:01+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This is the worst of the MI Congressional maps; there really are no fair maps to endorse.	Marie Colombo	2021-12-28 15:46:09+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole. Palm is the best option, this map is bad because the rural voices of Jackson county will not be fairly represented.	Ann Rozeveld	2021-12-28 15:45:41+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	It makes the most sense to keep the city of Midland and its county together, and to keep Midland and Gladwin counties together.	Kathy A Swartz	2021-12-28 15:43:06+00
Lange Congressional	Like		Ann Rozeveld	2021-12-28 15:42:55+00

Linden	Like	<p>I support the Linden map as the most fair of the three senate district choices from a partisan view, and I strongly oppose the Palm map. I've studied the Linden map and I'm a little disappointed that Wexford and the City of Cadillac are grouped into district 36 with the vast rural area to the east. As a COI, I suppose it makes sense. But I'd like to know what the recent Republican representation has really done for this disadvantaged area.</p>	Thomas Stoffer	2021-12-28 15:36:17+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>This is the worst map it likely guarantees that Republicans will win the most seats, even when Democrats get the most votes. Please do not endorse this map. Chestnut is the better option. This map combines Jackson with Washtenaw county, so does not keep communities of interest separate and whole.</p>	Marie Colombo	2021-12-28 15:35:41+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	<p></p>	Kathy A Swartz	2021-12-28 15:35:40+00

Birch V2	Like	Of all maps offered for consideration, the Birch V2 appears to be the most representative of the included community groups such as those throughout the Gratiot corridor, north Warren, Sterling Heights, and Troy. It's also the least disruptive.	Barbara J Geck	2021-12-28 15:35:22+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	Chestnut map is better. This map does not keep rural communities whole.	Kathy A Swartz	2021-12-28 15:33:06+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map is very bad; It is terribly partisan and favors Republicans. Please do not endorse it.	Marie Colombo	2021-12-28 15:32:50+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Chestnut map is better, this map will not allow rural communities proper representation.	Kathy A Swartz	2021-12-28 15:31:39+00
Chestnut	Like	This is a fairly good map because it has good partisan fairness and protects COI in Detroit, but is still gives more seats to the party that has the fewest votes. Please endorse Szetela or Birch instead.	Marie Colombo	2021-12-28 15:29:17+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Chestnut is a better option. This map does not keep communities of interest together.	Kathy A Swartz	2021-12-28 15:25:22+00

Birch V2	Like	This is a good map that includes voting rights districts, and protects COI in Detroit, but it still gives more seats to the party that has the fewest votes. The Szetela map is better.	Marie Colombo	2021-12-28 15:24:00+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	This is an excellent map. It addresses communities of interest, voting rights districts AND provides partisan fairness. Please approve this map.	Marie Colombo	2021-12-28 15:18:30+00
Szetela Senate	Opinion	This map creates voting rights districts, and meets the requests of Detroiters. But overall, it is not as fair as others.	Marie Colombo	2021-12-28 15:14:08+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	This map creates NO minority-majority districts and is dismal on the partisan fairness score. Please do not approve.	Marie Colombo	2021-12-28 15:10:24+00
Birch V2	Opinion	somewhat better than Birch in balancing communities of interest	Barbara A Conley	2021-12-28 15:08:20+00
Chestnut	Dislike	does not keep communities of interest in mind at all; Lakeside communities have different interests than interior ones.	Barbara A Conley	2021-12-28 15:07:26+00

Palm	Dislike	While all the maps give an unfair advantage to Republicans, this one is the worst. Please do not approve.	Marie Colombo	2021-12-28 15:07:05+00
Hickory	Like	best for partisan fairness and communities of interest. Keeps Leelanau and Traverse City together, as they now function.	Barbara A Conley	2021-12-28 15:03:04+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This map is terrible on partisan fairness. Please do not approve.	Marie Colombo	2021-12-28 15:01:19+00
Linden	Like	best for partisan fairness and keeps lake Michigan communities together	Barbara A Conley	2021-12-28 15:01:15+00
Palm	Dislike	do not pick this one. Worst partisan outlook	Barbara A Conley	2021-12-28 14:59:09+00
Linden	Like	This is one of the best maps for partisan fairness.	Marie Colombo	2021-12-28 14:58:42+00
Cherry V2	Like	This is the best map for partisan fairness.	Marie Colombo	2021-12-28 14:48:04+00

Although it is unfortunate that the west side of Jackson County is not included in this district, I like that the district includes areas to the east of Jackson County. I think this will benefit the progress that both larger cities and smaller villages are working to achieve in terms of business development, culture and promoting a high quality of life. Regardless of the party of the representative who is elected, they will be challenged to respect a diverse group of interests.

Linden

Like

Pam Schultz

2021-12-28 14:39:13+00

I am very disappointed in the Congressional maps presented. I have to agree with SOOO many people who stated the maps have been gerrymandered to falsely promote "political fairness" while at the same time dismissing "communities of interest". This experiment in "redistricting" is proving to be a farce. I have to agree with the masses who believe your prioritizing process has been unconstitutional and I hope these maps are challenged in court.

Szetela Congressional Dislike

M Dame

2021-12-28 14:31:16+00

I am very disappointed in the Congressional maps presented. I have to agree with SOOO many people who stated the maps have been gerrymandered to falsely promote "political fairness" while at the same time dismissing "communities of interest". This experiment in "redistricting" is proving to be a farce. I have to agree with the masses who believe your prioritizing process has been unconstitutional and I hope these maps are challenged in court.

Lange Congressional Dislike

M Dame

2021-12-28 14:29:39+00

I am very disappointed in the Congressional maps presented. I have to agree with SOOO many people who stated the maps have been gerrymandered to falsely promote "political fairness" while at the same time dismissing "communities of interest". This experiment in "redistricting" is proving to be a farce. I have to agree with the masses who believe your prioritizing process has been unconstitutional and I hope these maps are challenged in court.

Apple V2

Dislike

M Dame

2021-12-28 14:27:57+00

I am very disappointed in the Congressional maps presented. I have to agree with SOOO many people who stated the maps have been gerrymandered to falsely promote "political fairness" while at the same time dismissing "communities of interest". This experiment in "redistricting" is proving to be a farce. I have to agree with the masses who believe your prioritizing process has been unconstitutional and I hope these maps are

Birch V2	Dislike	challenged in court. Keep City of Midland separate	M Dame	2021-12-28 14:26:17+00
Szetela House	Dislike	from Bay City.	Scott G Miller	2021-12-28 14:20:00+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole.	Scott G Miller	2021-12-28 14:17:06+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Keep Midland County whole. Keep City of Midland separate	Scott G Miller	2021-12-28 14:13:08+00
Szetela House	Dislike	from Bay City.	Katherine Miller	2021-12-28 14:02:15+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole. Keep Midland County whole	Katherine Miller	2021-12-28 13:58:49+00
Lange Congressional	Like	with City of Midland. Do not split City of Midland	Katherine Miller	2021-12-28 13:55:45+00
Szetela House	Dislike	from Midland County. The communities are	Joan Miller	2021-12-28 13:52:27+00
Chestnut	Dislike	contiguous but don't share a commom interest.	Marie DeLuca	2021-12-28 13:52:24+00

Chestnut	Dislike	Keep Midland County wholeâ€¦ do not split.	Joan Miller	2021-12-28 13:48:24+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Keep City of Midland with Midland County.	Joan Miller	2021-12-28 13:44:21+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Keep City of Midland with Midland County.	Scott William Miller	2021-12-28 13:41:36+00
		I am very disappointed in the State Senate maps presented. I have to agree with SOOO many people who stated the maps have been gerrymandered to falsely promote â€œpolitical fairnessâ€ while at the same time dismissing â€œcommunities of interestâ€. This experiment in â€œredistrictingâ€ is proving to be a farce. I have to agree with the masses who believe your prioritizing process has been unconstitutional and I hope these maps are		
Szetela Senate	Dislike	challenged in court.	M Dame	2021-12-28 13:41:07+00

I am very disappointed in the State Senate maps presented. I have to agree with SOOO many people who stated the maps have been gerrymandered to falsely promote "political fairness" while at the same time dismissing "communities of interest". This experiment in "redistricting" is proving to be a farce. I have to agree with the masses who believe your prioritizing process has been unconstitutional and I hope these maps are challenged in court.

Lange Senate correct Dislike

M Dame

2021-12-28 13:39:18+00

This map is the most fair. It still has partisan bias, but it comes close to having the same number of voters in every district.

Linden

Like

Kelly Schrubba

2021-12-28 13:39:18+00

Keep City of Midland with Midland County.

Birch V2

Dislike

Scott William Miller

2021-12-28 13:38:50+00

I am very disappointed in the State Senate maps presented. I have to agree with SOOO many people who stated the maps have been gerrymandered to falsely promote "political fairness" while at the same time dismissing "communities of interest". This experiment in "redistricting" is proving to be a farce. I have to agree with the masses who believe your prioritizing process has been unconstitutional and I hope these maps are challenged in court.

Kellom Senate

Dislike

M Dame

2021-12-28 13:37:15+00

I am very disappointed in the State Senate maps presented. I have to agree with SOOO many people who stated the maps have been gerrymandered to falsely promote "political fairness" while at the same time dismissing "communities of interest". This experiment in "redistricting" is proving to be a farce. I have to agree with the masses who believe your prioritizing process has been unconstitutional and I hope these maps are challenged in court.

Linden  
Szetela Senate

Dislike  
Dislike

Keep Midland County whole.

M Dame  
Scott William Miller

2021-12-28 13:35:36+00  
2021-12-28 13:35:09+00

I am very disappointed in the State Senate maps presented. I have to agree with SOOO many people who stated the maps have been gerrymandered to falsely promote "political fairness" while at the same time dismissing "communities of interest". This experiment in "redistricting" is proving to be a farce. I have to agree with the masses who believe your prioritizing process has been unconstitutional and I hope these maps are challenged in court.

Palm

Dislike

M Dame

2021-12-28 13:33:43+00

I am very disappointed in the State Senate maps presented. I have to agree with SOOO many people who stated the maps have been gerrymandered to falsely promote "political fairness" while at the same time dismissing "communities of interest". This experiment in "redistricting" is proving to be a farce. I have to agree with the masses who believe your prioritizing process has been unconstitutional and I hope these maps are challenged in court.

Cherry V2

Dislike

M Dame

2021-12-28 13:31:43+00

I am very disappointed in the State Rep maps presented. I have to agree with SOOO many people who stated the maps have been gerrymandered to falsely promote "political fairness" while at the same time dismissing "communities of interest". This experiment in "redistricting" is proving to be a farce. I have to agree with the masses who believe your prioritizing process has been unconstitutional and I hope these maps are challenged in court.

Szetela House  
Kellom Senate

Dislike  
Dislike

Keep Midland County whole.

M Dame  
Scott William Miller

2021-12-28 13:27:18+00  
2021-12-28 13:24:14+00

I am very disappointed in the State Rep maps presented. I have to agree with SOOO many people who stated the maps have been gerrymandered to falsely promote "political fairness" while at the same time dismissing "communities of interest". This experiment in "redistricting" is proving to be a farce. I have to agree with the masses who believe your prioritizing process has been unconstitutional and I hope these maps are challenged in court.

Hickory	Dislike		M Dame	2021-12-28 13:23:38+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Keep Midland and Gladwin counties together and whole.	David Johnson	2021-12-28 13:22:51+00
Linden	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole.	Scott William Miller	2021-12-28 13:21:38+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Keep Midland and Gladwin counties together and whole.	David Johnson	2021-12-28 13:21:02+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Keep Midland and Gladwin counties together and whole.	David Johnson	2021-12-28 13:19:34+00

I am very disappointed in the State Rep maps presented. I have to agree with SOOO many people who stated the maps have been gerrymandered to falsely promote "political fairness" while at the same time dismissing "communities of interest". This experiment in "redistricting" is proving to be a farce. I have to agree with the masses who believe your prioritizing process has been unconstitutional and I hope these maps are

Magnolia	Dislike	challenged in court.	M Dame	2021-12-28 13:19:26+00
Palm	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole.	Scott William Miller	2021-12-28 13:17:18+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	Keep Midland and Gladwin counties together and whole.	David Johnson	2021-12-28 13:17:16+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Keep Midland and Gladwin counties together and whole.	David Johnson	2021-12-28 13:15:53+00
Linden	Dislike	Keep Midland and Gladwin counties together and whole.	David Johnson	2021-12-28 13:14:57+00

I am very disappointed in the State Rep maps presented. I have to agree with SOOO many people who stated the maps have been gerrymandered to falsely promote "political fairness" while at the same time dismissing "communities of interest". This experiment in "redistricting" is proving to be a farce. I have to agree with the masses who believe your prioritizing process has been unconstitutional and I hope these maps are

Pine v5	Dislike	challenged in court.	M Dame	2021-12-28 13:14:26+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole. thank you for all your hard work I think this map does a good job of keeping communities of interest together like the airport area in with Grand Rapids, Wyoming almost all one district, Hispanic community	Scott William Miller	2021-12-28 13:14:11+00
Hickory	Like	together, etc.	Joan Fifelski	2021-12-28 13:13:20+00
Palm	Dislike	Keep Midland and Gladwin counties together and whole.	David Johnson	2021-12-28 13:13:13+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Keep City of Midland with Midland County.	Scott William Miller	2021-12-28 13:11:18+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	Keep Midland and Gladwin counties together and whole.	David Johnson	2021-12-28 13:09:37+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Keep Midland and Gladwin counties together and whole.	David Johnson	2021-12-28 13:07:40+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Keep Midland and Gladwin counties together and whole.	David Johnson	2021-12-28 13:06:04+00
Chestnut	Like	This map does a good job of keeping together two urban communities of interest This is a fair map Thank you for your hard work.	Joan Fifelski	2021-12-28 13:05:32+00
Birch V2	Dislike	This district puts together rural and urban Grand rapids which do not have a community of interest Chestnut does a much better job of keeping urban communities together.	Joan Fifelski	2021-12-28 13:02:01+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Keep Midland and Gladwin counties together.	David Johnson	2021-12-28 13:01:55+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Keep Midland and Gladwin counties together.	David Johnson	2021-12-28 13:00:29+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	Keep Midland a d Gladwin counties together.	David Johnson	2021-12-28 12:58:22+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Keep Midland and Gladwin counties together.	David Johnson	2021-12-28 12:55:46+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Keep Midland and Gladwin counties together.	David Johnson	2021-12-28 12:51:59+00

Szetela House	Dislike	<p>Please keep Midland and Gladwin united by preserving and respecting current geographic boundaries and keeping the Tittabawassee watershed communities together.</p> <p>This new process of drawing maps based upon creating competitive districts rather than the party in power drawing districts based upon partisan advantage is a worthy objective and this Birch V2 map is a reflection of that.</p>	Charlee Simanskey	2021-12-28 12:46:33+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>Please keep Midland and Gladwin united by preserving and respecting current geographic boundaries and keeping the Tittabawassee watershed communities together.</p>	Allie Matuzak	2021-12-28 12:42:07+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	<p>Please keep Midland and Gladwin united by preserving and respecting current geographic boundaries and keeping the Tittabawassee watershed communities together.</p>	Charlee Simanskey	2021-12-28 12:40:14+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	<p>Please keep Midland and Gladwin united by preserving and respecting current geographic boundaries and keeping the Tittabawassee watershed communities together.</p>	Charlee Simanskey	2021-12-28 12:37:50+00

Chestnut	Dislike	Please keep Midland and Gladwin united by preserving and respecting current geographic boundaries and keeping the Tittabawassee watershed communities together.	Charlee Simanskey	2021-12-28 12:31:15+00
Lange Congressional Birch V2	Like	Please keep Midland and Gladwin united by preserving and respecting current geographic boundaries and keeping the Tittabawassee watershed communities together.	Charlee Simanskey	2021-12-28 12:29:26+00
Hickory	Like	I think this is a fair map.	Alyssa Hall	2021-12-28 12:27:30+00
Magnolia	Like	Keep Midland County whole.	Scott William Miller	2021-12-28 12:10:05+00
Pine v5	Like	Keep Midland County whole.	Scott William Miller	2021-12-28 12:06:44+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	Keep Midland County whole (connected to Gladwin). Keep the Tittabawassee watershed communities of Midland, Gladwin, Isabella, and Clare counties together.	Scott William Miller	2021-12-28 11:59:53+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Strongly opposed to the Szetela House map.	Scott William Miller	2021-12-28 11:55:57+00
Palm	Like	None are great but this better than most of the options.	Margaret Seebaldt	2021-12-28 11:49:28+00

Chestnut	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole (connected to Gladwin). Keep the Tittabawassee watershed communities of Midland, Gladwin, Isabella, and Clare counties together.	Scott William Miller	2021-12-28 11:48:44+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Keep Midland County whole (connected to Gladwin). Keep the Tittabawassee watershed communities of Midland, Gladwin, Isabella, and Clare counties together.	Scott William Miller	2021-12-28 11:44:26+00
Apple V2	Dislike	No to any map that plucks the City of Midland away from the County. This map could work if the Commission puts the City of Midland back into the County.	Brenda Guest	2021-12-28 10:32:30+00
Birch V2	Dislike	A very bad idea to split the City of Midland from the county. If the commission would put the City of Midland back into the County of Midland, this map could work.	Brenda Guest	2021-12-28 10:29:11+00

Szetela House	Dislike	No to the Szetela House map. The shape of this map screams GERRYMANDERING. Stop the pluck. Keep Midland and Midland County whole and aligned with Gladwin. We do not belong with Bay City and Saginaw.	Brenda Guest	2021-12-28 10:20:27+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	The Szetela Senate map is bad for taking the City of Midland away from Midland County. Midland doesn't belong with Bay City or Saginaw. Midland communities need to stay together.	Brenda Guest	2021-12-28 10:15:49+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	NO on the Kellom Senate Map as it slices up Midland County. Stop The Slice. Stop Gerrymandering!	Brenda Guest	2021-12-28 10:03:37+00
Linden	Dislike	No to the Linden Map it slices up Midland County. Stop the GERRYMANDERING and keep Midland County whole.	Brenda Guest	2021-12-28 09:58:17+00
Palm	Dislike	No to the Palm Map. It slices up Midland County. Stop GERRYMANDERING!! Keep Midland whole.	Brenda Guest	2021-12-28 09:53:14+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	No to Cherry V2. It is a bad map as it slices up Midland County.	Brenda Guest	2021-12-28 09:49:10+00

Chestnut	Dislike	The different communities shown in the separate halves of this map have hardly anything in common.	A Strasser	2021-12-28 09:47:06+00
Birch V2	Like	This keeps communities together that have much in common.	A Strasser	2021-12-28 09:41:08+00

The communities included in this proposed map have nothing in common. They represent opposite ends of the entire Wayne County and the huge area of the Detroit Metro area. The upper right half and the lower left half of this map should be divided into separate districts, with the lower left communities connected to the 'Downriver' cities which have so much in common. Any elected official would have a very difficult time representing the constituents that would be included in this entire map. Please look at the more natural division of communities, as are shown in the blue 'Birch' map, which joins Wyandotte, Allen Park and Southgate to the rest of the Downriver communities. Midland City should remain in Midland County as a whole and together with Gladwin County. Focus on the needs of the PEOPLE.

Chestnut

Dislike

Airlie Strasser

2021-12-28 09:25:50+00

Kellom Senate

Dislike

Anne Van Hulle

2021-12-28 06:07:56+00

		Dissecting Midland City out of Midland County is not in the best interest of the people.		
Szetela House	Dislike	This map is so wrong.	Anne Van Hulle	2021-12-28 05:59:47+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	This is not a good map. The slicing of Midland county is not in their best interest and will not meet their needs.	Anne Van Hulle	2021-12-28 05:52:29+00
Palm	Dislike	Midland county should remain whole!	Anne Van Hulle	2021-12-28 05:42:40+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Still a mostly RED response map, and for good reason. This map is what gerrymandering with contiguity, COI, and city/county looks like. Do not split up Midland from Midland County.	Dennis Quehl	2021-12-28 05:37:20+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Unfortunately, a bad ulterior motive behind this map. The only reason to split up Midland County from being contiguous, and having a better COI, is for the purpose of gerrymandering. Do not split up Midland County.	Dennis Quehl	2021-12-28 05:33:59+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This map is not good. it slices up Midland county which dilutes midland county voices	Anne Van Hulle	2021-12-28 05:33:30+00

Linden	Dislike	Another perfect example of gerrymandering. What is the purpose of slicing up contiguous Midland County and putting us with larger metro areas. Makes no sense.	Dennis Quehl	2021-12-28 05:30:43+00
Palm	Dislike	To all commissioners. Make note of all the RED. Slicing and dicing is what Gerrymandering is. This map has no COI and/or contiguity.	Dennis Quehl	2021-12-28 05:26:57+00
Chestnut	Dislike	for Midland County.	Marian Fitzgerald	2021-12-28 05:24:30+00
		Do NOT like chestnut		
		Again a perfect example of true gerrymandering. This map is a great example of commissioners slicing up COI, contiguity, and slicing up Midland County for political reasons.	Dennis Quehl	2021-12-28 05:24:30+00
Cherry V2	Dislike			
		This is NOT a map that joins /represents like communities. Representation voices the community's needs and isn't about political correctness or political advantage. Keep Midland City with Midland County with Gladwin County	R & B Keenan	2021-12-28 05:20:52+00
Linden	Dislike			

Lange Congressional	Like	Like Lange to keep Midland with Midland County and Gladwin county. Absolutely PERFECT example of gerry mandering. Take a city away from its joining county and stick us with a larger metropolitan city of which we have no similarities that are in the guidelaines given to the commissioners. That is why there sre so many dislikes!!!	Marian Fitzgerald	2021-12-28 05:20:39+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	USE COMMON SENSE! Representation voices the communityâ€™s needs and isnâ€™t about political correctness or political advantage. This IS NOT a map that joins like communities. Keep Midland City and Midland County together.	Dennis Quehl	2021-12-28 05:17:46+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	I attended both the Midland and Flint meetings. I believe it was made clear in those meetings the stark differences between Midland and Flint. We have nothing in common. Do not split up Midland County.	Rich & Brenda Keenan	2021-12-28 05:16:17+00
Chestnut	Dislike		Dennis Quehl	2021-12-28 05:14:46+00

Chestnut	Dislike	Fracturing Midland and sending half of the district to Flint makes no sense. Midland has nothing in common with Flint that meets any of the districting criteria given to the commissioners.	Mary J Quehl	2021-12-28 05:13:06+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map does not focus on the peoples needs in Midland and Gladwin counties. These counties should remain together.	Anne Van Hulle	2021-12-28 05:12:24+00
Szetela House	Dislike	USE COMMON SENSE! Representation voices the community's needs and isn't about political correctness or political advantage. This IS NOT a map that joins like communities.	R & B Keenan	2021-12-28 05:12:06+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Keep Midland city and county whole and with like communities. Representation voices the community's needs and isn't about political correctness or political advantage. This IS NOT a map that joins like communities. Use common sense.	R & B Keenan	2021-12-28 05:08:44+00

Hickory	Like	<p>It is noteworthy to note that there are very few dislikes in this district. Some of them are stating that Midland belongs with the larger metropolitan cities and not with Midland County. SO very wrong and actually against many of the guidelines for COI, Compactness, town and city boundaries, and contiguity. Please support this map and the citizens that overwhelming support this map.</p>	Dennis Quehl	2021-12-28 05:05:23+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>Keep Midland city and county whole and with like communities. Representation voices the community's needs and isn't about political correctness or political . This IS NOT a map that joins like communities. Please keep Midland County</p>	R&B Keenan	2021-12-28 05:05:04+00
Hickory	Like	<p>whole.</p>	Mary J Quehl	2021-12-28 05:01:40+00

Pine v5	Like	Keep Midland city and county whole and with like communities. Representation voices the community's needs and isn't about political correctness or political advantage. Use common sense.	R & B Keenan	2021-12-28 05:01:38+00
Magnolia	Like	Again. Commissioners, please note the overwhelming majority of Likes by the citizens of Midland County with this map. The likes are for a reason, and it meets most all the criteria set before the commissioners . Common sense map that keeps Midland County	Dennis Quehl	2021-12-28 04:58:26+00
Magnolia	Like	Whole!!!!	Mary J Quehl	2021-12-28 04:56:35+00
Chestnut	Dislike	dislike this map! horrible way to draw lines	Matt Smith	2021-12-28 04:56:33+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Keep Midland city and county with like communities. Representation voices the community's needs and isn't about political correctness or political advantage. Use common sense. This is not a map which represents like communities	R & B Keenan	2021-12-28 04:55:43+00

Kellom Senate	Dislike	Keep Midland city and county with like communities. Representation voices the community's needs and isn't about political correctness or political advantage. Use common sense. This is not a map which represents like communities	R & B Keenan	2021-12-28 04:52:57+00
Pine v5	Like	YEAH!!!! This map has made it to the final map. Please honor the requests of this County and keep us whole. This follows a majority of the guidelines given to the commission.	Dennis Quehl	2021-12-28 04:52:04+00
Palm	Dislike	Keep Midland city and county with like communities. Representation voices the community's needs and isn't about political correctness or political advantage. Use common sense. This is not a map which represents like communities	R & B Keenan	2021-12-28 04:51:10+00

Pine v5	Like	Still an overwhelming majority of citizens in this district that want to keep Midland County whole. Thanks for supporting us appropriately.	Mary J Quehl	2021-12-28 04:50:25+00
Chestnut	Dislike	strongly oppose this map	Matt Smith	2021-12-28 04:50:15+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Keep Midland city and county with like communities. Representation voices the community's needs and isn't about political correctness or political advantage. Use common sense. This is not a map which represents like communities	R& B Keenan	2021-12-28 04:49:34+00
Pine v5	Like	Thank you for keeping this map in the running for our district, and keeping Midland County whole. Thank you, Commissioners Lange, Wagner, and Clark, for having the willingness to make the correct decisions and go against the other maps that are so out of touch.	Mary J Quehl	2021-12-28 04:48:11+00
Lange Congressional	Like	like Lang map keeps Midland together and with Gladwin	Matthew Smith	2021-12-28 04:47:35+00

Lange Congressional	Like	Keep Midland city and county with like communities. Representation voices the community's needs and isn't about political correctness or political advantage. Use common sense. This is a map which represents like communities .	Rich & Brenda Keenan	2021-12-28 04:47:08+00
Pine v5	Like	I noticed that the overwhelming majority of comments on this map that keeps Midland County whole is LIKES and very few dislikes. Please consider the reasoning given by the citizens and keep Midland County whole.	Dennis Quehl	2021-12-28 04:45:24+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map IS NOT keeping Midland city and county with like communities. Representation voices the community's needs and isn't about political correctness or political advantage. Use common sense. This is not a map which represents like communities.	R & B Keenan	2021-12-28 04:42:59+00

Lange Senate correct	Like	I am asking the commissioners to support this Lange senate map. It truly represents the County as a whole, and follows the reccomendations given to the commissioners in regards to contiguity, city and town boundaries,, and COI.	Dennis Quehl	2021-12-28 04:42:14+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Keep Midland city and county with like communities. Representation voices the communityâ€™s needs and isnâ€™t about political correctness or political advantage. Use common sense. This is not a map which represents like communities	R&B Keenan	2021-12-28 04:39:45+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	Again as in the congressional map. Our district has a significant majority of citizens that support this map. Very few dislikes. Midland County needs to be kept whole and connected to Gladwin	Mary J Quehl	2021-12-28 04:39:38+00

Lange Congressional	Like	I still see mostly all green likes on this map, which is a clear sign that the residents of Midland and Gladwin Counties, and the city of Midland approve of this map. Hopefully the commissioners will listen to these Likes and approve of this map for our district.	Mary J Quehl	2021-12-28 04:35:30+00
Lange Congressional	Like	This is still the only congressional map that keeps the city of Midland together with Midland and Gladwin County. Thus, it meets the requirement given to the commission to not separate cities and their adjacent counties, our linked COI, Contiguity, and compactness. Representation voices the community's needs and isn't about political correctness or political advantage. Use common sense.	Dennis Quehl	2021-12-28 04:31:20+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike		Johanna I Clarke	2021-12-28 04:26:05+00
Magnolia	Like	Terrible option	Rich & Brenda Keenan	2021-12-28 04:23:31+00
Chestnut	Dislike		Johanna I Clarke	2021-12-28 04:23:31+00

Magnolia	Like	with like communities. Representation voices the community's needs and isn't about political correctness or political advantage. Use common sense.	Rich & Brenda Keenan	2021-12-28 04:22:28+00
Lange Congressional	Like	This is the best map that represents Midland and Gladwin counties.	Johanna I Clarke	2021-12-28 04:21:19+00
Magnolia	Like	I favor this map because out of all proposed maps for State Senate, State House / US Congress it does a pretty good job balancing the respect for the shared values of the communities of interest, and for the voting rights act, while avoiding gerrymandering	Matthew Dumitrescu	2021-12-28 04:18:57+00
Palm	Like	I favor this map because out of all proposed maps for State Senate, State House / US Congress it does a pretty good job balancing the respect for the shared values of the communities of interest, and for the voting rights act, while avoiding gerrymandering	Matthew Dumitrescu	2021-12-28 04:18:36+00

Birch V2	Like	I favor this map because out of all proposed maps for State Senate, State House / US Congress it does a pretty good job balancing the respect for the shared values of the communities of interest, and for the voting rights act, while avoiding gerrymandering	Matthew Dumitrescu	2021-12-28 04:17:11+00
Birch V2	Like	I favor this map because out of all proposed maps for State Senate, State House / US Congress it does a pretty good job balancing the respect for the shared values of the communities of interest, and for the voting rights act, while avoiding gerrymandering	Matthew Dumitrescu	2021-12-28 04:16:25+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Please keep Midland City with Midland County	Gaye Terwillegar	2021-12-28 04:16:09+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Please keep Midland City with county!	Gaye Terwillegar	2021-12-28 04:14:13+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	Keep Midland Cty with like communities. Representation voices the community's needs and isn't about political correctness or political advantage. Use common sense.	Rich & Brenda Keenan	2021-12-28 04:08:50+00

Lange Senate correct	Like	Keep Midland County with like communities. Use common sense. This is about community representation not political correctness or party advantage.	Rich&Brenda Keenan	2021-12-28 04:02:12+00
Szetela House	Dislike	This map is the most egregious of all the maps- totally unacceptable!!! Do not slice out the city of Midland for your Gerrymandering purposes-	Gaye Terwillegar	2021-12-28 03:59:02+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	not acceptable. Stop slicing up Midland	Gaye Terwillegar	2021-12-28 03:54:33+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	County!!!	Gaye Terwillegar	2021-12-28 03:52:04+00
Linden	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole!!!	Gaye Terwillegar	2021-12-28 03:49:15+00
Palm	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole!!!	Gaye Terwillegar	2021-12-28 03:46:21+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Keep Midland County with like communities. That representative will have the voice of people who live and work and associate everyday. Knowing the needs particular to that small area of Michigan. Use common sense not political advantage.	Rich & Brenda Keenan	2021-12-28 03:45:46+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Do not slice up Midland County- unacceptable	Gaye Terwillegar	2021-12-28 03:43:27+00

		Not acceptable to slice out the city of Midland separate from the rest of the county, bad for rebuilding dams, future flood mitigation, healthcare system, economics. Totally fractures our community.		
Szetela Congressional Hickory	Dislike Like	Midland County whole Keep Midland city and Midland county together	Gaye Terwillegar Gaye Terwillegar	2021-12-28 03:39:58+00 2021-12-28 03:32:34+00
Lange Congressional	Like		Brent Rhoads	2021-12-28 03:29:24+00

Midland City and Midland and Gladwin County's must be kept together.

1. Midland City with Midland county are helping Beaverton School District with training for special needs Children with autism. Beaverton school's and Gladwin county do not have the funding for training and are struggling to strat programs
2. Rebuilding all for Lake is a Financial must for Gladwin County it will break bankrupt us with the loss of tax revenue I believe. We are One community with separate legal discretions.
3. Midland county along with Midland city took our flood debris from the 2020 breach and floating At no charge and no sorting huge just huge savings for this whole area. This is a prime example of how we are One community was with legal boundary

Lange Congressional Pine v5	Like	separating us only on paper.	Tim Mester	2021-12-28 03:27:12+00
Birch V2	Like	Midland County whole	Gaye Terwillegar	2021-12-28 03:25:54+00
	Like	Yes on Birch for downriver	Carmela Langley	2021-12-28 03:21:44+00
Birch V2	Like	The Birch plan is the best of proposed plans. Please select the Birch plan. Thank you.	Hank Vaupel	2021-12-28 03:05:13+00

Palm	Like	<p>Palm is most consistent with Communities of Interest.</p> <p>Please select Palm</p> <p>The Lang map is the only acceptable congressional map to guarantee proven leadership to guide rebuilding of our Midland Gladwin County dams as well as protection from future flood mitigation.</p>	Hank Vaupel	2021-12-28 02:54:10+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>Magnolia is the most consistent with communities of interest of all the maps.</p>	Gaye Terwilligar	2021-12-28 02:45:15+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>For District 7, I like that the Oakland and Wayne County residents and State Reps will need to talk to one another, as they actually do, to seek solutions. Hickory is a good combination of similar interested communities on both sides of 8 Mile.</p>	Hank Vaupel	2021-12-28 02:44:35+00
Hickory	Like	<p>No. As separately commented on other maps, it is a distortion &amp; misrepresentation to slice &amp; dice Midland County to districts to the South. Keep Midland whole, as it has been and as proposed under the Lange Map with Gladwin and counties to the North &amp; West.</p>	Dave Cottrill	2021-12-28 02:44:06+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike		Todd Cassiday	2021-12-28 02:39:17+00

Hickory	Like	Keep Midland County and City together. This is a good map.	Chris Moultrup	2021-12-28 02:37:43+00
Magnolia	Like	This map keeps Midland County and City together, as they should be.	Chris Moultrup	2021-12-28 02:36:23+00
Pine v5	Like	This map has the least amount of gerrymandering for our district.	Chris Moultrup	2021-12-28 02:35:23+00
Szetela House	Dislike	No. As indicated supporting the Lange Map and disapproving of the Chestnut Map, a skewed & sliced/diced Midland county makes no sense in best representing residents & businesses. Midland needs to be unpacked. This is the worst of the worst of all the maps. Please do not consider this map.	Todd Cassiday	2021-12-28 02:34:24+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike		Nomi joyrich	2021-12-28 02:34:17+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	This map follows county lines as much as possible and keeps communities of interest together, as they should be.	Chris Moultrup	2021-12-28 02:34:01+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	this is the worst map. This map makes it likely Republicans win most seats even when Democrats get most votes. To say this map is horrible is an understatement.	Nomi joyrich	2021-12-28 02:32:54+00

Apple V2	Dislike	The City of Midland needs to remain with the County of Midland. We are one community of interest.	Chris Moultrup	2021-12-28 02:32:46+00
Birch V2	Dislike	The City of Midland needs to remain with the County of Midland. We are one community of interest.	Chris Moultrup	2021-12-28 02:31:41+00
Apple V2	Dislike	this is the most unfair and worst of the maps. No partisan fairness at all. Dismiss this map. No. A slice & dice of Midland County as in the Chestnut map (and other maps similarly splitting Midland from alignment with Clare & Gladwin) distorts representation of residents. With a century plus of family linkage & residencies & interface in both Midland & Gladwin, there is no support distorting local national representation as shown by the Chesnut map.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-28 02:31:28+00
Chestnut	Dislike		Todd Cassiday	2021-12-28 02:30:55+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map makes it likely Republicans win most seats even when Democrats get most votes. This map is awful. Not quite as bad as Lange, but still pretty horrible.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-28 02:30:48+00

Szetela Senate	Dislike	The City of Midland needs to remain with the County of Midland. We are one community of interest.	Chris Moultrup	2021-12-28 02:30:10+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	The City of Midland needs to remain with the County of Midland. We are one community of interest.	Chris Moultrup	2021-12-28 02:29:25+00
Chestnut	Like	thanks for unpacking Midland and making a competitive district. This map does a good job at being truly non-partisan.	Nomi joyrich	2021-12-28 02:29:09+00
Linden	Dislike	The City of Midland needs to remain with the County of Midland. We are one community of interest.	Chris Moultrup	2021-12-28 02:28:44+00
Chestnut	Like	This map is pretty good, but not as fair as Szetela or Birch. Some analysis show this has good partisan fairness, but PTV says this still gives more seats to the party that has the fewest votes.  â€¢2 Voting Rights Act districts â€¢Protects the most Communities of Interest in Detroit	Nomi joyrich	2021-12-28 02:28:00+00

Palm	Dislike	This map removes the City of Midland from the County. We need to remain in tact as we are one community of interest.	Chris Moultrup	2021-12-28 02:27:27+00
Birch V2	Like	thanks for unpacking Midland and making it a competitive district.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-28 02:26:17+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	The City and County of Midland need to remain in place, together.	Chris Moultrup	2021-12-28 02:25:50+00
		this is the most fair of the Congressional tree maps, but not as fair as Szetela		
		Some analysis show this has good partisan fairness, but PTV says this still gives more seats to the party that has the fewest votes.		
		â€¢ Voting Rights Act districts		
		â€¢ Protects the most Communities of Interest in Detroit		
		â€¢ Comes the closest to having the same number of voters in each district.		
Birch V2	Like		Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-28 02:25:47+00
		This is not quite as good as Szetela, but its a great map. Very fair. Honestly there is nothing bad to say about this		
Birch V2	Like	map.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-28 02:24:52+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike  
This map separates the County Seat from the Count. Leave the City of Midland with the County of Midland. We are one community of interest.  
Chris Moultrup  
2021-12-28 02:24:25+00

this is the most fair of the Congressional tree maps, but not as fair as Szetela  
Some analysis show this has good partisan fairness, but PTV says this still gives more seats to the party that has the fewest votes.  
â€¢ Voting Rights Act districts  
â€¢ Protects the most Communities of Interest in Detroit  
â€¢ Comes the closest to having the same number of voters in each district.

Birch V2 Like  
Nomi Joyrich  
2021-12-28 02:22:40+00

Szetela Congressional Like  
This is the only map that has partisan fairness. This map is fabulous. Please adopt this one!  
Nomi Joyrich  
2021-12-28 02:20:37+00

thank you for combining the best parts of Chestnut and Birch. This is the fairest map. On both PlanScore and PTV's Michigan-specific model, it gets close to a 0% Efficiency Gap. This is THE ONLY map that shows true partisan fairness, adequately addressed COI and establishes Voting Rights districts

Szetela Congressional Like

Nomi Joyrich

2021-12-28 02:19:05+00

Representation of residents of Midland & Gladwin counties is clearly best by retaining both counties holistic in the MI district mapping for the US Congress Reps. Carving off part/all Midland to part of a voting district South/East would disenfranchise businesses and residents.

Lange Congressional Like

Todd Cassiday

2021-12-28 02:18:57+00

I am in favor of the Birch Map because it keeps the Livingston County communities together. Thank you.

Birch V2

Like

Leslie C Baker

2021-12-28 02:18:32+00

Hickory	Dislike	Please reject this map. It is not fair and does not represent my communities of interest. Livingston County should not be lumped in with Washtenaw. Bad Map!	TJQ	2021-12-28 02:18:29+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	thank you for combining the best parts of Chestnut and Birch. This is the fairest map. On both PlanScore and PTV's Michigan-specific model, it gets close to a 0% Efficiency Gap. This is THE ONLY map that shows true partisan fairness, adequately addressed COI and establishes Voting Rights districts	Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-28 02:17:28+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Please reject this map. It is not fair and does not represent my communities of interest.	TJQ	2021-12-28 02:14:23+00
Palm	Like	I am in favor of the Palm Map because it keeps my community and Communities of Interest in tact. Please approve the Palm Map. Thank you.	Leslie C Baker	2021-12-28 02:13:26+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	Midland needs to be unpacked and turned into a competitive district. This map is horrible.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-28 02:12:56+00

Linden	Dislike	Please reject this map. It is not fair and does not represent my communities of interest.	TJQ	2021-12-28 02:12:41+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	This map creates no Minority-Majority districts and has a terrible partisan fairness score. This map is horrible. It will virtually guarantee majorities by the party that receives the fewest votes. Please reject this map. It is not fair and does not represent my communities of interest.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-28 02:11:59+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This is pretty much the worst of all the maps. No partisan fairness whatsoever.	TJQ	2021-12-28 02:10:52+00
Palm	Dislike	Please reject this map. It is not fair and does not represent my communities of interest.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-28 02:10:31+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This map packs Democrats in Washtenaw County. While all the maps give an unfair advantage to Republicans, this one is the worst. This map looks like it was designed to guarantee Republican majorities. Does not come close to being fair.	TJQ	2021-12-28 02:09:00+00
Palm	Dislike		Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-28 02:08:56+00

I support the Magnolia Map because it keeps the Brighton area together and this very important to me. I live in Genoa Twp, yet have a Brighton mailing address and we rely on the city and township of Brighton for critical services. Plus, the city of Brighton and the surrounding area is my community of interest. I go to Brighton all the time; it's only a few miles from where I live. We have nothing in common with Ann Arbor. Again, I am very much in favor of

Magnolia	Like	Magnolia! Thank you.	Leslie C Baker	2021-12-28 02:08:03+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	This map removes the City of Midland from the County. As the County Seat, the City should be included with its community of interest.	Chris Moultrup	2021-12-28 02:07:59+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Please reject this map. It is not fair and does not represent my communities of interest.	TJQ	2021-12-28 02:07:26+00
Kellom Senate	Opinion	Thank you for creating a competitive district in the Midland area.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-28 02:06:45+00

Chestnut	Dislike	Please reject this map. It is not fair and does not represent my communities of interest.	TJQ	2021-12-28 02:06:22+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This map creates the most majority-minority districts. But it has a terrible partisan fairness score and will virtually guarantee victories by the party which receives the fewest votes.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-28 02:06:15+00
Szetela House	Dislike	This is the most gerrymandered map proposed and needs to be eliminated. There should be no district in this shape in any map that moves forward.	Chris Moultrup	2021-12-28 02:05:33+00
Szetela Senate	Like	Love that this map creates a competitive district in the Midland area.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-28 02:04:45+00
Magnolia	Like	This is my preferred map. It is the most fair and it best represents the communities of interest.	TJQ	2021-12-28 02:04:10+00
Szetela Senate	Opinion	This map does a good job creating VRA's, especially in Detroit. But this map does not have partisan fairness.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-28 02:03:56+00
Lange Congressional	Like	This map best represents the interests of citizens in Midland and Gladwin Counties and needs to be implemented.	Chris Moultrup	2021-12-28 02:02:46+00

Palm	Like	This is my preferred map. It is the most fair and it best represents the communities of interest.	TJQ	2021-12-28 02:02:37+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map does not represent the community of interest for Midland. The City and County need to remain together.	Chris Moultrup	2021-12-28 02:00:27+00
Birch V2	Like	This is my preferred map. It is the most fair and it best represents the communities of interest.	TJQ	2021-12-28 01:56:46+00
Cherry V2	Like	There is no inherent value to keeping Midland together. Many municipalities are split up in every map. What is important is that this map comes close to partisan fairness while also addressing needs of COI. Good map. (Linden is still a little better.)	Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-28 01:56:38+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map has too much gerrymandering that splits of similar geographic regions and combines others that have little in common.	John Blackson	2021-12-28 01:55:58+00

This is not quite as good as Linden, but is a very good option. This is one of the most fair maps. It still favors one party, but comes closer to partisan fairness.

Maps that are less unfair, are still unfair. We want maps that are actually fair.

â€¢ Voting Rights Act districts

â€¢ Does well with Communities of Interest

Cherry V2

Like

Nomi Joyrich

2021-12-28 01:55:15+00

I am strongly opposed to the current Hickory map. I was opposed to it early on, and I'm very disappointed to see what's being proposed. I do not want to be associated with Ann Arbor in any way. Although I graduated from The University of Michigan, I have very little in common with the residents of Ann Arbor and rarely go there. They're interests and lifestyles are very different from mine and most of us who live in Livingston County. Please do not clump Genoa and Hamburg in with Ann Arbor. We're on two different ends of the spectrum. The City of Brighton, the township of Brighton, Genoa, Green Oak, and Hamburg should stay together. Please reconsider the current Hickory map and allow the Brighton area to remain intact.

Hickory

Dislike

Leslie C Baker

2021-12-28 01:52:57+00

		This map does not appear fair and it does not represent my communities of interest. Combining Livingston and Washtenaw is totally at odds with communities of interest.		
Hickory	Dislike	Total disaster!	CQ	2021-12-28 01:52:49+00
		This map does not connect areas of interest and appears to intentionally chop the state up to satisfy some special		
Chestnut	Dislike	interest.	John Blackson	2021-12-28 01:52:39+00
		This map does not appear fair and it does not represent my communities of interest.		
Pine v5	Dislike		CQ	2021-12-28 01:50:18+00
		This map does not appear fair and it does not represent my communities of interest.		
Linden	Dislike		CQ	2021-12-28 01:49:19+00
		This map does not appear fair and it does not represent my communities of interest.		
Cherry V2	Dislike		CQ	2021-12-28 01:48:29+00
		This map does not appear fair and it does not represent my communities of interest.		
Lange Congressional	Dislike		CQ	2021-12-28 01:47:17+00
		This map does not appear fair and it does not represent my communities of interest.		
Apple V2	Dislike		CQ	2021-12-28 01:46:29+00

Chestnut	Dislike	This map does not appear fair and it does not represent my communities of interest.	CQ	2021-12-28 01:45:28+00
Linden	Like	This map is the most fair of those proposed and best achieves the will of Michigan voters to have fair and competitive voting districts.	Robyn O'Keefe	2021-12-28 01:44:39+00
Linden	Like	This map is the most fair of those proposed and best achieves the will of Michigan voters to have fair and competitive voting districts.	Robyn O'Keefe	2021-12-28 01:44:38+00
Birch V2	Like	This map is fair to the most voters.	Julie Morris	2021-12-28 01:43:26+00
Magnolia	Like	This map has a fair representation of voters and reflects the communities of interest.	CQ	2021-12-28 01:42:29+00
Palm	Like	This map has a fair representation of voters and reflects the communities of interest.	CQ	2021-12-28 01:39:38+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Still has a lot of gerrymandering but better than most.	John Henry Blackson	2021-12-28 01:39:07+00
Birch V2	Like	This map has a fair representation of voters and reflects the communities of interest.	CQ	2021-12-28 01:30:36+00

		As with other comments, this map splits up portions of Midland Co with portions of other counties which I do not support,		
Chestnut	Dislike		Robert A Rankey	2021-12-28 01:23:20+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	I do not support this map	E Manley	2021-12-28 00:57:22+00
Chestnut	Dislike	I do not support this map	E Manley	2021-12-28 00:52:48+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Keep Midland whole and connected to similar counties	E Manley	2021-12-28 00:47:36+00
Lange Congressional	Like	I support the Lange map since it keeps those in Midland and Gladwin counties together on one Congressional District of representation, which would greatly aid in our collective efforts to overcome the 2020 flood damage to our communities.	Eric Dorrien	2021-12-28 00:45:51+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Wayne county cities be with other Wayne county cities. there is no inherent value in keeping Midland together. Many municipalities are divided in all the various maps. Linden is the best of all the senate maps. Please	Nancy	2021-12-28 00:42:22+00
Linden	Like	adopt Linden.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-28 00:40:06+00

Linden	Like	<p>This is the absolute best of the Senate maps. This comes the closest to partisan fairness and does the best job keeping all the districts with the same number of voters.</p>	Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-28 00:38:38+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>Many cities get split up in every proposed map. There is no inherent value in keeping Midland whole. This map has absolutely no partisan fairness. This is the absolute worst of all the proposed maps. Dismiss this map.</p> <p>Thanks for all your hard work.</p>	Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-28 00:36:32+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>Most unfair.</p> <p>â€œPlease Keep Working!</p> <p>There are no fair house maps to choose from!</p> <p>â€œEach proposed House map gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters!</p> <p>No MI House map addresses the concerns heard from Detroit voters about being able to elect their own candidates.</p> <p>This is the most unfair of the House maps.</p>	Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-28 00:35:04+00

Separating Coe Twp from the rest of Isabella County is certainly gerrymandering. This map divides some counties and not others, but no township should stand alone. Local governments of Coe Twp (twp and village) work and partner with the County and other townships. Residents of Coe Twp work and shop in Isabella County. Coe Township does not routinely work/partner with Midland County governments. Coe Township should not be separated from Isabella County.

Szetela House

Dislike

Mary Kay Maas

2021-12-28 00:33:54+00

Most unfair  
 â€¢Please Keep Working!  
 There are no fair house maps  
 to choose from!  
 â€¢Each proposed House map  
 gives the majority of seats to  
 the minority of voters!  
 â€¢No MI House map  
 addresses the concerns heard  
 from Detroit voters about  
 being able to elect their own  
 candidates  
 â€¢This is the most unfair of  
 the House maps.

Magnolia	Dislike		Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-28 00:33:06+00
Birch V2	Like	I believe that Birch V2 is the fairest choice.	Carol Ann Chisholm	2021-12-28 00:28:21+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	these maps are unfair. It takes the County of Wayne and breaks it in different pieces.	Nancy	2021-12-28 00:25:00+00
Linden	Like	This makes more sense than the present arrangement. At least we are aligned with others along the Ohio line.	ann crimmis	2021-12-28 00:22:51+00
Hickory	Like	this seems to be the fairest distribution	Mary Cooney	2021-12-28 00:19:51+00
Linden	Like	This appears to be the fairest distribution	Mary Cooney	2021-12-28 00:17:42+00
Chestnut	Like	Chestnut seems fair.	ann crimmis	2021-12-28 00:16:04+00

		<p>Out of the five maps, this map seems to have the best communities of interest for District 10. With local government, Eastpointe, Roseville, Fraser, St. Clair Shores, Clinton Township, Mount Clemens, and Warren (only part of the City in this map) often collaborate and interact. Ferndale, Royal Oak, Huntington Woods, Berkley, Oak Park, Clawson, and others are similarly sized and comparable communities in Oakland County. The other maps have communities further north that have less in common.</p>		
Birch V2	Like		Cardi DeMonaco Jr	2021-12-28 00:14:51+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This appears to be the fairest distributiion. Wayne county cities should be be grouped together, not split apart. County cities have similar issues.</p>	Mary Cooney	2021-12-28 00:11:22+00
Apple V2	Like		Nancy	2021-12-28 00:05:55+00
Hickory	Like	<p>I support this map for keeping Novi mostly together with a few other neighboring communities to the east and west with which the city shares common interests.</p>	Ronald Kumon	2021-12-28 00:03:47+00

Hickory	Like	I like this map as it keeps Midland County whole	Brenda Guest	2021-12-28 00:02:58+00
Magnolia	Like	I like the Magnolia Map a because it keeps Midland County whole.	Brenda Guest	2021-12-28 00:01:17+00
Birch V2	Like	wayne county cities should be be grouped together. Counties cities have similar issue.	Nancy	2021-12-28 00:00:40+00
Pine v5	Like	This map keeps the Midland County whole - As It Should Be	Brenda Guest	2021-12-27 23:59:51+00
Palm	Dislike	This map seems more gerrymandered as compared to the other proposed maps.	Ronald Kumon	2021-12-27 23:56:40+00

Stop The Pluck! The Szetela Statehouse Map - The "Robot Arm Map" is the worst of the bad choices. How dare you politicians responsible for textbook Gerrymandering claim to "represent the people!" The City of Midland has always been connected to Midland County and must stay connected. Midland County has always been connected with Gladwin and must stay connected. Midland has nothing in common with Flint. Flint's issues are not Midland's issues. Midland and Gladwin are still working TOGETHER to overcome major hardships brought on by flooding and the breaching of dams. The City of Midland and Midland County deserve REPRESENTATION. We Voters

Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Will Not Forget.	Brenda Guest	2021-12-27 23:56:28+00
Linden	Like	I support this map for Novi and surrounding communities.	Ronald Kumon	2021-12-27 23:53:17+00
Chestnut	Dislike	wayne county cities should be grouped together not apart	Nancy	2021-12-27 23:49:23+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>I support this map as it keeps together communities of interest like South Lyon, Wixom, Novi, Farmington Hills, and Farmington along with many other communities in Oakland County.</p>	Ronald	2021-12-27 23:41:55+00
		<p>No! This map is unacceptable to Midland and her people. Stop the Gerrymandering. The City of Midland and the townships of Midland County should always be together. The City of Midland is the county seat there is nothing about this that makes sense except the benefit of Gerrymandering to the democrats. The city and the townships MUST stay together.</p>		
Chestnut	Dislike		Brenda Guest	2021-12-27 23:37:56+00
		<p>Stongly opposed to the Szetela House Map. The City of Midland must be connected to Midland County and Midland County connected to Gladwin county as per the Lange Map.</p>		
Szetela House	Dislike		Martha Magurno	2021-12-27 23:35:13+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike		Strongly opposed to the Szetela Map. The city of Midland must be connected to Midland County and Midland County connected to Gladwin County as per the Lange map.	Martha Magurno	2021-12-27 23:31:58+00
Chestnut	Dislike	I do not support this map. This map is the definition of "Gerrymandering" by adding a strange extension to split up Midland County. Please keep Midland County together.	Richard Cesaretti	2021-12-27 23:29:39+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Strongly opposed to the Chestnut map. The city of Midland must be connected to the Midland County and Midland County connected to Gladwin County as per the Lange map.	Martha Magurno	2021-12-27 23:26:03+00
Chestnut	Dislike	I DO NOT support this map! Keep Midland County together	Richard Cesaretti	2021-12-27 23:25:27+00
Lange Congressional Like		This is the only reasonable map. Keep the City of Midland, Midland County and Gladwin together!	Richard Cesaretti	2021-12-27 23:20:21+00
Lange Congressional Like		Midland County belongs with Gladwin. This is the only map that makes sense.	Martha Magurno	2021-12-27 23:16:15+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Bad idea.	Brad Blasy	2021-12-27 23:14:11+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Bad idea.	Brad Blasy	2021-12-27 23:13:21+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Bad idea.	Brad Blasy	2021-12-27 23:12:04+00

Szetela Senate	Dislike	Bad idea.	Brad Blasy	2021-12-27 23:10:45+00
Kellom Senate	Like	Bad idea.	Brad Blasy	2021-12-27 23:09:53+00
Linden	Dislike	Bad idea.	Brad Blasy	2021-12-27 23:09:18+00
Palm	Dislike	Bad idea.	Brad Blasy	2021-12-27 23:08:30+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Bad idea.	Brad Blasy	2021-12-27 23:07:45+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Bad idea. best of the michigan senate	Brad Blasy	2021-12-27 23:05:39+00
Linden	Like	maps.	Miriam Meisler	2021-12-27 23:05:39+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Bad idea. This map is reasonably fair and the best one proposed	Brad Blasy	2021-12-27 23:03:59+00
Birch V2	Like	for this purpose Not getting the job done with	Miriam Meisler	2021-12-27 23:03:36+00
Apple V2	Dislike	this map	MARGARET M GILLEAN	2021-12-27 22:48:16+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Not liking this map	MARGARET M GILLEAN	2021-12-27 22:47:30+00
Szetela House	Dislike	dislike this map Midland Republicans do NOT use SPAM we do NOT accept	MARGARET M GILLEAN	2021-12-27 22:46:47+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	this map NOT good enough for our	MARGARET M GILLEAN	2021-12-27 22:44:53+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	people Midland County should not be	MARGARET M GILLEAN	2021-12-27 22:43:28+00
Chestnut	Dislike	divided. Need Midland and Gladwin	Susan M Zerull	2021-12-27 22:43:05+00
Linden	Dislike	together Midland and Gladwin must	MARGARET M GILLEAN	2021-12-27 22:42:25+00
Lange Congressional	Like	stay together.	Susan M Zerull	2021-12-27 22:41:41+00
Palm	Dislike	No Way not the one we need Not working for us in Midland	MARGARET M GILLEAN	2021-12-27 22:40:21+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	and Gladwin	MARGARET M GILLEAN	2021-12-27 22:38:25+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Don't do this one not working for us in this	MARGARET M GILLEAN	2021-12-27 22:37:17+00
Chestnut	Dislike	district	MARGARET M GILLEAN	2021-12-27 22:36:25+00

Lange Senate correct	Like	Liked Lange Senate Corrected choice	MARGARET M GILLEAN	2021-12-27 22:34:50+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Midland and Gladwin counties must stay together, we are linked by culture, industry, history, water shed plain and families.	MARGARET M GILLEAN	2021-12-27 22:25:52+00
Szetela House	Dislike	This is not the worst of the House maps, but it would result in an election that does not reflect the way we vote. While I don't agree with these maps, I do believe that this map (Hickory) is possibly the closest to something like "fair?"	Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-27 22:19:24+00
Hickory	Like		Gina Favors	2021-12-27 22:17:57+00

		Thank you for having the willingness to unpack voters here in Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti and increase their representation rather than pack them together into 1 district. Rural residents may not like this, but they are less in total votes and population and as a result the maps should value partisan fairness over packing cities away from rural areas. Sorry to Jackson County residents, but you are part of creating a map that values partisan fairness over urban/rural divides.		
Szetela Senate	Like	This is the best of all the proposed House maps	Sean M McCormick	2021-12-27 22:15:20+00
Hickory	Like	I prefer this map	Nomi Joyrich	2021-12-27 22:14:16+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	I prefer this map	Patrick Smith	2021-12-27 22:14:07+00
Lange Congressional	Like	I prefer this map	Patrick Smith	2021-12-27 22:12:56+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map is unacceptable Keep Midland City with midland County	Patrick Smith	2021-12-27 22:11:27+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map is unacceptable	Brad Morse	2021-12-27 22:10:20+00
Birch V2	Dislike	The Downriver Community should stay together and have a representative knowledgeable about our shared issues. The the Birch map isn't perfect, but it is the best option.	Patrick SMith	2021-12-27 22:09:52+00
Birch V2	Like		Brian Kelly	2021-12-27 22:09:31+00

Once again, so many Midland Republicans are spamming the comments section to ensure watershed connections when in reality the Midland area, and the state senate under this map, will be unfairly biased towards Republicans. As a dissenting voice of these people, I hope Midland and many other areas are part of competitive districts that ensure partisan fairness and that the commission ultimately values this over watershed connections and county lines. While those are important, we need to ensure that the lines overall represent the people who vote.

Lange Senate correct  
Szetela House Dislike

Sean M McCormick  
Patrick Smith

2021-12-27 22:08:56+00  
2021-12-27 22:08:39+00

This map is unacceptable  
Please do NOT choose this  
map. It has fewer voting  
rights act 50% districts than  
Hickory.

Pine v5 Dislike

Carole Murphy

2021-12-27 22:08:07+00

Chestnut	Dislike	<p>The Downriver Community should stay together and have a representative knowledgeable about our shared issues. The Chestnut map fails to do this. The Birch map does a much better job.</p>	Brian Kelly	2021-12-27 22:08:01+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>Thank you for following the goals of the commission and of the constitutional amendment - trying to create the fairest maps possible. Grand Rapids and Muskegon have similar concerns - a higher density of minority populations, businesses along I-96, and are even considered (along with Kentwood) a combined statistical area by the federal government. This will allow voters in urban areas of West Michigan to have more accurate representation in Washington. This map is also balanced politically, which I appreciate, to allow voters to have their voices heard.</p>	Sarah Woolsey	2021-12-27 22:07:22+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	<p>This map is unacceptable</p>	Patrick Smith	2021-12-27 22:06:39+00

Linden Like Hickory is my preference ,but Linden does promote a fair representation of voters. Please do not select Palm. Thank you for your service to Michigan voters. Karlyn Rapport 2021-12-27 22:06:03+00

Once again, so many Midland Republicans are spamming the comments section to ensure watershed connections when in reality the Midland area, and the state senate under this map, will be in a competitive district under a map that has less biased based on who won statewide in the last several elections. As a dissenting voice of these people, I hope Midland and many other areas are part of competitive districts that ensure partisan fairness and that the commission ultimately values this over watershed connections and county lines. While those are important, we need to ensure that the lines overall represent the people who vote.

Szetela Senate Like  
Chestnut Dislike This map is unacceptable Sean M McCormick Patrick Smith 2021-12-27 22:05:50+00 2021-12-27 22:05:15+00

		<p>This map dilutes the voices of the largest minority population in West Michigan. Grand Rapids is the second largest city in Michigan and the voters in the metro area will be overshadowed by rural areas that have different needs than the metro area. We also repeatedly heard during public hearings in West Michigan that citizens in rural Ottawa County did not want to be combined with the Grand Rapids metro area. This map is also unfair from a partisan perspective. I urge the commission to reject this map.</p>		
Birch V2	Dislike		Sarah Woolsey	2021-12-27 22:04:15+00
Hickory	Like	This is my preferred map	Patrick Smith	2021-12-27 22:02:47+00
		<p>Please do NOT use this map. It has the worst partisan fairness balance of all of the proposed house maps. Hickory, even though also not balanced, is better than this. Midland county needs to stay whole.</p>		
Magnolia	Dislike		Carole Murphy	2021-12-27 22:02:46+00
Chestnut	Dislike		Tracy Draves	2021-12-27 22:02:08+00

Once again, so many Midland Republicans are spamming the comments section to ensure watershed connections when in reality the Midland area, and the state senate under this map, will be unfairly biased towards Republicans. As a dissenting voice of these people, I hope Midland and many other areas are part of competitive districts that ensure partisan fairness and that the commission ultimately values this over watershed connections and county lines. While those are important, we need to ensure that the lines overall represent the people who vote.

Szetela Senate

Dislike

Sean M McCormick

2021-12-27 22:02:06+00

Novi should be kept in a district with other neighboring communities in Oakland County as these are the communities with which it has the most shared interests.

Chestnut

Dislike

Ronald Kumon

2021-12-27 22:01:11+00

Lange Congressional	Like	<p>Leave City of Midland, Midland County and Gladwin County together as one District as they share many commonalities, hospitals, banks, watershed, etc.</p> <p>I am concerned about this map not representing minority communities in West Michigan. Minority populations are disenfranchised by this map, connecting the Grand Rapids metro area with unrelated rural communities. The big difference in urban and rural needs won't allow for any of us to have fair and effective representation in DC.</p>	Aloysius Joseph Oliver	2021-12-27 22:00:44+00
Birch V2	Dislike		Lauren Woolsey	2021-12-27 22:00:40+00
Magnolia	Like	This is my preferred map	Patrick Smith	2021-12-27 22:00:15+00
Pine v5	Like	This is my preferred map	Patrick Smith	2021-12-27 21:58:25+00
Lange Congressional	Like	PLEASE keep Midland city with Midland County. This is the ONLY map that makes sense!!	Mary Ann Wandor	2021-12-27 21:57:10+00

Szetela House	Dislike	I am deeply concerned about this map in the Grand Rapids metro area. It disregards similar communities - Eastown has a distinct culture that is different from the western part of the county, Cascade includes the Grand Rapids airport and utilizes city water and sewer services, and the Hispanic communities in Grand Rapids and Wyoming are split. This map does not represent our area well.	Sarah Woolsey	2021-12-27 21:55:32+00
Chestnut	Dislike	We do not belong with Genesse county.	Tracy Draves	2021-12-27 21:53:28+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	This is my preferred map This is a good map overall. Thank you for keeping communities of interest together. The areas of the Grand Rapids metro that share common needs and services are put together in districts for this map, rather than with rural areas. This will give me and my neighbors fairer representation in Lansing.	Patrick Smith	2021-12-27 21:53:13+00
Hickory	Like	Lansing.	Lauren Woolsey	2021-12-27 21:51:54+00
Lange Congressional	Like	This is my preferred map	Patrick Smith	2021-12-27 21:51:30+00

Lange Congressional	Like	Keep Midland together!! This makes the most sense to keep Midland County as a whole and not separate it.	Jennifer Anway	2021-12-27 21:51:17+00
Hickory	Like	This map designated as Hickory seems the most fair	Karlynn Rapport	2021-12-27 21:48:29+00
Lange Congressional	Like	I prefer this map.	Tracy Draves	2021-12-27 21:48:03+00
		I really appreciate the commission listening to the voters in West Michigan. The Hickory map does a good job of creating a fair map that considers communities of interest in the Grand Rapids metro area. Communities of color have better representation - especially for the Hispanic community along the Wyoming and Grand Rapids border and the strong African American populations in District 82. The townships that share services, needs, and school districts with the metro area are combined with the metro cities. The political bias is also lower in this map, which I appreciate as part of the commission's goals to have fair maps for all Michiganders.		
Hickory	Like		Sarah Woolsey	2021-12-27 21:47:42+00

		Leave the City of Midland with the County of Midland. This is the only logical thing to do.	Jerry Nielsen	2021-12-27 21:45:49+00
Lange Congressional	Like			
Hickory	Like	Like this map	Brad Blasy	2021-12-27 21:44:11+00
Magnolia	Like	Like this map	Brad Blasy	2021-12-27 21:42:32+00
Pine v5	Like	Like this map	Brad Blasy	2021-12-27 21:38:30+00
		Leave Midland City with Midland County! The only districting that makes sense.	James Wise	2021-12-27 21:37:42+00
Lange Congressional	Like			
Lange Senate correct	Like	Like this map	Brad Blasy	2021-12-27 21:36:42+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Like this map	Brad Blasy	2021-12-27 21:34:40+00
		Midland does not have any commonalities with southern counties. Its has ties with Gladwin and Beaverton, as many residents of those town work in Midland.	Brian T Pankow	2021-12-27 21:30:49+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike			
		Puts Midland with Genesee county - there is no commonalities with those cities. Midland is more aligned with the northern towns as many workers in Midland reside there.	Brian T Pankow	2021-12-27 21:26:18+00
Chestnut	Dislike			

Magnolia	Like	I favor this map because out of all proposed maps for State Senate, State House / US Congress it does a pretty good job balancing the respect for the shared values of the communities of interest, and for the voting rights act, while avoiding gerrymandering	Alex M Dumitrescu	2021-12-27 21:19:27+00
Palm	Like	I favor this map because out of all proposed maps for State Senate, State House / US Congress it does a pretty good job balancing the respect for the shared values of the communities of interest, and for the voting right act, while avoiding gerrymandering	Alex M Dumitrescu	2021-12-27 21:19:11+00
Birch V2	Like	I favor this map because out of all proposed maps for State Senate, State House / US Congress it does a pretty good job balancing the respect for the shared values of the communities of interest, and for the voting righta act, while avoiding gerrymandering	Alex M Dumitrescu	2021-12-27 21:18:34+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Keep Midland City in Midland County	Brian T Pankow	2021-12-27 21:15:28+00

Overall this is a good map.  
Thanks for combining East  
Grand Rapids and Kentwood  
as they are similar suburbs.  
Keeping the Hispanic  
community (Wyoming/GR)  
together is another plus.  
Thanks for your hard work on  
this and being responsive to  
feedback.

Hickory

Like

Joel Ombry

2021-12-27 20:55:40+00

This map best represents the  
people living along the  
Western Michigan shoreline.

Linden

Like

John Helge

2021-12-27 20:50:54+00

This comment and map portal vote is made on behalf of the 725 voices (and still growing) of "Gladwin-Midland United". Since there are no collaborative map choices that reflect the needs of our community of interest, we support the Lange Congressional map; we do not support the Chestnut map; the Birch or the Apple maps. "Communities of Interest" must be given higher weighting than "partisan fairness", per the new constitutional amendment passed in 2018. Our Community of Interest requests that you keep the City of Midland connected to the county of Midland, and the entire county of Midland connected to Gladwin County. Cathy Leikhim

Szetela Congressional Dislike

2021-12-27 20:44:33+00

This comment and map portal vote is made on behalf of the 725 voices (and still growing) of "Gladwin-Midland United". Since there are no collaborative map choices that reflect the needs of our community of interest, we support the Lange Congressional map; we do not support the Chestnut map; the Birch or the Apple maps. "Communities of Interest" must be given higher weighting than "partisan fairness", per the new constitutional amendment passed in 2018. Our Community of Interest requests that you keep the City of Midland connected to the county of Midland, and the entire county of Midland connected to Gladwin County. Cathy Leikhim

Lange Congressional Like

2021-12-27 20:43:12+00

This comment and map portal vote is made on behalf of the 725 voices (and still growing) of "Gladwin-Midland United". Since there are no collaborative map choices that reflect the needs of our community of interest, we support the Lange Congressional map; we do not support the Chestnut map; the Birch or the Apple maps. "Communities of Interest" must be given higher weighting than "partisan fairness", per the new constitutional amendment passed in 2018. Our Community of Interest requests that you keep the City of Midland connected to the county of Midland, and the entire county of Midland connected to Gladwin County. Cathy Leikhim

Apple V2

Dislike

2021-12-27 20:41:15+00

The Hickory map best keeps the SW Michigan Lakeshore community of interest intact. Thank you for listening to our concerns and comments. Please adopt this map for state house districts.

Hickory

Like

Mark W. LaChey

2021-12-27 20:39:39+00

Szetela House Dislike This map disconnects these 2 important cities from their respective counties and makes very little legislative sense. Robert G Dvorak 2021-12-27 20:39:39+00

Birch V2 Dislike This comment and map portal vote is made on behalf of the 725 voices (and still growing) of "Gladwin-Midland United". Since there are no collaborative map choices that reflect the needs of our community of interest, we support the Lange Congressional map; we do not support the Chestnut map; the Birch or the Apple maps. "Communities of Interest" must be given higher weighting than "partisan fairness", per the new constitutional amendment passed in 2018. Our Community of Interest requests that you keep the City of Midland connected to the county of Midland, and the entire county of Midland connected to Gladwin County. Cathy Leikhim 2021-12-27 20:39:35+00

Chestnut	Dislike	This is a terrible map, downriver communities need and want to be together not split apart as this is.	Diane Weinman	2021-12-27 20:36:38+00
Pine v5	Like	This map keeps Midland city, Midland County unified.	Robert G Dvorak	2021-12-27 20:36:13+00

This comment and map portal vote is made on behalf of the 725 voices (and still growing) of "Gladwin-Midland United". Since there are no collaborative map choices that reflect the needs of our community of interest, we support the Lange Congressional map; we do not support the Chestnut map; the Birch or the Apple maps. "Communities of Interest" must be given higher weighting than "partisan fairness", per the new constitutional amendment passed in 2018. Our Community of Interest requests that you keep the City of Midland connected to the county of Midland, and the entire county of Midland

Chestnut	Dislike	connected to Gladwin County.	Cathy Leikhim	2021-12-27 20:35:21+00
----------	---------	------------------------------	---------------	------------------------

Szetela Congressional Dislike	<p>This map really does not make sense to this resident; it separates this senatorial district arbitrarily. I would be working in 1 district a few miles away and living in a separate district. Midland and Gladwin county common watershed would lose representation; this will hurt residents impacted by the 2020 flood.</p>	Robert G Dvorak	2021-12-27 20:34:10+00	
Birch V2	Like	<p>I support the Birch map because the downriver area needs to be together, we all share so many services, ideas and the goals on what downriver residents need and want, Wyandotte, Southgate, Trenton, Grosse Ile, Riverview are all one.</p>	Diane Weinman	2021-12-27 20:30:49+00

I am adding a comment urging the commission to do more to create fairer MI House maps. HickoryHandled11 does slightly better than the maps open to comment because it is the ONLY one which is less biased against Democratic voters. I read Julianne Pastula's memo which argued that proportional partisan representation is not required; but all the proposed House maps so far, except the modified Hickory, do show consistent bias in NEVER giving a majority of voters the majority of representatives. I am not a lawyer but I am an English language expert, and her claims look like equivocating, specious arguments to me.

Hickory

Opinion

Sara Fink

2021-12-27 20:22:31+00

I gathered signatures for this committee and I'm grateful for all involved and opinions gathered. This is a bad map because it separates most of Downriver, which should stay together for the sake of common interest. Thank you.

Chestnut

Dislike

Sakura Keast

2021-12-27 20:21:30+00

District 47 is best represented in Linden , Palm maps. It is also reasonably partisan and equally . Cartography 101. It shows the basemap images as being the origin of Ann Arbor , and is therefore most accurate in accordance with voter rights. Hickory underscores population issues but checks indirectly the urban-rural issues shown in DISTRICT 33, or 47 as is shown in MAP 17 Linden-PALM . The glory of being the underdog voting district (under Detroit) is that Northville and Howell are both notoriously 'lesser districts, currently. All \*things\* being repairable only by consolidating lines of latitude and longitude, orientating the larger districts an arial view to the East, composes well a webbing concept map and actualized new districting . It help in our 'understand of the mortality rates of non -aging citizens

Palm

Like

tawny gapinski

2021-12-27 20:19:17+00

District 47 is best represented in Linden , Palm maps. It is also reasonably partisan and equally . Cartography 101. It shows the basemap images as being the origin of Ann Arbor , and is therefore most accurate in accordance with voter rights. Hickory underscores population issues but checks indirectly the urban-rural issues shown in DISTRICT 33, or 47 as is shown in MAP 17 Linden-PALM . The glory of being the underdog voting district (under Detroit) is that Northville and Howell are both notoriously 'lesser districts, currently. All \*things\* being repairable only by consolidating lines of latitude and longitude, orientating the larger districts an arial view to the East, composes well a webbing concept map and actualized new districting . It help in our 'understand of the mortality rates of non -aging citizens

Hickory

Opinion

tawny gapinski

2021-12-27 20:18:18+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>I attended meetings/training and helped gather signatures for this committee, and I'm grateful for the hard work of all those involved. This map is the most fair because it keeps more of Downriver, which has a lot of shared concerns, together. One congressperson can then better address this area if Downriver cities are kept together. Thank you.</p> <p>I support this map because overall for Michigan is the least unbalanced. But in particular for Oakland County I am asking to be revised because it unfairly splits C.O.I.s . It rips away northern Oakland County conservative areas and mingles them with liberal areas in South East Oakland County. This is not a fair representation for Oakland County. PLEASE REVIZE IT!!!</p>	Sakura Keast	2021-12-27 20:17:24+00
Birch V2	Like		Claudiu Dumitrescu	2021-12-27 20:02:42+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>Poor map because we don't share any interest of some of the communities.</p>	Kelly Correy	2021-12-27 20:01:50+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>This is a fair map and keeps our downriver community together. This is extremely important because we share services.</p> <p>I urge the Commission to try again with respect to drawing the House maps.</p> <p>Unfortunately, the districts provide a disproportionate advantage to one political party.</p>	Kelly Correy	2021-12-27 19:58:41+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>This is a very poor choice of options as it disconnects the city of Midland from Midland County and also fractures common interests of the watershed, especially in light of the 2020 flood.</p>	Caroline Nathans	2021-12-27 19:34:14+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>As someone who lives near but not in Ann Arbor, I support this map because it doesn't pack Democrats into a highly partisan district. It locates Scio Township with many other similar townships that are partly rural and partly suburban. I realize this map splits Ann Arbor and includes Jackson, which is ok by me, because that way more districts are competitive.</p>	Robert Dvorak	2021-12-27 19:29:56+00
Linden	Like		Sara Fink	2021-12-27 19:27:34+00

Lange Congressional	Like	Please keep this map whole as this keeps the natural interests of Midland and Gladwin counties connected.	Robert Dvorak	2021-12-27 19:25:28+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Thank you for your work, but please reject this map. We voted overwhelmingly to pass Prop. 2 to give Michiganders back their voice in their own government. Unfortunately, this map give disproportionate advantage to one political party, so would negate the voices of so many in our state.	Caroline Nathans	2021-12-27 19:25:22+00
Hickory	Like	This map is the most fair representation of Michigan voters	Donna Stache	2021-12-27 18:40:13+00
Linden	Like	This map best reflects communities of interest and reduces partisan bias.	Donna Stache	2021-12-27 18:38:13+00
Linden	Like	This map best reduces partisan bias.	Donna Stache	2021-12-27 18:35:19+00
Birch V2	Like	This map reflects a fair distribution of voters and communities of interest,	Donna Stache	2021-12-27 18:31:30+00
Birch V2	Like	I think this map is the fairest. It meets the objectives of partisan fairness while also making the district more competitive - this allows more citizens' voices to be heard.	Caroline Nathans	2021-12-27 18:30:10+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>I supported this ballot initiative in 2018 because I wanted fair election with as many competitive seats as possible. This truly is about the people picking their representatives and limiting the gerrymandered mess we've been working under. Of all the maps this one seems to be the fairest of the lot so I support this map to be chosen for our official congressional district map.</p> <p>This is the most fair map as it keeps downriver as a community of interest. Wyandotte, Grosse Ile, Trenton and others all share the waterfront and common federal concerns about the abandoned McLouth Steel plant that deserve the attention of one congressperson and their staff. Please choose this map over others.</p>	Henry Yanez	2021-12-27 18:12:44+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>The Birch map best represents Michigan voters and seems the most fair.</p>	Judy Maiga	2021-12-27 18:05:37+00
Birch V2	Like		Laurie Williams	2021-12-27 17:42:19+00

Please fix Hickory to reflect partisan fairness. This seems to be the best of the House maps, but Hickory is unfair in that 53D to 57R gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters.

The Redistricting Commission should get back to work to fix all House districts, as none of them offer fair representation, given that each proposed House district map manages to provide for the majority of seats to go to the minority of voters. Additionally, Detroit-area voters are left out in the cold with no possibility of electing their own candidates. Please work on ALL House districts to more fairly represent the voters in Michigan!!

Hickory

Dislike

Laurie Williams

2021-12-27 17:34:13+00

Magnolia	Like	I favor this map because out of all proposed maps for State Senate, State House / US Congress it does a pretty good job balancing the respect for the shared values of the communities of interest, and for the voting rights act, while avoiding gerrymandering.	Octavian Dumitrescu	2021-12-27 17:29:04+00
Palm	Like	I favor this map because out of all proposed maps for State Senate, State House / US Congress it does a pretty good job balancing the respect for the shared values of the communities of interest, and for the voting rights act, while avoiding gerrymandering.	Octavian Dumitrescu	2021-12-27 17:27:42+00
Magnolia	Like	"I favor this map because out of all proposed maps for State Senate, State House / US Congress it does a pretty good job balancing the respect for the shared values of the communities of interest, and for the voting rights act, while avoiding gerrymandering"	Emanuel Dumitrescu	2021-12-27 17:27:37+00

Palm	Like	"I favor this map because out of all proposed maps for State Senate, State House / US Congress it does a pretty good job balancing the respect for the shared values of the communities of interest, and for the voting rights act, while avoiding gerrymandering"	Emanuel Dumitrescu	2021-12-27 17:26:56+00
Birch V2	Like	"I favor this map because out of all proposed maps for State Senate, State House / US Congress it does a pretty good job balancing the respect for the shared values of the communities of interest, and for the voting rights act, while avoiding gerrymandering"	Emanuel Dumitrescu	2021-12-27 17:25:44+00
Palm	Like	I favor this map because out of all proposed maps for State Senate, State House / US Congress it does a pretty good job balancing the respect for the shared values of the communities of interest, and for the voting rights act, while avoiding gerrymandering"	Octavian Dumitrescu	2021-12-27 17:21:52+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>I favor this map because out of all proposed maps for State Senate, State House / US Congress it does a pretty good job balancing the respect for the shared values of the communities of interest, and for the voting rights act, while avoiding gerrymandering</p> <p>This map gets top scores for not favoring one party over another, keeping communities of interest together, and having two VRA districts. I see that it splits Lyndon Township in two, but it will place all of my township (Scio) in one Congressional district, which has not been the case before.</p> <p>Compromises must be made. This seems like a good one which puts a lot of the townships and small cities in Washtenaw County together with their core cities, a positive change from decades past.</p>	Octavian Dumitrescu	2021-12-27 17:14:10+00
Birch V2	Like		Sara Fink	2021-12-27 16:46:18+00

As the County Commissioner for the western side of Lenawee County, I was very disappointed to see the City of Hudson (part of my County Commission district) tagged onto the District 35 State House map. The new district is made up of Hillsdale and Branch counties. It looks as if the City of Hudson is an afterthought. I'm afraid that is how Hudson will be represented in the State House over the next 10 years if these maps go into effect. Please reconsider including the City of Hudson in District 34 that covers most of Lenawee County where Hudson is located. Thank you. Nancy Jenkins-Arno

Hickory

Dislike

2021-12-27 16:33:09+00

As the County Commissioner for the western side of Lenawee County, I was very disappointed to see the City of Hudson (part of my County Commission district) tagged onto the District 35 State House map. The new district is made up of Hillsdale and Branch counties. It looks as if the City of Hudson is an afterthought. I'm afraid that is how Hudson will be represented in the State House over the next 10 years if these maps go into effect. Please reconsider including the City of Hudson in District 34 that covers most of Lenawee County where Hudson is located. Thank you. Nancy Jenkins-Arno

Magnolia

Dislike

2021-12-27 16:33:00+00

As the County Commissioner for the western side of Lenawee County, I was very disappointed to see the City of Hudson (part of my County Commission district) tagged onto the District 57 State House map. The new district is made up of Hillsdale and Branch counties. It looks as if the City of Hudson is an afterthought. I'm afraid that is how Hudson will be represented in the State House over the next 10 years if these maps go into effect. Please reconsider including the City of Hudson in District 53 that covers most of Lenawee County where Hudson is located. Thank you. Nancy Jenkins-Arno

Pine v5

Dislike

2021-12-27 16:32:52+00

Three maps, no choices. Every state house map (Pine, Magnolia, and Hickory) draws Lenawee County exactly the same. Each map divides the county in four ways. They strip out smaller populated townships (Macon, Ridgeway, and Deerfield) on the east side, and the small city of Hudson on the west side. Hudson is tagged onto the east end of a district that includes two whole counties. Given how the maps have been drawn, I cannot see how these areas of our county will be represented well. Please keep working on these maps so that all our Lenawee County residents have good representation. Thank you.

Hickory

Dislike

Nancy Jenkins-Arno

2021-12-27 16:19:16+00

Three maps, no choices. Every state house map (Pine, Magnolia, and Hickory) draws Lenawee County exactly the same. Each map divides the county in four ways. They strip out smaller populated townships (Macon, Ridgeway, and Deerfield) on the east side, and the small city of Hudson on the west side. Hudson is tagged onto the east end of a district that includes two whole counties. Given how the maps have been drawn, I cannot see how these areas of our county will be represented well. Please keep working on these maps so that all our Lenawee County residents have good representation. Thank you.

The Birch map best represents the Community area of interest previously submitted. Please select the Birch map.

Magnolia

Dislike

Nancy Jenkins-Arno

2021-12-27 16:19:08+00

Birch V2

Like

Paul S. Funk

2021-12-27 16:18:58+00

Pine v5	Dislike	<p>Three maps, no choices. Every state house map (Pine, Magnolia, and Hickory) draws Lenawee County exactly the same. Each map divides the county in four ways. They strip out smaller populated townships (Macon, Ridgeway, and Deerfield) on the east side, and the small city of Hudson on the west side. Hudson is tagged onto the east end of a district that includes two whole counties. Given how the maps have been drawn, I cannot see how these areas of our county will be represented well. Please keep working on these maps so that all our Lenawee County residents have good representation. Thank you.</p>	Nancy Jenkins-Arno	2021-12-27 16:18:56+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>The Magnolia map is consistent with the communities of interest previously submitted. Please select the Magnolia map.</p>	Paul S. Funk	2021-12-27 16:16:17+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This map is completely unfair and should not be adopted.</p>	LISA BECKMAN	2021-12-27 16:12:56+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This is a very fair map and is a good option for Macomb county.</p>	LISA BECKMAN	2021-12-27 16:12:18+00

Palm	Like	The Palm map is consistent with the areas of community previously submitted. Please keep Livingston whole with the Palm map.	Paul S. Funk	2021-12-27 16:11:38+00
Chestnut	Opinion	This map is not the best option for the congressional plan. Better options are the Birch and Szetela plans because they have better partisan fairness scores than this map.	Lisa Beckman	2021-12-27 16:07:59+00

Additional tweaks to make  
this plan stronger:

1. The City of Walker is separated from Alpine Township into two different districts. This causes an unfair partisan advantage for the Democratic Party in a Democrat +5 district. To help with partisan fairness, both municipalities should be included in one district. Additionally, they each also share the Kenowa Hills Schools District. Both municipalities conduct commerce on Alpine Avenue. It makes sense for them to be together.

2. Plainfield Township should be incorporated into one district, as it is a community of interest. Under the currently proposed map, Plainfield Township is split into two different districts. This would lead to confusion when constituents need to contact their

Magnolia

Like

Deborah Bragenzer

2021-12-27 15:33:17+00

Additional tweaks to make  
this plan stronger:

1. The City of Walker is separated from Alpine Township into two different districts. This causes an unfair partisan advantage for the Democratic Party in a Democrat +5 district. To help with partisan fairness, both municipalities should be included in one district. Additionally, they each also share the Kenowa Hills Schools District. Both municipalities conduct commerce on Alpine Avenue. It makes sense for them to be together.

2. Plainfield Township should be incorporated into one district, as it is a community of interest. Under the currently proposed map, Plainfield Township is split into two different districts. This would lead to confusion when constituents need to contact their

Magnolia

Like

Nicole Bragenzer

2021-12-27 15:32:47+00

Proposed tweaks to strengthen this plan:

1. A district is drawn from southern Kent County all the way to Berrien County “ this draws vastly different communities of interest together. This incorporates a more urban area like Kent County with the lakeshore region. These two areas have different economic interests and should be in separate districts.
2. Keep the dividing line between the two districts within the city of Grand Rapids at Fulton Street. The current plan gives a partisan advantage.

Palm

Like

Deborah Bragenzer

2021-12-27 15:27:05+00

Proposed tweaks to strengthen this plan:

1. A district is drawn from southern Kent County all the way to Berrien County “ this draws vastly different communities of interest together. This incorporates a more urban area like Kent County with the lakeshore region. These two areas have different economic interests and should be in separate districts.
2. Keep the dividing line between the two districts within the city of Grand Rapids at Fulton Street. The current plan gives a partisan advantage.

Palm Like Nicole Bragenzer 2021-12-27 15:26:29+00

Puts the city of Grand Rapids and most of its metropolitan region into a lakeshore congressional district “ Grand Rapids is an urban community with different economic interests than those cities along the lakeshore. Though a county is not a community of interest, this plan keeps Kent County whole within one congressional district.

Chestnut Dislike Deborah Bragenzer 2021-12-27 15:19:39+00

Lange Congressional Like Deborah Bragenzer 2021-12-27 15:17:46+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>Though a county is not a community of interest, this plan keeps Kent County whole within one congressional district.</p> <p>I oppose this plan because it combines Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo metropolitan regions which are two different communities of interest. This map creates a long and narrow congressional district from the city of Grand Rapids to the city of Kalamazoo -- this map is drawn to provide a partisan advantage to the Democratic Party.</p>	Deborah Bragenzer	2021-12-27 15:16:08+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>I oppose this plan for a number of reasons but mainly because Grand Rapids and Muskegon metropolitan regions form two different communities of interest. There are major competing economic interests between Grand Rapids and Muskegon that will hurt these unique communities.</p>	Nicole Bragenzer	2021-12-27 14:58:17+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>I support this plan because it keeps Kent County whole within one congressional district.</p>	Nicole Bragenzer	2021-12-27 14:52:29+00
Lange Congressional	Like	<p>I support this plan because it keeps Kent County whole within one congressional district.</p>	Nicole Bragenzer	2021-12-27 14:47:58+00

Birch V2	Like	I support this plan because it keeps Kent County whole within one congressional district.	Nicole Bragenzer	2021-12-27 14:46:28+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	I don't understand why these communities were grouped together. I would be interested in the criteria used.	Marie DeLuca	2021-12-27 13:53:50+00
Linden	Opinion	This maps groups communities of interest. All the communiies are on the coast with Lake St. Clair or the St. Clair River. My concern is the deviation of -2.44. For my distict, this map well represents communities of interest. We are all on Lake St. Clair or the St Clair River. However, I have trouble with the percent deviation which is	Marie DeLuca	2021-12-27 13:47:15+00
Palm	Opinion	-2.44. This map groups communities of interest for my district. We are all on the water, lake or St. Clair River. I like it that but I have trouble with the percent of deviation which is -	Marie DeLuca	2021-12-27 13:41:00+00
Palm	Opinion	2.44.	Marie DeLuca	2021-12-27 13:33:34+00

Chestnut	Opinion	Both Chestnut and Birch look good for partisan fairness and are compact. They are similar in meeting voting rights law requirements. Birch has less population deviation than Chestnut, so that may be a deciding factor. The handling of Lyndon Township in Birch is a little weird. Please do NOT choose Apple, it has poor partisan fairness.	Carole Murphy	2021-12-27 05:29:34+00
Linden	Like	I like this map. It reflects partisan fairness and is the most competitive. That competitiveness means that the politicians will need to listen to the voters.	Carole Murphy	2021-12-27 04:53:10+00
Szetela House	Dislike	If you want to know what gerrymandering is look at Midland county. We need to keep Midland County whole. This map is terrible.	Justin Scott	2021-12-27 04:36:33+00
Szetela House	Dislike	This map is awful. When you look up the definition of gerrymandering, this map would be the example given. No to this map.	Mike Scott	2021-12-27 04:34:36+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	No to this map. We need a map that keeps Midland County whole.	Mike Scott	2021-12-27 04:31:31+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole. Not a good map.	Mike Scott	2021-12-27 04:30:29+00

Linden	Dislike	No to this map because it is splitting up Midland County.	Mike Scott	2021-12-27 04:29:10+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Dislike	Kevin S	2021-12-27 04:29:04+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Dislike	Kevin S	2021-12-27 04:27:46+00
Palm	Dislike	Not a good map. Splits up Midland County.	Mike Scott	2021-12-27 04:27:36+00
Hickory	Dislike	Hickory is the best map for the state House. However, it does not reflect partisan fairness. Given the Democrats won the presidency in 2020 by 2.78%, plugging in the data to the house maps should reflect that such that an election with the same party votes should show the Democrats winning the state House by 2.78%. Given this does not happen means the Republicans have an unfair advantage in the House. Please improve Hickory for partisan fairness.	Carole Murphy	2021-12-27 04:27:05+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Not a good map because it is splitting up Midland County.	Mike Scott	2021-12-27 04:25:51+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	This map is gerrymandering. Not a good map.	Mike Scott	2021-12-27 04:24:44+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Not a good map because it splits up Midland County.	Mike Scott	2021-12-27 04:23:24+00
Pine v5	Like	I agree with the comments of Aaron Majorana.	Larry Schuelke	2021-12-27 04:21:29+00

Apple V2	Opinion	This will work if they put the city of Midland back in with the rest of the county.	Justin Scott	2021-12-27 04:20:45+00
Apple V2	Opinion	This would be acceptable if the city of Midland were put back with Midland county and the rest of the western counties of Isabella etc.	Jane Scott	2021-12-27 04:20:39+00
Hickory	Like	This map isn't too bad and keeps Midland County together pretty well. Anything but the Szetela map for the Michigan State house.	Larry Schuelke	2021-12-27 04:19:57+00
Birch V2	Opinion	If the city of Midland were put back with the county of Midland and the western counties of Isabella etc. this would be an acceptable map.	Jane Scott	2021-12-27 04:19:22+00
Birch V2	Opinion	I think this would be ok if we put the city of Midland back into the rest of Midland county.	Justin Scott	2021-12-27 04:18:39+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole. Stop this partisan gerrymandering.	Justin Scott	2021-12-27 04:16:36+00
Szetela House	Dislike	This map makes no sense. Crazy lines that do not define our true community of the city of Midland with the rest of Midland county.	Jane Scott	2021-12-27 04:16:14+00

Magnolia	Like	This is a good map because it keeps Midland County whole. Stop tearing Midland County apart. This is partisan gerrymandering and it needs	Mike Scott	2021-12-27 04:14:54+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	to stop.	Justin Scott	2021-12-27 04:13:32+00
Pine v5	Like	This is a good map for Midland County. Keeps Midland County whole.	Mike Scott	2021-12-27 04:13:21+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	Like this map	Kevin S	2021-12-27 04:13:02+00
Linden	Dislike	We need to keep Midland county whole. Stop this partisan gerrymandering.	Justin Scott	2021-12-27 04:11:32+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	This is a good map. Keeps Midland County whole.	Mike Scott	2021-12-27 04:11:28+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	This does not work for the citizens of Midland. We need our city to stay whole with our county and Gladwin.	Jane Scott	2021-12-27 04:10:55+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Like this map	Kevin S	2021-12-27 04:10:00+00
Palm	Dislike	Midland County needs to stay together.	Justin Scott	2021-12-27 04:09:27+00
Lange Congressional	Like	This is a good map.	Mike Scott	2021-12-27 04:09:24+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Midland county needs to stay whole.	Justin Scott	2021-12-27 04:07:56+00
Linden	Dislike	Go with the Lange Map and keep Midland county intact. Thanks.	Larry Schuelke	2021-12-27 04:06:51+00

Szetela Congressional	Dislike	This is a terrible map. The people in the rural areas of Midland county have a deep connection with the city community. They need to stay together.	Justin Scott	2021-12-27 04:06:00+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	No Please. Midland wouldn't get any representation with the bigger Bay City and Saginaw and the cities are so different with different issues. Don't gerrymander us together.	Larry Schuelke	2021-12-27 04:05:00+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Please stop cutting up the county of Midland! Leave us whole to work on the issues we share across our county and with the western counties, most importantly the multi million dollar, multi year dam recovery projects!	Jane Scott	2021-12-27 04:04:25+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Stop trying to tear Midland apart. We don't need anymore gerrymandering!	Justin Scott	2021-12-27 04:02:26+00
Linden	Dislike	Keep Midland with our western county partners and keep us whole. Dividing Midland county up is unfair to the citizens who live there.	Jane Scott	2021-12-27 04:00:30+00

		<p>Please don't select this map.  Keep Midland, Sanford and Gladwin whole and in one district like in the Lange map.  2020 was enough of a disaster for us, we don't need this</p>		
Kellom Senate	Dislike	districting map too.	Larry Schuelke	2021-12-27 04:00:16+00
Pine v5	Like	We need to keep Midland county together.	Justin Scott	2021-12-27 04:00:16+00
Magnolia	Like	This keeps Midland together which is what we need.	Justin Scott	2021-12-27 03:58:33+00
		<p>Stop splitting up Midland county! unfair to our citizens who live as one community in the entire county of Midland including our Midland city citizens! We work together on hospital, watershed, common employment and so many other topics!</p>		
Palm	Dislike	We need to keep Midland	Jane Scott	2021-12-27 03:58:11+00
Pine v5	Like	county whole!	Justin Scott	2021-12-27 03:56:39+00
		<p>Keep Midland city and county together! Stop splitting us up! Our city and county work hand in hand on many issues and the lines between us are virtually non-existent. We are</p>		
Cherry V2	Dislike	one community!	Jane Scott	2021-12-27 03:54:54+00

Lange Senate correct	Like	This is very good for Midland and Midland county residents as it keeps us together in one district and helps those to the west of us who share similar concerns. Wow, the only map that doesn't try to split us up . Thank you that there is one that makes sense at least for our district.	Larry Schuelke	2021-12-27 03:54:54+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	I like this one. It keeps Midland county whole.	Justin Scott	2021-12-27 03:54:53+00
Lange Congressional	Like	I like this map!	Justin Scott	2021-12-27 03:52:37+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Do not remove the city of Midland from the rest of Midland County and the rest of the watershed. Put Midland back together!	Jane Scott	2021-12-27 03:51:48+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Please keep Gladwin and Midland counties together and with the west.	Jane Scott	2021-12-27 03:49:23+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Keep Midland and Midland county together. Separating it from Sanford which is so linked with the shared watershed. Looks like Gerrymandering to split up the county only to mix with Bay City and Saginaw. Totally different cities and issues.	Larry Schuelke	2021-12-27 03:44:56+00

I think this is probably the best of those proposed. I do question how much Midland & Flint have in common, but I also think we belong with Bay City & Saginaw. If more rural areas north & west of Midland are grouped with other more rural areas, that could give more voice to their communities of interest. I don't think the dam issues "holds water" because nothing has been done about it by either party in control for years.... I think the tri-cities have mutual interests through Dow, universities, etc, & because we DO have a great deal of semi-rural areas mixed throughout. There is NO perfect solution -- but I feel best about Chestnut.

Chestnut

Opinion

Kathryn Anne Myers

2021-12-27 03:25:57+00

This map seems most fair but changes should be made to better balance the the number of people in each district to get closer to the target number per district.

Hickory

Like

Judy Ratkos

2021-12-27 02:42:09+00

Szetela Senate	Dislike	This map cuts portion of Midland County from the whole. Midland County needs to be kept whole and with the County of Gladwin and other counties to the east due to shared interests.	Cathy Lunsford	2021-12-27 02:20:03+00
Szetela House	Dislike	This map does not work at all and makes no sense. This map splits the City of Midland up from Midland county and it appears to be literally "reaching" out to include the City with Saginaw making it very disjointed and not including City of Midland with County of Midland and Gladwin County.	Cathy Lunsford	2021-12-27 02:18:07+00
Palm	Dislike	This map splits Midland county. All of Midland should be kept whole and kept with the similar interests of counties like Gladwin and to the west.	Cathy Lunsford	2021-12-27 02:13:52+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This map cuts the County of Midland into separate districts and makes no sense. The County of Midland needs to remain whole and with counties to the north and west.	Cathy Lunsford	2021-12-27 02:11:15+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike	Removing the City of Midland from the rest of Midland County does not make sense. The City of Midland belongs with Midland County and shares interests with Gladwin and other counties to the west.	Cathy Lunsford	2021-12-27 02:08:59+00
Chestnut Dislike	Please keep Midland with Gladwin County and the counties to the west.	Cathy Lunsford	2021-12-27 02:06:28+00
Magnolia Like	This map makes sense. It keeps Midland County and the interests of Midland County together.	Cathy Lunsford	2021-12-27 02:01:37+00
Pine v5 Like	This map keeps Midland County whole and makes the most sense.	Cathy Lunsford	2021-12-27 01:59:11+00
Lange Senate correct Like	This map keeps the City of Midland whole with the County of Midland and also with Gladwin County which makes sense due to the sharing of common watershed and interests.	Cathy Lunsford	2021-12-27 01:57:13+00
Lange Congressional Like	This map keeps Midland County whole and keeps like communities of interest together to the north and the west.	Cathy Lunsford	2021-12-27 01:51:19+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>This new process of drawing maps based upon creating competitive districts rather than the party in power drawing districts based upon partisan advantage is a worthy objective and this Birch map is a reflection of that. This ensures that people elected have to look beyond their parochial interest and do what best for a wider array of communities.</p>	Sara Gieleghem	2021-12-27 00:56:09+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>This is removing my entire community of Norvell Township from its current Jackson County community of Interest! Please keep communities intact and keep to the promises made to us back in 2018 " to follow contiguous Communities of Interest submitted by the people of Michigan.</p>	Mary Ann Allore	2021-12-27 00:47:40+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>This map divides Jackson County into 4 separate state districts! Please keep to the promises made to us back in 2018 " follow the Communities of Interest submitted by the people of Michigan.</p>	Mary Ann Allore	2021-12-27 00:29:22+00

Magnolia	Dislike	<p>This is gerrymandering! This map is politically biased. It connects the urban city of Ann Arbor with rural communities that do not share common communities of interest. Please keep to the promises made to us back in 2018 " follow the Communities of Interest submitted by the people of Michigan.</p>	Mary Ann Allore	2021-12-27 00:26:13+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>This is gerrymandering! This map is politically biased. It connects the urban city of Ann Arbor with rural communities that do not share common communities of interest. Please keep to the promises made to us back in 2018 " follow the Communities of Interest submitted by the people of Michigan.</p>	Mary Ann Allore	2021-12-27 00:24:12+00

Szetela Senate	Dislike	<p>This map is terrible. It does not keep Jackson County together as a community of interest despite the comments made by so many citizens at the public hearings to do so. It also connects us with the urban city of Ann Arbor that does not share common communities with rural Jackson County. Please keep to the promises made to us back in 2018 – follow the Communities of Interest submitted by the people of Michigan.</p>	Mary Ann Allore	2021-12-27 00:14:27+00
----------------	---------	--	-----------------	------------------------

Lange Senate correct	Dislike	<p>This map is terrible. It does not keep Jackson County together as a community of interest despite the comments made by so many citizens at the public hearings to do so. It also connects us with the urban city of Ann Arbor that does not share common communities with rural Jackson County. Please keep to the promises made to us back in 2018 – follow the Communities of Interest submitted by the people of Michigan.</p>	Mary Ann Allore	2021-12-27 00:10:47+00
----------------------	---------	--	-----------------	------------------------

Kellom Senate	Dislike	<p>This map is terrible. It does not keep Jackson County together as a community of interest despite the comments made by so many citizens at the public hearings to do so. It also connects us with the urban city of Ann Arbor that does not share common communities with rural Jackson County. Please keep to the promises made to us back in 2018 “ follow the Communities of Interest submitted by the people of Michigan.</p>	Mary Ann Allore	2021-12-27 00:08:16+00
Linden	Dislike	<p>This map does not keep Jackson County together as a community of interest despite the comments made by so many citizens at the public hearings to do so. Please keep to the promises made to us back in 2018 “ follow the Communities of Interest submitted by the people of Michigan.</p>	Mary Ann Allore	2021-12-27 00:00:48+00

Palm	Like	<p>This map is the best Senate drawn map as it keeps Jackson County together as a community of interest. Many citizens made that request at the public hearings. Please keep to the promises made to us back in 2018 – follow the Communities of Interest submitted by the people of Michigan.</p>	Mary Ann Allore	2021-12-26 23:57:55+00
		<p>This map is terrible. It does not keep Jackson County together as a community of interest despite the comments made by so many citizens at the public hearings to do so. Please keep to the promises made to us back in 2018 – follow the Communities of Interest submitted by the people of Michigan.</p>		
Cherry V2	Dislike		Mary Ann Allore	2021-12-26 23:53:38+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike	This map does not keep Jackson County together as a community of interest despite the comments made by so many citizens at the public hearings to do so. Please keep to the promises made to us back in 2018 " follow the Communities of Interest submitted by the people of Michigan.	Mary Ann Allore	2021-12-26 23:49:21+00
Lange Congressional Dislike	This map does not keep Jackson County together as a community of interest despite the comments made by so many citizens at the public hearings to do so. Please keep to the promises made to us back in 2018 " follow the Communities of Interest submitted by the people of Michigan.	Mary Ann Allore	2021-12-26 23:47:22+00
Chestnut Like	This is the best congressional map drawn as it keeps Jackson County together as a community of interest as so many citizens at the public hearings asked you to do. Please follow the Communities of Interest submitted by the people of Michigan and consider this map. Thank you.	Mary Ann Allore	2021-12-26 23:45:21+00

Birch V2	Dislike	<p>This map does not keep Jackson County together as a community of interest despite the comments made by so many citizens at the public hearings to do so. Please keep to the promises made to us back in 2018 " follow the Communities of Interest submitted by the people of Michigan.</p>	Mary Ann Allore	2021-12-26 23:41:25+00
		<p>This map does not keep Jackson County intact as a community of interest that so many citizens have requested at the numerous hearings held. Please keep to the promises made to us back in 2018 " follow the Communities of Interest submitted by the people of Michigan.</p>		
Apple V2	Dislike		Mary Ann Allore	2021-12-26 23:27:10+00

Overall, on the partisan fairness index, this map has done a great job. However, on neatness and county splitting, this map could do a better job. I like more of the districts than not, but the Grand Rapids-Muskegon area district by far is the one on this map that makes me question my support for it. Why is Ottawa County in three districts, why are a large number of staunchly conservative exurbs and rural areas in Ottawa lumped in with Muskegon and Grand Rapids, when they could extend this district a bit north to reduce county splits of Ottawa to 2, add more of Muskegon's suburbs (or most or all of Muskegon County, ideally), put more of Grand Rapids' suburbs into this district, and move the Jenison area into District 4. Do this, please, even if it means greatly altering Districts 2 and

Szetela Congressional Dislike

4. It would put communities Sean M McCormick

2021-12-26 22:43:11+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>This map does not reflect the non-partisan, anti-gerrymandering that was voted for in 2018. Please please reject this map. Szetela, BirchV2, and Chestnut are all much less biased and are preferred to this map based on review of the data.</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:43:42+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>REJECT THIS MAP. It is way too partisan. This map makes it likely Republicans win most seats even when Democrats get most votes. This map does not provide the anti-gerrymandering that we voted for in 2018.</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:41:40+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>Wow, please reject this map. This map makes it likely Republicans win most seats even when Democrats get most votes. This map is awful and is NOT providing us with a non partisan redistricting.</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:40:34+00
Chestnut	Opinion	<p>Thank you, this map has good partisan fairness, but PTV says this still gives more seats to the party that has the fewest votes. Please keep working but this is close and good in most areas.</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:39:22+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>I think this map is good. However, this still gives more seats to the party that has the fewest votes. In review, Szetela is closer to the most fair.</p> <p>This map protects the most Communities of Interest in Detroit. It does come the closest to having the same number of voters in each district. Thank you.</p> <p>Overall, thank you for combining the best parts of Chestnut and Birch. This is the fairest map.</p> <p>This is THE ONLY map that shows true partisan fairness, adequately addressed COI and establishes Voting Rights districts.</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:38:18+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	<p>The wrapping of this area is partisan. Overall, this map is good.</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:35:23+00
Szetela Congressional	Opinion	<p>This map is closer to an accurate representation at the national level.</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:33:29+00
Szetela Congressional	Like		Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:30:58+00

Cherry V2	Like	<p>Much as some of this I feel is too partisan, this map does come the closest overall--This is one of the most fair maps. It still favors one party, but comes closer to partisan fairness and I appreciate the work this took to do. Maps that are less unfair, are still unfair. We want maps that are actually fair....please keep working, with this map as a guide overall for what we need.</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:29:40+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	<p>As a Wixom resident, we have more in common with those east of us than to the west, and our votes will be muddled.</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:26:41+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	<p>Please reject this area of the map as unlikely to reflect the voters.</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:25:20+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	<p>Again, please reject this map. It is splitting a common area and diffusing the vote. It has a terrible partisan fairness score and will virtually guarantee victories only by minority of the voters.</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:24:16+00

Kellom Senate	Dislike	REJECT this map, please. It has a terrible partisan fairness score and will virtually guarantee victories by the party which receives the fewest votes.	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:23:06+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This is not a good map, it is splitting up this area and cannot possibly reflect the voters in a partisan manner. Please reject this map. It cannot possibly support the majority of voters with the way it is splitting	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:22:16+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	communities. Please reject this map. It is	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:21:29+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	splitting areas and unfair. School districts should be kept within one mapped district. Walled Lake schools is broad but should not be split for us to have fair representation. This map is more fair than some, but still	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:20:55+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	needs work. Thank you. This map is more fair, but still	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:20:09+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	unfair in ways that it is splitting communities.	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:18:19+00

Linden	Opinion	<p>This area is more clear, with more similar areas put together to reflect the voters. I wish you would reduced the partisan bias further, but this map is the best.</p> <p>...However, maps that are LESS unfair, are still unfair. We want maps that are actually fair. This is close.</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:16:56+00
Linden	Opinion	<p>Thank you, this map is presenting as the most fair. This map does not make sense. It is splitting the votes.</p> <p>Â· Please Keep Working!</p> <p>There are no fair house maps to choose from!</p> <p>Please review! All the proposed House maps suggest the majority of seats will go to the minority of voters!</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:14:48+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>As of yet, despite all the work everyone has done, No MI House map addresses the concerns heard from Detroit voters about being able to elect their own candidates</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:13:28+00

Hickory	Like	<p>This map is perhaps the best of the bad. Please keep working to reflect bipartisan/non partisan so the State can be strong. It's what we voted for in 2018. Thank you.</p> <p>Again, this map has many positives. But it still is splitting my vote in Wixom to areas south and west of me and</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:10:55+00
Hickory	Opinion	<p>diffuses the vote.</p> <p>This is part of the map that does not make sense. It is</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:07:56+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>diffusing the voters' rights.</p> <p>First, thank you for the hard work. However, as this map has some good points, it is still partisan with communities split. All the maps have Republican bias, but this one is the best of the bad.</p> <p>Maps that are less unfair, are still unfair. We want maps that are actually fair. It's what</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:05:36+00
Hickory	Opinion	<p>we voted for in 2018.</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 21:04:50+00

This map only serves to split up communities into partisan votes. This map needs to be REJECTED. This is almost as gerrymandered as what we've had. The minority of voters will serve to choose for the majority of Michiganders. PLEASE KEEP WORKING. Reject this map as very partisan.

Pine v5

Dislike

Kelly Schrubba

2021-12-26 20:55:50+00

Please Keep Working! I know everyone on the Commission has put forth a lot of effort, HOWEVER--as of yet, there are not any completely fair house maps to choose from! Each proposed House map gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters! WE VOTED IN 2018 to redistrict in order to reflect non partisan areas, not these maps that can only slant the votes.

No MI House map addresses the concerns heard from Detroit voters about being able to elect their own candidates. This is the most unfair of the House maps.

Pine v5

Dislike

Kelly Schrubba

2021-12-26 20:52:54+00

Magnolia	Dislike	<p>Please keep working and reject this biased map. The Magnolia map is not addressing the concerns heard from Detroit voters about being able to elect their own candidates--This is one of the most unfair of the House maps. Thank you but please do not accept this.</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 20:50:29+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>This map should be rejected. Look at the way these areas are adjusted to split votes and is quite partisan. This map should be rejected. It is splitting up communities that have interests in common, as well as is very partisan. Not an accurate map; the areas around the UP/LP should not be split like</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 20:47:55+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>this.</p>	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 20:46:31+00

This map is not supportive of communities at all. It is not reflective of what we voted for in 2018, which was to split up partisanship. We need to see communities gathered to population size and interest. For example, I live in Wixom. I have little in common regarding areas for economic support as well as environmental concerns with those far south of me. Very gerrymandered and slanted map. Reject this map. Thank

Magnolia	Dislike	you.	Kelly Schrubba	2021-12-26 20:43:17+00
Linden	Like	I like the Linden map.	Kathy A. Marciniak	2021-12-26 19:15:57+00
Birch V2	Like	I like the Birch map.	Kathy A Marciniak	2021-12-26 19:12:52+00
Chestnut	Like	I like the Chestnut map.	Kathy A Marciniak	2021-12-26 19:08:50+00
Magnolia	Like	Represents needs of all Midland County including City of Midland.	Jane Scott	2021-12-26 18:17:09+00
Pine v5	Like	Thank you for keeping Midland County whole!	Jane Scott	2021-12-26 18:14:21+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	Thank you for keeping Midland County whole as we should be!	Jane Scott	2021-12-26 18:13:01+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Thank you for keeping Midland whole and listening to our voices!	Jane Scott	2021-12-26 18:05:24+00

Szetela House	Opinion	<p>I'm not in most of the communities being splintered by this map, but you folks on the commission are doing a great job. I'm sure this process is very difficult and you can't please everyone, but I respect you're doing the best you can. Thanks again for your continuing effort!</p>	Robert Keaney	2021-12-26 16:12:00+00
Szetela Congressional Like		<p>Absolutely agree with other commenter in Milan, I wish the entirety of Milan (although rural &amp; suburban) was Washtenaw county district instead as I'm one of the people who came here to find more affordable housing than Ann Arbor but also paved roads and other services I will happily give my taxes for. This entire process has heavily opened my eyes to the rural vs urban vs suburban divisions we seem to place on ourselves as Americans. Thank you all for your thoughtful input in the comments and my thanks to the committee for working on redrawing these lines away from gerrymandering.</p>	Robert Keaney	2021-12-26 16:02:03+00

Hickory	Like	Challenging to come up with a balanced House map, but Hickory seems to work best. Not wild about breaking up Allegan County, but upon reflection I think this grouping works.	Andrew Webb	2021-12-26 14:59:43+00
Cherry V2	Like	Cherry and Linden appear to balance SW Michigan COI well.	Andrew Webb	2021-12-26 14:56:05+00
Linden	Like	Linden and Cherry appear to balance SW Michigan COI well.	Andrew Webb	2021-12-26 14:53:12+00
Szetela House	Dislike	It seems that the person who proposed this map is totally out of touch with the mid Michigan counties and desires of the people who live here. Does not make sense.	Rebecca S Smith	2021-12-26 01:12:03+00
Hickory	Like	Thank you for keeping Midland whole. Would like to see Gladwin included but other than that, this is a good map.	Rebecca S Smith	2021-12-26 01:02:07+00
Magnolia	Like	This map keeps Midland whole and includes counties to the west. Would like to see Gladwin included but other than that, this is an acceptable map.	Rebecca S Smith	2021-12-26 01:00:52+00

Pine v5	Like	Thank you for keeping Midland whole. Would prefer to see Gladwin included but other than that, this is a good map.	Rebecca S Smith	2021-12-26 00:59:19+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	Need to keep Midland with counties to the west and keep it WHOLE.	Rebecca S Smith	2021-12-26 00:57:43+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	Thank you for keeping Midland with counties to the west and keeping it WHOLE.	Rebecca S Smith	2021-12-26 00:56:03+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Need to keep Midland with counties to the west and keep it WHOLE.	Rebecca S Smith	2021-12-26 00:54:46+00
Linden	Dislike	Need to keep Midland with counties to the west and keep it WHOLE.	Rebecca S Smith	2021-12-26 00:53:58+00
Palm	Dislike	Need to keep Midland with counties to the west and keep it WHOLE.	Rebecca S Smith	2021-12-26 00:52:33+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Need to keep Midland with counties to the west and keep it WHOLE.	Rebecca S Smith	2021-12-26 00:51:03+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Need to keep Midland with counties to the west and keep it WHOLE.	Rebecca S Smith	2021-12-26 00:48:24+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Thank you for keeping Midland with counties to the west and keeping it WHOLE.	Rebecca S Smith	2021-12-26 00:47:27+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Need to keep Midland with counties to the west and keep it WHOLE.	Rebecca S Smith	2021-12-26 00:46:03+00

Birch V2	Dislike	Need to keep Midland with counties to the west and keep it WHOLE.	Rebecca S Smith	2021-12-26 00:45:29+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Please keep Midland with counties to the west.	Rebecca S Smith	2021-12-26 00:43:30+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>You know what, to all you Midland residents, stop dissing the map over "Watershed Connections." The commission has tried to create a competitive district in this region of the state and we can't please everyone. I know most of you just want to be in a safe district, but you're city is a swing city. In the past election it did not vote heavily for either major candidate at the presidential level, and that will provide more competition to a competitive district. I know you guys have ties to Gladwin and Michigan 4th, but not everyone can get what they want (it's called competitive, after all). And ITS NOT A GERRYMANDER because you didn't get to be in the district you wanted, so ALL OF YOU, STOP SAYING THAT!</p>	Sean M McCormick	2021-12-25 22:53:39+00

Magnolia	Like	<p>This Magnolia map is the fairest of the three being considered. Communities of Interest being honored is still not the priority as it should be.</p>	Norman D. Shinkle	2021-12-25 17:50:53+00
Palm	Like	<p>This Palm map is probably the best of three maps that do not honor Communities of Interest. It should not split Lansing/East Lansing that have been together for more than 100 years.</p>	Norman D. Shinkle	2021-12-25 17:46:32+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This map has the most consistent boundaries relative to Communities of Interest. It does not seem to gerrymander the districts to benefit one political party.</p>	Norman D. Shinkle	2021-12-25 17:41:45+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This map has the most consistent boundaries relative to Communities of Interest. It does not seem to gerrymander the districts to benefit one political party.</p>	Norman D. Shinkle	2021-12-25 17:39:49+00
Linden	Like	<p>This looks like the best of the lot.</p>	Richard Burney	2021-12-25 17:12:01+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>This map can only be described as bizarre in the way it has aggregated areas with no attention to communities.</p>	Richard Burney	2021-12-25 17:05:19+00

Magnolia	Like	Best map. Keeps our community in Livingston together.	Janine Iyer	2021-12-25 14:32:43+00
Hickory	Dislike	Horrible map. REJECT. I believe this map should keep Roseville whole and not split up the city of Roseville into two different House seats. The two most northern precincts (13 Mile and 14 Mile Road between Kelly Road and I-94) in Roseville shares similar political, economic and sociological characteristics with the rest of Roseville, that in this map that goes into a neighboring district. Strong consideration should be given to keeping the city of Roseville in the same State House district. Roseville differs vastly from Harrison Township or Chesterfield Township in socioeconomic and other factors. Roseville would benefit staying together as a community of interest. The two precincts in Roseville that goes all the way to Chesterfield shares very little in common with Harrison Township or Chesterfield Township.	Janine Iyer	2021-12-25 14:25:29+00
Pine v5	Dislike		Lisa Blazeovski	2021-12-25 01:40:12+00

I believe this map should keep Roseville whole and not split up the city of Roseville into two different House seats. The two most northern precincts (13 Mile and 14 Mile Road between Kelly Road and I-94) in Roseville shares similar political, economic and sociological characteristics with the rest of Roseville, that in this map that goes into a neighboring district. Strong consideration should be given to keeping the city of Roseville in the same State House district. Roseville differs vastly from Harrison Township or Chesterfield Township in socioeconomic and other factors. Roseville would benefit staying together as a community of interest. The two precincts in Roseville that goes all the way to Chesterfield shares very little in common with Harrison Township or Chesterfield Township.

Magnolia

Dislike

Lisa Lozen

2021-12-25 01:37:15+00

I believe Roseville should be kept whole in any redistricting map because it is a community of interest that shares common socioeconomic and demography. Two precincts in northern Roseville have very little in common with Chesterfield Township or Harrison Township and yet this map unnecessarily splits the city.

Hickory

Dislike

Lisa Blazeovski

2021-12-25 01:34:47+00

I believe this map should keep Roseville whole and not split up the city of Roseville into two different House seats. The two most northern precincts (13 Mile and 14 Mile Road between Kelly Road and I-94) in Roseville shares similar political, economic and sociological characteristics with the rest of Roseville, that in this map that goes into a neighboring district. Strong consideration should be given to keeping the city of Roseville in the same State House district. Roseville differs vastly from Harrison Township or Chesterfield Township in socioeconomic and other factors. Roseville would benefit staying together as a community of interest. The two precincts in Roseville that goes all the way to Chesterfield shares very little in common with Harrison Township or Chesterfield Township. As with other comments keeping Midland and Gladwin Counties whole and together makes sense!

Hickory

Dislike

Lisa Blazeovski

2021-12-25 01:27:29+00

Lange Senate correct Like

Robert A Rankey

2021-12-25 01:23:02+00

Chestnut	Dislike	As other comments have listed I do not like splitting Midland County or combining it with communities such as Flint which have very few similarities.	Robert A Rankey	2021-12-25 01:17:16+00
Lange Congressional	Like	I agree with other comments about keeping Midland and Gladwin County together. The City of Midland should not be broken out or linked with City of Flint as there is little similarities between these communities.	Robert A Rankey	2021-12-25 01:09:23+00
Linden	Like	This map is superior to the less fair and more partisan maps	mark hansknecht	2021-12-25 00:37:28+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is very unfair and should be rejected out of hand	mark hansknecht	2021-12-25 00:35:45+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This is the worst. Any one of the other maps are an improvement. NW lower peninsula of Michigan (Michigan Region 2) should not be broken up. Each of the other maps respect this community of interest better than this map.	Kurt H Schindler	2021-12-24 21:19:15+00

This map is the most fair of those being considered. It should be adopted. But there is still room for improvement. For example, looking at districts 32 and 36: District 36 overshoots the target population by 5,293 (2%). District 32 undershoots the target population by -3,388 (-1.28%). Then look at Manistee County, where the east portions of the county are in district 36 and the remainder in district 32. That part of the county in district 36 has a population about 5,000+/- Moving that part of the county to district 32 would result in both districts being closer to the target population.

Hickory	Like	Not a good map. Worst of the three.	Kurt H Schindler	2021-12-24 21:13:05+00
Palm	Dislike	The map could do better (Linden), and it could be worse (Palm).	Kurt H Schindler	2021-12-24 20:56:44+00
Cherry V2	Dislike		Kurt H Schindler	2021-12-24 20:54:29+00

I wish to express strong objection to this map that separates Coe Township and the Village of Shepherd from the rest of Isabella County. As a former member of the Isabella County Board of Commissioners during the late 70's and early 80's and as a Mt. Pleasant City Commissioner for 12 years including serving as Mayor in 2013, 2016 and 2017, I have had the opportunity to work with all the units of government in Isabella County. Coe Township is very much a part of the cooperative spirit that exists among the various levels of local government within the county, especially the villages, townships and the city on the east side of the County. Because of the sharing of the regional educational district, the cooperation among the various social services, fire and police agencies and the Birch seems to offer the most fair boundaries.

Szetela House	Dislike		Kathy Ling	2021-12-24 18:51:18+00
Birch V2	Like		Laurie D Krauth	2021-12-24 18:36:05+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Midland City has common interests with Midland and Gladwin Counties.	Randall J Clough	2021-12-24 17:59:09+00

Birch V2	Opinion	This one works well, as long as Midland City is whole with Midland County	Randall J Clough	2021-12-24 17:58:05+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	Slicing out Midland City is tantamount to gerry mandering. Stop it.	Randall J Clough	2021-12-24 17:54:46+00
Chestnut	Dislike	If you like gerry mandaring, this is it	Randall J Clough	2021-12-24 17:51:49+00
Lange Congressional	Like	gladwin and midland have common interests through the river and dam systems.	Randall J Clough	2021-12-24 17:34:47+00
Birch V2	Like	As a resident of eastern Jackson County, Waterloo Township, I SUPPORT this map!! The county division keeps the Chelsea School District together and follows a definite community of interest in that our local town is Chelsea for all purposes: hospital, library, shopping, restaurants. I thank the map creators for recognizing this.	Catherine Kamil	2021-12-24 16:42:49+00
Szetela House	Dislike	This map fractures Midland County and makes the least sense. Almost 55 years in Midland County and I would like to continue to share interests with friends and family to the north and west.	Joseph Lunsford	2021-12-24 15:20:16+00

Szetela Senate	Dislike	This map fractures Midland County and makes the least sense. Almost 55 years in Midland County and I would like to continue to share interests with friends and family to the north and west.	Joseph Lunsford	2021-12-24 15:18:59+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This map fractures Midland County and makes the least sense. Almost 55 years in Midland County and I would like to continue to share interests with friends and family to the north and west.	Joseph Lunsford	2021-12-24 15:18:15+00
Linden	Dislike	This map fractures Midland County and makes the least sense. Almost 55 years in Midland County and I would like to continue to share interests with friends and family to the north and west.	Joseph Lunsford	2021-12-24 15:17:19+00
Palm	Dislike	This map fractures Midland County and makes the least sense. Almost 55 years in Midland County and I would like to continue to share interests with friends and family to the north and west.	Joseph Lunsford	2021-12-24 15:16:26+00

Linden	Like	The Linden Senate map well represents communities of interest and has good partisan fairness. Please vote to adopt this map, rather than the Palm map, which is the worst of all Senate map choices, in my opinion.	Frances Wallace	2021-12-24 15:16:20+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This map fractures Midland County and makes the least sense. Almost 55 years in Midland County and I would like to continue to share interests with friends and family to the north and west.	Joseph Lunsford	2021-12-24 15:15:19+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	This map fractures Midland County and makes the least sense. Almost 55 years in Midland County and I would like to continue to share interests with friends and family to the north and west.	Joseph Lunsford	2021-12-24 15:14:35+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map fractures Midland County and makes the least sense. Almost 55 years in Midland County and I would like to continue to share interests with friends and family to the north and west.	Joseph Lunsford	2021-12-24 15:13:40+00

Magnolia	Like	<p>This map keeps Midland County whole and makes the most sense. Almost 55 years in Midland County and I would like to continue to share interests with friends and family to the north and west.</p>	Joseph Lunsford	2021-12-24 15:10:15+00
Pine v5	Like	<p>This map keeps Midland County whole and makes the most sense. Almost 55 years in Midland County and I would like to continue to share interests with friends and family to the north and west.</p>	Joseph Lunsford	2021-12-24 15:09:12+00
Hickory	Like	<p>Please adopt the Hickory house map. It does a good job keeping communities of interest together and has better partisan fairness scores than the other proposed house maps.</p>	Frances Wallace	2021-12-24 15:07:44+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	<p>This map keeps Midland County whole and makes the most sense. Almost 55 years in Midland County and I would like to continue to share interests with friends and family to the north and west.</p>	Joseph Lunsford	2021-12-24 15:07:42+00

Lange Congressional	Like	This map keeps Midland County whole and makes the most sense. Almost 55 years in Midland County and I would like to continue to share interests with friends and family to the north and west.	Joseph Lunsford	2021-12-24 15:04:41+00
Chestnut	Like	Chestnut is the best choice for Congressional districts.	Janet Goldwasser	2021-12-24 13:34:37+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Makes no sense to separate the City of Midland from Midland County	Greg Rogers	2021-12-24 12:10:18+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Makes no sense to split up the city of Midland from Midland county.	Greg Rogers	2021-12-24 12:08:14+00
Magnolia	Like	Sensible, keeps Midland County whole	Greg Rogers	2021-12-24 12:05:54+00
Pine v5	Like	Very sensible, keeps Midland County whole.	Greg Rogers	2021-12-24 12:04:09+00
Lange Congressional	Like	This map is fair and sensible	Greg Rogers	2021-12-24 12:01:35+00
Chestnut	Dislike	It makes no sense to split Midland County.	Greg Rogers	2021-12-24 11:59:58+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	This map is fair and sensible.	Greg Rogers	2021-12-24 11:54:56+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Horrible map, reject.	Janine Iyer	2021-12-24 02:12:05+00
Palm	Like	Please pack Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti voters together into one district. Thank you.	Truth and Freedom	2021-12-24 01:01:48+00
Palm	Dislike	Packs Ypsilanti and Ann Arbor voters into one district.	Gregory P Fox	2021-12-24 00:11:31+00

Linden	Like	Avoids packing all Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti voters into one overwhelmingly Democratic district.	Gregory P Fox	2021-12-24 00:07:22+00
Palm	Dislike	This map packs Ypsilanti and Ann Arbor voters (predictably Democratic) into one district. This is what we want to avoid. This map seems to really promote gerrymandering. It unnecessarily splits up communities for the sake of partisan gain. While no map is going to be perfect this map really seems to push the interest of select groups rather than communities of interest. The point of this commission is to do its best to draw nonpartisan, and fair maps, this map does not do that.	Gregory P Fox	2021-12-23 23:55:31+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike		James Cameron Hart	2021-12-23 23:13:50+00

With all due respect I do not believe this map fairly represents diverse communities of interest. It seems only promote the interests of large cities and discourage people in other areas of the state from voting because they live in smaller areas, and will not have their voices heard. The intention of these maps should be to promote people in all areas of Michigan to participate in the voting process. While no map will be perfect for everyone they should at least follow the spirit of equal representation.

Lange Senate correct Dislike

James Cameron Hart

2021-12-23 23:01:52+00

This map does not appear to promotes communities of interest. It appears to blatantly promote large urban areas, and go out of its way to discourage smaller rural areas from participating in the election process. Please consider the PALM map.

Kellom Senate

Dislike

James Cameron Hart

2021-12-23 22:45:51+00

Linden	Dislike	<p>This map appears to go above and beyond to promote large urban center and take away the voices of smaller rural areas. While I understand that maps will not be perfect they should at least attempt to be fair for the people of Michigan, and I don't believe this map promotes fairness.</p> <p>Please do not use this map.</p>	James Cameron Hart	2021-12-23 22:34:32+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>This map appears to go out its way to promotes large urban center and take away the voices of smaller rural areas. While maps will not be perfect they should at least attempt to be fair, and I don't believe this map promotes fairness.</p>	James Cameron Hart	2021-12-23 22:27:46+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	<p>This mind seems focused in splitting up communities of interest, and promoting partisan interests. Please do not use this map.</p>	James Cameron Hart	2021-12-23 22:18:51+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>This map discourages appears to be aimed at splitting up communities of interest with the intent of discouraging others from participating in the election process. Please do not consider this map.</p>	James Cameron Hart	2021-12-23 22:15:48+00

Apple V2	Dislike	I do not like this map it looks like blatant gerrymandering. Please do not adopt this map.	James Cameron Hart	2021-12-23 22:12:26+00
Chestnut	Dislike	I don't believe this map follows the spirit of fairness and was designed with gerrymandering in mind. It appears this map promotes special interest groups rather than communities of interest. Please do not use this map.	James Cameron Hart	2021-12-23 22:09:10+00
Magnolia	Dislike	I don't believe this map follows the spirit of fairness and was designed with gerrymandering in mind. It appears this map promotes special interest groups rather than communities of interest. Please do not use this map.	James Cameron Hart	2021-12-23 22:06:36+00
Hickory	Dislike	I don't believe this map follows the spirit of fairness and was designed with gerrymandering in mind. It appears this map promotes special interest groups rather than communities of interest. Please do not use this map.	James Cameron Hart	2021-12-23 22:04:33+00

Szetela House	Dislike	I don't believe this map follows the spirit of fairness and was designed with gerrymandering in mind. It appears this map promotes special interest groups rather than communities of interest. Please do not use this map.	James Cameron Hart	2021-12-23 22:03:18+00
Pine v5	Like	I don't believe this map follows the spirit of fairs and was designed with gerrymandering in mind. It appears this map promotes special interest groups rather than communities of interest. Please do not use this map. This map is fair and representative map. I encourage the commission to please consider this map for the state senate.	James Cameron Hart	2021-12-23 21:56:15+00
Palm	Like	I believe this map is fair and while it is not perfect it does the best job of grouping communities of interest together.	James Cameron Hart	2021-12-23 21:41:31+00
Birch V2	Like	No, this doesn't represent our district's communities of interest. Palm is the best.	James Cameron Hart	2021-12-23 21:34:02+00
Linden	Dislike	NO! This map does NOT represent communities of interest. Scrap it.	Janine Iyer	2021-12-23 20:42:49+00
Cherry V2	Dislike		Janine Iyer	2021-12-23 20:24:34+00

Szetela Congressional	Dislike	No, Birch is better.	Janine Iyer	2021-12-23 20:15:07+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>Terrible map. Midland County should not be divided. On top of that it is very partisan. Midland votes will always be overwhelmed by those from Flint and Saginaw.</p>	John F Lynn	2021-12-23 20:12:25+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>Birch is the most fair and keeps the Livingston County community together.</p>	Janine Iyer	2021-12-23 20:09:08+00
Szetela House	Dislike	This map has unfair partisan scores and should not be used. The Constitution requires that partisan fairness	Connie	2021-12-23 20:08:58+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>be prioritized.</p> <p>No, Birch is better.</p>	Janine Iyer	2021-12-23 20:08:06+00
Hickory	Like	<p>This map has the least unfair partisan scores. The Constitution requires that partisan fairness be prioritized.</p>	Connie	2021-12-23 20:07:11+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>This map has unfair partisan scores and should not be used. The Constitution requires that partisan fairness be prioritized.</p>	Connie	2021-12-23 20:05:14+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>This map should not be used. Hickory is the least unfair. The Constitution requires that partisan fairness be prioritized.</p>	Connie	2021-12-23 20:03:09+00

Chestnut	Dislike	No to this map that divides communities in Wayne and Oakland Counties.	Janine Iyer	2021-12-23 20:01:23+00
		This map has unfair partisan scores and should not be used. The Constitution requires that partisan fairness		
Szetela Senate	Dislike	be prioritized.	Connie	2021-12-23 20:00:35+00
		This map has unfair partisan scores and should not be used. The Constitution requires partisan fairness be		
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	prioritized.	Connie	2021-12-23 19:58:30+00
		I like this map as it keeps Midland whole with Midland Country. While we may have some things in common with Bay City and Saginaw, there many very many thing that we do not want to share with		
Lange Senate correct	Like	them.	John F Lynn	2021-12-23 19:54:46+00
		This map has poor partisan fairness scores and should not be used. The Constitution requires that partisan fairness		
Kellom Senate	Dislike	be prioritized.	Connie	2021-12-23 19:49:33+00
		This map has better partisan fairness than others. The Constitution requires that partisan fairness be		
Linden	Like	prioritized.	Connie	2021-12-23 19:47:21+00

Palm	Dislike	This has the most unfair partisan score and should not be used. The Constitution requires partisan fairness be prioritized.	Connie	2021-12-23 19:44:56+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	This map has better partisan fairness than others. The Constitution requires that partisan fairness be prioritized.	Connie	2021-12-23 19:41:38+00
Lange Congressional	Like	City of Midland should be kept together with Midland County. Makes no sense to do otherwise, unless you want your vote marginalized by Bay City and Saginaw!	John F Lynn	2021-12-23 19:36:27+00

I believe this map should keep Roseville whole and not split up the city of Roseville into two different House seats. The two most northern precincts in Roseville share similar political, economic and sociological characteristics that merit keeping the city of Roseville in the same State House district, which differs vastly from Harrison Township or Chesterfield Township. Roseville would benefit staying together as a community of interest. The two precincts in Roseville that goes all the way to Chesterfield shares very little in common with Harrison Township or Chesterfield Township. Roseville and Fraser share a district court and have similar county commission lines. Roseville also shares municipal services with neighboring communities such as Fraser, Eastpointe and St. Clair

Szetela House

Dislike

Zvonko Blazevski

2021-12-23 19:23:47+00

I believe this map should keep Roseville whole and not split up the city of Roseville into two different House seats. The two most northern precincts in Roseville share similar political, economic and sociological characteristics that merit keeping the city of Roseville in the same State House district, which differs vastly from Harrison Township or Chesterfield Township. Roseville would benefit staying together as a community of interest. The two precincts in Roseville that goes all the way to Chesterfield shares very little in common with Harrison Township or Chesterfield Township. For example, Roseville and Fraser share a district court and have similar county commission lines. Roseville also shares municipal services with neighboring communities such as Fraser, Eastpointe and Zvonko Blazevski

Szetela House

Dislike

2021-12-23 19:15:00+00

While biased REpublican, the Szetela House map is better than some others.

Nonetheless, it splits Lansing into 2 pieces--one is overwhelmed by rural areas of Clinton County and the other is linked with Lansing's southern suburbs. The 75th district (East Lansing and Meridian is attached to a very large rural area in Clinton County. the 73rd district (most of Ingham County is overwhelmingly white and rural. The placement of MSU in this district makes little sense as those students who do vote will be completely overwhelmed by the rest of rural Ingham County.

Szetela House

Dislike

Laurence Rosen

2021-12-23 18:57:58+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>This map retains numerous districts that are tilted strongly towards the republican party which, I believe, was NOT the goals of this exercise. The Lansing area is drawn in such a way as to dilute the interests of Lansing and its close in suburbs by overwhelming the 25th district with an overwhelming rural constituency. Palm should be rejected as unfair and splitting several communities of interest.</p>	Laurence Rosen	2021-12-23 18:38:44+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>Overall Apple V2 is a Republican biased map. I do not like the boundaries of Cong. Dist. #7 as it does NOT keep Ingham, Eaton, and Clinton entirely together as a community of interest.</p>	Laurence Rosen	2021-12-23 18:15:25+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This is the fairest map for the 7th Cong. district. I am particularly happy that Ingham, Eaton, &amp; Clinton have been kept together as a community of interest, thus not diluting the common interests of these 3 counties (plus Livingston County, which also shares many of the same interests).</p>	Laurence Rosen	2021-12-23 18:09:58+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>I like this map the best. It keeps my community, Sterling Heights, together, and the overlapping boundaries allow for a good regional perspective, which is important for strategic decisions for the metropolitan region.</p>	Carolyn DeMates	2021-12-23 18:03:20+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>I like how Sterling Heights is all together, and I like how districts overlap counties, which could provide for a regional perspective. As a metropolitan area, it helps for leadership to have a wider view.</p>	Carolyn DeMates	2021-12-23 17:55:19+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>This is not good. Must keep Alto, small farming community, with other like communities. Democrats always have to CHEST to win. Bad idea for changing state constitution for this.</p>	Connie D Crumpton-Armijo	2021-12-23 17:13:56+00
Palm	Like	<p>Keep lower Kent County like it was. Democrats have to CHEAT to win. Don't let them get away with it.</p>	Connie D Crumpton-Armijo	2021-12-23 17:00:59+00

Lange Congressional	Like	Dear Committee - please keep Midland city with Midland and Gladwin counties. There is no shared objectives integrating district with surrounding cities, divorcing Midland city and county. Thank You-	Brent Rhoads	2021-12-23 16:25:38+00
Palm	Dislike	Regarding State Senate map, Palm, I strongly disapprove of this map. I feel it is just as bad as the current biased map.	Joan Long	2021-12-23 16:18:13+00
Linden	Like	Regarding Senate Map Linden, I support this map because it puts the Gerald R Ford Airpost with Metro Grand Rapids which is very appropriate.	Joan Long	2021-12-23 16:15:02+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Regarding State house map Szetlla, I strongly disapprove of this map for many reasons - one of which is Ada should be linked to metro Grand Rapids, as they have communities of interests.	joan Long	2021-12-23 16:10:47+00
Hickory	Like	Regarding State House map Hicory - I approve of this map because it appears to be a fair map overall.	Joan Long	2021-12-23 16:06:25+00

Birch V2	Dislike	I strongly disapprove of the Birch map for several reasons, most importantly to me is that it links metro Grand Rapids to the rural counties of Ionia and Ottawa.	Joan Long	2021-12-23 15:48:37+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	I support the Lange Map & dislike the Chestnut Map. Keep Midland & Gladwin together, as we share many similarities together.	Aloysius	2021-12-23 14:42:27+00
Chestnut	Like	Chestnut is the best proposed US Congressional map. It is the best map for the most voters. Thank you for all your work on our behalf.	Julie Morris	2021-12-23 12:46:45+00
Palm	Dislike	People of the Eastern UP need representation of area closer to home. Why would we be in a district stretched 100's of miles to what looks like areas south of Ludington?	Andrew Long	2021-12-23 11:10:52+00
Pine v5	Like	This map keeps Midland County whole and helps all those in Gladwin County and Midland County who were impacted by the devastating floods of 2020 and focusing on their needs. Thank you commissioners Wagner, Lang and Clark.	Anne Van Hulle	2021-12-23 03:23:20+00

		Keep Midland and Gladwin counties together as a whole. To carve out Midland City from Midland County is just wrong. Focus on the people's needs and do not dilute their voices.	Anne Van Hulle	2021-12-23 02:51:37+00
Szetela Congressional Dislike		Keep Midland county whole and together with Gladwin county. Focus on the people's needs. Carving out Midland city from Midland County is just wrong.	Anne Van Hulle	2021-12-23 02:45:58+00
Pine v5	Like	Keeps Midland County whole Also part of Gladwin County with flood issue	Donald Deibert	2021-12-23 02:31:05+00
Magnolia	Like	Keeps Midland Whole	Donald Deibert	2021-12-23 02:24:49+00
Magnolia	Like	Keeps Midland Whole	Donald Deibert	2021-12-23 02:24:47+00
Pine v5	Like	Keeps Midland Whole	Donald Deibert	2021-12-23 02:20:19+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	Keeps midland whole	Donald Deibert	2021-12-23 02:17:10+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Keeps Midland County together with other counties in the watershed	Donald Deibert	2021-12-23 02:12:14+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	It makes sense to keep Midland and Gladwin counties whole and together. This focus will help the needs of those who were impacted most by the devastating floods of 2020.	Anne Van Hulle	2021-12-23 01:48:32+00
Linden	Like	seems to be most fair senate map	Jamie Rykse	2021-12-23 01:45:41+00
Hickory	Like	most fair house map	Jamie Rykse	2021-12-23 01:44:04+00

Palm	Dislike	No additional comments	Jamie Rykse	2021-12-23 01:41:24+00
		<p>This map does not represent the flood damaged areas of 2020 that are still recovering and restoring homes, businesses, multiple dams and bridges as well as two lakes and the Tittabawassee watershed and the importance of its combined management is crucial for the environment, recreation, public safety as well as having unified representation in Lansing and D.C., which will give these counties the support for their area's needs.</p>	Anne Van Hulle	2021-12-23 01:32:44+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>It makes sense to keep Midland and Gladwin counties whole and together. This focus will help the needs of those who were impacted most by the devastating floods of 2020.</p>	Anne Van Hulle	2021-12-23 00:57:02+00
Lange Congressional	Like			

Birch V2	Dislike	<p>The map is good in many parts, that being said. Livonia not a Downriver Community. I Personally think if you take it towards Canton, Group Downriver Communities in a couple Districts like some one else suggested. It will be better for all . Thank you for al your hard work and letting me have some input. You should be commended foyour efforts!!!!</p>	Maureen Cirocco	2021-12-23 00:28:33+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>I like this map because it seems fair and it keeps our county (Manistee) from being broken up and shared with other districts.</p>	Rolf Wucherer	2021-12-22 20:37:50+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>I like this map because it keeps our county (Manistee) from being broken up and shared with other districts.</p>	Rolf Wucherer	2021-12-22 20:36:18+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>Birch V2 is the best of the US Congressional voting maps as the majority of votes results in the majority of seats - as tested against 2016 and 2020 election results.</p>	Margaret Bayless	2021-12-22 19:28:28+00

Chestnut is the best and most fairly drawn of the proposed US Congressional voting maps. When tested against 2016 and 2020 election results it reflects the swing from Republican in 2016 to Democrat in 2020

Chestnut

Like

Margaret Bayless

2021-12-22 19:24:51+00

we the people need the Lange Congressional Map. Doesn't matter if you live in the city or the township it is critical to keep the citizens of the City of Midland, Midland County, and our Watershed Neighbors of Gladwin and Isabella Counties aligned together. It is only due to the resilience of our communities working together with local leaders that has allowed people to overcome the hardship caused by the flooding and breaching of the dams. There is much work to be done and now is not the time to be separated from our collective purpose. We The People must continue to stay together so we can 1) address flood reduction and dam repair 2) receive federal grant dollars for our Health Care System 3) promote tax and energy policies that drive Mid-Michigan's economy

Lange Congressional Pine v5	Like	and 4) increase internet	Brenda Guest	2021-12-22 18:45:20+00
Chestnut	Like	Heavily favor this map!	Gary W Schlack	2021-12-22 18:43:50+00
Birch V2	Dislike	dislike	Linda Pruss	2021-12-22 18:31:46+00
	Like	Like	Linda Pruss	2021-12-22 18:29:46+00

Apple V2	Dislike	The only way I would support this map is if it was revised to unify the city of Midland with Midland county. It makes no sense otherwise.	Jennifer Majorana	2021-12-22 18:05:39+00
Birch V2	Dislike	The only way I would support this map is if it was revised to unify the city of Midland with Midland county. It makes no sense otherwise.	Jennifer Majorana	2021-12-22 18:05:09+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Ok, I appreciate the commissioners' job is not easy. BUT. This map is honestly...disgraceful. Please, please, please. Your maps will affect constituents for many generations - and how can I explain this to them? Nothing about this map makes any sense. Say NO to Szetela House map. Keep Midland County whole and do NOT lump us in with Bay City, with whom we are NOT a community of interest. Concerned citizens beware!!	Jennifer Majorana	2021-12-22 18:03:06+00

Please listen to the many voices on this portal begging for fair representation! Midland county should not be sliced and diced up. Families in the city of Midland and Midland/Gladwin counties have so much more in common with education, the watershed, law enforcement, etc., than the city of Midland does with Saginaw or Bay City. Midlanders are asking you for fair representation, please. Thank you for your hard work and we are trusting you to do the right thing.

Szetela Senate

Dislike

Jennifer Majorana

2021-12-22 18:00:02+00

Please listen to the many voices on this portal begging for fair representation! Midland county should not be sliced and diced up. Families in the city of Midland and Midland/Gladwin counties have so much more in common with education, the watershed, law enforcement, etc., than the city of Midland does with Saginaw or Bay City. Midlanders are asking you for fair representation, please. Thank you for your hard work and we are trusting you to do the right thing.

Kellom Senate

Dislike

Jennifer Majorana

2021-12-22 17:59:14+00

Speaking for Midland - Please listen to the many voices on this portal begging for fair representation! Midland county should not be sliced and diced up, and Midland certainly doesn't belong with Saginaw and Bay City. The only people who will tell you that have a partisan agenda. Families in the city of Midland and Midland/Gladwin counties have so much more in common with education, the watershed, law enforcement, etc., than the city of Midland does with Saginaw or Bay City. Midlanders are asking you for fair representation, please. Thank you for your hard work and we are trusting you to do the right thing.

Linden

Dislike

Jennifer Majorana

2021-12-22 17:57:46+00

Please listen to the many voices on this portal begging for fair representation! Midland county should not be sliced and diced up. Families in the city of Midland and Midland/Gladwin counties have so much more in common with education, the watershed, law enforcement, etc., than the city of Midland does with Saginaw or Bay City. Midlanders are asking you for fair representation, please. Thank you for your hard work and we are trusting you to do the right thing.

Palm

Dislike

Jennifer Majorana

2021-12-22 17:55:12+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>Please listen to the many voices on this portal begging for fair representation! Midland county should not be sliced and diced up. Families in the city of Midland and Midland/Gladwin counties have so much more in common with education, the watershed, law enforcement, etc., than the city of Midland does with Saginaw or Bay City. Midlanders are asking you for fair representation, please. Thank you for your hard work and we are trusting you to do the right thing.</p> <p>As a resident of Midland county and the city of Midland, I'm so disappointed and frustrated by these efforts to group our representation with urban areas like Saginaw and Flint. We have completely different interests and needs than these areas. Say no to Szetela - it's NOT a fair map. Please listen to the many voices on this portal begging for fair representation!</p>	Jennifer Majorana	2021-12-22 17:53:42+00
Szetela Congressional Dislike	Dislike		Jennifer Majorana	2021-12-22 17:48:52+00

Chestnut	Dislike	As a resident of Midland county and the city of Midland, I'm so disappointed and frustrated by these efforts to group our representation with urban areas like Saginaw and Flint. We have completely different interests and needs than these areas. Say no to Chestnut - it's NOT a fair map.	Jennifer Majorana	2021-12-22 17:47:20+00
Magnolia	Like	Thank you, commissioners! This map makes logical sense for Midland county. Fair map. Great map. Keep Midland county intact! Some of the other maps make no sense by carving the city of Midland out of the rest of the county. This one makes logical sense.	Jennifer Majorana	2021-12-22 17:44:04+00
Pine v5	Like	Thank you! This map is commonsense and logical in keeping Midland intact. I support this map!	Jennifer Majorana	2021-12-22 17:42:47+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	Thank you! Taking the city of Midland out of Midland county makes no sense at all. Please let	Jennifer Majorana	2021-12-22 17:41:30+00
Lange Congressional	Like	common sense prevail.	Gary Quellman	2021-12-22 17:37:41+00

		Talk about gerrymandering! This map looks like something a politician would draw. Totally ridiculous, especially separating the City of Midland from the rest of Midland		
Szetela House	Dislike	County!	J Michael Dizer	2021-12-22 17:31:06+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	Dividing Midland County and putting the City of Midland with Saginaw doesn't make sense.	J Michael Dizer	2021-12-22 17:28:22+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	I dislike this map because it splits Midland County and separates the City of Midland from the rest of the county. It also combines Midland with Saginaw which have little in common with each other.	J Michael Dizer	2021-12-22 17:24:32+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This map divides Jackson County, please keep our County whole.	Kathleen Thorrez	2021-12-22 17:00:43+00
Birch V2	Dislike	This map breaks up Jackson County which by itself is a Community of interest. Please keep Jackson County intact an undivided.	Kathleen Thorrez	2021-12-22 16:52:46+00
Birch V2	Dislike	I dislike this map as it is too divisive, it breaks up Jackson County.	Michelle Wilbur	2021-12-22 16:51:35+00

Apple V2	Dislike	This map unfortunately divides Jackson County, please keep our County whole.	Kathleen Thorrez	2021-12-22 16:46:43+00
Apple V2	Dislike	I dislike this map as it does not keep Jackson County whole.	Michelle Wilbur	2021-12-22 16:45:34+00
Linden	Dislike	It looks like this map has a lot of 'likes' but for Midland and Saginaw counties, it makes little sense. Splitting these two jurisdictions up and combining Midland with Saginaw does not represent our communities.	J Michael Dizer	2021-12-22 16:44:47+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Please do not connect the city of Midland with Bay County. I Dislike this map, it connects us to the city of Ann Arbor which we have little in common and would not provide representation for the rural farm towns which	Christa Krohn	2021-12-22 16:40:56+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Jackson supports. I dislike this map as cuts off eastern Jackson county, but ties us to Ann Arbor, which	Kathleen Thorrez	2021-12-22 16:40:04+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	we have little in common. Please do not divide Midland	Michelle Wilbur	2021-12-22 16:39:53+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	county. Please do not slice up	Christa Krohn	2021-12-22 16:39:40+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Midland County.	Christa Krohn	2021-12-22 16:38:45+00

Linden	Dislike	Please do not slice up Midland County. Splitting Midland County apart does not keep our COP together. Keep Midland County whole for the Senate	Christa Krohn	2021-12-22 16:37:56+00
Palm	Dislike	Districts in MI!	J Michael Dizer	2021-12-22 16:37:39+00
Palm	Dislike	Please do not slice up Midland County	Christa Krohn	2021-12-22 16:37:03+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Please do not remove the city of Midland from the county.	Christa Krohn	2021-12-22 16:35:45+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Please do not split Midland county. There is no reason within your criteria that the commission must follow (it's the law), that Lenawee County should have 4 state representatives. This map blows up our community of interest.	Christa Krohn	2021-12-22 16:34:47+00
Magnolia	Dislike		Robert P. Knoblauch	2021-12-22 16:33:27+00
Magnolia	Like	I approve of this map keeping Midland county whole.	Christa Krohn	2021-12-22 16:33:19+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	No!	Karen Lynn Lindholm	2021-12-22 16:33:10+00
Pine v5	Like	I approve of this map keeping Midland County whole. This map combines the small town of Jackson with the city of Ann Arbor, which we have	Christa Krohn	2021-12-22 16:32:28+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	little in common.	Kathleen Thorrez	2021-12-22 16:31:12+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	Splitting Midland and Saginaw counties and putting parts of each into separate CD's does not support the Commissions stated goals and does not keep the Midland or Saginaw County COP's whole.	J Michael Dizer	2021-12-22 16:30:47+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	I approve of this map keeping Midland County and Gladden together.	Christa Krohn	2021-12-22 16:30:45+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	Keep Jackson whole. No Ann Arbor	Karen Lynn lindholm	2021-12-22 16:29:53+00
Lange Congressional	Like	I appreciate the boundaries that are made in this map.	Christa Krohn	2021-12-22 16:28:56+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	i dislike this map as it combines Jackson with Ann Arbor. Please keep Jackson whole, do not combine with Chelsea or Ann Arbor.	Michelle Wilbur	2021-12-22 16:28:26+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	No to the maps	Karen Lynn lindholm	2021-12-22 16:27:19+00
Szetela House	Dislike	I dislike this map since it connects with Ann Arbor which has nothing in common with our rural region of Jackson County.	Kathleen Thorrez	2021-12-22 16:25:57+00

		Taking the City of Midland out of the CD that includes the rest of Midland County and putting this in with the district that includes Saginaw and Flint is exactly opposite of what the Commission is supposed to do, (keep COP's together). The City of Midland belongs with the rest		
Szetela Congressional House	Dislike	of Midland County!	J Michael Dizer	2021-12-22 16:25:49+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Stay out of Ann Arbor	Karen Lynn Lindholm	2021-12-22 16:23:30+00
Szetela House	Dislike	I dislike this map as it ties Jackson county with Ann Arbor.	Michelle Wilbur	2021-12-22 16:22:58+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Splitting Midland County between two congressional districts makes no sense at all. If the Commission is serious about keeping communities together, it will reject this map as just another gerrymandering exercise. These house maps divide up Jackson County into 4 separate house seats. This makes representation very complicated on who represents your needs and having like minded areas of interest.	J Michael Dizer	2021-12-22 16:21:39+00
Hickory	Dislike		Kathleen Thorrez	2021-12-22 16:19:52+00
Hickory	Dislike	No to this.	Karen LynnLindholm@comcast.net	2021-12-22 16:19:32+00

Hickory	Dislike	I dislike this map as it breaks up our community.	Michelle Wilbur	2021-12-22 16:19:14+00
Hickory	Like	This map makes sense because it keeps Midland County whole.	J Michael Dizer	2021-12-22 16:18:33+00
Magnolia	Like	This map keeps Midland County whole for the State Legislative district	J Michael Dizer	2021-12-22 16:16:26+00
Pine v5	Like	I like this map keeping Midland County whole	J Michael Dizer	2021-12-22 16:14:11+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Stop the insanity. Keeping Midland County whole makes the most sense for the MI Senate redistricting efforts.	Karen Lynn Lindholm	2021-12-22 16:11:52+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	City of Midland should not be removed from Midland County	J Michael Dizer	2021-12-22 16:11:24+00
Szetela House	Dislike	I dislike this map as it divides Jackson county rather than keeping it whole.	Jon Lynch	2021-12-22 16:09:53+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Not a good strategy. Stop it.	Michelle Wilbur	2021-12-22 16:09:38+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Leave Jackson alone. Dividing Midland County	Karen Lynn lindholm	2021-12-22 16:09:05+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	makes no sense	Jon Lynch	2021-12-22 16:09:00+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Dividing Midland County makes no sense	Jon Lynch	2021-12-22 16:08:34+00
Linden	Dislike	Dividing Midland County makes no sense	Jon Lynch	2021-12-22 16:08:07+00
Lange Congressional	Like	This map seems fair and makes the most sense	J Michael Dizer	2021-12-22 16:07:38+00
Palm	Dislike	Dividing Midland County makes no sense	Jon Lynch	2021-12-22 16:07:31+00

Pine v5	Dislike	I dislike this map as this ties a portion of Jackson county with Ann Arbor.	Michelle Wilbur	2021-12-22 16:07:30+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Dividing Midland County makes no sense	Jon Lynch	2021-12-22 16:06:43+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Taking Midland out of Midland County makes no sense.	Jon Lynch	2021-12-22 16:05:49+00
Chestnut	Like	This map is positive for rural communities and keeps an easy line of support by our Representative.	Kathleen Thorrez	2021-12-22 16:04:45+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Dividing Midland County makes no sense.	Jon Lynch	2021-12-22 16:04:35+00
Pine v5	Like	Like	Jon Lynch	2021-12-22 16:03:21+00
Lange Congressional	Like	This is a sensible map.	Jon Lynch	2021-12-22 16:02:32+00
Magnolia	Like	.	Jon Lynch	2021-12-22 16:01:14+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	This is a sensible map.	Jon Lynch	2021-12-22 16:00:33+00
Chestnut	Like	Better redistributing keep us where we belong.	Karen Lynn lindholm	2021-12-22 15:59:38+00
Pine v5	Like	.	Jon Lynch	2021-12-22 15:58:46+00
Linden	Dislike	This map does not keep in line with the Community of interests, it places Jackson into the city of Ann Arbor which is highly evolved and will easily overlook Jackson which supports a rural farming community.	Kathleen Thorrez	2021-12-22 15:42:57+00

		This congressional map that may work if the Commission puts back Midland City into the County. Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin County.		
Apple V2	Opinion	No Gerrymandering. This congressional map that may work if the Commission puts back Midland City into the County. Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin County.	Mary Lou McEwan	2021-12-22 15:39:53+00
Birch V2	Opinion	No Gerrymandering. I disagree with this map as it includes Ann Arbor with Jackson county. Which are vastly different.	Mary Lou McEwan	2021-12-22 15:37:53+00
Linden	Dislike	I This map does not represent Jackson as its own entity. Keep out of Ann Arbor.	Michelle M Wilbur	2021-12-22 15:37:33+00
Linden	Like		Karen Lynn lindholm	2021-12-22 15:37:32+00
Szetela House	Dislike	This statehouse 'robot arm' map connects City of Midland to Bay City. Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin County. No Gerrymandering.	Mary Lou McEwan	2021-12-22 15:35:13+00

Szetela Senate	Dislike	This state senate map slices up Midland County. Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin County. No Gerrymandering.	Mary Lou McEwan	2021-12-22 15:33:37+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This state senate map slices up Midland County. Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin County. No Gerrymandering.	Mary Lou McEwan	2021-12-22 15:32:06+00
Linden	Dislike	This state senate map slices up Midland County. Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin County. No Gerrymandering.	Mary Lou McEwan	2021-12-22 15:30:27+00
Palm	Dislike	This state senate map slices up Midland County. Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin County. No Gerrymandering.	Mary Lou McEwan	2021-12-22 15:28:34+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	The state senate map slices up Midland County. Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin County. No Gerrymandering.	Mary Lou McEwan	2021-12-22 15:26:54+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike		The congressional map slices City of Midland out of Midland County. Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin County. No Gerrymandering. Prefer this map the best. It is a truer look at the community as a whole. Jackson needs to be itâ€™s own entity partnering with our own rural areas. Keep us out of Washtenaw County. We donâ€™t need to be a part of	Mary Lou McEwan	2021-12-22 15:25:07+00
Palm	Like	Ann Arbor	Karen Lynn Lindholm	2021-12-22 15:23:41+00
Palm	Like	Of all the maps presented, this one keeps my region of Jackson more connected than the other maps. However Jackson is still divided and it would be better to keep the center of the city of Jackson connected to smaller rural communities other than Washtenaw County which has a major city.	Shelly Wilbur	2021-12-22 15:23:19+00

Chestnut	Dislike	The congressional map fractures Midland County; half of the county goes to the Flint District. Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin County. No Gerrymandering.	Mary Lou McEwan	2021-12-22 15:23:17+00
Chestnut	Dislike	I don't like this map because it again divides downriver. Also, the district that I am in will extend all the way to Novi and all the way west to Washtenaw County. A congressional district with three counties included is not optimum. I would rather be grouped with Monroe County than this configuration.	Deborah Blair-Krosnicki	2021-12-22 15:22:44+00
Magnolia	Like	This statehouse map keeps Midland County whole. Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin County. No Gerrymandering.	Mary Lou McEwan	2021-12-22 15:12:18+00
Magnolia	Like	This map keeps midland county whole. I approve	Carl L Hamann	2021-12-22 15:11:01+00
Pine v5	Like	This statehouse map keeps Midland County whole. Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin County. No Gerrymandering.	Mary Lou McEwan	2021-12-22 15:10:42+00

Lange Senate correct	Like	This is the only state senate map keeping Midland County whole and connected to Gladwin. Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin County. No	Mary Lou McEwan	2021-12-22 15:09:07+00
Pine v5	Like	Gerrymandering. I approve of this map. It keeps midland county whole!!!	Carl L Hamann	2021-12-22 15:08:52+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin County. No	Mary Lou McEwan	2021-12-22 15:07:26+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	Gerrymandering. I approve of this map. It keeps midland county and Gladwin	Carl L Hamann	2021-12-22 15:06:42+00
Lange Congressional	Like	county together. This map keep midland county with Gladwin county	Carl L Hamann	2021-12-22 15:04:33+00
Lange Congressional	Like	The Floods showed how this needs to be a systemic solution with Everyone on the same page. Keep Midland and Gladwin together.	Joseph Bonadies	2021-12-22 14:56:36+00
Apple V2	Opinion	This congressional map that may work if the Commission puts back Midland City into the County. Keeping Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin County. No	Francis A McEwan	2021-12-22 14:53:33+00

Chestnut	Dislike	Keep Midland City with Midland County	Brad Morse	2021-12-22 14:52:14+00
		This is a congressional map that may work if the Commission puts back Midland City into the County. Keep Midland County whole and connected to Gladwin.		
Birch V2	Opinion	No Gerrymandering. Keep Midland City with	Francis A McEwan	2021-12-22 14:51:17+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Midland County	Brad Morse	2021-12-22 14:49:47+00
		This statehouse 'robot arm' map connects City of Midland to Bay City. Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin County.		
Szetela House	Dislike	No Gerrymandering. This state senate map slices up Midland County. Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin	Francis A McEwan	2021-12-22 14:49:03+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	County. No Gerrymandering	Francis A McEwan	2021-12-22 14:47:16+00
		This state senate map slices up Midland County. Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin		
Kellom Senate	Dislike	County. No Gerrymandering.	Francis A McEwan	2021-12-22 14:45:21+00

Lange Congressional	Like	Thank you for keeping Midland county whole and honoring flood recovery for 2020 flood victims. This map makes common sense. Thank you!	Jennifer Majorana	2021-12-22 14:44:31+00
Linden	Dislike	This state senate map slices up Midland County. Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin County. No Gerrymandering	Francis A McEwan	2021-12-22 14:43:30+00
Palm	Dislike	This state senate map slices up Midland County. Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin County. No Gerrymandering.	Francis A McEwan	2021-12-22 14:41:24+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This state senate map slicies up Midland County. Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin County. No Gerrymandering.	Francis A McEwan	2021-12-22 14:39:29+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	This congressional map slices City of Midland out of Midland County. Keep Midland City connected to Midland County and Gladwin County. No Gerrymandering. I like that it keeps the city of Midland and Midland county in the same unit!	Francis A McEwan	2021-12-22 14:37:22+00
Lange Congressional	Like		Cheryl Collins	2021-12-22 14:36:06+00

		This congressional map fractures Midland County; half of the county goes to the Flint District. Keep Midland County whole and connected to Gladwin. No		
Chestnut	Dislike	Gerrymandering.	Francis A McEwan	2021-12-22 14:35:13+00
		This is statehouse map keeping Midland County		
Pine v5	Like	whole. No Gerrymandering.	Francis A McEwan	2021-12-22 14:33:12+00
		This is statehouse map keeping Midland County		
Magnolia	Like	whole. No Gerrymandering.	Francis A McEwan	2021-12-22 14:31:40+00
		This is a statehouse map keeping Midland County		
Pine v5	Like	whole. No Gerrymandering.	Francis A McEwan	2021-12-22 14:30:08+00
		This is the only state senate map keeping Midland County whole and connected to Gladwin. No		
Lange Senate correct	Like	Gerrymandering.	Francis A McEwan	2021-12-22 14:28:10+00
		Keep Midland County whole and connected to Gladwin.		
Lange Congressional	Like	NO Gerrymandering.	Francis A. McEwan	2021-12-22 14:22:52+00

Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This is a bad map because it combines Midland with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint. As someone who lives in Midland, travels to Saginaw for work, visits Bay City often, and grew up in Genesee County, there is absolutely nothing in common with these communities and Midland. Midland County deserves to be unified in a single voice.</p>	Aaron Majorana	2021-12-22 14:18:30+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>This is a bad map because it combines Midland with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint. As someone who lives in Midland, travels to Saginaw for work, visits Bay City often, and grew up in Genesee County, there is absolutely nothing in common with these communities and Midland. Midland County deserves to be unified.</p>	Aaron Majorana	2021-12-22 14:17:39+00

Szetela House	Dislike	<p>The "robot arm" lives on! The very definition of gerrymandering. This is a terrible map that divides Midland County and places Midland in a very narrow district that connects to Bay City. As someone who lives in Midland and visits Bay City often, there is nothing in common between these two cities. Midland County deserves a unified voice.</p> <p>This is a bad map because it combines Midland with Bay City, and Saginaw. As someone who lives in Midland, travels to Saginaw for work and visits Bay City often, there is absolutely nothing in common with these communities and Midland. Midland County deserves a unified voice.</p>	Aaron Majorana	2021-12-22 14:15:19+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike		Aaron Majorana	2021-12-22 14:10:06+00

Kellom Senate Dislike This is a bad map because it combines Midland with Bay City, and Saginaw. As someone who lives in Midland, travels to Saginaw for work and visits Bay City often, there is absolutely nothing in common with these communities and Midland. Midland County deserves a unified voice. Aaron Majorana 2021-12-22 14:08:36+00

Linden Dislike This is a bad map because it divides Midland County and combines Midland with Bay City, and Saginaw. As someone who lives in Midland, travels to Saginaw for work and visits Bay City often, there is absolutely nothing in common with these communities and Midland. It should be telling that all the comments approving this map care more about the fact that it does not favor Republicans (but favors Democrats) than about whether the communities at issue have anything in common. Midland County deserves a unified voice. Aaron Majorana 2021-12-22 14:07:06+00

Palm	Dislike	This is a bad map because it divides Midland county and combines Midland with Bay City and Saginaw. As someone who lives in Midland, travels to Saginaw for work and visits Bay City often there is absolutely nothing in common with these communities and Midland.	Aaron Majorana	2021-12-22 14:05:08+00
Szetela House	Like	Allegan County needs to be complete under one district.	Margaret Harrison	2021-12-22 14:03:33+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This is a bad map because it splits up Midland County and combines Midland with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint. As someone who lives in Midland, travels to Saginaw for work, visits Bay City often, and grew up in Genesee County, there is absolutely nothing in common with these communities and Midland. Midland county deserves a unified voice.	Aaron Majorana	2021-12-22 14:03:11+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike

This is a bad map because it combines Midland with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint and cuts Midland out of Midland County. It's the very definition of Gerrymandering As someone who lives in Midland, travels to Saginaw for work, visits Bay City often, and grew up in Genesee County, there is absolutely nothing in common with these communities and Midland.

Aaron Majorana

2021-12-22 14:00:57+00

Chestnut Dislike

This is a bad map because it combines Midland with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint. As someone who lives in Midland, travels to Saginaw for work, visits Bay City often, and grew up in Genesee County, there is absolutely nothing in common with these communities and Midland. It should be telling that all the comments approving this map care more about the fact that it does not favor Republicans (but favors Democrats) than about whether the communities at issue have anything in common.

Aaron Majorana

2021-12-22 13:59:47+00

Hickory	Like	<p>This is a good map because it keeps Midland County whole. As a Midland resident who regularly travels to Saginaw for work and to Bay City, Midland has nothing in common with Saginaw or Bay City. Midland County deserves a unified voice.</p>	Aaron Majorana	2021-12-22 13:53:38+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>This is a good map because it keeps Midland County whole. As a Midland resident who regularly travels to Saginaw for work and to Bay City, Midland has nothing in common with Saginaw or Bay City. Midland County deserves a unified voice.</p>	Aaron Majorana	2021-12-22 13:52:51+00
Pine v5	Like	<p>This is a good map because it keeps Midland County whole. As someone who travels regularly to Saginaw for work and to Bay City, Midland has nothing in common with Bay City or Saginaw. Midland County deserves a unified voice.</p>	Aaron Majorana	2021-12-22 13:50:43+00

Lange Senate correct	Like	<p>This is a good map because it keeps Midland whole. As someone who travels regularly to Saginaw for work and to Bay City, Midland has absolutely nothing in common with Saginaw and Bay City. Midland has much more in common with the other communities in Midland and Gladwin Counties because they were all affected by flooding and deserve a unified voice. Thank you.</p>	Aaron Majorana	2021-12-22 13:48:36+00
Lange Congressional	Like	<p>This is a good map because it keeps Midland whole. As a resident of Midland who travels often for work to Saginaw and Bay City and Flint, Midland has absolutely nothing in common with these cities. It makes the most sense to keep Midland county whole. Thank you.</p>	Aaron Majorana	2021-12-22 13:45:34+00
Apple V2	Opinion	<p>Put Midland City with Midland County and its north and west neighbors and this map may be rather good.</p>	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-12-22 13:45:07+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>Put Midland City with Midland County and its north and west neighbors and this map may be rather good.</p>	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-12-22 13:44:06+00

Szetela House	Dislike	<p>This suggestion for Midland County and its neighbors is a legislative nightmare. What are you thinking????</p> <p>Reminder, You are suppose to be representing "The People".</p>	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-12-22 13:42:10+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	<p>Keep Midland City with it's county and North and Western Neighbors. This makes no sense as you don't need the added population to the already really big cities.</p>	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-12-22 13:38:49+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	<p>Keep Midland City with it's county and North and Western Neighbors. This makes no sense as you don't need the added population to the already really big cities.</p>	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-12-22 13:37:53+00
Linden	Dislike	<p>Keep Midland City with it's county and North and Western Neighbors. This makes no sense as you don't need the added population to the already really big cities.</p>	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-12-22 13:36:46+00

Palm	Dislike	Keep Midland City with it's county and North and Western Neighbors. This makes no sense as you don't need the added population to the already really big cities. Again you are splitting up Midland County. Keep Midland City with it's county and North and Western Neighbors. This makes no sense as you don't need the added population to the	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-12-22 13:35:55+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	already really big cities.	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-12-22 13:34:42+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Keep Midland City with it's county and North and Western Neighbors. This makes no sense as you don't need the added population to the already really big cities.	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-12-22 13:33:11+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Do not like. Midland County is fractured.	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-12-22 13:30:13+00
Magnolia	Like	This is a good map for Midland County. Again why a tiny piece of Williams Township????? What's wrong with easy boundaries?	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-12-22 13:27:37+00

		Thank you for keeping Midland County whole. What is that tiny little Williams Charter Township thing.		
Pine v5	Like	Really!!!!!! Please do not place Midland with Flint. There is very little in common with these	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-12-22 13:24:27+00
Chestnut	Dislike	communities. Thank you for keeping Midland total in this map. Trying to make this process accomplished quicker and realized that every map you change the District numbers.	Rodney Kloha	2021-12-22 13:21:31+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	That is confusing!!! This map keeps Midland whole.	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-12-22 13:20:52+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Thank you for keeping Midland County whole. Could work in Midland City was included with the rest of	Rodney Kloha	2021-12-22 13:16:05+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Midland County This is a really strange map for Midland and Bay City, and especially unfair for Midland and Gladwin County	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-12-22 13:15:35+00
Apple V2	Opinion	NO this may does not map well for our communities Not a fair map for my community	David Kepler	2021-12-22 11:56:59+00
Szetela House	Dislike	makes most sense	David E Kepler ii	2021-12-22 11:54:42+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike		David E Kepler	2021-12-22 11:52:52+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike		David E Keple	2021-12-22 11:50:59+00
Lange Congressional	Like		Thayer Brown	2021-12-22 11:48:54+00

Palm	Dislike	This make no sense for my community that is close to the City of Midland	David Kepler	2021-12-22 11:48:49+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	A map that has a district that wraps around several counties, is unfair to Midland County and the communities around it	David E Kepler	2021-12-22 11:46:43+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	So you take one city and just move it over for balance ? You can't drive through midland county and think this is fair	David E Kepler	2021-12-22 11:43:30+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map makes no sense for Flint or Midland or the communities in between.	David E Kepler	2021-12-22 11:41:24+00
Magnolia	Like	This is a map that reflects the community connections that exists in Midland and Gladwin County,	David E Kepler	2021-12-22 11:38:00+00
Pine v5	Like	As a resident who has family busineses in Midland, but a home north of Midland, this Map is a good reflection of a communities that has economic, social and environmental connections	David E Kepler	2021-12-22 11:36:01+00

Lange Senate correct	Like	This map is the best map that reflects how the communities between Midland and MT pleasant, and the relationships that exists, it's a good balance of Midsize and small communities that have a common set of social, environmental interests	David E Kepler	2021-12-22 11:32:07+00
Lange Congressional	Like	This is the only map that considers the Economic, Social and Environmental Connections of the Counties in especially as it relates to Gladwin and Midland County	David E Kepler	2021-12-22 11:26:44+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	The city of Midland should remain with Midland County. This is a bad map. Midland shouldn't be combined	Laurence Richard Larson	2021-12-22 05:35:52+00
Chestnut	Dislike	with Flint.	Laurence Richard Larson	2021-12-22 05:32:41+00
Pine v5	Like	This is a decent option.	Laurence Richard Larson	2021-12-22 05:26:14+00
Pine v5	Like	This is a pretty fair option.	Laurence Richard Larson	2021-12-22 05:24:31+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	This is the best option.	Laurence Richard Larson	2021-12-22 05:18:57+00
Lange Congressional	Like	This is the best option.	Laurence Richard Larson	2021-12-22 05:15:19+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map is unfair.	Daniel Kozakiewicz	2021-12-22 03:57:59+00
Birch V2	Dislike	This map is unfair.	Daniel Kozakiewicz	2021-12-22 03:57:05+00
Szetela House	Dislike	I do not support this map.	Daniel Kozakiewicz	2021-12-22 03:54:16+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	This map is not fair.	Daniel Kozakiewicz	2021-12-22 03:53:28+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	I do not support this map.	Daniel Kozakiewicz	2021-12-22 03:52:47+00
Linden	Dislike	I do not support this map.	Daniel Kozakiewicz	2021-12-22 03:51:57+00
Palm	Dislike	I disagree with this map.	Daniel Kozakiewicz	2021-12-22 03:51:22+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	I disagree with this map.	Daniel Kozakiewicz	2021-12-22 03:50:38+00

		Downriver and Detroit Suburbs have different issues than Ann Arbor. Redesign this Senate District so Downriver can have it's own Senate Seat and Ann Arbor can have		
Birch V2	Dislike	theres.	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:50:03+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	This map is unfair. Midland looks like a poop coming out of Bay City. Um	Daniel Kozakiewicz	2021-12-22 03:49:21+00
Birch V2	Dislike	No!	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:48:27+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map is not fair. A Gerrymandered Masterpiece. Did you actually carve out voting districts to get the right effect? No. No.	Daniel Kozakiewicz	2021-12-22 03:47:46+00
Szetela House	Dislike	No.	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:47:24+00
Pine v5	Like	This is a fair map.	Daniel Kozakiewicz	2021-12-22 03:46:26+00
Magnolia	Like	Keep Midland county whole. I live in Midland County. We will not have Representation if Drowned out by Bay City and Saginaw. Please keep these larger Counties	Daniel Kozakiewicz	2021-12-22 03:45:39+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	Separate	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:45:12+00
Pine v5	Like	Keep Midland County whole. Could be better. Unite	Daniel Kozakiewicz	2021-12-22 03:44:41+00
Szetela Senate	Like	Downriver	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:43:58+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	This is a fair map.	Daniel Kozakiewicz	2021-12-22 03:43:16+00

This is one of the five last minute maps added by a change in the procedural rules of the commission and not consistent with the Constitutional provisions of the petition drive. Obviously it has not been as fully considered as the three collaborative Senate maps but suddenly many many people from Ann Arbor have been ready to approve it, mostly without giving any reason for doing so. Please compare the comments approving the palm map for reasons given with the multiple comments on both maps disapproving without giving a reason. Please consider this comment to apply to all of the five new plans that reach into Washtenaw County, the city of Ann Arbor and even further East.

Linden

Dislike

Bruce Barton

2021-12-22 03:42:49+00

Worst Map yet for Downriver. Chopped us up like Hamburger. Who is the Brainbleed who designed this! Awful! No No No. Keep Downriver Communities together.

Kellom Senate

Dislike

Cindy Kallgren

2021-12-22 03:42:29+00

Lange Senate correct	Like	This is a fair map. Keep Midland/Gladwin	Daniel Kozakiewicz	2021-12-22 03:41:36+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Whole. This is better but still Allen	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:40:18+00
Linden	Like	Park should be part of Downriver.	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:39:20+00
Linden	Dislike	No. Not a good fit for Midland.	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:38:18+00
Lange Congressional	Like	This is a good map.	Daniel Kozakiewicz	2021-12-22 03:38:03+00
Palm	Like	The Downriver Portion of this map is better but I still feel Allen Park should be included and drop off something like Sumpter to the WEst.	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:37:12+00
Palm	Dislike	No. This is Awful. Keep Midland County out of Saginaw and Bay Counties.	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:35:07+00
Cherry V2	Like	As a Downriver Resident for 56 years of my life, I feel more effort should be made to group Downriver Communities together. Allen Park should be part of this map. Why are they set on making it part of Detroit? This map is better than some.	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:33:47+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	No, just no. Midland needs to be attached to the West.	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:31:15+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike		No, Just no. Looke at Midland Gerrymandered. My Subdivision is a Carved out Penninsula into another District. Keep Midland County and Gladwin Together. This is where we live and work.	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:29:53+00
Szetela Congressional Dislike		No. Stop Carving up Downriver. Downriver/ Ann Arbor and Jackson County have no common ground. There will be no representation of Downriver or Jackson because AA will get all the attention. This is a big No. Worst Map yet	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:28:02+00
Chestnut	Dislike	No, No No NO! This is the worst of the worst. Say no to Chestnut. Midland is an afterthought and will have no voice.	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:26:48+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This is a good map for Midland/Gladwin County	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:24:49+00
Pine v5	Like		Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:22:49+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Why is this Gerrymandered into Ecorse and Splitting River Rouge. Keep Downriver Represented by grouping our communities together.	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:21:49+00

Magnolia	Like	This is ok. I like to see Downriver treated as it's own entity. Better Representation if a community is portioned together.	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:19:06+00
Magnolia	Like	Keep Midland County separate from Bay and Saginaw. This is a good map for Mid Michigan	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:17:38+00
Pine v5	Like	Thank you for keeping Midland County Whole and together with Sanford and Gladwin Co	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:15:27+00
Pine v5	Dislike	The DownRiver area should be united. Please keep Trenton, Gross Isle, Woodhaven, Riverview, and Huron in the same district	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:13:22+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	I like this Map for Midland Gladwin Senate District.	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:09:47+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	Downriver area deserves its own area of Representation. District 6 extends to Ann Arbor and Grass Lake. Not even the same neighborhood.	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:06:15+00
Birch V2	Like	this is the best map yet. Please adopt this map it is the fairest map proposed.	Larry Parsons	2021-12-22 03:02:34+00

Lange Congressional	Like	I like this map. This is the Community I most likely travel in. Midland/Sanford/Gladwin County.	Cindy Kallgren	2021-12-22 03:00:48+00
Magnolia	Like	I like this map it does the best job of keeping everything fair and proportional, this map is by far the fairest map available. Please adopt this map as it is the best one.	Larry Parsons	2021-12-22 03:00:35+00
Palm	Like	The importance of the city of Jackson and it's connection with the rest of rural and agricultural Jackson County, from corner to corner, a community of interest, is clear from the fact that the county fairgrounds and the annual County Fair are in the Jackson City limits. The city is In the middle of Jackson County.	Bruce Barton	2021-12-22 02:56:29+00
Palm	Like	I like this Map, It is fair and represents everyone very well. The lines are fairly drawn and reflect the best interests of the voters. Please Adopt this map It's by far the best map there is for the people.	Larry Parsons	2021-12-22 02:52:58+00

Apple V2	Opinion	May work if the city of Midland is put back with Midland County as a whole.	Amanda Oster	2021-12-22 02:48:42+00
Birch V2	Opinion	May work if the city of Midland is put back with Midland County as a whole.	Amanda Oster	2021-12-22 02:48:05+00
Szetela House	Dislike	This map is just ridiculously wrong.	Amanda Oster	2021-12-22 02:46:46+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole!	Amanda Oster	2021-12-22 02:45:54+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole!	Amanda Oster	2021-12-22 02:45:03+00
Linden	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole!	Amanda Oster	2021-12-22 02:44:31+00
Linden	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole!	Amanda Oster	2021-12-22 02:43:52+00
Palm	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole!	Amanda Oster	2021-12-22 02:43:18+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole!	Amanda Oster	2021-12-22 02:42:39+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Keep Midland County whole!	Amanda Oster	2021-12-22 02:41:37+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Midland does not belong with Flint!	Amanda Oster	2021-12-22 02:37:56+00
Pine v5	Like	Keep Midland County whole!	Amanda Oster	2021-12-22 02:37:05+00
Magnolia	Like	Keep Midland County whole!	Amanda Oster	2021-12-22 02:36:18+00
Pine v5	Like	Keep Midland County whole!	Amanda Oster	2021-12-22 02:35:18+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	Thank you!	Amanda Oster	2021-12-22 02:35:18+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Keep Midland County whole and with Gladwin!	Amanda Oster	2021-12-22 02:34:16+00
		This map is fair	Amanda Oster	2021-12-22 02:32:33+00
Chestnut	Like	I believe that Chestnut is a fair map. Having Washtenaw "united" benefits voters of both parties!	Al DuBruck	2021-12-22 01:49:48+00
Chestnut	Like	I support the Chestnut option for US Congress. The area is contiguous which is important to me.	Beata J Lamparski	2021-12-22 01:23:44+00

Szetela House	Opinion	This map like the other state house maps unfairly distorts the legislative body in Lansing by giving an unfair advantage to a single party and makes our state appear much more partisan than it actually is. We live in a purple state and our legislative body should reflect that.	Abbie Egberman	2021-12-22 01:02:07+00
Chestnut	Like	Good to have communities of interest in the same district. This is an economic area of interest. Lots of people commute between the areas on the map. The area also shares considerable natural resources.	Don Munski	2021-12-22 00:46:44+00
Palm	Dislike	Heavily gerrymandered and biased politically to favor the GOP. Please DO NOT go with this map as it flagrantly disrespects the whole reason this "voters not politicians" campaign was started!	Cat Trager	2021-12-22 00:27:39+00

For the past 30 years, Harper Woods has been manipulated to support one party or the other. Originally, it was placed in Grosse Pointe (Old District 1) to ensure Republican control. When Representative Tim Bledsoe flipped the 1st District to the Democrats, the next redistricting cycle shoved Harper Woods into Detroit to reduce the number of Democratically controlled seats. This map places Harper Woods (heavily Democratic) together with St. Clair Shore (largely Republican). This is going to be a swing district. For Harper Woods, I feel this is a fair map.

Hickory

Like

Stokes Baker

2021-12-22 00:25:57+00

For the past 30 years, Harper Woods has been manipulated to support one party or the other. Originally, it was placed in Grosse Pointe (Old District 1) to ensure Republican control. When Representative Tim Bledsoe flipped the 1st District to the Democrats, the next redistricting cycle shoved Harper Woods into Detroit to reduce the number of Democratically controlled seats. This map places Harper Woods (heavily Democratic) together with St. Clair Shore (largely Republican). This is going to be a swing district. For Harper Woods, I feel this is a fair map.

Szetela House Like Stokes S. Baker 2021-12-22 00:18:29+00

Please keep all of Ann Arbor in the same district.

Linden Dislike Ann Arbor resident 2021-12-21 23:57:49+00

We rural voters are too stupid to vote right. Thankem for our urban democrat elite masters in Ann Arbor to control us in the right path of Marxism.

Cherry V2 Dislike rural Calhoun and Jackson resident 2021-12-21 23:54:04+00

So gerrymandered. Terrible map. Do not use.

Chestnut Dislike Tasha 2021-12-21 23:47:30+00

		This keeps Washtenaw County whole and overall seems the most equitable-- does not break too many cities apart and keeps minority based districts		
Chestnut	Like	mostly intact.	Jessica 'Decky' Alexander	2021-12-21 23:45:55+00
Birch V2	Like	Great map. Use this one. This looks to be the worst of the congressional maps. Weird splits in many areas- looks like serious gerrymandering going on here. This splits up Midland county and puts us with unrelated southern cities and separates Sanford which is only a few miles away. Please	ken reynolds	2021-12-21 23:45:30+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	don't use this one.	Larry Schuelke	2021-12-21 23:17:39+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Quite the split up of the city of Midand with townships directly related to Midland like Larkin, Homer and others plus Sanford and Gladwin areas only to link with the city of Flint, and cities 60 miles + away. No, don't use this one.	Larry Schuelke	2021-12-21 23:09:30+00

Birch V2	Dislike	Wow-splitting up Midland and it's outskirts just to get it with Flint, Fenton and other unrelated areas. This is map is even worse than Chestnut which is poor. The Lange map is the best of the congressional maps.	Larry Schuelke	2021-12-21 23:03:05+00
Lange Congressional	Like	This is a good map for Midland County as we are kept with Gladwin county and those west which share the same watershed concerns which hit so hard in 2020 and will take years to recover from.	Larry Schuelke	2021-12-21 22:53:45+00
Chestnut	Like	I'm very happy to see this map much better connects like communities and overall, gives all Michigan a reason to be very proud of a community effort to bring about a well balanced redistricting and hopefully we will all benefit from more competitive primaries.	Howard	2021-12-21 21:42:41+00

Linden	Like	<p>I support the Linden Senate map as it combines the Tri-Cities of Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw and their common economic interests. It should also result in more competitive elections and contribute to less partisanship in Lansing.</p> <p>As an independent voter and Midland resident, I support the Chestnut Congressional map. It gives the Tri-Cities of Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw common representation for shared economic interests. It will also result in a more competitive district which will help contribute to end the partisan grid-lock in Washington.</p>	Kurt Hoefer	2021-12-21 20:49:37+00
Chestnut	Like	Bad map since it combines GR and Muskegon areas.	Kurt Hoefer	2021-12-21 20:27:36+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Bad map for Dutton because of how it combines GR and Kalamazoo, with areas between them.	Talman Wagenmaker	2021-12-21 19:23:42+00
Apple V2	Dislike	I am glad Brighton is kept whole and not included with Ann Arbor as we have little in common. I like this Magnolia map. It represents my COI very well.	Talman Wagenmaker	2021-12-21 19:21:09+00
Magnolia	Like		Mari-Ann Henry	2021-12-21 18:41:45+00

Palm	Like	This map seems to be the best for my community of interest.	Mari-Ann Henry	2021-12-21 18:34:38+00
Palm	Dislike	I do not like PALM. Please vote this down.	Samantha S Wiens-Wice	2021-12-21 18:30:19+00
Palm	Like	I like Palm, looks like they kept Livingston County intact. It will be more representative of our community.	Catherine Bays	2021-12-21 18:00:09+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Keep Saint Clair Shores together!	Blake G	2021-12-21 17:57:07+00
Hickory	Dislike	Keep Saint Clair Shores together!	Blake G	2021-12-21 17:55:47+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Keep Saint Clair Shores together!	Blake G	2021-12-21 17:53:20+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Keep Saint Clair Shores together!	Blake G	2021-12-21 17:51:21+00
Birch V2	Dislike	â€œ In this map, the concentration of Democratic voters in Grand Rapids, the second-largest city in Michigan and growing fast, is disenfranchised.	Kerry L Baldwin	2021-12-21 17:02:06+00

Palm	Like	<p>I like how this map keeps the Ann Arbor voices out of the rural areas. At the same time, Districts 4, 5, 6, 7, and 13 seems to pull Detroit voices out into the suburbs a lot. Not sure (well I can guess of course) what the purpose of that is as those communities are not at all alike. Better than other maps I've seen so far.</p>	Leigh Fehr	2021-12-21 16:58:17+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>This is crazy! What is with District 15? Why would you split Ann Arbor and give them voices alongside rural areas stretching half way across the state? it is a clear effort to drown out rural voices. I don't see how anyone can say they think this is a fair map. Please don't vote for this.</p>	Leigh Fehr	2021-12-21 16:45:48+00

Linden	Like	<p>This is the most reasonable and balanced State Senate map. It has two competitive districts that allow equal advantage to both Republicans and Democrats. It helps assure that neither Party has an electorate population that will guarantee it remain in power election to election. It also includes 6 VRA districts.</p>	David Reid	2021-12-21 16:41:55+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>Birch V2 is the most reasonable map, given approximately even advantage to Republicans and Democrats and protecting the most COI districts at the same time.</p>	David Reid	2021-12-21 16:34:14+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>The Magnolia map is my choice. It is well balanced</p>	Randy Laur	2021-12-21 16:26:04+00
Palm	Like	<p>The Palm map is my preferance. Has a well balanced approach.</p>	Randy Laur	2021-12-21 16:14:56+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This is the best map for the public. It is fair for the interest of the community</p>	Randy Laur	2021-12-21 15:22:48+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>Great map. I vote for this one!!</p>	Beryl Striewski	2021-12-21 15:14:26+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>Please continue working on the this map. Please vote against it.</p>	Julie Morris	2021-12-21 13:37:36+00

Chestnut	Like	This is the best map for US Congress. The majority of seats go to the majority of voters. Thank you for all your work on these maps.	Julie Morris	2021-12-21 13:23:39+00
Linden	Like	This map gives the majority of votes to the majority of voters. It is the best map for the Michigan Senate.	Julie Morris	2021-12-21 13:19:35+00
Birch V2	Like	This is a fair map for the majority of voters. It protects the most Detroit communities of Interest. Thank you for your work.	Julie Morris	2021-12-21 13:15:06+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Democrats holding secret meetings, violating the constitution, and already rigging the districts for 2024... sounds about right!	Tim Miller	2021-12-21 12:42:10+00
Hickory	Like	I Love how nicely this district fits Port Huron, Marysville, and Lakeport together, it even matches the places I regularly go to!	Aaron Thoms	2021-12-21 06:27:44+00

Again, this is NOT a good map; it has more red dots throughout the state than HICKORY House Map. So please pick HICKORY HOUSE MAP. Hickory has the lowest Republican bias of the three collaborative maps and the most majority-Black districts. Remember: Partisan Fairness, competitive districts--to END Gerrymandering--is what Prop 2 was all about. thank you!

Szetela House

Dislike

Choose HICKORY.

Carole J Chi

2021-12-21 06:20:43+00

This is a terrible map. I agree with M. Radtke and V.

Derderian. Better to go back to the HICKORY House Map and pick it instead, for it has the least partisan leaning toward Republicans--the lowest Republican bias--overall. Pick HICKORY. thank

Magnolia

Dislike

you!

Carole J Chi

2021-12-21 06:15:46+00

Pine v5	Dislike	<p>Terrribly gerrymandered map across most of the state (with the exception of my own area, strangely) in favor of the party that's been favored for the past 20 years! Do NOT choose the Pine House Map. It exudes gerrymandering. Please choose HICKORY House Map instead for it is much fairer, more competitive, much less partisan than this one. thank you.</p>	Carole J Chi	2021-12-21 06:11:15+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>I Like what this map does with the coastal areas and the UP, places like Traverse City and Charlevoix should be connected. I'm not sure what's going on with the central and southern areas though, seems pretty chaotic. I'm in favor of the HICKORY House Map as it has the lowest Republican bias of the three collaborative maps and the most majority-Black districts. It still could be improved, but I know there are time constraints on you. Please pick the HICKORY House Map for Michigan.</p>	Aaron Thoms	2021-12-21 06:07:53+00
Hickory	Like	<p>Thank you!</p>	Carole J Chi	2021-12-21 06:04:01+00

Chestnut	Like	For a resident of Clyde like myself, this map is nice and compact, Harson's Island does seem a bit far for the same district, but I wouldn't mind this being our map	Aaron Thoms	2021-12-21 05:59:32+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	This map does not achieve what it is supposed to Constitutionally---partisan fairness. So let's go back and choose the LINDEN Senate Map, or the Cherry Senate Map is a close second. But I prefer the LINDEN. thank you!	Carole J Chi	2021-12-21 05:57:01+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	Don't pick this map. It has many many many dislikes all over the place. It's obviously NOT a fair map for many reasons stated by many people. So please pick the LINDEN Senate Map. thank you!	Carole J Chi	2021-12-21 05:51:20+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Terrible map! People across the street would be in another district! Really?! Doesn't make any sense. Pick LINDEN Senate Map instead, for it makes more sense and exhibits partisan fairness which is what PROP 2 was all about! Pick the LINDEN Senate Map. Thank you!	Carole J Chi	2021-12-21 05:49:04+00

Cherry V2	Opinion	<p>This is much better than the Palm map, but is only second to the Linden map. And this one seems to have a lot of problems in District 5. So I'd recommend again that you stick to the Linden map for the most competitive Senate districts over all of the state of Michigan. Choose the LINDEN Senate Map please.</p> <p>thank you!</p>	Carole J Chi	2021-12-21 05:45:23+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>This is NOT a good map for COI or partisan fairness; it's gerrymandering all over again, for most of the state. We're trying to get away from that and make all districts as competitive as possible. So please choose the LINDEN Senate Map. Thank you!</p>	Carole J Chi	2021-12-21 05:41:00+00
Linden	Like	<p>I like the Linden Senate map for it has the lowest Republican bias of the three collaborative maps. it is the most fair, the most competitive; and that is what you are striving towards. So, please choose Linden for the Senate map. thank you!</p>	Carole J Chi	2021-12-21 05:38:41+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike	<p>Again, this map has problems. It's not the best for our Congressional map. Please choose BirchV2 instead. thank you.</p>	Carole J Chi	2021-12-21 05:36:23+00	
Lange Congressional Dislike	<p>This is NOT a good map for most of the state. Please choose BirchV2 instead for Michigan's Congressional Map, for it will be the most fair; and that is the point of this whole exercise--Prop 2. thank you!</p>	Carole J Chi	2021-12-21 05:33:38+00	
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This is NOT a good map. It goes too far north for my Congressional district, making it unfair and noncompetitive. It's gerrymandering all over again....and not in a good way. Please choose the BirchV2 map which is much more fair to both parties.</p>	Carole J Chi	2021-12-21 05:27:38+00

Birch V2	Like	This is the best Congressional map in my opinion. There are more competitive districts; it exhibits more partisan fairness than the Chestnut map. And the majority of Michigan citizens voted FOR Prop 2 to end Gerrymandering in our state so as to achieve partisan fairness.	Carole J Chi	2021-12-21 05:19:05+00
Szetela House	Like	This is a better representation for our community for the state house map. We do share some schools and community activities in this map area. I approve of this map. This map is less competitive for people living in Macomb County. And that's unfortunate for the 3rd largest county and the 3rd and 4th largest cities in the state of Michigan.	Cheryl Depner	2021-12-21 04:56:21+00
Chestnut	Dislike		Carole J Chi	2021-12-21 04:52:02+00

Hickory	Dislike	<p>This is a terrible map. Superior Township is Washtenaw county not Oakland county. We pay taxes for our county not Oakland county, We do not share shopping areas, roads, churches or schools with anyone in this area. I reject this map. We cannot be fairly represented.</p> <p>This is unfair and poorly represented map for Superior Township. We are part of Washtenaw County and share churches, schools, shopping with the Ann Arbor Area NOT South Lyons or Wixom which are in Oakland County. We cannot be fairly represented with this map. I reject this</p>	Cheryl Depner	2021-12-21 04:51:58+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>map.</p> <p>Similar communities are</p>	Cheryl Depner	2021-12-21 04:42:16+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>divided in this map.</p>	Rajiv B	2021-12-21 04:40:50+00

This is a badly drawn map. Superior Township does not share schools, shopping area, churches, or any common community resources. We are also Washtenaw county not Oakland county where the rest of this map is located. We will never be fairly represented with map. You have treated the area of Superior Township unfairly and deny us fair

Pine v5	Dislike	representation.	Cheryl Depner	2021-12-21 04:30:36+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map is well done.	Cheryl Depner	2021-12-21 04:14:23+00
Birch V2	Like	Good map. Thank you.	David and Deanna Walters	2021-12-21 02:54:21+00
Birch V2	Like	Good map. Please keep this map.	Kristine Yeutter	2021-12-21 02:53:03+00
Birch V2	Like	While I am disappointed in this process and how gerrymandered the districts appear to be, the Birch map seems to be the fairest congressional maps.	JEFFREY YEUTTER	2021-12-21 02:52:23+00
Palm	Like	Thank you for hearing our voice and giving us this map.	David and Deanna Walters	2021-12-21 02:51:15+00
Palm	Like	Thank you for giving us fairly good representation for our state senate district.	Kristine Yeutter	2021-12-21 02:48:25+00

Palm	Like	<p>While I'm not a fan of any of the State Senate maps, the Palm map looks to be the fairest as far as communities of interest compared to the other options. It seems less gerrymandered than the other maps.</p> <p>We live in a border county, are rural, and a rather close community. What you did dividing our county into 4 areas will not create fairness or unite our county. This make two of our townships go with totally different representation, thus be blocked out of any real voice in Lansing. Your constitutional duty and priority is to keep communities of interest united. Please reconsider our Lenawee County map and give us united and fair representation.</p>	JEFFREY YEUTTER	2021-12-21 02:46:58+00
Magnolia	Dislike		Jeannie E Porter	2021-12-21 02:43:39+00

Magnolia	Dislike	<p>It is ridiculous that Lenawee County has portions carved out of it and combined with three other house districts. and therefore tiny Lenawee County will be represented by 4 different state legislatures. So much for communities of interest! I thought the purpose of this commission was to get away from partisan gerrymandering. These are the most gerrymandered districts I've seen in my lifetime.</p>	JEFFREY YEUTTER	2021-12-21 02:37:16+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>The Lenawee County map divides our small county into 4 areas, creating a nightmare for our county clerk and dividing our rural community of interest. This is the number one priority of the commission according to the Michigan Constitution. Macon and Deerfield Townships will basically have no voice with us, but will be marginalized with bigger areas they do not identify with. The Commission promised to draw fair districts. What happened?</p>	Kristine Yeutter	2021-12-21 02:29:41+00

Birch V2	Like	Partisan fairness is important to me. Birch V2 and Chestnut both meet that criterion, and hence are maps I favor.	Charles Altman	2021-12-21 02:21:16+00
Birch V2	Like	This map is not as great as chestnut but much better than apple	Matt Gandy	2021-12-21 02:13:52+00
Chestnut	Like	More competitive than Birch, better than Apple	Matt Gandy	2021-12-21 02:10:04+00
Birch V2	Like	This map brings together communities of interest along the lakeshore. This will help address the needs of the community.	Don Munski	2021-12-21 02:07:11+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Partisan Gerrymandering being done for partisan reasons!	Matt Gandy	2021-12-21 01:54:52+00
Linden	Like	I appreciate this map.	AeYanna L Yett	2021-12-21 01:38:54+00
Hickory	Dislike	This map does NOT accurately represent my community. The Black community within this district is poorly represented, to say the very least.	AeYanna L Yett	2021-12-21 01:38:03+00
Birch V2	Like	I believe this map accurately represents my community.	AeYanna L Yett	2021-12-21 01:35:50+00
Birch V2	Like	A fair map that will lead to competitive general elections, not primaries determining the winner.	A. G. Ulsoy	2021-12-21 01:08:29+00

Palm	Dislike	Do not think this map is fair. Please do not adopt this map	Sharon I kalee	2021-12-20 22:20:01+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Midland county doesn't have much in common with the cities of Bridgeport, Birch Run, Flint and Fenton but we sure do with Gladwin county and areas west of Midland as 2020 flood disaster showed. Keep people with a common interest and shared regional needs. Political majorities can easily shift around but not the area natural resource issues. The flooding and Dam failure ramifications will be with us for several years.	Larry Schuelke	2021-12-20 19:01:57+00
Hickory	Dislike	The new Hickory version should be rejected because it splits up my neighborhood (East English Village) along my very stree: Yorkshire Rd. This means one side of the street would be in District 9 and the other side in District 11.	Sara Weertz	2021-12-20 18:35:58+00

Magnolia	Dislike	The new Magnolia version would split up my neighborhood (East English Village) along my very street: Yorkshire Rd. This would put one side of the side in District 9 and the other side of the street in District11!	Sara Weertz	2021-12-20 18:33:17+00
Pine v5	Like	The Pine version 5 map keeps our three neighborhoods (East English Village, Morningside, Cornerstone) in District 17.	Sara Weertz	2021-12-20 18:29:49+00
Linden	Dislike	This map does not look fair. The Linden map keeps East English Village together in District 10.	henry	2021-12-20 18:28:38+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	This map is gerrymandered.	Sara Weertz	2021-12-20 18:27:34+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Look at district 15????????? The Palm map keeps our neighborhood (East English Village) together in District 8.	Houssa	2021-12-20 18:26:43+00
Palm	Opinion	This map does not look gerrymandered like the other two.	Sara Weertz	2021-12-20 18:26:12+00
Palm	Like	The Cherry version 2 map keeps our neighborhoods together in District 10.	Houssa	2021-12-20 18:23:33+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	This map is nuts. I don't want to be in a district with G.R., different city.	Sara Weertz	2021-12-20 18:23:31+00
Chestnut	Dislike	No on this map. It does not keep Jackson whole as a county.	muskegon resident	2021-12-20 18:15:34+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike		Stephanie Riley	2021-12-20 16:32:40+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike		i don't like that map. This map is not a good representation. It does not improve the current unfair distribution and should not be approved.	Jeannie Brown	2021-12-20 16:23:24+00
Palm	Dislike	This appears to be the most fair Senate map that is nonpartisan and balanced.	Carol Domino	2021-12-20 15:31:39+00
Linden	Like	Please vote for this map. This appears to be the most fair House map that is nonpartisan and balanced.	Jenn Slack	2021-12-20 15:30:02+00
Hickory	Like	Please vote for this map.	Jenn Slack	2021-12-20 15:29:26+00
Palm	Dislike	The Palm map is not fair and is not nonpartisan. This map has lines clearly drawn based on party affiliation by location, not by fairness. Please do not adopt this map.	Jenn Slack	2021-12-20 15:27:30+00

there is no easy way to draw these lines, if you could only do it based on population not all the other criteria maybe it would have been easier. However, the problem I see is that no matter how you draw them it is up to the voters in the given districts after all is said and done to VOTE for the person who can best be fair and honest when they get to Lansing or DC to represent the region or the state they are from just not the largest city in their district. In SE MI I feel those elected to the State and Fed level forget they are there for their entire district in the state level and than their entire state at the Fed level. In the end it comes down to electing the most honest and non political candidate, someone who can compromise and vote for the best for their respective district and position. This new map, whatever is I support this map both from a political fairness and a community of interest perspective.

Lange Congressional Opinion

Susan Rowe

2021-12-20 15:25:19+00

Linden

Like

Sarah Schulz

2021-12-20 15:12:17+00

Palm	Like	I VOTE for this map. It keeps Jackson INTACT with similar areas of rural town interests. Bringing the 'OSU Buckeyes (Jackson) into the Big House (Ann Arbor)' will bring conflicts in many degrees that won't represent Jackson well. We have minimal common ground ideology with Ann Arbor's urban development. Linden and Cherry are the fairest maps and have competitive seats. Palm is very unfair.	Stephanie Riley	2021-12-20 15:08:58+00
Palm	Dislike	I VOTE for this map. It keeps Jackson INTACT with similar areas of rural town interests. Bringing the 'OSU Buckeyes (Jackson) into the Big House (Ann Arbor)' will bring conflicts in many degrees that won't represent Jackson well. We have minimal common ground ideology with Ann Arbor's urban development. Birch is the fairest map and has competitive seats. Apple is very unfair.	diane Detter	2021-12-20 14:40:46+00
Chestnut	Like	I VOTE for this map. It keeps Jackson INTACT with similar areas of rural town interests. Bringing the 'OSU Buckeyes (Jackson) into the Big House (Ann Arbor)' will bring conflicts in many degrees that won't represent Jackson well. We have minimal common ground ideology with Ann Arbor's urban development. Birch is the fairest map and has competitive seats. Apple is very unfair.	Stephanie Riley	2021-12-20 14:34:15+00
Birch V2	Like	I VOTE for this map. It keeps Jackson INTACT with similar areas of rural town interests. Bringing the 'OSU Buckeyes (Jackson) into the Big House (Ann Arbor)' will bring conflicts in many degrees that won't represent Jackson well. We have minimal common ground ideology with Ann Arbor's urban development. Birch is the fairest map and has competitive seats. Apple is very unfair.	Diane Detter	2021-12-20 14:29:43+00

Linden	Like	A good map, that is fair to both parties, and leads to competitive general election races.	A. G. Ulsoy	2021-12-20 14:27:34+00
Chestnut	Like	This is a fair map, and one that leads to competitive general election races, as opposed to decisions being made in primaries.	A. G. Ulsoy	2021-12-20 14:24:17+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Lenawee County map does not support communities of interests previous submitted. The map Divides the county into 4(four) representative districts which is gerrymandering at it's finest. The townships of Macon and Deerfield voters will be disenfranchised, their votes will no longer count and their representative will not consider their community of interests. The commission has violated the constitutional law by which it is governed. Redraw this map.	Carol Knoblauch	2021-12-20 13:13:36+00
Linden	Like	I think this map is the best of the MI Senate maps in terms of partisan fairness and communities of interest. The most fair of the maps.	Catherine Marks Marshall	2021-12-20 03:54:18+00

Birch V2	Like	I think this map does the best job balancing partisan fairness and communities of interest. I think this is the best one!	Catherine Marks Marshall	2021-12-20 03:50:18+00
Birch V2	Like	This map keeps Commerce Township in the 11th and with the other western suburbs in Oakland County. It's compact. This is the map that is the least objectionable. I currently live in the 11th and this map keeps Commerce Township whole and part of Oakland County and the western suburbs. It's more compact and fits the type of community. It is no longer rural, but suburban.	Karen J Adams	2021-12-20 00:52:18+00
Chestnut	Like		Karen J Adams	2021-12-20 00:46:47+00
Linden	Like	Linden is a fair map for the MI Senate, with a majority of seats going to the majority of votes. Vote YES on Linden!	Deborah Kallunki	2021-12-20 00:41:14+00
Birch V2	Like	BirchV2 is a fair map for US Congressional districts, with a majority of seats going to the majority of votes.	Deborah Kallunki	2021-12-20 00:39:24+00
Chestnut	Like	Chestnut is a fair map for the US congressional districts. It would result in the majority of seats going to the majority of votes.	Deborah Kallunki	2021-12-20 00:36:53+00

Chestnut	Like	Chestnut serves Michiganders well. It gives both parties an opportunity to win. That's how we elect the best people -	Lisa DiRado	2021-12-20 00:27:08+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	Looks well-balanced.	Margaret Teall	2021-12-20 00:18:25+00
Pine v5	Dislike	When I look at this map, I despair of ever having my vote count for State House.	Helen Harms	2021-12-20 00:12:51+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Worse map for fairness.	Helen Harms	2021-12-20 00:11:13+00
Hickory	Like	This map provides for the best balance in regard to partisan fairness. Overall there is still a Republican bias wo this map and, indeed, all the State House maps.	Helen Harms	2021-12-20 00:09:56+00
Palm	Dislike	Lumping my community in with Jackson County ignores the realities of population changes in my area. It totally eliminates partisan fairness.	Helen Harms	2021-12-20 00:06:51+00
Cherry V2	Like	Cherry as well as Linden offers the opportunity for more competitive elections	Helen Harms	2021-12-20 00:03:30+00

Linden	Like	<p>Washtenaw County has undergone many changes especially in the more rural areas as new housing has diversified the population. Linden unpacks Washtenaw and provides more fairness for the voting public of all parties.</p> <p>I like this map because it offers the most competitive districts of any of the proposed maps. Gives an opportunity for true</p>	Helen Harms	2021-12-20 00:00:46+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	<p>democracy to flourish. Reflects statewide voting patterns very well. Pays attention to communities of</p>	Helen Harms	2021-12-19 23:55:32+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>interest</p> <p>This map is okay, the best off the group. I think it bears a bit more redoing - goal to group like areas together in an equitable way that has reasonable boundaries, and reflects the citizens of the state. This one, although the best, still has issues as so many off the other comments raise and could be MUCH better with slight</p>	Helen Harms	2021-12-19 23:52:31+00
Hickory	Opinion	<p>modifications.</p>	Philip Zazove	2021-12-19 23:47:45+00

Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Nope Szetela map needs Ozzy to interpret. WOW!	Drew Wagener	2021-12-19 22:41:51+00
Magnolia	Opinion	This map like the other state house maps unfairly distorts the legislative body in Lansing by giving an unfair advantage to a single party and makes our state appear much more partisan than it actually is. We live in a purple state and our legislative body should reflect that.	Abbie Egberman	2021-12-19 22:35:59+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	Lange is flat out wrong for Michigan! Maybe California could appreciate this monkey business, there's absolutely no need for this nonsense around here.	Drew Wagener	2021-12-19 22:34:58+00
Apple V2	Dislike	One bad Apple here folks! Don't let it spoil everything!	Drew Wagener	2021-12-19 22:32:22+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Not a chance! Chestnut map should be roasting on an open fire.... Hell no!	Drew Wagener	2021-12-19 22:30:30+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Szetela strikes again with their embarrassing attempt at adulting. NOPE!	Drew Wagener	2021-12-19 22:28:25+00
Hickory	Dislike	Hickory's creator should find something else to do in their time...	Drew Wagener	2021-12-19 22:26:58+00

Pine v5	Dislike	To many counties divided.. Swing and a HUGE MISS. 100% no on the Pine V5 map!	Drew Wagener	2021-12-19 22:25:35+00
Pine v5	Opinion	This map and all the house maps need to provide a more reasonable possibility of electing a balanced legislature that reflects the people of the state and does not stack the house with legislators that do not reflect the views of the voters.	Abbie Egberman	2021-12-19 22:25:11+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	Nope! Nothing short of insanity is represented in the Szetela map! Seriously, who's the wizard behind this drivel? Lange map has to be someones idea of a joke.....	Drew Wagener	2021-12-19 22:23:38+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	Hard to relate to the mind that could create the rubbish that the Kellom Map illustrates.... Not just a no, but this one should just be thrown off the list!	Drew Wagener	2021-12-19 22:21:15+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Hard to describe the Linden map without using the word cluster..... HARD NO	Drew Wagener	2021-12-19 22:18:57+00
Linden	Dislike		Drew Wagener	2021-12-19 22:14:47+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	Did someone give a toddler hopped up on sugar some crayons? How could anyone capable of clear thought support Cherry V2?	Drew Wagener	2021-12-19 22:13:27+00
Birch V2	Like	Of the options at this time, Birch V2 is the cleanest version, definitely still presents massive room for improvement though!	Drew Wagener	2021-12-19 22:10:51+00
Palm	Like	Of the map options, Palm is the best, but that's not saying much... In my opinion, every single map proposed should be scrapped and start again with a clean slate!	Drew Wagener	2021-12-19 21:48:14+00
Magnolia	Like	Selecting one of the available house map versions is about like trying to pick up a turd from the clean end... Of the house maps, Magnolia would fall into the cleanest of the turds.	Drew Wagener	2021-12-19 21:34:04+00
Szetela House	Dislike	This is an unfair map. The Constitution requires partisan fairness, which this map does not provide.	Connie	2021-12-19 21:15:24+00
Hickory	Like	This is the least unfair map. Partisan fairness must be prioritized, per the Constitution.	Connie	2021-12-19 21:13:22+00

Magnolia	Dislike	This map is unfair. Hickory is a better map. Partisan fairness must be prioritized, per the Constitution.	Connie	2021-12-19 21:10:56+00
Pine v5	Dislike	This map should not be used. Hickory is a better choice. Partisan fairness must be prioritized.	Connie	2021-12-19 21:07:45+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	As required by the Constitution, partisan fairness must be prioritized. This map is unfair. Cherry and Linden have better partisan scores.	Connie	2021-12-19 21:04:29+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	This map is unfair. Partisan fairness must be prioritized.	Connie	2021-12-19 21:02:00+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This map is not fair. Partisan fairness must be prioritized.	Connie	2021-12-19 21:00:28+00
Linden	Like	This is one of the more fair maps. Palm is the least fair. Partisan fairness must be prioritized.	Connie	2021-12-19 20:58:30+00
Palm	Dislike	This is the worst map. Partisan fairness must be prioritized.	Connie	2021-12-19 20:56:33+00
Cherry V2	Like	This has better partisan fairness than other maps. Palm has the worst partisan fairness. Partisan fairness must be prioritized.	Connie	2021-12-19 20:54:44+00

Apple V2	Like	In keeping the proximate southern Lake Michigan counties (with some similar interests) together, this map is preferable to the chestnut map.	Susan Shapiro	2021-12-19 20:01:19+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map is bad for the southernmost counties of the state. Berrien County on Lake Michigan has nothing in common with counties on the far eastern end of the state.	Susan Shapiro	2021-12-19 19:58:03+00
Chestnut	Like	I like that this map keeps Troy together with other Oakland County communities. While this map is far better than Apple v2 it includes Troy with parts of Macomb County, splitting up a	Chris Graunstadt	2021-12-19 19:52:54+00
Birch V2	Opinion	community of interest. This map does not represent communities of interest as	Chris Graunstadt	2021-12-19 19:51:15+00
Apple V2	Dislike	well as Chestnut or Birch2. This map is unfair, unacceptable and should be dismissed. It fails to demonstrate partisan fairness and runs counter to provisions in the Voting Rights	Chris Graunstadt	2021-12-19 19:45:57+00
Palm	Dislike	Act of 1965.	Chris Graunstadt	2021-12-19 19:41:02+00

Lange Congressional	Like	This is a fair map, not gerrymandered like apple and chestnut.	helen	2021-12-19 18:34:44+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is the WORST of all the maps submitted. It has the highest Republican bias of	Amy Teare	2021-12-19 17:44:04+00
Chestnut	Dislike	all the maps. no	Daniel Schifko	2021-12-19 15:16:55+00
Birch V2	Like	This map represents communities of interest best.	Daniel Schifko	2021-12-19 15:14:17+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map does not represent communities of interest.	Daniel Schifko	2021-12-19 15:11:13+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This map does not represent communities of interest.	Daniel Schifko	2021-12-19 15:10:33+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	This map does not represent communities of interest.	Daniel Schifko	2021-12-19 15:09:49+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This map does not represent communities of interest.	Daniel Schifko	2021-12-19 15:09:04+00
Palm	Like	This map represents communities of interest well.	Daniel Schifko	2021-12-19 15:07:49+00
Linden	Dislike	This map does not represent communities of interest.	Daniel Schifko	2021-12-19 15:05:25+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This map does not represent communities of interest.	Daniel Schifko	2021-12-19 15:04:48+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	This map does not represent communities of interest.	Daniel Schifko	2021-12-19 15:03:59+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	This map does not represent communities of interest.	Daniel Schifko	2021-12-19 15:01:55+00
Pine v5	Dislike	This map does not respect enough communities of interest.	Daniel Schifko	2021-12-19 14:59:33+00

Magnolia	Like	This map keeps most of my community together.	Daniel Schifko	2021-12-19 14:56:03+00
Szetela House	Dislike	This map does not represent communities of interest.	Daniel Schifko	2021-12-19 14:52:54+00
Hickory	Dislike	This map doesn't properly represent communities of interest well.	Daniel Schifko	2021-12-19 14:49:45+00
Linden	Like	I support this as the best choice so far for the Senate.	Jonathan R. Hague	2021-12-19 14:10:38+00
Hickory	Like	I support this as the best choice so far for the House.	Jonathan R. Hague	2021-12-19 14:09:45+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is way too far biased to the right.	Jonathan R. Hague	2021-12-19 14:06:15+00
Hickory	Dislike	Districts 100 and 101 are still badly drawn. What is the point of separating the eastern portion of Lake County from the rest of the county, only to cut through the eastern part of Mason County to make up for it? The Lake County break is on township lines but cuts the village of Luther in half. This is a community of 300 people.	Kelly Weaver	2021-12-19 12:52:05+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This map is cleaner than Birch but it's still pretty bad for Muskegon because we're in a district where we can't elect someone who will represent our diverse interests. People of color are a community of interest.	Kelsey Bernadette	2021-12-19 04:02:06+00

Szetela Congressional	Like	I'm ok with this map because it puts Muskegon with Grand Rapids so we have a shot at real representation. However, I prefer the other version of this.	Kelsey Bernadette	2021-12-19 04:01:58+00
Chestnut	Like	Now this is a map we can get behind in Muskegon. It puts us into a competitive district where our diverse residents have an actual shot at being represented. People of color are a community of interest.	Kelsey Bernadette	2021-12-19 04:01:53+00
Birch V2	Dislike	What happened here? These lines are all over the place and are completely incoherent. It almost feels like it was drawn intentionally to stop Muskegon from actually being able to elect candidates who advance our diverse interests. People of color are a community of interest.	Kelsey Bernadette	2021-12-19 04:01:50+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map is cleaner than Birch but it's still pretty bad for Muskegon because we're in a district where we can't elect someone who will represent our diverse interests. People of color are a community of interest.	Kelsey Bernadette	2021-12-19 04:01:46+00

Birch V2	Like	This BirchV2 map appears to combine fairness, community of interests, and jurisdiction boundaries pretty well. This Commission has a very challenging task to address the matter of gerrymandering abuse. This map does a good job of accomplishing the purpose and intention of the citizens' initiative to bring forth better representative government.	Jim Hughes	2021-12-19 03:04:32+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	It is completely unnecessary to divide Dearborn into two separate districts.	Quinn Whittaker	2021-12-19 01:13:42+00
Linden	Like	This is the most fair senate map!	Bethany A Rocho	2021-12-19 00:56:21+00
Hickory	Like	This is the most fair house map!	Bethany A Rocho	2021-12-19 00:54:59+00
Palm	Dislike	No additional comments	Bethany A Rocho	2021-12-19 00:51:04+00
Palm	Dislike	No additional comments	Bethany A Rocho	2021-12-19 00:49:02+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Why do all of these maps split west Dearborn into two separate districts? Also, the boundary line that divides west Dearborn looks ridiculous.	Quinn Whittaker	2021-12-19 00:45:24+00

		The Palm is the worst of all the maps in terms of the most Republican partisan bias. Please do not select this unfair map. This map perpetuates the 60/40 GOP bias that was installed after the 2010 census by extreme		
Palm	Dislike	Republican gerrymandering. The Linden map seems to be the best in terms of partisan	JoEllen Rudolph	2021-12-19 00:03:06+00
Linden	Like	fairness. I like the Hickory map the best for the House map. It	JoEllen Rudolph	2021-12-18 23:57:57+00
Hickory	Like	seems the most fair. imbalanced and overtly	JoEllen Rudolph	2021-12-18 23:50:14+00
Palm	Dislike	partisan This is the fairest map and	Robert G. Hawley	2021-12-18 23:45:51+00
Linden	Like	should be supported. Yes to Hickory-- this is the	Allison Zimpfer	2021-12-18 22:09:39+00
Hickory	Like	most fair House map.	Allison Zimpfer	2021-12-18 22:07:31+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is incredibly biased and should not be supported. Biased. Asking the	Allison Zimpfer	2021-12-18 22:04:22+00
Palm	Dislike	Redistricting Committee to Vote Against. This map is gerrymandered	Marilee Milroy	2021-12-18 21:28:34+00
Linden	Dislike	for the democrats.	Gunther	2021-12-18 21:07:29+00
Palm	Like	This is a fair map, not gerrymandered like the other maps for the democrats.	sara	2021-12-18 21:03:29+00

Szetela House	Dislike	All proposed maps for Allegan are bad. They weaken our voice for our community and carve up the county far too much. It appears the commission is intentionally breaking the county up for political gain!	Rachelle M Smit	2021-12-18 20:29:05+00
Hickory	Dislike	Please keep Allegan County intact. Breaking this many lines does not represent our district and clearly is done for political gain.	Rachelle M Smit	2021-12-18 20:22:03+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map is not good, Allegan County belongs together, I do not agree with this "caterpillar" district along the lakeshore. This does not represent my community.	Rachelle Smit	2021-12-18 20:18:04+00
Hickory	Like		Brett Meteyer	2021-12-18 19:48:34+00
Linden	Like		Brett Meteyer	2021-12-18 19:46:34+00
Birch V2	Like		Brett Meteyer	2021-12-18 19:45:25+00
Palm	Dislike		Brett Meteyer	2021-12-18 19:44:28+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Vote NO. Splits Downriver	John Leon	2021-12-18 19:41:19+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Vote NO on Chestnut. It divides Downriver. It splits Wyandotte, which is across from the North end Grosse Ile into another district. Wyandotte, Southgate should be included in this district.	John Leon	2021-12-18 19:37:16+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>Vote Yes on Birch v2.  Regarding Downriver, this map keep the cities and Township that have a vested interest along the Detroit river together, whether is it involves redevelopment, cleanup, or the natural environment.</p>	John Leon	2021-12-18 19:30:26+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>This map divides the Downriver communities of interest in an unfair way. Southgate and Wyandotte should be included with Trenton and Grosse Ile.</p>	Roberta Urbani	2021-12-18 19:29:21+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>I support this map as being the most fair for the Downriver communities of interest.</p>	Roberta Urbani	2021-12-18 19:25:13+00
Hickory	Like	<p>Please approve this House map. It is the most fair of the options, and keeps most of the waterfront Downriver communities together.</p>	Roberta Urbani	2021-12-18 19:10:12+00
Hickory	Like	<p>VOTE YES on Hickory! Keeping these cities and Townships together along the river makes historical sense and a compact district. I love it!!</p>	John Leon	2021-12-18 19:07:40+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>This map is as bad as our current gerrymandered situation with its unfair Republican bias.</p>	Roberta Urbani	2021-12-18 19:06:24+00

Linden	Like	This is the best House map that has been presented. It is nonpartisan and keeps Downriver, a community of interest, fairly intact.	Roberta Urbani	2021-12-18 19:03:43+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	The Linden is best for partisan fairness. Splitting some of the larger downriver cites apart doesn't make a good map.	John Leon	2021-12-18 19:02:06+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Keep Allegan County together. This map does not preserve and respect geographical boundaries, specifically county lines. This is an intentional carving up of counties into multiple districts for political gain. Allegan County belongs in tact.	Rachelle Smit	2021-12-18 19:00:45+00
Linden	Like	Please vote in favor of the Linden map. This is fairly unpartisan and will work for all. This map retains the Republican bias of our current gerrymandered situation -- the situation we worked so hard to overturn with Proposal 2 to let voters choose their politicians, not the other way around.	John Leon	2021-12-18 18:58:55+00
Palm	Dislike	Please vote against this map, it's very partisan and unfair	Roberta Urbani	2021-12-18 18:58:15+00
Palm	Dislike		John Leon	2021-12-18 18:54:03+00

Linden	Like	A fair plan.	Jacquelyn Kendall	2021-12-18 18:43:32+00
Hickory	Like	This looks fair.	Jacquelyn Kendall	2021-12-18 18:40:14+00
		This map appears to me to be fair to both major political parties. No map will ever please everybody, but this map will come as close as possible.		
Birch V2	Like	possible.	Roland Schneider	2021-12-18 18:12:41+00
Palm	Dislike	A fairly draw map it isn't. Szetela map LIKE. best of the others. Thanks.	Dean Creighton	2021-12-18 18:09:00+00
Szetela House	Like		Alex Weddon	2021-12-18 17:48:42+00
		Dislike Hickory. way to spread out, miles of difference between communities. not a lot in common. weird map.	Alex Weddon	2021-12-18 17:45:39+00
Hickory	Dislike			
Magnolia	Dislike	Dislike Magnolia. mixes rural and urban areas with grossly different interests.	Alex Weddon	2021-12-18 17:40:27+00
		No on Pine v6. Spits up to many communities of similar interests. Go with the better map, Szetela.	Alex Weddon	2021-12-18 17:36:39+00
Pine v5	Dislike			
Palm	Like	Like for Palm map. best of the bunch.	Alex R. Weddon	2021-12-18 17:30:36+00
		No on Cherry v2 map. Tragic mix of rural Jackson co with densely populated areas.	Alex R. Weddon	2021-12-18 17:22:07+00
Cherry V2	Dislike			
Palm	Dislike	Grossly unfair.	Jacquelyn Kendall	2021-12-18 17:21:28+00
		This is not a fair map. GROSSLY GERRYMANDERED.		
Palm	Dislike	Do not vote for this map	Suzanne Hudnut	2021-12-18 17:15:29+00

Apple V2	Dislike	No for Apple v2 map. poor mix of rural and urban areas with different interests. Suggest using the Szeleta ? map	Alex R. Weddon	2021-12-18 17:12:04+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	dislike. fractures Jackson County and runs way to Far East, Detroit area. Big differnces.	Alex R. Weddon	2021-12-18 16:44:42+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	Like the Szetela map. combines many common interest areas.	Alex R. Weddon	2021-12-18 16:38:46+00
Szetela House	Like	I like this map as it keeps more of Jackson County whole and keeps Waterloo Township in Jackson County. This is the best and fairest map. It would make my Senate district much fairer, and more representative of the communities placed in the district.	Rebecca Mayer	2021-12-18 16:13:56+00
Linden	Like		Nancy Flanagan	2021-12-18 16:13:42+00
Hickory	Dislike	I dislike this map as it combines rural Waterloo Township and Jackson County with the City of Ann Arbor. This is the best of the bunch. Changes in my district make a lot of sense.	Rebecca Mayer	2021-12-18 16:11:13+00
Hickory	Like		Nancy Flanagan	2021-12-18 16:11:09+00

Palm	Dislike	WAY too partisan. We had an election so tilted districts like those in PALM would be eliminated. NO on this map.	Nancy Flanagan	2021-12-18 16:09:57+00
Magnolia	Dislike	I do not like Magnolia as it combines rural Waterloo Township and Jackson County with much of the City of Ann Arbor.	Rebecca Mayer	2021-12-18 16:09:49+00
Pine v5	Dislike	I dislike this map as it combines rural Waterloo Township and Jackson County with much of the city of Ann Arbor. Please adopt the Szetela State House Map	Rebecca Mayer	2021-12-18 16:08:10+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	I do not like this map as it divides Jackson County and combines it with half of the City of Ann Arbor.	Rebecca Mayer	2021-12-18 16:05:48+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	I dislike this map because it combines half of Ann Arbor with rural Jackson County	Rebecca Mayer	2021-12-18 16:03:43+00
Linden	Like	The fairest plan	Kathleen M Kaczynski	2021-12-18 15:59:43+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	I do not like this map as it divides rural Jackson County with Ann Arbor which are very different in structure.	Rebecca Mayer	2021-12-18 15:56:01+00
Hickory	Like	This is the fairest plan	Kathleen M Kaczynski	2021-12-18 15:55:36+00
Palm	Dislike	This is not a fair map.	Kathleen M Kaczynski	2021-12-18 15:51:06+00
Hickory	Like	This map is more fair than others.	James McConnell	2021-12-18 15:49:31+00

Linden	Dislike	I dislike this map as it mixes the city of Ann Arbor with Jackson County. This is not a fair representation of these areas.	Rebecca Mayer	2021-12-18 15:49:00+00
Palm	Like	I like this map because it keeps Jackson County intact and it keeps the City of Ann Arbor separate	Rebecca Mayer	2021-12-18 15:47:36+00
Linden	Like	We want a fair map.	James McConnell	2021-12-18 15:46:53+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This is a bad map as it divides Jackson County and combines the rural area of Jackson County with the City of Ann Arbor. This is not good.	Rebecca Mayer	2021-12-18 15:46:10+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is not there. I voted for a fair mapping process, and Birch V2 is the fair map.	James McConnell	2021-12-18 15:38:39+00
Birch V2	Like	Most fair map.	Martha Higgins	2021-12-18 15:04:26+00
Linden	Like	Most fair map.	Donna Bowen	2021-12-18 15:01:22+00
Hickory	Like	Most fair map.	Donna Bowen	2021-12-18 14:59:22+00
Palm	Dislike	Unfair.	Donna Bowen	2021-12-18 14:54:28+00
Linden	Like	This is the fairest map. Please vote for this map.	Justin Harris	2021-12-18 14:46:03+00
Hickory	Like	This is the fairest map. Please vote for this map.	Justin Harris	2021-12-18 14:45:18+00
Palm	Dislike	This is not a fair map. Please vote no.	Justin Harris	2021-12-18 14:31:02+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map is unacceptable. Muskegon does not belong with Grand Rapids . Two totally different cities.	Muskegon resident	2021-12-18 05:22:23+00

Birch V2	Like	As a Muskegon resident, this map is great.	Muskegon resident	2021-12-18 05:18:52+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Support Chestnut!	Olivia Holmesmith	2021-12-18 04:07:18+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Support Chestnut!	Olivia Holmesmith	2021-12-18 04:06:43+00
Chestnut	Like	Support Chestnut!	Olivia Holmesmith	2021-12-18 04:06:23+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This is an unacceptable map for Muskegon. Muskegon needs to have its diverse interests represented. This district is not diverse at all.	Olivia Holmesmith	2021-12-18 03:13:21+00
Birch V2	Dislike	This is an unacceptable map for Muskegon. Muskegon needs to have its diverse interests represented. This district is not diverse at all.	Olivia Holmesmith	2021-12-18 03:13:13+00
Chestnut	Like	This is the only acceptable map for Muskegon. Muskegon needs to have its diverse interests represented. This map is best for Berrien County. It keeps the county's urban areas together and bands Berrien with the other lakeshore counties to emphasize tourism, protecting the environment, preserving forests and dunes, fruit farming, reviving industry, Great Lakes history -	Olivia Holmesmith	2021-12-18 03:13:09+00
Apple V2	Like	all vital interests.	Laurence Funk	2021-12-18 02:23:39+00

Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This is a bad map for Barry county because it puts it in a district with urban areas. This will prevent our rural interests from actually being represented in Congress.</p>	Maxwell Bosman	2021-12-18 02:18:53+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>This is a bad map for Barry county because it puts it in a district with urban areas. This will prevent our rural interests from actually being represented in Congress.</p>	Maxwell Bosman	2021-12-18 02:18:47+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This is the best map for Barry county because it puts it in a rural district. This will ensure that our rural interests are actually represented in Congress.</p>	Maxwell Bosman	2021-12-18 02:18:43+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>This map is absurd. The Niles area needs to remain united with the rest of Berrien County on any congressional map. We are similar to St. Joseph-Benton Harbor in diversity, total population, fruit farming, small industry, and share the history of the St. Joseph River valley, the exploration of which dates back to the 1600s. We don't belong with Jackson and Monroe, which are 120-150 miles away.</p>	Laurence Funk	2021-12-18 01:56:05+00

Chestnut	Dislike	Berrien County needs to be kept whole on any congressional map. Forcing the south county to find similar urban interests in Jackson and Monroe, which are 120-150 miles away and not be allowed to keep ties with the county seat in St. Joseph, which is a mere 25 miles away, is ridiculous.	Laurence Funk	2021-12-18 01:20:51+00
Birch V2	Like	I know drawing new maps is a difficult process, but I believe that this map helps balance the goal of partisan fairness and keeping communities of interest together in the best way possible.	Kenneth A Pearl	2021-12-18 01:00:18+00
Palm	Dislike	dislike	Jennifer Hollander	2021-12-18 00:07:58+00
Birch V2	Like	The Birchv2 map is a fair and honest redistricting map - something that has been neglected for decades!	Thomas Struckman	2021-12-17 23:22:48+00
Birch V2	Like	Please vote it into being. Birch v2 represents communities of interest better than other options	Jackie Long	2021-12-17 21:09:42+00

Hickory	Opinion	<p>This Plan is similar to other 2 proposed Plans in many respects &amp; is my preference of 3 proposed Plans. It is better than Plan Pine on population equality &amp; VRA compliance. This proposed Plan could be redrawn to score within a normal range in a larger class of accepted measures of partisan fairness, perhaps decreasing chance of successful legal challenges.</p> <p>Plan Kellogg outperforms all 3 proposed Plans on aspects of population equity &amp; VRA compliance, the highest priority criterion (Criterion A) in the MI Constitution.</p>	Beth Freeman	2021-12-17 21:01:38+00
Kellom Senate	Like		Beth Freeman	2021-12-17 20:41:17+00

Chestnut	Like	This plan performs well on a collection of partisan fairness measures; shows some consideration for county, city, & township boundaries; & performs better than other 2 Plans on top-ranked Criterion for population equity & VRA compliance, providing stronger districts of opportunity for the Black minority population to election candidates of its choice.	Beth Freeman	2021-12-17 20:32:53+00
Hickory	Like	Still much work to be done.....it's that tricky issue of partisan fairness for all. There has been some improvement, but please keep working!!!	Jack Ellis	2021-12-17 19:48:02+00
Linden	Like	Supporting a map simply because it is better than the current wretched one and the other proposed poor ones is really the epitome of a sad situation. I can only say as I've said before, IT'S NOT TOO LATE.....keep working.	Jack Ellis	2021-12-17 19:42:19+00
Hickory	Dislike	This map should be rejected. It is not fair and does not represent the communities of interest.	Dee J Maybee	2021-12-17 19:35:54+00

Pine v5	Dislike	This map should be rejected. It is not fair and does not represent the communities of interest.	Dee J Maybee	2021-12-17 19:34:34+00
Linden	Dislike	This map should be rejected. It is not fair and does not represent the communities of interest.	Dee J Maybee	2021-12-17 19:33:14+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This map should be rejected. It is not fair and does not represent the communities of interest.	Dee J Maybee	2021-12-17 19:31:57+00
Lange Congressional Birch V2	Dislike	interest.	Dee J Maybee	2021-12-17 19:30:43+00
	Like	This is a good map:)	Erin Rutkowski	2021-12-17 19:30:18+00
Palm	Dislike	This is a terrible map. I don't think it does a fair job of dividing Washtenaw County. We voted for fair maps and this is NOT a fair map. Our current senate district is grossly gerrymandered, and this plan is just a bit above that. Please do not vote for this map!!!	Roger M Harms	2021-12-17 19:30:07+00
Palm	Dislike	This map should be rejected. It is not fair and does not represent the communities of interest.	Jack Ellis	2021-12-17 19:29:22+00
Apple V2	Dislike	interest.	Dee J Maybee	2021-12-17 19:29:19+00

Chestnut	Dislike	This map should be rejected. It is not fair and does not represent the communities of interest.	Dee J Maybee	2021-12-17 19:28:20+00
Cherry V2	Like	I think this is a good map. I like the way Washtenaw County is divided.	Roger M Harms	2021-12-17 19:28:19+00
Linden	Like	This is a very good map. I like the way it looks for Washtenaw County.	Roger M Harms	2021-12-17 19:26:50+00
Magnolia	Like	This is my preferred map. It is the most fair and best represents the communities of interest.	Dee J Maybee	2021-12-17 19:26:00+00
Palm	Like	This is my preferred map. It is the most fair and best represents the communities of interest.	Dee J Maybee	2021-12-17 19:24:28+00
Hickory	Like	I like the fairness of this map for Michigan, for Black people in their districts, and for all of us. The way this map is set up for the Dexter area is good.	Roger M Harms	2021-12-17 19:24:25+00
Birch V2	Like	This is my preferred map. It is the most fair and best represents the communities of interest.	Dee J Maybee	2021-12-17 19:21:27+00
Birch V2	Opinion	We voted for fair maps and this is a fair map. The map will serve Macomb County for the next ten years.	Jack Ellis	2021-12-17 19:21:05+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	I think this map is not a good map for Michigan.	Roger M Harms	2021-12-17 19:20:46+00

Szetela Congressional	Like	I think Washtenaw would be well served with this map	Roger M Harms	2021-12-17 19:19:24+00
Birch V2	Like	I think that the Birch map would be a very good choice.	Roger M Harms	2021-12-17 19:17:23+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Too partisan!	Paul Marsh	2021-12-17 18:40:23+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map seems too partisan.	Paul Marsh	2021-12-17 18:38:05+00
Hickory	Like	Best, least partisan map.	Paul Marsh	2021-12-17 18:36:06+00
Cherry V2	Like	Seems like a good redistricting map to me.	Paul Marsh	2021-12-17 18:33:41+00
Birch V2	Like	As a local elected official, I hear all the time that we need to "think locally, but act regionally." This map is a reflection of this mantra. The person elected to represent my district will have to consider the needs of both Oakland and Macomb County, and inner-ring and outer-ring suburbs. The Representatives from the region will have to consider issues through the lens of how they impact the region, not just their communities.	Paul Gielegem Jr	2021-12-17 18:33:36+00
Birch V2	Like	This is a good map. not too partisan.	Paul Marsh	2021-12-17 18:29:33+00
Palm	Dislike	Please vote against this unbalanced plan	Marcia Mackey	2021-12-17 18:28:40+00

Hickory	Opinion	This is the best house map. It could still be improved on partisan fairness. Next vote has submitted some ideas for making it more partisan fair.	Suzanne Perkins	2021-12-17 18:28:36+00
		This map is unacceptable and unfair due to partisan favoritism. In a fair and democratic system, the elected representatives will reflect the votes of the people. This Magnolia map reproduces the effect of gerrymandering, making some votes count more than		
Magnolia	Dislike	others.	Samuel Firke	2021-12-17 18:27:03+00
Palm	Dislike	Too partisan.	Paul B. Marsh	2021-12-17 18:25:14+00
Linden	Like	This is the best map. It is the fairest and centers around population centers.	Suzanne Perkins	2021-12-17 18:25:10+00
Birch V2	Like	This map is fair and has the least population deviation.		
		This or Chestnut are great!	Suzanne Perkins	2021-12-17 18:22:06+00
Chestnut	Like	This map and birch are the fairest and center around population centers.	Suzanne Perkins	2021-12-17 18:20:37+00
		This commission was formed because people voted against blatantly partisan maps like this. Please do not vote for		
Pine v5	Dislike	this map.	Stephen Kemsley	2021-12-17 18:08:05+00

Magnolia	Dislike	This map has a blatant partisan lean. This map defeats the entire point of having this commission in the first place. Do not vote for it.	Stephen Kemsley	2021-12-17 18:07:16+00
Palm	Dislike	This commission was formed because people voted against blatantly partisan maps like this. Please do not vote for this map.	Stephen Kemsley	2021-12-17 18:05:00+00
Hickory	Like	Much less vote packing in this map compared to others. More balanced and representative of the state overall.	Stephen Kemsley	2021-12-17 18:02:54+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map is horribly biased towards one party. This map would defeat the whole purpose of the redistricting process and perpetuate minority rule and gerrymandering in Michigan. The partisan bias of this map is unacceptably high. Please choose Hickory instead.	Andrew Lorenz	2021-12-17 18:02:26+00
Cherry V2	Like	While not perfect, this is fair and much more balanced than other maps.	Stephen Kemsley	2021-12-17 18:01:46+00
Linden	Like	It's not perfect but it's much more balanced than some of the alternatives.	Stephen Kemsley	2021-12-17 18:00:30+00

Hickory	Like	Hickory is the only fair State House Map. Hickory gives the voting majority the best chance to gain the house majority, which honors the goal of "One Person, One Vote". Please adopt Hickory. The other 2 maps just perpetuate minority rule and gerrymandering.	Andrew Lorenz	2021-12-17 17:59:09+00
Birch V2	Like	This map is a fair compromise and has the least partisan bias.	Stephen Kemsley	2021-12-17 17:57:02+00
Linden	Like	Please vote YES on this map. This is the fairest State Senate map. The whole purpose of the redistricting commission is to draw fair maps with equal representation, and the Linden map accomplishes this better than the other maps. The Palm Map has a terrible partisan bias towards Republicans that would perpetuate the gerrymandering problem and minority rule. Every person's vote should count equally. Please reject this map. Choose a map with less	Andrew Lorenz	2021-12-17 17:55:24+00
Palm	Dislike	partisan bias.	Andrew Lorenz	2021-12-17 17:52:23+00
Birch V2	Like	This is the one	John A Herman	2021-12-17 17:10:08+00

Palm	Dislike	This map does nothing to change the current misrepresentation we have in our state today. This doesn't solve the Gerrymandering problem.	Kevin Marvin	2021-12-17 17:07:59+00
Linden	Like	This map is fair, well balanced, so the elections are competitive and not decide only by primaries.	A. Galip Ulsoy	2021-12-17 16:56:13+00
Chestnut	Like	A good map, that is fair and reflects will of Michigan voters.	A. Galip Ulsoy	2021-12-17 16:50:23+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This district goes too far west. This keeps both counties	Tara	2021-12-17 16:46:40+00
Lange Congressional	Like	whole.	John	2021-12-17 16:45:00+00
Lange Congressional	Like	I like this map for my district. this district seems better and balances the disRICTS left and	Betty	2021-12-17 16:41:17+00
Szetela House	Like	right leaning locations I understand breaking up downrivers largest city so it	Eric Kyle Schichl	2021-12-17 16:40:29+00
Magnolia	Like	does not dominate the district,	Eric Kyle Schichl	2021-12-17 16:36:29+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	I dislike this map despite being created by someone of Hungarian decent	Eric Kyle Schichl	2021-12-17 16:31:29+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	do not like this map taylor downrivers largest city is matched with most of detroit.	Eric Kyle Schichl	2021-12-17 16:29:43+00

Kellom Senate	Like	this at least makes the demographics match the national averages.	Eric Kyle Schichl	2021-12-17 16:27:36+00
Linden	Dislike	this is as dumb as the other districts my voice is drown out in this map	Eric Kyle Schichl	2021-12-17 16:23:49+00
Szetela House	Dislike	This is the worst of the maps. This breaks up Midland and separates it from townships right next to it with common interests and Sanford only to link it with the distant Bay City. Talk about Gerrrymandering! This is awful.	Larry Schuelke	2021-12-17 16:19:19+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	this will drowned out taylors voice	Eric Kyle Schichl	2021-12-17 16:18:20+00
Apple V2	Dislike	this map drowns out taylors voice	Eric Kyle Schichl	2021-12-17 16:17:20+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	all theses maps seem to shove taylor into a position with no voice	Eric Kyle Schichl	2021-12-17 16:12:03+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	We have nothing in common with Monroe.	Karen	2021-12-17 16:00:01+00

Can someone explain how the desire for separating "urban" areas from "rural" places is tossed out the window when it comes to the City of Midland? Com. Lange is the champion of the need to keep rural areas rural, because wells and market access etc. Why should the Dow chemicals company town be the exception to the rule?

Lange Congressional Dislike

Mark

2021-12-17 15:51:03+00

I am not a fan of some of the other areas on this map as a whole. My own district does not differ much from all the other collaborative options. Why did this commissioner change her own draft map for this area? It was the only different configuration offered during the previous public hearing period. A shame - now every map looks remarkably similar to the current Congressional 12th wherein the Ann Arbor/Ypsilanti area is connected to the hour distant Downriver communities by snaking along the southern boundaries of Wayne County. Don't publish some propaganda in the "Candid Conversations" video telling me this commission didn't even look at the current maps and started from scratch on everything. How else did this commission arrive at the

Lange Congressional	Dislike	decision to combine	Tom	2021-12-17 15:41:12+00
Palm	Dislike	Please vote not on the PALM map. PALM has the highest	Deborah Newell	2021-12-17 15:38:51+00
Linden	Like	partisan bias of all the maps.	John A Herman	2021-12-17 15:32:04+00
Hickory	Like	This one	Kelly Bertin	2021-12-17 15:09:06+00
Palm	Dislike	This is the most fair map.	Kelly Bertin	2021-12-17 15:05:57+00
		vote no on PALM map		

Lange Congressional	Dislike	I don't like this map very much.	Lisa	2021-12-17 14:55:46+00
Linden	Like	This is by far the most fair map	Katie Lynne French	2021-12-17 14:52:12+00
Hickory	Like	This is by far the most fair map	Katie Lynne French	2021-12-17 14:51:43+00
Palm	Dislike	vote no on the "PALM" map!	Katie Lynne French	2021-12-17 14:50:52+00
Pine v5	Dislike	This map is partisan and is unacceptable	Beth Doherty	2021-12-17 14:32:20+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map is very biased and should not be considered.	Beth Doherty	2021-12-17 14:30:55+00
Palm	Dislike	This map has the worst partisan fairness score.	Beth Doherty	2021-12-17 14:27:04+00
Linden	Like	This is the best of the 3 proposed State Senate maps	Beth Doherty	2021-12-17 14:25:03+00
Hickory	Opinion	This is the better map of the the 3 proposed.	Beth Doherty	2021-12-17 14:22:06+00
Hickory	Dislike	Hickory still does not achieve partisan fairness as it continues to award the majority of seats to a minority of voters. You must correct this before adopting it.	Michael Hertz	2021-12-17 14:20:48+00
Hickory	Like	most fair of them all.	CHRISTINA A Verkest	2021-12-17 14:14:41+00
Birch V2	Like	This is a fair map for Congressional Districts	Beth Doherty	2021-12-17 14:13:35+00
Linden	Like	This one looks best for the state senate boundaries.	Alicia Farmer	2021-12-17 13:52:27+00
Birch V2	Like	I think I like this one best. It really follows local boundaries.	Alicia Farmer	2021-12-17 13:50:16+00

Chestnut	Like	This map is a major improvement over the current districting -- less packing, more equitable.	Alicia Farmer	2021-12-17 13:48:33+00
Linden	Dislike	The worst of them all. Was this hand drawn by big Gretch herself. It unfairly biases districts towards urban areas that are already in ruins due to inadequate leadership. Please vote NO so the same people don't ruin the rest of our great state.	Scott Shemansky	2021-12-17 13:45:56+00
Hickory	Like	This is by far the most fair map that I have seen. Please vote on this one to respect us under-represented folks in rural areas. Let's stop letting this state be ruined by the urban corruption that exists in the big cities and which is trying to take over Lansing.	Scott Shemansky	2021-12-17 13:42:57+00
Hickory	Dislike	This is by far the most fair map that I have seen. Please vote on this one to respect us under-represented folks in rural areas. Let's stop letting this state be ruined by the urban corruption that exists in the big cities and which is trying to take over Lansing.	Scott Shemansky	2021-12-17 13:42:18+00

		Please vote YES on this map. This is the most fair re-districting map that I've seen. Thank you for your work on this project. It must have been really difficult and I for one appreciate the		
Palm	Like	commissions' efforts on this.	Scott Shemansky	2021-12-17 13:36:45+00
Linden	Like	Please vote in favor of this map it is a fair one.	Dana S Houston-Jones	2021-12-17 13:02:42+00
Hickory	Like	Please vote in favor of this map it is a fair one.	Dana S Houston-Jones	2021-12-17 13:02:07+00
Palm	Dislike	Please vote against this map it is unfairly partisan.	Dana S Houston-Jones	2021-12-17 13:00:41+00
Hickory	Like	This is a fair map.	Kaitlyn M Eaton	2021-12-17 12:52:48+00
Palm	Dislike	Please vote against this map. Please vote yes on this map.	Kaitlyn Eaton	2021-12-17 12:50:59+00
Linden	Like	This is a fair map.	Daryl Biallas	2021-12-17 12:35:31+00
Hickory	Like	This is a fair map. Please vote in favor of this one.	Daryl Biallas	2021-12-17 12:34:58+00
Palm	Dislike	Please vote against this map. This is not a fair map.	Daryl Biallas	2021-12-17 12:33:52+00
Pine v5	Dislike	This map was starting to look fair, when I noticed how it slices the shorelines, as another constituent noticed. I prefer Magnolia.	Carly Moran	2021-12-17 07:30:05+00

Magnolia	Like	While none of the state house maps are the best, I respect how this map divides Jackson County if we must at all. It maintains the Jackson urban area without senselessly gerrymandering Ann Arbor into their population. It also keeps Hillsdale County intact. I don't think this map would be good for the gay community. We deserve a map where we have representatives who will	Carly Moran	2021-12-17 07:27:08+00
Apple V2	Dislike	listen to our needs I don't think this map would be good for the gay community. We deserve a map where we have representatives who will	Butch Jackson	2021-12-17 07:25:17+00
Birch V2	Dislike	listen to our needs	Butch Jackson	2021-12-17 07:25:10+00
Hickory	Dislike	This map is better than some, but still divides Jackson. Also is a bit odd how the neighboring district snatches Hudson from Hillsdale County. I prefer Magnolia. While it keeps Hillsdale County intact, it absolutely shreds Jackson County. I also see some similar issues with	Carly Moran	2021-12-17 07:24:47+00
Szetela House	Dislike	neighboring Lenawee.	Carly Moran	2021-12-17 07:21:52+00

Szetela Senate	Dislike	Much like aforementioned maps, Szetela needlessly splits rural Hillsdale County, and includes Jackson with the very different suburbs of Ann Arbor. Palm appears to be the best for Southern Michigan.	Carly Moran	2021-12-17 07:18:37+00
Chestnut	Like	I think this is a good map for the gay community. Unnecessarily divides Hillsdale County for gerrymandering purposes like the other maps, and also places rural Jackson County with the suburbs of Ann Arbor. Doesn't make much sense at all. Palm is best.	Butch Jackson	2021-12-17 07:17:59+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike		Carly Moran	2021-12-17 07:14:52+00
Apple V2	Dislike	I prefer the Chestnut plan because it keeps communities of interest together better than Birch or Apple.	Graham Grayson	2021-12-17 07:00:12+00
Birch V2	Dislike	I prefer the Chestnut plan because it keeps communities of interest together better than Birch or Apple.	Graham Grayson	2021-12-17 07:00:04+00
Chestnut	Like	I prefer the Chestnut plan because it keeps communities of interest together better than Birch or Apple.	Graham Grayson	2021-12-17 06:59:59+00

Kellom Senate	Dislike	Once again, a very bizarre and unnecessary divide of Hillsdale County as I saw in other maps when the needs are the county are very homogenous (rural, older population). So far, my favorite would have to be Palm.	Carly Moran	2021-12-17 06:53:21+00
Linden	Dislike	Needlessly divides Hillsdale County, placing my part with communities east of me that don't match our needs quite as well as Branch, St. Joseph and Jackson County. I also see an odd division of Ann Arbor. I still don't understand the need to divide Hillsdale County, but out of all of the Senate maps, this one best represents COIs. I appreciate the inclusion of the counties to the west of Hillsdale, as I feel they are most similar to us. We're rural farming communities with similar natural resources and demographics.	Carly N Moran	2021-12-17 06:50:36+00
Palm	Like		Carly Moran	2021-12-17 06:46:44+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>This feels gerrymandered to me. There is no need to snatch away the top of Hillsdale County, when the needs of Moscow and Jerome are very similar to the rest of us.</p>	Carly Moran	2021-12-17 06:42:57+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	<p>This map needlessly divides Jackson County, and includes Battle Creek in our rural District 5. Chestnut and Birch V2 are better options.</p>	Carly Moran	2021-12-17 06:38:28+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>The Asian American community of Western Michigan prefers the Chestnut plan</p>	Theresa Liu	2021-12-17 06:38:15+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>The Asian American community of Western Michigan prefers the Chestnut plan</p>	Theresa Liu	2021-12-17 06:37:38+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>The Asian American community of Western Michigan the supports the Chestnut plan</p> <p>This is better than AppleV2, but still not the best. It divides Jackson and places Battle Creek and Albion with Hillsdale and Branch Counties, two different places with different needs. See my comments on AppleV2 for why.</p>	Theresa Liu	2021-12-17 06:37:30+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>why.</p>	Carly Moran	2021-12-17 06:35:03+00

Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This is a gerrymandered map. The needs of Battle Creek and Albion are terribly different from those of Branch and Hillsdale County.</p> <p>I appreciate how this maps maintains the rural needs of District 5, while keeping Jackson as well, since many people in District 5 have connections there, i.e. shopping, school, family.</p>	Carly Moran	2021-12-17 06:30:40+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>I think this map makes the most sense out of the congressional maps. It represents the needs of the Hillsdale community, as well as the extended southern Michigan area. Speaking with a friend from the Detroit Metro Area, she says her needs are met as well.</p>	Carly Moran	2021-12-17 06:28:42+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>Linden is the fairest State Senate map. Please also consider including Oak Park in this map as it, Southfield and Detroit contain contiguous COI African-American communities which have strong ties.</p>	Carly Moran	2021-12-17 06:23:29+00
Linden	Like		Judy Davis	2021-12-17 06:09:11+00

		This map keeps contiguous African-American COIs together. Please consider also including Oak Park in the Chestnut Congressional map.		
Chestnut	Like	Thank you!	Judy Davis	2021-12-17 06:00:57+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Chestnut is a better map for Ottawa County.	Chris Carlson	2021-12-17 05:50:59+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Chestnut is a better map for Ottawa County.	Chris Carlson	2021-12-17 05:50:31+00
Chestnut	Like	This is the best map for Ottawa county.	Chris Carlson	2021-12-17 05:50:20+00
Palm	Dislike	Please vote no on this map, It seems very biased.	MICHAEL MCGEE	2021-12-17 04:07:46+00
Linden	Like	Linden is a much improved MI Senate map from the current. It has a low max population deviation. The quality of the comments and analysis by a number of participants is encouraging. Please refer to John Erdevig's, which I agree with. Thank you working the issues in a diligent manner.	George Dilgard	2021-12-17 02:53:06+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Opinion	Pontiac & Auburn Hills should be together Rochester & Rochester Hills should be together without any part of Oakland Township	Janet Armil	2021-12-17 02:50:03+00

Palm	Dislike	The worst and most gerrymandered of the proposed maps.	Janet Armil	2021-12-17 02:48:11+00
Hickory	Like	The fairest House map.	Janet Armil	2021-12-17 02:46:39+00
Linden	Like	The fairest Senate map.	Janet Armil	2021-12-17 02:45:14+00
Hickory	Like	The best-balanced state house map. This gives the voting majority the best chance to control the house majority.	Paul Torek	2021-12-17 02:41:49+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map does a good job of translating winning statewide vote totals into winning state senate proportions.	Paul Torek	2021-12-17 02:38:18+00
Chestnut	Like	Chestnut is a reasonably competitive map for MI overall. The max population deviation is lower than the current.	George Dilgard	2021-12-17 02:37:38+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is unbalanced. Had it been in effect in previous elections, the party that got more votes for state senate would hardly matter.	Paul Torek	2021-12-17 02:33:16+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map seems reasonably fair and respectful of communities of interest.	Edward Saunders	2021-12-17 02:05:33+00
Palm	Dislike	This seems purposefully gerrymandered for partisan gain. Exactly the opposite of why we voted for the MICRC in the first place.	Edward Saunders	2021-12-17 02:02:20+00

Magnolia	Dislike	<p>This seems purposefully gerrymandered for partisan gain. Exactly the opposite of why we voted for the MICRC in the first place.</p> <p>Good start, but statewide, this still has a large partisan bias that does not reflect the total population of the state and will adversely affect statewide elections away from the majority view.</p>	Edward Saunders	2021-12-17 02:00:56+00
Hickory	Like		Edward Saunders	2021-12-17 01:52:34+00
Linden	Like	<p>Waterloo Recreation Area serves a large population from Washtenaw, especially Ann Arbor. Jackson/Ann Arbor are much more connected than Brighton/Ann Arbor.</p> <p>Seems reasonably fair and respectful of Communities of Interest.</p>	Edward Saunders	2021-12-17 01:49:41+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>Waterloo Recreational Area serves a big population of Washtenaw County residents, especially from Ann Arbor. frequently. Szetela seems the best US Congress map, then Birch v2, but Cherry also seems a reasonable</p>	Edward Saunders	2021-12-17 01:46:46+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>alternative.</p>	Edward Saunders	2021-12-17 01:42:57+00

Magnolia	Like	Please keep Livingston County to three state house seats. We do not want to be divided and included with communities that do not share our values.	Bruce W Foreit	2021-12-17 01:28:09+00
Magnolia	Like	I appreciate Genoa Township is with other communities in Livingston County as we share the same values and interests. Please keep East English Village, Cornerstone, and Morningside neighborhoods together. We have common concerns and interests.	Denise Rose Foreit	2021-12-17 01:24:18+00
Pine v5	Like		Elizabeth A Greenhow	2021-12-17 01:15:17+00
Palm	Dislike	The Palm map has a much higher efficiency gap than both Linden and Cherry. Also, in Palm, Webster Twp. is separated from its main COIs with Dexter, Dexter schools, and Washtenaw County services. The Linden map is much better and retains a Webster with northern Washtenaw County.	Antoinette Spears	2021-12-17 00:57:15+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	In the Cherry map, Webster Twp. is separated from its main COIs with Dexter, Dexter schools, and Washtenaw County services. The Linden map is much better and retains a Webster with northern Washtenaw County. The farm area voters would be happy to be in a congressional district with Grand Rapids. Question? How do you avoid the farm area voters when Grand Rapids is gerrymandered in a congressional district with Muskegon or Kalamazoo? Those urban democrat elitist are sure looking out for the farmer people! This map is okay.	Antoinette Spears	2021-12-17 00:53:57+00
Lange Congressional	Like	The Linden map is good in that it keeps Webster Twp. with its main COIs of Dexter, schools, and Washtenaw County services, whereas the other maps remove and isolate it from these COIs.	Farmer Billy Bob	2021-12-17 00:53:03+00
Linden	Like	After watching many map-drawing sessions, I believe this is the best of the maps offered for this district.	Antoinette Spears	2021-12-17 00:50:05+00
Chestnut	Like		Susan B Miller	2021-12-17 00:25:22+00

Birch V2	Like	I have been following the map drawing sessions and arguments for various maps. I believe this one is the fairest of those proposed.	Susan B Miller	2021-12-17 00:23:04+00
Linden	Like	This is the most fair map of 15.	Catherine Wade	2021-12-17 00:18:18+00
Apple V2	Dislike	The Apple map has the highest efficiency gap among the three group maps and thus the other maps would be a better choice. Apple also isolates a NW section of Washtenaw County from the natural county COI and it would be better to keep Washtenaw boundaries totally intact as does the Chestnut map.	Antoinette Spears	2021-12-17 00:17:17+00
Chestnut	Like	I think that this map meets all of the Voters Rights Act and is a true reflection of Census data.. Vote Yes, Yes, Yes on this one.	RICHARD C CLEMENT	2021-12-17 00:14:15+00
Chestnut	Like	The Chestnut map is by far the best for keeping Washtenaw County intact. The Birch and Apple both really isolate a NW section of the county from their natural COI. It's also good Chestnut has the lowest efficiency gap. Many thanks for your efforts!	Antoinette M Spears	2021-12-17 00:12:09+00

Hickory	Opinion	This is the least awful map. Please terminate the Oakland County House districts at 8 Mile. Further, please give Detroit more representation. In the Birch map, a NW section of Washtenaw County is quite isolated from the natural county COI and would be better rejoined with	Catherine Wade	2021-12-17 00:10:44+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Washtenaw.	Antoinette Spears	2021-12-17 00:04:27+00
Apple V2	Dislike	gerrymandered	Madhurima Das	2021-12-16 23:53:23+00
Birch V2	Like	fair	Madhurima Das	2021-12-16 23:52:59+00
Chestnut	Like	most fair	Madhurima Das	2021-12-16 23:52:30+00
Hickory	Like	most fair house map	Madhurima Das	2021-12-16 23:52:04+00
Linden	Like	This is the best option	Madhurima Das	2021-12-16 23:51:11+00
Palm	Dislike	Worst map- this is incredibly gerrymandered. This map is unacceptable. Please keep Troy whole, an	Madhurima Das	2021-12-16 23:50:18+00
Szetela House	Dislike	intact COI.	Stephen Sadlier	2021-12-16 22:50:28+00
Hickory	Dislike	This is a bad map. Please keep Troy together.	Stephen Sadlier	2021-12-16 22:47:25+00
Pine v5	Like	This map is acceptable as it keeps Troy whole, an intact COI.	Stephen Sadlier	2021-12-16 22:44:01+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Please don't split the community of Troy.	Stephen Sadlier	2021-12-16 22:34:44+00
Chestnut	Like	I feel this area 12 represents my community.	E'toile O'Rear-Libbett	2021-12-16 22:25:49+00

Palm	Dislike	Troy should be kept with similar communities to the south, Clawson, Birmingham	Stephen Sadlier	2021-12-16 22:25:35+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Troy should be kept with similar communities to the south, Clawson, Birmingham	Stephen Sadlier	2021-12-16 22:23:01+00
Linden	Like	Acceptable, non of the Senate maps are great.	Stephen Sadlier	2021-12-16 22:14:03+00
Chestnut	Like	This map works as it keeps Troy in Oakland County but I feel it is a mistake to leave Rochester/Roch. Hills out.	Stephen Sadlier	2021-12-16 22:00:38+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map appears reasonably fair and is better than some of the alternatives.	Richard Lenski	2021-12-16 21:50:54+00
Linden	Like	This map appears to be reasonably fair, and is better than the alternatives.	Richard Lenski	2021-12-16 21:47:33+00

County lines represent the 19th century and a by-gone era. As a Midland resident, nothing about our commerce, culture or future growth indicates eyes to the rural county west and north of us but instead, we need to be facing our economic partners of Bay City-Saginaw. This particular map represents same-ol / same-ol....especially in terms of (not) bias / fair. Thank you for allowing us to state our opinions and for all your hard work!

Magnolia

Dislike

Tammy J DeRuyter

2021-12-16 21:46:57+00

This map is better than some of the alternatives, although it still seems to unfairly favor the republican party.

Hickory

Like

Richard Lenski

2021-12-16 21:43:40+00

While I respect the changes made to this map to improve the community of interest representation and majority-minority districts in the Detroit area, it has the worst partisan fairness scores and doesn't give Midland a competitive district. Hickory keeps all of Curry and Kelloms changes to Magnolia but also improves the partisan fairness scores. If you aren't going to improve the partisan fairness scores of the maps please select Hickory as the best map for Michigan. Do not pick this map as it will not result in fair elections, which is our Constitutional right.

Magnolia

Dislike

Ronald Martin Lacher

2021-12-16 21:43:23+00

County lines represent the 19th century and a by-gone era. As a Midland resident, nothing about our commerce, culture or future growth indicates eyes to the rural county west and north of us but instead, we need to be facing our economic partners of Bay City-Saginaw. This particular map represents same-ol / same-ol.... Thank you for allowing us to state our opinions and for all your hard work!

Pine v5

Dislike

Tammy J DeRuyter

2021-12-16 21:43:18+00

This is a terrible map! Troy should be with similar communities to the south in Oakland County.

Apple V2

Dislike

Stephen Sadlier

2021-12-16 21:41:54+00

None of the House maps reflect true partisan fairness and they all lean in favor of Republicans. The Pine V5 has the same partisan fairness scores as Hickory, but it does not contain the changes made to the Detroit area by Commissioners Kellom and Curry. Hickory has the same partisan fairness scores as Pine V5 but also has the Detroit changes to honor communities of interest and improve majority-minority districts. If you are not going to improve the partisan fairness of the maps please select Hickory as the best map for Michigan.

Pine v5

Dislike

Ronald Martin Lacher

2021-12-16 21:40:56+00

This map does a better job than some others in reducing the partisan advantage. It keeps East Lansing with Lansing, which is appropriate.

Birch V2

Like

Richard Lenski

2021-12-16 21:40:03+00

I am thankful that the commission has consistently drawn a Tri-Cities Senate district. We appreciate you listening so carefully to the citizens of Mid-Michigan. The Palm map has the worst partisan fairness scores of all 3 senate maps. Please do not choose this map. It does not represent Michigan well and does not give citizens the opportunity for fair elections. Please select the Linden map for the senate districts.

Palm

Dislike

Ronald Martin Lacher

2021-12-16 21:39:14+00

This is an acceptable map with partisan fairness scores only slightly higher than Chestnut, but I like District 8 in Chestnut better because it keeps most of Bay, Saginaw, and Genesee Counties whole and also includes most of Midland County. It doesn't make sense to add Tuscola County into this district when you can achieve the population requirements as well as the same political lean scores if you just include most of the already connected counties. Thank you for listening and giving the people of Mid-Michigan fair districts to ensure fair elections.

Apple V2	Opinion		Ronald Martin Lacher	2021-12-16 21:37:39+00
		While I am a big supporter of Tri-Cities "grouping" (all roads lead toward this as our economic future), numbers clearly show this map to be statistically bias / unfair.		
Palm	Dislike	Thank you!	Tammy J DeRuyter	2021-12-16 21:37:35+00

This is an acceptable map with partisan fairness scores only slightly higher than Linden, and it has the Tri-Cities Senate district so many of us have asked for. Thank you for consistently listening to the citizens of Mid-Michigan in order to give the people of Michigan fair districts to ensure fair elections. I do prefer the Linden Senate Map because it has a lower lopsided margin than Cherry V2. Linden is the best map for the state of Michigan of the available 3 though none of them achieve proper partisan fairness scores.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Ronald Martin Lacher

2021-12-16 21:36:14+00

Pine v5

Dislike

This map is partisan and unfair. It dilutes East Lansing votes with rural areas.

Richard Lenski

2021-12-16 21:35:29+00

None of the state house maps achieve the partisan fairness scores they should because they all favor Republicans by more than 5%. Every district drawn for the Midland House is heavily biased in favor of Republicans instead of giving the area a competitive district. If I have to choose between the 3 biased maps, the Hickory map is the most fair. Even if I'm unable to have a competitive district for Midland, I want the rest of the state to have a good map. Hickory keeps all the alterations made to the Detroit area to increase majority-minority districts and improve the representation of communities. This map also has the lowest lopsided margin. Hickory is the best map of the 3 for the state. I would be willing to sacrifice a fair map for Midland if it

Birch V2

Opinion

means a more representative

Ronald Martin Lacher

2021-12-16 21:33:53+00

Magnolia

Dislike

This map is unfair. It has a partisan lean and dilutes East Lansing with rural areas.

Richard Lenski

2021-12-16 21:31:47+00

None of the state house maps achieve the partisan fairness scores they should because they all favor Republicans by more than 5%. Every district drawn for the Midland House is heavily biased in favor of Republicans instead of giving the area a competitive district. If I have to choose between the 3 biased maps, the Hickory map is the most fair. Even if I'm unable to have a competitive district for Midland, I want the rest of the state to have a good map. Hickory keeps all the alterations made to the Detroit area to increase majority-minority districts and improve the representation of communities. This map also has the lowest lopsided margin. Hickory is the best map of the 3 for the state. I would be willing to sacrifice a fair map for Midland if it means a more representative

Hickory

Like

Ronald Martin Lacher

2021-12-16 21:31:23+00

As a Midland resident,  
nothing about our commerce,  
culture or future growth  
indicates eyes to the West.  
We seem to be all about the  
Tri-Cities (historically and  
economically) thus it makes  
sense that our political  
districts should too! Thanks  
for the opportunity to  
comment.

Lange Congressional Dislike

Tammy J DeRuyter

2021-12-16 21:29:33+00

I support the Chestnut Congressional Map because I believe in fair (and free) elections, as provided in our Constitution. I want to be able to choose my representatives, not the other way around. I understand that the Chestnut map has the lowest lopsided margin at 4% in favor of Republicans. Though I would like to see the partisan fairness scores improved, if I have to choose between 3 imperfect maps I choose Chestnut. It has the best congressional district drawn for Midland because it keeps the Tri-Cities and Flint together, keeps the other counties whole, keeps most of Midland County in it as well, and makes it possible for either party to win. This is a good example of balancing partisan fairness, communities of interest, and keeping areas intact that have

Linden

Like

long associated with each other. This map is unfair and puts East Lansing with largely rural areas.

Ronald Martin Lacher

2021-12-16 21:29:12+00

Palm

Dislike

Richard Lenski

2021-12-16 21:25:04+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>Closest map to partisan fairness and keeps Troy with similar Oakland County communities to the north and south.</p> <p>I support the Chestnut Congressional Map because I believe in fair (and free) elections, as provided in our Constitution. I want to be able to choose my representatives, not the other way around. I understand that the Chestnut map has the lowest lopsided margin at 4% in favor of Republicans. Though I would like to see the partisan fairness scores improved, if I have to choose between 3 imperfect maps I choose Chestnut. It has the best congressional district drawn for Midland because it keeps the Tri-Cities and Flint together, keeps the other counties whole, keeps most of Midland County in it as well, and makes it possible for either party to win. This is a good example of balancing partisan fairness, communities of interest, and keeping areas intact that have long associated with each</p>	Stephen Sadlier	2021-12-16 21:22:44+00
Chestnut	Like		Ronald Martin Lacher	2021-12-16 21:22:40+00

This is my preferred map. It is the most fair and best represents the communities

Birch V2	Like	of interest.	Dee Maybee	2021-12-16 21:06:46+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Dislike	Melitz Mike	2021-12-16 20:46:13+00
Hickory	Dislike	Dislike	Melitz Mike	2021-12-16 20:45:42+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Dislike	Melitz Mike	2021-12-16 20:45:15+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Dislike	Melitz Mike	2021-12-16 20:44:42+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	Dislike	Melitz Mike	2021-12-16 20:44:13+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	Dislike	Melitz Mike	2021-12-16 20:43:38+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Dislike	Melitz Mike	2021-12-16 20:43:04+00
Linden	Dislike	Dislike	Melitz Mike	2021-12-16 20:42:30+00
Palm	Dislike	Dislike	Melitz Mike	2021-12-16 20:41:54+00

I strongly support the Birch map because of the common interests among citizens and communities in the proposed 10th District. I support the Birch map overall because of its lack of partisanship in the various districts. The Birch districts will require all members of Congress to listen to their constituents regardless of political leanings because the districts will require candidates to attract voters from both parties and

Birch V2	Like	non-party affiliated voters.	David R Radtke	2021-12-16 20:41:44+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Dislike	Melitz Mike	2021-12-16 20:41:20+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Dislike	Melitz Mike	2021-12-16 20:40:38+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	Dislike	Melitz Mike	2021-12-16 20:40:02+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Dislike	Michael Melitz	2021-12-16 20:39:18+00

Birch V2	Like	Only one ilike	Michael Melitz	2021-12-16 20:36:42+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Dislike The house maps are bad. 8 Mile Rd is ignored for SE Oakland, when 8 Mile Boundry has served Oakland and Wayne Counties well. Combining Districts 5, 6, 7, and 8, then re-dividing this area horozontally will better serve the neighborhoods. Royal Oak Township and Oak Park are not divided. There would be two minority	Michael Melitz	2021-12-16 20:35:42+00
Hickory	Like	majority house seats.	Nina Dodge Abrams	2021-12-16 20:33:05+00
Linden	Like	Most fair map	Thomas DeGrand	2021-12-16 20:08:23+00
Hickory	Like	Most fair map	Thomas DeGrand	2021-12-16 20:05:56+00
		I like that two cities with robust minority populations and similar interests - Muskegon and Grand Rapids - are included in the same district. Good job on this map. It is far superior to the Birch		
Chestnut	Like	Map in terms of fairness.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-12-16 20:02:40+00
Palm	Dislike	Unfair map This is a really bad map from a partisan fairness perspective. You're diluting urban votes to the point of	Thomas DeGrand	2021-12-16 20:02:18+00
Birch V2	Dislike	irrelevance.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-12-16 19:55:46+00

Pine v5	Dislike	<p>The partisan lean makes this map unacceptable. It would function like a gerrymander to ensure that one party wields more power than the electorate granted it.</p> <p>The partisan lean makes this map unacceptable. The whole reason we, the people, fought for fair maps was to get representation that reflected the makeup of the electorate. This map fails that</p>	Samuel Firke	2021-12-16 19:52:33+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>standard.</p> <p>The best and most fair of the state house maps. I appreciate that this does not "pack" Ann Arbor and render</p>	Samuel Firke	2021-12-16 19:51:09+00
Hickory	Like	<p>my vote meaningless.</p> <p>Good, fair map. I live right by the split in Ann Arbor and appreciate that my vote is not rendered irrelevant by the gerrymandering technique of</p>	Samuel Firke	2021-12-16 19:49:30+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>"packing".</p> <p>I'm not sure about the rest of it, but I appreciate that this map keeps Kalamazoo and Battle Creek in one district as a COI rather than Kalamazoo being lumped in with rural</p>	Samuel Firke	2021-12-16 19:47:23+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>Indiana border counties.</p>	Max Hornick	2021-12-16 19:43:28+00

Linden	Like	Good, fair map. Fair on partisan lean, good on communities of interest. As someone who lives right by the split in Ann Arbor, I appreciate that my vote will matter under this map.	Samuel Firke	2021-12-16 19:43:23+00
Birch V2	Like	The Birch V2 map appropriately represents Washtenaw County	Sam Firke	2021-12-16 19:40:11+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is unbalanced and unfair, please do not select it. It functions like a gerrymander to distort the votes of the electorate and produce an unrepresentative state senate.	Sam Firke	2021-12-16 19:35:50+00
Palm	Dislike	Please vote NO on this! Voting should be fair. One party should not be favored.	Lorie	2021-12-16 19:32:54+00

While I respect the changes made to this map to improve the community of interest representation and majority-minority districts in the Detroit area, it has the worst partisan fairness scores and doesn't give Midland a competitive district. Hickory keeps all of Curry and Kelloms changes to Magnolia but also improves the partisan fairness scores. If you aren't going to improve the partisan fairness scores of the maps please select Hickory as the best map for Michigan. Do not pick this map as it will not result in fair elections, which is our Constitutional right.

Magnolia

Dislike

Jane Lacher

2021-12-16 19:21:55+00

None of the House maps reflect true partisan fairness and they all lean in favor of Republicans. The Pine V5 has the same partisan fairness scores as Hickory, but it does not contain the changes made to the Detroit area by Commissioners Kellom and Curry. Hickory has the same partisan fairness scores as Pine V5 but also has the Detroit changes to honor communities of interest and improve majority-minority districts. If you are not going to improve the partisan fairness of the maps please select Hickory as the best map for Michigan.

Pine v5

Dislike

Jane Lacher

2021-12-16 19:18:51+00

I am thankful that the commission has consistently drawn a Tri-Cities Senate district. We appreciate you listening so carefully to the citizens of Mid-Michigan. The Palm map has the worst partisan fairness scores of all 3 senate maps. Please do not choose this map. It does not represent Michigan well and does not give citizens the opportunity for fair elections. Please select the Linden map for the senate districts.

Palm

Dislike

Jane Lacher

2021-12-16 19:16:58+00

This is an acceptable map with partisan fairness scores only slightly higher than Linden, and it has the Tri-Cities Senate district so many of us have asked for. Thank you for consistently listening to the citizens of Mid-Michigan in order to give the people of Michigan fair districts to ensure fair elections. I do prefer the Linden Senate Map because it has a lower lopsided margin than Cherry V2. Linden is the best map for the state of Michigan of the available 3 though none of them achieve proper partisan fairness scores.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Jane Lacher

2021-12-16 19:14:34+00

This is an acceptable map with partisan fairness scores only slightly higher than Chestnut, but I like District 8 in Chestnut better because it keeps most of Bay, Saginaw, and Genesee Counties whole and also includes most of Midland County. It doesn't make sense to add Tuscola County into this district when you can achieve the population requirements as well as the same political lean scores if you just include most of the already connected counties. Thank you for listening and giving the people of Mid-Michigan fair districts to ensure fair elections.

Apple V2

Opinion

Jane Lacher

2021-12-16 19:11:34+00

This is an acceptable map with partisan fairness scores only slightly higher than Chestnut, but I like District 8 in Chestnut better because it keeps most of Bay, Saginaw, and Genesee Counties whole and also includes most of Midland County. It doesn't make sense to add Tuscola County into this district when you can achieve the population requirements as well as the same political lean scores if you just include most of the already connected counties. Thank you for listening and giving the people of Mid-Michigan fair districts to ensure fair elections.

Birch V2

Opinion

Jane Lacher

2021-12-16 19:09:55+00

None of the state house maps achieve the partisan fairness scores they should because they all favor Republicans by more than 5%. Every district drawn for the Midland House is heavily biased in favor of Republicans instead of giving the area a competitive district. If I have to choose between the 3 biased maps, the Hickory map is the most fair. Even if I'm unable to have a competitive district for Midland, I want the rest of the state to have a good map. Hickory keeps all the alterations made to the Detroit area to increase majority-minority districts and improve the representation of communities. This map also has the lowest lopsided margin. Hickory is the best map of the 3 for the state. I would be willing to sacrifice a fair map for Midland if it means a more representative

Jane Lacher

Hickory

Like

2021-12-16 19:02:52+00

I support the Linden State Senate Map. Three maps have drawn the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) district that I support, but Linden has the lowest lopsided margin. Even though the map still favors Republicans, if I am choosing between the 3 maps, Linden is the one that gives Michiganders the best chance to elect representatives that will represent them and not a political party. I want to be able to my own representative. I do not want politicians choosing who gets to vote for them. That is not a fair election. Thank you for listening and giving the people of Mid-Michigan fair districts to ensure fair elections.

Linden

Like

Jane Lacher

2021-12-16 19:00:12+00

I support the Chestnut Congressional Map because I believe in fair (and free) elections, as provided in our Constitution. I want to be able to choose my representatives, not the other way around. I understand that the Chestnut map has the lowest lopsided margin at 4% in favor of Republicans. Though I would like to see the partisan fairness scores improved, if I have to choose between 3 imperfect maps I choose Chestnut. It has the best congressional district drawn for Midland because it keeps the Tri-Cities and Flint together, keeps the other counties whole, keeps most of Midland County in it as well, and makes it possible for either party to win. This is a good example of balancing partisan fairness, communities of interest, and keeping areas intact that have long associated with each

Chestnut

Like

Jane Lacher

2021-12-16 18:48:28+00

While this map may be good for other areas, it is lacking in all of the attributes which were supposed to be included in the original redistricting process. That Oakland County, for instances, has seven (7) areas that cross county lines -- for no good apparent reason -- is inexplicable. Please redraw those areas that fail the political fairness, VRA and other requirements.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Ethyl Rivera

2021-12-16 18:44:12+00

This map should be completely withdrawn by the Commission and, with all the others should be redrawn from scratch, paying strict attention to the VRA, the partisan fairness and other attributes which were stated to be its goals. While none of the House maps deserve to be put forward, this should be completely removed from further consideration.

Palm

Opinion

Ethyl Rivera

2021-12-16 18:34:57+00

Palm	Dislike	This map is not a representation of bipartisanship, and gives an unfair advantage to one party over another - which is the opposite intent of this project.	Christine Gagne	2021-12-16 18:29:35+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map could use some more work to make it more balanced but better than Palm.	Lois Koel	2021-12-16 18:20:40+00
Linden	Like	Could be improved but this looks like the most balanced. This appears to be the fairest map.	Lois Koel	2021-12-16 18:18:07+00
Hickory	Like	This is my preferred map. It is the most fair and best represents the communities of interest.	Lois Koel	2021-12-16 18:14:17+00
Birch V2	Like	This map is ok, but could still use some changes to be more fair.	Dee Maybee	2021-12-16 18:00:10+00
Linden	Like	This map is a fair representation.	Julie Kelly	2021-12-16 17:52:58+00
Hickory	Like	Please vote NO, as this is an unfair map that is not much better than the current map. Seems to be the fairest map presented.	Julie Kelly	2021-12-16 17:51:14+00
Palm	Dislike		Julie Kelly	2021-12-16 17:48:42+00
Linden	Like	This is the fairest option being presented.	Erin Seipke-Brown	2021-12-16 17:43:04+00
Hickory	Like		Erin Seipke-Brown	2021-12-16 17:38:09+00

Chestnut	Like	This map is the best of the three balancing communities of interest with political fairness.	Jeff Ridsdale	2021-12-16 17:21:31+00
Birch V2	Like	The Birch is my preferred map. It is the most fair and best represents the communities of interest	Dee Maybee	2021-12-16 17:18:34+00
Linden	Like	This seems like a map that could work for the Senate. The is the fairest right now, but could use some more work.	Krista Anderson	2021-12-16 17:16:10+00
Hickory	Like	This is an improvement and definitely the most fair and bipartisan House map. Thank you. Please vote for this one.	Krista Anderson	2021-12-16 17:14:14+00
Palm	Dislike	This is not well done. PALM has the highest partisan bias of all the maps and would be little improvement over what we had under the previous gerrymandered districts. PALM is an extremely unfair map and should NOT be considered.	Krista Anderson	2021-12-16 17:11:59+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map looks gerrymandered to create partisan advantages in districts 10 and 11. These districts are not competitive like in Birch. These are not fair districts.	Mahendra Kenkre	2021-12-16 17:05:32+00

Linden	Like	Fairly good map This looks like a gerrymandered map to create partisan advantages in districts 10 and 11. Both the districts have a strong partisan lean. They are not fairly created. Birch is a better	Donald Cooper	2021-12-16 16:59:07+00
Chestnut	Dislike	map.	Mahendra Kenkre	2021-12-16 16:58:24+00
Linden	Like	Good map After looking through all the proposed US congressional maps, I believe this map to be	Kathryn Carolan	2021-12-16 16:57:10+00
Birch V2	Like	the most fair.	Alex Austin	2021-12-16 16:55:26+00
Palm	Dislike	Very poorly drawn map Poorly drawn map. Not fair at	Kathryn Carolan	2021-12-16 16:54:32+00
Palm	Dislike	all Very poor map. Not fairly	Donald Cooper	2021-12-16 16:51:58+00
Palm	Dislike	drawn	Kathryn Carolan	2021-12-16 16:50:04+00
Palm	Dislike	Not a fair map. A very large number of residents of district 10 which includes Troy, Rochester and Sterling heights work in the auto OEMs GM, Chrysler and auto suppliers. They share a common economic interest and have relationships through the auto industry. This district represents them	Kathryn Carolan	2021-12-16 16:48:25+00
Birch V2	Like	well.	Mahendra Kenkre	2021-12-16 16:46:14+00
Hickory	Like	Best of the choices	Donald Cooper	2021-12-16 16:42:14+00
Hickory	Like	Good map	Kathryn Carolan	2021-12-16 16:38:33+00

Linden	Like	Seems compact and keeps together communities that have worked well together in the past.	Karen Zyczynski	2021-12-16 16:23:48+00
Chestnut	Like	I like this map best because it puts Barry county with other like minded farming townships slightly north of us. Keeping communities together, rather than splitting them in half or in thirds, helps maintain a sense of community. It helps maintain that feeling of working together toward common goals. We don't want to divide people, we need to bring them together to solve common issues.	Jessica Smith	2021-12-16 16:13:07+00
Hickory	Like	I like this map. Please vote yes on this map. Nothing is perfect, but this map seems to be a fair representation.	Karen Zyczynski	2021-12-16 16:06:04+00
Linden	Like	This map maintains communities of interest within Oakland, Macomb and Wayne Counties.	Jacob Oaster	2021-12-16 16:01:47+00
Hickory	Like		Jacob Oaster	2021-12-16 15:58:48+00
Birch V2	Like		karen zyczynski	2021-12-16 15:56:32+00

		This map is the opposite of what our voters voted for when forming the commission. Totally skewed map--still gerrymandered, partisan, and bias. Commission--VOTE NO on this		
Palm	Dislike	map.	Jacob Oaster	2021-12-16 15:55:12+00
Hickory	Like	I approve of this map.	Kent Mallow	2021-12-16 15:44:05+00
Linden	Like	I approve of this map.	Kent Mallow	2021-12-16 15:43:09+00
Chestnut	Like	I approve of this map.	Kent Mallow	2021-12-16 15:42:24+00

		This map splits up communities in very strange ways. The east side detroit neighborhoods who have strong communication and neighborhood presence should be in the same district - not in districts shared with St. Clair Shores, which has entirely different interests - and they definitely shouldnt be split down the middle like		
Magnolia	Dislike	they are here.	Erik Mitchell	2021-12-16 15:29:27+00
		This is the only map that provides real partisan fairness and takes into account "communities of interest" suggestions from a large number of people in Macomb, Oakland and Wayne		
Birch V2	Like	counties.	CYNTHIA MELITZ	2021-12-16 15:29:00+00

I support the Chestnut Congressional Map, the Linden Senate Map, and the Hickory House Map.

The Chestnut map in particular seems to be the best for both my home town of Oakland county, but also for Macomb and Wayne Counties as well. It keeps Troy with Oakland County (instead of with Macomb like in Birch) and puts Southfield with itâ€™s more closely aligned and partners in Detroit. This is also good for my orthodontist Jewish community as well, having roots in Oak Park. It also slightly raises the BVAP from 42% to 44% for the two Detroit districts, which I think is more fair for Detroit. I also like how it gives minorities a small voice in west Michigan. This wonâ€™t be a large voice, but at least politicians will have to listen to everyone.

Birch V2

Dislike

It also has more competitive Jacob

2021-12-16 15:25:55+00

This map of the three is the only that keeps East side neighborhoods morningside, EEV, and Cornerstone together. The neighborhoods have strong communication together and would absolutely benefit from remaining in the same group. While this map has some other shortcomings, this is the best move for our community.

Pine v5

Like

Erik Mitchell

2021-12-16 15:25:01+00

I support the Chestnut Congressional Map, the Linden Senate Map, and the Hickory House Map.

The Chestnut map in particular seems to be the best for both my home town of Oakland county, but also for Macomb and Wayne Counties as well. It keeps Troy with Oakland County (instead of with Macomb like in Birch) and puts Southfield with it's more closely aligned and partners in Detroit. This is also good for my orthodontist Jewish community as well, having roots in Oak Park. It also slightly raises the BVAP from 42% to 44% for the two Detroit districts, which I think is more fair for Detroit. I also like how it gives minorities a small voice in west Michigan. This won't be a large voice, but at least politicians will have to listen to everyone.

Chestnut	Like	It also has more competitive	Jacob	2021-12-16 15:25:00+00
Pine v5	Like	It is important to keep neighborhoods, like EEV, together and split them down the middle like other plans.	Paul Garrison	2021-12-16 15:18:57+00
Palm	Dislike	Please vote no on this map.	Marcy Emmendorfer	2021-12-16 15:10:23+00

Palm	Dislike	Please vote NO on this! Voting should be fair. One party should not be favored.	Beth McComas	2021-12-16 14:54:43+00
Birch V2	Like	This map seems fair.	Kathy Puscas	2021-12-16 14:54:37+00
Palm	Dislike	Please vote no on this one. This is the worst map of all of them.	Tim Nelson	2021-12-16 14:49:17+00
Linden	Like	This appears to be the most fair Senate map. Please approve.	Deborah Gowan	2021-12-16 14:48:58+00
Palm	Dislike	Please vote NO on the PALM map. It favors one party over another and is not fair.	Deborah Gowan	2021-12-16 14:46:20+00
Birch V2	Like	I like this map. It is nice to see my area tied in with a major city instead of just 3 counties of farmland.	Mark Toaz	2021-12-16 14:22:57+00
Palm	Dislike	This politically skewed map is no better than are current gerrymandered district representation - it is terrible! This state is in desperate need of a fair and representative voting system, which is the precise reason why the redistricting commission was established in the first place. This map fails at that essential criteria. VOTE NO!	Erin Seipke-Brown	2021-12-16 14:17:52+00

Palm	Dislike	The Palm map is unfair to voters and does not meet the Michigan Constitution's requirement of fairness. I oppose this map. This map should be completely rejected. The population variation is dangerously close to what the Courts deem illegal and will certainly result in a lawsuit. There are much better maps to choose.	Victoria Gutowski	2021-12-16 14:05:38+00
Apple V2	Dislike	none	Judith A Maiga	2021-12-16 13:51:58+00
Birch V2	Like	none	Robert Sisler	2021-12-16 13:44:32+00
Palm	Dislike	This is too partisan and defeats the purpose of the redistricting legislation. What is the purpose in splitting Tuscola county in half??	Jennifer Shelito	2021-12-16 13:38:05+00
Birch V2	Like	This is a more fair map than the others. Thank You for your service on this project. Seems most fair	Warren Danford III	2021-12-16 13:37:44+00
Linden	Like		Virginia Gibson	2021-12-16 13:20:24+00
Hickory	Like	Seems fair	Virginia Gibson	2021-12-16 13:19:40+00
Palm	Dislike	Want a fair playing field! The Birch map is better for fair representation than some of the other more partisan drawn maps.	Virginia Gibson	2021-12-16 13:17:33+00
Birch V2	Like	The Birch map is a fair representation of a non partisan district lines.	Donna Danford	2021-12-16 13:08:12+00
Birch V2	Like		Warren C Danford Jr	2021-12-16 13:03:37+00

Palm	Dislike	Palm map is essentially a duplicate of current unfair map This map is so unbelievably partisan in favor of Republicans. We wanted to finally have fairly drawn districts - a level playing field. THIS IS NOT fair or competitive.	Timothy R Geniac	2021-12-16 12:32:52+00
Palm	Dislike	The Palm map is an unfair map, it is skewed and does not move to even anything out.	Kathleen Townsend	2021-12-16 12:29:52+00
Palm	Dislike	This commission was voted on to create fair and representative districts. This map is basically the same gerrymandered districting we currently have.	Robin Renee Newsome	2021-12-16 12:18:21+00
Palm	Dislike	This is best map for the senate. It seems fair in its representation of all viewpoints. It takes us in a much better direction than any other map.	mark carlson	2021-12-16 12:10:22+00
Linden	Like	This map is the most fair map for representation in the House. If you elect any map from the options, choose this one	Scott Warrow	2021-12-16 11:07:29+00
Hickory	Like		Scott Warrow	2021-12-16 11:04:10+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>This map is politically skewed and is no better than are current gerrymandered district representation. We need a fair and representative voting system in this state. This map fails at that essential criteria</p>	Scott Warrow	2021-12-16 11:01:06+00
Hickory	Like	<p>This map has the best partisan fairness scores of the House maps. It is much better than Pine. This map looks like Lenawee Co. is being split up into four separate districts. Typically, "rural" area folks might see this map as a splitting up of the community. The</p>	Charles Hodgman	2021-12-16 09:44:11+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>MAGNOLIA map is the best. This map looks like the Lenawee Co. will now be in four districts. The typical "rural" area folks might see this map as a splitting up of the community. The</p>	david Berry	2021-12-16 09:14:52+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>MAGNOLIA map is the best. This map isn't too bad, but it looks like some larger, more "urban" areas are stretching out into the typically "rural" areas. Some folks might see this map as a splitting up of the community. The</p>	david Berry	2021-12-16 09:10:42+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>MAGNOLIA map is the best.</p>	david Berry	2021-12-16 09:06:38+00

Magnolia	Like	<p>This MAGNOLIA map best preserves the "rural" area perspective as seen from the rural area. The larger urban areas have a place in the outlying areas, but do not sense the community found there.</p>	<p>David Berry</p>	<p>2021-12-16 08:59:04+00</p>
Szetela Senate	Dislike	<p>I do not like the SZETELA map because it does not keep Lenawee County whole. Tecumseh needs a voice that reflects the "rural" area it is set in, not the "urban" Ann Arbor sound. Please don't adopt this map. The PALM map is much better. Thank you.</p>	<p>David Berry</p>	<p>2021-12-16 08:35:21+00</p>
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	<p>I do not like the LANGE map because it does not keep Jackson County whole. Jackson, like Tecumseh, needs to maintain a voice that reflects the "rural" area it is set in, not the "urban" Ann Arbor sound. Please don't adopt this map. The PALM map is much better. Thank you.</p>	<p>David Berry</p>	<p>2021-12-16 08:29:34+00</p>

Kellom Senate	Dislike	<p>I really do not like the KELLUM map because it does not keep Lenawee County whole, and string the Ann Arbor area way out into the country/farming areas. These areas need a voice that reflects the "rural" area, not the "urban" Ann Arbor sound. Please don't adopt this map. The PALM map is much better. Thank you.</p>	david Berry	2021-12-16 08:26:12+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>I do not like the CHERRY V2 map because it strings the Ann Arbor area way out into the "rural" areas! Those areas need a voice that reflects the "rural" area it is set in, not the "urban" Ann Arbor sound. Please don't adopt this map. The PALM map is much better. Thank you.</p>	david Berry	2021-12-16 08:22:15+00
Palm	Like	<p>I like the PALM map because it keeps Lenawee County whole. That reflects the "rural" area it is set in, not the "urban" Ann Arbor view some other maps present. Please adopt this map. Thank you.</p>	david Berry	2021-12-16 08:17:23+00

Linden Dislike I do not like the LINDEN congressional map because it does not keep Lenawee County whole. Tecumseh needs a voice that reflects the "rural" area it is set in, not the "urban" Ann Arbor sound. Please don't adopt this map. The PALM map is much better. Thank you. david Berry 2021-12-16 08:13:23+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike I do not like the SZETELA congressional map because it does not keep Jackson County whole. It combines the eastern portion of Jackson Co. with Washtenaw Co. Another reason is: Waterloo Township is a very rural community with no city water, no city sewer or public transportation. Ann Arbor has all of these things. Please don't adopt this map. The Chestnut map is much better. Thank you. david Berry 2021-12-16 07:55:32+00

Lange Congressional Dislike I do not like the LANGE congressional map because it does not keep Jackson County whole. Like Lenawee and Hillsdale, Jackson is primarily rural. I don't think the chunk of Washtenaw belongs with Jackson Co. Please don't adopt this map. The Chestnut map is much better. Thank you. david Berry 2021-12-16 07:45:59+00

Apple V2 Dislike I do not like the APPLE V2 congressional map because it does not keep Jackson County whole. It combines the eastern portion of Jackson County, including Waterloo Township, with Washtenaw county. Waterloo Township is a very rural community. Rural communities need to be kept independent. Please don't adopt this map. The Chestnut map is much better. Thank you. david Berry 2021-12-16 07:34:21+00

Birch V2	Dislike	<p>I do not like the Birch V2 congressional map because it does not keep Jackson County whole. Outside the Ann Arbor area is a "rural" community with no city water, no city sewer, no broadband, and no public transportation. Please don't adopt this map. The Chestnut map is much better. Thank you.</p>	<p>David Berry</p>	<p>2021-12-16 07:26:53+00</p>
Chestnut	Like	<p>I like the CHESTNUT map the best, because the counties of Lenawee, Jackson and Hillsdale will remain as the voice of the "rural" representative in the congress.</p>	<p>David Berry</p>	<p>2021-12-16 07:14:47+00</p>
Magnolia	Opinion	<p>I would like Harper Woods taken out of each State House map's District 11 and joined with District 10 of the Magnolia map. It would keep the City with the Grosse Pointes and more of Detroit; we have the same concerns on most House issues; the Magnolia map keeps the Pointes in Wayne County and I think Harper Woods should completely stay within Wayne County lines.</p>	<p>Veronica Paiz</p>	<p>2021-12-16 07:00:10+00</p>

Linden	Like	I like this map.	Jo-Anne Woodard	2021-12-16 04:16:05+00
		Grand Rapids is I believe one of the larger cities in Michigan besides Detroit. The people there will not be represented as this district combines them with a majority of farm area voters in other counties. I am sure these farm townships do not want to be in this district as well. There will be many conflicting priorities for a representative to address. This map has the worst seats to vote ratio for Michigan statewide		
Lange Congressional Chestnut	Dislike		Kathi Harris	2021-12-16 04:14:54+00
	Like	I like this map.	Jo-Anne Woodard	2021-12-16 04:12:04+00
		If the point of this map is to create more parity in the representation of each population, it fails miserably. It's clear this is a right-wing attempt to take control outside of the ballot box. Very disappointing.		
Apple V2	Dislike		Richard Wochoski	2021-12-16 03:41:25+00
		Yes, please. This map makes far more sense, and keeps my community with neighboring communities, rather than with communities 20 miles south of me.		
Birch V2	Like		Mark E Reed	2021-12-16 03:34:53+00

Apple V2	Dislike	I wish there were objective / mathematical criteria for redistricting instead of trying to make the map fair on partisan lines.	Sreela Datta	2021-12-16 03:33:01+00
Chestnut	Dislike	No, just no.	Mark E Reed	2021-12-16 03:32:38+00
Chestnut	Dislike	While this map keeps Troy in one piece, it also gerrymanders other parts of the state to provide an unfair advantage to a single political party. A point many of the other comments drives home.	Richard Wochoski	2021-12-16 03:32:20+00
Birch V2	Like	I wish there were objective / mathematical criteria for redistricting instead of trying to make the map fair on partisan lines.	Sreela Datta	2021-12-16 03:31:15+00
Chestnut	Dislike	While this map keeps Troy together, it splits up Oakland County in a weird way.	Kendall Hauer-Wochoski	2021-12-16 03:30:22+00
Chestnut	Like	I wish there were objective / mathematical criteria for redistricting instead of trying to make the map fair on partisan lines.	Sreela Datta	2021-12-16 03:30:17+00
Hickory	Like	I wish there were objective / mathematical criteria for redistricting instead of trying to make the map fair on partisan lines.	Sreela Datta	2021-12-16 03:29:07+00

Linden	Like	I wish there were objective / mathematical criteria for redistricting instead of trying to make the map fair on partisan lines.	Sreela Datta	2021-12-16 03:27:09+00
Birch V2	Like	I like that this map keeps Troy with similar communities.	Kendall Hauer-Wochoski	2021-12-16 03:23:49+00
Birch V2	Like	This map seems to be the most equitable not only for the City of Troy, but also across the state. Eastern Oakland County and Western Macomb County are similar in infrastructure needs and so would have similar needs in representation. I highly recommend this map.	Richard Wochoski	2021-12-16 03:23:21+00
Palm	Dislike	I wish there were objective / mathematical criteria for redistricting instead of trying to make the map fair on partisan lines.	Sreela Datta	2021-12-16 03:23:12+00

Out of all the House District maps, Hickory is the most fair, although not fair enough. Please work harder to achieve partisan fairness in these maps! That is what the people of Michigan voted for in 2018. And again, despite my comments in person, on Zoom, and in these written comments, please leave the people of the City of Detroit with the representation they need, and leave Livonia in one House District. You should NOT have left the drawing of Districts in Southeast Michigan to the end. It is the most populous portion of Michigan and should have been drawn first.

Hickory

Like

Katharine Shishkovsky

2021-12-16 03:05:12+00

Palm

Like

Vote no on the "PALM" map. This map is not fair! This is the fairest State Senate map, although not fair enough. I would prefer to see you draw maps that are more fair, but this is the fairest of what you have.

Clint Waller

2021-12-16 02:54:18+00

Linden

Like

Katharine Shishkovsky

2021-12-16 02:52:22+00

Palm	Dislike	Please do not vote for the Palm map -- it favors one party too much. Michigan voters want partisan fairness. Please do not select the Palm map. It favors one party too much. We are partisan	Katharine Shishkovsky	2021-12-16 02:49:39+00
Palm	Dislike	fairness.	Katharine Shishkovsky	2021-12-16 02:46:07+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Very partisan... bad map!	Partha Goswami	2021-12-16 02:42:11+00
Birch V2	Like	Good map!	Partha Goswami	2021-12-16 02:41:02+00
Chestnut	Like	Good map!	Partha Goswami	2021-12-16 02:40:13+00
Hickory	Like	Good map!	Partha Goswami	2021-12-16 02:39:02+00
Linden	Like	Good map!	Partha Goswami	2021-12-16 02:37:39+00
Palm	Dislike	It's a partisan map	Partha Goswami	2021-12-16 02:36:09+00
Linden	Like	This seems to be the most fair Senate map.	Mark Hackbarth	2021-12-16 02:35:29+00
Hickory	Like	This seems to be the most fair map.	Mark Hackbarth	2021-12-16 02:34:31+00
Palm	Dislike	The map is too partisan and defeats the purpose of the redistricting legislation.	Mark Hackbarth	2021-12-16 02:32:49+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Was this map made by the Soviets. So gerrymandered. This is obvious	joan	2021-12-16 02:10:12+00
Chestnut	Dislike	gerrymandering. Do not use.	javon	2021-12-16 02:08:43+00
Birch V2	Like	This is the fairest map. Grand Rapids is not gerrymandered.	pado	2021-12-16 02:05:56+00
Palm	Dislike	The Palm map is terribly partisan. This is NOT what we amended the state constitution for! Please reject this map.	Janet Goldwasser	2021-12-16 01:23:49+00

Linden	Like	Commissioners, thank you for all your hard work. Of all the state senate district maps, Linden while not perfect appears to best achieve partisan fairness and fair representation.	Kathleen DeRosier	2021-12-16 01:12:19+00
Linden	Like	This is the best of the State Senate maps as far as Partisan fairness and compactness. This map does a good job of recognizing communities of interest.	Glenda Arlene Stainback	2021-12-16 01:10:48+00
Hickory	Opinion	I feel that all of the House maps are bad because they all lack partisan fairness, but this is probably the least unfair. Oak Park should not be split and Royal Oak Township should most definitely NOT be split. It's so small to begin with and splitting it in half just isn't right.	Glenda Arlene Stainback	2021-12-16 00:54:59+00
Birch V2	Like	This is the fairest of all of the Congressional Maps. This keeps communities together with common interest.	Glenda Arlene Stainback	2021-12-16 00:45:27+00
Birch V2	Like	Really Like this map! I hope this one prevails! Thank you again for all your hard work on this process	Suzanne Coats	2021-12-16 00:05:26+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>This map is about the fairest for Commerce Township. It keeps Commerce with like communities in Oakland County which are suburban metro Detroit. This is district makes it easy for us to reach the congressional office and is clearly not gerrymandered.</p>	Karen J Adams	2021-12-15 23:33:45+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This is a good map for my community and I like the boundaries</p>	Constance Woods	2021-12-15 23:31:40+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>This map fails the test of partisan fairness. Both of the other two maps are much better than this.</p>	Allen R Wolf	2021-12-15 23:07:42+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This map fairly represents my community.</p>	Angela Buczkowski	2021-12-15 23:04:18+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>Of all the congressional maps, this map provides the most partisan fairness. I know there are other criteria that the Commission must consider, but if you fail on partisan fairness, you end up with a gerrymandered map. A fair map will end up with the legislature representing the people in the approximate proportions of the parties representing the voters.</p>	William Asher	2021-12-15 22:58:09+00

Birch V2	Like	This map pairs us with similar communities.	Angela Buczkowski	2021-12-15 22:53:39+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>This map fails to provide partisan fairness. I know there are other criteria that the Commission must consider, but if you fail on partisan fairness, you end up with a gerrymandered map. A fair map will end up with the legislature representing the people in the approximate proportions of the parties representing the voters.</p> <p>This map fails to provide partisan fairness. I know there are other criteria that the Commission must consider, but if you fail on partisan fairness, you end up with a gerrymandered map. A fair map will end up with the legislature representing the people in the approximate proportions of the parties representing the voters.</p>	William Asher	2021-12-15 22:53:16+00
Magnolia	Dislike	voters.	William Asher	2021-12-15 22:51:11+00

Lange Senate correct	Dislike	This map is one of the worst maps in terms of seats compared to votes for Michigan. Please do not vote for this map. This map very much discounts the representation of city people by diluting their districts	Kathi Harris	2021-12-15 22:50:40+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This map does not does not represent people in Michigan well.	Kathi Harris	2021-12-15 22:49:24+00
Palm	Dislike	Palm is the worst map in terms of seats compared to votes for Michigan. Please do not vote for this map. This map very much discounts the representation of city people by diluting their districts.	Kathi Harris	2021-12-15 22:48:16+00
Palm	Dislike	The palm map looks worse to me than the current map. This was a competitive district (the 8th) before the 2000 census. The new map should be of that type.	Gerald Campbell	2021-12-15 22:46:59+00

Keeping Grand Rapids area split south and north will be good for the minority and underserved people that are primarily on the south side. They deserve representation. Having two districts in the area is justified by the large concentration of population. The north side has different issues, so two districts will work well with these districts as drawn. This is the fairest of the maps for Michigan overall in terms of seats compared to votes. Please select this map.

Linden

Like

Kathi Harris

2021-12-15 22:45:37+00

Grand Rapids is I believe one of the larger cities in Michigan besides Detroit. The people there will not be represented as this district combines them with a majority of farm area voters in other counties. I am sure these farm townships do not want to be in this district as well. There will be many conflicting priorities for a representative to address. In addition, the seats to vote ratio is not equitable statewide.

Birch V2

Dislike

Kathi Harris

2021-12-15 22:41:04+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>Combining the city areas of Grand Rapids and Muskegon will provide great representation for the black minority and underserved city population. Combining the city areas is necessary to give a voice to this population in west Michigan. Grand Rapids is I believe one of the larger cities in Michigan besides Detroit. Every where else is farming communities with vastly different legislative interests. This map has the proper seat to votes ratio to be fair. Best map.</p>	Kathi Harris	2021-12-15 22:37:12+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>This is poor map as it divides Midland city from Midland county. Midland city is gerrymandered in this proposal into odd shaped district, trying to appease someone political interests.</p>	Stephen Stackable	2021-12-15 22:34:34+00

I want to thank the commission for splitting the districts to keep like areas of the city together. The south side of Grand Rapids (district 82) that has the poor minority population could use a dedicated representative. However, this is not a good district map for Michigan. The seats per votes ratio is terrible-the party with less statewide votes will have more seats.

Pine v5

Dislike

Kathi Harris

2021-12-15 22:34:19+00

I want to thank the commission for splitting the districts to keep like areas of the city together. The south side of Grand Rapids (district 82) that has the poor minority population could use a dedicated representative. However, this is not a good district map for Michigan. The seats per votes ratio is terrible-the party with less statewide votes will have more seats.

Magnolia

Dislike

Kathi Harris

2021-12-15 22:32:35+00

Keeping the South Grand Rapids central city together as a house district number 82 on the Hickory House map is very positive step forward. This area consists of the main collection of minority underserved people in the Grand Rapids areas “mostly African American and People of Color. This would be the first time that a representative dedicated to this area would be elected. Thank you for creating House districts in the Grand Rapids area that keep the different ethnic groups together. Also, the is the fairest of the maps for Michigan overall in terms of seats compared to votes, however, it still is not equitable compared to the

Hickory	Like	statewide voting percentages.	Kathi Harris	2021-12-15 22:30:59+00
Hickory	Like	This map is good as it keeps Midland city and county in the same district.	Stephen Stackable	2021-12-15 22:29:01+00
Magnolia	Like	Great, keeps Midland city and county and watershed in the same district.	Stephen Stackable	2021-12-15 22:24:09+00

Magnolia	Dislike	I understand that this map leans way too far republican. Michiganders voted to end gerrymandering! Give The People what we voted for: Fair Maps! Vote NO on this map!	Charlotte Sadler	2021-12-15 22:23:51+00
Pine v5	Like	Great, keeps Midland county and city in one district.	Stephen Stackable	2021-12-15 22:20:53+00
Linden	Like	This map is a more fair representation of our districts. Again opposed to splitting midland county and Midland city into different districts, and separating city from counties to the north and west which have similar interests.	Dominique Muse	2021-12-15 22:18:46+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike		Stephen Stackable	2021-12-15 22:17:20+00
Hickory	Like	This map is more fairly drawn.	Dominique Muse	2021-12-15 22:15:57+00
Palm	Dislike	The Palm map is the worst of all the maps from the standpoint of partisan fairness. I know there are other criteria that the commission must balance, but to me partisan fairness is the most important, because it and it alone results in a non-gerrymandered map. Please do not approve this map.	William Asher	2021-12-15 22:15:22+00

Lange Senate	Like	This is best because it keeps Midland city and county in one district, and with counties to north and west with similar interests.	Stephen Stackable	2021-12-15 22:13:24+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Again dividing Midland city and Midland county into different districts, and separating it from the counties to the north and west which have similar interests.	Stephen Stackable	2021-12-15 22:09:36+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is the worst of the proposed maps. It results in major imbalances with regard to representation versus the percent of votes received by each party. Please do not use this map.	Allen R Wolf	2021-12-15 22:07:52+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map has the least amount of partisan bias. It should result in fair representation.	Allen R Wolf	2021-12-15 22:04:05+00
Palm	Dislike	This map does not fix the partisan bias the current map has	Dominique Muse	2021-12-15 22:03:47+00
Linden	Dislike	Again dividing Midland city from Midland county into different districts and separating from counties to the north and west which have similar interests.	Stephen Stackable	2021-12-15 22:02:41+00

Linden	Like	This map is the fairest map and has the least partisan bias.	Allen R Wolf	2021-12-15 22:02:23+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Not good	Mitali Chakrabarti	2021-12-15 22:00:42+00
Hickory	Like	This map is the fairest map and allows for the most Black majority districts.	Allen R Wolf	2021-12-15 22:00:39+00
Chestnut	Like	Fair	Mitali Chakrabarti	2021-12-15 21:59:24+00
Palm	Dislike	Again splitting up Midland city and county, and separating from counties to north and west that have similar concerns.	Stephen Stackable	2021-12-15 21:58:01+00
Hickory	Like	Fair map	Mitali Chakrabarti	2021-12-15 21:57:55+00
Linden	Like	Support this map	Mitali Chakrabarti	2021-12-15 21:56:21+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is not fair	Mitali Chakrabarti	2021-12-15 21:54:04+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This map again is splitting up Midland county and Midland city. Midland county should remain together in one district. Please stop gerrymandering Midland county	Stephen Stackable	2021-12-15 21:50:03+00
Palm	Dislike	The Palm map is the least balanced map of the options. Your work has produced much more representative alternatives. Vote No on the Palm map.	Chester Jessick	2021-12-15 21:44:00+00

Lange Congressional	Like	This is best proposal because it keeps Midland city and Midland county in the same district and with the counties of similar interest to the north and west.	Stephen Stackable	2021-12-15 21:41:31+00
Linden	Like	A well-thought out map - I can support this and believe it is a good option.	Christopher Pratt	2021-12-15 21:40:44+00
Hickory	Like	I support this map. It is much more balanced.	Christopher Pratt	2021-12-15 21:39:36+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is terrible. A cow-tow to Republican gerrymandering. This needs to be voted down.	Christopher PRatt	2021-12-15 21:38:13+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	This map creates no Minority-Majority districts and has a terrible partisan fairness score.	Emily Jernberg	2021-12-15 21:36:22+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This version continues to split up Midland county and the city of midland, and put Midland city in the same district with Flint and Saginaw. Midland city and county are more closely related to counties to the north and west.	Stephen Stackable	2021-12-15 21:35:54+00
Palm	Dislike	This map packs Democrats in Washtenaw County. While all the maps give an unfair advantage to Republicans, this one is the worst.	Emily Jernberg	2021-12-15 21:35:22+00

Kellom Senate	Dislike	This map creates the most majority-minority districts. But it has a terrible partisan fairness score and will virtually guarantee victories by the party which receives the fewest votes.	Emily Jernberg	2021-12-15 21:34:23+00
Szetela Senate	Opinion	Either Cherry (v2) or Linden would be fairer. Thank you for drawing maps that unpack Democrats in Washtenaw County and Ingham County. I wish you would reduced the partisan bias further, but this map is the best.	Emily Jernberg	2021-12-15 21:33:25+00
Linden	Like		Emily Jernberg	2021-12-15 21:31:47+00
Linden	Like	Thank you for your hard work. This appears to be the map that is most fair. I collected signatures for Voters not Politicians. I want fair maps. This is one of the most fair maps. It still favors one party, but comes closer to partisan fairness.	Mary O'Neill	2021-12-15 21:31:20+00
Cherry V2	Like		Emily Jernberg	2021-12-15 21:30:20+00
Linden	Like	Tolerable	Seth Furlow	2021-12-15 21:30:10+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike		This version continues to split up Midland county and the city of midland, and put Midland city in the same district with Flint and Saginaw. Midland city and county are more closely related to counties to the north and west.	Stephen Stackable	2021-12-15 21:29:32+00
Lange Congressional Dislike		This is the worst, least fair of all this batch. Please reject.	Emily Jernberg	2021-12-15 21:28:47+00
Hickory Like		I support this map as it seems to represent and group like areas together, indicating people with similar needs and wishes for their representation.	Doug Warczynski	2021-12-15 21:28:31+00
Hickory Like		Decent...better than what we have.	Seth Furlow	2021-12-15 21:28:11+00
Apple V2 Dislike		This map makes it likely Republicans win most seats even when Democrats get most votes. Please reject.	Emily Jernberg	2021-12-15 21:27:28+00
Chestnut Like		I support this map as it seems to represent and group like areas together, indicating people with similar needs and wishes for their representation.	Doug Warczynski	2021-12-15 21:26:38+00
Chestnut Like		Chestnut looks pretty good but is not as balanced as Szetela.	Emily Jernberg	2021-12-15 21:25:48+00

Linden	Like	I support this map as it seems to represent and group like areas together, indicating people with similar needs and wishes for their representation.	Doug Warczynski	2021-12-15 21:25:28+00
Birch V2	Dislike	This version continues to split up Midland county and the city of midland, and put Midland city in the same district with Flint and Saginaw. Midland city and county are more closely related to counties to the north and west.	Stephen Stackable	2021-12-15 21:24:05+00
Palm	Dislike	No real improvement over the current lines.	Seth Furlow	2021-12-15 21:23:29+00
Birch V2	Like	This is the most fair of the Congressional tree maps, but not as fair as Szetela.	Emily Jernberg	2021-12-15 21:21:52+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This version continues to split up Midland county and the city of midland, and put Midland city in the same district with Flint and Saginaw. Midland city and county are more closely related to counties to the north and west.	Stephen Stackable	2021-12-15 21:17:46+00

Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This version continues to split up Midland county and the city of midland, and put Midland city in the same district with Flint and Saginaw. Midland city and county are more closely related to counties to the north and west.</p>	Stephen Stackable	2021-12-15 21:16:40+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>This map seems about as gerrymandered as what we currently have. Vote against this map.</p>	Carl Spina	2021-12-15 21:15:20+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>You have totally disregarded the request to keep Downriver Communities linked. Allen Park has little in common with Detroit and virtually nothing in common with suburbs to the north. You have totally ignored what locations have in common and have managed to create something which will result in almost guaranteeing that it will require double or triple the effort to get anything accomplished because joint projects and jointly owned entities will have to deal with multiple legislators.</p>	Gail B McLeod	2021-12-15 21:09:18+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>Dislike and very partisan.</p>	Douglas Vincent Wilcox	2021-12-15 21:04:06+00

Chestnut	Opinion	There is a complete and total lack of understanding about Downriver. None of the maps have taken that into account. You have separated communities that have worked together for decades; share infrastructure and other resources. This is NOT an improvement.	Gail B McLeod	2021-12-15 21:01:47+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map is not nearly as equitable as Birch. As a Troy resident, I appreciate that it's kept Troy in Oakland County, but the rest of it just isn't right. There's a chunk of Oakland county that's been removed for no reason I can see.	Alysia Condon	2021-12-15 20:59:51+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map is not nearly as fair as Birch is. The communities linked in this one are not similar enough.	Alysia Condon	2021-12-15 20:57:27+00
Birch V2	Like	I like the fairness of this map. It joins communities that will have similarities.	Alysia Condon	2021-12-15 20:55:38+00
Pine v5	Dislike	End Shirkey's stranglehold on our state.	Greg Duenow	2021-12-15 20:54:03+00
Magnolia	Dislike	End Shirkey's stranglehold on our state.	Greg Duenow	2021-12-15 20:52:07+00
Palm	Dislike	End Shirkey's stranglehold on our state.	Greg Duenow	2021-12-15 20:50:05+00
Hickory	Like	Good	Greg Duenow	2021-12-15 20:48:23+00

Linden	Like	Good	Greg Duenow	2021-12-15 20:46:35+00
Birch V2	Like	Good	Greg Duenow	2021-12-15 20:44:26+00
		This Birch V2 Map appears to be the most fair. Please, let's		
Birch V2	Like	go for a fair and equal map.	David Frederick	2021-12-15 20:41:40+00
Palm	Dislike	No	Rob Backstrom	2021-12-15 20:41:09+00
Cherry V2	Like	Fair	Rob Backstrom	2021-12-15 20:38:11+00
Linden	Like	Fair	Rob Backstrom	2021-12-15 20:37:48+00
Birch V2	Like	Fair	Rob Backstrom	2021-12-15 20:35:48+00
		Please reject this map, which isn't particularly non-partisan.		
Pine v5	Dislike	This one is so fair to the City of Lansing Michigan and surrounding area. Lansing Township joins the rest of the world in the same congressional district. Vote	Justin Barney	2021-12-15 20:30:04+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	YES on this one.	RICHARD C CLEMENT	2021-12-15 20:29:56+00
		This is the most fair! Approve this to maintain democratic integrity!		
Linden	Like		Mary B Blair	2021-12-15 20:29:45+00
		This is a fair map! I advocate for this to be approved.		
Hickory	Like	This map is extremely biased. These are the kinds of partisan maps that Michiganders resounding said	Mary B Blair	2021-12-15 20:28:41+00
		that they don't want.		
Magnolia	Dislike	This map is pretty partisan.	Justin Barney	2021-12-15 20:27:26+00
		i'm not sure why it is being considered.		
Palm	Dislike		Justin Barney	2021-12-15 20:23:44+00

This map is ridiculous. How can you justify putting Allendale Charter Township in Ottawa County and Ada Township in Kent County in the same Senate District if not to create a "safe" district for the Republicans? They are not geographically proximate nor are they culturally similar. The only commonality is the white Republican voter base. This map does nothing to ensure the Senate reflects the statewide electorate not the partisan preferences of the minority non-urban

Palm	Dislike	Republicans. This is a sensible division between Kalamazoo, its surrounding area, and	Tim Russ	2021-12-15 20:22:10+00
Hickory	Like	Portage. This map does not provide	Justin Barney	2021-12-15 20:21:14+00
Pine v5	Dislike	fairness.	Nicole Gillies	2021-12-15 20:20:26+00
Magnolia	Dislike	I oppose this map. This map, as well as Linden, both look good to me, at least	Nicole Gillies	2021-12-15 20:19:44+00
Cherry V2	Like	in W. Michigan. This is an unfair map. Not	Justin Barney	2021-12-15 20:19:23+00
Palm	Dislike	good! Linden and Cherry both look good to me, at least in W.	Nicole Gillies	2021-12-15 20:19:13+00
Linden	Like	Michigan.	Justin Barney	2021-12-15 20:18:53+00

Hickory	Like	This map is accurate and fair.	Nicole Gillies	2021-12-15 20:18:21+00
Linden	Like	This is an accurate and fair map.	Nicole Gillies	2021-12-15 20:17:47+00
Birch V2	Like	I think that this accurately represents this area.	Nicole Gillies	2021-12-15 20:16:36+00
Birch V2	Like	I like this map, and I appreciate that it doesn't bundle the two urban centers (Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids) together, making these districts more fair for each party.	Justin Barney	2021-12-15 20:15:48+00
Birch V2	Like	I favor Birch because it joins Troy with similar communities within Oakland County, and prioritizes fairness statewide. Troy definitely shares interests and movement among communities to our north and south, and I'm glad to see these communities united in this map, over Apple.	Ashleigh Jennings	2021-12-15 20:09:06+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	This one is pretty good too.. Vote Yes!	RICHARD C CLEMENT	2021-12-15 20:06:53+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	This district appears to follow the data collected by enumerators and, appears to adhere to anti-discrimination laws. Vote Yes on this one..	RICHARD C CLEMENT	2021-12-15 20:06:21+00

Chestnut	Dislike	While Troy is still in Oakland County (good), taking a chunk of Oakland County (Beverly Hills et al) out makes no sense.	Chris Musich	2021-12-15 20:05:04+00
Palm	Dislike	This is an unfair map. We should be making democracy representative...and a vote for this map would NOT be doing the right thing. Vote no on the PALM map	Grat Dalton	2021-12-15 20:04:40+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	This map appears to track population movement very well. Good use of stats. Enumerators had to dodge large and vicious dogs to get the numbers in SW Detroit. Met some nice people and businesses there. Vote Yes on this map.	RICHARD C CLEMENT	2021-12-15 20:02:39+00
Birch V2	Like	PLEASE use the Birch map. This map looks the most fair of all and represents our interests in Troy. Thank you!	Chris Musich	2021-12-15 20:00:33+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map is better than the other options. I'm glad that Livingston County shares the district with Washtenaw because we have many people who commute to work there and some school districts also overlap county lines. It makes sense.	Judy Daubenmier	2021-12-15 19:56:37+00

Palm	Dislike	The commission exists to eliminate gerrymandering not sanction it.	Tanner Delpier	2021-12-15 19:56:09+00
Hickory	Like	This is the most fair House map.	Mark Hoffman	2021-12-15 19:49:49+00
Hickory	Like	The Hickory map is the most fair of the House maps. I like the combination of parts of Livingston County with Washtenaw. Many residents commute to work there or for school or health care or entertainment or shopping. It is a natural association. About 70 percent of Livingston County residents commute out of the county for jobs so we have multiple communities of interest. The district that includes part of western Oakland County also makes sense since many people commute eastward as well. And Green Oak shares South Lyon school district with them as well the South Lyon mailing address and shopping. It is a community of interest as well. This is the best map among the collaborative maps.	Judy Daubenmier	2021-12-15 19:49:35+00

Palm	Dislike	We need to work to end gerrymandering! This map is an insult to democracy! Vote this DOWN! We must defend democracy not continue to erode it!	Mary B Blair	2021-12-15 19:48:03+00
Chestnut	Like	The Chestnut map is a good map. It includes Grand Rapids and Muskegon areas with are considered a Combined Statistical Area (CSA) by the Federal government. It also combines minority populations in the region giving them a stronger voice. It's also good from a partisan fairness perspective. Thanks for your hard work on this.	Joel Ombry	2021-12-15 19:46:55+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map does a very poor job of achieving partisan fairness. That's what the voters asked for when they set up your commission. Please reject this map.	Judy Daubenmier	2021-12-15 19:44:44+00
Palm	Dislike	PALM has the highest partisan bias of all the maps and would be little improvement over what we had under the previous Republican gerrymander. PALM is an extremely unfair map.	Mark Hoffman	2021-12-15 19:44:21+00

Pine v5	Dislike	This map falls very short in the area of partisan fairness. I really hope this map is discarded. Michigan voters decided they want the party that wins the most votes to end up with the most House seat and this map doesn't do that. Please don't adopt it.	Judy Daubenmier	2021-12-15 19:42:46+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Muskegon will completely control district 13	Julie Norkoli	2021-12-15 19:39:25+00
Palm	Dislike	This is exactly what I voted against! Do not vote for this map!	Keith R Sauter	2021-12-15 19:39:04+00
Palm	Dislike	Dislike	Krista Abbott	2021-12-15 19:38:16+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Muskegon will completely control all rural areas in district 13. So sad	Julie Norkoli	2021-12-15 19:37:31+00
Hickory	Like	The included communities of Kentwood, Cascade, and East Grand Rapids have a great deal in common and would be better represented by this district map.	Margaret R Orao	2021-12-15 18:50:22+00
Linden	Like	From my 30+ years of experience getting out the vote, canvassing, circulating petitions, this map seems most representative of the communities included. Please select this one.	Margaret R Orao	2021-12-15 18:38:45+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>Chestnut is most representative of our more urban area. Fair but competitive districts will reduce highly partisan fringe candidates funded and running in primary elections. This may result in functioning and representative government. Apple would be a second choice. I'd like to feel that I'm finally represented.</p>	Margaret R Orao	2021-12-15 18:21:28+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	<p>I like this map because it is based on good data and population centers. Vote Yes on this one.</p>	RICHARD C CLEMENT	2021-12-15 17:15:34+00
Hickory	Like	<p>This map seems to be the most fair for Novi voters. This is a more rational map than the PALM map, paying more respect to population distribution and</p>	Barbara Miller	2021-12-15 16:57:41+00
Linden	Like	<p>demographics.</p>	Tamara Constantyn	2021-12-15 16:56:07+00

Chestnut	Dislike	<p>This is a disastrous map. It will divide communities, townships and counties. This is a poor attempt and will not work towards holding communities together, but rather tear them apart. This would not represent me or others in my community. Please do not even consider this redistricting.</p>	Susan Gentry	2021-12-15 16:54:21+00
Hickory	Like	<p>This is a more rational map, paying more respect to population distribution and demographics.</p>	Tamara Constantyn	2021-12-15 16:53:29+00
Linden	Like	<p>This seems the most fair map for fairness for Novi voters with goal to eliminate partisanship gerrymandering, so our voices can be heard and votes can be counted.</p>	Barbara Miller	2021-12-15 16:52:54+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This seems to be the best map for Novi.</p>	Barbara Miller	2021-12-15 16:49:51+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>The voters have asked for a correction to gerrymandering. This map is insulting, almost as if the Commission members are unconcerned about the will of the citizenry, and suggests that no one on it knows the definitions of "fairness" and "non-partisan." I do not want who I am governed by to be decided by cynical, craven, dishonest people.</p>	Tamara Constantyn	2021-12-15 16:47:01+00
Linden	Like	<p>This is the fairest Senate map so far. Please support this map so our votes count everywhere. Thanks!</p>	Carmela Finn	2021-12-15 16:09:16+00
Hickory	Like	<p>This map is much fairer than the other options. We want an even playing field, and this is about as close as I've seen so far.</p>	Carmela Finn	2021-12-15 16:06:05+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>This map is as partisan as the gerrymandered maps done in the past by self-interested politicians. Michiganders chose a citizens commission to make all our votes count. This map does NOT do that. Please do not vote for this.</p>	Carmela Finn	2021-12-15 16:02:38+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>Thank you. it is uncompact but it has a majority minority district.</p>	dawson oldfield	2021-12-15 15:55:53+00

Hickory	Like	This is the best of the collaborative maps. It does the best with VRA compliance and with COI and with partisan fairness.	Murray A Gorchow	2021-12-15 15:47:26+00
Chestnut	Opinion	Cheese yo mom so thick she likes	doomah dickfit inyomouf	2021-12-15 15:45:58+00
Chestnut	Opinion	200%milk	distress call 101	2021-12-15 15:41:42+00
Chestnut	Like	I prefer maps that keep the City of Midland in the same district as the other Tri Cities. I feel that our community interests are more aligned with the Tris than with rural areas like Gladwin County.	Sally Youn	2021-12-15 15:40:22+00
Linden	Like	This is the fairest of the collaborative maps. Please adopt it.	Murray A Gorchow	2021-12-15 15:39:24+00
Palm	Dislike	I do not like this map at all. It does not address the whole purpose to get rid of partisan gerrymandered maps. Although this map does create some strange bedfellows, it is a great improvement over current districts. I prefer the Birch map overall, but feel that Apple is better than Chestnut,	Murray A Gorchow	2021-12-15 15:35:22+00
Apple V2	Like	which is the worst of the three.	Caitlin K.	2021-12-15 15:18:45+00

Chestnut	Dislike	I think this map unfairly divides several communities in Wayne County, diluting the voting power of some BIPOC communities and strangely combines some urban and rural areas in ways that could hurt fair representation for both.	Caitlin K.	2021-12-15 15:08:08+00
Chestnut	Opinion	Yo mama sooooo big she likes to eat chili	lindy samantha anne marie ye	2021-12-15 14:55:47+00
Magnolia	Like	I support this map, it keeps the community of interest intact.	john brooks	2021-12-15 14:55:31+00
Birch V2	Like	Despite my criticisms for how this map divides West Michigan (where I was born and my parents still live), I do think this map adequately reflects the communities in Wayne County.	Caitlin K.	2021-12-15 14:52:32+00
Birch V2	Dislike	One of the priorities for those of us in Kalamazoo and Calhoun counties, particularly those of us in the urbanized parts of these counties, was to have common congressional representation. We are as closely tied as any communities who are bound together by history and an interstate corridor.	Arthur Lee Kirk	2021-12-15 14:18:15+00

		Doesn't properly address the gerrymandering issues we have in this state. Not a good map.		
Palm	Dislike		Lori Spink	2021-12-15 13:56:12+00
Palm	Dislike	Please do not vote for this map.	K. Liedel-Ross	2021-12-15 12:40:15+00
		I prefer this map- it keeps south Oakland county together, and unlike other maps it keeps the Jewish community in a single district, instead of splitting it apart. Oak Park Huntington Woods and Pleasant Ridge are better grouped with other south Oakland county communities.		
Apple V2	Like		BATYA SCHREIBER	2021-12-15 12:13:00+00
Szetela House	Dislike	I prefer Magnolia	Elizabeth Bonner	2021-12-15 11:31:01+00
Szetela House	Like	I prefer Magnolia	Elizabeth Bonner	2021-12-15 11:23:08+00
Hickory	Dislike	I prefer Magnolia	Elizabeth Bonner	2021-12-15 11:22:04+00
Magnolia	Like	I prefer Magnolia	Elizabeth Bonner	2021-12-15 11:20:40+00
Pine v5	Dislike	I prefer Magnolia	Elizabeth Bonner	2021-12-15 11:19:20+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	I prefer Palm	Elizabeth Bonner	2021-12-15 11:15:40+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	I prefer Palm	Elizabeth Bonner	2021-12-15 11:14:33+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	I prefer Palm	Elizabeth Bonner	2021-12-15 11:13:05+00
Linden	Dislike	I prefer Palm	Elizabeth Bonner	2021-12-15 11:11:48+00
Palm	Like	I prefer Palm	Elizabeth Bonner	2021-12-15 11:10:19+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	I prefer Palm	Elizabeth Bonner	2021-12-15 11:07:36+00
		Chestnut does the best job of reflecting communities of interest in Western Michigan.		
Chestnut	Like		Doug Remington	2021-12-15 06:45:35+00

Birch V2	Dislike	Birch does not reflect communities of interest in Western Michigan at all. Chestnut does a much better job of this.	Doug Remington	2021-12-15 06:45:32+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Apple does not reflect communities of interest in Western Michigan very well (especially the Kalamazoo/GR district). Chestnut does a much better job of this. This is a great map because it does the best job of all the proposed maps of including all the COIs in southwest Michigan in the same congressional districts. Southwest Michigan is more than just the lakeshore. Kalamazoo and Battle Creek should be together. Holland should be included with Allegan and Van Buren counties. This a great collaborative effort by the	Doug Remington	2021-12-15 06:45:27+00
Chestnut	Like	commissioners.	Lori King	2021-12-15 04:44:28+00

After almost three months of public comment and more than 10 public hearings, the commission proposed a number of collaborative maps for each of the levels of districts to be represented. For example, there were three proposed maps for State Senate Districts, Elm, Cherry and Spruce. On November 5th, five more Senate District maps were proposed, all totally different from the first three. The five were similar by substantially dividing Jackson County, dividing the city of Ann Arbor and adding parts of each of the counties together. Jackson County is essentially a farm community of interest, as well as a Community of interest with its many recreational lakes with public access, and in other rural ways. There is actually only one city in the entire County and everything The

Palm

Like

Bruce

2021-12-15 03:58:56+00

After almost three months of public comment and more than 10 public hearings, the commission proposed a number of collaborative maps for each of the levels of districts to be represented. For example, there were three proposed maps for State Senate Districts, Elm, Cherry and Spruce. On November 5th, five more Senate District maps were proposed, all totally different from the first three. The five were similar by substantially dividing Jackson County, dividing the city of Ann Arbor and adding parts of each of the counties together. Jackson County is essentially a farm community of interest, as well as a Community of interest with its many recreational lakes with public access, and in other rural ways. There is actually only one city in the entire County and everything The

Palm

Like

Bruce

2021-12-15 03:58:55+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>What an awful map! Why in the world would Midland be combined with rural towns to the west? This is a terrible map with a strong partisan lean to favor the GOP. Please reject this map. Thank you for the persistent hard work!</p>	katrhleen curellW	2021-12-15 03:53:58+00
Linden	Like	<p>I'm in favor of this map. Thank you commissioners for the Linden state Senate map and for recognizing that the Tri Cities do make up a strong COI and because it has decent partisan fairness scores, voters will have a chance to elect a Senator who must work to get and stay elected.</p>	katrhleen curell	2021-12-15 03:40:15+00
Hickory	Like	<p>I hope that the Szetala map will be the one to get voted on and adopted for our state house map. It has the best partisan fairness scores Thank you Commissioner Szetala! you were going for a map that honors ALL voters. If Hickory is adopted it will be a distant second due to the partisan lean toward another safe seat for the Midland GOP</p>	katrhleen curell	2021-12-15 03:30:40+00

		please do not consider this map a viable option. It has the lowest scores with regard to partisan fairness. Just say no to the Palm!		
Palm	Dislike	Absolutely not. This map does poorly at addressing partisan fairness.	katrheen curell	2021-12-15 03:16:25+00
Palm	Dislike		Brian Dunphy	2021-12-15 02:34:22+00
Linden	Like	This map approaches fairness. This map seems the closest to fair.	Brian Dunphy	2021-12-15 02:30:39+00
Birch V2	Like	Hickory appears to be the closest to fair. The GOP voters are overrepresented the least on this map.	Brian Dunphy	2021-12-15 02:24:15+00
Hickory	Like		Tim Roraback	2021-12-15 02:08:29+00
Birch V2	Like	Of the 3 Congressional maps, this is my preference as it is the most partisan fair map.	Murray A Gorchow	2021-12-15 01:52:07+00
Linden	Like	This map appears to do a better job of addressing the concerns of detroit citizens and is a better map from a partisan fairness perspective. The birch map appears to be the fairest from a partisan fairness perspective. This appears to have some issues around COIs - birch is much better.	lori A Boyce	2021-12-15 01:39:26+00
Chestnut	Dislike		lori A Boyce	2021-12-15 01:36:47+00

Apple V2	Opinion	Arguing that Midland should be placed differently due to watershed issues is not appropriate since water issues are relevant to the entire state. Personally, i prefer the birch map but could live with apple	lori A Boyce	2021-12-15 01:34:02+00
Apple V2	Opinion	Although this map would be a good map from a partisan fairness perspective and from my COI, i think that the Birch map is better overall.. I still feel that all of the House maps are bad, but this is probably the least bad. Oak Park should not be split and Royal Oak Township should most definitely be split. It's so small to beging with and splitting it in half just isn't	Lori Boyce	2021-12-15 01:30:12+00
Hickory	Opinion	right. This is probably the best of the Senate maps. I would go	Sharon R Baseman	2021-12-15 01:20:49+00
Linden	Like	with this one.	Sharon R Baseman	2021-12-15 01:18:30+00
Hickory	Like	This map is the fairest of the available versions.	Brian Dunphy	2021-12-15 01:13:12+00

Szetela Senate	Dislike	I disapprove of this map. Kent County is fragmented in this map, and one district connects southern Kent County to Benton Harbor/St. Joseph for no particular reason. The Commission has yet to come up with an acceptable State Senate map for Kent County.	Jim Lax	2021-12-14 22:29:55+00
Linden	Like	This is the most fair of the Senate maps. Thank you for getting this partisan lean and the VRA considered.	Nina Dodge Abrams	2021-12-14 22:27:55+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	I disapprove of this map. Kent County is fragmented in this map, and one district connects northern Kent County to suburban Flint for no particular reason. The Commission has yet to come up with an acceptable State Senate map for Kent County.	Jim Lax	2021-12-14 22:27:50+00
Chestnut	Like	This is a Fair map.	Shuvra Das	2021-12-14 22:24:20+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	I disapprove of this map. Kent County is fragmented in this map, and one district connects southern Kent County to Benton Harbor/St. Joseph for no particular reason. The Commission has yet to come up with an acceptable State Senate map for Kent County.	Jim Lax	2021-12-14 22:23:50+00

Linden	Like	This is a fair map. I can see by all the 'red' comments that most citizens agree that this one should be	Shuvra Das	2021-12-14 22:19:27+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	discarded for imbalance. Kellom doesn't look good when checked for balance and fairness. Please choose	Cliff Johnson	2021-12-14 22:09:27+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Cherry or Linden. Both Cherry and Linden would be better balanced than this	Clifford Johnson	2021-12-14 22:07:25+00
Szetela Senate	Opinion	one. Appears to offer the fairest	Clifford Johnson	2021-12-14 22:05:17+00
Hickory	Like	solution for most people.	Sue Ross	2021-12-14 21:42:21+00

This partisan committee has shown nothing but total contempt for the northern Counties of Michigan. You have shown your democrat partisanship by continuing to favor maps separating the city of Midland from the county of Midland. The whole county of Midland is a critical part of our community and its watershed. Yet you're committed to ripping the child out of the Mother's womb to satisfy the Democrats lust for power. If you continue down this path, you will assure Michigan's total corruption to the rest of our Nation.. Leave Midland and Midland County as one contiguous entity. Thank you very much. If you really are concern about being fair, then you should include Ogemaw, Arenac, and Bay Counties within the new 2nd district, and remove the two Couties

Apple V2	Dislike	boarding Lake Michigan to	Richard Shutter	2021-12-14 21:26:03+00
Birch V2	Like	While not as well balanced as Szetela, this is a good map-- pretty fair.	Clifford Johnson	2021-12-14 21:24:38+00
Chestnut	Like	Good overall in terms of balance, but Szetela would be even better.	Clifford Johnson	2021-12-14 21:22:40+00

Apple V2	Dislike	<p>Please vote this one down. It fails to achieve the goal of parity--it sets us up for the side with fewer votes to win.</p> <p>This map works much like the current map for MI-06. It erases the voice of one of the largest cities in West Michigan - Kalamazoo - by tying the fate of the city to more rural counties, towns, and villages in the surrounding area. The range in incomes, businesses, and major economic issues alone across this geography renders this a seat that will only be able to serve a party-line politician. This map does not undo any of the 2010 gerrymandering that has rendered the west side a Republican stronghold, despite changing demographics - Apple 2.0 is a far more balanced map for our side of the state.</p>	Clifford Johnson	2021-12-14 21:20:20+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>None of the House maps really achieve the goal of parity, but this one is one of the worst choices. Please take another look at the</p>	Justin Mendoza	2021-12-14 21:19:59+00
Pine v5	Dislike	House maps!	Clifford Johnson	2021-12-14 21:09:01+00
Palm	Dislike	Do not vote for this map	Daniel Colling	2021-12-14 20:31:52+00

Birch V2	Like	I favor this map. In full agreement with this map. Thank you for giving me	Rachel Ann Miller	2021-12-14 19:14:22+00
Birch V2	Like	a voice.	Sissi Lapides	2021-12-14 19:13:29+00
Hickory	Like	prefer this map/fair	John Anthony Pettinato	2021-12-14 18:51:12+00
Linden	Like	very fair distribution	John Anthony Pettinato	2021-12-14 18:49:53+00
Birch V2	Like	good map/fair	John Anthony Pettinato	2021-12-14 18:47:32+00
Hickory	Dislike	Please do not use this map. It is not fair and does not represent the communities of interest.	Timothy J Quinn	2021-12-14 18:38:18+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Please do not use this map. It is not fair and does not represent the communities of interest.	Timothy J Quinn	2021-12-14 18:37:11+00
Linden	Dislike	Please do not use this map. It is not fair and does not represent the communities of interest.	Timothy J Quinn	2021-12-14 18:36:12+00
Hickory	Like	This is the fairest map for the House and urge you to support it. I appreciate the tremendous work you have done to make our system fairer.	Jennifer Bidwell	2021-12-14 18:35:52+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Please do not use this map. It is not fair and does not represent the communities of interest.	Timothy J Quinn	2021-12-14 18:34:32+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	Please do not use this map. It is not fair and does not represent the communities of interest.	Timothy J Quinn	2021-12-14 18:32:41+00

Apple V2	Dislike	Please do not use this map. It is not fair and does not represent the communities of interest.	Timothy J Quinn	2021-12-14 18:31:50+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Please do not use this map. It is not fair and does not represent the communities of interest.	Timothy J Quinn	2021-12-14 18:30:43+00
Lange Congressional	Like	This map should be the one that is approved. Midland City is nothing like Bay, Saginaw and flint cities. The only commonality is we are all cities. This does not mean we should be lumped together keep us seperate.	Carl Beckman	2021-12-14 18:29:04+00
Palm	Dislike	This map does not adequately address the gerrymandering problem we now have in this state. We need adequate representation for every person in the state to address the important issues at hand.	Jennifer Bidwell	2021-12-14 18:28:43+00
Magnolia	Like	I prefer the Magnolia map. I believe is it the most fair and does the best job of representing the communities of interest.	Timothy J Quinn	2021-12-14 18:27:58+00
Birch V2	Like	The Birch is my preferred map. It is the most fair and the most reflective of communities of interest.	Timothy J Quinn	2021-12-14 18:25:52+00

		I do not support this map Midland city is vastly different then the likes of Bay, Saginaw and Flint cities. We should not be lumped in together with them just because we all our cities. Midland City needs to stay with Midland County.	Carl Beckman	2021-12-14 18:24:44+00
Chestnut	Dislike	The Palm is my preferred map. I believe it is the most fair and that it best reflects the communities of interest.	Timothy J Quinn	2021-12-14 18:21:34+00
Palm	Like	This is my preferred map. It is the most fair and it respects the communities of interest the best.	Timothy J Quinn	2021-12-14 18:17:19+00
Palm	Like	This is not a fair map. Do not choose this one.	Margaret E Guoin	2021-12-14 18:11:25+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Do not select this map. This is not a fair map but one of partisan leanings.	Margaret E Guoin	2021-12-14 18:05:43+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This is the best US Congressional map.		
Birch V2	Like	Please choose this map. This is the most unfair of the senate map options. It is not much different from a gerrymandered district. Do not choose this one!	Margaret E Guoin	2021-12-14 17:58:42+00
Palm	Dislike		Margaret E Guoin	2021-12-14 17:40:02+00

Hickory is the best of the 3 collaborative State House maps but it is still biased in favor of Republicans. Szetela's House map is better on partisan fairness. I like her Bay City/Midland City district because it would give us a chance to elect a more moderate politician. If you go to a second vote on the House maps please vote for Szetela's Senate map, otherwise, please vote for Hickory. And, thank you all for your sincere effort to listen and work together in these divisive times in the most transparent redistricting process ever!

Hickory	Like	Voters not politicians. This is the most fair of the senate map options. Please adopt it.	Jim and Jill Crissman	2021-12-14 17:39:57+00
Linden	Like	This is the most fair. I supported Prop 2 and was so glad when it passed. Thank you for all your hard work as a commission to achieve fairness in giving us representatives who will listen to our input.	Margaret E Guoin	2021-12-14 17:34:59+00
Hickory	Like		Margaret E Guoin	2021-12-14 17:26:21+00

The Linden State Senate map is the fairest of the 3 collaborative maps and it reunites the Tri-Cities of Midland, Bay City and Saginaw into a legislative District. For once we may have the opportunity to elect a representative who might have to work for all of our votes and listen to all of us. And, thank you all for your sincere effort to listen and work together in these divisive times in the most transparent redistricting process ever!  
Please vote for the Linden State Senate Map.

Linden

Like

Voters not politicians.

Jill Haver-Crissman

2021-12-14 17:26:06+00

being from West Michigan, either the Chestnut, or the Apple seem to be the best options. Personally, I prefer the Chestnut with a couple modifications. I think Muskegon may have more in common with Grand Rapids than Kalamazoo, and Chestnut has more competitive districts than Apple. But there are detractors with both plans, as well as the others. And, I have problems with both plans myself. In fact, I've submitted a slightly tweaked version of Chestnut already. But, maybe, the answer is an Apple/Chestnut hybrid, which I have done on Dave's Redistricting app. This hybrid looks more like Apple in West Michigan and Chestnut in Southeast Michigan with some revisions. Should the commission reach an impasse on the existing plans, maybe, something like this could act

Jack Bengtsson

2021-12-14 17:18:25+00

Apple V2

Opinion

being from West Michigan,  
 either the Chestnut, or the  
 Apple seem to be the best  
 options. Personally, I prefer  
 the Chestnut with a couple  
 modifications. I think  
 Muskegon may have more in  
 common with Grand Rapids  
 than Kalamazoo, and  
 Chestnut has more  
 competitive districts than  
 Apple. But there are  
 detractors with both plans, as  
 well as the others. And, I have  
 problems with both plans  
 myself. In fact, I've  
 submitted a slightly tweaked  
 version of Chestnut already.  
 But, maybe, the answer is an  
 Apple/Chestnut hybrid, which  
 I have done on Dave's  
 Redistricting app. This hybrid  
 looks more like Apple in West  
 Michigan and Chestnut in  
 Southeast Michigan with  
 some revisions. Should the  
 commission reach an impasse  
 on the existing plans, maybe,

Chestnut	Like	something like this could act	Jack Bengtsson	2021-12-14 17:16:07+00
Cherry V2	Like	I like this map	Charlotte H Sommers	2021-12-14 17:11:58+00
Linden	Like	This seems fair	Charlotte H Sommers	2021-12-14 17:09:58+00
Palm	Dislike	do not like this map	Charlotte H Sommers	2021-12-14 17:07:51+00

		I support this Chesnut Congressional map because it has the best partisan fairness scores -- your mission -- and I like District 8 for my Midland Community keeping most of Midland County with Bay, Saginaw, and Genesee Counties. Please vote for the		
Chestnut	Like	Chesnut Congressional map.	Jill Haver-Crissman	2021-12-14 17:07:45+00
Birch V2	Like	I favor this map	Charlotte H Sommers	2021-12-14 17:04:25+00
Chestnut	Like	This is a good map	Charlotte H Sommers	2021-12-14 17:01:29+00
Chestnut	Like	your mom is fat	Olivia Garcia	2021-12-14 16:47:27+00
		Please do not vote for this map, this not the best representation		
Palm	Dislike	Work to improve Szetela and don't waste any time on this unfair and undemocratic	Pita	2021-12-14 16:47:22+00
		map!		
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This map is outrageous and should be resoundingly	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:44:16+00
		rejected as undemocratic.		
Lange Congressional	Dislike	The map is the antithesis of democratic representation.	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:43:15+00
		Reject this.		
Apple V2	Dislike	Please reject this map for making it likely Republicans win most seats even when Democrats get most votes. It	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:41:59+00
		is antidemocratic.		
Apple V2	Dislike	Szetela should be the choice	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:41:19+00
		over this map.		
Chestnut	Opinion		Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:39:28+00

Chestnut	Opinion	This map is pretty good, but not as fair as Szetela.	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:38:45+00
Birch V2	Opinion	Needs improvement, as it still gives more seats to the party that has the fewest votes.	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:37:46+00
Birch V2	Opinion	This map is good but not as good as Szetela.	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:37:00+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	Thank you for combining the best parts of Chestnut and Birch. This map is the choice for fairness.	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:33:58+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	This is the fairest map. This is THE ONLY map that shows true partisan fairness, adequately addressed COI and establishes Voting Rights districts.	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:33:00+00
Birch V2	Like	This looks like the best map.	Jane L Slaughter	2021-12-14 16:31:30+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	This map is an embarrassment to democracy. Reject it please!! I urge you to reject this map for partisan unfairness. It will virtually guarantee majorities by the party that receives the fewest votes.	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:28:25+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	Reject this map for map what looks designed to guarantee Republican majorities.	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:27:38+00
Palm	Dislike		Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:25:46+00

Palm	Dislike	This map packs Democrats in Washtenaw County. While all the maps give an unfair advantage to Republicans, this one is the worst.	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:24:54+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This map should be rejected for partisan unfairness. The map will virtually guarantee victories by the party which receives the fewest votes.	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:23:02+00
Szetela Senate	Opinion	Maps that are less unfair, are still unfair. Cherry and Linden maps are better than this.	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:20:28+00
Linden	Like	Continuing to work on this map would be beneficial to democracy.	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:17:38+00
Linden	Like	Thank you for drawing maps that unpack Democrats in Washtenaw County and Ingham County. I wish you would reduced the partisan bias further, but this map is quite good. Please continue to work to reduce partisan bias further.	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:16:34+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map does well with Communities of Interest. Even if it results in delay, work to improve this map.	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:13:33+00

Cherry V2	Like	This map for State Senate is the best map. But it could use improvement. Despite the delay it will cause, please go back and improve the Cherry map.	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:11:55+00
Hickory	Like	Not great, but since our choices at this point are restricted, go with Hickory. This seems to be the fairest of the bunch.	Jane L Slaughter	2021-12-14 16:11:41+00
Linden	Like	Please keep working. Each proposed House map gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters! This map does not address the concern of Detroit voters being able to elect their own candidates. Maps that are less unfair are still unfair.	Jane L Slaughter	2021-12-14 16:09:30+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Do not separate the Grandmont Rosedale neighborhoods: North Rosedale, Rosedale Park, Grandmont, Grandmont 1 and Minock Park. They work collaboratively for the benefit of the community and society.	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:08:48+00
Pine v5	Dislike		Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:06:49+00

Magnolia	Dislike	<p>Please do NOT separate the Grandmont Rosedale neighborhoods of North Rosedale, Rosedale Park, Grandmont, Grandmont 1 and Minock Park. They work collaboratively for the benefit of society.</p> <p>Please keep working! This map does not result if fair representation of voters. No MI House map addresses the concerns heard from Detroit voters about being able to elect their own candidates.</p>	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:02:55+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>Do not split up the Grandmont Rosedale neighborhoods: North Rosedale, Rosedale Park, Grandmont, Grandmont 1, and Minock Park. These 5 neighborhoods have worked collaboratively for decades, to the benefit of all residents.</p> <p>This map, like all proposed State House maps, gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters! Keep working, even if it delays the process!</p>	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 16:01:33+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>Do not split up the Grandmont Rosedale neighborhoods: North Rosedale, Rosedale Park, Grandmont, Grandmont 1, and Minock Park. These 5 neighborhoods have worked collaboratively for decades, to the benefit of all residents.</p> <p>This map, like all proposed State House maps, gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters! Keep working, even if it delays the process!</p>	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 15:59:06+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>Do not split up the Grandmont Rosedale neighborhoods: North Rosedale, Rosedale Park, Grandmont, Grandmont 1, and Minock Park. These 5 neighborhoods have worked collaboratively for decades, to the benefit of all residents.</p> <p>This map, like all proposed State House maps, gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters! Keep working, even if it delays the process!</p>	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 15:57:41+00

Hickory	Opinion	Of the proposed House maps, Hickory is the best of the worst. If you have to settle for one of these maps, please adopt Hickory.	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 15:53:26+00
Hickory	Opinion	All of the redistricting maps are imperfect. This is the best of them for fairness of representation. But it needs improvement. Seat count of 53D to 57R gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters. It is worth delaying the process to improve this map.	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 15:52:22+00
Hickory	Like	Do not separate the Grandmont Rosedale neighborhoods: North Rosedale, Rosedale Park, Grandmont, Grandmont 1, and Minock Park. These neighborhoods have worked collaboratively for community improvements and stability for decades.	Margaret Weber	2021-12-14 15:47:31+00

I comment in this location just because I like the name Stray Cat Lounge. However I want to finish my balance-out-the-prolific-posts-of-Nancy exercise here because I sincerely hope the Nancy Tiseo who felt entitled to make over twenty comments about other communities is not the same Nancy Tiseo, newest Republican member of the Macomb County Board of Canvassers. A person responsible for certifying an election should not be trying to game the comment portion of the independent redistricting process. If it were the same person, and I lived in Macomb County, I would be asking questions about the voting ethics of an individual who seeks to have multiple "votes" on social media. Alice

Palm

Dislike

2021-12-14 15:40:25+00

Palm	Dislike	Is it a good COI? Ms Tiseo explain how this is a good community of interest. Do you live here or do you live in Ann Arbor/ Washtenaw County where you concentrated most of your comments?	Alice	2021-12-14 15:16:16+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Horrible map! You have western Oakland county communities like Commerce Twp that are closely tied to Walled Lake, West Bloomfield (Lakes area) in with the thumb! Do not vote for this map!	Janet Prange	2021-12-14 15:11:28+00

I love the internet; if one takes the time to research one can find fascinating information about a great many things. For instance, Ms. Decaire owns the property on which Nancy Tiseo placed the Like pin â€œfair to most.â€ Did she authorize Nancy to speak on her behalf? Does this person have an opinion of her own about the shape of the political district in which she lives? Given the many red pins on the Palm map, people other than myself must feel

Palm	Dislike	this map is NOT fair to most. Finally, A Congressional Map that is fair and represents the middle class. The Birch map is	Alice	2021-12-14 15:10:05+00
Birch V2	Like	drawn best. I do not like the Palm map at	Robert Mijac	2021-12-14 15:05:26+00
Palm	Dislike	all!	Sandra Legacy	2021-12-14 14:54:37+00
Cherry V2	Like	I support the Cherry map over the Palm. Thank you again for this hard work! I support Birch v2 as the best way forward and hope this prevails and we	Faye Menczer	2021-12-14 14:53:50+00
Birch V2	Like	know soon the districts!	Sue Coats	2021-12-14 14:49:12+00

How does it accurately represent the community? Nancy must have a great deal of money to be able to keep nine apartments and/or houses in Washtenaw County, one in Battle Creek, one in Grass Lake area, one in Hillsdale, one near Bangor, one in Long Lake, a houseboat outside Muskegon, a something in Huron-Manistee National Forest and now a farm on the east side of district 36. Point I'm trying to make is how much credence do the commissioners give to vague claims of community from these not well verified social media comment tools? Are they reading these comments with a critical eye to ascertain real information, or are they simply counting up the dots? This is the most fair map. It is the only map that shows true partisan fairness. Please adopt this map.

Palm

Dislike

Alice

2021-12-14 14:44:13+00

Szetela Congressional Like

nancy macfarlane

2021-12-14 14:38:39+00

Palm	Dislike	Although I don't live in this area, I do not support the Palm map. It does not look fair.	ICE Faye ICE Menczer	2021-12-14 14:35:15+00
Cherry V2	Like	I support this as the fairest map. Now this spot interests me. Nancy Tiseo has two comments for this area but one can only see the "accurately represents community" pin. If you scroll down to where all her comments were made there is mention of owning property in the area. The pin is squarely on Huron-Manistee National Forest. So the federal government selling off land, hunting rights for the season, what ownership stake does one have in a National Forest? I truly hope commissioners who have our addresses and contact information along with the pins are cross checking to be sure people who comment from an area do live there as well.	ICE Faye ICE Menczer	2021-12-14 14:32:52+00
Palm	Dislike		Alice	2021-12-14 14:25:47+00
Palm	Dislike	The mandate is fairness. This does not meet that goal.	Paula Talarico	2021-12-14 14:17:09+00

Chestnut	Like	I think the Chestnut map looks like it was fairly drawn and as a resident of Troy best suits the community	Paula Talarico	2021-12-14 14:13:20+00
Palm	Dislike	I also agree water blocks should be assigned to match with corresponding land districts. However as I don't live in Muskegon, I can't say I agree with this area of the map. Because Nancy is such an authority on Washtenaw County (sarcasm) I question her information on this area as well and feel the need to balance out her non-specific Like comment.	Alice	2021-12-14 14:12:50+00

Which community would you say, Nancy Tiseo, this district fairly represents - Benton Harbor, Grand Rapids, Bloomingdale, South Haven? Does Grand Rapids have much community interaction with Benton Harbor? I am not from the area and I suspect Nancy is not as well. She likes the Palm map for its unfair partisan outcome and went to every red dislike pin and added a generic comment with a green like pin last month to give the impression of widespread support.

Palm

Dislike

Alice

2021-12-14 14:02:00+00

We want fair districts that do not show favor to one district over another. Let's get it right. We elect you to be fair; we want to count on you.

Linden

Like

Betty J Sanford

2021-12-14 13:48:40+00

Palm	Dislike	Did the redistricting commission get many requests from the people of Battle Creek to be put into a meandering state Senate district which stretches into Kent and Ionia counties? If not, I'd say this map does not fairly reflect a COI for this region.	Alice	2021-12-14 13:44:50+00
Birch V2	Like	Birch is the preferable map as the least non partisan	Donna Danford	2021-12-14 13:31:51+00
Birch V2	Like	You know what to do. Do your duty. Make things	Adren Rice	2021-12-14 11:27:08+00
Hickory	Like	fair. This is the most fair one, and you know it. Support it, or be	Adren Rice	2021-12-14 11:25:41+00
Linden	Like	judged. In my opinion Linden most closely meets the criteria of the LVRA and will give the people of Michigan the best	Adren Rice	2021-12-14 11:23:45+00
Linden	Like	map. Now Nancy Tiseo is an authority on St Joseph	Bruce Fealk	2021-12-14 08:22:38+00
Palm	Dislike	County.	Alice	2021-12-14 05:01:51+00

â€œLooksâ€ and  
â€œseemsâ€ is code for I  
have no knowledge about this  
area whatsoever but hope to  
game the system with my 21  
Green like pins. Nancy trusts  
commissioners will not read  
these comments; maybe she  
is right - there was some very  
sloppy comment portal COI  
evaluation on the part of  
commissioners.

Palm Dislike Alice 2021-12-14 04:57:35+00

And now it is time to go  
around the map and point out  
each spot where the authority  
on everyone elseâ€™s  
community, Nancy Tiseo , has  
informed the commission  
how this map represents the  
community she most likely  
does not live in or even visit.

Palm Dislike Alice 2021-12-14 04:51:12+00

Random house prices from the two different developments near this pin; \$159,000 and \$139,000 respectively. Also in the vicinity an apartment complex where residents enjoy no ownership equity and Arbor Meadows Trailer Park where you may well own your home but still pay lot rent for the land under the mobile home which coincidentally is not very mobile if the landlord jacks up the lot price. I think Robert Jones is correct in pointing out there are socioeconomic differences between this area and wealthier A2 where random house near Bird Hill Nature area priced at \$354,000. I would say lumping them altogether because "cities" is not the community being fairly represented despite what

Palm

Dislike

Nancy says.

Alice

2021-12-14 04:39:53+00

Linden	Like	<p>I would have preferred a map in which Berrien County was not split, however this one seems to be the most fair overall, with Kalamazoo as a unit, for example, and less partisan-inspired skewing of lines around other larger cities.</p> <p>My district is essentially represented the same in all of the proposed maps, however, this one seems to do the best job of keeping communities of common interest together in the larger population centers.</p>	Christine L Benninghoff	2021-12-14 04:15:14+00
Hickory	Like	<p>By the way, Larry Parsons, of Fenton MI perhaps, this isn't "Western Washtenaw" this right here is central Washtenaw County and Ann Arbor-Saline Rd should clue in even the most clueless of redistricting commissioners that they are breaking up an affiliated community if they select the</p>	Christine L Benninghoff	2021-12-14 04:00:57+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>Palm map.</p>	Alice	2021-12-14 03:40:15+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>Palm "œgives more voice to the community" by PACKING Ann Arbor into one concentrated 80% safe D district. Cool. If Nancy Tieso lives here, she must be homeless. The pin is on the University of Michigan North Campus grounds and maintenance building complex.</p>	Alice	2021-12-14 03:30:15+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>This proposal is little better than the gerrymandering voters hoped to eliminate with the independent redistricting commission. Voters elected unbiased mapping - let's get it done.</p>	Christine L Benninghoff	2021-12-14 03:22:05+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>The Birch map is the most fair, well-balanced map to effectively serve the various communities.</p>	Remy Gelderloos	2021-12-14 03:02:22+00
Linden	Like	<p>I like this map for its partisan fairness.</p>	Lisa Kiefer	2021-12-14 02:58:28+00

Palm	Dislike	To rid ourselves of the previously gerrymandered districts, we must draw new lines that look different. The Palm map ranks last in partisan fairness. Please support the Linden State Senate map. Fair districts promote better representation for ALL citizens! Thank you for your due diligence.	Catherine Mitzel	2021-12-14 02:51:59+00
		I like this map. It includes all kinds of communities together. There are smaller towns, larger towns, and it looks fair. Maps can divide counties and it can still be fair. Midland and Gladwin counties are not the only two counties affected by the watershed or recovery efforts. The point is to have fair competitive districts and this map makes that a possibility.		
Chestnut	Like	This map is just a bizarre gerrymander of West MI dividing up not only Grand Rapids but Allendale+GVSU, and Ottawa in unnecessary ways just to sabotage minority regions.	Emily Dittmer	2021-12-14 02:51:23+00
Birch V2	Dislike		Richard Paas	2021-12-14 02:36:05+00

Lange Senate	Dislike	Please do not choose this map. This map creates no Minority-Majority districts and has a terrible partisan fairness score. This map is horrible.	Barbara Eglinton	2021-12-14 02:23:40+00
Palm	Dislike	Palm splits off Lincoln consolidated schools away from Ypsilanti Charter Township and hands it off to Monroe and Lenawee Counties to ensure the educational needs of those students are being addressed at the state level. Then someone added exurb areas north of Lefurge Woods , which are in a different school district altogether, and some even asked for a Salem/ South Lyon COI also not represented here. That is not exactly keeping COIs intact.	Alice	2021-12-14 02:22:17+00
Palm	Dislike	This map packs Democrats in Washtenaw County. While all the maps give an unfair advantage to Republicans, this one is the worst.	Barbara Eglinton	2021-12-14 02:21:23+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This map has a terrible partisan fairness score.	Barbara Eglinton	2021-12-14 02:18:18+00
Palm	Dislike	Would like to see Troy with OC cities	Hirak Chanda	2021-12-14 02:17:44+00
Linden	Like	I support this map	Barbara Eglinton	2021-12-14 02:12:44+00

Pine v5	Like	This map is close to providing partisan fairness.	Barbara Eglinton	2021-12-14 02:09:30+00
Pine v5	Dislike	I oppose this map. It is biased. It does not provide partisan fairness.	Barbara Eglinton	2021-12-14 02:07:17+00

How nice that Nancy is so well versed in COI theory. Perhaps she can explain the close knit community association she and others ascribe to Ann Arbor/Ypsilanti as if there is no difference between the towns in regards to the library cooperative association Ypsilanti has with southeast TLN and Ann Arbor does not. Ypsilanti engages in reciprocal borrowing other participating libraries. Ann Arbor funds so much of its own collection they opted not to participate in the program. Vastly different library resources is probably a pretty good indication of very different community needs. But this map unfairly packs them all together, because it is a glorious way to keep all the Dem garbage in one little district.

Palm	Dislike		Alice	2021-12-14 02:04:35+00
------	---------	--	-------	------------------------

Magnolia	Dislike	I oppose this map. It fails to create partisan fairness	Barbara Eglinton	2021-12-14 02:03:39+00
Birch V2	Like	Birch is best. thank you for coming up with a decent mix. I support this map because it represents fairness AND community of interest - the	Gregory B Remington	2021-12-14 01:57:39+00
Linden	Like	Great Lakes Bay Region. Would like to see Troy kept as	Sarah Schulz	2021-12-14 01:51:12+00
Hickory	Dislike	one district	Hirak Chanda	2021-12-14 01:45:43+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Oppose this map. This map breaks up the Detroit Hamtramck Coalition for Advancing Healthy Environments. District 1 should include the area north of 94 to keep the area of interest intact.	Hirak Chanda	2021-12-14 01:42:15+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	I care most that the map reflects partisan fairness and contains competitive districts where possible. Please use	Sharon Buttry	2021-12-14 01:28:08+00
Hickory	Like	this map. I think the Birch V2 map is best for Oakland and Macomb	Charles Altman	2021-12-14 01:24:25+00
Birch V2	Like	Counties	Edward T Maley	2021-12-14 01:22:28+00

It would be much better for this community, if commissioners actually listened to those of us who live in the area instead of the bombastic tripe from partisan republicans from Lansing or Macomb County is it Nancy? There are some new apartment buildings on Zeeb and Jackson which are most assuredly not "rural" and how dare any commissioner lie to the public and describe the area as a rural one as he/she cracks it off from the city it associates with.

Palm

Dislike

Alice

2021-12-14 01:18:17+00

I think the Birch V2 map is best for Oakland and Macomb Counties

Birch V2

Like

Edward T Maley

2021-12-14 01:14:06+00

Doesn't give the community a better voice. To the East you have Dexter, "bedroom community" to Ann Arbor and all of the new commercial development along Jackson Rd. To the West, there is Chelsea and people in Chelsea have expressed a desire to be in a community that includes both Jackson and Ann Arbor. This map is basically ignoring their voices. But I guess it makes Nancy happy so we are expected to live with the same old junk. I say scrap this map in a place like Razorback Metals and pick a better configuration like Linden.

Palm	Dislike	Alice	2021-12-14 01:07:35+00
Palm	Dislike	Michael Glover	2021-12-14 01:06:04+00
Chestnut	Like	Edward T Maley	2021-12-14 01:04:47+00
Hickory	Like	Michael Glover	2021-12-14 01:01:44+00

For my district, I don't like the Palm map as it doesn't seem fair or competitive. I like the Birch V2, is best for macomb and oakland counties  
 For my district, I like the Hickory map because it seems fair, competitive and VRA compliant.

Map is a bad representation of this community. First, people in Manchester can speak for themselves, they don't need Nancy Tieso to do it for them. Second, I am absolutely certain Alber's Orchard gets more custom from the Ann Arbor than Homer in Calhoun County. Why should this area be used to "give population" to rural areas of Western Jackson County and Calhoun County?

Palm

Dislike

Alice

2021-12-14 00:58:15+00

For me and my district, the Linden map seems fair, competitive and VRA compliant.

Linden

Like

Michael Glover

2021-12-14 00:50:27+00

not really more in line with our community.  
Commissioner Clarke described his Republican gerrymander as a "rural" community that serves Jackson. Over 20 years ago Lodi Township and Washtenaw County were advised to create another paved access route to I94 to eliminate congestion on Parker and Saline/Ann Arbor/Wagner Rd. Dell/Zeeb Rd was flagged as the road which needed to be improved and yet grant funding from the state never seems to arrive. Most improvements have been county funded. This couldn't be because we are constantly placed with some distant group of Republican voters, not from the area, who would rather give tax breaks to corporate interests than work towards overdue road infrastructure improvements could it? A

Palm

Dislike

Alice

2021-12-14 00:46:34+00

This garbage map splits up the Saline school district, Nancy's pin is right across the street from the high school, and carves out all the population attending said school who reside in Pittsfield. How does this give ( our school) a better voice? Perhaps we would like to have one representative who is concerned about our educational needs in a rapidly growing community as opposed to some crossroads town with a county-wide consolidated school system in a rural area of

Palm

Dislike

Hillsdale. Linden is better. I DO NOT SUPPORT THIS MAP! Of the 5 proposed congressional maps on this site, this is the only one that does not group my household with my school district (Chelsea). Also, the City of Chelsea is the center of our lives and represents our community of interest. Birch is a fair map and will best represent our communities.

Alice

2021-12-14 00:31:20+00

Chestnut

Dislike

Catherine Kamil

2021-12-14 00:27:57+00

Birch V2

Like

Katayoon Sowerby

2021-12-14 00:21:41+00

Birch V2	Like	The Birch map is the best of the proposed maps and does well to keep communities of interest together. The Greater Lansing area is preserved and other areas of the state are kept together as well. Hands down the most balanced and non-partisan map. Far from perfect, but represents well the community I live in and the surrounding communities to level out a fair voice with	Robert Mass	2021-12-14 00:05:29+00
Hickory	Like	other districts.	Stephanie Laura Drozdalski	2021-12-13 23:52:05+00
Linden	Like	This seems like a fair map.	Julia Goode	2021-12-13 23:49:35+00
Hickory	Like	Please use this map. This map is entirely partisan and lacks the effort to embrace community of interest beyond a city boundary. There are other maps that do a much better job adhering to what the IRC	Julia Goode	2021-12-13 23:46:51+00
Magnolia	Dislike	is supposed to be doing! This map seems fair, please	Stephanie Laura Drozdalski	2021-12-13 23:46:34+00
Birch V2	Like	pick this one.	Julia Goode	2021-12-13 23:45:14+00
Pine v5	Dislike	This map is biased. This map is biased. We	Julia Goode	2021-12-13 23:43:21+00
Magnolia	Dislike	deserve a fair one.	Julia Goode	2021-12-13 23:42:09+00
Palm	Dislike	This map seems really biased and unfair.	Julia Goode	2021-12-13 23:40:11+00

		This map seems to be the best representation of what VNP set out to do, balanced and removed from partisanship. Please use this map!	Stephanie Laura Drozdalski	2021-12-13 23:30:16+00
Birch V2	Like			
Linden	Like	Looks good., Please use this map. It seems to aligns best with the with the more non-partisan congressional map Birch.	FAITH E ALLEN	2021-12-13 23:27:20+00
Linden	Like		Stephanie Laura Drozdalski	2021-12-13 23:26:34+00
Birch V2	Like	This map appears to be fairly drawn. Thank you.	Phillip Reid	2021-12-13 23:19:03+00
		This is a bad layout. Lumping these out county rural areas into the same district with Grand Rapids metro areas would misrepresent the interests of both parts of the area.		
Birch V2	Dislike		William Higgins	2021-12-13 23:17:51+00
		This map does the best job of creating competitive districts. Safe districts are bad for democracy. They discourage people from voting. This is not what we should be promoting.		
Linden	Like		Sherrri Masson	2021-12-13 23:17:28+00
Linden	Like	This map appears to be fairly drawn. Thank you!	Phillip Reid	2021-12-13 23:14:43+00
Linden	Like	This map is well done, and gets closest to eliminating partisan bias.	Vaughn Derderian	2021-12-13 23:12:15+00

Hickory	Like	This map is the best effort to make districts more competitive. Safe districts are bad for democracy.	Sherri Masson	2021-12-13 23:06:40+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map fails to create partisan fairness. Hickory is a better map.	Lisa Kiefer	2021-12-13 23:02:59+00
Hickory	Like	I believe the Hickory map is the best effort to make House districts competitive. Our current rep never communicates with his constituents and doesn't even campaign because it's a "safe" district. This hurts democracy. It makes people cynical and less willing to vote.	Sherri Masson	2021-12-13 23:01:53+00
Hickory	Like	This map is the fairest of the House maps. It also addresses VRA concerns in the state.	Lisa Kiefer	2021-12-13 22:56:56+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map has the strongest unfair bias towards Republicans of any proposed House map.	Vaughn Derderian	2021-12-13 22:56:05+00
Hickory	Like	This map has the highest degree of fairness.	Bruce Fealk	2021-12-13 22:56:04+00
Hickory	Like	This map appears to be a fair map. Thank you.	Phillip Reid	2021-12-13 22:55:52+00

Hickory	Like	This map provides a good community of interest of our area keeping our school district fairly intact.	Lizbeth Estes	2021-12-13 22:54:54+00
Hickory	Like	I really like the fact that this map makes a good faith effort to create VRA compliant districts.	Vaughn Derderian	2021-12-13 22:49:30+00
Palm	Dislike	Palm is a poor choice! This is the sort of gerrymandered map we elected the commission to get away from. How did it even get drawn, given the criteria? Please eliminate Palm from consideration.	Jim Searls	2021-12-13 22:43:01+00
Palm	Dislike	I support this map over the other.	Jane L Slaughter	2021-12-13 22:07:39+00
Chestnut	Like	I support this map	Lohitha Dewasurendra	2021-12-13 21:44:52+00
Linden	Like	This map seems to keep the broader Downriver communities together better than any of the other proposed maps and should be adopted. Thank you.	Lohitha Dewasurendra	2021-12-13 21:41:50+00
Linden	Like	This is the most fair map for congressional districts that I have seen. Thank you for getting this right. I support the Birch map. I may actually have a chance to have a representative that will return my calls. Thank you for all your work!	Elizabeth C Palazzolo	2021-12-13 21:40:44+00
Birch V2	Like		Ryan M Husse	2021-12-13 21:37:28+00

Hickory	Like	Like the way this map keeps the waterfront communities of downriver together.	Elizabeth C Palazzolo	2021-12-13 21:26:44+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is worse than the gerrymandered situation we have now	Elizabeth C Palazzolo	2021-12-13 21:21:10+00
Birch V2	Like	This map seems to be the best at keeping similar communities together in relation to geography and population. It is a difficult task to build a puzzle with these necessary requirements.	Warren Danford Jr	2021-12-13 20:57:09+00
Linden	Like	Fair, least slanted to favor either party. This was the objective of Proposal.	WALTER F CHERRY JR	2021-12-13 20:46:32+00
Birch V2	Dislike	This map splits up 3 major cities in West Michigan making the cities not having a voice for their area of interest which are very different for rural areas. Keeping the cities together are better maps like in Apple and Chestnut	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-12-13 20:36:00+00
Hickory	Like	FAIR is the objective. This map does it best. Thanks for all the work that went into this.	WALTER F CHERRY JR	2021-12-13 20:35:16+00

Birch V2	Dislike	<p>This map combines rural areas of interest like Ionia county with urban areas of interest like Grand Rapids. These should not be combined. Under the voting rights act splitting up the minority voting population of west michigan is wrong Least fair of all the proposed</p>	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-12-13 20:31:01+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>Senate District maps. Hickory is the best of the worst House maps. I want maps that are more fair and genuinely representative of</p>	Rick L Catherman	2021-12-13 20:21:12+00
Hickory	Like	<p>local populations. Poor map. For too long the highly urban suburb of East Grand Rapids has been grouped with rural districts to the north, gerrymandering our voice. We belong with Kentwood and Cascade 2 very similar suburbs. End gerrymandering in West Michigan by adopting fairer</p>	Daniel Herbert	2021-12-13 19:55:31+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>maps, like the Hickory map.</p>	Gary Stark	2021-12-13 19:38:46+00

Hickory	Like	<p>Thank you for keeping the 3 very similar suburbs of East Grand Rapids, Kentwood, and Cascade together in one district. We have much in common and the district will ensure fair, balanced representation.</p> <p>I voted to have fair maps, and from what I can see, the Birch map is fair and will serve our community for the next 10 years</p>	Gary Stark	2021-12-13 19:34:14+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>Too many communities of interest split up on this map. No more gerrymandering.</p>	Gary Reitzel	2021-12-13 19:33:59+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>This map is very unfair. Chestnut is a fair map with partisan balance. Muskegon and Grand Rapids have much in common and both have significant non-white communities that would be disenfranchised under other maps. Please adopt this</p>	Kim Bergs	2021-12-13 19:30:27+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>Chestnut map. This map looks to be politically balanced. This map ensures that minority voices</p>	Gary Stark	2021-12-13 19:28:51+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>will be heard.</p>	Kim Bergs	2021-12-13 19:27:48+00

The Birch map gerrymanders West Michigan into 3 different congressional districts, putting each of the 3 major cities there into separate districts with larger rural populations (here Grand Rapids is combined with rural Ottawa and rural Ionia counties,. This disenfranchises the urban population and large minority population of West Michigan. Rather than creating partisan fairness, this map would again cement 3 Republican districts in West Michigan, perpetuating the kind of gerrymandering we have been trying to get rid of.

Birch V2

Dislike

No to Birch!

Gary Stark

2021-12-13 19:24:41+00

This appears to be the most fair of the Senate maps so far.

Linden

Like

Kim Bergs

2021-12-13 19:23:08+00

Hickory	Like	I like that this map links East Grand Rapids and Kentwood. In general it looks to keep communities of interest together! This map looks very fair over all!	Kim Bergs	2021-12-13 19:20:16+00
Birch V2	Dislike	The Birch map creates all safe Republican districts in West Michigan. This is how extremists get elected to congress. This map is not fair!	Kim Bergs	2021-12-13 19:12:38+00
Birch V2	Dislike	The rural counties of Ottawa and Ionia don't belong with Grand Rapids.	Kim Bergs	2021-12-13 19:09:58+00
Birch V2	Dislike	The Birch Map separates the 3 largest cities in West Michigan. This is unfair to city dwellers, and especially to the minority populations in those cities. Chestnut is a much more fair map.	Kim Bergs	2021-12-13 19:07:46+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Keep Allegan County together!	Eric Kooienga	2021-12-13 18:57:38+00
Hickory	Like	This map best represents the COI of the Grand Rapids area, with districts in the NW, NE, SE, SW and core city. Nicely done! Please choose this map!	Cindy Krieg	2021-12-13 18:28:02+00

Hickory	Like	This map makes good sense to me. The working class and minority populations of Wyoming and Kentwood could get representation. Chestnut does a much better job from a partisan fairness perspective than this map.	Fred John Overeem	2021-12-13 18:15:27+00
Birch V2	Dislike		Cindy Krieg	2021-12-13 18:15:21+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is very partisan and goes against the very purpose of Prop 2. Please vote no on this map and let the voters pick their politicians fairly.	Jaime Brants	2021-12-13 18:09:04+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Unlike Apple and Chestnut, this map eliminates the voices of minority groups and city dwellers by dividing the 3 major cities of West Michigan into 3 different Congressional districts. This map assures Republican representation in West Michigan, despite being home to the second largest city in the state.	Cindy Krieg	2021-12-13 18:05:45+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>This district 3 map is a very good representation of West Michigan population. both grand Rapids and Muskegon are industrial and have a large minority population, I grew up in the Muskegon area and now live near Grand Rapids, the map makes sense to me.</p> <p>This is clearly the most fair map for the Senate. The voters asked for fair maps and this is the one that is most fair to the voters and those running for office.</p>	Fredric J Overeem	2021-12-13 18:00:34+00
Linden	Like		Matthew Kachel	2021-12-13 17:51:04+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>The chestnut map is a clastic example of gerrymandering. T communities who have no common interest are combined into one district. Scattering the Grand rapids vote among Ottawa and Ionia a safe Republican district has ben created and the minority voters in the Grand Rapids region have been effectively disenfranchised</p>	Fredric J Overeem	2021-12-13 17:50:23+00

Hickory	Like	This is clearly the most fair map for the House. The voters asked for fair maps and this is the one that is most fair to the voters and those running for office.	Matthew Kachel	2021-12-13 17:44:32+00
Palm	Dislike	The Palm draft map leans too far to the right. I was so excited that the redistricting commission would be drawing fair Michigan voting districts. Now I'm worried!	Charlotte sadler	2021-12-13 17:37:19+00
Palm	Dislike	Please vote no on Palm! The voters asked for fair maps. This map is just a continuation of Republican gerrymandering that has been going on for decades.	Matthew Kachel	2021-12-13 17:36:09+00
Hickory	Like	This map seems to continue to balance the make up of communities on the west side, allowing for folks to vote based on similar lifestyles and values (at large). Plus district 42 is a competitive seat. Using the natural boundaries of the river and some county lines, while also addressing population density seems to be a good approach for our side of the state.	Justin Mendoza	2021-12-13 17:32:40+00

Szetela House	Dislike	This new map splits Allegan county into 3 districts. Keep Allegan together!	Kelly Grotendiek	2021-12-13 17:13:45+00
Hickory	Dislike	This new map splits Allegan county into 3 districts. Keep Allegan together!	Kelly Grotendiek	2021-12-13 17:12:29+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This new map splits Allegan county into 3 districts. Keep Allegan together!	Kelly Grotendiek	2021-12-13 17:11:19+00
Pine v5	Dislike	This new map splits Allegan county into 3 districts. Keep Allegan together!	Kelly Grotendiek	2021-12-13 17:08:57+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is awful and highly partisan!	Christy Mayo	2021-12-13 16:48:56+00
Linden	Like	As a Cascade resident for over 10 years, I appreciate that Cascade has been put where it belongs! We should be part of the GR metro area.	Christy Mayo	2021-12-13 16:48:01+00
Szetela House	Dislike	This is a very bad map for us. As a Cascade resident, we should NOT be grouped with the rural farms. We are a suburb of Grand Rapids and should be grouped with Grand Rapids/Kentwood.	Christy Mayo	2021-12-13 16:46:33+00
Hickory	Like	Thank you for listening to residents of Cascade, like me, keeping us with Grand Rapids metro. We are NOT a rural area.	Christy Mayo	2021-12-13 16:44:41+00

Chestnut	Like	I vote for this map. Thank you for creating a map that focuses partisan fairness. I appreciate that it connects Grand Rapids and Muskegon, creating a voice for the minority populations. Including rural portions of Ottawa and Ionia with the Grand Rapids metro area make no sense. These are distinct areas with different interests, and it will have the likely effect of marginalizing	Christy Mayo	2021-12-13 16:41:36+00
Birch V2	Dislike	minority voices. This map creates all safe-Republican districts in West Michigan. This is not fair from a partisan fairness perspective!	Jeffrey Thomas	2021-12-13 16:39:02+00
Birch V2	Dislike		Christy Mayo	2021-12-13 16:38:32+00
Birch V2	Like	I support the birch map as it is the fairest and it the least partisan map. I misspoke on my previous comment - there is not a split precinct, but it does separate this precinct from the rest of the community. Please keep Madison Heights whole in its representation.	Geoff Yonkers	2021-12-13 16:36:10+00
Hickory	Dislike		CHERYL ROTTMANN	2021-12-13 16:32:22+00

Birch V2	Like	I have looked at a few different variations of the redistricting maps a feel, due to the high importance of fairness, this map is the best one yet. I wholly support the Birch map.	Jonas Talbott	2021-12-13 16:29:45+00
Szetela House	Like	Please keep Madison Heights as a community whole in their representation. This map separates one precinct from the rest of the community. Speaking as City Clerk, this map unnecessarily splits a precinct between 2 house districts - please keep Madison Heights whole in their representation and the	CHERYL ROTTMANN	2021-12-13 16:29:15+00
Hickory	Dislike	precincts whole as well. This map unnecessarily splits one precinct in the City - please keep the City whole	CHERYL ROTTMANN	2021-12-13 16:26:00+00
Magnolia	Dislike	and precincts intact. As someone who worked very hard to pass Prop 2 to have fair, non-partisan maps this map does not meet the standard. It is grossly partisan and as a result I expect nothing less than a no vote from the commission on this	Cheryl Rottmann	2021-12-13 16:23:54+00
Palm	Like	map.	Gerilyn K Biggs	2021-12-13 15:48:18+00

Cherry V2	Like	This is the best map so far.	Victoria Gutowski	2021-12-13 15:35:32+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	This achieves the partisan fairness the Constitution requires.	Victoria Gutowski	2021-12-13 15:34:31+00
Szetela House	Opinion	<p>This map does not achieve the partisan fairness required by the Michigan Constitution (although it is the least unfair of all the maps under consideration). While I applaud the inclusion of Leelanau County with Grand Traverse as a community of interest, elsewhere in the state needs more work.</p> <p>Please keep working.</p> <p>This map does not achieve the partisan fairness required by the Michigan Constitution. While I applaud the inclusion of Leelanau County with Grand Traverse as a community of interest, elsewhere in the state needs more work. Please keep</p>	Victoria Gutowski	2021-12-13 15:33:17+00
Hickory	Opinion	working.	Victoria Gutowski	2021-12-13 15:32:21+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>The Palm map is a step in the wrong direction. Prop 2 was supposed to put an end to gerrymandering. Palm perpetuates gerrymandering. Cherry and Linden are better.</p>	Cheryl D Hayes	2021-12-13 15:31:53+00
Magnolia	Opinion	<p>This map does not achieve the partisan fairness required by the Michigan Constitution. Please keep working until both parties achieve an equal voice across the state.</p>	Victoria Gutowski	2021-12-13 15:31:45+00
Pine v5	Opinion	<p>This map does not achieve the partisan fairness required by the Michigan Constitution. While I applaud the inclusion of Leelanau County with Grand Traverse as a community of interest, elsewhere in the state needs more work. Please keep working.</p>	Victoria Gutowski	2021-12-13 15:29:36+00
Hickory	Like	<p>This seems like the most fair map for state congressional seats. Please consider this one. Thanks for all your hard work - I'm sure it was very difficult.</p>	Janet Pushies	2021-12-13 14:15:03+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>This map is the least partisan of the Congressional proposals from the committee. I like that it keeps Sterling Heights whole and respects the COI so strongly voiced by the AAPI residents of Sterling Heights, Troy and west Warren.</p>	Nancy Duemling	2021-12-13 14:10:52+00
Linden	Like	<p>This map is so much more fair for electing a state Senator than the Palm map. Please choose this map.</p>	Janet Pushies	2021-12-13 14:06:36+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>The Palm map is not fair and is not nonpartisan as it clearly favors one political party. This map is not in keeping with the values of the Voting Rights Act that we all worked so hard to pass. Please do not adopt this map.</p>	Janet Pushies	2021-12-13 14:03:35+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>I think the Birch map is a fair nonpartisan map. It is much better than the gerrymandered current 8th congressional district. Please choose the Birch map for Congressional districts.</p>	Janet Pushies	2021-12-13 13:54:43+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>This map is extremely biased in favor of Republicans.</p>	Thomas Guyer	2021-12-13 13:43:20+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>The Birch map is a fair, well-drawn map.</p>	Debra L Dorosh	2021-12-13 13:06:39+00

On every map I've looked at, the "Downriver" area is cut apart. On this one you have Wyandotte with Harper Woods, but everyone knows that Wyandotte, Southgate, Riverview, Trenton and Woodhaven are virtually all the same..... What happened to the mandate to recognize "communities of interest" communities like the Downriver area? Also, on many maps county lines have been crossed..... What's that all about? Is someone trying to make "gerrymandering of boundaries" legal. I wouldn't vote for any of these

Chestnut

Dislike

Richard Gyolai

2021-12-13 12:42:52+00

District 10- Obvious Republican bias. District 10 is not representative of the majority of residents in this area. This is unacceptable. I want representation for a better future for Michigan and for our nation in general. I don't want a bunch of liars and Republican obstruction in congress.

Palm

Dislike

Susan Jagoda

2021-12-13 11:32:22+00

removing Southfield, Edinboro Village, Franklin, Bingham Farms and Beverly Hills from Oakland County - as this map has done - severely decreases the likelihood that Oakland County will see Black or POC representatives. Oakland ranks 6th of 83 counties in Michigan when it comes to how many Black residents there are - more than 170,000. Southfield makes up more than 50,000 of those residents and strategically removing us is essentially a "whitewash" of Oakland County.

When it comes to our daily lives - where we live, play, work, and learn - the Oakland County communities you've placed into district 12 have much more in common with Farmington Hills, Oak Park, Birmingham, and Bloomfield Township than with

Chestnut

Dislike

communities in Western

Amani Johnson

2021-12-13 06:10:11+00

Although this Cherry V2 map is an acceptable map for State Senate districts with the Tri-Cities district so many of us have asked for, it does have partisan fairness scores slightly higher than the Linden map. Indeed, the Linden Senate Map has a lower efficiency gap and less lopsided margin than Cherry V2. Out of the three proposed maps for State Senate districts, Linden is the best map for the state of Michigan, although none of them achieve proper partisan fairness scores. You should consider working on improving the partisan fairness scores; perhaps reducing Tuscola County and adding more of Midland County would help achieve this. Thank-you for your hard work.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Lynn Pottenger

2021-12-13 06:10:03+00

Although this Apple V2 map is an acceptable map for Congressional districts, it has partisan fairness scores slightly higher than Chestnut. Indeed, District 8 in the Chestnut map is preferred to this one because Chestnut map keeps most of Bay, Saginaw, and Genesee Counties whole and also includes most of Midland County. This map adds Tuscola County into this district, which does not make sense since you can reach the population requirements and the same political lean scores by including most of the already connected counties (e.g., more of Midland County). I hope you will choose Chestnut map for Congressional districts. Thank-you for your hard work.

Apple V2

Opinion

Lynn Pottenger

2021-12-13 06:02:37+00

Although this Birch V2 map is an acceptable map for Congressional districts, it has partisan fairness scores slightly higher than Chestnut. Indeed, District 8 in the Chestnut map is preferred to this one because Chestnut map keeps most of Bay, Saginaw, and Genesee Counties whole and also includes most of Midland County. This map adds Tuscola County into this district, which does not make sense since you can reach the population requirements and the same political lean scores by including most of the already connected counties (e.g., more of Midland County). I hope you will choose Chestnut map for Congressional districts. Thank-

Birch V2

Opinion

you for your hard work.

Lynn H Pottenger

2021-12-13 05:58:23+00

The commission has consistently drawn a Tri-Cities Senate district, which I greatly appreciate. We recognize and are grateful that you listened so carefully to the citizens of Mid-Michigan and heard us asking for this, given our many COI™s as urban/suburban cities with overlapping economic, cultural, and educational communities. Out of the three proposed Senate maps, the Palm map has the worst partisan fairness scores. This is a key criterion and should not be allowed to progress. Please do not choose this map. It does not represent Michigan well. Please select the Linden map for the senate districts, which does a better job of providing for competitive districts, including Mid-Michigan. Thank-you for all your hard work on drawing fair district maps. Other areas of the state that I know well seem well planned out.

Palm

Dislike

Lynn Pottenger

2021-12-13 05:51:07+00

Hickory

Like

Michael Jay Larmee

2021-12-13 05:45:34+00

None of the selected three House maps reflects true partisan fairness. Indeed, they all lean in favor of Republicans. This is not acceptable based on the goals of the redistricting in Michigan. The Pine V5 has the same partisan fairness scores as Hickory, but it ignores the changes to the Detroit area proposed by Commissioners Kellom and Curry. Hickory has the same partisan fairness scores as Pine V5, but the Hickory map also has the Detroit changes to honor COI's and improve majority-minority districts. If you are not going to improve the partisan fairness of the maps, please select Hickory as the best map for Michigan. Your hard work is appreciated.

Pine v5

Dislike

Lynn Pottenger

2021-12-13 05:43:19+00

I recognize that the changes made to this Magnolia map for State House were meant to improve the COI representation and majority-minority districts in the Detroit area; however, it now has the worst partisan fairness scores and doesn't give Midland a competitive district. We need a fair & competitive House district for Mid-Michigan. The Hickory map keeps all of Curry's and Kellom's changes to Magnolia but also improves the partisan fairness scores. If you aren't going to improve the partisan fairness scores of the maps, please select the Hickory map as the best map for Michigan overall. Thanks for your hard work under challenging circumstances.

Magnolia

Dislike

Lynn Pottenger

2021-12-13 05:36:13+00

The maps offered for the State House districts are all too partisan, favoring Republicans by more than 5%. This is wrong and contrary to the goals of the bi-partisan redistricting effort that was voted in by 80% of Michigan voters—it should be fixed. Every district drawn for Midland, in particular, heavily favors Republicans instead of giving us a fair, competitive district. The Hickory House map has the least lop-sided efficiency gap of the 3 selected maps and it does keep all the needed alterations for the Detroit area to improve those COIs representation. It is disappointing that I cannot have a fair district for the City of Midland due to Republican grandstanding, but Hickory does a reasonable job for the rest of the state. I hope you can decrease the unfair

Hickory

Like

Republican bias and improve Lynn H Pottenger

2021-12-13 05:25:03+00

The Linden map is the best of the 3 State Senate maps selected for comment, with the lowest (best) efficiency gap score and the least lopsided margin. Although these scores still favor Republicansâ€”which should NOT be the caseâ€”the Linden map gives us all the best chance to elect representatives who will actually represent their constituents and not just a political party line (so different from the past 20 years). Thanks for all the hard work to offer Mid-Michigan more fair districts than the past 20 years.

Linden

Like

Lynn Pottenger

2021-12-13 05:19:06+00

Chestnut is the best Congressional map out of the choices because it has the lowest efficiency gap number at 0.6% and the lowest lopsided margin at 4% in favor of Republicans. Of course, that partisan score could be improvedâ€”and should be improvedâ€”but it is the best out of the three currently available. It keeps the urban/suburban Tri-Cities and Flint together, not forcing a misfit between the City of Midland and the rural counties north and west. It keeps most of Midland County with the City of Midland and it is mostly competitive between the parties. It does a fairly good job of balancing many factors--partisan fairness, communities of interest, and keeping areas intact that have long associated with each other like the Tri-Cities and Mid-Michigan. Thank-you for Please reject this map. All your hard work on this endeavor has resulted in better, fairer maps than this one.

Chestnut

Like

Lynn Pottenger

2021-12-13 05:13:32+00

Palm

Dislike

Trina Borenstein

2021-12-13 05:06:19+00

Chestnut	Like	Much better than many of the other maps. Much fairer.	William Swift	2021-12-13 04:38:56+00
Palm	Dislike	This is a terrible map - clearly partisan - Do not even consider this map - the state voted foe Fair Maps - this is not a fair map!	Marian Mahoney	2021-12-13 04:38:11+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This is a terrible map - clearly partisan - Do not even consider this map - the state voted foe Fair Maps - this is not a fair map!	Marian Mahoney	2021-12-13 04:32:13+00
Cherry V2	Like	I have watched the commission's progress throughout the redistricting process and have testified numerous times. This map, although imperfect, is the best so far. Please move forward with this map. Thank you for your efforts on this.	Marian Mahoney	2021-12-13 04:17:15+00
Linden	Like	I have watched the commission's progress throughout the redistricting process and have testified numerous times. This map, although imperfect, is the best so far. Please move forward with this map. Thank you for your efforts on this.	Marian Mahoney	2021-12-13 03:56:45+00

Hickory	Like	I have watched the commission's progress throughout the redistributing process and have testified numerous times. This map, although imperfect, is the best so far. Please move forward with this map. Thank you for your efforts on this.	Marian Mahoney	2021-12-13 03:53:44+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is very unfair. Cherry and Linden are much better.	Kyle Jones	2021-12-13 03:26:33+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is partisan and not fair.	Brenda Lindsay	2021-12-13 03:11:01+00
Birch V2	Like	I like Birch and feel that it is fair. It will serve Macomb and Oakland Counties well for the next decade.	Dennis J Bruck	2021-12-13 03:05:59+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is unacceptable.	KATHRYN R HOARD	2021-12-13 01:48:23+00
Birch V2	Like	I like Birch and feel that it is fair. It will serve Macomb and Oakland Counties well for the next decade.	Dennis J Bruck	2021-12-13 01:28:05+00

dunhamlake.com Dunham Lake community dates back to the mid 1940s' The lake is split down the middle and on some level this community of interest should be made whole. The area around the lake identifies with the Hartland and Milford communities. Birch V2 is a much better map than Apple V2 because Dunham Lake has nothing in common with the Michigan thumb a rural farming area. The Dunham Lake community of interest should be entirely included in district 9 (Lansing) OR district 11 (Novi, Farmington, Bloomfield) as drawn on Birch V2.

Apple V2

Dislike

James Dowd

2021-12-13 00:49:55+00

dunhamlake.com Dunham Lake community dates back to the mid 1940s' The lake is split down the middle and on some level this community of interest should be made whole. The area around the lake identifies with the Hartland and Milford communities. Birch V2 is a much better map than Chestnut because Dunham Lake has nothing in common with the Michigan thumb a rural farming area. The Dunham Lake community of interest should be entirely included in district 9 (Lansing) OR district 11 (Novi, Farmington, Bloomfield).

Birch V2

Like

James Dowd

2021-12-13 00:44:21+00

dunhamlake.com Dunham Lake community dates back to the mid 1940s' The lake is split down the middle and on some level this community of interest should be made whole. Moreover the area around the lake identifies with the Hartland and Milford communities. These communities have nothing in common with the Michigan thumb a rural farming areal. The Dunham Lake community of interest should be included in district 9 (Lansing) or that area part of district 11 (Novi, Farmington, Bloomfield).

Chestnut

Dislike

James Dowd

2021-12-13 00:40:07+00

This is one of the best maps I've seen from this process, especially in respect to balanced representation for the metro area. I like that it keeps most of Detroit together, differentiates between the west, northwest, and northeast suburbs - mostly along county lines, and differentiates between townships and suburbs. This is a very well balanced map that balances a lot of conflicting requirements in putting something of this nature together while letting data and community drive the borders, rather than politics.

Apple V2	Like	11/10. Great work by the committee on this. Better at keeping Kalamazoo COI together and least	Brandon Alger	2021-12-13 00:40:01+00
Linden	Like	partisan. As a term limited elected official who will not be seeking elected office in the future, I believe the Birch v2 map will best serve Macomb and Oakland counties for the	Jessica M Swartz	2021-12-13 00:31:27+00
Birch V2	Like	next decade.	William Sowerby	2021-12-13 00:26:26+00

Hickory	Like	Better at keeping Kalamazoo neighborhoods together. Don't separate Oaklan/Winchell/Asylum lake neighborhoods.	Jessica M Swartz	2021-12-13 00:25:54+00
Magnolia	Dislike		Jessica Swartz	2021-12-13 00:24:21+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map seems very partisan. Do not break up the Oakland/Winchell neighborhood	Sumita Pal	2021-12-13 00:21:49+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Birch and Chestnut are the most fair and non-partisan maps.	Jessica Swartz	2021-12-13 00:21:22+00
Birch V2	Like		Sumita Pal	2021-12-13 00:20:22+00
Palm	Dislike	It doesn't make sense to split Kalamazoo and Battle Creek. Chestnut seems the most non-partisan. My vote is for this one.	Jessica Swartz	2021-12-13 00:19:32+00
Chestnut	Like		Sumita Pal	2021-12-13 00:18:52+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	It doesn't make sense to keep Kalamazoo and Battle Creek in separate districts. This map clearly cracks the voting strength of Kalamazoo and puts it in with a large rural area.	Jessica Swartz	2021-12-13 00:18:19+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike		Jessica M Swartz	2021-12-13 00:16:32+00
Linden	Like	Linden is the most non-partisan Senate map. I would vote for this one.	Sumita Pal	2021-12-13 00:15:58+00

		Because this is the only proposed map that puts Kalamazoo and Battle Creek in the same district, I think this is the most representative for SW Michigan.	Jessica Swartz	2021-12-13 00:14:12+00
Chestnut	Like			
Hickory	Like	Hickory seems the most non-partisan. PALM map is unfair. Should not be chosen.	Sumita Pal	2021-12-13 00:14:04+00
Palm	Dislike		Sumita Pal	2021-12-13 00:11:04+00
Linden	Like	Of all the maps, this one is the fairest. I urge you to approve it.	Jennifer Bidwell	2021-12-12 23:42:15+00
Palm	Dislike	Please don't use this map! It's even worse than our current one. Thank you for all of your effort - but please DON'T adopt this map.	Doris Feys	2021-12-12 23:17:03+00
Linden	Like	I collected signatures for VNP and this is the closest to fairness. Thank you for your hard work	Mary O'Neill	2021-12-12 22:49:14+00
Palm	Dislike	I collected signatures because of maps just like this one. Please do not use this gerrymandered map! Thank you for all your hard work	Mary O'Neill	2021-12-12 22:42:59+00

I am opposed to the proposed Chestnut map as it removes Southfield and a few other Oakland County cities from my 'community of interest' in Oakland County . I am a Jewish resident of Southfield. Virtually all of my 'community' is in Oakland County. The "Birch" proposed map provides for a better representation for the citizens

Chestnut	Dislike	of Oakland County. Birch and Chestnut are more	Carol Singer	2021-12-12 22:34:04+00
Apple V2	Dislike	fair than Apple.	Kaushik Pal	2021-12-12 22:14:34+00
Birch V2	Like	Birch is a fair map.	Kaushik Pal	2021-12-12 22:13:22+00
Chestnut	Like	Chestnut is a fair map.	Kaushik Pal	2021-12-12 22:11:49+00
Linden	Like	Linden is a fair map.	Kaushik Pal	2021-12-12 22:10:11+00
Hickory	Like	Hickory is fair.	Kaushik Pal	2021-12-12 22:08:47+00
Palm	Dislike	PALM is not a fair map.	Kaushik Pal	2021-12-12 22:06:21+00
Cherry V2	Like	Has some flaws but is fair	Jordan Baker	2021-12-12 21:57:25+00
Apple V2	Like	One of the better maps, I would be alright with this proposal Worst map of them all, I thought this was suppose to	Jordan Baker	2021-12-12 21:30:42+00
Palm	Dislike	be fair for both sides?	Jordan Baker	2021-12-12 21:15:36+00
Birch V2	Like	Much more fair	Melissa Rose Luberti	2021-12-12 20:33:39+00
Hickory	Like	Much more fair	Melissa Rose Luberti	2021-12-12 20:32:10+00
Linden	Like	Much more fair	Melissa Rose Luberti	2021-12-12 20:30:32+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is grossly unfair.	Melissa Rose Luberti	2021-12-12 20:27:45+00

Palm	Dislike	Of all of the proposed maps, this one is the poorest reflection of the various regions and communities in the state.	Martin Miller	2021-12-12 19:59:22+00
Linden	Like	This map is acceptable for MI voters	Paula Johnson	2021-12-12 19:55:58+00
Hickory	Like	This map seems fair for MI voters	Paula Johnson	2021-12-12 19:55:14+00
Palm	Dislike	This PALM map has the highest partisanship, favoring the GOP. Very unfair to the voters of MI	Paula Johnson	2021-12-12 19:53:06+00
Apple V2	Like	The city of Midland is part of the Tri-cities. Some want the connection to rural areas only because it serves their political purposes, which may not be what is best for the residents of the city of Midland.	Michael O'Donnell	2021-12-12 19:38:38+00
Magnolia	Like	The Magnolia plan connects my Community of White Lake with communities that are like minded in terms of our needs, values, etc.	Janice Brines	2021-12-12 19:31:28+00
Magnolia	Like	I like the Magnolia plan because it connects White Lake Township with communities sharing our needs and values.	Robert Sherwood Brines	2021-12-12 19:29:43+00
Palm	Dislike	This is an extremely unfair map.	Charles Hicks	2021-12-12 19:28:40+00

Szetela House	Dislike	Hickory is the least unfair. Partisan fairness must be prioritized.	Connie	2021-12-12 19:28:37+00
		The Palm plan best aligns my community of White Lake with communities like mine. It will help us pick a candidate that best meets our needs		
Birch V2	Like	and best interests.	Robert Sherwood Brines	2021-12-12 19:27:21+00
Hickory	Like	This is the least unfair map.	Connie	2021-12-12 19:26:37+00
		Partisan fairness must be prioritized. Hickory has a better partisan fairness score.	Connie	2021-12-12 19:24:56+00
Magnolia	Dislike	The Palm plan best links us to similar communities and will help connect us to the best candidate to serve us.	Janice Brines	2021-12-12 19:23:39+00
		Partisan fairness must be prioritized. Hickory has a better partisan fairness score.	Connie	2021-12-12 19:22:34+00
Pine v5	Like	I like the Palm plan because it best serves the community of White Lake I live in. It connects us with similar communities.	Robert Sherwood Brines	2021-12-12 19:20:26+00
		Partisan fairness must be prioritized. This map is unfair. Linden has better partisan fairness scores.	Connie	2021-12-12 19:20:04+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike			

Birch V2	Like	The Birch plan in my opinion is the best for the community of White Lake I live in. It keeps us paired with similar communities.	Robert Sherwood Brines	2021-12-12 19:17:28+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	Partisan fairness must be prioritized. This map has more unfair partisan bias. Linden is a better map.	Connie	2021-12-12 19:17:17+00
Kellom Senate	Opinion	Palm is an unfair map. Linden is a better map.	Connie	2021-12-12 19:14:45+00
Linden	Like	Palm is an unfair map. Linden is a better map.	Connie	2021-12-12 19:12:36+00
Birch V2	Like	I favor the Birch plan based on the proposed 11th district	Robert Sherwood Brines	2021-12-12 19:11:59+00
Palm	Dislike	Palm is an unfair map. Linden is a better map.	Connie	2021-12-12 19:10:27+00
Cherry V2	Like	Palm is an unfair map. Cherry is better.	Connie	2021-12-12 19:07:07+00
Palm	Dislike	This map promotes gerrymandering and is unfair. Please do not use this map.	Melanie Hardy	2021-12-12 17:49:02+00
Palm	Dislike	This map promotes gerrymandering and is unfair. Please do not use this map.	Melanie Hardy	2021-12-12 17:49:01+00
Palm	Dislike	Please do not use the palm map. It only repeats the problem we have now with gerrymandering.	Karol Walker	2021-12-12 17:46:36+00
Birch V2	Like	Not bad!!	Elizabeth Bielby	2021-12-12 17:37:41+00
Hickory	Like	Not a bad map!	Elizabeth Bielby	2021-12-12 17:36:53+00
Linden	Like	Not bad.	Elizabeth Bielby	2021-12-12 17:36:18+00

Palm	Dislike	Not a good map - I don't see how this fairly divides up voters in a nonpartisan way.	Elizabeth Bielby	2021-12-12 17:34:55+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Please do not adopt this map.	Abu S Rahman	2021-12-12 17:15:17+00
Chestnut	Like	I like the map.	Abu S Rahman	2021-12-12 17:11:39+00
Palm	Dislike	Bad map, bad map. Definitely not good for the state of Michigan. We're trying to be fair, and this map is not achieving that goal.	Shirley Lynn Kunze	2021-12-12 16:44:23+00
Linden	Like	This seems like a fair map, which is what Michigan needs	Shirley Lynn Kunze	2021-12-12 16:43:00+00
Hickory	Like	This map seems fair-please vote yes for Michigan!	Shirley Lynn Kunze	2021-12-12 16:42:13+00
Chestnut	Like	I believe that Troy belongs together and no split apart. It helps represent COI. They are different than the Birmingham, Farmington... areas.	Nessa Feller	2021-12-12 16:32:33+00
Hickory	Like	While no map is perfect, I feel that this does the best job of meeting the requirements with VRA and needs of COI. I support this map as the best one.	Nessa Feller	2021-12-12 16:25:26+00
Hickory	Like	Much better for Michigan	Shirley Lynn Kunze	2021-12-12 16:19:49+00
Linden	Like	This seems more fair, and is much closer to what Michigan needs	Shirley Lynn Kunze	2021-12-12 16:18:31+00

Linden	Like	This is a much more fair map than Palm.	Allison Fox	2021-12-12 15:08:26+00
Cherry V2	Like	Much more fair than the Palm map. The Palm proposal is heavily gerrymandered and favors Republicans. Please consider Cherry or Linden instead.	Allison Fox	2021-12-12 15:07:35+00
Palm	Dislike	Thank you to all for your work on this! This map doesn't appear fair. I'm concerned that this map is clearly favoring a particular	ALLISON Fox FOX	2021-12-12 15:05:38+00
Palm	Like	party.	Brenda Lindsay	2021-12-12 14:49:10+00

Baraga County appears to have compatible economic interests at Marquette County. Both counties have a robust commercial forest industry, they depend on tourism, and medical care industries, they both have significant State of Michigan agency employers, they share the financial benefits of the Eagle Mine, they also share similar relationships with the Keweenaw Bay Indian Community. Although the political tendencies differ between these communities, I feel that Baraga can be well represented when paired with Iron, Marquette, and Alger Counties.

Pine v5

Like

Eric P Cadeau

2021-12-12 13:30:24+00

Baraga County appears to have compatible economic interests at Marquette County. Both counties have a robust commercial forest industry, they depend on tourism, and medical care industries, they both have significant State of Michigan agency employers, they share the financial benefits of the Eagle Mine, they also share similar relationships with the Keweenaw Bay Indian Community. Although the political tendencies differ between these communities, I feel that Baraga can be well represented when paired with Iron, Marquette, and Alger Counties.

Magnolia

Like

Eric P Cadeau

2021-12-12 13:29:38+00

Baraga County appears to have compatible economic interests at Marquette County. Both counties have a robust commercial forest industry, they depend on tourism, and medical care industries, they both have significant State of Michigan agency employers, they share the financial benefits of the Eagle Mine, they also share similar relationships with the Keweenaw Bay Indian Community. Although the political tendencies differ between these communities, I feel that Baraga can be well represented when paired with Iron, Marquette, and Alger Counties.

Hickory

Like

Eric P Cadeau

2021-12-12 13:28:52+00

Szetela House	Like	<p>Baraga County appears to have compatible economic interests at Marquette County. Both counties have a robust commercial forest industry, they depend on tourism, and medical care industries, they both have significant State of Michigan agency employers, they share the financial benefits of the Eagle Mine, they also share similar relationships with the Keweenaw Bay Indian Community. Although the political tendencies differ between these communities, I feel that Baraga can be well represented when paired with Iron, Marquette, and Alger Counties.</p>	Eric Cadeau	2021-12-12 13:26:59+00
Szetela Congressional Linden	Dislike	<p>Many of the proposed maps for District 9/10 have the small extension north of Hall road into Macomb Twp by Tilch Rd. Why does this very, very area be separated from the rest of Macomb TWP. This anomaly occurs in many of the proposed maps. Have to ask which politician lives in the area?</p>	John Michalski	2021-12-12 12:36:58+00
	Like	<p>Yes!</p>	Stephen J Franko	2021-12-12 11:21:04+00

Hickory	Like	Yes!	Stephen J Franko	2021-12-12 11:19:36+00
Palm	Dislike	Bad idea!	Stephen J Franko	2021-12-12 11:08:46+00
Palm	Dislike	Truly awful! This map is so unbelievably partisan in favor of Republicans. We voted for Proposal 2 because we wanted to finally have fairly drawn districts - a level playing field. This is not it.	Linda Furlough	2021-12-12 08:36:37+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map is unacceptable from a partisan fairness perspective. None of the maps gets it right, but Hickory comes closer.	Linda Furlough	2021-12-12 08:16:03+00
Pine v5	Dislike	This map is awful! The failure to address partisan fairness and VRA districts is unacceptable. I supported, and canvassed for, Proposal 2 to get rid of gerrymandered districts so the state legislature would actually reflect our lean blue population.	Linda Furlough	2021-12-12 08:06:21+00
Hickory	Like	Of the collaborative maps proposed, Hickory comes closest to partisan fairness and reasonable VRA districts.	Linda Furlough	2021-12-12 07:35:09+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This map does not keep the Tricity area together. We have more in common than we with the West Coast	Samuel Bork	2021-12-12 07:27:25+00

Szetela House	Like	Great map!	Alex Bork	2021-12-12 07:14:50+00
Szetela House	Like	Great map!	Alex Bork	2021-12-12 07:14:49+00
		<p>This is a fair map, however, it would be far better to add back the small section of the Grand Ledge area back into the District. This area is an integral part of Eaton County and it makes no sense to remove this very small part and put it in a district with the majority of that District in another County.</p>		
Hickory	Like	This is a fair map for my	Joseph D Chin Jr	2021-12-12 06:46:18+00
Linden	Like	county.	Joseph D Chin Jr	2021-12-12 06:41:04+00
		<p>The purpose of the commission was to draw fair and balanced maps. This district map is not acceptable as it provides for a highly favorable republican bias for this District. No better than the current gerrymandered district for this county.</p>		
Palm	Dislike		Joseph D. Chin Jr	2021-12-12 06:35:52+00

Birch V2	Like	This map provides the most cohesion for central Oakland County, including both Farmington and Farmington Hills. Although I strongly believe Farmington and Farmington Hills should be together due to the strong links between the communities, this map shows the most partisan fairness out of the other options.	Charlie Starkman	2021-12-12 06:05:27+00
Palm	Like	This map should not be considered, as it does not show fair representation; rather, this is incredibly biased toward the GOP without even trying to hide it. As a Farmington Hills resident, I am not pleased that Farmington Hills is separate from Farmington on the map. However, this is the best as it appears to promote the greatest amount of partisan fairness.	Charlie Starkman	2021-12-12 06:02:47+00
Hickory	Like	Should connect with Bay City	Charlie Starkman	2021-12-12 05:58:28+00
Hickory	Dislike	per Szetela House Map	Alex Bork	2021-12-12 04:58:44+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Should connect with Bay City per Szetela House Map	Alex Bork	2021-12-12 04:48:11+00

Oh boy! It's my favorite cereal: Oops! All Gerrymandered!

In all seriousness, keep Allen Park and Melvindale together. The two towns are like two peas in a pod.

I prefer this Birch V2's map to this one as it relates to the communities in Bingham Farms, Franklin, Beverly Hills and Lathrup Village. I believe these communities should be kept with other Oakland County communities where there is a better partisan balance rather than carved out to be included in a different district.

I prefer this map to Chestnut as it relates to the communities in Bingham Farms, Franklin, Beverly Hills and Lathrup Village. I believe these communities should be kept with other Oakland County communities where there is a more better partisan balance.

Szetela House

Dislike

Aram Nersesian

2021-12-12 04:05:01+00

Chestnut

Dislike

Karen Modell

2021-12-12 03:53:55+00

Birch V2

Like

Karen Modell

2021-12-12 03:50:50+00

		I much prefer "Birch" over "Chestnut". Birch places Southfield, Franklin, Lathrup Village, Bingham Farms, and Beverly Hills with other Oakland County cities that are		
Chestnut Linden	Opinion Like	more like them.	Jeffrey M Devries	2021-12-12 03:49:32+00
		This is a good Senate map. I feel this is a good and fair	Mark Roger Putnam	2021-12-12 03:29:05+00
Hickory	Like	map.	Mark Roger Putnam	2021-12-12 03:27:08+00
		I lived in Tuscola County and find this map is very partisan. From what I research and read; this is the worst map for		
Palm	Dislike	the State of Michigan.	Mark Roger Putnam	2021-12-12 03:22:35+00

This map is so wrong I find a difficult starting place. For one, countries should not be split among districts. That is unfair not only to the political candidate but more importantly to the citizens. This map would lead to voter confusion, frustration and loss of voter rights when they just give up over confusion as to whom their representative is. Another issue is the size of the district. Surly you could find suitable population in a more condensed area. For example, two or three counties wide and two or three countries high. Go back to the drawing table and redo

Chestnut	Dislike	this idiotic juvenile attempt.	David J Majors	2021-12-12 01:42:18+00
Hickory	Like	Best of the maps	Kim S Nagy	2021-12-12 01:35:40+00
Linden	Like	This map seems the most fair.	Lawrence S Alpert	2021-12-12 01:24:54+00
Hickory	Like	This makes the most sense.	Lawrence S Alpert	2021-12-12 01:23:03+00
Linden	Like	Balanced districts	Kim S Nagy	2021-12-12 01:22:11+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is quite unfair.	Lawrence S Alpert	2021-12-12 01:15:34+00

Birch V2	Like	I strongly prefer the Birch plan that keeps Southfield in Oakland county. We don't shop, travel or even hang out in Wayne county. We have always identified as residents of Oakland County and the adjoining communities north of us	Jay Novetsky	2021-12-11 23:40:07+00
Linden	Like	Please choose this map for the Senate. It's the most fair map.	Patricia Belanger	2021-12-11 23:33:33+00
Hickory	Like	This map is the most fair House map the Redistricting Commission has drawn.	Patricia Belanger	2021-12-11 23:31:00+00
Palm	Dislike	We need a fair map that has a level playing field. This map doesn't do that.	Patricia Belanger	2021-12-11 23:27:18+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is one of the most partisan gerrymandered maps ever produced for the state of Michigan and is in violation of the stat Constitution and the Voting Rights Act	Elizabeth Benyi	2021-12-11 23:20:12+00
Linden	Like	A fair map.	Bill Shoop	2021-12-11 23:09:26+00
Palm	Dislike	Please fix this map. It is not fair to voters of Michigan and clearly favors one party. That is still gerrymandering.	Charlotte Jeanne Morton	2021-12-11 22:18:24+00
Linden	Like	Please choose this map. It is a fair map for the voters of Michigan.	Charlotte Jeanne Morton	2021-12-11 22:15:03+00

Hickory	Like	Please vote for this map. It is a fair ungerrymandered map.	Charlotte Jeanne Morton	2021-12-11 22:11:22+00
Hickory	Like	This map is the most fair of the bunch, and it would accurately keep communities of interest together. I support its adoption over any other plan.	James Aidala	2021-12-11 21:44:29+00
Linden	Like	By far the best and fairest attempt at eliminating Gerrymandering of State Senate districts.	Phil Sarnacke	2021-12-11 21:16:34+00
Hickory	Like	By far the best to remove gerrymandering from our state house of representative This map is truly a partisan map favor the party in power. This should be an exercise to make Michigan and example to the nation of non partisan	Phil Sarnacke	2021-12-11 21:14:25+00
Palm	Dislike	politicking. I do not like this map. It does not keep Jackson County whole and separate from	Phil Sarnacke	2021-12-11 21:09:43+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Washtenaw County.	Rebecca Mayer	2021-12-11 20:59:07+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	I dislike this map. I don't shop in Washtenaw County and people in Washtenaw certainly don't shop in Jackson County. I think these should be kept separate.	Rebecca Mayer	2021-12-11 20:52:29+00
Apple V2	Dislike	I dislike this map. We need to keep Jackson and Washtenaw Counties separate as they are different in many ways including income, professions, etc.	Rebecca Mayer	2021-12-11 20:50:23+00
Birch V2	Dislike	I don't like this map. It mixes downtown people with country people. Jackson County is mostly rural. I like this map. We are a community. I live in the City of Jackson	Rebecca Mayer	2021-12-11 20:47:29+00
Chestnut	Like	This map is incredibly biased in favor of Republicans for no good reason.	Rebecca L. Mayer	2021-12-11 20:39:47+00
Palm	Dislike	dislike	Vaughn Derderian	2021-12-11 20:23:10+00
Palm	Dislike	I believe this map best accommodates the communities of interest.	James A DeNardis	2021-12-11 20:22:05+00
Magnolia	Like	I believe this map best accommodates the communities of interest.	Wesley Nakagiri	2021-12-11 19:37:27+00
Palm	Like	I believe this map best accommodates the communities of interest.	Wesley Nakagiri	2021-12-11 19:34:37+00
Birch V2	Like	I believe this map best accommodates the communities of interest.	Wesley Nakagiri	2021-12-11 19:26:04+00

Linden	Like	I strongly suggest the Linden map to ensure fair representation.	Ronald Fox	2021-12-11 19:20:04+00
Cherry V2	Like	Perfect example of a FAIR map. This was what our anti-gerrymandering vote on election day was supposed to produce	Ronald Fox	2021-12-11 19:18:11+00
Palm	Dislike	Very unfair. Heavily gerrymandered to favor Republicans. Palm is biased. Palm is a terrible proposal. Cherry and Linden are far superior.	Ronald Fox	2021-12-11 19:13:28+00
Palm	Dislike	Do not consider this map. It should be FAIR. That's what this group is all about, right? We have to watch every move you make. PLEASE !!!!!!!	Cynthia M. DeNardis	2021-12-11 19:02:07+00
Palm	Dislike	Unfairly gerrymandered district	Aaron Stark	2021-12-11 18:05:02+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is heavily gerrymandered for the GOP and should not be used.	Nicki Anderson	2021-12-11 17:48:08+00
Palm	Dislike	This is a lousy map.	Donald Tilley	2021-12-11 17:21:06+00
Birch V2	Like	This map appears to be the fairest and least partisan. It also seems the best for lakeshore communities. I encourage the MICRC to adopt this map.	BRIDGET P FOX	2021-12-11 17:17:40+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is ridiculous, unfair, unbalanced	Harrison Cole	2021-12-11 17:14:03+00

This map is the most fair and sensible by including the South Asian community of Troy, Rochester Hills, and Sterling Heights together. Birch keeps similar suburbs in Southern Oakland and Southern Macomb counties together as they are a community of interest that share similar challenges and opportunities.

This map is the most fair for Macomb and Oakland counties for the next 10 years.

I know you Republicans have no actual interest in being fair, so we are going to fight you every step of the way until you dissolve as an organization. This is unacceptable, and I deny your attempts at cheating.

This is the best state senate map

Birch V2

Like

John Thomas Duffy

2021-12-11 17:11:38+00

Palm

Dislike

Adren Rice

2021-12-11 17:10:21+00

Linden

Like

Heather Schulz

2021-12-11 17:09:29+00

Hickory	Like	This map makes to best of what can be a messy process, and I appreciate the commission's work on this. I do feel it offers a fair and balanced redistricting, which is what we, the voters, asked for when we approved the ballot initiative.	Luke Vandenberg	2021-12-11 17:09:20+00
Birch V2	Like	I prefer this map in that I have always felt disenfranchised as a conservative voter given the past prevailing map. I felt my vote never counted. I believe that this map will provide a balanced opportunity for all voters to feel that their vote will count.	Steven Behrmann	2021-12-11 17:08:55+00
Palm	Dislike	THIS MAP IS BOOBOO	Jamie Foxx	2021-12-11 17:05:51+00
Palm	Dislike	I am commenting on the Palm Map. This is a very divisive map it is not representative of people of color in-terms. It is gerrymandering at its worst. Please re-consider.	Robin Smith	2021-12-11 17:05:20+00

Linden	Like	This is a good map for the district I live in. I feel it fairly represents the balance of voters and most clearly adheres to what the redistricting law was intended to do.	Luke Vandenberg	2021-12-11 17:05:13+00
Birch V2	Like	I believe this map keeps similar communities together better than other proposed maps.	Heather Schulz	2021-12-11 17:05:03+00

The Chestnut map seems to be the fairest for people in and around Midland. I've lived in that area for 40 years and have felt a connection with Bay City and Saginaw with whom we share an airport and common water supply as well as a strong business and manufacturing heritage. Midland has grown more urban and aims to continue that growth. I've never felt represented when lumped in with the central and western rural counties. The connection with Gladwin professed by some just came up last year because of a flood and doesn't represent our past or our future. I do like keeping most of Midland County together since so many people living outside the city commute to Midland for employment, healthcare, retail, etc. and this is the only map that accomplishes that.

Chestnut

Like

Tim Wagner

2021-12-11 17:01:57+00

This map has the fairest representation and aligns most closely to what the redistricting law is all about.

Birch V2

Like

Luke Vandenberg

2021-12-11 17:01:31+00

Palm	Dislike	Palm is outright gerrymandering. the WORST!!!do not vote for it!!	susan p steigerwalt	2021-12-11 17:01:10+00
Palm	Dislike	Very unfair map	Giselle Gerolami	2021-12-11 16:58:51+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is a gerrymander for the GOP. Please do not vote for it.	Ryan Riske	2021-12-11 16:58:23+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is an extreme partisan gerrymander for the Republican Party in a state that should be about 50/50 based on recent elections.	Matthew Cecil	2021-12-11 16:57:23+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is the worst! Do not consider it all!	Debbie Rosenman	2021-12-11 16:57:16+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is heavily gerrymandered. We voted against gerrymandering in 2018. Don't bring it back today!	Jordan Ginder	2021-12-11 16:56:31+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is extremely gerrymandered in favor of the GOP. It violates the VRA and the intent of the citizen redistricting committee	Andrew Kasha	2021-12-11 16:53:41+00
Palm	Dislike	This map does not represent the intent of the redistricting law. It does not fairly balance democratic and republican districts and gives an unfair advantage to the republican party.	Luke Vandenberg	2021-12-11 16:50:05+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is not fair. This is the least fair of all the proposed maps.	Wendy Winston	2021-12-11 16:49:16+00

Palm	Dislike	This map is unfair and unbalanced. This is by far the worst of the maps and should not even be considered.	John Pakledinaz	2021-12-11 16:48:26+00
Chestnut	Opinion	I don't think it is fair that district one is so big compared to all the other districts	Vaishnavi Tummala	2021-12-11 16:11:07+00
Birch V2	Like	Birch is the fairest map and should be approved. Apple is very biased.	Chris Andrews	2021-12-11 15:47:53+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is unfair and unbalanced. Like the former map, it disconnects us with other communities most related to ours.	Aimee Ergas	2021-12-11 15:21:00+00
Hickory	Like	Gerrymandering continues. While it pains me to see Farmington and Farmington Hills split up, this map is better than the others as it seems to promote the most partisan fairness.	Aimee Ergas	2021-12-11 15:13:14+00
Birch V2	Like	This map is best consistency and interrelations for central Oakland County, including both Farmington and Farmington Hills	Aimee Ergas	2021-12-11 15:08:06+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map is much fairer than Palm, and either this one or Linden would be a fairer choice than Palm.	Philip Martinez	2021-12-11 14:56:48+00

Linden	Like	This map, or the Cherry map, are far superior and fairer than Palm. Please choose Linden or Cherry.	Philip Martinez	2021-12-11 14:53:06+00
Palm	Dislike	This map gives an unfair advantage to the Republican Party, and it is not fair. We voted for this commission to undo gerrymandering, not to re-do it. Please choose Cherry or Linden instead.	Philip Martinez	2021-12-11 14:48:51+00
Birch V2	Like	I think this is the best map for fair Congressional representation.	Julie Matuzak	2021-12-11 14:29:06+00
Apple V2	Like	I think this map is fair and keeps communities of interest together.	Julie Matuzak	2021-12-11 14:14:46+00
Palm	Dislike	I vote no on the Palm map. I thought the committee was suppose to reduce gerrymandering. Not in this case. Bad plan.	Sharon Million	2021-12-11 11:53:17+00
Birch V2	Like	This map is the best for Oakland County.	Sharon D Million	2021-12-11 11:48:49+00

Hickory	Opinion	I thought the whole point of the voters demanding a nonpartisan committee to draw districts was so that there would be less gerrymandering. That doesn't seem to have happened. WHY? Hickory is the lesser of all the evils that the committee has put forward. This is just being done to gerrymander and try to change what the voters want done for the state. This map isn't about what is best for Michigan voters. Don't	Sharon D Million	2021-12-11 11:34:40+00
Birch V2	Dislike	vote for this map.	Tamara Lynn Snowden	2021-12-11 08:16:44+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	I prefer Birch	Elizabeth Bonner	2021-12-11 06:25:31+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	I prefer Birch	Elizabeth Bonner	2021-12-11 06:24:40+00
Apple V2	Dislike	I prefer Birch	Elizabeth Bonner	2021-12-11 06:19:59+00
Birch V2	Like	I prefer Birch	Elizabeth Bonner	2021-12-11 06:18:14+00
Chestnut	Dislike	I prefer Birch	Elizabeth Bonner	2021-12-11 06:12:40+00
Linden	Like	Linden appears to be fair in partisan balance and has reasonably compact districts. The Palm map has the most partisan bias, at 2.3%, of any of the proposed Senate maps. All of the rest are within the range of 0.3% to 0.32%. This fact should suffice to	Mark E Miller	2021-12-11 04:54:44+00
Palm	Dislike	disqualify Palm.	Mark E Miller	2021-12-11 04:35:40+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>The Palm map is not in line with fair maps for our state. This map needs a major overhaul. Do not vote for this map it is the most biased of any of the maps. Palm Map does not support fair maps for Michigan.</p> <p>If this map was drawn up by the Republicans you can bet your life that it's going to screw the rest of us. Don't vote for the Palm map what so ever</p>	Charlotte Jeanne Morton	2021-12-11 03:17:20+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>Yikes on District 60! If that one stands, it will be one of the worst districts ever conceived. Big money from out-of-staters will swamp any attempt for the citizens/voters to be represented. Certainly a gold mine for a candidate who is all about making some serious bucks. Please scrap this disaster!</p>	Wallace Bronson	2021-12-11 02:41:52+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>Vote no on Palm Map most biased map that reflects gerrymandering this process</p>	Rick Leland	2021-12-11 02:33:04+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>is supposed to omit. Woah, this is so much more fair. Holy cow. Approve this one</p>	Nancy Nikolauk	2021-12-11 02:25:31+00
Linden	Like		Andrea Geraldts	2021-12-11 02:18:51+00

Palm	Like	<p>Good job map committee!  Your hard work has paid off with this fine map. While it's impossible to please everyone, this one is by far the fairest and most logical.</p> <p>This map has most cohesion both for Oakland Co. and for Farmington/ Farmington Hills who share a school system, police, fire department and libraries, and, consequently share similar concerns.</p>	Rick Leland	2021-12-11 02:18:39+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>I really like this one. It's a LOT fairer. A lot</p>	Barbara Cooper	2021-12-11 02:17:53+00
Hickory	Like	<p>Why did we bother voting for Prop 2 just to let the gerrymandered maps stand and continue to disenfranchise voters????</p>	Andrea Geraldts	2021-12-11 02:16:01+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>This is a slap in the face</p> <p>This map does not provide as fair representation to</p>	Andrea Geraldts	2021-12-11 02:12:48+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>minority communities</p> <p>While no map is perfect, this map seems to have the best</p>	Barbara Cooper	2021-12-11 02:11:07+00
Hickory	Like	<p>Voting Rights Act representation.</p>	Barbara Cooper	2021-12-11 02:00:14+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>Unfortunately while this map is no different from Linden and Cherry for my district, it is statewide the least fair and most disproportionately partisan option on the table. Do make the mistake of enacting this map into law- please.</p>	Justin Smith	2021-12-11 01:49:56+00
Birch V2	Like	This map seems the most fair to me.	Jerrilyn Portlance	2021-12-11 00:49:14+00
Linden	Like	most fair Senate map.	Stephen L Tillison	2021-12-11 00:34:23+00
Hickory	Like	<p>the most fair House map</p> <p>Of all 15 draft maps up for consideration by the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission, the PALM Senate map is the worst.</p>	Stephen L Tillison	2021-12-11 00:33:02+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>PALM has the highest Republican bias of all the maps and would be little improvement over what we had under the previous Republican gerrymander. PALM is an extremely unfair map.</p>	Stephen L Tillison	2021-12-11 00:30:12+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This map maintains the most cohesion for central Oakland County including both Farmington and Farmington Hills.</p>	ANGIE DANIELEWICZ	2021-12-10 23:57:38+00

Palm	Dislike	This map is incredibly biased and unfair. The district lines clearly favor one party over the other.	Eric Sabin	2021-12-10 23:49:45+00
Palm	Like	This map doesn't represent the values of the Voting Rights Act	Mark Danielewicz	2021-12-10 23:37:40+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is so unfair.	ANGIE DANIELEWICZ	2021-12-10 23:31:08+00
Hickory	Like	This map seems most appropriate for representing the Voting Rights Act	Mark Danielewicz	2021-12-10 23:24:09+00
Hickory	Like	This is the fairest map although I think Farmington and Farmington Hills should not be separated.	ANGIE DANIELEWICZ	2021-12-10 23:13:09+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	This is not a good map for Michigan cities overall in regard to voter representation. District lines are drawn such that rural population areas disproportionately dominate most districts including cities. The result is an advantage for the political party that does not have a majority of voters in the state. Please do not use this map	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-12-10 22:09:38+00
Birch V2	Like	This map does reasonable job of keeping communities of interest together for a fair representation.	Darlene Kaltz	2021-12-10 22:07:40+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>This is not a good map for Michigan cities overall in regard to voter representation. District lines are drawn such that rural population areas disproportionately dominate most districts including cities.</p> <p>Please do not use this map</p>	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-12-10 22:07:02+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>This is a decent map for Grand Rapids, west Michigan larger cities and Michigan but not as good as Linden. Grand Rapids city areas are represented by two districts as it should be due to the large city/suburb population in this area. There is a district with Kalamazoo at the center. Thanks for considering the communities of interest of these cities.</p>	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-12-10 22:04:50+00

		This is a decent map for Grand Rapids, west Michigan larger cities and Michigan. Grand Rapids city areas are represented by two districts as it should be due to the large city/suburb population in this area. There is a district with Kalamazoo at the center. Thanks for considering the communities of interest of these cities. Please use this		
Linden	Like	map	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-12-10 22:03:20+00
Linden	Like	This is a fairer map.	Janet Louise Armil	2021-12-10 20:33:43+00
Hickory	Like	This is the fairest map.	Janet Louise Armil	2021-12-10 20:29:25+00
		I don't really think this map is the best option for Macomb or the state at large. The partisan fairness of this map writ large is not what I envisioned when pushing for an Independent redistricting		
Chestnut	Dislike	board	Justin Smith	2021-12-10 20:28:34+00
Pine v5	Dislike	JUST DON'T LIKE IT	Eric Kyle Schichl	2021-12-10 20:25:19+00
		This is the most biased politically of the final maps.		
Palm	Dislike	Palm is an extremely unfair map. I am asking the Commission to vote against	Janet Louise Armil	2021-12-10 20:24:14+00
		this gerrymandering bias.		
Palm	Dislike		James C carpenter	2021-12-10 20:24:00+00

Hickory	Like	While this map continues to have Farmington divided, it appears to have more equity in other parts of the state. It looks more contiguous and fair	Anne Campbell	2021-12-10 20:04:49+00
Hickory	Like	While Farmington continues to be divided in bizarre ways, this map appears to be more equitable in terms of other parts of the state and tries to have more contiguous districts	Anne Campbell	2021-12-10 19:59:56+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is really broken up and is against the principals of Prop 2 and the overall goal of providing more equitable representation	Anne Campbell	2021-12-10 19:58:02+00
Palm	Dislike	wow - this map is so gerrymandered - totally against the principals of Prop 2 and the Voting Rights Act. While this is messed up for my	lori A Boyce	2021-12-10 19:53:07+00
Hickory	Like	community, it is the map with the most partisan fairness and Voting Rights Act representations	lori A Boyce	2021-12-10 19:49:30+00
Birch V2	Like	The Birch map maintains the most cohesion for central Oakland County, including both Farmington and Farmington Hills	lori A Boyce	2021-12-10 19:46:29+00

Kellom Senate	Like	This is the only State Senate map that looks reasonable.	Jeffrey Aisen	2021-12-10 19:44:00+00
Birch V2	Like	You have a very difficult task, but I believe the Birch Map keeps intact as much as possible similar communities. This is the case in the Detroit Metro region, as well as the Tri-City region.	Timothy Morse	2021-12-10 19:35:57+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	While these lines may look all neat and tidy, this map is gerrymandered to keep Midland in a Republican district. It also breaks up the natural and obvious connections among the Tri-Cities. These three mid-sized cities--Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw share common interests in areas of manufacturing and industry, cultural enrichment, and education, just to name a few. Midland should not be included with primarily rural districts with which it has so little in common. This map should be rejected.	Cassandra M Foley	2021-12-10 19:35:40+00

		Midland & Gladwin counties need to be kept together. Including counties south of Midland county make little if no sense other than to remove our voice from state		
Chestnut	Dislike	and federal affairs.	Russell V Christian	2021-12-10 19:35:19+00
Magnolia	Like	This map clearly represents the criteria for redistricting.	Melissa Gutzwiller	2021-12-10 19:34:44+00
Palm	Like	This map seems like it takes my communities interest into account.	Melissa Gutzwiller	2021-12-10 19:33:19+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This one is way off to how our communities are really reflected.	Melissa Gutzwiller	2021-12-10 19:31:33+00
Chestnut	Like	Much better than other maps. Districts seem fairer. Huntington Woods is part of Oakland County.	Jeffrey Aisen	2021-12-10 19:30:38+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	This doesn't allow for normal natural boundaries.	Melissa Gutzwiller	2021-12-10 19:29:51+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This is an undesirable map. I have another preference.	Melissa Gutzwiller	2021-12-10 19:28:48+00
Apple V2	Dislike	No. This one doesn't make sense for my community.	Melissa Gutzwiller	2021-12-10 19:27:24+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Huntington Woods is in Oakland County and belongs with other west of Woodward communities.	Jeffrey Aisen	2021-12-10 19:27:11+00
Birch V2	Like	This one is best. It seems most fair.	Melissa Mary Gutzwiller	2021-12-10 19:26:00+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	Please do not advance this map. It continues the gerrymandering of the last two decades by separating Midland from the other two Tri-Cities. It does not recognize the common interests of Mid-Michigan. The rural and shoreline communities west of Midland do not share the industrial and manufacturing interests or the cultural interests that Midland has in common with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint. The Chestnut map is a much better choice for my area.	Cassandra M Foley	2021-12-10 19:25:51+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Not Preferred. I prefer Birch V2.	Melissa Mary Gutzwiller	2021-12-10 19:24:26+00
Szetela House	Like	If this map were selected, it would give two of the Tri-Cities a legislator who could work more effectively for the very similar interests of these mid-sized cities. It has the best score for partisan fairness and would thus give both parties a chance to win.	Cassandra M Foley	2021-12-10 19:15:46+00
Palm	Dislike	I do not like Rashida Tlib she only looks out for Arabic residences	Frances Ann Schichl	2021-12-10 18:56:00+00

Palm	Dislike	I do not want to be represented by rashida tlib, I fully reject this map	Eric Kyle Schichl	2021-12-10 18:54:33+00
Hickory	Like	I like this map, since Taylor has the most people downriver than anyother Taylor would dominated the district it was in. that is why they split downriver up.	Eric Kyle Schichl	2021-12-10 18:46:32+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	this district is dumb.	Eric Kyle Schichl	2021-12-10 18:39:19+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This is not a good map for Michigan overall in regard to voter representation. District lines are drawn such that rural population areas disproportionately dominate many districts including cities. Please do not use this map West Michigan city populations are not represented in this map. Grand Rapids is a very large city, but the large amount of surrounding rural areas in this district dominate the population. This map is not representative for cities such as Grand Rapids or the state of Michigan in general.	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-12-10 18:37:40+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike		Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-12-10 18:32:42+00

Birch V2	Dislike	Communities of interest are ignored, their is nothing in common between Taylor, and allen park sorry to say but it is true. I do not like going into Talibs district.	Eric Kyle Schichl	2021-12-10 18:32:09+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	This map includes the city areas of Muskegon and Grand Rapids. However, the large amount of surrounding rural areas selected in this district dominate the population. This map discounts the only main large city in western Michigan, Grand Rapids and the only large city in Michigan beside Detroit. Also, does not represent the state of Michigan well.	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-12-10 18:31:14+00
Birch V2	Dislike	City population is not represented in this map. Grand Rapids is a very large city, but the large amount of surrounding rural areas in this district dominate the population. This map is not representative for cities such as Grand Rapids or the state of Michigan in general.	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-12-10 18:29:49+00
Linden	Like	Please vote YES on Linden when the voting and or rank ordering begins. Thank you for your consideration.	Robert Kennedy	2021-12-10 18:29:20+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>This map is pretty good for city areas including Grand Rapids and Michigan. Apple V2 map is much better because it includes the larger Kalamazoo city rather than the much smaller Muskegon city. If the Commission doesn't choose Apple, choose this map. At least city areas will somewhat be represented in one district.</p>	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-12-10 18:28:19+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>The Commission has gotten this right with this map for Grand Rapids and Michigan. Pulling the largest city areas together in West Michigan fulfills the community of interest requirement. Putting the cities in separate districts reduces representation effectiveness because of the dominance of rural areas in the remainder of the state. Thanks for considering city areas.</p>	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-12-10 18:26:58+00

Szetela House	Dislike	The Commission has missed the boat with this map for Grand Rapids. Districts with like characteristics and requirements are divided up. It will be difficult for a representative to do justice to these districts. Numbers indicate that this is the most unfair map for Michigan. Of the collaborative maps, this is the most fair. It has the lowest, closest to zero Efficiency Gap and is the best of the State House Maps. Please support this map when the voting begins! Thank you, commissioners.	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-12-10 18:25:51+00
Hickory	Like		Robert Kennedy	2021-12-10 18:24:49+00

The Commission has gotten this right with this map for Grand Rapids however, not so good for Michigan. Districts are divided up into communities with like characteristics and requirements. In particular, District 80 where we live has collected common areas of middle class residential people. Also notice that the Hispanic/Latino community of Wyoming district 83 and the poorer section of south Grand Rapids district 82 have been kept together. This will be good for their representation. Nancy Mroczkowski

Pine v5

Like

2021-12-10 18:24:34+00

The Commission has gotten this right with this map for Grand Rapids however, not so good for Michigan. Districts are divided up into communities with like characteristics and requirements. In particular, District 80 where we live has collected common areas of middle class residential people. Also notice that the Hispanic/Latino community of Wyoming district 83 and the poorer section of south Grand Rapids district 82 have been kept together. This will be good for their representation. Nancy Mroczkowski

Magnolia

Like

2021-12-10 18:23:15+00

The Commission has gotten this right with this map for Grand Rapids and Michigan. Districts are divided up into communities with like characteristics and requirements. In particular, District 80 where we live has collected common areas of middle class residential people. Also notice that the Hispanic/Latino community of Wyoming district 83 has been kept together. This will be good for their representation. In addition, numbers indicate that this is the fairest map for Michigan.

Hickory

Like

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-12-10 18:21:44+00

Palm is the worst of all 15 maps which you approved for final consideration. Severely unfair. By far the most biased. Do not vote for this in any of the decision rounds.

Palm

Dislike

Robert Kennedy

2021-12-10 18:16:25+00

Hickory	Opinion	Please continue to adjust these maps for more partisan fairness. Midland and Bay City should be in the same House district. The Tri-Cities/Mid-Michigan community of interest should be honored in the House and Senate.	Cassandra M Foley	2021-12-10 18:13:31+00
Hickory	Like	The Hickory map is the most fair House map. Vote YES on Hickory!	Deborah Kallunki	2021-12-10 18:08:46+00
Linden	Like	The Linden map is an extremely FAIR map. Vote YES on Linden!	Deborah Kallunki	2021-12-10 18:07:46+00
Palm	Dislike	Please vote NO on the Palm map. This map is a replay of what we have now--it favors one party over the other! Proposition 2 was passed by Michiganders to eliminate partisan maps like this.	Cassandra M Foley	2021-12-10 18:07:12+00
Palm	Dislike	The Palm map has the worst Republican bias of all of the draft maps. It is an extremely UNFAIR map. Vote NO on Palm!	Deborah Kallunki	2021-12-10 18:05:10+00
Szetela House	Like	This map does a great job of eliminating political bias. I appreciate Midland being kept with Bay. I think this is the 2nd best map next to Hickory.	Danielle Fergin	2021-12-10 17:47:24+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>This map is terrible. I am shocked it made it this far without someone pointing out that it 100% politically bias and removing it. This was not created within the spirit of the redistricting process as evidenced by its horrible score for partisan fairness. This map is a step in the wrong direction. The reason we are redrawing the maps is because of maps such as this. This map has the same effect as the previous (gerrymandered) districts</p>	Danielle Fergin	2021-12-10 17:43:50+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>No. This map was not created in the spirit of political fairness as required by the redistricting process. The city of Midland has little to nothing in common with the other communities on this map. As a Midland resident I know Midland is more connected to the rest of the Tri Citites such as Bay City and Saginaw. This map does not represent Midland well and would lead to poor representation of the folks in Midland.</p>	Benjamin D'Angelo	2021-12-10 17:42:20+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike		Danielle Fergin	2021-12-10 17:37:19+00

Linden	Like	I support this rendition of redistricting. It seems to improve the possibility for fair elections.	John D Paterson	2021-12-10 17:35:34+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This map absolutely does not represent Midland well at all. This this map is the epitome of gerrymandering and was not created in the spirit of the redistricting initiative. The city of Midland is part of the Tri-Cities and Mid-Michigan. As a resident of Midland I am far more connected to Bay City and Saginaw then the other communities Midland is grouped with in this map. Removing Midland and putting it with rural areas reeks of a personal agenda by this commissioner.	Danielle Fergin	2021-12-10 17:31:51+00
Palm	Dislike	This is a poor rendition of redistricting for fairness. Please do not choose this option.	JOHN D PATERSON	2021-12-10 17:29:43+00
Linden	Like	I support Linden.	Robert Rutkowski	2021-12-10 17:15:25+00
Palm	Dislike	I do not support Palm - it does not represent fair re-	Robert Rutkowski	2021-12-10 17:13:44+00
Cherry V2	Like	districting. I support Cherry V2	Robert Rutkowski	2021-12-10 17:08:54+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Thank you for keeping Calhoun whole so that our community can have a stronger voice in our district	Nathan Halder	2021-12-10 17:03:10+00
Linden	Like	LINDEN will create fair, competitive districts.	Fred Cepela	2021-12-10 16:47:40+00
Hickory	Like	HICKORY should lead to fair, competitive districts.	Fred Cepela	2021-12-10 16:44:30+00
Palm	Dislike	PALM fails the partisan fairness test. Please emphasize partisan fairness to ensure competitive districts. This will avoid extremism in the legislature.	Fred Cepela	2021-12-10 16:34:47+00
Linden	Like	Best Senate map by far@! A real improvement over current maps. Such a hard task. This does a good job balancing COI concerns and fairness.	Jay S Johnson	2021-12-10 15:57:19+00
Linden	Like	Overall a good solution. I don't understand the carve-out in Wahstenaw for Lyndon	Janet Cannon	2021-12-10 15:36:54+00
Birch V2	Like	Township though. I also prefer Birch and Szetela but this does seem a fair	Janet Cannon	2021-12-10 15:31:48+00
Chestnut	Like	solution overall.	Janet Cannon	2021-12-10 15:28:23+00

Palm	Dislike	The goal of this whole process was to eliminate gerrymandered districts and Palm definitely does not do that. I support both Cherry and Linden as being much better choices for fair elections.	Darcy Rutkowski	2021-12-10 14:42:24+00
Linden	Like	I support both Linden and Cherry as being the best configurations for fair elections in Michigan. The citizens voted to eliminate gerrymandering and the Palm configuration DOES NOT do that. Do not adopt Palm. I support both Cherry and Linden as being the best configurations for fair elections in Michigan. The citizens voted to eliminate gerrymandering and the Palm configuration DOES NOT do that. Please do not adopt Palm.	Darcy Rutkowski	2021-12-10 14:38:50+00
Cherry V2	Like		Darcy Rutkowski	2021-12-10 14:33:22+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is very unfair. Cherry and Linden are much better.	Michael J. Polzin	2021-12-10 14:30:25+00

Magnolia	Dislike	Too many odd districts, communities chopped up. I don't see how this map resolves the fairness/competitiveness issues of our current map.	Darcie Livingston	2021-12-10 14:30:20+00
Pine v5	Dislike	I don't see how this map improves competition or fairness. Solid no here.	Darcie Livingston	2021-12-10 14:23:40+00
Birch V2	Like	Seems fair and should increase competition. After viewing the different maps. I liked this one the best. It best represents the communities that I live, work and spend a majority of my time in. A great improvement from the current district	Darcie Livingston	2021-12-10 14:18:36+00
Birch V2	Like	mapping. This configuration promotes	Linda Delaney	2021-12-10 14:12:59+00
Cherry V2	Like	fair elections.	HEATHER MLSNA	2021-12-10 14:06:12+00
Cherry V2	Like	This configuration promotes fair elections.	HEATHER MLSNA	2021-12-10 14:04:19+00
Birch V2	Like	In my opinion, Birch is the fairest map for our great state.	Melinda Romero	2021-12-10 14:04:12+00
Cherry V2	Like	This configuration promotes fair elections.	HEATHER MLSNA	2021-12-10 14:03:27+00
Linden	Like	This configuration promotes fair elections.	HEATHER MLSNA	2021-12-10 14:01:56+00
Linden	Like	This configuration promotes fair elections.	HEATHER MLSNA	2021-12-10 14:01:06+00
Hickory	Like	This configuration promotes fair elections.	HEATHER MLSNA	2021-12-10 13:59:44+00

Hickory	Like	This configuration promotes fair elections.	HEATHER MLSNA	2021-12-10 13:58:55+00
Hickory	Like	This configuration promotes fair elections.	HEATHER MLSNA	2021-12-10 13:57:41+00
Palm	Dislike	This configuration doesn't promote fair elections.	HEATHER MLSNA	2021-12-10 13:55:47+00
Palm	Dislike	This configuration doesn't promote fair elections.	HEATHER MLSNA	2021-12-10 13:54:29+00
Palm	Dislike	This configuration doesn't promote fair elections.	HEATHER MLSNA	2021-12-10 13:52:52+00
Linden	Like	This is about the most fair of the maps. Please vote for this one.	Gregory Lynn Snider	2021-12-10 13:34:54+00
Hickory	Like	This is the best of the available maps. Please vote for this one.	Gregory Lynn Snider	2021-12-10 13:30:32+00
Palm	Dislike	This is a very partisan map. Please vote against Palm.	Gregory Lynn Snider	2021-12-10 13:27:30+00
Birch V2	Like	This map is fair. I am glad it keeps Mt Clemens and Clinton Twp together.	Sheila Strunk	2021-12-10 13:06:12+00
Cherry V2	Like	I like the Cherry map. It is more fair. Please vote NO on Palm. Thank you for your hard work on the Commission	Mary Ann Margaret Idzikowski	2021-12-10 13:05:12+00

Linden	Like	This map is relatively fair. The Cherry map is fairer and the Palm map is terrible. I voted for having a nonpartisan commission to draw our legislative boundaries so the voters could actually decide who their representatives in government are instead of having the party in power draw gerrymandered maps that would unfairly control the state house and senate for 10 years. Cherry and Linden promote that goal. Please choose either Cherry or Linden. Thank you for your work on the commission,	Mary Ann Margaret Idzikowski	2021-12-10 12:59:49+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map is more fair than Palm. Ingham, Eaton and Clinton Counties are competitive.	Mary Ann Margaret Idzikowski	2021-12-10 12:47:47+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is very biased and unfair, Linden and Cherry are fairer	Mary Ann Margaret Idzikowski	2021-12-10 12:28:43+00
Birch V2	Opinion	Birch is the fairest map for all concerned.	Syma Echeandia	2021-12-10 04:09:49+00
Birch V2	Like	This Birch Map appears to be the fairest of all the maps for all concerned.	Syma Echeandia	2021-12-10 04:08:15+00

Palm	Dislike	This map is so bad for partisan fairness that I am very surprised it has made it to the final round. Please don't vote for this map because it would not lead to good representation for the citizens of Michigan.	Molly Morrissey	2021-12-10 03:25:34+00
Palm	Dislike	The Palm Map has the highest rating of bias favoring Republicans. This is gerrymandering all over again- no improvement here only more of the reason we asked for a commission to REMOVE bias from the maps. Please do not use this map.	Marie Johansen	2021-12-10 03:10:09+00
Linden	Like	Good map, much fairer than the Palm version.	Jean-Philippe Faletta	2021-12-10 03:01:05+00
Cherry V2	Like	A very fair map, much better than the Palm map.	Jean-Philippe Faletta	2021-12-10 02:59:33+00
Palm	Dislike	This is a terrible map, very biased.	Jean-Philippe Faletta	2021-12-10 02:57:43+00
Hickory	Like	A very fair map, I approve of this plan vs. the others.	Jean-Philippe Faletta	2021-12-10 02:55:05+00
Birch V2	Like	I like the map for partisan fairness and is good for democracy.	Hirak Chanda	2021-12-10 02:50:28+00
Linden	Like	This map seems very fair.	Janice L Karlovich	2021-12-10 02:31:48+00
Palm	Dislike	No on Palm map -- too much partisan gerrymandering!	Janice Karlovich	2021-12-10 02:24:18+00

		Awful, just awful. Communities of interest are ignored totally. This is a disaster for the communities on the SW/MI-IN border. This matters to maintaining our		
Palm	Dislike	economic growth. I do not like this map. It eliminates the majority minority districts. I would recommend going back to	Kathleen McKee	2021-12-10 02:22:20+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	work to create a new map. This is a fair map. Please choose this map for the	Jo-Anne Woodard	2021-12-10 02:19:25+00
Linden	Like	Senate. This map is really close to	Beverly J Riggie	2021-12-10 02:18:06+00
Hickory	Like	zero bias. It is a fair map. This map is not a good map it is to biased one way. The	Beverly J Riggie	2021-12-10 02:10:39+00
Palm	Dislike	goal is to be as close to zero bias as possible. PALM does not create a level	Beverly J Riggie	2021-12-10 02:04:47+00
Palm	Dislike	playing field. Please reject the PALM map. Vote No. PALM reeks of	MARK HOLTAN	2021-12-10 01:44:11+00
Palm	Dislike	partisanship. Same old White map -- but bigger. Nothing here for POC. I think that this is a fair map,	Veronica Paiz	2021-12-10 01:40:49+00
Birch V2	Like	that bridges communities that have similarities.	Greg Jones	2021-12-10 01:35:12+00
Palm	Dislike	Unfair map. Scrap it.	Susan Vandercook	2021-12-10 01:25:57+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is highly biased.	allison youngs	2021-12-10 01:23:07+00

Linden	Like	The Hickory map is better, more fair.	Kirk Rheaume	2021-12-10 01:16:06+00
Linden	Like	This map is better, more fair.	Kirk Rheaume	2021-12-10 01:14:29+00
Palm	Like	The PALM map is the worst. The most unfair! This map should not be used. Of the choices Hickory is better. Although it seems like a more fair map could still be developed. I know it's such hard work -- but so	Kirk Rheaume	2021-12-10 01:07:29+00
Pine v5	Dislike	important!	Cynthia Hudson	2021-12-10 00:54:10+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Definitely not this one. Very unfair.	Cynthia Hudson	2021-12-10 00:51:07+00
Chestnut	Like	Chestnut looks like the fairest option	Larry Feldman	2021-12-10 00:46:29+00
Hickory	Like	This map, while still showing Republican bias, is the best of the bunch. Maybe this one could be tweaked to be even better?	Cynthia J. Hudson	2021-12-10 00:46:11+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This is the worst of all of the choices. All of the maps have a Republican bias but this is the worst.	Cynthia J. Hudson	2021-12-10 00:41:47+00
Chestnut	Like	This is a fair statewide map. Probably the best of the bunch. It's good to keep the tri-county region together (Ingham/Eaton/Clinton.	Cynthia Hudson	2021-12-10 00:38:21+00

Linden	Like	I like Linden because it is parisan fair. I feel you should go back and work on all maps to increase VRA districts and strength.	Bob Chunn	2021-12-10 00:33:30+00
Linden	Like	This is a relatively fair map. Please use a fair map.	Cynthia Hudson	2021-12-10 00:30:13+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map is better than the Palm map, for sure. Although I don't understand why we can't have a map that doesn't have a Republican bias? I know what you are doing is hard work but please make a fair map.	Cynthia Hudson	2021-12-10 00:26:55+00
Hickory	Like	This is the best redistricting map. It provides a more balanced representation than the other maps.	Carol Cappuccio	2021-12-10 00:24:34+00
Linden	Like	This is the most fair representation of the voters of my community.	Jeanne Munn	2021-12-10 00:19:57+00
Palm	Dislike	This is an unfair map. Please do not use this map. The Cherry map and the Linden map are better options.	Cynthia Hudson	2021-12-10 00:17:50+00
Hickory	Like	This is the most fair representation for the residents of my community.	Jeanne Munn	2021-12-10 00:17:18+00
Linden	Like	A very fair and equal division of districts for both parties. it has my vote.	ramona j clemente	2021-12-10 00:13:19+00

Hickory	Like	One the the best as it appears to be the most impartial and bipartisan	ramona j clemente	2021-12-10 00:12:00+00
Palm	Dislike	This is an unfair drawing of a map and does not represent the voters of my community! Every other map is better than this one. Talk about partisanship. It is beyond laughable. Make the maps fair to both parties, not just one regardless of which one.	Jeanne Munn	2021-12-10 00:11:10+00
Palm	Dislike	This is the best map to represent the interests of the residents of my district.	ramona j clemente	2021-12-10 00:09:17+00
Linden	Like	The thumb should be kept together. Tuscola county has much more in common with Huron and Sanilac counties than we do with Bay and Saginaw counties.	Joanne Carlson	2021-12-10 00:03:58+00
Birch V2	Opinion	This is the best map that represents fairness for the citizens of my district!	Isaac White	2021-12-09 23:59:34+00
Hickory	Like	This is not a fair map. It does not represent the citizens of my district. I vote no!	Joanne Carlson	2021-12-09 23:58:13+00
Palm	Dislike	This map should never be considered a fair map in any way.	Joanne Carlson	2021-12-09 23:50:38+00
Palm	Dislike	A very unfair map!!!	Kim Hunsanger	2021-12-09 23:47:16+00
Palm	Dislike		ken tilp	2021-12-09 23:39:04+00

Palm	Dislike	This plan is very unfair and partisan. It gives Republicans a very unfair advantage.	Rob J Ross	2021-12-09 23:08:09+00
Cherry V2	Like	Cherry is a fair map, as is Linden. Palm is truly unfair. Please choose this map - it represents a bipartisan map.	Elizabeth Kaufman	2021-12-09 23:04:28+00
Linden	Like	Thank you Given the extensive experience that I have had with the redistricting process over the last three decades, which includes co-teaching a university course on redistricting, the Birch V2 map seems the fairest to me. Please choose this map and thank you for all of the hard work that you have put into this process!	Maggie D'Angelo	2021-12-09 22:37:29+00
Birch V2	Like	Please choose this map - it represents a bipartisan map.	Jean-Philippe Faletta	2021-12-09 22:36:35+00
Hickory	Like	Thank you This map does not maintain bipartisan equity. Please do not vote for this map it is still unfair. Thank you for listening.	Maggie D'Angelo	2021-12-09 22:34:29+00
Palm	Dislike	The whole goal of this commission was districting fairness; this accomplishes the opposite!	Maggie D'Angelo	2021-12-09 22:29:53+00
Palm	Dislike		Robert Gilbert	2021-12-09 21:58:19+00

Linden	Like	This is probably the fairest of the maps (Linden) for redistricting.	Fay Tanner	2021-12-09 21:42:06+00
Hickory	Like	This is a fair representation for redistricting. Hickory or Linden. Either one	Fay Tanner	2021-12-09 21:40:05+00
Linden	Like	is ok. Just not Palm.	Naomi Ludman	2021-12-09 21:37:38+00
Palm	Dislike	This is not a fair redistrict. Either Hickory or Linden, please.	Fay E Tanner	2021-12-09 21:34:34+00
Hickory	Like	PALM map is far too heavily biased toward Republicans.	Naomi Ludman	2021-12-09 21:34:16+00
Palm	Dislike	Please vote against it!!!! This is not a fair map. I am not asking for maps to lean Blue. I just want partisan fairness and this map is far, far, from that.	Christine Gierczak	2021-12-09 21:31:50+00
Palm	Dislike	I like this Map as it keeps Oakland county mostly together	Naomi Ludman	2021-12-09 21:30:23+00
Birch V2	Like		Scot Goldberg	2021-12-09 21:30:04+00
Linden	Like	This map does what the Commission was tasked to do: create a fair playing field for up-coming elections. Thank you for your effort and your independent approach in creating this map.	James S Rodgers	2021-12-09 21:28:08+00

Hickory	Like	Thanks to the Commission for its efforts in creating Redistricting maps. Hickory appears to me to be the best House map. It has the most partisan fairness and provides fair representation for minority voters.	James S Rodgers	2021-12-09 21:23:49+00
Palm	Dislike	Please choose either the Linden or Cherry map. Palm is clearly the least fair of the State Senate maps in that it provides a partisan advantage to one party and does not allow for fair representation for minorities. Thank you for your hard work on this difficult task.	James S Rodgers	2021-12-09 21:16:26+00
Palm	Like	Please do not even consider this map. It is by far the worst when ranked in fairness. It is little, if no better, than the current gerrymandered maps favoring Republicans. Please adopt Hickory instead.	Linda Weaver	2021-12-09 21:02:26+00
Palm	Dislike	Vote NO	Logan Chappell	2021-12-09 21:01:29+00
Linden	Like	This is a fair map with a real contest.	Kirsten Herold	2021-12-09 20:49:14+00

Hickory	Like	<p>This map represents the Wyoming community well and combines growing Hispanic neighborhoods on the border with Grand Rapids, providing an opportunity for better representation of the community.</p> <p>All the House maps really need work to achieve the kind of balance and fairness voters are looking for. This one is definitely inferior to Szetela. Please consider taking another run at the House maps!</p>	Chris Herweyer	2021-12-09 20:35:31+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>Vote no. This (PALM) map is very unfair. Cherry and Linden are more fair.</p>	Clifford Johnson	2021-12-09 20:25:03+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>I see that I'm not alone in asking you to reject this map!</p>	Kari Huss	2021-12-09 20:24:19+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>Very lopsided.</p> <p>Thanks for your hard work. Cherry and Linden improve fairness, though they still appear to favor one party.</p>	Clifford Johnson	2021-12-09 20:21:05+00
Linden	Like	<p>Please go with Cherry.</p> <p>Thanks for your hard work. Cherry and Linden improve fairness, though they still appear to favor one party.</p>	Clifford Johnson	2021-12-09 20:18:18+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>Please go with Cherry.</p>	Clifford Johnson	2021-12-09 20:17:06+00

Szetela Congressional Like		Szetela looks fair--well done! Birch and Chestnut also look pretty fair, but please go with Szetela.	Clifford Johnson	2021-12-09 20:12:12+00
Hickory	Opinion	Hickory is better than the others, but the essential question is this: does it set us up for the majority to lose and the minority of votes to win? That's still a Yes, unfortunately. The stakes are so high, please take one more shot at making "one person, one vote" have meaning again.	Clifford Johnson	2021-12-09 19:59:58+00
Palm	Dislike	I strongly dislike the PALM map, as it is unfair to Michigan citizens. Our maps need to reflect our populace. First of all, I wish to thank the commission for doing all the work that's been done to try to create fair and balanced representation maps. It's a thankless task! This map presents the most fair opportunity for representation for MI Senate seats. This is the one that	Gwenne Allgaier	2021-12-09 19:58:49+00
Linden	Like	should be selected.	Evert Vermeer	2021-12-09 19:40:22+00

Linden	Like	This map provides the most fair representation for the people of the 29th Senate district. This is the one that should be adopted.	Mary Vermeer	2021-12-09 19:31:57+00
Palm	Dislike	While this map doesn't vary much from Linden and Cherry for my district, what it does in other areas of the state is supremely partisan and defeats the mission of the MCIRC. Do not adopt this one!	Nancy Duemling	2021-12-09 19:31:25+00
Hickory	Like	This map provides the most balanced representation for the Michigan House.	Evert Vermeer	2021-12-09 19:28:47+00
Hickory	Like	This is the most fair house map and should be the one selected	Mary E Vermeer	2021-12-09 19:26:45+00
Palm	Dislike	This is a bad map for the MI Senate and should be rejected outright!	Evert W Vermeer	2021-12-09 19:24:09+00
Palm	Dislike	This is the most unbalanced/unfair map of all the options and should definitely be rejected!	Mary E Vermeer	2021-12-09 19:20:23+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Too many cities are being sliced and diced. Makes it very difficult for a representative to support the needs of his/her community.	Constance Woods	2021-12-09 19:09:00+00

Hickory	Dislike	Why are Troy and Clawson losing part of their cities to another district?	Constance Woods	2021-12-09 19:05:33+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Please don't split up Troy	Constance Woods	2021-12-09 19:01:33+00
		This map splits up Roseville very unnecessarily! This is problematic because Roseville is a tight-knit community which tends to vote for state officials of a certain party. Splitting this community pushes our voices into the minority.		
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Excellent Senate map--fair and balanced. Please	Megan Mueller	2021-12-09 18:56:59+00
Linden	Like	approve.	Eric Rader	2021-12-09 18:47:22+00
Hickory	Like	Fair House map--I advocate approval.	Eric Rader	2021-12-09 18:45:47+00
		This map lacks fairness thus nullify equal competition among candidates. Please do not vote for this plan.		
Palm	Dislike	This map is not fair and	Mary Sepe,	2021-12-09 18:44:40+00
Palm	Dislike	should not be approved.	Eric Rader	2021-12-09 18:44:10+00
		This is the most fair senate map available. Please consider this one in your final decision. Fair and Balanced.		
Hickory	Like	Like all things should be.	Nicholas Dudley Barnes	2021-12-09 18:37:02+00

Linden	Like	This is a fair map and needs to be strongly supported by those on the commission. Fair and Balanced. Like all things should be.	Nicholas D. Barnes	2021-12-09 18:34:31+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is trash and needs to be voted against. Please do not vote for this map.	Nicholas D. Barnes	2021-12-09 18:31:53+00
Linden	Like	Seems the fairest Senate distribution of Democratic and Republican voters. Please vote for this map.	Mary Sepe,	2021-12-09 18:30:37+00
Hickory	Like	Seem like a very fair and reasonable distribution of Democratic and Republican voters. Thanks for your time,effort and fairness.	mary sepe	2021-12-09 18:19:45+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Dislike Szetela, terrible partisan fairness score. This map is unfair and redistricts in a partisan way.	Gwen Hejna	2021-12-09 18:13:27+00
Palm	Dislike	This is against the wishes of the people. Linden is far and away the best Senate map I have seen. Choosing it sets an example of balance that will provide a model of fairness when redistricting comes round	Daniel Towner	2021-12-09 18:09:23+00
Linden	Like	again.	Horace Porter Abbott	2021-12-09 17:56:36+00

Birch V2	Like	Honestly I like this map the best, it is nice that Oakland County is kept together for the most part. While none of the maps are perfect, this is one I would vote for.	Max Rohtbart	2021-12-09 17:53:08+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is very biased in favor of Republicans. The idea was to create more balance with an unbiased commission!	Janice Ray	2021-12-09 17:51:50+00
Hickory	Like	Hickory is the best and fairest map so far. It indicates how hard you have worked to achieve partisan equity, which is the central issue that has been so badly treated in the past.	Horace Porter Abbott	2021-12-09 17:51:40+00
Palm	Dislike	The Palm Map is not only Obviously not drawn with fairness in mind. This is not what the voters intended when we overwhelmingly voted to have an independent committee do the	Horace Porter Abbott	2021-12-09 17:43:57+00
Palm	Dislike	redistricting. This map is UNFAIR. Cherry and Linden are much to be	Rick Novak	2021-12-09 17:38:46+00
Palm	Dislike	preferred	Elizabeth Kaufman	2021-12-09 17:20:42+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>This map is in no way balanced. The Palm map is not a fair map in terms of partisan leanings, and will just add to the gerrymandering that redistricting is supposed to correct. Please do not choose this map. We need fair elections.</p>	Heidi Gates	2021-12-09 17:11:30+00
Linden	Like	<p>This is the most fair map for state Senate representation. I urge you to choose democracy by majority vote. We must draw districts that reflect the voters and not favor one party over the other.</p>	Jennifer D Porter	2021-12-09 16:44:01+00
Hickory	Like	<p>It is time to draw and then choose maps that are fair to the voters and not partisan in nature. This is the most fair map and I urge you to choose fairness and democracy by majority vote. It is not fair to me and others for our representation in the state Congress to be chosen by the minority of voters.</p>	Jennifer D Porter	2021-12-09 16:40:44+00

Palm	Dislike	I thought that the reason for redrawing the maps was to create fair elections. The Palm Map is heavily favoring the Republicans and clearly hasn't been drawn with fairness in mind.	Christine Klykken	2021-12-09 16:39:06+00
Palm	Dislike	Stop trying to re-district to favor one party over the other. This disenfranchises me and others.	Jennifer D Porter	2021-12-09 16:34:30+00
Palm	Dislike	The Palm map is poorly drawn. The Cherry map is a much better choice.	James Nelson	2021-12-09 16:30:20+00
Palm	Dislike	This is not a fair map in terms of partisan leanings. Please pick one of the other Senate maps.	Liam Richichi	2021-12-09 16:30:16+00
Palm	Dislike	I really dislike this map. It doesn't seem likely to allow the upper Thumb area any representation. Also the entire map seems to bend over to the right.	Terry Brown	2021-12-09 16:28:11+00
Palm	Dislike	The Republican bias for this map is clearly too high!	Angie Kelleher	2021-12-09 16:23:02+00

Linden	Like	Please chose Linden or Cherry. They are less biased than Palm which is the worst map created. The whole object of the commission is to stop patrician gerrymandering and Palm does no do that. NO. This map subverts the whole, approved-by-large-majority process of	ELLEN ANNE TEGHTMEYER	2021-12-09 16:21:19+00
Palm	Dislike	developing fair maps.	Nancy Flanagan	2021-12-09 16:16:31+00
Palm	Dislike	This is the least fair map out of the proposed Senate maps. Cherry and Linden are fair maps. Palm is horribly biased and is no change from the current plan which is your	Caroline	2021-12-09 16:15:46+00
Cherry V2	Like	purpose to correct! I ask the commission to vote against the Palm map. It has the most partisan bias of all the maps presented. Partisan fairness was one of, if not THE top issue, for voters of the MICRC. Chose Cherry or Linden instead. They are less	ELLEN ANNE TEGHTMEYER	2021-12-09 16:14:26+00
Palm	Dislike	bias.	ELLEN ANNE TEGHTMEYER	2021-12-09 16:07:29+00

Linden	Like	<p>This is the most fair of the senate maps for the downriver area - it's important that the communities that share so many services and a riverfront have a state senator that has the time and resources to stay up to date for them all together.</p> <p>This is the most fair of the house maps. The final map should be as close to zero as possible on partisan fairness. If all this work results in a gerrymandered map that favors one party then the commission has failed. Please don't let that happen. This is vitally important to</p>	Judy Maiga	2021-12-09 16:05:45+00
Palm	Like	<p>democracy.</p> <p>Again I think this is a fair map.</p>	Judy Maiga	2021-12-09 15:59:56+00
Hickory	Like	<p>Thx for your work.</p> <p>This is a fair map. Thx for</p>	Robert T King	2021-12-09 15:59:42+00
Linden	Like	<p>your work.</p> <p>This is a fair map. Thx for</p>	Robert T King	2021-12-09 15:58:04+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>your work.</p>	Robert T King	2021-12-09 15:57:03+00

Palm	Dislike	While the Palm map puts Midland in a senate district with Bay City and Saginaw, which I like, it has the worst score overall for partisan fairness. The desire for partisan fairness was the overwhelming reason that a majority of Michigan voters wanted the MCIRC created in the first place. Please choose the Linden state senate map instead.	Allison Wilcox	2021-12-09 15:56:42+00
Palm	Dislike	This is an extremely biased, unfair map violating the purposes of the commission.	Robert T King	2021-12-09 15:55:53+00
Pine v5	Dislike	We all know this process is very hard and no map will be perfect, but this particular map just fails in too many ways and doesn't achieve the central goal of the initiative that created this commission in the first place: to assure the greatest democratic participation in our state	Kevin G Karpiak	2021-12-09 15:55:31+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is horrendous. The point of this entire venture was to get rid of gerrymandering. This map is blatantly biased. Please vote NO on this map.	Judith Maiga	2021-12-09 15:54:58+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>We all know this process is very hard and no map will be perfect, but this particular map just fails in too many ways and doesn't achieve the central goal of the initiative that created this commission in the first place: to assure the greatest democratic participation in our state</p> <p>If we've learned anything, it's that the complicated task of balancing all the legal requirements makes drawing these maps really hard and imperfect. This is a really</p>	Kevin G Karpiak	2021-12-09 15:53:44+00
Hickory	Like	good compromise	Kevin G Karpiak	2021-12-09 15:51:02+00
Linden	Like	this is a good map!	Barbara A Conley	2021-12-09 15:50:57+00
Hickory	Like	this is a good map!	Barbara A Conley	2021-12-09 15:50:18+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This is the fairest map. Thx for you work.</p> <p>The Palm map has the poorest partisan fairness score and should be rejected in favor of either the Linden</p>	Robert T King	2021-12-09 15:50:14+00
Palm	Dislike	or Cherry maps. Thank you.	Melany Mack	2021-12-09 15:48:49+00
Palm	Dislike	vote NO on this one (Palm)~	Barbara A Conley	2021-12-09 15:48:30+00

Cherry V2	Like	If we've learned anything, it's that the complicated task of balancing all the legal requirements makes drawing these maps really hard and imperfect. This is a really good compromise	Kevin G Karpiak	2021-12-09 15:47:56+00
Birch V2	Like	If we've learned anything, it's that the complicated task of balancing all the legal requirements makes drawing these maps really hard and imperfect. This is a really good compromise	Kevin G Karpiak	2021-12-09 15:44:03+00
Palm	Dislike	Vote NO on PALM	THOMAS HATCH	2021-12-09 15:31:14+00
Cherry V2	Like	The Cherry map and the Linden map are both more fair than the very biased Palm map. Please select the Cherry or Linden maps for voting districts. Thank you.	Melany Mack	2021-12-09 15:26:43+00
Palm	Dislike	The Palm map is the most unfair map offered for the state Senate. Both the Cherry and Linden maps are more fair and should be selected over the Palm map. Thank you.	Melany Mack	2021-12-09 15:23:46+00
Linden	Like	This map is also fair and should be considered for final approval. It is a lot fair map	Michael John Kidd	2021-12-09 15:23:14+00
Palm	Dislike	Vote no on PALM!	Jason Craig	2021-12-09 15:21:58+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>This map splits critical areas of Ingham County, areas that have similar issues, creating an unfair division of the communities clearly to the advantage of one party, thus violating the provision that districts ensure partisan fairness. Of the other maps, the Cherry or Linden maps most likely serve this region more appropriately.</p>	John Lindstrom	2021-12-09 15:19:54+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>This map is not fair. Asking the question "is it fair to all concerned?" brings the answer "no." Please do not choose this map.</p>	Wanda Eichler	2021-12-09 15:19:49+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>This is a fair map and should be a top consideration for approval.</p>	Michael John Kidd	2021-12-09 15:17:57+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>Please get this right. This is not a fair map and should be rejected now. The Cherry and Linden are much better.</p>	Michael John Kidd	2021-12-09 15:08:32+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>I thought districts were to be representative of the geographic areas and not cross county lines. Please go back and put more thought into these districts.</p>	Jeanette Gronda	2021-12-09 15:05:10+00

Hickory	Like	Thank you for volunteering for the commission and for reviewing voter comments to aid in your decision. Of all the house maps, this one appears to me to best represent the commission's task of working toward every vote counting.	Kent Koehn	2021-12-09 15:00:41+00
Palm	Dislike	Thank you committee members for volunteering for such a difficult task. I believe this Palm map does not capture the fairness in representation that the voter passed petition was seeking. I would recommend looking more closely to the Linden and Cherry maps.	Kent Koehn	2021-12-09 14:53:54+00
Pine v5	Like	This is the most balance of the maps and should be what we see for the next election.	Murat Bates	2021-12-09 14:13:13+00
Palm	Dislike	PALM Senate Map is tilted towards one party unfairly.	Carmela Langley	2021-12-09 14:11:22+00
Szetela House	Dislike	This map was designed by the Republican party and is not balanced. I don't like this map for that reason.	Murat Bates	2021-12-09 14:08:51+00

Linden	Like	When I voted for the redistricting commission to be formed, it was with the intention that our voting districts would be drawn to make our elected legislature most closely reflect the balance of voters in our state. I believe the LINDEN map comes closest to achieving that in our State Senate.	Alexandra VanDoren	2021-12-09 13:59:02+00
Hickory	Like	When I voted for the redistricting commission to be formed, it was with the intention that our voting districts would be drawn to make our elected legislature most closely reflect the balance of voters in our state. The HICKORY map comes closest to achieving that in our State House.	Alexandra VanDoren	2021-12-09 13:57:24+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map is clearly one brought to the table by the Conservatives and is therefore not as balanced as some of the others. District 45 is far to large a district for anyone to represent.	Murat Bates	2021-12-09 13:56:23+00
Palm	Dislike	Seems to be tilted to one party.	Jack G. Devine	2021-12-09 13:32:13+00

Palm	Dislike	I voted for the non-partisan redistricting commission because I believe our districts should reflect the balance of voters in our state as much as possible. The PALM map does not do that.	Alexandra VanDoren	2021-12-09 13:18:49+00
Linden	Like	The better map, reaching towards competitive districts. Please choose.	Laurent Chappuis	2021-12-09 12:47:24+00
Palm	Dislike	Not fair and no better at creating competitive districts as the current situation. Avoid!	Laurent Chappuis	2021-12-09 12:44:10+00
Linden	Like	Every vote should count, with this map every vote will count. This map (Palm) is far too similar to the current gerrymandered State Senate maps - very unfair and not what the folks who voted for the MICRC wanted to see: fair districting and fair representation. Reject this	David A Berger	2021-12-09 12:32:10+00
Palm	Dislike	map!	Thomas L Knox	2021-12-09 12:27:24+00
Hickory	Like	This map does represent an equability.	David A Berger	2021-12-09 12:26:37+00
Palm	Dislike	This map does not represent an equability.	David A Berger	2021-12-09 12:22:16+00
Cherry V2	Like	The Magic Mirror tells me this is the "fairest of them all"	Timothy Duane Early	2021-12-09 12:00:20+00

Linden	Like	This map is more fair than Palm,Hickory, and Linden this map is okay, but still	Timothy Duane Early	2021-12-09 11:55:02+00
Hickory	Like	partisan We voted for fair maps, and	Timothy Duane Early	2021-12-09 11:48:41+00
Birch V2	Like	Birch is a fair map.	Donald Lee Priest	2021-12-09 11:41:15+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is to partisan. Not an equal representation of the populace.	Timothy Duane Early	2021-12-09 11:39:20+00
Palm	Dislike	This map has the worst partisan fairness scores of all the maps and should be rejected.	Charles Hodgman	2021-12-09 09:28:23+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map is not terribleâ€¦ not great.	Molly Reeves	2021-12-09 09:24:00+00
Linden	Like	This app is not terrible.	Molly Reeves	2021-12-09 09:22:23+00
Hickory	Like	This map is not the worst. It carves up and attempts to sideline certain communities by splitting them up but is still better than other choices.	Molly Reeves	2021-12-09 09:19:41+00
Palm	Dislike	Worst choice. Favors unfair advantage to republicans.	Molly Reeves	2021-12-09 09:12:19+00
Palm	Dislike	The efficiency gap of the Palm map is a reason to reject it outright.	frances lichtman	2021-12-09 07:29:12+00

Linden	Like	<p>This is the best senate map. It aligns the East AnnArbor with Ypsilanti community where I have lived and worked for 25 years. This map is a fair representation of the people and voters. Please keep the Linden map.</p> <p>Linden. like Cherry, is a fair map. It represents the essence of this new process. Total contrast with maps like Palm which should never be adopted.</p>	S Jackson	2021-12-09 05:33:40+00
Linden	Like	<p>Cherry is the kind of map that should be adopted. Also Linden. Both are based on fairness, the idea that is supposed to drive this entire process. Palm on the other hand is the worst.</p>	Chuck Wilbur	2021-12-09 05:16:28+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>This palm map doesn't achieve the partisan fairness for the purpose intended. It greatly shortchanges the Ypsilanti community. Please consider this outcome.</p>	Chuck Wilbur	2021-12-09 05:11:22+00
Palm	Dislike		S Jackson	2021-12-09 05:04:00+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>This map is unfair. It does nothing to eliminate gerrymandering. Cherry and Linden are superior maps. If we are just going to adopt unfair maps, what is the point of this whole exercise.</p> <p>Chippewa County doesn't need to be split, It would unfairly split the tribal population. They are already at a disadvantage.</p>	Chuck Wilbur	2021-12-09 05:00:58+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>Error in my previous comment. Red, not green. Palm is extremely biased. Cherry and Linden are much better.</p>	Ruth Murphy	2021-12-09 04:19:19+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>Palm is an extremely unfair map. Extremely biased. Cherry and Linden are much less biased.</p>	Cheryl D Hayes	2021-12-09 04:11:19+00
Palm	Like	<p>Linden. I like the sound of it! This is a fair map, i like that Shiawassee Co (my home) is connected with parts of the greater lansing area to create a competitive district. We will get politicians working towards the middle, instead of extremes, which is KILLING our politics and working on killing our Democracy! This is good, pick it!</p>	Cheryl D Hayes	2021-12-09 04:08:06+00
Linden	Like	<p>Linden. I like the sound of it! This is a fair map, i like that Shiawassee Co (my home) is connected with parts of the greater lansing area to create a competitive district. We will get politicians working towards the middle, instead of extremes, which is KILLING our politics and working on killing our Democracy! This is good, pick it!</p>	Mark Zacharda	2021-12-09 03:04:57+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>Palm is a terrible map, very unfair. It locks in Republican majorities. Please approve CHERRY or LINDEN instead. The party with the most votes should win the most seats. That's how democracy is supposed to work!</p>	Chris Andrews	2021-12-09 03:04:14+00
Hickory	Like	<p>I'm feeling this map. Partisan fairness (#1 reason why MI voters wanted MICRC) is reasonable! Go with it!</p>	Mark Zacharda	2021-12-09 03:02:45+00
Linden	Like	<p>Really like the fairness of this one</p> <p>I urge the commission to vote against the Palm map. It has the most partisan bias of all the maps offered. Partisan fairness was one of, if not THE top issue, for voters of the</p>	Carl Morrison	2021-12-09 03:02:29+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>MICRC.</p>	Randa Cain	2021-12-09 03:00:53+00

		<p>This map should be  SCRAPPED! It's the worst in  terms of partisan fairness.  The party that wins a majority  vote state-wide in the  statehouses should control  them. In no circumstance  should a party get, say, 45%  of the vote state-wide and be  able to control one (or both)  state houses. Palm oil sucks.</p>		
Palm	Dislike	<p>Palm map sucks, dump it!  This is the best of the worst.</p>	Mark Zacharda	2021-12-09 03:00:22+00
Hickory	Like	<p>But Was hoping for better.</p>	Carl Morrison	2021-12-09 02:57:03+00
		<p>This map is so far away from  what I expected from the  nonpartisan redistricting  commission when I voted for  the ballot initiative to form it.</p>		
Palm	Dislike	<p>This map seems to be fairly  drawn and should be voted in  as a good choice. Thanks for  the hard work.</p>	Carl Morrison	2021-12-09 02:51:50+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>I support the Linden, State  Senate Map, which seems to  be the most fair map of the  bunch.</p>	Scott Bunce	2021-12-09 02:51:26+00
Linden	Like	<p>This is not a good  representation of the voters  in our area</p>	Charles Henry	2021-12-09 02:50:08+00
Palm	Dislike		George S Taylor	2021-12-09 02:48:19+00

Linden	Like	Though still not as representational as I would like, our population is such that this seems more fair than other choices.	Scott Bunce	2021-12-09 02:48:01+00
Hickory	Like	This map is the most fair map of the bunch. Please give it your support, Commission.	Charles Henry	2021-12-09 02:45:15+00
Palm	Dislike	Please vote against this map. None of the maps achieve partisan fairness, and this map is the least fair. Please remember the reasons you decided to be a Commissioner when you vote. Partisan fairness benefits everyone.	D Pond	2021-12-09 02:42:44+00
Hickory	Like	This map seems to do a reasonable job of providing fair representation in congressional voting.	Scott Bunce	2021-12-09 02:41:42+00
Palm	Dislike	The Palm Senate map should not be considered by the commission as a fair map. You can do better certainly. I prefer the Linden map.	Charles Henry	2021-12-09 02:41:40+00
Palm	Dislike	This appears very biased and does not provide a level of representation that is not skewed towards a single party.	Scott Bunce	2021-12-09 02:36:17+00

Linden	Like	The Linden map is the most fair State Senate map from the standpoint of partisan fairness. Please adopt it.	Katharine Shishkovsky	2021-12-09 02:35:04+00
Hickory	Like	The Hickory map is the most fair of all the House District maps.	Katharine Shishkovsky	2021-12-09 02:31:52+00
Palm	Dislike	This is the most disappointing proposed map. Every voter I have talked with from both parties wants more competition so that voters can choose office holders who will be more representative of their constituents.	Barbara Lucier	2021-12-09 02:30:56+00
Hickory	Like	The Hickory map is the least unfair in terms of partisan fairness, which was the whole objective of the Voters Not Politicians effort in 2018. I still do not like the fact that you have divided Livonia into three House Districts, but you have given up listening to me on this issue.	Katharine Shishkovsky	2021-12-09 02:30:12+00

Palm	Dislike	These maps are unfair and do not achieve partisan fairness. Please do NOT adopt the Palm maps. The citizens who voted in the MICRC wanted partisan fairness!	Katharine Shishkovsky	2021-12-09 02:26:59+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is very bad and very partisan in favor of Republicans. The 22nd district to the west of me is a perfect example of a pro-Republican gerrymander.	Cory	2021-12-09 02:26:10+00
Linden	Like	Linden is the most fair Senate map. It gives each party the opportunity to win. Competitive races give us the best elected officials.	Lisa DiRado	2021-12-09 02:19:26+00
Linden	Like	Linden is the most overall fair map, but still Commerce...is chopped up. It has more in common with West Bloomfield, then it does Milford, Highland, Waterford. It is suburban and not rural like the other communities I mentioned. Commerce is a bedroom community like West Bloomfield.	Michael Wiese	2021-12-09 02:16:13+00

This Palm Senate map is unacceptable. It's gerrymandering favoring the Republicans. This is where we are currently with Republicans drawn maps. Please Commissioners, vote

Palm	Dislike	no on this map.	Rosa Holliday	2021-12-09 02:10:24+00
Palm	Dislike	Do not pick this map. The partisan fairness is the worst of all the maps you have submitted.	Lisa DiRado	2021-12-09 02:06:59+00

Hickory looks to be the most fair, but my part of Commerce Charter Twp. is still cut up... chopped up. It is the best of the worst. We have much more in common with West Bloomfield, then Milford and Highland. Commerce has huge subdivision development, unlike Milford, Highland and White Lake. I am a real estate appraiser (45 years) and see the explosive growth of Commerce ...everyday! Milford, Highland and White Lake are definitely rural. Commerce is definitely Suburban and trending more so every year. The Walled Lake Consolidated School District has more in common with West Bloomfield Schools then with Huron Valley Schools.

Hickory

Like

Michael Wiese

2021-12-09 02:04:56+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>The Palm map is unfair. This map leans the farthest to the RIGHT of all the draft map proposals. Of the 15 draft maps being considered by the MICRC, the Palm State Senate map is the worst. Palm has the highest republican bias of all the maps and would be little improvement over what we had under the previous republican gerrymander. Palm is an extremely unfair map!</p>	Michael Wiese	2021-12-09 01:48:24+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>This is an extremely unfair map! Why is this even being considered!</p>	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-12-09 01:41:32+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>Cascade Township is Metropolitan! It should not be drawn with Rural areas, it needs to be included with the Greater Metro Grand Rapids area.</p>	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-12-09 01:40:26+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>This is an obvious attempt at gerrymandering. Metropolitan areas are deliberately drawn with Rural inclusion. There are no Communities of Interest representation!</p>	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-12-09 01:38:49+00

Palm	Opinion	<p>The Palm Map is the most unfair map offered. It is has little change over the current gerrymandered map, and goes against the aims of the law that this commission should be following.</p> <p>I voted to support the redistributing effort to end gerrymandering by both parties. This proposal takes gerrymandering to a new level. Please do not support</p>	Christine Strickling	2021-12-09 01:38:44+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>it.</p> <p>This map makes seven State House Representatives directly responsible for Lake Michigan shoreline issues and population. This is definitely setting up an important Community of Interest for Western Michigan and the UP.</p>	Trisha Spaulding	2021-12-09 01:36:47+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>Please choose this option as it provides the best option to make elected officials really</p>	Catherine Brockington	2021-12-09 01:30:55+00
Linden	Like	<p>campaign form our votes.</p>	Karla Paterson	2021-12-09 01:25:07+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>I appreciate the hard work this committee has had to do, but please keep at it. This palm plan does not stay true to the objective of not giving priority to any political party. Please make our elected officials work for our votes not expect to win outright.</p>	Karla Paterson	2021-12-09 01:20:11+00
Linden	Like	<p>The Linden is the most fair of all the Senate maps. It recognizes the Lake Michigan shoreline and is the least partisan. Please support this map.</p>	Bridget Fox	2021-12-09 01:14:39+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>Palm is too similar to previous distracting, unfairly privileges Republicans.</p>	Thomas H Carey	2021-12-09 01:11:18+00
Hickory	Like	<p>Of all the House maps drawn this appears to be the fairest. I also like that the lakeshore is considered in the way the districts are drawn.</p>	Bridget Fox	2021-12-09 01:00:06+00

		The Palm map is extremely unfair and would be very little improvement over the current heavily gerrymandered map. This whole process was voted into being because voters want fair maps. The party with the platform that attracts most voters statewide should win the majority of seats. Our maps need to serve this function --representative democracy. Thank you for your work!		
Palm	Dislike		Emily S Kallunki-Pasternak	2021-12-09 00:57:27+00
Linden	Like	This map is fair. Please vote in favor of it.	Susan Nicholas	2021-12-09 00:50:07+00
Hickory	Like	This map is fair. Please vote in favor of it.	Susan Nicholas	2021-12-09 00:47:18+00
Palm	Dislike	This Palm map is very unfair. Please vote against it.	Susan Nicholas	2021-12-09 00:44:06+00
Hickory	Like	Please vote for this map as it is more fair.	Dan Hoogterp	2021-12-09 00:40:23+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is grossly bias. It clearly gives Republicans an unfair advantage. I know the MICRC can and must do better. Please throw this map out.	BRIDGET P FOX	2021-12-09 00:39:43+00
Hickory	Like	Choose this map. This gives the minority population in Calhoun County a voice.	Linda J Pell	2021-12-09 00:36:01+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is too partisan. Please vote against it.	Dan Hoogterp	2021-12-09 00:35:54+00

Linden	Like	This is better than the other Senate Maps. Most fair statewide and fair for Calhoun County.	Linda J Pell	2021-12-09 00:34:08+00
Palm	Dislike	Please vote against this very partisan biased map	Linda J Pell	2021-12-09 00:30:45+00
Linden	Like	Redistricting is always a challenge to do right, but this plan does a better job in the southern part of the state than the other plans.	Marshall THOMSEN	2021-12-09 00:24:20+00
Palm	Like	This is the fairest map. Please use this map.	jon	2021-12-09 00:22:55+00
Palm	Dislike	There are better schemes. The Linden map treats southeast Michigan more fairly.	Marshall THOMSEN	2021-12-09 00:22:24+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	The Cherry map is not a fair map. Please vote NO on Cherry.	merlin steffes	2021-12-09 00:20:30+00
Linden	Dislike	The Linden map is not a fair map. Please vote NO on Linden.	jon	2021-12-09 00:18:35+00
Hickory	Like	Thank you. This is the fairest House map. It's why we all voted to create an independent commission.	Jeffrey B Halter	2021-12-09 00:09:32+00
Linden	Like	Good job! Thanks. This map is fair. It's why we all voted to create an independent commission.	Jeffrey B Halter	2021-12-09 00:05:24+00
Linden	Like	Most fair	Lawrence Rucker	2021-12-09 00:01:56+00

Palm	Dislike	The Palm map is not a fair map. Please vote NO on Palm.	Linda Bischak Etter	2021-12-09 00:01:52+00
Palm	Dislike	Please reject the Palm map. It is the most biased in favor of Republicans. There are choices that do a better job of maintaining communities of interest (eg. Linden) without as much partisan bias.	Joel Ombry	2021-12-09 00:01:01+00
Palm	Dislike	representation.	Jeffrey B Halter	2021-12-09 00:00:17+00
Hickory	Like	Looks good	Lawrence Rucker	2021-12-08 23:59:08+00
Linden	Like	Free and Fair Elections.	Gerald Lang	2021-12-08 23:59:02+00
Hickory	Like	Free and Fair Elections To similar to current voter	Gerald Lang	2021-12-08 23:55:43+00
Palm	Dislike	map	Lawrence Rucker	2021-12-08 23:54:35+00
Palm	Dislike	Free and Fair Elections	Gerald Edward Lang	2021-12-08 23:51:25+00
Linden	Like	Please choose this map -- it is the most fair and that is what I'm looking for in the redistricting options. Thanks!	Amelia Hefferlin	2021-12-08 23:45:20+00
Hickory	Like	Please choose this map -- it is the most fair and that is the goal of this redistricting project. Thank you!	Amelia Hefferlin	2021-12-08 23:43:49+00
Linden	Like	This map is fair-please vote yes on this map!	Mary Kaven-Barron	2021-12-08 23:43:23+00

I like this map - it seems to respect out community's shared interests in th rural areas around us and to the west, in Jackson County, but also recognizes that we have a great deal in common with Ann Arbor to the east.

Linden	Like	Please select this map.	Thomas L Knox	2021-12-08 23:42:55+00
Hickory	Like	This map meets is fair-please vote yes on this map! I've lived in Chelsea for 20 years and feel strongly that out community has more in common with the rest of Washtenaw County, especially Dexter, Scio Township, and parts of Ann Arbor. This map places us in with Jackson instead. Consider revising this map to include Chelsea in with more of Washtenaw County, to the east of here.	Mary Kaven-Barron	2021-12-08 23:40:53+00
Hickory	Dislike		Thomas L Knox	2021-12-08 23:40:27+00

I voted for the redistricting initiative because I want a fair system instead of favoring one party over another through gerrymandered districts. This plan is the least fair of all of the proposals and runs counter to the spirit of the Michiganders who voted to reform the system. I urge you to reject this plan and to adopt one which is more fair to all voters.

Palm	Dislike		Amelia Hefferlin	2021-12-08 23:37:40+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is not neutral and should not be chosen. Please vote no on this map!	Mary Kaven-Barron	2021-12-08 23:33:19+00
Linden	Like	This is the best senate map when considering the alternatives (Palm, Cherry). Please select this map. When I collected signatures for the creation of an Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission everyone who signed that petition signed it because they wanted Fair Districts. This is the least fair map of all maps. Do you saddle us with this map for 10 years.	Robert Ziel	2021-12-08 23:00:44+00
Palm	Dislike		Lauren Lisi	2021-12-08 22:58:25+00

Hickory	Like	This appears to meet the neutrality goal. Politicians shouldn't ask for any more. Please select this map.	Robert Ziel	2021-12-08 22:57:34+00
Palm	Dislike	Do not vote for this map. It is another gerrymander designed to keep the Republican minority population in control of the legislature.	Catherine Gramze	2021-12-08 22:53:17+00
Palm	Dislike	This plan fails the neutrality objective for redistributing. Please do not choose this plan.	Robert Ziel	2021-12-08 22:52:19+00
Birch V2	Like	I like this map. I think my district is represented well as a community and the map as a whole is fair as well.	Susan M. Diliberti	2021-12-08 22:50:38+00
Palm	Dislike	Most unfair of all senate maps. Please do not choose this one.	Theresa Pearce	2021-12-08 22:45:52+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is the most unfair of all three of them. It preserves the Republican edge so that they can win fewer votes statewide than the other party and still win most seats. That's now why people created your commission. We want partisan fairness!	Judith Marie Daubenmier	2021-12-08 22:43:38+00

Palm	Dislike	The PALM Senate map is NOT a fair and unbiased map. This map should not be chosen.	Elizabeth Ann Alexander	2021-12-08 22:43:32+00
Palm	Like	The Linden map is the best for partisan fairness with its low 3.3 efficiency gap and 4.5% lopsided margin. Hope this one is selected.	Gloria A Brooks	2021-12-08 22:34:19+00
Palm	Dislike	The Palm map has the highest efficiency gap at 6.1 and a nearly 6% lopsided margin. This map should not be adopted.	Gloria A Brooks	2021-12-08 22:32:08+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map splits districts and is not fair.	Alyssa DeVecht	2021-12-08 22:26:45+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map splits districts and is not fair.	Alyssa DeVecht	2021-12-08 22:26:44+00
Palm	Dislike	I find this map to be the most unfair map of all the maps. Please do not choose this map.	Susan M. Diliberti	2021-12-08 22:25:48+00
Linden	Like	This map is the most fair Senate map.	Anita Lamour	2021-12-08 22:25:47+00
Hickory	Like	This map is the most fair.	Anita Lamour	2021-12-08 22:24:48+00
Hickory	Like	This map is the most fair.	Anita Lamour	2021-12-08 22:24:46+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is biased and favors a Republicans statewide. Mix it up so a Democrat could win here.	Anita Lamour	2021-12-08 22:22:55+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is not as fair as others. I hope you reject this option.	Paul McAdams	2021-12-08 22:18:01+00
Linden	Like	this is a very fair map	marie joppich	2021-12-08 22:14:05+00

Hickory	Like	this is an excellent fair map This is an excellent map and	marie joppich	2021-12-08 22:13:17+00
Hickory	Like	very fair to everyone	marie joppich	2021-12-08 22:12:48+00
Palm	Dislike	this is not a fair map	marie joppich	2021-12-08 22:11:46+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Not a fair map.  This map heavily favors the Republicans. Communities of Interest is really code for continue the Gerrymandering. We must mix it up so that each party must work to win the election. To do this we can not put everyone with the same interest in the same district. We are looking for even splits. Thank you	Alyssa DeVecht	2021-12-08 22:10:18+00
Palm	Dislike	Deb Parker District 10 is looking pretty wonky. Strange shape with 4	Deborah Parker	2021-12-08 21:51:37+00
Birch V2	Dislike	border districts District 4 as shown is a joke right? This is a terrible attempt at un-	David M Joye	2021-12-08 21:47:13+00
Apple V2	Dislike	gerrymandering the state. This map doesn't appear fair or reflective of desired	David M Joye	2021-12-08 21:43:52+00
Hickory	Dislike	communities of interest This map doesn't appear fair or reflective of desired	Colleen Quinn	2021-12-08 21:35:19+00
Pine v5	Dislike	communities of interest	Colleen Quinn	2021-12-08 21:34:27+00

Linden	Dislike	This map doesn't appear fair or reflective of desired communities of interest	Colleen Quinn	2021-12-08 21:33:41+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This map doesn't appear fair or reflective of desired communities of interest	Colleen Quinn	2021-12-08 21:33:02+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	This map doesn't appear fair or reflective of desired communities of interest	Colleen Quinn	2021-12-08 21:31:21+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map doesn't appear fair or reflective of desired communities of interest	Colleen	2021-12-08 21:30:44+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map should not be considered. It is not fair and does not properly depict communities of interest	Colleen Quinn	2021-12-08 21:27:24+00
Magnolia	Like	I support the Magnolia map for State House. It is the best in terms of fairness and keeping communities of interest intact.	Colleen Quinn	2021-12-08 21:24:06+00
Palm	Like	The Palm is my preferred map for State Senate. It keeps our communities of interest intact and is the best in terms of overall fairness	Colleen Quinn	2021-12-08 21:22:16+00
Birch V2	Like	The Birch map is my preferred map as it best depicts our communities of interest and seems the most fair	Colleen Quinn	2021-12-08 21:19:35+00

Chestnut Like My family is from Muskegon and I currently live in Grand Rapids. GR and Muskegon have so many communities, strengths, and challenges in common that Chestnut makes complete sense . Chestnut is the best map. Linda Zinnen 2021-12-08 20:18:58+00

Szetela House Like I appreciate that this map keeps Midland and Bay City together. Many people move between the two to live and work. Both cities have long-standing industries and similar public policy priorities. It also provides a district that is very competitive which is very important to me in the hopes that elected officials will actually listen to a broad spectrum of voters and represent the whole district. "Safe" seats are an impediment to broad representation of voter interests and priorities. This is my preferred map for the House, but Hickory is my second choice. Anne Wallin 2021-12-08 19:52:05+00

Szetela Senate Opinion I appreciate that this map keeps the cities of Midland, Bay City and Saginaw together. That is a logical group of communities with similar interests. However this map is not any better than the Linden map for partisan fairness. While this map is acceptable from my perspective, Linden is a better choice. Anne Wallin 2021-12-08 19:46:31+00

Lange Senate correct Dislike NO, no, no. Please do not put the city of Midland back into a largely rural district. We have little in common in terms of policy priorities with rural areas. Surely two legislators could work together to address issues related to the floods. I do not see the watershed as a compelling COI. I do however see cities with significant industrial bases and citizens who live and work among the three as an important COI to be kept together. Please do not choose this map. It perpetuates the current gerrymandering. Linden is a better choice. Anne Wallin 2021-12-08 19:43:06+00

Hickory	Like	<p>This seems like the best of the proposed maps. It is a lot messier than I expected from this process. For my local area, I see many peculiarities and nothing obviously harmful in the boundaries.</p>	Peter Bednekoff	2021-12-08 19:37:56+00
Kellom Senate	Opinion	<p>I appreciate that this map has kept the cities of Midland, Bay City and Saginaw together. That is important to me and leads me to prefer this map for my interests. But I am concerned about how that then impacts partisan fairness in other districts. Competitive districts and upholding the requirements of the Voting Rights Act are critical components as well.</p>	Anne Wallin	2021-12-08 19:36:55+00

I appreciate that this map kept the city of Midland with Bay City and Saginaw. The three cities have much in common given their significant industrial economies. However the Chestnut map keeps more of Midland county in the district to I prefer that map. I do like the better efficiency gap of this map. It is an acceptable map even though I prefer the Chestnut map.

Szetela Congressional Opinion

Anne Wallin

2021-12-08 19:32:28+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>This map does not reflect that the municipalities of Midland, Bay City and Saginaw have much in common as public policy priorities given their significant industrial economic bases or that people live in one and work in another. This would put the city of Midland back into a largely rural district with which we have little in common. Our current district was gerrymandered, and this just perpetuates that illogical grouping. I implore you not to select this map. I appreciate the significant work the commission has done, but this map is a dud for me.</p>	Anne Wallin	2021-12-08 19:27:52+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>I like the overall map, and especially the way districts fan out from the Detroit population center. Districts 15 and 16 are weird shapes, though the overall distance across them is not a problem.</p>	Peter A Bednekoff	2021-12-08 19:27:08+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>Surely this is not the most contiguous option.</p>	Peter Bednekoff	2021-12-08 19:15:21+00

Hickory	Like	After reviewing all the maps I find this one to be the most fair and the least impartial. Birch II has partisan fairness for US Congressional maps.	John P. Lieto	2021-12-08 19:04:02+00
Birch V2	Like	Support. Chestnut and Birch II have partisan fairness.	Gwen Hejna	2021-12-08 19:03:49+00
Chestnut	Like	I like this map. Cherry V2 provides more partisan fairness than many choices.	Gwen Hejna	2021-12-08 18:59:20+00
Cherry V2	Like	Hickory is the least unfair. Including Szelela, All House option have a strong right partisan bias.	Jon G. LaSalle	2021-12-08 18:54:35+00
Szetela House	Dislike		Gwen Hejna	2021-12-08 18:53:54+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map shows a much fairer representation for both parties. Thank you for being impartial!	John P. Lieto	2021-12-08 18:53:32+00
Linden	Like	This map is okay, too, but I prefer Hickory. This map also provides more partisan fairness than other choices.	Jon G. LaSalle	2021-12-08 18:52:13+00
Hickory	Like	I like Hickory the best (of poor choices). While not perfect, it has some better qualities than the others overall.	Jon G. LaSalle	2021-12-08 18:46:04+00
Hickory	Like	Support Hickory as the least unfair State House map. This is the least bad of the House options, they all lean to partisan right.	Gwen Hejna	2021-12-08 18:45:16+00

Palm	Dislike	This map is so unfair! I know the committee can do a better job than this. Please don't consider this!	John P. Lieto	2021-12-08 18:44:52+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Magnolia leans strongly right and is therefore an unfair partisan map. I do not support	Gwen Hejna	2021-12-08 18:40:17+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Dislike Pine. Leans strongly to partisan right.	Gwen Hejna	2021-12-08 18:34:54+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	Gives unfair partisan advantage to one party. We want competitive districts.	Gregory Fox	2021-12-08 18:34:07+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Too biased, the seats awarded would not be consistent with statewide votes.	Gregory Fox	2021-12-08 18:31:46+00
Birch V2	Like	This would be my second choice after Szetela, based on the number of competitive districts. It's all about fairness.	Gregory Fox	2021-12-08 18:29:28+00
Palm	Dislike	My previous entry of support IS A MISTAKE. I actually believe this map is awful, and fails on many levels including partisan fairness--there is none.	Jon G. LaSalle	2021-12-08 18:27:42+00
Palm	Dislike	Palm is by far the worst, with large Republican bias, out of all 15 draft maps.	Gwen Hejna	2021-12-08 18:26:35+00

Szetela Congressional	Like	This is my favorite of the bunch, offering the most competitive districts. When elections are more competitive, more people vote.	Gregory Fox	2021-12-08 18:26:11+00
Linden	Like	Linden has better partisan fairness score. Palm is worst. This map is okay and displays or provides more partisan	Gwen Hejna	2021-12-08 18:18:46+00
Palm	Like	fairness than any others.	Jon G. LaSalle	2021-12-08 18:13:46+00
Cherry V2	Like	Cherry and Linden have better partisan fairness scores than the other options. This map does not provide enough competitive districts and could lead to effective minority control of the house (party with minority of votes statewide getting majority of	Gwen Hejna	2021-12-08 18:11:01+00
Magnolia	Like	seats). This map will easily allow a party that gets a minority of votes statewide to grab a controlling majority of seats in the state house. The purpose of Prop. 2 was to get away from this sort of	Gregory Fox	2021-12-08 18:08:59+00
Pine v5	Dislike	gerrymandering.	Gregory Fox	2021-12-08 18:04:50+00

Birch V2	Like	I think the Birch map is the best choice. It keeps our communities together and is fair to all parties.	Debra Van Zegeren	2021-12-08 17:51:01+00
Hickory	Like	Hickory is the least bad of the proposed state house district, with the most competitive districts. This Map should be split to run vertical instead of horizontal for House districts 17, 16 and 5 , and restore the communities of Livonia, Redford and West Detroit. You keep splitting these communities vertically and they should be split horizontally. You are also package all of these 3 districts to majority democratic up to 70%. Each of these communities want to stay intact and remain there	Gregory Fox	2021-12-08 17:48:24+00
Hickory	Opinion	correct communities. Dickinson County SHOULD	Bob Zeuner	2021-12-08 17:27:29+00
Hickory	Dislike	NOT BE SPLIT Dickinson County SHOULD	Judy M. Stock	2021-12-08 17:11:37+00
Hickory	Dislike	NOT BE SPLIT UP. I dislike this map, it has the	Judy M. Stock	2021-12-08 17:10:10+00
Palm	Dislike	least partisan fairness.	Marci Welford	2021-12-08 16:49:21+00
Linden	Like	I support this map, it reflects partisan fairness.	Marci Welford	2021-12-08 16:48:13+00
Cherry V2	Like	I support this map, it reflects the most partisan fairness.	Marci Welford	2021-12-08 16:47:07+00

Hickory	Like	This is the fairest out of the House maps. It has the best partisan fairness score and the most VRA districts.	Marci W	2021-12-08 16:44:14+00
Magnolia	Like	The Magnolia map is the best option as it is fair and represents my community of interest.	Lance Schuhmacher	2021-12-08 16:41:41+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map is fair, represents my interests and keeps our community together as much as possible.	Lance Schuhmacher	2021-12-08 16:28:21+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	I dislike this map. This is not a good redistricting plan; it does not promote partisan fairness.	Lisa P LaGrou	2021-12-08 16:24:59+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	I dislike this map. This is not a good redistricting plan; it does not promote partisan fairness.	Lisa P LaGrou	2021-12-08 16:24:43+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	I dislike this map. This is not a good redistricting plan; it does not promote partisan fairness.	Lisa P LaGrou	2021-12-08 16:24:43+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	I dislike this map. This is not a good redistricting plan; it does not promote partisan fairness.	Lisa P LaGrou	2021-12-08 16:24:23+00
Pine v5	Dislike	I dislike this map. This is not a good redistricting plan; it does not promote partisan fairness.	Lisa P LaGrou	2021-12-08 16:24:00+00

Hickory	Dislike	I dislike this map. This is not a good redistricting plan; it does not promote partisan fairness.	Lisa P LaGrou	2021-12-08 16:23:40+00
Birch V2	Like	The Birch map best represents my community of interest.	Lance Schuhmacher	2021-12-08 16:22:59+00
Szetela House	Dislike	I dislike this map. This is not a good redistricting plan.	Lisa P LaGrou	2021-12-08 16:22:22+00
Linden	Dislike	I dislike this map. I urge you to not adopt this plan.	Lisa P LaGrou	2021-12-08 16:21:30+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is unfair because it cuts through many communities of interest, and it's unfair on the partisan measures.	Loida Tapia	2021-12-08 16:18:24+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	I dislike this map. Please do not adopt this plan.	Lisa P LaGrou	2021-12-08 16:16:12+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	I dislike this map. Please do not select this map. I feel that this plan does not follow the constitutional requirement to be based on a Communities of Interest criteria.	Lisa P LaGrou	2021-12-08 16:14:59+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	I dislike this map. I feel that this plan does not follow the constitutional requirement to be based on a Communities of Interest criteria and is gerrymandered based on partisan considerations in violation of the constitutional requirements and should be rejected.	Lisa P LaGrou	2021-12-08 16:13:58+00
Apple V2	Dislike	I dislike this map. I feel that this plan does not follow the constitutional requirement to be based on a Communities of Interest criteria and is gerrymandered based on partisan considerations in violation of the constitutional requirements and should be rejected. I feel that this plan does not follow the constitutional requirement to be based on a Communities of Interest criteria and is gerrymandered based on partisan considerations in violation of the constitutional requirements and should be rejected.	Lisa P LaGrou	2021-12-08 16:13:22+00
Chestnut	Dislike	I feel that this plan does not follow the constitutional requirement to be based on a Communities of Interest criteria and is gerrymandered based on partisan considerations in violation of the constitutional requirements and should be rejected.	Lisa P LaGrou	2021-12-08 16:12:24+00

Palm	Like	I urge the adoption of this plan to maintain partisan fairness and prevent gerrymandering. I like this plan.	Lisa P LaGrou	2021-12-08 16:09:59+00
Birch V2	Like	I feel that this plan is the most constitutional and fair plan. I urge its adoption. The remaining plans do not follow the constitutional requirement to be based on a Communities of Interest criteria and are gerrymandered based on partisan considerations in violation of the constitutional requirements and should be rejected.	Lisa P LaGrou	2021-12-08 16:08:12+00
Magnolia	Like	Thank you for this well balanced approach. I like this map.	Lisa P LaGrou	2021-12-08 16:04:33+00
Palm	Like	I prefer this map as it recognizes the preferences of each community.	John Roy	2021-12-08 15:53:58+00
Palm	Like	I see this as the most likely map representing the areas of interest of the individual communities. I prefer this choice.	John Roy	2021-12-08 15:52:00+00

Kellom Senate	Dislike	I dislike this map. I encourage you to keep Jackson and Washtenaw counties separate as they are very different in needs and interests.	Kathy McClinchey	2021-12-08 15:44:56+00
Birch V2	Like	I prefer this map for the proposed choices.	John Roy	2021-12-08 15:44:35+00
Linden	Dislike	I dislike this map. I encourage you to keep Jackson and Washtenaw counties separate as they are very different in needs and interests.	Kathy McClinchey	2021-12-08 15:43:58+00
Palm	Like	Of all the proposed maps I like this one.	Kathy McClinchey	2021-12-08 15:42:46+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	I dislike this map. I encourage you to keep Jackson and Washtenaw counties separate as they are very different in needs and interests.	Kathy McClinchey	2021-12-08 15:40:54+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	Of all the maps I like this one because it keeps Jackson and Washtenaw counties within their own areas of interest.	Kathy McClinchey	2021-12-08 15:39:22+00
Apple V2	Dislike	I dislike this map. I encourage you to keep Jackson and Washtenaw counties separate as they are very different in needs and interests.	Kathy McClinchey	2021-12-08 15:34:30+00

Birch V2	Dislike	I dislike this map. I encourage you to keep Jackson and Washtenaw counties separate as they are very different in needs and interests.	Kathy McClinchey	2021-12-08 15:33:10+00
Chestnut	Like	I like this map it keeps Jackson and Washtenaw counties within their own area.	Kathy McClinchey	2021-12-08 15:28:24+00
Cherry V2	Like	This is a lot better than what we've had. Thanks!	Charles Tobin LaVoy	2021-12-08 15:20:39+00
Linden	Like	This is definitely a step in the right direction for partisan fairness!	Charles Tobin LaVoy	2021-12-08 15:19:37+00
Palm	Like	The "Palm" senate map is the one that most protects the interests of differing communities.	Dakota Barnes	2021-12-08 15:18:42+00
Hickory	Like	I appreciate the UP getting 3 districts. West, Central and Eastern really do have their own interests.	Charles Tobin LaVoy	2021-12-08 15:18:18+00
Palm	Dislike	This just looks like more partisan gerrymandering again. I thought the whole point was to have a map that's fair?	Charles Tobin LaVoy	2021-12-08 15:14:23+00
Linden	Like	I do believe this map does show partisan fairness based on scoring.	Ruth Ziel	2021-12-08 15:08:13+00

Hickory	Like	Again the maps were supposed to be neutral. While the partisan scoring is better it is not neutral. I encourage you to keep Jackson and Washtenaw counties separate as they are very different in needs and interests.	Ruth Ziel	2021-12-08 15:05:27+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	I am terribly dissatisfied in the commission putting forth this map. These maps were to be neutral. This is clearly not based on its scoring.	Kathy McClinchey	2021-12-08 15:03:32+00
Palm	Dislike	I live in Coe Township and I think this is a terrible map. I see no benefit to remove us from Isabella County. I do most of my shopping in Isabella County. My kids and I utilize the library in Mt. Pleasant and my community involvement is in Isabella County. Also, I do not feel I will be properly represented as part of Midland County.	Ruth Ziel	2021-12-08 15:01:11+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Please be aware that this map currently proposes to separate the City of Dexter's Cedars neighborhood (triangle formed by McCormick Pl & Katherine Way) from the rest of the City.	Sarah Bryant	2021-12-08 14:43:51+00
Cherry V2	Like		Justin Breyer	2021-12-08 14:41:48+00

Lange Senate correct Opinion	<p>Please be aware that this map currently proposes to separate the City of Dexter's Cedars neighborhood (triangle formed by McCormick Pl &amp; Katherine Way) from the rest of the City.</p>	Justin Breyer	2021-12-08 14:41:15+00
Szetela House	<p>I live in Coe Township and serve on both a local school board and the Gratiot-Isabella RESD board. Combining Coe Township with Midland County to create a MI House district makes no sense. We have limited contact/cooperation with Midland County and no shared services. Coe Township needs to be kept with Isabella County as we have shared services with other Townships and our state level representation should be consistent across our county.</p>	Matthew Showaletr	2021-12-08 14:28:07+00

I have to shake my head at the thought of separating Coe Township from Isabella County. Two previous comments regarding this mention gerrymandering, education, economic, and environment which I totally agree with. Another factor to consider is Coe Township's relationship and proximity with the Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe. There are tribal members who are residents in Coe Township and their culture is felt throughout Isabella County. To disconnect this community does not make sense. Birch V2 is geographically compact, keeps communities of like interest together and seems fair to both political parties. Please select Birch V2. Thank you for your hour or service.

Szetela House

Dislike

Patti Sandel

2021-12-08 13:19:22+00

Birch V2

Like

Loretta Lorenzo

2021-12-08 13:15:28+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>This map should be tossed, it's the WORST at partisan fariness, which voters said they wanted when the overwhelming approved Prop. 2 in 2018 to create the MICRC and take the map drawing away from interested partisans.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	Mark Zacharda	2021-12-08 04:31:22+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>The Magnolia map again follows sthe criteria in MI Constitution and considers many of the communities of like interest. It appears to be a well balanced approach.</p>	Elaine Samson	2021-12-08 03:27:01+00
Palm	Like	<p>The Palm map seems to follow the criteria in the Constitution and keeps communities of interest together.</p>	Elaine Samson	2021-12-08 03:18:42+00
Palm	Like	<p>The Palm map seems to follow the criteria in the Constitution and keeps communities of interest together.</p>	Elaine Samson	2021-12-08 03:18:41+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>this map is great</p>	Gerald A Payment	2021-12-08 03:13:48+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>this map horrible</p>	Gerald A Payment	2021-12-08 03:07:55+00

Birch V2	Like	It appears this is the best map presented to the public as it keeps communities together that are more in common. "This is like talking to a wall." More facts for the gerrymanderers. The City of Grand Rapids is 200,000, not 500,000. The City of Kalamazoo is 75,000, not 200,000. I suppose if you can create imaginary votes you can also create imaginary populations too. As the Marxist say "the end justifies the means."	Elaine Samson	2021-12-08 03:05:35+00
Birch V2	Like	PS, This is the best map. "This is like talking to a wall." More facts for the gerrymanderers. The City of Grand Rapids is 200,000, not 500,000. The City of Kalamazoo is 75,000, not 200,000. I suppose if you can create imaginary votes you can also create imaginary populations too. As the Marxist say "the end justifies the means."	merlin steffes	2021-12-08 02:32:47+00
Lange Congressional	Opinion	This map improves partisan fairness.	merlin steffes	2021-12-08 02:25:25+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map is a fair map and achieves partisan fairness.	Jeff Towner	2021-12-08 02:14:54+00
Linden	Like		Jeff Towner	2021-12-08 02:13:16+00

Hickory	Like	This map is an improvement for partisan fairness. Keep Livingston County intact and fix the 2020 Election steal.	Jeff Towner	2021-12-08 02:10:56+00
Birch V2	Like	This does not serve the purpose of keeping communities of interest together. It exacerbates the partisan imbalance. It does not represent partisan fairness, which is the reason the Commission was established to avoid. Not a fair map.	Marie and Mike Bien	2021-12-08 02:09:37+00
Palm	Dislike	Very partisan map, therefore unfair. Sink it. It is worthless.	Jeff Towner	2021-12-08 02:07:54+00
Palm	Dislike		Marjorie Anna Tursak	2021-12-08 01:46:14+00

The entirety of Bridgeport Charter Township has historically been in the same House district as the City of Saginaw. We are one and the same community and are tied together culturally and economically. It would be a great disservice to the citizens of Bridgeport to share representation with communities that are more rural in composition when Bridgeport has a dynamic mix of urban, suburban and rural constituencies. As a Trustee of Bridgeport Charter Township, I have a unique and personal perspective and can tell you that Bridgeport voters want to remain aligned closely to the City of Saginaw. Please do everything in your power to include the whole of Bridgeport Charter Township in the same House district as the City of Saginaw.

Szetela House

Dislike

Brandell Adams

2021-12-08 01:43:22+00

The entirety of Bridgeport Charter Township has historically been in the same House district as the City of Saginaw. We are one and the same community and are tied together culturally and economically. It would be a great disservice to the citizens of Bridgeport to share representation with communities that are more rural in composition when Bridgeport has a dynamic mix of urban, suburban and rural constituencies. As a Trustee of Bridgeport Charter Township, I have a unique and personal perspective and can tell you that Bridgeport voters want to remain aligned closely to the City of Saginaw. Please do everything in your power to include the whole of Bridgeport Charter Township in the same House district as the City of Saginaw.

Hickory

Dislike

Brandell Adams

2021-12-08 01:41:50+00

The entirety of Bridgeport Charter Township has historically been in the same House district as the City of Saginaw. We are one and the same community and are tied together culturally and economically. It would be a great disservice to the citizens of Bridgeport to share representation with communities that are more rural in composition when Bridgeport has a dynamic mix of urban, suburban and rural constituencies. As a Trustee of Bridgeport Charter Township, I have a unique and personal perspective and can tell you that Bridgeport voters want to remain aligned closely to the City of Saginaw. Please do everything in your power to include the whole of Bridgeport Charter Township in the same House district as the City of Saginaw.

Magnolia

Dislike

Brandell Adams

2021-12-08 01:40:45+00

Linden

Dislike

Unfair map. Gerrymandering. Joe

2021-12-08 01:40:13+00

The entirety of Bridgeport Charter Township has historically been in the same House district as the City of Saginaw. We are one and the same community and are tied together culturally and economically. It would be a great disservice to the citizens of Bridgeport to share representation with communities that are more rural in composition when Bridgeport has a dynamic mix of urban, suburban and rural constituencies. As a Trustee of Bridgeport Charter Township, I have a unique and personal perspective and can tell you that Bridgeport voters want to remain aligned closely to the City of Saginaw. Please do everything in your power to include the whole of Bridgeport Charter Township in the same House district as the City of Saginaw.

Pine v5

Dislike

Brandell Adams

2021-12-08 01:39:34+00

Linden

Dislike

Not a fair map. Ann Arbor should be together because it's a community of interest.

Sarah

2021-12-08 01:38:25+00

The entirety of Bridgeport Charter Township has historically been in the same House district as the City of Saginaw. We are one and the same community and are tied together culturally and economically. It would be a great disservice to the citizens of Bridgeport to share representation with communities that are more rural in composition when Bridgeport has a dynamic mix of urban, suburban and rural constituencies. As a Trustee of Bridgeport Charter Township, I have a unique and personal perspective and can tell you that Bridgeport voters want to remain aligned closely to the City of Saginaw. Please do everything in your power to include the whole of Bridgeport Charter Township in the same House district as the City of Saginaw.

Pine v5

Dislike

Brandell Adams

2021-12-08 01:37:12+00

Hickory

Like

This map is much better for partisan fairness than the Palm map.

diane chielens

2021-12-08 01:37:00+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	Ann Arbor does not belong with rural Hillsdale, rural Calhoun, and rural Jackson. The Commission has a responsibility to place communities of interest together and this map fails that clear Constitutional criteria.	Joe	2021-12-08 01:35:02+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map is an improvement on the other maps. It achieves partisan fairness.	diane chielens	2021-12-08 01:32:48+00

Ypsilanti and Ann Arbor should be separate. For 40 years I have called Saline Junior Ann Arbor. They should be in the same district. Dexter & Chelsea are very similar to much of western and southern Ann Arbor. They should be connected. The I94 corridor between Ann Arbor and Jackson has communities that share familial and employment ties that reach through Washtenaw county to western Wayne county. This map does not serve the purpose of keeping communities of interest together and it only serves to worsen the partisan imbalances that this endeavor was meant to avoid.

Palm

Dislike

Jennifer Jones Barnes

2021-12-08 01:31:14+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	Ann Arbor is a community of interest and should be together in one district. Joining part of the city of Ann Arbor with Hillsdale, Calhoun and Jackson counties is the exact gerrymandering this commission was supposed to avoid.	Sarah	2021-12-08 01:31:02+00
Palm	Like	I lived in Jackson for a number of years and can verify that Jackson and Ann Arbor are totally different communities. Palm does a good job of recognizing this reality and properly places each in their own districts. Good work.	Joe	2021-12-08 01:26:52+00
Linden	Like	This map achieves partisan fairness.	diane chielens	2021-12-08 01:25:04+00
Palm	Like	Palm is the best collaborative map, keeping communities of interest together. Ann Arbor is clearly a community of interest, which should stay together, along with Jackson being its own community of interest.	Sarah	2021-12-08 01:22:17+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is not fair. Of all the maps, this is the worst for partisan fairness.	diane chielens	2021-12-08 01:21:43+00

Cherry V2	Like	This map improves on existing maps.	John Braamse	2021-12-08 00:57:18+00
Linden	Like	This map increases accurate partisan representation.	John Braamse	2021-12-08 00:55:23+00
Hickory	Like	This map is the fairest of the options and improves on preventing communities of interest from each other.	John Braamse	2021-12-08 00:53:29+00
Palm	Dislike	This map does not reflect partisan fairness	John Braamse	2021-12-08 00:45:54+00
Hickory	Like	This map keeps our district very similar to the current one. I appreciate that it includes most of Clinton Township with all of the city of Mt. Clemens. Many of the other districts are similar to current configurations in our area, but with more attention paid to the COI along the shores of Lake St. Clair	Nancy Duemling	2021-12-08 00:22:49+00
Palm	Dislike	The Palm map does the poorest job of achieving partisan fairness. Please recognize this and reject this map. Thank you.	Tad Wysor	2021-12-08 00:13:22+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is unacceptable, it does not create a diverse voting pool, it separates the economic classes and is not equitable.	Tonya Ann Cole	2021-12-08 00:09:03+00

Palm	Dislike	Palm map unfairly packs Ann Arbor into a single district. This disregards the wishes of the Ann Arbor community, me.	Cheryl Jones	2021-12-08 00:08:09+00
Palm	Dislike	this map does not achieve nonpartisan fairness. Packing all Dems into one district is the exact opposite of what the referendum on the commision intended, and contrary to the will of the people of Ann Arbor.	Kirsten Herold	2021-12-08 00:07:06+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is highly biased in terms of partisan fairness. It packs all of the Ann Arbor community into one district, contrary to the wishes of most of this community. If we want to be true to the purpose of this Commission, we cannot use this map!	Edward Ian Robinson	2021-12-08 00:06:25+00
Palm	Dislike	I do not support this map. It does not accurately represent democratic votes in Jackson County. It has terrible bipartisan fairness.	Nikkia Hurlbert	2021-12-08 00:06:10+00
Palm	Dislike	This map does not reflect fair representation of COI. Do not vote for this map.	Pat Ulanowicz	2021-12-08 00:05:00+00
Palm	Dislike	unfair to split the city of Dowagiac	Sheila Pedersen	2021-12-08 00:03:29+00
Pine v5	Opinion	I like what is done with Genesee county.	Elizabeth	2021-12-07 23:49:24+00

Lange Congressional	Opinion	Midland is best placed in this districting map.	Elizabeth	2021-12-07 23:29:39+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	Fairness of this map not good for Michigan compared to the others. This will be just as bad as current Senate district maps. Area around Grand Rapids is not divided well. The minority inner-city populations will not be represented well. This map will be unfair for western Michigan and Michigan.	Donna Farris	2021-12-07 23:24:54+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Please do not select this map. Fairness of this map not good for Michigan compared to the others. This will be just as bad as current Senate district maps. Area around Grand Rapids is divided well. Please do not select this map because of the unfairness for Michigan	Donna Farris	2021-12-07 23:23:38+00
Palm	Dislike	Fairness of this map is worst for Michigan compared to all the others. This will be just as bad as current Senate district maps. Area around Grand Rapids is divided well. Please do not select this map because of the unfairness for Michigan.	Donna Farris	2021-12-07 23:22:22+00

Cherry V2	Like	<p>Appreciate that Grand Rapids is divided into two districts north and south on this map as well. Living on the north side, I can see the difference in the community commonalities compared to the south side district. The south side has an inner-city character that needs focused attention. This map would be second choice map for Michigan â€œ Linden being the first.</p>	Donna Farris	2021-12-07 23:21:12+00
-----------	------	---	--------------	------------------------

Linden	Like	<p>Appreciate that Grand Rapids is divided into two districts north and south. Living on the north side, I can see the difference in the community commonalities compared to the south side district. Thank you for putting Ada and Cascade townships with the north side where they fit best. Fairness of this map is best for Michigan. This is the first choice for Senate maps.</p>	Donna Farris	2021-12-07 23:19:42+00
--------	------	---	--------------	------------------------

The western Michigan area contains the only other large city population besides Detroit in Michigan. By combining Grand Rapids with the adjoining rural townships in Kent, Ottawa and Ionia counties, the percentage of rural population is so large that the priority needs of the city will be completely discounted. All the other areas of Michigan are small town/rural so there is no other opportunity to represent city type areas. The city areas of western Michigan deserve representation (over 500,000 people in Grand Rapids and over 200,000 in Kalamazoo). This map is just as bad as the Birch map. This is the most unfair map for Michigan and western Michigan. Please do not select this map.

Lange Congressional Dislike

Donna Farris

2021-12-07 23:17:50+00

By combining Grand Rapids and Muskegon, this district population contains many city residents, however, because of the smaller size of Muskegon compared to Kalamazoo, the percentage of rural population (even more than the Chestnut map), is such that the priority needs of the cities will not be considered. This map is not good for Michigan and western Michigan. Please do not select this map.

Szetela Congressional Dislike

Donna Farris

2021-12-07 23:16:47+00

The western Michigan area contains the only other large city population besides Detroit in Michigan. By combining Grand Rapids with the adjoining rural townships in Kent, Ottawa and Ionia counties, the percentage of rural population is so large that the priority needs of the city will be completely discounted. All the other areas of Michigan are small town/rural so there is no other opportunity to represent city type areas. The city areas of western Michigan deserve representation (over 500,000 people in Grand Rapids and over 200,000 in Kalamazoo). This is the most unfair map for Michigan and western Michigan. Please do not select this map.

Birch V2

Dislike

Donna Farris

2021-12-07 23:15:41+00

The western Michigan area contains the only other large city population besides Detroit in Michigan. By combining Grand Rapids and Muskegon, this district population contains many city residents, however, because of the smaller size of Muskegon compared to Kalamazoo, the percentage of rural population is such that the priority needs of the cities will be diminished greatly. All the other areas of Michigan are small town/rural so there is no other opportunity to represent city type areas. This is the second best map for Michigan and western Michigan compared to Apple

Chestnut

Opinion V2.

Donna Farris

2021-12-07 23:14:19+00

Apple V2	Like	<p>The western Michigan area contains the only other large city population besides Detroit in Michigan. The district population as drawn contains mostly city residents. Combining Grand Rapids with Kalamazoo provides a district that a representative can focus on needs and wants of city type areas. All the other areas of Michigan are small town/rural so this makes sense. If the city areas of western Michigan are divided up, representation will be split between city and country needs. This is the best map for Michigan and western Michigan.</p>	Donna Farris	2021-12-07 23:13:03+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>Like communities of interest are not kept together around Grand Rapids in this map. Also, the map is the poorest in terms of partisan fairness for Michigan. Please do not vote for this map.</p>	Donna Farris	2021-12-07 23:11:40+00

Pine v5	Opinion	<p>The districts around Grand Rapids are well chosen by keeping like communities together. Sorry, but this is a poor map for Michigan overall in terms of partisan fairness.</p> <p>Please do not select this map.</p>	Donna Farris	2021-12-07 23:10:18+00
Magnolia	Opinion	<p>The districts around Grand Rapids are well chosen by keeping like communities together. Sorry, but this is a poor map for Michigan overall in terms of partisan fairness.</p> <p>Please do not select this map.</p>	Donna Farris	2021-12-07 23:09:10+00
Szetela House	Like	<p>I really like this map. It has the best partisan fairness scores out of all the proposed house maps and gives Midland and Bay City a district with nearly a perfect zero political bias. If this map is available right away for the vote, I want Szetela's house map, but if it is not Hickory is the best alternative choice.</p>	Jennifer Austin	2021-12-07 23:07:51+00

		Appreciate that the northeast side of Grand Rapids is in a district with Plainfield, Grand Rapids and Ada Townships. This is our community and there are many commonalities here. In addition, this map has the best partisan fairness. This is the first choice for House		
Hickory	Like	maps	Donna Farris	2021-12-07 23:07:25+00
Cherry V2	Like	This Map achieves partisan fairness.	Robert Anderson	2021-12-07 23:03:58+00
Palm	Dislike	Vote No of Palm Map. This map is not a representation of partisan fairness. This outline will not benefit all communities involved.	Charise Martin	2021-12-07 23:03:53+00
Szetela Senate	Opinion	This is a good district for the Tri-Cities and I like it, but the partisan fairness scores don't seem any better than Linden. Linden is my first choice of senate maps, so unless this does something for a particular community of interest that I'm unaware of I, would prefer Linden.	Jennifer Austin	2021-12-07 23:03:19+00
Linden	Like	I like the way this Map achieves partisan fairness.	Robert Anderson	2021-12-07 23:02:01+00
Hickory	Like	This map does achieve a good degree of fairness.	Robert Anderson	2021-12-07 22:59:59+00

Fairness is important - both  
Republicans and Democrats  
should have a fair chance.

It's important to celebrate  
diversity and assure that all  
groups have representation,  
not just white rural voters.

The BIRCH map is the least  
disruption from the current  
maps.

This Palm Map does not  
achieve partisan fairness.

Birch V2

Like

Anne Serafin

2021-12-07 22:58:43+00

Palm

Dislike

Robert C. Anderson

2021-12-07 22:55:03+00

Lange Senate correct	Dislike	<p>I do not understand Commissioner Lange's obsession with placing Midland in central/western/northern districts. She doesn't even live here so why is she so focused on deciding what she thinks is best for Midland. As a Midland resident I can tell you that Midland is part of the Tri-Cities and Mid-Michigan. The Tri-Cities are Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw. Mid-Michigan is a bunch of counties, but the core counties have always been Midland, Bay, Saginaw, and Genesee. There are many reasons why these communities should be untied in legislative districts. Lange's continued insistence on placing Midland in strong GOP districts reeks of gerrymandering. Do not adopt this map. The Linden map has the best partisan fairness scores and a</p>	Jennifer Austin	2021-12-07 22:49:50+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>Community of Interest should include Tuscola County and Bay County as we are rural and an agricultural area.</p>	Douglas & Gaylee Henne	2021-12-07 21:54:44+00

Birch V2	Like	No plan is perfect, but the Birch map looks as if it addresses the partisan gerrymandering more appropriately. It appears to be more fair and to address diversity in the population. It doesn't carve up my city, Detroit, so egregiously. I would support Birch.	MARY JANE CASSADY	2021-12-07 21:31:32+00
Apple V2	Like	I prefer (as with this Map) that Berrien County stay intact for voting purposes.. I would rather see Berrien county as one vs two districts	Kenneth Peterson	2021-12-07 21:21:17+00
Chestnut	Dislike	when voting.. I do like this senate district for the Tri-Cities, but the partisan fairness scores are not better than Cherry or Linden. I prefer Linden as the senate map	Kenneth Peterson	2021-12-07 21:16:30+00
Kellom Senate	Opinion	choice.	Jennifer Austin	2021-12-07 21:08:23+00

I do not like this map. Commissioner Lange clearly has an agenda because every map she has drawn takes Midland from the Tri-Cities and Mid-Michigan and places us with counties we have little in common with. This District 2 does not reflect the reality that Midland has been and still is part of the Tri-Cities and part of Mid-Michigan. There are so many reasons that these communities fit together, and yet Commissioner Lange continually chooses to ignore those facts for her own agenda. Please do not choose this map.

Lange Congressional Dislike

Jennifer Austin

2021-12-07 20:58:57+00

Splitting north Ann Arbor from south Ann Arbor makes no sense. You are separating out shared community of interest. Based on this map you obviously have a Harbaugh-esq record this season: 1-5.

Linden

Dislike

Megan

2021-12-07 20:28:28+00

Palm	Like	Thank you for preserving Jackson county and not splitting city of Ann Arbor. This properly represents your mission of preserving communities of interest.	Megan	2021-12-07 20:23:22+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This map is a textbook example of partisan gerrymandering. I am disappointed that this commission would split up so many communities of interest Jackson, washtenaw, Calhoun and Hilldale deserve better than this. Respectfully, Megan	Megan	2021-12-07 20:20:17+00
Linden	Dislike	This is gerrymandering. I do not like this map. Do your job better. We need a map that better reflects public comments.	Sarah Paige McNally	2021-12-07 20:13:43+00
Linden	Like	This reflects communities of interest and I like this map. We dislike this map. This is gerrymandering. Don't	Sarah Paige McNally	2021-12-07 20:10:11+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	split up Ann Arbor.	Sarah Paige McNally	2021-12-07 19:59:05+00
Linden	Dislike	This is full on gerrymandering, it does not properly reflect communities of interest in the State of Michigan.	Emma	2021-12-07 19:54:45+00

Palm	Like	Though this map is far from perfect, it is the best out of the 6 proposed. It recognizes the community of interest around Ann Arbor. I hope you listen to public comment surrounding that community.	Emma	2021-12-07 19:52:26+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This map does not reflect my community of interest, splitting up Ann Arbor is a partisan gerrymander. This was supposed to end gerrymandering.	Emma	2021-12-07 19:48:43+00
Hickory	Like	By far the best map of all the house maps but still very unfair. You should start over, but I know that wont happen so I choose this one	Michael Jewell	2021-12-07 19:19:43+00
Chestnut	Like	I prefer this districting. It is better on partisan fairness and would also work as a state senate map	Elizabeth	2021-12-07 19:18:19+00
Linden	Like	This is not a map that represents our communities well	Michael Jewell	2021-12-07 19:14:28+00
Linden	Dislike	Not the best map but a lot better than the rest of the choices. A lot more bipartisan	Milene Plisko	2021-12-07 19:10:50+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thanks to the commision. This is a better map that represents our communities	Michael Jewell	2021-12-07 19:10:23+00
Palm	Like	interest	Milene Plisko	2021-12-07 19:08:54+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	This is a bad map that does but recognize our communities	Milene P	2021-12-07 19:06:25+00
Palm	Like	I prefer this districting.	Elizabeth Warn	2021-12-07 18:57:51+00
Pine v5	Dislike	This is the only version that splits off a portion of Lincoln Park. Causing 1.5 precincts to have a different rep....totally confusing to our voters.	Kerry Kehrer	2021-12-07 18:53:32+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Do not divide a small town area into two distinctly different districts.	anne smith	2021-12-07 18:32:33+00
Pine v5	Dislike	This map is awful - splits my community into 3 districts. More importantly - it is very UNFAIR from a partisan fairness perspective.. Absolutely reject this map.	lori A Boyce	2021-12-07 18:26:23+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map has fewer majority-minority districts than Hickory does, undermines the City of Detroit's representation, and has a worse partisan fairness score as well. You can do better! In fact, you did do better -- Hickory is better.	lori A Boyce	2021-12-07 18:23:24+00
Linden	Like	Not ideal but better than the others from a partisan fairness perspective	lori A Boyce	2021-12-07 18:17:45+00

			This map is the worst of the 3. It is complete gerrymandering. I thought the commission was supposed to be bipartisan.		
Palm	Dislike	Very disappointed	Michael Jewell	2021-12-07 18:17:13+00	
		I do not support this map. I do not feel that I would be represented fairly, being grouped with Ann Arbor. Two			
Szetela Senate	Dislike	different worlds.	David J Houck	2021-12-07 17:36:28+00	
		I do not support this map. No business being in the same			
Kellom Senate	Dislike	district as Ann Arbor.	David J Houck	2021-12-07 17:34:06+00	
		This is the fairest map, and keeps communities of interest that are on the oakland/Macomb border from being split as much as			
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	possible.	Russell Holtslander	2021-12-07 17:31:41+00	
Linden	Dislike	I do not support this map.	David J Houck	2021-12-07 17:31:12+00	
Palm	Like	I support this map.	David J Houck	2021-12-07 17:29:00+00	
		Not a good map. Keep waterloo out of the same			
Cherry V2	Dislike	district as Ann Arbor.	David J Houck	2021-12-07 17:26:29+00	
		Magnolia is best; "stop the steal" of the 2020 election.			
Magnolia	Like	Palm is best; "stop the steal"	Andrew and Barbara Tonkovich	2021-12-07 17:19:09+00	
		of 2020 election.			
Palm	Like		Andrew and Barbara Tonkovich	2021-12-07 17:15:42+00	
		Birch is best; leave Livingston County intact and "fix the			
Birch V2	Like	steal" of 2020 election.	Andrew and Barbara Tonkovich	2021-12-07 17:08:46+00	
Magnolia	Like	Best of the House maps.	Victor W Roeske	2021-12-07 16:58:02+00	

Lange Senate	Dislike	This map is subject to heavy partisan bias. Representation of city populations is disregarded. Please do not select this map.	Cary Fleischer	2021-12-07 16:57:24+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This map is subject to heavy partisan bias. Please do not select this map.	Cary Fleischer	2021-12-07 16:56:22+00
Palm	Like	Best of the Senate maps.	Victor W Roeske	2021-12-07 16:55:35+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is subject to heavy partisan bias. Please do not select this map	Cary Fleischer	2021-12-07 16:55:22+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thanks for keeping 2 Senate districts in urban/suburban Grand Rapids. There is enough population that two districts are necessary. The districts have some common needs based on being urban areas. Dividing the city north and south is the best for segregating the unique needs of the districts. However, this would be a second choice to Linden as it is not as fair for Michigan state.	Cary Fleischer	2021-12-07 16:54:17+00

Szetela Congressional	Like	I like this map because it breaks the different 'districts' of Detroit with different needs, into their own representative districts. Downtown Detroit has different needs than those of the suburbs.	Benjamin Christenson	2021-12-07 16:31:50+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Berrien has more in common with Kent than it does with Monroe. People tend to work and travel a lot more to the north and south than to the east--there's little over in that direction.	Peter Trine	2021-12-07 16:30:06+00
Birch V2	Like	The Birch option appears to be the best of the congressional redistricting options. As a retired US Army officer and college math teacher, I do have serious concerns about the truthfulness of the data actually being to used in the process. Starting with bad data never yields truthful results. Integrity first, commission!	Victor Roeske	2021-12-07 16:29:21+00

Chestnut	Like	I like this map because it combines the large economic cities of Grand Rapids, Muskegon unlike the current map that tries to break up the urban communities.	Benjamin Charles Christenson	2021-12-07 16:23:21+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This map is not optimal because it breaks the large metro population of grand rapids into small subsections all weakened from rural nearby counties.	Benjamin Christenson	2021-12-07 16:15:52+00

This is by far the best of the five plans for West Michigan. It makes Kent County one undivided county and keeps Ottawa County one undivided county. Both are top 10 population counties, and Ottawa is Michigan's fastest growing county. I have lived in different parts of Ottawa County for 50 years and one 10-year splitting of the Congressional representation of the county was confusing at best. The agricultural community of interest and the settlement of Ottawa County from the eastern shore of Lake Michigan have a set of interests fully recognized by the Apple V2 Plan. The people who live in Ottawa County and along the east shore of Lake Michigan are diverse and have an important community of interest that is an important part of our state. The Apple V2 Plan does the best job of This map keeps most of Livingston together. It gives the most possibility of equal out come in the state house.

Apple V2

Like

Dr. Jack Holmes

2021-12-07 13:42:27+00

Magnolia

Like

Douglas Helzerman

2021-12-07 04:12:06+00

Hickory Map is the least of the bad maps for the House, but we beg you to pause and start over on the House maps. We do not want unfair maps. The party with the least votes will get the most seats. This is not the purpose of your commission. PLEASE start

Hickory

Like

over on the House maps!  
Hickory looks like it is  
Gerrymandered. It violates  
every idea of Citizens not  
politicians. The democrat  
party could not draw a more

nina dodge abrams

2021-12-07 04:09:48+00

Hickory

Dislike

partisan map

Douglas Helzerman

2021-12-07 03:58:16+00

If only the City of Muskegon and the City of Kalamazoo could be moved to Kent County then there would be a fair map with an urban congressional district, BUT REALITY GETS IN THE WAY, since the City of Muskegon is in Muskegon County and the City of Kalamazoo is in Kalamazoo County then to combine the city of Muskegon or the City of Kalamazoo in a congressional district with the City of Grand Rapids and only part of Kent County is GERRYMANDERING to benefit a political party and

Lange Congressional	Opinion	disenfranchise the people.	merlin steffes	2021-12-07 03:39:07+00
Chestnut	Dislike	I like district 7 but the overall map is not fair	Douglas Helzerman	2021-12-07 03:25:13+00

The Lange Plan is the best compromise for West Michigan. It makes Kent County one whole county and preserves Ottawa County as one whole county. It probably is not the first choice of either county, but does not choose sides. From my perspective as a political scientist who has lived in different parts of Ottawa County for fifty years, it preserves the Dutch heritage of settlement of the county which started on the east shore of Lake Michigan in Holland and moved in all directions. Taking the most Dutch heritage part of Michigan and the diverse people who chose to live there and merging it with Kent County would be like denying the heritages of northern and eastern Michigan, especially since both Ottawa and Kent Counties are top ten

Lange Congressional Like

Jack Holmes

2021-12-07 03:20:46+00

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Like		This is one of the few maps that does not break up Monroe County. I believe this is the best map for our county without mixing rural communities with urban communities.	Candice Ferrell	2021-12-07 02:22:14+00
Szetela House	Dislike	A rural community in Monroe County is a different community of interest from Taylor which is closer to an urban community. Our county with a low population is being split up into 4 different districts? Rural areas should be grouped with other rural areas.	Candice Ferrell	2021-12-07 02:15:32+00
Palm	Dislike	Palm map is the most non-partisan, it does not meet the VRA standards, and deliberately packs Washtenaw. District 6 is a poor collection of different community of interests with no common anything and leaves all towns, cities, religion, and minorities without fair representation.	Nina Dodge Abrams	2021-12-07 01:15:39+00

I am in support of the Birch v2 map for the following reasons: as a former school board member I appreciate that this map keeps all Romeo Community Schools district in one Congressional area. I walked to collect signatures so that the gerrymandering issue could be voted on by Michigan voters in 2018. Therefore, I studied the maps looking for the one that avoided partisan gerrymandering and maintains the Community of Interest concept as was overwhelming supported by voters. In my opinion the Birch v2map meets these standards. I like that this map is keeping the Gratiot corridor in the county intact. Even though this map crosses county lines, it is similar to our current US Congressional district. In keeping north Warren and Sterling Heights,

Birch V2	Like	as well as Troy together,	Susan Hier	2021-12-07 01:10:19+00
Hickory	Like	Best of the lot, I guess.	Gretta Van Bree	2021-12-07 01:04:50+00
		This is the only House map I like. It keeps the lakeshore communities together. They have common interests.		
Hickory	Like		Teri G Frantz	2021-12-07 00:54:11+00

Palm	Like	This is the most fair of the maps. Palm seems to be the one that will protect all of our communities and is fair to all. I feel this is the most fair and balanced Senate map. It is especially fair to the	Tracy L Abbott	2021-12-07 00:52:49+00
Linden	Like	southwest side of the state. Not the best, but not the	Teri G Frantz	2021-12-07 00:49:51+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	worst. I like Linden better. This looks like a	Teri G Frantz	2021-12-07 00:47:30+00
Palm	Dislike	gerrymandered mess. Too many little bits and pieces. This seems to be the best of all options. I appreciate that	Teri G Frantz	2021-12-07 00:45:07+00
Birch V2	Like	fact the Lake Michigan areas are kept together. I believe this map, Birch, represents the needs of my community, and our neighboring communities, the	Teri G Frantz	2021-12-07 00:41:05+00
Birch V2	Like	best.	mary macigewski	2021-12-07 00:40:28+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>This map looks like the product of a traditional gerrymandering expert when it comes to Washtenaw County, where I lived and was active in the community for over a dozen years. It has the highest partisan lean of any of the state Senate maps. And it undermines majority-minority district representation in the state Senate.</p>	Michael Sklar	2021-12-07 00:37:58+00
Chestnut	Opinion	<p>I doubt that all communities across the southern part and northern part of the state will have similar interests. Looks like areas should be divided down the middle of the state too.</p>	Teri G Frantz	2021-12-07 00:36:58+00

Linden	Like	I lived in Washtenaw County for over a decade and was active in the community. This map does a much better and fairer job of mapping Washtenaw County than does Pine (which is a classic example of packing, and a better job than does Cherry (which splits southwestern Washtenaw County despite its coherence as a community of interest along the I-94 corridor). It also has a more moderate partisan lean than Pine (about half!!) and a better Voting Rights Act score than Cherry.	Michael Sklar	2021-12-07 00:32:30+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	Not the worst map, but not perfect. Other than the Detroit area, most districts would be too difficult in a day. Linden is a much more fair map than Palm. Please choose the fairest option in front of you - that would be Linden.	Teri Frantz	2021-12-07 00:27:20+00
Linden	Like	Linden.	Emily	2021-12-07 00:19:12+00

This map leaves a lot to be desired. It has a number of districts that span the border between Detroit and communities to the north of the City -- diluting the representation of both the City and the inner-ring northern suburbs, which are unique communities with unique interests. It introduces a host of voting rights act problems by creating fewer majority-minority districts than currently exist and that are present in the Hickory and Magnolia maps. And it has a larger partisan bias than Hickory. Hickory is a better option!

Pine v5

Dislike

Michael Sklar

2021-12-07 00:17:14+00

This map has fewer majority-minority districts than Hickory does, undermines the City of Detroit's representation, and has a worse partisan fairness score as well. You can do better! In fact, you did do better -- Hickory is better.

Magnolia

Dislike

Michael Sklar

2021-12-07 00:09:46+00

This is the fairest state House map of any of the ones offered by the Commission. It also does the best job of complying with the Voting Rights Act requirements regarding minority communities, though it could have been better. VRA compliance is one of the weakest aspects of the Commission's maps, but this one at least does a decent job of it.

Hickory

Like

Michael Sklar

2021-12-07 00:06:16+00

I like the Szetela State House map the best. While none of the proposed State House maps are good, the Szetela map keeps more of Jackson county whole and keeps Waterloo Township in Jackson County. Waterloo is a very rural area, as is most of Jackson county. From a "community of interest" perspective, Jackson County is a much better fit for Waterloo Township than Washtenaw County. If you aren't going to create any new State House maps, please adopt this Szetela State House map. It does a much better job of satisfying the "communities of interest" objective for the County of Jackson. Thank you.

Szetela House

Like

Patrick Richardson

2021-12-07 00:05:51+00

I do not like the Hickory State House map. It combines rural Waterloo Township in Jackson County with a large part of Ann Arbor and other more densely populated Townships in Washtenaw County. Ann Arbor has public utilities, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Waterloo Township has none of these things. These different communities have different interests and needs and therefore require different legislative representation. Please adopt instead the "Szetela" State House map. It does a much better job of satisfying the "communities of interest"™ objective for

Hickory

Dislike

Jackson County. Thank you. This map is a decent map but it's not quite as fair or as logical as Linden regarding partisan fairness, VRA concerns, or the districting in Washtenaw County (where I lived for over a dozen years).

Patrick Richardson

2021-12-07 00:04:32+00

Cherry V2

Dislike

Michael Sklar

2021-12-07 00:01:34+00

I do not like the Magnolia State House map. It combines rural Waterloo Township in Jackson County with a large part of Ann Arbor and other more densely populated Townships in Washtenaw County. Ann Arbor has public utilities, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Waterloo Township has none of these things. These different communities have different interests and needs and therefore require different legislative representation. Please adopt instead the "Szetela" State House map. It does a much better job of satisfying the "communities of interest"™ objective for Jackson County. Thank you.

Magnolia

Dislike

Patrick Richardson

2021-12-07 00:01:15+00

I do not like the Pine V5 State House map. It combines rural Waterloo Township in Jackson County with a large part of Ann Arbor and other more densely populated Townships in Washtenaw County. Ann Arbor has public utilities, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Waterloo Township has none of these things. These different communities have different interests and needs and therefore require different legislative representation. Please adopt instead the "Szetela" State House map. It does a much better job of satisfying the "communities of interest"™ objective for Jackson County. Thank you.

Pine v5

Dislike

Patrick Richardson

2021-12-06 23:59:38+00

I do not like the Szetela State Senate map. It divides Jackson County and combines rural areas of Jackson county, which is rural in character, with densely populated areas in Washtenaw County, including half the city of Ann Arbor . Ann Arbor has public utilities, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas donâ€™t have these public services (and donâ€™t need them). These different communities have different needs and require different legislative representation. Please adopt instead the â€œPalmâ€ State Senate map. It keeps Jackson County whole and combines it with more rural sections of adjoining Counties. Thank you.

Szetela Senate

Dislike

Patrick Richardson

2021-12-06 23:58:26+00

I do not like the Lange State Senate map. It divides Jackson County and combines rural areas of Jackson county, which is rural in character, with densely populated areas in Washtenaw County, including half the city of Ann Arbor . Ann Arbor has public utilities, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas donâ€™t have these public services (and donâ€™t need them). These different communities have different needs and require different legislative representation. Please adopt instead the â€œPalmâ€ State Senate map. It keeps Jackson County whole and combines it with more rural sections of adjoining Counties. Thank you.

Lange Senate correct Dislike

Patrick Richardson

2021-12-06 23:56:56+00

I do not like the Kellom State Senate map. It divides Jackson County and combines rural areas of Jackson county, which is rural in character, with densely populated areas in Washtenaw County, including half the city of Ann Arbor . Ann Arbor has public utilities, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas donâ€™t have these public services (and donâ€™t need them). These different communities have different needs and require different legislative representation. Please adopt instead the â€œPalmâ€ State Senate map. It keeps Jackson County whole and combines it with more rural sections of adjoining Counties. Thank you.

Kellom Senate

Dislike

Patrick Richardson

2021-12-06 23:55:47+00

Cherry V2

Dislike

This map is better than Palm but Linden is the best of the State Senate maps.

Mary Ann Fontana

2021-12-06 23:55:42+00

This map still has a partisan lean, but it has the least partisan bias of any of the state Senate maps. It has an even better Voting Rights Act score than Cherry. And its split of Washtenaw County -- where I lived for over a dozen years -- makes more sense and better reflects the character of the country than does the split on the Cherry map.

Linden

Like

Michael Sklar

2021-12-06 23:55:07+00

I do not like the Linden State Senate map. It divides Jackson County and combines rural areas of Jackson county, which is rural in character, with densely populated areas in Washtenaw County, including half the city of Ann Arbor . Ann Arbor has public utilities, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas donâ€™t have these public services (and donâ€™t need them). These different communities have different needs and require different legislative representation. Please adopt instead the â€œPalmâ€ State Senate map. It keeps Jackson County whole and combines it with more rural sections of adjoining Counties. Thank you.

Linden

Dislike

Patrick Richardson

2021-12-06 23:54:40+00

I like the Palm State Senate map. It keeps Jackson County whole and combines it with other rural communities in adjacent Counties. It does a much better job at creating "communities of interest" that have different needs and therefore require different representation. Please adopt this "Palm" State Senate map. Thank you.

Palm

Like

Patrick Richardson

2021-12-06 23:53:02+00

I do not like the Cherry V2 State Senate map. It divides Jackson County and combines rural areas of Jackson county, which is rural in character, with densely populated areas in Washtenaw County, including half the city of Ann Arbor . Ann Arbor has public utilities, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas donâ€™t have these public services (and donâ€™t need them). These different communities have different needs and require different legislative representation. Please adopt instead the â€œPalmâ€ State Senate map. It keeps Jackson County whole and combines it with more rural sections of adjoining Counties. Thank you.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Patrick Richardson

2021-12-06 23:52:06+00

Linden	Like	<p>While this map is still a bit biased from a partisan fairness perspective, it is the least biased state Senate option out there, and it has an even better Voting Rights Act 'score' than Cherry. The Washtenaw County split also makes more sense in this map than does the Cherry map.</p> <p>I do not like the Szetela congressional map. It combines 3 low density Townships in the eastern portion of Jackson County with Washtenaw County. These 3 Jackson County Townships are very rural communities with almost no city services (water, sewer, broadband, public transportation, etc). Ann Arbor and large portions of Washtenaw County have all of these things. If this map were to be used, these Townships would not have a voice in Congress. Please don't adopt this map. The Chestnut map is much better.</p>	Michael Sklar	2021-12-06 23:51:12+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Thank you.	Patrick Richardson	2021-12-06 23:51:05+00

Linden	Like	<p>This is the best of the State Senate maps as far as Partisan fairness and compactness. I do not like the Lange congressional map. It combines 3 low density Townships in the eastern portion of Jackson County with Washtenaw County. These 3 Jackson County Townships are very rural communities with almost no city services (water, sewer, broadband, public transportation, etc). Ann Arbor and large portions of Washtenaw County have all of these things. If this map were to be used, these Townships would not have a voice in Congress. Please don't adopt this map. The Chestnut map is much better.</p>	Mary Ann Fontana	2021-12-06 23:50:41+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>Thank you.</p>	Patrick Richardson	2021-12-06 23:49:55+00

I do not like the Apple V2 congressional map. It combines 3 low density Townships in the eastern portion of Jackson County with Washtenaw County. These 3 Jackson County Townships are very rural communities with almost no city services (water, sewer, broadband, public transportation, etc). Ann Arbor and large portions of Washtenaw County have all of these things. If this map were to be used, these Townships would not have a voice in Congress. Please don't adopt this map. The Chestnut map is much better.

Apple V2

Dislike

Thank you.

Patrick Richardson

2021-12-06 23:48:17+00

I do not like the Birch V2 congressional map. It combines 3 low density Townships in the eastern portion of Jackson County with Washtenaw County. These 3 Jackson County Townships are very rural communities with almost no city services (water, sewer, broadband, public transportation, etc). Ann Arbor and large portions of Washtenaw County have all of these things. If this map were to be used, these Townships would not have a voice in Congress. Please don't adopt this map. The Chestnut map is much better.

Birch V2	Dislike	Thank you. Maps could always be better but Hickory map does a better job covering the requirements outlined for fairer redistricting and greater	Patrick Richardson	2021-12-06 23:46:37+00
Hickory	Like	partisan fairness This is another terrible map. It's partisan fairness score is	Cheryl Gambrell	2021-12-06 23:45:10+00
Pine v5	Dislike	unacceptable. Of all the maps this one promotes partisan fairness. Please consider approving this	Mary Ann Fontana	2021-12-06 23:44:08+00
Cherry V2	Like	map.	william asher	2021-12-06 23:42:34+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>I like the Chestnut Congressional map the best. It is the only one that keeps Jackson County whole. Jackson County is mostly rural and has different needs than more densely populated counties like Washtenaw. For this reason it needs different representation. Please adopt the Chestnut map.</p>	Patrick Richardson	2021-12-06 23:40:47+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>This map is terrible! It will not achieve partisan fairness. The needs of voters in the VRA districts are very different from those in Oakland County.</p>	Kristine McLonis	2021-12-06 23:39:21+00
Linden	Like	<p>I made a negative comment about this map moments ago - unfortunately I confused this map with another! This map does a pretty good job of promoting partisan fairness, so please disregard my other comment!</p>	William Asher	2021-12-06 23:38:27+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>This House map is terrible. My community is put together with communities that are far away and do not share common interests.</p>	Deborah Rosenman	2021-12-06 23:37:19+00

Pine v5	Dislike	This map divides up several VRA districts in Detroit. The needs of Detroit voters are quite different from those north of 8 Mile.	Kristine McLonis	2021-12-06 23:35:42+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is unacceptable to me. It has the highest partisan bias of any of the state Senate maps. It does not meet the Commission's responsibility to create competitive districts.	Michael Sklar	2021-12-06 23:35:20+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This is not a good map. It is not at all competitive regarding partisan fairness.	Mary Ann Fontana	2021-12-06 23:34:05+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map is awful. The partisan fairness is way off and the divisions do not suit our communities.	Debbie Rosenman	2021-12-06 23:31:48+00
Linden	Dislike	I have emphasized over and over again in my comments to the commission that partisan fairness (anti-gerrymandering) is the most important aspect of redistricting. This map fails to enable fairness. Please do not approve this map.	William Asher	2021-12-06 23:29:37+00
Cherry V2	Like	Although i do not like this map with respect to my own community. However, this is the better of the collaborative maps state wide / overall.	Iori A Boyce	2021-12-06 23:29:05+00

Linden	Like	This is the best Senate map.	Debbie Rosenman	2021-12-06 23:27:08+00
		This map does a good job of addressing the VRA related concerns of people in Detroit and closest to a reasonable partisan fairness measure.		
Hickory	Like		Dr. Melanie A. Hartman	2021-12-06 23:20:51+00
		This is the best of the State House maps. However I have a big problem with the fact that Oak Park and Royal Oak Township are split in half.		
Hickory	Like		Mary Ann Fontana	2021-12-06 23:20:02+00
		Partisan fairness is my main criterion for voicing approval or disapproval of your maps. Partisan unfairness = gerrymandering. That's the whole point of the citizens commission. This map comes closest of all your state house maps in achieving fairness.		
Hickory	Like	Please approve this map.	William Asher	2021-12-06 23:17:20+00
		This is the fairer of these maps state wide. Thus, i would support this map of the available maps.		
Hickory	Like		Iori A Boyce	2021-12-06 23:16:39+00
		This map more closely aligns with partisan fairness.		
Hickory	Like		Debbie Rosenman	2021-12-06 23:16:05+00

Hickory	Like	This map does a good job addressing the VRA related concerns of people in Detroit and comes closest to a reasonable partisan fairness measure.	Vaughn Derderian	2021-12-06 23:15:53+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is the worst partisan fairness measures of any proposed state senate maps.	Dr. Melanie A. Hartman	2021-12-06 23:15:09+00
Palm	Dislike	this map is very unfair with regards to partisan fairness. Palm is an extremely unfair map. Please VOTE NO on Palm. Palm has the highest Republican bias of all the maps.	Mary Ann Fontana	2021-12-06 23:15:02+00
Palm	Dislike	This is the worst overall map submitted in terms of fairness.	Emily	2021-12-06 23:13:08+00
Palm	Dislike	This map does NOT reflect partisan fairness. Bloomfield Township is also all broken apart as well.	lori A Boyce	2021-12-06 23:11:28+00
Palm	Dislike	I have advocated for partisan fairness in my remarks to commission hearings, and in comments on the commission website. This map fails by all measures of partisan fairness. Please do not approve this map.	Debbie Rosenman	2021-12-06 23:09:22+00
Palm	Dislike		William Asher	2021-12-06 23:08:37+00

Palm	Dislike	This map the worst partisan fairness measures of any proposed state senate map.	Vaughn Derderian	2021-12-06 23:07:58+00
Pine v5	Opinion	I want to thank the commission for splitting the districts to keep like areas of the city together. The south side of Grand Rapids (district 82) that has the poor minority population could use a dedicated representative. However, this is not a good district map for Michigan	Dorothy Munson	2021-12-06 22:59:06+00
Magnolia	Opinion	I want to thank the commission for splitting the districts to keep like areas of the city together. The south side of Grand Rapids (district 82) that has the poor minority population could use a dedicated representative. However, this is not a good district map for Michigan	Dorothy Munson	2021-12-06 22:58:11+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	This map is subject to heavy partisan bias. Representation of city populations is disregarded. Please do not select this map.	Cary Fleischer	2021-12-06 22:50:05+00

Thanks for keeping 2 Senate districts in urban/suburban Grand Rapids. There is enough population that two districts are necessary. The districts have some common needs based on being urban areas. Dividing the city north and south is the best for segregating the unique needs of the districts. Please vote for this map as number one choice as it is best for Michigan state.

Linden

Like

Cary Fleischer

2021-12-06 22:44:36+00

Looks like district 3 was drawn to intentionally add significant rural population to offset the urban influence of Grand Rapids. Grand Rapids has significant industry, business, higher education and medical activity. Being the second largest city in Michigan, it has problems/needs consistent with metropolitan areas. Combining a significant rural population in adjacent counties does not support or compliment this community. Both Apple V2 and Chestnut maps respect the community of interest aspect much better. In addition, this map is the worst for fairness across the state of Michigan.

Lange Congressional Dislike

Cary Fleischer

2021-12-06 22:43:25+00

Putting Muskegon in the same district 3 with Grand Rapids creates a district with an urban population. However, this map district adds a significant rural population that does not support or compliment this community. Grand Rapids has significant industry, business, higher education and medical activity. Being the second largest city in Michigan, it has problems/needs consistent with metropolitan areas.

Szetela Congressional Dislike

Cary Fleischer

2021-12-06 22:42:27+00

Looks like district 3 was drawn with the only consideration to keep the area geographically compact, but ignore communities of common interests. Grand Rapids has significant industry, business, higher education and medical activity. Being the second largest city in Michigan, it has problems/needs consistent with metropolitan areas. Combining a significant rural population in adjacent counties does not support or compliment this community. Both Apple V2 and Chestnut maps respect the community of interest aspect much better.

Birch V2

Dislike

Cary Fleischer

2021-12-06 22:41:22+00

Chestnut	Opinion	<p>Putting Muskegon in the same district 3 with Grand Rapids creates a district with a significant urban population. This is good for representation, but the district does not have the concentration of business, medical and industrial activity like the Apple V2 district 4.</p> <p>Second best map for Michigan compared to Apple V2</p>	Cary Fleischer	2021-12-06 22:40:20+00
		<p>District 4 on this map is drawn in an ideal shape to include most of the industrial, medical, higher education and business activity in all of west Michigan. It is ideal to combine the cities of Grand Rapids (second largest in Michigan) and Kalamazoo in this vibrant area because of the many commonalities. A dedicated congressional representative will be able to encourage the growth and prosperity of this district to benefit all of Michigan.</p> <p>Please select this map as it is</p>		
Apple V2	Like	the best for Michigan.	Cary Fleischer	2021-12-06 22:38:39+00
Birch V2	Like	Good mix of counties in #7	Douglas Helzerman	2021-12-06 22:29:37+00

Szetela House	Dislike	Do not select this map. Ada and Cascade residential townships are included in district 79 with very rural townships in Kent County and other townships outside the county in Barry and Allegan townships. This is a breakup of urban/suburban Grand Rapids. Also, suburban cities with common communities like Wyoming are broken into multiple districts. Totally counter to any semblance of communities of common interest.	Cary Fleischer	2021-12-06 22:29:14+00
Pine v5	Opinion	Thank you for keeping State House districts in the Greater Grand Rapids area including Ada, Cascade and Plainfield townships together. However, this is not a good district map for Michigan	Cary Fleischer	2021-12-06 22:28:03+00
Apple V2	Dislike	four partial counties	Douglas Helzerman	2021-12-06 22:27:32+00
Magnolia	Opinion	Thank you for keeping State House districts in the Greater Grand Rapids area including Ada, Cascade and Plainfield townships together. However, this is not a good district map for Michigan	Cary Fleischer	2021-12-06 22:26:35+00

Hickory	Like	Thank you for keeping State House districts in the Greater Grand Rapids area including Ada, Cascade and Plainfield townships together. We look forward to having representation for our district 80 including the like communities of Kentwood, East Grand Rapids and Cascade township. Best map for Michigan as well.	Cary Fleischer	2021-12-06 22:24:45+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	4 partial counties in district	Douglas Helzerman	2021-12-06 22:23:27+00
Magnolia	Dislike	So this map jettisons partisan fairness, a competitive district for me, and isn't good for the Detroit area. Please do not choose this map. Hickory is a better map for the voters of Michigan overall. Thank you for all your work. It has been historic.	Anne Wallin	2021-12-06 21:03:36+00

Sadly this looks like my current gerrymandered district where my view is just ignored. You have split the tri-cities up, favored the Republicans, and not made things better in other parts of the state either. The Hickory is a better overall map for the state. Don't choose this map. Competitive districts! That is so critical to all voters having the representation they deserve versus being ignored

Pine v5  
Pine v5

Dislike  
Like

by elected officials.  
I support this map

Anne Wallin  
Constance Woods

2021-12-06 20:58:22+00  
2021-12-06 20:55:37+00

While it is nice to see a tri-city district of Midland/Bay City/Saginaw, this map is the most partisan of the choices. I am very interested in competitive districts to drive elected officials to represent voters broadly versus sitting in "safe" seats. Choose the Linden map which is more competitive. I appreciate your work and how well you have listened to comments from the tri-city area, but this map misses the mark.

Palm

Dislike

Anne Wallin

2021-12-06 20:52:09+00

Cherry V2 is an okay map that is a bit more fair. It also keeps the Tri-city area of Midland/Bay City and Saginaw together which is sensible from a public policy perspective. They have similar interests relative to rural areas. But for me Linden is a better map that is less lopsided.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Anne Wallin

2021-12-06 20:48:14+00

This map is okay. I appreciate you keeping the municipalities together, particularly Midland, Bay City and Saginaw. They have much in common since people live and work among the three. They also have similar public policy interests relative to the interests of rural areas. That said, I prefer Chestnut over Apple V2 since it keeps more of Midland county together versus looping in Tuscola county. That said the partisan fairness is good and competitive districts is a key objective for me from this process. Officials need to broadly represent their constituents which doesn't happen if they sit in "safe" seats. Thank you for all of your work.

Apple V2

Opinion

Anne Wallin

2021-12-06 20:43:59+00

Apple V2

Dislike

This cuts Troy in half and we lose a connection with towns adjacent to Troy.

Constance Woods

2021-12-06 20:42:03+00

Lange Congressional	Like	<p>Perfectly drawn district for Michigan 9 th congressional district. Love it! Includes all of the highly conservative areas in the thumb and northern exurbs/ suburbs of Metro Detroit. Contains much of the old territory from Michigan's current tenth congressional district.</p>	Robert Krett	2021-12-06 20:41:13+00
Birch V2	Opinion	<p>I prefer Chestnut over this map, Birch V2. The partisan fairness of this map is only a little better, but you have split off part of Midland county and added Tuscola. That doesn't really make sense. I do appreciate that this map keeps the cities of Midland/Bay City and Saginaw together. This map or Chestnut is a far improvement over the current gerrymandered district I live in. I appreciate all of your work to improve the representation of all voters by making politicians</p>	Anne Wallin	2021-12-06 20:37:00+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>listen to all not just a few. I support the District11 boundaries</p>	Constance Woods	2021-12-06 20:36:40+00

It is very disappointing that this map splits Midland from the tri-city area. Cities have much in common in terms of public policy needs and would benefit from an elected representative who could focus. This map is also biased in favor of the Republican party (as are the other two) which leaves me in a noncompetitive district which is very disappointing. Competitive districts are very important to me so that politicians have to represent their districts fully and broadly not just listen to a certain segment. That said, this is the best of the three options. At least others in the state will have a more competitive district even if I don't.

Hickory

Opinion

Anne Wallin

2021-12-06 20:30:18+00

Szetela House

Opinion

This is basically ok, but would be better if all of northern Kent County together  
The Cherry map seems reasonably fair, as does the Linden map. Both are a big improvement over what currently exists. Thank you.

cheryl scales

2021-12-06 20:29:39+00

Cherry V2

Like

Melany Mack

2021-12-06 20:29:20+00

Hickory	Like	I like this, but it would be better if all of northern Kent County was included together	cheryl scales	2021-12-06 20:27:57+00
Linden	Like	The Linden map seems reasonable to me, as does the Cherry map. Both are a huge improvement over what currently exists. Thank you.	Melany Mack	2021-12-06 20:27:51+00
Magnolia	Opinion	This could work, but once again, you have split Plainfield Twp., and separated northern Kent County	cheryl scales	2021-12-06 20:26:40+00
Hickory	Like	This map seems more fair than others. I like the Hickory map for that reason.	Melany Mack	2021-12-06 20:25:28+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	I like parts of this, but splitting townships in Northern Kent County does not work	cheryl scales	2021-12-06 20:22:59+00
Kellom Senate	Like	I like Keeping Rockford with Plainfield. IT would be better to have northern Kent County instead of communities in Ottawa County.	cheryl scales	2021-12-06 20:21:37+00

I appreciate that this map keeps the Midland/Bay City/Saginaw cities together. Municipalities have different needs from rural areas in the state. It is unfortunate that this map is still lopsided in favor of the Republican party versus a more competitive district. But it is the most fair of the three provided. Having competitive districts is very important to me after being ignored for so many years by politicians holding "safe" seats. They should have to work to represent the broad range of interests of their constituents. Thank you for all your work to make fairer districts.

Linden	Like	Anne P Wallin	2021-12-06 20:20:36+00
Linden	Like	cheryl scales	2021-12-06 20:20:07+00
Palm	Like	cheryl scales	2021-12-06 20:18:57+00
Szetela Congressional Like	Like	cheryl scales	2021-12-06 20:16:43+00

This works to keep Rockford with like communities. This would work for Rockford, keep it with Plainfield township

I like how you kept the Kent County Metro areas together.

Chestnut	Like	I particularly like the Chestnut map because it keeps the Greater Lansing area together. It seems even more fair than the Birch map. Both, of course, are an improvement over the status quo. Thank you.	Melany Mack	2021-12-06 20:16:27+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Keep Kent county together. I like this map	cheryl scales	2021-12-06 20:15:05+00
Chestnut	Like	I appreciate that the Chestnut map keeps the Midland/Bay City and Saginaw municipalities together. Urban areas have much more in common with each other than with rural areas of the state when it comes to public policy. I also appreciate that this is the most competitive map. Having competitive districts is important to me having been in a gerrymandered district for so long. I want a Representative who needs to listen and address the broad spectrum of constituent needs and concerns. Thank you for all your work to make our districts truly representative of the voters.	Anne Parson Wallin	2021-12-06 20:14:22+00

Pine v5	Like	<p>This map seems appropriate, by putting the Parchment area as well as surrounding areas just "outside" Kalamazoo proper into the same district, it lets the Kalamazoo map better represent needs within the city, while letting those just outside have a voice to reflect the needs in these communities. the shape is odd, but following the boundaries of the river and the layout of communities as they exist seems to make a lot of sense.</p>	Justin Mendoza	2021-12-06 19:55:05+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	<p>Troy belongs in Oakland County. We have more in common with our neighbors to the north and west.</p>	Timothy D Delong	2021-12-06 19:48:04+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>The Magnolia map is the best overall for the State House districts</p>	Kathy	2021-12-06 18:37:30+00
Palm	Like	<p>The Palm plan is the most suitable for this area and the residents that make it up.</p>	Kathy E Jacksey	2021-12-06 18:32:11+00

This is the best map overall for the Congressional District. Livingston County needs to be kept intact. Our rural history and conservative values in this county fit the communities of interest definition to a tee.

Birch V2	Like		Kathy	2021-12-06 18:23:41+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	This map creates no Minority-Majority districts and has a terrible partisan fairness score. This map is horrible. It will virtually guarantee majorities by the party that receives the fewest votes.	Karen Weideman	2021-12-06 18:20:21+00
Palm	Dislike	This map looks like it was designed to guarantee Republican majorities. Does not come close to being fair.	Karen Weideman	2021-12-06 18:19:49+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This map creates the most majority-minority districts. But it has a terrible partisan fairness score and will virtually guarantee victories by the party which receives the fewest votes.	Karen Weideman	2021-12-06 18:19:21+00
Szetela Senate	Opinion	Maps that are less unfair, are still unfair. We want maps that are actually fair.	Karen Weideman	2021-12-06 18:18:51+00

Chestnut	Like	Some analysis show this has good partisan fairness, but PTV says this still gives more seats to the party that has the fewest votes.	Karen Weideman	2021-12-06 18:17:58+00
Linden	Like	Maps that are less unfair, are still unfair. We want maps that are actually fair.	Karen Weideman	2021-12-06 18:16:34+00
Apple V2	Like	After reviewing the Congressional maps for the west side of the state, I feel this sets out to do what we voters asked. It provides a competitive opportunity for all parties.	Elizabeth O'Dell	2021-12-06 18:16:14+00
Cherry V2	Like	This is one of the most fair maps. Maps that are less unfair, are still unfair. We want maps that are actually fair.	Karen Weideman	2021-12-06 18:15:28+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	To say this map is horrible is an understatement.	Karen Weideman	2021-12-06 18:14:34+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map is awful. Not quite as bad as Lange, but still pretty horrible.	Karen Weideman	2021-12-06 18:13:58+00
Chestnut	Like	Some analysis show this has good partisan fairness, but PTV says this still gives more seats to the party that has the fewest votes.	Karen Weideman	2021-12-06 18:13:20+00

America has gone through enough upsetting changes in two years. Changing or redistricting does not and should not be with limited access or partiality to certain political parties or people. After working the last 10 years as a Precinct Delegate and the polls. I can honestly say Livingston County has abided by admirable ways of the proper voting rules and regulations. If it ain't broke don't have to fix it. I ask that the commission please listen to the people as I have done.

Magnolia	Like	Thank you	Linda Williams	2021-12-06 18:12:44+00
Birch V2	Like	Some analysis show this has good partisan fairness, but PTV says this still gives more seats to the party that has the fewest votes.	Karen Weideman	2021-12-06 18:12:37+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	This is the fairest map. On both PlanScore and PTV's Michigan-specific model, it gets close to a 0% Efficiency Gap.	Karen Weideman	2021-12-06 18:12:03+00

Pine v5	Dislike	Please Keep Working! There are no fair house maps to choose from! Each proposed House map gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters!	Karen Weideman	2021-12-06 18:11:28+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Please Keep Working! There are no fair house maps to choose from! Each proposed House map gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters!	Karen Weideman	2021-12-06 18:10:53+00
Szetela House	Opinion	Please Keep Working! There are no fair house Maps that are less unfair, are still unfair. We want maps that are actually fair.	Karen Weideman	2021-12-06 18:10:04+00
Hickory	Opinion	Thanks for unpacking Democrats in the Lansing area and the Ann Arbor area. All the maps have Republican bias, but this one is the best of the bad. Maps that are less unfair, are still unfair. We want maps that are actually fair.	Karen Weideman	2021-12-06 18:08:32+00

Birch V2	Like	I like the Birch map because it *does* put communities that are similar together. Small geographically with growing and evolving populations. This will allow the person who represents us to advocate for suburban communities well.	Alysa Diebolt	2021-12-06 17:41:15+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	I do not understand the reason for making Mason and Oceanna in 2 different house districts	Ed Schuitema	2021-12-06 17:28:09+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	Seems as if this map would provide terrible representation for Michigan overall. Similar to the maps currently being used for the Michigan Senate. Urban/suburban area representation is heavily discounted.	Dorothy Munson	2021-12-06 17:17:03+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Seems as if this map would provide poor representation for Michigan overall. Similar to the maps currently being used for the Michigan Senate	Dorothy Munson	2021-12-06 17:16:06+00

Palm	Dislike	Absolutely please vote no on this map. This is the worst of the maps for fairness. Similar to the maps currently being used for the Michigan Senate.	Dorothy Munson	2021-12-06 17:14:44+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map would be second choice compared to Linden. It provides two districts to represent the large urban/suburban population of Grand Rapids and is effective for Michigan overall.	Dorothy Munson	2021-12-06 17:13:40+00
Linden	Like	Seems as this map would provide a good breakdown of districts for effective representation in west Michigan and Michigan overall. Great to see that the urban/suburban populations have two Grand Rapids districts for representation. This is the best map.	Dorothy Munson	2021-12-06 17:11:46+00

Hickory	Like	<p>This is the least unfair state House map, and is closest to compliance with the Constitution (although it still hugely favors one political party over another, which is illegal). This district in particular does a good job of connecting Jackson to other cities along I-94, which is a major economic community of interest as outlined in the Constitution. It is also about 50/50 between the two political parties, which means this is a very competitive district -- meaning the voices of the people will actually be heard, whichever way we choose to vote! Good job on this one.</p>	Conner	2021-12-06 17:04:06+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>The urban/suburban populations in west Michigan are not represented in this map. Grand Rapids, Kalamazoo and Muskegon are all grouped with rural areas. No better than the current Congressional district maps for the state.</p>	Dorothy Munson	2021-12-06 16:53:26+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike		<p>Even though the cities of Grand Rapids and Muskegon are together in one district, there is so much rural population in this district, that the voters in these urban/suburban areas will have no voice. This map is unfair overall for the state. Grand Rapids, the second largest city in Michigan, is not represented because it is grouped with rural areas. This map does not have districts that represent communities of interest with like issues, needs and wants.</p>	Dorothy Munson	2021-12-06 16:51:52+00
Birch V2	Dislike	This map is unfair statewide.	Dorothy Munson	2021-12-06 16:50:38+00
Chestnut	Opinion	<p>I see that this is an attempt to keep urban/suburban areas in one district because the remainder of west Michigan is primarily rural with some small towns, when compared to the Apple V2 map Muskegon is much smaller than Kalamazoo so the urban/suburban population percent is less. But for sure, this map provides for the urban/suburban population to be represented compared to all the other maps.</p>	Dorothy Munson	2021-12-06 16:49:17+00

Apple V2	Like	<p>It is great to see that the urban/suburban areas are well represented in one district in west Michigan. All other areas are primarily rural with some small towns. Looking at the details, even though it appears that a substantial number of rural voters might be disenfranchised by this map, over 90% of the population lives in urban areas</p>	Dorothy Munson	2021-12-06 16:48:05+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>This is a poor district map for the Grand Rapids area. It splits like districts apart. The result will be that like areas will not be easily represented. This map is the most unfair of the House maps.</p>	Dorothy Munson	2021-12-06 16:12:35+00
Hickory	Like	<p>I want to thank the commission for splitting the districts to keep like areas of the city together. The south side of Grand Rapids (district 82) that has the poor minority population could use a dedicated representative. Also, this is the fairest map for Michigan overall.</p>	Dorothy Munson	2021-12-06 16:10:40+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>This map is the best of all the choices.</p>	Timothy Collins	2021-12-06 16:08:33+00

Palm	Like	Palm is the best of all the alternatives.	Timothy Collins	2021-12-06 16:06:34+00
Birch V2	Like	Birch is the best of the congressional maps.	Timothy Collins	2021-12-06 16:04:14+00
Chestnut	Like	Thank you. This map is the fairest map and makes the most sense.	Ann Kathryn Dechow	2021-12-06 15:11:50+00
Szetela House	Dislike	I agree with Joel. Barry County should be kept together, not split three ways.	Ethan Holmes	2021-12-06 15:10:54+00
Hickory	Dislike	Barry County should not be split three ways.	Ethan Holmes	2021-12-06 15:07:55+00
Magnolia	Opinion	Barry County should not be split three ways.	Ethan Holmes	2021-12-06 15:05:43+00
Pine v5	Opinion	Barry County should not be split three ways.	Ethan Holmes	2021-12-06 15:02:03+00
Palm	Like	As a Precinct Delegate and more importantly a past President of my association, I have talked to many people about the Senate Palm plan. Most are life long residents of Green Oak and have strong opinions of maintaining and using the Palm Plan.	Linda Williams	2021-12-06 14:35:01+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Commission was formed to free Michigan of unfair partisan bias, this map is unacceptable.	Jennifer Mabrey	2021-12-06 14:33:16+00

Pine v5	Dislike	Commission was formed to free Michigan of unfair partisan bias, this map is unacceptable.	Jennifer Mabrey	2021-12-06 14:32:24+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Commission was formed to free Michigan of unfair partisan bias, this map is unacceptable.	Jennifer Mabrey	2021-12-06 14:31:28+00
Palm	Dislike	Commission was formed to free Michigan of unfair partisan bias, this map is unacceptable.	Jennifer Mabrey	2021-12-06 14:29:52+00
Birch V2	Like	After reviewing all the suggested plans, I believe Birch for the Congressional plan would be best for Green Oak and Livingston residents. I live in a 55+ community (320 units, average age about 70+). Many cannot drive and depend for their comfort, church attendance, shopping and entertainment to go no further than Brighton, Livingston and South Lyon areas. Personally I never go south due to my points of interest are only 10-15 miles from home. Thank you.	Linda Williams	2021-12-06 14:28:14+00

Chestnut	Like	Commission was formed to free Michigan of unfair partisan bias, this map is one of the least unfair of the available drafts.	Jennifer Mabrey	2021-12-06 14:28:09+00
Linden	Like	This map is a good representation of a variety of voters and their concern... this is a fairer way to have my vote heard equally. I VOTE YES ON LINDEN Commission was formed to free Michigan of unfair partisan bias, this map is one of the least unfair of the available drafts.	Francine Darling	2021-12-06 14:27:38+00
Hickory	Like	Commission was formed to free Michigan of unfair partisan bias, this map is one of the least unfair of the available drafts.	Jennifer Mabrey	2021-12-06 14:27:09+00
Linden	Like	Commission was formed to free Michigan of unfair partisan bias, this map is one of the least unfair of the available drafts.	Jennifer Mabrey	2021-12-06 14:26:08+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map is a good representation of a variety of voters and their concern... this is a fairer way to have my vote heard equally. I VOTE YES ON CHERRYV2 Commission was formed to free Michigan of unfair partisan bias, this map is one of the least unfair of the available drafts.	Francine Darling	2021-12-06 14:25:25+00
Cherry V2	Like	Commission was formed to free Michigan of unfair partisan bias, this map is one of the least unfair of the available drafts.	Jennifer Mabrey	2021-12-06 14:24:12+00

Palm	Dislike	This map limits fair voter choice for Senate in our Upper Michigan area. I VOTE NO on Palm map	Francine Darling	2021-12-06 14:20:27+00
Palm	Like	Out of all the maps this is the one that is the most fair for our area of the state. Please consider using this map.	Judith K Janssen	2021-12-06 12:20:58+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is unfair. This outline would not benefit district 1. Vote No on Palm.	Asia Pratt	2021-12-06 04:03:18+00
Chestnut	Opinion	The Chestnut map appears to be the best of the available choices. In any event, the project is a step in the right direction versus a map drawn solely by the party in power. I do wonder what a map drawn by completely "neutral" algorithms would look like.	R H Wendt	2021-12-06 01:54:53+00
Palm	Like	This is the only fair senate map that protects communities and partisan fairness	Karen Makay	2021-12-06 00:54:11+00
Palm	Like	I think this is the most fair map and best represents communities	Sandy Hanson	2021-12-06 00:52:09+00

Palm	Like	Palm is the only senate map that reflects Michigan's partisan makeup. It reflects communities of interest and would allow the best representation for our individual districts	Robyn Peake	2021-12-06 00:51:11+00
Palm	Like	I like this map and I think that it is fair for voters throughout the state of Michigan.	Lindsey Peake	2021-12-06 00:46:05+00
Palm	Like	This is the only fair senate map. Please vote for this map	Anita DeYoung	2021-12-06 00:45:35+00
Palm	Like	This map is the only map that is actually fair for Michigan voters and accurately takes into account communities of interest throughout the state.	Mike Chappell	2021-12-06 00:42:55+00
Palm	Like	This map is the best map to fairly represent the communities of interest of Michigan voters.	Mike Chappell	2021-12-06 00:38:04+00
Palm	Like	This should be the map chosen by the commission. It is fair, it keeps our communities together, and it respects local boundaries	Goodreau	2021-12-06 00:34:04+00
Palm	Like	This map is very reasonable. I believe this would work for all Michigan voters.	Julia Wall	2021-12-06 00:34:02+00

Palm	Like	<p>This is the only map that is fair and keeps communities protected</p> <p>like this map, because it gives the smallest difference between the median district and the average results for the state. It gives both parties a fair shot at having a majority of the districts, which seems fair to me, because Michigan tends to be an evenly divided state, on average. I live in what would be district 11, and like the idea of having a district entirely within Oakland County, rather than having only parts of three districts in the county. I also like having a district centered on Lansing as well as one centered on Ann Arbor and one centered on Grand Rapids. These areas deserve representation recognizing their uniqueness in Michigan. I also want to thank the Commission. Clearly they have taken their jobs seriously in working to give Michigan fair maps that don't</p>	Ray Martin	2021-12-06 00:30:33+00
Birch V2	Like	privilege one party over the	Don Kensler	2021-12-06 00:24:40+00

Palm	Like	I would like the commission to vote yes on Palm! This is the most fair map and makes the most sense for our communities	Suzy Glomski	2021-12-06 00:22:45+00
Palm	Like	This is the only fair Senate map. We need to have a map that is fair on a partisan and community basis	Dan Abbott	2021-12-06 00:20:42+00
Palm	Like	This is the most fair of all the senate maps. It protects communities and it respects partisan fairness	Doug Nelson	2021-12-06 00:15:04+00
Palm	Like	I hope that the commission will choose this map as it is the most fair and supports communities of interest in south Michigan	Randy Peat	2021-12-06 00:08:53+00
Palm	Like	I really appreciate that this map is actually fair. Please vote for this map	Judy Janssen	2021-12-06 00:07:18+00
Palm	Like	I hope the commission will choose this map. It is the most fair and best for COIs	Matt Nilson	2021-12-06 00:05:43+00
Palm	Like	This map should be chosen as the most fair map which keeps our communities whole.	Mick Middaugh	2021-12-05 23:50:38+00
Palm	Like	This map fairly represents Michigan communities of interest and is good for voters.	Linda Meenan	2021-12-05 23:47:41+00

Palm	Like	This is the map that most fairly represents our communities and partisan fairness	Karen Allison	2021-12-05 23:44:40+00
Palm	Like	This is the best map for Michigan. It is the most fair and does our communities justice	Steve Baldus	2021-12-05 23:43:27+00
Palm	Like	This map is fair and will be the best map for the voters of Michigan.	Bob Baran	2021-12-05 23:42:05+00
Palm	Like	I think this is the best map for Michigan. Please consider that it is the most fair and keeps our communities well represented	Kathy Moussalli	2021-12-05 23:38:16+00
Palm	Like	I think this map accurately represents the voters of districts throughout the state.	Paul DeYoung	2021-12-05 23:37:39+00
Palm	Like	This is the most fair map and it best represents our communities in rural michigan	Niki Sill	2021-12-05 23:36:45+00

This plan is all mixed up in terms of representing West Michigan. Rather it looks like a plan to dilute that representation by crating a few Gerrymandered districts lacking communities of interest in our area. It splits both Ottawa County which has not been split in recent years and Kent County which has been split. That could deny a voice to those who settled Michigan moving east from the east shore of West Michigan. Both Ottawa and Kent Counties are among the top ten population counties in Michigan. They are not divided in the Apple and Lange plans. The diversity of Michigan and the goals of the commission would be advanced by rejecting this plan.

Chestnut

Dislike

Dr. Jack Holmes

2021-12-05 23:36:27+00

This map is fair and proportional representation for the voters across the state.

Palm

Like

Kaylee Tegethoff

2021-12-05 23:33:54+00

Palm	Like	The palm senate map is the only map that is actually fair. It does not give an advantage to either party and protects COIs	John Young	2021-12-05 23:26:18+00
Palm	Like	I believe this map best represents the interests of south and central Michigan. It also satisfies partisan fairness	Robin Young	2021-12-05 23:22:23+00
Palm	Like	I believe the Palm map is the most fair and represents the rural communities of interest of south Michigan	Steve Rogusta	2021-12-05 23:16:59+00
Palm	Like	This is the best map for Michigan, it protects our interests and is fair	Linda Norton	2021-12-05 23:14:37+00
Palm	Like	This is the most fair map. It protects our Michigan communities and keeps rural interests and urban interests safe	Rick Zuiderveen	2021-12-05 23:13:11+00
Palm	Like	I believe this map is the most fair map. It best represents communities of interest and protects partisan fairness	Susan Zuiderveen	2021-12-05 23:11:34+00

This plan reaches across the entire southern edge of the state to create a district whose elected representative would represent a mix of counties who have very little in common and whose Congressperson would be hard pressed to push any agenda in Washington, D.C. However, if the same counties were placed with adjoining counties, they would be well represented. The Commission saw the wisdom of placing Northern Michigan together, but instead of working to settle a few districts in West Michigan, it mixed us up with the with the heavily populated Southeast. That left us with three out of five questionable choices when it had two opportunities to avoid splitting Ottawa or Kent Counties which both are top ten population counties with no members of the

Szetela Congressional Dislike

Dr. Jack Holmes

2021-12-05 22:35:50+00

Szetela House	Like	<p>This is my #2 among MI House map. Hickory is a better map. Still contains partisan bias. Violates Constitutional criterion but would be an acceptable map if you are not going to start one on the MI House maps. Please consider possibility to start over on MI House maps.</p> <p>Least bad MI House map. Still contains partisan bias. Violates Constitutional criterion but would be an acceptable map if you are not going to start one on the MI House maps. Please consider possibility to start over on MI House maps.</p>	David Hopkinson	2021-12-05 20:49:37+00
Hickory	Like	<p>Least bad MI House map. Still contains partisan bias. Violates Constitutional criterion but would be an acceptable map if you are not going to start one on the MI House maps. Please consider possibility to start over on MI House maps.</p>	David Hopkinson	2021-12-05 20:45:06+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>Worst MI House map. Partisan bias is extreme and unacceptable. Violates Constitutional criterion. Please consider possibility to start over on MI House maps.</p>	David Hopkinson	2021-12-05 20:35:00+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>Near worst MI House map. Partisan bias is extreme and unacceptable. Violates Constitutional criterion. Please consider possibility to start over on MI House maps.</p>	David Hopkinson	2021-12-05 20:31:21+00

Hickory Dislike Grouping Kentwood and EGR with Cascade Township, Forest Hills, and Grand Rapids Township seems like grouping suburbs with city folk. These are two very different communities of interest. and does not work for the Kent County area. Brian Baker 2021-12-05 19:51:02+00

Magnolia Opinion This map groups many areas well. One area of concern is Plainfield Township. This should be one district, community of interest instead of splitting it into two. Keeping it as two will cause voter confusion. Same thing with the City of Walker and Alpine Township. These should be in the same district, not split up. Finally Grand Rapids Township, Cascade Township, Forest Hills are similar communities of interest, but adding East Grand Rapids to this district doesn't make sense. EGR is much different than the former. Brian Baker 2021-12-05 19:47:14+00

Linden	Dislike	This is grouping Southern Kent County with Allegan County and communities like Saugatuk. These are different communities of interest and should be revised.	Brian Baker	2021-12-05 19:41:11+00
Palm	Like	This map shows the best for areas in the Kent County area. The areas in South Kent County have different interests than those in the northern areas. It is best to have separate representation in the Senate.	Brian Baker	2021-12-05 19:35:39+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This map doesn't serve the city of Grand Rapids well. It would be better to have Grand Rapids as one district and Wyoming/Kentwood/Grandville and separate districts. Those areas are growing and need different representation than Grand Rapids.	Brian Baker	2021-12-05 19:30:49+00

Szetela Senate	Like	<p>Third choice among the Senate maps. Acceptable although partisan bias is still apparent, regrettably. Proposal 2 was intended to allow us to solve that. Important improvements in and around Detroit.</p>	David Hopkinson	2021-12-05 19:28:24+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	<p>This map gives such influence to the Detroit Metro area. The rest of Michigan will suffer under this map. No voice when it comes to Michigan.</p>	Brian Baker	2021-12-05 19:25:37+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This map is terrible. Kent County is split up and combined with communities of interest across Mid-Michigan? Perfect example of gerrymandering.</p>	Brian Baker	2021-12-05 19:22:35+00
Lange Congressional	Like	<p>This is similar to the current district make. If its not broke, don't fix it.</p>	Brian Baker	2021-12-05 19:19:23+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This map groups communities of interest well. I especially like how the Greater Grand Rapids area is in one district.</p>	Brian Baker	2021-12-05 19:16:20+00

Lange Senate correct	Dislike	This map is worst or nearly worst. Violates Constitutional criterion. Fails due to large partisan bias. Appears designed to affect Republican control of the Senate.	David Hopkinson	2021-12-05 19:14:58+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map is grouping several communities of interest that should be separate. Grouping Lake Michigan shoreline communities with a big city like Grand Rapids will eliminate the voice of those smaller communities. Do not consider this map.	Brian Baker	2021-12-05 19:13:38+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Fails on fairness. Partisan bias is worse than Linden and Cherry. Important effort to improve districts in and around Detroit.	David Hopkinson	2021-12-05 19:03:10+00
Linden	Like	Best of the Senate maps. Fairest due to least partisan bias. I regret that all of the maps still show a Republican bias which violates a Constitutional criterion.	David Hopkinson	2021-12-05 18:56:30+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	Just an awful map for partisan fairness.	Claire Ott	2021-12-05 18:38:55+00
Palm	Dislike	This map does not come close to being fair.	Claire Ott	2021-12-05 18:37:17+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Terrible Partisan fairness score. Please rework this!!!	Claire Ott	2021-12-05 18:36:17+00

Szetela Senate	Opinion	Better in Detroit. Less unfair Less unfair. All Michiganders want fair maps -- for all	Claire Ott	2021-12-05 18:35:18+00
Linden	Like	people.	Claire Ott	2021-12-05 18:33:42+00
Cherry V2	Like	One of the best maps Republicans win even when Democrats get the most votes. Even republicans don't want this.	Claire Ott	2021-12-05 18:32:01+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Some partisan fairness. Thank you	Claire Ott	2021-12-05 18:30:38+00
Chestnut	Like	some good partisan fairness	Claire Ott	2021-12-05 18:29:04+00
Birch V2	Like	Better than before.	Claire Ott	2021-12-05 18:27:53+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	Very unfair map	Claire Ott	2021-12-05 18:06:21+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Terribly unfair.	Claire Ott	2021-12-05 18:04:44+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Please keep working on these maps. PLEASE. Grateful that Kalamazoo is together in one district	Claire Ott	2021-12-05 18:03:10+00
Szetela House	Opinion	This is a terrible map.	Claire Ott	2021-12-05 18:01:53+00
Hickory	Like		Claire Ott	2021-12-05 17:53:51+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike		Claire Ott	2021-12-05 17:48:45+00
Szetela House	Like	The Szetela Map is the ok, but I urge the Commissioners to improve upon it. I think the Linden Senate map is the fairest one.	Michael Wytychak III	2021-12-05 17:22:59+00
Linden	Like	I think this is the fairest map.	Michael Wytychak III	2021-12-05 17:16:41+00
Szetela Congressional	Like		Michael Wytychak III	2021-12-05 17:12:54+00

This map is the most fair of the Congressional collaboratively drawn tree maps, but not as fair as Szetela. It still gives more seats to the party that has the fewest votes. It does protect COI in Detroit. However, PLEASE combine the best of this collaboratively drawn map with the best of Birch and select Szetela's map. Thank you!

Chestnut

Like

Carrie Hatcher-Kay Ph.D.

2021-12-05 16:41:53+00

This map is the most fair of the Congressional tree maps, but not as fair as Szetela. It still gives more seats to the party that has the fewest votes. It does protect COI in Detroit. However, PLEASE combine the best of this collaboratively drawn map with the best of Chestnut and select Szetela's map.

Birch V2

Like

Carrie Hatcher-Kay Ph.D.

2021-12-05 16:36:18+00

This map is the only one that shows TRUE fairness. It gets close to a 0% Efficiency Gap. It addresses Community of Interest and establishes Voting Rights districts. This is the best combination of the best parts of Chestnut and Birch.

Szetela Congressional	Like		Emliy Jernberg	2021-12-05 16:35:33+00
Magnolia	Like	The Magnolia map is terribly unfair and should be discarded. A map that would give the majority of votes to the minority of voters is unacceptable. Please continue working on the maps until you come up with one that makes sense.	Jacqueline Dilley	2021-12-05 16:23:08+00
Pine v5	Dislike	The Detroit voters aren't getting their votes counted with these current maps. Please keep working to give the majority of seats to the majority of voters.	Emily Jernberg	2021-12-05 16:17:02+00
Pine v5	Like	This is NOT a fair map!! It does not inspire candidates to listen to their constituents by being in actually competitive districts. Please Keep Working!	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-12-05 16:15:39+00

Magnolia	Dislike	<p>This map is among the most unfair.</p> <p>Please keep working to come up with a fair map. Detroit voters are unable to elect their own candidates in ANY of the maps.</p> <p>Majority of seats are going to the minority of voters!</p> <p>Each proposed map gives the majority of votes to the minority of voters. This would be completely unfair to Detroit voters!</p>	Emily Jernberg	2021-12-05 16:14:14+00
Szetela House	Like		Jacqueline Dilley	2021-12-05 16:12:02+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>This map is entirely unfair. There are no fair house maps to choose from! This map gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters! That is NOT the purpose of your commission.</p> <p>There are no fair house maps to choose from. Detroit voters are stating that they have no way to elect their own candidates. This and all other proposed MI House maps does not address that concern. We want maps that are actually fair so that our democracy can function.</p> <p>PLEASE keep working! Thank you.</p>	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-12-05 16:11:48+00
Szetela House	Opinion		Emily Jernberg	2021-12-05 16:07:57+00

Szetela House	Opinion	<p>None of the MI House maps addresses the concerns heard from Detroit voters about being able to elect their own candidates. PLEASE maintain the mission of your commission: give fair representation to every individual across the state. In this and in ALL the house maps, the majority of seats goes to the party with the minority of voters!</p> <p>Hickory is the best of the worst House maps. We need fair maps. Please do fair, competitive districts. We want representatives who have to listen to their constituents. Seat count of 53D to 57R gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters.</p>	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-12-05 16:04:35+00
Hickory	Opinion	<p>Hickory is the best of the worst House maps. We want maps that are fair. Please go back to the drawing board and re-draw these maps. We want candidates that listen to constituents.</p>	Emily Jernberg	2021-12-05 15:57:46+00
Hickory	Opinion	<p>constituents.</p>	Jacqueline Dilley	2021-12-05 15:54:22+00

Hickory	Opinion	Hickory is the best of the worst House maps. PLEASE go back to the drawing board to achieve the mission you set out to achieve: fair, competitive districts across the state. We want candidates to have to listen to their constituents. That was the mission of Voters Not Politicians creating your commission. THANK YOU!	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-12-05 15:52:48+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Not a fair map by any measure.	ALAN FOX	2021-12-05 14:34:17+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Unfair map that guarantees Republican control under any circumstances.	ALAN FOX	2021-12-05 14:32:41+00
Palm	Dislike	This is the worst option. Does not keep Lake MI communities together	Marie E Giese	2021-12-05 14:27:23+00
Hickory	Like	Best of a bad lot. Consider starting over, but if not this is the best.	ALAN FOX	2021-12-05 14:26:38+00
Linden	Like	Linden is the best and fairest Senate map.	ALAN FOX	2021-12-05 14:23:24+00
Magnolia	Like	This map seems like the best of the options provided	Marie E Giese	2021-12-05 14:22:47+00
Palm	Dislike	Very unfair map designed to ensure Republican control of the Senate regardless of vote totals. Exactly what Voters Not Politicians was created to avoid.	ALAN FOX	2021-12-05 14:21:58+00

Cherry V2	Like	Fair map with competitive districts. Less compact than Linden.	ALAN FOX	2021-12-05 14:19:56+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	Unfair statewide and has boundaries throughout that are poorly defined.	ALAN FOX	2021-12-05 14:18:18+00
Birch V2	Like	Birch is a fair map that keeps the Lansing area together.	ALAN FOX	2021-12-05 14:16:11+00
Chestnut	Like	Chestnut is a fair map statewide and keeps the Lansing region together	ALAN FOX	2021-12-05 14:12:55+00
Pine v5	Dislike	I do not like the Pine V5 State House map. It combines Waterloo Township in Jackson County with much of the city of Ann Arbor.	Michael Cameron	2021-12-05 00:09:50+00
Magnolia	Dislike	I do not like the Magnolia State House map. It combines Waterloo Township in Jackson County with much of the city of Ann Arbor.	Michael Cameron	2021-12-05 00:07:30+00
Hickory	Dislike	I do not like the Hickory State House map. It combines Waterloo Township in Jackson County with much of the city of Ann Arbor.	Michael Cameron	2021-12-05 00:05:55+00

Szetela House	Like	I like the Szetela State House map the best. It keeps more of Jackson county whole and keeps Waterloo Township in Jackson County. Waterloo is a very rural area, as is most of Jackson county as a whole	Michael Cameron	2021-12-05 00:04:26+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	I do not like the Szetela State Senate map because it combines rural areas with the city of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has vastly different resources and services than rural areas do and therefore need different representation.	Michael Cameron	2021-12-04 23:56:57+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	I do not like the Lange State Senate map because it combines rural areas with the city of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has vastly different resources and services than rural areas do and therefore need different representation.	Michael Cameron	2021-12-04 23:55:09+00

Kellom Senate	Dislike	I do not like the Kellom State Senate map because it combines rural areas with the city of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has vastly different resources and services than rural areas do and therefore need different representation.	Michael Cameron	2021-12-04 23:53:41+00
Linden	Dislike	I do not like the Linden State Senate map because it combines rural areas with the city of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has vastly different resources and services than rural areas do and therefore need different representation.	Michael Cameron	2021-12-04 23:51:38+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	I do not like the Cherry V2 State Senate map because it combines rural areas with the city of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has vastly different resources and services than rural areas do and therefore need different representation.	Michael Cameron	2021-12-04 23:49:54+00
Palm	Like	I like the Palm State Senate map. It keeps Jackson County whole and keeps the city of Ann Arbor separate from rural areas.	Michael Cameron	2021-12-04 23:47:48+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike	I do not like the Szetela congressional map because it does not keep Jackson County whole.	Michael Cameron	2021-12-04 23:41:19+00
Lange Congressional Dislike	I do not like the Lange congressional map because it does not keep Jackson County whole.	Michael Cameron	2021-12-04 23:39:23+00
Apple V2 Dislike	I do not like the Apple V2 congressional map because it does not keep Jackson County whole.	Michael Cameron	2021-12-04 23:37:31+00
Birch V2 Dislike	I do not like the Birch V2 congressional map because it does not keep Jackson County whole.	Michael Cameron	2021-12-04 23:35:51+00
Chestnut Like	I like the Chestnut Congressional map because it keeps our community and our rural setting whole.	Michael Cameron	2021-12-04 23:31:57+00
Magnolia Like	This Magnolia map clearly follows the criteria listed in Michigan's Constitution and takes into consideration many of the communities of interest that were testified to before the commission. Very well balanced approach.	Marcia Dicks	2021-12-04 23:27:32+00

Palm	Like	This Palm map clearly follows the criteria listed in Michigan's Constitution and takes into consideration many of the communities of interest that were testified to before the commission. Very well balanced approach.	Marcia Dicks	2021-12-04 23:22:17+00
Chestnut	Like	I like the Chestnut Congressional map because it keeps Jackson county with its basic rural atmosphere and community.	Michael J Cameron	2021-12-04 23:22:05+00
Chestnut	Like	This is the best collaborative map that was presented to the public. It is mostly fair and keeps communities of interest whole.	Marcia Dicks	2021-12-04 23:18:08+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Not the best district boundaries for fairness	James Kopas	2021-12-04 21:40:59+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map is not the best option for fair districts	James Kopas	2021-12-04 21:38:49+00
Birch V2	Like	After looking at the maps presented I feel that this map keeps similar communities together	James Kopas	2021-12-04 21:35:09+00

Whatever happened to keeping the districts as square as possible? Isn't that supposed to be a main goal when redistricting occurs? Why is it assumed that people have the same interests/opinions just because they are the same race or ethnicity? That's an offensive assumption.

Lange Senate correct Dislike

This map is a terrible mess. Whatever happened to keeping the districts as square as possible? Isn't that supposed to be a main goal when redistricting occurs? Why is it assumed that people have the same interests/opinions just because they are the same race or ethnicity? That's an offensive assumption.

Erin MacGregor

2021-12-04 20:40:53+00

Kellom Senate

Dislike

This map is a terrible mess.

Erin MacGregor

2021-12-04 20:39:41+00

Whatever happened to keeping the districts as square as possible? Isn't that supposed to be a main goal when redistricting occurs? Why is it assumed that people have the same interests/opinions just because they are the same race or ethnicity? That's an offensive assumption.

Szetela Senate

Dislike

This map is a terrible mess. Whatever happened to keeping the districts as square as possible? Isn't that supposed to be a main goal when redistricting occurs? Why is it assumed that people have the same interests/opinions just because they are the same race or ethnicity? That's an offensive assumption.

Erin MacGregor

2021-12-04 20:38:31+00

Linden

Dislike

This map is a terrible mess.

Erin MacGregor

2021-12-04 20:36:48+00

Whatever happened to keeping the districts as square as possible? Isn't that supposed to be a main goal when redistricting occurs? Why is it assumed that people have the same interests/opinions just because they are the same race or ethnicity? That's an offensive assumption.

Cherry V2

Dislike

This map is a terrible mess. Whatever happened to keeping the districts as square as possible? Isn't that supposed to be a main goal when redistricting occurs? Why is it assumed that people have the same interests/opinions just because they are the same race or ethnicity? That's an offensive assumption.

Erin MacGregor

2021-12-04 20:35:17+00

Szetela House

Dislike

This map is a terrible mess.

Erin MacGregor

2021-12-04 20:33:21+00

Whatever happened to keeping the districts as square as possible? Isn't that supposed to be a main goal when redistricting occurs? Why is it assumed that people have the same interests/opinions just because they are the same race or ethnicity? That's an offensive assumption.

Hickory

Dislike

This map is a terrible mess. Whatever happened to keeping the districts as square as possible? Isn't that supposed to be a main goal when redistricting occurs? Why is it assumed that people have the same interests/opinions just because they are the same race or ethnicity? That's an offensive assumption.

Erin MacGregor

2021-12-04 20:30:48+00

Pine v5

Dislike

This map is a terrible mess.

Erin MacGregor

2021-12-04 20:29:25+00

Whatever happened to keeping the districts as square as possible? Isn't that supposed to be a main goal when redistricting occurs? Why is it assumed that people have the same interests/opinions just because they are the same race or ethnicity? That's an offensive assumption.

Apple V2

Dislike

This map is a terrible mess. Whatever happened to keeping the districts as square as possible? Isn't that supposed to be a main goal when redistricting occurs? Why is it assumed that people have the same interests/opinions just because they are the same race or ethnicity? That's an offensive assumption.

Erin MacGregor

2021-12-04 20:26:15+00

Chestnut

Dislike

This map is a terrible mess.

Erin MacGregor

2021-12-04 20:24:01+00

		<p>Whatever happened to keeping the districts as square as possible? Isn't that supposed to be a main goal when redistricting occurs? Why is it assumed that people have the same interests/opinions just because they are the same race or ethnicity? That's an offensive assumption.</p>		
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>This map is a terrible mess. Whatever happened to keeping the districts as square as possible? Isn't that supposed to be a main goal when redistricting occurs?</p>	Erin MacGregor	2021-12-04 20:20:44+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	<p>This map is a terrible mess. Whatever happened to keeping the districts as square as possible? Isn't that supposed to be a main goal when redistricting occurs?</p>	Erin MacGregor	2021-12-04 20:16:46+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>This map is a mess. That said, this is the least objectionable of the current options.</p>	Erin MacGregor	2021-12-04 19:51:09+00

Palm	Like	<p>Whatever happened to keeping the districts as square as possible? Isn't that supposed to be a main goal when redistricting occurs? That said, this is the least objectionable of the current options.</p>	Erin MacGregor	2021-12-04 19:47:00+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>Whatever happened to keeping the districts as square as possible? Isn't that supposed to be a main goal when redistricting occurs? That said, this is the least objectionable of the current options.</p> <p>Hickory is the fairest of the State House maps. As a resident of the bluest corner of Livingston County, I am tired of being represented by hard-right Republicans playing only to rural yahoos who think the Biden landslide and Covid are hoaxes. Partly because of US 23, and partly because the Huron River "chain of lakes" joins southern Livingston and northern Washtenaw, the Hickory map expresses my political, cultural, and recreational community.</p>	Erin MacGregor	2021-12-04 19:40:07+00
Hickory	Like	<p>Thank you.</p>	Daniel Luria	2021-12-04 15:22:43+00

Cherry V2

Like

The Cherry map comes close to partisan fairness. Thank you for your work. The Palm map seems transparently unfair, as it would result in a state legislature controlled by a party that could get less than 50% of the popular vote. Daniel Luria

2021-12-04 15:07:09+00

I have expressed some reluctant support for this map before, and I still support it over the others. However, I took a very close at this map. It appears that, perhaps, this map was created by a computer program in that there were so many unnecessary splits among various communities. SO, I TOOK THE LIBERTY OF MODIFYING THIS MAP WITHOUT SOME OF THOSE UNNECESSARY SPLITS. THIS MODIFIED MAP CONTAINS JUST .2 LESS DEM SEATS THAN THE ORIGINAL, 54.44 vs 54.64, SO THE PARTISAN BALANCE IS STILL THERE. I suggest you take a serious look at it. Perhaps, the modified map will generate a little more support. Because as I look at the comments on all these State House maps, I don't see a net positive of support for any of them. In fact, the net negatives, as I'm

Pine v5

Opinion

Jack Bengtsson

2021-12-04 14:34:27+00

Lange Senate correct Like

Dolan Bair

2021-12-04 05:31:18+00

Lange Senate correct	Like	Thank you for fixing the 33rd in this one! This is the only one to not have that gross elongated shape. Making it more compact has put more similar groups together. Even if this one isn't chose, please carry this over.	Dolan Bair	2021-12-04 05:28:05+00
Magnolia	Dislike	All of these maps contain the SAME district 89, giving us no choice. It would have been nice to see Kent City grouped with Sparta in at least one of them, instead of Allendale, which we have nothing in common with. Thankfully it is still very rural.	Dolan Bair	2021-12-04 05:15:05+00
Chestnut	Like	I don't like any of the maps but this one if we have to pick one seems to be the best. Trenton should be with all of the other downriver communities Gl, Southgate, Wyandotte, etc.	Susan Byers	2021-12-04 02:00:24+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	Riverview,etc. This is a ridiculous mapping. What does Downriver have in common with the east side of Detroit. What is the matter with you people.	Susan Byers	2021-12-04 01:46:36+00

Szetela Senate	Like	Linden and Cherry have better partisan fairness scores. Palm is the worst map. Partisan fairness must be prioritized.	Connie	2021-12-04 01:13:19+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	Linden and Cherry have better partisan fairness scores. Palm is the worst map. Partisan fairness must be prioritized.	Connie	2021-12-04 01:12:14+00
Kellom Senate	Like	Linden and Cherry have better partisan fairness scores. Palm is the worst map. Partisan fairness must be prioritized.	Connie	2021-12-04 01:11:28+00
Szetela House	Like	The Hickory map is the least unfair. Partisan fairness must be prioritized.	Connie M	2021-12-04 01:09:36+00
Hickory	Like	The Hickory map is the least unfair. Partisan fairness must be prioritized.	Connie M	2021-12-04 01:07:43+00
Magnolia	Like	The Hickory map is the least unfair. Partisan fairness must be prioritized.	Connie M	2021-12-04 01:06:32+00
Pine v5	Like	The Hickory map is the least unfair. Partisan fairness must be prioritized.	Connie M	2021-12-04 01:05:00+00
Linden	Like	This is a better map than Palm. Palm is an unfair map. Partisan fairness must be prioritized.	Connie M	2021-12-04 01:01:15+00
Palm	Like	Palm is an unfair map. Partisan fairness must be prioritized.	Connie M	2021-12-04 00:58:46+00

Cherry V2	Like	Palm is an unfair map. Cherry is better. Partisan fairness must be prioritized.	Connie M	2021-12-04 00:54:30+00
Hickory	Like	The Hickory map seems more fair than the other choices. Muskegon is diverse and urban, it doesn't belong in this district.	Sandra Legacy	2021-12-03 23:05:56+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Muskegon is diverse and urban, it doesn't belong in this district.	Elaine Davis	2021-12-03 22:33:42+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Muskegon is diverse and urban, it doesn't belong in this district.	Elaine Davis	2021-12-03 22:33:37+00
Chestnut	Like	Muskegon is diverse and more urban so it belongs with Grand Rapids.	Elaine Davis	2021-12-03 22:26:10+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Just like I commented on the Hickory, Pine V5 and Magnolia maps, I dislike that this map splits Barry County into 3 districts. The people of Barry County are very much like minded with many of the same goals. We should be represented as such. We should be represented in a way we can unify our voice to implement effective change the way we have been.	Joel Ibbotson	2021-12-03 22:14:02+00
Apple V2	Dislike	I am opposed to this map as a resident of Saugatuck	Peter Marsten	2021-12-03 22:11:58+00

Hickory	Dislike	Just like I commented on the Pine V5 and Magnolia maps, I dislike that this map splits Barry County into 3 districts. The people of Barry County are very much like minded with many of the same goals. We should be represented as such. We should be represented in a way we can unify our voice to implement effective change the way we have been.	Joel Ibbotson	2021-12-03 22:10:17+00
Apple V2	Like	As a resident of Saugatuck, I oppose this map.	Peter Marsten	2021-12-03 22:08:49+00
Chestnut	Like	As a resident of Saugatuck, I support this map.	Peter Marsten	2021-12-03 22:06:32+00
Birch V2	Dislike	As a resident of Saugatuck, I oppose this map! Just like the Pine V5, I dislike this map in how it splits Barry County into three districts. The people of Barry County are very much like minded with many of the same goals and needs as a community. We should be represented in a way we can unify our voice to implement effective change the way we have been	Peter Marsten	2021-12-03 22:02:58+00
Magnolia	Dislike	able to do so.	Joel Ibbotson	2021-12-03 22:01:20+00
Birch V2	Dislike	This map dilutes Latinos in Muskegon	Alfonoso Jimenez	2021-12-03 21:52:26+00

Pine v5	Dislike	I dislike that this map in how it splits Barry County in three districts. The people of Barry County are very much like minded with many of the same goals. We should be represented in a way we can unify our voice to implement effective change the way we have been.	Joel Ibbotson	2021-12-03 21:50:39+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map dilutes Latinos in Muskegon	Alfonoso Jimenez	2021-12-03 21:50:37+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map dilutes Latinos in Muskegon	Alfonoso Jimenez	2021-12-03 21:49:11+00
Chestnut	Like	This is the only appropriate map for Latinos of Muskegon. Please vote yes on this one!	Alfonoso Jimenez	2021-12-03 21:45:02+00

943738646596981061091101  
071081031041059987951008  
688919297101102899093666  
768697071778081828384853  
639404142791112303435434  
452535559606263727475767  
845507346272822931323315  
242526473484914567891013  
141617181920212223515456  
575861

Frederick Beiermeister  
Farmington and Farmington  
Hills should be connected in  
a District. We share many  
civic assets and nothing is  
gained by separating our  
representation. Hickory is the  
best for us of a weak lot.

Frederick Beiermeister

2021-12-03 21:19:44+00

Hickory

Opinion

North and south Ottawa  
county have very little in  
common and it would make  
more sense for it to be split.  
The northern half is more  
connected to Grand Rapids

Apple V2

Dislike

because of I96.  
There is a clear north-south  
split in Ottawa but the way  
it's split here makes no sense

Christian Alvarez

2021-12-03 21:17:50+00

Birch V2

Dislike

and doesn't capture this.

Christian Alvarez

2021-12-03 21:09:20+00

Chestnut	Like	There is a clear north-south split in Ottawa county that this map captures. Areas to the south are more connected to Holland the the lakeshore. Areas to the north follow the I-96 corridor and are more connected to Grand Rapids.	Christian Alvarez	2021-12-03 20:59:03+00
Palm	Dislike	Possibly the worst Senate map. The goal of Proposal 2 was that the composition of the elected body to reflect the composition of the voters. Partisan bias and division by partisanship will be the death of this process if we allow it.	David Hopkinson	2021-12-03 20:53:43+00
Pine v5	Opinion	I like the way that Sterling Heights is kept in two districts. However, this map is not as fair as the Hickory or Szetela maps.	Drew Anthony Beckman	2021-12-03 20:49:03+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This is the worst map for the state House. This map breaks up Sterling Heights significantly, and still doesn't achieve partisan fairness. Reject this map.	Drew Beckman	2021-12-03 20:48:16+00

Szetela House	Opinion	<p>This map also breaks up Sterling Heights into three districts which is not necessary. Sterling Heights could be kept in two house districts. Overall, this plan appears to be relatively fair, although close elections may produce results giving the minority party a majority in the legislature. Look at data from the 2020 Presidential Election to confirm if this map is still fair.</p>	Drew Beckman	2021-12-03 20:47:19+00
Hickory	Opinion	<p>While I do not like the fact that Sterling Heights is split up into three different districts, this map is the fairest when it comes to proportionality of seats. This map is probably the best House map, although it is far from perfect.</p>	Drew Beckman	2021-12-03 20:45:38+00
Palm	Like	<p>This one seems to make the most sense to me. Please choose this one.</p>	Susan Sidock	2021-12-03 20:29:09+00

Cherry V2	Like	This is my #2 choice among Senate maps. I like the way Clinton-Eaton-Ingham counties are treated. I don't understand why all the maps retain a partisan bias toward the Republican party opposed by a Constitutional criterion. Muskegon is a very diverse area and should be in a	David Hopkinson	2021-12-03 20:22:40+00
Apple V2	Dislike	diverse district. Thank you. Muskegon is a very diverse area and should be in a	Eugene Foster	2021-12-03 20:15:53+00
Birch V2	Dislike	diverse district. Thank you. Muskegon is a very diverse area and should be in a	Eugene Foster	2021-12-03 20:15:51+00
Chestnut	Like	diverse district. Thank you. Black and Hispanic communities are opposed to	Eugene Foster	2021-12-03 20:15:47+00
Apple V2	Dislike	this map. Black and Hispanic communities are opposed to	Kendall Goodwin	2021-12-03 20:00:08+00
Birch V2	Dislike	this map. The Black and Hispanic communities of Muskegon	Kendall Goodwin	2021-12-03 19:59:18+00
Chestnut	Like	prefer this map.	Kendall Goodwin	2021-12-03 19:54:44+00

Szetela Congressional	Like	<p>This map is my #3 choice after CHESTNUT then BIRCH. Has Republican bias statewide. Glad that Clinton-Eaton-Ingham counties are kept together. Glad to see some competitive districts across the state. I know that is not a criterion but I think it will eventually help cure our ugly partisanship.</p>	David Hopkinson	2021-12-03 19:54:04+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>This map does not make any sense for my community or anywhere else that I look at. Unfair in so many respects. I am sorry, but this map does not serve my community of interest well! Living in the east side of Dearborn, we have almost nothing in common with north west Detroit. The I96 corridor is as far from us as the earth to the moon. Please keep all of Dearborn together!</p>	John C. Greko	2021-12-03 19:53:38+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>This map is the worst of the proposed maps; the least fair, least reflects the electorate, most partisan imbalance.</p>	Carol Phyllis Allie	2021-12-03 19:50:32+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike		David Hopkinson	2021-12-03 19:34:25+00

Chestnut	Like	This is the only map that doesn't disenfranchise our African American families in the Muskegon area. We are distinct from other mostly White lakeshore cities and it makes much more sense to keep us connected to other African Americans in the Grand Rapids area.	Gia Welterorth	2021-12-03 19:10:24+00
Birch V2	Dislike	This is a terrible map that disenfranchises our African American families in the Muskegon area. We have are distinct from the rural townships which are mostly White in this district. It makes much more sense to keep us connected to other African Americans in the Grand Rapids area like in Chestnut.	Gia Welterorth	2021-12-03 19:10:20+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This is a bad map that disenfranchises our African American families in the Muskegon area. We have are distinct from other lakeshore cities which are mostly White. It makes much more sense to keep us connected to other African Americans in the Grand Rapids area like in Chestnut.	Gia Welteroth	2021-12-03 19:10:17+00

Palm	Opinion	Consider all fairness when drawing things lines Vote No on Palm please.	Ashley Thomas	2021-12-03 18:46:33+00
Magnolia	Like	Out of all the options - the Magnolia map provides the best fair partisan option as it takes in the interest of most communities.	Annette LeBaron	2021-12-03 17:55:09+00
Birch V2	Like	This map represents my community well (Dist 11) and is the best overall map for the state. I would recommend the Birch map.	Annette Lebaron	2021-12-03 17:45:16+00
Chestnut	Like	I like the Chestnut map for Michigan's U.S. Congressional districts. It is the "least unfair" of the proposed maps in terms of partisan fairness.	Jerry Oljace	2021-12-03 17:36:14+00
Hickory	Like	I like the Hickory map. It is the "least unfair" in terms of partisan fairness. And, partisan fairness should be a key consideration for the MICRC in approving the final maps.	Jerry Oljace	2021-12-03 17:32:50+00

Palm	Dislike	I do NOT like the Palm map. It is the worst of all the draft maps in terms of partisan fairness. And, partisan fairness was a key goal for Michigan voters, and a goal of the MICRC. I strongly urge the Commission NOT to approve this map.	Jerry Oljace	2021-12-03 17:29:40+00
Cherry V2	Like	I like the Cherry map for the State Senate. It, along with Linden, are the "least unfair" in terms of partisan fairness.	Jerry Oljace	2021-12-03 17:26:17+00
Linden	Like	I like the Linden map for the State Senate. It along, along with Cherry, are the "least unfair" in terms of partisan fairness.	Jerry Oljace	2021-12-03 17:22:38+00
Szetela Senate	Like	Another acceptable option. Please choose either Linden, Cherry, or this map.	Drew Beckman	2021-12-03 17:17:44+00
Kellom Senate	Opinion	I am not a fan of this configuration for Sterling Heights. While this map does take into account important communities in Detroit and Wayne county, it appears to sacrifice partisan fairness to achieve this goal.	Drew Beckman	2021-12-03 17:16:21+00

Linden	Like	This is the best map for the state Senate and should be selected. It produces generally fair results and maintain solid Macomb county communities.	Drew Beckman	2021-12-03 17:15:04+00
Palm	Dislike	This map cannot be adopted! It gives an unfair advantage to one political party over another.	Drew Beckman	2021-12-03 17:13:58+00
Cherry V2	Like	This is a good map, and I would be fine if it is selected. It has pretty good partisan fairness scores. Splitting Sterling Heights at Van Dyke makes the most sense if it must be split. Sterling Heights has a lot in common with Troy and Rochester Hills so this configuration would work.	Drew Beckman	2021-12-03 17:13:07+00

This map is an acceptable map as it is better than both the Lange and Apple maps. However, I would still prefer Szetela or Birch over this one. Please look at partisan fairness data for individual elections as well! Not just the aggregate data that assumes there are 52% democrats in the state of Michigan. These vary from election to election so multiple data points need to be evaluated.

Chestnut	Like	Szetela > Birch > Chestnut > Apple > Lange	Drew Beckman	2021-12-03 16:59:23+00
Birch V2	Like	This is the best collaborative map that was presented to the public. It is mostly fair and keeps communities of interest whole. While I prefer the Szetela map, this map would also be acceptable.	Drew Beckman	2021-12-03 16:54:03+00

Apple V2	Dislike	Do not adopt this map. The party that wins the greatest proportion of the votes in this map is likely to have a minority of seats during close general elections. That is not consistent with the spirit of representative democracy where the government is meant to carry out the will of the most people.	Drew Beckman	2021-12-03 16:52:46+00
----------	---------	--	--------------	------------------------

Szetela Congressional	Like	This is the best map for Congressional districts and should be adopted! This map has the best measures of partisan fairness, even during close elections. The design of putting Sterling Heights with its Troy neighbors to the west is a good idea, as these communities are very intertwined. Adopt this map!	Drew Beckman	2021-12-03 16:50:33+00
-----------------------	------	---	--------------	------------------------

Lange Congressional	Dislike	While I like the configuration of Macomb County and Sterling Heights in this map, it does not achieve partisan fairness in a close election. This map is biased in favor of the Republican party and should not be adopted. This district configuration is present in other proposed maps with better partisan fairness measures.	Drew Beckman	2021-12-03 16:46:32+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	The Northend and Boston Edison should stay with Hamtramck and the Eastside of Detroit. Cornerstone village would fit better in the lower eastside district. This map seems to best represent the rural nature of Western Washtenaw and Jackson Counties and the urban interests of Ann Arbor/Ypsilanti. I would encourage adopting this version of the Senate Map.	Vernon Butler	2021-12-03 15:04:44+00
Palm	Like	I support the Magnolia map which is the best at avoiding partisan gerrymandering and represents Northern Michigan best.	Scott S.	2021-12-03 14:33:08+00
Magnolia	Like		Mari Stanaway	2021-12-03 13:12:56+00

Palm	Like	The Palm map is better than the others for Northern Michigan but it is partisan gerrymandering for the Democrats.	Mari Stanaway	2021-12-03 13:09:52+00
Birch V2	Like	I support the Birch plan. It is the fairer than the rest for Northern Michigan.	Mari Stanaway	2021-12-03 13:05:23+00
Birch V2	Like	This map seems the most fair of the Congressional maps. It keeps my county intact and seems to be a very fair competitive map	Denise O	2021-12-03 10:50:27+00
Palm	Like	This Palm map is the best map for the State Senate. My community of interests are mostly addressed vs. the other maps that don't address them.	Denise O	2021-12-03 10:42:41+00
Magnolia	Like	this map seems to be the best available for the State House. Most of my community of interests have been addressed.	Denise O	2021-12-03 10:29:09+00
Birch V2	Opinion	While I prefer Chestnut, this map is better than Apple V2. I	Hirak Chanda	2021-12-03 03:37:04+00
Pine v5	Dislike	We in Allegan don't want to be split up like this and believe it will unfairly diminish our voice. We say no.	Bob Knaack	2021-12-03 02:14:16+00

Szetela House	Dislike	Do not carve up Allegan, we don't want to be carved up in this crazy way.	Bob Knaack	2021-12-03 02:07:57+00
Hickory	Dislike	Don't chop up Allegan county like this it makes no sense unless some gerrymandering is going on.	Robin Knaack	2021-12-03 02:00:58+00
Magnolia	Dislike	I see no reason to carve up Allegan county like this. Respect the county the way it is which is why we live here.	Robin Knaack	2021-12-03 01:55:27+00
Magnolia	Like	This Magnolia map clearly follows the criteria listed in Michigan's Constitution and takes into consideration many of the communities of interest that were testified to before the commission. Very well-balanced approach.	Jeff W	2021-12-03 01:14:06+00
Palm	Like	This Palm map clearly follows the criteria listed in Michigan's Constitution and takes into consideration many of the communities of interest that were testified to before the commission. Very well-balanced approach.	Jeff W.	2021-12-03 01:04:49+00

Pine v5	Dislike	<p>This map is very disappointing. it's as if the creator did not realize his/her constitutional duty. There is a huge amount of partisan bias and does not adequately reflect communities of interest. you can do better than this!</p>	Sue Hadden	2021-12-03 00:25:22+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>This map is very disappointing. it's as if the creator did not realize his/her constitutional duty. There is a huge amount of partisan bias and does not adequately reflect communities of interest. you can do better than this!</p>	Sue Hadden	2021-12-03 00:22:59+00
Linden	Dislike	<p>This map does not demonstrate partisan fairness which is your constitutional duty to uphold. Please Palm.</p>	Joe Fuhker	2021-12-03 00:20:54+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>This map does not demonstrate partisan fairness which is your constitutional duty to uphold. Please accept Palm.</p>	Joe Fuhker	2021-12-03 00:18:53+00
Linden	Like	<p>There is a lot to like about this map. COI and partisan fairness.</p>	Sue Hadden	2021-12-03 00:10:29+00

Hickory	Like	I like this map, and I'm excited about a district that covers both suburbs and parts of Detroit.	Vaughn Derderian	2021-12-02 22:58:25+00
Palm	Dislike	This map does not demonstrate partisan fairness which is your constitutional duty to uphold. Please accept Linden or Cherry.	Sue Hadden	2021-12-02 22:38:47+00
Lange Congressional	Like	This map does not demonstrate partisan fairness which is your constitutional duty to uphold. Please accept Birch or Szetela I prefer Birch and Szetela overall but this map does a good job of COI with	Sue Hadden	2021-12-02 22:31:38+00
Chestnut	Dislike	contiguous regions mostly. This map is the best, offering the fairest districts of any proposed Senate map. This honors the VRA making it	Sue Hadden	2021-12-02 21:46:56+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	easier for partisan fairness. The Szetela is supposed to be the best of the 4 House Maps? It still divides Jackson County. Please do not take away the voices that need to be heard in Jackson County.	Sue Hadden	2021-12-02 21:40:15+00
Szetela House	Opinion	Keep it fair. Thank you	Sharon Houck	2021-12-02 21:39:48+00

Birch V2	Like	Overall, Birch covers COI and statewide voting patterns very well. For my community, it keeps us together. We have shared interests and concerns (water quality for one) The I94 corridor binds us together although we do not want a district that goes all the way across the state (and this map does not do that).	Sue Hadden	2021-12-02 21:35:40+00
Hickory	Dislike	Hickory is a BAD Map. As with the other maps, it does not keep Jackson County whole and combines us to Ann Arbor. Please DO NOT choose this map.	Sharon Houck	2021-12-02 21:20:12+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Magnolia is another BAD map. This continues to lump Jackson to Washtenaw of which they are two separate distinct communities. This Map is a Bad Option. Please discard it? Thank you	Sharon Houck	2021-12-02 21:14:52+00

Pine v5 Dislike The Pine V5 Is NOT a good map for Jackson County. It combines Jackson To Ann Arbor. Why do this? Jackson needs fair representation too, that differs from Ann Arbor. Leave Jackson County whole. Please do not mix us with communities that we have nothing in common with. If this is the plan, we will have no voice. Bad Map Sharon Houck 2021-12-02 21:08:29+00

Szetela Senate Dislike The Szetela State Senate map is Not a good map. Combining the rural areas of Jackson County with Urban Ann Arbor is an unfair option for Jackson. The Senate Representation would be lost in Jackson as their time would be spent with the specialized needs and demands from a much larger population in Ann Arbor. Please do not consider this map and do consider the Palm State Senate Map which is a much better Map. Thank you Sharon Houck 2021-12-02 21:00:59+00

The Lange State Senate map is NOT a good map. It combines Jackson County, which is mostly rural, with half of the city of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has public utilities, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas have none of these things. These different communities have different needs and require different legislative representation. Please adopt instead the "Palm" State Senate map. It does a much better job of satisfying the "communities of interest"™ objective of this committee.

Lange Senate correct Dislike

Sharon Houck

2021-12-02 20:51:03+00

The Kellom Senate May is Not a good choice. It divides Jackson County and combines it with Ann Arbor. How is this fair? These two diverse communities require opposite legislative representation and this Map should be discarded. The Palm State Senate Map is a much better choice. Thank you

Kellom Senate

Dislike

Sharon Houck

2021-12-02 20:48:23+00

The Birch V2 congressional map is NOT good because it does not keep Jackson County whole. It combines the eastern portion of Jackson County, including Waterloo Township, with Washtenaw county. Waterloo Township is a very rural community with no city water, no city sewer, no broadband, and no public transportation. Ann Arbor has all of these things. If this map were to be used, Waterloo Township would not have a voice in Congress. Please don't adopt this map. The Chestnut Map is a much better Map. Thank you

Birch V2

Dislike

Sharon Elaine Houck

2021-12-02 20:40:55+00

The Linden State Senate map is NOT a good map. It divides Jackson County, which is mostly rural, and combines it with half of the city of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has public utilities, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas have none of these things. These different communities have different needs and require different legislative representation. Please adopt instead the "Palm" State Senate map. It does a much better job of satisfying the "communities of interest"™ objective of this committee. Thank you

Linden

Dislike

Sharon Houck

2021-12-02 20:38:06+00

I like the Palm Senate Map, it keeps Jackson County whole and keeps the city of Ann Arbor separate from the rural areas in Jackson County. This should be the case since they are very different communities of interest, have different needs and therefore require different representation. Please adopt this Palm State Senate Map

Palm

Like

Sharon Houck

2021-12-02 20:33:04+00

Magnolia	Like	<p>This map best represents the Brighton/ Livingston county community. Thank you for your service.</p> <p>I do not like the Cherry V2 State Senate map. It divides Jackson County and combines rural areas of Jackson county with half of the city of Ann Arbor . Ann Arbor has public utilities, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas have none of these things. These different communities have different needs and require different legislative representation. Please adopt instead the "Palm" State Senate map. It does a much better job of satisfying the "communities of interest"™ objective of this committee. Thank you</p>	Dan Nickels	2021-12-02 20:31:52+00
Cherry V2	Dislike		Sharon Houck	2021-12-02 20:27:38+00

The Szetela congressional map does not keep Jackson County whole. It combines the eastern portion of Jackson County, including Waterloo Township, with Washtenaw county. Waterloo Township is a very rural community with no city water, no city sewer, no broadband, and no public transportation. The city of Ann Arbor and much of Washtenaw County has all of these things. If this map were to be used, Waterloo Township would not have a voice in Congress. Please don't adopt this map. The Chestnut map is much better.

Szetela Congressional Dislike

Thank you

Sharon Elaine Houck

2021-12-02 20:21:48+00

The Lange congressional map does not keep Jackson County whole. It combines the eastern portion of Jackson County, including Waterloo Township, with Washtenaw county. Waterloo Township is a very rural community with no city water, no city sewer, no broadband, and no public transportation. The city of Ann Arbor and much of Washtenaw County has all of these things. If this map were to be used, Waterloo Township would not have a voice in Congress. Please don't adopt this map. The Chestnut map is much better.

Lange Congressional	Dislike	Thank you. No good choice for house.	Sharon Houck	2021-12-02 20:17:01+00
Szetela House	Dislike	This is the nearest to acceptable. No good choice for house, not	John Chapman	2021-12-02 20:16:52+00
Hickory	Dislike	this one.	John Chapman	2021-12-02 20:15:25+00

I do not like the Apple V2 congressional map because it does not keep Jackson County whole. It combines the eastern portion of Jackson County, including Waterloo Township, with Washtenaw county. Waterloo Township is a very rural community with no city water, no city sewer, no broadband, and no public transportation. Ann Arbor has all of these things. If this map were to be used, Waterloo Township would not have a voice in Congress. Please do not adopt this map. The Chestnut map is much

Apple V2	Dislike	better.	Sharon Houck	2021-12-02 20:15:01+00
Magnolia	Dislike	No good choice for house, not this one.	John Chapman	2021-12-02 20:14:09+00
Palm	Like	This map maintains Livingston county whole and fairly represents our community.	Dan Nickels	2021-12-02 20:13:33+00
Pine v5	Dislike	No good choice for house. not this one.	John Chapman	2021-12-02 20:12:57+00

I do not like the Birch V2 congressional map because it does not keep Jackson County whole. It combines the eastern portion of Jackson County, including Waterloo Township, with Washtenaw county. Waterloo Township is a very rural community with no city water, no city sewer, no broadband, and no public transportation. Ann Arbor has all of these things. If this map were to be used, Waterloo Township would not have a voice in Congress. Please don't adopt this map. The Chestnut map is much

Birch V2	Dislike	better. Thank you.	Sharon Elaine Houck	2021-12-02 20:11:07+00
Cherry V2	Like	Sensible choice!	John Chapman	2021-12-02 20:10:09+00
Kellom Senate	Like	Sensible choice!	John Chapman	2021-12-02 20:08:57+00
Birch V2	Like	Sensible choice!	John Chapman	2021-12-02 20:07:05+00
		This map best represents the area of common interests for my community. Thank you		
Birch V2	Like	for your service.	Dan Nickels	2021-12-02 20:07:02+00
Birch V2	Like	Sensible choice.	John Chapman	2021-12-02 20:05:38+00
		This map appears undesirable in that it does not generate		
Apple V2	Dislike	seats proportional to voting	John Chapman	2021-12-02 20:03:14+00

I have lived in the Grand Rapids area for over 30 years and have been very active in community activities across the region. I have also been extensively involved in working with clients in the Kalamazoo area for much of that time and so have a pretty good understanding of the two distinct communities. Based on that I find it to be completely irrational to suggest these two communities are "communities of common interest" - anymore than Detroit and Traverse City are communities of common interest. Their economies are driven by different dynamics, they do not engage in common regional responses to workforce development, behavioral health, law enforcement, social dynamics, entertainment and cultural activities or frankly any significant dynamic of

Apple V2

Dislike

Stan Stek

2021-12-02 19:29:14+00

Apple V2	Like	<p>According to 538, this map has the closest efficiency gap (and thus the most fair) out of the three. Separating Kent City from Grand Rapids is also a good idea in my opinion.</p> <p>While you've allowed too many communities of interest to be spliced, diced, and slashed, this one at least keeps the Jackson/Western Washtenaw community of interest together in addition to keeping the Ann Arbor community whole. This is the best senate map for</p>	Dolan Bair	2021-12-02 17:46:28+00
Palm	Like	<p>respecting the constitution. Why split Ann Arbor?? They should have their own voice. You didn't split Flint or Kalamazoo, but Ann Arbor?</p>	Larry Parsons	2021-12-02 17:35:15+00
Linden	Dislike	<p>That's garbage. Why split Ann Arbor?? They should have their own voice. You didn't split Flint or Kalamazoo, but Ann Arbor?</p>	Larry Parsons	2021-12-02 17:32:25+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>That's garbage. Cascade and Ada Townships are more a part of the metro Grand Rapids area than the rural areas to the east. This map is not a good fit for the</p>	Larry Parsons	2021-12-02 17:30:59+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>region.</p>	Joel Ombry	2021-12-02 17:01:53+00

Szetela House	Dislike	<p>Eastown is very distinct and unlike the western part of Kent County. It shouldn't be lumped together with it. One of multiple reasons this isn't a good map for the region.</p> <p>Please reject it. Thank you.</p> <p>Please do not use this map. Wyoming should not be split up as it dilutes the Hispanic population voice in the area. Wyoming is also the second largest city in the area and deserves a voice in the state house. Thank you.</p>	Joel Ombry	2021-12-02 16:59:19+00
Szetela House	Dislike		Joel Ombry	2021-12-02 16:55:42+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>Novi's inclusion in the 6th district is absurd. Novi needs to be in a district that includes neighboring Oakland County communities. This map treats Novi as a leftover piece of geography randomly annexed by a district that shares no real communities of interest.</p> <p>This map splits our small school district in two by assigning Allegan County, Laketown Township to Holland City. This map is not</p>	Devin Steele	2021-12-02 16:34:18+00
Palm	Dislike	suitable.	CATHERINE BROCKINGTON	2021-12-02 16:24:31+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>This map best respects the COIs along the Southwest Lake MI shoreline. I'm a former mayor of Albion. Thank you for reconnecting Albion and Battle Creek into one community of interest! This makes sense because Albion and Battle Creek are similar in terms of post-industrial economies and racial demographics. Albion is approximately 30% Black/African American. Severing Albion's political ties with Battle Creek would do serious harm to the political power of Black residents whose families relocated to both communities during the Great Migration-era.</p>	CATHERINE BROCKINGTON	2021-12-02 16:16:46+00
Szetela House	Like		Garrett Brown	2021-12-02 16:04:03+00

Hickory	Like	<p>             I'm a former mayor of Albion. Thank you for reconnecting Albion and Battle Creek into one community of interest! This makes sense because Albion and Battle Creek are similar in terms of post-industrial economies and racial demographics. Albion is approximately 30% Black/African American. Severing Albion's political ties with Battle Creek would do serious harm to the political power of Black residents whose families relocated to both communities during the Great Migration-era.           </p>	Garrett Brown	2021-12-02 16:01:57+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>             This map is terrible and runs the risk of Flint not having representation from a Flint resident in Lansing with the unique needs of the City of Flint-with even more complications from the aftermath of the water crisis Flint needs its own representation in Lansing. This map will not achieve that.           </p>	Jasmine McKenney	2021-12-02 15:37:27+00

Palm	Like	All of your maps unfairly split Ann Arbor and Jackson except for this one. This is the only map to give both of those communities fair representation.	Deandre M	2021-12-02 15:34:21+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	Prop 2 promised to end drawing lines for political reasons, or gerrymandering. This map makes it even worse by breaking up united communities for blatant political purposes. This map is not TRULY fair to both parties like we were promised.	Deandre M	2021-12-02 15:31:33+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	Prop 2 promised to end drawing lines for political reasons, or gerrymandering. This map makes it even worse by breaking up united communities for blatant political purposes. This map is not TRULY fair to both parties like we were promised.	Deandre M	2021-12-02 15:30:31+00

Kellom Senate	Dislike	<p>Prop 2 promised to end drawing lines for political reasons, or gerrymandering. This map makes it even worse by breaking up united communities for blatant political purposes. This map is not TRULY fair to both parties like we were promised.</p>	Deandre M	2021-12-02 15:29:24+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>This is a fair and balanced map, and keeps like voters together. Good work.</p>	Jim Pedersen	2021-12-02 15:28:32+00
Linden	Dislike	<p>Prop 2 promised to end drawing lines for political reasons, or gerrymandering. This map makes it even worse by breaking up united communities for blatant political purposes. This map is not TRULY fair to both parties like we were promised.</p>	Deandre M	2021-12-02 15:28:25+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>Prop 2 promised to end drawing lines for political reasons, or gerrymandering. This map makes it even worse by breaking up united communities for blatant political purposes. This map is not TRULY fair to both parties like we were promised.</p>	Deandre M	2021-12-02 15:25:36+00

Cherry V2	Like	Proposition 2 was voted on to end gerrymandering. This is a better map for partisan fairness so that we all have a voice, not just one party. We all deserve a voice.	Yolanda Fultz	2021-12-02 15:00:17+00
Palm	Dislike	Palm is the WORST option of the Senate Map options. I am in favor of these maps as the best options for Michigan and our communities.	Art	2021-12-02 14:50:11+00
Birch V2	Opinion	This map is the best representation of keeping communities of interest together.	Melissa Parks	2021-12-02 04:10:11+00
Chestnut	Like	Magnolia is better than the rest of the partisan Democratic Hack Maps. Why cant you keep the Farmington and Farmington Hill cities together, same Schools and Libraries and you separate them. You separate the main street in my neighborhood, Normandy Hills.	Kathy A Swartz	2021-12-02 02:41:03+00
Magnolia	Like		Robert Oestreich	2021-12-02 02:15:09+00

Lange Senate correct	Dislike	<p>District 12 and 11 lack compactness. They span long, narrow (vertical on the map) in an attempt to connect far away communities. Why? Spanning more horizontally would make for a more compact districts.</p>	Michael Novak	2021-12-02 02:11:13+00
Chestnut	Opinion	<p>It looks as though the line between the proposed 2nd and 3rd Districts on the Chestnut map in the City of North Muskegon (a city of fewer than 4,000 residents and primarily on a peninsula) might be an oversight/error? What's the rationale for splitting North Muskegon between two congressional districts? It would seem more logical to keep this small community together in one district as the interests and issues faced by the section of North Muskegon in the proposed 2nd District would align well with those of the proposed 3rd District (where the rest of North Muskegon and Metro Muskegon are located).</p>	Jonathan Seyferth	2021-12-02 01:49:58+00

Palm	Like	The Palm map is better than the others but it is a partisan gerrymandered for the Democrats	Robert Oestreich	2021-12-02 01:41:37+00
Magnolia	Like	I favor the Magnolia map. It balances better than the other State House Maps the avoidance of partisan gerrymandering and respect of the shared values of communities of interest.	Leonard Knotwood	2021-12-02 01:29:23+00
Magnolia	Like	Out of all State House maps I am favoring the Magnolia map. It better balances avoiding partisan gerrymandering and respecting the shared values of communities of interest.	Susana Bercea	2021-12-02 01:28:12+00
Magnolia	Like	I favor the Magnolia map which, compared to all other State House Maps better balances avoiding partisan gerrymandering and respecting the shared values of communities of interest.	DevoraDumitrescu	2021-12-02 01:26:17+00

Linden	Dislike	<p>District 11 and 12 needs to NOT stretch vertically, rather they should collect communities horizontally. Grosse Pointe communities interact more with Roseville and Eastpointe (Wayne), than northern shoreline Macomb county. Someone must really like this arrangement for political purposes because I see it over and over again.</p> <p>Out of all State House maps I am favoring the Magnolia map. It better balances partisan gerrymandering and respecting the shared values</p>	Michael Novak	2021-12-02 01:26:10+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>of communities of interest. Out of all State House Mapps I am favoring the Magnolia map. It balances the closest avoiding partisan gerrymandering and respecting the shared values</p>	Andrea Dumitrescu	2021-12-02 01:24:56+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>of communities of interest. The Birch map is better (or more fair) than the others. Keeping Farmington and Farmington Hills together is a good thing since we go to common schools and</p>	Valentin Dumitrescu	2021-12-02 01:23:51+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>libraries.</p>	Robert Oestreich	2021-12-02 01:22:35+00

Birch V2	Like	Out of all Congressional maps I am favoring the Birch map. It better balances respect for shared values of communities of interest while avoiding better partisan gerrymandering.	Susana Bercea	2021-12-02 01:20:58+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	District crosses county borders (Wayne and Macomb). As a resident of the Grosse Pointes I have a stronger community connection to Eastpointe and Roseville than the Northern shoreline of Macomb County. District is stretched out from Detroit to the southern	Michael Novak	2021-12-02 01:17:50+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	border. It looks unusual.	Michael Novak	2021-12-02 01:14:55+00
Birch V2	Like	The Birch congressional map is better than the other congressional maps. It balances the closest the shared values of communities of interest while avoiding partisan gerrymandering. Wayne County communities and Macomb County communities are mixed	Leonard Knotwood	2021-12-02 01:10:25+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	together.	Michael Novak	2021-12-02 01:07:48+00

Birch V2	Like	I consider the Birch map a relatively fair map. It avoids partisan gerrymandering and respects shared values of communities of interest. Grosse Pointes have more in common with Eastpointe and Roseville, than New Baltimore, Harrison Twp and costal Macomb County. It appears to be an attempt to pull a Wayne County community into Macomb	Devora Dumitrescu	2021-12-02 01:06:31+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	County... for some reason?	Michael Novak	2021-12-02 01:05:34+00
Birch V2	Like	Out of all Congressional maps I am favoring the Birch map. It balances the closest out of all other maps avoiding partisan gerrymandering and shared values of communities of interest.	Andrea Dumitrescu	2021-12-02 01:05:15+00
Birch V2	Like	Out of all Congressional maps I am favoring the Birch map as it comes close to avoid partisan gerrymandering while balancing also the shared values of communities of interest.	Valentin Dumitrescu	2021-12-02 01:03:46+00

Palm	Like	<p>I am favoring the Palm map as it comes closer than the other State Senate maps to avoid partisan gerrymandering while balancing also the shared values of communities of interest.</p> <p>Out of all State Senate maps I am favoring the Palm map as it comes close to avoid partisan gerrymandering while balancing also the shared values of communities of interest.</p>	Leonard Knotwood	2021-12-02 00:54:34+00
Palm	Like	<p>The Palm STate Senate map is the map does a good job balancing the interest of shared values of community of interests while avoiding as much as possible partisan gerrymandering.</p>	Susana Bercea	2021-12-02 00:53:15+00
Palm	Like	<p>The Palm STate Senate map is a decent map that balances well compared to the other maps, the interest of shared values of community of interests while avoiding as much as possible partisan gerrymandering.</p>	Devora Dumitrescu	2021-12-02 00:49:40+00
Palm	Like	<p>The Palm STate Senate map is a decent map that balances well compared to the other maps, the interest of shared values of community of interests while avoiding as much as possible partisan gerrymandering.</p>	Andrea Dumitrescu	2021-12-02 00:48:39+00

Palm Like The Palm State Senate map comes closest to a fair map that takes into account the communities of interest and the avoids partisan gerrymandering Valentin Dumitrewscu 2021-12-02 00:45:45+00

Magnolia Dislike This map-Magnolia-has the worst partisan fairness score of the 3 House maps. Again, that score alone, should be an indicator that this map is not meeting one of the key goals of reducing the occurrence of gerrymandered voting districts in MI. And my town, Midland, would have no chance of having a competitive race due to partisan unfairness, per this map. Unless the commission decides to revise the maps for the House, of the 3 current maps proposed, I would encourage you to use the Hickory map over this one, even though that map also reflects many of the same partisan unfairness concerns. Marie Johansen 2021-12-02 00:40:40+00

I do not like this Pine v5 map as it still reflects a bias to favor Republicans with a partisan fairness score above 5%. That remains unacceptable. Again, this map totally disregards the reasoning and decisions reflected in the Senate and Congressional maps to align Midland with its Tri-City sisters-Bay City and Saginaw. Why would you now decide to separate Midland from the Tri-Cities concept and also separate Saginaw and separate Bay City into districts that do NOT provide for partisan fairness. None of the House maps have been drawn to ensure partisan fairness. The House district map should be drawn in a way that may change the status quo, abide by COI and, foremost, have a partisan fairness score closer to the goal of 1%. And if some Congressional seats end up at risk for a challenge, then Marie Johansen

Pine v5

Dislike

2021-12-02 00:24:43+00

The Palm Map has the worst partisan fairness scores of the 3 maps proposed for State Senate. That score alone, tells me that this map should NOT be used, regardless that there may be parts of it that satisfy some. The point of the commission is to create partisan fairness, not maps that keep the status quo. I do appreciate that the commission has tried to keep the Tri-Cities (and sometimes Flint) together, as they are COI and are aligned in many of their needs, in spite of their diversity.

Palm

Dislike

Marie Johansen

2021-12-02 00:02:42+00

I prefer Chestnut map over this one-Apple V2. This map does keep the Tri-Cities and Flint together in one district. This is good, but then it includes-Tuscola County, perhaps to achieve population balance. But that could also have been achieved by including more of Midland County since it is geographically next to Midland and makes more sense than including Tuscola, which is not connected to Midland nor to Flint in any significant way

Apple V2

Opinion

Marie Johansen

2021-12-01 23:53:48+00

I prefer Chestnut map over the Birch V2 map because it keeps some of the counties where Midland, Bay City and Saginaw reside a bit more cohesive. While I do not believe that voting districts should use county lines as a reason for identifying a voting district, at times, it may makes sense to keep geographic areas aligned. But this map at least keeps the small cities of Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint together which is good, but Chestnut still is my preferred

Birch V2  
Palm

Opinion  
Like

map.  
This is the best

Marie Johansen  
democrat voter

2021-12-01 23:42:47+00  
2021-12-01 23:14:29+00

I am disappointed and concerned that this map totally disregards the reasoning and decisions reflected in the Senate and Congressional maps to align Midland with its Tri-City sisters-Bay City and Saginaw. Why would you now decide to separate Midland from the Tri-Cities and encase Midland within it's county - who are you protecting???? I voted "liked" only because this map is a bit better than the other 2 being proposed, but it certainly continues to reflect partisan partiality favoring Republicans by 5%, which is way above the goal of 1%. It seems that for this mid-MI area, the citizens as a whole, are not being given the freedom to actually choose their representative, because this district-esp. for Midland-continues to be protected to keep the status quo. Voting should matter and in order to

Hickory

Like

Marie Johansen

2021-12-01 23:07:29+00

Of the 3 maps for State Senate, this map offers the lowest efficiency gap, but it still, unfortunately, favors Republicans. Please continue your efforts to create maps reflecting partisan fairness, as this is an important goal for the commission. I do like that you kept the Tri-Cities together as they represent COI. When the division of Republicans and Democrats is fairly equal in a district, any representative will need to truly earn their votes by responding to the needs of all the citizens. You are working hard. Thanks.

Linden

Like

Marie Johansen

2021-12-01 22:51:30+00

I like that you kept the Tri-Cities and Flint aligned into the same district as it reflects the facts that the Tri-Cities and Flint ARE COI. I know that you are working on creating maps that reflect partisan fairness and in that respect this map has the lowest lopsided margin of 4% in favor of Republicans. This could be improved, but is the best of the maps offered so far.

Chestnut

Like

Marie Johansen

2021-12-01 22:28:37+00

Palm	Like	Palm map seems to be the best.	RENELL Ruby FINK	2021-12-01 22:19:35+00
Birch V2	Like	This map works well for common interests and communities.	Mari-Ann Henry	2021-12-01 20:28:46+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This map doesn't make since Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are not communities of interest.	Dustin S	2021-12-01 20:20:13+00
Chestnut	Like	Reasonably compact, geographically contiguous and fair.	Michael Novak	2021-12-01 20:17:18+00
Palm	Like	Livingston County stays together. I like it.	Mari-Ann Henry	2021-12-01 20:10:36+00
Magnolia	Like	I like this map better than the other ones offered. I like that Brighton stays together with Genoa. They share many community interests. Brighton does not share many things in common with Ann Arbor, so this map fits the area best.	Mari-Ann Henry	2021-12-01 20:08:12+00
Birch V2	Like	This best represents Livingston County by keeping the county intact. This map does that better than the rest.	Mari-Ann Henry	2021-12-01 19:53:41+00

		Leelanau County and Grand Traverse County have very different communities based on the standard of living and income. I think putting these communities together would		
Pine v5	Dislike	not make much sense.	Mark Klein	2021-12-01 19:44:09+00
		This map is should NOT be adopted as it continues the unfair, partisan gerrymandering that we		
Palm	Dislike	voted to fix.	Maria A Thompson	2021-12-01 19:19:30+00
		Based on my research, this map does not achieve partisan fairness. Michiganders voted to have a citizen commission for this purpose. Linden and Cherry		
Palm	Dislike	are better.	Scott Mannila	2021-12-01 19:13:43+00
Hickory	Like	Good.	Alexander Gustafson	2021-12-01 17:30:30+00
Palm	Dislike	NOT GOOD!	Alexander Gustafson	2021-12-01 17:29:26+00
		This creates a competitive map just like it is now. Several Republicans and Democrats		
Szetela House	Like	have one this seat in the past decade. Keep it the way it is.	Casey Adams	2021-12-01 17:19:40+00
		This creates a competitive map just like it is now. Several Republicans and Democrats		
Hickory	Like	have one this seat in the past decade. Keep it the way it is.	Casey Adams	2021-12-01 17:19:17+00

Magnolia	Like	This creates a competitive map just like it is now. Several Republicans and Democrats have one this seat in the past decade. Keep it the way it is.	Casey Adams	2021-12-01 17:18:53+00
Hickory	Like	The Hickory map its the best one for Washtenaw county in keeping a fair and non-partisan balance. PLEASE VOTE NO on the Palm map which is strongly biased and does not represent a majority of voters.	Gretchen Gruner	2021-12-01 16:43:47+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Very obvious gerrymandering for partisan reasons. Does not follow constitutional guidelines. Magnolia is best choice	Chris Wingate	2021-12-01 16:28:25+00
Hickory	Dislike	Too much splitting in order to get more votes for Dems. The only map in this category to get my approval is Magnolia. This is the best of the options given to us. However, it still splits up communities/counties too much.	Chris Wingate	2021-12-01 16:25:41+00
Magnolia	Like		Chris Wingate	2021-12-01 16:22:03+00

Pine v5	Dislike	Far too much division of communities of interest. Very unhappy with most of the choices given to us. Magnolia would be my choice in this category	Chris Wingate	2021-12-01 16:19:27+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	Far too much division of communities of interest. Does not follow constitutional guidelines. Palm is best option in this category	Chris Wingate	2021-12-01 16:15:59+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	This is most partisan skewed of all the options! Classic example of gerrymandering. Palm is best option given to us.	Chris Wingate	2021-12-01 16:13:10+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Do not like the division in my county. Splits up communities of interest. Palm is the best option.	Chris Wingate	2021-12-01 16:11:02+00
Apple V2	Like	This map has the best bipartisan representation out of all the maps I've seen for congressional districts so far. If the objective was to make districts more competitive and have fairer representation, this map would accomplish that.	Daniel Moilanen	2021-12-01 16:10:02+00

Linden	Dislike	Too much division in my county! We need to keep our communities voices together. Palm is the best option in this category.	Chris Wingate	2021-12-01 16:09:14+00
Palm	Like	This is the best option in this category. Seems to keep communities of interest more than any other.	Chris Wingate	2021-12-01 16:06:44+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Does not follow constitutional guidelines, splits up communities of interest.	Chris Wingate	2021-12-01 16:04:51+00
Birch V2	Like	Respects communities of interest.	Chris Wingate	2021-12-01 16:01:54+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Splits up communities of interest. Chestnut, Birch or Lange better options.	Chris Wingate	2021-12-01 16:00:04+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Avoids partisan gerrymandering better than Apple V2 or Szetela maps	Chris Wingate	2021-12-01 15:58:07+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Obvious gerrymandering for partisan reasons.	Chris Wingate	2021-12-01 15:56:09+00
Chestnut	Like	This map seems acceptable, but not as good as it should be. Partisan fairness is a constitutional duty. People voted for the redistricting commission to take it out of the hands of highly partisan legislators. Please don't continue gerrymandering.	Mary White	2021-12-01 15:55:19+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike		Districts do not follow natural boundaries or clear community connections. It gives the appearance of political influence and undermines the confidence (and voice) of the voters.	Michael Novak	2021-12-01 15:50:19+00
Lange Congressional Dislike		Districts do not follow natural boundaries or clear community connections. It gives the appearance of political influence and undermines the confidence (and voice) of the voters.	Michael Novak	2021-12-01 15:49:12+00
Palm	Dislike	This map seems to split Washtenaw County in a way that gives maximum weight to rural areas, and consequently to Republicans. This map should not be adopted.	Mary White	2021-12-01 15:49:00+00
Chestnut	Like	This appears closest to following constitutional guidelines	Chris Wingate	2021-12-01 15:48:56+00
Linden	Like	The Linden map for District 35 is a true representative of the people who reside within the area.	Forrest Robison	2021-12-01 15:32:49+00
Hickory	Like	The 96th District map is very well done. It represents the geographical economics of the area.	Forrest Robison	2021-12-01 15:28:01+00

Chestnut	Like	The Chestnut District map represents the best make-up for voters in Saginaw, Bay, Genesee and Midland areas.	Forrest Robison	2021-12-01 15:19:41+00
		This map (PALM) has the worst partisan fairness scores of all 3 senate maps. Please do not choose this map. It does not represent Michigan well. Please select the Linden amp for the senate districts. Thanks to the Commission!		
Palm	Dislike		Cindy Weir	2021-12-01 15:06:45+00
Chestnut	Like	just verifying previous comment (email link brought me here ???)	patricia m nelson	2021-12-01 15:04:59+00
Palm	Dislike	just verifying my previous comment (email link brought me here)	patricia m nelson	2021-12-01 15:04:06+00
Hickory	Like	email verification link brought me here. Just verifying previous comment.	patricia m nelson	2021-12-01 15:03:22+00

If have to choose a map, it would have to be this one. The commission needs to work towards better partisan fairness scores. All these maps favor Republicans by more than 5%. Isn't the goal to be less than 1%? Maybe draw a few that favors Democrats. Thanks!

Hickory	Like		Cindy Weir	2021-12-01 15:03:01+00
Hickory	Like	just verifying my previous comment (verification email link led me here)	ROBERT T SWICKER	2021-12-01 15:01:40+00
Palm	Dislike	just verifying my previous comment (this is the page I got when I clicked on the "verification" email link)	ROBERT T SWICKER	2021-12-01 14:51:50+00
Chestnut	Like	verifying my previous comment (your verification link led me here???)	ROBERT T SWICKER	2021-12-01 14:50:40+00
Hickory	Like	this map is good	ROBERT T SWICKER	2021-12-01 14:48:42+00
Hickory	Like	a good map	patricia m nelson	2021-12-01 14:48:02+00
Palm	Dislike	any other better	patricia m nelson	2021-12-01 14:45:54+00
Palm	Dislike	worst option	ROBERT T SWICKER	2021-12-01 14:45:11+00
Chestnut	Like	my preference	ROBERT T SWICKER	2021-12-01 14:43:56+00
Chestnut	Like	closest to a fair representation	patricia m nelson	2021-12-01 14:42:08+00

Linden	Like	Of all the State Senate maps, this one has the lowest efficiency gap. I still would like the commission to work towards more partisan fairness. The Republicans still have an edge on all of these maps. I do appreciate the commission keeping the Tri Cities together. Thanks for all your hard work.	Cindy Weir	2021-12-01 14:40:39+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	This map seems the best and fairest of any I've seen so far. Please consider this Cherry map as it gives all the voters and parties the most fairest representation.	Susan VanSlyke	2021-12-01 14:39:29+00
Chestnut	Like	I would like to see the partisan fairness scores improved, but off all the maps, this is the one I support. as it has the lowest efficiency gap. I really like that this map keeps the Tri-Cities and Flint together, keeps the other counties whole, keeps most of Midland County in it as well, and makes it possible for either party to win.	Cindy Weir	2021-12-01 14:32:23+00

Apple V2	Like	I believe that the apple2 map shows a good representation of a bi-partison map. The GR K'zoo map is appropriate because the two communities have many similarities and is in the same district. Thank you for your work.	Judith Herrick	2021-12-01 14:21:23+00
Hickory	Dislike	None of these maps have achieved a fair and balanced solution.	Jennifer Rosenberg	2021-12-01 13:49:00+00
Palm	Like	I feel this map reflects my area the best.	Kimberly Thompson	2021-12-01 05:39:47+00
Hickory	Dislike	This district is clearly Gerrymandered to favor dems. Albion and Battle Creek have nothing in common. Including the Battle Creek suburb of Emmett Township instead would make so much more sense. I have lived in Battle Creek my entire life and have only gone to Albion twice.	Nathan Halder	2021-12-01 05:35:19+00

Hickory	Like	This district is clearly Gerrymandered to favor dems. Albion and Battle Creek have nothing in common. Including the Battle Creek suburb of Emmett Township instead would make so much more sense. I have lived in Battle Creek my entire life and have only gone to Albion twice.	Nathan Halder	2021-12-01 05:34:20+00
---------	------	---	---------------	------------------------

Magnolia	Dislike	This district is clearly Gerrymandered to favor dems. Albion and Battle Creek have nothing in common. Including the Battle Creek suburb of Emmett Township instead would make so much more sense. I have lived in Battle Creek my entire life and have only gone to Albion twice.	Nathan Halder	2021-12-01 05:33:02+00
----------	---------	---	---------------	------------------------

Palm	Like	I am very pleased with this district. As a lifelong resident of northern Calhoun County, my community has extensive connections with Barry County/the north. My brother, mom, and boss all commute across the county line and work/school/shopping connects Barry and Calhoun Counties. I am hopeful to finally see a non-Gerrymandered map.	Nathan Halder	2021-12-01 05:28:20+00
Birch V2	Like	I like how Battle Creek isn't chopped off and stuck into some district with much bigger cities like Grand Rapids or Kalamazoo in this map. The borders make sense and help Battle Creek/Calhoun County get properly represented for once.	Nathan Halder	2021-12-01 05:23:27+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Battle Creek has much stronger ties with the rest of Calhoun County than Kalamazoo/the Lake Michigan coast. Calhoun County's weight is being chopped up and diluted in this map.	Nathan Halder	2021-12-01 05:17:04+00

Birch V2	Like	My community may fit better in district 9, but it's better than other maps	Scott Guerne	2021-12-01 02:10:22+00
Magnolia	Like	Not perfect but may be the best of the offering	Scott Guerne	2021-12-01 02:08:03+00
Palm	Like	This map represents my community best	Scott Guerne	2021-12-01 02:03:58+00
		This map should be rejected on the basis of partisan fairness--the MICRC's metrics and analysis have shown that it clearly gives a significant advantage to one party.		
Palm	Dislike	Voters overwhelmingly approved Proposal 18-2 because they wanted to reject a built-in advantage to either party, voters just want a level playing field. Please choose Linden or Cherry to get closer to achieving this critical goal.	Ashleigh Jennings	2021-12-01 01:46:14+00
Palm	Dislike	This map does is gerrymandered, and slants significantly to republicans. Vote against this map. Support fairness.	William Asher	2021-12-01 01:26:35+00
Szetela House	Dislike	If you like abstract art, this is your map. Otherwise the best choice out of a basket of bad choices is the Magnolia map.	Kim K Lindsey	2021-12-01 01:05:49+00

Hickory	Dislike	This map is flawed in so many ways. Please use the Magnolia map.	Kim K Lindsey	2021-12-01 01:03:15+00
Magnolia	Like	This map splits parts of Burr Oak apart, puts Colon( a small lake/rural community) in a more urban district. That said, this map is the best choice out of a group of bad choices.	Kim K Lindsey	2021-12-01 01:00:33+00
Pine v5	Dislike	This map does not serve the communities of interest or give the best representation to a majority of the people.	Kim K Lindsey	2021-12-01 00:55:51+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	This map splits counties willy nilly and does not represent communities of interest.	Kim K Lindsey	2021-12-01 00:53:37+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	This map fails at both communities of interest and is too partisan.	Kim K Lindsey	2021-12-01 00:49:16+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Disregard my earlier comment. I find the whole process of clicking on the maps and leaving comments not ideal. I thought I was commenting on Apple V2, not Lange when I commented. I like this map, if I had to choose one to like.	Kim K Lindsey	2021-12-01 00:47:50+00
Birch V2	Like	Quite a fair map, I think. Thank you for your work.	Josephine Rood	2021-12-01 00:47:01+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	this map totally fails at communities of interest and the lines dividing many counties are just bizarre. Huron, Sanilac, Tuscola counties should be grouped	Kim K Lindsey	2021-12-01 00:45:46+00
Magnolia	Opinion	together. this map has way too many county divisions and groups communities together in a way that does not best represent communities of interest.	Brian C. Alexander	2021-12-01 00:44:42+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map is closest to what would be fair representation and keeping communities of interest together.	Kim K Lindsey	2021-12-01 00:44:23+00
Birch V2	Like	This map is one of the better choices for communities of interest and following the constitutional requirements.	Kim K Lindsey	2021-12-01 00:43:10+00
Chestnut	Like	Grouping major urban areas with rural areas does not fill The States constitution that when redistricting they group communities of Interest.	Kim K Lindsey	2021-12-01 00:41:59+00
Palm	Opinion	Some tweaking would fill that statement.	Brian C. Alexander	2021-12-01 00:40:24+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	This map is partisan and not respectful of the communities of interest requirement. Palm map is the best of several bad options.	Kim K Lindsey	2021-12-01 00:38:19+00

Kellom Senate	Dislike	This map has way too many illogical divisions and is not suitable. The Palm map is a much better choice.	Kim K Lindsey	2021-12-01 00:36:45+00
Birch V2	Opinion	It appears district 9 is grouping major urban areas with rural areas. The States constitution states that when redistricting that they group communities of Interest. Some tweaking would fill that statement.	Brian C. Alexander	2021-12-01 00:35:13+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	This is a good map -- fair and reasonable, with a good number of competitive districts.	Josephine Rood	2021-12-01 00:33:57+00
Linden	Dislike	This map not only splits Hillsdale County, it separates it from Branch, breaking up a true community of interest.	Kim K Lindsey	2021-12-01 00:31:09+00
Palm	Like	This map represents the best choice of all the proposed maps, though it is still lacking.	Kim K Lindsey	2021-12-01 00:29:44+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This map groups too many varied and different counties together, blowing up the communities of interest criteria.	Kim K Lindsey	2021-12-01 00:27:15+00

Hickory	Opinion	Please keep working to draw state house maps that are truly fair. Hickory is the best so far, but still gives an undue advantage to the Republican Party. Thank you so much for all your efforts, Commissioners! I hope that MICRC's work will be a model for the nation.	Josephine Rood	2021-12-01 00:10:54+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map is quite good with respect to partisan fairness, which is the thing that matters most to me.	Josephine	2021-11-30 23:54:42+00
Linden	Like	This is a fair map, and I support it for that reason. I voted for Proposal 2 because I want maps that are not unfairly drawn to benefit one party or the other.	Josephine Rood	2021-11-30 23:43:55+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Confusing at best. The zig-zag along the lake shore is not realistic. Breaking up Allegan County in this many pieces defeats the purpose of county representation.	Stephen J Young	2021-11-30 23:41:24+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map is gerrymandered to bias the democrats. Do not use. Use the fairer Palm map instead.	Stephen J Young	2021-11-30 23:39:40+00
Linden	Dislike		Merlin Steffes	2021-11-30 23:38:06+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	This map is gerrymandered to bias the democrats. Do not use. Use the fairer Palm map instead.	Merlin Steffes	2021-11-30 23:37:24+00
Palm	Dislike	This map carves up Allegan County while adding parts of Kent and Ottawa. This is not at all reasonable based on the intent of the plan to be non-partisan.	Stephen J Young	2021-11-30 23:35:50+00
Palm	Opinion	This map is the fairest. Cherry and Linden are slanted to favor democrats.	Merlin Steffes	2021-11-30 23:33:51+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Allegan County belongs all together, not diced up into multiple parts and pieces.	Stephen J Young	2021-11-30 23:20:15+00
Hickory	Dislike	Allegan County belongs all together, not diced up into multiple parts and pieces.	Stephen J Young	2021-11-30 23:18:19+00
Magnolia	Opinion	Reviewing populations and the map in general, this map seems to keep most related communities intact. No perfect, but better.	Anne Arendt	2021-11-30 23:18:13+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Allegan County belongs all together, not diced up into several parts.	Stephen J Young	2021-11-30 23:12:48+00
Pine v5	Dislike	ALLEGAN County belongs together, not in several parts.	Stephen J Young	2021-11-30 23:10:10+00
Palm	Opinion	This map seem most adequate.	Anne Arendt	2021-11-30 23:04:15+00
Birch V2	Opinion	Birch seems to be the best choice.	Anne arendt	2021-11-30 22:53:33+00

Birch V2	Opinion	<p>At the very least, this line around Warren and Royal Oak need to be shifted to that St. Clair Shores and Eastpointe are part of district 13, which should allow you to include the rest of Royal Oak with Oakland County. This could be acceptable with this small re-working.</p>	Terri McCormick	2021-11-30 22:40:56+00
Hickory	Like	<p>I like the Promote the Vote Maps as they are Fair Maps. This one is as close to Fair among the three Maps that are presented.</p>	Mary O'Neill	2021-11-30 22:37:31+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>I think the Promote the Vote Maps are better but this is better than the other two Maps for fairness</p>	Mary O'Neill	2021-11-30 22:29:37+00
Palm	Like	<p>Palm map leans very Republican so this map does not meet the standards guiding the commission in drawing these maps. This map fails #4 standard of partisan fairness. Please do not vote for this map</p>	Mary Murphy	2021-11-30 21:49:22+00
Magnolia	Opinion	<p>This map breaks up the Thumb of Michigan. Huron, Tuscola and Sanilac are very similar and should stay as a district.</p>	Michael Smith	2021-11-30 21:40:08+00

Hickory	Like	I like the Chestnut map the best of the choices put before me. Thank you	Gloria Woods	2021-11-30 21:37:55+00
Palm	Like	I am not sure how well so many new districts will work out, but I like my rural area as is on this map	Michael Smith	2021-11-30 21:37:33+00
Birch V2	Opinion	We are a rural community and this map is better than some, but still goes too far south incorporating larger cities.	Michael Smith	2021-11-30 21:34:47+00
Linden	Like	I am very happy to see this map. It is the best of the choices for the State Senate district for the Tri-Counties (Bay, Midland and Saginaw) and is the most fair to the partisan nature of our elections. Thanks for all your hard work!	Gloria Woods	2021-11-30 21:23:53+00
Chestnut	Like	I think this is a great map because it so closely aligns to what our COI actually is. Keeping Bay, Midland and Saginaw together with Genesee county is acknowledging how much our past and present together will mean to our future. Thank you!	Gloria Woods	2021-11-30 21:02:45+00

Linden	Like	This Linden map is my preferred State Senate map. Finally, our area will have representation in the State Senate for the Tri-Cities. Partisan Fairness is a critical measure. In this map, it is acceptable.	Carol Heron	2021-11-30 19:58:26+00
Birch V2	Like	Southwest Michigan looks Ok. This map makes no sense to me at all. People in northern Grand Rapids have little in common with the rural communities to the NW of the city. However, this is better than the other proposals.	Lorraine Root	2021-11-30 19:55:15+00
Palm	Like	None of the options were really good, but Birch is acceptable to me. I live in Plainfield Twp. near Grand Rapids, and I do feel for the most part that my community is enclosed in the right district.	Susan L Jordan	2021-11-30 19:49:21+00
Birch V2	Like		Susan L Jordan	2021-11-30 19:46:13+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>This map violates the state constitution. I don't think more needs to be said than that.</p> <p>(d) Districts shall not provide a disproportionate advantage to any political party. A disproportionate advantage to a political party shall be determined using accepted measures of partisan fairness.</p> <p>(e) Districts shall not favor or disfavor an incumbent elected official or a candidate.</p> <p>I think this is the best option. It is better than the others.</p>	Adam Nash	2021-11-30 19:19:09+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>Could be better.</p> <p>I think this is the best option. It is better than the others.</p>	Lena Mae Remsing	2021-11-30 19:10:12+00
Palm	Like	<p>Could be better.</p> <p>I think this is the best option. It is better than the others.</p>	Lena Mae Remsing	2021-11-30 19:09:29+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>Could be better.</p>	Lena Mae Remsing	2021-11-30 19:07:42+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This map is somewhat better than the others. Livingston County fits well with Ingham and Shiawassee counties. The 7th district is competitive. But overall, it still falls short on partisan fairness.</p>	Judy Daubenmier	2021-11-30 19:03:46+00

Magnolia	Like	GR township looks good here		
Palm	Like	I support this version.	james t	2021-11-30 18:55:43+00
	Like	Palm fairly represents 33	james t	2021-11-30 18:52:11+00
		I don't think this new redistricting map reflects our communities of interest. We are a rural and farming community and all of Tuscola county should be in our		
Palm	Dislike	district.	Laurence J Wade	2021-11-30 18:46:38+00
Birch V2	Like	support birch for district 3.	James Allan Taylor	2021-11-30 18:46:30+00
		Agree with Sandra Burgess. While Troy is intact, which is good, pulling in Macomb County doesn't make sense. This is a pretty hard county line for COIs. Is there a better		
Linden	Opinion	option?	Terri McCormick	2021-11-30 18:41:43+00
		I don't think this new redistricting map reflects our communities of interest. We are a rural and farming community, we belong with Tuscola county and not Macomb and Oakland		
Birch V2	Dislike	counties.	Laurence J Wade	2021-11-30 18:40:28+00
		While Troy is intact, which is good, pulling in Macomb County doesn't make sense. This is a pretty hard county line for COIs. Is there a better		
Palm	Opinion	option?	Terri McCormick	2021-11-30 18:40:03+00

Cherry V2	Opinion	Agree with Richard Wochoski - this is better than splitting Troy into Macomb County, but would like to see a map keep Troy completely in Oakland County. This is a pretty hard county line regarding COIs.	Terri McCormick	2021-11-30 18:37:49+00
Szetela Senate	Like	Troy has good representation within our COI here. Thank you.	Terri McCormick	2021-11-30 18:33:53+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	The east side of Troy is heavily diverse. these voices will be drowned out in a mostly Macomb district. Please keep Troy whole. The Szetela map is far better for Troy's COI. Thank you	Terri McCormick	2021-11-30 18:31:52+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	This map achieves bipartisan fairness, which is the ultimate goal.	Sheryl Pramuka	2021-11-30 18:18:19+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Ada should be linked to the west with Grand Rapids because Ada and Grand Rapids are both metro areas. Ada is NOT rural and should not be linked to rural areas. Thank you.	Joan Long	2021-11-30 18:08:50+00

Barry County has  
approximately 66,000 people.  
It makes no sense to be split  
into three districts. I am not  
sure what Fennville residents  
have in common with  
Bellevue residents.

Szetela House

Dislike

Vivian Conner

2021-11-30 17:56:34+00

I think this map does a disservice to several communities of interest.

The Hispanic Community could have been kept together as a community of interest along the Grandville Corridor Roosevelt Park area of Grand Rapids as well as as far east as even Madison Street.

Cascade Township is in District 79 and really should be attached to East Grand Rapids as a suburb of the metro area rather than part of the largely rural areas outside of that area such as Alaska and Alton.

Placing East Town with a largely Westside all the way out to Standale is in such an anomaly.

Szetela House

Dislike

Dennis Murphy

2021-11-30 17:50:26+00

I've looked at this map before and still like it I like the connection with the similarities between Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids is growing metropolitan areas with a burgeoning healthcare and education/University systems.

tying the two areas together down US 131 will facilitate Transportation issues and funding which will help the whole region

Apple V2

Like

Dennis Murphy

2021-11-30 17:42:14+00

Gerrymandering at its worse. This commission was supposed to be bi-partisan. The petition was Democrat backed. The commission is chaired by a Democrat and all the maps favor Democrat populations but this one is the worse.

Apple V2

Dislike

Kristine S Detmers

2021-11-30 17:19:47+00

Of the 3 maps offered, the Magnolia map better than the other 2. Although not really what I would like, for example it splits Hamburg Twp up, and doesn't keep those in the same school district together. Brighton should be paired with South Lyon and Whitmore Lake and keep Hamburg Twp with the rest of Pinckney. This will keep our community together. Our community is very rural and to link it to a community that is a large city, makes no sense, as our values and interests are completely different. People move to these communities from large cities because of the values and interests and to get away from the city influences.

Magnolia

Like

Elizabeth R

2021-11-30 16:42:21+00

Palm	Like	Of the 3 maps offered, the Palm map is the best, as it keeps like communities together. Our community is very rural and to link it to a community that is a large city, makes no sense, as our values and interests are completely different. People move to these communities from large cities because of the values and interests and to get away from the city influences.	Elizabeth R	2021-11-30 16:37:20+00
------	------	--	-------------	------------------------

Palm	Like	I support the Palm map. I do, however, think the dividing line between the two districts within the city of Grand Rapids should be kept at Fulton Street (the dividing line should NOT be moved south to Wealthy Street because a move south to Wealthy Street would split the neighborhood associations of Heritage Hill and Easttown between two districts).	Ginta McNally	2021-11-30 16:37:10+00
------	------	--	---------------	------------------------

Birch V2	Like	<p>I like the Birch maps. It keeps Livingston County together, which groups shared interests and communities that are tied together. Our large rural areas having nothing in common with the areas south of us, therefore, this ensures shared values and interests.</p> <p>The Magnolia plan could be strengthened by NOT drawing Cascade with the municipalities of East Grand Rapids and Kentwood for its own district. Grand Rapids township forms a community of interest with Ada and Cascade Townships, in composing the Forest Hills School District area.</p>	Elizabeth R	2021-11-30 16:31:39+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>I do not like the division in my neighboring counties, not keeping these communities of interest intact. My approval goes to Magnolia</p>	Ginta McNally	2021-11-30 16:31:36+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>This terribly splits my neighboring counties and does not keep communities of interest together. Go with Magnolia.</p>	Penny K Wingate	2021-11-30 16:21:04+00
Hickory	Dislike		Penny K Wingate	2021-11-30 16:18:00+00

I oppose the Apple plan. The Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo metropolitan areas are two different communities of interest and should not be combined in a congressional district. Grand Rapids has competing interests with Kalamazoo - both compete to attract businesses, airports compete for federal funding, etc. It does not make sense to create a long congressional district from the city of Grand Rapids to the City of Kalamazoo - two extremely different communities of interest. The Apple plan omits a large portion of the Kalamazoo metropolitan region and includes it in a separate congressional district - this does not make sense, and Kalamazoo should stay together as a community of interest. Also, inclusion of the northern suburbs of Grand Rapids in a congressional district drawn

Apple V2

Dislike

Ginta McNally

2021-11-30 16:17:25+00

Magnolia

Like

I will agree with a prior comment "this is the best of a bad situation". One of my neighboring counties is separated into 4 districts. This makes no sense, as they are communities of interest. However, this is the best of all proposed.

Penny K Wingate

2021-11-30 16:15:32+00

In my opinion, the Grand Rapids and Muskegon metropolitan regions form two different communities of interest. Therefore, I oppose the Chestnut plan. Grand Rapids has competing economic interests with Muskegon - both compete to attract businesses, airports compete for federal funding, and so on. Additionally, the northern suburbs of Grand Rapids should not be included with a congressional district drawn all the way to Midland County - this is too far away and would hurt constituents who need to access the congressional district office. The plan breaks three counties each into multiple congressional districts - constituents will be confused when they need to contact a congressional office.

Chestnut

Dislike

Ginta McNally

2021-11-30 16:07:39+00

Szetela Senate	Dislike	I do not like the big division of Hillsdale County, and also do not like Ann Arbor being split and Jackson being put in with half of Ann Arbor. Stay with Palm for State Senate map. This is not a fair representation of communities of interest. Jackson should not be put with Ann Arbor.	Penny K Wingate	2021-11-30 16:07:32+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	I approve of Palm. I absolutely do not like the division of Hillsdale County, and also seeing Ann Arbor divided. This is complete gerrymandering! This does NOT respect communities of interest. I'm agreeing to Palm	Penny K Wingate	2021-11-30 16:03:19+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	only. This is a No vote from me. Too much division in my county of Hillsdale. The best option I see is Palm.	Penny K Wingate	2021-11-30 16:00:27+00
Linden	Dislike	This is the best option I find for State Senate maps, although I still do not like seeing townships pulled from our county of Hillsdale. Absolute NO on all of the other options.	Penny K Wingate	2021-11-30 15:57:03+00
Palm	Like		Penny K Wingate	2021-11-30 15:53:54+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	A Very Big NO! What does Hillsdale have in common interest with New Buffalo and Constantine? Why are 3 of our county townships pulled away from us and given to the Ann Arbor area for? They are OUR communities of interest, as is Lenawee and Jackson counties!! Go with Palm on this one!!	Penny K Wingate	2021-11-30 15:50:16+00
Birch V2	Like	I like the Birch Congressional District Plan because the plan keeps Kent County whole within one congressional district. It keeps the "Metro 6 City" area in one congressional district. It also includes areas from nearby counties that identify as the Grand Rapids area in one congressional district. Chestnut, Birch V2 and Lange gets my thumbs up over this. Again, I have a problem with the breaking of areas near Detroit and putting them with	Ginta McNally	2021-11-30 15:49:57+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Ann Arbor area.	Penny K Wingate	2021-11-30 15:46:45+00

Lange Congressional	Like	This one is acceptable, though I see splitting communities of interest somewhat. But much better than Apple V2 and Szetela which I strongly disapprove.	Penny K Wingate	2021-11-30 15:40:20+00
Palm	Like	Jackson County should be left with the rural counties to the south and west...Jackson County does not belong with Ann Arbor.	David	2021-11-30 15:39:35+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Jackson County should be left with the rural counties to the south and west...Jackson County does not belong with Washtenaw County.	David	2021-11-30 15:38:15+00
Apple V2	Like	I do not like this map as it appears heavily gerrymandered. My preference is with Chestnut or Birch V2 map.	Penny K Wingate	2021-11-30 15:37:14+00
Linden	Dislike	Jackson County should be left with the rural counties to the south and west...Jackson County does not belong with Washtenaw County.	David	2021-11-30 15:36:14+00
Birch V2	Like	I like this, though it is not perfect, but much better than others. My opinion is that it is fair in representation of communities of like interest.	Penny K Wingate	2021-11-30 15:33:08+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>This map seems to keep my county, and other areas, together to respect communities of interest. It seems to avoid partisan gerrymandering that appears evident in other maps.</p> <p>I feel like this region does represent my community and a good job was done here, likewise my family in Oxford has good recognition of their community.</p> <p>My only complaint is Lake Orion drawn south into the odd C shape with Pontiac.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and efforts on this map! A good compromise leaves everyone</p>	Penny K Wingate	2021-11-30 15:22:17+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>unhappy haha.</p> <p>I live in Clarkston but have family in Oxford and I have to say this does seem to do us both justice. Keeping both communities together, though not in a single community does provide recognition for the differences between the two.</p>	steven sioma	2021-11-30 14:54:16+00
Palm	Like	<p>Thank you!</p>	steven sioma	2021-11-30 14:52:07+00

Most of these communities are a mix of suburban to rural and lots of semi-rural. Driving down the road you might pass a farm, then a new development, followed by some older cozy homes with good plots of lands with gardens.

My only complaint is separating Lake Orion and Oxford, but it's an understandable choice. Thank you for recognizing our communities!

Birch V2

Like

steven sioma

2021-11-30 14:49:13+00

This map is the most competitive among your maps with the closest to partisan fairness scores. I support this map because it represents the urban areas of Bay City, Saginaw and Midland, and we share common economic, environmental and recreational interests. The Tri-Cities have long been connected and will benefit from this representation.

Linden

Like

Barb Handley-Miller

2021-11-30 13:13:27+00

Linden	Like	<p>I support the Linden map, it is a very competitive map, with the closest to partisan fairness scores. I support keeping Saginaw, Bay City and Midland together in a Senate District, so many economic, environmental and recreational common interests. Thank you!</p> <p>This map is excellent, consolidating urban areas of Saginaw, Bay City, Midland and Flint, while also keeping much of the counties intact. This district's representation at the federal level will be a big help to the continued development of and quality of life in this area of Michigan.</p>	Barb Handley-Miller	2021-11-30 13:08:14+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>Thank you.</p> <p>Quick correction on previous comment. Districts should be 110, 109, 108, and 107. All other suggestions remain. I strongly oppose the proposed map you have offered and urge you to consider the alternative in my original comment below.</p>	Barb Handley-Miller	2021-11-30 13:00:17+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>comment below.</p>	Pamela Trask	2021-11-30 05:50:43+00

The redistricting in the Upper Peninsula is not at all well-considered. Dickinson County should not be divided. The lower part of Marquette County is more in line with Dickinson County culture and that should be divided. The Keweenaw, Houghton, Baraga and northern Marquette counties should be in district 109. Gogebic, Iron, Dickinson, south Marquette, and Menominee counties in district 108. Delta, Schoolcraft, Alger, Luce and Chippewa counties in district 107. Mackinac and the three counties just over the bridge should be in district 106. These would be fairly balanced population wise and would be much more suitable in terms of local culture, concerns, and values. The committee should seriously reconstruct the redistricting map in the U.P. I believe they will understand it will be least  
Terrible split of Oakland County just to please and reward Democrat strongholds. Need to keep large cities intact and have smaller townships intact.

Magnolia

Dislike

Pamela Trask

2021-11-30 05:46:00+00

Pine v5

Dislike

Jay R Taylor

2021-11-30 04:46:51+00

Hickory	Dislike	Terrible split of Oakland County just to please and reward Democrat strongholds. Need to keep large cities intact and have smaller townships intact.	Jay R Taylor	2021-11-30 04:46:17+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Terrible split of Oakland County just to please and reward Democrat strongholds. Need to keep large cities intact and have smaller townships intact.	Jay R Taylor	2021-11-30 04:45:32+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Terrible split of Oakland County just to please and reward Democrat strongholds. Need to keep large cities intact and have smaller townships intact.	Jay R Taylor	2021-11-30 04:44:48+00
Linden	Dislike	Terrible split of Oakland County just to please and reward Democrat strongholds. Need to keep large cities intact and have smaller townships intact.	Jay R Taylor	2021-11-30 04:44:09+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Terrible split of Oakland County just to please and reward Democrat strongholds. Need to keep large cities intact and have smaller townships intact.	Jay R Taylor	2021-11-30 04:43:22+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike		<p>Terrible split of Oakland County just to please and reward Democrat strongholds. Need to keep large cities intact and have smaller townships intact.</p> <p>Totally unacceptable as it unfairly lumps so many smaller communities in SE MI with big Democrat strongholds.</p>	Jay R Taylor	2021-11-30 04:42:37+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This map makes no sense in my community or elsewhere I look at. Unfair in many respects.</p> <p>I don't think the gerrymandering of moving Lake Orion and towns south into southern Oakland County is fair. Otherwise I support this map as the best of badly drawn districts.</p>	Jay R Taylor	2021-11-30 04:40:31+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>The map is the best representation of the ones drawn so far. While heavily giving Democrats the edge in so many areas, this is the best of a bad situation.</p>	Jay R Taylor	2021-11-30 04:39:02+00
Magnolia	Like		Jay R Taylor	2021-11-30 04:36:40+00
Palm	Like		Jay R Taylor	2021-11-30 04:33:50+00

Birch V2	Like	I don't think this Commission has the desired balance of parties as they pretend to have, and the maps are weighted for Democrats. Having said that, this map is the best of the ones offered so far.	Jay R Taylor	2021-11-30 04:30:09+00
Palm	Dislike	This map does not fix the current gerrymandering issue.	Mik Perkins	2021-11-30 02:23:45+00
Palm	Like	This best represent my community.	Kevin and Amy Bigelow	2021-11-30 02:11:01+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map does a good job of not packing any districts.	Mik Perkins	2021-11-30 02:08:37+00
Magnolia	Like	This is the best one as far as representing my community.	Kevin and Amy Bigelow	2021-11-30 02:08:25+00
Linden	Like	This map does a good job of sticking true to the partisan fairness element.	Mik Perkins	2021-11-30 02:02:13+00
Linden	Like	This map seems to be the fairest to partisan fairness.	Maggie Wernet	2021-11-30 01:59:44+00
Birch V2	Like	This best represent my community and its interests.	Kevin and Amy Bigelow	2021-11-30 00:24:34+00
Magnolia	Like	Best of the lot. Generally these seem partisan.	Lamonte Lator	2021-11-29 23:54:52+00
Palm	Like	Palm seems to be the best of the choices. Clinton and Shiawassee counties and EastLansing don't seem to be communities of interest.	Lamonte Lator	2021-11-29 23:50:18+00
Birch V2	Like	Birch is best of the crowd but still seems skewed.	Lamonte Lator	2021-11-29 23:40:15+00

Palm	Dislike	I do not like splitting the Lake Michigan shoreline in Berrien County between 2 districts. Again, a better option than the others but still not aligning with communities that my city has actual	Karen M Laetz	2021-11-29 22:41:02+00
Birch V2	Opinion	relation to.	Mary Elizabeth Harp	2021-11-29 22:23:15+00
Palm	Opinion	Again, while this map is so far the best option, it now puts me in a district with a county that my city shares nothing with instead of the nearest community that is aligned. This is the best of all the options, but I like it less than how I'm districted now. My area has nothing in common with the area's of Wayne county that this map shows. I live closer to Macomb county and would rather be districted	Mary Elizabeth Harp	2021-11-29 22:21:41+00
Magnolia	Opinion	that way.	Mary Elizabeth Harp	2021-11-29 22:19:19+00

I have long believed that County Lines are a throw-back to an earlier century. The partisan rating for all of these House maps are over 5% -no where near an equitable number. While I am not in favor of this particular map, given the other choices, it is the best of the 3. I don't understand why grouping the tri-cities is fair and right for the congressional and senate maps but not for House maps. Heavy sigh. If this is our best choice, I reluctantly vote in its favor. I rank it a semi-dismal "like" but as always, am grateful for your work. Thank you.

Hickory

Like

Tammy J DeRuyter

2021-11-29 22:02:15+00

I love that this map, the Linden one, supersedes county lines and instead is a reflection of our growing comradery with the Great Lakes Bay Region -an economic base for future that we are all coming to depend upon. Now our political decision can be also made with these considerations in mind. Thank you!

Linden

Like

Tammy J DeRuyter

2021-11-29 21:51:24+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>The "Chestnut" map has the lowest efficiency gap number of .6% with a Republican skew of 4%. We (in Midland) are a heavily Republican County but this particular map keeps numbers as fair as possible while also maintaining the growing economic unity of our Great Lakes Bay Region. Something that is important to all of us. Thank you so much for such a difficult task!</p>	Tammy J DeRuyter	2021-11-29 21:43:32+00
Palm	Like	<p>Palm is the only truly fair map that respects communities of interest! Good on the commission for not slashing communities up with this map quite as much.</p>	Andrea Poehl	2021-11-29 21:25:44+00
Linden	Like	<p>I support the Linden State Senate map.</p>	Joan M Gustafson	2021-11-29 21:20:38+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>I like the Birch v. 2 map.</p>	Joan M Gustafson	2021-11-29 21:18:03+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>A truly fair map is one that respects communities and their interests and gives both parties a chance to win majority. This map is not that.</p>	Andrea Poehl	2021-11-29 20:53:28+00

I approve this composition of the 49th District. It reflects the relationship that communities have with each other that sit along the I-96 and US-23 Corridors. This district also reflects the relationship that Green Oak and Brighton has with South Lyon / Lyon Twp and Milford respectively. Many people in the Livingston County commute to Oakland County. I also approve how Livingston County is split. The 50th District represents the rural half of the County, whilst the 48th District represents the exurban/suburban half of the County. Good work.

Szetela House	Like	Gayle Steele	2021-11-29 20:01:16+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Julia Lindsey	2021-11-29 19:49:23+00
Szetela Congressional Opinion		Julia Lindsey	2021-11-29 19:34:59+00

Szetela Congressional	Like	Very fair map that appears to try to work with COI while not utilizing obvious gerrymandering techniques like splitting key Democratic communities like Lansing. While not perfect, nothing can be, it seems a very reasonable solution.	Robert Brincheck	2021-11-29 19:06:27+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Please keep Allegan County together! I'm totally against splitting Gun Plain Charter Township in half. If you split Gun Plain Charter Township in half, the township will have to pay for multiple ballots styles to be printed increasing the cost to elections for the township.	Michael VanDenBerg	2021-11-29 18:26:01+00
Chestnut	Dislike	I have same concern as another person , I believe	Maureen Cirocco	2021-11-29 17:02:21+00
Cherry V2	Like	Carlie . How does Southfield and Dearborn end up in same conversation? This makes no sense at at all I was a dispatcher in area for many years . Thank you for your time.	n/a	2021-11-29 16:33:53+00
Linden	Like	This map is fair.	Shay Florian	2021-11-29 16:28:13+00
Palm	Dislike	This is the fairest map out of the drawn options.	n/a	2021-11-29 16:23:41+00
		Unfair and partisan map.		

Palm	Dislike	This map is a continuation of the partisan gerrymandering that the commission was created to fix.	Rosemary Jones	2021-11-29 16:19:08+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is very unfairly drawn; most partisan.	Shay Florian	2021-11-29 16:17:55+00
Linden	Like	This is a fair map and the way the 14th and 15th is drawn creates better partisan balance	Rosemary Jones	2021-11-29 16:16:12+00
Cherry V2	Like	This is a fair map that is closest to giving an equal voice to all voters	Rosemary Jones	2021-11-29 16:11:38+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This proposal is awful. The idea of having three districts within one county is a fantastic recipe for failed effective representation. Clinton County should remain within one district and include similar communities. I wonder if anyone subscribes to if it isn't broken please don't attempt to fix it philosophy.	David Kirk	2021-11-29 15:08:28+00
Birch V2	Like	It is important to keep Clinton County as a whole. Although I think this process has drifted from its original purpose this version is at least a better option.	David Kirk	2021-11-29 14:58:49+00
Cherry V2	Like	The partisan fairness on this map is really good.	Jaime Highfield	2021-11-29 14:47:35+00

Linden	Like	This map has good partisan fairness.	Jaime Highfield	2021-11-29 14:45:59+00
Palm	Dislike	This is the most partisan map and is very unfairly drawn.	Jaime Highfield	2021-11-29 14:44:46+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Gerrymandering 101. There is no reason to drag our district into Grand Rapids other than to drown out the voices from our rural area. Bad idea.	Ross Vander Jagt	2021-11-29 14:21:25+00
Birch V2	Like	I consider Birch to the best of the worst.	James Scheuerle	2021-11-29 13:54:19+00
Birch V2	Dislike	By joining Jackson with Ann Arbor in to one district are two very different community . Not good for either communities. Don't care for this redistrict plan!	John Butterfield	2021-11-29 13:31:50+00
Szetela House	Dislike	This map is a racial gerrymander, removing the largest minority concentration of Sterling Heights residents - pct. 5. This is the area directly west of Hayes and south of 15 mile road. Removing African American residents from the greater districts in Sterling Heights cuts them off from the community in which they live and want representation.	M. Radtke	2021-11-29 07:27:06+00

Hickory	Dislike	This map is a terrible gerrymander. Sterling Heights is the 4th largest city in the state and is sliced and diced beyond all recognition. This makes no sense.	M. Radtke	2021-11-29 07:19:58+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map is bad for Sterling Heights, as it is a racial gerrymander. The SE corner of Sterling Heights, South of 15 mile, west of Hayes is the most racially diverse area of our city. This plan takes it out of Sterling Heights proper, and merges it with Clinton Twp and Mt. Clemens. This racial gerrymander cuts up Sterling Heights for no reason than to remove the black population.	M. Radtke	2021-11-29 07:16:41+00
Pine v5	Dislike	The Kent City bubble here is gross. Should be grouped with Sparta and maybe Cedar Springs.	Dolan Bair	2021-11-29 07:16:04+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	I believe this map disenfranchises Sterling Heights, the state's 4th largest community, by drawing it into different districts, where no area of Sterling Heights is a majority.	M. Radtke	2021-11-29 06:31:53+00

Birch V2	Like	This map seems to best reflect a more cohesive culture and economic reality, it speaks more holistically of where people live, work, and go to school.	Jessica Alexander	2021-11-29 01:05:35+00
Magnolia	Opinion	I can accept the Magnolia map I am not pleased with this process. It may be difficult but Redistricting should be open and fair. I expect to this process to be open and fair. Unfortunately it doesn't look to be the case.	Phil Marsh	2021-11-29 00:40:35+00
Palm	Opinion	I can accept the Palm map for the Senate I am not pleased with the lack of transparency in open and fair redistricting. I really expect more from my government.	Phil Marsh	2021-11-29 00:34:29+00
Birch V2	Opinion	While I can accept the Birch map I am not pleased with how this process has been handled. I expect this process to open and it has not.	Phil Marsh	2021-11-29 00:30:31+00

Magnolia Like This map is the best of the lot, but it is pretty awful. With 110 districts, I should have been pretty easy to have lots of rectangle shapes all over the map, but there are hardly any. 96, 50, 49, 35, 34 look reasonable. The rest, over 100 districts, wander here and there. Did any of the committee read the guidelines for drawing up the districts? Susan Rockwell 2021-11-29 00:26:53+00

Palm Like This map is the best of the lot, but it is pretty awful. Every person on the committee has rules they are supposed to adhere to. One of which is to eliminate gerrymandering. Why does district 30 go so far north, while district 31 extends to the south? Same with districts 23 & 23. How is district 32, shaped like a C not gerrymandering? The Detroit area is awful. Look at the long narrow districts 1, 6, 7, 8, 9. And this is the best map. Did any of the committee read the guidelines for drawing up the districts? Susan Rockwell 2021-11-29 00:18:48+00

Birch V2 Like This is the best of our options.  
The gerrymandering in the  
Detroit area needs to be  
adjusted. Susan Rockwell 2021-11-28 23:57:46+00

Magnolia Like There was nothing  
"independent" about the  
MICRC. Clearly the  
Democrat's got their  
"impartial" members on the  
commission based on these  
newly drawn districts that are  
gerrymandered to tilt the  
scales towards the  
democratic party across the  
state! This seems like it's  
part of Eric Holder's plan  
to permanently pervert  
legitimate voting rights.  
Reject these new plans and  
either maintain the status quo  
or use the Magnolia Map for  
the State House of Reps David F. Teller 2021-11-28 22:24:53+00

There was nothing  
"independent" about the  
MICRC. Clearly the  
Democrat's got their  
"impartial" members on the  
commission based on these  
newly drawn districts that are  
gerrymandered to tilt the  
scales towards the  
democratic party across the  
state! This seems like it's  
part of Eric Holder's plan  
to permanently pervert  
legitimate voting rights.  
Reject these new plans and  
either maintain the status quo  
or use the Palm map for the  
State Senate plan

Palm

Like

David F. Teller

2021-11-28 22:23:33+00

There was nothing  
"independent" about the  
MICRC. Clearly the  
Democrat's got their  
"impartial" members on the  
commission based on these  
newly drawn districts that are  
gerrymandered to tilt the  
scales towards the  
democratic party across the  
state! This seems like it's  
part of Eric Holder's plan  
to permanently pervert  
legitimate voting rights.

Reject these new plans and  
either maintain the status quo  
or use the Birch map for the  
Congregational plan

Birch V2	Like	This map has a disastrous partisan fairness score. Please choose the Cherry V2	David Teller	2021-11-28 22:22:02+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	The partisan fairness score is unacceptable on this map.	Constance Lippert	2021-11-28 21:06:39+00
Palm	Dislike	Please choose the Cherry V2 The partisan fairness score is unacceptable on this map.	Constance Lippert	2021-11-28 21:05:42+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Please choose the Cherry V2 This map is less fair when considering political fairness. I would prefer Cherry V2 over this one.	Constance Lippert	2021-11-28 21:04:04+00
Szetela Senate	Opinion		Constance Lippert	2021-11-28 21:02:51+00

Linden	Like	While coming close to partisan fairness, this map could be better but would be ok.	Constance Lippert	2021-11-28 21:01:20+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map is acceptable and will be politically fair and honor the COI areas.	Constance Lippert	2021-11-28 21:00:09+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This map is unacceptable because the resulting political party scores are grossly off. Please choose the Skelela map so our votes are accurately reflected.	Constance Lippert	2021-11-28 20:58:54+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map is very skewed toward a Republican advantage. We need maps that are politically equal. Please use the Skelela map. I like the Szelela map better but this one will do. Thank you for working on political fairness.	Constance Lippert	2021-11-28 20:56:09+00
Chestnut	Like	I like the Szetela map better but this one is ok too. Thank you for making this map politically fair and honoring COI.	Constance Lippert	2021-11-28 20:54:42+00
Birch V2	Like		Constance Lippert	2021-11-28 20:53:46+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I realize there are lines that split communities and some of the lines look odd. However, I feel this is a reasonable map. There will never be a map that is exactly what everyone is happy with.. Thank you for taking NE lower MI out of the area with Midland. We have nothing in common with them, very different issues in rural areas. Of the State Senate maps presented, I like this one the	Sheila Phillips	2021-11-28 20:08:46+00
10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Like	bests.	Sheila Phillips	2021-11-28 19:45:55+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	I like how this map is drawn.	Sheila Phillips	2021-11-28 19:26:30+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Dickinson county should not be split up. This map splits communities of interest along the I-94 Corridor, but more importantly, it creates an unfair partisan balance. Your other Senate drafts maintain partisan fairness and respect most communities of interest. There is no need to settle for a map like Palm that is an unconstitutional gerrymander in favor of the Republican	David Solda	2021-11-28 18:54:55+00
Palm	Dislike	party.	Mary Lewis	2021-11-28 18:06:55+00

Szetela Congressional	Like	This is a good map and accurately reflects the number of voters will be represented according to the party that is elected. Thank You!	Constance Lippert	2021-11-28 18:03:05+00
Pine v5	Dislike	This map does nothing for political fairness. Please keep working so that all voters are fairly represented and both parties have an equal chance of competing. Please keep working! These maps guarantee the majority of seats to the minority of the actual voters. We need to see political fairness in our maps.	Constance Lippert	2021-11-28 17:46:51+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Thank you This is not a good map because it favors one party over the other. Please make our maps politically fair & equal so each party has an equal chance of reflecting the actual number of votes.	Constance Lippert	2021-11-28 17:34:32+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Thank You	Constance Lippert	2021-11-28 17:27:42+00

Pine v5	Dislike	Every one of these 3 maps does large areas of Oakland County a disservice. In particular area 30. Lake Orion, township and village has no COI with the area to the south. It belongs together with Oxford and other communities of the North County area.	Pamela Bycraft	2021-11-28 17:15:56+00
---------	---------	---	----------------	------------------------

Palm	Like	I believe this plan is the closest to meeting the constitutional requirement of being based on Communities of Interest and I urge its adoption. Further, I believe the remaining plans do not follow the constitutional requirement to be based on a Communities of Interest criteria and are gerrymandered based on partisan considerations in violation of the constitutional requirements and should be rejected.	Jay Reidsma	2021-11-28 17:06:20+00
------	------	--	-------------	------------------------

I believe this plan is the closest to meeting the constitutional requirement of being based on Communities of Interest and I urge its adoption. Further, I believe the remaining plans do not follow the constitutional requirement to be based on a Communities of Interest criteria and are gerrymandered based on partisan considerations in violation of the constitutional requirements and should be rejected.

Magnolia

Like

jay reidsma

2021-11-28 17:02:02+00

Sadly, this is the best of the worse when attempting to get maps for the State House that don't favor one political party over the other. All of the House maps need more work and I hope that we can get to a better 50/50 split of political parties so that the minority of voters don't continue to dominate the Michigan House.

Hickory

Opinion

Constance Lippert

2021-11-28 16:57:33+00

Birch V2	Like	I believe this plan is the closest to meeting the constitutional requirement of being based on Communities of Interest and I urge its adoption. Further, I believe the remaining plans do not follow the constitutional requirement to be based on a Communities of Interest criteria and are gerrymandered based on partisan considerations in violation of the constitutional requirements and should be rejected.	jay Reidsma	2021-11-28 16:53:15+00
Cherry V2	Like	Having looked extensively at the actual data below and not just the lines on all maps presented this is the absolute most fair. Based on the diversity of the populations in the proposed areas alone this is by far the best one.	Jacki Fannon	2021-11-28 10:04:38+00

Chestnut	Like	This is by far the best configuration for detroit - combining detroit and southfield makes way more sense from a COI perspective than reaching up into Warren, especially in terms of not diluting black political agency in the city	Christopher Gilmer Hill	2021-11-28 02:57:54+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Monroe shares important and pressing Lake Erie and lakeshore issues along with the I75 corridor that needs to coordinate with downriver business and industrial development.	Linda Lauer	2021-11-27 23:58:53+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Monroe County has more in common with the Downriver area. We share Lakeshore issues and the I 75 corridor for business and industrial development.	Linda Lauer	2021-11-27 23:54:00+00
Hickory	Like	This map is fair and allows the voters to pick their representative	John Andrews	2021-11-27 21:48:52+00
Hickory	Like	Hickory seems to be the best option for having competitive districts.	Tina Andrews	2021-11-27 21:48:32+00
Cherry V2	Like	I like Cherry v2. It seems to give the most opportunity for voters to choose who will represent them.	Tina Andrews	2021-11-27 21:31:59+00

Cherry V2	Like	Cherry V2 is a good map that will create a competitive district that will allow the voters to decide who they will elect.	John Andrews	2021-11-27 21:25:20+00
Chestnut	Like	Chestnut is the best option and most fair that lets the voters decide which party will win.	John Andrews	2021-11-27 21:15:31+00
Chestnut	Like	I like this map. Thank you for keeping Ingham-Clinton-Eaton together.	Tina Andrews	2021-11-27 21:13:11+00
Magnolia	Like	Grand Rapids Township forms a community of interest with Ada and Cascade Townships, in composing the Forest Hills School District area. Under the currently proposed map, Cascade should be included in Forest Hills not ast Grand Rapids and Kentwood.	Michelle Schellenberg	2021-11-27 20:17:50+00
Magnolia	Like	Grand Rapids Township forms a community of interest with Ada and Cascade Townships, in composing the Forest Hills School District area. Under the currently proposed map, Cascade should be included in Forest Hills not ast Grand Rapids and Kentwood.	Michelle Schellenberg	2021-11-27 20:17:49+00

Palm	Like	Keep the dividing line between the two districts within the city of Grand Rapids at Fulton Street. Dividing at Wealthy would split the neighborhood associations of Heritage Hill and Easttown between two districts.	Michelle Schellenberg	2021-11-27 20:10:28+00
Apple V2	Dislike	The Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo metropolitan regions are two different communities of interest The Grand Rapids and Muskegon metropolitan regions form two different communities of interest	Michelle Schellenberg	2021-11-27 20:03:26+00
Chestnut	Dislike	It keeps Kent County whole within one congressional district and includes neighboring municipalities of counties that identify with the Grand Rapids metropolitan area	Michelle Schellenberg	2021-11-27 20:02:27+00
Lange Congressional	Like	I like Birch. It keeps Kent County whole within one Congressional District, without splitting it up and including different metropolitan areas of the state	Michelle Schellenberg	2021-11-27 20:00:00+00
Birch V2	Like		Michelle Schellenberg	2021-11-27 19:57:29+00

Cherry V2	Opinion	The most bizarre stair-step striping I've ever seen through West/SW MI. This looks a lot more like gerrymandering than a recipe for good representation.	Abigail Nobel	2021-11-27 19:48:23+00
Apple V2	Opinion	I'll say this - it will make an interesting GOP primary in the new CD 3!	Abigail Nobel	2021-11-27 19:35:42+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Allegan, VanBuren, and Barry Counties as suburbs of Grand Rapids? I don't think so. Country/City is the biggest divide in the US. Let us vote with like-minded people!	Abigail Nobel	2021-11-27 19:25:52+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	Jackson is different than Ann Arbor	Brian	2021-11-27 18:31:20+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	Don't put Jackson with Ann Arbor	Brian	2021-11-27 18:26:20+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Don't split Ann Arbor into Jackson	Brian	2021-11-27 18:23:03+00
Linden	Dislike	Don't include Ann Arbor with Jackson	Brian	2021-11-27 18:19:10+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	Splintering Downriver taking away their voice and identity with this gerrymandering next step, political castration then their local autonomy.	Valentino Zavala	2021-11-27 18:16:44+00
Palm	Like	Jackson should be separate from Ann Arbor	Brian	2021-11-27 18:15:33+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	none	Brian	2021-11-27 18:11:38+00

Palm	Like	Jackson should remain separate from Ann Arbor.	Kent	2021-11-27 17:10:14+00
Palm	Like	Jackson should remain separate from Ann Arbor.	Marilyn	2021-11-27 17:09:26+00
		Anyone with eye sight can see what this is. This is a complete and utter flim-flam sleight of hand land grab that slow walks Downriver and Western Wayne with eyes wide shut into the throes of a central cities (Detroit.) The next goal, strip local away autonomy and identity then proclaim by decree we are Detroit. We can pay pad their coffers and pay their taxes. No voice, no representation. The whole reason why Downriver and Western Wayne were prepared to succeed from Wayne county for Erie county nearly thirty years ago.		
Szetela House	Like		Valentino Zavala	2021-11-27 17:00:18+00
Magnolia	Like	Dickinson County should not be split up, we belong together as a community! The maps should follow county lines. This is gerrymandering!	Julie Roell	2021-11-27 16:59:41+00

Pine v5	Like	<p>Representation is best when it's a community of interest. Flint being a whole district fits this bill. Those who do not wish this and proclaim it gerrymandering would perhaps say the same thing if Flint were divided into multiple districts. The simple answer is Flint is no longer large enough to be multiple districts unless you want to chop it up</p> <p>While this is the best overall of the proposed maps, none of these proposed state house maps are appropriate for Grand Traverse County. Splitting up district 104 and combining Grand Traverse County with Leelanau places unfair advantages to transplant voters (dominant in Leelanau county) who bring different ideals and values than that of the majority of Grand Traverse County voters. Please consider re-working these maps to keep Grand Traverse County together.</p>	Winston Green	2021-11-27 16:33:07+00
Magnolia	Opinion		Sarah Hehir	2021-11-27 16:19:23+00

Palm	Opinion	All 3 maps are districted the same for my area, however I do feel that overall, this map is the best representation for the state overall.	Sarah Hehir	2021-11-27 15:55:58+00
Chestnut	Dislike	The Thumb is again lumped with Macomb County, which means their voice is our voice. Relative to Detroit, my voice is half of theirs. 700k to 300k - not fair	Michael A Cox	2021-11-27 15:47:21+00
Birch V2	Like	Of the proposed congressional maps, this one most fairly represents Northern Michigan communities.	Sarah Hehir	2021-11-27 15:46:43+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Ada Michigan is not a rural area it is a suburban area not farms and should be linked with the west side of the Grand Rapids area because things like housing, water, fire would be shares communities of interests.	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-11-27 14:11:05+00
Chestnut	Like	I like this district Eastown is part of the Northeast side of Grand Rapids not the Northwest. Northwest and Eastown would not be a community of interest.	Randolph Hay	2021-11-27 14:09:21+00
Szetela House	Dislike		JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-11-27 14:08:55+00

Szetela House	Dislike	Walker and Grandville are two cities of interest and should be kept together as many people work, shop and travel between these areas. The airport which is in Cascade Township is a major hub for the Grand Rapids Metro area it does not have the same needs or interests as rural areas so it should be kept with the urban House districts.	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-11-27 14:07:56+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Wyoming and Grands Rapids share a large Hispanic community that should be kept together so they are represented in our State House.	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-11-27 14:07:00+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Wyoming is the second largest city in the Grand Rapids area and should be kept together as one community of interest with its own State House district.	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-11-27 14:05:59+00
Szetela House	Dislike		JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-11-27 14:05:13+00

Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids have many interests that are in common like the educational colleges and hospitals that work together on research and giving us our medical professional of tomorrow

Apple V2

Like

JOAN FIFELSKI

2021-11-27 13:44:06+00

Keep Downriver together in one district, please. Our residents have unique concerns and work together economically. We desperately need fair representation that consider our concerns. We've generally been misrepresented in the past. Grouping Wyandotte and other Downriver communities with the more rural communities will once again give us unfair representation. This map does not represent communities of interest.

Linden

Opinion

Suzanne L Zavala

2021-11-27 13:26:34+00

Palm	Opinion	<p>Still not sure how the commission through splitting Downriver into several districts and lumping various Downriver communities with other communities who don't share commonalities. All of Downriver has economic commonalities and lumping some of us with more rural communities or with Detroit will make it much more difficult for our common concerns to be addressed.</p> <p>Not sure how or why the Downriver communities were split. District 4 includes Romulus, Van Buren and Sumpter Township? We don't have much in common with them and will make it more difficult for any elected representative to effectively represent the Downriver community.</p>	Suzanne L Zavala	2021-11-27 13:22:09+00
Szetela Senate	Opinion	<p>District 5 is not good representation for Wyandotte and the other Downriver communities. To be lumped in with Detroit will generally make it more difficult for Downriver to get</p>	Suzanne L Zavala	2021-11-27 13:18:30+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	<p>representation.</p>	Suzanne L Zavala	2021-11-27 13:15:31+00

Palm	Like	This keeps Jackson County intact. Don't need to be part of Ann Arbor culture.	Larry	2021-11-27 13:10:04+00
Pine v5	Dislike	This doesn't make any sense as far as Southgate is concerned. How was this division created? Most of the State House maps are identical as far as Downriver is concerned, except this one. There isn't much difference in these maps. Splitting the whole of Downriver will negatively impact our representation. Taylor and Allen Park have more in common with Wyandotte and Southgate than Detroit. Wyandotte and Southgate have more in common with Taylor and Allen Park than Ann Arbor. Downriver communities often work together and splitting our representation will not be fair.	Suzanne L Zavala	2021-11-27 13:02:20+00
Apple V2	Dislike		Suzanne L Zavala	2021-11-27 12:42:13+00

Again splitting Downriver into separate districts will not serve my area. Our cities have much more in common with each other than some of the residents in this proposed map, especially Detroit and the rural communities. Our previous congressional representation has been lacking in favor of Detroit for many years. Downriver always seems to be an afterthought. Please keep all of Downriver together as a community of interest. Taylor, in particular as the largest Downriver community, should be included with the other Downriver communities as one COI. This map will negatively affect our representation.

Birch V2

Dislike

Suzanne L Zavala

2021-11-27 12:35:50+00

How is Wyandotte included with Detroit and not other Downriver communities where residence work together for the whole of the Downriver area. This divide our communities and will make it more difficult for us to get fair representation in Congress. Our Congress has routinely ignored Downriver in favor of Detroit for too long! This map will just continue to neglect the will of

Chestnut

Dislike

the Downriver people.

Suzanne L Zavala

2021-11-27 12:25:41+00

Hickory

Like

I like the Hickory Map the best if I have to pick one.

Carol J McPherson

2021-11-27 12:23:35+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>All of Downriver is a community of interest. Basically we are one community. It seems these maps are dividing communities of interest rather than grouping them together for a more fair election. Wyandotte has very little in common with the Ann Arbor area and the more rural communities in the proposed District 6. Downriver communities work together for the benefit of the whole area. Many residence have very little to do with the western cities included in this map.</p>	Suzanne L Zavala	2021-11-27 12:19:52+00
Linden	Like	<p>I Like the Linden Map, Living in Midland much of my life I feel this is more fair and much more representative of our area.</p> <p>Not sure how Wyandotte is a community of interest with Ann Arbor and not Taylor and Allen Park. Downriver by itself is a community of interest. Please keep Downriver</p>	Carol J McPherson	2021-11-27 12:15:52+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	<p>together.</p>	Suzanne L Zavala	2021-11-27 12:13:02+00

Chestnut	Like	I like the Chestnut Map, more fair and representative of our our area. Living in City of Midland for many years, my husband works in Saginaw. The Tri-Cities have so much in common.	Carol J McPherson	2021-11-27 12:11:57+00
Chestnut	Like	Like this map as it keeps Kalamazoo and Battle Creek in one district	Hirak Chanda	2021-11-27 02:02:38+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Another bias map for the democrat socialist party. While I prefer to see Troy in the same district as its Oakland County neighbours, I like this map for its partisan fairness.	merlin steffes	2021-11-27 01:36:22+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	There is no community of interest between the city of Grand Rapids and the city of Muskegon. Keep all of Kent county in the same district. That is a community of interest.	Hirak Chanda	2021-11-27 01:27:20+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Not impressed with the partisan fairness of this map for Michigan overall.	merlin steffes	2021-11-27 01:26:59+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Not impressed with the partisan fairness of this map for Michigan overall.	Lisa Lamancusa	2021-11-26 23:15:15+00
Palm	Dislike	Not impressed with the partisan fairness of this map for Michigan overall.	Lisa Lamancusa	2021-11-26 23:09:44+00

Cherry V2	Like	<p>We appreciate that the Commission has kept Ada and Cascade townships as part of the north Grand Rapids area district 30. We are an integral part of this urban area. Linden map would be more partisan fair statewide. Thank you for considering our comments and giving us representation</p>	Lisa Lamancusa	2021-11-26 23:06:53+00
Linden	Like	<p>We appreciate that the Commission has kept Ada and Cascade townships as part of the north Grand Rapids area district 30. We are an integral part of this urban area. Thank you for considering our comments and giving us representation</p>	Lisa Lamancusa	2021-11-26 23:04:38+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike	<p>Appreciate that the Commission has generated a Communities of Interest district centered around cities in West Michigan. Muskegon and Grand Rapids have many commonalities but this map has so much rural population, that these voters will not be represented. However, the Apple V2 map has a much higher percentage of city people in the district. Thanks for working to provide a voice to the urban areas in West Michigan.</p>	Lisa Lamancusa	2021-11-26 23:02:00+00	
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>Do not agree with this map for West Michigan or Michigan overall. Too many rural areas combined with city areas takes away the voting significance of the city areas.</p> <p>Go with Apple V2</p>	Lisa Lamancusa	2021-11-26 22:58:52+00

Chestnut	Opinion	<p>Appreciate that the Commission has generated a Communities of Interest district centered around cities in West Michigan. Muskegon and Grand Rapids have many commonalities. However, the Apple V2 map has a higher percentage of city people in the district. Thanks for working to provide a voice to the urban areas in West Michigan.</p>	Lisa Lamancusa	2021-11-26 22:55:32+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	<p>Not partisan fair for Asians or Michigan. Please choose the Linden.</p>	Yim Kong	2021-11-26 22:23:34+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	<p>Not partisan fair for Asians or Michigan. Please choose the Linden.</p>	Yim Kong	2021-11-26 22:19:22+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	<p>Not partisan fair for Asians or Michigan. Please choose the Linden.</p>	Yim Kong	2021-11-26 22:16:48+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>Linden. Seems to represent Michigan voter well except that the Linden map does it better. Appreciate the Commission keeping the south side GR together to best represent</p>	Yim Kong	2021-11-26 22:08:24+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>Asians. Lyndon Township needs to</p>	Yim Kong	2021-11-26 21:38:45+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>stay together</p>	Zora Longworth	2021-11-26 21:33:10+00

Linden	Like	Looks like the best set of districts for Michigan voter representation. Appreciate the Commission keeping the south side GR together to best represent Asians. Thanks for hearing our input.	Yim Kong	2021-11-26 21:32:29+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This map reduces the voice of minorities by putting many rural voters with city voters. The city votes where most of the minorities live are divided up in different districts to reduce their effect.	Yim Kong	2021-11-26 21:29:39+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	This map reduces the voice of minorities by putting many rural voters with city voters. The city votes where most of the minorities live are divided up in different districts to reduce their effect.	Yim Kong	2021-11-26 21:26:47+00
Birch V2	Dislike	This map reduces the voice of minorities by putting many rural voters with city voters. The city votes where most of the minorities live are divided up in different districts to reduce their effect.	Yim Kong	2021-11-26 21:24:41+00

Chestnut	Opinion	<p>Reduces the voting influence of the Asian population in west Michigan compared to the Apple V2 district map. Seems generally good for west Michigan minorities.</p> <p>Please adopt the Apple map. Seems to be the best map for Michigan for fairly representing people. Minorities in west Michigan will have representation. We have some unique issues that can only be addressed by the federal government.</p>	Yim Kong	2021-11-26 21:16:33+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>Seems to be the best map for Michigan for fairly representing people. Minorities in west Michigan will have representation. We have some unique issues that can only be addressed by the federal government.</p>	Yim Kong	2021-11-26 21:11:02+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>This district map is totally counter to the Hickory map. Ethnic communities are divided so their representation is not good. Please do not select this map for west Michigan</p>	Yim Kong	2021-11-26 21:06:04+00
Szetela House	Dislike		Yim Kong	2021-11-26 21:02:32+00

Hickory	Like	Happy to see that this map accurately represents People of Color in the Grand Rapids area. Also, the districts seem to be divided to keep ethnic communities together to give them a voice - west side district 84, the south city area district 82, and the Wyoming city district 83 in particular. Thank you for keeping the Grand Rapids area together and working for good representation.	Yim Kong	2021-11-26 20:39:14+00
Birch V2	Like	Keeping Clinton County whole is a good representation going forward.	Donna Herrle	2021-11-26 18:41:47+00
Szetela House	Opinion	This map like the other state house maps unfairly distorts the legislative body in Lansing by giving an unfair advantage to a single party and makes our state appear much more partisan than it actually is. We live in a purple state and our legislative body should reflect that.	Abbie Egberman	2021-11-26 17:53:18+00

Hickory	Opinion	<p>This map like the other state house maps unfairly distorts the legislative body in Lansing by giving an unfair advantage to a single party and makes our state appear much more partisan than it actually is. We live in a purple state and our legislative body should reflect that.</p>	Abbie Egberman	2021-11-26 17:50:32+00
Magnolia	Opinion	<p>This map like the other state house maps unfairly distorts the legislative body in Lansing by giving an unfair advantage to a single party and makes our state appear much more partisan than it actually is. We live in a purple state and our legislative body should reflect that.</p>	Abbie Egberman	2021-11-26 17:41:43+00
Pine v5	Opinion	<p>This map like the other state house maps unfairly distorts the legislative body in Lansing by giving an unfair advantage to a single party and makes our state appear much more partisan than it actually is. We live in a purple state and our legislative body should reflect that.</p>	Abbie Egberman	2021-11-26 17:39:08+00

Palm is not a fair map for equal political representation. This map has similar effects to the current district Gerrymandered Senate map. It disregards the votes of large population Grand Rapids metro city areas by combining with rural townships in Barry, Allegan and Ionia Counties.

Palm

Dislike

Better to go with Linden

Steve Fish

2021-11-26 16:01:21+00

Not a good map for West Michigan representation. Same situation as the current district 3 Gerrymander. There is some merit to combining city like areas like Grand Rapids and Muskegon, but there are too many country areas for fairness. Better to go with Apple V2 to better represent all these areas.

Lange Congressional Dislike

Steve Fish

2021-11-26 15:57:37+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike	<p>Not a good map for West Michigan representation. Same situation as the current district 3 Gerrymander. There is some merit to combining city like areas like Grand Rapids and Muskegon, but there are too many country areas for fairness. Better to go with Apple V2 to better represent all these areas.</p> <p>This map does justice for Michigan. My brother-in-law in Kalamazoo will be happy because he lives in the city and always thought that he was not represented well because of the majority of farm communities in his district. I like it because my vote will now count. Maybe we can get a Congressmen that will represent us.</p>	Steve Fish	2021-11-26 15:55:06+00
Apple V2	Like	Steve Fish	2021-11-26 15:14:17+00

Apple V2	Like	<p>Appreciate that the Commission has generated a Communities of Interest district centered around the two largest cities in West Michigan. Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids have many common characteristics that need to be addressed at the Federal level. Thanks for providing representation for this large urban population. (over 600,000 people)</p>	Lisa Lamancusa	2021-11-26 14:31:40+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>Do not agree with this map. For a State House district, Ada township and Cascade township should not be included with rural areas in Kent Allegan and Barry counties. Both of these townships should be with the Grand Rapids six city area like in the Hickory, Pine V5 or Magnolia maps.</p>	Lisa Lamancusa	2021-11-26 14:27:00+00
Hickory	Like	<p>We appreciate that the Commission has kept Ada as part of the northeast Grand Rapids area district 81. We are an integral part of this urban area. Thank you for considering our comments and giving us representation.</p>	Lisa Lamancusa	2021-11-26 14:20:27+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>This is such a gerrymandered map. But all of them are. Please do the work the voters sought: Create a fair map with competitive districts as much as possible. This isn't it; but the others aren't, either. I've already posted a comment on the Birch map that speaks to all the maps generally, so I'll be brief. This map, like others, appears quite gerrymandered. Competitive districts instead of safe blue or red districts, would hopefully bring better candidates, whether incumbent or challenger, This map, like others, is extremely disappointing. It has a clear</p>	Elisa Tomaszewski Mantey	2021-11-26 11:45:28+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>GOP tilt.</p>	Elisa Tomaszewski Mantey	2021-11-26 11:39:39+00

This map -- as well as all the others -- still looks quite gerrymandered. It's as if you're trying to create safe Democratic districts and safe Republican districts, instead of competitive districts. The whole point of voters approving establishment of the commission was to make the districts, at least overall, more fair. All the maps have a clear Republican tilt. A Democratic tilt wouldn't be fair either. A truly competitive map would help inspire candidates, whether incumbent or challenger, Democrat or Republican, to provide better ideas and better representation to their voters, not just their party. I'm sure this panel has worked hard, but every map I've seen is extremely disappointing. And I'm not just talking about the district in which I live; these maps need more work. They are Basically the same map as Lange for my city and a complete joke. Gerrymander much?

Chestnut

Dislike

Elisa Tomaszewski Mantey

2021-11-26 11:20:05+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike

Jason Taylor

2021-11-25 17:48:21+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>Why is Taylor being grouped with Detroit? These two cities have NOTHING in common. Downriver communities should have been grouped together.</p> <p>Again, these proposed maps are almost identical. Completely unfair representation.</p>	Jason Taylor	2021-11-25 17:45:40+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>Gerrymandering at it's finest. This is not a fair representation of Taylor as part of the Downriver community. Why would you break apart communities that are similar?</p>	Jason Taylor	2021-11-25 17:43:32+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>This map is a complete 360 from what was proposed prior to the closed door meetings. What happened? The way these districts are divided here ensure unfair representation and seems to be by design. This is a complete joke.</p>	Jason Taylor	2021-11-25 17:39:25+00
Chestnut	Dislike		Jason Taylor	2021-11-25 17:33:06+00

Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This map is bizarre. It separates Shelby and Washington from Macomb. It also separates Washington from Romeo, and Bruce. Also the communities in the northwest end have little in common with the southeast end. It is a terrible map.</p>	Michael Ciarkowski	2021-11-25 17:08:05+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>I think this the best map. As a side note, why are people in Canada other states commenting? It should be limited to Michigan registered voters.</p>	Michael Ciarkowski	2021-11-25 16:51:23+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	<p>Not impressed with the partisan fairness of this map for Michigan overall. In addition, this map divides up the Grand Rapids area north and south to strategically give Republicans and advantage where it is not warranted by the number of Democratic voters in the area. Please reject this map.</p>	Lisa Lamancusa	2021-11-25 14:16:25+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>This map is similar to the current congressional district 3. The map is constructed to add many rural areas to the greater Grand Rapids area to eliminate the possibility of getting a Democratic candidate elected.</p>	Lisa Lamancusa	2021-11-25 14:10:55+00

Pine v5	Dislike	<p>Yet another terrible draw of Monroe county splitting it into four House districts shared with multiple other counties. These are clearly drawn to pull rural parts of Monroe county into urban areas they have not common ground with. Monroe county currently has two House districts that don't reach across county lines and it should stay that way.</p> <p>This map is a terrible gerrymander of Monroe county to dilute our votes into strong Democrat areas that we share no community values with. It makes no sense to take a county that has two districts inside its boundaries and split it into four that are all shared with other</p>	Matthew Petree	2021-11-25 04:06:29+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>looks reasonable for CD #1,</p> <p>counties.</p>	Matthew Petree	2021-11-25 04:01:16+00
Birch V2	Like	thanks	Cynthia Curtis	2021-11-25 03:44:22+00
Palm	Like	I'm ok with this map	Sonja Marie Patrick	2021-11-25 03:16:03+00

Magnolia	Dislike	<p>Just not fair. Not fair at all.  109th is under population by 2202. 110 is under by 824.  Why split Dickinson County when another county can fully meet the population requirements???</p>	Barbara J Kramer	2021-11-25 03:15:27+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>This is the worst map of them all. Why would you split calhoun 3 ways, diluting our voices. What on God's green earth does the little town of Homer have in common with Ann Arbor? Apparently their voices mean squat and they don't deserve a representative because no one ever goes to visit Homer, unless you live in Calhoun County and you know that a gem like Homer exists. If you pick this map, there will be even more law suits for how gerrymandered this map is.</p>	Sonja Marie Patrick	2021-11-25 03:13:05+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>Absolutely not this map. Birch is far better</p>	Sonja Marie Patrick	2021-11-25 03:08:23+00

All maps clearly show how Democratic this process was intended to be. None of the maps give a chance to Republicans and this map is one of the worst. If you truly have an ounce of respect for bipartisanship, then you would pick the Birch map because at least it's closer to fair than this Apple map. This map packs Washtenaw County voters together and is a Republican gerrymander. DO NOT ADOPT THIS UNFAIR

Apple V2

Dislike

Sonja Marie Patrick

2021-11-25 03:05:27+00

Palm

Dislike

Robert

2021-11-25 02:45:28+00

Linden or Szetela or Kellom at least kept us in some semblance of our own rural community. Lange, Palm and Cherry split us from our neighbors right across the road with the same Webberville mailing address. They take White Oak completely out of any option to have a voice by assuming our concerns are the same as those of communities in western Ingham county and Lansing. What an odd division to have our nearest towns of Webberville and Stockbridge also isolated into two other separate districts. Please

Lange Senate	Dislike	reconsider.	Lorie Culham	2021-11-25 02:24:25+00
Magnolia	Like	I agree with this one.	Harry Billings	2021-11-25 01:42:40+00
Palm	Like	This map makes the most sense to me.	Harry Billings	2021-11-25 01:39:49+00
Birch V2	Like	We need the mix of rural and urban voters that this map accomplishes.	Harry Billings	2021-11-25 01:33:03+00

Pine v5	Like	This map makes a great deal of sense and will help our county and the Four Lakes Task Force secure the assistance needed from State government to recover and plan for the future. Thank you for using common sense as our community has overwhelming unity in need to be one voice at this moment in history.	Andrew Booms	2021-11-25 01:17:27+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	This map does a great job preserving the Tittabawassee watershed and helps ensure the community can recover from the horrible events of May 2020.	Andrew Booms	2021-11-25 01:14:13+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Wow - I didn't realize common sense was so easy to recognize. This map finally keeps Midland whole and gives us assurance our representative will work for us!	Andrew Booms	2021-11-25 01:07:38+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This Map like the Birch map is clearly carving out the city of Midland from the surrounding communities of interest. If forced to choose something that seems wrong, this version is least repelling to me.	Andrew Booms	2021-11-25 01:04:30+00

Chestnut	Dislike	<p>This one looks better than the other two, but it still does not give the Tittabawassee watershed united representation. If you want to adopt a map that will pass the question, does this look like gerrymandering? This is your map, yet it disregards so many voices in an effort to redshift political party representation so it still sounds like gerrymandering.</p>	Andrew Booms	2021-11-25 00:59:05+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>While none of the State House maps fully eliminate strangely shaped districts, this map appears to be the best of the lot. Most districts boundaries keep regional and economic communities intact in a rather compact and reasonable manner.</p>	Jerry Jaloszynski	2021-11-25 00:59:01+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This map does not reflect the actual interest of Midland at all. My voice will be lost to Saginaw and Flint.</p>	Andrew Booms	2021-11-25 00:52:31+00

Magnolia	Like	<p>This map doesn't make sense putting our rural area of Clinton County in with East Lansing, and having an oddly shaped district. But it is the least Chaotic of the proposed maps and thus one that can be accepted while holding ones nose. The priority of Communities of Interest obviously was not used by the commission based on the results of these maps- breaking up Clinton County. After further examination of the proposed State House maps, I've come to the conclusion that the Szetela House Map is the most gerrymandered of them all. The map is full of odd-shaped districts. We sold the independent redistricting commission to the public with the promise of no more gerrymandered districts favoring one party or the other. This map fails to perform to the intent of the voters and should be rejected.</p>	Janice Harte	2021-11-25 00:46:07+00
Szetela House	Dislike		Jerry Jaloszynski	2021-11-25 00:46:05+00

Palm	Like	<p>While this map does not make sense, putting East Lansing and Lansing in with Clinton County, while cutting off part of northern Clinton County, it is the best of the proposed maps. The oddly shaped districts looks like political pressure was put on the the supposedly "nonpartisan" nature of this redistricting process.</p>	Janice Harte	2021-11-25 00:12:19+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This Birch Map is mostly fair. It doesn't separate Lansing and East Lansing from Clinton County, my community of interest as I requested on but at least has some balance over all.</p>	Janice Harte	2021-11-25 00:01:57+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>On behalf of APIA Vote-MI, we ask the commission to spend more time revising the state house maps. We recognize that the MICRC has already worked very hard, but we need to spend more time to ensure fairness as we will be stuck with these maps for 10 years</p>	Marunur R Choudhury	2021-11-24 23:56:32+00
Linden	Opinion	<p>On behalf of APIA Vote-MI, Linden is the best choice out of the state senate maps with respect to fairness and protection of COIs.</p>	Marunur R Choudhury	2021-11-24 23:52:53+00

Birch V2	Opinion	On behalf of APIA Vote-MI Birch and Chestnut are the best options for congressional maps with respect to fairness and protection of COIs.	Marunur R Choudhury	2021-11-24 23:41:58+00
Chestnut	Opinion	On behalf of APIA Vote-MI Birch and Chestnut are the best options for congressional maps with respect to fairness and protection of COIs. Feel this represents the areas best out of the 3 map options. Please support this map.	Marunur R Choudhury	2021-11-24 23:35:01+00
Pine v5	Like	Thank you.	Nancy M Howk	2021-11-24 20:40:54+00

District 9 is NOT remotely a cohesive community. Aside from occupying the state of Michigan there are simply too many disparate communities in this map. Suburban, near/inner semi agricultural, only agricultural, communities that support the arts and DIA and vast swathes that do not. If ever there was an effort to ram republican candidates down the throats of north Oakland County voters this is it! I'm sure the rural and east side communities don't mind but I see this as a dilution of my communities ability to have a voice in the US house. After being represented by Elissa Slotkin for 4 years this is a non-starter. There will never be a rep in this district that will represent my community. It is NOT POSSIBLE and makes absolutely NO SENSE to put anyone north of Oakland county into this map/district!

Birch V2

Dislike

Oakland township shares

Elaine Grejda

2021-11-24 20:40:09+00

Magnolia	Dislike	<p>Same as Hickory. Battle Creek has nothing in common with the rural portion of Calhoun County it's paired with. Should have expanded directly around Battle Creek and combined the remaining rural areas together. Feels like an attempt to cancel voices. Please DO NOT support this map. Thank you.</p>	Nancy M Howk	2021-11-24 20:40:04+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>Same as Magnolia. Battle Creek has nothing in common with the district identified. Should have expanded directly around Battle Creek Area and combined the balance into an area. Feels like cancelling voices here! Please DO NOT Support this map. Thank you.</p>	Nancy M Howk	2021-11-24 20:37:36+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>Battle Creek and the rural area across the top of Calhoun County have nothing in common. Why wouldn't you expand directly around Battle Creek into an area and then the balance of Calhoun County together. Please DO NOT Support this map. Thank you.</p>	Nancy M Howk	2021-11-24 20:33:13+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>Please DO NOT vote this map in, the west side of Calhoun County has NOTHING in common with a majority of the District represented here. Why would a Single District snake for such a length, but if only to cancel out voices.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	Nancy M Howk	2021-11-24 20:28:07+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	<p>Any map that Splits Ann Arbor is an insult to the people of Ann Arbor, Jackson, and Michigan. Creating a democratic majority at the expense of communities of interest is a joke.</p>	Deandre M	2021-11-24 19:41:38+00
Linden	Dislike	<p>Any map that Splits Ann Arbor is an insult to the people of Ann Arbor, Jackson, and Michigan. Creating a democratic majority at the expense of communities of interest is a joke.</p>	Deandre M	2021-11-24 19:31:22+00
Palm	Like	<p>Only one map - this map - makes sense got javkson and Ann Arbor. This is the best Senate map.</p>	Deandre M	2021-11-24 19:29:15+00

I urge the commission to resume work on these maps to get them closer to zero political bias. None of the state house maps meets partisan fairness standards. The map with the most partisan fairness is Szetela's map and the Midland-Bay City district makes sense. If this map is not available for the initial vote, and the commission refuses to improve the partisan fairness scores of the maps, I reluctantly support Hickory as it has the best partisan fairness scores of the 3 collaborative maps.

Hickory

Opinion

Carol A Sullivan

2021-11-24 19:26:23+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>I write to encourage adoption of the Chestnut map for the Congressional district encompassing where my family lives, works, and spends money. Chestnut has the best partisan fairness scores among the top three options. Increasing fairness = increasing competitiveness = better representation for this Mid-Michigan region. Thanks to all involved in good faith efforts to achieve fairer, more representative redistricting in our state.</p>	Carol A Sullivan	2021-11-24 19:21:34+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>Any map that Splits Ann Arbor is an insult to the people of Ann Arbor, Jackson, and Michigan. Creating a democratic majority at the expense of communities of interest is a joke.</p>	Deandre M	2021-11-24 19:21:15+00

Linden	Like	<p>As a Midland resident and someone who spends money and supports endeavors throughout the Tri-Cities, I write to encourage adoption of the Linden State Senate map. Of the three map options which group the Tri-Cities, Linden offers the best possibility of electing a representative outside of political extremes. Competitive elections will yield more engagement among the electorate and representation better aligned with the entirety of a district's constituency. Thanks to all involved in good faith efforts to achieve fairer, more representative</p> <p>redistricting in our state.</p> <p>This is the best map but it doesn't go far enough to represent partisan fairness. Please create a new map that</p>	Carol Sullivan	2021-11-24 19:08:52+00
Szetela House	Opinion	<p>is fair.</p> <p>This is the better of two ugly maps. It keeps Grand Rapids with surrounding communities instead of with the distant city of Muskegon.</p>	Suzanne Perkins	2021-11-24 18:41:21+00
Lange Congressional	Opinion		merlin steffes	2021-11-24 18:13:49+00

Magnolia	Like	I don't understand why the series of carve outs across the central counties. Why not just keep the counties whole? But overall this map is the best of the choices.	William Gordon	2021-11-24 17:28:16+00
Palm	Like	This map is the best of the options. Overall this map is the most acceptable of the choices.	William Gordon	2021-11-24 17:21:01+00
Birch V2	Like	Take this one with minor tweaking.	William Gordon	2021-11-24 17:15:50+00
Birch V2	Dislike	This 'tooth' in Washtenaw should be left in Washtenaw. It defeats the 'keeping districts square' concept. The population can be adjusted in Ionia or Shiawasse County where you are already splitting the County. State legislators need fair maps where both parties are competitive. This district along with the other two up for consideration are better than the one that combines parts of Calhoun County with Kalamazoo County. Good job Commissioners!	William Gordon	2021-11-24 17:13:01+00
Hickory	Like		Liam Smith	2021-11-24 16:56:10+00

During the great migration, many African Americans moved to the Calhoun County area in Albion and Battle Creek for jobs. The VRA requirements in the redistricting commissions mandates state that African Americans and other people of color need to be given a voice so that their votes aren't drowned out. Leaving Albion and Battle Creek intact in one district helps with their representation in the state legislature. Most people don't see their state legislative map as their "community" so issues related to school districts and such aren't really relevant to most folks. It should be cultural similarities and economic engines that determine a state legislative map community of interest. I don't want as much cracking and packing in these maps and this does a good job for a district.

Szetela House

Like

Liam Smith

2021-11-24 16:53:39+00

Magnolia

Like

Dave Lindsey

2021-11-24 16:49:48+00

Birch V2

Like

steve

2021-11-24 16:41:05+00

No comments, I just like this map.  
This map is the most fairly balanced one.

Linden	Like	This map is the most balanced and fair representation of the district and best reflects the COIs throughout Michigan	steve	2021-11-24 16:24:20+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is FAR too partisan and unfairly advantages one party over another.	Steve	2021-11-24 16:19:45+00
Magnolia	Like	Fairest map by far. This is the best choice.	Frank Hamet	2021-11-24 16:08:04+00
Palm	Dislike	This is the worst, most partisan of the map alternatives	George Moroz	2021-11-24 15:50:15+00
Palm	Like	This map is the most obvious choice as it is clearly the least partisan option. Please select this one.	Frank Hamet	2021-11-24 15:45:48+00
Linden	Like	Has the lowest Republican bias	George Moroz	2021-11-24 15:45:11+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	NO to this map. I looked only at Kent County. I think it is best to work geographically, ignoring political party and cultural heritage, keeping the district as geographically cohesive as possible.	Wayne R Meulendyk	2021-11-24 15:42:15+00
Birch V2	Like	This is the best option for a Congressional map. Please choose this one as it appears to be the fairest choice.	Frank Hamet	2021-11-24 15:42:07+00

Lange Congressional	Like	YES to this map as my first choice. I looked only at Kent County. I think it is best to work geographically, ignoring political party and cultural heritage, keeping the district as geographically cohesive as possible.	Wayne R Meulendyk	2021-11-24 15:40:48+00
Apple V2	Dislike	NO to this map. I looked only at Kent County. I think it is best to work geographically, ignoring political party and cultural heritage, keeping the district as geographically cohesive as possible. YES to this map but it is my second choice with Lange being my first. I looked only at Kent County. I think it is best to work geographically, ignoring political party and cultural heritage, keeping the district as geographically cohesive as possible.	Wayne R Meulendyk	2021-11-24 15:39:50+00
Birch V2	Like	I favor the Hickory House map over the other alternatives as it has the least partisan unfairness	Wayne R Meulendyk	2021-11-24 15:38:34+00
Hickory	Like	This is so unfair. Go back to the drawing board.	George Moroz	2021-11-24 15:37:06+00
Hickory	Dislike		Suzanne L Eastman	2021-11-24 15:32:40+00

Chestnut	Dislike	NO to this map. I looked only at Kent County. I think it is best to work geographically, ignoring political party and cultural heritage, keeping the district as geographically cohesive as possible.	Wayne R Meulendyk	2021-11-24 15:31:31+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is the worst in terms of partisan fairness. Not impressed with the partisan fairness of this map for Michigan overall. In addition, this map divides up the Grand Rapids area north and south to strategically give Republicans and advantage where it is not warranted by the number of Democratic voters in the area. Please reject this map.	Linda E Schwelnus	2021-11-24 15:10:32+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	This is the map with least partisan unfairness although it could be improved. I like that Northville and Plymouth are in the same district.	Lisa Lamancusa	2021-11-24 15:09:24+00
Hickory	Like	This map shows improved partisan fairness. I like that Northville and Plymouth and Novi are in one district	Linda E Schwelnus	2021-11-24 15:08:04+00
Linden	Like		Linda E Schwelnus	2021-11-24 15:03:46+00

Chestnut	Like	Chestnut appears to be the least partisan map. Although Northville is more associated with Livonia and western Wayne than with Washtenaw county.	Linda E Schwelnus	2021-11-24 14:57:55+00
Palm	Like	This map will provide the best representation for southern Michigan	SarahGreen	2021-11-24 14:14:04+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map gerrymanders Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids into a skinny district following US-131; these cities deserve individual representation; this map does not reflect the interests of surrounding areas	SarahGreen	2021-11-24 14:09:51+00
Palm	Like	This is the best of proposed for the city of Livonia. I would have preferred to be with Plymouth and Canton as I feel we are better represented with these communities and are all in Wayne County. I am actually against redistricting. Prefer the way it is.	Judith Perreca	2021-11-24 14:05:12+00

I have asked you to reconsider all your maps. Although OH results are not supported by The Democrats, it is clear the new maps are fair and not gerrymandered. Starting with a goal of compactness around existing boundaries like cities, townships and counties offers the most positive results for communities of interest.

Ohio Gov. Signs Redistricting Bill Shaking Up State's Political Map

[https://link.theepochtimes.com/mkt\\_app/ohio-gov-signs-redistricting-bill-shaking-up-states-political-map\\_4120406.html](https://link.theepochtimes.com/mkt_app/ohio-gov-signs-redistricting-bill-shaking-up-states-political-map_4120406.html)

Pine v5

Dislike

Melanie Kurdys

2021-11-24 13:30:46+00

On behalf of APIA Vote-MI, we urge the commission to spend more time on the house maps to ensure that they are as fair as possible. The MICRC was established in part to right the wrongs that gerrymandering has caused to Michigan, and if we proceed further with the state house maps as is, then gerrymandering will continue to damage the political process in our state for at least 10 more years (with the impact being felt the most in our communities of color). I know that the commission has worked very hard on these maps, but we need to spend more time. Continued effort to ensure fairness is absolutely worth the extra effort, because, again, we will have to live with these maps for 10 years.

Hickory

Dislike

Sarah T

2021-11-24 12:43:45+00

Hickory	Dislike	<p>This map is not fair to the tri-cities at all! Please follow most other maps and keep all parts of Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw together where they belong. Otherwise, you are giving into the gerrymandering of the past. This map does NOT represent partisan fairness!</p> <p>On behalf of APIA Vote-MI, although none of the state senate maps are perfect, Linden does a decent job of ensuring that Michigan voters have a fair shot and that communities of interest throughout Metro Detroit have their voices heard and that voters throughout.</p>	Sarah Torres	2021-11-24 12:40:39+00
Linden	Opinion	<p>On behalf of APIA Vote-MI, I believe that Birch and Chestnut are the best options at the congressional level for protecting the power of BIPOC voters throughout Metro Detroit, especially the black and Asian communities in cities such as Detroit, Sterling Heights, Warren, Southfield, Novi, and Farmington Hills</p>	Sarah T	2021-11-24 12:35:36+00
Birch V2	Opinion		Sarah T	2021-11-24 12:27:51+00

Chestnut	Opinion	<p>On behalf of APIA Vote-MI, although none of the congressional maps are perfect, I believe that Birch and Chestnut are the best options for protecting the power of BIPOC voters throughout Metro Detroit, especially the black and Asian communities in cities such as Detroit, Sterling Heights, Warren, Southfield, Novi, and Farmington Hills</p> <p>Thank you for keeping Cascade Township in the greater Grand Rapids area map. The township is an urban area and is not agricultural or rural. It has a common interest with Grand Rapids. The GR airport is located in the township. This map is the best map with the most common interests for the township.</p>	Sarah T	2021-11-24 12:25:03+00
Hickory	Like		Roger Rittenhouse	2021-11-24 06:14:12+00

Birch V2 Dislike Residents repeatedly asked the Commission to respect COI by keeping all 18 Downriver communities In Wayne county together in one congressional district. Instead, Downriver has been divided into three democrat gerrymandered congressional districts. Two districts are joined with Detroit which, with the exception of the Delray neighborhood, does not have the shared values, economic interests or history of Downriver. The third district pairs Downriver communities with Ann Arbor, a college town, completely disconnected from our way of life Downriver. Lesley Thomas 2021-11-24 06:12:29+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike Keep Ottawa county together. Another map lumping large cities with rural areas. We live in Ottawa county to get away from Grand Rapids. Looks like a gerrymander map just to get to Muskegon. The worst for common interests. Of the last four, APPLE V2 map is the best for West Michigan. Arend Gilligan 2021-11-24 05:32:24+00

Birch V2	Dislike	<p>This map splits Ottawa county and lumps too many far away cities with rural areas. Looks like hints of gerrymandering just to get Allendale. One of the worst for common interests. Of the last four, only the APPLE V2 works the best for West Michigan.</p>	Arend Gilligan	2021-11-24 05:24:40+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>This map chops up Ottawa county and lumps too many far away cities with rural areas. What a mess, nothing in common! Of the last four, only the APPLE V2 works the best for West Michigan.</p> <p>Best of "final four" congressional maps. Lets the lakeshore/rural communities stay together. Keep the largest inland urban/cities in their own districts with their own interests. Keep my</p>	Arend Gilligan	2021-11-24 05:16:51+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>Ottawa county united!</p>	Arend Gilligan	2021-11-24 05:04:58+00

Chestnut	Opinion	In listening to the hearings - arguing that Midland should be included with Bay City etc. due to "watershed" is a poor argument for COI as the entire state has watershed issues.. The most important matter for maps is partisan fairness, followed by community of interest. Closest to partisan fairness. Keeps COI Farmington / Farmington Hills together.	Lori Boyce	2021-11-24 03:46:15+00
Birch V2	Like	Keeps Detroit together. Birch, seems to be a better	Lori Boyce	2021-11-24 03:36:49+00
Birch V2	Like	option for Michigan	Connie Schultz	2021-11-24 03:36:17+00

Isolating Coe Township from the rest of Isabella County divides several Communities of Interest:

- 1.Educational-Coe Township is part of the Gratiot-Isabella Intermediate School District.
- 2.Economic-The primary shopping area for Coe Township residents is the City of Mt. Pleasant in Isabella County.
- 3.Social Services-The Gratiot-Isabella United Way serves vulnerable Coe Township citizens.
- 4.Environmental-Like many townships in Gratiot and Isabella counties, Coe Township has invested in wind energy.

Szetela House

Dislike

frances lichtman

2021-11-24 02:32:20+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>I prefer this map to the other Congressional maps. I think the cities in Oakland County should definitely be grouped together as they are on this map. I don't understand why there is a carve out of south Warren which has much more in common with south Oakland County. Why not carve our part of northern Macomb County instead?</p> <p>This map is not fair for Michigan voters. Not any better than the current district Gerrymandered Senate map. City folks won't be represented well. Looks like heavy Republican influence in map drawing. Linden is the best.</p>	Mary Ann Fontana	2021-11-24 02:15:29+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	<p>This map is not fair for Michigan voters. Not any better than the current district Gerrymandered Senate map. City folks won't be represented well. Linden is the best.</p>	Pat Dawson	2021-11-24 01:36:11+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	<p>This map is not fair for Michigan voters. Not any better than the current district Gerrymandered Senate map. City folks won't be represented well. Linden is the best.</p>	Pat Dawson	2021-11-24 01:35:07+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>This map is not fair for Michigan voters. Not any better than the current district Gerrymandered Senate map. City folks won't be represented well. Linden is the best. If not Linden, vote for this map. Not as fair for Michigan voters as Linden.</p>	Pat Dawson	2021-11-24 01:34:05+00
Cherry V2	Like		Pat Dawson	2021-11-24 01:33:06+00
Linden	Like	<p>This Linden map is great. Looking forward to a Senator that I can count on to work for us. Dividing the Grand Rapids area into north and south makes sense. Thanks for hearing our comments. Unfair map because Grand Rapids is with so many rural areas. Will have the same effect as the current district 3 Gerrymandered congressional map. The influence of large population Grand Rapids metro city areas is discounted. Go with Apple V2 to better represent all these areas.</p>	Pat Dawson	2021-11-24 01:32:03+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike		Pat Dawson	2021-11-24 01:30:31+00

		Grand Rapids and Muskegon are with too many farming communities. Not a good district. Either of these separate communities want to be with like areas.		
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Muskegon is chopped up. Grand Rapids is in with farming communities. Not a good district. Either of these separate communities want to be with like areas.	Pat Dawson	2021-11-24 01:29:07+00
Birch V2	Dislike		Pat Dawson	2021-11-24 01:28:01+00
		This map keeps the city areas together but Apple V2 keeps the larger Kalamazoo city with Grand Rapids rather than the much smaller Muskegon and less farming communities are involved with Apple V2. All the other maps divide the cities by surrounding them with farming communities. I like this map because the two large cities in our area are together. Cities have unique problems and needs compartmented to farming communities. Our cities need representation at the federal level.		
Chestnut	Opinion		Pat Dawson	2021-11-24 01:26:54+00
Apple V2	Like		Pat Dawson	2021-11-24 01:25:36+00

Not a good map for the West Side of Grand Rapids. Why is Eastown and Wilcox park in 81 northwest district-they are way east of the Grand River. We are West Siders and have our own unique polish neighborhoods, churches and local businesses.

81 I can see us being with Grandville because my grandson lives in walker but goes to Grandville schools I would say Wyoming should be together in a district as there are many Spanish people there. Maybe need to add some of grand rapids there to keep the Spanish together.

Szetela House	Dislike		Pat Dawson	2021-11-24 01:24:24+00
		Thanks for keeping Grandville with Walker. My grandson lives in Walker but goes to Grandville schools. Thanks for keeping the Polish neighborhood community together on the west side in		
Hickory	Like	district 84.	Pat Dawson	2021-11-24 01:23:03+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	Cherry and Linden are both better than Lange.	Dan Fox	2021-11-24 01:22:26+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Cherry and Linden are both better than Kellom.	Dan Fox	2021-11-24 01:21:54+00

		Nope, there are better choices. Both Birch and Chestnut are superior to		
Apple V2	Dislike	Apple.	Dan Fox	2021-11-24 01:18:46+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Nope. Not a viable plan.	Dan Fox	2021-11-24 01:17:11+00
		The MOST important goal of redistricting is to make sure that the party getting more votes in an election gets more seats. This is not the case for the maps drawn for the Michigan House of Representatives based on		
Hickory	Dislike	2020 election data.	Susan H. Gross	2021-11-24 01:15:24+00
		Second best of a weak set of choices. Hickory is better than Pine because of its improvements in Detroit. All these plans lean towards republicans which is a		
Pine v5	Like	problem.	Dan Fox	2021-11-24 01:15:17+00
		This seems to be the best of a somewhat underwhelming set of choices. It does incorporate some improvements in Detroit where I have serious concerns about VRA compliance of all		
Hickory	Like	the plans.	Dan Fox	2021-11-24 01:13:29+00
		I'd call this the second best of the lot, not perfect, but		
Birch V2	Like	reasonably fair.	Dan Fox	2021-11-24 01:09:20+00

		The worst of the lot. The whole idea of the redistricting commission was to avoid partisan gerrymanders and instead have fair maps. This		
Palm	Dislike	one is not fair.	Dan Fox	2021-11-24 01:07:55+00
Cherry V2	Like	A reasonable plan, a few oddities, but fair to both parties.	Dan Fox	2021-11-24 01:06:22+00
Linden	Like	This looks like the best, fairest Senate plan.	Dan Fox	2021-11-24 01:02:27+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This congressional plan looks to be the worst of the lot. It makes sense to keep Clinton, Eaton and Ingham together. Just looking at the shape of the 7th district screams gerrymander.	Dan Fox	2021-11-24 01:00:01+00
Chestnut	Like	This is the best option. It is far superior to our current partisan gerrymander. With this plan, the will of the	Dan Fox	2021-11-24 00:56:52+00
Birch V2	Like	people will be heard.	Debb Knarian	2021-11-24 00:54:29+00
Birch V2	Dislike	I approve of this proposal.	Debb Knarian	2021-11-24 00:37:57+00

Magnolia	Like	I believe this plan is the closest to meeting the constitutional requirement of being based on Communities of Interest and I urge its adoption. Further, I believe the remaining plans do not follow the constitutional requirement to be based on a Communities of Interest criteria and are gerrymandered based on partisan considerations in violation of the constitutional requirements and should be rejected.	William C Hartwig	2021-11-24 00:34:20+00
----------	------	--	-------------------	------------------------

Palm	Like	I believe this plan is the closest to meeting the constitutional requirement of being based on Communities of Interest and I urge its adoption. Further, I believe the remaining plans do not follow the constitutional requirement to be based on a Communities of Interest criteria and are gerrymandered based on partisan considerations in violation of the constitutional requirements and should be rejected.	William C Hartwig	2021-11-24 00:33:23+00
------	------	--	-------------------	------------------------

Birch V2	Like	<p>I believe this plan is the closest to meeting the constitutional requirement of being based on Communities of Interest and I urge its adoption. Further, I believe the remaining plans do not follow the constitutional requirement to be based on a Communities of Interest criteria and are gerrymandered based on partisan considerations in violation of the constitutional requirements and should be rejected.</p>	William C Hartwig	2021-11-24 00:31:20+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>Farmington and Farmington Hills should be connected for State Representation. We share many civic assets and nothing is gained by separating our representation.</p>	Frederick Beiermeister	2021-11-23 23:13:25+00

Palm is not a fair map for equal political representation. This map has similar effects to the current district Gerrymandered Senate map. It disregards the votes of large population Grand Rapids metro city areas by combining with rural townships in Barry, Allegan and Ionia Counties.

Linden

Dislike

Better to go with Linden  
Of the three maps offered, this one seems most appropriate because the fragmenting and connecting of relatively distant areas seems most limited.

Steve Fish

2021-11-23 23:01:55+00

Palm

Like

Frederick Beiermeister

2021-11-23 23:01:25+00

Birch V2	Dislike	<p>West Michigan is not represented fairly in this map. This is not a fair map for the state as a whole. The rural population included with the Grand Rapids city areas takes away from the city voice. I will bet that the rural population does not want to be lumped in with the city also. In addition, these townships do not want to be in the same district with Grand Rapids city. The lakeshore district is divided extensively. Apple V2 is the only map with fair representation.</p>	Steve Fish	2021-11-23 22:51:36+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>Map keeps Farmington and Farmington Hills together, includes established neighborhoods and growth areas, offers a good mix of urban, rural and communities in between.</p>	Frederick Beiermeister	2021-11-23 22:46:20+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>This map is unfair because it does not give equal weight to all people voting - it should provide partisan fairness and fair weight.</p>	Betty Jane Palm	2021-11-23 22:15:18+00

Pine v5 Like Finally! Districts drawn that reflect the population better. I've lived in Grand Rapids area for 22 years and I have never felt the districts, as drawn, reflected the voters of this area. Ever. Thank you for trying to reflect reality. Sean Lancaster 2021-11-23 22:09:45+00

Szetela House Dislike Of all the proposed maps being considered for federal Congressional and State Senate and House Districts, the Szetela House Map is the only one not keeping Isabella County whole. Taking one township and making it part of a district to the east simply doesn't make sense. Do not adopt this poorly drawn map. Keep Isabella County whole! Jerry Jaloszynski 2021-11-23 21:52:56+00

		<p>One more general comment.  Why in the world are you analyzing these communities based on race? If my neighbor is a different race than me, we still have more in common than someone of my same race who lives in an entirely different type of community, rural vs urban for example. Perhaps that is why you are having so much trouble drawing fair maps</p>		
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>that respect communities. Argentine Township should remain where it currently is today, our concerns are similar to those around us not</p>	Melanie A Kurdys	2021-11-23 21:48:54+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>in a different county.</p>	Sue Ann Syoen	2021-11-23 21:41:44+00
		<p>Why is there not a single map that keeps Allegan County intact or with just 2 Representatives as we are today? We are a community of interest, with many similar communities and interests. The obvious gerrymandering is a disappointment given the message from the community when the commission was</p>		
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>voted for. Please try again.</p>	Melanie A Kurdys	2021-11-23 21:40:55+00

Hickory	Dislike	<p>It is so disappointing to see the obvious gerrymandering in these maps. Allegan County is again split into 6 districts, breaking up our community of interest into fragments. Please go back to the drawing board. Surely you can do better.</p>	Melanie A Kurdys	2021-11-23 21:36:07+00
Pine v5	Opinion	<p>Every one of these State House maps creates a series of long narrow districts that connects disparate communities on either end and carves up northern Detroit. As a Birmingham resident, I want to retain a district that has a coherent political identity. As someone who follows mapping closely, these districts are way more gerrymandered than the current 2010 districts.</p>	Joseph Michael Zane	2021-11-23 21:35:15+00
Linden	Like	<p>Thank you for making a map that unpacks Washtenaw County voters. This is a vast improvement compared to other Senate Map drafts, and much better than the unfair unconstitutional Palm map</p>	Chris Lewis	2021-11-23 21:33:11+00

Magnolia	Dislike	<p>This map splits Allegan County into 6 (I think) districts! As a county, we are a community of interest with many similar communities. Even the lakefront, which is a treasure of the county. We voted for this Commission to reduce gerrymandering and this clearly cuts up our county and the state into communities without mutual interest.</p>	Melanie A Kurdys	2021-11-23 21:30:24+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>Voters approved this Independent Commission to REDUCE gerrymandering. Clearly, Allegan County, as well as many others, have been divided then combined with communities who share no common interests. The weird lakeshore district is a prime example of gerrymandering. Please respect the will of the people. Draw maps that maximize the retention of intact counties.</p>	Melanie A Kurdys	2021-11-23 21:23:17+00
Pine v5	Like	<p>This map fits the COI intent and keeps Troy intact. This map should be adopted.</p>	Jeff Alan Schaeper	2021-11-23 21:21:51+00

Hickory	Like	<p>Why is the largest city in Oakland County being split? This makes no sense and violates COI guidelines. I fail to see how West Sterling Heights have a stronger connection to East Troy as we don't share schools, library, police, fire and other essential services. This map should be rejected.</p>	Jeff Alan Schaeper	2021-11-23 21:20:16+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>Why is the largest city in Oakland County being split? This makes no sense and violates COI guidelines. I fail to see how West Sterling Heights have a stronger connection to East Troy as we don't share schools, library, police, fire and other essential services. This map should be rejected.</p>	Jeff Alan Schaeper	2021-11-23 21:18:56+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>Why is the largest city in Oakland County being split? This makes no sense and violates COI guidelines. I fail to see how West Sterling Heights have a stronger connection to East Troy as we don't share schools, library, police, fire and other essential services. This map should be rejected.</p>	Jeff Alan Schaeper	2021-11-23 21:17:35+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>This map is an unfair partisan gerrymander, and doesn't follow the constitutional requirement of partisan fairness. You spent weeks finding a way to unpack Washtenaw County, so why would you completely undo your work for a map that scores lower on partisan fairness? Please look at the Linden or Cherry V2 maps instead because they follow the constitution, and don't silence the votes of Washtenaw County residents</p>	Chris Lewis	2021-11-23 20:20:16+00
Linden	Like	<p>This is a good map for the Tri-cities. I like that you have put Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw together, and have included the areas adjacent to each city. I look forward to having a more competitive district, where either party will have a chance of winning the election.</p>	Allison Wilcox	2021-11-23 19:45:26+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>Genesee County have 5 senators??? this is wild. It washes out the communities of interest. this is the 5th largest county in the state and should remain in place.</p>	Holly Armstrong	2021-11-23 19:37:58+00

Linden	Like	This seems like the fairest way to treat this area	Nan Green	2021-11-23 19:36:15+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is the most unfair of the Senate maps	N Green	2021-11-23 19:28:24+00
Palm	Dislike	Southern St.Clair should not be in the same district as Wayne county. Just because we are lake communities does not mean we are the same community of interest.	Dominic Jakubowski	2021-11-23 19:28:04+00
Szetela House	Like	All House maps are unfair, but Szetela is much better than Pine or Magnolia. Consider going back to drawing board. These maps should be better. Thanks for unpacking Democrats in Lansing area.	Matthew D. Horwitt	2021-11-23 19:24:31+00
Cherry V2	Like	This is a more fair way to map this area	Nancy Green	2021-11-23 19:23:01+00
Hickory	Like	All House maps are unfair, but Hickory is much better than the others. Consider going back to drawing board. These maps should be better. Thanks for unpacking Democrats in Lansing area.	Matthew D. Horwitt	2021-11-23 19:21:53+00
Birch V2	Like	this would be better if Warren wasn't divided but it is still the best version.	Ron Singer	2021-11-23 19:20:38+00
Palm	Like	This map keeps the lakeshore community of interest together along Lake St. Clair	Monica Palmer	2021-11-23 19:20:20+00

Magnolia	Dislike	The Magnolia map is very unfair and should be rejected. Makes Republican control likely even in Democratic years. Hickory is better. Consider going back to drawing board. These maps should be better.	Matthew D. Horwitt	2021-11-23 19:18:30+00
Magnolia	Like	This map represents the lakeshore community of interest along Lake St. Clair.	Monica Palmer	2021-11-23 19:17:02+00
Pine v5	Dislike	The Pine map is unfair and doesn't address issues raised at Detroit hearing. All maps are bad. Consider going back to drawing board. If you won't, choose Hickory	Matthew D. Horwitt	2021-11-23 19:15:43+00
Szetela Senate	Like	Szetela is a fair map, although still has Republican bias. Districts in Ingham-Eaton-Clinton are competitive and reflect the diverse communities.	Matthew D. Horwitt	2021-11-23 19:05:40+00
Szetela Senate	Like	Szetela is a fair map, although still has Republican bias. Districts in Ingham-Eaton-Clinton are competitive and reflect the diverse communities.	Matthew D. Horwitt	2021-11-23 19:05:40+00

Lange Senate correct	Dislike	The Lange map has more partisan bias. It makes it less likely that the party with the most votes wins the seats. I want fairness, not bias. Please do not adopt this map.	Matthew D. Horwitt	2021-11-23 19:02:24+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	The Lange map has more partisan bias. It makes it less likely that the party with the most votes wins the seats. I want fairness, not bias. Please do not adopt this map.	Matthew D. Horwitt	2021-11-23 19:02:24+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	The Kellom map has more partisan bias. It makes it less likely that the party with the most votes wins the seats. I want fairness, not bias. Please do not adopt this map.	Matthew D. Horwitt	2021-11-23 18:59:09+00
Palm	Like	This map represents my community the best.	scott blaine	2021-11-23 18:58:37+00

The Hickory map is the best of the proposed maps. I like how it has the whole of Midland County together plus an added chunk to get to the population density needed. I do not support the little bits of Bay County being included - so small, just leave with Bay County. If there is a possibility of making some adjustments to the map to make this district more competitive party-wise, that would be preferable. That's the whole purpose of Prop 2. Thank you very much for your service in this first round of an independent commission.

Hickory

Like

Kay Rochlitz

2021-11-23 18:58:35+00

Linden is the fairest map, although still has Republican bias. Districts in Ingham-Eaton-Clinton are competitive and reflect the diverse communities.

Linden

Like

Matthew D. Horwitt

2021-11-23 18:56:48+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>I support the Chestnut map. It is a good representation of our communities of interest with the Tri-Cities area together. We have many similarities economically, environmentally and in our education systems. Thank you for listening to our concerns and developing a map to represent us in the future.</p>	Kay Rochlitz	2021-11-23 18:56:22+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>Palm is a very unfair map. Linden and Cherry are much better. Map would ensure Republican control even in Democratic years.</p>	Matthew D. Horwitt	2021-11-23 18:54:29+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>Cherry is a relatively fair map, although less compact than Linden. All Senate maps have Republican bias, but this is better than Palm. Districts in Ingham-Eaton-Clinton are competitive and reflect the diverse communities.</p>	Matthew D. Horwitt	2021-11-23 18:52:04+00

Pine v5 Dislike Although it is appreciated that Orion Township and Village are kept whole, taking pieces of Oakland Twp, Auburn Hills Bloomfield Hills and Bloomfield is converging "unlike" communities together. Orion community aligns most similarly to communities to the North, East and West not South. All three map versions have the same flaw. Donni Steele 2021-11-23 18:51:02+00

Magnolia Dislike Although it is appreciated that Orion Township and Village are kept whole, taking pieces of Oakland Twp, Auburn Hills Bloomfield Hills and Bloomfield is converging "unlike" communities together. Orion community aligns most similarly to communities to the North, East and West not South. Donni Steele 2021-11-23 18:48:38+00

Hickory	Dislike	<p>Although it is appreciated that Orion Township and Village are kept whole, taking pieces of Oakland Twp, Auburn Hills Bloomfield Hills and Bloomfield is converging "unlike" communities together. Orion community aligns most similarly to whole communities to the North, East and West not South.</p>	Donni Steele	2021-11-23 18:46:06+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	<p>Szetela is a fair statewide map, although all maps have Republican bias. Several competitive seats. Thanks for keeping Ingham-Eaton-Clinton together.</p>	Matthew D. Horwitt	2021-11-23 18:43:11+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>All the maps are unfair but the Lange map is the worst. It has Republican bias. It breaks up the Lansing region, while the others do not. Chestnut, Birch and Szetala are preferable. Map for Lansing Region district is less compact.</p>	Matthew D. Horwitt	2021-11-23 18:40:50+00

Apple V2	Dislike	<p>The Apple map has more partisan bias. It breaks up the Lansing region, while the others do not. Chestnut, Birch and Szetala are all better statewide and for the Lansing region. Apple makes it more likely that Republicans win more seats even in Democratic years.</p>	Matthew D. Horwitt	2021-11-23 18:28:48+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>Birch is a fair statewide map where party with most votes probably wins most seats, although all maps have Republican bias. Thanks for keeping Ingham-Eaton-Clinton together. Apple and Lange maps are very unfair.</p>	Matthew D. Horwitt	2021-11-23 18:25:02+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This is a fair statewide map where party with most votes probably wins most seats, although all maps have Republican bias. Chestnut has several competitive seats. Thanks for keeping Ingham-Eaton-Clinton region together. Apple and Lange maps are very unfair.</p>	Matthew D. Horwitt	2021-11-23 18:18:20+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>Hemlock and Merrill should remain in a district that includes a larger portion of Saginaw County and/or Midland County.</p>	Sarah Brooks	2021-11-23 18:12:37+00

Palm	Like	I like this map the best out of all the presented options because it keeps the lakeshore (Muskegon County and north) together, and this well represents me.	Taleah Greve	2021-11-23 17:57:21+00
Birch V2	Like	Of all the maps, this is the fairest and keeps my local District and community of interest together.	Taleah Greve	2021-11-23 17:40:56+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Northville, Plymouth and Canton have much more in common with Livonia. These communities should all be in the same district.	Donald Mitzel	2021-11-23 17:37:35+00
Linden	Like	Fair map, along with Cherry. Deserves consideration, unlike Palm, which is the worst.	Lisa Ann Keith	2021-11-23 17:34:53+00
Palm	Dislike	Terrible map and least partisan of all of them. This map should not be considered.	Lisa Keith	2021-11-23 17:31:54+00
Palm	Dislike	This unfairly packs Democrats into one district and is totally unnecessary. By far this is the least fair map.	Joshua Michael Drzewicki	2021-11-23 17:28:11+00

Linden	Like	In regards to partisanship, this is a generally fair map. Would like to see some work, but it's definitely serviceable and would provide our state with relatively fair maps in the Senate for the next 12 years.	Joshua Drzewicki	2021-11-23 17:27:52+00
Palm	Dislike	This is a horribly partisan map!	Danielle Emerson	2021-11-23 17:26:29+00
Cherry V2	Like	This is a great, proposed map that needs every consideration given to it.	Lisa Keith	2021-11-23 17:26:14+00
Linden	Like	This map is fairer than the rest.	Danielle Christine Emerson	2021-11-23 17:25:23+00
Cherry V2	Like	The Cherry v2 map is good because it's the most partisanly fair of the maps available. Not perfect, but it's the best available.	Joshua Drzewicki	2021-11-23 17:22:53+00
Cherry V2	Like	closest to fair of all the maps available	Jolene Vatter	2021-11-23 17:22:34+00
Cherry V2	Like	The partisan fairness is best on this map!	Danielle Emerson	2021-11-23 17:22:02+00

Pine v5	Dislike	Please don't create a Lake Shore districts. I understand the Lake shore has unique challenges. however, everyone in the Allegan County has a stake in this lakeshore. Allegan County is very cut up. County lines need to be respected as best they can be. (All these maps have same issue for Allegan)	Mark A Evans	2021-11-23 17:11:02+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Please don't create a Lake Shore districts. I understand the Lake shore has unique challenges. however, everyone in the Allegan County has a stake in this lakeshore. Allegan County is very cut up. County lines need to be respected as best they can be. (All these maps have same issue for Allegan)	Mark A Evans	2021-11-23 17:09:50+00
Magnolia	Like	This map seems fair.	Chuck Wright	2021-11-23 17:07:34+00

Please don't create a Lake Shore districts. I understand the Lake shore has unique challenges. however, everyone in the Allegan County has a stake in this lakeshore. Allegan County is very cut up. County lines need to be respected as best they can be. (All these maps have

Hickory  
Pine v5

Dislike  
Like

same issue for Allegan)  
This map seems fair.

Mark A Evans  
Chuck Wright

2021-11-23 17:07:28+00  
2021-11-23 17:07:00+00

Beck Road from M-14 to I-96 is a corridor. This part of Novi travels south into Wayne Co for work and schools. The section of Novi and Northville have grown up together, in a way the rest of Oakland county did not. People in this District 6 map know Novi well. Novi's voice will be heard in this district and not drowned out by the Central and Eastern Oakland area with very different issues. Novi does share a bond with this area, and although a cut off at between counties at 8 miles appears to be a clean line, there are many ties that would be severed in doing so. KEEP this map. It works for District 6. District 48 being in Livingston county and Ann Arbor make no sense. Only some of these people have thoughts and actions that are a polar opposite of normal common sense. There is no way that this group can have fair representation.

Chestnut

Like

Alex C

2021-11-23 17:06:09+00

Hickory

Dislike

Chuck Wright

2021-11-23 17:01:36+00

Apple V2	Like	It is important to have input from diverse sources. Both Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids have a large minority population. Their voice is needed in our democratic government.	Fredric Overeem	2021-11-23 16:48:22+00
Palm	Dislike	Do not use this map. It may seem good that Jackson is "whole" but the other maps that divide things up a bit more actually provide BETTER representation for the area in Lansing. The maps that have a mostly a 1) rural district and another that 2) ties the suburbs of Jackson and Ann Arbor will bring better results from Lansing to this area. Either of the other 2 are much better.	Lynn C	2021-11-23 16:43:52+00
Birch V2	Opinion	This map would be better if you added Oakland Township with Rochester Hills and Rochester. They are my communities of interest, with a common history and many 3rd party agreements tying the communities together.	Theresa Mungioli	2021-11-23 16:20:49+00

Rochester and Rochester Hills have more in common with Oakland Township than Sterling Heights. Our communities of interest include Rochester, Rochester Hills and Oakland Township as we have a common history and have many 3rd party agreements to support the communities.

Palm

Opinion

Theresa Mungioli

2021-11-23 16:18:20+00

Thank you for keeping Rochester and Rochester Hills together. This is my community of interest.

Magnolia

Like

Theresa Mungioli

2021-11-23 16:04:58+00

At the very least I would understand a Lansing or East Lansing based seat dipping into Bath or DeWitt, but anything beyond that into Clinton County makes no sense from a COI standpoint. The Clinton County/Grand Ledge district you guys initially had maintained the County COI and created a competitive seat. Had you kept that, took Delta Township and the western part of Lansing Township into the rest of Eaton County, you still would have ended up with 4 dem seats and a swing seat like you have on this. If we're going to accept that cities can be COIs we should be doing the same with counties too. Please take Capital region COIs into more consideration.

Szetela House

Dislike

Zach Rudat

2021-11-23 15:49:28+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	This is an unfair map for Michigan voters. Also, not a good map for Asians. Combines city areas with country areas to reduce the significance of our votes and divide priority for our needs in the city. Definitely a Republican influenced map.	Sam Chu	2021-11-23 15:47:06+00
Palm	Dislike	the worst partisan map of all 15. packs democrats	Cassie Gatz	2021-11-23 15:45:45+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	This map is not fair representation for Michigan or our Asian area. Absolutely a Republican influenced map. Linden or map has the best districts.	Sam Chu	2021-11-23 15:44:52+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	Please keep Clinton County whole	Zach Rudat	2021-11-23 15:44:12+00
Magnolia	Like	While I wish that northeastern Livingston County would be part of the self-enclosed districts within the county lines, I believe as a whole this allows the country to be represented well in all three districts.	Karl Kling	2021-11-23 15:44:04+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	Please keep Clinton County whole	Zach Rudat	2021-11-23 15:43:34+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This map is not fair representation for Michigan or our Asian area. Linden map has the best districts.	Sam Chu	2021-11-23 15:43:11+00

Kellom Senate	Dislike	Please keep Clinton County whole	Zach Rudat	2021-11-23 15:42:52+00
Linden	Dislike	Please keep Clinton County whole	Zach Rudat	2021-11-23 15:42:04+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is not fair representation for Michigan or our Asian area. Linden map has the best districts.	Sam Chu	2021-11-23 15:41:48+00
Palm	Dislike	Please keep Clinton County whole	Zach Rudat	2021-11-23 15:41:17+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Please keep Clinton County Whole	Zach Rudat	2021-11-23 15:40:37+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map is in second place compared to Linden map. Could be better representation for Michigan and our Asian population. Thanks for creating a south side Grand Rapids Senate district. Thanks for listening to our comments.	Sam Chu	2021-11-23 15:40:24+00
Birch V2	Like	I believe this map represents my area - Livingston County - well as it groups with many shared interest community such as eastern Ionia County.	Karl Kling	2021-11-23 15:40:11+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Please keep Clinton County whole	Zach Rudat	2021-11-23 15:39:47+00
Palm	Like	I support balanced maps-this is the best choice presented. Pls adopt this map.	Jennifer Helmer	2021-11-23 15:39:25+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	Please keep Clinton County whole	Zach Rudat	2021-11-23 15:38:58+00

Linden	Like	I like the south side Grand Rapids City district. This is where the close-knit Asian population lives, works and shops. We own a Chinese restaurant in this area and our customers and friends are from the south side district. Should provide good representation for us.	Sam Chu	2021-11-23 15:38:44+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Please keep Clinton County whole Offering balance amongst communities is key-this map seems to be the best presented. Pls adopt this map. Thank you.	Zach Rudat	2021-11-23 15:37:51+00
Palm	Like	I would be living in district 12 (clay township), as a young voter in southern st.clair county I belong in district 25. We as a community group have more in common with people that live in St.Clair county then those that live in Detroit. We have different interests and needs as a community.	Jennifer Helmer	2021-11-23 15:37:42+00
Cherry V2	Dislike		Dominic Jakubowski	2021-11-23 15:35:09+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	This is an unfair map for Michigan voters. Also, not a good map for Asians. Combines city areas with country areas to reduce the significance of our votes and divide priority for our needs in the city.	Sam Chu	2021-11-23 15:32:47+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	This is an unfair map for Michigan voters. Also, not a good map for Asians. Combines city areas with country areas to reduce the significance of our votes and divide priority for our needs in the city.	Sam Chu	2021-11-23 15:31:18+00
Chestnut	Like	Thank you for keeping Clinton County whole!	Zach Rudat	2021-11-23 15:30:19+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Not a good map for Asians. Combines city areas with country areas to reduce the significance of our votes and divide priority for our needs in the city. Also, divides the Asian population in west Michigan.	Sam Chu	2021-11-23 15:30:02+00

Chestnut	Opinion	<p>This district map is in second place of all the maps, with Apple V2 being first. However, it does not represent the Asian population well. All the other maps reduce our voice further by combining the city areas with country areas.</p> <p>This is the fairest map for Michigan and the minorities in the southwest part of the state. Glad to see Asian people in Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids being represented in one district. There are a number of changes that we would like to pursue.</p>	Sam Chu	2021-11-23 15:28:38+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>This map is okay - less unfair than some maps. Five VRA districts are strengthened in Detroit. However, maps that are less unfair, are still unfair. We want maps that are actually fair.</p>	Sam Chu	2021-11-23 15:26:53+00
Szetela Senate	Opinion	<p>We want maps that are actually fair.</p>	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-11-23 15:23:31+00

The district lines of 80, 81, 82 Southwest side are awful. We have a Chinese restaurant in Kentwood. Most of our customers come from East Grand Rapids and Cascade as well as Kentwood. The people are all similar in middle class economics and lifestyles. Looking forward to Have a State Representative in one district that services all my customers.

All the areas in the six cities (Grand Rapids, Kentwood, Wyoming, Grandville, Walker and East Grand Rapids) along with the townships of Grand Rapids, Cascade, Ada and South Plainfield should be kept together when drawing the State House maps. These are the core of the Greater Grand Rapids city and suburban area. Population in this area makes 5 districts.

Szetela House

Dislike

Sam Chu

2021-11-23 15:22:37+00

We have a Chinese restaurant in Kentwood. Most of our customers come from East Grand Rapids and Cascade as well as Kentwood. Now I see this is in the same district 80- hooray. This district is composed of people are all similar in middle class economics and lifestyles. Looking forward to Have a State Representative in district 80 that services all my customers.

Thanks for keeping all the areas in the six cities (Grand Rapids, Kentwood, Wyoming, Grandville, Walker and East Grand Rapids) along with the townships of Grand Rapids, Cascade, Ada and South Plainfield together in the State House maps. This is the core of the Greater Grand Rapids city and suburban area.

Hickory

Like

Sam Chu

2021-11-23 15:20:59+00

Palm	Opinion	I support the Birch, Palm and Magnolia Maps but only as the better of three poor choices. I supported a committee to redistribute but believe that the gerrymandering outcome will be the same. Pay closer attention to your mission and the laws to which you as sworn.	Bruce Nelson	2021-11-23 14:47:19+00
Hickory	Like	This map better achieves fair districts and partisan fairness.	Brian Kerrigan	2021-11-23 14:41:28+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map better achieves partisan fairness	Brian Kerrigan	2021-11-23 14:39:58+00
Linden	Like	This map better represents voters across the state well	Brian Kerrigan	2021-11-23 14:38:05+00
Birch V2	Like	This is the fairest map it keeps the tri Lansing counties together.	Julie A Conley	2021-11-23 14:34:56+00
Magnolia	Like	Thank you for separating these rural areas from Lansing! It is balanced and offers rural communities a voice at the table that has been long drawn out by past maps.	Julie A Conley	2021-11-23 14:32:01+00
Birch V2	Like	Seems like a fair map	Lydia He	2021-11-23 14:31:19+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>The palm map has created numerous "Gerrymandered" districts when it comes partisan fairness. Please do not consider this Palm map as a viable option, it does not do voters in Michigan justice.</p> <p>This is a good, fair map! Combining these rural communities together offer a great balance.</p>	Brian Kerrigan	2021-11-23 14:29:35+00
Palm	Like	<p>Of the three collaborative maps this one is the best because it has the best overall partisan fairness BUT I would encourage you to continue to work on the House maps. This map does a good job in the Detroit area because it contains the changes that Kellom and Curry suggested but in Midland it will just be a safe Republican seat and will not lead to good representation.</p>	Julie A Conley	2021-11-23 14:28:48+00
Hickory	Opinion		Molly Morrissey	2021-11-23 14:14:19+00

I like this map because it puts the Tri-Cities together as they always should have been. The Tri-Cities have similar economic needs so we need a state senator that will fight for our urban communities. It also has the best partisan fairness score of the three collaborative maps.

Linden

Like

Molly Morrissey

2021-11-23 14:02:59+00

I am a rural Midland County resident. I support the Linden map proposal for districts 34 and 35. While it would be nice to have the county whole for representation at the state level; there are many competing needs you must consider. Therefore, I agree with having the county split and the Tri-Cities of Midland, Saginaw and Bay City in one district (most important) and the rural Midland county with the northern rural areas. This map is more non-partisan than what we have today and a vast improvement. It could be better, but this is a significant first step in the right direction. Thank you very much.

Linden

Like

Kay Rochlitz

2021-11-23 13:52:19+00

Much better balance for votes than in the past. I am not sure why Williamston is split in half but at least you start giving our area a chance to balance the view of East Lansing and Okemos.

Magnolia

Like

Craig Hagelberger

2021-11-23 13:43:18+00

Palm	Like	Finally a map that balances views. Being lumped in with Lansing and East Lansing has been awful in the past, this new map is much better.	Craig Hagelberger	2021-11-23 13:41:45+00
Birch V2	Like	This appears to be the best representative map that allows my District to share common goals and interests.	Bob Kittridge	2021-11-23 13:28:47+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Please don't create a Lake Shore districts. I understand the Lake shore has unique challenges. however, everyone in the Allegan County has a stake in this lakeshore. Allegan County is very cut up. County lines need to be respected as best they can be. (All these maps have same issue for Allegan)	Mark A Evans	2021-11-23 13:27:46+00
Hickory	Dislike	Please listen to the voters.	Sylvia Jania	2021-11-23 13:19:27+00
Hickory	Dislike	Please make fair the maps that you are changing.	sylvia Jania	2021-11-23 13:13:48+00
Hickory	Dislike	None of the maps are fair especially when we the people voted for partisan fairness.	Frank L Armock	2021-11-23 11:36:41+00
Hickory	Dislike	This map doesn't seem fair.	Frank L Armock	2021-11-23 11:34:36+00
Hickory	Dislike	Let's make it truly bipartisan This map is not fair since it gives a minority of voters a majority voice in voting.	Frank L Armock	2021-11-23 11:30:30+00

This is an acceptable map with partisan fairness scores only slightly higher than Linden, and it has the Tri-Cities Senate district so many of us have asked for. Thank you for consistently listening to the citizens of Mid-Michigan. I prefer the Linden Senate Map because it has a lower efficiency gap and lopsided margin than Cherry V2. Linden is the best map for the state of Michigan of the available 3 though none of them achieve proper partisan fairness scores.

Cherry V2

Like

Greg Mayville

2021-11-23 10:21:08+00

This is a good map as it has the City of Midland in with the tri cities which is the community of interest for the City of Midland.

Apple V2

Like

Greg Mayville

2021-11-23 10:17:36+00

Birch V2 Like This is an acceptable map with partisan fairness scores only slightly higher than Chestnut, but I like District 8 in Chestnut better because it keeps most of Bay, Saginaw, and Genesee Counties whole And keeps the city of Midland with the rest of the tri cities and Flint. This is the community of interest for the City of Midland. Greg Mayville 2021-11-23 10:12:50+00

Magnolia Dislike While I respect the changes made to this map to improve the COI representation and majority-minority districts in the Detroit area, it has the worst partisan fairness scores and doesn't give Midland a competitive district. Hickory keeps all of Curry and Kelloms changes to Magnolia but also improves the partisan fairness scores. If you aren't going to improve the partisan fairness scores of the maps please select Hickory as the best map for Michigan. Greg Mayville 2021-11-23 10:02:35+00

Pine v5	Dislike	<p>None of the House maps reflect true partisan fairness and they all lean in favor of Republicans. The Pine V5 has the same partisan fairness scores as Hickory, but it does not contain the changes made to the Detroit area by Commissioners Kellom and Curry. Hickory has the same partisan fairness scores as Pine V5 but also has the Detroit changes to honor COI's and improve majority-minority districts. If you are not going to improve the partisan fairness of the maps please select Hickory as the best map for Michigan.</p> <p>I am thankful that the commission has consistently drawn a Tri-Cities Senate district. We appreciate you listening so carefully to the citizens of Mid-Michigan. The Palm map has the worst partisan fairness scores of all 3 senate maps. Please do not choose this map. It does not represent Michigan well. Please select the Linden amp for the senate districts.</p>	Greg Mayville	2021-11-23 09:59:18+00
Palm	Dislike		Greg Mayville	2021-11-23 09:55:42+00

None of the State House maps achieve the partisan fairness scores they should because they all favor Republicans by more than 5%. Every district drawn for the Midland House is heavily biased in favor of Republicans instead of giving the area a competitive district. If I have to choose between the 3 biased maps, the Hickory map is the most fair. Even if I'm unable to have a competitive district for Midland, I want the rest of the state to have a good map. Hickory keeps all the alterations made to the Detroit area to increase majority-minority districts and improve the representation of COI's, and also has the lowest lopsided margin and efficiency gap numbers. Hickory is the best map of the 3 for the state.

Hickory

Like

Greg Mayville

2021-11-23 09:51:45+00

I support the Linden State Senate Map. All 3 maps have drawn the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) district that I support, but Linden has the lowest efficiency gap score and the lowest lopsided margin. Even though these scores are still in favor of Republicans and not where they should be, if I am choosing between the 3 maps, Linden is the one that gives Michiganders the best chance to elect representatives that will represent them and not a political party. Thank you for listening and giving the people of Mid-Michigan fair districts.

Linden

Like

Greg Mayville

2021-11-23 09:48:16+00

I support the Chestnut Congressional Map because it has the lowest efficiency gap number at 0.6% and the lowest lopsided margin at 4% in favor of Republicans. Though I would like to see the partisan fairness scores improved, if I have to choose between 3 imperfect maps I choose Chestnut. It has the best congressional district drawn for Midland because it keeps the Tri-Cities and Flint together, keeps the other counties whole, keeps most of Midland County in it as well, and makes it possible for either party to win. This is a good example of balancing partisan fairness, communities of interest, and keeping areas intact that have long associated with each other like the Tri-Cities and Mid-Michigan.

Chestnut

Like

Greg Mayville

2021-11-23 09:44:36+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>I like this map better compared to the other maps I have seen. The areas of Canton, Plymouth, and Ann Arbor are all somewhat developed the same amount and it would be easier to maintain all of them with the appropriate budget. Also, the minority population, like East Asians, of these places would be adequately represented because their demographics are very similar.</p>	Alia Mulbagal	2021-11-23 07:37:16+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>I don't agree with these new borders. Canton is already a really crowded place and expanding these borders to Inkster will make it even harder for minority groups to be represented. It also makes it harder for elections. Plymouth and Canton are usually viewed as one entity so it would be easier to include Plymouth instead of Inkster. Also, the demographics of Canton vs. Inkster are completely different and would mess with the funding system.</p>	Alia Mulbagal	2021-11-23 07:31:28+00

As a student from PCEP, I believe that we should keep Canton and Plymouth together in District 5. The two cities are very similar, and because they share a school system, the Plymouth-Canton residents all share similar experiences and history. I find it odd that Plymouth was grouped into District 13, when geographically it is far away from west bloomfield which is also in district 13. I don't think that Plymouth shares nearly as many similarities with West Bloomfield as it does Canton. Having the maps drawn like this, there would be an issue of people with different experiences cancelling out each other's votes.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Gareth Miller

2021-11-23 04:59:48+00

Compared to the other proposed maps, this one is by far the most acceptable. For starters, there is no separation between Plymouth and Canton which will benefit the community and education systems way more compared to the other propositions. There is also only a 0.01% increase in deviation, as less is better in this case. The only thing that is still concerning is that the white population makes up 73.78% of the whole which will still lead to representation problems in the future. Overall though, compared to the other proposed maps, this one is the best in terms of borders.

Chestnut

Opinion

Rithi Aree

2021-11-23 04:26:01+00

In terms of the effects on district 5 from the new borders, I personally believe the split is not a good idea. The most apparent reason, that we can derive from just looking at the map, is the fact that the new districts split Canton and Plymouth. As we all know, depending on where we live, determines where we go to school. Changing these borders will cause more harm than good as schooling in these two townships is so connected and will be extremely hard to separate. Another issue that is shown in the chart below, is that not only does the overall population deviation decreased by almost 2% but the ethnicity in the district is majority white. This can and most likely will lead to issues with representation. Therefore, redistricting is not a good idea.

Cherry V2

Like

Rithi Aree

2021-11-23 04:11:50+00

I am in favor of the proposed district 6 because equal representation is evident. Northville, Canton and Plymouth are all grouped together and are on the same wavelength, which will allow them to be evenly represented. Also, Plymouth and Canton are shown as sharing one district between the two of them, which is something highly valued to me. These cities center around Ann Arbor as well, which I see as good because of its high population and size.

Chestnut

Like

Elizabeth Kelly

2021-11-23 04:10:34+00

This district is drawn much better than the other. It incorporates all the similar townships. This one also has better diversity representation. The population amount is in check with the other ones giving us equal representation. It also does a good job of using entire cities and not dividing cities up

Chestnut

Like

Emilio herrero

2021-11-23 04:10:25+00

I agree with this map and the boundaries of district 6. Diversity may be a little bit lower than other districts, but deviation from the target population is almost non-existent. Additionally, it combines both Plymouth and Canton which is something I like to see. The only thing I don't like is the shape of the district. It needs to do something about the narrow part of the district that heads toward Detroit.

Chestnut

Like

Alex Uranga

2021-11-23 04:09:00+00

I think the districts of canton(5) should join with those of Plymouth seeing as the school districts are combined. This would make the representation more valid since they are with people we are around with the most. These lines should remain almost identical to school districts in my opinion while still trying to keep equal populations. The diversity isn't the greatest so improvement is needed.

Cherry V2

Like

Emilio Herrero

2021-11-23 04:04:38+00

I think these proposed district lines are not well-suited for the community. For starters, I think a positive of this plan is that the district does have more racial diversity than others, at around 66%. However, this plan divides Plymouth, Canton, and Wayne, which are relatively similar in racial backgrounds, as well as the fact that these cities share a school district and similar cultural backgrounds. It would not make sense for students who live so close to each other and attend the same school have different districts. Additionally, different cities like Northville, Westland, and Inkster have different needs as the latter are more working class populations whereas the former is more wealthy and diverse. I think the borders should be expanded to include

Cherry V2

Opinion

Plymouth and Canton in one Shruva Kambhammettu

2021-11-23 03:59:32+00

I think that this district's boundaries are ok. The deviation of the target population is not bad. However, the main issue I have with the district is that the diversity of it is not exactly equal to that of the surrounding districts. Additionally, I feel this district, Canton, could be shaped a little bit differently. It seems a little too rigid around the edges. It would be better if cleaner boundaries were made. It would be even better if Canton (distinct five) somehow combined with Plymouth (district 13). They both share the same school district, PCEP, and it would get rid of the weird shape of district 13. It was also combined similar parts of the two separate districts.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Alex Uranga

2021-11-23 03:56:30+00

Chestnut Like I do agree with the borders drawn for district six. Plymouth and Canton are connected, which is one of the most important things that I believe should be in this district's borders (due to the connection between the two cities and populations). Some districts do have a largely white population, but overall, this is a very well-drawn map Jishnu Borra 2021-11-23 03:46:49+00

Chestnut Opinion This map is significantly more acceptable and achieves fairness. The margin could be closer to zero but, again, it has a better cover of communities of interest. It also has two voting rights districts, another plus. The Native American group has a higher population in this area compared to other districts as well. Alisha Shaik 2021-11-23 03:46:38+00

Overall, I believe the district lines should be redrawn. The cities of Plymouth and Canton interact on a regular basis, and even share the same school district. Redrawing district lines would advance the diversity among the two cities. Canton contains a wider variety of people compared to Plymouth, therefore, combining the two would create an even wider spread of people to be represented. Challenges are always going to be inevitable when it comes to redistricting. For one, representation won't be equally layed out, as some areas will contain people with similar beliefs, while other areas will be more diverse. Taking all of this into account, district lines should be redrawn because it will diversify the representation of the two cities and makes the most sense in the long

Cherry V2

Opinion

Elizabeth Kelly

2021-11-23 03:43:33+00

I believe it is unnecessary that Plymouth is part of district 5 instead of district 13. It is a better idea for both to share a district because they could also share a school district. District 5 is off of the target by 4302 people and District 13 has an extra 2688 people. This completely throws off the balance.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Alisha Shaik

2021-11-23 03:40:18+00

Out of all the proposed plans, I think that this plan for the borders of Congressional District 6 fit the needs of the communities the best. For starters, the population deviation is 0.01%, meaning that is basically reaching the target population of the district, so there isn't too many or too few people in the district. Additionally, as a student in the Plymouth-Canton school district, it is a crucial part of the school environment and population to keep the two cities together in the current district as it makes transportation much easier as well as the fact that both cities are made up of similar racial groups, making it very important to keep students of similar backgrounds together in one district, as this plan proposes. Also, the racial demographic of this is very diverse, and the borders of

Chestnut

Like

Shruva Kambhammettu

2021-11-23 03:31:06+00

District 6 and the nearby districts are drawn very well with very few discrepancies in borderline. The Districts also perfectly even out the population giving each district an equal amount which we can see in the incredibly low Population Deviation. The District borders also do not intrude on any city causing some of it to be in one district with other parts being in the next. One of the few things that need to be worked on in the District Map though is racial diversity. Most likely due to the priority taken to split the population, equally race wasn't heavily regarded causing many districts that have little racial diversity.

Chestnut

Opinion

Salem Ben-Kalefa

2021-11-23 03:19:31+00

This map is considerably better than the Cherry V2 map, as it puts Canton with cities that are similar to us. For example, I'm glad that we're in the same district as Plymouth in this map, since Plymouth and Canton are such linked communities with the same school district. I also believe it makes sense that we are in the same district as Ann Arbor, as I think we have a lot in common. In addition, District 6 gives representation to ethnic groups in the area (most especially the Asian American community).

Chestnut

Like

Overall, this is a great map.

Nolan Johnson

2021-11-23 03:11:56+00

Magnolia is the best map in my opinion. I feel it is only fair to keep all of Livingston county together as it has been for many years. We have a strong community that is viable in helping Michigan become what a great state should be. The local communities support each other in many ways, such as education, fire and police.

Magnolia

Like

Carol Yakel

2021-11-23 03:10:29+00

I dislike the district borders due to how first the unevenness of it causes it to cut Livonia in half and as it looks like much of District 5 is going into District 4.

Nonetheless, Plymouth is separated by Canton which makes little to no sense due to the similarity between them and the many things they share like schooling. The districts themselves are separated fairly equally in population, but disregard race. Many areas like canton have many different races, but if you were to look at nearing Districts many have little diversity which is something that should be taken into account when districting.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Salem Ben-Kalefa

2021-11-23 03:05:04+00

As a resident in the community, I believe that District 6 is drawn out correctly and although it has some small changes that should be made to its design, no major ones. The district is diverse in its demographics and this allows for fair voting among the population as well. It also includes many major cities like Ann Arbor and schools for these areas. It does however have some issues regarding the downriver communities in the district who would get more of a say in a different district.

Chestnut

Opinion

Anna Vega

2021-11-23 03:03:59+00

in my opinion, district 13 is more diverse regarding race, compared to other suburban town groups in the Western suburbs. So in that sense, the voices of many communities will be present in this proposed district. However, while I know it is inevitable to split towns in half during re-districting, Farmington Hills and Farmington are in completely different districts, and Commerce Charter Township (and a little bit of Walled Lake) are completely cut in half. This makes me concerned about how the districts will tackle education if they are splitting up towns. As a resident of Plymouth, our school districts are connected with Canton - and Canton isn't even included in this district. It's obviously not just about Canton and Plymouth's needs, but considering we have an extremely large

Cherry V2

Dislike

Madeleine Brugman

2021-11-23 03:03:19+00

The proposed district 6 forms a like minded community, centering around Ann Arbor. I believe that this is a fair district. As a resident of Plymouth, I appreciate that Northville, Canton, and Plymouth are all together (Canton and Plymouth share a school district, and that was one of my main concerns about the re districting plans - that they should be in the same district.) These small towns are closely correlated, so it's nice that all of them will feel heard. Politically, this map also makes the most sense. It hits the population target and makes Ann Arbor a metropolitan center, which I think is important, considering it's the 5th largest city in Michigan. I hope you take this comment into account. Thank you!

Chestnut

Like

Madeleine Brugman

2021-11-23 03:01:03+00

District 6 lines seem to be drawn relatively well. In terms of target population, there is practically no deviation. In terms of diversity, it seems fairly accurate when you take in account the towns and area in this district. It also is great that plymouth and canton is placed in the same district, seeing as they intertwine in many regards, such as education. I only have some hesitancy when it comes to the downriver communities as it does appear questionable. Nevertheless, I'd still say the lines are drawn fairly well and create a mostly accurate representation of this region.

Chestnut

Opinion

Minh-Y Nguyen

2021-11-23 02:55:49+00

Before providing my opinion, I made sure to take a look at both the map given and the table at the bottom. Looking at the map, we can see some important areas are well-included in the borders such as Plymouth and Canton. Given that Plymouth and Canton are two very similar areas and even share a school district proves how important it is to keep them in the same area. Next, from the table, we can see that the current population of District 6 (775273) isn't far from the target of 775179 which shows us that the District is on the right path. Additionally, comparing the diversity of district 6 to other districts shows us that we are doing well when it comes to including citizens of all cultures/races. In conclusion, the borders of district 6 do not need to be changed.

Chestnut

Like

Shivangi Bhatt

2021-11-23 02:55:25+00

This districting map splits up Plymouth and Canton, two cities that are pretty much one and the same. I go to PCEP high school, which has students from both Canton and Plymouth. Because of this, I, and many of my fellow students, feel that we are of the same community. Additionally, Plymouth and Canton share a similar demographic, so it only makes sense to group the two together to ensure representation of the ethnic groups in the area. Not to mention the border boundaries look very odd, with district 13 stretching all the way down from Novi to incorporate Plymouth.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Nolan Johnson

2021-11-23 02:52:16+00

I think the district lines for district 6 are drawn well. It includes large cities such as Ann Arbor, Canton, and Plymouth. It has racial diversity as well as political diversity. Keeping Plymouth and Canton within the same district is especially beneficial because these communities share a schooling district and this allows them to make decisions that benefit them unanimously.

Chestnut

Like

Samantha Emery

2021-11-23 02:49:30+00

In my opinion, the district borders do not need to be changed. I'm sure many would agree with me when I say that changing the borders would mess with the Plymouth- Canton District. My second reason would be that if we take a look at the table provided below, we see that the diversity of the area is in perfect balance compared to some of the other districts. Additionally, the population of the already established district seems to be in the right place. In conclusion, changing district lines will be an event deemed unnecessary.

Cherry V2

Like

Shivangi Bhatt

2021-11-23 02:43:09+00

As a student at PCEP, I find that it is unnecessary that plymouth isn't included with district 5 and is instead with district 13. District 5 is deviating from the target by lacking 4302 people. District 13 has an excess of 2688 people. As such it would make more sense for plymouth to just join district 5, seeing as how they share a school district together and are similar in many regards. This would make both the districts closer to the target, while maintaining a fairly accurate representation of the towns and individuals living there.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Minh-Y Nguyen

2021-11-23 02:39:44+00

I believe that the separation between Canton and Plymouth is unnecessary and will be confusing considering the fact that the two communities are so closely connected. They share similar diversity demographics as well as a major school district (Plymouth Canton Community Schools) so dividing the two would create a large change in many aspects. One issue is transportation for schooling as bus routes are already designed to cover the entire area.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Anna Vega

2021-11-23 02:39:37+00

I feel in a way this is a very good drawn district. It definitely has many fields of interest and diversity. But also will give many different political views. My only issue is I feel as some places may have other concerns than others, such as places that are more country land-like. While the others are suburbs. Which could cause differences in needs and wants.

Chestnut

Opinion

Morgan Haynes

2021-11-23 02:35:47+00

I think splitting Plymouth and Canton into two different districts is not a good idea. While the two communities do have their differences, I believe that the consequences of splitting up the two communities will outweigh the benefits. The racial diversity, political diversity, and economic status demographics are quite similar in both communities. I think the most important part is actually that Plymouth and Canton share a schooling district. Splitting up these two communities has the potential to create conflicts within the school system and may damage the operation of the system entirely. Therefore, I think that district 5 should be expanded to involve Plymouth.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Samantha Emery

2021-11-23 02:31:57+00

None of the State Senate maps are great for Troy, but this one is workable. Consider keeping Clawson whole.

Linden

Opinion

Rosalind J Cox

2021-11-23 02:30:27+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>Congressional District 6 is well drawn according to the demographics of the area. It is pretty diverse compared to other places allowing for better inclusivity of votes. The district also keeps school districts such as our own intact. Adding more downriver towns is a bad idea because of how different the demographics are compared to more inland towns. The downriver communities need more attention and would be better if they were grouped together with District 13.</p>	Zaynab Junaid	2021-11-23 02:29:37+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>These congressional district lines are well and reasonably drawn. It includes a diverse demographic. But these areas are still similar. The proposed districts, keep Plymouth and Canton in one district, which is necessary due to schooling and transportation. The cities/ areas are diverse, but most share the same views. This is a well drawn congressional district with the racial diversity, which represents Michigan well as a state.</p>	Soumya Kaparathi	2021-11-23 02:27:22+00

It's great that Northville, Plymouth, and Canton are kept together, but then Ann Arbor shouldn't also share a representative b/c Wayne county cannot be represented effectively if it is grouped with Ann Arbor because of their different needs and large population difference. The challenges district maps could include benefitting one political party over another for representatives.

Chestnut

Opinion

Sarah Balfoul

2021-11-23 02:26:51+00

I appreciate the diversity in the current drawing of district 5. Splitting up Plymouth and Canton would allow each community to become more independent. It would also provide the opportunity for each community to directly resolve issues that specifically affect each community. Plymouth and Canton have many differences that would be better targeted if they continued to be two different districts.

Cherry V2

Like

Samantha Emery (for another friend 2021-11-23 02:26:32+00

Cherry V2      Opinion      I would say it is very well drawn. But they should have included Plymouth and canton together. They are very similar through diversity along with economic status wise. Plus they a very much related and work together. Such as having a shared school district, libraries, etc. Which causes them in a way to be a whole.      Morgan Haynes      2021-11-23 02:26:23+00

Chestnut      Like      I think the borders of Congressional District 6 are drawn well. This is because it incorporates all the larger cities in the area such as Canton and Ann Arbor. Also, it has a very diverse population with substantial populations in all ethnic groups except Pacific Islanders. This results in all people in people in the district being well-included and fitting in as well as people of different backgrounds having good representation.      Deepak Raju      2021-11-23 02:24:37+00

Of all the proposed redistricting maps I've seen so far, I would argue that this one best fits the needs of the Plymouth-Canton community. So far, this is the only map that correctly couples the two areas together into one region. As a resident in this community, I observe that the two areas are closely related. Their racial demographic is very similar, and their communities are interwoven. By keeping the two areas together, it allows for better representation of the district and state as a whole.

Chestnut

Like

Nadia Halim

2021-11-23 02:21:12+00

Pine v5

Like

I like this map the best. It's the best one for Troy.

Rosalind J Cox

2021-11-23 02:20:58+00

The district line between Plymouth and Canton is unnecessary, and could cause a lot of disturbances between the communities, since they are connected, by schools. Separating Plymouth and Canton would disrupt school districts and communities. The district line is unnecessary because both districts are able to have a similar, diverse demographic and are economically similar. Dividing Plymouth and Canton would cause issues that could be easily avoided. Even though, some areas of either cities have different socioeconomic factors, they are still very similar.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Soumya Kaparathi

2021-11-23 02:20:48+00

I don't think drawing the line between Plymouth and Canton is a good idea. The populations of Plymouth and Canton are similar in terms of things like economic status and racial diversity. They also share a school district so splitting them apart could cause lots of problems.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Abhijay Das

2021-11-23 02:17:41+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>I think the borders of Congressional District 6 are drawn well. As a Canton resident within the P-CCS School District, I like how these boundaries do not split up Plymouth and Canton. This large area also has good diversity, especially with Ann Arbor and surrounding suburbs.</p>	Arav Kulkarni	2021-11-23 02:15:38+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This is the best map. It represents the communities well and keeps Troy whole.</p>	Rosalind J Cox	2021-11-23 02:15:00+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>As a member of Canton Township, I believe that the separation of Plymouth and Canton is not a good idea. This is because both Plymouth and Canton share a school district. This would cause school transportation systems (such as buses) to be disrupted if the boundaries were changed. Also, most of the ethnic groups in both the Plymouth and Canton are largely well-represented resulting in their being no need to make the change.</p>	Syamala Machiroutu	2021-11-23 02:14:34+00

Cherry V2 Like I believe that the congressional district borders are good for multiple reasons. The borders of canton and plymouth are connected which is good because they share a school district. Also the border are easy to look at and clear so its easy to see how everything separates. Finally, there is a good amount of space in the areas and they cover cities that are in the same area. Abhijay Das 2021-11-23 02:13:56+00

Cherry V2 Dislike I don't think drawing the line between Plymouth and Canton was a beneficial idea. The populations of Plymouth and Canton are similar in terms of economic status, racial diversity, and political diversity. Plymouth and Canton also share a school system so splitting them into two separate districts has the potential to cause more conflicts that could negatively impact the efficacy of the current system. Samantha Emery (for a friend) 2021-11-23 02:12:46+00

The proposed boundary for District 35 includes parts of Plymouth, Plymouth Township, Livonia and Northville. I wish it could have been more in line with the PCCS boundaries, but understand that the school district is large and could not likely be included in just 1 voting district. This could pose a problem, as our school district is divided into two separate voting districts that could come to different decisions education wise. As drawn, voting district 35 is not very diverse, but it is a reflection of those communities that are upper-income suburbs.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Frances Walewski

2021-11-23 02:11:29+00

I believe the division of Canton and Plymouth will cause more issues than solutions, as these towns have historically been united for long, and dividing them will only create more problems. Canton and Plymouth are already similar, and PCEP, the district that I attend, has three of the biggest high schools in all of Michigan, with around 2,000 students in each school. However, aside from the division of Canton and Plymouth, I believe these changes are good in eliminating borders that could benefit one political party over another, which helps keep our elections fair. If the new borders did not divide Canton and Plymouth I would support this change, but due to it, I am divided on the issue leading to my vote.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Aydaan Jilani

2021-11-23 02:11:17+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>As someone who has lived in Canton, Michigan since birth, I think that Westland and Canton should be split. I also think that the line between Plymouth and Canton is unnecessary. This is because both towns/cities have very similar population demographics. They also have a joint school district and transportation system.</p>	Arav Kulkarni	2021-11-23 02:11:07+00
Hickory	Opinion	<p>I support the Magnolia map. I did not choose to live in Ann Arbor, Novi, Wixom or any of the other places the Hickory map includes. There is no rationale that can be given for breaking up Livingston county into multiple pieces. Livingston county has had a unique identity that goes back many years and it is that has kept a large number of long time residences. I am in favor of the Magnolia map.</p>	Bryan Yakel	2021-11-23 02:10:13+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like	<p>I feel the district lines for Plymouth are well set. Plymouth has many forms of diversity and a good school system for all ages. I feel that the target areas are reachable and should not be changed. It would cause more damage than good, as people may get upset about the redistricting and its political effects.</p>	Maddy Rose	2021-11-23 02:09:32+00
Cherry V2	<p>I think this map would be better drawn with Plymouth (13) and Canton (5) shown as more closely related. This could include adjusting the district lines to combine the two into one district. I find this important because the two are very closely interwoven. For example, the school district in these two areas is shared under the name "Plymouth-Canton Community Schools". Students from each area attend the same schools and intermingle with those from the other area.</p>	Nadia Halim	2021-11-23 02:09:11+00

As a student at pcep canton, I believe that Plymouth Canton is highly republican. If we were to change the district lines, we could add more political diversity. This way not one city would have too many republican or democratic votes. I feel that this would balance the votes.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Rachel Lipman

2021-11-23 02:02:48+00

As a student of PCEP I believe the borders of the district are drawn pretty well. The diversity of Canton is pretty high, because it combines a large span of diverse areas into one district. The district could have expanded a little more to incorporate a few more people in order to reach the target population, but the deviation was very small so there really was no need. Additionally, if the district expanded a bit more then partisan fairness would be more equal. Overall, I think the lines of the district are drawn well.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Anaka Kotha

2021-11-23 01:59:13+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>I don't think the line drawn between Canton and Plymouth is necessary. This is because both Canton and Plymouth have similar demographics with substantial populations of many ethnic groups. Also, Plymouth and Canton share a similar education system. If the border was drawn, transportation systems for the school system would be disrupted creating unnecessary hassle.</p> <p>Plymouth, zone 35, is a fairly diverse district. However its school system could use improvement. Many highschoolers in plymouth attend pcep, which is in canton. They do not get direct education from their city. While it is not an unreasonable distance to get to pcep, some may benefit from redistricting.</p>	Deepak Raju	2021-11-23 01:58:35+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion		Madeleine White	2021-11-23 01:58:30+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>I think District 6 well incorporates all the major cities such as Ann Arbor, Canton, and Plymouth. This also keeps together the Plymouth-Canton schools, which is very important in producing more educational opportunities. Allows for better representation. Overall I think the boundaries of this district are good and don't need to be changed</p>	Rahul Aree	2021-11-23 01:55:31+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>We moved into our community because we liked just the way it was. I would prefer that it never change. That being said, I do not like that Hickory version for our future.</p>	Carol Yakel	2021-11-23 01:50:24+00

The district boundaries are good because it ends Republican gerrymandering to give parties a better chance of sharing power in Lansing which ensures partisan fairness. There seems to be more evenly divided between Republicans and Democrats, and these lines don't benefit a political party that holds power when they were drawn. A challenge of the district map if approved is city splits because this breaks economic and cultural ties between towns and counties. If we include parts of cities with other cities this may not include the interests of everyone because they will be overshadowed with the most populous of the areas we are combining.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Sarah Balfoul

2021-11-23 01:41:27+00

District 5 compared to the other districts is much more diverse and economically different from the other districts. I feel like having this district may cause issues in funding and public resources. The district strongly varies when it comes to socioeconomic factors, which could be an obstacle for those on the lower end of the district. The boundary between Canton and Plymouth is also unnecessary because it splits up two very alike towns. The school system also is currently joining the two together, and it would be better if we allowed the two towns to remain together. I feel like Canton is somewhat out of place in District 5, and should be regrouped to better fit demographics. Plymouth being grouped with towns such as Northville and Novi is also impacting the resources

Cherry V2

Like

Zaynab Junaid

2021-11-23 01:33:15+00

Congressional District 6 is drawn fairly and I think the proposed borders are very good. There is a decent amount of diversity within the district and especially in the voting population, which would allow for the most inclusive form of voting. This district includes both Canton and Plymouth, which is good for the PCCS School District and isn't breaking up any closely related communities. Also, the population deviation is 0.01%, which means that the target population and the actual population of the district are very similar. However, the inclusion of downriver communities in District 6 is questionable, because their needs would be more looked after in downriver District 13.

Chestnut

Like

Akshayapriya Saravanan

2021-11-23 01:32:21+00

I think that the borders of the congressional district 6 are defined well. One reason for this is that, it cuts out Livonia and detroit. These two areas are very different from district 6, not only in terms of size, but also in terms of safety and violence. Detroit and Livonia are known to have violence and crime rates are much higher than they are in district 6. Merging these districts would create a major difference in the current district 6 for the worse.

Chestnut

Like

Yousif nasser

2021-11-23 01:30:32+00

I believe that the borders themselves are well established, but there are issues within for the state senate district map of Canton and Plymouth. The two cities are separated, despite having the same school district of PCEP. I believe this should be changed to more accurately depict the population of students in the two cities. Also, the racial gap between majority and minority groups is large here, despite there being a large percentage of many ethnic groups in Canton and Plymouth.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Chae Eun Park

2021-11-23 01:23:52+00

Congressional District 6 is drawn fairly and I think the proposed borders are very good. There is a decent amount of diversity within the district and especially in the voting population, which would allow for the most inclusive form of voting. This district includes both Canton and Plymouth, which is good for the PCCS School District and isn't breaking up any closely related communities. Also, the population deviation is 0.01%, which means that the target population and the actual population of the district are very similar, which is a good thing.

Chestnut

Like

Akshayapriya Saravanan

2021-11-23 01:23:12+00

I believe that the congressional district borders are well drawn for several reasons. The first is that the borders of Canton and Plymouth are connected, which makes sense given that they share a school district. Second, the borders are clear and there is no marginalization, meaning they are clear cut and easy to distinguish. Lastly, there is a reasonable amount of space covered in the areas, and they include cities that are reasonably in the same district.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Chae Eun Park

2021-11-23 01:17:20+00

I don't think the separation of Plymouth and Canton is a good idea. The Plymouth-Canton community schooling is a good schooling system and would face problems if Plymouth was separated from Canton. The diversity and demographics are also very similar in these two cities. This is why I think the boundary of district 5 should be extended to include Plymouth.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Rahul Aree

2021-11-23 01:16:34+00

I have been a member of the canton community since I was born(since 2006). Personally, i think that the district boundaries are poorly defined. One reason for this is that Canton and Plymouth have a mixed schooling system. This shows the poor boundary line definition between Canton community and Plymouth community. However the boundary line between canton and westland are well defined. These two communities don't have much in common and look like two different worlds. Not only this but the schooling system of canton has been ranked very high compared to westland consistently over many years. Yousif Nasser

Cherry V2

Like

2021-11-23 01:14:24+00

I think that the borders for district 6 are good for multiple reasons. The first is that the borders are contiguous, and they cover a reasonable amount of space in the townships included. Secondly, unlike the map of the state senate district, there is a connection between Canton and Plymouth, which makes sense as it is one school district. Lastly, I feel that the borders do not extend into other areas that are not of interest, which makes me support the congressional district lines.

Chestnut

Opinion

Chae Eun Park

2021-11-23 01:12:21+00

I very much agree with the drawn-up boundaries of district 6. As a resident of that area, I have firm reason to believe that keeping canton and Plymouth in the same district will create a happier community. One of many is that they share schools and many other important resources for residents of either side. Moreover, I personally know that many people will be happy with these separations and have no dispute over it.

Chestnut

Like

Heldius Zeqo

2021-11-23 01:12:16+00

I believe that the District 5 borders are fine where they are. The total population of this district is close in number with other districts, and there is also good racial diversity, showing that the borders have been drawn accordingly. However, I do not agree with the split between the Plymouth and Canton districts. District 5 divides the PCCS Community School District as well as the Wayne-Westland Community School District, which are both close-knit communities for people within the cities and would have impacts on the social and educational happenings. Nonetheless, this doesn't seem to be a major problem, since not many conflicts would happen.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Akshayapriya Saravanan

2021-11-23 01:12:04+00

Cherry V2	Opinion	<p>I disagree with the state senate district borders around Canton and Plymouth. There are borders separating the two cities, despite the unison of school districts at PCEP. I believe that the district boundaries could use improvement, specifically in representation of minority groups. There is a large proportion of the majority racial group in Canton and Plymouth, despite the diversity of the area there. None of the House maps are consistent with Prop 2. Magnolia is one of the most biased. Please give us a House map or maps that prioritize partisan fairness.</p>	Chae Eun Park	2021-11-23 01:09:54+00
Magnolia	Dislike		Cheryl Hayes	2021-11-23 01:05:39+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>I believe that the separation of Canton and Plymouth districts would not be a good idea. Our communities are too alike and doing something of this scale really puts a burden on the people. While reading through the comments I see many people sharing the same doubts as I. As a student who goes to a PCEP school the separation of the district will create differences among us that are not currently there and I'd like for it to stay that way.</p>	Heldius Zeqo	2021-11-23 01:03:49+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>None of the House maps achieve partisan fairness. Please give us a new House map or maps that prioritize partisan fairness and are consistent with the VRA.</p>	Cheryl Hayes	2021-11-23 00:52:11+00
Linden	Like	<p>Linden and Cherry have the best partisan scores of the Senate maps. Palm is not fair and not consistent with Prop 2.</p>	Cheryl Hayes	2021-11-23 00:43:31+00

Szetela Congressional Opinion	<p>Mount Clemens is located in Macomb County (not Oakland) and has nothing in common with Royal Oak, Ferndale, Rochester, etc. How could an elected official for such diverse groups identify with or accurately represent our needs??!!!</p>	Patricia DiVirgilio	2021-11-23 00:43:30+00	
Chestnut	Like	<p>I believe that the proposed district lines of district 6 are very good. There is much diversity within the voting population that would allow for the best chance at fair voting. In addition, there is much economic diversity between the cities. It also keeps Plymouth and Canton, two very similar places together, unlike the other map proposal. This map is overall drawn out very well and I believe it will benefit the state well.</p>	Savana Gabor	2021-11-23 00:40:06+00
Hickory	Like	<p>None of the maps are fair especially when we the people voted for partisan fairness. Why do they all lean in favor of the Republicans? I am in favor of this map and keeping Northville intact.</p>	Thomas Mallia	2021-11-23 00:36:02+00

had occurred largely in private and led to some of the most Republican gerrymandered districts in the country, but now they are drawn taking communities of interest into account. However, people are still bringing up the fact that they are not being considered. The chair of the Michigan Democratic Party believes they spent a good deal of time drawing maps without considering partisan fairness. I think the redistricting committee should address the concerns that the Democratic Party has to ensure that the organization of the districts isn't more favorable to a political party. However, they did do a good job of taking votes from all citizens

Personally, I believe the proposed district map does not represent the interests of everyone. For one, it splits up Canton, Plymouth, and Westland, all of which a large majority of PCEP students come from. This could cause issues with funding and districting for who can go to PCEP. In addition, it splits Livonia in half which could cause issues with voting in Livonia. Overall, the proposed district does have some benefits, but I believe it would not be the best decision for everyone.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Savana Gabor

2021-11-23 00:12:04+00

I am very pleased with the district lines of district six. The district is very ethnic. The main ethnicity is American, African-American, and Asian in the area. This district also holds a lot of the schools in the Plymouth-Canton area. I like this fact because it allows for a widespread age group as well.

Chestnut

Like

Aryan Narla

2021-11-23 00:11:01+00

Congressional District 6 seems to be fairly drawn, taking into account communities that are integrated in terms of people, education, and economic activity. It also takes into account diversity across a vast area with decent representation of African American, Asian, and Latino minorities. The only knock on this map is what seems as a random inclusion of Downriver towns such as Brownstown and Grosse Ile into District 6. Brownstown and Grosse Ile are closely connected with other downriver towns such as Southgate and Wyandotte. Residents of these towns have little in common with residents living in far-away towns of Chelsea and Dexter. Their needs are closely aligned with downriver district 13 and belong in that district.

Chestnut

Opinion

Sasha Qureshi

2021-11-23 00:08:25+00

As a resident of Canton, I feel that these district lines are good the way they are. This is because when looking at the population, it barely deviates from the average, and the voting age population is similar to the other districts. Geographically, it already includes Plymouth and Canton, which was a concern for the Senate district map, and it seems to have many prominent areas in my community. If I had to point out a challenge with this district, it would be that it excludes parts of Wayne. I know that this probably couldn't be a change to make because of all of the imbalances it would create, but overall District 6 seems like it's drawn out well.

Chestnut

Like

Shruti Balla

2021-11-23 00:05:56+00

I think that the map for district 6 is fair. First of all, it has very little deviation. It also encompasses most communities of interest and does not draw any unfair lines. It keeps the Plymouth Canton area together, which is good. Most areas with similar interests and communities are kept together. Overall, this map is very good.

Chestnut

Like

Jorrawar Grewal

2021-11-23 00:04:44+00

Personally, I feel that the district lines are fine as they are in the map. I've had a quick read-through of the other comments, and I do acknowledge that a challenge of this setup would be the separation of Plymouth and Canton as they are prominent cities for my school district. At the same time, however, I feel that including Canton and Plymouth in the same district might create an unbalance of power between the districts. I'd assume that switching districts for Plymouth or Canton would mean swapping out another one to add to Plymouth's district, and that would convolute things even further. It would mess with the populations, diversity, and political boundaries that are already well established.

Cherry V2

Like

Shruti Balla

2021-11-22 23:54:36+00

I am pleased with the districting with District five. The district has widespread racial diversity, as a decent amount of the population is made up of African Americans, Americans, and Asians. We also have a small deviation from the target that means we are meeting the criteria our district wants us to reach. Redistricting this area would hurt many of the schools in it and hurt the Plymouth-Canton relationship.

Cherry V2

Like

ARYAN NARLA

2021-11-22 23:53:51+00

While District five seems to be drawn out fairly, there are still some downsides. One good aspect is the population amount in comparison to the surrounding districts, which appears to be fair. Another good quality is the diversity and representation which is present, as well as the socioeconomic diversity. Despite these factors, I believe Plymouth should still be included in District 5 based on social patterns and school districts, because of its many similarities to Canton, a major city in district 5.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Nia Ahmad

2021-11-22 23:53:26+00

District 13 seems to be well drawn in terms of population and diversity. By including Novi (23% asian, ~10% African American) and Farmington (20% African American, 14% Asian), it ensures diversity. However, it does break what is a close knit and diverse community of Canton and Plymouth. Canton and Plymouth are integrated in terms of people, economic activity, and education. Breaking that could introduce inequity and nullify the minority vote, specifically in Plymouth which is 90% white. I like how all of Kalamazoo and surrounding area is in one district. makes representation easy

Cherry V2

Opinion

Sasha Qureshi

2021-11-22 23:48:19+00

Hickory

Like

Edwin Coy Jr

2021-11-22 23:45:28+00

In my opinion, District 5's borders could be drawn better. While considering things like population and diversity, I would say District 5 was drawn correctly. But upon closer inspection, I saw that Plymouth and Canton are separated. It makes no sense to do this, as they share a school district and are generally looked at as the "Plymouth-Canton area." Putting them together would be more beneficial for everyone involved due to their shared qualities.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Ayushi Chaube

2021-11-22 23:43:38+00

Overall I approve of district six, and it seems to be fairly drawn. The region covered is diverse both socio-economically and racially. Ann Arbor and its surrounding suburbs seem to be the central region for this district so it makes sense. But the inclusion of Downriver communities may be questionable from a common interest perspective.

Chestnut

Like

Nia Ahmad

2021-11-22 23:39:12+00

Chestnut Like I like how district 6 is drawn. Plymouth and Canton being connected is ideal because they are very similar in terms of community and lay out. There is also a decent amount of diversity which is good. The total population is where it should be around the target population. Overall I see no problems on the boundaries of this district. Neha Choudhary 2021-11-22 23:36:17+00

Chestnut Like I believe that District 6 is very well drawn for a number of reasons. My primary reason for supporting these borders is that the Plymouth-Canton area is grouped together, making it one community. This makes sense as they share a school district as well. This is another reason I support this; if Plymouth and Canton were split up, it would negatively affect PCCS. Lastly, the population is at an ideal amount and the visual parameters of the area aren't outrageous. Ayushi Chaube 2021-11-22 23:31:57+00

As a resident of Canton, I think that the district lines for district 6 encompass a good diverse group of people. It has many different schools distributed throughout allowing for there to be a proper distribution of all age groups. Along with that, the lines include people of all different viewpoints allowing for there to be diverse opinions. This allows for the community to be able to grow through compromise. I think that the lines should stay the same.

Chestnut

Like

Puja Patel

2021-11-22 23:12:44+00

Overall, I think District 6 is well drawn out. It has a good shape and has a population deviation of 0.01%, meaning that it is a fair size. Also, a good amount of the population is able to vote, allowing the district to represent the interests of the population well. This district includes both Canton and Plymouth, so it isn't breaking up any closely-tied communities. While there isn't a lot of racial diversity, there is other social diversities like social status and way-of-life that create a mixed population. While not ultimately bad, this could cause problems with the specific interests of certain groups not being met.

Chestnut

Opinion

Charvi Rayarapu

2021-11-22 23:12:41+00

I think the district map for Congress was drawn well here. There are a multitude of different communities, including the Hispanic, Native, Asian, and Black communities. This means people of all races and colors will have a say in important decisions being made. However, the Pacific Islander community is relatively small in this area, so they may be left out. This can be fixed by including more towns that have a substantial Pacific Islander population.

Chestnut

Opinion

Shlok Masurkar

2021-11-22 23:07:31+00

I think that the district 5 lines should stay the same because the people in this area share a lot. We have schools that are shared between members of the community that lives throughout Westland, Plymouth, Canton, and more. I think that changing the lines would create more unnecessary change for the people that can attend schools in the district. Also, many social events that occur include people from all parts of the district such as events that relate to the holidays or even educational ones. Creating different lines would discriminate people that are used to attending these events from being able to come.

Cherry V2

Like

Puja Patel

2021-11-22 23:05:14+00

I think there could be some benefits to redistricting, like increasing the diversity of the area. District 5 is about 66.55% white, and while that is more diverse than some areas it is not as much as others. I think a good thing that comes with this is the relatively large Asian community. However, other communities (such as the Native American community) are not as present or prevalent. Overall, the district borders are drawn well, but can be drawn better in the future.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Shlok Masurkar

2021-11-22 22:54:59+00

I do not think the District 5 lines are that bad. This district has good racial diversity and communities in the district have a similar demographic. However, I think changes should be made. This district divides the Plymouth-Canton School district, which is a closely tied community through education and social events. It also divides the Wayne-Westland School District, which is another big school district in Michigan. Splitting up closely tied communities and districts could cause conflicts of interest, but overall, this isn't a major problem.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Charvi Rayarapu

2021-11-22 22:54:41+00

This is a Gerrymandered map for Michigan and West. It is just as bad as the current district Senate map. It dilutes the influence of large population Grand Rapids metro city areas by combining with rural townships. These townships do not want to be in the same district with Grand Rapids city as well. Better to go with Linden or Cherry V2 to better represent all these areas.

Palm

Dislike

Bruce Roller

2021-11-22 22:44:18+00

As a Canton resident, I believe the District 6 borders are appropriate since they connect the closely nearby communities. Furthermore, the districting is well-done since the total population and voting-age population of these districts are fairly distributed. Despite the fact that certain districts have skewed population ratios and a substantial white tilt, this map is, for the most part, well-drawn in terms of representation.

Chestnut

Like

Viraj Gummadavelli

2021-11-22 22:38:57+00

Lange Senate correct	Dislike	This map is not partisan fair for Michigan. Typical map drawn by Republican influence.	Bruce Roller	2021-11-22 22:35:21+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This map is not partisan fair for Michigan	Bruce Roller	2021-11-22 22:34:25+00
Cherry V2	Like	The map is less partisan fair for Michigan and not as good as "Linden" although it is the second best compared to "Linden".	Bruce Roller	2021-11-22 22:31:48+00

While District 5 has a lot more variety than other districts and represents the perspectives of minorities, I feel there are a few things that may be done to improve the district system for the city and its neighbors. To begin with, there should not be a boundary between Canton and Plymouth. Both of these towns have comparable demographics and are served by the Plymouth-Canton School District, which is a big school system. If they were gathered together, the school system would be improved even more, and community people would have a say. Northville and Novi also have different needs and perspectives than Plymouth, reducing their impact in the district. As a result, I feel that Plymouth and Canton should be combined, as this would benefit both areas.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Viraj Gummadavelli

2021-11-22 22:30:43+00

This is a good map for fair representation of Michigan. Thanks for listening to our comments.

Linden

Like

Bruce Roller

2021-11-22 22:30:37+00

Szetela House	Dislike	I don't feel like people who supported an independent redistricting commission are feeling the love in this map. Take #43 for instance. Ann Arbor then extending west to include Mike Shirkey's home town in Clarklake? This map is an abomination.	Jillyn Schultz	2021-11-22 22:29:47+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This is an unfair partisan map for West Michigan and the state as a whole. It weakens the vote of large population Grand Rapids metro city areas by combining with rural townships. Apple V2 or Chestnut are much better to represent all these areas. This map continues the historical Gerrymandered congressional map. The large number of people in the Grand Rapids area are not well represented. Rural townships are combined with city like areas. These townships do not want to be in the same district with Grand Rapids city as well. Better to go with Apple V2 or Chestnut to better represent	Bruce Roller	2021-11-22 22:29:23+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	all these areas.	Bruce Roller	2021-11-22 22:28:14+00

Birch V2	Dislike	<p>This map is not good for fair representation for the State or Grand Rapids area. City areas around Grand Rapids are combined with rural townships in Ottawa and Ionia Townships. Neither area would be represented well. Apple V2 or Chestnut represent all these areas much better.</p> <p>This map is not good for fair representation for the State or Grand Rapids area. City areas around Grand Rapids are combined with rural townships in Ottawa and Ionia Townships. Neither area would be represented well. Apple V2 or Chestnut represent all these areas much better.</p>	Bruce Roller	2021-11-22 22:26:42+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This map is not good for fair representation for the State or Grand Rapids area. City areas around Grand Rapids are combined with rural townships in Ottawa and Ionia Townships. Neither area would be represented well. Apple V2 or Chestnut represent all these areas much better.</p>	Bruce Roller	2021-11-22 22:25:11+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>This is the second-best choice for Michigan compared to Apple V2 being number one. The minority population of West Michigan will be represented at the federal level. Keeping these areas together gives representation to the urban/suburban areas. All the other maps divide this population by combining with the surrounding rural areas.</p> <p>This is the best map for Michigan. This is a great map because it puts the two major cities in West Michigan together to form a district with similar needs and desires. There are opportunities for improvement in city services, support for underserved minorities, public transportation and low-cost housing. This map will make for good for representation at the federal level for the minority population that I</p>	Bruce Roller	2021-11-22 22:23:42+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>support.</p>	Bruce Roller	2021-11-22 22:21:52+00

District 15 is okay with regard to Milan although I think it would serve residents better to put ALL of Milan into 15 rather than split them into 16. But half of us in 15 is better than none of us. I don't understand at all why District 14 includes the north side of Ann Arbor yet stretches all the way to Marshall. There is NOTHING in common between Ann Arbor area voters and 90% of the voters between the Washtenaw County line and Marshall.

Lange Senate correct Opinion

There is no comparison. Jackson and Ann Arbor are very different communities in terms of their interests and priorities. They both deserve to have their own voices heard. Palm is the only map that protects these separate interests.

Jillyn Schultz

2021-11-22 22:21:20+00

Palm

Like

Sarah L

2021-11-22 22:20:27+00

I am Bruce Roller, Director of the UCOM food bank and neighborhood support services organization for over 35 years located in the Wyoming City suburb of Grand Rapids Hispanic area and one of the primary food banks in the Grand Rapids area food bank alliance. This map divides the Hispanic community in Wyoming so they will not have good representation. In addition, this map divides all the Grand Rapids area minority populations indiscriminately in different districts so representation on these key issues would be fractured.

Szetela House

Dislike

Bruce Roller

2021-11-22 22:19:52+00

I am Bruce Roller, Director of the UCOM food bank and neighborhood support services organization for over 35 years located in the Wyoming City suburb of Grand Rapids Hispanic area and one of the primary food banks in the Grand Rapids area food bank alliance. We are excited to see that Wyoming and Grand Rapids City Hispanic neighborhoods have been kept together in a district and the south side Grand Rapids city underserved neighborhoods in another district in this House map. Looking forward to one dedicated Representative to speak for the Hispanic population and another for the south side city to address their unique issues. Low-cost housing, healthcare, employment, city services, etc.

Hickory

Like

Bruce Roller

2021-11-22 22:18:12+00

I think that this district has nicely drawn borders. First of all, the border is nicely drawn. Therefore specific lines aren't drawn around different kinds of political opinions to represent them more. Also, The Plymouth-Canton School District (Plymouth and Canton) is grouped together which is nice because of how much they interact. Although, it is relatively large and that may lead to drowning out some voices amongst others.

Chestnut

Opinion

Maisy Schmitt

2021-11-22 22:15:50+00

I believe that district 6 is well drawn because it connects many different types of cities which allows for greater diversity. It brings together Canton and Plymouth which are very similar. They also share a school district and having them under the same districts benefits students like myself who are a part of the Plymouth-Canton Education system.

Chestnut

Like

Aditi Kulkarni

2021-11-22 22:14:56+00

Cherry V2	Like	<p>I think the boundaries are fine as-is. There is a moderate amount of diversity which is good, we do not want too much diversity but we do not want none. Adjusting the boundaries would be too complicated, many students will have to change their schools or their bus routes may be cut. It is an unnecessary hassle.</p> <p>Troy should be included with communities in Oakland County as a clear community of interest. We share county government and some municipal services, and we need representation in Congress for the needs of the communities in Oakland county including Troy.</p>	Neha Choudhary	2021-11-22 22:14:55+00
Apple V2	Dislike		Sandy Larson	2021-11-22 22:11:02+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>I believe that district 6 is pretty well drawn. It connects a bunch of small communities together. As a result, it's very diverse. Since there are many different people, there will be many opinions and most people will be represented.</p>	Haiqa Tanveer	2021-11-22 22:09:42+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>I don't think it is a good idea to split up the University of Michigan North Campus from the South Campus. This map cuts off the North Campus Residence halls from the rest of the University.</p> <p>I think that Canton shouldn't be separated from Plymouth because they fall under the same school district and the new districts would affect the school population. Also with the new district lines there would be less diversity in the population. This is because most of the people living in Westland, Garden City and Inkster have more working class people, whereas in Canton and Plymouth there are people with a more diversified background and occupation.</p>	Brandon	2021-11-22 22:07:42+00
Cherry V2	Dislike		Aditi Kulkarni	2021-11-22 22:05:54+00

This is one of the best maps I've seen for District 15 and 16. I've been seeking a map that keeps Milan whole and on the side of Ann Arbor in District 15. Milan is an increasingly diverse and progressive city whose residents reflect more of the culture, values, and views of Ann Arbor, where many lived but moved due to lower housing costs. District 15 also follows that US-12 corridor that also includes many more small cities occupied by people who work in Ann Arbor and lived in Ann Arbor but moved to these easily commuted small towns for lower housing costs. They bring their culture, values, and views from Ann Arbor to these towns and deserve representation that recognizes that.

Linden

Like

Jillyn Schultz

2021-11-22 22:05:08+00

Szetela House	Like	<p>This is probably the best House map. Pine and Magnolia are really bad. They assure Republican majorities in the House no matter what voters think. Hickory is much better than Pine and Magnolia.</p> <p>You should draw new state House map. All have unacceptable Republican bias. Magnolia and Pine are terrible. If you choose one, please make it Hickory or Szetela.</p>	Susan Andrews	2021-11-22 22:04:56+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>I believe that these new borders are not ideal. It's splitting up Canton and Plymouth. The diversity within the new borders is not as diverse as the current borders. Plymouth and Canton are also school districts together, and splitting it up would cause</p> <p>new conflicts.</p>	Susan Andrews	2021-11-22 22:02:40+00
Cherry V2	Dislike		Haiqa Tanveer	2021-11-22 22:02:14+00

Pine v5	Dislike	<p>All the state House maps have unacceptable Republican bias. Pine is terrible. You should draw better House map. If you won't, Hickory is far better than Pine or Magnolia, which lock in Republican majorities.</p> <p>One aspect of this map that I agree with is that it does not have much population deviation in district 5. I also disagree with a lot of things such as the unusual shape of this map, which may leave out communities of interest. For example, district 5 also includes Inkster, which may not have the same groups or interests as Canton. It also includes part of Livonia, which is not representative of Canton. Overall, I would suggest a few changes such as</p>	Susan Andrews	2021-11-22 21:59:35+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	<p>taking out Inkster.</p>	Jorrawar Grewal	2021-11-22 21:58:11+00

As a student at PCEP and a resident just outside the border of the district, I regularly go to Canton. Canton is a fairly densely populated town with much diversity. Based on my knowledge of my school population and general perspective, I find these lines are fairly accurate to the diversity I see in the community. Furthermore, it is extremely close to the target population for a district, only deviating by 132 people less. Overall, I think these lines are well drawn.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Minh-Y Nguyen

2021-11-22 21:57:36+00

Enough of the re-districting for Political gain. This is so immoral and unethical. Allegan County should remain as Allegan County and not be split up along the Lakeshore. This is just more election cheating! District 60 and 56.

Pine v5

Dislike

Kirk Laskowski

2021-11-22 21:57:34+00

Szetela Senate	Like	<p>This is an excellent map. It reduces partisan bias. To those who say don't split Ann Arbor, etc, the Amendment makes removing partisan bias a higher priority than city and county lines. Szetela is a good map, as are Cherry and Linden. Palm and Lange seek to lock in Republican majorities like the past 36 years.</p>	Susan Andrews	2021-11-22 21:56:52+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>This plan appears fair as the commissionsâ€™ map makers say it leans to Dems 20-18. But Bridge Michigan shows a 19-19 split. Most important factor is to avoid the result of the 2014 election when GOP candidates won 27 of 38 seats despite getting just 44,503 more votes out of the 3 million votes statewide. Please make every attempt to prevent that from happening again.</p>	Mark Fralick	2021-11-22 21:56:23+00

Cherry V2	Opinion	<p>I think that the borders drawn are pretty good. The only thing that I would say may be an issue is diversity. While Plymouth/this area isn't completely lacking, places such as Canton are far stronger in this area. Canton is also very connected in the Plymouth-Canton Schools. However, I realize that other cities, such as Northville, are closer/more compatible with this district, and this is barley an issue.</p>	Maisy Schmitt	2021-11-22 21:55:33+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>This map should not have a chunk of SE Washtenaw County and Milan included in a bunch of rural areas in Monroe and Lenawee counties. The people in those counties are by and large not a community of interest. Milan is a vibrant, increasingly diverse city and would never be properly represented by this map.</p>	Jillyn Schultz	2021-11-22 21:54:15+00

Pine v5	Dislike	<p>I am completely against these re-districting changes. The only reason to break up Allegan County is for explicit, partisan reason and is the definition of gerrymandering. This must STOP NOW!</p>	Kirk Laskowski	2021-11-22 21:51:58+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>I agree with this district boundary and believe it represents the areas it includes well. District 6 has a pretty even population with the other districts around it, and has a fairly diverse demographic. It is shaped well, and includes Canton and Plymouth together, which is important to keeping strong connections and interactions between the communities. Also, this map works better than the state senate district by allowing fairer representation and keeping similar cities together.</p>	Brooklyn Radwan	2021-11-22 21:51:24+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	<p>This map is less fair than Cherry or Linden. It is better than Palm or Lange</p>	Susan Andrews	2021-11-22 21:51:08+00

Linden	Like	Linden is reasonably fair, as is Cherry. Good treatments of Lansing and Ann Arbor regions. All maps have Republican partisan bias and you should pick ones with least. Palm and Lange are terrible.	Susan Andrews	2021-11-22 21:49:18+00
Palm	Dislike	This is a very unfair map. I voted for Proposal 2 because I wanted to get rid of partisan bias. This and Lange fail miserably. This gerrmanders the Ann Arbor area.	Susan Andrews	2021-11-22 21:46:57+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>You've got Milan on the wrong side of the fence here. For years Milan's Washtenaw County residents have been represented by people in the Ann Arbor area. Many residents of Milan City proper, both in Monroe and Washtenaw counties are people who are from the Ann Arbor area and moved to get affordable housing. This map disenfranchises people in Milan, especially the Washtenaw County carve out, as we will not be well-represented by anyone coming from District 16. Either split at the county line or carve out southern Milan and put it in with Ann Arbor in District 15.</p>	Jillyn Schultz	2021-11-22 21:46:04+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>As a student of P-CEP who lives in Canton, I believe that this map is adequate to fit the needs of the area. There's ethnic diversity, something that I believe to be very important having grown up surround by different cultures. The border also makes sense and is easy to understand.</p>	Ellie Thai	2021-11-22 21:45:42+00

All maps have Republican bias, but this one is reasonable. Good division of Lansing region and Ann Arbor region to reduce the partisan bias favoring Republicans. Numerous competitive seats. Far superior to Palm, Kellom and Lange.

Cherry V2

Like

Susan Andrews

2021-11-22 21:44:45+00

I think that district six is very well drawn. It connects many small communities together and is very diverse, allowing for fair representation in the district. Another great feature of district six is that it connects Plymouth and Canton, which is ideal as they have a lot in common and have similar interests. For example, they both share the same school district and similar demography. Additionally, the population is almost the same as the target population with a very minimal deviation. Furthermore, the geometric shape of district six is ideal compared to other districts with confusingly shaped districts.

Chestnut

Like

Param Patel

2021-11-22 21:40:36+00

Szetela Congressional Like	<p>I am okay with this map of District 6 as a resident of Milan on the Washtenaw County side of things. I do think it would be beneficial to pull in the Monroe County side of Milan city limits so that you don't cut a city in half. The residents of the city proper have much more in common with District 6 Ann Arbor as many moved from the Ann Arbor area for more affordable housing. Do not think about putting all of Milan in District 5, though. While the rural areas outside of Milan are more like 5, the city itself is a strong District 6 fit.</p>	Jillyn Schultz	2021-11-22 21:37:18+00
Szetela Congressional Like	<p>This is a fair and competitive map. Much better than Lange and Apple. Chestnut is also good.</p>	Susan Andrews	2021-11-22 21:36:25+00
Lange Congressional Dislike	<p>Lange is a Republican gerrymander. Republicans would have won in 2020, which was a Democratic year. It also splits up the Lansing region to accomplish that. Chestnut, Birch and Szetela are much fairer. You want to choose fairness, not bias.</p>	Susan Andrews	2021-11-22 21:34:58+00

I believe that District 6 is very well-bounded and should stay this way. One reason for this opinion is just the shape of the district; it is very simple and does not create any weird shapes. Secondly, the population is almost exactly on point with the target, which is very hard to do and is a good sign. The diversity of this district is also very good because there are many different types of people from different ethnicities and races. All of this combined leads me to believe that District 6 is perfect at this time.

Chestnut

Opinion

Rahul Nanwani

2021-11-22 21:30:33+00

Apple has partisan bias making it likely Republicans win most seats even when Democrats win most votes. 7th district is unnecessarily stretched Western Michigan is poorly drawn. Chestnut, Birch and Szetela have less Republican partisan bias.

Apple V2

Like

Susan Andrews

2021-11-22 21:28:17+00

I do not agree with the way the district borders are drawn out, and they should be changed to include Plymouth and Canton in the same district. Canton and Plymouth share a similar demographic and population. They also have very connected communities, such as the shared school district, more so than Plymouth and Northville or Canton and Inkster and Westland. Also, adding Plymouth to the district would increase diversity in the area. The district could be expanded to include Northville as well, but it should at least include Plymouth and Canton together.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Brooklyn Radwan

2021-11-22 21:26:44+00

I don't think a line was needed to be drawn between Canton and Plymouth. Canton and Plymouth are very similar. For example, they have very similar demography and are part of the same school district. The voters' influence of both Plymouth and Canton is going to go down because of the divide. Overall, Plymouth shares more similarities with Canton than it does with Northville or Novi, so, therefore, Canton and Plymouth should be included within the same district.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Param Patel

2021-11-22 21:25:48+00

District 5 is not a good fit for what needs to be done. Plymouth-Canton community schools is a very good schooling system that would be disrupted if Plymouth were to be separated from Canton. On top of this, for anything to be done in both canton and Plymouth, both districts have to request what needs to be done. This is not to mention the demographics and diversity of Canton and Plymouth, which are very similar. Therefore, I believe that the line of district 5 should be extended to include Plymouth.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Rahul Nanwani

2021-11-22 21:25:27+00

Birch is a good map, although less competitive than Chestnut. Thanks for treating Ingham-Eaton and Clinton as a region. This is much better than Apple or Lange, which are unfair and have fewer competitive seats

Birch V2

Like

Susan Andrews

2021-11-22 21:20:55+00

This is a good map for what looks like a new District 6. As a Milan resident, I like that it keeps the entire city in this district and doesn't bisect it at an arbitrary county line. The residents of Milan are by and large people who have more in common with this district than that of District 5 on this map. Many residents are people who moved from the Ann Arbor area in seek of lower cost real estate and housing. This fits my expectations well.

Chestnut

Like

Jillyn Schultz

2021-11-22 21:20:30+00

Chestnut is a fair map. It treats Ingham-Eaton-Clinton as a unified region. It has several competitive seats, including western Michigan. Although the metrics show it has a Republican bias, there is a chance of a Democratic majority in most voters vote Democratic.

Chestnut

Like

Susan Andrews

2021-11-22 21:17:48+00

Overall, I think the map design of District 6 is designed really well in comparison to the other districts. Firstly, the population is almost exactly the same as the target population and has an extremely low deviation of (0.01%). To add on, a striking feature on the map is that it joins the Plymouth Canton community together. These communities are very similar in their diversity which allows for more representation of minority groups. Alongside, they both have similar socioeconomic structures and alike interests for the most part. The most important aspect, in my opinion, is that this connects the Plymouth-Canton educational system, which was not seen in the other maps. As a student in the community, I think that the educational system can be more effective and help support the interests of its

Chestnut

Opinion

Kunal Patel

2021-11-22 21:14:48+00

I have lived in the 107th for over 32 years and I was very hopeful that a nonpartisan redistricting commission would redraw Michigan's districts in a principled manner that would reflect the needs of the residents in our various geographic areas in our state without bias and/or giving advantage to one political party over another. This map for the 107th does not seem to meet these standards and deserves to be reworked. This is a complicated and nuanced strategic effort since one district cannot be redrawn with it not affecting the boundaries of the surrounding districts. I'm wondering if the commission had access to professional map planners and demographic analysts to look at the whole state and identify factors such as population, geographic

Hickory

Dislike

Pamela S. Ovshinsky

2021-11-22 21:12:42+00

I believe that while District 3 has much more diversity than other districts and represents the views of minority groups, there can be a few changes to help make the district system better for the community and its neighbors. Firstly, there should not be a line drawn in between Canton and Plymouth. Both these communities share similar demographics and share Plymouth-Canton Schools, which is a large educational system between these. If they were grouped together, it could enhance the education system even further and would provide a voice for members of the communities. Also, Northville and Novi have other necessities and opinions than those of Plymouth, which decreases their influence in the district. Therefore, I believe that Plymouth and Canton should be grouped together which We need to look at fairness and this map does not meet that standard.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Kunal Patel

2021-11-22 20:56:54+00

Palm

Dislike

Greg Seppanen

2021-11-22 20:55:00+00

The districts need to continue to be refined. Combining portions of the UP and LP is not a good idea. The UP has their own challenges and Northern Lower have our challenges. Petoskey as the highest populated city in the district should not overshadow rural needs. Please work at further separating the areas. Thank you! April

Hickory	Dislike	Keep all of Troy, Michigan together: Do not split Troy. No part of Troy should be in Macomb County.	April Sanders	2021-11-22 20:39:40+00
Szetela House	Dislike		Allen Salyer	2021-11-22 20:39:27+00

All of the State Senate maps have the same concern regarding Troy. It would be better to keep Troy with other Oakland County jurisdictions, such as Clawson and/or Birmingham to the South rather than putting it in a district with Macomb County/Sterling Heights. Troy COI , as noted by others, align with Oakland County and keeping it so districted would provide fairer representation. Also, it is not good to divide a small piece of Clawson from the rest of the city. Clawson should be kept whole in any map.

Linden	Opinion	Laurie E	2021-11-22 20:38:53+00
Hickory	Dislike	Allen Salyer	2021-11-22 20:38:15+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Allen Salyer	2021-11-22 20:37:18+00
Pine v5	Like	Allen Salyer	2021-11-22 20:36:07+00
Szetela Senate	Like	Allen Salyer	2021-11-22 20:35:01+00

Palm	Dislike	As with the Cherry map, please keep Troy with other Oakland County jurisdictions, such as Clawson and/or Birmingham to the South rather than putting it in a district with Macomb County/Sterling Heights. Troy COI , as noted by others, align with Oakland County and keeping it so districted would provide fairer representation.	Laurie Evans	2021-11-22 20:33:39+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Please keep Troy with other Oakland County jurisdictions, such as Clawson and/or Birmingham to the South rather than putting it in a district with Macomb County/Sterling Heights. Troy COI , as noted by others, align with Oakland County and keeping it so districted would provide fairer representation.	Laurie E	2021-11-22 20:32:09+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	Keep all of Troy, Michigan together.	Allen Salyer	2021-11-22 20:31:51+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Do not split Troy, Michigan in half.	Allen Salyer	2021-11-22 20:30:28+00
Linden	Dislike	It is good that all of Troy in one district, not do not split Clawson.	Allen Salyer	2021-11-22 20:29:22+00
Palm	Like	Keep all of Troy in one district.	Allen Salyer	2021-11-22 20:27:46+00

Cherry V2	Like	Keep all of Troy in one district.	Allen Salyer	2021-11-22 20:26:01+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Troy, Michigan belongs in Oakland County, not Macomb County.	Allen Salyer	2021-11-22 20:23:56+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	Troy, Michigan belongs in Oakland County, not Macomb County.	Allen Salyer	2021-11-22 20:23:19+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Troy, Michigan belongs in Oakland County, not Macomb County.	Allen Salyer	2021-11-22 20:21:33+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Troy, Michigan belongs in Oakland County, not Macomb County.	Allen Salyer	2021-11-22 20:20:08+00
Chestnut	Like	Chestnut keeps all of Troy in Oakland County. District 6 is well drawn in my opinion. It includes Canton and Plymouth in the district which is a necessity. The cities around them are very similar all around in many ways. One problem with this is that it expands too much into southern Detroit where there is going to be a lot of differences in their perspectives than the rest of the district. But with all this chaos with trying to place the lines I think they did an excellent job overall.	Allen Salyer	2021-11-22 20:17:34+00
Chestnut	Like		Joey Pierson	2021-11-22 20:14:13+00

This is an unfair partisan map for West Michigan and the state as a whole. This map has similar effects to the current district Gerrymandered Senate map. It dilutes the influence of large population Grand Rapids metro city areas by combining with rural townships in Barry, Allegan and Ionia Counties. These townships do not want to be in the same district with Grand Rapids city as well. Better to go with Linden or Cherry V2 to better represent all these areas.

Lange Senate correct Dislike

Karen Lawrence

2021-11-22 20:14:00+00

This is an unfair partisan map for West Michigan and the state as a whole. This map has similar effects to the current district Gerrymandered Senate map. It dilutes the influence of large population Grand Rapids metro city areas by combining with rural townships in Barry, Allegan and Ionia Counties. These townships do not want to be in the same district with Grand Rapids city as well. Better to go with Linden or Cherry V2 to better represent all these areas.

Kellom Senate

Dislike

Karen Lawrence

2021-11-22 20:12:50+00

This is an unfair partisan map for West Michigan and the state as a whole. This map has similar effects to the current district Gerrymandered Senate map. It dilutes the influence of large population Grand Rapids metro city areas by combining with rural townships in Barry, Allegan and Ionia Counties. These townships do not want to be in the same district with Grand Rapids city as well. Better to go with Linden or Cherry V2 to better represent all these areas.

Palm

Dislike

Karen Lawrence

2021-11-22 20:11:29+00

This is the second-best map compared to "Linden". Thanks for creating 2 deserved Senate seats in the Greater Grand Rapids area. Splitting into south and north districts preserves the character of each district. The map is less partisan fair for Michigan and not as good as "Linden". Thanks for listening to our comments.

Cherry V2

Like

Karen Lawrence

2021-11-22 20:10:18+00

Linden Like Thanks for creating 2 deserved Senate seats in the Greater Grand Rapids area. Splitting into south and north districts preserves the character of each district. The map seems partisan fair for Michigan. Thanks for listening to our comments. Karen Lawrence 2021-11-22 20:09:03+00

Lange Congressional Dislike This is an unfair partisan map for West Michigan and the state as a whole. This map has similar effects to the current district 3 Gerrymandered congressional map. It dilutes the influence of large population Grand Rapids metro city areas by combining with rural townships in Barry, Allegan and Ionia Counties. These townships do not want to be in the same district with Grand Rapids city as well. Better to go with Apple V2 or Chestnut to better represent all these areas. Karen Lawrence 2021-11-22 20:07:22+00

This is an unfair partisan map for West Michigan. This map has similar effects to the current district 3 Gerrymandered congressional map. Even though it attempts to combine city like areas like Grand Rapids and Muskegon, it dilutes the influence of large population Grand Rapids metro city areas by combining with rural townships in Ottawa and Muskegon Counties. These townships do not want to be in the same district with Grand Rapids city as well. Better to go with Apple V2 or Chestnut to better represent all these areas.

Szetela Congressional Dislike

Karen Lawrence

2021-11-22 20:06:17+00

Birch V2 Dislike This is a terrible map for West Michigan and the state as a whole. It dilutes the influence of large population Grand Rapids metro area city areas by combining with rural townships in Ottawa and Ionia Townships. In addition, these townships do not want to be in the same district with Grand Rapids city. The lakeshore district is divided extensively. Apple V2 or Chestnut represent all these areas much better. Karen Lawrence 2021-11-22 20:05:04+00

Chestnut Opinion This is the second-best choice for Michigan compared to Apple V2 being number one. Cities with minority populations make up the majority of the district population. Their unique needs can be addressed at the federal level. Keeping these areas together gives representation to the urban/suburban areas. Any other map divides this population by combining with the surrounding rural areas. Karen Lawrence 2021-11-22 20:03:57+00

I disagree with the map where canton and plymouth fall. Canton should be included in plymouth district for many reason. They are in the same school district (Plymouth-Canton Community Schools) so that should be a sign that they should be together. Also they are much more similar to that district and most of the towns in there rather than the towns in its district like westland and inkster. I do understand there is never going to be a perfect map and that no one is ever going to agree so it could be a lot worse.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Joey Pierson

2021-11-22 20:02:53+00

Apple V2	Like	<p>This is the best map for Michigan. This is a great map because it puts the two major cities in West Michigan together to form a district with similar needs and desires. There are opportunities for improvement in city services, support for underserved minorities, public transportation and low-cost housing. This map will make for good for representation at the federal level. The significant population of these cities justifies representation in West Michigan.</p>	Karen Lawrence	2021-11-22 20:02:43+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>We are Grand Rapids west sides-don't know why our quiet residential middle-class district would go east across the river to central city business areas including the hospitals and college campus in the downtown city and Eastown. We identify closely with Walker and Grandville areas. Don't know why this map splits up ethnic communities of interest.</p>	Karen Lawrence	2021-11-22 20:01:19+00

West Grand Rapids Township forms a community of interest with Ada and Cascade Townships, in composing the Forest Hills School District area. Under the currently proposed map, Cascade is drawn with the municipalities of East Grand Rapids and Kentwood for its own district. This causes an unfair partisan advantage for the Democratic Party in a Democrat +10 district.

Plainfield Township should be incorporated into one district, as it is a community of interest. Under the currently proposed map, Plainfield Township is split into two different districts. This would lead to confusion when constituents need to contact their Representative's office.

• The City of Walker is

Magnolia

Like

Patrick J Maloney

2021-11-22 19:59:13+00

Hickory	Like	Thanks for working so hard to keep the 6 city Grand Rapids area together and creating a fair map statewide. Our Polish and Lithuanian population is happy to be represented together in Ward 2 in District 84. Looking forward to seeing the Representative address our issues with the rising cost of housing and public schools.	Karen Lawrence	2021-11-22 19:58:47+00
Palm	Like	Heritage Hill and Eastown should not be divided between two districts. The dividing line should remain Fulton. Why would you ever combine Ann Arbor with Jackson County. Ann Arbor population would effectively silence Jackson County. And the two areas are exact opposites in terms of views, culture, values, and demographics.	Patrick J Maloney	2021-11-22 19:55:06+00
Linden	Like		john kudner	2021-11-22 19:54:08+00

Cherry V2 Like It is ridiculous to split up Jackson County and combine it with part of Washtenaw County specifically Ann Arbor. The city of Ann Arbor does not represent the views of Jackson county in any way. john kudner 2021-11-22 19:47:49+00

Chestnut Like Overall, district six is very well drawn. It connects many small communities together and is very diverse, allowing for fairer representation. It also connects Plymouth and Canton, which is ideal as they have a lot in common and share similar interests. For example, they both share the same school district. Having Plymouth and Canton under the same section is a key factor in improving school conditions. Furthermore, the deviation between the population and the voting percentage is minimal, assuring equal representation. Nikhil Akkala 2021-11-22 19:42:20+00

Palm	Like	This keeps Jackson and Ann Arbor separate which is good. They are two very different cultures, values and demographics.	john kudner	2021-11-22 19:41:04+00
Hickory	Dislike	this map is not fair since it gives a minority of voters a majority voice in voting	Cheryl Staats	2021-11-22 19:39:59+00
Hickory	Dislike	this map is not fair since it gives a minority of voters a majority voice in voting	Cheryl Staats	2021-11-22 19:39:25+00
Hickory	Dislike	this map is not fair since it gives a minority of voters a majority voice in voting East Grand Rapids would fit better with Kentwood to the south, than with the areas further north indicated on this map.	Cheryl Staats	2021-11-22 19:37:55+00
Szetela House	Dislike	this map is not fair since it gives a minority of voters a majority voice in voting	Cindy Krieg	2021-11-22 19:36:24+00
Hickory	Dislike	this map is not fair since it gives a minority of voters a majority voice in voting	Cheryl Staats	2021-11-22 19:34:46+00

District 107 seems to represent a lot of water, then a lot of rural area over two different peninsulas, and two major population centers: Petoskey and Harbor Springs. Issues affecting urban areas are often at odds with rural issues. The UP really is very far distant from the LP in miles, demographics, and culture. Representation is deeply complicated by our geography. The urban-rural divide also makes representation problematic.

Hickory	Dislike	This map could use tweaking.	Audrey McMullen	2021-11-22 19:33:04+00
Szetela House	Dislike	The community of interest for Eastown is the NE area of Grand Rapids, not NW Grand Rapids, Walker or Standale.	Cindy Krieg	2021-11-22 19:27:01+00
Pine v5	Like	Like having all Troy together in Oakland county	Joanne Ugelow	2021-11-22 19:25:29+00

Hi everyone my name is Sarah I. In my opinion I think that district 6 is drawn beautifully. My first comment that I want to start is that Plymouth and Canton are connected this is good thing because Plymouth and Canton have many cultural, racial, and a pretty similar wealth gap. I also like this map because Canton and Plymouth do share the same school district so they need the same person representing or it won't make sense. Another thing that I like about this map is that there is a good mix of voters making it a battle ground district and if a candidate wants to win they have to truly try. My last comment that I want to add is that geometric wise it makes sense being near perfect compared to confusing shaped districts so I applaud to them keeping confusing districts to a minimum.

Chestnut

Like

Sarah Islam

2021-11-22 19:09:45+00

Overall this district is relatively well drawn. Canton and Plymouth are in the same district as well with Ann Arbor. Even with Saline and Dexter being in the same district, unlike what most people believe, we are mostly the same. The main problem with this district is that Canton is grouped in with cities south of Detroit. Canton and cities south of Detroit are almost nothing like each other. The only thing we have similar is the weather. We have different upbringings, income, and are almost an hour from each other. These districts are relatively big so people must be grouped with people that aren't exactly like them, but these areas are too different to be together.

Chestnut

Opinion

Kenneth Fistler

2021-11-22 19:09:20+00

Szetela House

Like

Szetela is preferable to Pine or Magnolia, but, you can DO better for increased voter fairness.

Carol Ingall

2021-11-22 19:02:32+00

Canton should not be in the same district as Westland. Instead they should be with Plymouth, Northville, and Novi. Not only does Canton share a school district with Plymouth, but in culture Canton shares much more in common with Plymouth, Northville, and Novi. In general Canton is seen to have a good amount of money like Plymouth, Northville, and Novi. In general people are more alike depending on how far up, and down river they are. It is hard to make this district map because there are so many small districts and people in SE Michigan, but to make the districts more effective with the people inside them being more similar, Canton should not be grouped with cities South or East of them, only North

Cherry V2

Dislike

Kenneth Fistler

2021-11-22 18:59:58+00

Stop the gerrymandering because it contributes to political extremism and infighting. Use regular shaped boundaries regardless of party affiliation.

Hickory

Dislike

DAVID H FERRIS

2021-11-22 18:59:06+00

Hickory	Dislike	Stop the gerrymandering because it contributes to political extremism and infighting. Use regular shaped boundaries regardless of party affiliation.	DAVID H FERRIS	2021-11-22 18:58:01+00
Hickory	Like	Hickory is better than the others, but, you can do better. Thanks for unpacking Democrats in the Lansing area.	Carol Ingall	2021-11-22 18:57:40+00
Hickory	Dislike	Stop the gerrymandering because it contributes to political extremism and infighting. Use regular shaped boundaries regardless of party affiliation.	DAVID H FERRIS	2021-11-22 18:57:28+00
Hickory	Dislike	Stop the gerrymandering because it contributes to political extremism and infighting. Use regular shaped boundaries regardless of party affiliation.	DAVID H FERRIS	2021-11-22 18:56:55+00
Hickory	Dislike	Stop the gerrymandering because it contributes to political extremism and infighting. Use regular shaped boundaries regardless of party affiliation.	DAVID H FERRIS	2021-11-22 18:56:16+00

Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo often have competing interests and views when it comes to politics and what's best for Michigan and the nation. It does not make sense to put these two municipal areas in the same Congressional District other than a blatant attempt to force one seat into one particular political party.

Apple V2

Dislike

David R. Luther

2021-11-22 18:52:26+00

District 107 should all be below the bridge. It is very difficult for any Representative to campaign and represent citizens above and below the bridge. Each area has many of its own problems. Many of these Districts look very much the same as before we worked so hard to get signatures and the issue on the ballot. The Commission MUST make changes to insure the same political party does not continue to dominate in these Districts while they are the minority party. The minority should not be able to CONTINUE with the same control as before this issue was voted on by the people of Michigan. We voted for VOTERS NOT POLITICIANS to choose their Representatives!

Hickory

Dislike

election every time!

Carolyn L. Bourland

2021-11-22 18:50:21+00

This map should be rejected as it is too biased toward Republican voters. Hickory is

Magnolia

Dislike

definitely more fair.

Carol Ingall

2021-11-22 18:48:38+00

Hello everyone my name is Sarah I and I don't believe the line between Canton and Plymouth is needed. Canton and Plymouth pretty much the same demographic and community. One problem out of many is that they share their school districts. What will happen to it if Canton and Plymouth are separated? Plymouth has many connections and has many smaller interconnections that may be affected if these two cities are broken up. If we were to expand the border however we should expand it to Northville as the community much like Canton and Plymouth. We should do this because not only the community but also the amount of "wealth" in this area. So to sum up: don't break up Canton and Plymouth, But if you want to expand, add in Northville

Cherry V2

Dislike

Sarah Islam

2021-11-22 18:47:59+00

Lange	Congressional Like	<p>This map keeps Grand Rapids, Muskegon, and Kalamazoo in their own Congressional Districts, ensuring that the interests of each municipal region are equally represented in Washington.</p> <p>This map diminishes the voices of West Michigan in Washington by attempting to force competing interests into the same Congressional District. Grand Rapids does not belong with Muskegon any more than Holland belongs with Kalamazoo. This map makes very little sense for West Michigan.</p>	David R. Luther	2021-11-22 18:47:11+00
Szetela	Congressional Dislike	<p>This map diminishes the voice of West Michigan in Washington by forcing Muskegon and Grand Rapids into the same congressional district. This is clearly a partisan push to devalue the voices of West Michigan by pushing together two competing areas of interest. Lakeshore communities deserve their own, independent voice.</p>	David R. Luther	2021-11-22 18:44:49+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>Lakeshore communities deserve their own, independent voice.</p>	David R. Luther	2021-11-22 18:39:49+00

		This map is a logical choice for West Michigan as it offers large municipalities separate Congressional Districts, ensuring an equal voice in Washington. It also prevents a partisan gerrymander by shoehorning Kalamazoo or Muskegon into the same Congressional District as		
Birch V2	Like	Grand Rapids.	David R. Luther	2021-11-22 18:36:57+00
Birch V2	Like	Seems most logical.	John George	2021-11-22 18:15:39+00
		When will this commission draw a map that respects communities of interest for Washtenaw County?? I live in rural Saline Twp. District 6 would extend to Gross Ile, Southgate and Flat Rock?? Do your duty under the MI Constitution to keep communities of interest		
Lange Congressional	Dislike	together.	Mollie Schairer	2021-11-22 18:05:44+00
		Of the House plans, the Magnolia Plan is the best. Still chops up Kent County in a way that favors one party, but		
Magnolia	Like	it is better than the others	Germaine Orville Kooiman	2021-11-22 18:00:27+00

Kellom Senate	Dislike	Does not respect communities of interest. I live in rural Saline Twp. Western Jackson County extending to eastern Washtenaw?! Do your duty under the MI Constitution and respect communities of interest.	Mollie Schairer	2021-11-22 17:58:14+00
Palm	Like	Of the Senate plans, the Palm plan is the best. Kent County as one of the largest counties in MI should be consolidated, but of the competing plans Palm is the better	Germaine Orville Kooiman	2021-11-22 17:58:05+00
Lange Congressional	Like	The Lange Plan is also a good plan in that it keeps Kent and Ottawa counties (fastest growing counties in MI in single congressional districts	Germaine Orville Kooiman	2021-11-22 17:55:51+00
Palm	Dislike	The Palm map is the worst submitted map in regards to the Senate. Please consider Cherry V2 or Linden -- those are far more equitable.	Samantha Hiler	2021-11-22 17:54:10+00
Birch V2	Like	The Birch plan is the best plan bc it keeps one of the largest counties in Michigan compact and in one district	Germaine Orville Kooiman	2021-11-22 17:53:49+00

		Again, this map does not respect communities of interest. I live in rural Washtenaw Co. District 16 extending from western Jackson Co. to eastern Washtenaw will DISENFRANCHISE voters. Do your duty under the Michigan Constitution and respect		
Kellom Senate	Dislike	communities of interest.	Mollie Schairer	2021-11-22 17:52:26+00
Palm	Dislike	PALM is the absolute worst Senate Map.	Joseph Bellgowan	2021-11-22 17:48:24+00
		I live in rural Saline Twp. These districts for Washtenaw County are ridiculous. District 14 extending to west side of Jackson Co.?? I am angry. Where is the respect for		
Szetela Senate	Dislike	communities of interest?	Mollie Schairer	2021-11-22 17:43:48+00

Magnolia am	Dislike	Well, I'll just keep beating my drum. Washtenaw again in 7 districts, which then extend FAR into surrounding counties??! 66 and 65 are the most egregious. I live in rural Saline Twp. Every map I've seen has this disenfranchising arrangement for Washtenaw County. Communities of interest must remain together!! Where is the respect for communities of interest, or even simply county lines?	Mollie Schairer	2021-11-22 17:37:00+00
Hickory	Like	I like how Ypsilanti is it's own district. You should do the same with Ann Arbor.	Edwin Coy Jr	2021-11-22 17:33:14+00
Hickory	Dislike	Why is Chelsea getting combined with Jackson? If you live in the area around Chelsea, you consider yourself living in Chelsea. Chelsea should go in District 47 and take Ann Arbor out of 47.	Edwin Coy Jr	2021-11-22 17:27:45+00

Splitting a county (Washtenaw) into 7 districts by slicing up like a pie that county's major urban area (AA/Ypsi) is not "unpacking" districts--it is GERRYMANDERING. I live in rural Saline Twp. Every map I've seen has this disenfranchising arrangement for Washtenaw County. Communities of interest must remain together!! A rural farmer in Washtenaw County has completely different interests and needs from that of a downtown Ann Arborite or Ypsi resident in a condo or apartment. Both stand to be disenfranchised. I am angry.

Magnolia

Dislike

Mollie Schairer

2021-11-22 17:27:19+00

Why is Ann Arbor Split up? They should be their own District. Instead it looks like you're trying to spread Ann Arbor Residents influence into the surrounding rural areas.

Hickory

Dislike

Edwin Coy Jr

2021-11-22 17:24:11+00

Hickory	Dislike	<p>This district is primarily Rural. Putting in part of Ann Arbor is Clearly trying to influence the outcome. To have a representative that lives in the City represent this rural district is no better than how the maps that were used in the past.</p>	Edwin Coy Jr	2021-11-22 17:19:50+00
Linden	Dislike	<p>This is a very poorly drawn map because it mutes the voices of rural voters in Hillsdale and Jackson Counties.</p>	Kerby Fannin	2021-11-22 17:05:51+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	<p>Seriously? Breaking up Jackson and Ann Arbor? What community of interest can justify that?! Answer = none. This is ridiculous.</p> <p>Go with PALM. At least that one tries to respect communities of interest better</p>	Alan P	2021-11-22 17:01:14+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	<p>Seriously? Breaking up Jackson and Ann Arbor? No community of interest concerns there, clearly.....</p>	Alan P	2021-11-22 16:59:56+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	<p>Seriously? Breaking up Jackson and Ann Arbor? What community of interest can justify that?! Answer = none. This is ridiculous.</p>	Alan P	2021-11-22 16:58:57+00

Linden	Dislike	<p>Seriously? Breaking up Jackson and Ann Arbor? What community of interest can justify that?!</p> <p>Answer = none. This is ridiculous.</p>	Alan P	2021-11-22 16:57:18+00
Palm	Like	<p>Thank you for giving us this chance to have a Jackson-Ann Arbor setup that ACTUALLY REPRESENTS COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST here!</p> <p>This is a very poorly drawn map because it mutes the voices of rural voters in Hillsdale and Jackson Counties.</p>	Alan P	2021-11-22 16:55:48+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>Splitting Ann Arbor and Jackson, are you serious? Can the commission give an honest answer for how that is justified by VRA or community of interest considerations?</p>	Kerby Fannin	2021-11-22 16:54:58+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>considerations?</p>	Alan P	2021-11-22 16:52:36+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>I endorse this Birch V2 map.</p>	Loraine Cranor	2021-11-22 16:18:30+00

Palm	Like	Overall, this State Senate map looks like the fairest option among those presented. However, Somerset Township should not be divided between Districts 18 and 19. It should all be part of District 19, with which it is more closely connected.	Kerby F Fannin	2021-11-22 16:05:04+00
Chestnut	Like	We like the fact that Troy is kept together and is grouped with other Oakland county cities such as Royal Oak, Bloomfield etc..	Vital Anne	2021-11-22 15:44:57+00
Apple V2	Like	I would prefer that the entire city of Troy be included in an Oakland County district.	Paul Krause	2021-11-22 15:41:31+00
Birch V2	Dislike	I would prefer that the entire city of Troy be included in an Oakland County district.	Paul Krause	2021-11-22 15:37:32+00
Pine v5	Like	This map is very similar to the MAGNOLIA and HICKORY maps. My preference is the HICKORY map. See my comments posted there.	Cal Morton	2021-11-22 15:18:51+00

Pine v5	Opinion	I agree with Kristine S Detmers comment posted in this map, "... All these maps should have been drawn with a color blind eye and based on population alone! Gerrymandering at its worse!". The data shown in these maps should have only provided the "Total Population" and the "Voting Age Population". All other numbers are injecting race and ethnicity which overlooks the most important fact, "We are ALL Americans"!	Cal Morton	2021-11-22 15:18:01+00
Magnolia	Like	This map is very similar to the HICKORY map. My preference is the HICKORY map. See my comments posted there.	Cal Morton	2021-11-22 14:59:30+00
Chestnut	Like	As a Troy Resident, I prefer this map. (Chestnut)	Paul Krause	2021-11-22 14:59:09+00
Chestnut	Like	Fair representation. Jackson should not be	David S.	2021-11-22 14:58:00+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	included with Ann Arbor	David S.	2021-11-22 14:56:55+00

The SZETELA HOUSE map needs to be REJECTED and the HICKORY map ADOPTED. The SZETELA HOUSE map is politically unfair for the Grand Rapids Township, Plainfield Township and the Ada/Forest Hills area which are currently in the same district. These 3 areas are my COIs and should NOT be gerrymandered. The HICKORY map is politically fair and best represents the

Szetela House	Dislike	constituents in these areas.	Cal Morton	2021-11-22 14:56:33+00
Linden	Like	Fair representation	David S.	2021-11-22 14:55:33+00
Palm	Like	Fair representation of our community.	David S.	2021-11-22 14:54:11+00
Linden	Dislike	Totally unfair to the Jackson unique community.	William	2021-11-22 14:52:05+00
Palm	Like	Fair representation of unique communities.	William	2021-11-22 14:43:30+00

Hickory	Like	<p>I agree with Robert Dragan's comment in the HICKORY map, "This is a great map for the Ada/Forest Hills area, keeping it in the same district as the northern side of Grand Rapids and including Grand Rapids Township and Plainfield Township into the same district 81. These are my COIs. This map would be politically fair for the north side of Grand Rapids and keeping it in the same district with the northeast and eastern suburbs." Please adopt this map.</p>	Cal Morton	2021-11-22 14:40:47+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>This map is extremely unfair. You need to choose Hickory or go back to the drawing board in fairness to voters.</p>	Carol Ingall	2021-11-22 14:36:49+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>These maps violate the Voters not Politicians mandate of 2018. Communities should be intact and in one district. Use that as your basic building block and you give us fair districts that unite us rather than divide us.</p>	Barbara Yagley	2021-11-22 13:31:26+00

Chestnut	Like	Chestnut is a good Congressional map for Jackson County as it does not combine rural to inner city and keeps Jackson County whole. Jackson is more rural and has different needs than the more densely populated area of Washtenaw County. This is almost comical. Splitting a small portion of Troy with Macomb negates my voice and the voice of my neighborhood as it will be swallowed by an area we	Sharon Elaine Houck	2021-11-22 11:21:24+00
Magnolia	Like	have little in common with. Troy as itâ€™s own district	Margaret Chinoski	2021-11-22 03:52:52+00
Pine v5	Like	makes sense! Troy should be with COI in Oakland county, that align with their industries and	Margaret Chinoski	2021-11-22 03:49:39+00
Palm	Dislike	priorities Troy COI deeply align with Oakland county and have little in common with	Margaret Chinoski	2021-11-22 03:47:10+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Macomb county	Margaret Chinoski	2021-11-22 03:43:19+00

Apple V2	Dislike	The interests of Troy have little commonality with Macomb county especially grouped with areas adjacent to lake st Clair. Their common industry, and local economy interests align with the rest of Oakland County.	Margaret Chinoski	2021-11-22 03:39:26+00
Birch V2	Dislike	There are few similarities between Oakland and Macomb counties. It makes no sense to combine them into one district.	Margaret Chinoski	2021-11-22 03:35:29+00
Chestnut	Like	This is exactly where Troy should be, part of Oakland county.	Margaret Chinoski	2021-11-22 03:32:26+00
Pine v5	Like	I support this map. It best represents the entire city of Troy as a whole undivided community of interest. Well done.	Susan Hayden	2021-11-22 03:30:07+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>I don't think the line between Canton and Plymouth was necessary. Both Canton and Plymouth are very similar, and they have a similar demographic. They also share the same school district, as they are closely connected. If Canton and Plymouth were grouped together, the quality of education would significantly increase. Overall, Plymouth shares more similarities with Canton than with Northville, and thus, they should be included within the same district.</p>	Nikhil Akkala	2021-11-22 03:22:55+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>I agree with this map. It best represents the communities that share economic and social interests with Troy. Troy is the largest and most diverse city in Oakland County. This is a terrible map - that would split a portion of Troy into Macomb County. This is not representative of our city. This is not fairly drawn for the community of</p>	Susan Hayden	2021-11-22 03:20:29+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>Troy</p>	Kelly Jones	2021-11-22 03:19:37+00

Magnolia	Dislike	Why would you split a SMALL part of Troy and Clawson and merge it with Macomb County? This map is terrible and would not be fairly represented at all. Troy is the largest and most diverse city in Oakland County and we are a cohesive city. We should be represented as such. Do NOT split Troy!	Kelly Jones	2021-11-22 03:17:53+00
Pine v5	Like	This map keeps the city of Troy - the largest city in Oakland County - in tact, which is important for fair maps.	Kelly Jones	2021-11-22 03:16:01+00
Linden	Like	This map is not perfect, but it seems at least fair. I believe Troy should remain with Clawson, however.	Kelly Jones	2021-11-22 03:14:31+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is terrible by partisan and is not representative of our community. Troy should remain in Oakland County.	Kelly Jones	2021-11-22 03:13:17+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Troy has far more in common with Clawson and Madison Heights than with Sterling Heights/Utica. I believe Troy should be drawn within Oakland County	Kelly Jones	2021-11-22 03:11:41+00

Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This map does not properly represent our community. Oakland County and Macomb County have too many variables to be represented in the same district. Troy should remain in Oakland County. This map is drawn WAY to far to the east part of metro</p>	Kelly Jones	2021-11-22 03:08:58+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>I do not believe that Oakland County should be grouped with Macomb County. District 11 is drawn properly - and keeps communities that</p>	Kelly Jones	2021-11-22 03:07:00+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>share demographics aligned.</p>	Kelly Jones	2021-11-22 03:04:46+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>District 11 more accurately reflects communities of interest in this map than the other maps and puts Troy and other Oakland County communities with others that share economic and social interests. The map as a whole demonstrates strong measures of partisan fairness, which is incredibly important as well. I enthusiastically support this map.</p>	Ashleigh Jennings	2021-11-22 02:36:57+00

I'm glad to see that Troy and Rochester Hills have additional Oakland County neighbors in this district, but think it still stretches too far east when these communities share many more economic and social interests to the west. I agree with the comments that note this would be a challenging district to represent effectively, given the very different types of communities included.

Birch V2

Opinion

Ashleigh Jennings

2021-11-22 02:30:09+00

Troy should be drawn with other neighboring communities in Oakland County as a clear community of interest. Whether we are looking at recreation, commuting, shopping or entertainment, there is much more movement to and from Troy and other communities within Oakland County to our south and west. We share county government and some municipal services, and we need representation in Congress that will understand and be responsive to the needs of this whole, larger community.

Apple V2	Dislike	Ashleigh Jennings	2021-11-22 02:20:45+00
Birch V2	Like	Cheryl D Hayes	2021-11-22 01:42:56+00
Hickory	Opinion	Cheryl D Hayes	2021-11-22 01:30:19+00

Birch seems to be the best of the Congressional maps for partisan fairness. Hickory is the best of the State House maps however it favors the Republican party, which is unacceptable. Please give us a House map that eliminates partisan bias.

Cherry V2	Like	As a current resident living in the northern end of the proposed district 29 - I feel my interests are better represented in this district versus being combined with district 30.	Jeffrey King	2021-11-22 01:23:18+00
Palm	Dislike	Palm is not a fair map. After so many years of gerrymandering, we need fair maps.	Cheryl D Hayes	2021-11-22 01:21:41+00
Kellom Senate	Like	As a current resident living in the northern end of the proposed district 29 - I feel my interests are better represented in this district versus being combined with district 30.	Jeffrey King	2021-11-22 01:14:28+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	I don't think think district 13 was drawn very well. District 13 is mostly Plymouth Northville, and Novi. I think that Northville and Novi have a different demographic than Plymouth and the two places will have different interests. Also, the voting influence of Plymouth residents will go down because the needs and priorities of the Northville and Novi residents will outnumber those of the Plymouth residents.	Yash Bingi	2021-11-22 01:10:31+00

Cherry V2	Like	Cherry has better partisan fairness scores than some of the other maps. I went door to door for Prop 2 and nothing is more important than fair maps.	Cheryl D Hayes	2021-11-22 00:41:40+00
Apple V2	Like	Strong, competitive district with many common interests.	Jeffrey King	2021-11-22 00:39:52+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	I don't think there was a need for a line to be drawn between Canton and Plymouth in district 5 and 13. This is because both of the places are similar, with a similar demographic. Also, the people share things like a school district and many parks, which is why they should be grouped together. The people will have similar interests and their population together would equal around what the populations of the other districts were, which is why those two should be together.	Yash Bingi	2021-11-22 00:38:20+00

I think District 6 is drawn relatively fair since it includes diverse communities like Canton and Plymouth which have always worked well together. However, it is questionable that cities as far as Saline and Dexter are also included since the two opposite sides of the map rarely interact with each other. The whole district is considerably large and almost includes two separate groups of cities that are intertwined. All of the communities on the map are very diverse, though, which is a positive thing because it gives different groups more equal representation.

Chestnut

Opinion

Ella Washnock

2021-11-22 00:36:06+00

I believe the map should be drawn differently to combine Plymouth and Canton, with other areas such as Westland, Garden City, and Livonia combined separately. Because Plymouth and Canton share a school district, especially with the high school, it would make more sense to combine the two communities since they always have been very intertwined. There is also a significant wealth gap between Plymouth and Canton vs. many of the other cities in district 5, such as Westland and parts of Livonia, so putting those two different communities together could cause issues with equal representation and education opportunities. The boundaries of districts 5 and 13 should definitely be reconsidered because they would divide diverse cities that have always worked well together and

Cherry V2

Dislike

Ella Washnock

2021-11-22 00:26:52+00

All in all I think this district map is a good one in terms of showing the cut offs between districts. In my opinion I think the two districts are good on their own. As I've stated before, although we would be more known by people in the government, we would also run the risk of more government control and some people don't want that. Also the difference in incomes between the two districts would be too big to combine.

Chestnut

Opinion

Ariana Marie Faulkner

2021-11-22 00:25:03+00

Overall, District 6 has been drawn really well. When looking at the shape of the drawn district, it is the ideal shape for congressional districts which is angular and polygonal. In terms of the diversity of the population, District 6 has a very diverse and differentiating community, which can account for the fact that Canton, a prominently diverse community, has been districted with Plymouth, another diverse community. When we group together communities, it is important that we group together communities with many different people who have different needs and wants, allowing our representatives to represent the interests of more people within minority and majority groups. It is also important to note that the population percentage and the voting percentage have a

Chestnut

Opinion

Ava DeVlieghe

2021-11-22 00:19:55+00

I think it would be challenging to change the district mapping around because it would be hard to know where to cut the line. What I mean is where would we be able to stop as to how far the new Canton/ Plymouth city can reach? What if we cut into a little bit of westland? How much is too much? We would also have to do a lot of changing such as changing certain building names. For example: The Canton Public Library as well as the Plymouth Public Library.

Chestnut Dislike Ariana Marie Faulkner 2021-11-22 00:16:39+00

I think this district map is a beautiful example on why we need to combine these two districts. I would like to see plymouth and canton combined because it would not only improve schoolings. But it would also improve diversity within the area. This would encourage people of different races to move into the new "city" if canton and plymouth combine which would also increase the population as well.

Chestnut Like Ariana Marie Faulkner 2021-11-22 00:08:12+00

Cherry V2	Opinion	<p>In my opinion I think we should keep the districts how they are. I feel if we combined the two districts it would be two big of a city. The taxes would most likely go up as well because we could be considered a city. Also in my opinion I feel there is a clear income difference between plymouth and canton which I feel should stay separate. And as I've said before I feel a lot of people would lose their jobs if the two districts were to combine.</p>	Ariana Marie Faulkner	2021-11-21 23:59:19+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>I like the idea of keeping the two districts apart. If we combined them taxes would go up, slow decision process. There would be more government control, schooling could be different as well and new bus routes, people would lose jobs because in different districts we have the same jobs but if we combine them there would be no use for two of the same company.</p>	Ariana Marie Faulkner	2021-11-21 23:52:25+00

The district lines should be reevaluated based on the shared cities within each district because District 5 should be grouped with the city of Plymouth rather than the city of Westland. In terms of schooling, Westland schools are placed under the Wayne-Westland Community School District, while Canton schools are placed under the Plymouth-Canton Community School District. Plymouth and Canton are already such a diverse and intertwined community due to the shared public schools where people of all backgrounds have created a sense of community and camaraderie. Having our schools shared makes us close, but if our cities were joined into one district, we could all work together to continue our diverse community and accommodate for all of the interests of the people in

Cherry V2

Opinion

Ava DeVlieghe

2021-11-21 23:52:07+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>It would be better financially because we would gain more government dollars. We would be more recognized in Washington DC because both Canton and Plymouth combined would be a bigger city. We would gain more resources by combining the two districts as well. We could also attract more companies to move to our area.</p>	Ariana Marie Faulkner	2021-11-21 23:39:26+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>Out of the three congressional maps, this seems best for partisan fairness.</p> <p>This map has an awful score for partisan fairness. My district (Midland) would definitely not have a competitive State House race if this map is chosen. Of the three proposed state house maps, Hickory is the way to go.</p>	Debbie Rosenman	2021-11-21 22:45:21+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>This map does not contain the changes made to the Detroit area. Hickory is a much better option for State House districts.</p>	Angie Kelleher	2021-11-21 22:42:51+00
Pine v5	Dislike		Angie Kelleher	2021-11-21 22:40:27+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>The Palm map doesn't represent Michigan well. I appreciate you listening carefully to the citizens of the Midland area. The Linden map is much better for Senate districts - please make Linden your final choice.</p>	Angie Kelleher	2021-11-21 22:38:08+00
Hickory	Like	<p>The Hickory map unfortunately still favors Republicans for the Midland district by more than 5%. However, it's the best of the three proposed maps for the State house. At least the Detroit area districts are drawn in a more balanced way, and the map in general seems to be fairer for the rest of the state, even if my district is still lopsided toward Republicans.</p>	Angie Kelleher	2021-11-21 22:31:01+00

Linden	Like	For my state senate district, the Linden map seems to be the most balanced of the three, and the one most likely to give constituents the chance to elect a representative who will actually listen to them, regardless of political party. Thank you so much for doing this work to give us fair districts, and for listening to our feedback!	Angie Kelleher	2021-11-21 22:18:09+00
Chestnut	Like	This map is really quite good for my congressional district. It's only 4% in favor of Republicans, and makes it possible for either party to win. It keeps the Tri-Cities and Flint together, and most of Midland county together too.	Angie Kelleher	2021-11-21 22:13:46+00
Hickory	Dislike	this mapping gives a minority of voters a majority voice	David Gruner	2021-11-21 22:12:18+00
Hickory	Dislike	this mapping gives a minority of voters a majority voice	David Gruner	2021-11-21 22:11:45+00
Hickory	Dislike	this mapping gives a minority of voters a majority voice	David Gruner	2021-11-21 22:11:05+00
Hickory	Dislike	this mapping gives a minority of voters a majority voice	David Gruner	2021-11-21 22:10:17+00
Hickory	Dislike	this mapping gives a minority of voters a majority voice	David Gruner	2021-11-21 22:09:28+00
Hickory	Like	this mapping gives a minority of voters a majority voice	David Gruner	2021-11-21 22:08:27+00

Palm	Dislike	This seems to backslide even further into partisan gerrymandering.	Amy Vail	2021-11-21 22:07:43+00
Hickory	Dislike	this mapping gives a minority of voters a majority voice	David Gruner	2021-11-21 22:04:39+00
Hickory	Dislike	All the house maps are unfair. This is the best of the lot, but less unfair is still unfair. Please redraw better maps that do not give majority voice to minority voters.	Amy Vail	2021-11-21 22:03:55+00
Szetela Senate	Like	This is a relatively fair map that gives majority representation to majority voters. It avoids the "superpacking" of my community (Ann Arbor).	Amy Vail	2021-11-21 22:01:33+00

I believe that district 6 is really well drawn and is the best map we've seen so far. First of all, the population as of right now is so close to the target population with a deviation of only (0.01%) which is amazing. Secondly, and most important in my opinion, Plymouth and Canton are grouped together. This is a major factor in improving the schooling as two cities with similar education manner are being put together. The population of district 6 is also very diverse compared to its neighbors which makes it so every community is well represented and heard. The voting percentages of these communities are similar to the population percentages so it is assured that each community gets its say, and the district boundaries are drawn well in terms of representation.

Chestnut

Like

Sumanyu Kotala

2021-11-21 22:00:06+00

This is a relatively fair map - gives majority representation to majority voters. Does well with communities of interest.

Cherry V2

Like

Thank you for your efforts.

Amy Vail

2021-11-21 21:58:11+00

Linden	Like	This is a fair map with some competitive districts. Thank you for your efforts.	Amy Vail	2021-11-21 21:55:53+00
Chestnut	Like	This is a fair map. Gives majority representation to majority voters. It also has two voting rights districts.	Amy Vail	2021-11-21 21:53:21+00
Hickory	Dislike	This map is not fair. It gives a majority voice to a minority of voters. Please redraw this map.	Gretchen Gruner	2021-11-21 21:49:24+00

Overall, I believe that district 5 is well drawn, but there are a couple downsides to it. The deviation between the target population and our actual population is very low (-1.62%), which is a good thing. Also, the population of white people and the population of people with heritages of black, native, asian, islander, etc. are more balanced than in say district 12. This means that other communities are well represented and their voices are being heard. One issue I have with the district borders is that Canton and Plymouth aren't grouped together which is a downside to our school district (Plymouth-Canton). If they were grouped together, the quality of education and schooling in general will increase.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Sumanyu Kotala

2021-11-21 21:48:59+00

This map is not the best since Downriver Michigan is only in three districts. The Apple v2 and Birch v2 separate southern Dearborn Heights and Romulus from the northern downriver district. I recommend Romulus and southern Dearborn Heights to be in district 13.

The Downriver cities are Allen Park, Brownstown, southern Dearborn Heights (south of Dartmouth street), Ecorse, Flat Rock, Gibraltar, Grosse Ile, Huron, Lincoln Park, Melvindale, River Rouge, Riverview, Rockwood, Romulus, Southgate, Taylor, Trenton, Woodhaven, and Wyandotte.

Some people think that Dearborn Heights is Downriver Michigan, and some don't. Dearborn Heights is only 30 percent downriver since southern

Apple V2

Dislike

James Kleiber

2021-11-21 21:36:31+00



Apple V2	Dislike	<p>Madison Heights, the sliver of Royal Oak and the other Oakland cities should be part of an Oakland county district not the bump that has been created here. Please move us in a different direction</p>	Mayor Roslyn Grafstein	2021-11-21 21:24:50+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>I really appreciate the work done by the commission to redraw the congressional districts within Detroit. The last version of this district zig-zagged its way from Detroit through Southfield and into Pontiac to try and grab as many black voters as possible. Because of the declining population. it is harder to draw two majority-black districts without having to stretch into Oakland County. I do appreciate the effort to create two majority-minority districts within Detroit, and I believe they will effectively represent the city's interests well.</p>	Daniel A Fahle	2021-11-21 21:18:50+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>This map makes no sense for Livingston county. Splitting the community up is not in the best interest of its residents.</p>	Jessica	2021-11-21 21:10:58+00

I think this map could be drawn differently. District 13 seems to include wealthier areas which mean those people will be represented in that district. The cities in district 13 are not all in the same county and don't interact as frequently. I think it could be redrawn to include more diversity. I think Canton and Plymouth should be included in the same district because the communities interact together especially due to the shared school district. Canton is very diverse and having the two together would allow for a wide variety of people to be represented. It is hard to draw district maps because there are always going to be some communities with a lot of diversity and some where people have very similar beliefs and backgrounds.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Maddie Andrews

2021-11-21 21:09:09+00

Thank you for drawing maps that unpack Democrats in Washtenaw County and Ingham County. I wish you would reduce the partisan bias further though.

Linden

Like

Carrie Hatcher-Kay

2021-11-21 21:06:37+00

This map is the best since  
Downriver Michigan is only in  
two districts. The Apple v2  
and Birch v2 separate  
southern Dearborn Heights  
and Romulus.

The Downriver cities are Allen  
Park, Brownstown, southern  
Dearborn Heights (south of  
Dartmouth street), Ecorse,  
Flat Rock, Gibraltar, Grosse  
Ile, Huron, Lincoln Park,  
Melvindale, River Rouge,  
Riverview, Rockwood,  
Romulus, Southgate, Taylor,  
Trenton, Wayne (normally not  
Downriver), Woodhaven, and  
Wyandotte.

Some people think that  
Dearborn Heights is  
Downriver Michigan, and  
some don't. Dearborn  
Heights is only 30 percent  
downriver since southern  
Dearborn Heights are only 30  
percent. Southern Dearborn  
Heights is just south of

Chestnut

Like

James Kleiber

2021-11-21 21:05:10+00

I think this map is better-drawn than previous maps I have seen. The districts are all in pretty rectangular shapes, theyâ€™re not drawn to cover certain random areas to allow gerrymandering to occur. I like how district 6 includes both Plymouth and Canton because the two communities interact and share a school district. District 6 does seem to expand pretty far going as west as Chelsea and as far east as Grosse Ile. The district does seem to have more diversity which allows a variety of people to be represented. I definitely think this district has more representation and is better drawn than previous models with the exception of the cities on the far edge of the district that donâ€™t interact much with the cities in the central area.

Chestnut

Opinion

Maddie Andrews

2021-11-21 21:05:08+00

Cherry V2	Like	<p>This is one of the most fair maps. It still favors one party, but comes closer to partisan fairness. Cherry is slightly better with partisan fairness. Maps that are less unfair, are still unfair. We want maps that are actually fair.</p>	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-11-21 20:56:54+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This map is terrible! It is not quite as bad as Lange, but still horrible. The party with the least votes would get the most seats. Does NOT achieve partisan fairness.</p>	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-11-21 20:54:22+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This is the most fair of the Congressional tree maps, but not as fair as Szetela's. It achieves some partisan fairness, but still gives more seats to the party that has the fewest votes. I so prefer Szetela's!</p>	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-11-21 20:51:48+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This is the most fair of the Congressional tree maps, but not as fair as Szetela's. It achieves some partisan fairness, but still gives more seats to the party that has the fewest votes.</p>	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-11-21 20:45:56+00

I like that the western townships - Northville, Plymouth, and Canton - are kept together. However, as a 30 year resident of this area, there is a closer alignment with Livonia and Westland than Ann Arbor. As this is for our "representative", someone who represents down river and Ann Arbor will not be able to represent our needs as well. We have lived with this in Wayne County with not being properly represented in the county, and this (and the other maps) will only continue this under-representation.

Chestnut

Dislike

Joseph Lemieux

2021-11-21 20:44:26+00

The Szetela map is the only fair map! Thank you for combining the best parts of Chestnut and Birch. This is the fairest map. It shows true partisan fairness, adequately establishing Voting Rights districts and addressing communities of interest.

Szetela Congressional Like

Thank you!

Carrie Hatcher-Kay

2021-11-21 20:42:40+00

Pine v5	Dislike	This is a terrible map. PLEASE go back to the drawing board. Please Keep Working! There are no fair house maps to choose from! This and the others give the majority of seats to the minority of voters! THANK YOU!	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-11-21 20:39:03+00
Hickory	Dislike	The magnolia map is a better plan of consolidated communities.	John E Lewis	2021-11-21 20:38:16+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map is terrible. Please go back to the drawing board. This map does NOT achieve partisan fairness.	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-11-21 20:36:33+00
Szetela House	Dislike	There are no fair house maps to choose from! This and all the other proposed House maps give the majority of seats to the minority of voters!	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-11-21 20:33:13+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	While I thank the commission for its hard work in redrawing the maps, this seems to be the worst of all the redrawn maps, very much perpetuating what was wrong with the previous biased gerrymandered maps, in which a *minority* of voters get a *majority* of seats!	Carol Bardenstein	2021-11-21 20:28:39+00

Hickory	Opinion	<p>Hickory Map is the least of the bad maps for the House, but we beg you to pause and start over on the House maps. We do not want unfair maps. The party with the least votes will get the most seats. This is not the purpose of your commission. PLEASE start over on the House maps!!</p>	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-11-21 20:26:58+00
Hickory	Like	<p>This is a not ideal map that could be improved with more fair representation. Please go back and redraw a better map.</p>	Gretchen Gruner	2021-11-21 20:24:58+00
Hickory	Opinion	<p>While this map is an improvement on previous ones, it is still disproportionate to 'one person, one vote', and as long as we are going to all the trouble of redrawing these maps, for this one time in a decade, we should do it right to make maps with no bias.</p>	Carol Bardenstein	2021-11-21 20:22:27+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>I continually am confused at why Plymouth and Canton are split on these redistricting maps. The two cities are nearly inseparable, have one school district centered around a combined group of high schools. How is it that families with students in the same school district would be in different voting districts. I am possibly more confused at why in its place Inkster is included. I can't say that I even know anyone from Inkster, and it changes the population and financial makeup of the voting district.</p>	Jacob Dalebout	2021-11-21 20:21:45+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>This map is fair compared to the Hickory map, unfortunately neither are perfect.</p>	Dustin	2021-11-21 20:16:54+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>The Magnolia map provides for the best continuity of Livingston county and makes the most sense. Living in Hamburg I do not have much in common fiscally with Ann Arbor and our communities are nothing alike.</p>	Jessica	2021-11-21 20:13:46+00

I think there are some real positives to this map. First off, it joins the communities of Plymouth and Canton, something I believe is an important trait that the Cherry v2 map did not have. I do believe that it may reach a bit far with the likes of Bridgewater and Brownstown, but as a younger Canton resident I cannot say with full certainty those are unlike the Plymouth-Canton community. I also appreciate that for the most part, the districts make geometric sense, with many being near perfect rectangles with the confusingly shaped districts kept to a minimum. Overall, I think this map is the best of the 3 proposed thus far.

Chestnut

Like

Jonathan Wylie

2021-11-21 20:10:19+00

The maps remain unfair and nonsense. The southeast oakland districts should be drawn horizontal so that Eight Mile divides Oakland from Wayne. There should be more Minority Majority districts. The strips of a district is gerrymandering for the idiots, neither Democrats nor Republicans benefit.

Szetela House

Dislike

Certainly the State does not. Nina Dodge Abrams

2021-11-21 20:03:44+00

No map ever drawn will be perfect and satisfy everyone. That being said, there is one striking feature of this map that I question. I don't understand why Plymouth and Canton are separated. It's not as if their combined population is too great to be a part of one district, and as a Canton resident, I can say that residents of these towns hardly distinguish the two. People from these communities share a school district (along with a large high school campus), so it doesn't make sense why Plymouth is paired with

Cherry V2

Opinion

Northville rather than Canton. Jonathan Wylie

2021-11-21 19:54:33+00

I sincerely hope you will select the Linden State Senate map. It would finally give the Tri-Cities community of interest the chance to elect a person who would have to consider ideas from both parties. This map is the most competitive and is the closest to partisan fairness. I appreciate so much your efforts with this map to eliminate the last 20 plus years of Republican

Linden

Like

gerrymandering. I don't think the old district 82 should now have so much

Cassandra M Foley

2021-11-21 18:42:28+00

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Macomb county included.

Bob Craik

2021-11-21 18:26:46+00

Of the three congressional maps, I favor this one, the Chestnut map. It creates a district that keeps the obvious communities of interest united, that is the Tri-Cities and Flint. Manufacturing, health care systems, and cultural interests are just a few of the common concerns of the mid-sized cities in Mid-Michigan. This Chestnut map is also the most competitive, although the considerable lean for the Republicans should definitely be adjusted to better meet the criterion of partisan fairness. This map also includes more of Midland County, which has been a concern of some commenters. This map is not perfect, but it is a great improvement over what we have now. It will give much better representation to my area, and will get rid of the partisan gerrymandering the Commission was chosen to Madison Heights and the small area of Oakland county including a sliver of Royal Oak will be ignored if this map is used.

Chestnut

Like

Cassandra M Foley

2021-11-21 18:24:08+00

Birch V2

Like

Mayor Roslyn Grafstein

2021-11-21 18:22:01+00

Apple V2	Like	I appreciate that this district appears to be a good partisan blend where it can be competitive and whomever wins will not be an extreme on either side of the political spectrum.	Mark Zacharda	2021-11-21 17:58:54+00
Chestnut	Dislike	I like how the map keeps Plymouth and Canton in the same district. These 2 cities have a lot in common such as similar houses and schools. But, I do not like how the district is so large and some of the areas do not have much in common with each other. For example, Ann Arbor is a big city while Bridgewater is not. Unacceptable. Goes overboard to preserve Republican dominance in state house despite voter preferences.	Andrew Laesch	2021-11-21 17:38:23+00
Szetela House	Dislike		Richard Burney	2021-11-21 17:16:02+00

Cherry V2	Like	I this that this map is a good representation of this area's community. For example, in the community there are many neighborhoods that are very similar to each other. These neighborhoods mean that those people living there have similar financial situations. So, this map does a good job at not cutting off or splitting those parts of the community.	Andrew Laesch	2021-11-21 17:14:06+00
Hickory	Dislike	Unacceptable. Continues current maldistribution rather than undoing the gerrymandering of the last 10 years.	Richard Burney	2021-11-21 17:07:12+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Bizarre is the best word for this. Unacceptable.	Richard Burney	2021-11-21 16:58:16+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Completely unacceptable. Highly acceptable. The mix of rural and urban voters that some complain about is overdue and needed for	Richard Burney	2021-11-21 16:53:00+00
Birch V2	Like	balance.	Richard Burney	2021-11-21 16:43:09+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This splits the community of Romeo, Washington, Bruce & Ray are similar communities should be kept together. Washington has more in common with the thumb than Highland or white lake?	John	2021-11-21 16:42:34+00

This map makes sense for the city of Midland to be included with the watershed communities lying to the north as it has direct impacts (see flood of May 2020) on the city at large.

Lange Congressional Like

Brandon Scott

2021-11-21 15:29:52+00

I have already expressed support for this map, and after looking at it closely, I support it even more. For the most part, it is, probably, as good as it can be. However, there are a couple minor things and oddball splits that you could change without changing the overall balance of the map. For example, the 35th could easily absorb all of Manistee County; Wexford could be moved to the 30th in exchange for Gladwin and the rest of N. Bay to the 36th; the 31st could absorb all of Lake; exchange 1 pct in GR twp for a couple pcts in GR from the 32nd to the 33rd; move all of York twp into the 19th; all of Taylor into the 1st; all of Royal Oak and Clawson into the 6th; trade out that one pct in Sterling Hts to the 12th in exchange for another pct in Troy to the 7th; and one more, all of Somerset twp into the 20th. None of that

Palm

Like

Jack Bengtsson

2021-11-21 15:28:10+00

I was born and raised in the city of Midland and believe it should not be seperated from the rest of Midland county.

Chestnut

Dislike

Brandon Scott

2021-11-21 15:24:39+00

Chestnut	Dislike	<p>This map dilutes the urban and communities of color in Niles and groups them largely with farming rural communities. The same is true for lakefront communities whose interests are similar to St Joe and communities along the north lakeshore. This give these communities little voice with the primarily rural farming interest along the southern border.</p>	Ken Neumann	2021-11-21 14:48:59+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	<p>Another extremely partisan map favoring Democrats. Read Don Bishop's comment. That is called reverse phycology. They know these maps are gerrymandered for the democrats but say these maps are bad for democrats to try to sway republican support. Not me Don.</p>	merlin steffes	2021-11-21 14:48:09+00

Apple V2	Like	This map keeps Berries County's three Urban districts (st Joe, Benton Harbor, and Niles) together as well as the large lake front communities which have many similar qualities. Other maps that split Berrien County will dilute the common interest of these communities and helps maintain a diverse electorate.	Ken Neumann	2021-11-21 14:43:56+00
Lange Congressional	Opinion	Although all the maps have a democrat bias, this map is better than the Szetela map.	merlin steffes	2021-11-21 14:42:17+00
Szetela Congressional	Opinion	Although all the maps have a democrat bias, this map is better than the Szetela map.	merlin steffes	2021-11-21 14:40:16+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Midland County should not be separated.	Kay Gable	2021-11-21 14:24:20+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Midland County should be kept together.	Kay Gable	2021-11-21 14:17:45+00
Apple V2	Opinion	Midland County should be kept together.	Kay Gable	2021-11-21 14:15:56+00

Apple V2 Dislike I thought this was suppose to stop gerrymandering. This obviously doesnt....the stagnant Michigan population is from SE michigan(dem area) yet you have gerrymandered 8 dem districts out of this map whne they should be losing one from their current 7 Thomas Smith 2021-11-21 13:46:26+00

Chestnut Like I think that it is important to have Canton and plymouth in the same district. Unlike the state senate boundaries, this map includes two towns with very similar interests being majorly suburban populations. That is also good because they share a high school, so that means that they should have the same people representing them. Another good thing is that the people of plymouth and canton are much more closely connected than other cities such as northville. Carter Adamski 2021-11-21 13:40:08+00

Chestnut	Like	I think that it is important to have Canton and plymouth in the same district. Unlike the state senate boundaries, this map includes two towns with very similar interests being majorly suburban populations. That is also good because they share a high school, so that means that they should have the same people representing them.	Carter Adamski	2021-11-21 13:27:32+00
----------	------	---	----------------	------------------------

Cherry V2	Dislike	I agrees with putting Northville and plymouth together, as they are two towns with similar, suburban type population. What I find weird is that Canton and Plymouth are not in the same district, because we probably share even more similarities with them than Northville. Heck, we even share a high school with eachother. In the end, District 13 is good, but in order to improve it, you must include Plymouth and Canton to the same district.	Carter Adamski	2021-11-21 12:41:41+00
-----------	---------	---	----------------	------------------------

Linden	Like	I like the Linden map for its fairness to everyone and that it keeps the Tri-Cities together. Additionally, splitting Midland County gives those of us effected by flooding TWO representatives to look after our interests. This is important as they can extend the reach of citizens thoughts and ideas on the subject.	Charles J. Mikkelsen	2021-11-21 07:34:45+00
Pine v5	Like	I like Pine V5 since it does not split the City of Troy. I want to stay with my city - not be separated from them and put in with another county.	Carol Tootalian	2021-11-21 05:40:32+00
Szetela House	Dislike	I don't like this map as estern Troy is gouped with Sterling Heights, Troy needs tobe kept in one district. We have nothing in common with Macomb County	Hirak Chanda	2021-11-21 03:26:54+00
Pine v5	Like	I like this map as it keeps Troy together in one district ( COI).	Hirak Chanda	2021-11-21 03:23:00+00

Overall, District 6 is well rounded in terms of how it incorporates the major diverse towns and cities, such as Ann Arbor, Canton, and Plymouth; this is especially prominent in Asian representation. Furthermore, the Plymouth-Canton schools are together, which is very reasonable and helpful for combining similar educational beliefs. However, I do not understand why District 6 stretches to the river coast as the coastal towns have a different perspective compared to the more western regions of the District. Ultimately, it is a clash between two different communities in one region; the more suburban and urban communities vs the river coast communities. There most likely needs to be a split in allowing the western region to be together and either the eastern region to be by

Chestnut

Opinion

Chris Jiang

2021-11-21 03:01:42+00

Overall, District 5 is decent, especially in terms of the population. The Asian community is well grouped and well represented compared to the overall white population and the other districts, where voting is also reasonable. However, I do not believe Canton and Westland should be grouped together because Canton and Plymouth would make much more sense. For instance, the prominent public schooling of Plymouth-Canton provides for an important factor, which is not represented very well at all. Currently, Plymouth is in District 13; allowing Canton and Plymouth to be integrated together will severely improve the quality of Plymouth-Canton schools and the thousands of students that attend by allowing better, continuous communication and beliefs.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Chris Jiang

2021-11-21 03:00:31+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>I have lived in Troy since 1976. Congressional District 11 is already a competitive district -- having had, in succession, both a Republican and a Democratic U.S. House Representative. Troy should stay in District 11 and with its neighbors in Oakland County. The interests of Rochester Hills, Troy, Royal Oak and Ferndale are similar and interconnected (by Woodward Avenue and I75). Those interests are disconnected from Sterling Heights, St. Clair Shores and communities to the East. To expect a member of the U.S. House of Representative to adequately represent a hodgepodge of such very different communities is, I</p>	Richard Smith	2021-11-21 02:57:50+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>fear, asking too much.</p>	Richard Smith	2021-11-21 02:34:15+00

I think this map does a better job at dividing up COI, but there is still a pretty huge variation in diversity between districts. But in District 6, it does a good job at representing the huge Asian community there. Besides the race, I think the map represents COI of towns and cities in District 6 pretty well. As a resident of Canton, it is good to put Plymouth and Canton in the same district since they generally share the same interests. They are also pretty intertwined with each other. All in all, this map is pretty good.

Chestnut

Opinion

Summer Xiong

2021-11-20 22:45:11+00

When looking at the map as a whole, I feel like the borders might need some work. Looking at the racial diversity of each district, there are pretty big variations. Some have an overwhelmingly white population. In addition, all districts are majority white. I understand that this may just be a reflection of the whole Michigan population. Specifically looking at district 5, I have doubts about putting Westland and Canton together. From my experience living in Canton, I would say that putting Canton and Plymouth together may be better. Minor changes can be considered overall.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Summer Xiong

2021-11-20 22:28:37+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>This map is a better organized grouping of communities. As a long term resident of Grosse Ile, we need to work with our close communities, including Wyandotte and Southgate on shared land use values and environmental concerns, including the on-going changes at the McClouth Steel site.</p> <p>This map does not take into account the need for Grosse Ile and Trenton to be with our closest communities of shared interest, especially Wyandotte and Southgate. We need to address the issues of waterfront protection and usage as a community.</p>	Carol Domino	2021-11-20 22:02:08+00
Chestnut	Dislike		Carol Domino	2021-11-20 21:53:53+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>As a 22 year resident of Troy, I prefer this map. Troy needs to be kept with Oakland County neighbours and not with Sterling Heights. Troy needs to be with Oakland County neighbors.</p>	Hirak Chanda	2021-11-20 21:27:27+00
Apple V2	Dislike		Hirak Chanda	2021-11-20 20:50:20+00

Chestnut	Opinion	<p>I believe the percentage of people based on ethnicity for this district is very similar to those of other districts for many groups. The Native American group though, has a significantly higher prevalence in this region compared to the other districts. It seems like some sort of packing is going on in this district because of the high percentage number. Even with this outlier, I believe the district lines overall are drawn pretty well. I feel like this district, though similar in population to all other districts, exceeds particularly in the amount of land it covers. I believe these voting districts should represent similar land areas, as well as population, but while I think it is difficult to do so, I believe different townships could be included to make the area smaller, while still representing the same amount of people.</p>	Alexander Huey	2021-11-20 20:38:18+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	<p>same amount of people.</p>	Alexander Huey	2021-11-20 20:29:12+00

Chestnut Like Personally, as a resident of Canton, I like the borders drawn around District 6 as they appropriately group the closely tied cities together. Also, the districting is done well in the sense that the total population and the voting age populations of these districts are evenly distributed. Some districts have very skewed ratios of different communities, and many have a strong white bias, but for the most part, this map is well drawn in terms of representation. Jaya Choudhary 2021-11-20 18:47:31+00

Chestnut Opinion I approve of the low deviation of District 6. District 6 also represents the Asian population more than that of all other districts. But, I also believe itâ€™s unnecessary to expand this district up to Belle Isle as they have a different demographic than Washtenaw & Wayne county. I also believe that the district should be moved east and expand more toward Wetland and Wayne As they have similar demographics. Yash Kalani 2021-11-20 18:31:06+00

I agree with Kristine S  
Detmers comment posted in  
Michigan State House Pine V5  
map, "... All these maps  
should have been drawn with  
a color blind eye and based  
on population alone!  
Gerrymandering at its  
worse!". The data shown in  
these maps should have only  
provided the "Total  
Population" and the "Voting  
Age Population". All other  
numbers are injecting race  
and ethnicity which overlooks  
the most important fact, "We  
are ALL Americans"!

Apple V2

Opinion

Cal Morton

2021-11-20 18:09:33+00

I agree with Kristine S  
Detmers comment posted in  
Michigan State House Pine V5  
map, "... All these maps  
should have been drawn with  
a color blind eye and based  
on population alone!  
Gerrymandering at its  
worse!". The data shown in  
these maps should have only  
provided the "Total  
Population" and the "Voting  
Age Population". All other  
numbers are injecting race  
and ethnicity which overlooks  
the most important fact, "We  
are ALL Americans"!

Birch V2

Opinion

Cal Morton

2021-11-20 18:07:35+00

I agree with Kristine S  
Detmers comment posted in  
Michigan State House Pine V5  
map, "... All these maps  
should have been drawn with  
a color blind eye and based  
on population alone!  
Gerrymandering at its  
worse!". The data shown in  
these maps should have only  
provided the "Total  
Population" and the "Voting  
Age Population". All other  
numbers are injecting race  
and ethnicity which overlooks  
the most important fact, "We  
are ALL Americans"!

Chestnut

Opinion

Cal Morton

2021-11-20 18:06:11+00

I agree with Kristine S  
Detmers comment posted in  
Michigan State House Pine V5  
map, "... All these maps  
should have been drawn with  
a color blind eye and based  
on population alone!  
Gerrymandering at its  
worse!". The data shown in  
these maps should have only  
provided the "Total  
Population" and the "Voting  
Age Population". All other  
numbers are injecting race  
and ethnicity which overlooks  
the most important fact, "We  
are ALL Americans"!

Szetela Senate

Opinion

Cal Morton

2021-11-20 18:03:18+00

I agree with Kristine S  
Detmers comment posted in  
Michigan State House Pine V5  
map, "... All these maps  
should have been drawn with  
a color blind eye and based  
on population alone!  
Gerrymandering at its  
worse!". The data shown in  
these maps should have only  
provided the "Total  
Population" and the "Voting  
Age Population". All other  
numbers are injecting race  
and ethnicity which overlooks  
the most important fact, "We  
are ALL Americans"!

Lange Senate correct Opinion

Cal Morton

2021-11-20 18:02:17+00

I agree with Kristine S  
Detmers comment posted in  
Michigan State House Pine V5  
map, "... All these maps  
should have been drawn with  
a color blind eye and based  
on population alone!  
Gerrymandering at its  
worse!". The data shown in  
these maps should have only  
provided the "Total  
Population" and the "Voting  
Age Population". All other  
numbers are injecting race  
and ethnicity which overlooks  
the most important fact, "We  
are ALL Americans"!

Kellom Senate

Opinion

Cal Morton

2021-11-20 18:00:46+00

I approve of this map, as it helps emphasize diversity, as the diversity of this district in Asian population is larger than other districts. However, I think that Canton and Plymouth should be grouped together in a single district as they are grouped together in many of the other districting efforts. In addition Canton also has a similar demographic to that of Plymouth. This district includes a 'dip' at the land at the bottom. This could have been expanded to reach lower deviation from the rest of the map.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Yash Kalani

2021-11-20 18:00:17+00

I agree with Kristine S  
Detmers comment posted in  
Michigan State House Pine V5  
map, "... All these maps  
should have been drawn with  
a color blind eye and based  
on population alone!  
Gerrymandering at its  
worse!". The data shown in  
these maps should have only  
provided the "Total  
Population" and the "Voting  
Age Population". All other  
numbers are injecting race  
and ethnicity which overlooks  
the most important fact, "We  
are ALL Americans"!

Linden

Opinion

Cal Morton

2021-11-20 17:59:25+00

I agree with Kristine S  
Detmers comment posted in  
Michigan State House Pine V5  
map, "... All these maps  
should have been drawn with  
a color blind eye and based  
on population alone!  
Gerrymandering at its  
worst!". The data shown in  
these maps should have only  
provided the "Total  
Population" and the "Voting  
Age Population". All other  
numbers are injecting race  
and ethnicity which overlooks  
the most important fact, "We  
are ALL Americans"!

Palm

Opinion

Cal Morton

2021-11-20 17:58:09+00

I agree with Kristine S  
Detmers comment posted in  
Michigan State House Pine V5  
map, "... All these maps  
should have been drawn with  
a color blind eye and based  
on population alone!  
Gerrymandering at its  
worse!". The data shown in  
these maps should have only  
provided the "Total  
Population" and the "Voting  
Age Population". All other  
numbers are injecting race  
and ethnicity which overlooks  
the most important fact, "We  
are ALL Americans"!

Cherry V2

Opinion

Cal Morton

2021-11-20 17:56:20+00

I agree with Kristine S  
Detmers comment posted in  
Michigan State House Pine V5  
map, "... All these maps  
should have been drawn with  
a color blind eye and based  
on population alone!  
Gerrymandering at its  
worst!". The data shown in  
these maps should have only  
provided the "Total  
Population" and the "Voting  
Age Population". All other  
numbers are injecting race  
and ethnicity which overlooks  
the most important fact, "We  
are ALL Americans"!

Szetela House

Opinion

Cal Morton

2021-11-20 17:53:35+00

I agree with Kristine S  
Detmers comment posted in  
Michigan State House Pine V5  
map, "... All these maps  
should have been drawn with  
a color blind eye and based  
on population alone!  
Gerrymandering at its  
worse!". The data shown in  
these maps should have only  
provided the "Total  
Population" and the "Voting  
Age Population". All other  
numbers are injecting race  
and ethnicity which overlooks  
the most important fact, "We  
are ALL Americans"!

Hickory

Opinion

Cal Morton

2021-11-20 17:52:16+00

I agree with Kristine S Detmers comment posted in Michigan State House Pine V5 map, "... All these maps should have been drawn with a color blind eye and based on population alone! Gerrymandering at its worse!". The data shown in these maps should have only provided the "Total Population" and the "Voting Age Population". All other numbers are injecting race and ethnicity which overlooks the most important fact, "We are ALL Americans"!

Magnolia

Opinion

Cal Morton

2021-11-20 17:50:38+00

I approve of this map, as it helps emphasize diversity. However, I think that Canton and Plymouth should be grouped together in a single district as they are similar and are grouped together in many of the other districting efforts. In addition this district includes a diagonal triangle shaped piece of land that could have been expanded to reach lower deviation from the map.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Yash Kalani

2021-11-20 17:49:12+00

I agree with Kristine S  
Detmers comment posted in  
Michigan State House Pine V5  
map, "... All these maps  
should have been drawn with  
a color blind eye and based  
on population alone!  
Gerrymandering at its  
worse!". The data shown in  
these maps should have only  
provided the "Total  
Population" and the "Voting  
Age Population". All other  
numbers are injecting race  
and ethnicity which overlooks  
the most important fact, "We  
are ALL Americans"!

Szetela Congressional Opinion

Cal Morton

2021-11-20 17:47:56+00

I agree with Kristine S  
Detmers comment posted in  
Michigan State House Pine V5  
map, "... All these maps  
should have been drawn with  
a color blind eye and based  
on population alone!  
Gerrymandering at its  
worse!". The data shown in  
these maps should have only  
provided the "Total  
Population" and the "Voting  
Age Population". All other  
numbers are injecting race  
and ethnicity which overlooks  
the most important fact, "We  
are ALL Americans"!

Lange Congressional Opinion

Cal Morton

2021-11-20 17:46:08+00

Pine v5	Opinion	<p>I agree with Kristine S Detmers comment, "... All these maps should have been drawn with a color blind eye and based on population alone! Gerrymandering at is worse!". The data shown in these maps should have only provide the "Total Population" and the "Voting Age Population". All other numbers are injecting race and ethnicity which overlooks the most important fact, "We are ALL Americans"!</p>	Cal Morton	2021-11-20 17:33:04+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This map is the best of the 3 proposals. It keeps all of Kent County together. The outlying counties next to Kent that have been included share the same values, planning and infrastructure needs.</p>	Cal Morton	2021-11-20 17:19:08+00

Apple V2	Dislike	<p>My apologizes for repeating this comment as I had my district numbers incorrect. The 2nd and 4th District Maps are horrible. Especially the 4th District Map. To carve out Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo into its own district is gerrymandering at its best. These two communities do not share the same values. This map needs to be rejected!</p>	Cal Morton	2021-11-20 17:08:02+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>My apologizes for repeating this comment as I had my district numbers incorrect. The 2nd and 4th District Maps are horrible. Especially the 4th District Map. To carve out Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo into its own district is gerrymandering at its best. These two communities do not share the same values. This map needs to be rejected!</p>	Cal Morton	2021-11-20 17:05:05+00
Linden	Like	<p>This map is the fairest as far as balancing the parties and the least gerrymandered.</p>	Jerry S Walden	2021-11-20 17:03:31+00
Linden	Like	<p>This map is the fairest as far as balancing the parties and the least gerrymandered.</p>	Jerry S Walden	2021-11-20 17:03:30+00

Apple V2	Dislike	The 2nd and 3rd District Maps are horrible. Especially the 4rd District Map. To carve out Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo into its own district is gerrymandering at its best. These two communities do not share the same values. This map needs to be rejected!	Cal Morton	2021-11-20 17:02:13+00
Birch V2	Like	This map is the fairest in apportionment and is the in my opinion.	Jerry S Walden	2021-11-20 16:57:54+00
Apple V2	Dislike	The 2nd and 3rd District Maps are horrible. Especially the 3rd District Map. To carve out Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo into its own district is gerrymandering at its best. These two communities do not share the same values. This map needs to be rejected!	Cal Morton	2021-11-20 16:57:33+00
Palm	Dislike	The Palm map is very unfair. Linden and Cherry are much better. Palm would allow Republican to win most seats even in Democratic years. I voted for Proposal 2 because I want a fair map and fair elections.	Chris Andrews	2021-11-20 16:56:44+00

Apple V2	Dislike	<p>All of the maps have a partisan bias favoring Republicans, but this is the worst! It is also bad in how it splits up the Lansing region. Please approve Chestnut or Birch, which are much fairer. This chopped up map seems to be the most gerrymandered map of the bunch and won't serve the voters of this district well.</p>	Chris Andrews	2021-11-20 16:43:56+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>This map is our best choice for representing our area. There is a good mix of voters and would provide a competitive district that would require any candidate to address the constituents vs any other influences be they party or donor.</p>	Michael Saenz	2021-11-20 16:38:10+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This is the fairest map for Congress. Although all the maps have a Republican bias, this is the best. It has several competitive seats.</p>	Michael Saenz	2021-11-20 16:34:53+00
Chestnut	Like		Chris Andrews	2021-11-20 16:31:16+00

Overall, I believe that the redistricting of the communities in District 13 benefit the interests of the people. Population-wise, the deviation has gone up about 1%, which continues to allow fair election processes. As a resident of Plymouth attending Plymouth-Canton schools, I don't think there is an issue with the splitting of Plymouth and Canton. The representation of both Plymouth and Canton residents continues to stay at a consistent rate, so the issue over electing representatives clashing with the school district's knowledge on the subject isn't an issue. I also believe the communities of interest in District 13 are all relatively similar to each other, which of course, is the most important issue to be accounted for. An issue I could see in District 5 is the difference of interests and

Cherry V2

Like

Addy Frazer

2021-11-20 16:30:10+00

This is the best plan, since it creates the most competitive districts(5% margin or less). See attached chart for comparison.

Hickory

Like

Richard Michalski

2021-11-20 16:22:07+00

I think the redistricting of District 6 is, for the most part, fair. With the obvious nature of the goals of redistricting, the population of the district is close to identical of others. I think instead of relying on what others are concerned about, diversity, I think we should be focusing on the population as a whole. Every person gets a vote; I think if we purposefully split up the districts based on diversity, we are also purposefully altering the outcome of the process of choosing our representatives. One issue that could potentially come with this districting is a conflict of interests between Ann Arbor/Canton/Plymouth and the downriver communities concerned with their waterfront, which the interior communities won't worry about.

Chestnut

Like

Addy Frazer

2021-11-20 16:18:52+00

This is the best plan since it is one that creates the most competitive districts. See attached chart.

Hickory

Like

Richard Michalski

2021-11-20 16:18:49+00

The state senate districts are evenly drawn just in terms of population. However, the districts are very uneven in terms of diversity. Certain districts have a very heavy bias towards the white population, with over 90% of the population being white. This may lead to issues with the representation of the people. Additionally, some borders split cities that are very integrated communities. For example, the border between districts 5 and 13 split Canton and Plymouth. This is an interesting choice considering that Canton and Plymouth are very integrated in terms of people and education. Separating them into different districts messes up the representation of a connected population. Overall, the district borders have been drawn well, but some of the smaller details in certain communities should

Cherry V2

Opinion

Jaya Choudhary

2021-11-20 15:18:27+00

Hickory

Like

Fred Hall

2021-11-20 14:53:00+00

Palm	Dislike	This is the most partisan of all the Senate maps and creates exactly the sort of gerrymander that the Commission was created to avoid. Please do not vote for this map.	Fred Hall	2021-11-20 14:50:40+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map is better than most although there is still partisan bias.	Fred Hall	2021-11-20 14:46:20+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map is less fair than Chestnut or Birch. This map makes it likely that Republicans will win the most seats even if Democrats get the most vote. Please do not vote for this map.	Fred Hall	2021-11-20 14:42:06+00
Chestnut	Like	Thank you for working to draw fair maps. Chestnut seems to be the most balanced of the Congressional maps	Fred Hall	2021-11-20 14:37:47+00
Magnolia	Like	this is the fairest version. Please choose this.	Ron Singer	2021-11-20 14:23:06+00

This is the best of the House maps for Michigan. Grand Rapids area configuration will give a voice to Hispanic, African, and other like communities within the area. The underserved south side of the city will have needed dedicated representation for their unique issues. It is great to see the Walker/Grandville cities combined with the west side of Grand Rapids to keep these like areas together. Thanks for making the changes in the Grand Rapids area. This fulfills the expectations that all Grand Rapids area voters had for fair maps.

Hickory

Like

Rich Thrush

2021-11-20 14:21:03+00

This map can easily be changed to make it partisan fair for Michigan to address the majority of public comments which called for redoing the House maps for this reason and restart the 45 day comment period. Simply change the district configurations around the Grand Rapids area to match the Hickory map. As pointed out before, this can be done without changing the overall Michigan map just like was done on the Hickory map. Do it now and the 45 day period end date is only extended 1 week to January 7. The current map is unacceptable. Totally breaks up the communities of interest in the area, does not include Ada and Cascade townships which are an integral part of the metro area and splits representation of the Hispanic, African American

Szetela House	Opinion	and underserved	Rich Thrush	2021-11-20 14:13:20+00
Palm	Like	this is still the best	Ron Singer	2021-11-20 14:03:11+00
Birch V2	Like	I would prefer Warren to not be broken up but this is still the best.	Ron Singer	2021-11-20 14:01:12+00
Birch V2	Like	this is the best of the three proposals.	Ron Singer	2021-11-20 13:52:39+00

Birch V2	Like	This map keeps downriver as a community of interest and seems fair.	Deborah S. Walker	2021-11-20 13:48:39+00
Palm	Dislike	Keep Berrien whole	Julie Wuerfel	2021-11-20 13:48:19+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Keep Berrien whole.	Julie Wuerfel	2021-11-20 13:46:30+00
Chestnut	Dislike	It is extremely important that Wyandotte and Southgate are included with Trenton and Grosse Ile due to the issues we share in common, particularly the waterfront. Can we just Ogemaw and Gladwin county to they 5th	Deborah S. Walker	2021-11-20 13:44:44+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	districting commission???	Anthony James Bash	2021-11-20 13:44:00+00

why would a school district be split is two? Marshall and Albion share the same school district. Why would they not be kept together? Also Battle Creek, Emmett, Pennfield, Bedford, and Springfield share Police and Fire with Mutual Aid, they all are members of BCATS, and AMASA, share the same Library, Sewer system, Chamber of Commerce and on and on. Albion and Battle Creek share nothing in common including having separate Chambers of Commerce, Separate NAACP Branches, not to mention with this map you have to drive through another district to get from one side to the other. This map is obviously gerrymandered and stretched and is no different than what currently exist which says enough sing the district was one used as an example of a gerrymandered district and a reason why this commission

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Dave Morgan

2021-11-20 13:25:58+00

why would a school district be split is two? Marshall and Albion share the same school district. Why would they not be kept together? Also Battle Creek, Emmett, Pennfield, Bedford, and Springfield share Police and Fire with Mutual Aid, they all are members of BCATS, and AMASA, share the same Library, Sewer system, Chamber of Commerce and on and on. Albion and Battle Creek share nothing in common including having separate Chambers of Commerce, Separate NAACP Branches, not to mention with this map you have to drive through another district to get from one side to the other. This map is obviously gerrymandered and stretched and is no different than what currently exist which says enough sing the district was one used as an example of a gerrymandered district and a reason why this commission

Pine v3

Dislike

Dave Morgan

2021-11-20 13:23:37+00

why would a school district be split is two? Marshall and Albion share the same school district. Why would they not be kept together? Also Battle Creek, Emmett, Pennfield, Bedford, and Springfield share Police and Fire with Mutual Aid, they all are members of BCATS, and AMASA, share the same Library, Sewer system, Chamber of Commerce and on and on. Albion and Battle Creek share nothing in common including having separate Chambers of Commerce, Separate NAACP Branches, not to mention with this map you have to drive through another district to get from one side to the other. This map is obviously gerrymandered and stretched and is no different than what currently exist which says enough sing the district was one used as an example of a gerrymandered district and a reason why this commission

Pine v2

Dislike

Dave Morgan

2021-11-20 13:22:42+00

why would a school district be split is two? Marshall and Albion share the same school district. Why would they not be kept together? Also Battle Creek, Emmett, Pennfield, Bedford, and Springfield share Police and Fire with Mutual Aid, they all are members of BCATS, and AMASA, share the same Library, Sewer system, Chamber of Commerce and on and on. Albion and Battle Creek share nothing in common including having separate Chambers of Commerce, Separate NAACP Branches, not to mention with this map you have to drive through another district to get from one side to the other. This map is obviously gerrymandered and stretched and is no different than what currently exist which says enough sing the district was one used as an example of a gerrymandered district and a reason why this commission

Pine v4

Dislike

Dave Morgan

2021-11-20 13:21:50+00

This is by far the best map when it comes to the Battle Creek Area and Albion. Marshall and Albion who share the same school district are together and are true Communities of interest sharing the same school, library, NAACP Branch, and mutual aid with Police and Fire. Also Battle Creek, Emmett, Pennfield, Bedford, and Springfield share Police and Fire with Mutual Aid, they all are members of BCATS, and AMASA, share the same Library, Sewer system, Chamber of Commerce and on and on. Albion and Battle Creek share nothing in common including having separate Chambers of Commerce, Separate NAACP Branches, not to mention with this map you no longer have to drive through another district to get from one side to the other. This map is not stretched nor gerrymandered. Dave Morgan

Pine v5

Like

2021-11-20 13:20:33+00

why would a school district be split is two? Marshall and Albion share the same school district. Why would they not be kept together? Also Battle Creek, Emmett, Pennfield, Bedford, and Springfield share Police and Fire with Mutual Aid, they all are members of BCATS, and AMASA, share the same Library, Sewer system, Chamber of Commerce and on and on. Albion and Battle Creek share nothing in common including having separate Chambers of Commerce, Separate NAACP Branches, not to mention with this map you have to drive through another district to get from one side to the other. This map is obviously gerrymandered and stretched and is no different than what currently exist which says enough sing the district was one used as an example of a gerrymandered district and a reason why this commission

Hickory

Dislike

Dave Morgan

2021-11-20 13:13:36+00

why would a school district be split is two? Marshall and Albion share the same school district. Why would they not be kept together? Also Battle Creek, Emmett, Pennfield, Bedford, and Springfield share Police and Fire with Mutual Aid, they all are members of BCATS, and AMASA, share the same Library, Sewer system, Chamber of Commerce and on and on. Albion and Battle Creek share nothing in common including having separate Chambers of Commerce, Separate NAACP Branches, not to mention with this map you have to drive through another district to get from one side to the other. This map is obviously gerrymandered and stretched and is no different than what currently exist which says enough sing the district was one used as an example of a gerrymandered district and a reason why this commission

Magnolia

Dislike

Dave Morgan

2021-11-20 13:12:54+00

why would a school district be split is two? Marshall and Albion share the same school district. Why would they not be kept together? Also Battle Creek, Emmett, Pennfield, Bedford, and Springfield share Police and Fire with Mutual Aid, they all are members of BCATS, and AMASA, share the same Library, Sewer system, Chamber of Commerce and on and on. Albion and Battle Creek share nothing in common including having separate Chambers of Commerce, Separate NAACP Branches, not to mention with this map you have to drive through another district to get from one side to the other. This map is obviously gerrymandered and stretched and is no different than what currently exist which says enough sing the district was one used as an example of a gerrymandered district and a reason why this commission

Magnolia am

Like

Dave Morgan

2021-11-20 13:11:33+00

why would a school district be split is two? Marshall and Albion share the same school district. Why would they not be kept together? Also Battle Creek, Emmett, Pennfield, Bedford, and Springfield share Police and Fire with Mutual Aid, they all are members of BCATS, and AMASA, share the same Library, Sewer system, Chamber of Commerce and on and on. Albion and Battle Creek share nothing in common including having separate Chambers of Commerce, Separate NAACP Branches, not to mention with this map you have to drive through another district to get from one side to the other. This map is obviously gerrymandered and stretched and is no different than what currently exist which says enough sing the district was one used as an example of a gerrymandered district and a reason why this commission

Szetela House

Dislike

Dave Morgan

2021-11-20 13:07:40+00

Szetela House	Dislike	District 79 is very bad in this map. My COIs are East Grand Rapids and Grand Rapids, not the rural areas going all the way east over to Lowell and south to Middleville. This would be gerrymandering my votes into these far away rural areas. This map has political bias towards the GOP and should be rejected. If this map is selected by the Commission it would probably face court challenges because of partisan unfairness. Reject this map.	Robert Dragan	2021-11-20 12:57:43+00
Hickory	Like	This is a great map for the Ada/Forest Hills area, keeping it in the same district as the northern side of Grand Rapids and including Grand Rapids Township and Plainfield Township into the same district 81. These are my COIs. This map would be politically fair for the north side of Grand Rapids and keeping it in the same district with the northeast and eastern suburbs.	Robert Dragan	2021-11-20 12:44:27+00

The Linden state senate map is an acceptable state Senate map. It is sensible to group Midland, Bay City and Saginaw into one state Senate district. We already do identify as the Tri-Cities. We share an airport, two public colleges and the Saginaw Bay watershed. This map is an improvement in continuity, meaning, not as spread out as our current gerrymandered state Senate map. In terms of partisan fairness, I was hoping for more of a 50/50 split. This area has been dominated by Republican gerrymandering for over 20 years and decisions about important issues like environmental protection and supporting quality public education have been dominated by one philosophy only. However, of the three choices for state Senate, Linden is the map with the greatest possibility of electing candidates who must

Linden Like Claudia Warren

2021-11-20 04:02:50+00

I dislike this map because it does not represent the unique COI that encompasses both Washtenaw County and Livingston County to the south, known as the Chain of Lakes, which has experienced a great deal of pollution, and shares the common interest of preserving the local ecosystem as well as recreational activities surrounding the chain. It also does nothing to unpack Livingston County which needs to be done, just as Washtenaw needs to be unpacked. East Brighton residents identify with Oakland County and Washtenaw County in the sense that many, many people work, shop and play there - more than they do with the far west or north parts of Livingston County.

Pine v5

Dislike

Kasey Helton

2021-11-20 03:41:24+00

The state's senate district boundaries seem fine to me in this arrangement since they account for most of the districting criteria written into the state constitution. Some of the criteria that the state's districts meet are the equal population, contiguity, and (in my opinion) community of interest requirements. The population of each district ranges from around 258 to around 271 thousand people, the districts each directly border one another's boundaries, and (I believe) that, since each district is usually either rural, suburban, or urban, each community member's social and economic views/desires usually mirror each other. Others think that the community of interest requirements are not met because of the many urban and the fewer rural districts,

Cherry V2

Like

Guneet Kaur

2021-11-20 03:07:00+00

Overall, the map for this district looks rather well-drawn. However, it seems to split Livonia into two parts, which may cause divides in communities. However, the map does do a good job of connecting other cities with similar populations, like Westland and Canton. There is a pretty large racial bias towards the White population, but that seems to be a thing with the population of Michigan as a whole. In the end, it is a strong map with a few things that could possibly

Cherry V2	Opinion	be changed	Jishnu Borra	2021-11-20 02:58:29+00
Chestnut	Like	Best of the plans! Creates the most competitive number of races within the State. See attached chart.	Richard Michalski	2021-11-20 02:26:36+00
Palm	Dislike	This is the most partisan map. Please don't choose this map. This is the map with the least political bias, but it would be nice if the bias was closer to zero.	Beverly J Riggie	2021-11-20 02:13:02+00
Hickory	Like		Beverly J Riggie	2021-11-20 02:08:26+00

The district boundaries are pretty good because they each house about the same amount of people and they cater to their communities of interest. Someone looking at the Michigan congressional districts might think, at first, that bigger districts, like district one, house more people than smaller districts, like District 13, but if you compare the population of both districts, you'll realize that the reason that smaller districts are the size that they are is that their high population density balances out with other districts' larger sizes. The district boundaries also take into account the communal/political interests of each region. If you take a look at the 2020 election map in Michigan, for example, you'll see that the district boundaries we see in the Michigan congressional

This map gives the voters of Michigan the ability to elect a representative. The people are in control not the political parties.

Chestnut

Like

Guneet Kaur

2021-11-20 02:06:52+00

Linden

Like

Beverly J Riggie

2021-11-20 02:02:48+00

Palm	Like	<p>Palm appears to be the most competitive and fair State Map proposal. See my attached file for details.</p> <p>This map seems to achieve the closest partisan fairness. Although it would be nice is the margin was closer to zero partisanship. I like that this map keeps the Tri-Cities and Flint together.</p>	Richard Michalski	2021-11-20 02:01:57+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>Thank you for proposing the Linden map which keeps the tricities together and is the most fair. These cities have many commonalities including a manufacturing base, an airport that uses their name and schools that compete in the same sports leagues.</p>	Beverly J Riggie	2021-11-20 01:50:22+00
Linden	Like		Linda Weaver	2021-11-20 01:49:10+00

Palm	Like	<p>Thank you for listening to our concerns and voices and giving us a fair Senate district. Many other plans divide our rural voice amongst bigger metropolitan areas, diluting our representation. It is only fair to have senators who know and understand our concerns and will speak for us in Lansing. I urge your to vote YES on the PALM map. All other state senate plans favor bigger cities and their interests. Please be fair, as is your constitutional duty. Your constitutional duty is to consider communities of interest. This map divides our rural voices with that of metropolitan areas. We ask for fairness, not divisions with attempts to divide us and give unfair advantage to big cities. Please consider the PALM map, which allows our rural voices to have fair</p>	Kristine Yeutter	2021-11-20 01:48:37+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	<p>representations.</p>	Kristine Yeutter	2021-11-20 01:42:22+00

Kellom Senate	Dislike	Your constitutional duty is to consider communities of interest. This map divides our rural voices with that of metropolitan areas. We ask for fairness, not divisions with attempts to divide us and give unfair advantage to big cities. Please consider the PALM map, which allows our rural voices to have fair representations.	Kristine Yeutter	2021-11-20 01:38:18+00
Linden	Dislike	Your constitutional duty is to consider communities of interest. This map divides our rural voices with that of metropolitan areas. We ask for fairness, not divisions with attempts to divide us and give unfair advantage to big cities. Please consider the PALM map, which allows our rural voices to have fair representations.	Kristine Yeutter	2021-11-20 01:36:25+00

Your constitutional duty is to consider communities of interest. This map divides our rural voices with that of metropolitan areas. We ask for fairness, not divisions with attempts to divide us and give unfair advantage to big cities. Please consider the PALM map, which allows our rural voices to have fair representations.

Lange Senate correct, Dislike

Kristine Yeutter

2021-11-20 01:33:36+00

Your constitutional duty is to consider communities of interest. This map divides our rural voices with that of major metropolitan areas. We ask for fairness, not divisions with attempts to divide us and give unfair advantage to big cities. Please consider the PALM map, which allows our rural voices to have fair representations.

Linden

Dislike

Kristine Yeutter

2021-11-20 01:28:03+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>Your constitutional duty is to consider communities of interest. This map divides our rural voices with that of major metropolitan areas. We ask for fairness, not divisions with attempts to divide us and give unfair advantage to big cities. Please consider the PALM map, which allows our rural voices to have fair representations.</p>	Kristine Yeutter	2021-11-20 01:26:12+00
Palm	Like	<p>Thank you for listening to us and making this a fair map where our rural voices are not diluted to big cities. We have different concerns and appreciate representatives who understand and are responsive to us.</p>	Kristine Yeutter	2021-11-20 01:23:14+00
Linden	Like	<p>The Linden Map provides the fairest representation for the residents of the Tri-Cities area. Our communities share college campuses, corporations, health care systems, and valuable natural resources. Our future will depend on our ability to collaborate and it will be valuable to have one legislative voice.</p>	Kathy Kinkema	2021-11-20 00:16:37+00

Pine v5	Like	<p>The Pine map for this district is preferable because it keeps Troy intact as a community of interest. Troy has more in common with Oakland County than with Macomb County.</p> <p>Although none of the State Senate maps for this district is ideal for communities of interest, the Linden map is least objectionable. Why split the small community of Clawson into separate districts though? It is a cohesive community of interest that should stay united in the same district. Why not move Utica into a Macomb County district to compensate for uniting</p>	Sandra J. Burgess	2021-11-19 23:11:44+00
Linden	Like	<p>Clawson all in one district? This is the most fair map - It has several competitive seats. Thanks for keeping the tri-county area together. The Apple and Lange maps have unfair bias towards</p>	Sandra J. Burgess	2021-11-19 23:04:16+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>republicans.</p>	Viji Jayaraman	2021-11-19 21:49:04+00

Prefer Chestnut map because it keeps Troy, a community of interest and one of the most populous cities in Oakland County, all within the same district. Residents of precincts in southeastern Troy already are disenfranchised by being placed in Warren Consolidated School District, where they are too few in number to have an impact on the school board's policy decisions. Please do NOT weaken their voting clout even further by separating them from the rest of their city in a different Congressional district. Troy has more in common with neighboring Oakland County communities than with

Chestnut	Like	Macomb County.	Sandra J. Burgess	2021-11-19 21:48:34+00
Szetela Senate	Like	It's okay, it keeps Troy together.	Kathleen Goodin	2021-11-19 20:54:12+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This splits Troy so much, our votes will not count.	Kathleen Goodin	2021-11-19 20:51:34+00
Linden	Like	This could work.	Kathleen Goodin	2021-11-19 20:48:48+00

Palm	Dislike	I strongly oppose any map that doesn't give each party its fair shot. This map is a gerrymander for the republican party, and violates the constitutional requirement around partisan fairness	Kathleen Goodin	2021-11-19 20:38:51+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	It may make more sense for Troy/Rochester to be included with Birmingham/Clawson and removed completely from Sterling Heights.	Kathleen Goodin	2021-11-19 20:30:11+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	This is the ONLY map that displays true bipartisan fairness!!	Kathleen Goodin	2021-11-19 20:27:27+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This map is yet another disregard for the distinctions between Oakland and Macomb county cities and voters. Troy, and the cities north and south of it should be aligned with other Oakland County communities,	Kathleen Goodin	2021-11-19 20:24:45+00

Hickory	Like	<p>The purposed state maps could definitely use a rework. The House maps exhibit a bias towards republicans. However Hickory is much better than the others. If you don't go back to the drawing board, KEEP Hickory. Thanks for unpacking the Dems. in the Lansing area</p>	Linda Appling	2021-11-19 20:23:45+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This is an unfair map with serious Republican bias. It breaks up communities of interest; this map should be voted down.</p>	Kathleen Goodin	2021-11-19 20:20:16+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>My city of Troy belongs with Oakland County.</p>	Kathleen Goodin	2021-11-19 20:16:08+00
Szetela Senate	Like	<p>Fair map, but it still reflects Republican bias . Does a good job of representing the Lansing tri-county area .</p>	Don Bishop	2021-11-19 20:11:53+00
Linden	Like	<p>Districts in Eaton,Ingham and Clinton are competitive and reflect the diversity of the communities. It is definitely much better than the Palm map which would ensure Republican control. Though Republican bias still exist Linden is the fairest map.</p>	Linda Appling	2021-11-19 20:05:19+00

Linden	Like	Districts in Eaton, Ingham and Clinton are competitive and reflect the diversity of the communities. It is definitely much better than the Palm map which would ensure Republican control. Though Republican bias still exist Linden is the fairest map.	Linda Appling	2021-11-19 20:05:18+00
Kellom Senate	Opinion	Map addresses concerns in the Detroit area , but is less fair for the Lansing tri-county area . This is a bad map overall. None of the House maps in the final list have good partisan fairness, but Hickory is the best of a bad lot. Don't pick this map.	Don Bishop	2021-11-19 20:04:42+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Extremely partisan map favoring Republicans.	Allison M Wilcox	2021-11-19 20:01:31+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	This is a bad map. The Midland district is not competitive and the map overall has bad partisan fairness. Lots of bad districts in this map. If you must choose one of the proposed House maps, choose Hickory.	Don Bishop	2021-11-19 19:59:54+00
Pine v5	Dislike		Allison M Wilcox	2021-11-19 19:58:00+00

While this map connects a critical community of interest that has been underserved for decades (Arab/MENA American Community), some adjustments can be made to make this map more inclusive and just. For instance, the Melevindale area encompasses a COI that shares many historical experiences and needs. This area is predominately Arab/MENA (with majority Yemeni community) and exists at an intersection with the community that resides in the Southend of Dearborn. The city changed over the years in terms of demographic population as well as urban development. In order to ensure there is no minority vote dilution and fair representation, it would be best to include Melvindale with the rest of Dearborn/Dearborn Heights.

Chestnut	Opinion	Not only the communities Fairest map but still has a Republican bias . Districts in Ingham and Clinton are	Mariam Akanan	2021-11-19 19:55:01+00
Linden	Like	competitive districts .	Don Bishop	2021-11-19 19:44:44+00

Linden Like This is the best Senate map. It helps reduce the Republican bias. The party with the most votes should win the most seats. Chris Andrews 2021-11-19 19:42:45+00

Chestnut Like Though Bias toward Republicans is still in the maps, this one is the best of the lot. It keeps Eaton, Clinton and Ingham largely together. It enhances the community of interest that already exist for this area. ie roads, shopping Lansing Community College, Tri-County Office on aging, Linda Appling 2021-11-19 19:42:05+00

This map is the best of a bad lot. Partisan fairness overall is better than the other proposed maps, but none of them are really good enough. And keeping Midland county whole, with part of Gladwin, makes district 95 a secure Republican seat, not a competitive district. Of the the three maps, though, this one (Hickory) has the best districts for the Detroit area and improves representation for minority voters in that area. However, please consider trying again to improve partisan fairness overall.

Hickory

Opinion

Allison McFarland Wilcox

2021-11-19 19:36:39+00

Troy must be one district, moving us into Macomb county would distort the vote.

Chestnut

Like

Kathleen Goodin

2021-11-19 19:35:14+00

Very unfair map appears to have a partisan Republican basis . Linden and Cherry are much fairer . The Palm map packs Democrats in

Palm

Dislike

Don Bishop

2021-11-19 19:33:16+00

Washtenww county .

Palm	Dislike	Palm has the most Republican bias of the Senate maps. This map packs Democrats in Washtenaw County. I voted for Proposal 2 because I want fair maps so that the party that wins the most seats.	Chris Andrews	2021-11-19 19:33:11+00
Cherry V2	Like	All maps have a relative Republican bias but this is relatively fair. Cherry is pretty good. Palm is very unfair. Relatively fair map . All maps have Republican bias , but is better than Palm . This tri-county area is competitive and reflect diverse communities .	Chris Andrews	2021-11-19 19:25:18+00
Cherry V2	Like	This looks like a fairly good representation of Michigan	Don Bishop	2021-11-19 19:24:34+00
Palm	Like	This map makes sense in terms of grouping similar communities of interest.	Rose R Rook	2021-11-19 19:24:08+00
Hickory	Like		Judy Dee Whipps	2021-11-19 19:17:19+00

Szetela House	Opinion	<p>Again we have very creative efforts to produce some kind of art. I wondering what needs the residents of SE Summit Township, Brooklyn, Napoleon, Liberty and Norvell have in common with those folks who live on the western side of Ann Arbor. I do not like this map, but perhaps it is the least evil of four terrible choices.</p>	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 19:09:37+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>Here yet again we have a map that "gives" Jackson County four different representatives and reaches into Ann Arbor. I'll be the folks in Brooklyn are trying to figure out how their needs with all their small town and love of lakes matches those of the big university city of Ann Arbor. Same for the people in NE Jackson County. I do not like this map.</p>	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 19:02:17+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>Southfield and Dearborn should NOT have the same representative</p>	Carlie Wood	2021-11-19 19:02:06+00

Apple V2	Like	<p>District 4 makes good since interims of professional commitment and minority relations. . The district as shown gives a large commitment to medical research and to treatment. it includes several large hospitals, medical research centers, and a medical school. both Grand rapids and Kalamazoo have large minority populations. This district will give both a more significant voice in governance.</p> <p>Seems a tired refrain at this point, but here is yet another map slicing and dicing Jackson County and reaching its tentacles into Ann Arbor. And again it gives the residents of Jackson County four representatives. I do not like this map.</p>	Fredric Overeem	2021-11-19 18:57:58+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>This map is does NOT achieve partisan fairness. It will assure victories for the party with the least votes.</p>	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 18:57:31+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	<p>Four different representatives for one county? How can this be good?</p>	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-11-19 18:53:45+00
Magnolia	Dislike		Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 18:53:27+00

Lange Senate	Dislike	This map looks like it was designed solely for the purposes of being biased. This map is pure injustice.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:48:03+00
Palm	Dislike	this map packs Democrats and is extremely biased. Linden and Cherry are way better.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:46:18+00
Palm	Dislike	this map is extremely biased. Totally unfair map. This map sucks.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:45:18+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	This map reaches a long snout into Washtenaw County bringing in a good chunk of Ann Arbor and puts an ear on a child's drawing of a dog. I am at a loss to determine the purpose of such contours. It is certainly not an effort to keep communities of interest together - especially for Ann Arbor. Also the areas joined by this map do not have intersecting needs or interests. Not a good choice.	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 18:44:16+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	terrible partisan fairness score	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:43:53+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This map does the best job of creating minority-majority districts. But it has a terrible partisan fairness score	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:43:21+00

		This map is less unfair than other maps, but still does not reach partisan fairness. Less unfair still means unfair. But		
Szetela Senate	Opinion	this one comes close	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:39:50+00
Szetela Senate	Like	Does a good job with COI	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:38:53+00
Szetela Senate	Like	establishes 5 VRA districts. This creates 6 VRA districts. Does well with COI. Most fair of the Senate maps, but still should work to get closer to partisan fairness.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:38:15+00
Cherry V2	Like	This is the best of the Senate maps but it still favors one party	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:36:12+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map leaves western Jackson County completely split off from the rest of the county and then weirdly extends into Washtenaw County and Ann Arbor and a little projection to the north like a child's drawing of a boxy, long snouted dog. (Sorry, that was the closest description I could conjure.) Whatever is the creator of this map was trying to achieve, it was not to keep communities of interest	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:35:00+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	intact.	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 18:34:41+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	The worst of all the maps	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:32:19+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>THIS is the worst of all the maps presented. This map looks like it was drawn specifically to give the most seats to the party that has the fewest votes.</p>	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:31:45+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>Thank you for proposing the Chestnut map. It is the most fair even though it, like all the others, is biased towards Republicans. This map keeps the "centricities" together along with Flint recognizing their commonalities as you were required to do.</p>	Linda Weaver	2021-11-19 18:29:17+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This map is close to partisan fairness</p>	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:27:46+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This map is very fair. Not quite as good as Szetela.</p>	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:27:01+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>Protects COI in Detroit. Great map</p>	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:26:27+00

		<p>This is not a good map for Jackson County as it get attached to Washtenaw County and 1/2 of the university city of Ann Arbor. There could not be two more dissimilar communities of interest - rural, small manufacturing vs big university city. These communities do not share similar needs or solutions. The Palm State Senate map is</p>		
Szetela Senate	Dislike	a better option.	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 18:26:17+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Protects the COI in Detroit	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:25:58+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This map is pretty fair. Its not as good as Szetela.</p> <p>This map is awful. The only good thing I can say about this is that its not as bad as Lange. No partisan fairness at all. HORRIBLE!</p>	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:25:14+00
Apple V2	Dislike		Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:24:11+00

Linden	Dislike	<p>This is not a good map for Jackson County as it joins it with half of the city of Ann Arbor. There could not be two more dissimilar communities of interest - rural, small manufacturing vs big university city. These communities do not share similar needs or solutions. Splitting Ann Arbor does not seem in the best interests of that city either. The Palm State Senate map is a better option.</p>	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 18:24:05+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This map is absolutely horrible. These map has no partisan fairness.</p>	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:23:27+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This map is horrible. This map makes it likely the party with the least votes wins the most seats. This is not fair.</p>	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-11-19 18:22:42+00
Palm	Like	<p>This map presents the best opportunity for representation for the county of Jackson. It keeps the county whole and separate from Ann Arbor which has a totally different set of needs. Please seriously consider adopting this map.</p>	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 18:21:28+00

Chestnut	Like	This map is okay, but not as fair as Szetela's. It still gives more seats to the party that has the fewest votes.	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-11-19 18:19:36+00
Linden	Like	I support this map as it is the fairest even though it favors Republicans.	Linda Weaver	2021-11-19 18:19:23+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This is not a good map for Jackson County as it splits it in two - and both halves get attached to Washtenaw County and the university city of Ann Arbor. There could not be two more dissimilar communities of interest - rural, small manufacturing vs big university city. These communities do not share similar needs or solutions. The Palm State Senate map is a better option.	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 18:18:38+00
Birch V2	Like	Of the Congressional tree maps, this is the best, but it is not as fair as Szetela's. It does protect the most Communities of Interest in Detroit.	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-11-19 18:15:27+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>This map seems to be the best drawn out so far. It connects many smaller communities together and allows for them to have voices and better representation. It connects the Ann Arbor area with Canton and Plymouth which have many similarities. Westland, which is generally linked up with Canton is seperated. Plymouth on the other hand which has many similarities with Canton will be taking the stead, and it makes for a great combination even reaching out towards Ann Arbor Township. All in all this is a great map, and it should definitely be kept.</p>	Ishq Patel	2021-11-19 18:14:57+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>I think the Szetela map is the most fair of the Congressional maps, but this one is next best if you must choose a tree map. This still gives more seats to the party that has the fewest votes. It creates two2Voting Rights Act district &amp; protects the most Communities of Interest in Detroit</p>	Margaret Schankler	2021-11-19 18:14:16+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>This map protects communities of interest in Detroit. I like this map, but not as good szetela</p> <p>This is my favorite of the Congressional tree maps, but I would prefer the Szetela map. If you are not open not open to selecting the Szetela, please choose this one. I understand it has okay partisan fairness, but still gives more seats to the party with fewest votes.</p>	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:14:02+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This map does not keep Jackson County whole. Rather it splits off the rural eastern portion of the county and joins it with Washtenaw county, specifically Ann Arbor. You could not pick two dissimilar areas to put together. It would definitely leave these people without a</p>	Virginia Preuss	2021-11-19 18:13:38+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	<p>voice.</p> <p>This map is a little less partisan than the rest, but there is work that still needs</p>	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 18:12:43+00
Birch V2	Opinion	<p>to be done.</p> <p>This map is pretty fair. I like that it creates VRA districts.</p>	Earl Burton	2021-11-19 18:12:40+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>Szetella map is still the best</p>	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:12:26+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>This is the most fair of the Congressional tree maps, but not as fair as Szetela</p> <p>Some analysis show this has good partisan fairness, but PTV says this still gives more seats to the party that has the fewest votes.</p> <p>â€¢ Voting Rights Act districts</p> <p>â€¢ Protects the most Communities of Interest in Detroit</p>	Daniel Jones	2021-11-19 18:12:14+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This is the most fair of all the Congressional tree maps, but not as fair as Szetela.</p> <p>Thanks for excellent work here. True bipartisan fairness here. Coordinates best of Birch and Chestnut and supports VRA districts. THANK YOU!! This is the best map by far!</p>	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:11:37+00
Szetela Congressional	Like		Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-11-19 18:10:52+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	<p>Thank you for a map that gets close to a 0% Efficiency Gap. This is THE ONLY map that shows true partisan fairness, adequately addressed COI and establishes Voting Rights districts</p>	Margaret Schankler	2021-11-19 18:10:33+00

Lange Congressional Dislike	This map does not keep Jackson County whole. Rather it puts the county in three different districts and splits off the rural eastern portion of the county and joins it with Washtenaw county, specifically Ann Arbor. You could not pick two dissimilar areas to put together. It would definitely leave these people without a voice. What a mess!	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 18:10:12+00
Szetela Congressional Like	Please consider using this map!!	Daniel Jones	2021-11-19 18:09:45+00
Szetela Congressional Like	This is the only map that adequately addresses COI and establishes VRA districts. Please adopt this map.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:09:06+00
Szetela Congressional Like	This is the ONLY map that displays true bipartisan fairness!!	Daniel Jones	2021-11-19 18:08:49+00

This is awesome. I appreciate the commission taking the time to offer better maps. This clearly combines the best parts of Chestnut and Birch. I understand the PlanScore is close to a 0% Efficiency Gap. That is fantastic. This is THE ONLY map that supports partisan fairness, COIs and establishes VRA districts

Szetela Congressional Like

Virginia Preuss

2021-11-19 18:08:25+00

This is THE ONLY map that shows true partisan fairness, adequately addresses Communities of Interest, and establishes VRA districts. Thank you for combining the best of Chestnut and Birch. It gets close to a 0% Efficiency Gap. Great job THANKS!! Thank you for combining the best of Chestnut and Birch.

Szetela Congressional Like

Carrie Hatcher-Kay

2021-11-19 18:08:18+00

Szetela Congressional Like

This map is perfect

Nomi Joyrich

2021-11-19 18:08:16+00

It seems that the map for redistricting is fairly well drawn except for a few key flaws. I believe the map also splits up communities that would do well together. There is not much relation between those in the Canton and those who live all the way out near Inkster. It makes sense that Canton would be grouped with Westland, but it seems that Plymouth and Canton would be best if put together due to their close relations. This map does seem to also split Livonia and connect it to Westland, which is an interesting choice to say the least.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Ishq

2021-11-19 18:07:46+00

This is the only map with a 0% Efficiency Gap and complete partisan fairness. please adopt this map

Szetela Congressional Like

Nomi Joyrich

2021-11-19 18:07:30+00

This is the best map of all the maps I've seen!! Thank you for combining the best parts of Chestnut and Birch. This is the fairest map. On both PlanScore and PTV's Michigan-specific model, it gets close to a 0% Efficiency Gap. This is THE ONLY map that shows true partisan fairness, adequately addressed COI and establishes Voting Rights districts.

Szetela Congressional Like

Daniel Jones

2021-11-19 18:07:23+00

This is the fairest of all of the congressional maps. Great work! Thank you for combining the best parts of Chestnut and Birch. On both PlanScore and PTV's Michigan-specific model, it gets close to a 0% Efficiency Gap. This is THE ONLY map that shows true partisan fairness, adequately addressed COI and establishes Voting Rights districts

Pine v5

Like

Margaret Schankler

2021-11-19 18:07:11+00

Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This map does not keep Jackson County whole. Rather it splits off the rural eastern portion of the county and joins it with Washtenaw county, specifically Ann Arbor. You could not pick two dissimilar areas to put together. It would definitely leave these people without a voice.</p>	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 18:07:04+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	<p>This is the single most fair map of all the maps that have been presented. Please adopt this map</p>	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:06:59+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>This map does not keep Jackson County whole. Rather it splits off the rural eastern portion of the county and joins it with Washtenaw county, specifically Ann Arbor. You could not pick two dissimilar areas to put together. It would definitely leave these people without a voice.</p>	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 18:05:44+00

PLEASE keep working. This map gives the most seats to the party who had the least number of votes. Please, please please, PLEASE continue working! You've come this far. We want house maps that are actually fair.

Szetela House

Dislike

THANK YOU!!

Carrie Hatcher-Kay

2021-11-19 18:05:16+00

This is one of the worst house maps, along with Magnolia. Please Keep Working! I know that you are tired and may want to pick from the existing maps. But an extra 45 days to get fair maps for 10 years is worth it. Each proposed House map gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters. No MI House map addresses the concerns heard from Detroit voters about majority minority representation.

Pine v5

Dislike

Margaret Schankler

2021-11-19 18:04:26+00

Pine v5	Dislike	Please Keep Working! There are no fair house maps to choose from!Each proposed House map gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters! No MI House map addresses the concerns heard from Detroit voters about being able to elect their own candidates	Daniel Jones	2021-11-19 18:04:00+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This is the WORST of all the maps. None of the House maps are fair PLEASE keep working. This map gives the most seats to the party who had the least number of votes. Please, please please, PLEASE continue working! You've come this far. We want house maps that are actually fair.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:03:31+00
Pine v5	Dislike	THANK YOU!!	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-11-19 18:03:25+00
Szetela House	Opinion	These maps are blatantly unfair. They do not address the needs of Detroiters. There is no way that the party that receives the less votes should get the most seats.	Earl Burton	2021-11-19 18:02:15+00

Most unfair.  
â€œPlease Keep Working!  
There are no fair house maps  
to choose from!  
â€œEach proposed House map  
gives the majority of seats to  
the minority of voters!  
â€œNo MI House map  
addresses the concerns heard  
from Detroit voters about  
being able to elect their own  
candidates

Pine v5	Dislike	Virginia Preuss	2021-11-19 18:02:01+00
		This is the worst of the House maps. NONE of the House maps are fair. Less unfair is still unfair. Please fix the House maps. I know we don't want to delay the process, but having unfair maps is not acceptable.	
Pine v5	Dislike	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 18:02:01+00
		This map keeps Jackson County whole and joins with several other mostly rural counties with similar communities that have needs different than areas like Ann Arbor.	
Chestnut	Like	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 18:01:28+00
		Another bad map!! None of the House maps give the majority of seats to the minority of voters!! Please work harder on this!!	
Szetela House	Dislike	Daniel Jones	2021-11-19 18:01:01+00

Please Keep Working! There are no fair house maps to choose from!

Each proposed House map gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters!

No MI House map addresses the concerns heard from Detroit voters about being able to elect their own candidates

Maps that are less unfair, are still unfair. We want maps that are actually fair.

Szetela House	Dislike	Virginia Preuss	2021-11-19 18:00:27+00
		This map is unfair, especially to Detroit No MI House map addresses the concerns heard from Detroit voters about being able to elect their own candidates. Maps that are less unfair, are still unfair. Please	
Szetela House	Dislike	Margaret Schankler	2021-11-19 18:00:26+00
		keep working. This map is very unfair. We don't want to delay the process, but NONE of the	
Szetela House	Dislike	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 17:59:27+00
		house maps are fair.	

There are no fair house house maps to choose from, but this is the worst of the worst. This does not have partisan fairness and does not address the concerns of Detroit Voters. Please create new house maps to consider, but at the very least DO NOT choose this one.

Magnolia	Dislike		Virginia Preuss	2021-11-19 17:58:15+00
		This map does not address the concerns you have heard from hundreds and hundreds of Detroit voters about		
Magnolia	Dislike	majority minority districts.	Margaret Schankler	2021-11-19 17:57:42+00
		This is the worst map that has been presented! This map has no partisan fairness, it does not address prison gerrymandering, and it fails to address the concerns of		
Magnolia	Dislike	Detroit residents.	Daniel Jones	2021-11-19 17:56:26+00

This is the WORST of the House maps. Please keep working. This map awards the most seats to the party that got the least votes. It does not address concerns from Detroit voters about choosing their own candidates. Please Keep Working!

Magnolia

Dislike

Carrie Hatcher-Kay

2021-11-19 17:56:05+00

Please Keep Working! There are no fair house maps to choose from. This is the worst of all the proposed house maps because it gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters - more so than any other map. It is especially unfair to Detroit.

Magnolia

Dislike

Margaret Schankler

2021-11-19 17:55:44+00

This is the least bad of the House Maps. It does NOT have partisan fairness. You did some good things unpacking Ann Arbor and Lansing here, but it's not fair for the rest of the state. PLEASE take your time and draw another map. I am sorry that means it will activate another 45 days when you are eager to be done, but it is important we work hard now to get partisan fairness for 10 years. Thank you!

Hickory

Opinion

Carrie Hatcher-Kay

2021-11-19 17:52:01+00

This is the best of a really bad set of choices for the House map. Maps that are less unfair, are still unfair. This map (and all other house maps) lack partisan fairness. Seat count of 53D to 57R gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters

Hickory

Opinion

Virginia Preuss

2021-11-19 17:51:46+00

Hickory	Opinion	<p>This is the best of the House maps, but it still has no partisan fairness. I like that it unpacks Lansing and Ann Arbor. I like that it has VRA districts, This does the best job with COI, but still needs to be reworked.</p>	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-19 17:50:53+00
Hickory	Like	<p>All of these maps are unfair, but this is the best of them. I urge you to go back and reduce Republican bias/efficiency gap which would create a 53/57 seat distribution. What I do like about this map is that it split up Ann Arbor &amp; Ypsilanti.</p>	Margaret Schankler	2021-11-19 17:50:34+00
Hickory	Like	<p>While I don't necessarily like the pas that have been presented, the Hickory map is by far the best of the worst!!</p>	Daniel Jones	2021-11-19 17:50:13+00

Cherry V2	Opinion	<p>I don't mind this map redistricting because It does connect Canton with similar areas. I don't think it's the best and I do think that changes can be made such as redistricting Plymouth and Canton together because of their heavy similarities. I think the map separates cultures and interests which is one flaw I see. Lastly, I think that this map helps significantly towards the Westland and Livonia area which have always been heavily seperated.</p>	Raj Patel	2021-11-19 17:46:10+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>I think this district should be expanded to the Westland area. Places like Salem, which are more connected with the separated south Lyon schools are in the same district as us. Westland which is more connected to our district than Salem is is separated from us and has its own district.</p>	Raj Patel	2021-11-19 17:38:20+00

Pine v5	Dislike	This is not a fair map and doesn't take care of the issues raised in the Detroit meeting. All of these maps are bad and need to be redone if not choose Hickory!	Ellen Anne Teghtmeyer	2021-11-19 17:12:20+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Correction to the district number, incorrectly referenced new District 9 and should be new District 16.	Lisa Kay Hathaway	2021-11-19 16:55:31+00

The City of Grosse Pointe Woods is split into 2 separate districts alienating a section known as Precinct 4. The section of the Grosse Pointe Woods community not included in the new District 9, the missing area (all of Precinct 4 boundaries), is placed into District 6 on the map. I submitted a map correction previously. The description is that area bounded on the south by the southerly city limits line; on the west by the westerly city limits line; on the north by the centerline of Huntington Boulevard commencing at the westerly city limits line and continuing easterly to the centerline of Mack Avenue; thence southerly on the centerline of Mack Avenue to the southerly city limits line respectively. Attached is the map for Oak House District, which clearly defines the boundaries missed in order to

Pine v5

Dislike

Lisa Kay Hathaway, City Clerk GPWor 2021-11-19 16:43:43+00

Pine v5	Like	This map provides for the fairest representation for Troy voters. Troy is, by itself, a COI. It should not be divided and should not be lumped with communities to the east with which it does not have sufficient shared interests.	Elizabeth Harris	2021-11-19 16:35:43+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Troy should be joined with other Oakland County communities to the west and south with which it shares critical economic, educational and recreational interests. Lumping Troy with Macomb County communities to the east negates a reasonable opportunity for Troy voters to be fairly represented.	Elizabeth Harris	2021-11-19 16:14:43+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	Again we have a map dividing Jackson County and joining it with Ann Arbor. Why would you do this except to drown out the voices of Jackson ?	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 15:54:37+00

Birch V2	Dislike	<p>This map lumps Troy together with communities to the east with which it has minimal shared educational, economic and recreational interests. The map would create irreconcilable conflicts for the resulting district's elected representative and should therefore be withdrawn from consideration.</p>	Elizabeth Harris	2021-11-19 15:52:53+00
10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Dislike	<p>This map splits Jackson County and joins the northern part with the city of Ann Arbor. These two areas are "not alike", as we teach young children when sorting similar and dissimilar objects. Their needs are totally different and the voices of Jackson will be swallowed by those of the big university city.</p>	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 15:51:13+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>I don't understand why Grand Ledge is cut into two different districts. How is that keeping a community of interest together. All of Grand Ledge should be part of district 76. The "leg" of the map that puts Vermontville in this district should then be taken out.</p>	Marilyn Fox Wade	2021-11-19 15:49:55+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>The Chestnut map does change who are collaborative partners will be in the future. While we are all border counties we do not have a history of working together. I like it better than the others but that may not being saying a lot since the others wer simply horrible..</p> <p>As with Apple map, this map does not keep Jackson county whole. Combining Waterloo Township with Ann Arbor, areas with no common community interests,. deprives the people in this rural area of their representation through shear numbers.</p>	Elizabeth O'Dell	2021-11-19 15:46:24+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>As with Apple map, this map does not keep Jackson county whole. Combining Waterloo Township with Ann Arbor, areas with no common community interests,. deprives the people in this rural area of their representation through shear numbers.</p>	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 15:44:03+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	<p>As with Apple map, this map does not keep Jackson county whole. Combining Waterloo Township with Ann Arbor, areas with no common community interests,. deprives the people in this rural area of their representation through shear numbers.</p>	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 15:41:22+00

Linden	Like	Marne is part of the Kenowa Hills School District, with Walker and some of Comstock Park. It makes sense to include this part of Ottawa County with the northern area of Kent County.	Cindy Krieg	2021-11-19 15:39:24+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	As with Apple map, this map does not keep Jackson county whole. Combining areas like Waterloo Township with Ann Arbor, areas with no common community interests,. deprives the people in this rural area of their representation through shear numbers.	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 15:37:32+00
Chestnut	Like	Chestnut map provides the most fair representation, of the Congressional maps presented, for Oakland County communities. It keeps Troy, a COI of its own, entirely within one district and aligned with Oakland County communities with which it shares critically important interests. Thank you for presenting this map.	Elizabeth Harris	2021-11-19 15:35:34+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	As with Apple map, this map does not keep Jackson county whole. Combining Waterloo Township with Ann Arbor, areas with no common community interests, deprives the people in this rural area of their representation through sheer numbers.	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 15:31:53+00
Hickory	Dislike	After due consideration, and with respect to the commissioners, all of the proposed state house maps suck. Please give it another try, and please bear in mind the efficiency gap metric when interpreting "A disproportionate advantage to a political party shall be determined using accepted measures of partisan fairness."	Adam Nash	2021-11-19 15:29:20+00
Chestnut	Like	This map combines Grand Valley University Allendale and downtown campuses. In addition, a Muskegon/Grand Rapids map will increase the odds of minority representation.	Cindy Krieg	2021-11-19 15:28:50+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	This map does not keep Jackson County whole. It combines rural areas of the county with Ann Arbor. Their needs are totally different and the people of this area would not have a voice.	Sue Macrellis	2021-11-19 15:27:37+00
Linden	Dislike	Drive through these areas surrounding Ann Arbor in Western Washtenaw and Eastern Jackson, can you honestly say they share anything in common with the city of Ann Arbor? Ann Arbor deserves representation that fits the city of Ann Arbor and the surrounding areas deserve representation that fits their rural nature.	Joshua Przygocki	2021-11-19 15:26:21+00
Hickory	Like	Of the maps presented so far, Hickory is your best option. It still falls short on partisan fairness and VRA compliance, and the commission should strive to continue to improve the house maps. If you do not, then please choose Hickory as your least bad option.	Trent Varva	2021-11-19 15:25:42+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	Maps gives disproportionate advantage to one party and does not reflect obvious COIs in south-central Michigan. This violates the spirit of Prop 2 by ignoring communities and party-favoritism.	Joshua Przygocki	2021-11-19 15:24:02+00
Palm	Dislike	This is an extremely unfair, Republican biased map. Please do not use this map which is harmful to voters best interests.	Carol Ingall	2021-11-19 15:23:42+00
Cherry V2	Like	Cherry is reasonably fair and has less Republican bias than Lange map.	Carol Ingall	2021-11-19 15:17:17+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	As a property owner in both Eaton and Ingham counties this map is fairly favorable as it keeps Eaton-Ingham-Clinton mostly together.	Carol Ingall	2021-11-19 15:11:24+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	Lange is the most discriminatory map. It is seriously biased Republican and breaks up communities of interest. Please do not used this unfair map.	Carol Ingall	2021-11-19 15:06:37+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This is an unfair map with serious Republican bias. It breaks up communities of interest; this map should be voted down.	Carol Ingall	2021-11-19 15:02:11+00

Birch V2	Like	I endorse This map as being fair to voters. This map includes diverse economies and includes Ingham- Eaton- Clinton. However, unfortunately, all the maps exhibit Republican bias.	Carol Ingall	2021-11-19 14:54:51+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	I encourage The Commission to keep the City of Walker with Alpine Township in a district, Grand Rapids Township with Ada and Cascade Townships in a district (Forrest Hills community of interest), Byron Township whole within one district, Gaines Township whole within a district, and Plainfield Township whole within a district. This is a fair map for voters including the Tri county area of Clinton-Eaton-ingham. I own property in Eaton and Ingham counties; this map has least bias.	Laura Hughes	2021-11-19 14:48:33+00
Chestnut	Like		Carol Ingall	2021-11-19 14:47:59+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	I encourage the Commission to keep the City of Walker with Alpine Township in a district, Grand Rapids Township with Ada and Cascade Townships in a district (Forrest Hills community of interest), Byron Township whole within one district, Gaines Township whole within a district, and Plainfield Township whole within a district	Robert Hughes	2021-11-19 14:46:35+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	These are established communities with school, emergency ect. systems in place. There is no reason to divide them up.	Laura Hughes	2021-11-19 14:44:25+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	There is no reason to divide Kent County at Fulton. It is imperative that the commission keeps the divide line at Fulton Street. Kent County needs to stay together.	Robert Hughes	2021-11-19 14:39:48+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		Laura Hughes	2021-11-19 14:30:33+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	I strongly appose a Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo district. The two districts are completely different in terms of populations and needs. It is a loser for all constituents.	Robert Hughes	2021-11-19 14:20:07+00

Thank you for your efforts at partisan fairness. This map is a very politically balanced map. Muskegon and Grand Rapids are connected by the economically important I-96 corridor. This district combines 2 hubs of non-white communities ensuring that the minority voices of West Michigan can be heard. This map connects Muskegon and Grand Rapids which both have a higher density of minority populations who share similar issues. This district is a strong COI for West Michigan.

Chestnut

Like

Robert Dragan

2021-11-19 14:07:08+00

I like how this map is drawn. I believe it does a good job of keeping small communities united together. It allows the smaller cities to have a larger voice and help each other with proper representation. It also allows for lots of diversity within the area. This will benefit all people and allow for proper resources and representation for all.

Chestnut

Like

Elizabeth Pell

2021-11-19 14:03:25+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	I do not like how this map is drawn. I think it splits up communities that work well together and limits diversity. It does not provide adequate resources for everyone now. It also takes away the large variety of cultures and interests. I think the cities will benefit more from being grouped with many different types of people.	Elizabeth Pell	2021-11-19 13:56:24+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	I am a resident of rural Washtenaw County. This map clearly splits up communities of interest, and makes no geographic sense. Eastern Calhoun county in the same district as downtown Ann Arbor?? Come on!	Mollie Schairer	2021-11-19 03:14:05+00

Come on! I live in rural Washtenaw County. Szetela map splits up Ann Arbor area like spokes of a wheel, with 7 districts that I could count which fan out from the urban area, across broad swaths of rural areas, and into adjacent counties! This is clear gerrymandering. Summit Twp and Napoleon in Jackson County in the same district as downtown AA?? What in the world are their common interests and needs? Seven state reps for Washtenaw County?? I will be disgusted if this map goes forward.

Szetela House

Dislike

Mollie Schairer

2021-11-19 03:07:21+00

I am a resident of rural Washtenaw County. This map clearly splits up communities of interest. Rural eastern Jackson County and rural areas of Washtenaw County in the same district as Wyandotte and Gross Ile?? No and no. I am seeing many comments giving this map a thumbs up because it is "politically balanced" and "partisan neutral." Per the MI Constitution, the Commission cannot lawfully consider "party balance" / "political fairness" / "party neutral" as a factor. Communities of interest must stay together. And what is up with excluding a slice of northwestern Washtenaw County and putting it with District 7?

Szetela Congressional Dislike

Mollie Schairer

2021-11-19 02:40:11+00

I dislike the Magnolia map. Everyone is talking about how Ann Arbor needs to be unpacked (which I agree with) but Livingston County also needs to be unpacked on the opposite side. There is more to COI than simple county lines. East Brighton and Hamburg are becoming bedroom communities for Ann Arbor and Oakland County where these residents work and shop. They are much more connected to western Oakland than they would be northern or western Livingston in terms of employment connections, commerce and roads. Please consider rejecting Magnolia in favor of maps that unpack both Livingston and Washtenaw.

Magnolia

Dislike

Kasey Helton

2021-11-19 02:02:14+00

This is the best of a bad situation. Why Jackson county is in 4 separate districts and Washtenaw county is in 7 defies any logic. Shouldn't communities of interest be grouped together? This map is the best of real bad options.

Magnolia

Like

Paul S Kenyon

2021-11-19 01:45:59+00

Palm	Like	<p>â€™m confirming my support for The Palm map. This covers a broad territory keeping communities and towns of interest intact.</p>	Kathleen Thorrez	2021-11-19 01:43:11+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>This is the least desirable proposed map for the Lansing Tri- county area . It is much more partisan and less compact than any of the other alternatives proposed for this area .</p> <p>This is a good map. It keeps Jackson county intact and the community of interest which is agrarian and light manufacturing along with boating, recreation, and tourism. In terms of infrastructure these are primarily well and septic communities with common needs. This palm map allows these communities to have</p>	Don Bishop	2021-11-19 01:36:48+00
Palm	Like	<p>representation.</p> <p>This c.d. Map is a much more partisan Republican map and does not keep the Clinton- Eaton-Ingham area away community of interest . There are several fairer alternatives</p>	Paul S Kenyon	2021-11-19 01:31:23+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>available .</p>	Don Bishop	2021-11-19 01:29:59+00

Chestnut	Like	This map does an excellent job of representing communities of interest. This district is agrarian and light manufacturing which will now get representation	Paul S Kenyon	2021-11-19 01:21:15+00
Szetela House	Dislike	This is Gerrymandering and needs to stop. This will break up the Allegan county and we need to stay together. This is carving out and I do not agree with this method of keeping of elite lakeshore separate. We belong together as we have always been.	Sally Beyer	2021-11-19 01:16:58+00
Hickory	Dislike	This is Gerrymandering in the allegan county. The entire chicago owned lakeshore is pulled together. This needs to stop. This will break up the Allegan county unfairly. This is carving out and I do not agree with this catepillaring method of the lakeshore district. We belong together as we have always done well before.	Sally Beyer	2021-11-19 01:14:34+00

Chestnut	Like	This map is a great representation of communities of interest. These communities which are light industry and agrarian which need representation .	Paul S Kenyon	2021-11-19 01:14:22+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This is Gerrymandering and needs to stop. This will break up the Allegan Community and we need stay connected and aligned. This is carving out and I do not agree with this catepillary district down the lakeshore. We belong together as we have always coexisted before.	Sally Beyer	2021-11-19 01:08:57+00
Pine v5	Dislike	This is Gerrymandering and needs to stop. This will break up the Allegan community and we need to stay together. This is carving out and I do not agree with this caterpillar district down the lakeshore. We belong together as we have always been.	Sally Beyer	2021-11-19 01:03:15+00
Szetela House	Dislike	A township only encompasses 6 miles x 6 miles. Jamestown Charter Township shouldn't be separated into two different state house districts.	Candice DeHaan	2021-11-19 00:54:01+00

Szetela Congressional Like	The Szetela map is reasonably fair and less partisan than many other alternatives . It also does a reasonable job of keeping Lansing Tri-county area as a contiguous area .	Don Bishop	2021-11-19 00:51:29+00
----------------------------	---	------------	------------------------

Birch V2	Dislike	I think that District 6 is poorly drawn especially since many districts such as Salem feel more associated with south lyon schools rather than with the canton-Plymouth school community.	Raj Patel	2021-11-19 00:42:51+00
----------	---------	---	-----------	------------------------

Jamestown Charter Township is a rural community. The northern part of Jamestown is slowly being developed, but the southern half is mostly farms. Barely 20% of the Township is serviced by Public Water and Sewer and about 2/3 of the Township is zoned Agricultural. As a resident of Jamestown Charter Township, I am pleased to be a part of the interconnected community known as Ottawa County. Keeping us connected with the lakeshore is important as well as recognizing Ottawa County as a unique community of interest, whose smaller cities and township's share economic and agricultural ties. Jamestown should definitely not be lumped in with Kent Count or the Grand Rapids area but remain with Ottawa County. I urge you to keep Jamestown Charter Township as part of the

Szetela Congressional Dislike

Candice DeHaan

2021-11-19 00:35:39+00

Jamestown Charter Township is a rural community. The northern part of Jamestown is slowly being developed, but the southern half is mostly farms. Barely 20% of the Township is serviced by Public Water and Sewer and about 2/3 of the Township is zoned Agricultural. As a resident of Jamestown Charter Township, I am pleased to be a part of the interconnected community known as Ottawa County. Keeping us connected with the lakeshore is important as well as recognizing Ottawa County as a unique community of interest, whose smaller cities and township's share economic and agricultural ties. Jamestown should definitely not be lumped in with Kent Count or the Grand Rapids area but remain with Ottawa County. I urge you to keep Jamestown Charter Township as part of the

Szetela Congressional Dislike

Candice DeHaan

2021-11-19 00:31:45+00

I live in District 4 and I like the boundaries here -it represents this COI well. Just wish there was a little more input. Good job.

Cherry V2

Like

Coleen Tuokkola

2021-11-19 00:20:43+00

Birch V2	Like	The Chestnut map appears to be the fairest map for the Lansing Tri- county area , but the "Birch" map is a reasonable alternative .	Don Bishop	2021-11-19 00:17:38+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	I do not feel Lange Senate Corrected map is a fair map. I prefer Cherry and Linden maps as they reflect statewide voting patterns and communities of Interest.	Ingrid Yarbrough	2021-11-19 00:12:35+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Allegan County belongs together. I do not agree with the 'caterpillar' district down the lakeshore. This does not represent my community. Looks like the Chicago owners on the lake have the power.	Bill Zdeblick	2021-11-19 00:10:50+00
Kellom Senate	Like	The Kellom Senate map is not a fair map. I don 't like this map.	Ingrid Yarbrough	2021-11-19 00:09:37+00
Hickory	Dislike	Allegan County belongs together. I do not agree with the 'caterpillar' district down the lakeshore. This does not represent my community. Looks like the Chicago owners on the lake have the power.	Bill Zdeblick	2021-11-19 00:09:21+00

Magnolia	Dislike	Allegan County belongs together. I do not agree with the 'caterpillar' district down the lakeshore. This does not represent my community. Looks like the Chicago owners on the lake have the power.	Bill Zdeblick	2021-11-19 00:07:44+00
Palm	Dislike	This map will not fairly represent the vote of the people in the state of Michigan.	Christopher John Wagner	2021-11-19 00:07:09+00
Linden	Like	Linen appears to be the most fair of the maps being considered. Districts in Ingham-Eaton-Clinton are would be competitive.	Ingrid Yarbrough	2021-11-19 00:06:42+00
Palm	Dislike	A horrible gerrymander in favor of Republicans	Liam Seppanen	2021-11-19 00:05:40+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Allegan County belongs together. I do not agree with the 'caterpillar' district down the lakeshore. This does not represent my community. Looks like the Chicago owners on the lake have the power.	Bill Zdeblick	2021-11-19 00:05:17+00
Palm	Like	I feel that this map tends toward partisan bias. Linden and Cherry V2 are better choices. This seems to be unfair.	Ingrid Yarbrough	2021-11-19 00:02:58+00

Cherry V2	Like	<p>This map is fair. it recognizes the need for representation of the major communities in the Lansing area: Lansing, East Lansing, Meridian.</p> <p>Balanced.</p> <p>While this map shows promise towards partisan fairness, it has a long way to go. Let's use this as a base model and keep working towards giving equality to</p>	Ingrid Yarbrough	2021-11-19 00:00:09+00
Linden	Opinion	<p>COI's.</p>	Felicia Banks	2021-11-18 23:55:05+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	<p>Szetela Congressional is a map that is fair. Thanks for keeping Igham-Eaton-Clinton together as you are respectful of communities of interest.</p>	Ingrid Yarbrough	2021-11-18 23:53:58+00
Lange Congressional	Like	<p>Lange Congressional map is the most unfair of all the proposed Congressional maps. Do not accept</p> <p>This is the right direction for creating a partisan fair map. This map should be the working model that evolves into a fair Michigan. We're</p>	Ingrid Yarbrough	2021-11-18 23:49:39+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>not close yet.</p>	Felicia Banks	2021-11-18 23:49:36+00

Hickory	Opinion	This is the most fair map of the State House maps but it still has partisan bias. Work still needs to be done especially in the Detroit area.	Ciara Lowe	2021-11-18 23:46:15+00
Apple V2	Like	I am not in support of Apple V2 because it breaks apart communities of interest.	Ingrid Yarbrough	2021-11-18 23:46:14+00
Birch V2	Like	The Birch V2 is a fair statewide map acknowledging Ingham-Eaton-Clinton counties communities of interest.	Ingrid O Yarbrough	2021-11-18 23:39:41+00
Linden	Like	This map does a better job with partisan fairness than other maps, especially in Jackson and Washtenaw counties.	Ciara Lowe	2021-11-18 23:37:34+00
Magnolia	Dislike	I am very concerned that this map is partisan with gerrymandering qualities. I supported the legislation to redraw our congressional districts to attain fairness, and I do not feel this map meets that goal in that republican interests seem to be favored.	mira edgerton	2021-11-18 23:25:39+00

Pine v5	Dislike	This map is very unfair and doesn't address issues raised at Detroit hearing. All of the maps are bad and should be revised If you won't make a more suitable make please choose Hickory.	Ellen Teghtmeyer	2021-11-18 23:14:50+00
Apple V2	Dislike	I do not support the Apple map. It is a gerrymandered district that breaks apart communities of interest.	Annie	2021-11-18 23:13:37+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map is very unfair and should be rejected. It makes Republican control likely even in Democratic years. Hickory is better. Please redraw the map and make it a fair map. These should be better.	Ellen Teghtmeyer	2021-11-18 23:11:07+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This is unfair to everyone in the tri-county capital region. Consider revisions to not split up Eaton County in its current boundary.	John Cameron	2021-11-18 23:07:51+00
Hickory	Like	I think all of the State House districts are biased towards Republicans, which is very little different than the current districts, but the Hickory map is the best of several bad choices.	Laurence S Rosen	2021-11-18 23:06:11+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>After studying the options, this map seems to best reflect the economic, social and cultural connectedness of the communities in west and central Michigan.</p> <p>All maps are unfair, but Hickory is a step above the rest. Let's start from scratch. The state deserves to be better. Lansing is more fairly spread out. MUCH better than Magnolia or Pine though!</p>	Hugh Hufnagel	2021-11-18 23:05:39+00
Hickory	Like		John Cameron	2021-11-18 23:05:21+00
Pine v5	Dislike	This map is not fair.	Ross Vandercook	2021-11-18 23:01:15+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>This map is not fair at all. In terms of partisan bias, this map may well be the worst. It is a resounding endorsement of partisan gerrymandering, and its adoption would repudiate the overwhelming vote of the public in support of Proposal 2 of 2018. There are far better choices available to the commission. Please reject</p>	Ross Vandercook	2021-11-18 22:59:15+00
Palm	Dislike	this map.	Jeffrey Padden	2021-11-18 22:59:12+00
Hickory	Like	This map is fair and the best map.	Ross Vandercook	2021-11-18 22:57:10+00

Szetela Senate	Like	This map is a good alternative to the Cherry V2 map for MI Senate districts in the greater Lansing Area. This also allows a separate state senate seat for each of the area's main communities, yet each also includes adjacent suburban and rural areas from which employment flows into the major communities of Lansing and East Lansing.	Laurence S Rosen	2021-11-18 22:57:06+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is not fair and is the worst map. Strongly dislike this map. I don't like the way it divides the Capitol Region. Has a more Republican bias than Chestnut or Birch.	Ross Vandercook	2021-11-18 22:55:02+00
Apple V2	Dislike		David Hopkinson	2021-11-18 22:53:48+00
Linden	Like	This is the most fair map.	Ross Vandercook	2021-11-18 22:52:22+00

Szetela Congressional	Like	This map is reasonably fair statewide in terms of reducing somewhat the partisan bias that favors Republicans. The Ingham-Eaton-Clinton is mostly kept together, which is a plus, although it would be better if the entirety of the three counties were included in this district. Thanks for this move in the right direction, compared with Apple and Lange.	Jeffrey Padden	2021-11-18 22:50:52+00
Cherry V2	Like	This is one of the fairer maps.	Ross Vandercook	2021-11-18 22:49:58+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map recognizes the need for representation for each of the major communities in the Lansing area: Lansing and East Lansing/Meridian/ etc. Much more balanced and fair.	Laurence S Rosen	2021-11-18 22:48:15+00
Apple V2	Dislike	I do not support this map as it splits Clinton county, and we are one unified region.	Rebecca J Stimson	2021-11-18 22:47:20+00

Linden	Like	<p>Fairest map, although still has Republican bias. Districts in Ingham-Eaton-Clinton are competitive and reflect the diverse communities. Much better than Palm. Linden and Cherry are good choices. Palm is very unfair to each party.</p> <p>SZETELA: Fair statewide map, although all maps have slant towards Republicans. Several competitive seats. Thanks for keeping Ingham-Eaton-Clinton together.</p>	John Cameron	2021-11-18 22:47:05+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	<p>Although all maps still have Republican bias, I like that this map allows the party with most votes to win the most seats. Thank you for keeping Ingham-Eaton-Clinton together.</p>	Bilky Joda-Miller	2021-11-18 22:46:09+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This map splits up the capital area in a fair manner. It gives the right representation to Lansing and East Lansing.</p>	David Hopkinson	2021-11-18 22:45:32+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>This map splits up the capital area in a fair manner. It gives the right representation to Lansing and East Lansing.</p>	John Cameron	2021-11-18 22:44:14+00

Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This map is problematic in several ways. Statewide, it includes much more partisan bias than other maps. Adopting this map would be an endorsement of partisan gerrymandering, rather than a remedy for it. Also, it misses the boat on other constitutional criteria, such as compactness. This map splits the Ingham/Eaton/Clinton community of interest, but there is not reason to do so except to create partisan bias. Chestnut and Birch are better choices for the commission.</p>	Jeff Padden	2021-11-18 22:43:11+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>I don't like this map: All the maps are unfair however this is the worst. Map for Lansing Region district is less compact. It undoes the work of other maps to treat Ingham-Eaton Clinton as a unified region.</p>	Bilky Joda-Miller	2021-11-18 22:43:10+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>Fair statewide map acknowledging Ingham-Eaton-Clinton counties' communities of interest are acknowledged.</p>	Rebecca J Stimson	2021-11-18 22:42:35+00

Szetela Congressional	Like	<p>Fair statewide map, although all maps have slight Republican bias. Several competitive seats that meet the changing public face of their populations! Thanks for keeping Ingham-Eaton-Clinton together!</p>	John Cameron	2021-11-18 22:42:25+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This map breaks up the Capitol Region and is less logically arranged than Chestnut or Pine v2. We want Clinton, Eaton, Ingham, Livingston together. This map goes too far west.</p>	Mary I Pollock	2021-11-18 22:41:53+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This map is not a fair map at all. This map is totally splitting the grater Lansing area and so unfair. The Chestnut &amp; Birch are much fairer.</p>	Bilky Joda-Miller	2021-11-18 22:38:47+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>Although all maps have Republican bias, this map allows the most votes to win the most seats. Also has several competitive seats. Thanks for keeping Ingham-Eaton-Clinton region together.</p>	David Hopkinson	2021-11-18 22:37:58+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	This map doesn't represent the diversity of the capital city. It only includes parts of Clinton County and adds Jackson. The Birch Map seems more fair to both parties and represents the regional culture and people better.	John Cameron	2021-11-18 22:37:57+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This map appears not to be very compact; it groups together diverse areas that are parts of several different metro areas, areas that do not necessarily have the same interests. This map appears to be biased towards rural areas and dilutes the influence of the largest population communities.	Laurence S Rosen	2021-11-18 22:37:51+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	Although all of the maps have a Republican bias, the Lange Map is the most biased of the choices. It splits up the Lansing region. Chestnut and Birch v2 are more fair choices.	Roni Rucker Waters	2021-11-18 22:37:24+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>This map is problematic in several ways. Statewide, it includes much more partisan bias than other maps. Adopting this map would be an endorsement of partisan gerrymandering, rather than a remedy for it. Also, it misses the boat on other constitutional criteria, such as compactness. Splitting the Ingham/Eaton/Clinton community of interest is also a problem. Chestnut and Birch are better choices for the commission.</p>	Jeff Padden	2021-11-18 22:37:18+00
Hickory	Like	<p>I like the Hickory Map a lot. I am a resident of Green Oak Twp south of Brighton, and our area is seeing tremendous growth from people moving up to our area from Ann Arbor and Washtenaw County. Hickory is one of the few House maps that acknowledges Livingston County as a crossroads between Ann Arbor-Novi-Lansing.</p>	Janice Karlovich	2021-11-18 22:36:34+00

Birch V2	Like	I like this map the most: Fair statewide map where party with most votes wins most seats, although all maps tend to be more Republican friendly. Thanks for keeping Ingham-Eaton-Clinton together.	Bilky Joda-Miller	2021-11-18 22:33:06+00
Birch V2	Like	Thank you for a Congressional District surrounding the Capitol Area. The counties and parts of counties included in CD 7 are logical and appropriate. This map might produce a representative sensitive to both urban and rural interests, the auto industry and its workers, state government, MSU and other education institutions.	Mary I Pollock	2021-11-18 22:32:52+00
Birch V2	Like	This is a fair statewide map where party with most votes wins most seats, although all maps have Republican bias. Thanks for keeping Ingham-Eaton-Clinton together. The Lang Map is very unfair.	Roni Rucker Waters	2021-11-18 22:32:00+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>This map is superior to the Lang map. The districts here represent their regional communities. It solves some of the problems in Oakland County. District 7 feels more like the capital city finally! Grand Rapids, reflects Grand Rapids!</p>	John Cameron	2021-11-18 22:31:44+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This is the fairest statewide map, although all of the maps have a Republican bias. The party with most votes should win the most seats. Thanks for keeping the Ingham-Eaton-Clinton region together.</p>	Roni Rucker Waters	2021-11-18 22:25:44+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This Chestnut maps is better than most so not quite fair but better than them. Most of the maps including this is still better for the republicans.</p>	Bilky Joda-Miller	2021-11-18 22:25:43+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This is a fair statewide map where party with most votes wins most seats, although all maps have Republican bias which is a problem. This has several competitive seats. I'm happy this keeps Ingham-Eaton-Clinton regions together.</p>	Ellen Teghtmeyer	2021-11-18 22:25:43+00

Palm	Opinion	<p>Jackson is one community not to be shared with Ann Arbor. Keeping Jackson county as a whole makes most sense and is one map I stand behind.</p> <p>This appears to be a very fair statewide map, although the Republican bias is still apparent. It is very important to tri-county residents' interests to keep Ingham-Eaton-Clinton region together.</p>	Scott Hiller	2021-11-18 22:25:25+00
Chestnut	Like		Rebecca J Stimson	2021-11-18 22:25:23+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>I submitted evidence that Ingham/Eaton/Clinton self-identifies as a community of interest. Since those three counties are kept together in this map, it appears that my comment and those of many other members of the public were taken seriously. Thanks to the commissioners for listening. I also appreciate that this map has less partisan bias statewide than some of the previous.</p>	Jeff Padden	2021-11-18 22:24:38+00

Birch V2	Like	Although all maps have Republican bias, I would accept this one. We need to keep the Ingham-Eaton-Clinton region together.	Linda Dow	2021-11-18 22:24:13+00
Palm	Like	This map works Best! We are an entirely different community from Ann Arbor, we have very different views and lifestyles.	Dirk Dietsch	2021-11-18 22:23:41+00
Birch V2	Like	This version of the Birch map is the most acceptable, particularly because it keeps the Lansing area as a community of interest and appears to be most balanced. I submitted evidence that Ingham/Eaton/Clinton self-identifies as a community of interest. Since those three counties are kept together in this map, it appears that my comment and those of many other members of the public were taken seriously. Thanks to the commissioners for listening.	Laurence S Rosen	2021-11-18 22:22:17+00
Chestnut	Like	This seems to be the fairest map for this district .	Jeff Padden	2021-11-18 22:21:30+00
Chestnut	Like	I think this is the fairest map for MI Congressional District 7.	Donald J. Bishop	2021-11-18 22:17:01+00
Birch V2	Like		Laurence S Rosen	2021-11-18 22:16:19+00

Chestnut	Like	Thank you for proposing a Capitol Area Congressional District. I like Chestnut for including the diverse communities that relate in many ways to the economy surrounding the area.	Mary I Pollock	2021-11-18 22:16:13+00
Chestnut	Like	This map appears more fair than previous drafts, since it provides less partisan bias. I appreciate that the Commission listened to comments made by the public. Thanks to each commissioner.	Jeff Padden	2021-11-18 22:15:38+00
Chestnut	Like	Chestnut is the most fair map	Linda Dow	2021-11-18 22:13:21+00
Chestnut	Like	This map is fair to the district and to the people it represents.	John Cameron	2021-11-18 22:12:58+00
Palm	Like	I like this map because it keeps Jackson County intact.	Melissa Hiller	2021-11-18 22:12:30+00
Hickory	Dislike	Dislike this map. It assumes that the COIs of Chelsea are more aligned with Jackson County. After living in this community for over 40 years, I'd argue Chelsea is more aligned with the COIs in your proposed district 47. Please reconsider so Chelsea is more accurately reflected.	Judy Oake	2021-11-18 22:01:57+00

Magnolia	Dislike	This map makes no sense to me. Chelsea is more aligned with the rest of Washtenaw County than Jackson County as far as COIs go. Not sure what the point of this map would be and very much dislike it. Please go back to the drawing board!!	Judy Oake	2021-11-18 21:58:23+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	As a resident of Lyndon Township, I am strongly opposed to splitting Lyndon Township between two districts. With few exceptions these residents are all in Washtenaw County school districts, in the Chelsea Area Fire Authority, in the Chelsea District Library, and share the Lyndon Township municipal fiber network. It is unfair to group northeastern Lyndon residents with a county with which they do not identify.	Ben Fineman	2021-11-18 21:53:21+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Pine V5 is another unfair map and does not address the concerns raised at the Detroit hearing. Hickory is better. All of these maps for the State House are unfair, but if you can't go back to the drawing board, choose Hickory.	Philip Martinez	2021-11-18 21:42:03+00

Linden	Like	This map is an improvement - much better on partisan fairness. I think this map should be approved and judging by the majority of comments, others feel the same. Good job.	Coleen Tuokkola	2021-11-18 21:41:27+00
Magnolia	Dislike	The Magnolia map is very unfair. It should not be chosen. It would give control of the House to Republicans even if Democrats were to win more votes for the House state-wide. Hickory is better. While all of the State House of Representative maps are unfair, Hickory is the best of the options. It does unpack Democrats in the Lansing area, which is progress, but state-wide, it is still unfair.	PHILIP F MARTINEZ	2021-11-18 21:39:36+00
Hickory	Like	The Palm map is the worst one. It is very unfair. Linden and Cherry are much better. Palm would result in Republican control even if Democrats won the state-wide vote.	PHILIP F MARTINEZ	2021-11-18 21:36:54+00
Palm	Dislike		PHILIP F MARTINEZ	2021-11-18 21:32:36+00

Linden	Like	Linden is the fairest of the maps being considered. The districts in the Ingham-Eaton-Clinton county area would be competitive, meaning neither party would have a huge advantage.	Philip Martinez	2021-11-18 21:23:19+00
Hickory	Like	It's confusing why Jackson County is divided up 4 ways, I'm not understanding the common bonds with Ann Arbor since we have such different types of business, urban conditions and educational needs. However, of the maps presented for House Representation, I would choose magnolia over the others presented.	Kathleen Thorrez	2021-11-18 21:18:19+00
Chestnut	Like	This a fair map. It is balanced politically which should result in a competitive, fair election process. Does not create as many competitive seats as Hickory plan. Competitive is defined as races with 5% or less margin. This plan only creates 30 competitive seats. Hickory	Donna E Farris	2021-11-18 20:33:19+00
Pine v5	Dislike	creates 34.	Richard Michalski	2021-11-18 20:27:51+00

Palm	Like	<p>This map reflects our community well as it keeps our schools together , also Jackson has a high per capita of manufacturing businesses that work well together as well as several farming families, Our community does not have the same interest as Ann Arbor, they focus on the University.</p>	Tina Dietsch	2021-11-18 20:26:21+00
Hickory	Like	<p>This is the best of the 4 options presented. It creates 34 competitive seats (based on 5% or less margin). This compares to 29 for Szetela plan, Pine with 30, and Magnolia with 34 also. Magnolia would be my second choice.</p>	Richard Michalski	2021-11-18 20:22:43+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>This is probably the most partisan of the 4 maps proposed. It creates the fewest competitive districts (29 having margins of 5% or less). It appears to advantage the Democratic potential seats. It is very hard for me to say this, but my desire for increased competitive seats outweighs my party preference.</p>	Richard Michalski	2021-11-18 20:16:31+00

it's hard to enthusiastically endorse any of the commission's collaborative House maps because none come close enough to achieving the goal of holding in healthy tension your constitutional criteria of COIs and partisan fairness. This map does result in the best partisan fairness scores of your collaborative House maps so it gets my "OK but it could/should be better" comment on the partisan fairness criterion. From a review of the comments on this map I think I see some thumbs-up approving comments from various COIs that are representative of historically marginalized communities - which is a very high priority for me even though I can't speak intelligently on their behalf as I am not part of one of those marginalized communities.

Hickory

Opinion

My Big Ask is that the

Karen J Obits

2021-11-18 20:12:01+00

Palm	Like	<p>Palm is a good representation of the Community of Jackson County. We are a small town graced with beautiful iconic parks and recreational interests that provide entertainment and sports to the rural areas. We also have a historic background in supplying the Automotive Industry with a growing manufacturing base. This map keeps Jackson intact.</p>	Kathleen Thorrez	2021-11-18 20:05:55+00
------	------	--	------------------	------------------------

Linden	Like	<p>If the MICRC does NOT consider individual commissioner maps on par with collaborative maps in its voting procedure, the Linden map would be my default first choice. However, my first preference would be for Commissioner Kellom's Senate map because it scores equally well as the Linden map in terms of partisan fairness while also increasing potential opportunity for African-American residents of Detroit to elect candidates of choice.</p>	Karen J Obits	2021-11-18 19:59:59+00
--------	------	--	---------------	------------------------

Kellom Senate	Like	<p>I prefer this map for its higher scores in partisan fairness - similar to that of the Linden collaborative map - and the potential increased opportunity for African-American residents of Detroit to elect candidates of choice.</p> <p>The Chestnut map is the best solution for keeping the industrialization of Jackson County intact. This supports the large manufacturing base in Jackson with the many of the regional supply chains, rural workforce and essential resources within this boundary District.</p>	Karen J Obits	2021-11-18 19:54:58+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>Why isnt Troy with Sterling Heights? County lines do not matter! Please choose a map with Troy, Sterling Heights together. Apple V2 is the best map! Thank you for your hard work!</p>	Kathleen Thorrez	2021-11-18 19:50:13+00
Chestnut	Dislike		Dan JC	2021-11-18 19:39:06+00

Chestnut	Like	I like this map both because it more adequately captures the area in which I spend most of my time (visiting family and friends in the Grand Rapids area as well as taking advantage of recreational and shopping opportunities in the North Ottawa area as well as Muskegon) and because it is one of the best collaborative maps when it comes to partisan fairness measures. This is the best map for Troy. It is also a competitive map and take communities of interest seriously.	Karen J Obits	2021-11-18 19:36:18+00
Apple V2	Like	This is my preference for the state house map.	Dan JC	2021-11-18 19:34:48+00
Hickory	Like	As an Asian, this map doesnt represent Asian voices in Troy. We should be with the Asian communities of Rochester Hills and Sterling Heights. Please do not choose this map. Choose map Apple v2. Thank you for listening to our voices.	Elizabeth Julia Cezat	2021-11-18 19:30:14+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map does a better job with partisan fairness compliance and especially in Washtenaw and Jackson counties.	Qi Lou	2021-11-18 19:26:34+00
Linden	Like		Anthony Watkins	2021-11-18 19:22:34+00

Linden	Like	I support the Linden map for the state senate.	Elizabeth Julia Cezat	2021-11-18 19:21:41+00
		No map can be perfect, but Apple v2 is a substantial improvement over current state, it's among the best currently proposed and stands to create both more competitive districts and more balanced Congressional representation in the state. No map will make everyone happy, but this one seems among the most successful.		
Apple V2	Like	I dont like this map because Troy, Rochester Hills share	Phil Rodgers	2021-11-18 19:19:15+00
Chestnut	Dislike	more COI than Royal Oak.	Kevin Shelter	2021-11-18 19:18:39+00

I do not like the Kellom State Senate map. It divides Jackson County, which is mostly rural, and combines it with half of the city of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has public utilities, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas have none of these things. These different communities have different needs and require different legislative representation. Please adopt instead the "Palm" State Senate map. It does a much better job of satisfying the "communities of interest" objective of this committee. Thank you.

Kellom Senate	Dislike	Bill Richardson	2021-11-18 19:14:44+00
Chestnut	Like	Jade Walter	2021-11-18 19:02:05+00
Linden	Like	Victoria Gutowski	2021-11-18 18:51:52+00
Szetela Senate	Like	Victoria Gutowski	2021-11-18 18:50:46+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	Victoria Gutowski	2021-11-18 18:49:03+00

I'm so happy to see Troy on here! This map and the Cherry map lean close to partisan fairness required by the Michigan Constitution. This map meets the criteria of partisan fairness. This map is fair and respectful of communities of interest.

Birch V2	Like	<p>Out of the proposed Congressional maps in the running, this comes the closest to achieving partisan fairness. I like that the VRA districts are preserved, so that the votes of Detroiters are not divided and dispersed into other districts.</p>	Kristine McLonis	2021-11-18 18:48:44+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>This map does not meet the criteria set forth in the Michigan Constitution for partisan fairness. Other groups have submitted maps that are much fairer...like the AFL and Promote the Vote.</p> <p>Please keep working</p> <p>This map does not meet the criteria for partisan fairness required by the Michigan Constitution. Please keep working. Other groups like the AFL and Promote the Vote have submitted maps that are much fairer. Please make my vote count in the next election and make politicians work for citizenry and not big</p>	Victoria Gutowski	2021-11-18 18:48:06+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>money.</p> <p>Out of the State House maps in the running, this comes the closest to achieving partisan</p>	Victoria Gutowski	2021-11-18 18:46:26+00
Hickory	Like	<p>fairness.</p>	Kristine McLonis	2021-11-18 18:45:09+00

Magnolia	Dislike	<p>This map does not achieve partisan fairness required by the Michigan constitution. Others groups such as the AFL and Promote the Vote have submitted maps that are much more fair. Please keep working.</p>	Victoria Gutowski	2021-11-18 18:44:37+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>This map does not meet the criteria of partisan fairness. Other groups have submitted maps that are fairer to voters.</p>	Victoria Gutowski	2021-11-18 18:42:31+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>This map and the Linden map both lean closer to partisan fairness than do the other proposed State Senate maps. I am concerned that several of the districts north of 8 Mile Road in both maps extend into Detroit, though. I would prefer that those VRA districts remain as they are.</p>	Kristine McLonis	2021-11-18 18:42:05+00

Linden	Like	<p>This map and the Cherry map both lean closer to partisan fairness than do the other proposed State Senate maps. I am concerned that several of the districts north of 8 Mile Road in both maps extend into Detroit, though. I would prefer that those VRA districts remain as they are.</p>	Kristine McLonis	2021-11-18 18:40:58+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>Delta Township is a suburb of Lansing, and we share interests with that voting block. Do not include us with rural areas such as Charlotte, Vermontville, etc. This makes no sense.</p>	Marie J Schwan	2021-11-18 18:36:54+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>Eaton County cannot have more than 2 state reps to represent us. This is not manageable with a Barry-Eaton Health Dept. We need to be linked with Barry County in some way, and NOT include regions like Delta Township who have completely different demographics and needs.</p>	Paula McCloy	2021-11-18 18:32:16+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>This is the absolute worst of the proposed State Senate maps! Several current VRA districts in Detroit would be divided and merged into other communities. Voters in Detroit would not be able to vote as specific communities of interest.</p>	Kristine McLonis	2021-11-18 18:30:44+00
Hickory	Opinion	<p>ALL of the House maps are inherently unfair and desperately need to be reworked. That being said, Hickory is the BEST OF THE BAD.</p> <p>While no map is perfect, the Birch V2 map for Congressional seats is the best, in my view, and addresses all the noted criteria well. I support it fully and hope that it is supported by the Commission. Thank you to the Commission for all its hard, effective, committed</p>	Nomi Joyrich	2021-11-18 18:05:18+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>work.</p>	Roslyn Schindler	2021-11-18 17:35:45+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>I agree with Jamila Martin.</p>	Laura Misumi	2021-11-18 16:54:20+00

Szetela House	Dislike	I really dislike this map. It puts Cascade Township with rural districts when only 10% of Cascade Township is rural! Cascade Township needs to be the metro Grand Rapids area where our tax dollars go!	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-11-18 16:51:01+00
Hickory	Like	This map does a great job of keeping the greater GR metro suburbs of East Grand Rapids, Kentwood, and Cascade Township together! The all share school districts, public services, along with shopping and business districts.	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-11-18 16:48:31+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	This proposal does not benefit any of the communities involved. The needs are very different for the Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo cities. There is no reason for them to be in the same congressional district.	Laura Hughes	2021-11-18 16:47:04+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Please do not link parts of Saginaw Township North separately from the rest of Saginaw. We are very much a part of the tri-cities as a whole. Please follow Senate maps in linking our communities together.	Sarah Torres	2021-11-18 16:46:26+00

		<p>This map makes absolutely no sense to me unless you are purposefully creating a Republican gerrymandered district. You have divided the Grand Rapids metro area into 3 districts with large Republican leaning rural areas. How does metropolitan interests get represented???</p>		
Palm	Dislike	<p>This is not a fair map. Thanks for including Cascade Township with the GR Metro area where it belongs along with the Airport it supports. This is a fair and balanced map that unpacks Grand Rapids to allow for 2 State Senate seats which is</p>	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-11-18 16:39:08+00
Linden	Like	<p>deserved. I like how this map keeps the metro Grand Rapids areas of Kentwood and Muskego with Grand Rapids. It also keeps the Forest Hills School District</p>	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-11-18 16:34:53+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>intact.</p>	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-11-18 16:30:45+00

Apple V2	Like	I love how this map keeps the metro areas of Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo together. They share the same healthcare, housing and public education and safety issues. It will make it easy for someone to represent these interests. The Healthcare Corridor which supports many good paying jobs is also kept in tack.	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-11-18 16:27:10+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Allegan County belongs together. I do not agree with the 'caterpillar' district down the lakeshore. This does not represent my community.	Marla Weber	2021-11-18 16:18:56+00
Hickory	Dislike	Allegan County belongs together. I do not agree with the 'caterpillar' district down the lakeshore. This does not represent my community.	Marla Weber	2021-11-18 16:18:19+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Allegan County belongs together. I do not agree with the 'caterpillar' district down the lakeshore. This does not represent my community.	Marla Weber	2021-11-18 16:17:32+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Allegan County belongs together. I do not agree with the 'caterpillar' district down the lakeshore. This does not represent my community.	Marla Weber	2021-11-18 16:16:19+00

Hickory	Like	I am giving this a like because we are now faced with choosing the best from bad options. This map comes the closest to partisan fairness and we in Cass County are at least connected north to Decatur and Paw Paw with which we do share some connections. Going north is better than going East.	Naomi Ludman	2021-11-18 16:11:54+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map along with Linden come closest to meeting the criterion of partisan fairness. This map leans Red. I worked hard for this commission to become reality. I am disappointed that there are still maps that do not meet the criterion of partisan fairness. This map should not	Naomi Ludman	2021-11-18 16:05:53+00
Palm	Dislike	be accepted. While this map is somewhat improved over the others, it is still not partisan fair and results in splitting of communities that adjoin it, Please redraw the Western and Northern areas of	Naomi Ludman	2021-11-18 16:01:11+00
Linden	Opinion	previous gerrymandering,	Ethyl Rivera	2021-11-18 16:00:49+00

Linden	Like	This map and Cherry are the most fair of the State Senate maps. I don't have any preference.	Naomi Ludman	2021-11-18 15:55:05+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map is not drawn fairly and clearly favors one political party over the other. I worked hard for this ICRC process so that we would have fair maps. This map is not fair.	Naomi Ludman	2021-11-18 15:46:32+00
Chestnut	Like	This is map with the most partisan fairness. I don't like that we in southwest Michigan are now in a district with people from southeast Michigan, but of all the maps proposed, this is the best.	Naomi Ludman	2021-11-18 15:43:02+00

Please reconsider the line drawn between District 2 and District 7 right through the middle of Kalamo Township, Eaton County. As an election administrator, I would ask that you keep this township whole. Splitting it as currently drawn appears to cut through 3 of their 4 school districts. This results in the jurisdiction having 7 school splits/ballot styles versus the 4 they already have to deal with. Thank you for your consideration of the difficulties this would cause.

Chestnut

Dislike

Diana Bosworth

2021-11-18 15:25:29+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>Palm is inferior to Linden. Palm has clear partisan bias -- the urban/suburban interests of Jackson City and surrounding townships get overwhelmed by all the rural townships. Greater Jackson residents would get 10 more years of non-representation with this map. Also, many people object to the non-contiguous shape of Palm, with its fingers reaching into 5 different counties. And, commenters in Calhoun Co. have stated their wish to keep together COIs Albion, Marshall, and Battle Creek along the I-94 corridor.</p>	Nancy	2021-11-18 15:00:33+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>Having lived and worked in Chelsea for over 40 years, this map seems like it represents the COIs best. Washtenaw County should be kept whole.</p>	Judy Oake	2021-11-18 14:54:04+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>overall, Magnolia is the best choice</p>	Ron Singer	2021-11-18 14:47:11+00

The Linden State Senate map does a good job of keeping together I-94 corridor COI's in Jackson County (Blackman Twp., Summit Twp., Jackson City, Leoni Twp., Grass Lake) with similar communities in western Washtenaw. This part of Jackson County is urban/suburban, not rural, and shares more in common with other communities along the East-West corridor than it ever did with southern border counties like Branch, Hillsdale and Lenawee. Linden is the best choice for state senate maps from my perspective in Jackson County.

Linden	Like		Nancy Smith	2021-11-18 14:46:28+00
Palm	Like	this Palm map seems to be the best option	Ron Singer	2021-11-18 14:44:00+00
Chestnut	Like	I find the Chestnut map the best to represent Jackson County. It will ensure Jackson County will stay as a whole and not be split up into other counties that may not represent the rural areas as well as they are now.	Emily Mathews	2021-11-18 14:42:58+00
Birch V2	Like	this is the best option.	Ron Singer	2021-11-18 14:33:16+00

Lange	Senate correct	Dislike	This map is the most partisan-skewed of all state senate maps under consideration. This is exactly the kind of partisan gerrymandering that the new process was supposed to eliminate.	Paul McCarthy	2021-11-18 14:07:21+00
Hickory		Dislike	Allegan County needs to be kept as one district. Carving it into different districts isn't in the best interest of our residents. Leave the lakeshore in the Allegan County district. We don't want other districts representing our valuable lakeshore resource. Carving up our county for political gain is gerrymandering and we won't accept that.	Tamilyn Poll	2021-11-18 14:02:03+00

Szetela House	Dislike	<p>Allegan County needs to stay as one district. Leaving the lakeshore out is not in the best interest of the county. Carving up the county for political gain is a form of gerrymandering and that is what we are trying to prevent. Our lakeshore is a valuable part of our county and for their voice to be represented in a different district and decisions made about the lakeshore that don't reflect the issues in the rest of Allegan county is unfair.</p>	Tamilyn Poll	2021-11-18 13:58:52+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>Allegan County needs to remain as one district. We need our lakeshore to be included. Carving up counties into multiple districts is gerrymandering.</p>	Tamilyn Poll	2021-11-18 13:55:09+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>Allegan County needs to remain as 1 district. Carving it up and eliminating the lakeshore from the district is a form of gerrymandering for political gain.</p>	Tamilyn Poll	2021-11-18 13:50:37+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>Keeping small communities - rural communities together so they have actual representation - an actual voice is SUPER IMPORTANT. While no map is perfect and likely perfection cannot truly be achieved - the Chestnut Map seems pretty good in keeping rural communities together so they actually have representation rather than being drowned out by loud voices from the large cities. Everyone needs to be represented - not just those who live in heavily populated areas.</p> <p>Please keep Allegan County as one district. Carving it up for political gain is just gerrymandering and that is what we are trying to prevent!</p>	Jack Griffes	2021-11-18 13:50:06+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>I like what you did with the 32nd district and kept Ypsilanti Township together as well as add in a community of interest by adding a few precincts from superior township as well.</p>	Tamilyn Poll	2021-11-18 13:48:20+00
Hickory	Like		Jimmie Wilson Jr.	2021-11-18 11:15:31+00

Szetela House	Dislike	<p>This is probably the worst map of all the current choices. So many communities broken up. I'm in Ypsilanti Township and it needs to stay together. There are other communities in the surrounding area that were broken up too much also. Please fix this or throw this map out.</p>	Jimmie Wilson Jr.	2021-11-18 11:12:20+00
Pine v5	Like	<p>I like what you did with the 49th district and kept Ypsilanti Township together as well as add in a community of interest by adding a few precincts from superior township as well.</p>	Jimmie Wilson Jr.	2021-11-18 11:06:39+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>I like what you did with the 32nd district and kept Ypsilanti Township together as well as add in a community of interest by adding a few precincts from superior township as well.</p>	Jimmie Wilson Jr.	2021-11-18 11:02:55+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>Just NO. Everywhere. Just NO. Horrible map.</p>	Dave Frey	2021-11-18 09:12:07+00

Clearly with such large districts, many districts are going to capture a wide diversity of communities. Given all the maps I've looked at, and all the comments, I still like map 42172 the best - <https://districtr.org/plan/42172> - chunky districts, follows county and municipal boundaries better than other maps I've seen

Szetela Congressional Opinion

Dave Frey

2021-11-18 09:09:37+00

Clearly with such large districts, many districts are going to capture a wide diversity of communities. Given all the maps I've looked at, and all the comments, I still like map 42172 the best - <https://districtr.org/plan/42172> - chunky districts, follows county and municipal boundaries better than other maps I've seen

Lange Congressional Dislike

Dave Frey

2021-11-18 09:08:30+00

Clearly with such large districts, many districts are going to capture a wide diversity of communities. Given all the maps I've looked at, and all the comments, I still like map 42172 the best - <https://districtr.org/plan/42172> - chunky districts, follows county and municipal boundaries better than other maps I've seen

Apple V2

Opinion

Dave Frey

2021-11-18 09:05:45+00

Clearly with such large districts, many districts are going to capture a wide diversity of communities. Given all the maps I've looked at, and all the comments, I still like map 42172 the best - <https://districtr.org/plan/42172> - chunky districts, follows county and municipal boundaries better than other maps I've seen

Birch V2

Opinion

Dave Frey

2021-11-18 09:04:39+00

Clearly with such large districts, many districts are going to capture a wide diversity of communities - there is only so much you can do. Given all the maps I've looked at, and all the comments, I still like this map best - <https://districtr.org/plan/42172> - chunky districts, follows county and municipal boundaries better than other maps I've seen

Chestnut

Opinion

Dave Frey

2021-11-18 09:00:26+00

I know drawing 110 State House districts is inherently hard. But, unfortunately none of the current maps is fair. They ALL give a majority of seats to the minority of voters!  
I hope you can find a way to do much better.  
Pine shows clear partisan bias and no respect of Communities of Interest.

Pine v5

Dislike

Ed Saunders

2021-11-18 05:58:35+00

Magnolia	Dislike	<p>I know drawing 110 State House districts is inherently hard. But, unfortunately none of the current maps is fair. They ALL give a majority of seats to the minority of voters!</p> <p>I hope you can find a way to do much better.</p> <p>Magnolia shows clear partisan bias and no respect of Communities of Interest.</p>	Ed Saunders	2021-11-18 05:57:18+00
Szetela House	Like	<p>I know drawing 110 State House districts is inherently harder. But, unfortunately none of the current maps is fair.</p> <p>They all give a majority of seats to the minority of voters!</p> <p>I hope you can find a way to do much better. If you MUST choose, Hickory is the best current collaborative map. If you do select Commissioner-initiated maps, the Szetela State House map is even better.</p>	Ed Saunders	2021-11-18 05:51:48+00

Hickory	Like	I know drawing 110 State House districts is inherently harder. But, unfortunately none of the current maps is fair. They all give a majority of seats to the minority of voters! I hope you can find a way to do much better. If you MUST choose, Hickory is the best current collaborative map. If you do select Commissioner-initiated maps, the Szetela State House map is even better.	Ed Saunders	2021-11-18 05:49:58+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	Not as good as Linden or Cherry v2. The Palm State Senate map shows clear partisan bias. Linden and Cherry v2 are much better choices.	Ed Saunders	2021-11-18 05:44:45+00
Palm	Dislike	I like Linden best, but he Cherry v2 State Senate map is a reasonable alternative.	Ed Saunders	2021-11-18 05:42:29+00
Cherry V2	Like	I would like to recommend the Linden State Senate map It is also fair and respectful of Communities of Interest. I particularly applaud the care with which Ann Arbor is divided.	Ed Saunders	2021-11-18 05:40:23+00
Linden	Like		Ed Saunders	2021-11-18 05:38:39+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	There are several much better maps: Sztela, Birch v2, Chestnut.	Ed Saunders	2021-11-18 05:36:46+00
Lange Congressional	Like	There are several much better maps: Sztela, Birch v2, Chestnut. Eaton County (mostly District 76) is divided into 4 State House districts--FOUR! Vermontville is tucked between two prongs of Barry County. Bellevue and Olivet are so close that they are almost twin cities, yet they are in different districts. Our county courthouse is in Charlotte which is quite close to the center of our county. If you kept Eaton County together, everyone could easily attend meetings and events in their county seat. I thought 'Communities of Interest' were supposed to matter.	Ed Saunders	2021-11-18 05:35:38+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Szetela seems the best US Congress map, then Birch v2, but Cherry a seems reasonable alternative.	Darlene Littlejohn	2021-11-18 05:33:40+00
Chestnut	Like		Ed Saunders	2021-11-18 05:31:19+00

Szetela Congressional Like		If you do select Commissioner-initiated maps, the Szetela Congressional map is even better than Birch v2. It is fair and respectful of Communities of Interest. We all know that Birch trees stand out in a forest and the Birch v2 Congressional map also stands out.	Ed Saunders	2021-11-18 05:28:08+00
Birch V2	Like	It is fair and respectful of Communities of Interest.	Ed Saunders	2021-11-18 05:14:52+00

This map seems to be very contrived around large cities and disenfranchises the voice of those living in more rural communities. In the central and southern Michigan, counties are split and centered around large urban areas. Rural counties, Like Eaton, Barry, Calhoun, and Kalamazoo, seem to be divided and joined with counties there are more urban, like Ingham, Jackson, and Kent. In the North, putting part of the Upper Peninsula and part of the Lower Peninsula in a single district does not accurately reflect any geographic, economic, or cultural region of interest. Southeast Michigan seems even more contrived. Keep counties whole wherever possible, and keep rural communities with other rural communities.

Lange Senate correct Dislike

Katherine Schmidt

2021-11-18 05:02:23+00

I like how District 7 looks on this map and feel that the drawing represents shared communities of interest with common values in many ways. The western and northern portion of the state could be improved on by slightly more geographically centralized districts, but this is an improvement over earlier drafts. Thank you!

Szetela Congressional Like

Katherine Schmidt

2021-11-18 04:55:26+00

Eaton County and most of the counties in Mid-Michigan and Southern Michigan are divided into so many non-sensical pieces. While this map is better than some from a couple of weeks ago, splitting Eaton County into four different pieces is absurd. Someone living in Olivet or Bellevue has little in common culturally, historically, economically, or geographically with someone in Allegan County (which borders Lake Michigan). Olivet and Bellevue are much more likely to share interests with Battle Creek or Lansing and other rural farm communities. Northwest Eaton County has much more in common with the rest of Eaton County than it does with Ionia, Barry, and Kent Counties. Because so many community and government services are county based, where possible, counties

Szetela House

Dislike

Katherine Schmidt

2021-11-18 04:46:42+00

This district literally spans our state from east to west, Wisconsin to Canada. This (and the surrounding districts in the Upper Peninsula) seem to be so massive geographically that it would create an immense burden on any single legislator to provide good constituent services. Also, with such a vast expanse, there are many different cultural differences and communities of interests that are being divided. Dividing the UP vertically rather than horizontally would allow better representation of local communities and interests, and help constituents receive better representation by an individual who understands their concerns.

Szetela House

Dislike

Katherine Schmidt

2021-11-18 04:36:46+00

Keep Allegan county together! There is no reason to split up the county except for gerrymandering. Allegan county should be one district, not part of six. It dilutes our vote. This looks to be intentional for political gain.

Szetela House

Dislike

Cherylyn Conklin-Reed

2021-11-18 04:23:31+00

Hickory	Dislike	<p>This map does not keep Allegan county together. Existing geographical lines and counties should be kept together as much as possible. Spreading districts across multiple counties and creating fingerling districts like the one at the lakeshore of Allegan county is purely political and gerrymandering. Keep Allegan county together!</p> <p>Allegan county needs to be kept together not split up and combined with other counties as much as two counties away. Existing geographical boundaries need to be respected, not dividing Allegan county into as much as 6 districts. This division and the fingerling district along the lake looks like political gerrymandering.</p>	Cherylyn Conklin-Reed	2021-11-18 04:18:22+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>Allegan county needs to be kept together as one district. Multiple counties in one district and the a the fingerling district combining the lakeshore is gerrymandering.</p>	Cherylyn Conklin-Reed	2021-11-18 03:54:31+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>Allegan county needs to be kept together as one district. Multiple counties in one district and the a the fingerling district combining the lakeshore is gerrymandering.</p>	Cherylyn Conklin-Reed	2021-11-18 03:42:09+00

Szetela House	Opinion	<p>Szetela map is better than Magnolia in that it only divides Jackson County into 3 districts. However, our COI ties us with Hillsdale County more than Washtenaw County. None of the State House maps are good.</p>	Fred Trexler	2021-11-18 03:41:29+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>The only acceptable House Map for my district is Pine V5, because it does not split the city of Troy. Troy is a COI and should not be divided, least of all to be combined with part of Macomb County, which has different business needs.</p>	Ruth A Kell	2021-11-18 03:39:51+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>The only acceptable House Map for my district is Pine V5, because it does not split the city of Troy. Troy is a COI and should not be divided, least of all to be combined with part of Macomb County, which has different business needs.</p>	Ruth A Kell	2021-11-18 03:38:38+00

Magnolia	Dislike	The only acceptable House Map for my district is Pine V5, because it does not split the city of Troy. Troy is a COI and should not be divided, least of all to be combined with part of Macomb County, which has different business needs.	Ruth A Kell	2021-11-18 03:37:32+00
Pine v5	Like	The only acceptable House Map for my district is Pine V5, because it does not split the city of Troy. Troy is a COI and should not be divided, least of all to be combined with part of Macomb County, which has different business needs.	Ruth A Kell	2021-11-18 03:34:18+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Dividing Jackson County into 4 districts looks like playing politics. Our COI does not match Ann Arbor. I don't like any of the State House maps. I prefer Jackson County to be altogether, but it is most like	Fred Trexler	2021-11-18 03:31:29+00
Magnolia	Like	my COI than the others.	Natalie Learned	2021-11-18 03:25:28+00

This map does not align with the "communities of interest" principle as well as the Palm map. Too much combining of rural and metropolitan areas. Looks like lots of gerrymandering to favor the Democrat Party it appears. I thought the commission was about "people and not politics".

Szetela Senate

Dislike

JEFFREY YEUTTER

2021-11-18 03:23:37+00

Of all the Senate Districts, this is the worst for me. How can you split my city of Troy and stick my portion into an otherwise, completely Macomb County district? Eastern Troy does not share more interests with Macomb County than it does with its other Troy neighbors. This map makes no sense from a COI point of view.

Kellom Senate

Dislike

Ruth A Kell

2021-11-18 03:22:29+00

Lange Senate correct	Dislike	This map does not align with the "communities of interest" principle as well as the Palm map. Too much combining of rural and metropolitan areas. Looks like lots of gerrymandering to favor the Democrat Party it appears. I thought the commission was about "people and not politics".	JEFFREY YEUTTER	2021-11-18 03:22:03+00
Palm	Like	Please keep this map. Most consistent with my COI which includes many school related activities.	Natalie Learned	2021-11-18 03:21:14+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This map does not align with the "communities of interest" principle as well as the Palm map. Too much combining of rural and metropolitan areas. Looks like lots of gerrymandering to favor the Democrat Party it appears. I thought the commission was about "people and not politics".	JEFFREY YEUTTER	2021-11-18 03:20:10+00

Linden	Dislike	<p>This map does not align with the "communities of interest" principle as well as the Palm map. Too much combining of rural and metropolitan areas. Looks like lots of gerrymandering to favor the Democrat Party it appears. I thought the commission was about "people and not politics".</p>	JEFFREY YEUTTER	2021-11-18 03:18:59+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This is a good map for my COI. Please keep Chestnut.</p>	Natalie Learned	2021-11-18 03:17:21+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>This map does not align with the "communities of interest" principle as well as the Palm map. Too much combining of rural and metropolitan areas. Looks like lots of gerrymandering to favor the Democrat Party it appears. I thought the commission was about "people and not politics".</p>	JEFFREY YEUTTER	2021-11-18 03:16:03+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>As retired professors, our community of interest is small colleges in Jackson, Hillsdale, and Lenawee Counties. This map is the best because it keeps those counties together.</p>	Fred Trexler	2021-11-18 03:14:26+00

This seems to be the fairest of the State Senate maps as it seems to follow the "communities of interest" principle the best by keeping rural areas intact and metropolitan areas intact. I see lots of negative comments where it appears that people think the commission should gerrymander the districts in favor of Democrats. Avoiding partisan favoritism was supposed to be the point of the commission I thought!

Palm

Like

JEFFREY YEUTTER

2021-11-18 03:10:50+00

I appreciate how difficult meeting all the criteria is for each kind of map, but fairness is a vital criterion which none of these House maps meet. The MICRC has met that criterion in its State Senate and Congressional map proposals, but none of the proposed State House maps are fair in apportioning the most House seats to the party which wins the most votes, based on the last two Presidential elections. Instead all of the maps have a strong lean toward one party, though that lean is slightly less pronounced than currently enacted maps. I'm sure the Commission can do better.

Hickory

Dislike

Sara Fink

2021-11-18 02:58:51+00

I appreciate how difficult meeting all the criteria is for each kind of map, but fairness is a vital criterion which none of these House maps meet. The MICRC has met that criterion in its State Senate and Congressional map proposals, but none of the proposed State House maps are fair in apportioning the most House seats to the party which wins the most votes, based on the last two Presidential elections. Instead all of the maps have a strong lean toward one party, though that lean is slightly less pronounced than currently enacted maps. I'm sure the Commission can do better.

Szetela House

Dislike

Sara Fink

2021-11-18 02:56:25+00

I appreciate how difficult meeting all the criteria is for each kind of map, but fairness is a vital criterion which none of these House maps meet. The MICRC has met that criterion in its State Senate and Congressional map proposals, but none of the proposed State House maps are fair in apportioning the most House seats to the party which wins the most votes, based on the last two Presidential elections. Instead all of the maps have a strong lean toward one party, though that lean is slightly less pronounced than currently enacted maps. I'm sure the Commission can do better.

Magnolia

Dislike

Sara Fink

2021-11-18 02:52:36+00

This is just another map that illustrates how the commissioners, who I know are trying to be fair, do not seem to understand how different the COIs of Macomb and Oakland County are. Troy, Royal Oak and Ferndale are intertwined, business and culture-wise, with cities to the west, also in Oakland County. Anyone representing this district will have a struggle. I think chopping up Jackson County is wrong, Adding us to Washtenaw County is too far, over 30 miles. These communities are no alike in population so please leave our community alone. There is no reason to combine these areas.

Szetela Congressional Dislike

Ruth A Kell

2021-11-18 02:51:30+00

Apple V2

Dislike

Mary Wilkie

2021-11-18 02:50:00+00

I appreciate how difficult meeting all the criteria is for each kind of map, but fairness is a vital criterion which none of these House maps meet. The MICRC has met that criterion in its State Senate and Congressional map proposals, but none of the proposed State House maps are fair in apportioning the most House seats to the party which wins the most votes, based on the last two Presidential elections. Instead all of the maps have a strong lean toward one party, though that lean is slightly less pronounced than currently enacted maps. I'm sure the Commission can do better.

Pine v5

Dislike

Sara Fink

2021-11-18 02:49:18+00

Cascade Township should not be split off from Kentwood and East Grand Rapids. Similarly, Wyoming should not be split in two. Keep the Hispanic community together.

Szetela House

Dislike

Thanks for your hard work!! Frank Lynn

2021-11-18 02:48:10+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>This map is yet another disregard for the distinctions between Oakland and Macomb county cities and voters. Troy, and the cities north and south of it should be aligned with other Oakland County communities, which are largely professional and service businesses, retail and office, while Macomb is far more marine and industrial oriented. This district will be continuously in major conflict.</p>	Ruth A Kell	2021-11-18 02:45:50+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This map best represents partisan fairness as required by the rules of the commission. I appreciate that the Tri-Cities stay together and with Flint. I know some continue to shout about flooding and imply specific groupings must happen due to this, but the City of Midland deserves more than a map based on one issue and it simply isn't true that our maps must reflect those areas.</p>	Danielle Fergin	2021-11-18 02:44:51+00

Birch V2	Dislike	<p>Oakland County and Macomb County are not really a COI. There are many business and educational as well as philosophical differences between the two and no congressperson can adequately balance those differences. My city, Troy, belongs with other Oakland County cities.</p>	Ruth Kell	2021-11-18 02:40:10+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This map shows fairly well the distinction between communities in Oakland vs. Macomb counties, which are significant. Regarding my own city of Troy, this map is satisfactory.</p>	Ruth Kell	2021-11-18 02:31:05+00

Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This map still does not reflect the distinctly different community of interest divisions east and west of the Oakland / Macomb County line. East is far more industrial, blue collar, and all about individual freedoms while West is more service and professional services, white collar, and more leaning toward cooperative for the greater good. One representative for this district would need to have a split-personality.</p>	Ruth A Kell	2021-11-18 02:25:00+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This is the best map for Jackson County because it keeps Jackson County whole. I appreciate that our voices will have equal representation and our unique needs for our area can be addressed. Previous maps grouped Southern Oakland Twp with Rochester. We share services, schools and zipcodes with Rochester. We should share representation. Thank you.</p>	Vicky Gorsuch	2021-11-18 02:13:56+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p></p>	Carolyn Young	2021-11-18 02:11:23+00

Cascade township is a suburb of Grand Rapids/Kentwood and should be in a district with those areas. It is not a rural district at all.

Please put the airport (Cascade township) back in with the Grand Rapids metro.

Szetela House

Dislike

Pei-Lan Tsou

2021-11-18 02:07:17+00

As a person of color, I think it's important that minorities have our representation. This map is not good for the Latinx community. It should not be split up in this way to dilute the vote.

Szetela House

Dislike

Pei-Lan Tsou

2021-11-18 02:05:55+00

Chestnut	Opinion	<p>Please move Oakland Twp, particularly the southern part back to district 10. We share all of our services and the school district with Rochester, not with our neighbors to the north or east. It makes significantly more sense for us to have a representation and a voice with the Rochester community. The previous maps had us grouped in with Rochester. Our zip codes reflect this. Thank you for your consideration.</p> <p>These districts for Grand Rapids actually keep most of the actual sections in a reasonable way between Grandville, East GR, Wyoming, and downtown.</p>	Carolyn Young	2021-11-18 02:04:47+00
Hickory	Like		Richard Paas	2021-11-18 02:01:39+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>The districts shouldn't be split up this way with Hispanic communities in Wyoming being separated in this way. District 80 shouldn't scoop around that way, and the Cascade airport area shouldn't be so far out into the rural districts.</p>	Richard Paas	2021-11-18 01:58:19+00

Linden	Like	This map keeps COI together and has a much better partisan fairness score.	Helen Goyings	2021-11-18 01:54:55+00
Hickory	Like	I really appreciate that the Hispanic district finally have someone that can represent the community.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-11-18 01:49:49+00
Palm	Dislike	This is the worst map I have seen. This map has the worst Republican bias that I have seen. I thought you were suppose to fix gerrymandering. You failed on this map.	Helen Goyings	2021-11-18 01:48:29+00
Hickory	Like	Thank you for keeping the 6-cities together. Especially Walker/Grandville/NW GR as a community of interest since they share the same school district.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-11-18 01:48:19+00
Palm	Dislike	This map is not close at all, it should not divide up the center of Grand Rapids with outlaying rural areas to nullify minority voters.	Richard Paas	2021-11-18 01:47:37+00

Hickory	Like	Thank you for listening to us residents of Cascade township and keeping us in with the Grand Rapids metro. We are suburban GR people, really don't belong with the farm land! I also appreciate you keeping the Grand Rapids airport in with the metro.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-11-18 01:47:16+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This is a crazy map breaking up Allegan county into 5 different districts. There are other House maps that provide a much better COI grouping. Great job listening to us and putting the GR airport in with the GR metro.	Helen Goyings	2021-11-18 01:44:42+00
Linden	Like		Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-11-18 01:43:45+00
Linden	Like	Thank you for unpacking Grand Rapids. For the amount of population we have, we really deserve 2 State Senate seats representing us.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-11-18 01:42:37+00
Hickory	Like	This map is the least unfair of the three map. It keeps COI together and is the least gerrymandered maps of all three	Helen Goyings	2021-11-18 01:41:14+00

Palm	Dislike	I can't support this map (Palm) as it is not even close to achieving the goal of partisan fairness. Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti should not be lumped into one district, you need to split Ann Arbor at a minimum.	Janice Sovak	2021-11-18 01:36:53+00
Palm	Dislike	This map makes no sense. The rural Ottawa county does not belong with the metro GR area.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-11-18 01:35:53+00
Palm	Dislike	This whole map is a Republican gerrymander, just as bad as the map we have right now! This is what we tried so hard to get rid of in 2018.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-11-18 01:34:01+00
Chestnut	Like	Thank you for your efforts at partisan fairness, I feel that of all the maps, this map is a very politically balanced map and west Michigan will get our proper representation.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-11-18 01:29:46+00
Birch V2	Like	The BirchV2 does seem to be the most balanced	Crystal L Ruth	2021-11-18 01:29:33+00

Chestnut	Like	I like this map a lot because we live in metro GR area, but also own a vacation home in Muskegon area. Like many people who lives in GR, we spend a lot of our weekends at the lakeshore.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-11-18 01:28:27+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map is a gerrymandered mess. As much as the 2 major cities in SW Michigan have common ground this map totally ignores the outlier areas that connect to these 2 separate cities as their lifeline to the activities that they value in their lives. This map is also a gerrymandered mess. Map seems best for	Helen Goyings	2021-11-18 01:28:15+00
Palm	Like	representation West Michigan needs a blue leaning district in order to balance out the overall Republican bias of the map.	Crystal L Ruth	2021-11-18 01:26:43+00
Apple V2	Like	The GR-Kzoo district links two important centers of healthcare, scientific research, and medical engineering manufacturing. It make sense to be in the same	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-11-18 01:25:00+00
Apple V2	Like	district.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-11-18 01:23:23+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>Communities of interest are kept together in this version  This map is also the best in terms of partisan fairness.  This map seems to be compact and is not gerrymandered.</p>	Helen Goyings	2021-11-18 01:23:06+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>This map is unfair from a partisan perspective. No house map adequately achieves this goal.  Commissioners, please keep working on this to achieve real fairness. You can do it, as you've done with US Congressional and Mich State Senate maps.</p>	Janice Sovak	2021-11-18 01:18:01+00
Hickory	Like	<p>Having East Grand Rapids and Kentwood in the same district makes logical sense for the Grand Rapids metropolitan area. Good job.</p>	Frank Lynn	2021-11-18 01:15:17+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>This map (Magnolia) is unfair from a partisan perspective. No house map adequately achieves this goal.  Commissioners, please keep working on this to achieve real fairness. You can do it, as you've done with US Congressional and Mich State Senate maps.</p>	Janice Sovak	2021-11-18 01:10:16+00

Hickory	Like	Thanks for keeping Wyoming city together. This map keeps the Grand Rapids area Latinx community together for the first time. Great map!	Frank Lynn	2021-11-18 01:09:45+00
Pine v5	Dislike	This map (Pine V5) is unfair from a partisan perspective. No house map adequately achieves this goal. Commissioners, please keep working on this to achieve real fairness. You can do it, as you've done with US Congressional and Mich State Senate maps.	Janice Sovak	2021-11-18 01:05:37+00

I do not like the Szetela State Senate map. It divides Jackson County and combines rural areas of Jackson County with half of the City of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has more public service offerings, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas, like Waterloo Township, have none of these things. Rural communities have VERY different needs and require different legislative representation. This map would give us no voice in our rural area. Please use the PALM State Senate map. It better represents my community. Thank you.

Szetela Senate

Dislike

Catherine Upton

2021-11-18 00:57:56+00

Chestnut Like I have friends and relatives living in the City Of Jackson AND Jackson County. To break up the CITY OF JACKSON would be like breaking up 'Family'.....I live by the Clark Lake Golf course in Brooklyn. A rural area in South West corner of Jackson County...so I also am 2 miles as a "crow flies" from Lenawee AND Hillsdale County. James Minnick Jr 2021-11-18 00:55:19+00

Lange Senate correct Dislike If you were to look up gerrymandering in the dictionary, a picture of this map would likely pop up. How many different ways can you try to tie in voters from Ann Arbor to Jackson County. This may be the worst map of all. Please vote for the PALM map which does a much better job of satisfying the "communities of interest" objective both this commission. Thank you. Catherine Upton 2021-11-18 00:52:36+00

Linden	Like	<p>Thank you for putting Ada and Cascade where they belong. They are part of the same school district, Forest Hills. They are part of the GR Metro area and are adjacent to the GR Mketro Airport.</p>	Frank Lynn	2021-11-18 00:51:14+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>Iâ€™m so disappointed that this is the best anyone could come up with. Why would anyone think dividing a community is a good idea. Farmington and Farmington Hills is one community.</p>	Elizabeth Buckner	2021-11-18 00:46:15+00

I do not like the Kellom State Senate map. It turns its back on the rural residents of Jackson County by dividing it and combines it with half of the city of Ann Arbor. I thought the commissions objective was to at least avoid the appearance of gerrymandering! Ann Arbor has public utilities, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas have none of these things. These different communities have different needs and require different legislative representation. Please adopt instead the "Palm" State Senate map. It does a much better job of satisfying the "communities of interest"™ objective of this committee. Thank you.

Kellom Senate

Dislike

Catherine Upton

2021-11-18 00:44:46+00

This district would give minorities in West Michigan representation for the first time ever. It is overdue. And it would also connect the health care industries in Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids.

Apple V2

Like

Frank Lynn

2021-11-18 00:41:58+00

I do not like the Linden State Senate map. It divides Jackson County and combines rural areas of Jackson County with half of the City of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has more public service offerings, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas, like Waterloo Township, have none of these things. Rural communities have VERY different needs and require different legislative representation. Residents of my community would not have fair representation with this map. Please use the PALM State Senate map. It better represents my community.

Linden	Dislike	Thank you.	Catherine Upton	2021-11-18 00:39:04+00
Szetela House	Like	The best of a poor set of maps for the MI State House, it seems to me Cass County could remain intact with a minor adjustment to the lake shore district and the one in between, 38 and 37.	Jim Pedersen	2021-11-18 00:38:45+00
Chestnut	Like	Chestnut is the most fair map	Brian Jackson	2021-11-18 00:37:48+00

Magnolia	Like	A fair map which insures a clear voice for Flint	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:35:40+00
Magnolia	Like	Gives Flint a well deserved clear voice	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:34:29+00
Magnolia	Like	Strong district with similar COI	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:33:44+00
Magnolia	Like	Matches these residents and give them a voice	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:33:02+00
Magnolia	Like	Represents the community	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:32:24+00
Magnolia	Like	A district of a like minded community	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:31:37+00
		A very bad proposal/idea. Surely suggested based upon political goals - not for the benefit of the people - for political gain. When, will they learn â€ when??		
Apple V2	Dislike	Well thought out district of like minded citizens	Douglas Hoek	2021-11-18 00:31:17+00
Magnolia	Like	Expressing my approval	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:30:19+00
Magnolia	Like	District will give the residents a good Rep in the house	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:29:40+00
		The best of the Senate maps, although I think you should have maintained more of the Michigan lakeshore district.		
Linden	Like		Jim Pedersen	2021-11-18 00:28:41+00
Magnolia	Like	Reflects the community	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:28:33+00
Magnolia	Like	Love these new boundaries	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:27:26+00
		Perfectly reflects the community		
Magnolia	Like		Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:26:45+00
Magnolia	Like	Well mapped out district	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:26:15+00

Magnolia	Like	Completely mirrors the community Of all of the State Senate maps, I like the Palm map. It keeps Waterloo Township inside the Jackson Co district where it belongs. Our area is very rural, half our township is DNR land, we have no infrastructure such as city water, sewer high speed internet or public transportation. Any map that includes Ann Arbor (a completely different "community of interest) with our district would drown out our voice and leave us	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:25:55+00
Palm	Like	without representation. Completely reflective of the	Catherine Upton	2021-11-18 00:25:26+00
Magnolia	Like	community	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:25:18+00
Magnolia	Like	Reflects COI	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:24:42+00
Magnolia	Like	definitely feel this is a much fairer district now	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:24:18+00
Birch V2	Like	My second favorite, although not as good as Szetela, but it is fair, balanced and reflects the goals of the Commission.	Jim Pedersen	2021-11-18 00:23:35+00
Magnolia	Like	I support this revised district	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:23:16+00
Magnolia	Like	Fair representation for the Community	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:20:54+00

Szetela Congressional	Like	This is the best map of all, although I am still disappointed in the proposed Southern Border district. I know of no natural relationship between Cass County and Monroe County, but hey, maybe we will make one.	Jim Pedersen	2021-11-18 00:19:37+00
Magnolia	Like	Map benefits Jackson residents	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:18:54+00
Magnolia	Like	Residents will benefit with this map	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:17:46+00
Magnolia	Like	District reflects my friends living near by	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:14:12+00
Magnolia	Like	This district reflects the community...I have friends here	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:13:27+00
Magnolia	Like	Well planned district	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:12:27+00
Magnolia	Like	Map appears to connect COI	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:12:04+00
Magnolia	Like	This district represents my COI	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-18 00:08:44+00
Szetela House	Dislike	The commission is intentionally carving up counties into multiple districts for political gain. This is gerrymandering! Leave it alone!	James Bantjes Jr.	2021-11-18 00:03:54+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Apparently someone has it out for Jackson County. Another map splitting Jackson County into 4 different districts. Do not use this map.	VANESSA MULNIX	2021-11-18 00:03:25+00

Szetela Congressional Like		This map, Szetela Congressional, is balanced and partisan neutral with a few competitive districts. I prefer Birch V2 but would be similarly pleased if you approved this map. It encompasses the I94 corridor in and out and around Ann Arbor which is a major dynamic of this district along with the Huron River drainage basin coming into the district and draining out to Lake Erie. It is an environmental corridor as well as an economic corridor.	Ronald Emaus	2021-11-18 00:00:08+00
Hickory	Dislike	Ridiculous dissection of Jackson County. Not based on COI.	VANESSA MULNIX	2021-11-17 23:59:56+00
Hickory	Dislike	The commission is intentionally carving up counties into multiple districts for political gain. This is gerrymandering! Leave it alone!	James Bantjes Jr.	2021-11-17 23:58:11+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Do not like. Strange dissection of Jackson County. Clearly not based on COI.	VANESSA MULNIX	2021-11-17 23:57:20+00

Magnolia	Dislike	The commission is intentionally carving up counties into multiple districts for political gain. This is gerrymandering! Leave it alone!	James Bantjes Jr.	2021-11-17 23:55:18+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	I like Jackson County whole, but the thread to the east makes no sense. Unless the goal is not COI.	VANESSA MULNIX	2021-11-17 23:53:13+00
Pine v5	Dislike	The commission is intentionally carving up counties into multiple districts for political gain. This is gerrymandering! Leave it alone!	James Bantjes Jr.	2021-11-17 23:53:04+00
Birch V2	Like	The Birch V2 map seems the most fair and balanced. I like that my district includes a major portion of the Huron River, our drinking water resource, and our sewage effluent all the way to Lake Erie. I often advocate for drainage basin based jurisdictions and this goes some way to that end.	Ronald Emaus	2021-11-17 23:52:20+00
Hickory	Dislike	This is not good for Livingston County.	Denise Thornton	2021-11-17 23:51:49+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Do not use this map. Totally cut off from my COI.	VANESSA MULNIX	2021-11-17 23:50:23+00

The purpose of the Commission was to draw maps that were fair and as close to being 50-50 between Republicans and Democrats as possible. It can be done. House Representative elections in 2018 and 2020 and the Mayoral race in 2019 had very narrow margins, which indicate to me that Livonia is a purple city. Keep Livonia in one House District! Please work to make these maps with partisan fairness as a primary goal.

Hickory	Dislike	Not my COI. Family, friends, shopping, recreational activities are not in this map.	Katharine Shishkovsky	2021-11-17 23:49:43+00
Linden	Dislike	Do not choose this map. What a crazy convoluted map. I have no knowledge of the communities that snake to the east. Some of these maps leave me suspicious of the true intent of the constitutional amendment.	VANESSA MULNIX	2021-11-17 23:47:59+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This is a good map for Livingston County.	VANESSA MULNIX	2021-11-17 23:46:16+00
Magnolia	Like	Thank you.	Denise Thornton	2021-11-17 23:46:14+00

Szetela House	Opinion	<p>This map does not represent the voices of the people. It is partisan and non-competitive in its district compositions. None of the house district maps are balanced. A new map should be constructed to provide better balance. It can be done.</p>	Ronald Emaus	2021-11-17 23:43:16+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>Awful map. Does not keep COI together. Split up in odd ways apparently only to even out numbers of voters, not COI's.</p>	VANESSA MULNIX	2021-11-17 23:42:26+00
Hickory	Opinion	<p>This map does not represent the voices of the people. It is partisan and non-competitive in its district compositions. None of the house district maps are balanced. A new map should be constructed to provide better balance. It can be done.</p>	Ronald Emaus	2021-11-17 23:41:11+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>Do not split off the eastside communities of Jackson County. My COI includes family and activities in those areas.</p>	VANESSA MULNIX	2021-11-17 23:41:01+00

This map does not represent the voices of the people. It is partisan and non-competitive in its district compositions. None of the house district maps are balanced. A new map should be constructed to provide better balance. It can be done.

Magnolia

Opinion

Ronald Emaus

2021-11-17 23:40:23+00

I have testified before the Commission twice in person, at least twice on Zoom, and written several comments over the last few months. I have been ignored. I am not too concerned about the State Senate Districts, but I am very unhappy with Livonia being split into three House Districts for several reasons: the citizens in Livonia will be confused about who their Representatives are; the Mayor will have a problem trying to work out problems with House Reps, especially if they don't agree on an issue; the City Clerk will have a hell of a time trying to make sure that all citizens get accurate ballots at election time, much less make sure enough ballots are printed and are accurate. In addition, Livonia has little in common with the City of Detroit -- particularly the Brightmoor neighborhood of Detroit.

Hickory

Dislike

Katharine Shishkovsky

2021-11-17 23:40:12+00

Birch V2

Dislike

Do not split off communities to the east in Jackson County.

VANESSA MULNIX

2021-11-17 23:39:17+00

Pine v5	Opinion	<p>This map does not represent the voices of the people. It is partisan and non-competitive in its district compositions. None of the house district maps are balanced. A new map should be constructed to provide better balance. It can be done.</p>	Ronald Emaus	2021-11-17 23:37:14+00
Magnolia	Like	<p>This map encompasses my COI, family, outdoor activities, school district. It is not ideal in that it breaks up Jackson County. The positive is it encompasses largely rural, farming communities and gives them a voice specific to their needs.</p> <p>While I prefer the Linden map, This map is also acceptable in that I see my COI as being southeast Ann Arbor and points south, east, and west rather than areas to the north and northeast. This map divides Ann Arbor appropriately and it should be divided to reduce the wasted votes in Ann Arbor. This map is acceptable to me for meeting all the requirements of the law in a fair and</p>	VANESSA MULNIX	2021-11-17 23:35:55+00
Szetela Senate	Like	<p>balanced manner.</p>	Ronald Emaus	2021-11-17 23:32:51+00

Magnolia	Like	good for where I live	david leroy samson	2021-11-17 23:30:58+00
Palm	Like	good for where I live	david leroy samson	2021-11-17 23:29:50+00
		Best map to ensure rural communities have a voice in Congress. My COI, shopping, outdoor activities, family, center on the communities in Jackson County. The trail system I use encompasses Jackson County. I do not travel distances such as to Calhoun and Washtenaw for my COI activities. Keep this		
Chestnut	Like	map.	VANESSA MULNIX	2021-11-17 23:28:39+00
Birch V2	Like	good for where I live	david leroy samson	2021-11-17 23:27:51+00
		I am impressed with all the hard work the commission put into this work. I support this map because it seems to meet most of the requirements for the commission in the best way. I suspect that the fairness of this map is reflected in the accusations of gerrymandering once we had a non-legislative commission		
Chestnut	Like	doing this work.	Mary Vermeer	2021-11-17 23:23:08+00

This map creates a huge partisan efficiency gap by keeping Ann Arbor in one district. This is unacceptable according to the charge of the Commission and is totally unacceptable to me. Southeast Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti have more in common as a community than southeast Ann Arbor has with northeast Ann Arbor specifically the Washtenaw and Michigan Ave corridors. This map is unacceptable to me and it should not be considered by the Commission because of the partisan efficiency gap.

Palm

Dislike

Ronald Emaus

2021-11-17 23:22:47+00

BEST MAP to keep Jackson County whole. I do not utilize services in Washtenaw County or Calhoun County. If anything I move into southern Ingham County. I use the trail system in southern Jackson County, not Washtenaw. To split Jackson County up into various Senate districts will ensure that the rural population does not have a voice.

Palm

Like

VANESSA MULNIX

2021-11-17 23:17:12+00

Linden	Like	This is the fairest of the senate maps. It will result in electoral outcomes that accurately reflect how Michiganders vote.	Margaret Schankler	2021-11-17 23:14:49+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	Absolutely the worst plan. It develops the fewest competitive districts compared to all other plans.	Richard Michalski	2021-11-17 23:14:30+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	Does not create as many competitive districts as Palm proposed plan.	Richard Michalski	2021-11-17 23:12:54+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Does not create as many competitive districts as Palm proposed plan.	Richard Michalski	2021-11-17 23:11:35+00
Linden	Dislike	Does not create as many competitive districts as Palm proposed plan.	Richard Michalski	2021-11-17 23:10:48+00

Living in what used to be called East Ann Arbor, my COI encompasses Ann Arbor, Pittsfield, Ann Arbor Township and Ypsilanti. It's very important my community representation cross all these local jurisdictions to address the many cross-jurisdictional issues. This is a great map for splitting Ann Arbor appropriately north and south to align southeast Ann Arbor with its communities east and west which are much more meaning to me. I'm in favor of all the other measures on this map. This is the best map for representing COI, Voting Rights Act districts, and partisan fairness.

Linden

Like

Ronald Emaus

2021-11-17 23:10:17+00

Appears to create the greatest number of competitive districts compared to all other plans. Does not create as many competitive districts a Palm proposal.

Palm

Like

Richard Michalski

2021-11-17 23:08:47+00

Cherry V2

Dislike

Richard Michalski

2021-11-17 23:06:46+00

Birch V2	Like	This is the best map. The southern tier district should not extend hundreds of miles all the way from Lake Erie to Lake Michigan. This should be more square.	Robert Jones	2021-11-17 22:52:16+00
Hickory	Dislike	Allegan County belongs together. I do not agree with the 'caterpillar' district down the lakeshore. This does not represent my community.	Dan and Chris Davis	2021-11-17 22:52:12+00
Birch V2	Like	Im pleased with this district! it represents like minded people	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 22:49:50+00
Birch V2	Like	Districts here seem appropriate	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 22:47:14+00
Chestnut	Like	Creates the most 'competitive' districts of all the proposals. See attached graph. Other proposals are not close.	Richard Michalski	2021-11-17 22:29:58+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Not a good choice, since it only creates one to maybe two competitive district. Chestnut is much better.	Richard Michalski	2021-11-17 22:18:02+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	Not a good choice, since it only creates one competitive district. It is probably the MOST GERRRYMANDERED proposal of all. Chestnut is much better.	Richard Michalski	2021-11-17 22:16:01+00

		Thank you, Commissioner Kellom for drawing this sensible map for Detroit and Wayne County voters. This map keeps our communities of interest together better than any other map. No other proposed State Senate Map does this.		
Kellom Senate	Like	Please approve this one.	Chris Roosen	2021-11-17 22:14:38+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Not a good choice, since it does not create as many competitive districts as Chestnut. Chestnut is much better choice.	Richard Michalski	2021-11-17 22:13:52+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This definitely does not keep the communities of interest together. It cuts Grosse Pointe Park into three pieces.	Amanda Bobrovetski	2021-11-17 22:11:52+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Not a good choice, since it only creates one competitive district. Chestnut is much better.	Richard Michalski	2021-11-17 22:11:03+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Adding Warren, part of Royal Oak and Ferndale to Detroit and the Grosse Pointes doesn't make sense. Why would you cut across two other counties to pool together? Definitely not in the best interest of any of those communities.	A Bobrovetski	2021-11-17 22:07:57+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>In analyzing the 5 proposals for US Representative districts, 'Chestnut' is the best since it creates 3 'competitive' districts where the Republican to Democrat ratio is almost 50%. None of the others accomplish this level of competitiveness. Having competitive districts will help eliminate the 'hard' right or left extremes from influencing our government. NO QUESTION CHESTNUT IS THE BEST!</p>	Richard Michalski	2021-11-17 22:04:55+00
Linden	Like	<p>Thank you for putting Cascade Township where it belongs! Great job listening to us and putting the GR airport in the GR metro area.</p>	Christy Mayo	2021-11-17 21:57:06+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>Thank you for putting forth a map that provides partisan fairness.</p>	Christy Mayo	2021-11-17 21:55:07+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>West Michigan needs more of a democratic district in order to balance out the overall Republican bias of the map.</p>	Christy Mayo	2021-11-17 21:52:24+00

Magnolia	Dislike	<p>why are we (in Grand Ledge) included with places like Charlotte and Potterville, even Vermontville which are rural areas like us. We have more community of interest with them than with Lansing. You have included a chunk of Lansing our district which will unbalance the political input. I moved to Grand Ledge 20 years ago because the west side is closer to farming communities.</p>	sally jo nelton	2021-11-17 21:43:58+00
Hickory	Like	<p>Thank you for keeping Troy whole. While this map is not where I want it to be in terms of partisan fairness, it is less of a republican gerrymander compared to the other drafts. You can do better though still Shocking and in your face gerrymandering. This is a ridiculous division of Jackson County. Your duty was to remove the politics from redistricting. This map does not accomplish that. Please stand for integrity in redistricting and do not take away the voices of the citizens of Jackson County</p>	Dan Ngo	2021-11-17 21:35:31+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>with this horrible map.</p>	Anna Hicks	2021-11-17 21:30:24+00

Hickory Dislike This map is blatantly partisan. So much for being impartial. This is a ridiculous carve out of Jackson County. Shame. Please select the Magnolia map as it is the least partisan. Anna Hicks 2021-11-17 21:26:13+00

Magnolia Like This is the best map out of the options for state representative. It would be better to keep Jackson county whole. That way our community is accurately represented. However, with Jackson County being smaller than Washtenaw County, it is peculiar how we can go from 2 representatives to 4. Sad that instead of picking a good option, I have to ask you to vote for the least of the evils. Anna Hicks 2021-11-17 21:23:53+00

Pine v5	Dislike	Almost every map you've proposed tries to split Jackson County in half and combine it with Washtenaw County. This will result in Jackson County, in particular its rural areas, being drastically underrepresented. It is gross to see this barely veiled attempt at gerrymandering. Just say NO. Please vote for the Magnolia map. Thank you. Anna Hicks There is ZERO reason to split Ann Arbor and combine it with Jackson County unless you're trying to do it to unfairly. The Palm map is actually fair to both parties. This does not meet the criteria that you pledged to uphold in creating district lines. This districting has combines communities with no shared community of interest concerns. I can't believe it's even being considered. Please vote for the PALM map. Thank you.	2021-11-17 21:19:04+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	Anna Hicks	2021-11-17 21:15:31+00

Lange Congressional Like	I would like to see the City of Midland and the County of Midland to stay together. We have more in common regarding flood issues than the other options. When I looked up gerrymandering in the dictionary, a picture of this map popped up. How many different ways can you try to tie in voters from Ann Arbor to Jackson County. This may be the worst map of all. Please vote for the PALM map.	Cynthia Ciuk	2021-11-17 21:12:45+00
Lange Senate correct Dislike		Anna Hicks	2021-11-17 21:07:50+00

The revised house map does not serve the City of Flint well, as our city should not be placed in a single district. Today, Flint has two districts (the 34th and the 49th) with the 34th guaranteeing Flint representation and the 49th allowing a second opportunity for representation. The map proposed here completely forecloses the chance for two representatives, instead packing all of Flint into a single district which also would have the effect of creating a clear partisan gerrymander since Flint is an overwhelmingly Democratic city. The commission should look to submitted map P7273 which would allow for Flint to have confidence in one seat with the opportunity for a second seat. Flint should not have to suffer another decade in a partisan gerrymander. Please do not pack all of our city into a single district.

Hickory

Dislike

Lori Ross

2021-11-17 21:06:16+00

This map completely turns its back on the rural residents of Jackson County. This committee was put in place to take the politics out of redistricting. This map puts the politics right back in. Say yes to the PALM map.

Kellom Senate

Dislike

Anna Hicks

2021-11-17 21:05:54+00

The revised house map does not serve the City of Flint well, as our city should not be placed in a single district. Today, Flint has two districts (the 34th and the 49th) with the 34th guaranteeing Flint representation and the 49th allowing a second opportunity for representation. The map proposed here completely forecloses the chance for two representatives, instead packing all of Flint into a single district which also would have the effect of creating a clear partisan gerrymander since Flint is an overwhelmingly Democratic city. The commission should look to submitted map P7273 which would allow for Flint to have confidence in one seat with the opportunity for a second seat. Flint should not have to suffer another decade in a partisan gerrymander. Please do not pack all of our city into a single district.

Magnolia

Dislike

Lori Ross

2021-11-17 21:05:23+00

The revised house map does not serve the City of Flint well, as our city should not be placed in a single district. Today, Flint has two districts (the 34th and the 49th) with the 34th guaranteeing Flint representation and the 49th allowing a second opportunity for representation. The map proposed here completely forecloses the chance for two representatives, instead packing all of Flint into a single district which also would have the effect of creating a clear partisan gerrymander since Flint is an overwhelmingly Democratic city. The commission should look to submitted map P7273 which would allow for Flint to have confidence in one seat with the opportunity for a second seat. Flint should not have to suffer another decade in a partisan gerrymander. Please do not pack all of our city into a single district.

Pine v5

Dislike

Lori Ross

2021-11-17 21:04:31+00

There is absolutely NO reason to split Ann Arbor unless you're trying to do it to unfairly benefit the Democrats. The Palm map is dramatically more fair to both parties. This strange division of Jackson into areas with NO community of interest concerns in common is clearly partisan.

Linden

Dislike

Anna Hicks

2021-11-17 21:03:58+00

Flint should not be grouped into one single district. Doing so creates a clear partisan gerrymander that packs Democratic voters into a single district, something that this commission was created to prevent. "Keeping Flint Whole" did not and does not mean to keep the city in a single state house district. Rather, it means ensuring that the city has a chance to elect a representative of its own. This can be accomplished in submitted map P7273 while also allowing for an opportunity for a second seat as Flint has today. Please, revise this map to ensure that Flint has a clear opportunity for representation with a chance for a second representative as we have today, and please do not pack Flint into a single district. We don't need 10 more years of a partisan gerrymander.

Hickory

Dislike

Essence Wilson

2021-11-17 21:02:54+00

Flint should not be grouped into one single district. Doing so creates a clear partisan gerrymander that packs Democratic voters into a single district, something that this commission was created to prevent. "Keeping Flint Whole" did not and does not mean to keep the city in a single state house district. Rather, it means ensuring that the city has a chance to elect a representative of its own. This can be accomplished in submitted map P7273 while also allowing for an opportunity for a second seat as Flint has today. Please, revise this map to ensure that Flint has a clear opportunity for representation with a chance for a second representative as we have today, and please do not pack Flint into a single district. We don't need 10 more years of a partisan gerrymander.

Magnolia

Dislike

Essence Wilson

2021-11-17 21:02:11+00

Flint should not be grouped into one single district. Doing so creates a clear partisan gerrymander that packs Democratic voters into a single district, something that this commission was created to prevent. "Keeping Flint Whole" did not and does not mean to keep the city in a single state house district. Rather, it means ensuring that the city has a chance to elect a representative of its own. This can be accomplished in submitted map P7273 while also allowing for an opportunity for a second seat as Flint has today. Please, revise this map to ensure that Flint has a clear opportunity for representation with a chance for a second representative as we have today, and please do not pack Flint into a single district. We don't need 10 more years of a partisan gerrymander.

Pine v5

Dislike

Essence Wilson

2021-11-17 21:00:58+00

Palm	Like	Please CHOOSE THIS MAP!! This is by far the most reasonable of the options. It keeps Jackson County intact and combines areas with common issues of concern to the Senate. Thank you.	Anna Hicks	2021-11-17 20:58:40+00
Kellom Senate	Like	Please approve this map This map is outlandish. What rational reason, other than gerrymandering, could there possibly be for dividing 2 counties in half. All this does is give the larger urban area of Ann Arbor more of a voice than the small rural areas of Jackson County. This committee was supposed to be impartial and take politics out of it. The PALM map is the best of the options for fairness in redistricting. Please DO NOT select this map. Please DO SELECT	Akindele Akinyemi	2021-11-17 20:58:15+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	PALM. Thank you.	Anna Hicks	2021-11-17 20:56:33+00

Why are you trying to lump rural eastern Jackson County with the city of Ann Arbor. This makes no sense and will leave the people in eastern Jackson county voiceless. The map divides counties that should be kept whole. It instead combines the eastern parts of Jackson County with Washtenaw County. The Chestnut congressional map is a far better option since it is less disruptive of county lines. I implore you, please do not adopt this map.

Szetela Congressional Dislike

Anna Hicks

2021-11-17 20:52:52+00

I do like that this map does not group the entirety of the City of Flint into a single district, however it does not guarantee minority representation as the City currently has with the 34th District. Please continue to work on this, and reference submitted map P7273 as a good model of a map that allows for representation of minority communities without drawing all of Flint into a single district.

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Opinion

Joel Arnold

2021-11-17 20:51:27+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>I do like that this map does not group the entirety of the City of Flint into a single district, however it does not guarantee minority representation as the City currently has with the 34th District. Please continue to work on this, and reference submitted map P7273 as a good model of a map that allows for representation of minority communities without drawing all of Flint into a single district.</p>	Joel Arnold	2021-11-17 20:51:03+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Opinion	<p>I do like that this map does not group the entirety of the City of Flint into a single district, however it does not guarantee minority representation as the City currently has with the 34th District. Please continue to work on this, and reference submitted map P7273 as a good model of a map that allows for representation of minority communities without drawing all of Flint into a single district.</p>	Joel Arnold	2021-11-17 20:50:29+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>I do like that this map does not group the entirety of the City of Flint into a single district, however it does not guarantee minority representation as the City currently has with the 34th District. Please continue to work on this, and reference submitted map P7273 as a good model of a map that allows for representation of minority communities without drawing all of Flint into a single district.</p>	Joel Arnold	2021-11-17 20:49:57+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>Looks like Chestnut is best overall given the objectives and criteria established in the bill that created the Commission.</p>	Reginald Brown	2021-11-17 20:49:33+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Opinion	<p>I do like that this map does not group the entirety of the City of Flint into a single district, however it does not guarantee minority representation as the City currently has with the 34th District. Please continue to work on this, and reference submitted map P7273 as a good model of a map that allows for representation of minority communities without drawing all of Flint into a single district.</p>	Joel Arnold	2021-11-17 20:48:48+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>The Apple V2 map divides counties that should be kept whole. The Chestnut congressional map is a far better option since it is less disruptive of county lines. This will disenfranchise many rural voters. Cities do not share the same concerns such as broadband internet. I implore you, please do not adopt this map.</p>	Anna Hicks	2021-11-17 20:48:24+00

Thank you for your work!  
Please ignore my two earlier  
comments. Having look more  
deeply into the partisan  
fairness scores in the data  
with help from a friend, I now  
see that this is the map we  
were hoping for - much  
greater fairness than any  
other map. I vote yes on  
Chestnut.

Chestnut	Like		Camilla K Mannino	2021-11-17 20:47:05+00
		The Apple V2 map divides counties that should be kept whole. It instead combines the eastern parts of Jackson County with Washtenaw County. The Chestnut congressional map is a far better option since it is less disruptive of county lines. I implore you, please do not adopt this map.	Anna Hicks	2021-11-17 20:45:36+00
Apple V2	Dislike	I agree with the representation of this map.	Tammy Tropiano	2021-11-17 20:44:58+00
Palm	Like	I believe this map best represent communities of interest in south central Michigan	Rick A Tropiano	2021-11-17 20:39:04+00
Palm	Like			

10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	I do like that this map does not group the entirety of the City of Flint into a single district, however it does not guarantee minority representation as the City currently has with the 34th District. Please continue to work on this, and reference submitted map P7273 as a good model of a map that allows for representation of minority communities without drawing all of Flint into a single district.	Joel Arnold	2021-11-17 20:38:07+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This district includes populations with dissimilar needs.	Linda Burns Torp	2021-11-17 20:35:23+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	I do like that this map does not group the entirety of the City of Flint into a single district, however it does not guarantee minority representation as the City currently has with the 34th District. Please continue to work on this, and reference submitted map P7273 as a good model of a map that allows for representation of minority communities without drawing all of Flint into a single district.	Joel Arnold	2021-11-17 20:34:35+00

Apple V2	Dislike	The district should include more waterfront properties.	Linda Burns Torp	2021-11-17 20:34:06+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This district combines too many disparate populations.	Linda Burns Torp	2021-11-17 20:32:59+00
Birch V2	Dislike	I don't support this map because it combines the large metro area of Grand Rapids with a larger rural area, diluting the urban vote, just as has been done with previous gerrymandered districts in West Michigan. We need a fairer, less partisan district that will allow the minority populations in West Michigan (Grand Rapids and either Muskegon or Kalamazoo) to be better represented.	Gary Stark	2021-11-17 20:32:43+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This district does not protect minorities or communities of interest.	Linda Burns Torp	2021-11-17 20:31:59+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This district is too vast to protect communities of interest.	Linda Burns Torp	2021-11-17 20:30:38+00

Chestnut	Like	This is a good district that provides for partisan fairness. Putting the metro areas of Grand Rapids and Muskegon together in one district will enable the minority populations of West Michigan to be better represented	Gary Stark	2021-11-17 20:26:48+00
Hickory	Opinion	All of the State House maps are unfair. This one (Hickory) is better than the others, but still unfair from partisan perspective. Commissioners, please keep working on this to achieve real fairness. You can do it, as you've done with US Congressional and Mich State Senate maps. This map (Linden) is a fair map from partisan viewpoint and it has multiple voting rights act districts. Please move forward by voting for	Janice Sovak	2021-11-17 20:03:52+00
Linden	Like	this map.	Janice Sovak	2021-11-17 19:55:10+00
Magnolia	Like	This is intresting! The districts on the west side of the state appear	Andrew R Raczkowski	2021-11-17 19:54:21+00
Birch V2	Like	appropriate.	Linda Burns Torp	2021-11-17 19:46:01+00
Birch V2	Like	This map seems reasonable to me. I support it.	Linda Burns Torp	2021-11-17 19:45:24+00

Magnolia	Dislike	This is the worst House map. If you don't redraw House map, go with Hickory.	Susan Vandercook	2021-11-17 19:44:07+00
Palm	Like	This seems to be an appropriate district.	Linda Burns Torp	2021-11-17 19:44:04+00
Palm	Like	This map provides appropriate districting.	Linda Burns Torp	2021-11-17 19:43:14+00
Magnolia	Like	I can see this working.	Linda Burns Torp	2021-11-17 19:42:13+00
Magnolia	Like	This map represents my community.	Linda Burns Torp	2021-11-17 19:41:55+00
Magnolia	Like	This map accurately represents communities of interest.	Linda Burns Torp	2021-11-17 19:41:26+00
Pine v5	Dislike	This map is unfair. Should look at redrawing House map, if not Hickory is better.	Susan Vandercook	2021-11-17 19:41:04+00
Szetela House	Dislike	I dislike this map most of all.	Russ Jennings	2021-11-17 19:39:25+00
Palm	Like	This map represents my community.	Linda Burns Torp	2021-11-17 19:38:42+00
Hickory	Like	All of the House maps are unfair but this at least unpacks the Democrats in the Lansing area. Maybe should look at redrawing a new House map.	Susan Vandercook	2021-11-17 19:37:15+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	This map (Comm Szetela) is a fair map from a partisan perspective. I also like Birch V2 map. Please move forward by voting for one of these maps.	Janice Sovak	2021-11-17 19:36:35+00
Hickory	Dislike	I dislike this map.	Russell Jennings	2021-11-17 19:35:28+00
Chestnut	Like	This map keeps Jackson whole.	Michelle M Woilbur	2021-11-17 19:34:45+00

Palm	Dislike	This is an unfair map. It would effectively ensure Republican control of the Senate	Susan Vandercook	2021-11-17 19:32:57+00
Birch V2	Like	This map (Birch V2) is fair from a partisan standpoint. I also like Comm Szetela's map. Please move forward by voting for one of these.	Janice Sovak	2021-11-17 19:30:03+00
Linden	Like	This is the fairest of the Senate maps.	Susan Vandercook	2021-11-17 19:30:00+00
Magnolia	Like	This map best represents my community but unfortunately it does not keep Jackson County whole and the house district south of me includes the city of Ann Arbor that I don't believe would represent either areas the same. But after review, unfortunately this map would be best for me and my family	Russell Jennings	2021-11-17 19:29:12+00
Linden	Like	This map does a decent job of offering partisan balance and is a much better choice than Palm.	Margaret Bayless	2021-11-17 19:28:33+00
Hickory	Like	This map seems to be the least skewed of the bunch.	C Ann Maki	2021-11-17 19:27:24+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map is fairer than Palm.	Susan Vandercook	2021-11-17 19:27:06+00

Birch V2	Dislike	The Birch map is bad for the region as it combines metro Grand Rapids with rural areas from Ottawa and Ionia counties that have divergent interests, it splits Ottawa county up and it reduces chances of minority representation. Please do not choose the Birch map.	Joel Ombry	2021-11-17 19:25:56+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for your work trying to reduce partisan bias. This map is one of the better State Senate maps.	Margaret Bayless	2021-11-17 19:25:54+00
Palm	Dislike	This map packs Washtenaw County and is much less fair than either Cherry or Linden	Margaret Bayless	2021-11-17 19:22:21+00
Magnolia	Like	Second comment- give Linden to 71 and Grand Blanc to Flint or 71. We don't want it here. Take this district east.	Howard Zwick	2021-11-17 19:16:06+00
Palm	Dislike	This district is horrible.	C Ann Maki	2021-11-17 19:14:56+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map does not take partisan fairness into account. This is gerrymandered. Stop giving this district to Flint's suburbs. It's a solid red district, quit giving Dems an edge.	Jay T.	2021-11-17 19:13:21+00

Chestnut	Like	This is a pretty good map. While not as good as Apple v2, it's balanced and one does enable minority representation.	Joel	2021-11-17 19:12:38+00
Szetela House	Dislike	A section of the City of Grand Ledge is separated from the rest of the City. This will create a precinct split in Ward 3. Please consider placing the entire City of Grand Ledge in a single State House district.	Gregory Newman, City Clerk	2021-11-17 19:02:03+00
Hickory	Dislike	A section of the City of Grand Ledge is separated from the rest of the City. This will create a precinct split in Ward 3. Please consider placing the entire City of Grand Ledge in a single State House district.	Gregory Newman, City Clerk	2021-11-17 19:00:58+00
Magnolia	Dislike	A section of the City of Grand Ledge is separated from the rest of the City. This will create a precinct split in Ward 3. Please consider placing the entire City of Grand Ledge in a single State House district.	Gregory Newman, City Clerk	2021-11-17 18:59:47+00

Pine v5	Dislike	<p>A section of the City of Grand Ledge is separated from the rest of the City. This will create a precinct split in Ward 3. Please consider placing the entire City of Grand Ledge in a single State House district.</p> <p>Thank you for your work on the US Congressional and State Senate maps! Please continue working on the State House maps for as long it takes to make them too as fair and non-partisan as the Congressional and State House maps. It is so important that for the next 10 years, Michigan citizens can be confident that when they vote, their candidate for the State House has an fair shot at winning. Thank you in advance for your time and continued hard work on the</p>	Gregory Newman, City Clerk	2021-11-17 18:57:27+00
Hickory	Dislike	State House maps.	Mary Anne Hohmann	2021-11-17 18:56:52+00

Pine v5	Dislike	I dislike this map. I do not agree that a state legislator that represents my rural lake environment in Jackson County, could possibly represent my area and the different interests of the citizens of Ann Arbor, the same	Russell Jennings	2021-11-17 18:56:12+00
Linden	Like	Thank you for unpacking Ann Arbor, so our votes can count and the criteria of partisan fairness is met. Jackson and parts of Ann Arbor are fine to be in the same district because many people commute from Jackson to work in Ann Arbor. Please retain this map. It seems to represent the rural environment for Jackson County with the counties of	Elizabeth Engel	2021-11-17 18:49:23+00
Chestnut	Like	Southern Michigan This district does not	Russ Jennings	2021-11-17 18:49:21+00
Palm	Dislike	represent my community. This district does not	Jennifer Leigh Umphress	2021-11-17 18:47:41+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	represent my community.	Jennifer Leigh Umphress	2021-11-17 18:46:51+00
Palm	Like	excellent map for COI	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:43:42+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	Much improved from the current district. Thank you.	Jennifer Leigh Umphress	2021-11-17 18:43:21+00
Palm	Like	COI fairly represented	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:42:52+00
Palm	Like	COI fairly represented	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:42:29+00

Palm	Like	District fairly represents the community	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:41:55+00
Palm	Like	Community is being fairly represented	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:41:09+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Much improved from the last 10 years. Thank you.	Jennifer Leigh Umphress	2021-11-17 18:41:06+00
Palm	Like	Map is a good representation for this community	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:39:42+00
Apple V2	Like	This district is much improved from the last 10 years. Thank you.	Jennifer Leigh Umphress	2021-11-17 18:38:52+00
Palm	Like	Map keeps with the COI theory	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:38:29+00
Palm	Like	Seems to be a good voice for the community	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:37:32+00
Palm	Like	Much better representation for the community	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:36:30+00
Palm	Like	Gives the community a better voice	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:35:57+00
Palm	Like	Gives the community a better voice	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:35:24+00
Birch V2	Like	This district is an improvement for my community. Thank you.	Jennifer Leigh Umphress	2021-11-17 18:34:57+00
Palm	Like	This map is more in line with the community	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:34:31+00
Palm	Like	This map gives more voice to the community	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:33:27+00
Chestnut	Like	This District is an improvement for my community. Thank you.	Jennifer Umphress	2021-11-17 18:31:48+00
Palm	Like	Keeps COI intact	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:31:35+00

Palm	Like	I agree with this area of the map This map silences the voices of Ypsilanti residents. Our community of interest deserves its own seat that is not in the shadow of Ann Arbor. Also, if this map creates an unfair advantage for the Republican party, than it cannot be considered by the commission. It is simply not fit to give fair representation, and is also a terrible precedent set for future redistricting commissions that claim to be independent. Please do not	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:28:06+00
Palm	Dislike	consider this map. I own property near here and	Nieci N	2021-11-17 18:26:20+00
Palm	Like	the map seems fair Accurately represents the	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:25:26+00
Palm	Like	community Accurately represents the	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:24:32+00
Palm	Like	community	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:23:56+00
Hickory	Like	This map represents the three interest areas of Livingston county well. This map is also balanced and fair. Looks fair and seems like a	Caitlyn Perry Dial	2021-11-17 18:23:02+00
Palm	Like	good representation	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:22:57+00
Palm	Like	Seems fair to most	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:22:01+00
Palm	Like	good COI	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:21:25+00

Birch V2	Like	Fair representation of the people	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:19:38+00
Birch V2	Like	Mapping looks fair for most good COI	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:18:39+00
Birch V2	Like	Looks like a good representation of this community	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:17:20+00
Birch V2	Like	Fair and balanced district	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:16:02+00
Birch V2	Like	Muskegon is finally seeing representation	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:14:54+00
Birch V2	Like	Fair for all the residents	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:13:49+00
Birch V2	Like	Fair to the community	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:12:33+00
Birch V2	Like	Fair to the community	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:11:46+00
Birch V2	Like	Good representation of the Community	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:10:32+00
Birch V2	Like	Fair for the community	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:10:08+00
Birch V2	Like	Looks like this does represent a COI	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:09:38+00
Birch V2	Like	I strongly oppose any map that doesn't give each party its fair shot. This map is a gerrymander for the republican party, and violates the constitutional requirement around partisan fairness	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:09:15+00
Palm	Dislike	This map divides our county in two and pairs us with a community that does not share our interests. We have small colleges and Ann Arbor area has big Universities. Please keep Jackson County whole.	Daniel Ngo	2021-11-17 18:09:01+00
Apple V2	Dislike		Marcy Jankovich	2021-11-17 18:08:39+00

Birch V2	Like	Seems like a good COI Fairly represents the	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:08:31+00
Birch V2	Like	community Does represent the	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:07:56+00
Birch V2	Like	community Under the current map, anyone with an "R" after their name wins, leading to poor quality leadership. This map would, help bring qualified people into the race on both	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:07:27+00
Hickory	Like	sides.	James Robert	2021-11-17 18:04:01+00
Birch V2	Like	this district seems fair to all Good representation of this	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 18:00:14+00
Birch V2	Like	COI	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 17:59:36+00
Birch V2	Like	Fair representation	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 17:59:02+00
Birch V2	Like	Seems like a fair map Totally satisfied with this	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 17:54:09+00
Birch V2	Like	district This is my district and I think	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 17:39:37+00
Birch V2	Like	its well planned	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 17:39:05+00
Birch V2	Like	I agree with this map I like the fairness of this ap. It maintains the continuity of the cities that have always worked together so well.	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 17:32:07+00
Birch V2	Like	Thanks.	ken tilp	2021-11-17 17:31:41+00
Birch V2	Like	Map seems to my district This my district and it seems	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 17:31:40+00
Birch V2	Like	ok Where are Wyandotte and Southgate??? They are	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 17:30:10+00
Chestnut	Dislike	anintegral lpart of our	ken tilp	2021-11-17 17:28:43+00
Birch V2	Like	this seems fair	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 17:25:34+00

Apple V2	Like	Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are large urban areas with shared interests. Combining them into a single district makes a lot of sense, and gives minority communities a much better chance at representation.	Jeffrey Thomas	2021-11-17 17:25:05+00
Chestnut	Like	While this map is perhaps not quite as logical as the map with the Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo district, it does give minority and urban communities a much better chance at representation than the existing district map.	Jeffrey Thomas	2021-11-17 17:21:36+00
Birch V2	Dislike	This proposed district blends metro grand rapids with random rural areas that don't have much in terms of shared interests, and seems designed to marginalize the voices of minority and urban voters.	Jeff Thomas	2021-11-17 17:19:11+00
Birch V2	Like	Good representation	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 17:16:38+00
Birch V2	Like	Represents my community	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 17:15:25+00
Birch V2	Like	Good representation of my community	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 17:14:55+00

Upon first glance of Pine map one can immediately see the gerry-meandering across the whole state, especially along the Lake Michigan shore line. in all counties along the shoreline . They created new districts where none were needed. These new districts are largely Democrats, The supposed "independent" committee effectively sliced the Republicans from the shore line. The committee did not consider the like-minded communities, farmers and urban voters.

These new districts could have been just slight amendments to the current districts.

Pine v5

Opinion

Patricia J. Petersen

2021-11-17 17:13:44+00

Preserve and respect geographical boundaries, specifically county lines. Stop the break up of Allegan Co. and all of the gerrymandering. Steve Revor

Szetela House

Dislike

2021-11-17 17:13:02+00

Hickory	Dislike	The only reason to break up Allegan County is for explicit, partisan reason and is the definition of gerrymandering. Keep Allegan Co. as one district.	Steve Revor	2021-11-17 17:10:07+00
Birch V2	Like	This map appears to be the fairest.	Linda Burns Torp	2021-11-17 17:09:35+00
Birch V2	Like	This map looks good to me.	Linda Burns Torp	2021-11-17 17:08:53+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Dislike the idea of the lakeshore counties being grouped together. Keep Allegan Co. as a whole district.	Steve Revor	2021-11-17 17:07:27+00
Birch V2	Like	This map combines appropriate communities in Southwest Michigan.	Linda Burns Torp	2021-11-17 17:07:27+00
Birch V2	Like	The distribution in this map seems appropriate.	Linda Burns Torp	2021-11-17 17:05:04+00
Hickory	Like	This map provides the most fair representation.	Beverly Stevenson	2021-11-17 17:05:00+00
Birch V2	Like	Perfect COI	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 17:04:40+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Keep Allegan County together! Please do not chop out any part of the county. Leave us as a whole.	Steve Revor	2021-11-17 17:03:36+00
Birch V2	Like	This map was well thought out.	Linda Burns Torp	2021-11-17 17:03:27+00
Birch V2	Like	Looks like this is a COI	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 17:03:15+00
Birch V2	Like	This map represents my community very well.	Linda Burns Torp	2021-11-17 17:02:42+00
Birch V2	Like	Seems fair to all	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 17:02:40+00

Birch V2	Like	I can see this working Seems like a lot of thought	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 17:01:32+00
Birch V2	Like	went into this I like this map because it combines communities of interest.	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 17:00:50+00
Birch V2	Like		Linda Burns Torp	2021-11-17 17:00:39+00
Birch V2	Like	Good Representation	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 17:00:21+00
Birch V2	Like	Good representation	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 17:00:01+00
Birch V2	Like	represents all the people	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:59:15+00
Birch V2	Like	fair and balanced	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:58:41+00
Birch V2	Like	Represents the Community Fairly good representation of	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:58:02+00
Birch V2	Like	the community This is a fair representation	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:57:11+00
Birch V2	Like		Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:56:24+00
Birch V2	Like	Good representation of my community We need to keep the lakeshore more together. We are too different from our	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:55:24+00
Apple V2	Dislike	inland neighbors. Lakeshore districts need to stay together because of our	Laura Goos	2021-11-17 16:50:19+00
Chestnut	Like	unique needs. I do a lot of business in Rochester hills and Have talked to many friends that live in this community and	Laura Goos	2021-11-17 16:49:34+00
Birch V2	Like	they feel it is a fair map	Eric Castiglia	2021-11-17 16:37:33+00

the village of Milford is a more swingy town in a district that is extremely one-sided. We have few similarities in voting patterns to the thumb and more with a competitive congressional district with a mix of the Lansing area and Livingston County, or other parts of Oakland County. I like many of the competitive districts, but PLEASE at least keep the Village of Milford together if you absolutely can't move it into the competitive fairer-fight 7th congressional district. I don't mind the border being next to us, but the Village itself is a tight-knit community and the last thing we need is a split in the middle of Main Street. I like the map, but please do two things: Increase representation for non-white communities in Detroit (I've heard a lot of complaints), and don't divide up the

Chestnut	Dislike	precincts smaller populated	Sean M McCormick	2021-11-17 16:36:37+00
Birch V2	Like	I feel this is a very good map to represent our community	Eric A Castiglia	2021-11-17 16:34:51+00
Birch V2	Like	I live in sterling heights and feel this is a very fair map to represent our residents	Eric A Castiglia	2021-11-17 16:33:53+00
Birch V2	Like	Seems fairly even	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:28:30+00

Birch V2	Like	looks fair to me	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:27:22+00
Birch V2	Like	fair and balanced	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:26:12+00
		<p>I strongly oppose any map that does not keep Jackson County whole. Any attempt to lump us in with Ann Arbor is a blatant attempt to silence our conservative voices. Ann Arbor and Jackson are totally different cultures. If we wanted to live in Ann Arbor, we would move there. Respect EVERY community and its inherent value system and stop playing politics with party boundaries, disguised as "fairness."</p>		
Palm	Opinion	Please put Jackson County back together again. With this map, the residents of Jackson County will not be represented fairly. Please do	Barbara Markowski	2021-11-17 16:25:05+00
Pine v5	Dislike	not vote for this map.	Ronda VanStempvoort	2021-11-17 16:24:29+00
		<p>Jackson County should not be split in this manner. This leaves the rural residents of Jackson County totally in the cold. No one to represent their interests. Please do not</p>		
Kellom Senate	Dislike	vote for this map. Thank you.	Ronda VanStempvoort	2021-11-17 16:22:54+00

Linden	Dislike	Dividing Jackson County in this way is a recipe for disaster for the citizens of Jackson County. The rural vote of Jackson County needs to have representation. This is the worse map of all. Separating Jackson County in half. The voters of Jackson will never be given a fair chance at representation.	Ronda VanStempvoort	2021-11-17 16:20:53+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Good mix for people of similar interests	Ronda VanStempvoort	2021-11-17 16:19:06+00
Birch V2	Like	Good mix of likeminded people	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:16:19+00
Birch V2	Like		Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:15:36+00
Birch V2	Dislike	I do not like this map. It does not keep Jackson County Whole. It separates a group of rural voters from others who need to be represented and will be overrun by the suburban voters of Ann Arbor. As a Michigan resident I'm	Ronda VanStempvoort	2021-11-17 16:13:50+00
Birch V2	Like	mostly ok with this	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:13:30+00
Birch V2	Like	Looks practical to me	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:12:38+00
Birch V2	Like	Looks fair enough for all	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:11:41+00
Magnolia	Like	This map also keeps Jackson County together as one cohesive unit. This is a good choice.	Ronda VanStempvoort	2021-11-17 16:10:42+00

Chestnut	Like	This is the only map that doesn't cut any much less all of Lyndon TWP out of Washtenaw Cty. Lyndon TWP is closely tied to Chelsea in so many ways. It 'belongs' in Washtenaw County and with the Chelsea area, not other counties.	Glenna Jo Christen	2021-11-17 16:10:37+00
Linden	Like	This map compared to others seems the most fair due to the fact that communities are put together by the ones that are most likely to work together	E. Almond	2021-11-17 16:10:11+00
Birch V2	Like	ok with this plan	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:10:05+00
Birch V2	Like	ok with this proposal	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:09:40+00
Birch V2	Like	Fair and balanced	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:09:08+00
Birch V2	Like	Relatively fair for all	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:08:12+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	This map keeps like-minded voters together. Is the best of the two maps keeping Jackson County in one district.	Ronda VanStempvoort	2021-11-17 16:07:17+00

		I think this map does the best job of bringing into the Livingston County district other nearby areas that I view as pretty similar to their Livingston neighbors just on the other side of the border. Western Livingston with eastern Ingham; northern Livingston with southern Shiawassee. Makes sense.	Jordan Genso	2021-11-17 16:06:14+00
Linden	Like			
Birch V2	Like	I agree with most of this	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:03:51+00
Birch V2	Like	seems fair to both parties	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:03:09+00
Birch V2	Like	This is fair to all	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 16:01:50+00
		I support the way this map creates a district that appropriately combines the US-23 corridor with the I-96 corridor, as the commonality of commuters who choose to live there based on the ease of using the highways to get to work give them a similar set of needs in their Lansing representation.		
Szetela House	Like		Jordan Genso	2021-11-17 15:57:06+00

We would like the entire Oakland Township to be included in the district 10 with Rochester and Rochester Hills instead of district 9. A large majority of our township uses the Rochester Schools, the Rochester Hills Public Library and uses Rochester as our shopping area. The politics of these areas are conservative Republican but less extreme than the politics of those in Macomb, Lapeer, etc. Being included in district 9 means our vote may be completely lost in every election for the next 10 years. I appreciate your hard work and hope you'll consider this message.

Chestnut

Dislike

Camilla Kathlyn Mannino

2021-11-17 15:51:18+00

As a local Realtor, I think this map does a fine job using the I-96 corridor and US-23 corridor to make a district that's similar to how potential buyers would view the area when deciding where to house hunt. Many people who consider moving to the area commute eastward on I-96 for work, and have Brighton as the western edge of their search area, and search east from there. That this district looks like a map search I'd have set up for a client makes me think it'd be pretty appropriate for a House district.

Hickory	Like	Keep Allegan County Together!!!	Jordan Genso	2021-11-17 15:50:27+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Breaking up Allegan County is a partisan way to gerrymander	Katie Juros	2021-11-17 15:44:28+00
Hickory	Dislike	Preserve and respect boundaries, specifically county lines	Katie Juros	2021-11-17 15:42:36+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Keep ALLEGAN COUNTY Together!!	Katie Juros	2021-11-17 15:40:25+00
Pine v5	Dislike		Katie Juros	2021-11-17 15:38:14+00

		I appreciate all the work of the commission. Of all the maps, I believe the Hickory map is the best, the least partisan. I have worked in Ann Arbor for 30 plus years, so splitting up Livingston County and Ann Arbor as well, to combine, truly makes		
Hickory	Like	sense.	Lori Cowan	2021-11-17 15:22:42+00
Birch V2	Like	Fair for both parties	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 15:16:10+00
Birch V2	Like	Staying fair	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 15:15:31+00
		None of the maps for the Michigan House demonstrate partisan fairness. You need to		
Magnolia	Dislike	keep working on these.	Allen R Wolf	2021-11-17 15:06:43+00
Birch V2	Like	Fair and balanced	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 15:00:39+00
Birch V2	Like	Fair and balanced	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 14:59:35+00
Birch V2	Like	Keeping with COI	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 14:53:49+00
		None of the House maps provide partisan fairness. None of the maps are balanced based on the percent of votes each party		
Pine v5	Dislike	received. This must be fixed.	Allen R Wolf	2021-11-17 14:53:48+00
		The only reason to break up Allegan County is for explicit, partisan reason and is the		
Szetela House	Dislike	definition of gerrymandering.	Leah Folkert	2021-11-17 14:51:42+00
Hickory	Dislike	Keep Allegan Co. together!!	Leah Folkert	2021-11-17 14:50:14+00

Magnolia	Dislike	This is gerrymandering! The commission is intentionally carving up counties into multiple districts for political gain.	Leah Folkert	2021-11-17 14:49:06+00
Birch V2	Like	perfect community of interest	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 14:47:58+00
Pine v5	Dislike	The only reason to break up Allegan County is for explicit, partisan reason and is the definition of gerrymandering. Keep Allegan Co. together!	Leah Folkert	2021-11-17 14:45:10+00
Birch V2	Like	perfect mapping of community of interest	Nancy Tiseo	2021-11-17 14:42:35+00
Szetela House	Like	This, along with Hickory, is a relatively fair map. Pine and Magnolia are especially bad. None of the House maps are acceptably fair.	Chris Andrews	2021-11-17 14:42:31+00
Hickory	Like	Hickory is by far the best choice. None of the state House maps are fair and you should consider finding a better map-- perhaps Fair Maps project. But if you won't, Hickory is the best way to go. Thanks for unpacking the Lansing area.	Chris Andrews	2021-11-17 14:40:53+00

Magnolia	Dislike	Magnolia is very unfair. All state House maps are unfair but this is the worst. Consider starting over. If you don't, Hickory is by far the fairest option.	Chris Andrews	2021-11-17 14:38:30+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Pine does not make the changes recommended by Detroit voters. Hickory is better. All of the House maps have too much bias and you should consider going back to the drawing board. But if you don't, Hickory is best. This is a relatively fair map I can support. All maps have Republican bias. This, like Cherry and Linden, unpacks Ann Arbor to come closer to fairness.	Chris Andrews	2021-11-17 14:35:59+00
Szetela Senate	Like		Chris Andrews	2021-11-17 14:33:07+00

We support the Magnolia Map. We are one family of many who have a Genoa mailing address, but live only a couple miles from downtown Brighton. We frequent Brighton on a regular basis--it is our town. We dine there, shop there, do business there, etc. Plus, the children in our area attend Brighton Area Schools PLUS we depend on the Brighton Area Fire Authority, which is located in Brighton Township! All of these things are critical to us. It is clear Ann Arbor is NOT part of our Community of Interest, and adjoining us with them would be detrimental to us and would not make sense. We strongly support the Magnolia Map because it will ensure our Community of Interest is kept intact, which is extremely important to us. Thank you.

Magnolia

Like

Leslie Baker

2021-11-17 14:31:31+00

The Hickory map is definitely not one which supports the interest of our community. My family does not see how this map could possibly be the right one. Our interests and activities are in Brighton area, we do nothing in the city of Ann Arbor. Genoa Township is supported by the Brighton Fire Department and attends the Brighton Area Schools. This map does not represent our needs or interests and would separate us from our true community. Cherry and Linden are fairer and better maps.

Hickory

Dislike

David Tharp

2021-11-17 14:30:40+00

Lange Senate correct, Dislike

Chris Andrews

2021-11-17 14:30:16+00

There have been numerous requests from the residents of Pontiac, Waterford and Auburn Hills regarding this messed up district, why have you not fixed it? Pontiac and AH residents have asked that they be combined and Waterford has asked to remain whole, with all communities noting that the current layout does NOT reflect any of the local COIs. Why are you splitting the communities and combining them in unusual and ineffectual ways? Appears to be laziness or partisanship, either way needs to be corrected. Fix this!

Szetela House

Dislike

Lisa

2021-11-17 14:30:12+00

There have been numerous requests from the residents of Pontiac, Waterford and Auburn Hills regarding this messed up district, why have you not fixed it? Pontiac and AH residents have asked that they be combined and Waterford has asked to remain whole, with all communities noting that the current layout does NOT reflect any of the local COIs. Why are you splitting the communities and combining them in unusual and ineffectual ways? Appears to be laziness or partisanship, either way needs to be corrected. Fix this!

Hickory

Dislike

Lisa

2021-11-17 14:29:18+00

There have been numerous requests from the residents of Pontiac, Waterford and Auburn Hills regarding this messed up district, why have you not fixed it? Pontiac and AH residents have asked that they be combined and Waterford has asked to remain whole, with all communities noting that the current layout does NOT reflect any of the local COIs. Why are you splitting the communities and combining them in unusual and ineffectual ways? Appears to be laziness or partisanship, either way needs to be corrected. Fix this!

Magnolia

Dislike

Lisa

2021-11-17 14:26:36+00

Linden is a relatively fair map. It and Cherry are much fairer than Palm, which assures that Republicans keep control except in Democratic landslides at the top. Good treatment of the Lansing region.

Linden

Like

Chris Andrews

2021-11-17 14:24:13+00

While no map is perfect, this really is the best one because it is so close to partisan fairness.

Hickory

Like

andrew seiler

2021-11-17 14:21:59+00

Palm	Dislike	Palm is the most unfair map. It would make it very likely Republicans control the Senate even when Democrats win at the top of the ticket. It packs Democrats in Ann Arbor and amounts to a gerrymander. Cherry and Linden are acceptable. Palm is not.	Chris Andrews	2021-11-17 14:21:51+00
Hickory	Dislike	As I said in the Zoom meeting itself, Hamburg Township should properly be placed with the rest of Livingston County and not combined with Ann Arbor. This map seems more politically motivated than anything else.	Jason B Negri	2021-11-17 14:21:20+00
Linden	Like	Use the Magnolia map! I love how this map does so well on partisan fairness. It should be the one!	andrew j seiler	2021-11-17 14:20:27+00
Cherry V2	Like	This is a fair map, although all maps have Republican bias that is likely to affect the majority in a close statewide race. It is far better than Palm, which is very unfair.	Chris Andrews	2021-11-17 14:17:38+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map does great on partisan fairness and should be your top choice for State Senate Maps!	andrew j seiler	2021-11-17 14:16:41+00

Szetela Congressional Like	This is a fair map. although all maps have Republican bias. It has several competitive seats. Thanks for including Ingham-Eaton-Clinton in one district.	Chris Andrews	2021-11-17 14:14:29+00
Magnolia Like	Our family supports the magnolia map, it keeps our Brighton community area of interest together. Although we have a Genoa mailing address, we are in the Brighton school district, part of the Brighton Area Fire Authority, city of Brighton, and Genoa township. The Brighton community does not share the same interests as Ann arbor or the County of Washtenaw County. The magnolia map best serves our community of interest.	David Tharp	2021-11-17 14:13:26+00

Hickory	Dislike	<p>I am strongly opposed to the Hickory Map, and I strongly support the Magnolia Map. Please keep our community whole and do not intermingle us with the city of Ann Arbor and the county of Washtenaw. We have very little in common with Ann Arbor on multiple fronts and it is not part of my Community of Interest. Furthermore, this map actually ignores my Community of Interest, which I've described in previous comments, and this current map is totally unacceptable! Again: YES to the Magnolia Map and NO to the Hickory Map! Thank you.</p>	Leslie Baker	2021-11-17 14:12:57+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This is a good map. Although all maps give an unfair advantage to Republicans, this one is reasonable. Thank you for listening to us and putting Ingham-Eaton Clinton together.</p>	Chris Andrews	2021-11-17 14:09:51+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>This map does not look gerrymandered. Even though I do not like my city of Warren being split, this map seems to keep the most communities intact.</p>	Harry	2021-11-17 13:40:58+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>This map contains substantial partisan bias and does not adequately reflect communities of interest.</p>	Aaron Haury	2021-11-17 13:26:49+00
Szetela House	Like	<p>This looks like the fairest of those offered. However, the commission should keep working on state house maps since each proposed House map gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters!</p>	Aaron Haury	2021-11-17 13:25:23+00
Hickory	Opinion	<p>Among the collaborative maps, this one is the best. However, the commission is encouraged to vote to accept a new submission because each proposed House map gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters! Please Keep Working! None reflect Communities of Interest adequately.</p>	Aaron Haury	2021-11-17 13:24:43+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>This map is unacceptably partisan!</p>	Aaron Haury	2021-11-17 13:23:49+00

Lange Senate correct	Dislike	This map is not as good as Cherry or Linden. Those maps better reflect statewide voting patterns and communities of interest.	Aaron Haury	2021-11-17 13:23:09+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	This map is acceptable. However, Linden is a better map reflecting statewide voting patterns and communities of interest.	Aaron Haury	2021-11-17 13:22:24+00
Linden	Like	This map is excellent! It has an appropriate split for Ann Arbor, with a connection to Jackson on the northern side and Ypsilanti on the southeast.	Aaron Haury	2021-11-17 13:21:39+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This is the most unfair of all the proposed congressional maps.	Aaron Haury	2021-11-17 13:20:54+00
Chestnut	Opinion	This map is acceptable, however, Birch and Szetela better reflect statewide voting patterns and better cover communities of interest.	Aaron Haury	2021-11-17 13:20:00+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	This map offers the most competitive districts of any proposed map.	Aaron Haury	2021-11-17 13:19:19+00
Birch V2	Like	This map reflects statewide voting patterns very well and covers many Communities of Interest.	Aaron Haury	2021-11-17 13:18:26+00

I really don't think having these Lake Shore districts makes sense. I understand the Lake shore has unique challenges. however everyone in the County has a stake in this lakeshore. Allegan County is very cut up. County lines need to be respected as best they can be. This map has my district being a hour + drive wide - meanwhile my neighbors in laketown township are not represented by same person. (all these maps have same issue for Allegan)

Szetela House

Dislike

Kevin Miedema

2021-11-17 13:13:49+00

Hickory	Dislike	<p>I really don't think having these Lake Shore districts makes sense. I understand the Lake shore has unique challenges. however everyone in the County has a stake in this lakeshore. Allegan County is very cut up. County lines need to be respected as best they can be. This map has my district being a hour + drive wide - meanwhile my neighbors in laketown township are not represented by same person. (haven't seen anything different for my distict on any of these so far)</p>	Kevin Miedema	2021-11-17 13:12:37+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>I really don't think having these Lake Shore districts makes sense. I understand the Lake shore has unique challenges. however everyone in the County has a stake in this lakeshore. Allegan County is very cut up. County lines need to be respected as best they can be. This map has my district being a hour + drive wide - meanwhile my neighbors in laketown township are not represented by same person.</p>	Kevin Miedema	2021-11-17 13:11:42+00

Szetela House	Dislike	I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it. Jackson county should never be lumped into the same district as Ann Arbor, unless gerrymandering is the goal.	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 13:11:20+00
Szetela House	Dislike	I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it. Jackson county should never be lumped into the same district as Ann Arbor, unless gerrymandering is the goal.	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 13:10:54+00
Szetela House	Dislike	I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it. Jackson county should never be lumped into the same district as Ann Arbor, unless gerrymandering is the goal.	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 13:10:19+00
Szetela House	Like	This map is ok. It still does not keep Jackson county whole and lumps it in with Ann Arbor, which makes absolutely no sense, unless gerrymandering is the goal.	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 13:09:44+00

I really don't think having these Lake Shore districts makes sense. I understand the Lake shore has unique challenges. however everyone in the County has a stake in this lakeshore. Allegan County is very cut up. County lines need to be respected as best they can be. This map has my district being a hour + drive wide - meanwhile my neighbors in laketown township are not represented by same person. I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it. How do you justify Jackson county going from 2 representatives to 4? Jackson county should never be lumped into the same district as Ann Arbor, unless

Pine v5

Dislike

Kevin Miedema

2021-11-17 13:08:48+00

Hickory

Dislike

Paul Hauglie

2021-11-17 12:56:40+00

Hickory	Dislike	I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it. How do you justify Jackson county going from 2 representatives to 4? Jackson county should never be lumped into the same district as Ann Arbor, unless gerrymandering is the goal.	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:56:13+00
Hickory	Dislike	I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it. How do you justify Jackson county going from 2 representatives to 4? Jackson county should never be lumped into the same district as Ann Arbor, unless gerrymandering is the goal.	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:55:33+00
Hickory	Dislike	I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it. How do you justify Jackson county going from 2 representatives to 4? Jackson county should never be lumped into the same district as Ann Arbor, unless gerrymandering is the goal.	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:55:17+00

Magnolia	Dislike	I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it. How do you justify Jackson county going from 2 representatives to 4? Jackson county should never be lumped into the same district as Ann Arbor, unless gerrymandering is the goal.	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:54:22+00
Magnolia	Dislike	I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it. How do you justify Jackson county going from 2 representatives to 4? Jackson county should never be lumped into the same district as Ann Arbor, unless gerrymandering is the goal.	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:54:06+00
Magnolia	Dislike	I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it. How do you justify Jackson county going from 2 representatives to 4? Jackson county should never be lumped into the same district as Ann Arbor, unless gerrymandering is the goal.	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:53:50+00

Magnolia	Like	<p>I like this map even though it does not keep Jackson county whole and still gives Jackson county 4 representatives instead of the current 2.</p> <p>I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it. How do you justify Jackson county going from 2 representatives to 4? Jackson county should never be lumped into the same district as Ann Arbor, unless</p>	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:53:27+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>gerrymandering is the goal.</p> <p>I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it. How do you justify Jackson county going from 2 representatives to 4? Jackson county should never be lumped into the same district as Ann Arbor, unless</p>	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:51:39+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>gerrymandering is the goal.</p>	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:51:22+00

Pine v5	Dislike	<p>I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it. How do you justify Jackson county going from 2 representatives to 4? Jackson county should never be lumped into the same district as Ann Arbor, unless gerrymandering is the goal.</p>	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:50:59+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it. How do you justify Jackson county going from 2 representatives to 4? Jackson county should never be lumped into the same district as Ann Arbor, unless gerrymandering is the goal.</p>	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:50:29+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	<p>I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it. Jackson county should never be lumped into the same district as Ann Arbor, unless gerrymandering is the goal.</p>	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:48:35+00

Lange Senate correct	Dislike	I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it. Jackson county should never be lumped into the same district as Ann Arbor, unless gerrymandering is the goal.	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:46:23+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it. Jackson county should never be lumped into the same district as Ann Arbor, unless gerrymandering is the goal.	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:45:32+00
Linden	Dislike	I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it. Jackson county should never be lumped into the same district as Ann Arbor, unless gerrymandering is the goal.	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:44:44+00
Palm	Like	I like this map. It keeps Jackson county whole and does not needlessly break it up.	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:43:47+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it. Jackson county should never be lumped into the same district as Ann Arbor, unless gerrymandering is the goal.	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:41:57+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it. Unless gerrymandering is the goal.	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:39:27+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it.	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:37:52+00
Apple V2	Dislike	I dislike this map. It does not keep Jackson county whole and needlessly divides it.	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:36:13+00
Birch V2	Dislike	I dislike this map it does not keep Jackson county whole.	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:34:04+00
Chestnut	Like	This map keeps Jackson county whole. Please keep this map.	Paul Hauglie	2021-11-17 12:30:36+00

Lange Congressional Dislike	<p>This is the most unfair map. All are biased in favor of Republicans by the commission's metrics of lopsided margin, mean-median difference and efficiency gap. This is the worst. It also is the least compact for the Lansing area. It undoes the work in other maps to treat Ingham-Eaton-Clinton as a unified region. Chestnut is best. Birch and Szetela are much better.</p>	Chris Andrews	2021-11-17 12:15:41+00
Szetela Congressional Dislike	<p>When you democrat partisans get your marching orders and all of you say the same thing it is obvious. Every democrat partisan is saying "fair or unfair".</p>	merlin steffes	2021-11-17 06:34:36+00
Lange Congressional Opinion	<p>When you democrat partisans get your marching orders and all of you say the same thing it is obvious. Every democrat partisan is saying "fair or unfair".</p> <p>THIS MAP SUCKS.</p>	merlin steffes	2021-11-17 06:33:54+00
Magnolia Dislike		This Map Sucks	2021-11-17 06:33:40+00

I live in Grand Blanc.

I don't want to be in the same district as Fenton and Holly. Stop gerrymandering us into solid Red districts, I want to be more towards Flint. Maybe give us two districts and split Flint.

Magnolia

Dislike

Thomas Moore

2021-11-17 06:29:49+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike

This map is very unfair and gerrymandered. Map needs work.

merlin steffes

2021-11-17 06:29:42+00

I live in Fenton. We don't want Grand Blanc or Rankin. We do want more Holly. Holly and Fenton, and just go east from there, maybe a bit South and incorporate parts of Highland.

Also, Chris Khorey, we still don't want Grand Blanc or Linden, and especially not Rankin. Give those to 71, like Bob Brewer said.

Magnolia

Dislike

Howard Zwick

2021-11-17 06:22:20+00

Magnolia	Like	Get Grand Blanc out of this district. Nobody wants it there. Suburbs of Flint don't belong here. We also don't want Linden in the district as much and would rather see the district go east into Holly, like District 51 used to be but without Linden, which you can just put into 71 with minor effect.	Bob Brewer	2021-11-17 06:17:51+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map is garbage. Nobody in Fenton wants Grand Blanc in the district. Quit trying to draw smaller areas into the Flint area.	Jim Ridgway	2021-11-17 06:11:08+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Not in favor of the proposed changes. Delta Twn should not be merged with the rural areas of Eaton Cty. Also, the proposal shows Eaton Cty with 4-5 State Representatives. That's way too many. It should be no more than two.	Kathy Brooks	2021-11-17 05:40:18+00
Birch V2	Like	Looks good	Tony	2021-11-17 05:24:34+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	Ann Arbor split is nonsense. No community of interest reason. Only democratic gerrymandering.	Sarah Abbott	2021-11-17 04:39:00+00

Lange Senate correct	Dislike	Thanks for the only other unique option. But if it splits Ann Arbor it is only for the purpose of the democratic gerrymander. This map - like almost all of the other senate maps - gives democrats a guaranteed majority and that is not right.	Sarah Abbott	2021-11-17 04:37:40+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Kellom's map still defies the jackson voice by splitting ann arbor. This is unfair to both communities, who should both have their own voice. There is no community of interest argument for such a bizarre split.	Sarah Abbott	2021-11-17 04:36:15+00
Linden	Dislike	Breaking up the Ann Arbor and Jackson/rural washtenaw communities for partisan reasons is insulting. This creates a democratic supermajority that is the definition of a disproportionate advantage. Commissioners should know better.	Sarah Abbott	2021-11-17 04:34:35+00

		Any map that skews democratic is unfair and doesn't follow what a "fair map" should be - equal to both parties.		
Palm	Like	But this palm map does better respect communities of interest than the alternatives and better respects the Jackson, Washtenaw, Ann Arbor voices that are unique. This Ann Arbor split is bizarre. It may make the Ann Arbor democratic activists happy that they can supersede the Jackson folks and guarantee a democratic majority, but it has no basis in communities of interest. Nor is it fair, as anything other than a 19-19 split is a disproportionate advantage.	Sarah Abbott	2021-11-17 04:32:21+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This is fair State Senate map. I consider it the best of the collaborative offerings. I also support the Szetela and Kellom individual Senate maps.	Sarah Abbott	2021-11-17 04:29:57+00
Linden	Like	divisions are logical	Alice	2021-11-17 04:20:20+00
Magnolia	Like	This is the best/fairest/least gerrymandered map	Roger Roy	2021-11-17 04:11:46+00
Palm	Like	Fair and straight	Roger Roy	2021-11-17 04:09:34+00
Birch V2	Like		Roger Roy	2021-11-17 04:06:31+00

Unless you are a representative for the limited liability company or your last name begins with an L you don't actually live here. My best guess is you are the Larry Parsons from Fenton with the Twitter account of partisan hate. It is possible that you don't know what our economic community of interest is here in Washtenaw and are not the best person to assess that there is zero community association in our area.

Linden	Opinion	Alice	2021-11-17 04:06:21+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Jonathan Fisk	2021-11-17 04:00:45+00
Szetela House	Like	Jared Boot	2021-11-17 03:53:25+00

This is a poor choice.

Unnecessarily partisan. This looks like the fairest of those offered. However, the commission should keep working on state house maps since each proposed House map gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters!

Among the collaborative maps, this one is the best. However, the commission is encouraged to vote to accept a new submission because each proposed House map gives the majority of seats to the minority of voters! Please Keep Working! None reflect Communities of Interest adequately.

Hickory	Opinion		Jared Boot	2021-11-17 03:51:37+00
		This map contains substantial partisan bias and does not adequately reflect		
Pine v5	Dislike	communities of interest.	Jared Boot	2021-11-17 03:49:25+00
		This map contains substantial partisan bias and does not adequately reflect		
Magnolia	Dislike	communities of interest.	Jared Boot	2021-11-17 03:48:55+00
		This map is clearly the best choice. It combines like communities of interests and		
Chestnut	Like	is competitive.	Jonathan Fisk	2021-11-17 03:48:05+00
		This map is unacceptably		
Palm	Dislike	partisan!	Jared Boot	2021-11-17 03:47:27+00
		This map is not as good as Cherry or Linden. Those maps better reflect statewide		
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	communities of interest.	Jared Boot	2021-11-17 03:46:55+00

Cherry V2	Opinion	This map is acceptable. However, Linden is a better map reflecting statewide voting patterns and communities of interest.	Jared Boot	2021-11-17 03:45:39+00
Linden	Like	This map is excellent! It has an appropriate split for Ann Arbor, with a connection to Jackson on the northern side and Ypsilanti on the southeast.	Jared Boot	2021-11-17 03:44:35+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This is the most unfair of all the proposed congressional maps.	Jared Boot	2021-11-17 03:43:39+00
Chestnut	Opinion	This map is acceptable, however, Birch and Szetela better reflect statewide voting patterns and better cover communities of interest.	Jared Boot	2021-11-17 03:42:36+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	This map offers the most competitive districts of any proposed map.	Jared Boot	2021-11-17 03:40:57+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Not a good choice, unnecessarily partisan.	Jonathan Fisk	2021-11-17 03:38:55+00
Birch V2	Like	This map reflects statewide voting patterns very well and covers many Communities of Interest.	Jared Boot	2021-11-17 03:38:19+00

This map groups Delta Twp in with rural Eaton County, while taking rural areas of the County out - thus splitting up communities of interest! It gives Eaton County 4 different representatives. That just doesn't make sense. Please reconsider and put rural with rural and city with city. Also, last night, November 15th, our local news (Channel 6 out of Lansing) reported that the new districts for the State House favor Dems! I thot this was non-partisan?

Szetela House

Dislike

Ellen K Lambright

2021-11-17 03:03:28+00

This map is less partisan and would result in better representation of the district. This map groups disparate communities and is inappropriately partisan.

Chestnut

Like

Thaddeus Hackworth

2021-11-17 02:52:34+00

Apple V2

Dislike

Thaddeus Hackworth

2021-11-17 02:51:19+00

This map must be voted down, this does not keep our community whole and places us with washtenaw County and the Ann arbor area, we have absolutely nothing in common with either community. This map does not respect our community of interest. We share absolutely zero services like fire, EMS, or police with Ann arbor or washtenaw County. This map is no good, the City of Brighton, Brighton twp and Genoa must remain as one community as many who live if not most are in the Brighton school district and receive service from the Brighton Area Fire Authority. Ann Arbor has nothing in common with us.

Hickory

Dislike

Tyler Hammock

2021-11-17 02:45:24+00

Linden	Like	While Cherry V2 is my favorite for State Senate map, this one would also be a good choice. It appears to be fair with regard to partisanship. gives fair representation to both urban and rural areas and gives Detroit fair representation.	Peggy L Van Sickle	2021-11-17 02:36:05+00
Lange Congressional	Like	This map is great. It keeps our tightly knit community in Midland County together.	Justin Scott	2021-11-17 02:36:02+00
Magnolia	Like	I live in Summit Township of Jackson county and support the Magnolia map as being the fairest in keeping with the character of Jackson. Stop trying to tear apart Midland County. The gerrymandering going on is disgusting.	Lorraine S Tsutsui	2021-11-17 02:34:00+00
Chestnut	Dislike		Justin Scott	2021-11-17 02:33:02+00
Chestnut	Like	This is the most fair map. I live in Summit Township of Jackson County and hope Jackson can be kept unstripped or absorbed. I think Chestnut is the fairest.	Amy Scrima	2021-11-17 02:32:35+00
Chestnut	Like	Keep Jackson County whole in its individual citizen style population.	Lorraine S Tsutsui	2021-11-17 02:31:10+00
Palm	Like		Lorraine Tsutsui	2021-11-17 02:26:41+00

Magnolia	Like	We support the magnolia map, it keeps the Brighton community whole. Many of us may have a Genoa mailing address yet we are in the Brighton school district and we are part of the Brighton Area Fire Authority in Brighton township, city of Brighton, and Genoa township. Further more, the Brighton community has absolutely nothing in common with Ann arbor or washtenaw County. The magnolia map ensures our community of interest stays together.	Tyler Hammock	2021-11-17 02:26:36+00
Cherry V2	Like	This particular map appears to be the fairest map partisanshipwise. I know there are a lot of complaints from the other side, but partisanship is one major consideration. That's why the People put this in your hands.	Peggy L Van Sickle	2021-11-17 02:19:15+00
Chestnut	Like	This looks like the most fair map of those offered. Thank you for all your hard work!	Mary O'Neill	2021-11-17 01:58:31+00

Szetela House	Like	This looks like the most fair map of those offered. Thank you for all your hard work! Our congressional district looks like a major gerrymander, that commission committed to doing away with. Voters voted for a commission to make fair regions representing communities of interest. This seems like dividing for partisan or simply to comply with numbers. Please be fair and hear our voices.	Mary O'Neill	2021-11-17 01:56:35+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map splits off a rural section of Jackson County that will not be represented by being combined with the city of Ann Arobr. Don't divide Jackson County!!	Kristine Yeutter	2021-11-17 01:49:56+00
Birch V2	Dislike		Anna Hicks	2021-11-17 01:49:13+00

Chestnut	Dislike	My husband and I would like our entire township to be in the same district as Rochester and Rochester Hills where our school district is and within Oakland County. We object to Chesnut, Birch and Szetela maps where we are grouped with Macomb county where politics is much more extreme than the politics of Rochester and Rochester Hills. Our vote will be completely lost in this district.	Camilla Kathlyn Mannino	2021-11-17 01:48:00+00
Chestnut	Like	This looks like the most fair map of those offered. Thank you for all your hard work!	Mary O'Neill	2021-11-17 01:46:44+00
Chestnut	Like	Rural areas of Jackson County will be disenfranchised by being combined with Washtenaw County.	Anna Hicks	2021-11-17 01:45:53+00

I am in support of Hickory. I find myself frequenting both the Ann Arbor area - friends, health care, book club etc. Also friends and family in this part of Oakland County. I find all these areas easily accessible which is greatly appreciated. Seems like all have lots in common and are part of a greater community.

Please accept Hickory as House District 48. Diane

Hickory	Like	Lenk, Green Oak Township Mt Clemens should be with	Diane Finke Lenk	2021-11-17 01:42:26+00
Birch V2	Like	these areas. This is a good mix of like	Michelle Smith	2021-11-17 01:29:24+00
Birch V2	Like	minded Oakland and Macomb County. Midland should be together	Michelle Smith	2021-11-17 01:19:03+00
Apple V2	Dislike	with Midland County.	Michelle Smith	2021-11-17 01:17:41+00

This map answers to the explicit charge of the Committee. Competitiveness and representation of Michigan's diverse populations are a higher priority than tracking city and county lines, under Article IV Sec 6 (13). Despite the nearly inverse relationship between tracking county lines and making districts actually subject to fair fights, this map actually tracks quite a few county lines. To accomplish this competitiveness and representation of diverse interests, urban areas will be split, and some rural areas will be agglomerated. This makes more politicians pay attention to both, because less can be taken for granted. It is a misconception that urban and rural voters are opposing political monoliths. To mix them together is an entrenched politician's worst nightmare, and an

Linden

Like

John D Erdevig

2021-11-17 01:10:09+00

I oppose the Apple v2 map because it doesn't take in account partisan fairness in it's current form. Please reject this map!

Apple V2

Dislike

Tim Smith

2021-11-17 00:15:26+00

This map should earn consensus, though it could emphasize better the priorities of respecting diversity of the state's population and competitiveness. State Senate maps have historically overemphasized county boundaries, which will in turn give disproportionate representation to sparsely populated but conveniently aggregated counties, and a U.S. House map should not repeat the same mistake. The resulting over-representation of rural and outer suburban interests in the likely Congressional delegation, will result in the under-representation of urban and diverse interests. We already have a U.S. Senate that over-represents sparsely populated states, making less than a third of the country rule like a permanent majority. House

Districts should stand in

John D Erdevig

2021-11-17 00:14:01+00

Szetela Congressional Like

Hickory	Dislike	This map makes no sense. The I 94 corridor is not a community. But that interstate highway is all this map has in common. Instead, leave Jackson Co alone.	Regina Hastings	2021-11-17 00:09:53+00
Palm	Like	Palm Map works for the citizens of Jackson. We want to keep Jackson County whole and since it is not as highly populated as Washtenaw, it should not be grouped in with Washtenaw. Keep Jackson whole! Thank you.	Regina Hastings	2021-11-17 00:02:55+00
Chestnut	Like	We want to keep Jackson County whole and since it is not as highly populated as Washtenaw, it should not be grouped in with Washtenaw. Keep Jackson whole! Thank you.	Regina Hastings	2021-11-16 23:58:05+00
Chestnut	Like	This keeps the city of Milan together instead of splitting in half along the county lines. It makes no sense to have half the city in a different district just because of an arbitrary county line through the middle of town which is the design in the other maps.	Denise Hoffman	2021-11-16 23:52:02+00

Kellom Senate	Dislike	<p>It must be hard to draw a map. Without some guidance, such as the partisan voting map from the last presidential election. Maybe it's just coincidence, but in District 9, the political leaning of the eastward voters in Troy would be completely cancelled out by the rightward voters in Sterling Heights. If bringing partisanship back to the process is the point, this is the map for you.</p>	Richard Wochoski	2021-11-16 23:27:08+00
Linden	Like	<p>From the comments in Jackson and Ann Arbor, it appears that the local conclaves there approve of this map. Up here in Troy, this map adequately groups diverse people, similar values and similar infrastructures.</p> <p>This one could work.</p> <p>I have concerns about all of the house maps but this is the best choice presented so far and if choosing from the current maps, this is closest to approaching partisan fairness.</p>	Richard Wochoski	2021-11-16 23:19:20+00
Hickory	Like	<p>I have concerns about all of the house maps but this is the best choice presented so far and if choosing from the current maps, this is closest to approaching partisan fairness.</p>	Sue Matthes Hadden	2021-11-16 23:14:41+00

Pine v5	Like	This map is the fairest in the way it treats Troy. It also continues to have the most upvotes across the state. Nice work!	Richard Wochoski	2021-11-16 23:11:11+00
Linden	Like	This map does well on partisan fairness and would also be a good choice for the State Senate Map	Sue Matthes Hadden	2021-11-16 23:10:56+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map does great on partisan fairness and should be the top choice for State Senate Maps.	Sue Matthes Hadden	2021-11-16 23:08:05+00
Hickory	Dislike	I'm not seeing any difference between this and the Szetlana House Map. I've spot checked 10 districts and the two maps seem the same. Splitting Troy seems like a bad idea, as does the splitting of other cities. How can a community agree on what it takes to grow and prosper when half the community is represented by different people? Every effort should be made to keep a city whole. Then use parcelling out to make up the discrepancies. I agree with most respondents to this map: try, try again.	Richard Wochoski	2021-11-16 23:07:53+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	Made a mistake and hit wrong opinion button on previous comment. This is the most unfair of all proposed Congressional maps. Strongly dislike.	Susan Vandercook	2021-11-16 23:01:10+00
Lange Congressional	Like	This is the most unfair of all the proposed Congressional maps. Unacceptable.	Susan Vandercook	2021-11-16 22:57:11+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	This is a relatively fair Statewide map and more competitive than the current districts.	Susan Vandercook	2021-11-16 22:50:18+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	This is a fair statewide map. It includes several seats that would be competitive for both parties.	Ingrid Yarbrough	2021-11-16 22:50:16+00
Hickory	Like	I like the Hickory map the best. Not perfect but it breaks up Livingston County which needs to be done. Almost no one works in Livingston and they travel to Ingam or Washtenaw county for work and pleasure. They need to be grouped together. Please a fair map is all we want. Fair for all. Thank You	Glen Miller	2021-11-16 22:49:54+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>This shows a fair statewide map where the political party that has the most votes wins most seats, although all maps appear to have Republican bias. Thanks for keeping Ingham-Eaton-Clinton together.</p>	Ingrid O Yarbrough	2021-11-16 22:25:41+00
Szetela House	Like	<p>This draft for my district represents my community of interest a whole lot more accurately compared to the other three proposals. The people who live within my district currently do not want to be connected with any liberal townships or cities. All the State Senate maps seem to be the same in Southeastern Michigan. If the only differences are in the outstate areas, it would be nice to know why. Is there no other combination of COI's to be found for us?</p>	Dylan J Pescarolo	2021-11-16 22:25:38+00
Cherry V2	Opinion		Richard Wochoski	2021-11-16 22:22:51+00

This district has been gerrymandered and cracked in the name of fairness. Grand Blanc does not belong with the Township's of both Holly, Rose, Fenton, and Tyrone. It should be connected with Atlas and Davison Township. The proposed map for Genesee County in Szetela House Map makes a whole lot more sense and represents the communities of interest a whole lot better than the maps presented by Pine, Magnolia, and Hickory.

Pine v5

Dislike

Dylan J Pescarolo

2021-11-16 22:16:36+00

Thank you for taking the time to create so many maps. It feels like many of them contain the same districting, which makes them all feel suspect. District 10, which combines Troy, Royal Oak and Rochester and Berkely with St. Clair Shores and other eastern areas will quickly become unworkable, as it combines newer neighborhoods that have specific needs with older ones that have different needs. That's in infrastructure, schools, safety and nearly every other category.

Lange Congressional Dislike

Richard Wochoski

2021-11-16 22:16:22+00

Apple V2	Dislike	Pairing the diversity and business-orientation of Troy with such enclaves as St. Clair Shores seems to be very suspect, creating a District 10 that favors one party over the other. Communities with like family values and city infrastructures are better to administrate in Congress, having similar needs and expectations from government. That should be the primary premise. Not political considerations.	Richard	2021-11-16 22:09:54+00
Chestnut	Like	This, to me, is the most fair statewide map. There are more competitive seats with this Chestnut map. Thanks for keeping Ingham-Eaton-Clinton together.	Ingrid O Yarbrough	2021-11-16 22:08:53+00

Birch V2	Dislike	<p>I don't know why this map continues to be proposed. For District 10, it has gotten nothing but unfavorable votes. In fact, for all the districts it is unfavorable. This is clearly a gerrymandered map looking to water down Democratic voters by placing them in overwhelmingly Republican regions. Please stop pushing this map!</p>	Richard Wochoski	2021-11-16 22:02:58+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	<p>Although all the maps have a Republican bias, this is a fair statewide map. There are several seats that would be competitive for both parties.</p>	Philip Martinez	2021-11-16 21:57:50+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>Thank you for keeping Troy whole in this map. The city's diversity makes it an ideal district for testing out new ideas across a wide range of ethnicities and political viewpoints. No group dominates here and we like it that way.</p>	Ric	2021-11-16 21:57:03+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>This is the least fairest of all the maps.</p>	Ross Vandercook	2021-11-16 21:55:19+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>This map is the worst of the maps for the US House of Representatives. The map for the Lansing region is less compact.</p>	Philip Martinez	2021-11-16 21:53:55+00

Birch V2	Like	This is my favorite map for fairness and keeping Washtenaw County within District 6.	Thea Glicksman	2021-11-16 21:47:23+00
Birch V2	Like	The Birch V2 map is a fair map statewide that gives the political party that wins the most votes statewide a good chance of winning the most seats.	Philip Martinez	2021-11-16 21:46:42+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	This is one of the fairer maps. This looks like a fair map which gives both political parties a reasonable chance of winning seats that match their statewide vote.	Ross Vandercook	2021-11-16 21:45:34+00
Apple V2	Like		Philip Martinez	2021-11-16 21:42:58+00
Birch V2	Like	This is a very well-done and fair map. Washtenaw County is placed within District 6.	Thea Glicksman	2021-11-16 21:39:21+00
Birch V2	Like	This is a fair map because it is competitive in my opinion.	Susan Vandercook	2021-11-16 21:39:20+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map separates the Downriver Region into three parts and attaches parts, like Grosse Ile to Jackson county. No attention to COI's.	Anthony Mazurek	2021-11-16 21:38:06+00
Birch V2	Like	This is a fair map in my opinion.	Ross Vandercook	2021-11-16 21:37:58+00
Chestnut	Like	This map is the most fair and I like Washtenaw County fully in District 6.	Thea Glicksman	2021-11-16 21:35:51+00

Chestnut	Like	I like this map because it is the fairest Statewide map. It is the most competitive. This maps maintains the Downriver region as a Community of Interest. It also does a good job, with few exceptions, of keeping counties whole.	Susan Vandercook	2021-11-16 21:27:21+00
Birch V2	Like	Thanks for keeping the Ingham-Eaton-County area together. This is a fair statewide map where the party with the most votes statewide should win the most seats. Strongly support!	Anthony Mazurek	2021-11-16 21:26:49+00
Chestnut	Like	This is the fairest of all the maps.	Philip Martinez	2021-11-16 21:26:34+00
Chestnut	Like	This is the fairest statewide map. There are more competitive seats. Thanks for keeping Ingham-Eaton-Clinton together	Ross Vandercook	2021-11-16 21:26:25+00
Chestnut	Like	I favor the Hickory map the best, I like the districting in this way so as Livingston County can be included in with surrounding areas. I work in Oakland County, others in Washtenaw, etc. I think this works best.	Chris Andrews	2021-11-16 21:26:19+00
Hickory	Like		Christopher Mark Ariss	2021-11-16 21:18:11+00

I think the Hickory map's splitting of Livingston County makes a lot of sense. Hamburg Twp in southern Livingston is closely tied to both Ann Arbor and western Washtenaw with shared trails, recreation areas, and of course the Huron River and its chain of lakes. Hamburg Twp has almost no cultural, political, or demographic ties to the rural parts of its county to its north and west.

Brighton and Green Oak Twps are really part of what might be called the "greater South Lyon area" -- essentially, bedroom suburbs for people commuting south to Ann Arbor or west to

Hickory

Like

Novi/Farmington Hills.

Daniel D Luria

2021-11-16 21:13:16+00

Arenac County has far more vested interests within the Bay/Saginaw County areas rather than the entirety of the northernmost counties

Lange Congressional

Like

including the UP.

Nikolas Baker

2021-11-16 20:58:32+00

Palm	Like	Although I as a retired professor would like to see Jackson County grouped with Hillsdale and Lenawee Counties, as in the Chestnut map, because of small colleges COI, Palm map is the best choice of the State Senate maps presented.	Fred Trexler	2021-11-16 19:49:53+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you. I'm glad to see Ann Arbor divided between two parts of the County instead of standing alone as an island.	Vivienne Armentrout	2021-11-16 19:43:20+00

This map, although it includes portions of Washtenaw which I'm not a fan of, is better in the representation of Jackson as a whole. Jackson county should not be divided and lumped in with Ann Arbor as the communities are significantly different than each other in a vast many arenas. Mixing heavy agricultural common sense with over educated collegiates with no real work or life experience is essentially lumping apples and walnuts into a bin and calling them potatoes. It's nonsensical. The wants and desires of the Jackson community would be overshadowed by the sheer number of individual life forms residing east of Jackson County. Don't mess with the maps.

Palm

Like

Amy Tupper

2021-11-16 19:38:14+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are two entirely different metropolitan areas with fundamentally different economic bases, cultures, constituent values, and community interests. Both cities are unique, vibrant, growing metropolises, and thus, their vested political interests should undoubtedly be represented apart from one another. The "Apple" district deserves strong criticism and ought to be removed from consideration right away.	Andrew Robbins	2021-11-16 19:35:51+00
Birch V2	Like	No map is perfect and I see the concerns about dividing Jackson County, but I think this is still the fairest of the maps. Keeps all of Allen Park together. Additionally, does not separate Melvindale from the district. Allen Park and Melvindale share a school district together.	Charlene Mangi	2021-11-16 19:32:01+00
Pine v5	Like		Gary Schlack	2021-11-16 19:30:04+00

Linden	Like	<p>I enjoy reading all the cry-babies in Jackson whine about having to actually share a delegate with Washtenaw County. All the more reason to do this map. They want to just hole up and be in their own Republican LaLaLand. Make the politicians work for your vote Jacksonites, you'll be better off for it. This is a great map.</p>	Gregg A Hartsuff	2021-11-16 19:29:33+00
Palm	Like	<p>Palm actually follows the constitution and respects communities of interest. Jackson county deserves to have a voice</p>	Larry Parsons	2021-11-16 19:25:17+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	<p>commissionr szetela even said herself that jackson and ann arbor do not belong together. The only reason to split Ann Arbor is to give democrats an insurmountable edge and guarantee them majority. That's not right, and it does not respect communities of interest or actual partisan fairness that gives both sides a chance to win majority</p>	Larry Parsons	2021-11-16 19:22:19+00

Why split Ann Arbor? No community of interest reasons. This map is just as likely to give democrats an insurmountable edge as the other senate maps, besides palm which is still not great.

Thank you commissioner Lange for fighting for communities of interest, though! Your efforts against the bullies on the commission are appreciated :)

Lange Senate correct Dislike

Larry Parsons

2021-11-16 19:19:59+00

There is ZERO reason to split Ann Arbor for community of interest reasons. The only reason to do such a thing is to unfairly give democrats an insurmountable edge. We were told this commission would respect communities of interest. This map does

Cherry V2

Dislike

Larry Parsons

2021-11-16 19:17:28+00

NOT do this.

Linden Dislike There is ZERO reason to split Ann Arbor unless you're trying to do it to unfairly benefit Democrats. The palm map is actually fair to both parties, while this bizarre splitting of Jackson - with ZERO community of interest concerns - is an abomination. Larry Parsons 2021-11-16 19:15:32+00

Chestnut Dislike This is not COMPETITIVE. To me, this is not about getting certain areas to have representation that they agree with, but about making politicians FIGHT FOR YOUR VOTE and WORK FOR EVERYONE. Split Ann Arbor and put part of them with Livingston County, Jackson, Ingham, etc. and the other part with Lenewee, Monroe, etc. so that there's a near equal chance of either a Democrat or Republican winning. I don't really see this approach on ANY of your maps. Right now, there are districts clearly Republican and clearly Democrat, and that's not good for anyone. Gregg A Hartsuff 2021-11-16 19:14:10+00

While I think that all of the senate maps represent a "disproportionate advantage" to democrats, this palm map makes the most sense. The others that strangely split the Ann Arbor area only do so to give democrats an overwhelming edge. Palm is by far the best for communities of interest and ACTUAL partisan fairness for both parties.

Palm

Like

Larry Parsons

2021-11-16 19:13:24+00

After looking at this map a little closer, it reminds of the old Eid map. I can support this map. However, you might consider some changes to the 22nd and 23rd, maybe the 21st, so that Byron and Gaines Twps move to the 23rd in exchange for parts of S.E. Allegan moving to the 22nd. In the process of doing that you might even wind up leaving Van Buren and Kalamazoo whole. Also, perhaps, you might consider keeping Lake County whole in exchange for a sliver of E. Montcalm.

Palm

Like

Jack Bengtsson

2021-11-16 19:12:57+00

Palm	Dislike	The palm map is the best way to keep Jackson Co. together. This is the best of the worst and seems to most fairly represent the rural	Brenda Humphrey	2021-11-16 18:53:59+00
Szetela House	Like	communities	Lindsey Brayton	2021-11-16 18:33:40+00
Hickory	Dislike	This map is not balanced and unfairly represents urban areas	Lindsey Brayton	2021-11-16 18:31:42+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map is not balanced and unfairly represents urban areas	Lindsey Brayton	2021-11-16 18:31:18+00
Pine v5	Dislike	This map is not balanced and unfairly represents urban areas	Lindsey Brayton	2021-11-16 18:30:55+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	This map is not balanced and unfairly represents urban areas	Lindsey Brayton	2021-11-16 18:30:25+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	This map is not balanced and unfairly represents urban areas	Lindsey Brayton	2021-11-16 18:07:39+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This map is not balanced and unfairly represents urban areas	Lindsey Brayton	2021-11-16 18:07:14+00
Linden	Dislike	This map is not balanced and unfairly represents urban areas	Lindsey Brayton	2021-11-16 18:06:52+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	None of Jackson county should be lumped into a district with a district that includes Ann Arbor. It just doesn't make sense, unless gerrymandering is the goal.	David J Houck	2021-11-16 18:06:29+00

Palm	Like	The Palm map seems to best represent a fair political map with equal urban and rural representation.	Lindsey Brayton	2021-11-16 18:06:20+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This map is not balanced and unfairly represents urban areas	Lindsey Brayton	2021-11-16 18:04:43+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	Boo. Keep Jackson county in the same district.	David J Houck	2021-11-16 18:02:51+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	I do not like this map because it does not represent rural communities	Lindsey Brayton	2021-11-16 18:01:53+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Defies logic. If anything, the district 5 line should be moved east to capture the west part of Washtenaw.	David J Houck	2021-11-16 18:00:49+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Makes no sense to have Waterloo recreation area lumped in the same district as Ann Arbor.	David J Houck	2021-11-16 17:55:11+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This map is not balanced and unfairly represents urban areas	Lindsey Brayton	2021-11-16 17:54:57+00
Pine v5	Like	Putting Leelanau County in with Traverse City makes sense from a cultural standpoint. Tourism based economies too. Good work.	Casey Adams	2021-11-16 17:54:19+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Dislike, the other two maps are better.	Casey Adams	2021-11-16 17:53:01+00

		I do not agree with this re-districting. The 'caterpillar' district down the lakeshore does not keep Allegan county together and does not represent my community. No		
Szetela House	Dislike	this is not good.	Katie Valencia	2021-11-16 17:52:41+00
		This map is not balanced and unfairly represents urban		
Apple V2	Dislike	areas	Lindsey Brayton	2021-11-16 17:50:59+00
		I do not agree with this re-districting. The 'caterpillar' district down the lakeshore does not keep Allegan county together and does not represent my community. No		
Hickory	Dislike	this is not good.	Katie Valencia	2021-11-16 17:50:42+00
		This map is not balanced and unfairly represents urban		
Birch V2	Dislike	areas	Lindsey Brayton	2021-11-16 17:50:32+00
		There is logic to this map. I		
Chestnut	Like	would approve.	Dave Houck	2021-11-16 17:48:48+00
		I do not agree with this re-districting. The 'caterpillar' district down the lakeshore does not keep Allegan county together and does not represent my community. No		
Magnolia	Dislike	this is not good.	Katie Valencia	2021-11-16 17:47:49+00

Chestnut	Like	I support the Chestnut map because it appears to be the most politically balanced.	Lindsey Brayton	2021-11-16 17:46:26+00
Birch V2	Like	Thank you for keeping Clinton County whole!	Zach Rudat	2021-11-16 17:44:45+00
Pine v5	Dislike	I do not agree with this re-districting. The 'caterpillar' district down the lakeshore does not keep Allegan county together and does not represent my community. No this is not good.	Katie Valencia	2021-11-16 17:43:33+00
Hickory	Like	Of all of the maps this Hickory map seems to be the fairest. There still appears to be a big Republican swing to this map, but it's less so than some of the other map choices.	Christine Graves Klykken	2021-11-16 17:09:29+00
Linden	Like	I support the Linden map because it seems to be the most politically unbiased map. Each party will have a fair opportunity to represent this area.	Christine Graves Klykken	2021-11-16 17:06:04+00
Chestnut	Like	I support the Chestnut map because it appears to be the most politically balanced map.	Christine Graves Klykken	2021-11-16 17:03:18+00

Keep Allegan County  
together!

Preserve and respect  
geographical boundaries,  
specifically county lines

The commission is  
intentionally carving up  
counties into multiple districts  
for political gain. This is  
gerrymandering!

Allegan County belongs  
together. I do not agree with  
the 'caterpillar' district down  
the lakeshore. This does not  
represent my community.

The only reason to break up  
Allegan County is for explicit,  
partisan reason and is the  
definition of gerrymandering.

Szetela House

Dislike

Mark Forbes

2021-11-16 16:53:19+00

Keep Allegan County  
together!

Preserve and respect  
geographical boundaries,  
specifically county lines

The commission is  
intentionally carving up  
counties into multiple districts  
for political gain. This is  
gerrymandering!

Allegan County belongs  
together. I do not agree with  
the 'caterpillar' district down  
the lakeshore. This does not  
represent my community.

The only reason to break up  
Allegan County is for explicit,  
partisan reason and is the  
definition of gerrymandering.

Hickory

Dislike

Mark Forbes

2021-11-16 16:52:49+00

Keep Allegan County  
together!  
Preserve and respect  
geographical boundaries,  
specifically county lines  
The commission is  
intentionally carving up  
counties into multiple districts  
for political gain. This is  
gerrymandering!  
Allegan County belongs  
together. I do not agree with  
the 'caterpillar' district down  
the lakeshore. This does not  
represent my community.  
The only reason to break up  
Allegan County is for explicit,  
partisan reason and is the  
definition of gerrymandering.

Magnolia

Dislike

Mark Forbes

2021-11-16 16:52:03+00

Keep Allegan County together!  
Preserve and respect geographical boundaries, specifically county lines  
The commission is intentionally carving up counties into multiple districts for political gain. This is gerrymandering!  
Allegan County belongs together. I do not agree with the 'caterpillar' district down the lakeshore. This does not represent my community.  
The only reason to break up Allegan County is for explicit, partisan reason and is the

Pine v5

Dislike

definition of gerrymandering. Mark Forbes

2021-11-16 16:47:52+00

The commission is intentionally carving up counties into multiple districts for political gain. This is

Szetela House

Dislike

gerrymandering! Mary L Stull

2021-11-16 16:42:33+00

The commission is intentionally carving up counties into multiple districts for political gain. This is

Hickory

Dislike

gerrymandering! Mary L Stull

2021-11-16 16:41:39+00

Magnolia	Dislike	The commission is intentionally carving up counties into multiple districts for political gain. This is gerrymandering!	Mary L Stull	2021-11-16 16:40:44+00
Pine v5	Dislike	The commission is intentionally carving up counties into multiple districts for political gain. This is gerrymandering!	Mary L Stull	2021-11-16 16:38:22+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Keep Allegan County together	melissa vandermeulen	2021-11-16 16:35:51+00
Hickory	Dislike	Keep Allegan County together.	melissa vandermeulen	2021-11-16 16:32:53+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Keep Allegan County together	melissa vandermeulen	2021-11-16 16:29:51+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Allegan county belongs together	melissa vandermeulen	2021-11-16 16:23:11+00

I like the Szetela State Senate map. It keeps Waterloo Township with its current neighboring communities (we already work and partner together well) and keeps the City of Ann Arbor separate from rural areas. Rural communities have VERY different needs from the needs of urban residents, and therefore needs different representation. Please use this SZETELA State House map. Thank you.

Szetela House

Like

Cynthia Richardson

2021-11-16 16:09:23+00

I do not like the Hickory State House map. It combines RURAL Waterloo Township/ Jackson County with much of eastern of Ann Arbor, Pinckney and west Brighton(???) which absolutely makes NO sense. Parks do not need THAT much legislation attention over day to day resident needs which is clearly the point of this map. Ann Arbor and Brighton communities have more public service offerings, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas, like Waterloo Township, have none of these things. Rural communities, like Waterloo Township, have VERY different needs and require different legislative representation. Please use the SZETELA State House map. It better represents my community. Thank you.

Hickory

Dislike

Cynthia Richardson

2021-11-16 16:07:23+00

Magnolia	Dislike	<p>I do not like the Magnolia State House map. It combines RURAL Waterloo Township/ Jackson County with much of eastern of Ann Arbor which absolutely makes no sense. Ann Arbor communities have more public service offerings, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas, like Waterloo Township, have none of these things. Rural communities have VERY different needs and require different legislative representation. Please use the SZETELA State House map. It better represents my community. Thank you.</p>	Cynthia Richardson	2021-11-16 16:04:39+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>This proposed map unnecessarily combines Jackson County with other neighboring areas, greatly splitting up our current Community of Interest. Please do adopt this map.</p>	Brian Boyer	2021-11-16 16:03:03+00

Pine v5	Dislike	<p>The Pine V5 State House map is absolutely the WORST reflection of my community. It combines rural Waterloo Township/ Jackson County with much of the City of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has more public service offerings, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas, like Waterloo Township, have none of these things. Rural communities have VERY different needs and require different legislative representation. Please use the SZETELA State House map. It better represents our community. Thank you.</p>	Cynthia Richardson	2021-11-16 16:02:25+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>This proposed map unnecessarily combines Jackson County with other neighboring areas, greatly splitting up our current Community of Interest. Please do adopt this map.</p>	Brian Boyer	2021-11-16 16:01:37+00

Magnolia	Dislike	This proposed map unnecessarily combines Jackson County with other neighboring areas, greatly splitting up our current Community of Interest. Please do adopt this map.	Brian Boyer	2021-11-16 16:00:04+00
----------	---------	---	-------------	------------------------

Szetela Senate	Dislike	I do not like the Szetela State Senate map. It divides Jackson County and combines rural areas of Jackson County with half of the City of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has more public service offerings, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas, like Waterloo Township, have none of these things. Rural communities have VERY different needs and require different legislative representation. Please use the PALM State Senate map. It better represents my community. Thank you.	Cynthia Richardson	2021-11-16 15:59:44+00
----------------	---------	---	--------------------	------------------------

Hickory Like Allegan County is getting big. It's no longer appropriate to jam it all into one district (and certainly not with a long string of other areas that are completely unrelated, as was done in 2010). I like this map, it recognizes communities of interest along the lakeshore, and it seems to be fair Jim Hanson 2021-11-16 15:58:43+00

Lange Senate correct Dislike I do not like the Lange State Senate map. It divides Jackson County and combines rural areas of Jackson County with half of the City of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has more public service offerings, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas, like Waterloo Township, have none of these things. Rural communities have VERY different needs and require different legislative representation. Please use the PALM State Senate map. It better represents my community. Thank you. Cynthia Richardson 2021-11-16 15:57:00+00

Pine v5	Dislike	<p>This proposed map unnecessarily splits up Jackson County with other neighboring areas, greatly disassembling our current Community of Interest. Please do adopt this map.</p>	Brian Boyer	2021-11-16 15:56:12+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>No map is ideal, but this map seems to meet the needs of the residents of my area for representation fairly</p>	Jim Hanson	2021-11-16 15:55:41+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	<p>I do not like the Kellom State Senate map. It divides Jackson County and combines rural areas of Jackson County with half of the City of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has more public service offerings, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas, like Waterloo Township, have none of these things. Rural communities have VERY different needs and require different legislative representation. Please use the PALM State Senate map. It better represents my community.</p>	Cynthia Richardson	2021-11-16 15:54:47+00

I do not like the Linden State Senate map. It divides Jackson County and combines rural areas of Jackson County with half of the City of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has more public service offerings, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas, like Waterloo Township, have none of these things. Rural communities have VERY different needs and require different legislative representation. Please use the PALM State Senate map. It better represents my community.

Linden

Dislike

Thank you.

Cynthia Richardson

2021-11-16 15:52:45+00

This map fragments communities of interest in the southwest Lakeshore and it is

Palm

Dislike

overall not fair

Jim Hanson

2021-11-16 15:52:36+00

Crawford and Roscommon counties need to be together. The village of Roscommon is compiled of citizens from both counties. I have a Roscommon address, my kids go to Roscommon schools and I utilize things such as the Roscommon Library. The town is only a mile from my house yet I live in Crawford county so I have no voting opportunity in the town I live in. If I literally moved across the street, I would live in

Birch V2

Dislike

Roscommon county.

Jennifer Tozer

2021-11-16 15:51:36+00

This proposed map unnecessarily combines Jackson County with other neighboring areas greatly enlarging the population of our current Community of Interest. Please do adopt this

Szetela Senate

Dislike

map.

Brian Boyer

2021-11-16 15:51:01+00

I like the Palm State Senate map. It keeps Jackson County WHOLE and keeps the City of Ann Arbor separate from rural areas. Rural communities have very different needs from the needs of urban residents, and therefore needs different representation. Please use this PALM State Senate map.

Palm	Like	Thank you.	Cynthia Richardson	2021-11-16 15:50:08+00
Linden	Like	the map is overall the least biased and would be a fair map for the state	Jim Hanson	2021-11-16 15:49:57+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	This proposed map unnecessarily combines Jackson County with other neighboring areas greatly enlarging the population of our current Community of Interest. Please do adopt this map.	Brian Boyer	2021-11-16 15:49:05+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>I do not like the Cherry V2 State Senate map. It divides Jackson County and combines rural areas of Jackson County with half of the City of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has more public service offerings, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas, like Waterloo Township, have none of these things. Rural communities have VERY different needs and require different legislative representation. Please use the PALM State Senate map. It better represents my community. Thank you.</p> <p>This proposed map unnecessarily combines Jackson County with other neighboring areas greatly enlarging the population of our current Community of Interest. Please do adopt this map.</p>	Cynthia Richardson	2021-11-16 15:47:47+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike		Brian Boyer	2021-11-16 15:47:22+00

Linden Dislike This proposed map unnecessarily combines Jackson County with other neighboring areas greatly enlarging the population of our current Community of Interest. Please do adopt this map. Brian Boyer 2021-11-16 15:45:59+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike I do not like the Szetela V2 Congressional map because it does not keep Jackson County whole. It combines the eastern portion of Jackson County, including Waterloo Township, with Washtenaw County. Waterloo Township is a VERY rural community with no city water/sewer services, no broadband and no public transportation. Ann Arbor has all of these things. If this map was used, Waterloo Township would not have a voice in Congress. Please do NOT adopt this map. The CHESTNUT Congressional map better represents my community of interest. Thank you. Cynthia Richardson 2021-11-16 15:43:45+00

Pine v5	Like	<p>Keep Allegan Co &amp; the lakeshore together, carving it up is political &amp; an attempt to silence our voice.</p> <p>This proposed map unnecessarily combines Jackson County with other neighboring areas greatly enlarging the population of our current Community of Interest. Please do adopt this map.</p>	Matthew Rooks	2021-11-16 15:43:38+00
Palm	Dislike		Brian Boyer	2021-11-16 15:42:56+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>I do not like the LANGE V2 Congressional map because it does not keep Jackson County whole. It combines the eastern portion of Jackson County, including Waterloo Township, with Washtenaw County. Waterloo Township is a VERY rural community with no city water/sewer services, no broadband and no public transportation. Ann Arbor has all of these things. If this map was used, Waterloo Township would not have a voice in Congress. Please do NOT use this map. The CHESTNUT Congressional map better represents my community of interest. Thank you.</p>	Cynthia Richardson	2021-11-16 15:38:04+00

Cherry V2 Dislike This proposed map unnecessarily divides up Jackson County splitting up our current Community of Interest. Please do adopt this map. The Chestnut map keeps the Jackson Community of Interest together. Brian Boyer 2021-11-16 15:38:02+00

Apple V2 Dislike I do not like the Apple V2 Congressional map because it does not keep Jackson County whole. It combines the eastern portion of Jackson County, including Waterloo Township, with Washtenaw County. Waterloo Township is a VERY rural community with no city water/sewer services, no broadband and no public transportation. Ann Arbor has all of these things. If this map was used, Waterloo Township would not have a voice in Congress. Please do NOT adopt this map. The CHESTNUT map better represents my community of interest. Thank you. Cynthia Richardson 2021-11-16 15:35:22+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike	This proposed map unnecessarily divides up the eastern side of Jackson County splitting up our current Community of Interest. Please do adopt this map. The Chestnut map keeps the Jackson Community of Interest together.	Brian Boyer	2021-11-16 15:33:27+00
Lange Congressional Dislike	This proposed map unnecessarily divides up the eastern side and the northern portion of Jackson County completely splitting up our current Community of Interest. Please do adopt this map.	Brian Boyer	2021-11-16 15:29:35+00
Apple V2 Dislike	This proposed map unnecessarily divides up the eastern side of Jackson County splitting up our current Community of Interest.	Brian Boyer	2021-11-16 15:24:44+00
Birch V2 Dislike	This proposed map unnecessarily divides up the eastern side of Jackson County splitting up our current Community of Interest.	Brian Boyer	2021-11-16 15:17:31+00

Chestnut	Like	I believe that the Chestnut map better reflects the Jackson area Community of Interest. The rural county of Jackson would not be well represented if combined with Washtenaw.	Brian Boyer	2021-11-16 15:10:29+00
Szetela House	Like	Of the poor House maps (all of them are poorly done), the Szetela map better secludes Jackson county from Washtenaw. Washtenaw is much more densely populated than Jackson, and our interests differ greatly. Though not particularly happy with any of these Senate maps, I do like the Palm map best because it keeps Jackson county the most whole. Washtenaw is much more densely populated, and Jackson will be under-represented if lumped together.	Joshua Pero	2021-11-16 13:52:26+00
Palm	Like		Joshua Pero	2021-11-16 13:46:04+00

Chestnut	Like	I like this Congressional map (Chestnut) best because it separates Jackson and Washtenaw counties. Jackson is much more rural, and much less densely populated than Washtenaw. Keeping Jackson whole makes much more sense for proper representation.	Joshua Pero	2021-11-16 13:40:12+00
Pine v5	Like	This map is drawn fairly for representing the demographics of Troy/Birmingham. Please Keep Working! There are no fair MI House maps to choose from!	Kelly Jones	2021-11-16 13:15:44+00
Hickory	Opinion	Great It's a fair map.	Lisa S	2021-11-16 10:37:23+00
Kellom Senate	Like	Please use This is the best map I have viewed. This keeps similar counties and districts intact.	Lisa S	2021-11-16 10:33:37+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Great It's a fair map.	Matthew Price	2021-11-16 10:33:12+00
Linden	Like	Please use Great It's a fair map.	Lisa S	2021-11-16 10:32:20+00
Szetela Senate	Like	Please use Great It's a fair map representing the majority of voters, Voting Rights Act	Lisa S	2021-11-16 10:16:01+00
Chestnut	Like	districts. Please use.	Lisa S	2021-11-16 10:11:53+00

		Great Itâ€™s a fair map representing the majority of voters, Voting Rights Act districts. Please use.		
Birch V2	Like		Lisa S	2021-11-16 10:10:04+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	Do not like	Russ Jennings	2021-11-16 09:05:05+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	Do not like	Russ Jennings	2021-11-16 09:03:59+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Do not like	Russ Jennings	2021-11-16 09:02:19+00
Linden	Dislike	Do not like	Russ Jennings	2021-11-16 09:01:02+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Do not like	Russ Jennings	2021-11-16 08:58:46+00

		I am disappointed in all the senate maps offered. I would prefer Jackson County to be with counties to the south or west of Jackson County. I feel those counties have more communities of interest values. If I need to choose		
Palm	Like	Palm is the best choice.	Russ Jennings	2021-11-16 08:48:41+00
Lange Senate correct	Like	Like putting Cannon Township with Rockford	Micah McFarlane	2021-11-16 05:33:18+00
		Love this district 3! Keeps pew campus and Allendale campus of GV together plus Rockford with Grand Rapids and Muskegon with Grand Haven. You identified a key divide as Algoma Township belongs with rural Michigan.		
Chestnut	Like	Great job!	Micah McFarlane	2021-11-16 05:28:20+00

Szetela House Dislike The Szetela State House map does not keep the counties whole. It instead combines the eastern portion of Jackson County with Washtenaw County. Please do not adopt this map. The alternate Pine State House map is the better map to go with please. Thank you. Doug Swartz 2021-11-16 05:09:11+00

Hickory Dislike The Hickory State House map does not keep the counties whole. It instead combines the eastern portion of Jackson County with Washtenaw County. Magnolia and this map were the worst for Jackson County. Please do not adopt this map. The alternate Pine State House map is the better map to go with please. Thank you. Doug Swartz 2021-11-16 05:07:05+00

Magnolia	Dislike	<p>The Magnolia State House map does not keep the counties whole. It instead combines the eastern portion of Jackson County with Washtenaw County. Hickory and this map were the worst for Jackson County. Please do not adopt this map. The alternate Pine State House map is the better map to go with please. Thank you.</p>	Doug Swartz	2021-11-16 05:04:55+00
Pine v5	Like	<p>The Pine V5 State House map is the better map as it keeps the community of interest of counties mostly togetherâ€¦ though none of these 4 are very helpful for rural Jackson County as eastern portions of each are combined with the urban area of Washtenaw County. I am saddened to see these maps were not done with more fairness that would have allowed rural Jackson County more reasonable House representation.</p>	Doug Swartz	2021-11-16 05:02:49+00

The Szetela State Senate map combines rural areas of Jackson County way too much with the urban area of Washtenaw County. I am disappointed to see how unfair these map options are for those of us living here in Jackson County. This map appears to have been designed to drown out our rural Jackson voice as the Senate representatives would be too busy bending to the specialized needs, demands, and ultimately "dollars" of Washtenaw County. Please do not adopt this map. The alternate Palm State Senate is the better choice. Thank you. Doug Swartz

Szetela Senate

Dislike

2021-11-16 05:00:42+00

The Lange State Senate map combines rural areas of Jackson County way too much with the urban area of Washtenaw County. I am disappointed to see how unfair these map options are for those of us living here in Jackson County. This map appears to have been designed to drown out our rural Jackson voice as the Senate representatives would be too busy bending to the specialized needs, demands, and ultimately "dollars" of Washtenaw County. Please do not adopt this map. The alternate Palm State Senate is the better choice. Thank you. Doug Swartz

Lange Senate correct Dislike

2021-11-16 04:57:41+00

The Kellom State Senate map combines rural areas of Jackson County way too much with the urban area of Washtenaw County. I am disappointed to see how unfair these map options are for those of us living here in Jackson County. This map appears to have been designed to drown out our rural Jackson voice as the Senate representatives would be too busy bending to the specialized needs, demands, and ultimately "dollars" of Washtenaw County. Please do not adopt this map. The alternate Palm State Senate is the better choice. Thank you. Doug Swartz

Kellom Senate

Dislike

2021-11-16 04:55:07+00

The Linden State Senate map combines rural areas of Jackson County way too much with the urban area of Washtenaw County. I am disappointed to see how unfair these map options are for those of us living here in Jackson County. This map appears to have been designed to drown out our rural Jackson voice as the Senate representatives would be too busy bending to the specialized needs, demands, and ultimately "dollars" of Washtenaw County. Please do not adopt this map. The alternate Palm State Senate is the better choice. Thank you.

Linden

Dislike

Doug Swartz

2021-11-16 04:53:16+00

I like the Palm State Senate map as the better of the 6 options. Though, I am saddened to see these maps were not done with more fairness that would have allowed rural Jackson County residents more reasonable Senate representation. Please adopt the Palm State Senate map. Thank you.

Palm

Like

Doug Swartz

2021-11-16 04:51:04+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>The Cherry V2 State Senate map combines rural areas of Jackson County way too much with the urban area of Washtenaw County. I am disappointed to see how unfair these map options are for those of us living here in Jackson County. This map appears to have been designed to drown out our rural Jackson voice as the Senate representatives would be too busy bending to the specialized needs, demands, and ultimately "dollars" of Washtenaw County. Please do not adopt this map. The alternate Palm State Senate is the better choice. Thank you.</p> <p>The Szetela congressional map does not keep the counties whole. It instead combines the eastern portion of Jackson County with Washtenaw County. Please do not adopt this map. The alternate Chestnut congressional map keeps the counties more whole and as such is the best option. Thank you.</p>	Doug Swartz	2021-11-16 04:47:00+00
Szetela Congressional Dislike			Doug Swartz	2021-11-16 04:43:25+00

The Lange congressional map does not keep the counties whole and appears the least reasonable for rural Jackson County of all the 5 options. It not only combines the eastern portion of Jackson County with Washtenaw County but also combines the northern portion of Jackson County with Ingham County. Please do not adopt this map. The alternate Chestnut congressional map keeps the counties more whole and as such is the best option. Thank you.

Lange Congressional Dislike

Doug Swartz

2021-11-16 04:40:06+00

The Apple V2 congressional map does not keep the counties whole. It instead combines the eastern portion of Jackson County with Washtenaw County. The alternate Chestnut congressional map keeps the counties more whole and as such is the best option. Please do not adopt this map. Thank you.

Apple V2

Dislike

Doug Swartz

2021-11-16 04:37:56+00

Birch V2	Dislike	The Birch V2 congressional map does not keep the counties whole. It instead combines the eastern portion of Jackson County with Washtenaw County. Please do not adopt this map. The alternate Chestnut congressional map keeps the counties more whole and as such is the best option. Thank you.	Doug Swartz	2021-11-16 04:32:24+00
Chestnut	Like	The Chestnut congressional map a fair map as it keeps the "community of interest" of counties mostly together. I like this map because it has the best overall partisan fairness. But in region 8 the Chestnut map does a better job of keeping counties	Doug Swartz	2021-11-16 04:24:31+00
Apple V2	Like	whole.	Molly Morrissey	2021-11-16 04:07:25+00
Palm	Like	I like the Chestnut Congressional map because it keeps Jackson County whole. Jackson County is mostly rural and has different needs than more densely populated counties like Washtenaw.	Rebecca Bertram	2021-11-16 04:05:46+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>I like the Chestnut Congressional map because it keeps Jackson County whole. Jackson County has different needs than more densely populated counties like Washtenaw.</p> <p>I like this map because it has better overall partisan fairness. But in region 8 the Chestnut map does a better job of keeping counties whole.</p>	Rebecca Bertram	2021-11-16 04:02:55+00
Birch V2	Like		Molly Morrissey	2021-11-16 04:00:27+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>You must recognize the historic imbalance of putting Detroit communities in a district with Livonia. With this map, it seems a like a great possibility that interests of Detroiters will be ignored.</p> <p>None of these maps are good.</p>	Denzel A McCampbell	2021-11-16 03:59:49+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>You must recognize the historic imbalance of putting Detroit communities in a district with Livonia. With this map, it seems a like a great possibility that interests of Detroiters will be ignored.</p> <p>This is not a good map.</p>	Denzel A McCampbell	2021-11-16 03:58:40+00

Hickory	Dislike	<p>You must recognize the historic imbalance of putting Detroit communities in a district with Livonia. With this map, it seems a like a great possibility that interests of Detroiters will be ignored.</p> <p>This is not a good map.</p>	Denzel A McCampbell	2021-11-16 03:58:03+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>You must recognize the historic imbalance of putting Detroit communities in a district with Livonia. With this map, it seems a like a great possibility that interests of Detroiters will be ignored.</p> <p>This map is good because it keeps Midland with other similar size cities, even though it still leans Republican. I would really like to see the partisan fairness scores improved. This map also keeps most of Midland County in it, and makes it possible for either party to win. It is not perfect but is the one that best balances partisan fairness, communities of interest, and keeping areas intact that have similar manufacturing interests.</p>	Denzel McCampbell	2021-11-16 03:56:35+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>interests.</p>	Molly Morrissey	2021-11-16 03:49:06+00

Palm	Like	<p>The Palm map is better suited for the people of Jackson County, keeping the county as one unit. We have a lot more similar interests with neighboring Calhoun and Hillsdale counties than with the Ann Arbor area of eastern Washtenaw County. The Palm map is the best out of the rest.</p>	Roger Downey	2021-11-16 03:11:27+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>I don't agree with this map. You have gerrymandered our little county to get your "correct" number of voters at the cost of splitting communities of interest previously submitted. This violates the constitutional rules the committee agreed to abide by.</p>	Kristine Yeutter	2021-11-16 03:02:07+00

You have split our county (Lenawee) into four house districts. This will be a nightmare for elections and our county clerk. This undermines the principle of communities of interest when you take small portions of our county and place them with other counties. This is especially true when you place townships in our rural northeast with metropolitan Washtenaw County. This map looks more gerrymandered than when the House did the redistricting, I don't agree with this map. You have gerrymandered our little county to get your "correct" number of voters at the cost of splitting communities of interest previously submitted. This violates the constitutional rules the committee agreed to abide by.

Szetela House

Dislike

JEFFREY YEUTTER

2021-11-16 03:01:11+00

Hickory

Dislike

Kristine Yeutter

2021-11-16 03:00:50+00

Hickory	Dislike	<p>You have split our county (Lenawee) into four house districts. This will be a nightmare for elections and our county clerk. This undermines the principle of communities of interest when you take small portions of our county and place them with other counties. This is especially true when you place townships in our rural northeast with metropolitan Washtenaw County. This map looks more gerrymandered than when the House did the redistricting,</p> <p>I don't agree with this map. You have gerrymandered our little county to get your "correct" number of voters at the cost of splitting communities of interest previously submitted. This violates the constitutional rules the committee agreed</p>	JEFFREY YEUTTER	2021-11-16 03:00:09+00
Magnolia	Dislike	to abide by.	Kristine Yeutter	2021-11-16 02:59:28+00
Chestnut	Like	Like	Tamara Reed	2021-11-16 02:58:59+00

Magnolia	Dislike	<p>You have split our county (Lenawee) into four house districts. This will be a nightmare for elections and our county clerk. This undermines the principle of communities of interest when you take small portions of our county and place them with other counties. This is especially true when you place townships in our rural northeast with metropolitan Washtenaw County. This map looks more gerrymandered than when the House did the redistricting,</p> <p>I don't agree with this map. You have gerrymandered our little county to get your "correct" number of voters at the cost of splitting communities of interest previously submitted. This violates the constitutional rules the committee agreed to abide by.</p>	JEFFREY YEUTTER	2021-11-16 02:58:29+00
Pine v5	Dislike		Kristine Yeutter	2021-11-16 02:57:19+00

		The west coast of Michigan should be kept together as much as possible so one representative can have the complete picture of the needs		
Chestnut	Dislike	of the area.	Kevin Smith	2021-11-16 02:56:22+00
Chestnut	Like	Pin on map	Tamara Reed	2021-11-16 02:55:43+00
		You have split our county (Lenawee) into four house districts. This will be a nightmare for elections and our county clerk. This undermines the principle of communities of interest when you take small portions of our county and place them with other counties. This is especially true when you place townships in our rural northeast with metropolitan Washtenaw County. This map looks more gerrymandered than when the House did the		
Pine v5	Dislike	redistricting, Secord Twp should be with the same grouping as Midland. They are tied	JEFFREY YEUTTER	2021-11-16 02:55:34+00
Chestnut	Dislike	together by many interests.	Kevin Smith	2021-11-16 02:52:57+00

in regard to the current state  
House Pine map: I am  
dumbfounded by the  
deliberate dissection of  
counties that should remain  
intact. Allegan county (120K)  
is being divided into SIX  
districts. Lenawee County  
(99k) is being divided into  
FOUR districts. I realize that  
those counties need to have a  
portion of their constituents  
in a separate district but this  
is beyond RIDICULOUS.

As for districts 11-19 (among  
others)... what would possess  
you to think it's a good idea to  
cross county lines when there  
is no justification to do so? In  
both of these examples how  
can any representative  
effectively represent their  
constituents? You are literally  
breaking up communities for  
the sake of partisanship which  
results in serious  
gerrymandering. If that's  
what this commission was

Pine v5

Dislike

tasked to do, then I want my jill adams

2021-11-16 02:52:16+00

You cannot put a Midland  
County, which is still  
recovering with the help of  
the Four Lakes Task Force, in  
with Flint. These are not

Chestnut

Dislike

communities of interest. Kevin Smith

2021-11-16 02:51:48+00

Chestnut	Like	Like This is the best map out of the options for state representative. It would be better to keep Jackson county whole. That way our community is accurately represented. Since Jackson county has a smaller population than Washtenaw county itâ€™s puzzling how we can go from 2 to 4	Tamara Reed	2021-11-16 02:50:33+00
Magnolia	Like	representatives.  This map is good, it keeps Jackson County and whole. It allows Jackson to have representation that is from the community and understands the community. Instead of someone from a more densely populated area who wouldnâ€™t know us or	Jennifer Hauglie	2021-11-16 02:49:41+00
Palm	Like	understand us. Keep this map.	Jennifer Hauglie	2021-11-16 02:44:35+00
Palm	Like	This map is the ONLY map that doesn't crack and split Jackson county for clearly partisan reasons. These areas are very much communities of interest especially with the dam issue around the 4 Lakes impacted	Jeffrey	2021-11-16 02:41:28+00
Birch V2	Like	by the May 2020 floods.	Kevin Smith	2021-11-16 02:34:29+00

		This really does keep like minded voters together.		
Birch V2	Like	Good map for this.	Kevin Smith	2021-11-16 02:29:29+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	This map needlessly divides up parts of Jackson county.	Jeffrey	2021-11-16 02:28:25+00
Lange Congressional	Like	This map needlessly divides up parts of Jackson county.	Jeffrey	2021-11-16 02:27:49+00
Birch V2	Like	These areas are definitely related! Good set up!	Kevin Smith	2021-11-16 02:27:47+00
Apple V2	Like	This map needlessly divides up parts of Jackson county.	Jeffrey	2021-11-16 02:26:57+00
Birch V2	Like	This map needlessly divides up parts of Jackson county.	Jeffrey	2021-11-16 02:26:30+00
		Thank you for keeping Jackson whole, reviewing other maps rural townships were needlessly taken out in those maps. Then other small townships were added. This is the only that divides things up in a way that makes sense.	Jeffrey	2021-11-16 02:24:43+00
Chestnut	Like	This is the best map to keep Jackson county whole. Since Jackson is not as densely populated as Washtenaw is our views will be different.	Jennifer Hauglie	2021-11-16 02:22:13+00
Chestnut	Like	This map looks fair for our area- Wyandotte and Southgate should be included in a community of interest with downriver and this		
Birch V2	Like	accomplishes that.	Judith Maiga	2021-11-16 01:48:49+00

Chestnut	Dislike	<p>Wyandotte and Southgate belong with Trenton, Grosse Ile and other downriver communities. We share a river, police and fire, and concerns that our congressperson has always addressed together.</p> <p>So District 6 is going to span 4 or 5 cities 2 counties? How stupid can you get, I thought the whole idea of this is to keep from gerrymandering yet this is exactly that.</p> <p>Simply combine Eastpointe 32,347 and St. Clair Shores 59,409 and you have a population size of 91,746 nice and simple 2 cities and one county HMMM</p>	Judy Maiga	2021-11-16 01:37:03+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike		Brian Hakola	2021-11-16 01:31:49+00
Szetela House	Like	<p>I like the Szetela State House map the best. It keeps more of Jackson county whole and keeps Waterloo Township in Jackson County. Please adopt this Szetela State House map. It does a much better job of satisfying the communities of interest™ objective for the County of Jackson. Thank you.</p>	Patrice Johnson	2021-11-16 01:25:47+00

Palm	Like	<p>This Palm map is fair and acceptable. It keeps counties and communities of interest together. Best map to keep community of interest Jackson County together.</p>	Patrice Johnson	2021-11-16 01:12:11+00
Hickory	Like	<p>I think this map is okay. It does improve bipartisanship and reduces gerrymandering. It is aligning the city of Jackson more with Ann Arbor and the farmland of the area more with the farming areas. It seems to be a good step in the right direction of equal representation.</p>	Kimberly Mulvihill	2021-11-16 00:47:20+00
Linden	Like	<p>I think this is a mostly bipartisan map with more equal representation. Jackson is more closely aligned with Ann Arbor. It separates from the rural / farm from the city/ urban areas.</p>	Kimberly Mulvihill	2021-11-16 00:39:31+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>This is a horrible map. It splits counties apart and "unpacks" Ann Arbor, which is simply a code word for gerrymandering for partisan purposes. Chestnut is a much fairer map.</p>	Patrice Johnson	2021-11-16 00:39:13+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>Terrible map. Don't go there.</p>	Laurent Chappuis	2021-11-16 00:31:10+00

I do not like the Birch V2 congressional map because it does not keep Jackson County whole. It combines the eastern portion of Jackson County, including Waterloo Township, with Washtenaw county. Waterloo Township is a very rural community with no city water, no city sewer, no broadband, and no public transportation. Ann Arbor has all of these things. If this map were to be used, Waterloo Township would not have a voice in Congress. Please don't adopt this map. The Chestnut map is much better. Thank you.

Birch V2	Dislike		Bill Richardson	2021-11-16 00:23:03+00
Birch V2	Like	This map looks good to me.	Laurent Chappuis	2021-11-16 00:20:25+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Southgate and Wyandotte are part of the downriver community, Grosse Ile has nothing in common with the Western part of the proposed district. We want to be together with Wyandotte, Southgate and the rest of the downriver area.	Laurent Chappuis	2021-11-16 00:17:49+00

Stop splitting Jackson County up. We are a unique area and work well together. We need fair representation and issues that the other areas do not share with us. LEAVE JACKSON WHOLE. Please stop mixing us with communities that we have nothing in common. We are rural and small city people with very specific needs and wants. If you continue on this wave, you will completely stifle our voices. I say NO I do not like the Apple V2 congressional map. It does not keep counties whole. It combines the eastern portion of Jackson County, including Waterloo Township, with Washtenaw county. Chestnut is a much fairer map. Please do not adopt this map. Thank you.

Pine v5

Dislike

Vicky Kay Gorsuch

2021-11-16 00:12:36+00

Apple V2

Dislike

Patrice Johnson

2021-11-16 00:00:54+00

I do not like the Birch V2 congressional map. It does not keep Jackson County whole. It combines the eastern portion of Jackson County, including Waterloo Township, with Washtenaw county. Waterloo Township is a very rural community with no city water, no city sewer, no broadband, and no public transportation. Ann Arbor has all of these things. If this map were to be used, Waterloo Township would not have a voice in Congress. Please don't adopt this map. The Chestnut map is much better. Thank you.

Birch V2	Dislike		Patrice Johnson	2021-11-15 23:22:46+00
Chestnut	Like	Chestnut is a fair map as it keeps the community of interest of counties mostly together.	Patrice Johnson	2021-11-15 22:45:36+00
Szetela House	Dislike	This map fails criterion d, no disproportionate advantage to any political party. Please ensure that the State House maps distribute representatives in line line the parties' statewide vote.	Jonathan Levine	2021-11-15 21:38:52+00

Hickory	Dislike	<p>This map fails criterion d, no disproportionate advantage to any political party. Please ensure that the State House maps distribute representatives in line line the parties' statewide vote.</p> <p>This map fails criterion d, no disproportionate advantage to any political party. Please ensure that the State House maps distribute representatives in line line the parties' statewide vote.</p>	Jonathan Levine	2021-11-15 21:37:06+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>This map fails criterion d, no disproportionate advantage to any political party. Please ensure that the State House maps distribute representatives in line line the parties' statewide vote.</p> <p>This map fails criterion d, no disproportionate advantage to any political party. Please ensure that the State House maps distribute representatives in line line the parties' statewide vote.</p>	Jonathan Levine	2021-11-15 21:35:28+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>This map does a reasonable job with criterion c, communities of interest, and a reasonable job with criterion d, no partisan advantage.</p> <p>This map does a reasonable job with criterion c, communities of interest, and a reasonable job with criterion d, no partisan advantage.</p>	Jonathan Levine	2021-11-15 21:34:47+00
Szetela Senate	Like	<p>This map does a reasonable job with criterion c, communities of interest, and a reasonable job with criterion d, no partisan advantage.</p> <p>This map does a reasonable job with criterion c, communities of interest, and a reasonable job with criterion d, no partisan advantage.</p>	Jonathan Levine	2021-11-15 21:30:34+00
Kellom Senate	Like	<p>This map does a reasonable job with criterion c, communities of interest, and a reasonable job with criterion d, no partisan advantage.</p> <p>This map does a reasonable job with criterion c, communities of interest, and a reasonable job with criterion d, no partisan advantage.</p>	Jonathan Levine	2021-11-15 21:28:21+00

Linden	Like	This map does an excellent job with criterion c, communities of interest, and with criterion d, no disproportionate advantage.	Jonathan Levine	2021-11-15 21:26:53+00
Szetela House	Like	This map does a better job than Pine for Jackson County as a whole but doesn't connect with Washtenaw. We don't have the same interests.	Jackie Leslie	2021-11-15 21:23:57+00
Chestnut	Like	Chestnut does a good job with criterion d, no disproportionate advantage, and a reasonably good job with criterion c, communities of interest.	Jonathan Levine	2021-11-15 21:19:56+00
Hickory	Dislike	Not a good map for Jackson County	Jackie Leslie	2021-11-15 21:18:27+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map is not good for Jackson County	Jackie Leslie	2021-11-15 21:14:45+00
Birch V2	Like	Birch V2 does a good job on criterion c, communities of interest, and criterion d, no proportionate advantage or disadvantage to political party. It is the best of the Congressional maps.	Jonathan Levine	2021-11-15 21:14:27+00
Pine v5	Like	This Pine map will best represent Jackson County whole	Jackie Leslie	2021-11-15 21:09:11+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	This map does not represent Jackson County in a good way	Jackie Leslie	2021-11-15 21:04:07+00

Lange Senate correct	Dislike	This map is just not good for Jackson County	Jackie Leslie	2021-11-15 20:59:49+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	This map keeps our neighboring communities together. I like it.	Paige E Green	2021-11-15 20:54:45+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This map is not good for Jackson County as a whole	Jackie Leslie	2021-11-15 20:54:23+00
Palm	Like	Well put together, it highlights communities of interest not just in my district, but across the state.	Paige E Green	2021-11-15 20:51:03+00
Linden	Dislike	Not best map for Jackson County	Jackie Leslie	2021-11-15 20:43:39+00
Chestnut	Like	This map makes sense in that it keeps communities together as much as possible. That's important, and I think will best allow every citizen a say in our government.	Paige E Green	2021-11-15 20:40:52+00
Palm	Like	This Palm map is good for keeping Jackson County whole	Jackie Leslie	2021-11-15 20:38:35+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This is not good for Jackson County as a whole.	Jackie Leslie	2021-11-15 20:33:07+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Not a good map for Jackson County	Jackie Leslie	2021-11-15 20:26:44+00

As with the other individual map, this map pushes Troy with communities too far to the east to be able to provide fair representation. Troy and Rochester should not be with Eastpointe, Fraser, Roseville, St. Clair shores. There are no COIs between the Oakland County jurisdictions here and the eastern jurisdictions of Macomb County making representation not very representative. Keep Oakland County communities within Oakland County.

Szetela Congressional Dislike

Laurie E.

2021-11-15 20:26:16+00

The palm map is unacceptable. It is clearly partisan gerrymandered. That is exactly why we voted to change the way we drew the lines.

Palm

Dislike

Randy White

2021-11-15 20:25:30+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	Chestnut remains the most fairly representative congressional map. This map pushes Troy with communities too far to the east to be able to provide fair representation. There are no COIs between the Oakland County jurisdictions here and the eastern jurisdictions of Macomb County.	Laurie E	2021-11-15 20:23:34+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This is not a good map for keeping Jackson County whole. This a very undesirable map for the city of Troy and the Oakland County cities that are also included in it. It pushes way too far east and north. It's even worse than Birch v2. Troy should not be divided across counties and it should be included with its Oakland County COIs to the west and south.	Jackie Leslie	2021-11-15 20:22:29+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map is not good for farming areas.	Laurie E.	2021-11-15 20:20:27+00
Apple V2	Dislike		Jackie Leslie	2021-11-15 20:17:52+00

This splits the entire East Side,  
home of the Allen  
neighborhood Center and  
very involved Neighborhood  
organization and residents  
out of Lansing and puts them  
into E Lansing/Meridian  
where there is no community  
of interest with the EastSide.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Richard Baker

2021-11-15 20:16:23+00

This map joins Troy with communities way too far to the east, communities with which Troy has really nothing in common. I agree completely with the earlier comment that placing Troy (and Rochester) with Eastpointe and Roseville makes no sense and would be a near impossible district for a representative to actually represent given the differences in the communities. It is good to bring Troy, Rochester, Clawson, Royal Oak together, but it makes no sense to push so far east with this district. The Oakland County jurisdictions belong with communities more to the west and south than the east and north. This map should not remain in consideration.

Birch V2

Dislike

Laurie E.

2021-11-15 20:14:44+00

This is the best of the congressional maps for our city and the surrounding communities. Thank you for working to keep Troy whole, as it is a very important COI on its own. And, it is important to keep Troy within Oakland County, as these are the jurisdictions with which we have the most economic, recreational, and educational interactions. I agree with the comment that it would be even better to pull Rochester/Rochester Hills into the district, but also understand the considerations under which you all are working. This is by far the best congressional map being offered.

Chestnut	Like	Laurie E.	2021-11-15 20:09:52+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Richard Baker	2021-11-15 20:09:05+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Jackie Leslie	2021-11-15 20:08:40+00

This splits the entire East side of Lansing from the city. Its integral to the city, not to East Lansing, Meridian et al. This map not good for Waterloo and Grass Lake communities.

Chestnut	Like	I like the Chestnut Congressional map because it keeps Jackson County whole. Jackson County is mostly rural and has different needs than the more densely populated counties like Washtenaw.	Jacqueline Leslie	2021-11-15 19:50:39+00
Magnolia	Opinion	I don't care much for any of the house senate maps for Ann Arbor but this is the least objectionable. The other maps cut Ann Arbor into too many separate districts; it looks too much like cracking to me. And all the proposed districts for west Ann Arbor include too many areas too far west of AA which don't have much in common with us. Having said all this, I want to commend all of you for putting in the time to do this. I tried creating just 1 of these maps myself and it was extremely difficult!	Karen Land	2021-11-15 19:49:09+00
Magnolia	Like	Bad map	Donald	2021-11-15 19:37:39+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	Ann Arbor has no common interests with Jackson Co.	Donald	2021-11-15 19:35:30+00

Chestnut	Like	I like the Chestnut Congressional map because it keeps Jackson County whole. Jackson County is mostly rural and has different needs than more densely populated counties like Washtenaw.	Bill Richardson	2021-11-15 19:34:04+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	Ann Arbor has no common interests with Jackson Keep Ann Arbor/Washtenaw	Donald	2021-11-15 19:33:41+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	separate from Jackson Co.	Donald	2021-11-15 19:32:09+00
Linden	Dislike	Not the best	Donald	2021-11-15 19:29:52+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	This doesn't make any sense for Ann Arbor and immediately surrounding areas. Goes way too far to the west. And the deviation seems way off to me.	Karen Land	2021-11-15 19:26:18+00
Palm	Like	Best map for Jackson Co. community of interest	Donald	2021-11-15 19:20:11+00
Palm	Dislike	This is the definition of packing for Ann Arbor.	Karen Land	2021-11-15 19:20:02+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Don't split up North and South Jackson Co. Ridiculous!	Donald	2021-11-15 19:14:01+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	Unpack is code for gerrymandering.	merlin steffes	2021-11-15 19:10:42+00
Chestnut	Opinion	Of the 3 maps, I believe the Chestnut is the fairest for Ann Arbor and Washtenaw Co.	Karen Land	2021-11-15 19:09:49+00
Chestnut	Like	Best map	Donald	2021-11-15 19:09:40+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	Bad map. Keep Jackson with the rest of the county!	Donald	2021-11-15 19:07:34+00
		This map is better than some, but you keep trying to bring Washtenaw County into Jackson County. We want to keep our Jackson Co.		
Szetela House	Opinion	together.	Jennifer Biddinger	2021-11-15 19:00:06+00

None of the State House maps achieve the partisan fairness scores they should because they all lean in favor of Republicans. Every district drawn for the Midland House is heavily biased in favor of Republicans instead of giving the area a competitive district. If I have to choose between the 3, the Hickory map is the most fair. Even if I'm unable to have a competitive district for Midland, I want the rest of the state to have a good map. Hickory keeps all the alterations made to the Detroit area to increase majority-minority districts and improve the representation of COI's in that area, and also has the lowest lopsided margin and efficiency gap numbers. Hickory is the best map of the 3 for the state.

Hickory

Like

Jennifer Austin

2021-11-15 18:45:02+00

Hickory	Dislike	<p>The I-94 corridor is not a community! It is an interstate Hwy that connects the community of Jackson to the community of Ann Arbor. We do not have common interests with Ann Arbor.</p> <p>This map is terrible for fair representation in Jackson Co. They poorly split up the main communities into four districts with other communities for obvious political reasons.</p>	Jennifer Biddinger	2021-11-15 18:44:55+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>This is a poorly drawn map for contiguous areas. Please keep Jackson County areas together for fair representation.</p>	Jennifer Biddinger	2021-11-15 18:41:09+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>We have no community of interest with Ann Arbor. We do have community interests with communities to the west and south. Please be fair with our Jackson Co. representation!</p>	Jennifer Biddinger	2021-11-15 18:33:45+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike		Jennifer Biddinger	2021-11-15 18:29:55+00

Linden	Like	<p>I support the Linden State Senate Map. All 3 maps have drawn the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) district that I support, but Linden has the lowest efficiency gap score and the lowest lopsided margin. Even though these scores are still in favor of Republicans and not where they should be, if I am choosing between the 3 maps, Linden is the one that gives Michiganders the best chance to elect representatives that will represent them and not a political party. Thank you for listening and giving the people of Mid-Michigan fair districts.</p>	Jennifer Austin	2021-11-15 18:27:07+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	<p>This map is obvious that you want to "unpack" the liberal voters in Ann Arbor into our Jackson County!</p>	Jennifer Biddinger	2021-11-15 18:22:52+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>I like how this map holds Washtenaw County together and it keeps to largely township or city boundaries. Areas like Canton that share some public transit and can be oriented towards AA-Ypsi metro area seem to make sense lumped with Washtenaw as the lines need to be drawn somewhere in Wayne County due to their larger population.</p> <p>PALM is a better map, which includes our western communities in Jackson Co. We DO NOT HAVE COMMON INTERESTS WITH ANN ARBOR. Please give us fair</p>	Ashley Fox	2021-11-15 18:22:00+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	<p>representation!</p>	Jennifer Biddinger	2021-11-15 18:20:32+00
Linden	Dislike	<p>Although this keeps most of Jackson Co. together, PALM is a better map which includes our western county, NOT Washtenaw Co., which we do not have common interests. I believe this is the only map that keeps our Jackson Co community of interest. PLEASE honor our request to allow Jackson Co. to be best</p>	Jennifer Biddinger	2021-11-15 18:17:54+00
Palm	Like	<p>represented.</p>	Jennifer Biddinger	2021-11-15 18:14:01+00

		It is obvious what you are doing by splitting up three different counties to create new districts! Let Jackson Co. have our district, and Washtenaw Co. have theirs.		
Cherry V2	Dislike	No common interests This is the better of the 2 maps presented. It mostly keeps our Jackson County community united. Would like to include our valued Waterloo and Grass Lake communities, though!	Jennifer Biddinger	2021-11-15 18:10:07+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	This map is horrible. Splitting up our Jackson County community into 3 awkward districts? No, thank you.	Jennifer Biddinger	2021-11-15 18:05:07+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This map removes our valued Waterloo and Grass Lake communities.	Jennifer Biddinger	2021-11-15 18:01:56+00
Apple V2	Dislike	I think district 6 rightfully represents communities of interest within a 30-minute drive. I don't know why it cuts Detroit and branches all the way to the boarder, seems like it's more for population distribution rather than community.	Jennifer Biddinger	2021-11-15 17:58:09+00
Chestnut	Opinion	This map removes our valued Waterloo and Grass Lake communities.	Macy Arnett	2021-11-15 17:56:13+00
Birch V2	Dislike		Jennifer Biddinger	2021-11-15 17:50:16+00

This map seems mostly fair, as the population sizes in each district are similar and shaped normally.

Communities of interest are mostly represented. I think that District 15, where I am from, is one of the most interestingly drawn districts. The city of Ann Arbor is split up, and grouped with cities that have different needs, such as Jackson county.

Cherry V2

Opinion

E. Woodman

2021-11-15 17:44:39+00

I support the Chestnut Congressional Map because it has the lowest Efficiency Gap number at 0.6% and the lowest lopsided margin at 4% in favor of Republicans.

Though I would like to see the partisan fairness scores improved, if I have to choose between 3 imperfect maps I choose Chestnut. It has the best congressional district drawn for Midland because it keeps the Tri-Cities and Flint together, keeps the other counties whole, keeps most of Midland County in it as well, and makes it possible for either party to win. This is a good example of balancing partisan fairness, communities of interest and keeping areas intact that have long associated with each other like the Tri-Cities and Mid-Michigan.

Chestnut	Like	Jennifer Austin	2021-11-15 17:42:56+00
Chestnut	Like	Jennifer Biddinger	2021-11-15 17:34:33+00
Lange Congressional	Like	Cindy Johnston	2021-11-15 16:43:32+00

This is the best map for keeping our county and communities of interest together! Thank you. Please stop the Redistricting, as this is Crooked, and Unconstitutional!

Palm	Dislike	1 n/a		2021-11-15 16:22:46+00
			Regarding the proposed change to the House 104th. Please note that this map cuts current township jurisdictions into pieces represented by multiple House seats. It is unfortunate that the drafter feels that this is a viable	
Pine v5	Opinion		Susanne Courtade	2021-11-15 14:18:20+00
			option. This map, especially in my area, will allow the residents with the most common interests along the lakeshore to be represented appropriately in Lansing. I thank the commission for listening to the many citizens that encouraged such a lakeshore community of interest to be represented in	
Hickory	Like		Rick L Catherman	2021-11-15 14:04:14+00
			this manner. This seems to be the most gerrymandered of all of the proposed Senate maps, and will not allow all residents to	
Palm	Dislike		Rick L Catherman	2021-11-15 14:02:07+00
			be represented fairly. This map seems to be the least biased map, and although not ideal, this Senate maps would seem to	
Cherry V2	Like		Rick L Catherman	2021-11-15 14:00:20+00
			serve the residents of my area in the most fair way.	

Pine v5	Dislike	Allegan County belongs together. I do NOT agree with the carving out of the lakeshore. This does not represent our community, and appears to be for explicit, partisan reasons. Preserve and respect geographic boundaries, specifically by keeping county lines.	Allison L Brink	2021-11-15 13:21:37+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Allegan County belongs together. I do NOT agree with the carving out of the lakeshore. This does not represent our community, and appears to be for explicit, partisan reasons. Preserve and respect geographic boundaries, specifically by keeping county lines.	Allison L Brink	2021-11-15 13:20:52+00
Hickory	Dislike	Allegan County belongs together. I do NOT agree with the carving out of the lakeshore. This does not represent our community, and appears to be for explicit, partisan reasons. Preserve and respect geographic boundaries, specifically by keeping county lines.	Allison L Brink	2021-11-15 13:20:27+00

Magnolia	Dislike	<p>Allegan County belongs together. I do NOT agree with the carving out of the lakeshore. This does not represent our community, and appears to be for explicit, partisan reasons. Preserve and respect geographic boundaries, specifically by keeping county lines.</p> <p>This map makes no sense for Northville Township. Splitting Northville down the middle, separating 1/2 of Northville Twp from the city of Northville. We are one community of interest. Keep Northville Twp and Northville</p>	Allison L Brink	2021-11-15 13:15:28+00
Pine v5	Dislike	City as one.	Roger Lundberg	2021-11-15 11:04:29+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Gerrymandered garbage.	merlin steffes	2021-11-15 04:00:59+00

Cass County has just received a raw deal on all these maps. Dowagiac is the only city in the county. This map carves it out and separates it from the community college in the county that is located just outside the city limits; it separates the elder housing for the Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians from the land that houses their administrative tribal headquarters--it's just a travesty.

- District 1
- District 2
- District 3
- District 4
- District 5
- District 6
- District 7
- District 8
- District 9
- District 10
- District 11
- District 12
- District 13

Hickory

Dislike

Naomi Ludman

2021-11-15 03:58:16+00

Cass County has just received a raw deal on all these maps. Dowagiac is the only city in the county. This map carves it out and separates it from the community college in the county that is located just outside the city limits; it separates the elder housing for the Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians from the land that houses their administrative tribal headquarters--it's just a travesty.

Hickory

Opinion

Naomi Ludman

2021-11-15 03:57:18+00

starting from a large urban nucleus. A congressional district is 775,000. If the urban nucleus population requires more people then add surrounding urban cities. Only three counties have a population greater than 775,000 people so neighboring counties should be combined to form districts. Try to minimize breaking county boundaries. Very simply. Only becomes complicated when gerrymandering is attempted. If people with the same views wish to live next to each other then they should be in the same district. If people wish to "unpack" themselves then move out of the comfortable urban center to a area where the mall is 70 miles away. Yeah right they would say just make those rural people live under my urban rule instead is the reply.

Szetela Congressional Dislike

merlin steffes

2021-11-15 03:54:53+00

Palm

Dislike

This is a terrible map as it fails the test of partisan fairness.

Naomi Ludman

2021-11-15 03:47:39+00

		I don't like how far east this map goes as we in the Southwest corner are not connected to these eastern counties. However, this map does seem to be one of the		
Cherry V2	Like	least biased.	Naomi Ludman	2021-11-15 03:44:14+00
Linden	Like	This map is the least biased.	Naomi Ludman	2021-11-15 03:38:51+00
Linden	Like	This map appears to be the least biased.	Naomi Ludman	2021-11-15 03:36:50+00
Magnolia	Dislike	We must avoid dem packing into urban districts, especially in the statehouse	Bill Schultz	2021-11-15 03:04:07+00
		Keeping most of the Tri-cities together makes sense because I consider it a community. We already share funding and administration of an Airport (MBS) and a Community College(Delta). Economically, we also share many of the same interests, since the majority of the employers draw from the pool of talent living in this area		
Linden	Like	What a lovely map. It really captures most of the county well. All basically the same inside the boundaries with common interests and	Luis Mulford	2021-11-15 01:18:48+00
Hickory	Like	whatnot.	William Swift	2021-11-15 01:12:35+00

This pulls together many of the rural/urban mixed communities bound together by a common history and a common mixed rural/urban feel. While lots of farms and agricultural activities occur within it, the bedroom communities and commuter issues of the people are shared. Small though they may be they are linked together. Many of them with small to mid-sized industrial bases hearkening back to the original Henry Ford mills and factories which formed the basis for that original industrialization. This common bond should be recognized and honored and this map does that. Pulling these mixed communities of interest together for a

Linden

Like

common voice in Lansing.

William Swift

2021-11-15 01:07:11+00

This is a good map. Keeps Midland County whole and with our watershed neighbors. This map makes

Lange Congressional

Like

good common sense.

Mike Scott

2021-11-15 00:30:58+00

Chestnut	Dislike	The City of Midland belongs with the rest of Midland County. There is no justification for pulling it out other than gerrymandering. Keep the watershed of Midland and Gladwin counties whole and together.	Mike Scott	2021-11-15 00:28:34+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	The Szetela Congressional map is one of the best. It has done a good job respecting the communities of interest and providing evenly populated voting districts and allows for the majority of voters to be represented.	Abbie Egberman	2021-11-15 00:06:51+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	This map does not solve the issue of gerrymandering. The districts are being shifted based on politics rather than focusing first on the communities of interest that each area serves. Please keep Jackson County whole. I was present at the public hearings and heard the citizens of Jackson County provide solid testimony why this area needs to stay intact.	Barbara Dame	2021-11-14 23:58:43+00

Szetela House Dislike City folks don't understand the issues and needs of farming communities. This map lumps urban and rural areas together and this will leave farmers without a voice because there is such a lack of ties to agriculture today. Please keep Jackson County together in one district. I am a senior citizen and I rely on services in my community of Jackson. Thank you. Bernard Allore 2021-11-14 23:53:16+00

Hickory Dislike City folks don't understand the issues and needs of farming communities. This map lumps urban and rural areas together and this will leave farmers without a voice because there is such a lack of ties to agriculture today. Please keep Jackson County together in one district. I am a senior citizen and I rely on services in my community of Jackson. Thank you. Bernard Allore 2021-11-14 23:52:15+00

Magnolia Dislike City folks don't understand the issues and needs of farming communities. This map lumps urban and rural areas together and this will leave farmers without a voice because there is such a lack of ties to agriculture today. Please keep Jackson County together in one district. I am a senior citizen and I rely on services in my community of Jackson. Thank you. Bernard Allore 2021-11-14 23:50:50+00

Pine v5 Dislike City folks don't understand the issues and needs of farming communities. This map lumps urban and rural areas together and this will leave farmers without a voice because there is such a lack of ties to agriculture today. Please keep Jackson County together in one district. I am a senior citizen and I rely on services in my community of Jackson. Thank you. Bernard Allore 2021-11-14 23:49:36+00

City folks don't understand the issues and needs of farming communities. This map lumps urban and rural areas together and this will leave farmers without a voice because there is such a lack of ties to agriculture today. Please keep Jackson County together in one district. I am a senior citizen and I rely on services in my community of Jackson. Thank you.

Szetela Senate

Dislike

Bernard Allore

2021-11-14 23:47:22+00

City folks don't understand the issues and needs of farming communities. This map lumps urban and rural areas together and this will leave farmers without a voice because there is such a lack of ties to agriculture today. Please keep Jackson County together in one district. I am a senior citizen and I rely on services in my community of Jackson. Thank you.

Lange Senate correct Dislike

Bernard Allore

2021-11-14 23:44:30+00

City folks don't understand the issues and needs of farming communities. This map lumps urban and rural areas together and this will leave farmers without a voice because there is such a lack of ties to agriculture today. Please keep Jackson County together in one district. I am a senior citizen and I rely on services in my community of Jackson. Thank you.

Kellom Senate

Dislike

Bernard Allore

2021-11-14 23:42:54+00

City folks don't understand the issues and needs of farming communities. This map lumps urban and rural areas together and this will leave farmers without a voice because there is such a lack of ties to agriculture today. Please keep Jackson County together in one district. I am a senior citizen and I rely on services in my community of Jackson. Thank you.

Linden

Dislike

Bernard Allore

2021-11-14 23:41:15+00

Cherry V2 Dislike City folks don't understand the issues and needs of farming communities. This map lumps urban and rural areas together and this will leave farmers without a voice because there is such a lack of ties to agriculture today. Please keep Jackson County together in one district. I am a senior citizen and I rely on services in my community of Jackson. Thank you. Bernard Allore 2021-11-14 23:39:14+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike City folks don't understand the issues and needs of farming communities. This map lumps urban and rural areas together and this will leave farmers without a voice because there is such a lack of ties to agriculture today. Please keep Jackson County together in one district. I am a senior citizen and I rely on services in my community of Jackson. Thank you. Bernard Allore 2021-11-14 23:34:55+00

City folks don't understand the issues and needs of farming communities. This map lumps urban and rural areas together and this will leave farmers without a voice because there is such a lack of ties to agriculture today. Please keep Jackson County together in one district. I am a senior citizen and I rely on services in my community of Jackson. Thank you.

Lange Congressional Dislike

Bernard Allore

2021-11-14 23:33:25+00

City folks don't understand the issues and needs of farming communities. This map lumps urban and rural areas together and this will leave farmers without a voice because there is such a lack of ties to agriculture today. Please keep Jackson County together in one district. I am a senior citizen and I rely on services in my community of Jackson. Thank you.

Apple V2

Dislike

Bernard Allore

2021-11-14 23:25:43+00

Birch V2	Dislike	<p>City folks don't understand the issues and needs of farming communities. This map lumps urban and rural areas together and this will leave farmers without a voice because there is such a lack of ties to agriculture today. Please keep Jackson County together in one district. I am a senior citizen and I rely on services in my community of Jackson. Thank you.</p>	Bernard Allore	2021-11-14 23:17:10+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>I am a senior citizen in Jackson County and this map is a good representation of my community of interest. I rely on many services in the Jackson community and I am pleased to see this map keeps Jackson County together in one district. Thank you for your work on this project!</p>	Bernard Allore	2021-11-14 23:03:39+00

Palm	Like	I am a senior citizen in Jackson County and this map is a good representation of my community of interest. I rely on many services in the Jackson community and I am pleased to see this map keeps Jackson County together in one district. Thank you for your work on this project!	Bernard Allore	2021-11-14 22:55:02+00
		The Sztetela map offers fair voting districts and keeps more of the communities of interest together and complies with the voting rights act.		
Szetela Congressional	Like	This so much better than the current map.	Ricardo Estes	2021-11-14 22:42:15+00
		The House maps are ALL unfair. Please use your time to redo the House maps.		
Hickory	Opinion	Thank you	Lisa S Kamil	2021-11-14 22:03:46+00
Hickory	Opinion	None of the house maps are Politically fair. Please fix that.	Yalcin Yanikoglu	2021-11-14 21:55:11+00
Birch V2	Like	I like Birch V2	Yalcin Yanikoglu	2021-11-14 21:52:30+00

Szetela House	Dislike	<p>This maps splits up Jackson County and the community of interest it serves! Priority should be based on community of interest. This is partisan gerrymandering. Please remember that the law states that districts should not provide a disproportionate advantage for any political party.</p>	Barbara Dame	2021-11-14 21:14:03+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>This maps splits up Jackson County and the community of interest it serves! Priority should be based on community of interest. This is partisan gerrymandering. Please remember that the law states that districts should not provide a disproportionate advantage for any political party.</p>	Barbara Dame	2021-11-14 21:12:09+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>This maps splits up Jackson County and the community of interest it serves! Priority should be based on community of interest. This is partisan gerrymandering. Please remember that the law states that districts should not provide a disproportionate advantage for any political party.</p>	Barbara Dame	2021-11-14 21:10:15+00

Pine v5	Dislike	<p>This maps splits up Jackson County and the community of interest it serves in! Priority should be based on community of interest. This is partisan gerrymandering. Please remember that the law states that districts should not provide a disproportionate advantage for any political party.</p>	Barbara Dame	2021-11-14 21:08:08+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	<p>This map does not solve the issue of gerrymandering. Districts are being shifted based on politics rather than focusing first on the communities of interest that each area serves. Please listen to the testimonies you have heard over the past months. The citizens at the public hearings have stated very clearly that their rural communities have very different areas of interest than urban areas. Why would you combine Jackson County with Ann Arbor? Please remember that the law states that districts should not provide a disproportionate advantage for any political party.</p>	Barbara Dame	2021-11-14 21:01:19+00

Chestnut	Opinion	<p>I'm quite curious who lives on this one little loop here. It appears to have been excluded from District 2 in a way that I doubt will actually matter for voting purposes (I can't imagine a lot of people live in this little strip)</p> <p>This maps splits up Jackson County and the community of interest it serves! Priority should be based on community of interest. This is partisan gerrymandering. Please remember that the law states that districts should not provide a disproportionate advantage for any political party.</p>	Michael S	2021-11-14 20:56:26+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	<p>Priority should be based on community of interest. This maps splits up Jackson County and the community of interest it serves! This is partisan gerrymandering. Please remember that the law states that districts should not provide a disproportionate advantage for any political party.</p>	Barbara Dame	2021-11-14 20:50:19+00
Linden	Dislike	<p>Priority should be based on community of interest. This maps splits up Jackson County and the community of interest it serves! This is partisan gerrymandering. Please remember that the law states that districts should not provide a disproportionate advantage for any political party.</p>	Barbara Dame	2021-11-14 20:47:18+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>Priority should be based on community of interest. This maps splits up Jackson County and the community of interest it serves! This is partisan gerrymandering. Please remember that the law states that districts should not provide a disproportionate advantage for any political party.</p>	Barbara Dame	2021-11-14 20:45:21+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	<p>This map splits up Jackson County and the communities of interest it serves. It does not solve the issue of gerrymandering. This map breaks up Jackson County and the community it serves into 3 different directions! This is a terrible map! Please remember that the law states that communities of interest must be a priority and that districts should not provide a disproportionate advantage for any political party. This map does not solve the issue of gerrymandering.</p>	Barbara Dame	2021-11-14 20:40:13+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>While none of the State House maps are truly fair, Hickory is much fairer than this one. Please do not choose this map.</p>	Barbara Dame	2021-11-14 20:36:26+00
Pine v5	Dislike		Margaret Bayless	2021-11-14 20:34:19+00

Hickory	Dislike	I can't understand why all these maps split up Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor is a fairly homogenous population and it should be represented as such, not diluted with neighboring areas with very different makeup. It has very little in common with the neighboring communities. Ypsilanti was kept together and a lot of other areas, Why is Ann Arbor split up?	John Sauter	2021-11-14 20:33:24+00
Hickory	Like	While I don't think any of the State House maps is very fair, this is the best of the current options.	Margaret Bayless	2021-11-14 20:32:10+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map splits up Jackson County and does not solve the issue of gerrymandering. Districts are not being shifted based on the communities of interest that each area serves.	Barbara Dame	2021-11-14 20:30:54+00
Birch V2	Dislike	This map does not solve the issue of gerrymandering. Districts are not being shifted based on the communities of interest that each area serves.	Barbara Dame	2021-11-14 20:28:09+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This map is less fair than other choices (Chestnut, Birch and Szetela)	Margaret Bayless	2021-11-14 20:27:54+00

Apple V2	Dislike	This map is less fair than other choices (Chestnut, Birch and Szetela)	Margaret Bayless	2021-11-14 20:26:47+00
Birch V2	Like	Thank you for working to draw fairer maps. This map seems much fairer than what we have now.	Margaret Bayless	2021-11-14 20:25:14+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	This map seems to combine the best parts of Birch and Chestnut and appears to be a fair map.	Margaret Bayless	2021-11-14 20:22:41+00
Palm	Like	The Palm map best represents the district for the Senate. I have attended the public hearings and have heard the citizens of Jackson County repeatedly asking that you keep their urban and rural areas intact as they have very different needs for their communities. Thank you.	Barbara Dame	2021-11-14 20:20:41+00
Chestnut	Like	Thank you for working to draw fairer maps. Chestnut is a reasonably fair map.	Margaret Bayless	2021-11-14 20:20:12+00

Apple V2	Opinion	<p>Overall Apple is a better map than Birch or especially Chestnut but there are too many county splits between District 2 and District 8. Parts of Bay, Midland and Saginaw are split between the two districts. This can and should be cleaned up by putting two of them entirely in one district or the other and splitting just one. I would recommend keeping Saginaw and Bay whole within District 8 and Midland as much as possible within District 2.</p>	Daniel Harris	2021-11-14 20:11:40+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This map keeps the communities of interest intact for Jackson County. I have attended the public hearings and have repeatedly heard loud and clear the citizens asking you to keep the county of Jackson whole.</p>	Barbara Dame	2021-11-14 20:08:03+00

Birch V2	Dislike	District 10 could be kept entirely in Macomb and 9 could take more of northern Oakland with 11 taking on the southern areas of Oakland from 10. It makes no sense from a community of interest standpoint to have 3 districts crossing Dequindre Road between Oakland and Macomb.	Daniel Harris	2021-11-14 19:54:12+00
Apple V2	Opinion	Well at least this map is better than Chestnut in that it splits Oakland between just 4 districts rather than 6. Ideally district 10 should stay just in Macomb County while district 9 should take in more of Oakland.	Daniel Harris	2021-11-14 19:46:59+00
Lange Senate correct	Dislike	This map would be a guarantee that our small surrounding Jackson communities would get lost in the noise and never have the ear to representation we too rely on. I am asking that you make the the "Palm" map your Senate map of choice.	Caron Maria Wootten	2021-11-14 19:46:36+00

Chestnut	Dislike	<p>I don't care for the way this map splits Oakland County between 6 different districts. The alternative plans, despite their other flaws, are better than Chestnut.</p> <p>By far the best at keeping Jackson County intact, while I would have liked to see our Hillsdale neighbors with a better allegiance, it keeps our rural and neighborhoods with our combined interests</p>	Daniel Harris	2021-11-14 19:41:48+00
Palm	Like	<p>together.</p> <p>Once again this map hurts our most vulnerable by not keeping our alligned relationship with Hillsdale county for those that depend on the services of our Region 2 Department of Aging and Lifeways Community Mental Health.</p>	Caron Maria Wootten	2021-11-14 19:40:28+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike		Caron Maria Wootten	2021-11-14 19:32:04+00

Linden	Dislike	Jackson has many regional agencies that could not be fairly represented with this map. Region 2 Department of Aging and Lifeways Community Mental Health both have long established operations that depend on allegiance and alliance with Hillsdale for funding and operations. This could damage some of the most vulnerable in our two areas that rely on a strong voice for representation in our leadership.	Caron Maria Wootten	2021-11-14 19:26:47+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	This map is absurd, it guarantees that Jackson County voters will not a voice to represent them. With the nod given recognizing communities of interest in the Congressional mapping, this is a slap of recognition that it isn't being taken into consideration at all here.	Caron Maria Wootten	2021-11-14 19:18:17+00

Szetela Congressional Like	By far the best example of keeping our communities of interest intact with the exception of the loss of our communities to the east, Grass Lake and Waterloo are more involved in Jackson County than Washtenaw, many may work in Ann Arbor, but live in Jackson County because they relate to the values and interests of a more rural lifestyle.	Caron Maria Wootten	2021-11-14 19:09:58+00
Lange Congressional Dislike	By far the worst example of keeping our communities of interest and the best example of bias. As a Jackson city and Blackman Township resident and taxpayer I can find no logic in clumping our residents into Ingham County other than to pack and appease a Democrat agenda. Once again this map is not in keeping with keeping our county intact. I can appreciate the inclusion of Lenawee County however pushing our Waterloo and Grass Lake school districts into Washtenaw is a	Caron Maria Wootten	2021-11-14 19:00:44+00
Apple V2 Dislike	disservice to our youth.	Caron Maria Wootten	2021-11-14 18:52:35+00

The Birch V2 congressional map disregards keeping our county intact and pushes our neighbors to the east away. These neighborhoods are the school districts our children are friendly rivals with and promote healthy athletic rivalry and communities. They are also the friendly rivals that when one falls, due to serious injury or illness are the schools that are the 1st one to come to aide. Our community of interest would be better reflected with the inclusion of Lenawee County vs Calhoun.

Birch V2

Dislike

Caron Maria Wootten

2021-11-14 18:46:16+00

Districts should be created starting from a large urban nucleus. A congressional district is 775,000. If the urban nucleus population requires more people then add surrounding urban cities. Only three counties have a population greater than 775,000 people so neighboring counties should be combined to form districts. Try to minimize breaking county boundaries. Very simply. Only becomes complicated when gerrymandering is attempted. If people with the same views wish to live next to each other then they should be in the same district. If people wish to "unpack" themselves then move out of the comfortable urban center to a area where the mall is 70 miles away. Yeah right they would say just make those rural people live under my urban rule instead

Lange Congressional Opinion

is the reply.

merlin steffes

2021-11-14 18:42:03+00

Chestnut

Like

Thank you for keeping our Communities of Interest intact.

Caron Maria Wootten

2021-11-14 18:30:54+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>Of all the proposed congressional maps, this one is the best. It keeps Southgate and Melvindale together, and it's the only one that doesn't have Grass Lake in the same district as Trenton and Sumpter. This isn't a great map, but the other proposed maps have set the bar pretty low.</p>	Aram Nersesian	2021-11-14 17:10:27+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>This is the worst map of all for Muskegon and Muskegon Heights. It places us in a heavily white, rural, mid-Michigan focused district. We have no shared interests with the rest of this district and it would disenfranchise our Black and Latino voters.</p>	Shannon Moore	2021-11-14 16:39:38+00

I do not like the Szetela State Senate map. It divides Jackson County, which is mostly rural, and combines it with half of the city of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has public utilities, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas have none of these things. These different communities have different needs and require different legislative representation. Please adopt instead the "Palm" State Senate map. It does a much better job of satisfying the "communities of interest"™ objective of this committee. Thank you.

Szetela Senate

Dislike

Bill Richardson

2021-11-14 16:31:38+00

This is a bad plan for Muskegon and Muskegon Heights because it would give voters of color here little opportunity to influence the outcome by placing us in a district that is less than 20% people of color. Chestnut is a better plan that would not disenfranchise us.

Apple V2

Dislike

Shannon Moore

2021-11-14 16:30:43+00

I do not like the Lange State Senate map for District 16. It combines Jackson County, which is mostly rural, with half of the city of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has public utilities, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas have none of these things. These different communities have different needs and require different legislative representation. Please adopt instead the "Palm" State Senate map. It does a much better job of satisfying the "communities of interest"™ objective of this committee. Thank you.

Lange Senate correct Dislike

Bill Richardson

2021-11-14 16:29:44+00

I like the Szetela State House map the best. It keeps more of Jackson county whole and keeps Waterloo Township in Jackson County. Waterloo is a very rural area, as is most of Jackson county as a whole. From a "community of interest" perspective, Jackson County is a much better fit for Waterloo Township than Washtenaw County. Please adopt this Szetela State House map. It does a much better job of satisfying the "communities of interest" objective for the County of Jackson. Thank you.

Szetela House

Like

Bill Richardson

2021-11-14 16:27:38+00

Of the three maps, this one is by far the best for Black and Latino communities in Muskegon and Muskegon Heights. It places us in a more diverse district where voters of color have a real shot at influencing the outcome.

Chestnut

Like

Shannon Moore

2021-11-14 16:23:24+00

I do not like the Birch V2 congressional map because it does not keep Jackson County whole. It combines the eastern portion of Jackson County, including Waterloo Township, with Washtenaw county. Waterloo Township is a very rural community with no city water, no city sewer, no broadband, and no public transportation. Ann Arbor has all of these things. If this map were to be used, Waterloo Township would not have a voice in Congress. Please don't adopt this map. The Chestnut map is much better.

Birch V2

Dislike

Thank you.

Cynthia Richardson

2021-11-14 14:20:03+00

I like the Chestnut Congressional map because it keeps Jackson County whole. Jackson County is mostly rural and has different needs than more densely populated counties like Washtenaw. It keeps Waterloo Township with similar communities in Jackson County.

Chestnut

Like

Cynthia Richardson

2021-11-14 14:14:17+00

Szetela House	Like	This map comes the closest to fair representation in my view. But it's still off the mark for Detroit. Agree that it needs modification.	Janet Cannon	2021-11-14 02:59:50+00
Kellom Senate	Like	This combines a good split in our area with much better Detroit lines. Please consider. This map gives good representation to our area. However, it's not up to snuff for metro Detroit and does not comply with the VRA. PLEASE take the time to amend!	Janet Cannon	2021-11-14 02:48:12+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		Janet Cannon	2021-11-14 02:28:27+00

Hickory	Dislike	<p>I do not like the Hickory State House map. It combines rural Waterloo Township in Jackson County with much of the city of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has public utilities, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Waterloo Township has none of these things. These different communities have different needs and require different legislative representation. Please adopt instead the "Szetela" State House map. It does a much better job of satisfying the "communities of interest"™ objective for Jackson County. Thank you.</p>	Bill Richardson	2021-11-13 23:04:29+00
Chestnut	Opinion	<p>The inclusion of Kalamazoo and Battle Creek in a district with Holland/Zeeland/Saugatuck is questionable.</p> <p>This map cuts off half of Borculo and groups it with Muskegon and Grand Haven. From my experience Borculo is more culturally homogenous with the</p>	Mathias Michaelis	2021-11-13 23:00:16+00
Birch V2	Opinion	<p>Zeeland area.</p>	Mathias Michaelis	2021-11-13 22:43:57+00

Szetela Senate	Like	This is a strong map which links my part of Ann Arbor with neighbors to the North and East. Overall, it is well distributed and meets partisan fairness criteria.	Jeffrey B Halter	2021-11-13 21:27:51+00
Cherry V2	Like	This is a strong map which links my part of Ann Arbor with neighbors to the North and East. Overall, it is well distributed and meets partisan fairness criteria.	Jeffrey B Halter	2021-11-13 21:24:09+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	This is a decent map which links my part of Ann Arbor with neighbors to the North and West. However, it does not meet partisan fairness so needs to be improved substantially.	Jeffrey B Halter	2021-11-13 21:15:50+00
Szetela House	Opinion	This is a decent map which links my part of Ann Arbor with neighbors to the North and East. However, it does not meet partisan fairness so needs to be improved.	Jeffrey B Halter	2021-11-13 21:11:27+00
Kellom Senate	Like	I like the way districts 14 and 16 are laid out to connect us with neighbors to the East and West. And I like overall fairness and distribution.	Jeffrey B Halter	2021-11-13 21:03:33+00

Szetela Congressional	Like	This map has fair distribution and meets population criteria. I like the fact that it links communities east and west along the I-94 corridor.	Jeffrey B Halter	2021-11-13 20:51:27+00
Birch V2	Like	This map has fair distribution and meets population criteria. I like the fact that it links communities east and west along the I-94 corridor.	Jeffrey B Halter	2021-11-13 20:47:55+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Like	I approve of this map, thank you!	Quentin Faro	2021-11-13 20:10:36+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Like	Thank you for your work. This map seems the most fair thus far.	Kathy Meyer	2021-11-13 19:22:41+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	This map does not do a good job of creating districts in metro Detroit and does not provide partisan fairness. It is too similar to the other House maps without providing any real choice. Please reconsider the House maps and provide the public with better options for which we can provide feedback.	Alexa Bush	2021-11-13 19:18:41+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	This map does not do a good job of keeping together COIs in metro Detroit. It does not provide partisan fairness, please reconsider this map.	Alexa Bush	2021-11-13 19:14:59+00

Szetela House	Opinion	<p>This is the best of the House maps, but it could be better. None of these provide partisan fairness. The house maps should be reconsidered to better reflect the needs of the people of our state.</p>	Alexa Bush	2021-11-13 19:11:15+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>This map doesn't do a good job of providing partisan fairness. There are other maps that better support the VRA.</p>	Alexa Bush	2021-11-13 19:07:04+00
Kellom Senate	Like	<p>This is the best map for the State Senate. It is the best way to split up metro Detroit and meet the intent of the VRA. It does the best job of keeping together COIs and having partisan fairness.</p>	Alexa Bush	2021-11-13 19:02:08+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>This map is the worst one of this group. It is very unfair from a partisan perspective and packs Democrats in too many places.</p>	Alexa Bush	2021-11-13 18:58:07+00

Apple V2 Dislike I do not like this map at all because of the way it splits up parts of Washtenaw county. It also does not reflect partisan fairness, which is one of the most important elements of creating better maps that represent the people and needs of our state. Alexa Bush 2021-11-13 18:55:27+00

Linden Dislike I do not like the Linden State Senate map. It divides Jackson County, which is mostly rural, and combines it with half of the city of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has public utilities, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas have none of these things. These different communities have different needs and require different legislative representation. Please adopt instead the "Palm" State Senate map. It does a much better job of satisfying the "communities of interest"™ objective of this committee. Thank you. Bill Richardson 2021-11-13 18:53:17+00

Chestnut	Like	Chestnut is also a good map, and does a good job of balancing COI with partisan fairness. I support this map and Birch.	Alexa Bush	2021-11-13 18:51:53+00
Palm	Like	I like the Palm State Senate map. It keeps Jackson County whole and keeps the city of Ann Arbor separate from rural areas. This should be the case since they are very different ~communities of interest~™, have different needs and therefore require different representation. Please adopt this ~Palm~™ State Senate map. Thank you.	Bill Richardson	2021-11-13 18:48:31+00
Birch V2	Like	This is a very good map that balances COI with population and partisan fairness.	Alexa Bush	2021-11-13 18:47:01+00

I do not like the Cherry V2 State Senate map. It divides Jackson County and combines rural areas of Jackson county with half of the city of Ann Arbor . Ann Arbor has public utilities, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Most rural areas have none of these things. These different communities have different needs and require different legislative representation. Please adopt instead the "Palm" State Senate map. It does a much better job of satisfying the "communities of interest"™ objective of this committee. Thank you.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Bill Richardson

2021-11-13 18:39:26+00

I do not like the Magnolia State House map. It combines rural Waterloo Township in Jackson County with much of the city of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has public utilities, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Waterloo Township has none of these things. These different communities have different needs and require different legislative representation. Please adopt instead the "Szetela" State House map. It does a much better job of satisfying the "communities of interest"™ objective for Jackson County. Thank you.

Magnolia

Dislike

William Richardson

2021-11-13 18:38:12+00

I do not like the Pine V5 State House map. It combines rural Waterloo Township in Jackson County with much of the city of Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor has public utilities, widely available broadband, and public transportation. Waterloo Township has none of these things. These different communities have different interests and needs and require different legislative representation. Please adopt instead the "Szetela" State House map. It does a much better job of satisfying the "communities of interest"™ objective for Jackson County. Thank you.

Pine v5

Dislike

Bill Richardson

2021-11-13 18:36:56+00

We support the Magnolia map as it keeps our community of interest together. We oppose the Hickory map as it splits where we live away from the rest of our community. We live in Genoa Township. We have a Brighton address and live in the Brighton school district. We are part of the Brighton fire authority. We live near the Brighton Recreation Area. We go to church in Brighton. We shop in Brighton. We belong in the same district as Brighton and not with Ann Arbor. Thank you.

Magnolia

Like

Ann

2021-11-13 16:41:57+00

We support the Magnolia map as it keeps our community of interest together. We oppose the Hickory map as it splits where we live away from the rest of our community. We live in Genoa Township. We have a Brighton address and live in the Brighton school district. We are part of the Brighton fire authority. We live near the Brighton Recreation Area. We go to church in Brighton. We shop in Brighton. We belong in the same district as Brighton and not with Ann Arbor. Thank you.

Hickory

Dislike

Ann

2021-11-13 16:39:54+00

The Szetela congressional does not keep Jackson County whole. It combines the eastern portion of Jackson County, including Waterloo Township, with Washtenaw county. Waterloo Township is a very rural community with no city water, no city sewer, no broadband, and no public transportation. The city of Ann Arbor and much of Washtenaw County has all of these things. If this map were to be used, Waterloo Township would not have a voice in Congress. Please don't adopt this map. The Chestnut map is much better. Thank you.

Szetela Congressional Dislike

William Richardson

2021-11-13 15:34:51+00

The Lange congressional does not keep Jackson County whole. It combines the eastern portion of Jackson County, including Waterloo Township, with Washtenaw county. Waterloo Township is a very rural community with no city water, no city sewer, no broadband, and no public transportation. Ann Arbor has all of these things. If this map were to be used, Waterloo Township would not have a voice in Congress. Please don't adopt this map. The Chestnut map is much better. Thank you.

Lange Congressional Dislike

Bill Richardson

2021-11-13 14:55:06+00

While I believe this Senate map is the best of the proposed options, I still believe that Allegan County should be kept as whole as possible. I understand removing Fillmore and Laketown Townships in the Northwest as they do associate with Holland. However, It would make more sense to remove northern Berrien and swap that out for the rest of Allegan and a bit of Barry County. While counties rank lower in the criteria, respecting their boundaries is still a requirement and it would be a disservice to split them so. Southeast Allegan Shares as much with the rest of Allegan as it does with Barry County, so by keeping Allegan whole (with similar counties like Van Buren and Barry) the Commission can reflect municipal boundaries and COIs. This swap would

Palm

Like

Joshua Przygocki

2021-11-13 14:51:58+00

Apple V2	Dislike	I do not like the Apple V2 congressional map because it does not keep Jackson County whole. It combines the eastern portion of Jackson County, including Waterloo Township, with Washtenaw county. Waterloo Township is a very rural community with no city water, no city sewer, no broadband, and no public transportation. Ann Arbor has all of these things. If this map were to be used, Waterloo Township would not have a voice in Congress. Please don't adopt this map. The Chestnut map is much better. Thank you.	William Richardson	2021-11-13 14:40:21+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Elbridge Gerry would be proud of these bizarre shapes.	Robert Jones	2021-11-13 14:29:06+00
Linden	Like	This map gives Ypsilanti and Pittsfield communities a voice instead of whatever Ann Arbor thinks is best for us. Good map - will have to listen carefully to the voice of constituents	Robert Jones	2021-11-13 14:19:21+00
Cherry V2	Like		Robert Jones	2021-11-13 14:13:19+00

Palm	Dislike	Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti are distinct communities with distinct socioeconomic conditions. These should not be packed into a district just because the poorly educated believe they are a community of interest.	Robert Jones	2021-11-13 14:03:15+00
------	---------	--	--------------	------------------------

Kellom Senate	Opinion	This map divides one of the smaller top ranked school Districts (Saugatuck Public Schools) into two different senate districts. Moving the northern line up to the Ottawa/Allegan County line would correct this issue. The Allegan County Saugatuck area is a neighbor of Holland and Ottawa County, but our community issues are as different as night and day. Please move the Allegan County Laketown Township and Saugatuck Township areas out of District 31 and into District 20	CATHERINE BROCKINGTON	2021-11-13 13:56:31+00
---------------	---------	---	-----------------------	------------------------

Birch V2	Dislike	<p>I do not like the Birch V2 congressional map because it does not keep Jackson County whole. It combines the eastern portion of Jackson County, including Waterloo Township, with Washtenaw county. Waterloo Township is a very rural community, with no city water, city sewer, no broadband, and no public transportation. Ann Arbor has all of these things. If this map were to be used, Waterloo Township would not have a voice in Congress. Please don't adopt this map. The Chestnut map is much better. Thank you.</p>	Bill Richardson	2021-11-13 13:55:37+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>I like the Chestnut Congressional map because it keeps Jackson county whole. Jackson county is mostly rural and has different needs than more densely populated counties like Washtenaw.</p>	Bill Richardson	2021-11-13 13:48:23+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>This is the best of the House maps but is a far cry from nonpartisan fairness. IT STILL TOTALLY FAVORS THE REPUBLICANS. UNFAIR. WE CAN DO BETTER!</p>	Ellen k Halter	2021-11-13 02:20:58+00
Kellom Senate	Like	<p>Kudos to the Killom map. It's fairer to Blacks than the other maps. It achieves both Partisan fairness and obeys the voting rights act.</p>	Ellen k Halter	2021-11-13 02:16:07+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>Birch seems the fairest of the congressional maps. It's very non-partisan &amp; has a low population deviation.</p>	Ellen k Halter	2021-11-13 02:10:57+00

I was informative and pointed to this person back in September; she is still advocating for an election scheme based on county wide vote wins regardless of the population of said county. This is illegal, unconstitutional, and apparently of no concern to her because two months later on this map the same garbage is proposed. If you cannot understand how offensive it is to hear someone constantly suggest the ~1.7 million voters (an ethnically and racially diverse population who have faced historical voting discrimination) of Wayne County should be equivalent to the 2046 voters in Keweenaw County I don't know how to explain it to you. Go back and read the referenced post. (W5859) I owe my Detroit neighbors the kindness of countering such blatant racism.

Lange Congressional Opinion

Alice

2021-11-13 01:54:13+00

Apple V2	Like	<p>This map does a great job of keeping the Jewish community in Oak Park, Huntington Woods, Southfield and West Bloomfield together in one district. It also does a good job of keeping most of the SE corner of Oakland County together. Please approve this map.</p>	Sharon Baseman	2021-11-12 23:56:08+00
Lange Congressional	Opinion	<p>Comments from Alice are rude and unnecessary. Be kind please.</p>	Rebecca S Smith	2021-11-12 22:23:44+00
Lange Congressional	Like	<p>Finally a map that shows some common sense. Midland shares the same issues as the counties to the north and west. Thank you for the thought that went into this proposal.</p>	Rebecca S Smith	2021-11-12 22:21:56+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>Midland County and Flint do not share the same issues. Please use some common sense which appears to be lacking.</p>	Rebecca S Smith	2021-11-12 22:19:09+00
Kellom Senate	Opinion	<p>This is the only map that doesn't break off Hazel Park and Madison Heights from other similar communities. While I wish Hazel Park and Ferndale were together, this will do.</p>	Dr. Adam Duberstein	2021-11-12 22:03:49+00

Lange Congressional	Like	<p>Midland city and county should be kept together. This map looks like a good option for to keep the city and township whole along with aligning the river system together. It is important that those communities impacted by the 2020 and 2017 flooding be kept together to rebuild as a community what was destroyed. These dams and river systems withing these communities if separated, may never receive the needed support and funding needed to rebuild.</p> <p>This is a very good map that keeps the Grand Rapids area intact, and also a good "real world" division among other regions and areas of western Michigan.</p>	Steve Shafer	2021-11-12 20:33:43+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>I like this map because Jackson County stays as one unit and is included with Hillsdale County.</p>	Douglas Koopman	2021-11-12 19:34:36+00
Palm	Like	<p>This map is biased towards Republicans and packs</p>	David VanStempvoort	2021-11-12 19:06:03+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	<p>Democrats</p>	Margaret Bayless	2021-11-12 18:57:30+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	This map is very unfair. Please don't pack Democrats. Pass Birch or Szetela.	Chris Andrews	2021-11-12 18:57:25+00
Palm	Like	I like this because it connects Jackson County with Hillsdale County	Denise Cough	2021-11-12 18:55:25+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	I support this map in that COI's are together in the tri-cities (district 35). Please let partisan fairness and allowing all voices to be heard guide you in making the final decision and not gerrymandering. However, please consider putting Bridgeport, Hemlock, and Thomas Township in district 35 as well. They are very much a part of our COI and do not belong in a separate district.	Sarah	2021-11-12 18:53:49+00
Palm	Like	I like how this map does not separate Jackson County	Ronda VanStempvoort	2021-11-12 18:50:01+00
Szetela Senate	Like	Commissioner Szetela Senate map is the best option for Battle Creek. Although I dislike being included with Barry County. It would better for Battle Creek to be included with Kalamazoo county.	Bruce Noble	2021-11-12 18:18:22+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>Chestnut proposed map is the best of bunch for Battle Creek. It keeps us out of the conservative southern counties. NW Calhoun county is best teamed Kalamazoo area demographics. I strongly support the Chestnut map. I love this map! Troy and Rochester Hills are Community of Interest. Thank you Commissioners for your hard work!</p>	Bruce Noble	2021-11-12 17:58:20+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>This is a good way of keeping the greater Grand Rapids area as a coherent and diverse whole.</p>	Stacy S.	2021-11-12 17:26:30+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>The idea that Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo should share representation is problematic especially when this narrow corridor excludes both cities from their surrounding areas so radically.</p>	Jordan Ballor	2021-11-12 17:12:12+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This map preserves the integrity and history of the greater Grand Rapids area. Historical continuity and cultural cohesion matter; this map protects both for Michigan's second biggest city.</p>	Jordan Ballor	2021-11-12 17:11:04+00
Birch V2	Like	<p></p>	Micah Watson	2021-11-12 17:02:33+00

Apple V2	Dislike	<p>The greater Grand Rapids area is its own unique community. Kalamazoo is also a wonderful city. But they are distinct from each other. Partitioning Grand Rapids so narrowly apart from its surrounding areas severs its future representation from the continuity of its past. Combining it with Kalamazoo diminishes the uniqueness of each distinct community.</p> <p>This was exactly the problem with gerrymandered maps in the past splitting Farmington and Farmington Hills two interconnected communities screams gerrymandering</p>	Micah Watson	2021-11-12 17:00:18+00
Lange Senate	Dislike		Jonathan Paul Kinneman	2021-11-12 16:59:33+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>This map is pushing rural areas into metropolitan areas. These are clearly two different types of voting groups. You are using higher density (normally Democratic) into smaller community voting communities that are usually republican. You are clearly using high population areas to override smaller communities!!! This is the same as what was happening before.</p>	Robert Allen Jewell Sr.	2021-11-12 16:48:42+00
Szetela House	Dislike	<p>I do not understand the commissions current reasons for dividing the city of Taylor. Districts need to geographically makes sense, and have three state house representatives for a 60,000 person city does not make sense.</p>	Korey Ryan Morris	2021-11-12 16:05:26+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This map appropriately addresses the interests of SW Michigan.</p>	Alan F Robandt	2021-11-12 16:05:10+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>This is absurd for the fifth district. Berrien and Van Buren counties are rapidly becoming outer suburbs of Chicago with few common interests to the east.</p>	Alan F Robandt	2021-11-12 16:01:05+00

Palm	Like	<p>This map successfully keeps the Tri-Cities together in a state senate district. I like the Kellum map for the same reason. Both maps could be improved in some small way by not splitting Bay County at Linwood Road. Make the split further north, at least include both sides of the road. The population is notably below 265,000 so it seems that there is room for that change.</p> <p>This is the BEST representation of the tri-cities and surrounding areas that I have seen, thus far. PLEASE consider adopting this for district 8!</p>	Carol Heron	2021-11-12 15:44:14+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This map is good for the tri-cities, however, Hemlock, Nelson, and Brant should be with district 8 too.</p>	Sarah	2021-11-12 14:42:48+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	<p>This is the best map for the tri-cities! Please keep this for diverse communities!</p>	Sarah	2021-11-12 14:36:45+00
Lange Congressional	Like	<p>The tri-cities are wonderfully diverse communities and should all be together to avoid gerrymandering. Please consider putting them all in one -- Hemlock included.</p>	Sarah	2021-11-12 14:34:12+00
Apple V2	Opinion	<p>The tri-cities are wonderfully diverse communities and should all be together to avoid gerrymandering. Please consider putting them all in one -- Hemlock included.</p>	Sarah Torres	2021-11-12 14:31:09+00

Apple V2	Opinion	Hemlock needs to be with Saginaw, Midland, & Bay City. Apple V2 is a good option for this reason, it keeps communities of like interests together. The lakeshore communities have a special connection that needs a special voice. I makes good sense and is therefore common sense to keep them together in our march	Sarah Torres	2021-11-12 14:26:28+00
Apple V2	Like	forward. This map Birch V2 along with Lange and Szetela seem to treat Oakland county as a COI. I would think that Clarkston should be included in district 11 and Lake Orion should	Bart Spencer	2021-11-12 14:13:09+00
Birch V2	Like	move to district 10.	Steve McKenzie	2021-11-12 14:07:38+00

This map is a good start for the tri-cities. However, Freeland, Saginaw, and Hemlock should all be together with the rest of the nearby counties. On other maps, you have cut off Saginaw Township at the McCarty Road line and that would be gerrymandering -- not fair at all. If this map is not adopted, PLEASE reconsider making the dividing line further north. All of Saginaw needs to be lumped together with Freeland, Hemlock, and the surrounding areas. Our community is culturally and ethnically diverse, and to divide Saginaw up would be unfair to all involved! Thank you.

Birch V2

Opinion

Sarah Torres

2021-11-12 14:03:43+00

I feel this map reflects for district 2 and 8 the best configuration to address community needs and growth

Lange Congressional Like

David Kepler

2021-11-12 13:31:58+00

This has to be one of the worst maps I have seen as it relates to district 8. It completely ignores the dynamics and needs of the area, and isolates the Northern Region of Midland and pays no attention to the water issues being managed in Michigan, Gladwin and Flint.

Chestnut

Dislike

David Kepler

2021-11-12 13:29:27+00

The three collaborative maps all treat the Grand Rapids area the same, and I really like how the committee kept existing municipalities together. The Cities of Wyoming, Kentwood, East Grand Rapids, Grandville and Walker remain intact within their respective districts. Byron, Gaines, and Caledonia Townships also remain intact within their district. I also like how Byron, Gaines, and Caledonia Townships are grouped together. These are all very similar communities, with similar concerns. These maps are all very good maps for Kent County, the lines really make a lot of sense and seem to be aware of existing communities. Thank you very much!

Magnolia

Like

David Bricker

2021-11-12 12:20:50+00

Apple V2	Like	<p>I like that both Otsego and Plainwell are included with GR and Kalamazoo, due to being bedroom communities for both larger cities. The US-131 corridor connects all of the communities in this map quite well from an economic standpoint. I also like that District 3 is contiguous for the lakeshore communities. Again, it makes a lot of sense from an economic standpoint. This map appears fair to me and keeps Livingston County and it's environmental concerns into one district, including the area just south of Livingston County which shares environmental, transportation and recreational interests. Thank you.</p>	Andrew Webb	2021-11-12 12:02:31+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>Putting Zeeland, Hudsonville &amp; Georgetown Township makes a lot of good sense, keeping or most conservative dutch communities together.</p>	Kasey Helton	2021-11-12 04:52:52+00
Szetela House	Like		David Barnosky	2021-11-12 04:45:40+00

The 50th does a decent job of preserving the rural farm culture of my district, but what I really like about this map for my area is the 48th. I live just north of Pinckney right on the border of the new 48th, and the people there need a map that recognizes the COI that is defined by the Chain of Lakes, an area that has been plagued by PFAS pollution, but also that is joined by the environmental and recreational interests of the Chain. This map will keep the Chain of Lakes geographic area together. It also recognizes the connection between the east Brighton folks near the 23 corridor who commute for work down 23 south to Ann Arbor and East toward Oakland County -- there are transit and commerce concerns that I think would definitely define them as a COI. There's more

Szetela House

Like

Kasey Helton

2021-11-12 04:33:17+00

five north of Hickory so just north of 48th district, and I really like this map. This map acknowledges the clear community of interest that is defined by the Chain of Lakes which includes areas in both Livingston and Washtenaw County. The problems of environmental pollution do not respect county lines. Environmental pollution that exists in our waterway does not acknowledge county boundaries, and this area needs representatives who will give consideration to the problems that exist there, which has been difficult so far given the political gridlock. A map like this for our area gives people here hope for a possible cleanup and legislation that would prevent more pollution from happening. As a close neighbor to this region, people who live along the lakes have their own ignores the common economic opportunities of the southwestern counties that need to be grouped together.

Hickory

Like

Kasey Helton

2021-11-12 04:17:12+00

Szetela House

Dislike

TIMOTHY B DEBLAEY

2021-11-12 04:07:10+00

Hickory	Dislike	Again, ignoring the economic opportunities of the southwestern shoreline communities. Can you chop up the southwestern counties a little more, please? No need to coordinate any economic progress in this area.	TIMOTHY B DEBLAEY	2021-11-12 04:04:16+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map is rated as having only 3 competitive districts -- worse than every other proposed map -- and it is predicted to produce the most skewed party split in the Senate of all proposed maps. This is the least fair of all proposed state senate maps.	TIMOTHY DEBLAEY	2021-11-12 04:01:46+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	Better than most, keeping Muskegon with the southwestern counties. I think the interactive outline of the district is not corresponding with the colors on the map.	Paul McCarthy	2021-11-12 03:56:59+00
Pine v5	Opinion	Muskegon county shares no common economic needs and opportunities with counties north! Try again.	TIMOTHY DEBLAEY	2021-11-12 03:56:33+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike		TIMOTHY DEBLAEY	2021-11-12 03:50:09+00

Lange Senate	Dislike	Muskegon county is once again lumped in with the vacationland counties, not the southwestern shoreline counties with which it shares common interests.	TIMOTHY DEBLAEY	2021-11-12 03:45:59+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	I thought we were trying to escape the imprisonment of political gerrymandering. Totally ignores the economic needs and opportunities of the southwestern shoreline.	TIMOTHY DEBLAEY	2021-11-12 03:42:20+00
Linden	Dislike	Four different districts representing the needs of the southwestern shoreline? Really?	TIMOTHY DEBLAEY	2021-11-12 03:36:51+00
Palm	Dislike	The only explanation I can come up with is good ol' political gerrymandering. The economic development needs of the southwestern shoreline counties id totall ignored here. Pass!	TIMOTHY DEBLAEY	2021-11-12 03:33:33+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Close, but no cigar! The industrial economic resources of ports, roads, and proximity to Chicago are shared with shoreline counties of Muskegon, Ottawa, and south ... not north with the vacationland counties.	TIMOTHY DEBLAEY	2021-11-12 03:29:10+00

		Political gerrymandering at its best! Once again diluting the shorelines counties common issue by being dumped stacked with Grand Rapids.		
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	No, no, no, no!	TIMOTHY DEBLAEY	2021-11-12 03:24:21+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	As in the Birch V3 plan, Muskegon County has no common interests with the mid state counties.	TIMOTHY DEBLAEY	2021-11-12 03:19:25+00
Apple V2	Like	From the perspective of this proposed 3rd district, the economic development factors of shipping, tourism, rail, water, and road access are well represented. Like this one!	TIMOTHY DEBLAEY	2021-11-12 03:17:18+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Good Lord, no! Muskegon has no common interests or needs with the mid state counties.	TIMOTHY DEBLAEY	2021-11-12 03:12:56+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	We already explained this to you on the comment portal.(w5859) You are either very dim or are a vicious anti-democratic bigot who doesn't care about fairness, Ms. Weleski. I suggest the commission check said referenced exchange before deciding how much value to accord your comments on any proposed maps.	Alice	2021-11-12 03:11:55+00

Chestnut	Dislike	Once again Muskegon is swallowed up by Grand Rapids influence ignoring the needs and resources of the lakeshore.	TIMOTHY DEBLAEY	2021-11-12 03:09:25+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	This map twists itself like a pretzel to include pieces of the Upper Peninsula with Leelanau and Grand Traverse counties. The only way to pretend it is connected is to imagine a path through Lake Michigan.	Paul McCarthy	2021-11-12 03:06:31+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	The Lange Map represents a significant departure from every other version of the 36th Senate and should not be adopted.	Joel A Sheltroun	2021-11-12 02:38:13+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	Lange Map represents a significant departure from all other 36th Senate maps. It should not be adopted.	Joel A Sheltroun	2021-11-12 02:36:51+00
Szetela Senate	Like	This map represents all versions of the 36th other than one. It should be adopted.	Joel A Sheltroun	2021-11-12 02:31:57+00

I do not understand why each county could not be "the district?" Can someone explain that to me? Gerrymandering is an unnecessary and divisive form of trying to control elections. I do not believe we need to do anything but continue to keep counties whole. That being said, Midland is very far from Flint. I do not think it is very practical to include them with Midland...especially where we see funds needed for the flood issues in Midland County.

Lange Congressional Opinion

Rosemarie Weleski

2021-11-12 02:22:49+00

Appreciate the work that this group is doing, I am happy to see Troy with some of it's Oakland county neighbours.

Lange Congressional Like

Hirak Chanda

2021-11-12 01:52:00+00

Troy should be with Oakland County Cities.

Apple V2

Dislike

Hirak Chanda

2021-11-12 01:48:47+00

what is the goal for Monroe County? This proposal as most of the last few proposals splits northern Monroe County into 3 districts that then extend in a haphazard geographic fashion into Wayne and Washenaw counties. None of these make any sense from a Community of Interest perspective which is supposedly the #1 priority. For example extending district 29 from rural northern Monroe county into and encompassing the city of Taylor is ludicrous. The same with the manner in which this proposal stretches district 31 from northwestern Monroe county into areas of Washenaw county or District 28 into southeastern Wayne county. This appears to be a major Democratic Gerrymandering proposal for Monroe County. The logical proposal would be to keep all

Szetela House

Dislike

Thomas Heck

2021-11-12 01:46:10+00

Lange Congressional	Like	This map is the best of the so far but I don't think Kalamazoo and Portage cities should be part of the 4th. Kalamazoo with Ottawa is similar to having Kalamazoo with GR. I propose putting Kalamazoo and Portage in the 5th. Place Cass and St. Joe counties along with the 4 western townships of Kalamazoo County in the 4th.	merlin steffes	2021-11-12 01:33:34+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Thank you for trying. A better map needed.	Yalcin Yanikoglu	2021-11-12 01:20:01+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Still the wrong district number for Muskegon. should be 92nd not 87. All of your house maps have gerrymandered this district Democratic for 10 years. You were created to stop that. None of the house maps stop this.	Michael Lynn Haueisen	2021-11-12 01:10:49+00
Magnolia	Opinion	This map still has Muskegon as District 87. It should return to the 92nd. But I see that all of your maps have the same shape for here.	Michael Haueisen	2021-11-12 01:04:43+00

Lange Congressional Like	<p>Thank you, commissioner Lange! I still see comments that state that Midland should be with the other tricity communities and Flint as we have more in common with them than Midland County. I would challenge anyone to line up 100 residents from within the city of Midland, also without mentioning this redistricting project, and ask them if they feel they have more in common with Flint or Midland County. Over 95% would say Midland County. I will take that bet all day long.</p>	Mary J Quehl	2021-11-11 23:44:50+00
Lange Congressional Like	<p>So far I like this map as it is the best option for Midland and Midland County. In regards to comments that state that Midland belongs with the tricity because it is not rural, I would state that Midland is DEFINATELY NOT A METRO city like Flint .</p>	Dennis Quehl	2021-11-11 23:37:55+00

Palm	Opinion	As with everything today, this whole process has turned in politics. All proposals with exception of Palm has given advantage to one political party over another in senate representation. Seems again taxpayers have seen their money wasted.	Sandra Sage	2021-11-11 23:32:55+00
Pine v5	Like	I was born and raised in Leelanau County, and am very happy to see Leelanau and Grand Traverse together. The commission has clearly done a great job listening to the citizens of Northern Michigan, and I would like to commend them for creating fair districts for my home state. Midland County needs different representation than Flint. They are vastly different communities and do not belong in the same district. I see this as an outright attempt to diminish the voting power of Independents and Republicans by lumping them in with a larger Democratic community.	Shaemus Kreider	2021-11-11 23:29:02+00
Chestnut	Dislike		Deborah Plaver	2021-11-11 23:24:24+00
Pine v5	Like	Good map	ED BLISSICK	2021-11-11 22:52:27+00

Chestnut	Dislike	Western Washtenaw and Eastern Jackson county should not be lumped in with Ann Arbor and eastern Washtenaw county. These are communities of interest that do not support the policies of eastern Washtenaw county and belong in district 5 or 7	ED BLISSICK	2021-11-11 22:36:00+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Western Washtenaw and Eastern Jackson county should not be lumped in with Ann Arbor and eastern Washtenaw county. These are communities of interest that do not support the policies of eastern Washtenaw county and belong in district 5 or 7.	ED BLISSICK	2021-11-11 22:31:11+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	Western Washtenaw and Eastern Jackson county should not be lumped in with Ann Arbor and eastern Washtenaw county. These are communities of interest that do not support the policies of eastern Washtenaw county and belong in district 5 or 7.	ED BLISSICK	2021-11-11 22:29:38+00

Chestnut	Dislike	Western Washtenaw county townships of Sylvan and Lyndon should not be lumped in with Ann Arbor and eastern Washtenaw county. These are communities of interest that do not support the policies of eastern Washtenaw county and belong in district 5 or 7, otherwise their representation will ignore the needs of the voters in these townships.	ED BLISSICK	2021-11-11 22:27:46+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Western Washtenaw and Eastern Jackson county should not be lumped in with Ann Arbor and eastern Washtenaw county. These are communities of interest that do not support the policies of eastern Washtenaw county and belong in district 5 or 7.	ED BLISSICK	2021-11-11 22:23:30+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Western Washtenaw and Eastern Jackson county should not be lumped in with Ann Arbor and eastern Washtenaw county. These are communities of interest that do not support the policies of eastern Washtenaw county and belong in district 5 or 7.	ED BLISSICK	2021-11-11 22:22:45+00

I hate this map. Muskegon voters have been disenfranchised by splitting us into small pieces and attaching us to Ottawa County. Despite earlier comments, no one on the Commission can explain why Fruitport Township is cut out of the "Lakeshore District" of Spring Lake, Grand Haven and Norton Shores instead of drawing a straight line south and putting the rural townships of Robinson, Olive and Crockery in proposed District 85. This would give Muskegon County a bigger voice in the Lakeshore District (43% vs.27%) and would put communities of interest (suburban vs. rural together.

Fruitport is not a rural district. It is a growing charter township with over 14,000 residents on the northern edge of Spring Lake. It is This map is the best of all the finalists. It splits the fewest counties and is cleanest in

Magnolia

Dislike

Ellen Beal

2021-11-11 21:52:28+00

Lange Congressional

Like

Daniel Harris

2021-11-11 21:36:00+00

Cherry V2

Like

Robert McManus

2021-11-11 21:08:40+00

Apple V2	Like	I very much support this map as it keeps the lakeshore area together and done in an unbiased, unpartisan manner. Please select this map.	Mary Colliflower	2021-11-11 21:04:55+00
Birch V2	Dislike	I oppose this map as it divides the lakeshore communities and is less fair and more biased than other proposed maps.	Mary Colliflower	2021-11-11 21:01:57+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Grand Rapids, MI and Kalamazoo MI each need their own representative.	Robert McManus	2021-11-11 21:01:54+00
Birch V2	Like	This is a good map and keeps all Kent County in one district. This map seems very fair and unbiased and allows a good representation of the lakeshore community of interest	Robert McManus	2021-11-11 21:00:40+00
Chestnut	Like		Mary Colliflower	2021-11-11 20:55:06+00

This makes absolutely no sense and would be against the interests of both Kent and Kalamazoo.

Kent County should be kept whole. Kalamazoo should be kept whole. What is even the point of trying to combine the two? To enrage the citizens and ensure less gets done? The communities have vastly different priorities, goals, issues and even industry mix. I strongly oppose this and entire Apple plan.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Kyle Pung

2021-11-11 20:41:47+00

This is a great map! Keeps the watershed partners together to have one united voice for reconstruction and maintenance. Honors those who have suffered from flood and listens to their voices for fair representation. Thank you so much!!!!!!

Lange Congressional Like

jane scott

2021-11-11 20:33:48+00

This is a terrible map. It artificially cuts up the county of Midland from it's watershed neighbors. There is nothing more important to the county of Midland than having representation that is focused on this multi million dollar, multi year project just for the reconstruction. Then ongoing maintenance will be paramount. You can't have one representative on part of the watershed and another on the other end. Their efforts will be diluted and conflict and the watershed partners will be the ones who suffer after they have already suffered enough. Put the

Chestnut	Dislike	watershed back together!!!!	jane scott	2021-11-11 20:31:01+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	I am sorry but this map is not a good coverage of the voting block/constituents.	Ulanda Charise Caldwell	2021-11-11 20:18:53+00
Lange Congressional	Like	So far this is the most fair map Iâ€™ve seen.	Amanda Oster	2021-11-11 20:11:43+00
Lange Congressional	Like	This map makes more sense. Midland County is kept whole.	Fredrick L Queary	2021-11-11 20:11:22+00

Chestnut	Dislike	<p>The City of Midland and Flint should not be in the same Congressional district. None of your maps provide an option that addresses this. Your maps simply cater to Democrat demands. Independents and Republicans live in these areas too and deserve to be heard in this process. Stop ignoring us. A city of 40,000 has vastly different needs than a city of 100,000. Please change one of your 3 congressional maps to separate Midland from Flint. Midland County should not be split in half and added to Saginaw and Bay. They need different representation. Given the boundaries of District 4, I worry that this map will not only entrench the polarization between urban and rural regions in Michigan, but will further exacerbate it.</p>	Amanda Oster	2021-11-11 20:09:52+00
Chestnut	Dislike		Fredrick L Queary	2021-11-11 20:07:19+00
Apple V2	Dislike		Justin Menno	2021-11-11 19:20:45+00

Magnolia	Like	<p>This map looks fair for the Port Huron area shown as District 64. I would consider this district all of our community. It includes Democrats and Republicans in fairly even numbers.</p> <p>*A part of Ionia is not in this district my mistake, still the best Lansing district overall Better. but is still looks a little like winners and losers are being chosen before the election</p>	Claudia L Smith	2021-11-11 19:07:52+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This map looks like you are trying to pick winners and losers. Sorry, but that's what it looks like</p>	Thomas Gregory	2021-11-11 17:50:49+00
Magnolia	Opinion	<p>I like it that the lakeshore communities are grouped together. I still don't understand why the rural northeast Ottawa county is not included with the rest of the county.</p>	Craig Michael Flietstra	2021-11-11 17:50:14+00
Pine v5	Dislike		Craig Michael Flietstra	2021-11-11 17:47:29+00
Linden	Opinion		Craig Michael Flietstra	2021-11-11 17:42:25+00

Chestnut has the fairest and most community minded Lansing based district of all proposed maps. For decades our tri-county area has been spilt between congressional districts to dilute our voices in congress and this proposed district finally remedies that split while pairing us with neighboring and similar Shiawassee and Livingston counties + areas of Ionia that pair better with the western greater Lansing region than the Grand Rapids region. Chestnut's Grand Rapids-Muskegon district looks to be the fairest of all the proposed maps as well. Overall this proposal would go a long way on reversing the partisan gerrymandering that has taken place within the state

Chestnut

Like

for so long.

Thomas Gregory

2021-11-11 17:42:08+00

I think the rural area of northeast Ottawa county would be better served if they were included with the rest of

Cherry V2

Dislike

Ottawa county.

Craig Michael Flietstra

2021-11-11 17:38:59+00

10-29-21 SD v3	Opinion	<p>It is a fundamental principal to me that the maps should make it very unlikely (indeed, ideally impossible) for one party to win a majority while obtaining a minority of the votes lawfully cast.</p> <p>I have no idea if this map has been checked against the above, most important, criterion. What are the odds that Dems or Reps could win the Senate with 49.9% or less of the vote, as drawn in these maps?</p>	Lewis Okun	2021-11-11 17:35:24+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	<p>This map appears to be trying to gerrymander a certain outcome in West Michigan. The other map is a much better fit for the lake shore counties.</p>	Craig Michael Flietstra	2021-11-11 17:33:47+00
Lange Congressional	Like	<p>For the West side of the state perspective, this is a very fair map</p> <p>Dividing Ottawa Count into three different districts makes no sense. I believe it's in everyone's best interest to keep the lake shore counties whole in the same district.</p>	Craig Michael Flietstra	2021-11-11 17:29:09+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>This map make sense keeping the lake shore area together</p>	Craig Michael Flietstra	2021-11-11 17:25:36+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>is very important</p>	Craig Michael Flietstra	2021-11-11 17:16:08+00

Cherry V2	Like	We should have stuck to squaring districts instead of trying to please everyone who had an opinion at the public meetings.	Gera;d De Maire	2021-11-11 16:43:42+00
Szetela House	Dislike	This map makes no sense. Rural areas of Clinton County should be with other like communities in Ionia, Montcalm, and Gratiot County rather than with urban areas of Lansing which have different interests.	Justin Voss	2021-11-11 16:31:19+00
Hickory	Dislike	This map makes no sense. Rural areas of Clinton County should be with other like communities in Ionia, Montcalm, and Gratiot County rather than with urban areas of Lansing which have different interests.	Justin Voss	2021-11-11 16:30:11+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map makes no sense. Rural areas of Clinton County should be with other like communities in Ionia, Montcalm, and Gratiot County rather than with urban areas of Lansing which have different interests.	Justin Voss	2021-11-11 16:29:13+00

Pine v5	Dislike	<p>This map makes no sense. Rural areas of Clinton County should be with other like communities in Ionia, Montcalm, and Gratiot County rather than with urban areas of Lansing which have different interests.</p> <p>All the proposed State Senate maps are the same. They ignore the common interests between the rural areas in mid-Michigan by splitting Lansing into two districts and assigning rural areas to each one.</p>	Justin Voss	2021-11-11 16:27:45+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	<p>This is a really bad map for mid-Michigan. It splits Lansing and East Lansing and groups them each with their own rural areas. The focus should be on creating a district (or two) that represents Lansing/East Lansing and one (or two) that represent the more rural areas.</p>	Justin Voss	2021-11-11 16:24:27+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>This is a really bad map for mid-Michigan. It splits Lansing and East Lansing and groups them each with their own rural areas. The focus should be on creating a district (or two) that represents Lansing/East Lansing and one (or two) that represent the more rural areas.</p>	Justin Voss	2021-11-11 16:19:09+00

Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Why have the Hastings area in District 2 when it is more similar to District 4 or 7 and have western Clinton County in District 7 when it is more similar to District 2, especially when the district lines divide closely related communities.	Justin Voss	2021-11-11 16:16:17+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	Why would we separate outlying areas of Clinton County from their more common neighbors with a district that is so oddly shaped. Move western Clinton County and Ionia County to District 2 and eastern/southern Clinton County to District 7.	Justin Voss	2021-11-11 16:12:01+00
Apple V2	Like	This is a good map for outlying parts of Clinton County which is more similar to its northwest neighbors than is more urban southeast neighbors.	Justin Voss	2021-11-11 16:07:53+00
Chestnut	Opinion	Clinton County is culturally much closer related to Montcalm, Gratiot, and Ionia counties than it is to the more urbanized counties to the southeast. This map really puts those citizens at risk of being unrepresented.	Justin Voss	2021-11-11 16:03:24+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>What are you thinking? You divided Sterling Heights on 4 areas? Do you even know our city? THIS MAP IS BAD FOR US. IT IS UNFAIR AND UNEQUITABLE.</p>	Jazmine Early	2021-11-11 15:44:49+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	<p>I was a fan of the Eid map, but that doesn't appear to be available any longer. Perhaps you've incorporated some of that into one, or more, of the maps that are available. If so, great. Other than that, except for Lange, there doesn't seem to be a lot that separates these maps from a West Michigan perspective. So, except for Lange, pick one that's closest to what the Eid map looked like.</p>	Jack Bengtsson	2021-11-11 15:44:07+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>You can't be serious with this map. It certainly shows the bias of the committee. I an insulted that as an immigrant, you separated my district making it a mess.</p>	Jazmine Early	2021-11-11 15:41:05+00
Szetela House	Like	<p>Why submit the same map with different names? If you read the rules of drafting the map, this maps do not comply with the rules.</p>	Jazmine Early	2021-11-11 15:33:56+00

Pine v5	Like	<p>While I'm not overly fond of any of the State House maps, I can live with this one. Having said that, I realize that drawing a state house map in such a way as to eliminate partisan bias is a challenge in itself, and there is no such thing as a perfect map. So, if I had to chose one, this is the one.</p>	Jack Bengtsson	2021-11-11 15:33:49+00
Szetela House	Like	<p>Why submit the same map with different names? If you read the rules of drafting the map, this maps do not comply with the rules.</p>	Jazmine Early	2021-11-11 15:33:42+00
Szetela House	Like	<p>Why submit the same map with different names? If you read the rules of drafting the map, this maps do not comply with the rules.</p>	Jazmine Early	2021-11-11 15:33:25+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>WHAT A MESS! As an immigrant I am disappointed that our state has spent so much time with this and this is what you come out with? You make things works for our community. NO WAY JOSE your should accept this map.</p>	Jazmine Early	2021-11-11 15:30:39+00

Hickory	Dislike	<p>WHAT A MESS! As an immigrant I am disappointed that our state has spent so much time with this and this is what you come out with? You make things works for our community. NO WAY JOSE your should accept this map.</p> <p>WHAT A MESS! As an immigrant I am disappointed that our state has spent so much time with this and this is what you come out with? You make things works for our community. NO WAY JOSE your should accept this map.</p>	Jazmine Early	2021-11-11 15:30:19+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>WHAT A MESS! As an immigrant I am disappointed that our state has spent so much time with this and this is what you come out with? You make things works for our community. NO WAY JOSE your should accept this map.</p>	Jazmine Early	2021-11-11 15:29:53+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>WHY DON'T YOU LEAVE STERLING HEIGHTS for itself? It doesn't make sense that you keep joining it with Warren. Our community is unique and fairness for us should be that we have a representation that will work for us. If you need to add more population, join to Shelby area and not the south side. I am an immigrant and I want fairness for our district.</p>	Jazmine Early	2021-11-11 15:25:39+00

First of all, I can support this map with a few changes. Of the maps available, this is, by far, the best one. However, as I said it could use a few changes as follows: Chester Twp in Ottawa County looks a little out of place in the 2nd. You might want to explore a way to put into the 3rd, so that Ottawa isn't split into 3 districts. I might shift the 4th a little more into Berrien County and put the Battle Creek area into the 5th. I would move some things around so that Taylor and Romulus are in the 12th and Dearborn is in the 13th, not that hard to do, it would also entail some minor changes to the 6th, the 11th, and the City of Detroit. And, finally, I would move Arenac into the 8th, which would entail some minor adjustments to the 1st and 2nd. Also, I'm a little disappointed that Eid map is no longer visible. However,

Chestnut

Like

Jack Bengtsson

2021-11-11 15:25:33+00

Lange Senate	Like	<p>I vastly prefer this map for the State Senate to any other maps drawn. Putting Gaines and Byron township with the lakeshore is insane and I urge the commission to talk with any west michigander to know this.</p> <p>What a mess you want to create here. I read the regulations for the districts, and this is nothing to what it was described there.</p> <p>Supposedly, this map is to make things more fair and equitable, and if you knew the cities you are working with, you would not draft something like this. For example, Sterling Heights. Do you know the diverse/immigrant community that is there?</p> <p>There were other maps that have been proposed and I dont' see those ideas here. As an immigrant, I do not support this map. Shame on the people who were chosen to draw this.</p>	Patrick Quist	2021-11-11 15:21:14+00
Magnolia	Dislike		Jazmine	2021-11-11 15:20:15+00

Lange Congressional	Like	This respects the realities in W Michigan, so it offers a better connection between representation and the underlying, growing communities.	William Harris	2021-11-11 14:58:53+00
Lange Senate	Like	This treats Kent County with some respect and avoids the absurdity of putting Gaines and Caledonia Twp with lakeshore communities.	William Harris	2021-11-11 14:52:42+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This fundamentally destroys representation of Grand Rapids. In particular the black community would find its voice significantly lessened.	William Harris	2021-11-11 14:43:08+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Like	This much improves the 6th senate district	Ed Schuitema	2021-11-11 14:41:36+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	This makes no sense to split Canton from Plymouth; piling Canton with Westland and Inkster makes no sense. This is just creating a super democrat district and making it not competitive at all	Matt Stacey	2021-11-11 14:35:35+00

Pine v5	Like	<p>I am delighted to see if this map keeps together the three neighborhoods in Detroit that work so closely together “ East english village, Morningside, and Cornerstone. That's the most important thing for me. But I do wish the map did not stretch so long and skinny northward since it will be harder to communicate with other people in the district.</p> <p>The Chestnut Map looks great to me. I am especially pleased to see that northern Bay County is included with the rest of county. Saginaw, Midland, Bay City, and Flint have shared interests. This is where we shop, visit, have professional connections, and share natural resources.</p>	Nancy Brigham	2021-11-11 14:00:43+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>There is a partisan fairness file under the shapefile link but its wrong, analyzing Republican data only. Also, curiously it says the file was last modified on November 8 at 5:17 p.m., hours after the deadline. Who created the file?</p>	Ellen R Charlebois	2021-11-11 13:54:17+00
Lange Congressional	Like		Neil J. Lehto	2021-11-11 13:42:03+00

Szetela House	Like	This is a great district that connects COI's with common values, while also maintaining diversity.	D Caruso	2021-11-11 13:36:56+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map does a good job of keeping the LSC communities together.	D Caruso	2021-11-11 13:30:40+00
Palm	Like	The Palm map seems to be the only bipartisan option.	Richard Mikowski	2021-11-11 13:28:12+00
Pine v5	Dislike	Splitting Zeeland from Holland looks like packing to me.	Luke Dornon	2021-11-11 13:26:44+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	This map disconnects from the other LSC communities. Not a good map.	D Caruso	2021-11-11 13:26:42+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Splitting Zeeland from Holland looks like packing to me.	Luke Dornon	2021-11-11 13:26:34+00
Hickory	Dislike	Splitting Zeeland from Holland looks like packing to me.	Luke Dornon	2021-11-11 13:26:25+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Splitting Zeeland from Holland looks like packing to me.	Luke Dornon	2021-11-11 13:26:15+00
Lange Senate	Like	This is the closest map proposed to maintaining Ottawa county integrity.	Luke Dornon	2021-11-11 13:24:07+00
Lange Congressional	Like	This is the most fair map of West MI of those proposed.	Luke Dornon	2021-11-11 13:21:01+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	Gerrymandering to get Muskegon and GR together for partisan voter concentration.	Luke Dornon	2021-11-11 13:19:37+00

Apple V2	Dislike	Another gerrymander to try and tie together disparate urban areas for no apparent reason other than partisan advantage.	Luke Dornon	2021-11-11 13:18:34+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map seems to only allow Democratic candidates to win. It is not a fair representation of the district. I think that District 13 on this map keeps several communities of interest together, while also connection those COI's with other COI's that share values. This is the best of the three options.	Richard Mikowski	2021-11-11 13:16:20+00
Apple V2	Like	This is pretty obvious gerrymander. There is no reason to stretch a district from GR to include other urban areas except partisan cracking.	D Caruso	2021-11-11 13:16:11+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Although you will not please everyone, moving South Lyon to such a conservative and large district will be harmful to the balance of the South Lyon community. We shop and vote in South Lyon. We worship in South Lyon. Why move us?	Luke Dornon	2021-11-11 13:15:52+00
Chestnut	Dislike		Linda Gruber	2021-11-11 13:10:04+00

Szetela Congressional	Like	For decades the west coast has suffered from representation with little or no interest in environmental concerns. The proposed District 3 will give those dedicated to improving natural water resources an active voice in congress.	Timothy Koschmann	2021-11-11 12:27:08+00
Kellom Senate	Like	This is the best map so far for MI Senate. Please approve it I support the Chestnut Plan for Congressional Districts. It has the lowest partisan lean of any of the maps advanced to this phase. The efficiency gap (wasted votes for either party) is very low. It maximizes the competitive districts in the state. None of the three Congressional maps is perfect, but this is the best of the lot.	Patel	2021-11-11 06:52:06+00
Chestnut	Like		Dennis Boles	2021-11-11 03:09:08+00
Palm	Like	The "palm" senate map correctly protects communities of interest in the Jackson and Ann Arbor communities. Jackson and AA have unique cultures, values, etc etc etc. They are different communities and deserve to EACH have their own voice.	Al P	2021-11-11 01:34:24+00

Chestnut	Dislike	<p>Wyandotte and Southgate are very important part of the downriver community of interest. They should be included with Trenton, Grosse ile, etc. Wyandotte shares waterfront issues with all the communities to the south, not the north, and should be included in the district below.</p> <p>I am adding to my first comment that I like how this map keeps the Huron Chain of lakes together in one district. All the lake in the chain have a "Do not eat the fish order" because of PFAS. It is best to keep them in one Senate</p>	Michael Izzo	2021-11-11 01:32:15+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>District 17 is not a competitive district. Please do</p>	Cindy Michniewicz	2021-11-11 01:28:44+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>not approve this map. This map makes competitive districts, I like it! This is the best of the three tree-named</p>	Carrie Rheingans	2021-11-11 00:39:32+00
Linden	Like	<p>maps.</p>	Carrie Rheingans	2021-11-11 00:38:12+00

Szetela House	Dislike	Dickinson County should not be split. Though the UP has a very unified identity, there are still regions that have completely different cultures. Putting Iron Mountain with the 110th is not a good idea as it confuses residents of the entire county. Please put Dickinson County together in the 108th or 109th!	Dixon Wolfe	2021-11-10 23:38:10+00
Szetela House	Dislike	There is obviously no commonality between northern Monroe County and the city of Taylor. The area encompassing #29 in Monroe County is all agricultural whereas this travels north into the very densely populated city. The Monroe County area in question is more suited with the remainder of northern Monroe County as it currently is. If you are seeking "like kind" associations, the proposed designation #29 must be revisited.	Gary S. Banning	2021-11-10 22:16:31+00
Cherry V2	Like	This seems like a fair drawing of the districts.	Barbara Schmid	2021-11-10 22:11:37+00

Lange Senate correct	Like	This is a very good proposal for district 16! Keeps Monroe and Lenawee Counties together with a slice of Hillsdale County. Best proposal yet for District 16!	Thomas Heck	2021-11-10 21:51:43+00
Chestnut	Like	Chestnut is the best map of all those proposed. Clear boundaries, and logical.	ADAM Buchanan	2021-11-10 20:54:25+00
Szetela House	Dislike	No way. Magnolia and Pine V5 are far superior and fairer.	Susan Fegley McKee	2021-11-10 20:44:55+00
Pine v5	Dislike	This map general looks very gerrymandered still. Cutting up GR like this looks like someone with a agenda did it. There has to be a better way	JOY L MURPHY	2021-11-10 20:41:35+00
Szetela Senate	Like	Similar to Kellom and Linden, I like these three maps. Still don't like the sliver of Lyndon Township that gets placed separately from the rest of Washtenaw County	Susan Fegley McKee	2021-11-10 20:36:59+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike		Susan Fegley McKee	2021-11-10 20:28:43+00

Still very disappointed to see this area zoned into district 9 instead of district 11 or 10. It doesn't make any sense to put any parts of Oakland county with district 9 and the entire thumb of Michigan. It makes even less sense to put this specific part of Oakland township into district 9 considering it is zoned into Rochester Community Schools yet most of the area zoned into this school district is in district 10 or 11. I am asking this area be zoned into district 10 or 11 for the sake of continuity for having constituents with common characteristics in the same district together.

Szetela Congressional Dislike

Nabil Chamra

2021-11-10 19:42:05+00

Still very disappointed to see this area zoned into district 9 instead of district 11 or 10. It doesn't make any sense to put any parts of Oakland county with district 9 and the entire thumb of Michigan. It makes even less sense to put this specific part of Oakland township into district 9 considering it is zoned into Rochester Community Schools yet most of the area zoned into this school district is in district 10 or 11. I am asking this area be zoned into district 10 or 11 for the sake of continuity for having constituents with common characteristics in the same district together.

Lange Congressional Dislike

Nabil Chamra

2021-11-10 19:41:22+00

This map does not serve the needs of Flint well, and it certainly does not advance the goals of partisan fairness. For most of the last decade, Flint has had not one but two representatives in the state house. Rather than follow the example provided by map P7273 which provided for Flint to have one district that would clearly be represented by a Flint resident with a chance for a second seat as exists today, this map ensures that Flint will have only one representative with no chance for a second. Additionally, this map does not advance partisan fairness and packs Democratic voters into a single, overwhelmingly Democratic district. This kind of mapping is the very definition of partisan gerrymandering. Please change this district line to allow for two chances for a Flint representative, following Joel Arnold

Pine v5

Dislike

2021-11-10 19:10:00+00

This state house map does not serve well the interest of Flint voters. This draft presents a real risk that Flint may not have any Flint residents representing us in Lansing. We know that we cannot rely on the goodwill of others to speak for our city, as we have seen in the last decade what happens when our voice is ignored in state government.

As you work to refine your maps however, I would ask that you not simply pack all of Flint into one district, risking a clear partisan gerrymander. Instead, Flint should have a district that provides a clear opportunity for representation, preferably a majority-Black district, without packing voters who often tend to vote for similar candidates all into one district, resulting in a large number of wasted votes.

Szetela House

Dislike

Joel Arnold

2021-11-10 19:08:17+00

This map does not serve the needs of Flint well, and it certainly does not advance the goals of partisan fairness. For most of the last decade, Flint has had not one but two representatives in the state house. Rather than follow the example provided by map P7273 which provided for Flint to have one district that would clearly be represented by a Flint resident with a chance for a second seat as exists today, this map ensures that Flint will have only one representative with no chance for a second. Additionally, this map does not advance partisan fairness and packs Democratic voters into a single, overwhelmingly Democratic district. This kind of mapping is the very definition of partisan gerrymandering. Please change this district line to allow for two chances for a Flint representative, following Joel Arnold

Magnolia

Dislike

2021-11-10 19:05:51+00

This map does not serve the needs of Flint well, and it certainly does not advance the goals of partisan fairness. For most of the last decade, Flint has had not one but two representatives in the state house. Rather than follow the example provided by map P7273 which provided for Flint to have one district that would clearly be represented by a Flint resident with a chance for a second seat as exists today, this map ensures that Flint will have only one representative with no chance for a second. Additionally, this map does not advance partisan fairness and packs Democratic voters into a single, overwhelmingly Democratic district. This kind of mapping is the very definition of partisan gerrymandering. Please change this district line to allow for two chances for a Flint representative, following Joel Arnold

Hickory

Dislike

2021-11-10 19:04:18+00

This map does not serve the needs of Flint well, and it certainly does not advance the goals of partisan fairness. For most of the last decade, Flint has had not one but two representatives in the state house. Rather than follow the example provided by map P7273 which provided for Flint to have one district that would clearly be represented by a Flint resident with a chance for a second seat as exists today, this map ensures that Flint will have only one representative with no chance for a second. Additionally, this map does not advance partisan fairness and packs Democratic voters into a single, overwhelmingly Democratic district. This kind of mapping is the very definition of partisan gerrymandering. Please change this district line to allow for two chances for a Flint representative, following  
It's not okay for our community.

Hickory

Dislike

Joel Arnold

2021-11-10 19:03:23+00

Hickory

Dislike

Md. Asif Hasan

2021-11-10 17:44:41+00

Szetela House	Dislike	<p>This one gets it close, but not quite. Salem Twp. needs to be with South Lyon AND Lyon Twp. as all of it is really part of the greater "South Lyon" area and share the same school district, mailing address, shopping, dining, etc. This is really considered one community and should not be split up.</p>	Patrick Maguire	2021-11-10 17:41:38+00
		<p>This one gets it close, but not quite. Salem Twp. needs to be with South Lyon AND Lyon Twp. as all of it is really part of the greater "South Lyon" area and share the same school district, mailing address, shopping, dining, etc. This is really considered one community and should not be split up.</p>		
Hickory	Dislike	<p>This one gets it close, but not quite. Salem Twp. needs to be with South Lyon AND Lyon Twp. as all of it is really part of the greater "South Lyon" area and share the same school district, mailing address, shopping, dining, etc. This is really considered one community and should not be split up.</p>	Patrick D Maguire	2021-11-10 17:41:00+00

Magnolia	Like	<p>This is another one of the only maps that makes sense for Salem Township. Salem residents largely consider themselves part of "South Lyon". Most have a South Lyon mailing address, they go there to shop/eat/play/church, and they are part of South Lyon schools. Salem Twp should be in the same district as South Lyon and Lyon Twp.</p>	Patrick Maguire	2021-11-10 17:38:48+00
Pine v5	Like	<p>This is one of the only maps that makes sense for Salem Township. Salem residents largely consider themselves part of "South Lyon". Most have a South Lyon mailing address, they go there to shop/eat/play/church, and they are part of South Lyon schools. Salem Twp should be in the same district as South Lyon and Lyon Twp.</p>	Patrick Maguire	2021-11-10 17:37:53+00

Szetela Senate	Dislike	<p>Salem Township should be associated with Lyon Twp and South Lyon, as opposed to Ann Arbor, Dexter and Grass Lake. The majority of Salem Twp is South Lyon Schools. Most residents of the township would consider themselves part of the South Lyon area (especially since most have a South Lyon address). They go to South Lyon/Lyon Township for shopping, dining, church, etc.</p>	Patrick Maguire	2021-11-10 17:36:00+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	<p>Salem Township should be associated with Lyon Twp and South Lyon, as opposed to Ann Arbor, Saline, and Bridgewater. The majority of Salem Twp is South Lyon Schools. Most residents of the township would consider themselves part of the South Lyon area (especially since most have a South Lyon address). They go to South Lyon/Lyon Township for shopping, dining, church, etc.</p>	Patrick D Maguire	2021-11-10 17:34:37+00

Kellom Senate	Dislike	<p>Salem Township should be associated with Lyon Twp and South Lyon, as opposed to Ann Arbor, Plymouth and Northville. The majority of Salem Twp is South Lyon Schools. Most residents of the township would consider themselves part of the South Lyon area (especially since most have a South Lyon address). They go to South Lyon/Lyon Township for shopping, dining, church, etc.</p>	Patrick Maguire	2021-11-10 17:33:44+00
Linden	Dislike	<p>Salem Township should be associated with Lyon Twp and South Lyon, as opposed to Ann Arbor, Plymouth and Northville. The majority of Salem Twp is South Lyon Schools. Most residents of the township would consider themselves part of the South Lyon area (especially since most have a South Lyon address). They go to South Lyon/Lyon Township for shopping, dining, church, etc.</p>	Patrick Maguire	2021-11-10 17:33:06+00

Salem Township should be associated with Lyon Twp and South Lyon, as opposed to Ann Arbor, Plymouth and Northville. The majority of Salem Twp is South Lyon Schools. Most residents of the township would consider themselves part of the South Lyon area (especially since most have a South Lyon address). They go to South Lyon/Lyon Township for shopping, dining, church, etc.

Palm

Dislike

Patrick Maguire

2021-11-10 17:32:20+00

This is ridiculous. Having Salem Township be a part of this gerry-mandered district that includes Livingston and Jackson counties makes no sense. Most of the folks in Salem Township have a South Lyon address. Most consider them a part of the "South Lyon" area. They go there to shop, dine, socialize, go to church, etc. Salem Township should be in the same district as South Lyon and Lyon Twp.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Patrick Maguire

2021-11-10 17:31:32+00

Szetela Congressional Dislike	Salem Township should be associated with Lyon Twp and South Lyon, as opposed to Ann Arbor, Plymouth and Northville. The majority of Salem Twp is South Lyon Schools. Most residents of the township would consider themselves part of the South Lyon area (especially since most have a South Lyon address). They go to South Lyon/Lyon Township for shopping, dining, church, etc.	Patrick Maguire	2021-11-10 17:27:40+00
Lange Congressional Dislike	Salem Township should be associated with Lyon Twp and South Lyon, as opposed to Ann Arbor, Plymouth and Northville. The majority of Salem Twp is South Lyon Schools. Most residents of the township would consider themselves part of the South Lyon area (especially since most have a South Lyon address). They go to South Lyon/Lyon Township for shopping, dining, church, etc.	Patrick Maguire	2021-11-10 17:25:39+00

Apple V2	Dislike	<p>Salem Township should be associated with Lyon Twp and South Lyon, as opposed to Ann Arbor, Plymouth and Northville. The majority of Salem Twp is South Lyon Schools. Most residents of the township would consider themselves part of the South Lyon area (especially since most have a South Lyon address). They go to South Lyon/Lyon Township for shopping, dining, church, etc.</p>	Patrick Maguire	2021-11-10 17:25:01+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>Salem Township should be associated with Lyon Twp and South Lyon, as opposed to Ann Arbor, Plymouth and Northville. The majority of Salem Twp is South Lyon Schools. Most residents of the township would consider themselves part of the South Lyon area (especially since most have a South Lyon address). They go to South Lyon/Lyon Township for shopping, dining, church, etc.</p>	Patrick Maguire	2021-11-10 17:24:11+00

		Salem Township should be associated with Lyon Twp and South Lyon, as opposed to Ann Arbor, Plymouth and Northville. The majority of Salem Twp is South Lyon Schools. Most residents of the township would consider themselves part of the South Lyon area (especially since most have a South Lyon address). They go to South Lyon/Lyon Township for shopping, dining, church, etc.		
Birch V2	Dislike	It doesn't go with our community.	Patrick Maguire	2021-11-10 17:22:32+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Not a good one for our community.	Md. Asif Hasan	2021-11-10 17:14:11+00
Szetela House	Dislike	Not a good one for our community.	Md. Asif Hasan	2021-11-10 17:08:09+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Not a good one for our community.	Md. Asif Hasan	2021-11-10 17:01:19+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Not a good one that protects our community of interest.	Md. Asif Hasan	2021-11-10 16:51:58+00
Apple V2	Like	Agree with this map.	Paul G Prodromos	2021-11-10 16:41:14+00

Szetela House	Dislike	<p>I live in east Troy and don't understand why all these maps propose to cut off a slice of Troy and group us with our eastern neighbors. I do not wish to be cut off from the city I pay taxes to and the place where I send my children to school. This is a dumb idea and doesn't represent the residents.</p>	Julia E. Rodriguez	2021-11-10 16:22:58+00
Lange Congressional	Opinion	<p>Just wondering why their is no Partisan fairness score but just shows Republican info? Makes me think this is not a good map</p>	Mary O'Neill	2021-11-10 16:21:35+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>This looks like a good map. I collected signatures for VNP and want to see fair maps. Thank you for all your hard work</p>	Mary O'Neill	2021-11-10 15:44:04+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>It is noteworthy that most all the comments are dislike this map from Midland and around us and no like comments even from the metro areas around us. Please take note that this is definite sign that this map does not work for our district.</p>	Mary J Quehl	2021-11-10 15:40:58+00

I keep seeing comments from citizens from Flint, Saginaw, and Bay City stating that they think this map is the most fair and that they believe that Midland should be included in with Flint. However mostly all red dots from Midland. This could be no further from the truth. Flint has a population of 100K and Midland 40K. Flint has a totally different COI than Midland. They have issues that need representation totally different than Midland. They need drinking water fixed, while we need the ongoing 10 year project of dam, infrastructure, home and business property damage and repair which is ongoing and is in need of massive funding. The Detroit News just had an article that shows the COI of Midland and Gladwin on the flood of 2020 and funding that was just

Birch V2	Dislike	given. We are no where near	Mary J Quehl	2021-11-10 15:32:29+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Sad	Anthony Scannell	2021-11-10 15:30:18+00
Birch V2	Dislike	An afterthought	Anthony Scannell	2021-11-10 15:28:22+00

I keep seeing comments from citizens from Flint, Saginaw, and Bay City stating that they think this map is the most fair and that they believe that Midland should be included in with Flint. This could be no further from the truth. Flint has a population of 100K and Midland 40K. Flint has a totally different COI than Midland. They have issues that need representation totally different than Midland. They need drinking water fixed, while we need the ongoing 10 year project of dam, infrastructure, home and business property damage and repair which is ongoing and is in need of massive funding. The Detroit News just had an article that shows the COI of Midland and Gladwin on the flood of 2020 and funding that was just given. We are no where near the total that will be needed.

Chestnut	Dislike	Similarly Flint with their District 11 looks the best in this and similar maps.	Mary J Quehl	2021-11-10 15:21:22+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	District 11 looks the best in this and similar maps.	Jason Housley	2021-11-10 14:39:56+00
Lange Congressional	Like	District 11 looks the best in this and similar maps.	Jason Housley	2021-11-10 14:38:52+00
Birch V2	Like	this and similar maps.	Jason Housley	2021-11-10 14:37:55+00

Apple V2	Dislike	District 11 seems to be drawn to follow party leanings more than anything else in this map. It's still an improvement over the last decade though. This seems like the sort of needlessly meandering district boundary that we want to avoid. Novi and Northville are pretty distinct socio-economically, and it makes more sense to group Novi with other Oakland County communities than to try to make it an extension of Northville, which seems to be	Jason Housley	2021-11-10 14:34:35+00
Chestnut	Dislike	the logic of this map.	Jason Housley	2021-11-10 14:09:10+00

RES to the Chestnut map: This map makes so much sense! It creates a Grand River congressional district, and combines two urban areas in GR and Muskegon that are closer in alignment than Apple does with GR and Kalamazoo. This congress person can focus on environmental issues with the river, as well as give a voice that hasn't been heard in the West Michigan area forever. It combines the two GVSU campuses into one district as well.

As a resident of Ottawa County I can assure you, even though it is the fastest growing county in the state, there is no one single Ottawa County, as many of our northern county residents feel left out by the larger population of the southern half of the county, whose ideas on how to manage the

Chestnut

Like

John

2021-11-10 14:05:44+00

Kellom Senate	Like	<p>This map makes more sense for the area! It combines the GVSU campuses into one district, which MAKES SENSE. There are major transportation and watershed issues between these communities, the Grand River is shared with Kent County and GR. Please keep this in mind.</p>	John	2021-11-10 13:58:02+00
		<p>Why are you carving out Holland, only to carve in highly conservative Byron township in Kent county?</p>		
Lange Senate	Dislike	<p>All these people clamoring for Ottawa county "wholeness" are exposing themselves. This screams Gerrymandering.</p>	John	2021-11-10 13:48:49+00

There is no valid justification or explanation for carving, inexplicably, the Emerald Lakes neighborhood out of Troy. First, Troy should be kept as one district, and the commission should not rely on an arbitrary line of John R. However, to the extent the commission relies on John R as a boundary, there is no explanation for why the map should reach across the road and carve out the Emerald Lakes. The neighborhood is closely related to the rest of Troy and I can imagine no reason to distinguish it from other areas west of John R. No rhyme or reason for this change, which was not included in the original maps. It constitutes an unnecessary division of basic communities of interest, municipal and county boundaries.

Magnolia

Dislike

Robert

2021-11-10 04:00:25+00

There is no valid justification or explanation for carving, inexplicably, the Emerald Lakes neighborhood out of Troy. First, Troy should be kept as one district, and the commission should not rely on an arbitrary line of John R. However, to the extent the commission relies on John R as a boundary, there is no explanation for why the map should reach across the road and carve out the Emerald Lakes. The neighborhood is closely related to the rest of Troy and I can imagine no reason to distinguish it from other areas west of John R. No rhyme or reason for this change, which was not included in the original maps. It constitutes an unnecessary division of basic communities of interest, municipal and county boundaries.

Szetela House

Dislike

Robert

2021-11-10 03:58:11+00

There is no valid justification or explanation for carving, inexplicably, the Emerald Lakes neighborhood out of Troy. First, Troy should be kept as one district, and the commission should not rely on an arbitrary line of John R. However, to the extent the commission relies on John R as a boundary, there is no explanation for why the map should reach across the road and carve out the Emerald Lakes. The neighborhood is closely related to the rest of Troy and I can imagine no reason to distinguish it from other areas west of John R. No rhyme or reason for this change, which was not included in the original maps. It constitutes an unnecessary division of basic communities of interest, municipal and county boundaries.

Hickory

Dislike

Robert

2021-11-10 03:53:55+00

Hickory	Like	<p>Please choose this map. Again, it unpacks Ann Arbor along the I-94 corridor and improves partisan fairness. Jackson especially needs proportionate representation that accounts for its urban citizens. Please choose improved partisan fairness with this map.</p>	Nikkia Hurlbert	2021-11-10 01:41:26+00
Linden	Like	<p>This is by far the best map for Partisan fairness which is hugely important. Thank you for unpacking Ann Arbor. Thank you for your hard work. Having been raised in the Barry county, Nashville area, I am pleased with this map. These communities would be fairly represented. I now live in Calhoun county and feel this map is right on for communities of interest and school district sizes.</p>	Gloria Llamas	2021-11-10 01:13:13+00
Palm	Like	<p>Please choose this map as it is better than what we have currently and unpacking Ann Arbor helps to more accurately keep communities of interest connected.</p>	Pamela Lasley	2021-11-10 01:03:12+00
Linden	Like	<p>Please choose this map as it is better than what we have currently and unpacking Ann Arbor helps to more accurately keep communities of interest connected.</p>	Jennifer Jones Barnes	2021-11-10 01:02:25+00

Apple V2	Like	<p>I like this map in it's correspondence to communities of interest. It keeps our smaller communities together, as well as keeping our military community together. I like this District 5 map.</p> <p>Thank you for all you hard work. Partisan fairness is so extremely important for elected officials to be accountable. Thank you for especially important unpacking of Ann Arbor</p>	Pamela Lasley	2021-11-10 00:55:38+00
Hickory	Like	<p>This is the best map so far please use this map.</p> <p>Thank you for this mapping of 66. I appreciate you keeping our military community intact and allowing us to be able to keep our community of interest as it is shown here. Also, thank you for not including Albion and Marshall in this mapping, yet keeping them together since they share a school system.</p>	Gloria Llamas	2021-11-10 00:45:47+00
Pine v5	Like		Pamela Lasley	2021-11-10 00:44:31+00

Hickory	Like	Partisan fairness is crucial and most of the maps have not reached that BUT thank you for unpacking Ann Arbor! It is a step in the right direction.	Jennifer Jones Barnes	2021-11-10 00:40:31+00
Linden	Like	This map is more fair. I've lived in Jackson and worked in Ann Arbor for 25 years. They are linked and this map represents that they are.	Michael Cox	2021-11-10 00:30:12+00
Hickory	Like	Thanks for your hard work. This map is not perfect, but is better on partisan fairness than the others, a key factor for me. Importantly, it also would unpack Ann Arbor.	John Wysor	2021-11-10 00:29:59+00
Hickory	Like	Thanks for all your work. I think partisan fairness is extremely important for elected officials to be accountable & while I wish there was a higher degree of partisan fairness, this map is better than others for partisan fairness, Especially important was unpacking Ann Arbor. Thanks again for all your work on a very difficult task.	Robert T King	2021-11-10 00:29:27+00

Hickory	Like	I feel this is likely the best map from the perspective of partisan fairness - I appreciate the 'unpacking' of Ann Arbor! this map is good on partisan	Edward McTaggart	2021-11-10 00:29:17+00
Hickory	Like	fairness This map is well short of perfect, but it is nevertheless the best from a partisan fairness standpoint, of those that are on offer. Partisan fairness is critical. I appreciate the fact that you unpacked Ann Arbor in this map. Please go with this	JILL HASEN	2021-11-10 00:28:29+00
Hickory	Like	map. This map is more fair from a partisan standpoint than the other maps. Please adopt this	Edward Ian Robinson	2021-11-10 00:28:03+00
Hickory	Like	map.	Kat Brausch	2021-11-10 00:27:30+00
Linden	Like	This map is better than the others on partisan fairness, which I believe is fundamentally important. This map is attractive because it would unpacking Ann Arbor. Please use this map. It is the least partisan and splits Ann Arbor which helps fairly represent the many that live	John Wysor	2021-11-10 00:26:56+00
Hickory	Like	there.	Fred Klein	2021-11-10 00:26:52+00

Linden	Like	<p>This map helps give a voice to Jackson County citizens who live in the city of Jackson. Thank you for unpacking Ann Arbor and opening the I-94 corridor. Partisan fairness is hugely important and this map isn't perfect, but is much better than what we currently have and any other propositions. Please choose this map.</p>	Nikkia Hurlbert	2021-11-10 00:26:45+00
Linden	Like	<p>Thanks for all your work. I think partisan fairness is extremely important for elected officials to be accountable &amp; this map is better than others for partisan fairness, Especially important was unpacking Ann Arbor. Thanks again for all your work on a very difficult task.</p>	Robert T King	2021-11-10 00:26:35+00
Linden	Like	<p>Thank you for splitting Ann Arbor. This helps to fairly represent the voices in Ann Arbor.</p>	Fred Klein	2021-11-10 00:25:04+00
Hickory	Like	<p>This map looks like a significant improvement over previous in promoting partisan fairness - I appreciate that Ann Arbor was "unpacked" in this map</p>	Edward McTaggart	2021-11-10 00:24:52+00

Hickory	Like	This map is pretty fair. I'm happy with this.	Patrick M Ulanowicz	2021-11-10 00:24:28+00
Hickory	Like	Thank you for this fair map that doesn't pack Ann Arbor into its own district.	Noah Goldberg	2021-11-10 00:24:12+00
Linden	Like	Thank you for fair maps! Thank you for unpacking Ann Arbor. This map is better than all the others from the standpoint of partisan fairness, which is critical. Please stick with this map, which brings together many people who commute along the I-94 corridor between Ann Arbor and Jackson.	Dani Hoover	2021-11-10 00:23:55+00
Linden	Like	good plan unpacking ann arbor, this map is good on	Ian Robinson	2021-11-10 00:23:38+00
Linden	Like	partisan fairness This is much better on partisan fairness than the other maps. Please approve	JILL HASEN	2021-11-10 00:23:12+00
Linden	Like	this map! Thank you for not packing Ann Arbor into its own district and making this fair by combining parts of Jackson	Kat Brausch	2021-11-10 00:22:40+00
Linden	Like	county. This map is fairer than most.	Noah Goldberg	2021-11-10 00:21:08+00
Linden	Like	The Ann Arbor - Jackson I-94 connection is good	Patrick M Ulanowicz	2021-11-10 00:20:48+00

Chestnut	Like	Every map keeps the capital region pretty much the same (Ingham plus most of Clinton & Eaton Counties) and I understand why its grouped with Livingston County to get it up to 775k. Can't complain about that and it makes more sense than being grouped with northern Oakland County...	Jessica Sietsema	2021-11-10 00:15:07+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	I strongly disagree that Calhoun County should be split in half and somehow in a district touching Kentwood. This make no sense. I grew up in Eastern Ottawa County and this division mostly makes sense... given that the Grand Rapids metro triplex is the second largest metropolitan area in the state and going to have to be divided in some fashion. Eastern Ottawa County is aligned with Grand Rapids, Grand Haven and Muskegon are linked together, and Holland is included with the southwest lake shore.	Steve	2021-11-10 00:13:10+00
Birch V2	Like		Jessica Sietsema	2021-11-10 00:08:42+00

Pine v5	Like	Thank you for adjusting District 2 to include more Bangladeshi voices/voters and recognizing our community of interest. Please keep this border for the final version.	Lynnette Blasey	2021-11-09 23:54:21+00
Cherry V2	Like	This looks like a much more fair voting district than the one in which I currently reside. Thank you.	Melany Mack	2021-11-09 23:48:49+00
Birch V2	Like	The BIRCH map keeps the Greater Lansing area together and that is both important and appropriate. Nice work! Please adopt the BIRCH map.	Melany Mack	2021-11-09 23:43:56+00
Lange Senate	Dislike	Thank you. The 37th District (District 5) is not contiguous. There doesn't appear to be anything connecting the upper and lower peninsulas. Please keep our counties whole as they are our communities of interest.	Geri Szymanski	2021-11-09 23:35:15+00

I'm glad to see these new maps put the 110th district back in the UP, however I don't like seeing counties split up. Dickinson County should not be split between the 110 and 109. It should either be fully in the 109, or stay in the 108 as it is currently. Baraga County also shouldn't be in the 109, but should stay in the 110 where it is currently. Baraga County's cultural, historical, and economic interests and needs share much more in common with those of Keweenaw, Houghton, Ontonagon, Gogebic, and Iron Counties than it does with Marquette and Alger Counties. I also don't like to see Mackinac County split. It should be entirely in the 107. There is no need to split up UP counties. I can understand the need for that in the LP communities with larger populations, but the UP I live in SW Barry County. This is a good map because Barry County is grouped with portions of Allegan, Kent and Calhoun counties with many common interests.

Szetela House

Dislike

Sarah Blakely

2021-11-09 22:17:53+00

Cherry V2

Like

Kenneth Kornheiser

2021-11-09 22:08:19+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>I live in SW Barry County. It is appropriate to group Barry County with Allegan and Kalamazoo Counties as there are many common interests and intersections of commerce, services and social activity.</p> <p>In this scenario I live in District 5. But, having worked in Battle Creek for over 40 years, believe this map respects the strong ties between Kalamazoo and Battle Creek and will help both communities be well represented in Congress. Overall the map has good scores and I support this map for Michigan.</p>	Kenneth Kornheiser	2021-11-09 22:04:34+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>Keeping counties whole is one of the last criteria in the constitutional ranking and should not be the determining criteria. Calhoun County's economy, education, health and social construct follows I-94 and attaching us to the counties to the south makes no sense. This map is a disservice to Calhoun</p>	Linda J Pell	2021-11-09 21:26:32+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>County.</p>	Linda J Pell	2021-11-09 21:08:11+00

Hickory	Like	I like this map as it is a better representation of communities of interest. I collected signatures for VNP to get Fair maps.	Mary O'Neill	2021-11-09 21:01:10+00
Chestnut	Like	This map would pit Brenda Lawrence against Debbie Dingell and that's a fight I want to watch. VOTE FOR THIS MAP!!	Angelo D Guarnieri	2021-11-09 20:57:01+00
Kellom Senate	Dislike	Sorry, but this map is garbage. Northern Allen Park and Melvindale are a single school district. Breaking them up (as they are now) leads to kids in that school district not getting	Angelo D Guarnieri	2021-11-09 20:53:11+00
Szetela House	Dislike	a voice.	Angelo D Guarnieri	2021-11-09 20:50:20+00
Chestnut	Like	I disagree with Jeremy Fisher, I have lived in Ferndale 34 years and we have nothing in common with Macomb County, we vote more Democrat compared to Macomb County. We probably have more in common with Southfield than with Rochester/Rochester Hills. We are part of Oakland County and should remain part of Oakland County.	Giancarlo Castillo	2021-11-09 20:50:01+00

I agree with the other comments associated with this newest map. COI's were supposed to be the critical component in redrawing these maps. From a local perspective # 73 area- wise is primarily comprised of small towns and agricultural, rural voters. How do we have anything in common with imported college kids who now can register the day of an election to vote in our area as long as they prove residency by 8pm the day of the election to vote in person. They only have to live in the area for 30 days to meet requirements. Okemos, Meridian Twp, and Williamston residents, many who are employed by MSU primarily are affiliated with university needs and have little understanding of rural issues. For example MSU and schools went to online conferencing to work and

Szetela House

Dislike

Lorie Culham

2021-11-09 20:25:25+00

Lange Senate	Dislike	<p>This is not a good map at all. It separates the Tri-Cities which we have declared multiple times is an actual community of interest. It lumps Bay County with the Thumb with whom we have few common interests. Representatives from the Thumb have no interest in Bay County.. It has been tried before. Please do not force this map on us, especially when you have other, better choices. thanks you.</p>	Carol Heron	2021-11-09 19:14:17+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>This is absurd. There is no reasonable explanation to split the city of Ann Arbor besides trying to guarantee a democratic majority. That is not the job of the commission. They should respect communities of interest. Any changes for blatant partisan reasons like this is pure gerrymandering.</p>	Alan Poehl	2021-11-09 19:13:35+00

Linden	Dislike	<p>There is no reasonable explanation whatsoever to split Ann Arbor like this. Communities of interest rank higher than partisan fairness. If you're willingly breaking COIs for partisan reasons, that is the definition of a gerrymander. This map would guarantee a democratic control of the senate for 10 years. That's simply wrong. Both sides should see how wrong this is.</p> <p>Thank you for this map, commission! Thank you for listening and responding to the public! The people in northern Ann Arbor have more in common with the people of southern Ann Arbor than they do with the people in Jackson.</p> <p>This map is the only senate map that does not break Ann Arbor for partisan reasons like the other two.</p>	Alan Poehl	2021-11-09 19:11:51+00
Palm	Like	<p>Good configuration in Grand Rapids and Ottawa County!</p>	Alan Poehl	2021-11-09 19:09:19+00
Lange Senate	Like		Christopher Khorey	2021-11-09 19:06:07+00

Kellom Senate	Like	<p>This Metro Detroit configuration makes so much more sense than the collaborative ones. It's too bad this map can only be considered if there is a deadlock.</p> <p>This is a least desirable Congressional map for the Tri-City area. it drops off Bay County shoreline. It includes Tuscola County which is better off with the Thumb. Chestnut map is still the winner for our area.</p>	Christopher Khorey	2021-11-09 19:03:04+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	<p>Something is wrong in the partisan fairness excel document, because it has District 2 as 85% Democratic, which is definitely not the case.</p>	Carol Heron	2021-11-09 19:02:03+00
Kellom Senate	Opinion	<p>Commission staff probably knows this, but the Partisan Fairness file for this map is broken. I am interested to see the election data for the 3rd, 7th, and 8th in particular, to see how those compare to Birch.</p>	Christopher Khorey	2021-11-09 19:00:28+00
Lange Congressional	Opinion		Christopher Khorey	2021-11-09 18:56:08+00

Lange Congressional	Dislike	I can not think of any reason why Battle Creek would be in this district and excluded from communities like Kalamazoo and Jackson. It very disappointing and bad district for my community and doesn't reflect anything I know from living in the area.	Steve	2021-11-09 18:50:58+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	The Commission received clear feedback that Clinton, Ingham, and Eaton should be together. Why put Clinton in the 2nd District instead of Ionia?	Christopher Khorey	2021-11-09 18:49:53+00
Chestnut	Like	This map is the best for the state in terms of community of interest while still maintaining partisan fairness and competitiveness. Of the three proposed maps for congressional redistricting, this one is by far the best. As a young Michigander, I want to see our state have congressional districts that represent our communities and try to avoid wasting votes in already decided districts as much as possible. Thank you for your service to our great state.	Adele Klink	2021-11-09 18:49:15+00

Szetela House	Dislike	The commission is required to abide by criteria including consideration of municipal boundaries and communities of interest and this map does not seem to show any consideration towards those. Keeping Harper Woods with the Grosse Pointes was a very popular COI submission	Joe Fresard	2021-11-09 18:48:15+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This does not reflect any effort to make the district reasonably compact, respect municipal boundaries or reflect communities of interest, three of the required criteria.	Joe Fresard	2021-11-09 18:46:07+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	This breaks up communities of interest, unnecessarily crosses municipal boundaries and is not at all compact. This is not what people voted for.	Joe Fresard	2021-11-09 18:43:48+00
Szetela Senate	Like	This respects the COI's submitted by citizens	Joe Fresard	2021-11-09 18:42:09+00
Lange Senate	Like	This respects the COI's submitted by citizens	Joe Fresard	2021-11-09 18:41:39+00

Kellom Senate	Dislike	<p>This breaks up a COI with very strong support, and also crosses municipal boundaries more than necessary. Districts like #4 look as badly gerrymandered as anything we have currently.</p>	Joe Fresard	2021-11-09 18:40:26+00
Hickory	Like	<p>I like the Hickory district map the best as it represents my community, often called the "Chain of Lakes." The Huron River flows through the lakes and we'd like to keep them together in one district. Thank you!</p>	Nancy Johnson	2021-11-09 18:23:22+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>makes sense to put these two big cities together and to increase the voting population for communities of color rather than diffusing the Grand Rapids vote like other maps have done</p>	Owen T. Stecco	2021-11-09 17:52:02+00

Thank you for hearing us in the Tri-Cities and keeping us together with Flint. Chestnut Map is a much better map for the Tri-Cities, though because it keeps more of the counties included and does not include Tuscola which more closely aligns with the Thumb. In particular, Chestnut Map keeps more of the Bay County shoreline together with Bay County in this District which makes a big difference to those residents who live along the Bay County shoreline. Please choose the Chestnut map.

Apple V2

Opinion

Carol Heron

2021-11-09 17:46:11+00

Putting Grand Rapids in its own district with the Western part of West Michigan is critical to aligning values of districts. Lots of religious, cultural, and geographical similarities among this district. Also, it is wrong to continue to split up Grand Rapids as we share the same values. Love this map!

Chestnut

Like

Owen Stecco

2021-11-09 17:45:08+00

Chestnut	Dislike	<p>This is the worst map of them all, it separates multiple communities and does not follow any natural boundary's. I support the Apple map for our communities.</p> <p>This is a bad map for Ottawa county, it splits the county in half and separates it communities. Do not</p>	David L Russcher	2021-11-09 17:27:14+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>implement this map. Thanks</p> <p>This is the best map for the shoreline county's and also follows natural boundary lines. This is the best of all the maps.</p>	David L Russcher	2021-11-09 17:21:10+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>As Lyndon Township Clerk, I like this map the best. It keeps Lyndon Township whole and keeps Lyndon Township with Washtenaw County. With only 3000 residents, I do not see why you would want to split Lyndon in half or exclude it from Washtenaw County. This is the best map for Lyndon Township and its residents.</p>	David L Russcher	2021-11-09 17:17:59+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This is the best map for Lyndon Township and its residents.</p>	Linda Reilly	2021-11-09 16:54:27+00

Apple V2 Like This seems to make sense to me for Grand Rapids and the surrounding areas. The other two maps don't make as much sense. James Donley 2021-11-09 16:39:13+00

Chestnut Like This Chestnut map is the best solution for tri-Cities and Flint/Genesee that I have seen. It includes more of Bay County, keeps Midland City with the tri-Cities, keeps Flint the way I believe that Flint residents preferred. I have to check partisan fairness but I expect that will be good too. Thank you for listening to the citizens of Tri-Cities and Flint. Carol Heron 2021-11-09 16:28:08+00

This map is the best of the three when it comes to an attempt to be fair + community based. And to be honest, the other two maps are a little suspicious in the motivations behind them ((they look too similar to the gerrymandered hack job we have now)).

All in all, this one could be better, it could also be a lot worse. Not everyone is going to be happy in any of the 3 circumstances- and Republicans are going to scream that making things more fair in general is unfair to them.

Thank you to the committee members who are doing their best to be fair and unbiased- I can only imagine the hell you all must be going through.

Chestnut

Like

Best of luck!

Haley Noelle Pote

2021-11-09 16:21:00+00

Midland belongs with the Tri-Cities. The other commissioner's already made a compromise with Commissioner Lange that Midland would its own State House seat, but she totally ignores that and makes both a State Senate and Congressional Seat that takes them away from the Tri-Cities. Midland City is not rural and we belong with other local cities that would better represent us.

Lange Congressional Dislike

Trenton Berry

2021-11-09 15:01:02+00

Please do not split Lyndon Township into multiple congressional districts. It makes no sense to have a District 5 peninsula jutting into District 7 here. I live in Washtenaw, pay property taxes to Washtenaw, and do most of my business in Washtenaw County. I do not feel like my interests will be best represented by having a policy maker focused on the areas in and around Lansing! It makes even less sense to divide us when you consider how few people actually live in the township. Lyndon Twp belongs in District 7.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Carrie Elizabeth Gawne

2021-11-09 14:52:54+00

Linden	Like	There are some suspiciously shaped districts in all the remaining State Senate maps, but I think this one is better than the others at keeping communities of interest intact.	Thomas Reischl	2021-11-09 14:51:22+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This map is horrible, my community of the west side of Detroit is mapped with Romulus. This map should not be adopted and should not even be considered given partisan fairness.	Loida Tapia	2021-11-09 14:43:20+00
Chestnut	Like	I think this map is the best of the three being considered. It does a great job of grouping communities with common interests.	Thomas Reischl	2021-11-09 14:35:58+00

Again!!! What is happening with Macomb COUNTY! DO NOT SPLIT UP THE COUNTIES! Oakland, Wayne and Macomb Counties have completely different demographics, local leadership, identities, jobs, schools. Also, why would one split up the City of Detroit? How can Detroit be strong if it is split up among so many representatives? My suggestion would be to Start with the urban areas, keep them together and then branch out to Rural area to keep them together.

Szetela House

Dislike

Jaime

2021-11-09 14:27:02+00

Macomb County has never been with Wayne county! I also don't recall Macomb ever being with Oakland county either. Northern Macomb belongs with St. Clair county. Keep the counties together!!!

Szetela Congressional Dislike

Jaime

2021-11-09 14:22:15+00

Chestnut	Like	Lyndon Township, Washtenaw County, needs to remain in its entirety with the rest of Washtenaw County. It is fully engaged in many, many regional authorities and rely on regional cooperation for services that better the quality of life for its residents, including the Chelsea Area Fire Authority the Chelsea District Library, the Chelsea Area Construction Agency, the Sylvan Township Water and Sewer Authority, WAVE and many other regional organizations. To split the township or to totally remove it to another district makes no sense for this township of less than 3,000 people.	Pamela G. Byrnes Brown	2021-11-09 14:00:35+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Apple V2 is the best map. Rochester Hills and Troy share COI together. Many Asians live here. Many people who live Rochester Hills also work in Troy and vice versa.	Dave S.	2021-11-09 13:56:31+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Apple V2 is the best map. Rochester Hills shouldnt be with Royal Oak.	Dave S.	2021-11-09 13:53:40+00

Apple V2 Like This is the best map. Thank you for including Rochester Hills with Sterling Heights. We share a lot in common and we are COI. Dave S. 2021-11-09 13:49:41+00

Apple V2 Dislike Why is Lyndon Township left out?? Lyndon's COI definitely lies within this proposed district. It is a member of the Chelsea Area Fire Authority, Chelsea Area Construction Agency, Chelsea District Library, WAVE, Chelsea Area Planning Team, Sylvan Township Water Sewer Authority and many other regional organizations. This is absurd. Pamela G. Byrnes Brown 2021-11-09 13:49:01+00

Thank you commission for going through a lot of data, iterations, and feedback to produce something that looks like a reasonable map without crazy lines. No map is perfect. There will always be someone who across the street is a different district. I hope there is an opportunity to have metrics to see how well/poor these maps do in terms of population representation. Some of the districts cover a large footprint and require reps to travel, I hope that was considered.

In all - I like Chestnut if I have to pick, but honestly, I'm happy to see the care was taken for all of the maps even if some civil boundaries were crossed.

Chestnut

Like

Chris Sellers

2021-11-09 13:24:36+00

I find this map to be the best. It creates different boundaries from the House maps and it yields the opportunity for a diversity in representation. I look

Palm

Like

forward to trying this.

Chris G. Sellers

2021-11-09 13:22:28+00

Hickory	Dislike	I dislike this map, because it splits my Detroit neighborhood, East English Village, into two districts.	Steve Haag	2021-11-09 13:00:42+00
Magnolia	Dislike	I do not like this layout at all, because it splits my Detroit neighborhood, East English Village, into 2 districts. By this proposal Muskegon is placed in a R+30 district, once again losing its voice as a discrete community. This is actually a step backward from the old, Republican-crafted	Steve Haag	2021-11-09 12:58:19+00
Birch V2	Dislike	District 2. Though the proposal seemingly makes other districts in the state more competitive, it does so by throwing Muskegon into a R+18 district. This is no improvement over the old, badly gerrymandered District	Timothy Koschmann	2021-11-09 11:51:34+00
Apple V2	Dislike	2!	Timothy Koschmann	2021-11-09 11:27:53+00

Why did you chop off part of Jackson County and place it with Washtenaw County? Leave Jackson County whole. This is so ridiculous. Jackson County has NOTHING in common with (Ann Arbor). We are rural and they are urban. PUT Jackson County back as it should be, one county. Most of what I see here definitely does not show any equity for any voting party. Gerrymandering at it's worst, loud and proud!. Another shot at a no confidence vote for all involved. Definitely leaning towards the Democrats and definitely making sure that Jackson will never have a chance of getting anyone elected to represent our communities. Community Voices Suppressed with this current map set up. FIX it PLEASE. Get rid of the divide in Jackson County.

Apple V2

Dislike

Vicky Kay Gorsuch

2021-11-09 09:52:12+00

when we voted for this commission, it was with the express understanding that communities of interest would be of the utmost priority in determining the redistricting. As a reminder, a community of interest is a group of people in a specific geographic area who share common interests (such as economic, historic, cultural, or other bonds) that are linked to public policy issues that may be affected by legislation.

Please tell me how River Rouge shares that with Detroit. Our community has always been close with Melvindale, Ecorse and Lincoln Park and now this map and many others toss us into a Detroit district as little more than an afterthought. Detroit politicians always represent Detroit and these maps will be no different. The Ceroid Johnson

Szetela House

Dislike

2021-11-09 07:01:31+00

This map provides a safe Republican district by forcing the City of Midland into a district with all rural counties north & west, counties that do not form a community of interest with the City of Midland. This is an attempt to appease the Republicans by giving them a safe district, where there should be a competitive one. This seems to be Commissioner Lange's goal, since she also did this with the House district. Partisan fairness is such an important criterion for these maps please apply the rules and criteria as agreed. This map is not good. The City of Midland is NOT a rural city, it is an urban/suburban city that should be paired with Bay City, which provides a near zero score on partisan fairness the ideal! Thanks to all the other

Lange Senate

Dislike

Commissioners for paying

Lynn Pottenger

2021-11-09 05:25:51+00

Hickory	Like	<p>For our Brighton household with jobs in Oakland and Washtenaw Counties and cultural/social/retail lives that cross these same borders these districts match our community of interest criteria</p> <p>I like this map. Thank you for keeping counties whole! That was one of the request I heard most often during public hearing and reading public comments. This map looks clean and not gerrymandered like some of the other maps. It also gets very confusing when counties are split multiple ways and you're trying to tell your neighbors who their elected officials are when there are so many.</p>	Emily Kallunki-Pasternak	2021-11-09 04:25:05+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>Commissioner Kellom's Senate Map is the best one for the Chaldean community in Sterling Heights. Please vote for Commissioner</p>	Sonja Marie Patrick	2021-11-09 03:26:58+00
Szetela Senate	Dislike	<p>Kellom's Senate map!</p>	Yousif	2021-11-09 02:36:26+00

Lange Senate	Dislike	Commissioner Kellom's Senate Map is the best one for the Chaldean community in Sterling Heights. Please vote for Commissioner Kellom's Seante map!	Yousif	2021-11-09 02:35:51+00
Lange Congressional	Dislike	This map dilute Chaldean voices in Rochester Hills, Troy and Sterling Heights. Apple V2 is the best congressional map!	Yousif	2021-11-09 02:34:15+00
Szetela Congressional	Dislike	This map dilute Chaldean voices in Rochester Hills, Troy and Sterling Heights. Apple V2 is the best congressional map!	Yousif	2021-11-09 02:33:53+00
Kellom Senate	Like	This is the best plan for senate for the Chaldean community in Sterling Heights! Thank you commissioner Kellom! Please vote for this plan for state senate!	Yousif	2021-11-09 02:30:43+00
Szetela House	Dislike	I oppose this for the same reason I oppose Hickory. Genoa Twp belongs with Brighton, not Ann Arbor. Brighton City/Twp belongs with Genoa and Hamburg, not Wixom or Eastern Commerce Twp. This is a gerrymandered district cracking and carving up a COI for partisan purposes.	Dan Wholihan	2021-11-08 23:10:54+00

Lange Senate	Like	This map keeps Livingston County together and not with Ann Arbor.	Dan Wholihan	2021-11-08 22:40:13+00
Lange Congressional	Like	This is an improvement over the original original and keeps Livingston whole and not with Ann Arbor.	Dan Wholihan	2021-11-08 22:39:05+00
Szetela Congressional	Like	The 7th District is a good fair map that keeps Livingston County whole.	Dan Wholihan	2021-11-08 22:37:59+00
Szetela Senate	Like	This is acceptable for the Livingston County area.	Dan Wholihan	2021-11-08 22:06:17+00
Kellom Senate	Like	Commissioner Kellom's State Senate map isn't bad for Livingston County.	Dan Wholihan	2021-11-08 21:31:57+00

The Commission has done a commendable job on the Congressional and State House district maps keeping Kent County reasonably intact. The proposed State Senate maps are another story.

All three collaborative maps have Kent County fragmented into 5 pieces. Each map has southern Kent County combined with Benton Harbor/St. Joseph, as well as other district boundary irregularities. The proposed maps for State Senate are not reasonable and may be worse than the previous gerrymandered maps. It surprises me that this fragmentation is not obvious to the Commission, and that the Commission considers these maps acceptable. I oppose the three proposed State Senate maps.

Linden

Dislike

Jim Lax

2021-11-08 15:54:33+00

The Commission has done a commendable job on the Congressional and State House district maps keeping Kent County reasonably intact. The proposed State Senate maps are another story.

All three collaborative maps have Kent County fragmented into 5 pieces. Each map has southern Kent County combined with Benton Harbor/St. Joseph, as well as other district boundary irregularities. The proposed maps for State Senate are not reasonable and may be worse than the previous gerrymandered maps. It surprises me that this fragmentation is not obvious to the Commission, and that the Commission considers these maps acceptable. I oppose the three proposed State Senate maps.

Palm

Dislike

Jim Lax

2021-11-08 15:52:35+00

The Commission has done a commendable job on the Congressional and State House district maps keeping Kent County reasonably intact. The proposed State Senate maps are another story.

All three collaborative maps have Kent County fragmented into 5 pieces. Each map has southern Kent County combined with Benton Harbor/St. Joseph, as well as other district boundary irregularities. The proposed maps for State Senate are not reasonable and may be worse than the previous gerrymandered maps. It surprises me that this fragmentation is not obvious to the Commission, and that the Commission considers these maps acceptable. I oppose the three proposed State Senate maps.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Jim Lax

2021-11-08 15:50:46+00

Apple V2	Dislike	I do not support the Apple Map; Apple makes no sense. I support the Birch Map for Grand Rapids/Kent County. Chestnut combines urban areas at the expense of outlying connected suburban and rural areas; Kent County is its own Community of Interest.	Jim Lax	2021-11-08 15:46:43+00
Chestnut	Dislike	I do not support the Chestnut Map; I support the Birch Map for Grand Rapids/Kent County. Chestnut combines urban areas at the expense of outlying connected suburban and rural areas; Kent County is its own Community of Interest. Apple makes no sense.	Jim Lax	2021-11-08 15:43:55+00
Birch V2	Like	I support the Birch Map for Grand Rapids/Kent County. Chestnut combines urban areas at the expense of outlying connected suburban and rural areas; Kent County is its own Community of Interest. Apple makes no sense.	Jim Lax	2021-11-08 15:39:51+00

		This is definitely the best Ann Arbor-Jackson configuration. Palm packs Democrats, and Cherry V2 cuts up COIs.		
Linden	Like	I do wish the Commission had considered keeping Ann Arbor whole, but then splitting off Ypsilanti into its own district. The Linden Map is the best Ann Arbor-Jackson configuration. This one does not promote partisan	Christopher Khorey	2021-11-08 15:26:22+00
Palm	Dislike	fairness. This Flint configuration chops up the suburbs and gives all the power to the rural	Christopher Khorey	2021-11-08 15:22:58+00
Magnolia	Dislike	surroundings. This is the best Flint	Christopher Khorey	2021-11-08 15:19:27+00
Pine v5	Like	configuration by far.	Christopher Khorey	2021-11-08 15:18:26+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	It's important to have districts that are representative of the residents, and the re-drawn 27 and 29 districts are reflective of the community. This map honors the Battle Creek / Kalamazoo COI -	Bette Cotzin	2021-11-08 14:49:03+00
Chestnut	Like	thank you.	Andy Helmboldt	2021-11-08 14:37:40+00
Linden	Like	This map does a good job of taking COI's into account	Joe Fresard	2021-11-08 14:31:54+00
Palm	Like	This map does a good job of taking the COI's into account	Joe Fresard	2021-11-08 14:31:18+00

Apple V2	Like	This map makes best sense for Ottawa County residents.	Kevin DeVries	2021-11-08 14:30:36+00
Hickory	Dislike	This map looks very gerrymandered. People voted for an amendment that prioritized COI's over many other factors, and also looked at compactness and municipal boundaries. This map doesn't seem to consider any of those and is not what people voted for. Don't slice up SCS into so many districts, and keep Harper Woods with the Grosse Pointes, they are a community of interest.	Joe Fresard	2021-11-08 14:30:31+00
Magnolia	Dislike	This map looks very gerrymandered. People voted for an amendment that prioritized COI's over many other factors, and also looked at compactness and municipal boundaries. This map doesn't seem to consider any of those and is not what people voted for. Don't slice up SCS into so many districts, and keep Harper Woods with the Grosse Pointes, they are a community of interest.	Joe Fresard	2021-11-08 14:30:06+00

Pine v5	Dislike	This map looks very gerrymandered. People voted for an amendment that prioritized COI's over many other factors, and also looked at compactness and municipal boundaries. This map doesn't seem to consider any of those and is not what people voted for. Don't slice up SCS into so many districts, and keep Harper Woods with the Grosse Pointes, they are a community of interest.	Joe Fresard	2021-11-08 14:29:43+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map does a good job of incorporating COI's	Joe Fresard	2021-11-08 14:27:11+00
Apple V2	Dislike	I think this district should be more compact and respect municipal boundaries more.	Joe Fresard	2021-11-08 14:26:08+00
Chestnut	Like	This is the best option for this district. It is compact and shows respect for communities of interest and municipal boundaries.	Joe Fresard	2021-11-08 14:22:44+00
Linden	Like	Please approve this map! It fairly unpacks Washtenaw County voters.	Robert J Joerg	2021-11-08 14:22:32+00

Birch V2	Dislike	<p>This district looks gerrymandered, it's not compact, doesn't have any respect for municipal boundaries, and cuts up many expressed communities of interest</p>	Joe Fresard	2021-11-08 14:22:06+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>This is the best plan. Districts 10 and 11 are drawn as they should be. It does take COI into account. Please Choose Apple V2 as the congressional map for MI.</p>	Sally A.	2021-11-08 14:19:53+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>This map packs Ann Arbor metro voters into one extremely unfair district. Please do not approve this map.</p>	Robert J Joerg	2021-11-08 13:58:19+00
Pine v5	Like	<p>I like this map to the extent that Livingston County districts and the Brighton area are kept together.</p>	Dan Wholihan	2021-11-08 13:56:49+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>Of the three maps, this one by far makes the most sense for Ottawa, and adjoining Lakeshore counties. Hazel Park, Madison Heights, and Ferndale have more in common with Macomb County than Rochester/Rochester Hills. This would be an exceptional map if you would just swap those two areas.</p>	Rosemarie Russcher	2021-11-08 13:50:39+00
Chestnut	Opinion		Jeremy Fisher	2021-11-08 13:18:01+00

Chestnut	Like	Grand Rapids, Grand Haven, and Muskegon are all down-river towns invested in cleaning up the water and protecting the lakeshore. Chestnut is the only proposal that gives a voice to this natural community of interest.	Timothy Koschmann	2021-11-08 12:46:44+00
Cherry V2	Like	I like how this looks...many thanks for all of your efforts thus far	Jennifer Greening	2021-11-08 08:26:37+00

This is a very good Congressional map. Thank you. It includes some of the surrounding areas of Midland county, and moved Tuscola where it should be. The comments about needing to keep Midland County whole because of the flood and the watershed are such a ploy it is almost laughable. The flooded areas are better served by more than one Rep as it has been, and a watershed is not on the list of criteria to be used set by the Constitution. It's obvious that combining the city of Midland with rural communities creates a republican advantage, plain and simple. Please work for as close to Zero Partisan Bias as possible. It's the glaring indicator that defines your success.

Chestnut

Like

katrhleen curell

2021-11-08 04:37:12+00

Gerrymandering is not necessarily when district lines are drawn in weird shapes, it is when they are drawn to favor one party over the other. To this point all of the maps still lean republican!

For those saying, keep our area together so we get representation to complete the flood recovery, I say you might not have experienced the horrible flooding had you had good representation enforcing regulations and caring about the dam infrastructure, not sitting back in a "safe" seat!

Please Commissioners, continue to review the scores until Partisan Bias measures as close to zero as possible! To be rid of gerrymandered districts, this must be the main criteria for determining if a map is fair.

This appears to be a sensible district, in which moderate sensible candidates may run for office. Finally, an opportunity for to elect representatives that must understand the many concerns of all the people, rural & urban, wealthy & poor, & everyone in between. We need wise moderates to develop widely accepted solutions to our problems. Please help unite America. Prevent the tearing apart by the those who profit from sowing discord an mistrust which weakens America. (If you can replace the primary systems with ranked/approval voting, even better.) We need representatives who are the most widely accepted candidates, not the fanatics who use all the classic propaganda methods to profit by maximizing fear and hatred of fellow Americans outside

Cherry V2

Like

Mark Stuff

2021-11-08 03:46:47+00

Cherry V2	Like	<p>I am in support of this map. Thank you for honoring the fact that the Tri Cities are a COI. I sincerely hope you will keep an eye on the metric of Partisan Fairness. The hierarchy of the criteria in this Constitutional amendment is your guideline.</p>	katrhleen curell	2021-11-08 03:34:52+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	<p>I've lived in Ann Arbor for more than 34 years and I approve of this districting.</p>	Beth Dwoskin	2021-11-08 03:26:21+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>First of all, I agree with the concerns shared by other citizens about the tri city district. Sanford and the rural areas surrounding Midland heavily rely on the city and I think carving Midlands' city limits out of the district wouldn't be ideal. In defense of this move though as someone who lives in the tri city area I relied heavily on both Bay City and to a lesser extent Saginaw for my jobs and general activities so connecting the tri cities makes sense, just maybe not to the extent that Sanford is excluded.</p>	Zach Parfeniuk	2021-11-08 03:15:52+00

if one goes to district.org and plugs in the districts for Kent County, they will find out that the democrat controlled "Independent Commission" has created 5 democrat leaning districts and the equivalent of 2 republican leaning districts. Kent County is a republican leaning county but an uninformed person who views the district majorities would think Kent County is just like Wayne County. Now the democrat controlled "Independent Commission" has been creating this type of districting around the whole state. Actually the democrat controlled "Independent Commission" hired a company with democrat party connections to create these districts. The democrat controlled "Independent Commission" just gives the maps created their stamp of approval. Gerrymandering it is called.

Pine v4

Dislike

merlin steffes

2021-11-08 03:04:34+00

if one goes to district.org and plugs in the districts for Kent County, they will find out that the democrat controlled "Independent Commission" has created 5 democrat leaning districts and the equivalent of 2 republican leaning districts. Kent County is a republican leaning county but an uniformed person who views the district majorities would think Kent County is just like Wayne County. Now the democrat controlled "Independent Commission" has been creating this type of districting around the whole state. Actually the democrat controlled "Independent Commission" hired a company with democrat party connections to create these districts. The democrat controlled "Independent Commission" just gives the maps created their stamp of approval. Gerrymandering it is called.

Pine v5

Dislike

merlin steffes

2021-11-08 03:03:42+00

if one goes to district.org and plugs in the districts for Kent County, they will find out that the democrat controlled "Independent Commission" has created 5 democrat leaning districts and the equivalent of 2 republican leaning districts. Kent County is a republican leaning county but an uniformed person who views the district majorities would think Kent County is just like Wayne County. Now the democrat controlled "Independent Commission" has been creating this type of districting around the whole state. Actually the democrat controlled "Independent Commission" hired a company with democrat party connections to create these districts. The democrat controlled "Independent Commission" just gives the maps created their stamp of approval. Gerrymandering it is called.

Hickory

Dislike

merlin steffes

2021-11-08 03:03:07+00

if one goes to district.org and plugs in the districts for Kent County, they will find out that the democrat controlled "Independent Commission" has created 5 democrat leaning districts and the equivalent of 2 republican leaning districts. Kent County is a republican leaning county but an uninformed person who views the district majorities would think Kent County is just like Wayne County. Now the democrat controlled "Independent Commission" has been creating this type of districting around the whole state. Actually the democrat controlled "Independent Commission" hired a company with democrat party connections to create these districts. The democrat controlled "Independent Commission" just gives the maps created their stamp of approval. Gerrymandering it is called.

Magnolia

Dislike

merlin steffes

2021-11-08 03:02:39+00

if one goes to district.org and plugs in the districts for Kent County, they will find out that the democrat controlled "Independent Commission" has created 5 democrat leaning districts and the equivalent of 2 republican leaning districts. Kent County is a republican leaning county but an uninformed person who views the district majorities would think Kent County is just like Wayne County. Now the democrat controlled "Independent Commission" has been creating this type of districting around the whole state. Actually the democrat controlled "Independent Commission" hired a company with democrat party connections to create these districts. The democrat controlled "Independent Commission" just gives the maps created their stamp of approval. Gerrymandering it is called.

Magnolia am

Dislike

merlin steffes

2021-11-08 03:01:42+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike Another poor map which like the others combines Troy with east side cities where there is no community of interest. Troy is aligned with Bloomfield Hills, Auburn Hills, Rochester Hills, Birmingham, Royal Oak. Troy does not belong with Eastpointe, St Clair Shores, Mt Clemens, Clinton Township. Those east side cities belong with the suburbs north of M59. Susan bologna 2021-11-08 02:54:26+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike Troy has no community of interest with st Clair Shores, Mt Clemens, Clinton Township. East side communities should be combined to include those north and south of M59. There are strong communities that cross that M59 line in the east side. Troy should be included with a Bloomfield Hills, Auburn Hills, Rochester Hills. District 6 as drawn is not a good representation of related communities. Susan bologna 2021-11-08 02:46:00+00

Troy has no community of interest or anything in common with Mt Clemens, Clinton Twsp, St Clair Shores. Troy is more aligned with Bloomfield Hills, Auburn Hills, Rochester Hills. This map is strangely aligned. East pointe should be with Warren!

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Susan Bologna

2021-11-08 02:33:39+00

I appreciate your efforts. However, I disagree with the notion that the needs of residents of the eastern edge of Ingham county, largely rural and agricultural and similar to western Livingston county or the areas north in the October and Pine maps can be considered a reasonable balance to the areas that include Michigan State University, Okemos, Meridian Charter and western Williamston. Webberville, Dansville, White Oak, Leroy and other townships, and Stockbridge have little in common with those sections of the county. Our voices and needs will be obliterated by the largely privileged and imported voting base in those north western sections.

Magnolia am

Dislike

Please reconsider.

Lorie Culham

2021-11-08 02:22:01+00

Hickory	Like	<p>None of the State House maps are fair, but this is the best of the three bad maps. I don't mind that Northville is split, because it has been split in our house district for the last 10 years and it was fine! We had HD 20 and HD38. We need fair districts, so we end up with competitive districts and don't end up with extremist elected officials.</p>	Lisa DiRado	2021-11-08 02:08:07+00
Linden	Like	<p>This is a fair map that reflects statewide races voting percentages. Although it shows mean-media advantage towards Republicans at 1.2% it is closer to 0 than the some others that are over 5.5%. The efficiency of gap give advantage to the Republicans but 3.3% is pretty good. I have to say this is one of my favorite Senate maps. The newly down districts 27 and 29 are more fair and a step in the right direction for our community.</p>	Cindy Michniewicz	2021-11-08 02:01:02+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like		Katherine Pearson	2021-11-08 01:42:10+00

Magnolia	Dislike	Melvindale should be with Allen Park and Lincoln Park, not with Detroit and Eastern Dearborn	Aram Nersesian	2021-11-07 19:18:12+00
Chestnut	Like	This is the only acceptable option for Macomb County. Including us with any part of Wayne County is unacceptable	John V Permaloff	2021-11-07 19:10:28+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This is horrific. We have nothing in common with Wayne County. Why are you forcing us into Rashida Tlaib's district. I am listing my house next April if this passes	John V Permaloff	2021-11-07 19:03:34+00

Hickory	Like	<p>I really like this map because it is the only map that keeps the Huron Chain of Lakes and its watershed together. The Huron Chain of Lakes is in the townships of Hamburg (where I live and serve as a Township Trustee), Webster, Dexter as well as the portion of Putman township that surrounds Portage Lake. We currently have a "Do not Eat the Fish" order because of PFAS in the Huron Chain of Lakes, so it is important to keep it entirely in one district. This map also keeps the Pinckney and Waterloo Rec Areas in this district.</p>	Cindy Michniewicz	2021-11-07 17:49:42+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	<p>One of the key issues of redistricting is the grouping of minorities into areas with limited voice. This is one reason why we have toxic water without redress in Flint and Benton Harbor. It takes real vision to assure a voice for large but marginalized populations.</p>	Rodger Wolff	2021-11-07 16:54:29+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>Please listen to all the comments you have received about unpacking Ann Arbor. This map does not accomplish that, and wastes the votes of both Republicans and Democrats in that area. This is not the map for fairness in Michigan.</p>	Jennifer Fairfield	2021-11-07 16:49:41+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>Thank you for correcting the issue created with the earlier maps that carved out a small piece of Washtenaw county. This is much better.</p>	Jennifer Fairfield	2021-11-07 16:27:26+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>The boundary between district 30 which cuts across Grand Rapids along Fulton should be moved south to Wealthy St. when making its border to district 29 to the south.</p>	Robert Dragan	2021-11-07 15:58:47+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>None of Ottawa CO west of Kent CO should be in the same district with Kent CO and should be removed from district 30. If you then need population to add to district 30 take portions of northeast Kent CO around Cannonsburg and add them to district 30. This would also help to keep Kent CO whole.</p>	Robert Dragan	2021-11-07 15:46:36+00

Why would a person from Hudsonville, MI place their like pin on Pittsfield township, unless they were trying to dishonestly sway the commissioner who is only making the most casual of observations into believing that people in district six like Lyndon township removed from the Chelsea community in our district. We do not. Dean's love of the apple map is better documented in Ottawa county where he/she resides unless said individual wants to comment specifically on district six. Is this the new partisan, Astro-turf cheat on public feedback the commission considers?

Apple V2

Dislike

Alice

2021-11-07 12:57:36+00

The map makes no sense for Lyndon Township. Please keep Lyndon township with the rest of Washtenaw County and keep it from being split down the middle. We

Apple V2

Dislike

Tim Eder

2021-11-07 12:17:45+00

prefer the Chestnut map

Birch V2	Dislike	<p>This map makes no sense for Lyndon township, Washtenaw County because it splits the township in 2. This would significantly add to the costs of elections for the township and makes no sense for the community. Please keep Lyndon whole, and with the rest of Washtenaw county, like the Chestnut map.</p> <p>this map makes the most sense for Lyndon Township. It keeps Lyndon whole, unlike the Birch map. And it keeps Lyndon with the rest of Washtenaw County, as it should be.</p>	Tim Eder	2021-11-07 12:13:23+00
Chestnut	Like	Hemlock belongs with the rest of Saginaw.	Tim Eder	2021-11-07 12:08:54+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>Hemlock belongs with a Saginaw based district. With how connected Hemlock is to the rest of the county it's dissapointing to see both the other plans exclude Hemlock from the Saginaw district.</p>	Peyton Ball	2021-11-07 11:30:59+00
Chestnut	Like		Peyton Ball	2021-11-07 11:27:56+00

Apple V2	Dislike	Hemlock should be part of the Saginaw district. We have very little connections to the communities in the district shown on this map. For going to work or shopping people in Hemlock lots of people consistently go towards Saginaw. For us to be not part of the Saginaw community doesn't make sense.	Peyton Ball	2021-11-07 11:23:07+00
Linden	Dislike	District 11 makes no sense. The representative can't represent the people whose needs vary from Detroit to the far North East suburbs. Those needs are not constant across between all the cities. This map no sense as you cut a vertical slice into Tilch. Where part of the sub is in one district and the rest of the sub district divided on	John J Michalski	2021-11-07 11:15:46+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Tilch	John J Michalski	2021-11-07 11:08:26+00

Thank you to commissioners Lange, Wagner, and Clark for putting Midland back together with Midland County. I respectfully disagree with the authors that dislike this map and state that the original Pine "robot arm" map is not gerrymandering. By definition i believe that the separation of the city of Midland from the geographically contiguous Midland County (with the mandate of not separating cities/counties) and putting us in the "robot arm" original Pine map is gerrymandering. Thank you commissioners for realizing this.

Pine v5

Like

Mary Quehl

2021-11-07 03:29:56+00

Thank you to commissioners Wagner, Clark and Lange and put Midland back together and removing the ridiculous gerrymandering appearing map with the "Robot Arm" that dissects Midland County from Midland and connects us with Bay City. This will give a fair voice to our area.

Pine v5

Like

Dennis Quehl

2021-11-07 03:11:37+00

I got on this site today and I see that the majority of opinions are DISLIKE seen all across the state. It is time to go back to the drawing board. Just because the deadline is approaching, do not say that this is "good enough". Midland and Midland County should not be separated, and there is no way that the city of Midland, which has NO metropolitan look or feel, should be lumped into a large metro city like Flint. I would ask each commissioner to remember the public meeting in Midland and compare it to the one in Flint. I think everyone would agree that Midland has no geographic or COI with Flint. It is very obvious. Please create a map that is fair for Midland.

Birch V2

Dislike

Dennis Quehl

2021-11-07 02:59:16+00

I once again see a majority of dislikes on this map. Most all of Midland and Midland County residents continue to dislike this map and are requesting that a map be designed that shows representation for us. This has been done for many other areas. Our voices continue to be dismissed “ please give Midland a congressional district that puts Midland back together and in a separate district from Flint. Our communities are vastly different. Why is there no alternative map that provides this option for the public’s consideration?

Birch V2

Dislike

I oppose this map as is.

Mary J Quehl

2021-11-07 02:48:32+00

While this is the best overall map out of the 3 considered, many of the districts in the West side of the state are just oddly shaped with very little respect to communities of interest. Kent county should be whole, the southwest districts should be more roughly continued, and the 2nd district should not be stringing together excess areas. Finally, within Metro Detroit, South Lyon should not be with Lansing, and there are better and cleaner ways to draw majority-minority districts without splitting up so many cities. Thank you for respecting the military community out here in Fort Custer. Also thank you for keeping Marshall and Albion in the same district since they already share the same school system.

Chestnut

Opinion

Stanley

2021-11-07 02:17:19+00

Pine v5

Like

Sonja Marie Patrick

2021-11-07 01:55:36+00

Our current senate maps and Congressional map kept Calhoun County whole. I don't think it needs to be changed, but this map is the best one when it comes to respecting the people in Calhoun County and the community they want to remain with. There is 1 very important community of interest no one has acknowledged, even though it's been mentioned several times. The Veteran and Military establishments that are located in the Northwest part of Battle Creek (Calhoun County) and span into the northeast part of Kalamazoo County (Augusta). Instead of adding those bottom right squares from Kalamazoo County, would it be possible to take the top right two squares from Kalamazoo County and include those with Calhoun. This would give the service members a chance to elect someone who would

Sonja Marie Patrick

Linden

Like

2021-11-07 00:59:53+00

Chestnut	Dislike	Please listen to the residents of Midland County. Please keep us whole so that we are included with counties that share like issues (Gladwin, Beaverton, Sanford)	Rebecca S Smith	2021-11-06 23:23:14+00
Pine v5	Like	Thank you for keeping Midland County whole and with other counties that share similar issues.	Rebecca S Smith	2021-11-06 23:19:54+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Please keep Midland County with counties that share similar issues (Sanford, Gladwin, Beaverton).	Rebecca S Smith	2021-11-06 23:16:55+00
Cherry V2	Like	This seems to be the most fair map.	Claudia Kraus Piper	2021-11-06 21:23:27+00
Pine v5	Dislike	The municipality of Detroit had a 2020 census population of 639,111 according to the 2020 census, making it the 27th-most populous city in the United States. State House 91,612 Ideal per District 639,111 / 91,612 = 6.97 (rounds to 7) ? 14 cross into Detroit ??? I see many comments already but I would be very angry if I lived in Oakland or Macomb Counties.	Mark Theobald	2021-11-06 20:23:03+00

Pine v5	Like	I can live with this - I fully understand that House Districts can only have 91-92K. Many Downriver communities have large populations and I appreciate the association to Monroe County for the House District. Good Work.	Mark Theobald	2021-11-06 20:13:30+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	The municipality of Detroit had a 2020 census population of 639,111 according to the 2020 census, making it the 27th-most populous city in the United States. Michigan Senate 265,193 Ideal per district $639,111 / 265,193 = 2.4$ districts ? 7 districts cross into Detroit ??? Any Partinishit ????	Mark Theobald	2021-11-06 19:46:44+00
Chestnut	Like	I like this map as, unlike the current arrangement designed to place Kalamazoo in a district where our progressive voices are largely ignored, this district recognizes the importance of urban voters and gives us proper representation.	Anise K Strong	2021-11-06 19:43:08+00

Cherry V2	Like	I can live with the boundaries of District 4 , at least the have a contiguous community of interest in the Downriver. And i fully understand why Allen Park and Lincoln Park are not included since they have large population groupings that standalone numerically. Good work given the districting challenges and constraints.	Mark Theobald	2021-11-06 19:42:01+00
Apple V2	Dislike	All three of the Congressional Maps have Ann Arbor and Downriver combined in the same district. As a Downriver resident, i would perfer to be combined with Monroe County and maybe Lenawee county if required for population count. Like seeing Troy part of a district with similar Oakland	Mark Theobald	2021-11-06 19:18:17+00
Chestnut	Like	County Cities	Hirak Chanda	2021-11-06 18:52:00+00

This districting "solution" represents a partisan attempt to force together communities which have little in common. Whether intentional or unintentional, the result of such a map will be the dilution of the political voice of the people of Hillsdale and Jackson, who have little to nothing politically in common with the voters of Ann Arbor.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Sarah Weaver

2021-11-06 18:20:22+00

it is the best of the collaborative congressional maps. I agree with people in Novi and Downriver they may be questionable connections as actual communities with shared interests. However, my community in Washtenaw County has been offered the chance to be together in one Congressional district; that has not happened once since my family moved here in 1983. Finally I would be able to vote in a district that contains Ann Arbor, something I have not been able to do my entire voting life to date. Ann Arbor has been separated from the western half of Washtenaw county for 30 years. I will not support any map which divides Washtenaw to accommodate some other area. Our voters have been used to shore up other districts for as long as I can remember; I am happy to see I like that Grand Rapids and its suburbs have a single, central district.

Chestnut

Like

Alice

2021-11-06 17:18:16+00

Birch V2

Like

Bryan Westra

2021-11-06 16:52:38+00

Cherry V2	Like	<p>This looks like a reasonable map - I am so grateful for the work that has been done. These are divisive times and if we can move even a bit closer to less partisan boundaries we are doing a huge service for Michigan citizens and for our democracy.</p>	Jessica Fahlgren	2021-11-06 16:25:26+00
Pine v5	Like	<p>This is the best option for Melvindale. It keeps us together with Allen Park and Lincoln Park.</p>	Aram Nersesian	2021-11-06 16:21:40+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>Splitting Ottawa County into three congressional districts is unacceptable. Other maps are much more reflective of COI.</p>	Kim S Nagy	2021-11-06 16:02:22+00

Who drew the proposed  
104th Jim Carruthers?  
Leelanau does NOT belong  
with Grand Traverse County!  
The only way you can define  
Leelanau paired with  
northern Grand Traverse  
County as a "community of  
interest" is if that COI is  
composed of trust-fund  
babies who moved here from  
Illinois with a pocket full of  
money -- they've already  
priced the working class  
people right out of Leelanau  
County! Keep Grand Traverse  
County together and don't  
inflict the Leelanau elites on  
northern Gr. Traverse County!

Pine v5

Dislike

BARRY LEONARD COUTURIER

2021-11-06 15:53:49+00

This is not a natural community of interest. This map cuts through Ottawa County which is vastly different than the cities of Grand Rapids and Muskegon it attempts to connect. Ottawa County in this area is heavily agricultural communities where culture, concerns, and economies are vastly different. This also takes the lakeshore communities of Muskegon and Grand Haven and rips them out of the lakeshore and places them with the Grand Rapids metropolitan area. These communities have significantly different interests and needs. This to me looks like an attempt to partisan gerrymander a congressional seat in favor of one specific political party. The commission should not do this.

Chestnut

Dislike

FLOYD PATRICK

2021-11-06 15:44:04+00

This is by far the best configuration of the Tri-Cities district. It includes mainly Genesee, Saginaw, Bay, and most of Midland County. I prefer this map of this area over the others since this include the surrounding townships of Midland County and excludes Tuscola. The comments that talk about the flooding issue don't take into consideration that Gladwin can now elect their own representative from there and get help for themselves rather than someone not from there. It should also be said that with 2 representatives in the area now allow for negotiation between these representatives, which in turn gives this area more say in what should be done. We can't base our maps on a flooding issue, if that were the case there would be many other areas of the state that

Chestnut

Like

Trenton Berry

2021-11-06 15:37:56+00

This is a very bad map for Western Wayne County, splitting Livonia, Northville and Plymouth into four districts. This mess was caused by you ignoring the concerns of Detroit Voters, and "unpacking" Detroit into the suburbs. Go back, listen to Detroit voices, and give them what they want. You can then keep Livonia in one district and Northville/Plymouth in one district. There is NO REASON to split these communities, which already have very competitive House Districts 19 and 20 in the present State House.

Pine v5

Dislike

Chris Roosen

2021-11-06 15:37:44+00

This is a very bad map for Western Wayne County, splitting Livonia, Northville and Plymouth into four districts. This mess was caused by you ignoring the concerns of Detroit Voters, and "unpacking" Detroit into the suburbs. Go back, listen to Detroit voices, and give them what they want. You can then keep Livonia in one district and Northville/Plymouth in one district. There is NO REASON to split these communities, which already have very competitive House Districts 19 and 20 in the present State House.

Magnolia

Dislike

Chris Roosen

2021-11-06 15:37:07+00

This map is the worst because it splits the Western Wayne County Northville Community in two, and places half of our Township with Ann Arbor. All of this was caused by you ignoring the concerns of Detroit Voters, and "unpacking" Detroit into the suburbs. Go back, listen to Detroit voices, and give them what they want. You can then keep Livonia in one district and Northville in one district. There is NO REASON to split these communities, which already have very competitive House Districts 19 and 20 in the present State House.

Hickory

Dislike

Chris Roosen

2021-11-06 15:34:16+00

All three of these maps are bad because they split the city of Livonia in two and divorce it from neighboring communities of interest in Western Wayne County. Please go back, stop trying to "unpack" Detroit in strange ways, and draw districts that let Detroiters represent Detroit. This will then allow you to keep Livonia and Western Wayne County communities together as they are in today's Senate District

Cherry V2

Dislike

7.

Chris Roosen

2021-11-06 15:24:25+00

All three of these maps are bad because they split the city of Livonia in two and divorce it from neighboring communities of interest in Western Wayne County. Please go back, stop trying to "unpack" Detroit in strange ways, and draw districts that let Detroiters represent Detroit. This will then allow you to keep Livonia and Western Wayne County communities together as they are in today's Senate District

Palm

Dislike

7.

Chris Roosen

2021-11-06 15:24:12+00

All three of these maps are bad because they split the city of Livonia in two and divorce it from neighboring communities of interest in Western Wayne County. Please go back, stop trying to "unpack" Detroit in strange ways, and draw districts that let Detroiters represent Detroit. This will then allow you to keep Livonia and Western Wayne County communities together as they are in today's Senate District

Linden

Dislike

7.

Chris Roosen

2021-11-06 15:24:00+00

This is what we meant by Lakeshore community interest. Maybe now we can get a voice representing Lakeshore interests including water quality, tourism, and housing!

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Michael Saenz

2021-11-06 15:20:43+00

Chestnut	Dislike	<p>District 6 in the Chestnut Map is the "least worst" of the three District 6 maps, but only because it stops at the Jackson County line. This proposed district on all three maps seems to be only an afterthought made up of "what was left over," not taking any communities of interest into account. Please do better. Put Livonia back with the rest of Western Wayne County, keep Detroit whole, and then try again.</p> <p>Balanced party representation is the key to fairly created districts. Thank You for your work to achieve this!</p>	Chris Roosen	2021-11-06 15:14:37+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>District 6 is very poorly drawn and seems to be only an afterthought made up of "what was left over," not taking any communities of interest into account. From Grosse Isle in the Detroit River in Wayne County, to Jackson County 66 miles away in the west, these communities have nothing in common. Please do better.</p>	Gary March	2021-11-06 15:11:17+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>District 6 in the Chestnut Map is the "least worst" of the three District 6 maps, but only because it stops at the Jackson County line. This proposed district on all three maps seems to be only an afterthought made up of "what was left over," not taking any communities of interest into account. Please do better.</p>	Chris Roosen	2021-11-06 15:11:12+00

Birch V2	Dislike	District 6 is very poorly drawn and seems to be only an afterthought made up of "what was left over," not taking any communities of interest into account. From Grosse Isle in the Detroit River in Wayne County, to Jackson County 66 miles away in the west, these communities have nothing in common. Please do better. The goal is free and fair elections. There should be no consideration of maps proposed by individual commission members.	Chris Roosen	2021-11-06 15:10:41+00
Cherry V2	Like	I prefer this map (Linden) to the Palm map. This map divides Washtenaw County into 2 state senate districts, while the Palm map divides it into 4 different districts. The appears to keep COI intact better than the Palm map. THANK YOU. The districts look much more competitive than they have in the past.	Gerald Lang	2021-11-06 15:07:03+00
Linden	Like		Susan Fegley McKee	2021-11-06 14:32:05+00
Cherry V2	Like		Tammy Hinshaw	2021-11-06 14:26:48+00

Chestnut	Like	I like this congressional map the best. It appears to honor county lines the best of all three. The other two maps have a tiny slice of Lyndon Township in Washtenaw County carved out. Lyndon Township is very rural and only has 3000 residents, why carve up a tiny township and require seaparate congressional elections.	Susan McKee	2021-11-06 14:13:37+00
Hickory	Dislike	Please do NOT split Troy as a city we are the largest city in Oakland County. We should NOT be split, and should not be represented with Macomb County residents. We are a distinct community and should remain represented within Oakland County	Kelly Jones	2021-11-06 14:01:15+00

Magnolia	Dislike	Please do NOT split Troy up - we should be represented as a CITY. We are the largest city in Oakland County, and if you know anyone from Troy you know that we should be represented together. There is NO part of the city of Troy that should be represented with Macomb County residents. We are vastly different in our demographics. Please keep Troy in Oakland County This map makes no sense. Troy is the largest city in Oakland County, and yet parts of Troy are linked with Macomb. The City of Troy should remain together. Please do not split a part of Troy with Sterling Heights. Cross County and cross city lines do not make any sense for our community. Please keep Troy's representation together!	Kelly Jones	2021-11-06 13:58:36+00
Magnolia	Dislike		Kelly Jones	2021-11-06 13:55:12+00

		Troy must remain in Oakland County. The other maps link Troy with Macomb which makes no sense. As the largest city in Oakland County, Troy residents are distinct and should remain with Oakland County communities for		
Chestnut	Like	representation.	Kelly Jones	2021-11-06 13:51:24+00
Apple V2	Like	I am in favor of this map	Roger kops	2021-11-06 13:48:57+00
		In whose world does this make sense. Again not keeping communities together. An obvious attempt at partisanship, diluting		
Chestnut	Dislike	concerned voices.	Lynn Peterson	2021-11-06 13:41:57+00
		Splitting communities instead of working in community groups, Makes sense only if you are trying to dilute the		
Birch V2	Dislike	community vote	Lynn Peterson	2021-11-06 13:38:11+00
		I am opposed to this proposal.		
Chestnut	Dislike	It hurts Ottawa County.	Clifford Trent Broussard	2021-11-06 13:36:16+00
		I am opposed to this proposal.		
Birch V2	Dislike	It hurts Ottawa County.	Clifford Trent Broussard	2021-11-06 13:35:10+00
Apple V2	Like	I am in favor of this proposal.	Clifford Trent Broussard	2021-11-06 13:33:44+00

Apple V2	Like	This map makes so much more sense than the others. You say you want communities, this is the one I am not at all in favor of this districting proposal. It groups me with a large area that I have much less in common with, than I do with neighbors that are arbitrarily placed in a different district.	Lynn Peterson	2021-11-06 13:32:50+00
Chestnut	Dislike	I do not favor this districting proposal, as it divides Ottawa County in ways that would be detrimental to community cohesion.	Kevin Becker	2021-11-06 13:23:25+00
Birch V2	Dislike	The municipal boundaries of Grand Rapids are violated. You are splitting a community of interest. Lansing and East Lansing belong in the same district. You are splitting a community of interest.	Kevin Becker	2021-11-06 13:20:00+00
Palm	Dislike	I am in favor of this districting proposal. Please approve. I like this map keeps the LSC area in one district.	merlin steffes	2021-11-06 13:20:00+00
Apple V2	Like	This map is a huge improvement over the previous.	Kevin Becker	2021-11-06 13:17:03+00
Cherry V2	Like		Sky Tate	2021-11-06 13:06:53+00
Cherry V2	Like		Nicholas	2021-11-06 12:50:23+00

Chestnut	Like	I like this map. You aren't going to make everyone happy and this looks like a good attempt to be fair.	Sky Tate	2021-11-06 12:49:43+00
Chestnut	Like	Grand Rapids and Muskegon have more in common than Muskegon and Holland.	Althea M Stevens	2021-11-06 12:45:09+00
Birch V2	Dislike	I really don't see how Harrison Twp should be in the same district as Port Austin. We need to be in with St Clair Shores or Mt Clemens. We are not a farming community.	Sky Tate	2021-11-06 12:43:09+00

Thank you for the consistent message that the Tri Cities belong together and with the shared urban concerns, Tri Cities belong with Flint. This is a competitive district in which either party can win a seat, it has a very low partisan score. You are hearing from some in Midland who want Midland County paired with Gladwin and west, largely on the flood issue. But they do not make this argument based on it being a competitive district, which is the whole point. It would be a partisan district benefiting one party. They also say this version of the map favors Dems, but how is that so when the partisan score for this map is so low? It does not favor either party, it is competitive and either party can win. I support this map and thank you for your work on it.

Birch V2

Like

Barb Handley-Miller

2021-11-06 12:06:47+00

Thank you for a fair and equitable map that represents the voters.

Cherry V2

Like

Diane K Davidson

2021-11-06 11:38:38+00

Birch V2	Like	+1. Takes care of COI. Thank you for valuing my vote.	Mahnaz Chowdhury	2021-11-06 06:35:43+00
Pine v5	Like	+1. Thank you for valuing my feedback.	Mahnaz Chowdhury	2021-11-06 06:32:34+00
Chestnut	Like	I agree with the other nearby commenters expressing support for this map over the other two for Troy residents in how it better reflects COI. I believe movement tracking (e.g. using cellphone data) would show much more travel of Troy residents to other parts of Oakland County than across the border to Macomb County. The other two maps pair parts of Eastern Oakland County with parts of Macomb with distinct characteristics that would be hard to jointly represent politically.	Fabrice Smieliauskas	2021-11-06 03:58:33+00

This is a PARTISAN  
DEMOCRAT GERRYMANDER!  
Chestnut DOES NOT MAKE  
SENSE! Gerrymandering a  
district from Holland to  
Kalamazoo/Battle Creek and  
forcing Grand Rapids and  
Muskegon together is an  
intentional and deliberate  
attempt to gerrymander a  
Democrat district out of  
predominantly conservative  
West Michigan. This goes  
against the purpose of the  
redistricting to create fair  
maps. This is an UNFAIR and  
politically GERRYMANDERED  
MAP.

Chestnut

Dislike

Sharon VanderBoon

2021-11-06 03:56:52+00

Birch DOES NOT MAKE SENSE.  
By separating Ottawa County,  
you are separating  
communities, often right  
through the center of  
commercial areas and more.  
It places townships that  
identify commercially and  
culturally with the Lakeshore,  
into districts dominated by  
urban Grand Rapids, Saginaw  
Bay, or Kalamazoo. This is  
unacceptable for the fastest-  
growing county in Michigan. It  
goes against our traditions for  
generations and cracks our  
community three ways!  
This is the best map. It is the  
most logical, smartest, and  
effective. It best serves  
people in the same area who  
have the same issues and  
interests to deal with.

Birch V2

Dislike

Sharon VanderBoon

2021-11-06 03:54:27+00

Apple V2

Like

Sharon VanderBoon

2021-11-06 03:51:53+00

As a resident living in Canton, I believe that the district's boundaries are well-drawn for the interests of the community. Firstly, looking at the population diversity of Canton, many residents come from other ethnic groups which contributes to the community's diversity. This helps support the needs and demands of different groups in the community and are allowed to voice their own opinions. Also, the growth of Canton and its districts around it (Ypsilanti and Plymouth) are widely controlled which helps manage the population distribution among these districts throughout the state itself.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Jigna Patel

2021-11-06 03:20:48+00

I believe that the current boundaries of District 43 should stay the same. The population of District 43, which is 91,000, is similar to the population of surrounding districts making voting fair. Also, the different, diverse ethnic groups in Canton are well represented. The white population of District 43 is 65.21%, which is much lower than other districts in Michigan. The population of other ethnic groups is 34.79% which is much higher than other districts in Michigan. Because of this, ethnic groups are well represented in voting. Therefore, I believe that the boundaries of District 43 should stay the same.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Nila Patel

2021-11-06 03:19:28+00

As someone from Plymouth and a student at PCEP, I believe that the new proposal for district 35 does not make the most logical sense. In district 35, there is not only Plymouth, but also parts of Livonia and most of Northville. This means that there are 3 different school systems in one district. Because Northville and Livonia's school districts are reasonably funded, I am worried that if we elect someone who knows this information, they will gloss over the fact that Plymouth's school district is poorly funded. I recognize that education is not the most important issue regarding re-districting, however the quality of education not only affects students but also the people around the town. I think that parts of Plymouth and Canton should be sorted together in the same district

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Madeleine Brugman

2021-11-06 03:17:48+00

As a resident living and working in Canton, I believe that there is no need to change the boundaries of Canton's district. I believe that the population is overall stable in the district and the diversity is also well distributed, giving a fair vote to every member of the community. Finally, I believe that the geographical boundaries will be able to manage the population growth and influx in the district for the foreseeable future. While there are some issues between the Canton and Plymouth boundaries, I believe that preserving the boundaries is a much more efficient way of managing population distribution and voting in the district.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Nirav Patel

2021-11-06 03:13:27+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like	<p>As a resident of Canton, I believe the boundaries of the District 43 are drawn well and should stay the same. Although Canton is very diverse, people of all ethnic groups are represented properly and well in voting. District 43 is not distorted in any way, which makes voting fair. Because of this, minority groups are well represented. Therefore, I believe that the boundaries of District 43 do not need any change and should stay the same.</p>	Ashvin Patel	2021-11-06 03:11:48+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like	<p>Their are lots of groups for different ethnic backgrounds making the community welcome for those from anywhere. The population gives us a equal representation with other groups too. The diversity in here is definitely better than in other places.</p>	Zack Jones	2021-11-06 02:58:16+00

I believe this map is well drawn. It puts most of Canton into one district, and the district borders are neat and clean. I also like how this districting reflects the diversity of Canton. As a student of PCEP, I like the cultural and ethnic diversity of my school and my community, so it's good to see that diversity reflected in this plan.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Nolan Johnson

2021-11-06 02:51:04+00

I think it is interesting that we see comments that like this map because it keeps Midland with the tricities,... but yet they state that they like the fact that it keeps most of the other counties whole. If that is the case that is a perfect reason to keep Midland and Midland County whole for #2 geographic contiguity; for #3 in COI Midland has so much more in common with Midland County than Flint. Kids from Midland attend Midland Public Schools; as well the hospitals within the umbrella of MidMichigan Health are located in Clare ,Gladwin, and Alma (Gratiot county). and lastly #6 states that cities and their counties adjacent should not be split up.

WOW that is 2 out of the top 3, as well as #6. HUH, maybe a map should be put together to represent that.

Chestnut	Dislike	Great idea for the Ottawa County functions well together. Apple 2 keeps it that way. This is the map I would choose.	Dennis Quehl	2021-11-06 02:49:56+00
Apple V2	Like		Wade Gugino	2021-11-06 02:49:12+00

While I appreciate how evenly distributed the population and voting ability is between the Plymouth, Canton, Salem, and Northville districts, I would prefer that Plymouth and Canton be more interwoven than Plymouth and Northville. Plymouth, Canton, and Salem, after all, share a school district and are thought of generally as the Plymouth-Canton Community (hence the "Plymouth-Canton Community Schools" and the three public high schools on a single campus). A student in the Plymouth-Canton school district could find themselves attending Plymouth High School while living in Canton and vice versa.

I feel as though it would be wiser to redraw the district lines with Plymouth and Salem as District 35 and draw the northern border where the Township of Northville

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Nadia Halim

2021-11-06 02:48:49+00

I believe that the Canton borders should retain the same shape and a district vote ought not to be held for the following reasons. The Canton community is very well-knitted amongst its many people, and splitting borders & dividing communities not only creates confusion, but it also makes an artificial separation that should have never have existed. As such, I also believe that politics & Canton's interests are mostly the same. Thus, fears of gerrymandering and changing interests don't need to be taken into account, at least for now as our politics is relatively the same. This why I believe the Canton borders should not be changed.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Amandeep Singh Saini

2021-11-06 02:43:39+00

The district boundaries of Canton Township should remain the same. This is because the population of Canton is similar to the other states in Michigan at 91,480. Furthermore, the diverse ethnic groups in Canton are all very well represented compared to other districts in Michigan. This is because the white population (65.21%) is much lower than other districts in Michigan while other ethnic groups (34.79%) is considerably higher compared to other Michigan districts.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Mohan Raju

2021-11-06 02:41:27+00

I live in the city of Midland.

Over half of OUR community voices have been completely dismissed. Please give the City of Midland a congressional district that puts Midland County back together and in a separate district than Flint. You have provided alternative maps in areas where you have received multiple competing comments. You have not done this for Midland. This commission has shown partisan bias by putting forth maps that local Democrats have asked for. Midland and Flint are vastly different communities. Midland's population is 40,000, Flint's is nearly 100,000. Midland is focused on dam repair and flood damage repair to homes, businesses, and infrastructure, Flint is on its water crisis. We have competing airports, MBS vs. Flint Bishop. We have

Apple V2

Dislike

different health care delivery Mary J Quehl

2021-11-06 02:39:30+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I think the zoning in Canton is fairly diverse. While there may be grouping in certain areas where a specific race is more prominent, the zoning itself seems to represent most of the population in Canton. I think it can be difficult to accurately distribute an accurate representation of any zoning, but Canton seems to do a decent job.	Gia Piza	2021-11-06 02:39:15+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I believe that the district boundaries of Canton Township should remain the same. This is because the district boundaries of Canton Townships contain many diverse ethnic groups. This is evident as the white population in Canton Township is lower than most of the other districts in Michigan. The population of Canton Township is also very similar to the other districts in Michigan further proving that the boundaries of Canton should stay the same.	Syamala Machiroutu	2021-11-06 02:38:07+00
Linden	Dislike	Doesn't serve the COI, please delete	Ehsan Taqbeem	2021-11-06 02:30:55+00

With what information I have on well-drawn district lines and the requirements needed for them to be well-drawn I believe that Canton has relatively well-drawn district lines, but modifications can be made to make it better. As to why the district line is well Canton almost meets its target population perfectly which is seen with the population deviation of -0.14. Not only this but the district's ability to comply with the Voting Rights Act and many other laws. What it can do to improve though is compact the district line as in the bottom right area the border is very unclean with some parts of canton protruding out into District 19 and parts of District 19 protruding into

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Canton.	Majed Ben-Kalefa	2021-11-06 02:29:27+00
Palm	Like	Serves the COI well.	Ehsan Taqbeem	2021-11-06 02:29:04+00
Hickory	Dislike	Bad map, doesn't serve the COI, please delete	Ehsan Taqbeem	2021-11-06 02:26:09+00

As a resident of Canton, I believe that the district borders should stay as they are. This is because the population of Canton Township is similar to the populations of other districts in Michigan giving Canton Township similar representation to the other districts. In addition to that, the different ethnic and cultural groups within Canton are well represented. This is because out of the 91, 480 people in Canton Township, 65.21% of the population is white while other ethnic and cultural groups consist of 34.79% of the population resulting in them being well respresented.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Deepak Raju

2021-11-06 02:24:07+00

Magnolia

Dislike

Bad map, doesn't serve the COI, Please delete

Ehsan Taqbeem

2021-11-06 02:23:26+00

The City of Midland and Flint should not be in the same Congressional district. None of your maps provide an option that addresses this. Your maps simply cater to Democrat demands. Independents and Republicans live in these areas too and deserve to be heard in this process. Stop ignoring us. A city of 40,000 has vastly different needs than a city of 100,000. Please change one of your 3 congressional maps to separate Midland from Flint. Over half of our community voices have been completely dismissed – please give Midland a congressional district that puts Midland back together and in a separate district from Flint. Our communities are vastly different. Why is there no alternative map that provides this option for the public's

Apple V2

Dislike

consideration?

Dennis Quehl

2021-11-06 02:21:56+00

Pine v5

Like

Suggested boundary of District 2

Ehsan Taqbeem

2021-11-06 02:20:56+00

Like this map, except some portions of District 10 should go District 2 to keep Banglatown (COI) together. Please stretch the east boundary out to Mound Road.

Pine v5

Like

Ehsan Taqbeem

2021-11-06 02:18:08+00

I believe that the Cantons district line is well drawn and should not be changed. A major reason for this is the Cantons ability to fill out all requirements needed to have a good district line. One of these things is Canton's equal population as it has a standard deviation of -0.14 towards its Target population meaning that Canton's population is exactly where it needs to be close too equal to the other districts. Another, reason as to why the Canton District line is drawn well is the Compactness as there are no weird areas or curves to be seen with the current district line. Last of all Canton is a very diverse place with many nationalities living here not only supported with my experience but the ethnic data found in the charts below where we can see very high numbers of other ethnicities like Asians in this I do think Bridgeport should be with Saginaw but overall these Saginaw County maps look good. The Tri-Cities makes a lot of sense.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Saline Ben-Kalefa

2021-11-06 02:13:54+00

Linden

Like

Carly Hammond

2021-11-06 02:12:18+00

Cherry V2	Like	This map is drawn fairly, serves the COI well. Many Thanks	Ehsan Taqbeem	2021-11-06 02:11:17+00
		I believe that Canton does not need to be be redistricted because it fulfills the rules set by the Michigan Constitution. The population of Canton is roughly the same as all of the other districts, and it also has very high diversity. Additionally, The boundaries of Canton seem to be compact and there don't seem to be any reasons that necessitate redistricting.		
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		Aaliya Hussain	2021-11-06 02:11:12+00
Birch V2	Like	Serves the COI	Ehsan Taqbeem	2021-11-06 02:07:19+00
Apple V2	Like	Some what serves the COI	Ehsan Taqbeem	2021-11-06 02:05:26+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map doesn't serve the COI	Ehsan Taqbeem	2021-11-06 02:02:34+00

As a resident of Canton myself, I believe that the district borders are good as they are. The district border of Canton fills out all requirements the government needs of it to be fit as a well drawn district. Some of these include how District 43 has such a low Population Deviation to Target Population which means that Cantons population is almost exactly to that target area. Not only that, but District 43 also has a very diverse population with its 65% white population coming relatively low towards the other states average of 8-90%.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Salem Ben-Kalefa

2021-11-06 02:01:26+00

I don't believe that there need to be any changes regarding the borders of Canton. The population is evenly distributed and our district shapes are reasonable. Changing our district shape and population would be an unnecessary inconvenience since our district is fine the way it is. It'd also put an emotional strain on students since being a part of a new district would mean having to leave the people in your previous one.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Harjot Khalsa

2021-11-06 01:58:00+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>I am not for redistricting because I believe it is being done for political purposes. The way we are redistricted today, promotes pretty good diversity in the community. This will also cause a strain on the school systems as they will become overcrowded. We have well balanced teacher to children ratios and charter school options. This diversity of schools is enabled by the community we have and the growth in the last 10 years. I suggest leaving it the way it is, if not there is a danger of losing population. This district, because of the heavy partisan lean, invalidates my vote. Districts should be as close to equal as possible. There is nothing about this area that requires such a gap.</p>	Prakash Aree	2021-11-06 01:53:06+00
Cherry V2	Dislike		Susan Shink	2021-11-06 01:50:59+00

I believe that some change could be made to the district lines of Plymouth. There is a large voting population, but there is very little diversity, so minority groups are not being well-represented. I think the border of Plymouth could expand a little more into District 110 (Northville), which has a slightly more balanced population. However, because Plymouth is more closely tied with Canton than the other neighboring districts due the school system being connected, I don't think a huge change should be made. Redistricting could negatively impact both Northville and Canton, which both currently have good district lines.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Charvi Rayarapu

2021-11-06 01:40:23+00

I do not support redistricting Canton. The boundaries that exist today are proportionately balanced. There has been tremendous growth in Canton and many diverse businesses and communities have significantly increased in the last 10 years. In fact, Canton is well spread and compact enough to self-sustain and promote its economic and infrastructure growth. Political affiliation should not be a motivation for redistricting, hopefully, that's not the intent. If we are increasing the boundary, there should be careful consideration to the impact on infrastructure, with the busy roads that are hard to traverse today.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Malini Aree

2021-11-06 01:38:33+00

I don't really have a preference on the redistricting. I see some areas of canton are confusing, with borders cutting right through some subdivisions. It would be nice have a clear division with major roads. Looks like the population is comparable to other districts and the diversity is really good. I am

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

OK with the current zoning.

Ravi Balla

2021-11-06 01:36:56+00

wow Exchange Gerry from  
1812 would state that this is a  
perfect example of the word  
that was named after him.  
Gerrymandering. Nice  
compact map except for that  
little area, kind of like a  
"bump out" on a trailer,...  
called the city of Midland that  
got plucked out of it's real  
neighbors in Midland County  
and Gladwin County. I would  
encourage everyone to read  
the Detroit News article from  
Nov. 1 article that discusses  
yet more proof that Midland  
and Gladwin should be kept  
together. It is somewhat scary  
to me that even the  
democrats in our area want  
Midland and Gladwin's voice  
cut off to the point that they  
are willing to let their fellow  
residents not get the financial  
help that is needed. They are  
willing to sell out their  
neighbors so that our vote  
can be diluted by adding us to  
Flint. It would be interesting

Birch V2

Dislike

Dennis Quehl

2021-11-06 01:34:58+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>I believe the borders should change. I have lived in Canton for 16 years. I have observed that Canton's population is increasing at a rapid rate, which is serving as a major inconvenience for residents. One example is traffic- the crowdedness makes driving in Canton very difficult. Changing the borders will benefit all incoming and present residents.</p>	Tafheem Shaik	2021-11-06 01:31:36+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>Thank you for creating fair maps. I believe the district borders should expand. Canton is already overpopulated, and the expansion will allow for people to spread out. Now that Canton is so populated, it is about time that we get a mall. I don't see how expanding the borders will change the diversity of the population.</p>	Brian Worlock	2021-11-06 01:30:08+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>I believe the borders should change. I have lived in Canton for 16 years. I have observed that Canton's population is increasing at a rapid rate, which is serving as a major inconvenience for residents. One example is traffic- the crowdedness makes driving in Canton very difficult. Changing the borders will benefit all incoming and present residents.</p>	Tanyeem Shaik	2021-11-06 01:25:22+00

I believe that the district lines for Canton are good the way they are. This current district meets the federal requirements of equal population and the Voting Rights Act. The deviation from the target population is - 0.14% and the population of POC is around 34.79%, which means that for the most part minority groups are being represented. Also, this number is much higher than most other districts. The shape of this district is contiguous and compact with very little contortions. Because these lines are currently good the way they are, redistricting could mess up these numbers and affect community relationships and diversity.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Charvi Rayarapu

2021-11-06 01:17:14+00

I concur with Jennifer Austins comment look at the original Pine map.

Pine v5

Dislike

Michael O'Donnell

2021-11-06 01:17:03+00

I believe that the district boundaries for Canton are well drawn and still represent Canton well even after a decade. The district satisfies most of the rules that the Michigan Constitution lays out. For example, the district is compact and not in any sort of weird layout. With Michigan being one of the lowest growing population states in the country, it further indicates that the boundaries don't need to change since the size of the district stays corresponding to the somewhat consistent population. Canton is also extremely diverse, with a diversity score of 94 out of 100. This increased the amount of communities of interests that would be represented in the state democracy.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Zain Syed

2021-11-06 01:16:23+00

As a resident and student of District 43 (Canton), I believe the borders should remain the same. The shape and size of the district of Canton is fairly compact and the population of 90,000, is a balanced number compared to other districts of Michigan. Additionally, the diversity District 43 holds is substantial, which will aid in representation, especially during voting season. The population of Canton is spread out, meaning that changing the borders will be an inconvenience, moreso, unnecessary.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Alisha Shaik

2021-11-06 01:16:16+00

I would encourage everyone to read the Detroit News article from Nov. 1 article that discusses yet more proof that Midland and Gladwin should be kept together. It is somewhat scary to me that even the democrats in our area want Midland and Gladwin's voice cut off to the point that they are willing to let their fellow residents not get the financial help that is needed. They are willing to sell out their neighbors so that our vote can be diluted by adding us to Flint. It would be interesting to see if they were that bold that they would take out a page in the Midland Daily News and state this in a more public forum :  
"Feds award Midland, Gladwin region \$54M to aid in flood recovery, mitigation".  
<https://www.detroitnews.com/story/news/local/michigan/2021/11/01/midland->

Chestnut

Dislike

Dennis Quehl

2021-11-06 01:15:50+00

By looking at the map, District 43 is very nicely shaped. There are no legs sticking out, and most of the area covered fits inside of its square form. I think that if District 25 (containing Plymouth and parts of Livonia and Northville) had less of an irregular shape, it could include more nearby communities. Adding on to that, other districts sitting on its border would also benefit from its restructure since 35's new flat edges will evenly incorporate subdivisions on both sides without cutting anybody out. The even land distribution will provide stable diversity for those districts.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Elena Q

2021-11-06 00:42:17+00

As someone who lives in Western Washtenaw county and does business throughout Jackson and Washtenaw counties, I feel this map very well reflects communities of interest. I can't comment on partisan fairness, but in terms of compactness and community ties, this map is top notch.

Palm

Like

Alexander McKay

2021-11-06 00:38:27+00

I think that the district of Canton is a model district for the surrounding areas. It is represented well with a higher diversity in the population. After analysis, I believe that District 43 should remain untouched. It provides the diversity and compact form that other districts in this state lack. No obvious outliers are left for other districts. These are all good signs.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Sasha Qureshi

2021-11-06 00:37:05+00

I believe the boundaries of Canton should stay the same primarily because the population is similar to other districts across the state, but also because of the diverse climate surrounding the region. In my opinion, it's apparent that the current borders are organized and well placed. Modifying the district lines could spark inherent problems among School Districts. The diverse atmosphere of Canton also allows for equal representation among all political parties. For these reasons, I see no purpose in changing the boundaries to the City of Plymouth or Canton.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Elizabeth Kelly

2021-11-06 00:31:21+00

I do not support the plan for redistricting Canton. This is because there would be less diversity. This is shown by the percentage of White Canton residents increasing, while the percentage of minorities, like Pacific Islanders, is decreasing. I think this is bad because all groups of people should be represented in Canton, and redistricting would decrease representation. I also think that the schools are overcrowded, and redistricting would overflow the schools even more.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Aleena Jilani

2021-11-06 00:30:11+00

At least this map keep Rockford city with it surrounding neighbors. This one is better than the others.

Apple V2

Opinion

Jennifer Hejduk

2021-11-06 00:29:02+00

Canton's borders, in my opinion, are perfect the way they are. Even though I've lived in Canton for eight years, I've seen certain areas that have been closed off. The data, on the other hand, demonstrates the variety of the district and its link to neighboring districts. I don't understand why the boundaries should be changed; they aid representation, and altering them will simply cause confusion and difficulty. This is why I believe Canton District 43's borders are

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

acceptable the way they are. I like this map the best out of all three congressional maps since it keeps Wyandotte with more immediate neighborhoods instead of Ann Arbor and to west rural. Wyandotte is better with the northern portion of Downriver communities and Detroit

Viraj Gummadavelli

2021-11-06 00:24:18+00

Chestnut

Like

Paul K.

2021-11-06 00:23:12+00

I have been living in Canton for about 9 years and I saw this city has developed so much in so little time. I feel that district 43 borders are good as they are and it covers a diverse population, people from different backgrounds, and people with different occupations. It also has good political representation for all groups of people. Overall I think there is no need to change the district borders.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Hema Balla

2021-11-06 00:21:05+00

The district of Plymouth is not as diverse as Canton. With that being said, I believe that from District 110, the slightly Southeast corner where the city of Northville sits should be added to District 35. District 110 has a great diversity with many present and voting Asians. With the little that may be added, this populous city will increase the diversity found in Plymouth and better the area.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Somer Q

2021-11-06 00:20:58+00

As someone who has lived in Canton my entire life, I believe that Canton does not need to be redistricted. We have a great diversity of races and culture that give and an equal population of those races and cultures. Having such a variety provides for all people who live in Canton's borders to have a chance to learn about many different culture from peers or neighbors who do not share culture with you. If Canton's borders are changed, that opportunity might be lost. The current borders are equal in terms of population compared to other districts and the diversity is somewhat greater than in other districts, redistricting Canton would give no true benefits.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Soumya Kaparathi

2021-11-06 00:20:25+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>Without doing a lot of research, the district appears to be a fair representation of the area. It meets the "eye test" by including the majority of the city without including added areas to alter the voter makeup. Population also appears to be fair. It is disappointing that Plymouth is not joined with Canton as they share a school district but that doesn't appear to take away from the districting.</p>	Gabe Schuch	2021-11-06 00:15:53+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>The district should be a representation of the community's population. I think this districting is a good representation of the Canton community reflecting its diversity.</p>	Rowena Schuch	2021-11-06 00:15:42+00

As someone who attends PCEP and is also a resident of Canton, I believe that this zoning is good for Canton. Even though there is more of a white population in Canton than of other racial backgrounds, it does not outweigh the rest of the population. I believe that this zoning is an accurate representation of the school environment I am in and that the residents of Canton will feel that all of their voices are heard and represented. I also believe that Canton's population as a whole is large enough to be represented on its own and their wants will be fully represented in congress.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Jordyn Schuch

2021-11-06 00:14:27+00

I like the new redistricting plan. It gives more land to Canton and gives people there a chance to be in a better school district. There is definitely diversity in Canton and by bringing more people into District 43, it will only increase everyone's opportunities. In summary, I favor the redistricting.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Waqas Jilani

2021-11-06 00:12:32+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

My older daughter attends a public school and my younger daughter attends a charter school, both located in Canton. As a parent, I agree with the current borders of Canton. I think it's crucial to have diversity in our community and that is clearly reflected in Canton as well as our neighboring district, Plymouth.

Shradha

2021-11-05 23:58:16+00

In my opinion, Canton's borders are drawn to represent all communities. Whenever I attend public places here in Canton such as the library and parks, I always see a diverse community! Given the table, Canton's population seems to be on the right track too. Overall, I have to say that I think Canton's borders are perfect right now!

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

sundeeep b

2021-11-05 23:50:28+00

I believe this change in borders is unnecessary for two main reasons. One reason is that our current borders are fine, as we are already well diverse with non-whites making up 35% of the Canton population. The 2nd main reason that I think changing borders would complicate things further and create more issues, which is not what we need at this time when we are already dealing with multiple other larger ones such as the labor crisis, and we don't need more complication in our day to day lives. For these two reasons, I'm against the idea of the change in Canton's borders as it'd just cause unnecessary complications and is not needed to raise diversity in Canton.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Aydaan Jilani

2021-11-05 23:49:30+00

As a student at PCEP and a resident of Canton as well, I similarly believe that the borders of District 43 and District 35 should stay the same. Redistricting is something that a lot of citizens do not like because of the fear of gerrymandering and the loss of their voice, and I think that this redistricting, primarily in that it divides the school district into 2 separate entities, might be off-putting to some people. However, I do not believe that the general public will be affected in any other adverse way, so I think that the borders for Canton and Plymouth can be left as is.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Aiswarya Saravanan

2021-11-05 23:48:25+00

I think that the boundaries of Canton and Plymouth should stay the same. They should stay the same because they have been like that for a long time. Government, traditions, and locations are named and have meaning based on the location and name and people who lived in our communities. By changing the borders we change our community.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Olivia Pincock

2021-11-05 23:47:21+00

As a resident of Canton and a student at PCEP, I believe that Canton's borders are fine where they are and that they do not need to be divided.

The population of Canton is similar to the other districts, which shows that the borders are drawn equally to divide the population. Although the new plan may sound reasonable, I think it will disrupt Canton's sense of community and the diversity in the city. By changing the borders, the minority population may have a negative outcome, since they might be separated from the rest of the community.

However, I think splitting the school district into two different districts (Plymouth and Canton) is a minor inconvenience in recognizing the population. Overall, I believe the border for Canton is rational and makes sense.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Akshayapriya Saravanan

2021-11-05 23:44:42+00

I believe the district of Canton qualifies as a decent district. The borders are succinct and the enclosed area is compact. This is a good sign that there is no gerrymandering in play. The demographics of Canton are pretty much in line with the national average with the exception of the Asian population around 20%. This would be excellent in allowing Asian Americans to effectively voice their opinions. One slight flaw of this map would be the exclusion of political affiliation in Canton. This statistic would effectively determine if there was any gerrymandered process in any district in Michigan

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Chaoxuan Xiong

2021-11-05 23:32:35+00

As a student of Canton, I do not support the plan for redistricting. Overall, Canton has very high diversity rates and is most compact, and according to the chart, the plan is to cut out some pieces of the township. Again, as a student, I enjoy living in a community where there is so much diversity around me, and cutting out pieces of canton will inevitably cut down the diversity. Also, this will move some students to other districts, and that can be a very hard change if redistricting causes them to need to change schools. Therefore, I do not support redistricting.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Rithi Aree

2021-11-05 23:22:32+00

The Birchv2 map is the one that had less competitive seats.

There are highly competitive seats drawn in this map. I like that aspect of it. Prefer still the Apple map with Kzoo and Grand Rapids fixed...each city deserves to anchor their own congressional district. Kent County wants to be kept whole. I'm not a commissioner and I heard gobs of comments to that effect before you ever drew a map.

Chestnut

Opinion

Daniel G

2021-11-05 23:15:21+00

As a resident of Canton, I do believe that the borders here are well done. Canton is considerably diverse, especially when you compare it to other districts. Complying with the guidelines of a good district, the borders right now would satisfy it. The other guidelines for population and political views also check out. So I do believe that the borders are fine the way they are.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Hua Mao

2021-11-05 23:13:41+00

This map overall is bad. It's essentially a 6-6 map with one swing district. For the most part, no incumbent office holder will have to work for his/her selection. So much easier to draw a map that has 3 safe D seats 3 safe R seats and 4 highly competitive seats. Your other maps are better.

Chestnut

Dislike

Daniel G.

2021-11-05 23:09:31+00

I don't understand why Ann Arbor gets to demands that is political influence control the residents of rural Washtenaw and all of Jackson county?

Ann Arbor's brand of progressive socialism is not even the mainstream of the Democrat party. It doesn't even reflect the the Democrats that live in Jackson. This is an example of districts being drawn for the purpose of partisan gerrymandering. The only reason Ann Arbor was "split" was not to respect a community of interest but for partisan purposes...to guarantee democrats an additional State Senate seat. That violates the law. Jackson County deserves to be represented by someone who shares the values not a socialist from Ann Arbor.

Linden

Dislike

Daniel G

2021-11-05 23:01:56+00

I like the largely intact districts. While I don't think Ottawa county shouldn't be divided so many times, it kind of keeps the metro areas together.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Opinion

Steve Dohm

2021-11-05 22:52:03+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>I believe that the borders of Canton are perfectly okay right now. The more we expand Canton, the more funding Canton would need, which should not become a main priority. Canton and Plymouth should be focusing on fixing their roads as soon as possible so traffic can decrease in the very populated intersections.</p> <p>I think that now is the best time to rezone since students are not attached to their schools anymore</p>	Tajammul Mulbagal	2021-11-05 22:50:33+00
9-28-21 v1 HD	Like		Zane Mohammad	2021-11-05 22:48:57+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>A lakeshore district down to the SW border is stepping over community demographics and common sense. MSAs are split up for no good reason. Clearly someone started in SE Michigan and had fatigue as they went across the state. And yeah like other commenters....a US 131 corridor district from GR south side to Kalamazoo makes little sense. These are two wholly different population centers. Why not follow all the major highways.</p>	Steve Dohm	2021-11-05 22:46:18+00

I believe that the borders for Canton are fine the way they are. As a person who has lived here for their entire life, I do see that some places in Canton are cut off. However, the data shows the diversity and relationship to other districts. I don't see a need to change the borders, they help with representation, and changing them would only lead to confusion and hardships. This is why I think the borders of Canton District 43 are fine just the way they are.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Rahul Aree

2021-11-05 22:45:16+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I believe that the Canton borders should expand for two reasons. One, for the longest time, Canton has been overpopulated and people have been tirelessly searching for houses. The added land would alleviate that pressure. Second, the High Schools here are only populated with children from Canton and Plymouth with a lot of school of choice students coming from other counties. By expanding Canton, then students would have more access to buses more than before.	Tanyeem Shaik	2021-11-05 22:44:08+00
Hickory	Dislike	Badly gerrymandered to gift the democrats permanent control and silencing the voices of opposition to the left wingers. Do not use.	merlin steffes	2021-11-05 22:41:28+00
Magnolia	Dislike	Badly gerrymandered to gift the democrats permanent control and silencing the voices of opposition to the left wingers. Do not use.	merlin steffes	2021-11-05 22:41:12+00
Magnolia am	Dislike	Badly gerrymandered to gift the democrats permanent control and silencing the voices of opposition to the left wingers. Do not use.	merlin steffes	2021-11-05 22:40:47+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	I think they should not if it is going to limit diversity and have negative impacts on schools.	Sarah Khan	2021-11-05 22:40:40+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	As a Canton resident, I think the borders of the district are fine where they are. Based on my experience, I think all the needs for this district have been met. There is plenty of diversity, both economically and culturally, and there is enough similarity for no huge political conflicts. The borders are a reasonable shape, and the population isn't too big or too small. So, redrawing the borders would be unnecessary.	Medha Aekka	2021-11-05 22:38:59+00
Linden	Dislike	This map violates the municipal boundaries of Ann Arbor and Grand Rapids. Do not use the linden map.	merlin steffes	2021-11-05 22:32:55+00
Palm	Opinion	This map is better than the linden map. The municipal boundaries of Ann Arbor are not violated as it is the linden map. Grand Rapids municipal boundaries are still violated in this map though.	merlin steffes	2021-11-05 22:30:30+00

Pine v5	Like	<p>Keep Banglatown Whole! Thank you for hearing us and recognizing our Community of Interest by adjusting the boundaries of District 2 to keep Banglatown together. Residents of Banglatown contribute so much to Michigan and we deserve to have a fair chance to see our values reflected by our elected representatives. If you adjust the maps again, please make sure that Banglatown remains whole.</p>	Md Alim Uddin	2021-11-05 22:29:28+00
Pine v5	Like	<p>Thank you for recognizing Banglatown's need to remain in one district. Our Community of Interest has grown a lot since the last time the districts were drawn, and it is important that our districts reflect that. If you adjust this map again, be sure that Banglatown remains whole.</p>	Chinu Begum	2021-11-05 22:27:48+00

Keep Banglatown Whole!  
Thank you for hearing us and recognizing our Community of Interest by adjusting the boundaries of District 2 to keep Banglatown together. Residents of Banglatown contribute so much to Michigan and we deserve to have a fair chance to see our values reflected by our elected representatives. If you adjust the maps again, please make sure that Banglatown remains whole.

Pine v5

Like

Haji Idris Ali

2021-11-05 22:26:04+00

Putting Muskegon County in with Grand Rapids along the I-96 corridor aligns us with people who are more like us. Muskegon is unique compared its other lakeshore towns that are majority white and wealthy giving Muskegon a much stronger voice when it comes to racial and economic equity

Chestnut

Like

Karen Dault

2021-11-05 22:24:51+00

Birch V2	Opinion	PS, I live in NE Ottawa which has more in common with Kent/GR than SW Ottawa/Holland. Ask people in NE Ottawa were they travel to more - GR or Holland. The answer will be GR.	merlin steffes	2021-11-05 22:23:39+00
----------	---------	--	----------------	------------------------

Pine v5	Like	Thank you for listening to our feedback at the Detroit public hearing and keeping Banglatown whole in District 2. It is so important for our political representation that we be recognized as a Community of Interest and this map does that. If the Commission adjusts these maps again, please make sure that Banglatown remains whole.	Md Abdus Shahid	2021-11-05 22:23:34+00
---------	------	--	-----------------	------------------------

Chestnut	Dislike	<p>I agree with similar comments, this map unnecessarily divides counties. While I am personally ok with the split in Muskegon County; the splits in Kent and Ottawa make no sense to me. And I think this will lead to GR area having an unbalanced representation. To me it makes the most sense to start with the largest counties and add to them to have focused representation. Geographically the Barry County to the northwest approach is absurd.</p> <p>Thank you for adjusting the borders of District 2 to keep Banglatown whole. As a Community of Interest that has grown significantly since the last redistricting process, it means a lot to see our wishes reflected in this map. If you adjust the districts again, please be sure that Banglatown remains whole. Thank you.</p>	Steve Dohm	2021-11-05 22:22:55+00
Pine v5	Like		Minara Begum	2021-11-05 22:21:17+00

Birch V2	Opinion	<p>PS, I live in NE Ottawa which has more in common with Kent/GR than SE Ottawa/Holland. Ask people in NE Ottawa were they travel to more - GR or Holland. The answer will be GR.</p>	merlin steffes	2021-11-05 22:16:46+00
Pine v5	Like	<p>Keep Banglatown Whole! Thank you for hearing us and recognizing our Community of Interest by adjusting the boundaries of District 2 to keep Banglatown together. Residents of Banglatown contribute so much to Michigan and we deserve to have a fair chance to see our values reflected by our elected representatives. If you adjust the maps again, please make sure that Banglatown remains whole.</p>	Shewly Khanom	2021-11-05 22:15:14+00
Birch V2	Opinion	<p>The birch is the best map of the three ugly pigs. Makes more sense to have part of Ottawa and Ionia with Kent than Muskegon or Kalamazoo.</p>	merlin steffes	2021-11-05 22:14:01+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	I believe these borders of Canton and Plymouth are just fine the way they are. The extent of the Canton community currently extends to Westland. As a resident of south Canton close to that border, I believe this line shouldn't be pushed any farther because of the drastic demographic changes. While Canton is a diverse area, the median household income is greater than Westland.	Alia Mulbagal	2021-11-05 22:13:17+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for your hard work making Fair Maps. It is awesome that we have Districts that are fair for everyone	marie joppich	2021-11-05 22:13:01+00
Apple V2	Dislike	The apple map is badly gerrymandered- GR with Kalamazoo? Of the three maps, the birch map is best of the ugly pigs.	merlin steffes	2021-11-05 22:12:03+00
Chestnut	Dislike	The chestnut map is badly gerrymandered- GR with Muskegon? Of the three maps, the birch map is best of the ugly pigs.	merlin steffes	2021-11-05 22:10:48+00

Pine v5	Like	<p>Thank you for listening to our feedback at the Detroit public hearing and keeping Banglatown whole in District 2. It is so important for our political representation that we be recognized as a Community of Interest and this map does that. If the Commission adjusts these maps again, please make sure that Banglatown remains whole.</p>	Naima P Choudhury	2021-11-05 22:08:33+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>This map is bad and not in the best interest of the citizens of Ottawa County! This map is nothing more than Partisan Democrat gerrymandering!!</p>	Shannon Schuiteboer-Blackman	2021-11-05 22:08:26+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	<p>I do not understand why the part of Section 3 in Oakland and Macomb Counties is not exchanged with the part of Section 10 in Wayne County. It would seem that county affiliation has a higher commonality of interest than most other factors, yet these districts are misconfigured to achieve some other purpose.</p>	Mark Bosler	2021-11-05 22:07:37+00

Pine v5	Like	<p>Thank you for recognizing Banglatown's need to remain in one district. Our Community of Interest has grown a lot since the last time the districts were drawn, and it is important that our districts reflect that. If you adjust this map again, be sure that Banglatown remains whole.</p>	Mashfya Nessa	2021-11-05 22:04:22+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>This is the map that should be used! This best aligns with the best interest for the citizens and business owners of Ottawa County!</p>	Shannon Schuiteboer-Blackman	2021-11-05 22:03:03+00
Pine v5	Like	<p>Keep Banglatown Whole! Thank you for hearing us and recognizing our Community of Interest by adjusting the boundaries of District 2 to keep Banglatown together. Residents of Banglatown contribute so much to Michigan and we deserve to have a fair chance to see our values reflected by our elected representatives. If you adjust the maps again, please make sure that Banglatown remains whole.</p>	Syeda Ara	2021-11-05 22:00:27+00

Birch V2	Dislike	This is not a good map and does not represent the citizens of Ottawa County!	Shannon Schuiteboer-Blackman	2021-11-05 21:58:48+00
Pine v5	Like	Thank you for listening to our feedback at the Detroit public hearing and keeping Banglatown whole in District 2. It is so important for our political representation that we be recognized as a Community of Interest and this map does that. If the Commission adjusts these maps again, please make sure that Banglatown remains whole.	Syeda Sultana	2021-11-05 21:58:04+00
Pine v5	Like	Thank you for listening to our feedback at the Detroit public hearing and keeping Banglatown whole in District 2. It is so important for our political representation that we be recognized as a Community of Interest and this map does that. If the Commission adjusts these maps again, please make sure that Banglatown remains whole.	Taslema Rahman	2021-11-05 21:54:14+00

Cherry V2	Like	<p>This map seems much more equitable than the others I saw. Thank you for your hard work and for Taking michigandersâ€™ comments into consideration</p> <p>Thank you for recognizing Banglatownâ€™s need to remain in one district. Our Community of Interest has grown a lot since the last time the districts were drawn, and it is important that our districts reflect that.</p> <p>If you adjust this map again, be sure that Banglatown remains whole.</p>	Carol A Simmons	2021-11-05 21:53:00+00
Pine v5	Like	<p>Just look at some of the comments for this map. They want you to disregard it simply on political grounds, without stating whether the combined districts have cultural, economic, and administrative commonalities. Beware of these commentators who simply wish to draw maps to satisfy their political objectives. You were not requested to draw maps for this reason.</p>	Kulshuma Rukshana	2021-11-05 21:51:41+00
Palm	Opinion		Mark S. Bosler	2021-11-05 21:50:34+00

As a student of PCEP, I believe the district of Canton should remain the same. Canton is very largely diverse, having lived here my whole life, I have experienced so many different cultures all in one place. Diversity is extremely important to a good society. The population is not overcrowded, nor too sparse. Canton is at a sweet-spot as a district, and does not need to be changed.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Ana Greggio

2021-11-05 21:48:00+00

Palm Like

Support and like this Map for State Senate. Nicely done for Community of interest.

Kawsar A Khan

2021-11-05 21:47:01+00

As someone who has lived here my entire life and attend Salem High School, I don't believe the current borders are in need of changing, as they already provide fair and well-organized groups as is. Not only is the population equal to the population of other districts across the state, but I know that the populations of Canton and Plymouth are diverse in every sense of the word. There are an incredible amount of races, ethnicities, and cultures on display in both districts. Lastly, current borders seem to be relatively concise and organized, so no problem should be had there. Any potential change in district lines could lead to issues regarding school districts and other things, and to me it seems a better choice to avoid any possible conflict for what seems like no true gain. Jonathan Wylie

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

2021-11-05 21:46:47+00

Pine v5	Like	<p>Thank you for adjusting the borders of District 2 to keep Banglatown whole. As a Community of Interest that has grown significantly since the last redistricting process, it means a lot to see our wishes reflected in this map. If you adjust the districts again, please be sure that Banglatown remains whole. Thank you.</p>	Rahima Khanom Joly	2021-11-05 21:46:07+00
Pine v5	Like	<p>Like this Map. Nicely done for Community of interest. I Support to keep this Map for House.</p>	Kawsar A Khan	2021-11-05 21:44:47+00
Pine v5	Like	<p>Keep Banglatown Whole! Thank you for hearing us and recognizing our Community of Interest by adjusting the boundaries of District 2 to keep Banglatown together. Residents of Banglatown contribute so much to Michigan and we deserve to have a fair chance to see our values reflected by our elected representatives. If you adjust the maps again, please make sure that Banglatown remains whole.</p>	Momin Ahmed	2021-11-05 21:43:26+00

Apple V2 Like Like this Map for District 13. Community of common interest. Nicely done. Kawsar A Khan 2021-11-05 21:42:22+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like As a long time resident of Canton, overall I believe that the district borders are good as they are. I do see some places in Canton that are cut out of District 43 that I feel are notable, such as my old school on Geddes. At the same time, the data in the chart shows that the population matches the other districts, and there is good diversity in the community too. I do also feel that in my daily life, I see so many different people of different backgrounds and opinions. It takes into account the different criteria to consider while redistricting, and I don't see any need to change it. I do believe that the borders we have currently on District 43 allow for greater political representation for minority groups as well. Shruti Balla 2021-11-05 21:41:19+00

Chestnut	Dislike	<p>The Chestnut map makes no sense. Drawing a district from Holland to Kalamazoo/Battle Creek and forcing Grand Rapids and Muskegon together is an intentional and deliberate attempt to create a Democrat district out of predominantly conservative West Michigan. This goes against the purpose of the redistricting to create fair maps. This is an unfair and politically driven map.</p> <p>I am curious why the district including Huron township is so narrow. Perhaps Taylor should belong with Allen Park - being more similar. Then, farther South, district 40 should expand West due to the affinity of the Willow-Waltz area with Sumpter Township. Or how about Woodhaven-Taylor-Allen Park and also Flat Rock included in 40.</p>	John G Partyka	2021-11-05 21:37:55+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion		Kristin Adamski	2021-11-05 21:32:51+00

As a senior in PCEP and a resident of Canton, I do not believe the borders should be changed. I mean, I am not going to be here in Canton next year so it doesn't really matter much to me but I do not see a reason to change the borders. Like, population is fine as is diversity. Our district is fine. I see some people saying to combine Plymouth and Canton but that makes no sense to me The population would be greater and there would be too much diversity; its ridiculous. Keep things the way they are, most of us are content.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Karan Choudhary

2021-11-05 21:32:11+00

Birch V2	Dislike	<p>The Birch V2 map makes no sense. By dividing Ottawa County, communities are being separated, often right through the center of commercial areas and more. It places townships that identify commercially and culturally with the Lakeshore, into districts dominated by urban Grand Rapids, Saginaw Bay, or Kalamazoo. This is unacceptable for the fastest-growing county in Michigan. It goes against our traditions for generations and divides our community three ways.</p>	John G Partyka	2021-11-05 21:31:09+00
Pine v5	Like	<p>Thank you for listening to our feedback at the Detroit public hearing and keeping Banglatown whole in District 2. It is so important for our political representation that we be recognized as a Community of Interest and this map does that. If the Commission adjusts these maps again, please make sure that Banglatown remains whole.</p>	Rashida Khanam	2021-11-05 21:30:55+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>As a member of the Canton community, the borders of district 43 should not be changed. They include most of Canton and look fair to all political parties. It also keeps Canton very diverse and keeps many communities together such as the Indian American community.</p> <p>Thank you for recognizing Banglatown's need to remain in one district. Our Community of Interest has grown a lot since the last time the districts were drawn, and it is important that our districts reflect that.</p> <p>If you adjust this map again, be sure that Banglatown remains whole.</p>	Jasbir Sandhu	2021-11-05 21:28:38+00
Pine v5	Like		Husna Begum	2021-11-05 21:28:16+00

As a parent and a resident of this district I feel like the current border for Canton is fine as is. The population is pretty even to the other districts, I do not see a reason to change the border. If the borders changed, many kids would have to change schools and their bus route would be cut. This would be an inconvenience for parents and students. Changing schools is difficult and it is not easy for a parent to drive their child to school everyday because of a new border. This is why I think the borders are fine as they are.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Sarita Choudhary

2021-11-05 21:26:28+00

Thank you for adjusting the borders of District 2 to keep Banglatown whole. As a Community of Interest that has grown significantly since the last redistricting process, it means a lot to see our wishes reflected in this map. If you adjust the districts again, please be sure that Banglatown remains whole. Thank you.

Pine v5

Like

Shuraya Chowdhury

2021-11-05 21:25:53+00

Cherry V2 Like This is a better looking district than the first one I commented on, but there are definitely some weird things going on. There are lots of COIs that are overridden by population, like Ann Arbor being split in half. It's inevitable that rural areas get lumped in with urban areas, it's a simple matter of population, but there should be a better way to go about it. Overall a good start! Ian Sorensen 2021-11-05 21:24:05+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like Hi I would like to say that I believe that the district boundaries should not be changed. They are fine just the way they are and need no changing. There is a chance that changing these laws might cause a lot of confusion which is the last thing anyone needs in a pandemic right now. The city which I live in has a high voter population which is something that may get risked in being inaccurate if there is a change in this law. Adriana Zeqo 2021-11-05 21:23:11+00

I'm not sure why district 35 crosses I-275 well into Livonia. If you keep Northville and Plymouth together as a unit, you should include Salem township. Alternatively Plymouth could be attached to Canton and Northville to Novi.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Raymond Adamski

2021-11-05 21:22:15+00

As a Canton resident and Plymouth High School student, I believe the current borders meet the needed criteria for an effective and fair district. The population (both general and voting) seem to be similar to the other districts in Michigan. There is also a diverse range of people, something I know about from being a Canton resident for nearly all of my life and having grown up surrounded by different cultures and ethnicities. The border is also logically drawn and lacks any convoluted quality, leading me to believe that the district's boundaries does not need to be redrawn.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Ellie Thai

2021-11-05 21:21:18+00

Apple V2 Like The Apple V2 map makes sense. It keeps Ottawa County together, creates a Lakeshore district, and respects existing county and municipal lines. It best reflects our community and economic interests and will ensure we have a voice in Washington DC. Thank you. John G Partyka 2021-11-05 21:21:11+00

Pine v5 Like Keep Banglatown Whole! Thank you for hearing us and recognizing our Community of Interest by adjusting the boundaries of District 2 to keep Banglatown together. Residents of Banglatown contribute so much to Michigan and we deserve to have a fair chance to see our values reflected by our elected representatives. If you adjust the maps again, please make sure that Banglatown remains whole. Zasmin Begum 2021-11-05 21:20:16+00

Hi my name is Gersi and I am not a fan of the changing of boundaries. As a kid, it is hard enough to go to school and teach myself the viable things I need in my life, including the city I live and the surrounding places. These types of things can get very difficult to remember if they are getting changed over and over. Each decade a new boundary system is set up, meaning each decade people have to forget what they have known about they're surrounding boundaries and start over. There are student drivers learning they're roads and cities and directions but it's hard for them, as beginners of driving, to forget what they knew about the boundaries and have to learn it all over again.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Gersi Zeqo

2021-11-05 21:13:23+00

Thank you for recognizing Banglatown's need to remain in one district. Our Community of Interest has grown a lot since the last time the districts were drawn, and it is important that our districts reflect that. If you adjust this map again, be sure that Banglatown remains whole.

Pine v5

Like

Syed Islam

2021-11-05 21:12:30+00

As a student and a resident of district 43 (Canton), I believe that the borders are fine as they are right now. There is a fair distribution of people among all the districts, about 90,000. There is also a decent amount of diversity in our district. This is good because it is important for a district to have people who believe in similar ideals and who tend to agree on matters. However it is also important for their to be a diversified district, that is why the fact that our district is in the middle of that is good. Changing our borders would be an unnecessary inconvenience for many.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Neha Choudhary

2021-11-05 21:12:16+00

I believe that the boundaries between Plymouth and Canton seem to be very fair. As one of the PCEP students, I understand that the schools need to be close to both districts. Without the boundaries being close a lot of people would have to go to other schools farther away. Since our diversity and communities are so similar it would be amazing for our boundaries to be on equal terms as well.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Heldius Zeqo

2021-11-05 21:09:37+00

Keep Banglatown Whole!  
Thank you for hearing us and recognizing our Community of Interest by adjusting the boundaries of District 2 to keep Banglatown together. Residents of Banglatown contribute so much to Michigan and we deserve to have a fair chance to see our values reflected by our elected representatives. If you adjust the maps again, please make sure that Banglatown remains whole.

Pine v5

Like

Halema Bibi

2021-11-05 21:09:35+00

Pine v5	Like	Thank you for adjusting the borders of District 2 to keep Banglatown whole. As a Community of Interest that has grown significantly since the last redistricting process, it means a lot to see our wishes reflected in this map. If you adjust the districts again, please be sure that Banglatown remains whole. Thank you.	Syed Forash	2021-11-05 21:07:15+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	As a citizen of Canton, I think that the borders of District 43 are good. They are not neutral and not biased toward any political party. They also include most people from Canton. The population is also similar to other districts.	Manmohan Grewal	2021-11-05 21:02:46+00
Chestnut	Like	Chestnut Map makes no sense and is unfair. I object to this Gerrymandered map!	MaryBeth Rogers	2021-11-05 21:00:07+00

Pine v5	Like	<p>Thank you for listening to our feedback at the Detroit public hearing and keeping Banglatown whole in District 2. It is so important for our political representation that we be recognized as a Community of Interest and this map does that. If the Commission adjusts these maps again, please make sure that Banglatown remains whole.</p> <p>This map is solid. It does a better job than any other map I've seen at simultaneously meeting all the key requirements for fair and representative districts. It seems like the obvious best choice.</p>	Shafali Begum	2021-11-05 20:59:02+00
Linden	Like	<p>I do not agree with this map and how it seperates communities. Not a good map for Ottawa County.</p>	Ellie	2021-11-05 20:58:24+00
Birch V2	Like		MaryBeth Rogers	2021-11-05 20:57:58+00

As a student at Canton High School and a resident of Canton (District 43), I believe that the current state of the borders are more than fine and don't need to be changed. Creating new borders would mean that some students might have to swap schools if they were to be put in other school districts. As a student who has been moving her whole life and constantly moving between schools, it is extremely hard for young students to adjust especially during important periods of their life, such as high school. When people choose to live in certain areas they consider the education factor and take it into heavy account. If the district borders were to be moved, it might cause heavier congestion in the Canton district due to the size of the area compared to the influx

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

and growth of people wanting  
Serene Mohammad  
This is a good map and keeps  
Ottawa County and its voice  
together as it should be! I

2021-11-05 20:56:44+00

Apple V2

Like

agree with this map!

MaryBeth Rogers

2021-11-05 20:54:29+00

I personally believe that the borders of district 43 are well drawn as it is. As a student of a high school in Canton, I feel that the borders have been well established and they are reasonably compact, meaning there are no eccentricities in terms of shape. In addition to this aspect, another point to make would be on the representation of diversity in Canton and of the communities of interest. All racial groups are noted and are simple to distinguish, with a clear depiction on the diversity of Canton.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Chae Eun Park

2021-11-05 20:53:38+00

Chestnut Map Does NOT Make Sense! This map goes against the purpose of redistricting to create fair maps. This is an UNFAIR and politically GERRYMANDERED MAP.

Chestnut

Dislike

Ann VanZalen

2021-11-05 20:50:41+00

Chestnut	Dislike	Totally against Chestnut Congressional map. It removes my tiny SE area from Oakland county and District 11 to a rural district that encompasses 3 other counties. South Lyon is the fastest growing community in Oakland county. Nothing in common with this Congressional district. Don't move us from Oakland county and district 11.	Cindy Groene	2021-11-05 20:49:16+00
Apple V2	Like	Apple V2 Map makes sense! It keeps Ottawa County together, creates a Lakeshore district, respects existing county and municipal lines, best reflects our community and economic interests!	Ann VanZalen	2021-11-05 20:44:37+00

Chestnut Map Does NOT  
Make Sense!

Gerrymandering a district  
from Holland to  
Kalamazoo/Battle Creek and  
forcing Grand Rapids and  
Muskegon together is an  
intentional and deliberate  
attempt to create a Democrat  
district out of predominantly  
conservative West Michigan.

This map goes against the  
purpose of redistricting to  
create fair maps.

This is an UNFAIR and  
politically GERRYMANDERED  
MAP.

Chestnut

Dislike

Mihai Craioveanu

2021-11-05 20:42:34+00

LOL. The amendment was  
passed using existing maps  
that looked "odd." These  
districts have all of them beat.

District 14 cuts up numerous  
communities of interest for  
the sole purpose of diluting  
black votes to elect as many  
white Democrats as possible.

Pine v5

Dislike

Robert Brandenburg

2021-11-05 20:40:08+00

Apple V2 Map makes sense!

It keeps Ottawa County together, creates a Lakeshore district, respects existing county and municipal lines, best reflects our community and economic interests and will ensure we have a voice in Washington DC.

Apple V2

Like

Mihai Craioveanu

2021-11-05 20:38:53+00

Chestnut is the best map to provide truly reflect representation for Muskegon.

Chestnut

Like

Gretchen Miedona

2021-11-05 20:37:27+00

By separating Ottawa County, you are separating communities, often right through the center of commercial areas and more.

It places townships that identify commercially and culturally with the Lakeshore, into districts dominated by urban Grand Rapids, Saginaw Bay, or Kalamazoo.

This is unacceptable for the fastest-growing county in Michigan. It goes against our traditions for generations and cracks our community three ways!

Birch V2

Dislike

Mihai Craioveanu

2021-11-05 20:35:42+00

This map is well thought out, keeps community of interest together and displays partisan fairness.

Cherry V2

Like

Cindy Michniewicz

2021-11-05 20:33:02+00

Our family thanks you for the long arduous work that resulted in these Fair Maps. Fair Maps! We thank you! More importantly, our democracy thanks you! Fair maps: music to our patriotic hearts!

Cherry V2

Like

Emily Kallunki-Pasternak

2021-11-05 20:33:00+00

This is the map that should be used. Makes great sense.

Apple V2

Like

Kevin DeVries

2021-11-05 20:18:49+00

As someone who lives in Canton(District 43) I feel the borders should be kept the way they are because they properly meet the criteria. The current population of 90,000 is approximately equal to the other districts. Also, the community is very diverse which is important because the needs of various ethnic groups can be heard. Redrawing the current border could mess up our diversity. This is why I think the borders in Canton(District 43) are fine just the way they are.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Abhijay Das

2021-11-05 20:17:47+00

Thank you for recognizing Banglatown's need to remain in one district. Our Community of Interest has grown a lot since the last time the districts were drawn, and it is important that our districts reflect that. If you adjust this map again, be sure that Banglatown remains whole.

Pine v5

Like

Minar Chowdhury

2021-11-05 20:03:42+00

This map disenfranchises the Hispanic vote by placing it in a district apart from the SW Detroit community, it disrupts community cohesion. District 4 would be better served if the precincts in the Barton Farlane neighborhood were replaced and the 7 precincts in SW Detroit returned into District 1. A simple exchange of precincts would fix this issue.

Palm

Dislike

Harvey Santana

2021-11-05 19:41:22+00

This map is a perfect example of how NOT to fairly designate a district. People commenting about "Community of Interest" between Jackson and Ann Arbor are failing to describe how they are communities of interest. that is because they are NOT communities of interest. Jackson County is primarily ag, and really has very little in common with Ann Arbor. Dividing the county into two districts and lumping them in to the Ann Arbor area is done to simply increase the influence of one party and decrease the other. Keeping the county as intact as possible is one of the requirements of the Constitution, and this map fails in that regard and many others. the PALM map is the

Cherry V2	Like	most fair map.	Dave	2021-11-05 19:37:47+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for creating fair and equitable maps.	Colleen Gleason-Schulte	2021-11-05 19:24:23+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Not a good map for Ottawa county.	Cheryl A. Porter	2021-11-05 19:22:29+00
Apple V2	Like	This is a fair map for Muskegon	james anton	2021-11-05 19:22:01+00

Birch V2	Dislike	Not a good map for Ottawa county.	Cheryl A. Porter	2021-11-05 19:21:47+00
Apple V2	Like	This is the Map fair of the three.	Cheryl A. Porter	2021-11-05 19:21:05+00
Cherry V2	Like	THANK YOU . The districts look more competitive for 2022.	Kathleen Carney	2021-11-05 19:20:23+00
Linden	Like	this map doubles the partisan bias and hurts communities of interest	Isabelle Fisher	2021-11-05 19:18:50+00
Palm	Dislike	This map does not accomplish partisan fairness. The Commissioners would fail the citizens of Michigan if they went with this map.	Ellie	2021-11-05 19:13:28+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map creates FAIR districts for everyone! Please adopt it.	DARLENE M DOMANIK	2021-11-05 19:07:52+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Similar to Birch v2 this map puts areas of western Oakland County into a spot with the thumb that seeks only to jam a fairly 50/50 area into an extreme GOP district. There is little in the way of shared resources, shared need, or shared anything much for these areas. Its an invitation to basically shut out this area from having a voice.	Michael Bedard	2021-11-05 19:02:12+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for moving toward more competitive districts.	Gayle Kalvelage Steiner	2021-11-05 19:01:31+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>This map is unfair and favors Republicans, it roughly DOUBLES the Republican bias relative to the other two Senate maps, Linden and Cherry v2. Cherry V2 Map is a far more fair map.</p>	Loida Tapia	2021-11-05 18:59:12+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This seems to reflect western Oakland County as an overall area accurately. Places like Highland and Milford which skew one direction are included with Pontiac - which one could say is substantially different but the reality is the places in this area share resources and have shared voice despite their differences. This would seem to be the one option that doesn't treat Oakland County like a punching bag for slicing. District 14 looks fair to me. My community of interest, Chelsea, Dexter, etc., are</p>	Michael Bedard	2021-11-05 18:58:41+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>together. Thanks.</p>	Cathy Jo Muha	2021-11-05 18:52:28+00

The state is obviously changing demographically, and growing populations do need have appropriate representation. I think districts 27 and 29 indicate forward thinking rather than retropopulation vestiges. Thank you for that consideration.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

Rodger Wolff

2021-11-05 18:48:51+00

I like the improvements that have been made to this map. Overall, it is much better from a partisan fairness aspect than the others. It also makes sense to include portions of several surrounding counties with Livingston County because our residents commute in several different directions or share school districts in neighboring counties. We don't all go one direction -- some go west to Ingham, south to Washtenaw, or north to Genesee or Shiawassee. So it makes sense.

Linden

Like

Judith Marie Daubenmier

2021-11-05 18:48:50+00

Districts 14 & 15 are nothing but partisan gerrymandering. The interests of Jackson county and of Hillsdale, in particular, will not be represented under these proposed districts. A district is supposed to preserve the distinct characteristic of a particular community so that it can be represented. There is nothing with districts 14 and 15 that preserve the distinct interests of Jackson county. These districts are anathema to both fairness and republican government.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Christian Warner

2021-11-05 18:47:00+00

District 9 is extremely problematic for the portion of western Oakland County that gets sliced in with areas that have very little in common. Milford being split in two places it almost exclusively as an outlier. It has little in common with the Macomb County portion of rural areas. It has almost nothing in common with the St. Clair County portion and it absolutely as no relationship at all with the thumb region. Its a very odd alignment that appears more designed to keep partisanship high in one district while mellowing it a touch than it does providing this area with any sort of representation at all.

Apple V2  
Pine v5

Dislike  
Like

Like this option

Michael Bedard  
SITAR A CHOWDHURY

2021-11-05 18:45:28+00  
2021-11-05 18:32:52+00

COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST

Please consider keeping our C.O.I.'s whole.

WALKER should be with ALPINE Township as a District. They share School systems, Emergency Response, Shopping, Worshiping and are considered one C.O.I.

BYRON Township should be kept whole as a Community of Interest. Byron is a growing area and clearly should be in it's own District.

GAINES Township is a growing area and deserving of it's own District. Please consider drawing Gaines as one District.

PLAINFIELD TOWNSHIP is clearly it's own Community of Interest. It is large enough to be worthy of it's own House District.

GRAND RAPIDS Township and ADA and CASCADE aka the Forest Hills District should be kept whole. This is a

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	I think this is map makes more sense than the previous congressional map and links communities that have many things in common including common employers etc. Nice work.	Sandra R Gregerman	2021-11-05 18:29:23+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Districts 14 & 15 are absurd and are nothing more than gerrymandering. Ann Arbor districts that extends across 1/2 the state, come on. Other than a highway which runs through the area, there is little commonality between these areas and will result in these communities' voices being drowned out by Ann Arbor. Completely unfair!	Lisa	2021-11-05 18:28:30+00
Chestnut	Like	Agree again with Thomas Mikulski - District 11 is drawn very cohesively for COI in this area. Thanks for the hard work on this. Please adopt.	Terri McCormick	2021-11-05 18:26:59+00

Troy and Rochester do not make sense to lump through Macomb County all the way to St. Clair Shores and Eastpointe, as well as up to Romeo. Troy has a large diverse population whose voices will be drowned out with this configuration. This is not a fair map for these voters.

Birch V2

Dislike

Terri McCormick

2021-11-05 18:24:55+00

At the very least I would understand a Lansing or East Lansing based seat dipping into Bath or DeWitt, but anything beyond that into Clinton County makes no sense from a COI standpoint. The Clinton County/Grand Ledge district you guys initially had maintained the County COI and created a competitive seat. Had you kept that, took Delta Township and the western part of Lansing Township into the rest of Eaton County, you still would have ended up with 4 dem seats and a swing seat like you have on this. If we're going to accept that cities can be COIs we should be doing the same with counties too. Please take Capital region COIs into more consideration.

Hickory

Dislike

Zach Rudat

2021-11-05 18:24:18+00

At the very least I would understand a Lansing or East Lansing based seat dipping into Bath or DeWitt, but anything beyond that into Clinton County makes no sense from a COI standpoint. The Clinton County/Grand Ledge district you guys initially had maintained the County COI and created a competitive seat. Had you kept that, took Delta Township and the western part of Lansing Township into the rest of Eaton County, you still would have ended up with 4 dem seats and a swing seat like you have on this. If we're going to accept that cities can be COIs we should be doing the same with counties too. Please take Capital region COIs into more consideration.

Magnolia

Dislike

Zach Rudat

2021-11-05 18:23:47+00

Agreeing with Thomas Mikulski - Troy and Rochester do not make sense to lump through Macomb County all the way to St. Clair Shores and Eastpointe, as well as up to Romeo. Troy has a large diverse population whose voices will be drowned out with this configuration. This is not a fair map for these voters.

Apple V2

Dislike

Terri McCormick

2021-11-05 18:23:40+00

At the very least I would understand a Lansing or East Lansing based seat dipping into Bath or DeWitt, but anything beyond that into Clinton County makes no sense from a COI standpoint. The Clinton County/Grand Ledge district you guys initially had maintained the County COI and created a competitive seat. Had you kept that, took Delta Township and the western part of Lansing Township into the rest of Eaton County, you still would have ended up with 4 dem seats and a swing seat like you have on this. If we're going to accept that cities can be COIs we should be doing the same with counties too. Please take Capital region COIs into more consideration.

Pine v5

Dislike

Zach Rudat

2021-11-05 18:22:32+00

Chestnut	Dislike	District 9 is ridiculous. It is nothing more than packing a district with Republican votes. The various communities shoved into this district have little in common and would not have adequate representation for A DECADE under this map. Stop with the political gerrymandering and do your job!	Lisa	2021-11-05 18:20:25+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Not a good map for Ottawa county. The way it's drawn for Kent county is good, however.	Josh Veurink	2021-11-05 18:20:19+00
Birch V2	Dislike	District 9 is ridiculous. It is nothing more than packing a district with Republican votes. The various communities shoved into this district have little in common and would not have adequate representation for A DECADE under this map. Stop with the political gerrymandering and do your job!	Lisa	2021-11-05 18:19:21+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for creating this set of maps. It is fair and exactly what the voters wanted!	michael huerta	2021-11-05 18:18:17+00

Apple V2	Dislike	<p>District 9 is ridiculous. It is nothing more than packing a district with Republican votes. The various communities shoved into this district have little in common and would not have adequate representation for A DECADE under this map. Stop with the political gerrymandering and do your job!</p> <p>Thank you for considering the needs of a broader community so that issues of broadband access, density, education, social services and transportation can be shared and addressed together by residents of the new district</p>	Lisa	2021-11-05 18:18:01+00
Cherry V2	Like	14	Melissa Gross	2021-11-05 18:15:59+00
Pine v5	Opinion	<p>That there are so many comments that that one map favors an ethnicity or race is better than another is apprehensible. All these maps should haver been drawn with a color blind eye and based on population alone!</p> <p>Gerrymandering at its worse.</p>	Kristine S Detmers	2021-11-05 18:13:49+00

Chestnut	Dislike	<p>This map seems to be politically motivated. Putting Grand Rapids and Muskegon together doesn't make sense from any other perspective.</p> <p>Disagreeing with Daniel Grams, Troy is a solidly cohesive community. This map unnecessarily splits the east edge into Sterling Heights, which dilutes the votes of Troy's diverse demographic blocs. Please keep Troy whole!</p>	Josh Veurink	2021-11-05 18:13:45+00
Hickory	Dislike	<p>Again, this does not represent the interests of Hillsdale County as stated in our petition. If we do not support splitting Hillsdale across two districts, we certainly do not support splitting it into three districts.</p>	Terri McCormick	2021-11-05 18:10:16+00
Palm	Dislike		Samuel Lair	2021-11-05 18:06:35+00

		PLEASE KEEP the FULTON ST. BORDER - I would prefer to see the Senate Map include the Northern Portion of Kent County as opposed to extending out into Ottawa County. As the current map stands, please keep the Fulton St. North / South Border as it has been the North South / Border for Grand Rapids and has been		
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	for 100+ Years.	Timothy W	2021-11-05 18:06:31+00
Chestnut	Like	love this one!	Susan VansLyke	2021-11-05 18:05:43+00
		Seems to be the best map to represent Michigan out of all proposals. Does not have political bias that would discredit this commissions		
Apple V2	Like	stated goals.	Josh Veurink	2021-11-05 18:03:24+00
		Districts 14 and 15 render the rural interests of the Hillsdale and Jackson county subservient to the neoliberal and urban interests of Ann Arbor and Yipsi		
Cherry V2	Dislike	LOVE this map. It seems the fairest map yet LOVE love	Dennis Clark	2021-11-05 18:01:20+00
Chestnut	Like	LOVE it!	Susan VanSlyke	2021-11-05 18:00:37+00

This map is fairest to Kent county and Kalamazoo Counties, but not so much to our lakeshore neighbors. No major city and its suburbs should be divided from its county. To join west side communities to those on the east side of the state makes no sense whatsoever. This should have been a color blind exercise and gone strictly by population count.

Birch V2	Like	Gerrymandering at its worse. Worst map of all, classic example of Gerrymandering. It totally carves out democrat Muskegon to move GR to the	Kristine S Detmers	2021-11-05 17:59:41+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Dem side.	Jim Wilson	2021-11-05 17:56:55+00

Districts 52,53 and 54 are still bad, no matter what number you give them. You have not, in months, addressed the concerns of the Waterford, Auburn Hills, Pontiac, Oxford, Lake Orion and Bloomfield residents, who have asked that these districts be corrected. The comment I heard several times was it was too much work. All these residents will be stuck with inadequate representation for 10 YEARS using these districts; FIX THIS!

Pine v5

Dislike

Lisa

2021-11-05 17:51:42+00

Hillsdale County should not be split up and should also be connected to Branch county. Coldwater is an essential hub of our economic activity and it would be unrepresentative of our area's interest to split Hillsdale from their adjacent cities. I urge the Commission to abide by the petition it received and maintain the Integrity of Hillsdale County and the greater southern-rural area.

Linden

Dislike

Rebecca Wilson

2021-11-05 17:51:17+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>This map is disappointing. Not only does it blatantly increase partisan bias, it also hurts communities of interest in these areas. By no means a fair map.</p> <p>Disagreeing with Daniel Grams, Troy is a solidly cohesive community. This map unnecessarily splits the east edge into Sterling Heights, which dilutes the votes of Troy's diverse demographic blocs. Please keep Troy whole!</p>	Zinnia	2021-11-05 17:50:58+00
Magnolia	Dislike	<p>Thank you for adjusting the borders of District 2 to keep Banglatown whole. As a Community of Interest that has grown significantly since the last redistricting process, it means a lot to see our wishes reflected in this map. If you adjust the districts again, please be sure that Banglatown remains whole. Thank you.</p>	Terri McCormick	2021-11-05 17:50:53+00
Pine v5	Like	<p>whole. Thank you.</p>	Syeda Begum	2021-11-05 17:50:32+00

Chestnut Dislike This map seems fairer to Kent and Kalamazoo counties but not so much to our neighboring lakeshore communities. To needlessly divide counties that may have unique needs or cooperation programs is puzzling. Kristine S Detmers 2021-11-05 17:50:28+00

Magnolia Dislike Districts 52,53 and 54 are still bad, no matter what number you give them. You have not, in months, addressed the concerns of the Waterford, Auburn Hills, Pontiac, Oxford, Lake Orion and Bloomfield residents, who have asked that these districts be corrected. The comment I heard several times was it was too much work. All these residents will be stuck with inadequate representation for 10 YEARS using these districts; FIX THIS! Lisa 2021-11-05 17:49:55+00

While I appreciate the Commission's progress in removing Hillsdale County from the Ann Arbor area, this still an insufficient representation of our community. As demonstrated by my petition, the people of Hillsdale County constitute in every sense a community of interest. We demand not to have our community needlessly torn a part when it has NEVER been so. Hillsdale County does not possess a cumbersome population which requires multiple districts, the WHOLE county could comfortably fit within any number of district configurations with adjacent counties and townships. I understand that the Commission is not required to follow municipal and county lines, but in this instance, it is imperative for them to do. Anything short of a united

Linden	Dislike	Hillsdale would be needlessly	Samuel Lair	2021-11-05 17:47:07+00
Birch V2	Dislike	This is ridiculously gerrymandered.	Jim Wilson	2021-11-05 17:46:56+00
Cherry V2	Like	Splitting Lansing area is the fair thing to do. THANK YOU!	michael huerta	2021-11-05 17:46:46+00

Districts 52,53 and 54 are still bad, no matter what number you give them. You have not, in months, addressed the concerns of the Waterford, Auburn Hills, Pontiac, Oxford, Lake Orion and Bloomfield residents, who have asked that these districts be corrected. The comment I heard several times was it was too much work. All these residents will be stuck with inadequate representation for 10 YEARS using these districts;

Hickory

Dislike

FIX THIS!

Lisa

2021-11-05 17:46:28+00

It seems that race is the guiding factor in all this. That some favor dividing Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo from their respective counties and surrounding communities to form a larger minority voting block is unconscionable and gerrymandering at its worse. This process should have been color blind. This was supposed to be an "non-partisan" committee, but it seems the Democrat agenda is dominating. Since the ballot proposal that formed this committee was democrat backed and now chaired by a

Apple V2	Dislike	Democrat it's no wonder.	Kristine S Detmers	2021-11-05 17:45:24+00
Apple V2	Like	Apple V2 is the best congressional map for Chaldean community. Please vote for this map!	Yousif	2021-11-05 17:43:54+00
Apple V2	Like	This is the Map fair of the three.	Jim Wilson	2021-11-05 17:41:45+00
Linden	Like	This map is an improvement when it comes to partisan fairness. It also recognizes communities of interest in Ann Arbor and Jackson. Overall, this map is fair and doesn't disenfranchise voters in these communities.	Zinnia	2021-11-05 17:38:33+00

Chestnut	Dislike	This does not make sense to break up Ottawa county The citizens of Jackson County and particularly Hillsdale have no interests or sentiments in common with the people of Ann Arbor. These districts would in no way promote so called "partisan fairness." They essentially crack the votes of rural and conservative voters across two districts which will be dominated by the more populous and liberal cities of Ypsilanti and Ann Arbor. There is nothing fair about turning a traditionally conservative district into two liberal ones.	Michael Beukema	2021-11-05 17:38:20+00
Cherry V2	Dislike		John Moriarty	2021-11-05 17:37:50+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Birch does not make sense do not break up Ottawa county	Michael Beukema	2021-11-05 17:34:07+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Absolutely corrupt! this is a much better map for the hispanic community in GR/Wyoming, great idea with district 87	Toni Wilson	2021-11-05 17:33:23+00
Pine v5	Like		Ivan Diaz	2021-11-05 17:31:50+00
Birch V2	Dislike	nope	Toni Wilson	2021-11-05 17:31:16+00
Apple V2	Like	Apple 2 is my choice	Michael Beukema	2021-11-05 17:30:37+00
Apple V2	Like	Fairest version	Toni Wilson	2021-11-05 17:29:43+00
Apple V2	Like	Best distribution of similar areas	Susan A Weishaar	2021-11-05 17:27:24+00

Apple V2	Like	This map appears to be distribute areas the best	Susan A Weishaar	2021-11-05 17:26:23+00
Pine v5	Opinion	Thanks, Sharon Baseman, for the correction! I grew up in Royal Oak and have misunderstood that boundary then for a *very* long time. Glad to know of my error now. That said, I withdraw my objection to this aspect of the map, though I would agree that the suggestions that you, Sharon, make otherwise about this area are well-founded.	Catherine Daligga	2021-11-05 17:18:27+00

I am honestly bewildered by the unequitable drawing of districts 14 & 15. I thought we were trying to avoid districts tortuously drawn for political advantage! What do Spring Arbor and Ann Arbor have in common besides the name "arbor"?? Jackson county people share workplaces, playgrounds, neighborhoods, churches, and all the other venues where community happens---all the traits of authentic community that they do not share with Ann Arbor, which of course has its own community. Wouldn't it make more sense for Jackson and Washtenaw counties to be their own districts? Ann Arbor's population is greater than all the towns in Jackson County combined, so pairing the two would effectively silence Jackson voices. (Notice that the favorable comments are all from Ann Arbor area... it's human nature to not mind

Joshua R.

Cherry V2

Dislike

2021-11-05 17:16:36+00

Chestnut DOES NOT MAKE SENSE! Gerrymandering a district from Holland to Kalamazoo/Battle Creek and forcing Grand Rapids and Muskegon together is an intentional and deliberate attempt to gerrymander a Democrat district out of predominantly conservative West Michigan. This goes against the purpose of the redistricting to create fair maps. This is an UNFAIR and politically GERRYMANDERED MAP.

Chestnut

Dislike

Dean E Clement

2021-11-05 17:11:56+00

What do the people of Hillsdale County have in common with the people of Ann Arbor? These may as well be different nations. They share almost no interests in common. One thrives on agriculture and industry, the other on technology, education, and service jobs. Their political and social beliefs diverge as starkly as any two communities in the nation. How will the small, rural pockets in Moscow, Somerset, and Mosherville have their voices heard amid the overwhelming influence from Ann Arbor? Half a dozen densely packed apartment complexes in Ann Arbor outweigh an entire township in northern Hillsdale County. Before the non-partisan redistricting committee came to power, this was not an issue. Hillsdale County was not gerrymandered and its interests were not diluted by

Cherry V2

Dislike

Joshua Paladino

2021-11-05 17:10:46+00

Birch DOES NOT MAKE SENSE.  
By separating Ottawa County,  
you are separating  
communities, often right  
through the center of  
commercial areas and more.  
It places townships that  
identify commercially and  
culturally with the Lakeshore,  
into districts dominated by  
urban Grand Rapids, Saginaw  
Bay, or Kalamazoo. This is  
unacceptable for the fastest-  
growing county in Michigan. It  
goes against our traditions for  
generations and cracks our  
community three ways!

Birch V2

Dislike

Dean E Clement

2021-11-05 17:07:11+00

This new map doesn't address many of the issues that have existed all along. The SE portion of Oakland is terribly split up and each district goes down into Detroit. This hurts people in Detroit terribly, as there is a good chance they will have no or very little representation in the State House. It also splits cities unnecessarily--Southfield, Lathrup Village, Oak Park and RO Township are examples. It just makes no sense. Cities should not be split for State House Districts unless they need to be because the population is too large for a district. I've said all along that these districts should be horizontal in configuration, not skinny vertical districts. The communities in the SE corner of Oakland County have much in common and work together. They typically have been in the same district and still should be. I would

Pine v5

Dislike

Sharon Baseman

2021-11-05 17:03:56+00

Apple V2	Like	Apple makes sense! It keeps Ottawa County together, creates a Lakeshore district, respects existing county and municipal lines, best reflects our community and economic interests and will ensure we have a voice in Washington DC. Thank you for listening to us!	Dean Evan Clement	2021-11-05 16:59:31+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I think the zoning for canton is good as is. There is a decent amount of diversity in the zone. This is especially noticeable at Pcep just by taking a look around. The zoning also does a good job of staying in the district and not too far out. The total population in this district is equal to others keeping the representation equal.	Emilio Herrero	2021-11-05 16:55:48+00
Birch V2	Opinion	This map is the best yet for the communities included, specially in terms of political fairness.	Ethyl Rivera	2021-11-05 16:54:31+00
Apple V2	Like	Apple V2 makes the most sense. As a neighbor of Ottawa County, and knowing many who live there, it is best to keep the county together for representation.	JoAnne Ripple	2021-11-05 16:53:19+00

The lines drawn for Districts 14 and 15 are naked attempts at a partisan gerrymander to dilute the interests of rural voters in northern Hillsdale County and Jackson county by swallowing them up with voters in Ann Arbor. The Michigan Constitution states that lines should be drawn with a view to grouping voters based on the views of "communities of interest." Voters in Ann Arbor have no common interests with those of rural communities. This is a shameful map designed to give more power and influence to those in more affluent and suburban and urban neighborhoods. These districts should be redrawn with a better eye towards preserving counties together and with a greater consideration for which voters should actually be grouped together based on their genuine common My preference go with this. Please finalize accordingly.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Bryan Richardson

2021-11-05 16:49:57+00

Palm

Like

Md. Asif Hasan

2021-11-05 16:49:45+00

As a student who lives in plymouth township, I think the borders should be kept how they are. If you look at the statistics you might think canton is more diverse when it comes to population and how they are represented. However there is only a slight change in who is more diverse. I think by keeping the boarders the same you are giving different people chances to make their voice heard. I also think if we change the boarders many people would think that this would be unfair because it would be "favoring" one side or the other. However, I believe that overall the district outlines show mostly equal population and diversity

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

in district 35 and 43.

Laura Parker

2021-11-05 16:46:01+00

Apple V2	Like	It makes sense to have the cities of Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo in the same district. They have a lot of commonalities and mutual interests, and this creates a district with a large non-white population giving those communities a bigger voice.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-11-05 16:42:40+00
Apple V2	Like	The AppleV2 map is the best of the three maps. This map will respect existing county & municipal lines so as we citizens strive to have our voices heard in Washington, D.C. we will be heard. Thanks for "uniting our voices".	Michelle Lee	2021-11-05 16:42:15+00
Pine v5	Like	Wonderful job you did for publishing this map, I like this map. We want to see this as the final one.	Md. Asif Hasan	2021-11-05 16:41:54+00

Chestnut Dislike This chestnut map does not make sense at all!!!  
Gerrymandering a district from Holland to Kalamazoo/Battle Creek and forcing Grand Rapids and Muskegon together is an intentional and deliberate attempt to gerrymander a Democrat district out of predominantly conservative west Michigan. This goes against the purpose of the redistricting to create fair maps. Not Fair. Susan Clement 2021-11-05 16:40:34+00

Birch V2 Dislike I do not like this map as it splits Ottawa Co into seperate communities. It places townships and identity commercially and culturally with the Lakeshore into districts that are dominated by urban G>R, Saginaw Bay or Kalamazoo. this is unacceptable for the fastest growing county in Michigan. I have lived here all my life and have been proud of Ottawa county but this is NOT good. It goes against traditions of generations and makes cracks in our communitites. Susan Clement 2021-11-05 16:36:57+00

Magnolia	Like	<p>This is the best house map. Please choose this map as it is an upgrade over the Pine V5. Thank you commissioners for taking Chaldeans into account in making district 57, especially to commissioner Eid!</p>	Yousif	2021-11-05 16:34:39+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>I prefer this map which best protects the interest of our community. Please have this as the final one.</p>	Md. Asif Hasan	2021-11-05 16:32:37+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>Apple Map makes the most sense, it keeps Ottawa Co together, creates Lakeshore district. This best reflect our community and economic interest and will ensure we have a voice in Washington DC. Thank you for listening. NO to Chestnut map. Stay with AppleV2 map as it will respect the current county and municipal lines best so that our voices can be heard</p>	Susan Clement	2021-11-05 16:32:33+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>in Washington, D.C.</p>	Michelle Lee	2021-11-05 16:27:25+00

How does this Chestnut map  
create community  
UNITEDNESS?? ...it doesn't!  
This Chestnut map is bad for  
the citizens in Ottawa county  
and divides the west MI  
voices in obscure ways.  
Redistricting should occur  
with the intent strengthening  
communities INSTEAD of  
dividing communities to  
achieve political bias. We  
taxpayers have chosen to live  
in areas that fulfill our  
personal values. This Chestnut  
map ONLY seeks to DIVIDE so  
that business voices, personal  
conservative voices and tax  
dollars can be re-distributed  
INSTEAD of allowing TRUE  
values to remain expressed.  
This Chestnut map is the  
worst of the three maps  
[Apple V2; Birch V2 and  
Chestnut]. Stay with AppleV2  
map as it will respect the  
current county and municipal  
lines so that our voices can be  
heard in Washington, D.C.

Chestnut

Dislike

Michelle Lee

2021-11-05 16:22:10+00

Chestnut	Dislike	<p>This is a partisan gerrymandered district map. When the commission was formed this map is what the commission was designed to avoid. I cannot see why this map should be approved at all.</p>	Mark Twiest	2021-11-05 16:12:21+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>This is a bad map- it splits Ottawa County. I am not in favor of this map</p>	Mark Twiest	2021-11-05 16:08:52+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>This map for Washtenaw County is the same old animal excrement. Com. Clark, it is five to six miles between Saline and Ann Arbor and you have one twisted idea of a "rural" district if you can make a claim in the public meeting that you separated Saline from Ann Arbor due to the ten miles of rural territory between the two cities; a bald-faced lie. It hasn't been even slightly rural in 20 years.</p>	Alice	2021-11-05 16:06:27+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>I do not support this map. This is a really good map. Keeping the lakeshore counties together is very important.</p>	Mark Twiest	2021-11-05 16:04:37+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>This map increases partisan bias and hurts communities of interest. Absolutely absurd and what the commission was supposed to prevent. This map is clearly gerrymandered</p>	Lindsey Haughton	2021-11-05 16:00:11+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>District 15 is swallowed up by city interests and will not represent the predominantly conservative and rural voters of northern Hillsdale County. In that respect, it appears to be classic gerrymandering, designed to dilute or destroy the representation of disfavored voters. Please reconsider this portion of the District 15 map. Thank you.</p> <p>PLEASE KEEP KENT COUNTY WHOLE.</p> <p>Kent County is a Community of Interest. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo Should NOT be combined in a Congressional District. We have separate Hospitals, Airports, and are entirely different Communities altogether. Please keep Kent County</p>	Paul C.	2021-11-05 15:57:00+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>Whole.</p>	Timothy	2021-11-05 15:56:26+00

Palm	Like	<p>This map is the best of the many that have been proposed. Others that divided the county in two and put part of each district in with Ann Arbor or Ypsilanti were wrong on so many levels.</p> <p>This is by far the best map for partisan fairness to date. While it could be made better, it is encouraging to see this process move in the right direction. Keep up the good work.</p>	David K. Elwell	2021-11-05 15:53:07+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>This map is okay. Byron Center/Caledonia/Middleville/Hastings are easily a community of interest and the partisan fairness of this map is superb.</p>	Ron	2021-11-05 15:47:05+00
Magnolia am	Like	<p>Putting Flint in with the Tri Cities district is absolutely ludicrous. This action is nothing more than political gerrymandering in the worst possible sense. Flint would negate Midland's voice in elections.</p>	Patrick Quist	2021-11-05 15:46:43+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p></p>	jesse atwell	2021-11-05 15:43:38+00

Thank you for keeping Midland together with the Tri-Cities in this map. Chesnut is my favorite -- it keeps more of Midland County with Midland, however, these both give us hope for our voices to be heard for a welcome change. 10,000 people applied to be on the MICRC and you are our dream team. 1000's of Michiganders worked for Proposal 2 and Millions voted for it's passage. We worked and voted for fair districts and the opportunity for all our voices to be heard. The metrics of your success are the measures of partisan fairness. The world is watching. Please give us the maps with the best measures of fairness to vote for. Maps drawn for partisan fairness are not gerrymandering. The world is watching.

Apple V2

Like

Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman

2021-11-05 15:42:03+00

This is a horrible set up for Ottawa County! This is separating our communities and tears us apart, thus weakening our voice.

Birch V2

Dislike

Darrin M. McSorley

2021-11-05 15:37:02+00

Thank you for keeping Midland together with the Tri-Cities in this map. I like Chesnut's configuration for Midland the best but this still gives us hope for our voices to be heard for a change. 10,000 people applied to be on the MICRC and you are our dream team. 1000's of Michiganders worked for Proposal 2 and Millions voted for it's passage. We worked and voted for fair districts and the opportunity for all our voices to be heard. The metrics of your success are the measures of partisan fairness. The world is watching. Please give us the maps with the best measures of fairness to vote for. Maps drawn for partisan fairness are not gerrymandering. The world is watching.

Apple V2

Opinion

Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman

2021-11-05 15:36:13+00

Yes for Apple, Version 2. Keep Ottawa County together.

Apple V2

Like

Cynthia Will

2021-11-05 15:32:56+00

Thank you.

I prefer the Chesnut map putting more of Midland County with Midland, Bay County and Saginaw but whichever map is better on metrics for fairness but either map that keeps Midland together with the Tri-Cities gives us hope for our voices to be heard for a change. 10,000 people applied to be on the MICRC and you are our dream team. 1000's of Michiganders worked for Proposal 2 and Millions voted for it's passage. We worked and voted for fair districts and the opportunity for all our voices to be heard. The metrics of your success are the measures of partisan fairness. The world is watching. Please give us the maps with the best measures of fairness to vote for. Maps drawn for partisan fairness are not gerrymandering. The world is watching.

Birch V2

Opinion

Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman

2021-11-05 15:32:21+00

Southern Oakland County  
Corridor should not be broken  
into 4 districts. Novi is packed  
with Brownstown instead of  
neighboring Farmington?  
Beverly Hills and Franklin get  
packed with Inkster and  
Dearborn instead of  
Birmingham and Farmington  
Hills? Driving 696/96 across  
Southern Oakland County  
would start you in the 11th,  
into the 10th for ~6 miles,  
back into the 11th, into the  
6th for a couple miles, back  
into the 11th for a brief  
interval, and then finish in the  
7th. So for this ~36 mile trip,  
you'd have to enter a new  
district 6 different times.

Oakland County is split up  
amongst SIX different districts  
(of only 13 statewide!), yet  
the more populous Wayne  
County has only three. Why is  
Oakland County carved up so  
badly?

Chestnut

Dislike

Paul Kittinger

2021-11-05 15:31:56+00

Explain to me how the strange way that districts 14 and 15 are drawn protects "communities of interests." It's obvious to everyone that this new map is meant to dilute and disregard the interests of rural communities in the Hillsdale and Jackson counties by lumping them in with urban Ann Arbor. Southern Michigan does NOT share the same interests as Ann Arbor. This new independent redistricting commission is using the same old partisan gerrymandering to give an unfair advantage to urban districts!

Cherry V2

Dislike

Christina S.

2021-11-05 15:31:53+00

Keep Ottawa County together! This is a good map and absolutely should not be diluted with other

Apple V2

Like

regions/districts of the state. Darrin M. McSorley

2021-11-05 15:31:32+00

Thank you for keeping Midland together with the Tri-Cities in this map-- this gives us hope for our voices to be heard for a change. 10,000 people applied to be on the MICRC and you are our dream team. 1000's of Michiganders worked for Proposal 2 and Millions voted for it's passage. We worked and voted for fair districts and the opportunity for all our voices to be heard. The metrics of your success are the measures of partisan fairness. The world is watching. Please give us the maps with the best measures of fairness to vote for. Maps drawn for partisan fairness are not gerrymandering. The world is watching.

Chestnut

Like

Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman

2021-11-05 15:24:59+00

Cherry V2

Like

This is a better map. Thank you for all your efforts.

Claire Murray

2021-11-05 15:24:55+00

Thank you for keeping Midland together with the Tri-Cities in this map-- this gives us hope for our voices to be heard for a change. 10,000 people applied to be on the MICRC and you are our dream team. 1000's of Michiganders worked for Proposal 2 and Millions voted for it's passage. We worked and voted for fair districts and the opportunity for all our voices to be heard. The metrics of your success are the measures of partisan fairness. The world is watching. Please give us the maps with the best measures of fairness to vote for. Maps drawn for partisan fairness are not gerrymandering. The world is watching.

Chestnut

Like

Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman

2021-11-05 15:23:49+00

I like any map that separates Midland city from Mid-Michigan. They do not share the same rural interests that the rest of Mid-Michigan does, and due to their population, get all the control.

Apple V2

Like

Anne Pashenee

2021-11-05 15:21:40+00

Chestnut	Like	I like any map that separates Midland city from Mid-Michigan. They do not share the same rural interests that the rest of Mid-Michigan does, and due to their population, get all the control.	Anne Pashenee	2021-11-05 15:20:05+00
----------	------	--	---------------	------------------------

Linden	Like	Thank you for keeping Midland together with the Tri-Cities in this map-- this gives us hope for our voices to be heard for a change. 10,000 people applied to be on the MICRC and you are our dream team. 1000's of Michiganders worked for Proposal 2 and Millions voted for it's passage. We worked and voted for fair districts and the opportunity for all our voices to be heard. The metrics of your success are the measures of partisan fairness. The world is watching. Please give us the maps with the best measures of fairness to vote for. Maps drawn for partisan fairness are not gerrymandering. The world is watching.	Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman	2021-11-05 15:18:18+00
--------	------	--	----------------------------	------------------------

Hickory	Dislike	Separating Allen Park and Melvindale does a HUGE disservice to the children that attend school in the Mel-NAP school district. Having two Reps wouldn't help them when one would be mainly focused on Dearborn issues.	Angelo D Guarnieri	2021-11-05 15:17:54+00
Apple V2	Like	Keep Ottawa County TOGETHER. A2 appears to be the only "map" that isn't detrimental to my county/community, by diluting conservative voters with liberal voters who don't live in Ottawa County or represent my/our values. The alternative maps make no sense on a non-partisan basis. The objective of the alternate choices are very clear. APPLE 2 is the best option for my family>	David S DeWitt	2021-11-05 15:17:48+00
Birch V2	Like	I like any map that separates Midland city from Mid-Michigan. They do not share the same rural interests that the rest of Mid-Michigan does, and due to their population, get all the control.	Anne Pashenee	2021-11-05 15:17:36+00

Magnolia	Dislike	<p>Separating Allen Park and Melvindale does a HUGE disservice to the children that attend school in the Mel-NAP school district. Having two Reps wouldn't help them when one would be mainly focused on Dearborn issues.</p> <p>I approve of this districting map. It keeps Midland county whole, and includes our neighbors to the north that we have a common issue we are dealing with, the national disaster of 2020, four dam failures and massive destruction.</p>	Angelo D Guarnieri	2021-11-05 15:17:31+00
Pine v5	Like		Carl L Hamann	2021-11-05 15:16:42+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>I oppose this map due to the fact it divides Midland County. I am a councilman for the Village of Sanford. We work very closely with Midland county and the City of Midland . We need to have a single voice representing our issues. As of last years national disaster we need focused representation to help us rebuild the massive destruction of our area and communities!!</p>	Carl L Hamann	2021-11-05 15:13:05+00

Apple V2	Dislike	<p>Midland city needs to stay with Midland county. These 2 areas work hand in hand with the issues of the area. We endured a national disaster a little over a year ago. This community has pulled together to rebuild thousands of home and business !! This realigning map would impede our continuing effort to rebuild.</p>	Carl L Hamann	2021-11-05 15:07:57+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>Districts 14 &amp; 15 are a great improvement! I think you've done a great job creating two competitive and balanced districts. I appreciate the difficulty -- balancing the high residential concentration/segregation of many COIs with the need for balanced legislative representation. Thank you!</p>	Sharon Simonton	2021-11-05 15:06:11+00

It is an improvement. But there are still some really odd looking districts. This should not be about parties. It should be about population and geography. It is an area that an elected official will serve and represent. Not a permanent job for elected officials who really do not do either. Parties have far outlived their usefulness.

Cherry V2

Like

William Milam

2021-11-05 15:05:43+00

Thank you for keeping Midland together with the Tri-Cities in this map-- this gives us hope for our voices to be heard for a change. 10,000 people applied to be on the MICRC and you are our dream team. 1000's of Michiganders worked for Proposal 2 and Millions voted for it's passage. We voted for fair districts and the opportunity for all our voices to be heard. The metrics of your success are the measures of partisan fairness. The world is watching. Please give us the maps with the best measures of fairness to vote for. Maps drawn for partisan fairness are not gerrymandering. The world is watching. Royal Oak is in FOUR districts? Each also including other cities, including cities in two other COUNTIES? This unnecessarily breaks up the Royal Oak community of interest for no apparent purpose.

Cherry V2

Like

Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman

2021-11-05 15:05:31+00

Pine v5

Dislike

Paul Kittinger

2021-11-05 15:04:57+00

Apple V2	Dislike	<p>This map makes absolutely not sense for Midland City and Midland county we are a bound community. The city, county, townships and local governments all work together in our community. Don't take that away from us!!</p> <p>Royal Oak is split between 3 districts? And each includes portions of other cities AND counties? Royal Oak should be in a single district as a</p>	Carl L Hamann	2021-11-05 15:00:20+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>community of interest.</p>	Paul Kittinger	2021-11-05 14:59:44+00

This proposed district map is a substantial improvement.

Over the last decade, I have lived, worked, traveled and vacationed all over the proposed district 15 and 16 areas in rural, suburban and urban areas, in Washtenaw, Jackson, Hillsdale, Lenawee, Wayne, Oakland, and Macomb counties. I have worked with local and state and federal governments, manufacturers, non-profits, start-ups, developers, farmers, and more. These experiences have taught me that we, the people who live and work in this region, are \*far\* more similar than not.

Some folks have commented that these areas do not have any interests in common.

Based on my first-hand experience and expert knowledge, I could not

disagree more. They have \*so

Cherry V2

Like

Alex Bourget

2021-11-05 14:57:07+00

Pine v5	Like	<p>I am very happy that Battle Creek is not gerrymandered anymore with the far east side of the county. There are very few economic, social, or cultural ties between Battle Creek and Albion, and keeping the district properly centered around Battle Creek will help both communities be more accurately represented.</p>	Nathan Halder	2021-11-05 14:56:49+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>I oppose this map that aligns midland county with the southern counties. Midland city and midland county should be aligned with Gladwin, Isabella county . We have suffered a huge disaster a year ago that we are still recovering from. We need representation that understands our plight and will represent us a a group!!!</p>	Carl L Hamann	2021-11-05 14:55:31+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	I think that the current border of District 43 is adequate and should not be altered because it properly meets all the criteria considered when redrawing borders. For starters, the the population is approximately equal to the surrounding districts, at about 90,000. Also, the community is diverse and represents the needs of varying groups of people in compact borders. Thus, the District 43 borders do not need to be redrawn.	Shruva Kambhammettu	2021-11-05 14:53:42+00
Apple V2	Like	This is the map our family is most comfortable with. As a Battle Creek resident, I am very happy not to be included with Kalamazoo in this district, as they would have easily outweighed us.	Dione Brown	2021-11-05 14:52:07+00
Cherry V2	Like		Nathan Halder	2021-11-05 14:51:57+00

Examine the substance of the comments on the map. Those who oppose the attachment of rural and conservative communities in Districts 14 and 15 to Ann Arbor offer a reason: the larger number of liberal votes in Ann Arbor functionally silences the fewer conservatives who would now be attached from the areas of Jonesville, Jerome, Somerset, etc.

Look at the comments of those in favor - they make claims without proof, saying that representation of diverse interests will be the result without offering any explanation of how, directly contrary to simple logic.

This is clearly a gerrymandering initiative being supported here in this forum by people with partisan interests without the benefit

Cherry V2

Dislike

of reason or facts.

Zak Garriss

2021-11-05 14:51:20+00

overall this is good, but you should not split Plainfield township, they should be

Pine v5

Opinion

included in district 94

cheryl scales

2021-11-05 14:47:11+00

Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for keeping Rockford and Plainfield Township together and with Grand Rapids.	cheryl scales	2021-11-05 14:45:02+00
Birch V2	Like	This is the best map out of the three. Please choose this one. Thanks!	Angelo D Guarnieri	2021-11-05 14:44:39+00
Apple V2	Dislike	This is horrible, Rockford belongs with Grand Rapids. We have no relationships with the rural areas in this map. 80% of our residents work in the City of Grand Rapids. Keep Rockford with Grand Rapids	cheryl scales	2021-11-05 14:43:17+00
Birch V2	Like	This map is great for Rockford and Kent County. It connects all that we do planning and infrastructure with. Please keep Kent County together I think district 37 is a reasonably fair district. Overall I thank the commission for paying attention to partisan fairness. The state Senate maps are now a toss up, by the metrics we can access!	cheryl scales	2021-11-05 14:41:01+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	I like Rockford with Grand Rapids. It would be better if Courtland and Algoma were included in this	Barbara A Conley	2021-11-05 14:37:52+00
Chestnut	Like		cheryl scales	2021-11-05 14:36:08+00

Chestnut	Dislike	<p>This is by far the worst map!! Taking a district from Holland to Kzoo/Battle creek &amp; putting GR &amp; Muskegon together looks like someone is trying to stack the deck against conservatives &amp; Republicans. This is political gerrymandering &amp; very unfair to us in Ottawa county. I thought the purpose of redistricting was to create fair maps not to make sure the Democratic party had the majority. Please please do not accept this map.</p>	Jerri e Teelander	2021-11-05 14:35:04+00
Pine v2	Like	<p>This is a good map which allows the 16% POC in Calhoun County to have a voice in State governance. This map clearly disregards the synergies that the majority of Calhoun County residents who work, live, shop, go to school and seek health services along the 3 cities bordering I-94 (Battle Creek, Marshall, and Albion).</p>	Linda J Pell	2021-11-05 14:30:46+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>This map clearly disregards the synergies that the majority of Calhoun County residents who work, live, shop, go to school and seek health services along the 3 cities bordering I-94 (Battle Creek, Marshall, and Albion).</p>	Linda J Pell	2021-11-05 14:29:04+00

Please use the Apple portion  
for West Michigan/Grand  
Rapids in place of that section  
on the Birch map. Apple  
allows populations of color a  
voice as well as reflects  
partisan fairness.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Kim Gates

2021-11-05 14:26:42+00

I live in Hillsdale County and the citizens of my region of Michigan have very little in common with those in Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti. My region and that of Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti share neither cultural nor economic interests. District 15 is a clear violation of Michigan Constitution's Art. 4Â§6(13)(d), which proscribes drawing districts in order to advance the political interests and electoral advantages of a particular political party. This effort \*clearly\* constitutes partisan gerrymandering in order to dilute the political efficacy of GOP voters in Jackson and Hillsdale in favor of the Democratic Party. Willful distortions of true political representation, the cornerstone of our popular government, is not something Michiganders can abide.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Drew P.

2021-11-05 14:25:54+00

16% of the county population is black with the overwhelming majority of POC living in the cities of Albion and Battle Creek. This greatly diminishes their voice in state governance. The current State House District 62 is a competitive seat -- equally shared by Democrat and Republican representation over the past 3 decades -- I urge you to keep Battle Creek and Albion together in a State House District which clearly meets the standard for partisan fairness.

Pine v5

Dislike

Linda J Pell

2021-11-05 14:25:32+00

Please use the Apple portion for West Michigan/Grand Rapids in place of that section on the Birch map. Apple allows populations of color a voice as well as reflects

Apple V2

Like

Kim Gates

2021-11-05 14:24:35+00

partisan fairness.

I think I live in district 8 on this map, or is it 7, or 26? My own city has a population of 93000 and is carved into 3 districts. Will we win 3 seats with this map, or zero? The Community of Interest of 93000 is divided here, as in many other maps. You would think that a non-gerrymandered COI of 93000 would get 1 seat, since 91600 is the target population.

Pine v5

Dislike

Kevin Dolin

2021-11-05 14:23:52+00

this is an awful map because  
by separating Ottawa County,  
communities are being  
separated, often right  
through the center of  
commercial areas and more.

It places townships that  
identify commercially and  
culturally with the Lakeshore,  
into districts dominated by  
urban Grand Rapids, Saginaw  
Bay, or Kalamazoo. This is  
unacceptable for the fastest-  
growing county in Michigan.

Birch V2

Like

Jerri e Teelander

2021-11-05 14:21:11+00

		I support this map as it is unpacking the Ann Arbor area connecting it with Jackson and other cities along the I-94 corridor which is an economic community of interest. Thank you commissioners for the		
Cherry V2	Like	partisan fairness of this map.	Maureen Fletcher	2021-11-05 14:20:57+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Not a good choice	Annette Eppink	2021-11-05 14:17:56+00
Apple V2	Like	I chose the apple option. Please KEEP Ottawa County together .	Jerri e Teelander	2021-11-05 14:17:36+00
Apple V2	Like	I do not like this map as it is a PARTISAN DEMOCRAT GERRYMANDER! Chestnut DOES NOT MAKE SENSE! Gerrymandering a district from Holland to Kalamazoo/Battle Creek and forcing Grand Rapids and Muskegon together is an intentional and deliberate attempt to gerrymander a Democrat district out of predominantly conservative West Michigan. This goes against the purpose of the redistricting to create fair maps. This is an UNFAIR and politically GERRYMANDERED	Jerri e Teelander	2021-11-05 14:15:48+00
Chestnut	Dislike	MAP.	Keith Immink	2021-11-05 14:15:44+00

Apple V2	Like	<p>APPLE V2 IS MY CHOICE.          KEEPS ARE OTTAWA CO.          VOICE HEARD. THANK YOU.</p> <p>A huge step backwards. This map is very disappointing as it is clearly partisan and not at all fair. Once again we are seeing an attempt to silence voters in Jackson. Please DO NOT support this map that breaks up Jackson's</p>	Annette Eppink	2021-11-05 14:14:02+00
Palm	Dislike	<p>community of interest.</p> <p>I do not like this map because by separating Ottawa County, communities are being separated, often right through the center of commercial areas and more. It places townships that identify commercially and culturally with the Lakeshore, into districts dominated by urban Grand Rapids, Saginaw Bay, or Kalamazoo. This is unacceptable for the fastest-growing county in Michigan.</p>	Cassie Gatz	2021-11-05 14:13:45+00
Birch V2	Dislike		Keith Immink	2021-11-05 14:12:16+00

Apple V2	Like	Apple makes sense! It keeps Ottawa County together, creates a Lakeshore district, respects existing county and municipal lines, best reflects our community and economic interests and will ensure we have a voice in Washington DC. Thank you! Please KEEP Ottawa County together .	JoAnn Ford	2021-11-05 14:11:04+00
Apple V2	Like	Thank You for your time. Horrible! The worst of all in this elite scam to redistrict the state in order to manipulate - the usual. Counties should not be split up - ever!!!	Joel W Czerkies	2021-11-05 14:10:24+00
Chestnut	Dislike	I like this map as it keeps Ottawa County together, creates a Lakeshore district, respects existing county and municipal lines which best reflects our community and economic interests.	JoAnn Ford	2021-11-05 14:07:56+00
Apple V2	Like		Keith Immink	2021-11-05 14:07:52+00

Apple V2	Like	This map makes the most sense, so this is what I support. As someone who has lived in multiple parts of Michigan I understand how communities have different priorities and interests. This map is the best one if your goal is to keep key communities with shared interests together.	Elizabeth Cross	2021-11-05 14:07:02+00
Apple V2	Like	Please KEEP Ottawa County together .	Joel W Czerkies	2021-11-05 14:06:08+00

Linden	Like	This map addresses the concerns of Calhoun residents who did not want to be with Ann Arbor. And while most of Jackson County is urban and suburban - not rural - these 4 townships on the western border actually are extremely rural and genuinely do have more in common with the border counties than they do with the I-94 corridor. I think this map does a good job of balancing their legitimate concerns with the legitimate desire of City and suburban residents to be in a district with Ann Arbor and western Washtenaw.	Conner	2021-11-05 14:05:52+00
--------	------	--	--------	------------------------

Chestnut	Dislike	This map is such a hatchet job! Gerrymandering to the worst degree!	Carol Rood	2021-11-05 14:05:12+00
Linden	Like	This map addresses the concerns of Hillsdale County residents who did not want to be with Ann Arbor. Stop this redistricting in Michigan. Districting should stay the same as it has been. Counties should not be split for districting. This is an example of elite manipulation	Conner	2021-11-05 14:04:22+00
Birch V2	Dislike	- the usual.	JoAnn Ford	2021-11-05 14:04:22+00

I live in Hillsdale County and I can tell you the people of northern Jonesville, Jerome, and Somerset have little in common with those in Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti. These are not "communities of interests" that share cultural, historical, or economic interests (per Michigan Constitution, Art. 4Â§6(13)(c)). District 15 is a violation of Art. 4Â§6(13)(d) that prohibits drawing districts in such a way to give disproportionate advantage to any political party: liberal Dems in Ann Arbor will clearly dilute if not utterly drown out conservative GOP voters in Jackson and Hillsdale. This districting is obviously gerrymandering for partisan purposes.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Ben Crenshaw

2021-11-05 14:04:05+00

Please shuffle these districts around. First, the border of the southern Kent County seat should be Wealthy, not Fulton. Also, Cascade makes more sense to be in the southern half of Grand Rapids because of the airport and not East Grand Rapids. Lastly, please shift the surrounding districts . District 20 contains Byron Township in the North and Benton Harbor to the South. These areas have nothing in common. District 33 has bits and pieces of 7 different counties. District 18 is grabbing parts of Kent County that belong with the other Kent County areas. I believe you can shift these seats around to make every one look better than currently drawn.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Drew Jones

2021-11-05 14:00:16+00

Districts 14 and 15 - the districts regarding Ann Arbor - are problematic. As a resident of Hillsdale County, I am especially disappointed in district 15. Members of our community ought not be scooped out and thrown in with the voters in Ann Arbor. Splitting up Hillsdale County divides conservative voters and dilutes the conservative vote in Michigan. If you adopt this map, you will have failed in your efforts to curtail gerrymandering. Please reconsider the Senate districts.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Nathan Neveau

2021-11-05 13:54:00+00

Thank you for combining Jackson county with Washtenaw county and part of Ann Arbor! Please continue to apply fair and equitable efficiency gaps to partisan fairness, racial equity and communities of interest. Establishing, maintaining and preserving these standards are what we voted Prop-2 in for.

Cherry V2

Like

Amador Ybarra

2021-11-05 13:44:09+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>This is map is either malicious or braindead moronic. Whichever it is, it doesn't serve the residents of northern Hillsdale very well. It is and ought to be understood as a giant middle finger to those constituents.</p>	Stephen Richmann	2021-11-05 13:44:01+00
Linden	Like	<p>This map is much better on partisan fairness than other maps, and especially is good for the Jackson and Ann Arbor communities of interest. This map respects the commission's purpose which is to provide maps that accurately represent Michiganders and restore the faith of so many in the political process. Thank you for considering this fair map that preserves COI in all these areas that doesn't disenfranchise Jackson and Ann Arbor voters.</p>	Jasmine K	2021-11-05 13:41:50+00

Pine v5	Like	Thank you for adjusting the borders of District 2 to keep Banglatown whole. As a Community of Interest that has grown significantly since the last redistricting process, it means a lot to see our wishes reflected in this map. If you adjust the districts again, please be sure that Banglatown remains whole. Thank you.	Rezaul Chowdhury	2021-11-05 13:37:28+00
Palm	Dislike	this is a huge step backwards. Not just a little bit but a lot. packing dems into a 70+ dem District is clearly political gerrymandering Jackson can still have their county mostly whole with out packing dems and creating an unfair map	Cassie Gatz	2021-11-05 13:35:36+00

Palm	Dislike	<p>this map is an unconscionable gerrymander that hurts communities of interest, especially in Jackson and Ann Arbor, while doubling the partisan bias. As everyone in Ann Arbor maintained, keeping AA in one large district is not what people who live here want. this is exactly what the commission was created to prevent</p>	Jasmine K	2021-11-05 13:33:38+00
Linden	Like	<p>Thank you for considering a second map that preserves Jackson's community of interest. This map is fair and doesn't disenfranchise Jackson voters. I would be happy to see this map chosen.</p>	Colleen Sullivan	2021-11-05 13:33:03+00
Linden	Like	<p>I think this map exhibits partisan fairness and recognizes the Jackson and Ann Arbor Community of interest.</p>	Stephanie Brown	2021-11-05 13:26:44+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>Districts 14 and 15 are egregious examples of partisan gerrymandering. They subordinate rural interests to the urban centers like Ypsilanti and Ann Arbor.</p>	Dylan Croup	2021-11-05 13:25:01+00

Linden	Like	<p>This map is much better on partisan fairness. The voters wanted maps that were fair and recognized communities of interest. This corridor has many points of common interest.</p>	Ryan	2021-11-05 13:24:56+00
		<p>A huge step backwards. This map is very disappointing as it is clearly partisan and not at all fair. Once again we are seeing an attempt to silence voters in Jackson. Please DO NOT support this map that breaks up Jackson's</p>		
Palm Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>community of interest.</p>	Colleen Sullivan	2021-11-05 13:24:45+00
	Like	<p>Thank you for this fair map!</p>	Sylvie Tran	2021-11-05 13:24:17+00
		<p>This map blatantly doubles the partisan bias and is detrimental to communities</p>		
Palm	Dislike	<p>of interest</p>	Stephanie	2021-11-05 13:21:26+00
		<p>This map increases partisan bias and hurts communities of interest. The commission needs to prioritize partisan fairness. This map does not</p>		
Palm	Dislike	<p>do this.</p>	Ryan	2021-11-05 13:21:04+00

As a resident of the eastern part of Troy that borders Sterling Heights, I think the comments about Troy affiliating more with Birmingham are in correct. Depending on where you live in Troy, you could related more to Birmingham, Rochester Hills, Royal Oak, or Sterling Heights. East Troy where I live is connected to Athens High (not Troy High). South of 16 goes to Sterling Heights High School. Many of us shop in the Target and Kroger that are in Sterling Heights as well as the shopping that exists in Macomb county on M-59. Overall, of the options presented, I like this configuration the best. The Apple Map is good with the exception of packing Grand Rapids into Kalamazoo. This represent nothing other than partisan gerrymandering, even if unintentional by Daniel Grams  
Why put one precinct from Tyrone Twp into this district?  
This adds a third county to this district which seems unnecessary.

Apple V2

Like

Daniel Grams

2021-11-05 13:20:07+00

Pine v5

Dislike

Brenden Boudreau

2021-11-05 13:19:33+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	Districts 14 and 15 are ridiculous and totally disregard the rural communities of interest in Hillsdale and Jackson counties. What does Jerome or Moscow have in common with Ypsilanti? Same with Albion and Ann Arbor? I think Magnolia is the best map. I really like this version for the way East Troy is aligned with Sterling Heights. There is a difference in Troy the closer you get to Dequindre. This maps keeps together Asian communities as well as Chaldean communities.	Colin Brown	2021-11-05 13:10:00+00
Magnolia	Like		Daniel Grams	2021-11-05 13:08:17+00

As someone in the Canton Community, I feel the Border should be kept the way they are. If the borders were to have changed, it would be difficult redirecting students to different schools based off of their location. Voting can also be affected by the border change, and we don't want that happening. I love how diverse our community is, and I wouldn't want anything to change that. It also looks neat and geographically fit.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Alisha Cooper

2021-11-05 13:01:56+00

Thank you for combining Jackson with Washtenaw extending to part of Ann Arbor. This helps to create a district with a fair efficiency gap. As a Dem voter who lives in a +80? Dem district, I know my vote for Senate doesn't matter. People don't vote when it doesn't matter. I love my current district and senator, but I know fairness and unpacking is more important for democracy.

Cherry V2

Like

Thank you.

Margaret Schankler

2021-11-05 13:01:04+00

I appreciate that the three neighborhoods on the east side of Detroit (EEV, Morningside, Cornerstone Village) are in the same district. However, I'm concerned that all of the districts on the east side are stretched out into Macomb County. I don't believe this serves the interests of Detroiters, nor Macomb County residents, as all of our municipalities are split into different districts. Why not try to contain each district within municipal boundaries when possible?

Pine v5

Dislike

Soh Suzuki

2021-11-05 13:01:04+00

As someone in the Canton Community, I feel the borders should be kept the way they are. How they are now, looks geographically fit. They fit into each other perfectly. Location is perfect because we are such a diverse community and having a diverse Community is important to us. The division of population is also evenly spread out.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

John Cooper

2021-11-05 12:59:29+00

Pine v5	Opinion	<p>I think this map is an improvement over previous maps, yet I don't understand why any efficiency gap of over 4% republican is acceptable for the house. The latest senate map reduced that gap to 2%. Please rework until you reach that number at least. Thank you.</p>	Margaret Schankler	2021-11-05 12:55:50+00
Pine v5	Like	<p>I'm not sure I understand the little corridor going up Seaway, but I think the new 92nd District would serve to keep the Muskegon metro area intact.</p>	Timothy Koschmann	2021-11-05 12:54:01+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>As a student at Plymouth-Canton Educational Park, I believe the borders should be kept how they are. One of the many reasons I think they should be kept where they are is because our community is very diverse and everyone can learn about different cultures, and ethnicities. Having a diverse community is very important and changing the borders can mess it up. Another thing that could be affected is voting.</p>	Alice Haidous	2021-11-05 12:52:51+00

Apple V2	Like	<p>This is a good district keeping the cities together as they share many communities of interest which are different for the rural areas such as housing, healthcare and education.</p>	Joan Fifelski	2021-11-05 12:52:01+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	<p>District 32 doesn't work well for me. I'm just inside the northern edge even though my work, civic and social life all take place north of me. But hey, I get it. I'd rather it be fair for the greatest number than simply work for me.</p> <p>Nice job.</p>	Timothy Young	2021-11-05 12:49:55+00

you gave rural conservatives in Jackson County a Congressional district to represent their COI with the border counties, and now you want to take away the City's only shot at having representation for its COI with other cities along I-94. Please do NOT approve this map, which DOUBLES the partisan bias from the Cherry v2 and Linden maps, and doubles down on extra representation for one COI, while giving Jackson's other COI no representation at all. This map still doesn't solve the problem of the district touching parts of FIVE counties which some people objected to, and it packs Ann Arbor into one district against the overwhelming super-majority of public comment in that area. This is thinly veiled gerrymandering for the Republican Party and for rural

Palm

Dislike

COIs at the expense of  
Thank you for the update on districts 27 and 29, this looks really great. I appreciate this very reasonable way to give these areas fair and

Conner

2021-11-05 12:39:28+00

Cherry V2

Like

appropriate representation!

Tanya Andrews

2021-11-05 12:36:09+00

Overall, very nice work. A good compromise between Cherry v2 and Palm. This is a small step backward on partisan fairness from Cherry v2, but it solves a lot of the complaints about that map's districts - it just solves them in a better way than Palm does. This one keeps Jackson County mostly whole, is much more compact, is still pretty good on partisan fairness, and still protects the COI between Jackson, Ann Arbor, and other cities along I-94. It also keeps Hillsdale and Calhoun Counties out of the Ann Arbor district (as they requested). And it unpacks Ann Arbor, which is the #1 overwhelming request of Ann Arborites in public comment, and does a good job of balancing the "Keep Ypsi with AA" and the "Let Ypsi shine on its own" arguments.

Linden

Like

Conner

2021-11-05 12:34:23+00

Cherry V2	Like	<p>I appreciate the way in which the new 32nd District brings together the coastal communities of Muskegon, Luddington, Manistee, and Frankfort together. This makes good sense to me.</p> <p>the district 14-15 plans are a very reasonable compromise and support the goals of competitive districts - which we have not had in washtenaw and jackson counties, and also communities of interest. living in western washtenaw county, my family has friends throughout the area - Jackson, AA, Dexter, Chelsea, Manchester, etc., and we patronize businesses, doctors, vets, mostly in the western corridor. Ann Arbor is nice for UofM events, but we definitely have a broader experience of the area. these are good maps!</p>	Timothy Koschmann	2021-11-05 12:33:25+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>Thank you for making our state's districts more representative of all people who live in them. This helps to ensure that everyone will have a fair chance of being represented.</p>	Chris Justice	2021-11-05 12:31:56+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>represented.</p>	Cynthia Barcome	2021-11-05 12:27:23+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		Thank you for the update on districts 27 and 29, this looks really great. I appreciate this very reasonable way to give these areas fair and appropriate representation!	Tanya Andrews	2021-11-05 12:25:22+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Are you guys serious? Quit playing game. Georgetown township with Kalamazoo? Allendale with Grand Rapids? Stop splitting up Ottawa County. GERRYMANDERING at the max here! Do what is right by the constitution!	Eric Hartman	2021-11-05 12:18:36+00
Birch V2	Dislike	This map is awful! Dividing Ottawa county like a toddler colored the map. Cut it out! Stop the gerrymandering!	Eric Hartman	2021-11-05 12:16:08+00
Apple V2	Like	This is the only rational map. Please keep Ottawa County whole and the lake sure represented by one member of congress! Our needs are unique and mostly the same. Much different than that of a large city like Grand Rapids	Eric Hartman	2021-11-05 12:13:23+00

This map reflects Jackson and Ann Arbor as communities of interest, protects partisan fairness, will eliminate built-in bias an wasted votes and will require politicians to be responsive to the communities they represent. Thank you commissioners for

Cherry V2

Like

partisan fairness.

Maureen Fletcher

2021-11-05 12:09:46+00

This is the map I choose. We do not need to split up

Apple V2

Like

Ottawa county. Thank you.

Joanne Mary Stille

2021-11-05 12:03:01+00

Cherry V2

Like

Great map

Lisa

2021-11-05 11:55:25+00

This one again is gerrymandering. Please stop and consider the population requirements for redistricting. This suggestion far exceeds that requirement and therefore only leaves one deduction, you are gerrymandering. We voted for the people by the people and I am very disappointed, except for Commissioners Clark, Lange and Wagner who seem to be contemplating why the people chose citizens to accomplish this process. The families in the City of Midland and the County of Midland have more shared concerns with education, employment, law enforcement, social welfare... than they do with the people in nearby cities. Keep Midland County together, please.

Apple V2

Dislike

MARCIA BLACKSON

2021-11-05 11:53:35+00

This one again is gerrymandering. Please stop and consider the population requirements for redistricting. This suggestion far exceeds that requirement and therefore only leaves one deduction, you are gerrymandering. We voted for the people by the people and I am very disappointed, except for Commissioners Clark, Lange and Wagner who seem to be contemplating why the people chose citizens to accomplish this process. The families in the City of Midland and the County of Midland have more shared concerns with education, employment, law enforcement, social welfare... than they do with the people in nearby cities. Keep Midland County together, please.

Birch V2

Dislike

MARCIA BLACKSON

2021-11-05 11:51:32+00

Please stop and consider the population requirements for redistricting. This suggestion far exceeds that requirement and therefore only leaves one deduction, you are gerrymandering. We voted for the people by the people and I am very disappointed, except for Commissioners Clark, Lange and Wagner who seem to be contemplating why the people chose citizens to accomplish this process. The families in the City of Midland and the County of Midland have more shared concerns with education, employment, law enforcement, social welfare... than they do with the people in nearby cities. Keep Midland County together, please.

Chestnut

Dislike

MARCIA BLACKSON

2021-11-05 11:49:53+00

You seem to be ignoring all the comments from the Orion, Pontiac, Auburn Hills, Bloomfield and Waterford residents. As stated numerous times: Lake Orion should be in a district with Oxford, Pontiac, should be in a district with Auburn Hills and Bloomfield and Waterford should be whole. You have the comments, have been given the census, demographic, economic and employment based information for this area and yet still continue to combine communities in ways that will make their house representation basically useless. Why is that? Fix this area!

Pine v5

Dislike

Lisa

2021-11-05 11:48:17+00

This one again is gerrymandering. Please stop and consider the population requirements for redistricting. This suggestion far exceeds that requirement and therefore only leaves one deduction, you are gerrymandering. We voted for the people by the people and I am very disappointed, except for Commissioners Clark, Lange and Wagner who seem to be contemplating why the people chose citizens to accomplish this process. The families in the City of Midland and the County of Midland have more shared concerns with education, employment, law enforcement, social welfare... than they do with the people in nearby cities. Keep Midland County together, please. Gladwin is part of our watershed district and if not kept together we could lose the much needed help to

Cherry V2

Dislike

MARCIA BLACKSON

2021-11-05 11:46:27+00

In previous rounds I wrote comments favoring proposals (e.g., Birch) that kept the complete Muskegon R. watershed intact. After further study, however, I see that this will leave those who actually work and advocate for environmental issues in Muskegon once again without a voice in the U.S. Congress. I wish to reverse my earlier position, therefore, and express my strong support for the Chestnut proposal. I do, however, have one small ask: please include Muskegon Charter Township in the new 4th District, joining the terminal segment of the river with Muskegon Lake.

Chestnut	Like	Timothy Koschmann	2021-11-05 11:43:31+00
Linden	Like	Dan Wholihan	2021-11-05 11:31:55+00
Palm	Like	Dan Wholihan	2021-11-05 11:30:00+00

This map is an improvement over the Cherry map as Webberville to Stockbridge is more in line with Livingston County than the northern

Dexter area.  
This map is the best map for the Livingston County area.

Magnolia	Like	<p>This map is good for Livingston County and the Brighton area keeping our communities of interest whole.</p> <p><del>This map needs to get voted down as the partisan gerrymander that it is. This isn't partisan fairness, but exactly the opposite of any real definition. Ann Arbor, A city of 125,000 should not have four representatives, and should not be representing its cultural opposite. This map splits Ann Arbor four ways at the expense of rural Livingston, rural/suburban Jackson, and far suburban Oakland Counties. It also has nothing in common with the Brighton area of Livingston County, especially Genoa Township. I can walk outside, go across the street to state land and shoot my firearms legally. Ann Arbor politicians would ban firearms completely. In addition, the Brighton area is split, with Genoa and Hamburg in a district with Waterloo and Lyndon Twp (Chelsea north) and Ann</del></p>	Dan Wholihan	2021-11-05 11:28:13+00
Hickory	Dislike		Dan Wholihan	2021-11-05 11:22:23+00

		Thank you Commissioners Clark, Lange, & Wagner. This map covers most of our watershed area and should help us receive the help we need to recover from the dams breaking. It is also more population balanced.		
Pine v5	Like	Good Job!!!!	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-11-05 11:14:39+00
Apple V2	Like	If redistricting really needs to happen then this is the best map.	Robert Stille	2021-11-05 11:08:04+00
Chestnut	Like	I think the way the map is set will allow communities to thrive.	Shirlee Sodini	2021-11-05 10:51:59+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	The boundary between Senate districts should be Wealthy St , NOT Fulton. Wealthy St. follows the ward line which are core Communities of interests.	Joan Long	2021-11-05 10:50:55+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	The 4 Ottawa County Townships are rural and do not belong with Metro Grand Rapids. Cannon and Algoma Township can be added to balance the population.	Joan long	2021-11-05 10:36:27+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	The way district 15 just swoops over to scoop up some of Hillsdale County's voters is obvious political partisanship to dilute the effect of those conservative voters. We see what you're doing. You are abusing the authority entrusted to you.	Griffin McHaffie	2021-11-05 10:29:29+00
Apple V2	Like	I think this map is the best - It links Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo which have communities of interests , including Healthcare , research and education	Joan	2021-11-05 10:28:56+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	The plan for the Canton redistricting seems to cover the points that the commission was looking for. The breakdown seems to be inclusive. Shape is fairly contiguous. It appears that the proposed map meets the criteria.	Kristen Radwan	2021-11-05 10:06:15+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	The borders drawn for Canton seem fine. Overall it is a no win game to redraw the districts. It does not matter how much time and effort is put in to make things "fair". You will never get both major parties to completely agree.	RAY RADWAN	2021-11-05 09:57:11+00

I feel that Canton, Plymouth, and Westland should be rezoned due to several reasons. Firstly Westland's zone shape is irregular, and districts should be reasonably shaped. Second, Canton's population is growing at 0.8% year over year (YoY), while Plymouth is declining by 0.23% YoY. This growth in population relative to the growth of Plymouth should be balanced by an equal distribution of population in each district. This re-zoning would need to benefit all districts involved to promote a fair approach while promoting equality.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Brett DeVlieghe

2021-11-05 09:53:10+00

I believe that the Canton borders are well-drawn and I do not believe that they should be rezoned. There is a diverse community in Canton. If they propose to rezone then some students that are currently attending the Plymouth/Canton Community schools may have to switch schools which would be a hardship for those students. Along with the growing population of Canton, I do believe that the Canton borders should increase in size to accommodate the growing population.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion		Elizabeth DeVliegheer	2021-11-05 09:42:36+00
Cherry V2	Like	Although I'm not happy with the district I will be in, I believe the boundaries are logical and I support this version.	Bradley Gibson	2021-11-05 09:06:53+00
Birch V2	Like	I like this map, please choose the Birch map!	Sonja Patrick	2021-11-05 07:58:09+00

you completely ignored me. I spent so much time asking you to please keep me separated from Kalamazoo. I have never been involved in politics or an activist, but this is so important to me. I spent hours, days, weeks showing people how to create a map and showcase their communities. I did these in one on one sessions. I drove people to far away locations so we could speak in public. I was terrified to walk up to that mic, but I knew that I needed to. I was starting to feel a little proud of myself when I saw that over 200 maps had been submitted asking for the same thing. I was relieved when I thought that the Commissioners were granting me this very small request. 200 people probably isn't a lot compared to the thousands of submissions from all these Democratic Organizations that can put

Chestnut

Dislike

Sonja Patrick

2021-11-05 07:45:24+00

		This map makes a lot of sense. It combines the Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo health, education, and industrial corridors. Great work.		
Apple V2	Like	Thanks to the commission.	Roger Rittenhouse	2021-11-05 07:30:28+00
Pine v5	Dislike	The west side of 31 should fall into district 92, not 102.	Joshua Davis	2021-11-05 07:21:07+00
		Naked gerrymandering at it worst. This bipolar district connects two far away urban centers across a wide rural area is an obvious attempt to built a "safe" Democrat district, communities of interest be darned. Please reject this partisan power grab and APPROVE BIRCH map instead.		
Apple V2	Dislike		Sandra Dyson	2021-11-05 04:58:24+00
		This map is by far the best for Muskegon as well as Grand Rapids. Both communities are kept intact and both will have a good shot at having a representative who listens to their unique concerns. Please APPROVE this map.		
Birch V2	Like		Sandra Dyson	2021-11-05 04:52:00+00

Totally gerrymandered!  
Muskegon is chopped up in an obviously partisan attempt to benefit Democrats in Grand Rapids. Muskegon County is a lakeshore community of interest and our concerns will be totally overshadowed grouping us with a massive inland city like GR who greatly outnumber us and have very different concerns. Please

Chestnut

Dislike

REJECT this unfair map.

Sandra Dyson

2021-11-05 04:47:14+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>As a resident and student of Canton, I believe that the current map (including the borders) of the district of Canton is fine. Although Canton is very diverse and has different ethnic groups, I feel like all groups are represented well in the voting. The population of Canton is roughly 90,000 right now, which is an ideal number because it is similar to the population of surrounding districts. The different groups in Canton bring out a diverse community in Canton.</p>	Param Patel	2021-11-05 04:41:16+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>Because of these things, I believe that the district is completely fine as it is, and does not need any change. This map does a good job of including all of the Tri-Cities urban/ suburban communities. We have so many shared interests in business, education and entertainment. Thank you</p>	catherine L mitzel	2021-11-05 04:04:40+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>In this map I count 8 districts that cross Wayne county boundaries. My own city of 100,000 is portioned. How does this promote communities of interest? This is how the 3rd version of the map that I have been told is "Fair". I fail to see how it keeps getting "fairer". With this one, it is clear you are gerrymandering the other way, with all the contorted district shapes. Neighbors should share representation. I live in Webster Township technically, but 3 minutes after I get in my car I am in downtown Dexter. So now you've sliced the northern reach of Washtenaw County from the Dexter city limits north and replaced it with Stockbridge? Doesn't make any sense.</p>	Kevin Dolin	2021-11-05 04:02:10+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>Districting should respect county lines wherever possible. Start with each of the counties and then either combine or split as necessary to balance total number of votes and get the right number of districts. Get as close as you can and then</p>	Ryan Waddington	2021-11-05 04:00:52+00

Thank you for this map that includes all of Bay, Saginaw and Genesee Counties, as well as much of Midland County. This will be a competitive district where representatives will need to listen to all of their constituents! Having political bias as close to zero as possible is the necessary step to end gerrymandering.

Chestnut

Like

catherine L mitzel

2021-11-05 03:45:30+00

Although I may not be a resident of Canton, I do attend one of the high schools of PCEP and live near the border to Canton. Based on the diversity of my peers at school, I believe that these borders accurately depicts the diversity in the population of District 43, especially regarding ethnicity. I also see that due to the high population density in Canton, the size of the district seems in proportion to its population. It is practically the same as the target goal for population per district. Overall, I believe that these borders seem to be well-drawn as it align well with its people and the community.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Minh-Y Nguyen

2021-11-05 03:42:53+00

The way that districts 14 and 15 have been drawn is shameful partisan gerrymandering. Hillsdale County and Jackson County have nothing in common with the liberal Ann Arbor. They have clearly been lumped in with Ann Arbor in order to allow Democrats to overwhelm the rural population in these areas and effectively deprive these communities of representation. This independent commission was supposed to fix gerrymandering, not make it worse!

Cherry V2

Dislike

Jeannette Richard

2021-11-05 03:42:47+00

Midland and Gladwin counties should be connected in any version of a Senate map. Please provide a Senate map option that reflects the voices of the Tittabawassee watershed communities.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Cathy Leikhim

2021-11-05 03:32:02+00

Apple V2	Dislike	Each of these proposed maps chestnut, birch, apple separates an L shaped bite from my own Community of Interest, which is Western Wayne county and removes my own suburban city of 100,000 from that COI and into an urban district, with urban needs.	Kevin Dolin	2021-11-05 03:31:07+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Each of these proposed maps chestnut, birch, apple separates an L shaped bite from my own Community of Interest, which is Western Wayne county and removes my own suburban city of 100,000 from that COI and into an urban district, with urban needs.	Kevin Dolin	2021-11-05 03:29:12+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Each of these proposed maps chestnut, birch, apple separates an L shaped bite from my own Community of Interest, which is Western Wayne county and removes my own suburban city of 100,000 from that COI and into an urban district, with urban needs.	Kevin Dolin	2021-11-05 03:26:54+00

Pine v5	Like	<p>Thank you Commissioners Wagner, Lange and Clark for hearing the voices of the thousands of flood victims in Midland and Gladwin counties and working to put forward the PineV5 State House map.</p> <p>I believe that the border should stay the same. The population is about 90,000, which is a perfect balance between densely populated and not very populated. The population is also very close to the population of the districts in the surrounding areas. Canton is also very diverse so minority groups will also be highly represented along with non-minority groups.</p>	Cathy Leikhim	2021-11-05 03:13:46+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>I don't think our district lines need to be changed. The population in the area is comparable to other districts. We have a diverse population. The district is compact and represents the</p>	Sanaa Bashar	2021-11-05 03:12:47+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>Canton community well.</p>	Robin Uranga	2021-11-05 03:00:11+00

Pine v5 Like I can be very happy with this, especially if a lot of Republican whiners aren't, and here is why: <https://t.co/7rRnfaMGJN> Thank you for listening and for all you have endured. David Barnosky 2021-11-05 02:57:54+00

Cherry V2 Like After looking at the population data, the partisan data, and the geographic aspects of this map, I am very impressed by how this map effectively manages a variety of sometimes competing interests and affiliations. There will inevitably be those who will complain about being swamped out by metro voters, or by rural voters (as I have been the last 10 years in a township outside of Ann Arbor), but I am pleased with the metro/rural balance in my proposed new district. Sara Fink 2021-11-05 02:35:04+00

Cherry V2 Dislike These districts are ridiculous and disrespectful of the right of the people of Jackson County to have representation. Ann Arbor is a unique brand of liberalism way more liberal than most democrats. It is fundamentally undemocratic spread Ann Arbor's influence beyond its city limits. Daniel G 2021-11-05 02:34:21+00

Cherry V2 Like I fully support this new map and appreciate the commission's hard work to draw balanced districts. Bringing together rural areas with parts of Ann Arbor will enable representatives to advocate for federal funding to create better infrastructure not only in the city, but also in surrounding areas. The pandemic truly highlighted a need for broader access to broadband internet, for example, to enable our children to fully participate in school. These new districts will have a positive impact on equal access for all. Elizabeth Cebul 2021-11-05 02:31:49+00

If we are truly aiming to avoid gerrymandering, in most cases a county would not be split into different Congressional Districts. The people in the City of Midland and the County of Midland have more shared concerns with education, employment, law enforcement, social welfare,... than they do with the people in nearby cities. Many agencies serve the entire county. We are organized to work together as a county. It is best to have one representative for the entire county. The County of Midland and many other counties should not be split up.

Birch V2

Dislike

Paul Moeller

2021-11-05 02:30:43+00

This State Senate district makes sense...it keeps COI together...Asian American and Chaldean American.

Cherry V2

Like

Daniel Grams

2021-11-05 02:28:51+00

If there is any care at all for representing the distinct interests of entirely different communities, Districts 14 and 15 must be redrawn. Make no mistake, these proposed districts are no attempt to ensure that every vote matters and that every voice is heard. In actuality, it ensures that the interests of places such as Jackson, Northern Hillsdale County, and various rural areas will be overlooked on account of their inclusion in the same district as Ann Arbor. These very different sets of interests do not admit of mixture. Ann Arbor will dominate and effectively disenfranchise those living in areas that hold different interests. In the spirit of true fairness and representation, these proposed districts must be redrawn.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Jacob Nelson

2021-11-05 02:25:44+00

Comments by Dorothy  
Munson  
"combines many rural  
townships in Ottawa and  
Ionia Counties with the  
Metropolitan region around  
Grand Rapids. Totally  
different needs/wants/issues  
for these areas"

Like the rural Allegan  
townships have so much in  
common with the  
Metropolitan region around

Birch V2

Opinion

Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo? merlin steffes

2021-11-05 02:18:54+00

I find the the district lines in  
district 35 to be adequate and  
a good representation of the  
people in the district. There is  
greater diversity in the  
Canton district vs the  
Plymouth district, however I  
don't see any advantage to  
redrawing district lines.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Kathleen Pierson

2021-11-05 02:13:54+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I support this district setup for District 35. Its seems to align well with the Plymouth community. I believe it is important to setup districts that align to communities rather than separating them.	Will Pierson	2021-11-05 02:10:15+00
Apple V2	Like	Thanks for your work on this. This map is the best!! It keeps Ottawa County together as it SHOULD BE!!!! It respects existing county and city lines and best reflects our community as a whole - thank you - please choose this one : )	sheryl devries	2021-11-05 02:08:15+00

The democrat party hacks are coming out of their holes to support the apple map. Obviously they are being given marching orders with the repeating statements of 31% nonwhites. These hacks have no idea what the population situation is in GR and Kazoo. Well, districts aren't created by skin color. They are created based on geography and municipal boundaries. People that focus everything on skin color are racist at heart. These hacks were opposed to Grand Rapids and Battle Creek being in the same district, which I agree, but are thrilled that Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are in the same district?

Apple V2

Dislike

Cheat to win by any means necessary. The Soviet Model.

merlin steffes

2021-11-05 02:06:33+00

This is a balanced map that gives a fair chance to both parties and respects the realities between Ann Arbor and the surrounding areas.

Representation that must be inclusive of a larger territory should lead to better use and sharing of resources.

Cherry V2

Like

Janet Cannon

2021-11-05 02:05:57+00

I do believe that the border that is currently drawn for District 43 should not be changed. Looking at the population of this district and the ones around it, you can see that they are relatively even. While I do believe that the racial diversity is rather uneven, I don't feel like that's an issue with the districts, but just something with Michigan's population. As a student of PCEP, I'm able to see/interact with people of all different races, cultures, and beliefs. Finally, the border for our district appears to be geographically even/not drawn to fit certain groups of people. So, overall, I do not believe that the district border should be changed.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Jishnu Borra

2021-11-05 02:04:47+00

this is a terrible option!!! It makes no sense at all - do not separate Ottawa county and our communities - do not take townships that identify with the Lakeshore & put them into urban GR or Kzoo. It breaks our community up. Do not accept this option.

Birch V2

Dislike

thank you

sheryl devries

2021-11-05 02:03:55+00

As a student in the Plymouth Canton school district I believe that the district 43 does not need changes. The nearing districts (19 and 35) of Westland and Plymouth each have similar population sizes of 90,500 (19) and 90,600 (35) comparable to Canton's 91,400. It allows for a large portion of religious and racial groups to be involved in voting. Additionally the way in which the district are draw allows for PCCs to be roughly in the middle of the three. If the lines were redrawn it would severely thin out the already limited number of busses and resource shortened by the pandemic.

10-08-21 v1 SD	Opinion	<p>Quiero agradecer el apoyo a nuestro mapa, pero en realidad yo se que falta mucho, ya que tambien hace falta mucho apoyo a las minorias, como los hispanos y las personas de color. Porque nuestras COI no estan completas (DHDC latin SW Detroit) El senado nos quito la cuarta parte de nuestro mapa El congreso nos quito la quinta parte Pedimos que nuestra comunidad hispana se mantenga unida para asi tener mas voz.</p>	Claudia Patricia Zavala	2021-11-05 02:02:08+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>It is my opinion that there is more continuity to District 105 if it included all of Kalkaska County, Missaukee County, Roscommon County and Crawford County and the south end of Otsego County - not including the city of Gaylord.</p>	Diane Randall	2021-11-05 02:01:06+00

Ultimately District 43 is well balanced in terms of boundary, population, and race.

The shape of the boundary is well developed of majorly sharp lines that keep consistency and ease in drawing and identifying.

With this, a further detail of the population is also understood of being overall equal to the districts around. Voting among District 43's society is well balanced compared to its population and the other districts' populations. Racial balance is apparent as diversity among its minorities flourishes. As someone who has lived in this community for my whole life, I greatly want to keep the amount of diversity and representation I have seen, where this specific boundary does satisfy.

There is no need to split up any sort of District 43 as it will Chris Jiang

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

2021-11-05 02:00:19+00

Neither Hillsdale County nor Jackson share common interests with Ann Arbor. To combine rural geographic communities with a heavily partisan and populated metro area could be seen as an intentional act to undermine the voting power of the citizens of Hillsdale and Jackson Counties.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Rachel Cram

2021-11-05 01:57:49+00

I personally think that the Canton Township borders should remain as they are. Currently, the borders have a great line-up with Canton-Plymouth Community Schools. In one of my elementary school years, a school redistricting had occurred and many of my close friends and children from my school had to be relocated, uplifting and changing many of our lives. It would be unwise to affect more children, older and younger, when our population is at a good level for the district size. Canton has so many people of different religions, cultures, races, and ethnicities in terms of diversity. It is important that our Canton borders include a diverse representation of people to better help us all benefit from our community and the different points of view that

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Ava DeVlieghe

2021-11-05 01:55:59+00

So disappointing to see the skewed approach lacking partisan fairness that is shown in Map#251 for District 97. In your original Pine map, you combined City of Midland with Bay Cityâ€”a stroke of genius pairing these clear communities of interest and resulting in near zero for bias. In this map, you have gone back to providing a safe Republican district by putting the definitely not-rural City of Midland in with Midland/Gladwin Counties. This is not adhering to your mission of providing for partisan fairness and communities of interest. This compromise takes away the voice of about half the voting public in City of Midlandâ€”please go back and provide the option from the Pine map, City of Midland with Bay City. No appeasement needed! Thanks

Pine v5

Dislike

for your consideration of my Lynn Pottenger

2021-11-05 01:54:08+00

I've lived in Ann Arbor since 1984. Thanks for your creativity in drawing District 48 and adjacent Districts in Pine V5, allowing us to maintain ties with friends and colleagues to the North and West who share many common interests in our beautiful environment. This is a big step forward!

Pine v5	Like		Jeffrey Brian Halter	2021-11-05 01:49:54+00
		I appreciate the hard work of the commission to create these balanced maps. It has been a thankless and difficult job. Thank you.		
Cherry V2	Like		Angela Harris	2021-11-05 01:40:02+00
		Please add Wyandotte and Southgate to this map. They are downriver cities described in our communities of interest and are in every other proposed congressional map for that reasons. The far western cities on this map would likely prefer being in a district with more rural concerns.		
Chestnut	Dislike		Judy Maiga	2021-11-05 01:39:50+00

what's the logic behind including this tiny portion of Huntington Woods [the far SE "corner" of the town, abutting the Zoo] in HD 13 instead of in HD 12 with the rest of the town? I can see that both HD 12 and 13 are both a little underpopulated, but adding these few folks to 13 instead of keeping them in 12 makes no sense. Carving off this very small section of HW to add to a district whose representative will be charged with addressing the concerns of several other, larger, communities is an unnecessary burden on those few dozen HW residents who will be separated from the rest of their townspeople. I'm all for dividing larger municipalities, but breaking off well under 1% of the population of a very small city (~6200) from the rest of it makes no sense to me. Much better to put this area back

Pine v5

Dislike

Catherine Daligga

2021-11-05 01:38:48+00

I am glad to see this map #251 combining the Tri-Cities with Flint for a MI Senate district. It makes a lot of sense to put these urban/suburban cities together and to put the rural parts with other rural parts. This approach honors the COI and partisan fairness criteria. Hopefully other districts--especially around Detroit--can be improved vis-a-vis partisan fairness. Thank-you for your hard work.

Cherry V2

Like

Lynn Pottenger

2021-11-05 01:38:47+00

This map does a good job of balancing the many interests to be considered.

Cherry V2

Like

Diana Raimi

2021-11-05 01:38:23+00

Wyandotte and Southgate should be included with Grosse Ile, Trenton, Riverview, etc. as a COI that share waterfront concerns, a superfund clean up, the clean up of the abandoned McLouth Steel plant (EPA shared police and fire services (through a federally funded program), DRANO, hospitals, and bridge and train issues all that should be addressed together as a community of interest. Downriver should be kept together as much as possible. Thank you. If you need to move people out, the communities to the far west would probably prefer not to be in with downriver.

Chestnut

Dislike

Judy Maiga

2021-11-05 01:36:47+00

Quiero agradecer el apoyo a nuestro mapa, pero en realidad yo se que falta mucho, ya que tambien hace falta mucho apoyo a las minorias, como los hispanos y las personas de color. Porque nuestras COI no estan completas (DHDC latin SW Detroit) El senado nos quito la cuarta parte de nuestro mapa El congreso nos quito la quinta parte Pedimos que nuestra comunidad hispana se mantenga unida para asi tener mas voz.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Claudia Patricia Zavala

2021-11-05 01:36:09+00

I've lived in Ann Arbor since 1984. Thanks for drawing in Districts 14 and 15 in Cherry V2, allowing us to maintain ties with friends and colleagues to the West along the I-94 corridor. This is a big step forward!

Cherry V2

Like

Jeffrey Brian Halter

2021-11-05 01:29:57+00

This is by far the worst map!!  
Taking a district from Holland  
to Kzoo/Battle creek &  
putting GR & Muskegon  
together looks like someone  
is trying to stack the deck  
against conservatives &  
Republicans. This is political  
gerrymandering & very unfair  
to us in Ottawa county. I  
thought the purpose of  
redistricting was to create fair  
maps not to make sure the  
Democratic party had the  
majority. Please please do  
not accept this map.

Chestnut

Dislike

sheryl devries

2021-11-05 01:27:52+00

This is by far the worst map!!  
Taking a district from Holland  
to Kzoo/Battle creek &  
putting GR & Muskegon  
together looks like someone  
is trying to stack the deck  
against conservatives &  
Republicans. This is political  
gerrymandering & very unfair  
to us in Ottawa county. I  
thought the purpose of  
redistricting was to create fair  
maps not to make sure the  
Democratic party had the  
majority. Please please do  
not accept this map.

Chestnut

Dislike

sheryl devries

2021-11-05 01:27:51+00

Chestnut	Dislike	Midland county and Gladwin county should be kept together as a whole and intact so that the collective need of all communities of interest who suffered great losses during the 2020 floods will bet met in any future decisions.	Anne Van Hulle	2021-11-04 23:21:54+00
----------	---------	---	----------------	------------------------

Birch V2	Dislike	Keep Midland and Gladwin Counties together as a whole in any version of mapping. Dissecting the city of Midland out of Midland County is just wrong. Midland was also hit hard during the devastating flood damage in 2020. Midland City should remain intact in Midland county and stay joined with Gladwin county so that the collective needs of all communities of interest who suffered great losses will be met in any future decisions.	Anne Van Hulle	2021-11-04 21:08:42+00
----------	---------	--	----------------	------------------------

This map does not allow the City of Midland to be with Midland and Gladwin counties. These are combined communities. Midland does not have a combined community with Flint. This is supposed to be a non-partisan Commission. This map looks partisan like you are trying to water down the vote from the City of Midland. If you are non-partisan then act like it.

Birch V2

Dislike

Lisa Daws

2021-11-04 21:01:53+00

District 3 on this map is not very good in terms of communities of interest or minority representation. It combines many rural townships in Ottawa and Ionia Counties with the Metropolitan region around Grand Rapids. Totally different needs/wants/issues for these areas. Ottawa County is not kept whole, and the lakeshore areas are split up. Townships outside of Kent County do not want to be with the Grand Rapids area because their rural votes will be diluted by the large city population.

Only possible ways to get more like areas to Grand Rapids Metro are to go south to Kalamazoo (like in Apple v2 #252 map) or go west to Muskegon on the lake (like in Chestnut #254 map).

Additionally, these districts including Grand Rapids Metro areas include other

Birch V2

Dislike

Dorothy Munson

2021-11-04 20:59:06+00

This map does not allow the City of Midland to vote with Midland and Gladwin counties, which it has much more in common with. This map looks partisan like you are trying to water down the vote of the City of Midland. This Commission is supposed to be non-partisan. So act like

Apple V2	Like	it.	Lisa Daws	2021-11-04 20:56:50+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This looks like gerrymandering to some :(	Stephanie Hoekstra	2021-11-04 20:28:48+00
Birch V2	Dislike	It makes no sense to spit up Ottawa county.	Stephanie Hoekstra	2021-11-04 20:23:41+00

This map is the best overall map for Congressional districts and excellent for minority representation. Over 92 % of the population in district 4 lives in city metro regions. Additionally, it combines the major areas of minority voters in West Michigan to give them a voice. Grand Rapids has the largest number of minorities in West Michigan and Kalamazoo has the second largest. 31 % of the population of this district is composed of minorities “ over one quarter of a million people. Minorities will finally have a voice in federal government to fight for issues with low-cost housing, healthcare, public education and civil rights. On suggestion which would further improve the districts without affecting the overall state map would be to

Apple V2

Like

remove Thornapple township Dorothy Munson

2021-11-04 20:20:49+00

Apple V2	Like	<p>The Grand Rapids Kalamazoo district makes a lot of sense to me. Both cities are similar sized. Medical care and medical research are a big part of the life of each city. Both have a large minority population this district would give minorities more chance to be heard in the life of our country.</p>	Fredric Overeem	2021-11-04 20:20:13+00
Pine v5	Dislike	<p>I do not like the idea of combining Lansing with Clinton County. The two counties have different communities of interest.</p>	Noah Walker	2021-11-04 20:16:03+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>This is the best option as it keeps Ottawa County together</p>	Stephanie Hoekstra	2021-11-04 20:13:17+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>This is a much fairer map and gives all voters a better chance of having their voice heard. Thank you to the commission for the hard work to ensure that every vote matters across the state.</p>	April Ryan	2021-11-04 20:10:56+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>No part of Jackson or Hillsdale county should be lumped with Ann Arbor. They are worlds apart and share no interests. Ann Arbor couldn't care less about the issues that are important the rural areas around. It should be lumped in with Ypsilanti. To do otherwise is clearly gerrymandering.</p>	Jose Arevalo	2021-11-04 20:05:24+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This map is not perfect but is much better than the previous. I advocate for it's approval.</p> <p>Midland and Gladwin must stay together in any version of mapping. Dissecting the city of Midland from Midland County is wrong. Midland City was also hit hard with the massive flood damages in 2020 and should remain in the collective needs of our communities of interest. Keep Midland County and Gladwin County together as a whole.</p>	Michael Wiese	2021-11-04 20:03:59+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>whole.</p>	Anne Van Hulle	2021-11-04 19:59:34+00

Pine v5	Dislike	<p>This map has a wholly unnecessary split of Oneida Township and divides the greater Grand Ledge area into two different districts. Any part of Oneida Township should not be separated from Grand Ledge in any map. The two are a textbook community of interest. Additionally, it makes no sense to put Grand Ledge with north Lansing instead of similar communities in Eaton, Clinton or Ionia counties.</p> <p>This district makes a lot of sense - Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are similar cities with similar interests and needs on the federal legislative level, and Grand Rapids in particular has been marginalized within its existing district in recent years.</p>	Griff Drew	2021-11-04 19:48:08+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>This map makes sense to combine Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo. Thank you!</p>	Jeffrey Thomas	2021-11-04 19:37:50+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>This map makes sense to combine Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo. Thank you!</p>	Chris Crandall	2021-11-04 19:35:58+00

Chestnut	Dislike	<p>Map splits the Fitzgerald/Marygrove neighborhood (part of Detroit District 2). Boundaries are Lodge, McNichols, Livernois, and Fenkell. This is a very vibrant community, especially since the advent of the Marygrove Conservancy. Please keep Fitzgerald/Marygrove together.</p>	Mary Jo Durivage	2021-11-04 19:31:28+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>Thank you for your work and for unpacking the Ann Arbor area and creating communities of interest along the I-94 corridor.</p>	Catherine Murau	2021-11-04 19:29:00+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>I want to thank the commission for creating this congressional district that combines Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo since they are such similar cities with many common interests.</p>	Miriam Boelen	2021-11-04 19:26:56+00

Pine v2	Dislike	Map splits the Fitzgerald/Marygrove neighborhood (part of Detroit District 2). Boundaries are Lodge, McNichols, Livernois, and Fenkell. This is a very vibrant community, especially since the advent of the Marygrove Conservancy. Please keep Fitzgerald/Marygrove together.	Mary Jo Durivage	2021-11-04 19:25:19+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map does not allow Midland to stay with Gladwin and it's surrounding areas with common interests. This is a partisan map designed to water down Midlands vote and should not be allowed by a nonpartisan commission.	Lisa Daws	2021-11-04 19:23:51+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	District 14 is a disaster. How anyone with half a brain would think that is a representative district is beyond me. Jackson and Ann Arbor similar, since when? All this will accomplish is massive rural areas being ruled over by the leftists in Ann Arbor.	Douglas Wright	2021-11-04 19:23:12+00

Apple V2	Dislike	What is the point of separating the City of Midland from the rest of the county? Midland, Midland County and Gladwin County have strong ties and many, many common interests. I thought this new approach to redistricting was a move to reduce gerrymandering. Apparently it isn't working.	Denise Weisbrodt	2021-11-04 19:21:49+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		27 and 29 look good--I am on 94 between Jackson and Ann Arbor frequently, and these two complementary communities represent a continuum. Thanks	Mary Lewis	2021-11-04 19:19:49+00
Pine v4	Dislike	Map splits the Fitzgerald/Marygrove neighborhood (part of Detroit District 2). Boundaries are Lodge, McNichols, Livernois, and Fenkell. This is a very vibrant community, especially since the advent of the Marygrove Conservancy. Please keep Fitzgerald/Marygrove together.	Mary Jo Durivage	2021-11-04 19:19:07+00

Pine v5	Opinion	<p>Please review Saginaw County to help improve partisan fairness scores. With the movement of the lines within this county, you could improve partisan fairness for the area, which in turn improves the state numbers. Please review the numbers for this county. Thank you.</p> <p>Yet another map that ignores the critical shared interests of Midland and Gladwin Counties. Do better.</p>	Angie Miller	2021-11-04 19:16:49+00
Birch V2	Dislike		Denise Weisbrodt	2021-11-04 19:12:44+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>This is great that you are combining Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo in the same Congressional district as these 2 cities have so much in common regarding their needs and Communities of Interest. They have similar demographics and geographic needs regarding housing, education and healthcare. This map especially links the Healthcare corridor which is so important to West Michigan. Good Job Commission!</p>	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-11-04 19:12:24+00

Pine v5	Dislike	In this map, the Fitzgerald-Marygrove (Part of Detroit District 2) is divided between 12 and 13. Please keep this very vibrant community together. District 2 is bounded by Lodge, McNichols, Livernois and Fenkell.	Mary Jo Durivage	2021-11-04 19:12:14+00
Cherry V2	Like	I appreciate that the commission has, with this map, found a balance between communities of interest and partisan fairness. I am looking for districts whose representative will need to consider the needs of many voters with different needs, and this map of 14 and 15 does that well. Thank you.	Sonia Schmerl	2021-11-04 19:11:22+00

I strongly disagree with the newly-drawn District 66. The previous maps had a more fair map for the Community of Interest of Battle Creek and Albion, District 74. This newest map does not keep the college connections between Kellogg Community College and Albion College. In addition, it does not keep black populations together, which should be a factor using the criteria of the constitutional amendment. By separating the communities, the industrial corridor and the downtown revitalization efforts are not being considered. Retaining the previously drawn District 74 provides better partisan fairness. Please do not use this newly drawn District 66.

Pine v5

Dislike

Christine Kosmowski

2021-11-04 19:10:58+00

Pine v5	Dislike	<p>Please keep the cities of Bay City and Midland together. These two cities share many commonalities including a manufacturing base and school athletic leagues. They have far more in common than the rural areas surrounding them and deserve to be treated fairly as communities of interest.</p> <p>This map makes sense! Linking Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo is a great idea. This district will give minority populations a better voice in congress.</p>	Linda Weaver	2021-11-04 19:10:43+00
Apple V2	Like		Kimberly Bergs	2021-11-04 19:07:48+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>Midland and Gladwin Counties have just been awarded federal funds for flood recovery and restoration. Apparently the federal government understands how these two counties are linked. Yet somehow the redistricting committee has overlooked the common interest between these two counties.</p> <p>PLEASE rethink this.</p>	Denise Weisbrodt	2021-11-04 19:06:19+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	Proposed District 29 reflects a balanced, regional approach	Pamela G. Byrnes Brown	2021-11-04 19:01:32+00

This little finger jutting into Madison Heights from Warren unnecessarily complicates the job of whomever is elected to 15th State House District, which would then be comprised of 3 cities and 3 counties. That would be a lot of work for a good representative to stay abreast of the problems, opportunities and politics of the cities of Madison Heights, Warren and Detroit as well as Oakland County, Macomb County and Wayne County, not to mention the State of Michigan.

Surely you could make District 14 a little less gerrymandered and modify District 15 by adding a little more of Warren or Detroit.

Pine v5

Dislike

Peter Sutliff

2021-11-04 19:01:26+00

I think this is a great map which links two major Metro areas together that are very similar in interest such as Healthcare housing civil rights and education as well as Industries such as Medical and education. the district also has a significant minority population of non-whites which will give them a better voice in Congress

Apple V2

Like

DENNIS MURPHY

2021-11-04 18:47:28+00

why has the commission  
acceded to one  
commissioner's demand  
to "compromise" for  
the sake of rural Midland and  
Gladwin? That area is now  
dictating the representation  
for Midland City. Citizens of  
Midland City who requested  
to be kept with Bay City for  
this purpose looked forward  
to having representation of  
their choice for the first time.  
In my view, Midland City, as a  
COI have the right to identify  
their own COI and  
preferences. A different group  
with other interests  
doesn't have the right to  
take that away. The  
amendment says that  
COI's are subject to  
population requirements and  
VRA requirements.  
.Compactness, contiguity are  
less important when  
compared with wishes of a  
COI. They are not owned by  
other Groups who want them. Carol Heron

Pine v5

Opinion

2021-11-04 18:47:01+00

hispanic community has to stay together, this means keeping roosevelt park, urbandale and burton heights should be together. either expand 78 west to include areas north of porter and east of grandville or expand 79 east to include before mentioned areas

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Ivan Diaz

2021-11-04 18:39:18+00

I appreciate the new map of districts 27 & 29. It is important to include different populations in the district, urban and rural, and to make the representatives consider the interests of diverse constituents. Thank you. hispanic community has to stay together, this means keeping roosevelt park, urbandale and burton heights should be together. either expand 78 west to include areas north of porter and east of grandville or expand 79 east to include before mentioned areas

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

Sonia Schmerl

2021-11-04 18:37:33+00

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Ivan Diaz

2021-11-04 18:37:31+00

Thank you for making a congressional district that gives us fair representation! Minority populations in West Michigan are maximized in this map, with 31% of the district being non-white. Our minority populations deserve a fair voice in DC. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo share a lot of commonalities, from health care to medical manufacturing to scientific research and more. We need leadership in DC that can represent the values and issues that matter to both of our cities, including housing, civil rights, public education, and healthcare.

Apple V2

Like

Sarah Woolsey

2021-11-04 18:36:39+00

This is a good, fair map. A lot of similarities between GR and Kalamazoo and it makes sense for us to be in the same Congressional district. The GR-Kzoo District includes the major centers of African-Americans, Hispanics, and other racial minorities in western Michigan. 31% of the total population of the district is non-white (250,000 people total). Western Michigan's various racial minority populations, including immigrants and indigenous peoples, deserve to be heard in DC.

Apple V2

Like

Doug Booth

2021-11-04 18:27:43+00

Please keep Apple V2 as the districting map for Ottawa County. Please turn down all other proposals.

Apple V2

Like

Keith Timmer

2021-11-04 18:23:42+00

As a Chelsea resident for over 20 years, I am pleased with this proposed new map. My new district does extend over multiple counties, but it more fairly represents the rural population and is anchored by the I-94 throughline. I have a lot of common with people in the area covered in this map.

Cherry V2	Like	Nice job. It is good to see the Grand Rapids city will get two State	Thomas L Knox	2021-11-04 18:21:40+00
Cherry V2	Like	Senators. Thanks for getting the Grand Rapids airport with the city of Grand Rapids they should be	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-11-04 18:19:01+00
Cherry V2	Like	kept together. I thought all of Ottawa was a community of interest and	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-11-04 18:16:02+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	would not be split up. Please do not join rural area in Ottawa with the City of Grand Rapids mi these are not	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-11-04 18:13:56+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	communities of interest	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-11-04 18:13:10+00

Apple V2	Like	This map keeps the lakeshore communities together and the more inland cities together. They each have distinct issues and would benefit from having their own Congressional districts. This is a good map, please use it.	Joel Ombry	2021-11-04 18:05:36+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you to the commission for creating a map that unpacks Ann Arbor, giving more of a voice to Ypsilanti communities. The commission has created a map that I believe to be fair in terms of partisanship as well.	Adam Benjamin Smith	2021-11-04 18:04:35+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This is a terrible map and the worst of all three. Does not represent and only divides. do not use please!!	Jim DeBoer	2021-11-04 18:04:26+00
Birch V2	Dislike	This does not represent our area--and divides us and is a BAD districting map!	Jim De Boer	2021-11-04 18:03:10+00
Cherry V2	Like	I think this map does a nice job of balancing the many different issues that go into creating districts. Thank you for your work!	Robert Manduca	2021-11-04 18:02:26+00
Apple V2	Like	Apple V2 is a fair map and makes the most sense.	Lynn Shackelford	2021-11-04 17:57:43+00

Apple V2	Like	Both Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids share both educational and healthcare interest. They are community of interest.	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-11-04 17:57:39+00
Apple V2	Like	This is the best map by far that keeps similar values together.	Jim De Boer	2021-11-04 17:57:25+00
Cherry V2	Like	I like that this map feels like it will make my vote matter more because the district is more balanced, and will also encourage our elected leaders to make sure theyâ€™re taking the considerations of voters of both parties into account in order to earn their (re)elections.	Emily Van Ark	2021-11-04 17:56:47+00
Apple V2	Like	This district gives voice to the minority population	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-11-04 17:56:19+00
Apple V2	Like	This district combines several minority sectors of West Michigan and gives them a voice.	Cindy Krieg	2021-11-04 17:55:48+00
Apple V2	Like	This district maximizes minority populations in West Michigan and gives them a voice. This is so important for our city!	Christy Mayo	2021-11-04 17:55:45+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>Thank you for improving the partisan fairness on this map. I still have concerns around VRA districts in Detroit. Several VRA districts have been combined with suburbs; the west side of Detroit with Farmington and Redford Charter Twp for example. This disadvantages Detroit, a minority-majority city, and means that residents will never be able to elect a candidate of their choice to represent them in the State Senate. Please reconsider this and respect the rights of VRA districts.</p>	Emma Davis	2021-11-04 17:54:59+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>These two metro areas, GR and Kalamazoo, face similar issues and needs. They are also represent two health care and educational centers in W. Michigan.</p> <p>This is a good map. It recognizes similarities between Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo (Education, Healthcare, urban issues) and provides strong representation for minority communities in this area.</p>	Patrice Vrona	2021-11-04 17:54:42+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>Thank you.</p>	Joel Ombry	2021-11-04 17:53:47+00

Apple V2	Like	Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo should be kept together because they share many of the same interest.	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-11-04 17:49:51+00
		I am glad that this map is leaning blue. West Michigan needs a blue leaning district in order to balance out the overall Republican bias of the map. Thank you for your efforts.		
Apple V2	Like		Judith Herrick	2021-11-04 17:49:37+00
		This is a terrible map. Do not use this. Ottawa county must stay whole, don't split		
Chestnut	Dislike	Ottawa county please	Edwin Vander Zwaag	2021-11-04 17:47:12+00
		Thanks for unpacking Ann Arbor in this map. Partisan fairness is what fair maps		
Cherry V2	Like	ARE. Thanks for your work.	Keira Duvernoy	2021-11-04 17:46:26+00
		This is a good map and the one you should use!		
Apple V2	Like		Jim De Boer	2021-11-04 17:45:17+00
		Many thanks to the MICRC for working to create maps that will better represent the people of Michigan as a		
Cherry V2	Like	whole!	Surabhi Balachander	2021-11-04 17:45:11+00
		This map includes the major centers of BIPOC communities in western Michigan. This is the very definition of community of interest. Good.		
Apple V2	Like		John Considine	2021-11-04 17:44:39+00

This proposed map is awful. It may actually be malicious. It completely ignores and even tramples on the principles behind the formation of the redistricting commission. It ignores real communities of interest and the supposed imperative that redistricting should be following - ensuring that the voices of those communities are collected together and heard in the political arena. Treating bits and pieces of Ottawa County as mere political appendages to other areas of Michigan is a blatant attempt to silence the communities of interest here on the Lakeshore. It breaks up Ottawa County into three parts. It treats one of those parts as a suburb or Grand Rapids (along with Muskegon). It is NOT a suburb of a growing urban area. It is part of an existing and real community. It treats another part as the outskirts of

Chestnut

Dislike

Michael L Kuras

2021-11-04 17:44:25+00

Healthcare Corridor: The GR-Kzoo district links two important centers of healthcare, scientific research, and medical engineering manufacturing. Grand Rapids: Medical Hill, Spectrum, Mercy Health / St. Mary's, Metro Health -- University of Michigan West, Van Andel Institute, MSU Secchia Medical School, GVSU Nursing School. Kalamazoo: Bronson, Pfizer, Stryker, WMed Innovation Center, WMU Stryker Medical School, WMU Nursing. This area is fast becoming a destination for many medical diagnoses; cancer, neurological issues, heart, pediatrics, kidney and organ transplants and others. This map reinforces the need to keep these together.

Apple V2

Like

Thank you.

Judith A. Herrick

2021-11-04 17:44:14+00

Cherry V2

Like

This map is a much needed improvement!

Veronica Williamson

2021-11-04 17:44:12+00

Cherry V2	Like	I appreciate all the efforts of the bipartisan commission to create fair and balanced districts for voting in Michigan. I have long felt my vote was lost and think connecting Washtenaw and Jackson Counties together is a great plan. We have much in common and similar needs for our communities, both cities and rural areas. Thank you!	Marjorie Hyde	2021-11-04 17:42:45+00
Birch V2	Dislike	This is not a good fit for Ottawa county at all. It splits it three ways, Ottawa needs to stay whole. This map is not good at all	Edwin Vander Zwaag	2021-11-04 17:41:47+00
Apple V2	Like	This is a good fit for Ottawa county. West MI is a unique area, and needs to be kept intact. Please keep Ottawa county whole	Edwin Vander Zwaag	2021-11-04 17:37:22+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map is the best for the communities in Ypsilanti, Michigan!	Liz Ratzloff	2021-11-04 17:37:00+00

Cherry V2	Like	I worked on the campaign for Prop 2. I am happy to see the work that has gone in to unpack our district in combining it with Jackson and other communities along the I-94 corridor. Thanks for all your hard work in working towards partisan fairness. Thank you for all your hard work. This map is an improvement toward making	Deborah Wood	2021-11-04 17:30:57+00
Cherry V2	Like	the map a fair distribution. Midland and Bay City should	Brandy Bar-Herschman	2021-11-04 17:30:24+00
Pine v5	Opinion	be together. This map is going in the right direction. I appreciate that the Novi city is intact and combined with our neighboring cities in Oakland county where we share economic interests along the	Ashlea Phenicie	2021-11-04 17:26:22+00
Birch V2	Like	1696 corridor.	Marian MAhoney	2021-11-04 17:23:48+00

This map puts the lie to the promises made in establishing the redistricting commission. It breaks up REAL communities of interest (like that of Western Michigan and the Lakeshore) and takes bits of it and makes them appendages to other parts of the State, with their own interests. Ottawa County is central to Western Michigan and the Lakeshore. This map treats it as a mere satellite of other areas of the state. No longer would Ottawa County speak with one voice. Instead, Ottawa County would become an afterthought for three Representatives whose real allegiances would lie elsewhere. This map undermines the ability of the grassroots here on the Lakeshore to speak with a clear unambiguous voice on behalf of the interests that make us the only real GROWING part of the state.

Birch V2

Dislike

Michael L Kuras

2021-11-04 17:23:28+00

Apple V2 is a good map!  
Apple makes sense and keeps  
our County together,  
reflecting our community and  
economic interests and will  
make sure that we have a  
voice in Washington DC.  
Please do not adopt the Birch  
or Chestnut maps that will  
only separate and divide us.

Apple V2	Like	Thank you!	Sharon Dryfhout	2021-11-04 17:10:07+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for trying to draw fair maps!	Dana Getsinger	2021-11-04 17:08:32+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This map is completely bonkers. This should not be adopted at all. Birmingham and Detroit in the same district? Madison Heights and Detroit in the same district? I live in Detroit. I visit both of those communities often and like them. But they are not culturally compatible.	Brent Snavely	2021-11-04 17:07:20+00
Cherry V2	Like	These maps are finally fair, thank you for putting in the work to get them there. You now need to apply the same effort into making the house maps fair. Thank you!	Amanda Varva	2021-11-04 17:06:04+00

Apple V2	Like	<p>this maps works for the people of Ottawa County... and probably for other counties as well. It holds real communities of interest together, certainly here in Ottawa. Our interests reflect our location along Lake Michigan, our emphasis on family values, our respect for agriculture and the special place the outdoors has in recreation, and so on. These interests are working now to make this County the fastest growing county in the State. IF it's not broken don't try to "fix" it. Other proposed maps do exactly that.</p>	Michael L Kuras	2021-11-04 17:05:31+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>Thank you for finally taking Partisan Fairness seriously, these maps are much better than anything you have put forth so far. Please apply the same principles to the House.</p>	Trent Varva	2021-11-04 17:04:14+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>I appreciate the changes that have made to the districting map in my area - Chelsea. It looks more fair. Thank you!</p>	Victoria Haehl	2021-11-04 16:57:59+00

Apple V2 is the best map for Ottawa County. There are a lot of considerations taking into account to reflect communities and the community should be able to vote its interests according to its past, present, and future interests and on issues together as a community. The Lakeshore has different issues and interest than say the interior of the state as a broad example.

Apple V2

Like

David W Caldwell

2021-11-04 16:54:13+00

I see you have reworked Pine v5 in central and southeast MI. But have you run the metrics for HD103 yet? A number of people spoke in Gaylord to say it looks like the new 103rd is not compact, seemingly gerrymandered, gives a disproportionate advantage to one party and favors incumbents. Benzie County works best with counties to the south, sharing the economics of shoreline towns and transportation corridors. Linking it with Kalkaska and Antrim counties negates this all. It makes more sense to add more of Wexford--since the major transportation flow is M115 to the south and east. I know you have bigger fish to fix, but please look at the metrics again. We have nothing in common with Kalkaska and Antrim except we're all rural and we use their roads as byways to northern MI and Thank you for your work in creating fair districting. This is so important for our democracy.

Pine v5

Dislike

Christine E Kitzman

2021-11-04 16:52:15+00

Cherry V2

Like

Judith Mattis

2021-11-04 16:49:31+00

Apple V2 Like Apple v2 is an acceptable map. Please move forward with this direction. Thank you. Dana 2021-11-04 16:47:26+00

Cherry V2 Like As a recent emigre from Pittsfield Twp, I think this is a much fairer map than previously. This is exactly what the voters had in mind when the Commission was created. Thank you for your attention to partisan fairness and what communities of interest truly mean. Keep up the good work! Michael Hertz 2021-11-04 16:45:40+00

As a resident of Canton and a student at PCEP, I personally believe the current borders of the township are fine as is. The newly proposed plan seems reasonable, but may not be ideal. Currently, there is a lot of sustainable diversity and population (roughly 90,000 residents). If intensive redistricting occurred in this region, the sense of community and belonging may be taken away from citizens, especially students in school districts. For example, it would be rough if a certain group of students had to switch schools; relocation would definitely have an effect on their well-being. From my point of view as a student, I wouldn't want to change anything major regarding our district size or diversity.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Amelia Pan

2021-11-04 16:44:41+00

Chestnut	Dislike	<p>This is a terrible map. Chestnut map does not make sense. it is a deliberate attempt to gerrymander a district from Holland to Kalamazoo/Battle creek and forcing Grand Rapids and Muskegon together is an intentional and deliberate attempt to gerrymander a democrat district out of a predominantly conservative West Michigan. this is not a fair map</p>	Brandy Mayer	2021-11-04 16:43:30+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>I like this and appreciate the effort at partisan fairness. Thank you for your efforts. I volunteered for this committee and am kinda glad I didnâ€™t get picked. Tough, tough job you guys have.</p>	Stephanie Schmidt	2021-11-04 16:42:18+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>The Birch map is bad for Ottawa County it divides and dilutes the voters of this area. Chestnut is a bad map. Grand Haven, Grand Rapids, and Muskegon should not be linked together. This is obvious political</p>	Brandy Mayer	2021-11-04 16:33:34+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>Gerrymandering.</p>	JEFF KING	2021-11-04 16:32:49+00

		I agree with Jennifer Austins comment look at the original		
Pine v5	Dislike	Pine map	Carol J McPherson	2021-11-04 16:32:28+00
Cherry V2	Like	Great map. Thank you!	Adam Lauring	2021-11-04 16:31:37+00
		Apple V2 is the best map for Ottawa County. This map best reflects the residents of this count		
Apple V2	Like	This Map is the best representation for District 8, much more communities of interest.	Brandy Mayer	2021-11-04 16:26:40+00
Chestnut	Like		Carol J McPherson	2021-11-04 16:22:14+00
		The Birch V2 map is a bad map. Grand Haven and Roscommon and Mt pleasant should not be on the same map.We do not need political Gerrymandering to break up Lakeshore values.		
Birch V2	Dislike	Yes Please!! This map looks fair. Thank you for the hard work.	JEFF KING	2021-11-04 16:21:16+00
Cherry V2	Like	Yes Please!. The maps for 27 and 29 look really good.	Katherine C ASkew	2021-11-04 16:18:49+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you!! Thanks so much for putting together a fairer representational map of districts. Good job and thanks for listening to our comments.	Katherine C ASkew	2021-11-04 16:15:12+00
Cherry V2	Like		Kate Higgins	2021-11-04 16:11:51+00

The proposed Chestnut is the worst map under consideration. It is obviously a highly partisan Democrat attempt to dilute by gerrymandering away the votes of traditionally conservative Ottawa County. Chestnut makes no sense except as a blatant attempt to siphon away the value of Ottawa County votes. Gerrymandering a district from Holland to Kalamazoo/Battle Creek and forcing Grand Rapids and Muskegon together is an intentional and deliberate attempt to gerrymander a Democrat district out of predominantly conservative West Michigan. The Chestnut map goes against the basic purpose of the redistricting to create fair maps. Chestnut is an unfair and politically gerrymandered map obviously designed to solely benefit the Democrat party. It Douglas Door

Chestnut

Dislike

2021-11-04 16:11:45+00

The Birch V2 map is a bad map. It divides Ottawa County in a way obviously designed to dilute the influence of Ottawa County voters with the votes from urbanized areas whose interests often do not coincide with those of Ottawa County voters. It is an attempt to steal the representation of Ottawa County residents by dilution. It puts townships that identify commercially and culturally with the Lakeshore into districts dominated by urban areas with different values and priorities. This is effectively the unacceptable disenfranchisement of Michigan's fastest growing county. It capriciously divides Ottawa for the benefit of special political interests whose agenda often run counter to those of Ottawa County voters. Please reject this gerrymandered disaster.

Birch V2

Dislike

Douglas Door

2021-11-04 16:09:44+00

The Apple map is the best one that represents Ottawa County's conservative values, All other versions are strictly political Gerrymandering.

Apple V2

Like

JEFF KING

2021-11-04 16:09:39+00

Cherry V2	Like	<p>I appreciate and respect the work you accomplished in making my region fair. I know there will be opposition but the purpose of the commission is try to make a fare map within 5%. This map is much closer to this criteria.Thanks</p> <p>Bob</p>	Robert J Dunn	2021-11-04 16:09:12+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>This is NOT my community. I do not travel to Fenton or Fowlerville. This effectively nullifies my vote because my views are not similar nor will anyone elected from this district represent or listen to my or my neighbors south of 8 miles.</p>	Kris DeAngelo	2021-11-04 16:08:16+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>Thank you for your hard work on these maps. And thank you for improving partisan fairness in Michigan!</p> <p>Thank you Commissioners! 14 and 15 do a good job of you understanding partisan fairness w communities of interest!</p>	Sue Jacob	2021-11-04 16:08:00+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>Thank you for your hard work on these maps. And thank you for improving partisan fairness in Michigan!</p> <p>Thank you Commissioners! 14 and 15 do a good job of you understanding partisan fairness w communities of interest!</p>	sue hadden	2021-11-04 16:07:36+00

Cherry V2	Like	Wow. I'm not sure this will play to my favor, but this looks incredibly fair-- no more crazily drawn lines, and population numbers and representation are even. This took a lot of work, and I'm grateful for folks who want the vote to be representative of a democracy (small d :)). The proposed Apple V2 redistricting map makes the most sense compared to the other maps! It keeps Ottawa County together and creates a Lakeshore district. It respects the existing county and municipal lines. It best respects our community and economic interests and will ensure we have a voice in Washington DC.	Wendy Welch	2021-11-04 16:06:13+00
Apple V2	Like	This is a vastly improved map. Superior townships share much common work, school, tax decisions and communities both rural and urban than the map that had us divided between 3 counties that had nothing in common. Thank you for changes the map!	Douglas Door	2021-11-04 16:04:43+00
Cherry V2	Like		Cheryl Lynn Depner	2021-11-04 15:59:44+00

Apple V2	Like	Apple V2 is the only way to go. We, as a county, have to remain intact as a community to have our voice heard. All other divisive plans would only be political gerrymandering a Democrat district out of a predominantly conservative Republican district.	Gary A Meeuwsen	2021-11-04 15:58:33+00
Cherry V2	Like	I appreciate this redrawn map of my district and the surrounding districts. They are much more representative of the overall culture of this area.	Traci Husse	2021-11-04 15:55:03+00
Cherry V2	Like	This is a much improved map over the one that had has "clumped" like afterthought with Oakland, and Wayne Counties. We share much more in common with Ann Arbor, and rural communities with this map. Thank for listening to our comments!	Cheryl Lynn Depner	2021-11-04 15:53:27+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	This map looks more fair to me. Thank you for addressing the issue of partisan fairness! Partisan fairness is more important than having voters contained into one or two counties.	Carolyn Hill Stark	2021-11-04 15:52:22+00

Chestnut	Like	<p>This is the best of the three congressional maps. It centralizes each of the high population areas in the center of a district. This will give a greater voice to diverse interests.</p>	Suzanne Perkins	2021-11-04 15:52:06+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>This district seems fair, giving everyone a chance to run a competitive campaign and win based on the issues. I like this.</p>	Jeffrey Souza	2021-11-04 15:51:58+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>I like the Apple V2 map. We must keep Ottawa County together along with the Lakeshore area. This map is the best one for Ottawa County and West Michigan</p>	James Newhouse	2021-11-04 15:51:15+00

Even though this map looks fair, it is not! This map has a partisan advantage for Republicans. Gerrymandering is not identified by the shape of the district; it is identified by districts drawn to favor one party over the other. The original Pine map that puts Midland and Bay City together in one House district is not an example of gerrymandering. It is the best in meeting the goal of partisan fairness. Please add that option for consideration and keep the goal of fair districts.

Pine v5

Dislike

Cassandra M Foley

2021-11-04 15:51:12+00

Thank you for this map. Partisan fairness is so important to our area and our country. This does it! Much appreciate your hard work. I appreciate your work to make a more fair map that's also mindful of our communities. Thanks!

Cherry V2

Like

Diane Massell

2021-11-04 15:48:17+00

Cherry V2

Like

Lynne Raughley

2021-11-04 15:46:05+00

Cherry V2	Like	<p>Thank you so much for the work you've put into creating this map. I appreciate that this map has been created with fairness and nonpartisanship at the forefront. These district boundaries make sense, and I appreciate that they have been drawn in a thoughtful and balanced way.</p> <p>As a Chelsea resident, I appreciate having the rural areas balanced out with the cities along the I94 corridor.</p>	Susannah Keller	2021-11-04 15:39:09+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>We have similar interests.</p>	Joanne Ladio	2021-11-04 15:35:59+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>I like that this map has a West Michigan lake front district. I don't think this map is perfect, but it is a whole lot better than the Birch plan.</p> <p>I appreciate the work put into creating balanced districts. While possibly a small area-wise change, it is clearly an improvement in reaching a fairer allocation.</p>	Ryan Galligan	2021-11-04 15:35:02+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>Cherry V2 goes a long way toward a fair and balanced map. Thank you for your efforts in this important direction.</p>	Kevin J. Camero-Sulak	2021-11-04 15:34:08+00
Cherry V2	Like		Rae Ann Weymouth	2021-11-04 15:33:46+00

Chestnut	Like	I don't think this map is perfect, but it is way better than the Birch plan.	Ryan Galligan	2021-11-04 15:33:42+00
----------	------	--	---------------	------------------------

Cherry V2	Like	I think this map is an improvement and appreciate the time and effort it required. I am especially glad to see the majority of the UP separated out from the northern lower Michigan peninsula. The needs and focus of the two areas are not the same and should have different representation. I am also glad to see a more equitable distribution in Oakland County rather than the contorted Italy-like-boot shaped type of district that currently exists. This map seems much more balanced and fair to the citizens of Michigan overall.	Karen Modell	2021-11-04 15:31:56+00
-----------	------	--	--------------	------------------------

District 30 north Grand Rapids should not include these 4 rural townships in Ottawa county. District 30 can easily be improved ( without affecting the balance of the state map districts) by adding Cannon and Algoma townships in Kent county and moving these 4 Ottawa townships to like district 33. Then only minor adjustments to districts 18 and 20 will be necessary. This will vastly improve the communities of interest of each of these districts and keep district 30 area contiguous with Grand Rapids 6 city area and all in Kent county

Cherry V2

Dislike

Rich Thrush

2021-11-04 15:30:04+00

This is a great map. It is particularly good for giving Ypsilanti their own representation without being beholden to all of Ann Arbor. It will allow for more diversity in voting and representation!

Cherry V2

Like

Suzanne Perkins

2021-11-04 15:29:14+00

Cherry V2	Like	<p>Thank you, MICRC, for your hard work and for remaining nonpartisan. As a resident of Washtenaw County, I feel this map will improve fairness and representation, and will reduce the current map's tendency to favor unhealthy polarization of our politics. I believe this is the worst map for the people of West Michigan. Grand Haven should not be in the same district as Roscommon and Mt. Pleasant. The Chesnutt and Apple maps are much better.</p>	Nadine Wang	2021-11-04 15:26:49+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>This map is much improved and removes past problems with seemingly arbitrary district boundaries. Thank you to the commission!</p>	Ryan Galligan	2021-11-04 15:26:18+00
Cherry V2	Like		Rita Karen Loch Caruso	2021-11-04 15:25:58+00

Personally, I believe the borders of district 43 are well-drawn. As a resident of Canton and a student at Plymouth High School, I would argue that I have a good understanding of the population around me. As shown in the data, Canton is a greatly diverse community. By grouping district 43 the way it is now, it follows the guidelines of Communities of Interest. This will give Michigan a more diverse pool of opinions.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Summer Xiong

2021-11-04 15:23:43+00

I commend the work that has been done for District 14. There are so many shared interests with this grouping even though some areas are rural and others urban. Many people in this area work and recreate in Ann Arbor or Chelsea and Dexter, thereby having investment in the governance of these areas. All have vibrant downtowns, support local businesses and work to conserve and maintain natural environments which are frequented by all in these areas. I support this map and thank you for your commitment to the redistricting process as it was intended.

Cherry V2

Like

Constance Burke

2021-11-04 15:21:41+00

Thank you for keeping the Tri-Cities together in a senate district as the majority of people from Mid-Michigan have asked for. Please continue to assess partisan fairness for the entire map and look at the COI's of Detroit as per Kellom, Curry, and Eid.

10-29-21 SD v3

Like

Jennifer Austin

2021-11-04 15:21:25+00

10-29-21 SD v1	Like	Thank you for keeping the Tri-Cities together in a senate group. I appreciate you listening to the majority of people in Mid-Michigan who have asked for this. Please continue to look at partisan fairness and COI's in Detroit under Kellom, Curry, and Eid's directions o we can have the best maps possible for all of Michigan.	Jennifer Austin	2021-11-04 15:19:47+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for keeping the Tri-Cities together in a senate district. I would like to see the commission do more work on the overall partisan fairness and on areas like Detroit as Commissioner Kellom has indicated. Please give due diligence to the most important criteria at the top of the list of COI's and partisan fairness in relation to Detroit.	Jennifer Austin	2021-11-04 15:17:43+00
Cherry V2	Like	Mainly happy with the lines for district 14. Was a bit surprised to see it go so far west but otherwise great work by the committee!	Tim Finch	2021-11-04 15:15:49+00

Please give us the option of a Midland-Bay City house district as drawn in the original Pine map. Do not make concessions to appease people but stick to your mission of partisan fairness. The Midland-Bay City district was near to zero political bias. You can't get a fairer district. It will improve the overall partisan fairness of your maps which still lean toward Republicans in an unacceptable manner and will give the people of Midland and Bay City the chance to elect the best candidate, no matter their party.

Pine v3

Dislike

Jennifer Austin

2021-11-04 15:15:32+00

Please give us the option of a Midland-Bay City house district as drawn in the original Pine map. Do not make concessions to appease people but stick to your mission of partisan fairness. The Midland-Bay City district was near to zero political bias. You can't get a fairer district. It will improve the overall partisan fairness of your maps which still lean toward Republicans in an unacceptable manner and will give the people of Midland and Bay City the chance to elect the best candidate, no matter their party.

Pine v2

Dislike

Jennifer Austin

2021-11-04 15:15:08+00

Please give us the option of a Midland-Bay City house district as drawn in the original Pine map. Do not make concessions to appease people but stick to your mission of partisan fairness. The Midland-Bay City district was near to zero political bias. You can't get a fairer district. It will improve the overall partisan fairness of your maps which still lean toward Republicans in an unacceptable manner and will give the people of Midland and Bay City the chance to elect the best candidate, no matter their party.

Pine v4

Dislike

Jennifer Austin

2021-11-04 15:14:44+00

Please give us the option of a Midland-Bay City house district as drawn in the original Pine map. Do not make concessions to appease people but stick to your mission of partisan fairness. The Midland-Bay City district was near to zero political bias. You can't get a fairer district. It will improve the overall partisan fairness of your maps which still lean toward Republicans in an unacceptable manner and will give the people of Midland and Bay City the chance to elect the best candidate, no matter their party.

Pine v5

Dislike

Jennifer Austin

2021-11-04 15:14:17+00

Please give us the option of a Midland-Bay City house district as drawn in the original Pine map. Do not make concessions to appease people but stick to your mission of partisan fairness. The Midland-Bay City district was near to zero political bias. You can't get a fairer district. It will improve the overall partisan fairness of your maps which still lean toward Republicans in an unacceptable manner and will give the people of Midland and Bay City the chance to elect the best candidate, no matter their party.

Pine v5

Like

Jennifer Austin

2021-11-04 15:13:58+00

Apple V2	Like	<p>This is a good representation of a Tri-Cities and Flint congressional district and I thank the commission for listening to the majority of people in Mid-Michigan. I would prefer to see Tuscola placed in the Thumb district where it more closely aligns and then make the counties of Midland, Bay, Saginaw more whole within this district like it is in the Chestnut map. This would bring more of Midland in as would be appropriate.</p>	Jennifer Austin	2021-11-04 15:10:48+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This is a good representation of a Tri-Cities and Flint congressional district and I thank the commission for listening to the majority of people in Mid-Michigan. I would prefer to see Tuscola placed in the Thumb district where it more closely aligns and then make the counties of Midland, Bay, Saginaw more whole within this district like it is in the Chestnut map. This would bring more of Midland in as would be appropriate.</p>	Jennifer Austin	2021-11-04 15:09:53+00

There seems to be some confusion over communities of interest and partisan communities. This map is the best of both. It accounts for the large amount of interaction of people within this map in terms of economy, natural environment conservation and use, entertainment. Many citizens interact daily within this area by going to jobs outside their city limits. The Michigan Theater in Jackson is a favorite venue of mine not only for it's historical value and esthetics but also as a wonderful concert venue. The people in this area have many common interests and should have be able to determine the future

Cherry V2

Like

of those interests. Thank you. Constance Burke

2021-11-04 15:08:44+00

Cherry V2

Like

This version looks better

balanced, thank you!

Martha Kershaw

2021-11-04 15:07:40+00

Pine v5	Dislike	<p>What is the point of having an independent commission if you're going to draw maps that skew towards one party? Please do your job and get the partisan fairness right for the State House. You did it for the Senate, you can do it here.</p>	Jamila Martin	2021-11-04 15:06:11+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>This the best configuration of Midland I have seen. It keeps the Tri-Cities together with Flint in a Mid-Michigan district, places Tuscola county in the Thumb where it belongs, and keeps most of the counties involved whole. Thank you for this fair map. It will allow for fair and responsive representation from a representative who must keep the concerns of all their constituents in mind.</p> <p>The Chestnut map is straight up political gerrymandering to make predominantly conservative areas into Democrat districts. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo will dominate. This goes against the purpose of redistricting</p>	Jennifer Austin	2021-11-04 15:05:56+00
Chestnut	Dislike	<p>into fair maps.</p>	Paula Bojsen	2021-11-04 14:59:54+00

Cherry V2	Like	<p>This senate map gives each party a chance to win the most seats very fair draw of Jackson Washtenaw area.</p> <p>This map of Midland and Gladwin together is not ideal or partisan fair. The two cities have little in common. Gladwin is a very rural town whereas Midland has more of a big city feel with lots to do and offer. Please give us back a map (like the Pine Map) for the City of Midland and Bay City together to create partisan fairness. Midland and Bay City have a lot more in common than does</p>	Cassie Gatz	2021-11-04 14:58:55+00
Pine v4	Dislike	Midland and Gladwin!	Lynda Kay Magirl	2021-11-04 14:56:59+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>This is a fair map</p> <p>District 15 seems to be drawn fairly, as well as neighboring 14, seems to meet the fairness quotient. Thanks for all your work.</p>	Cassie Gatz	2021-11-04 14:55:59+00
Cherry V2	Like	all your work.	Letitia Simmons	2021-11-04 14:55:52+00

Birch V2	Dislike	<p>This is a terrible map, and cracks Ottawa county in two, separating communities right through the center of commercial areas and more. Grand Rapids will dominate the Lakeshore. Th is is unacceptable for the fastest growing county in Michigan, and splits the county three ways!</p> <p>This map of Midland and Gladwin together is not ideal or partisan fair. The two cities have little in common. Gladwin is a very rural town whereas Midland has more of a big city feel with lots to do and offer. Please give us back a map (like the Pine Map) for the City of Midland and Bay City together to create partisan fairness. Midland and Bay City have a lot more in common than does</p>	Paula Bojsen	2021-11-04 14:55:49+00
Pine v2	Dislike	Midland and Gladwin!	Lynda Kay Magirl	2021-11-04 14:54:29+00

Pine v3	Dislike	<p>This map of Midland and Gladwin together is not ideal or partisan fair. The two cities have little in common. Gladwin is a very rural town whereas Midland has more of a big city feel with lots to do and offer. Please give us back a map (like the Pine Map) for the City of Midland and Bay City together to create partisan fairness. Midland and Bay City have a lot more in common than does Midland and Gladwin!</p>	Lynda Kay Magirl	2021-11-04 14:52:08+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>Thank you Commissioners for listening to residents and attending to partisan fairness, among other criteria. I am very pleased with this map!</p>	Beverly Ulrich	2021-11-04 14:50:18+00
Apple V2	Like	<p>Ottawa county must stay together. Apple V2 map keeps us together and creates a Lakeshore district as the residents see ourselves. It best reflects our community.</p>	Paula Bojsen	2021-11-04 14:48:08+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Combining the surrounding areas of Metro Airport in Romulus with rural Lenawee County is a combination of drastically different communities of interest (very agricultural in Lenawee County with a more suburban and urban area of Romulus). A better combination would be Romulus, Huron Township, Belleville/and Van Buren Township).	Kyle Zawacki	2021-11-04 14:45:50+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map is a distinct improvement that creates better balance--not perfection, which is impossible--and fairness. When the process is good, and this one was, the product is better.	Ellen Plummer	2021-11-04 14:45:30+00
Cherry V2	Like	I grew up in Lenawee and agree that it should be grouped with Monroe county rather than Hillsdale. Lenawee and Monroe are more closely tied.	Ashlea Phenicie	2021-11-04 14:44:44+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Combining parts of the City of Taylor and rural northern Monroe County is a combination of drastically different communities of interest (very agricultural in Northern Monroe County with a more suburban and urban area of Taylor). A better combination would be Taylor, Woodhaven, Brownstown, and Flat Rock (along US-24, or Telegraph Road).	Kyle Zawacki	2021-11-04 14:43:34+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	Boundary line needs to be moved from Fulton St to Wealthy St or even to Hall St. Then move further into Gaines Township and Byron Township.	Brandon DuBois-Jones	2021-11-04 14:43:25+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for splitting the Greater Lansing area into two districts. This map is fair and representative.	Ashlea Phenicie	2021-11-04 14:43:17+00

The number of communities, individual cities, and even precincts you have split to gerrymander these senate districts is an embarrassment to the idea of a citizen redistricting commission. You could have set a gold standard, but you have done a worse job than the politicians because it is clear you don't understand the communities all across out state. You consistently have put Arenac County with northern counties we have no ties to, and kept us separated from Bay County because it helps you draw partisan Democrat maps.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Unacceptable.

Adam Kroczaleski

2021-11-04 14:39:33+00

This is a great map. The Commissioners have done a good job taking in to account communities of interest in the Ann Arbor - Jackson community of interest. This map does not give one party advantage over another which is exactly what the Michigan Constitution require. It promotes partisan fairness.

Cherry V2

Like

Victoria Gutowski

2021-11-04 14:36:56+00

Midland and Gladwin must stay together in any version of mapping. The City of Midland is vastly different than Flint for a variety of reasons the main one being the watershed that Midland is a part of.

Apple V2

Dislike

Natalie Gingras Hazen

2021-11-04 14:35:37+00

This is the first citizen  
redistricting commission and  
it was hoped that you would  
set an important precedent of  
keeping similar communities  
together so that Michigan  
could have fair  
representation. Sadly, you  
have consistently ignored  
communities of interest data  
and have focused on  
gerrymandering districts for  
partisan reasons. Arenac and  
Bay counties could not be  
better examples of different  
communities who are  
strongly connected. They  
constitute a strong  
community of interest. But  
you consistently have kept us  
separate so that you can try  
to carve out Republican areas  
such as Midland and stretch  
them down to Flint in order to  
ensure a Democrat keeps his  
seat. You are failing the  
purpose of what this  
commission was supposed to  
be.

Apple V2

Dislike

Adam Kroczaleski

2021-11-04 14:35:20+00

Birch V2	Dislike	Midland and Gladwin must stay together in any version of mapping. The City of Midland is vastly different than Flint for a variety of reasons the main one being the watershed that Midland is a part of.	Natalie Gingras Hazen	2021-11-04 14:34:51+00
Birch V2	Like	This is the best one out of the three proposed maps.	Brandon DuBois-Jones	2021-11-04 14:34:33+00

This is the first citizen  
redistricting commission and  
it was hoped that you would  
set an important precedent of  
keeping similar communities  
together so that Michigan  
could have fair  
representation. Sadly, you  
have consistently ignored  
communities of interest data  
and have focused on  
gerrymandering districts for  
partisan reasons. Arenac and  
Bay counties could not be  
better examples of different  
communities who are  
strongly connected. They  
constitute a strong  
community of interest. But  
you consistently have kept us  
separate so that you can try  
to carve out Republican areas  
such as Midland and stretch  
them down to Flint in order to  
ensure a Democrat keeps his  
seat. You are failing the  
purpose of what this  
commission was supposed to  
be.

Birch V2

Dislike

Adam Kroczaleski

2021-11-04 14:34:17+00

Chestnut	Dislike	<p>Midland and Gladwin must stay together in any version of mapping. The City of Midland is vastly different than Flint for a variety of reasons the main one being the watershed that Midland is a part of.</p> <p>Hillsdale County does not share a community interest with the area surrounding Ann Arbor. So called "partisan fairness" should not take precedence over the Commission's Constitutionally mandated directive to create districts representative of COIs.</p>	Natalie Gingras Hazen	2021-11-04 14:33:54+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>It appears that overall these are Competitive and Fair Senate districts. I am in the 27th and see connections within its boundaries. An improvement over what we currently have. Well done.</p>	Rebecca Wilson	2021-11-04 14:33:07+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	Like		Michael Penn MacGregor	2021-11-04 14:32:02+00

This is the first citizen redistricting commission and it was hoped that you would set an important precedent of keeping similar communities together so that Michigan could have fair representation. Sadly, you have consistently ignored communities of interest data and have focused on gerrymandering districts for partisan reasons. Arenac and Bay counties could not be better examples of different communities who are strongly connected. They constitute a strong community of interest. But you consistently have kept us separate so that you can try to carve out Republican areas such as Midland and stretch them down to Flint in order to ensure a Democrat keeps his seat. You are failing the purpose of what this commission was supposed to be.

Chestnut

Dislike

Adam Kroczaleski

2021-11-04 14:31:40+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Overall, I think the the current boundaries are well represented in terms of diversity and population. I would like to see the all of Canton absorbed into the boundaries.	Heather Gabor	2021-11-04 14:25:39+00
Apple V2	Dislike	Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are not communities of interests.	Brandon DuBois-Jones	2021-11-04 14:23:51+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map is an absolutely awful representation of districts	Kurt Gernaat	2021-11-04 14:15:01+00
Birch V2	Dislike	I do not like how this map separates communities	Kurt Gernaat	2021-11-04 14:13:48+00
Apple V2	Like	This map is the best choice of the 3.	Kurt Gernaat	2021-11-04 14:12:44+00

I do not believe that Canton's district needs to be divided up, and it should stay as is. The population is roughly around the population of other Michigan districts (about 90,000), and has not shifted enough to require a redraw. It has a well drawn out border that has a logical shape and isn't contorted, which makes an adequate boundary. Also, Canton has a diverse population with people from many different backgrounds, and changing the district could potentially harm the representation of minority groups. In addition, separating people from different cultures by splitting the district would prevent social interaction between groups, for example, making schools less diverse so students would have less interaction with people of different backgrounds.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Brooklyn Radwan

2021-11-04 14:12:00+00

Cherry V2	Like	<p>This is a great map. I appreciate the redrawn lines creating balance in the Ann Arbor area (districts 14 and 15). The rest of the state appears to be drawn fairly as well. This map accurately takes into account the communities of interest in our state and does not deliberately give advantage to one party over another. This map represents what Proposal 2 in 2018 was designed to do - create a level playing field for all political parties. An overwhelming majority of voters supported Proposal 2 in 2018.</p>	Rachel Tocco	2021-11-04 14:11:44+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>Thanks for the many hours of work it took to get to a fair map. I live in proposed district 15 and like that it (and adjacent district 14) look as though they will achieve partisan fairness goal. Please approve this version.</p>	Janice Sovak	2021-11-04 14:10:27+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>I think this a fairly drawn district.</p>	Noah Goldberg	2021-11-04 14:10:07+00

Pine v3	Dislike	<p>Please go back to the original Pine map, which included areas to the east of Midland. This one is not fair. It's clearly biased toward a Republican win.</p> <p>(edited to correct typos)</p> <p>District 14 and 15 appear to be reasonably well-balanced in terms of partisan fairness. I am happy with what I see. District 14, especially, takes into account the many COI submissions that have stated how Jackson City and surrounding townships share commonality with communities along I-94, including many in Washtenaw County. Thank you for working to create fair representation for Jackson County.</p>	Angie Kelleher	2021-11-04 14:06:21+00
Cherry V2	Like		Nancy A. Smith	2021-11-04 14:05:01+00

As a previous resident of the southern part of Canton, I was always confused by the district border that sent my children to Westland schools. The same holds true for those that lived in the southwest part of Canton, but sent their children to Van Buren schools. I see from the proposed district lines that the Van Buren area has been absorbed into Canton, but the Westland district portion has not been included. It would be nice to see all of Canton included in the district.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Dan Gabor

2021-11-04 14:03:27+00

Thank you for listening and including my East Ann Arbor residence with the townships and community to the east into Ypsilanti and areas west. I approve of the district that extends along I94 from Ann Arbor out to Jackson. These districts, 14 and 15 give a more equal voice to the various communities that interact east to west. Thank you for dividing Ann Arbor in this way.

Cherry V2

Like

Ronald Emaus

2021-11-04 14:01:37+00

Cherry V2	Like	<p>Thank you for the work you have done to create fair and balanced voting districts while eliminating wasted votes. As a resident of Ann Arbor, I appreciate the balance created in districts 14 and 15.</p> <p>I am pleased to see that this proposal would do a good job of providing fair representation for this region of the state, taking its demographics into consideration. Thank you for doing the difficult work of drawing equitable district lines.</p>	Betsy Nisbet	2021-11-04 14:01:30+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>District 14 and 15, appear to be well-balanced in terms of partisan fairness. I am happy with what I see. District takes into account the many COI submissions that stated how Jackson City and surrounding townships share commonality with communities along I-94, including many in Washtenaw County. Thank you for working to create more fair representation for Jackson County.</p>	David Hayward	2021-11-04 14:00:26+00
Cherry V2	Like		Nancy A. Smith	2021-11-04 13:59:09+00

Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for improving fairness of the district. This is very much needed and appreciated.	Laura M Schwennesen	2021-11-04 13:59:07+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	This is much more representative of the population in the district	Gregory Allan Peoples	2021-11-04 13:58:18+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for improving the fairness of the map.	Pamela Bogart	2021-11-04 13:55:09+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for all your efforts to make such a fair map! It looks to me like a good balance between partisan fairness and keeping communities of interest together. As a side note, I live in District 15, and my family is spread out across Ann Arbor, Ypsilanti, and Pittsfield Township. I'm happy to see we're all in the same district!	Elizabeth Duren	2021-11-04 13:54:13+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>I am in agreement with the boundaries for District 43. The district boundaries are contiguous and in line with the current school district boundaries. Additionally, population within the district is of similar number to other districts. Also, the population within this district is diverse. I do not believe this particular district boundary should be redrawn.</p>	Aaron Uranga	2021-11-04 13:54:09+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>I can see how these districts will support competitive elections. This is so sensible. District 15 looks good to me.</p>	Christine Samida	2021-11-04 13:53:13+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>This is not what the Commission was tasked with doing. It was supposed to create districts which represented communities of interest. Northern Hillsdale County and the Jackson are not within the same community of interests as Ipsi and Ann Arbor. So called "partisan fairness," should NOT take precedence over communities of interest, as illustrated in the Michigan Constitution.</p>	Samuel Lair	2021-11-04 13:49:04+00

I appreciate that the three neighborhoods on the far east side of Detroit are together (East English Village, Morningside, Cornerstone Village). However, I'm still challenged that the district extends far north into Macomb County, where their area is being split into three sections, when they can be in the same district. Why not extend District 10 into Grosse Pointes, instead of stretching it out beyond the county line? If communities of interests are to guide the process, then please respect municipal and county lines.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Soh Suzuki

2021-11-04 13:47:32+00

This map seems like an improvement and the work to create it is much appreciated.

Cherry V2

Like

Wes Harrington

2021-11-04 13:45:59+00

These maps (particularly 14 and 15) are much more in line with a full representation of the various communities. There is more diversity and more of an opportunity for people to have a voice

Cherry V2

Like

Zona Scheiner

2021-11-04 13:45:53+00

As a student at Canton High School and a resident of Plymouth Township, I believe district 43 is drawn well because Canton has a very diverse population. The current borders will allow voters to share their voices more than before. I know that the area in district 35 is less diverse, but it does represent the people who live in the area and I like that it includes both the city and township of Plymouth. Overall, I believe the district lines will give people of different parties and beliefs more of a voice than they might have had before. Changing the borders would be unnecessary and would take away some of that power

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Maddie Andrews

2021-11-04 13:44:54+00

This map does not listen to the voices of Midland. We should not be with Flint. Midland and Gladwin counties should stay together in any version of the Congressional and State Senate maps.

Birch V2

Dislike

Jenny Anway

2021-11-04 13:44:16+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	As a resident of Augusta Township who previously worked and lived in Ann Arbor for many years, I fear that my views will not be represented by being in the proposed District 16. I don't feel that I belong to the community that district encompasses.	Margo Czinski	2021-11-04 13:43:25+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map is a vast improvement on the last one, thank you for working to create a more fair and balanced representation of our voters.	Susan Westhoff	2021-11-04 13:42:56+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Midland and Gladwin counties should stay together in any version of the Congressional and State Senate maps.	Jenny Anway	2021-11-04 13:42:02+00

As a student living in Canton and going to Canton High (PCEP) and moving from Canton to Canton causing me to be in two different school districts was hard causing me to be on the fence of how Canton should not be districted. I went from diversity and culture to being some of the only Asian and Muslim people in my neighborhood. We know that Canton as a whole is extremely diverse (The 5 largest ethnic groups in Michigan are White (Non-Hispanic) (74.7%), Black or African American (Non-Hispanic) (13.5%), White (Hispanic) (3.56%), Asian (Non-Hispanic) (3.26%), and Two+ (Non-Hispanic) (2.64%). 10% of the households in Michigan speak a non-English language at home as their primary language.) But overall I think Canton should not be redistricted as it might hurt

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Sarah Islam

2021-11-04 13:38:39+00

Pine v5	Like	Take the Lansing, Grand Rapids, Flint, and Downriver alignments from this map, and the Detroit, Oakland, and Macomb alignments from V3, and I think you've got a fair map. Almost there, Commissioners! Keep up the good work.	Christopher Khorey	2021-11-04 13:38:08+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	The way you have redrawn Districts 27 and 29 strikes me as much fairer and more responsive to public comments. Thank you	Charles Bright	2021-11-04 13:37:17+00
Cherry V2	Like	I think this map is fair and balanced for our household. This map makes perfect sense to me. Thank you for your efforts.	Barbara J Hagan	2021-11-04 13:36:19+00
Cherry V2	Like		Kathleen M Singer	2021-11-04 13:34:02+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like	<p>As a resident of Canton, and student at PCEP, I agree with how the borders of Canton, District 43 are drawn out. Canton has a very diverse population, and the numbers reflect that. There could be some draw backs to changing the district, like decreasing the populations of other districts, or budget cuts for some schools. However, I believe that overall the district outlines the diversity, interest of most of the Canton community.</p>	Savana Gabor	2021-11-04 13:33:37+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like	<p>As a student of PCCS and a resident of Plymouth, I believe that the borders that are shown now are should be kept. There is a good amount of diversity in our schools and the Plymouth-Canton community. If we change the borders it would have a negative effect on some of the minorities in the community. If the borders are changed it would change district populations and make a mess of the borders that are doing fine now.</p>	Joey Pierson	2021-11-04 13:32:16+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		Good job with this new map -- fair and representative!	Lisa Marshall Bashert	2021-11-04 13:31:52+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for working to create a map that reduces partisanship and increases fair representation across districts. I think the maps for 14/15 do a good job on that front.	Amanda Shutko	2021-11-04 13:31:41+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I like and agree with the boundaries of district 43, and here is why. The boundaries and overall shape of the district are contiguous and compact. Also, the population within the district is fairly diverse. Additionally, the population is only about 130 off of the target population of the districts. All of these things combined show that the boundary of Canton is well-drawn, fair, and diverse. This leads me to believe that it does not really need to be changed.	Alex Uranga	2021-11-04 13:29:20+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		While not perfect (and nothing is) this redistricting is much better than the crazy, gerrymandered mess we have been dealing with for years... Thank you!	Jill Wagner	2021-11-04 13:29:04+00

Cherry V2	Like	I think this version of district 14 and 15 are well done. I think this will lead to a better over-all representation of our citizens.	Edward Diehl	2021-11-04 13:27:16+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thx for making maps more partisan fair.	Robert T King	2021-11-04 13:24:59+00
Cherry V2	Like	I like this newest configuration for Districts 14 and 15. They which better represent our communities of interest that run east-west. Thanks for all the commission's hard work to create fair maps!	Carol Westfall	2021-11-04 13:22:55+00

House Commissioners.

Midland and Gladwin counties should stay together in any version of the congressional and State Senate maps.

There are at least 3 major reasons: 1) I can see the dam repair and flood reduction efforts will be diminished if the City of Midland is fractured from Midland County and if Gladwin and Midland counties are NOT together; 2) The gerrymandering contemplated lines would cut off Mid-Michigan Health's main campus in Midland from the rest of the service territory which runs north and west through Gladwin, Gratiot, Clare and Isabella counties and 3)

Environmental benefits of flood reduction-reducing soil sediment and fertilizer runoff into the Saginaw Bay Watershed-would be

Chestnut

Dislike

jeopardized if Gladwin and

MARCIA BLACKSON

2021-11-04 13:16:43+00

While I appreciate the changes that have been made to make the districts more accurate there is still along way to go there are still many areas that are misrepresented here including areas that are two counties away. Why? What good does that serve in representing our local concerns? Being from Manchester which has become a commuter's burb of Ann Arbor is slumped in with those votes from Homer and Clarendon and Jerome?

Cherry V2

Opinion

Melissa Andersen

2021-11-04 13:06:59+00

I believe this map is fair and will create more diverse, competitive and non-partisan districts.

Cherry V2

Like

Emily Lieberman

2021-11-04 13:03:49+00

This map is the best out of the three. Speaking for District 2, West Michigan Lakeshore-Grand Rapids Area, it looks to keep the commonalities of the areas together.

Apple V2

Like

April Mcknight

2021-11-04 13:03:43+00

Cherry V2	Like	I think District 14/15 is very fair. I think there is much in common across the Districts especially between Ypsilanti/Ypsilanti Twp and the Jackson area. Great job!	Steven M Gray	2021-11-04 13:01:01+00
Cherry V2	Like	I like the split between 14 and 15 - this seems to take into account more partisan fairness than some of the previous versions.	Catherine Marshall	2021-11-04 13:00:30+00
Birch V2	Like	This makes more sense to me than the others as it seems to keep communities of interest together as far as I can tell. Keeping the Kent County communities together is important as their concerns are linked.	Ben Lemley	2021-11-04 12:59:02+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Terrible, splits Ottawa count and the lakeshore. Slap in the face to citizens of Ottawa county.	Jose C Gomez	2021-11-04 12:56:48+00

Apple V2	Dislike	<p>As a resident of Kent County I'm very disappointed that the commission has chosen to carve Grand Rapids out of the rest of the county. The issues facing Kent County and Grand Rapids are the same and inextricably linked. Any map that separates the state's second largest city from the communities immediately surrounding it is unacceptable.</p>	Ben Lemley	2021-11-04 12:56:21+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>The City of Midland and Flint should not be in the same Congressional district. None of your maps provide an option that addresses this. Your maps simply cater to Democrat demands. Independents and Republicans live in these areas too and deserve to be heard in this process. Stop ignoring us. A city of 40,000 has vastly different needs than a city of 100,000. Please change one of your 3 congressional maps to separate Midland from Flint.</p>	Christa Krohn	2021-11-04 12:55:36+00

Thank you for the breadth of representation in Districts 14 and 15. I'll be in District 14 and look forward to having a more regional viewpoint represented.

Cherry V2

Like

Vivienne Armentrout

2021-11-04 12:53:39+00

I am hopeful that this district allows me to be better represented. My current Rep. Whalberg has no care about his constituents because his district is so heavily gerrymandered. This seems more reasonable in size and scope

Cherry V2

Like

Megan Tyler

2021-11-04 12:53:20+00

This map looks pretty good. As someone who represents 1100 workers and retirees, most of whom live and work in either Jackson or Washtenaw counties, we feel this map will represent them at both their residence and place of employment. For far too long working families in Jackson have not gotten the respect we deserve because politicians never had to listen or earn the vote of a large portion of voters. This map changes that and ensures that all constitutes will be represented. Thank you and please get the House maps right!

Dexter is with Chesea, north Ann Arbor and Jackson in District 14. Good map. Terrible map, gerrymandering at its worst!

Cherry V2	Like	Ryan Husse	2021-11-04 12:53:07+00
Cherry V2	Like	Ali Galip Ulsoy	2021-11-04 12:52:30+00
Birch V2	Dislike	Jose C Gomez	2021-11-04 12:52:30+00

Cherry V2	Like	This district map is better, but would be better still if my area in webster township were included. My kids go to Dexter Schools and I work/live in A2. I am much more aligned with A2 Township than with Livingston County. I like the range of communities covered by my district. It looks like it will provide a good mix of perspectives; not one-sided.	Terri Voepel-Lewis	2021-11-04 12:50:18+00
Cherry V2	Like		Lee Katterman	2021-11-04 12:48:28+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	My small area below Joy Road and above Eastgate has split our community which belongs with Dexter/Ann Arbor and put us into the Brighton, Fowlerville, Livingston County area. This will invalidate my vote and voice.	Terri Voepel-Lewis	2021-11-04 12:48:20+00
Apple V2	Like	This map is good for Ottawa county and West Michigan.	Jose C Gomez	2021-11-04 12:47:17+00
Cherry V2	Like	Good map. The newly drawn 27 and 29 districts are fair and representational. Thank you for these improvements to the map, and thank you for listening.	Pamela Ann Raymond Ernst	2021-11-04 12:42:59+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like		Wendy Marder	2021-11-04 12:40:44+00

Cherry V2	Like	Much improved in Washtenaw Co! The two districts in which Ann Arbor is in look like they will represent a diverse set of voters.	Holger Elischberger	2021-11-04 12:38:51+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for putting Cascade where it belongs!	Christine Boltz	2021-11-04 12:37:17+00
Cherry V2	Like		Christy Mayo	2021-11-04 12:33:49+00
		Meh ... District 10 is not drawn terribly; but, not great either. Kudos for linking Troy + Rochester Hills together with Royal Oak, Clawson, etc. But - the ties that these communities have with cities out east (including St. Clair Shores) aren't particularly compelling, in my opinion. Troy and Rochester Hills are more strong tied to cities like Bloomfield Hills; or, even Farmington Hills and Novi. If there were any need to extend the boundaries of the district - it'd be better to extend westward, not eastward.		
Birch V2	Opinion	I like that District 22 does include the entire Whitmore Lake community across both counties. Thank you.	Thomas Mikulski	2021-11-04 12:33:03+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map presents a fair distribution of voters	Matthew Krichbaum	2021-11-04 12:32:42+00
Cherry V2	Like		James Hallock	2021-11-04 12:29:07+00

I really enjoy how District 11 on this map is drawn - it does a great job of linking communities that have strong cultural economic ties. It'd be wonderful if Rochester Hills could be pulled into the district, as well - tie with that city are also quite strong. But - I could understand leaving it as-is to meet requirements on district shape and size. Of the three proposed maps - this is my favorite one.

Chestnut

Like

Thomas Mikulski

2021-11-04 12:27:36+00

I really dislike the manner in which District 10 is drawn - it separates Troy and Rochester Hills from communities with which they have stronger economic and cultural ties, especially among the Asian and Indian communities. Troy should certainly be grouped with cities like Birmingham, Royal Oak, Clawson, etc. - I think there's a solid case that Rochester Hills should, as well. Steer clear of this map ...

Apple V2

Dislike

Thomas Mikulski

2021-11-04 12:22:18+00

Cherry V2	Like	As an Ann Arborite I really like this map. Its a very easy dividing line to understand. It seems to also give Ypsi decent representation and not completely overwhelmed by the A2 vote.	Benjamin Galicki	2021-11-04 12:21:31+00
Cherry V2	Like	I like this map, especially the split of Ann Arbor to create more diverse districts. Thanks for your consideration.	Gareth Rogers	2021-11-04 12:20:27+00
Cherry V2	Like	I really like this map and appreciate the efforts to keep communities of interest together in a nonpartisan way. Balanced and fair.	Sandra Finkel	2021-11-04 12:16:14+00
Cherry V2	Like	Many thanks to the commission for making the maps are fair so all of our votes count. It's good to be represented!	Kelly Kempter	2021-11-04 12:15:11+00
Cherry V2	Like	I live in the proposed district 15. The new map looks good and appears to be a fair representation of the people who live in these areas. Thank you for your work on this project.	Karen Zera	2021-11-04 12:12:04+00
Cherry V2	Like	Looks great!	J Vincent	2021-11-04 12:11:07+00

This does not look right. Why is a portion of District 22 sticking down into District 14. The area around Chelsea is missing a quarter of its school district. Then there is a square of District 14 placed northwest of Chelsea into another District (light green). District 14 extends too far to the west.

I thought there was a program that mathematically balanced population with geography. This looks like political "calculations" not science.

Cherry V2

Dislike

William Chtisten

2021-11-04 12:10:40+00

Love District 14 and 15. They emulate the intent of the Prop. A diverse pool of voters, parties, and opinions. This will ensure that all voices are heard. Great balance between rural and suburban. A politician will have to represent the WHOLE district to win this one.

Cherry V2

Like

CHARLES W BILLUPS

2021-11-04 12:10:35+00

I like this map. It will help the communities a lot. The commission's work is greatly appreciated.

Cherry V2

Like

Tariq Fanek

2021-11-04 12:10:25+00

Cherry V2	Like	Wow, this map is even better. Thanks so much for weighing all of the constitutional rules and listening to citizens. These districts respect communities of interest while balancing partisan fairness. Appreciate the commission's work to move toward a map where every vote counts.	Ed Saunders	2021-11-04 12:07:25+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you! This map is has done an excellent job of creating diverse pools of voters in districts 14 and 15. I am hopeful that this will lead to greater engagement/responsiveness from the politicians who represent these districts. This map goes a long way toward increasing fairness and reducing bias.	Mary Patek	2021-11-04 12:06:40+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for your hard work. Thank you for working to revise these maps and listening to our comments.	Julie Boland	2021-11-04 12:04:22+00
Cherry V2	Like	This one looks much better. I approve and it looks well-	Carrie A Rheingans	2021-11-04 12:04:22+00
Cherry V2	Like	balanced.	Susan Marie Craig	2021-11-04 12:04:14+00

Cherry V2	Like	I think you have done a good job and I support the Cherry V2 maps. Thank you for working so hard.	William Lockwood	2021-11-04 12:03:36+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for your hard work in developing this map! I appreciate including diverse communities along the I94 economic corridor to keep the district balanced and competitive.	Cameron Cochran	2021-11-04 12:03:14+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	This is a much fairer map, especially Districts 27 and 29. I appreciate all the work that has been done. Much much has been improved! Now what can we do about civility?	Jody Munson	2021-11-04 12:01:07+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for your hard and fair work. I feel represented!	Louise Gorenflo	2021-11-04 11:59:10+00
Birch V2	Like	Thank you for your work on this version 2 that keeps Bay City, Midland, Saginaw and Flint together. These cities share urban interests and the Tri Cities and Flint are a well established connected community, sharing many economic ties in the I-75 corridor. It is a fair, competitive map that allows for a low partisan index.	Barb Handley-Miller	2021-11-04 11:30:38+00

Districts 14/15 look comprehensive and fair. Regular folks, from all walks of life, with "regular folk's problems and concerns" live in these districts. Representing and speaking for All the constituents in these districts will advance the humanity of local politics. The commission has done, and is doing, some fine work.

Cherry V2

Like

Thanks so much.

Sarah Cleveland

2021-11-04 11:26:31+00

This map is a significant improvement over previous versions. In particular, Districts 27 and 29 as drawn appear to provide a more equitable, fair voting framework. I appreciate the Committee's work in responding to voters' concerns and developing this new map.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

Jeff Orringer

2021-11-04 11:19:22+00

I agree with the current borders of Canton, District 43. As a student who attends high school in Canton, I can attest to the diversity in the population of Canton, especially in the younger population. Looking at the number of eligible voters per ethnicity also displays the diversity in Canton. Changing the borders may negatively impact the diversity of our community, and may come out in the elections and voting processes. Also, the population of Canton is very similar to that of other Districts, which shows that the borders are drawn to evenly divide the population. Again, changing the borders risks skewing the populations of individual districts, which could bring unfairness in politics.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Jaya Choudhary

2021-11-04 11:18:54+00

10-29-21 SD v3	Like	Thank you for making the map more partisan-neutral. It is not perfect, but better than it was in the past. It is refreshing to see both parties working together instead of pointing fingers and becoming part of the solution and not part of the problem as in the past.	Charles G Guiberson	2021-11-04 10:28:54+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map appears to be well balanced. I approve! As a Western Washtenaw County resident, this district makes sense. The cities on the I94 economic corridor have been absorbed by the heavily gerrymandered rural districts for years. This configuration gives a much fairer shot at real representation to voters.	Donald H Locker	2021-11-04 10:12:57+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you. Splitting Ann Arbor to make things fair is a great idea. As a resident of Ann Arbor, I	Anne Adams	2021-11-04 10:05:22+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	heartily approve. Thanks.	Richard Stearns	2021-11-04 06:28:10+00

This map again looks like the very definition of gerrymandering. Carving out the City of Midland from the rest of Midland County to combine it with Flint makes absolutely no sense and is simply catering to Democrats. The City of Midland should not be in the same Congressional district as Flint. As someone who has lived and worked in both communities, these are two completely different communities with different interests. Separating Midland from the rest of the County divides the voice of the people across two separate districts when it comes to recovery from the flood. Midland County should be kept together.

Apple V2

Dislike

Aaron Majorana

2021-11-04 06:21:37+00

This map again looks like the very definition of gerrymandering. Carving out the City of Midland from the rest of Midland County to combine it with Flint makes absolutely no sense and is simply catering to Democrats. The City of Midland should not be in the same Congressional district as Flint. As someone who has lived and worked in both communities, these are two completely different communities with different interests. Separating Midland from the rest of the County divides the voice of the people across two separate districts when it comes to recovery from the flood. Midland County should be kept together.

Birch V2

Dislike

Aaron Majorana

2021-11-04 06:21:01+00

The City of Midland should not be in the same Congressional district as Flint. As someone who has lived and worked in both communities, these are two completely different communities with different interests. Midland County should be kept together.

Chestnut

Dislike

Aaron Majorana

2021-11-04 06:17:34+00

Please, commissioners. The City of Midland and Flint should not be in the same Congressional district. Anyone who lives in this area can tell you, these communities share little in common and deserve separate representation! My parents-in-law live in Genesee County and we drive almost an hour to visit them. It's a completely different community. Not one of your maps provide an option that addresses this!

Independents and Republicans live in these areas too and deserve to be heard in this process. Please, we are begging you - stop ignoring us. Please change one of your 3 congressional maps to separate Midland from Flint. I personally voted for this MIRC commission a few years ago and you are making me regret my decision. Please listen to the

voices of Independents and

Birch V2

Dislike

Jennifer Majorana

2021-11-04 06:02:22+00

Please, commissioners. The City of Midland and Flint should not be in the same Congressional district. Anyone who lives in this area can tell you, these communities share little in common and deserve separate representation! My parents-in-law live in Genesee County and we drive almost an hour to visit them. It's a completely different community. Not one of your maps provide an option that addresses this! Your maps simply cater to Democrat demands. Independents and Republicans live in these areas too and deserve to be heard in this process. Please, we are begging you - stop ignoring us. A city of 40,000 has vastly different needs than a city of 100,000. This Apple Map is particularly bad in the way it carves out Midland in a clearly gerrymandering way and separates it from the rest of

Apple V2

Dislike

Jennifer Majorana

2021-11-04 05:58:27+00

Please, commissioners. The City of Midland and Flint should not be in the same Congressional district. Anyone who lives in this area can tell you, these communities share little in common and deserve separate representation! My parents-in-law live in Genesee County and we drive almost an hour to visit them. It's a completely different community. Not one of your maps provide an option that addresses this! Your maps simply cater to Democrat demands. Independents and Republicans live in these areas too and deserve to be heard in this process. Please, we are begging you - stop ignoring us. A city of 40,000 has vastly different needs than a city of 100,000. Please change one of your 3 congressional maps to separate Midland from Flint. I personally voted for this MIRC commission a few years ago

Chestnut

Dislike

Jennifer Majorana

2021-11-04 05:55:39+00

Cherry V2

Dislike

I do not support the Cherry V2 map, as it splits Southfield and Lathrup Village from Oak Park. These 3 communities comprise contiguous African-American and Jewish COIs and this map unfairly separates our communities of interest. Judy Davis

2021-11-04 05:24:33+00

Out of all of the maps presented, the Chestnut is the most workable. Yet, we strongly advocate that more of the west side of Detroit and the city of Oak Park be included in this map. African American representation will be lessened with the Birch V2 and Apple V2 maps. We encourage you to continue to work to make the revisions to the maps within the confines of your VRA Consultant's comments which indicate that the commission can exceed 50 percent BVAP within a district. We also encourage you to continue to remember the COI relationships among the contiguous communities of Detroit, Southfield and Oak Park; and Southfield, Lathrup Village and Oak Park. Thank you!

Chestnut

Opinion

Judy Davis

2021-11-04 05:12:59+00

Cherry V2	Opinion	<p>These Ottawa County townships do not share a commonality of interest with the Grand Rapids community. They are rural and do not share the urban concerns and interests of the Grand Rapids area. They would be better served by joining them with the rest of Ottawa County. The Cannon and Algoma townships are a much better fit in terms of interests with the Grand Rapids area.</p> <p>I support this portion of the map very much. I think the pairing of part of Ann Arbor, Chelsea, and Jackson with Albion, Grass Lake, and some of the more rural areas in this district will demand politicians understand the concerns of two different (though connected) constituencies.</p>	Roger Rittenhouse	2021-11-04 04:33:58+00
Cherry V2	Like		Ryan	2021-11-04 04:29:47+00

Pine v4	Dislike	Keep East Grand Rapids away from Kentwood. Kentwood is diverse and belongs w/ Wyoming or Gaines like your previous map did. East Grand Rapids is white and well-to-do and belongs w/ Cascade and Ada. East Grand Rapids is also infamous as a sundown town hostile to minorities. Kentwood has a lot of minorities.	CJ Marshman	2021-11-04 04:28:32+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for adding Cascade township with the Grand Rapids airport to this district. The airport needed to be in a Grand Rapids district. I think this will be a very competitive district with different communities of interest. It is a thoughtfully drawn district!	Roger Rittenhouse	2021-11-04 04:27:57+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for this portion of the map that fairly captures a combination of diverse locations and communities of interest. It is a well-balanced and competitive district!	Ryan	2021-11-04 04:24:35+00
Cherry V2	Like			2021-11-04 04:22:02+00

Cherry V2	Opinion	Great map overall EXCEPT Kentwood and East Grand Rapids do not belong together. Maybe Cascade and East Grand Rapids could trade districts?	CJ Marshman	2021-11-04 04:17:16+00
Cherry V2	Like	This version of the map, though imperfect, is the best thus far. There is a better balance within districts so that candidates must work for all their constituents.	Lori Peterson	2021-11-04 04:07:01+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	As a student and resident of the Canton Area, I do believe that the borders are drawn well and should be kept as they currently are. The division of population is pretty evenly spread out throughout the state and Canton fits this pattern. The population of Canton is pretty diverse as of now and by changing the border the (almost) 35% of minorities that live in Canton may be negatively affected. The borders also contain the right amount of land to fit Canton's population, therefore it would be unnecessary to change them.	Anna Vega	2021-11-04 03:59:43+00

As a student and resident of the Canton Area, I do believe that the borders are drawn well and should be kept as they currently are. The division of population is pretty evenly spread out throughout the state and Canton fits this pattern. The population of Canton is pretty diverse as of now and by changing the border the (almost) 35% of minorities that live in Canton may be negatively affected. The borders also contain the right amount of land to fit Canton's population, therefore it would be unnecessary to change them.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Anna Vega

2021-11-04 03:58:32+00

I appreciate that this map groups Ypsilanti more with the other "suburbs" of Ann Arbor than with Ann Arbor itself. Ypsilanti's concerns often feel overshadowed when we're grouped with Ann Arbor.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

Emily Van Ark

2021-11-04 03:53:34+00

Pine v4	Dislike	I can not understand why you changed the Midland area and lowered the political fairness of this map. You can put Midland back with Bay City which will give you more room to work in the Detroit area. There seems to be a push to pacify the Republicans in Midland but that is not one of your criteria!	Molly Morrissey	2021-11-04 03:48:43+00
Pine v2	Dislike	I can not understand why you changed the Midland area and lowered the political fairness of this map. You can put Midland back with Bay City which will give you more room to work in the Detroit area. There seems to be a push to pacify the Republicans in Midland but that is not one of your criteria!	Molly Morrissey	2021-11-04 03:45:43+00

I believe, as a resident of this district, that no such change should be made. The population fits perfectly to the amount of land in the area. The borders also properly encompass our land. Changing the borders would mess up the population and ethnic spread of the region. Due to these various reasons, I don't think any major changes are needed to be made in this district.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Mihir Bharadwaj

2021-11-04 03:39:30+00

I can not understand why you changed the Midland area and lowered the political fairness of this map. You can put Midland back with Bay City which will give you more room to work in the Detroit area. There seems to be a push to pacify the Republicans in Midland but that is not one of your critical!

Pine v3

Dislike

Molly Morrissey

2021-11-04 03:35:43+00

I believe that the districting lines for district 43 are not done well compared to district 35. This is because while the population representation is about equal between the two districts, the land area is very different. In district 43, people are more densely populated and are more likely to be more similar with each other than if they were far apart due to interactions with each other, limiting the power of the individual voter in this district. While in district 35, it covers more land, and possibly more diversely thinking people, increasing the power of each voter because it makes it harder to get a majority of votes for that district.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Alexander Huey

2021-11-04 03:34:10+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

I support the revisions to Districts 27 and 29. This is a fairer, better map.

Roseanna Sommers

2021-11-04 03:24:13+00

Cherry V2

Like

I support this version of the map. It is much improved over previous versions and goes a long way to ensure fairness in representation.

GAY MACGREGOR

2021-11-04 03:17:34+00

Since the maps are copying/pasting the same unfortunate MI House district for the City of Midland, I am copying and pasting my comments about this unfortunate creation. This map #258 is disappointing for City of Midland mid-Michiganders, as it puts Midland & parts of Gladwin Counties together to create a safe Republican seat. It also lumps Bay City with Bay County for the same reasons. This approach does not improve partisan fairness for either the state of Michigan or for Bay City residents or the City of Midland residents. I know previously you were very sensibly putting rural areas with rural areas, and putting cities with cities. Why did you stop applying that very reasonable approach? I hope it is not appeasement of the Republicans to give them a safe seat but it appears that

Lynn Pottenger

Pine v4

Dislike

2021-11-04 03:14:29+00

This map #200 is disappointing for City of Midland mid-Michiganders, as it puts Midland & parts of Gladwin Counties together to create a safe Republican seat. It also lumps Bay City with Bay County for the same reasons. This approach does not improve partisan fairness for either the state of Michigan or for Bay City residents or the City of Midland residents. I know previously you were very sensibly putting rural areas with rural areas, and putting cities with cities. Why did you stop applying that very reasonable approach? I hope it is not appeasement of the Republicans to give them a safe seat but it appears that is the logic applied. Please follow your criteria, criteria which place partisan fairness considerably above adhering to county lines. You talked about giving us options for

Pine v2

Dislike

Lynn Pottenger

2021-11-04 03:08:40+00

As a student, I believe the borders of Canton's district 43 are drawn very well. They represent the diversity in ethnicity, race, and age very well. Secondly, the population of district 43 is roughly the same as the neighboring district 35 (Plymouth) at about ninety thousand. Lastly, the district is very politically fair, doesn't not favor one political party, and does not use unfair political tactics such as gerrymandering. Therefore, the borders of District 43 and well drawn.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Rahul Balakrishnan

2021-11-04 03:07:38+00

According to census.gov, Michigan had a total population of 10,077,331 people in 2020, and as of now, Michigan has 110 districts. This means that on average, there should be around 91,613 people in each district. According to worldpopulationreview.com, Canton had a population of 95,202 in 2020. If the average district population should be around 91,613 people, then Canton meets (and even exceeds) these requirements. This means that Canton could and can stay the way it is, but a small portion of it could also split off and join another district with a population lesser than 91,613.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Anonymous

2021-11-04 03:04:51+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

I support this now. Thanks for listening.

Karen Holman

2021-11-04 02:59:09+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

I believe the district boundaries are fair and should stay as it is because the population is quite high and the diversity is very good, and also shows how bog our community is.

Angela Bolivar

2021-11-04 02:58:49+00

Pine v3	Dislike	<p>Terrible gerrymandered map. The Soviets had elections too but the people were going to get the same leadership because the election process was rigged. With maps like these, the pretense of elections should end and the democrats should be granted permanent control.</p>	merlin steffes	2021-11-04 02:46:50+00
Pine v4	Dislike	<p>Terrible gerrymandered map. The Soviets had elections too but the people were going to get the same leadership because the election process was rigged. With maps like these, the pretense of elections should end and the democrats should be granted permanent control.</p>	merlin steffes	2021-11-04 02:44:49+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	<p>I like this latest map, it looks fair.</p>	Thomas A McKarns	2021-11-04 02:40:33+00

As noted on this map, there have been numerous comments regarding the state house map for Pontiac that have consistently been disregarded by the commission. Please listen to our thoughts regarding COIs surrounding Pontiac and include us with Auburn Hills. Another area to think about is that Waterford as a whole is a Township versus Pontiac which is a city - therefore, the needs are also extremely different and would be hard to address for a representative. I urge you all to please listen to us regarding this change.

Pine v3	Dislike	Scott Stewart	2021-11-04 02:38:28+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		Kathie Weinmann	2021-11-04 02:38:13+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		Jacqueline Madison	2021-11-04 02:38:09+00

As noted on this map, there have been numerous comments regarding the state house map for Pontiac that have consistently been disregarded by the commission. Please listen to our thoughts regarding COIs surrounding Pontiac and include us with Auburn Hills. Another area to think about is that Waterford as a whole is a Township versus Pontiac which is a city - therefore, the needs are also extremely different and would be hard to address for a representative. I urge you all to please listen to us regarding this change.

Pine v2

Dislike

Scott Stewart

2021-11-04 02:38:08+00

Cherry V2

Like

I support this iteration of the redistricting map from my perspective near Bridgewater. Tamsin Wotton

2021-11-04 02:37:13+00

As noted on this map, there have been numerous comments regarding the state house map for Pontiac that have consistently been disregarded by the commission. Please listen to our thoughts regarding COIs surrounding Pontiac and include us with Auburn Hills. Another area to think about is that Waterford as a whole is a Township versus Pontiac which is a city - therefore, the needs are also extremely different and would be hard to address for a representative. I urge you all to please listen to us regarding this change.

Pine v4

Dislike

Scott Stewart

2021-11-04 02:37:13+00

Despite my repeated requests that keeping Ottawa County in one piece should not be a major consideration, I must reluctantly agree that this map is probably the most natural way to concentrate minority voters to a degree that gives them a chance to elect a representative of their own. Thank you for your efforts.

Apple V2

Opinion

David Barnosky

2021-11-04 02:36:54+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>I'm really not seeing the logic of district 15. It snakes over 4 counties and connects communities that have very little in common or connections. I kind of see some logic on 14 in that it follows the I94 corridor, but 15 feels a lot like the bizarre, out-of-touch districts we're trying to get away from.</p> <p>I think that the drawn district lines for Canton are good. The district is drawn in a reasonable shape and size compared to other districts in the area and includes a good amount of populated cities and towns to keep a somewhat even ratio between it and its surrounding districts like</p>	Alexander McKay	2021-11-04 02:32:34+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>Plymouth.</p>	Ayla Green	2021-11-04 02:30:35+00

The City of Midland and Flint should not be in the same Congressional district. None of your maps provide an option that addresses this. Your maps simply cater to Democrat demands. Independents and Republicans live in these areas too and deserve to be heard in this process. Stop ignoring us. A city of 40,000 has vastly different needs than a city of 100,000. Please change one of your 3 congressional maps to separate Midland from Flint.

Birch V2

Dislike

Brent Rhoads

2021-11-04 02:27:58+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like	<p>I personally believe the boundaries of District 43 are well drawn. Canton is very diverse and different ethnicity groups are well represented in voting. Out of 91,000 people in District 43, 65.21% of the population is white and the other 34.79% are African-Americans, Native Americans, Pacific Islanders, and Asians. Additionally, District 43 is not distorted in any way, which makes voting fair and well represented. Therefore, I believe the boundaries of District 43 should remain the same.</p>	Nikhil Akkala	2021-11-04 02:27:21+00
Chestnut Like	<p>So very close. This map is consistent with what I asked, I just wish I was on the other side of the closest East West line.</p>	David Barnosky	2021-11-04 02:26:39+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	<p>Thanks for all work on the district maps. I like the new map. I think it is good to mix different areas together which will require candidates who appeal to a wider range of constituents.</p>	Edward Diehl	2021-11-04 02:24:51+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		I like the fact that we are in District 29 together with Jackson. It is a good distribution of different types of voters of different races etc. and the shape of the maps look very appropriate.	Cilla Tomas	2021-11-04 02:24:30+00
Chestnut	Dislike	You are gerrymandering for Democrats under the guise of partisan fairness.	Brent Rhoads	2021-11-04 02:23:07+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		27 and 29 appear to be fair districts, I support this	Sheldon Markel	2021-11-04 02:14:12+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		Thank you for this split of District 29 and 27. I am a voter in District 29 and this split makes a lot more sense to me than other versions. It seems to take into account partisan fairness more, which is really important to me.	Catherine Marshall	2021-11-04 02:11:23+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like		I think that map redistricting is good for Michigan. This is because the districts have different shapes and sizes that don't break up the towns and population equally. It also helps to not give an advantage for one party to have power over another party.	Anwita Poluru	2021-11-04 02:10:28+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	<p>â€œMany Thanks â€œfor your work on these redistricted maps. I approve of the newly drawn balanced districts 27 &amp;29. I agree with the lines that have been drawn out in this new redistricting plan for Canton because of the diversity it creates compared to other districts in order to make voting fair and represent many different groups beliefs. As someone who lives in Plymouth township I like that in redistricting it is still included with Plymouth City. While Plymouth is much less diverse compared to Canton it seems to be around the average diversity of other communities close to us (besides Canton of course). The lines that have been drawn are easy to follow and not misshapen which I think makes it a much easier system to navigate. Overall I think this new redistricting plan that has been drawn out so far is a success.</p>	Spring Jackson	2021-11-04 02:09:05+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like		Lily Gumber	2021-11-04 02:08:41+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		29 (and 27) appear to be fair districts, given partisan fairness.	Jeff Gaynor	2021-11-04 02:06:31+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion		I believe the district boundary #35 is reasonable, but could be improved by dropping Northville Township and Livonia and adding more of Canton to better reflect what local voters are passionate about - local education issues. The current border for district 43 is reasonable and not subject to change. The deviation of the population is -132 (-0.14%) which is a reasonable gap. The population of district 43 is extremely diverse and well-represented racially. District 43's boundaries are compact and represent the	James Walewski	2021-11-04 02:02:43+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like		community's interests well.	Nanki Bains	2021-11-04 02:00:06+00
Cherry V2	Like	I am happy to see districts 14 and 15 drawn in such a way that each district has a mixed population of urban and rural voters. This will force politicians to represent the interests of economically and geographically different population. Bravo!	Daniela A. Wittmann	2021-11-04 01:59:04+00

This is drastically better than previous versions. There is no perfect way to do this. But this map to me seems as fair as any that could be created. Districts should be contiguous and not crazy shapes. Splitting cities like Ann Arbor is no different than splitting up rural counties. They both likely divide groups of citizens that have similar concerns and needs. But every division will do that to some extent. Again, this map is way better and seems to me much more fair.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

Ryan Waddington

2021-11-04 01:58:26+00

This map continues to dismiss the comments from Midland community members that verify our Community of Interest is more aligned with Gladwin. Even the Federal Government just awarded \$54mm to the "Midland, Gladwin Region" for flood mitigation and recovery. We are one region and need our voices heard. The consistent rhetoric from political activists trying to gerrymander our county needs to be dismissed. Keep Midland and Gladwin whole.

Apple V2

Dislike

Chris Moultrup

2021-11-04 01:58:20+00

This map continues to dismiss the comments from Midland community members that verify our Community of Interest is more aligned with Gladwin. Even the Federal Government just awarded \$54mm to the "Midland, Gladwin Region" for flood mitigation and recovery. We are one region and need our voices heard. The consistent rhetoric from political activists trying to gerrymander our county needs to be dismissed. Keep Midland and Gladwin

Birch V2

Dislike

Counties whole.  
I feel district 35 is fair from a demographic standpoint as the demographics across those communities (Plymouth/Plymouth twsp/Northville twsp/Western Livonia) are reasonably similar and it is a contiguous geography. however, from a community standpoint, particularly school districts, the boundaries could reflect more local minded issues.

Chris Moultrup

2021-11-04 01:57:30+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Susan Sage

2021-11-04 01:57:19+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are two distinct and separate districts and belief systems. They do NOT and should NOT be lumped together.	renee hill	2021-11-04 01:56:11+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for creating more balanced districts!	Angela Olson	2021-11-04 01:56:00+00
Chestnut	Dislike	This map continues to dismiss the comments from Midland community members that verify our Community of Interest is more aligned with Gladwin. Even the Federal Government just awarded \$54mm to the "Midland, Gladwin Region" for flood mitigation and recovery. We are one region and need our voices heard. The consistent rhetoric from political activists trying to gerrymander our county needs to be dismissed. Keep Midland and Gladwin whole.	Chris Moultrup	2021-11-04 01:55:26+00

Over half of our community voices have been completely dismissed â€” please give Midland a congressional district that puts Midland back together and in a separate district from Flint. Our communities are vastly different. Why is there no alternative map that provides this option for the publicâ€™s consideration? Read this Detroit News article to see a great example of how unified voices and representation helps our community: â€”Feds award Midland, Gladwin region \$54M to aid in flood recovery, mitigationâ€™. <https://www.detroitnews.com/story/news/local/michigan/2021/11/01/midland-gladwin-region-awarded-54m-aid-flood-recovery-mitigation/6231480001/>. After you read it, then tell me that Midland and Gladwin are not a Community of Interest!

Apple V2

Dislike

Cathy Leikhim

2021-11-04 01:55:01+00

This map is an improvement over the other maps that did not include any of the townships of Midland County. I like that the Tri-Cities and Flint are in the same district so that their many shared interests will be front and center for the person elected from this district. Also, this map creates a competitive district with near zero political bias. That is so important and the reason this commission was created. Thank you for this map and for your hard work!

Chestnut

Like

Cassandra M Foley

2021-11-04 01:51:00+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion	<p>The proposed district 35 appears to provide better population distribution by placing growth areas of Northville in proposed district 110 and keeping proposed district 43 more contiguous with all of Canton. However, the proposed district 35 seems to include 3 separate school districts of Plymouth/Canton, Northville, and Livonia. This seems to dilute our ability to better represent Plymouth/Canton Schools.</p>	Louis Brugman	2021-11-04 01:46:05+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	<p>I can't imagine how hard this is. Thank you for doing it. This district looks much more aligned with how I (and other Chelsea-ites) live our life. It isn't perfect because that can't be done but it is definitely an improvement.</p>	Jacquelyn Bullerman	2021-11-04 01:45:02+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	<p>Thank you. I support the newly drawn districts 27 and 29. Thank you for your hard work. A much-improved district 27 as well as 29. Thanks for your consideration.</p>	Beverly McKinney	2021-11-04 01:44:38+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		Gareth A Rogers	2021-11-04 01:43:07+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	Good job. Seems to be fairer	Anne Rubin	2021-11-04 01:41:40+00

Over half of our community voices have been completely dismissed â€” please give Midland a congressional district that puts Midland back together and in a separate district from Flint. Our communities are vastly different. Why is there no alternative map that provides this option for the publicâ€™s consideration? Read this Detroit News article to see a great example of how unified voices and representation helps our community: â€”Feds award Midland, Gladwin region \$54M to aid in flood recovery, mitigationâ€™. <https://www.detroitnews.com/story/news/local/michigan/2021/11/01/midland-gladwin-region-awarded-54m-aid-flood-recovery-mitigation/6231480001/>. After you read it, then tell me that Midland and Gladwin are not a Community of Interest!

Birch V2

Dislike

Cathy Leikhim

2021-11-04 01:37:51+00

I think that the divide of the population is pretty fair between Plymouth and Canton. Canton has a higher population than Plymouth does, so Plymouth brings in part of the highly populated Livonia to balance that out. All in all, the districts' populations are pretty even, and the deviation rate isn't very high.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Lucas Amos

2021-11-04 01:36:34+00

Over half of our community voices have been completely dismissed â€” please give Midland a congressional district that puts Midland back together and in a separate district from Flint. Our communities are vastly different. Why is there no alternative map that provides this option for the publicâ€™s consideration? Read this Detroit News article to see a great example of how unified voices and representation helps our community: â€”Feds award Midland, Gladwin region \$54M to aid in flood recovery, mitigationâ€™.

<https://www.detroitnews.com/story/news/local/michigan/2021/11/01/midland-gladwin-region-awarded-54m-aid-flood-recovery-mitigation/6231480001/>.

After you read it, then tell me that Midland and Gladwin are not a Community of Interest!

Chestnut

Dislike

Cathy Leikhim

2021-11-04 01:34:13+00

Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for recognizing the importance of unpacking Ann Arbor in order to have more balanced (fair) State Senate districts. These districts will ensure that candidates must fight for every vote - and therefore work to represent every voter - in the district, rather than the hyper-partisan representation we have had.	Jennifer Fairfield	2021-11-04 01:27:52+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	I support districts 27 and 29. Thank you for all the great work on the redistricting and drawing fair maps. These are a better representation of our population and voters.	Helene S Gidley	2021-11-04 01:20:59+00
Pine v4	Dislike	Not sure how I got a green pin on my other comment. Don't this Midland County district.	Allison M Wilcox	2021-11-04 01:20:56+00

Pine v3	Dislike	<p>I am writing to ask that you keep Midland and Bay City in the same State house district. There are many commonalities between the two cities, a manufacturing base and school athletic teams among them. Many more things are common between the two cities verses the rural areas you have included. Your maps reapportioned them together in the Pine map and for the State Senate/and Congressional maps so why are the communities of interest not as important for the State House? Please reconsider so the people of these cities can be represented fairly.</p>	Linda Weaver	2021-11-04 01:20:23+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	<p>Thank you for all your hard work. This new districting map is a vast improvement from the prior map. I support these new Districts 27 and 29, and I thank you for your hard work on reaching a fair solution for our citizens. This is a rational way of partition the voters near Jackson and Ann Arbor in SE Michigan.</p>	Carol Jackson	2021-11-04 01:15:23+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	<p></p>	David Fry	2021-11-04 01:13:36+00

I am a Salem High School Student living in Plymouth Township. Although the Plymouth district is not as diverse as Canton, I think the lines drawn seem to be relatively fair. The total populations are very similar and the lines of the Plymouth district are contiguous. Canton is more diverse and therefore, gives those voters more representation, as opposed to if it was combined with the less diverse population of Plymouth. It also seems to include both the city of Plymouth and Plymouth Township, along with western portions of Livonia. These boundaries are logically shaped and are not too convoluted. This looks far better, fairer, and far less partisan than the current district map. Thank you.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Frances Walewski

2021-11-04 01:10:27+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

Richard Burney

2021-11-04 01:09:25+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		<p>These District 27 &amp; 29 maps are a very big improvement and should create an opportunity for either party to win the district. As an independent that is important to me and this will do it!</p> <p>Thanks to the Commission for improving the partisan fairness of the map by "unpacking" Washtenaw County!</p>	Gregg Andrew Hartsuff	2021-11-04 01:08:00+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		<p>I dont understand how you can break up Monroe County into 4 house districts - the southern district probably works fine - so why not combine the northern portions into a single house district as it is now? It makes much more sense as a community of interest. For example Carleton has nothing in common with the City of</p>	Susan Orringer	2021-11-04 01:07:40+00
Pine v4	Dislike	<p>Taylor!</p> <p>I think having competitive Senate districts is more important than stretching them. This change to 27 and 29 is an improvement in my</p>	Thomas Heck	2021-11-04 01:06:35+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		<p>book.</p>	Gus Teschke	2021-11-04 01:01:06+00

Cherry V2	Like	This proposal is good for district 16 - keeping primarily Lenawee and Monroe Counties together as a community of interest. On the whole this map has shaped up well. However, for the second time now - Ottawa county rural farmlands shouldn't be grouped in with the West	Thomas Heck	2021-11-04 01:01:03+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	Grand Rapids district.	Richard Paas	2021-11-04 00:55:50+00

I believe the borders of the district of Canton are drawn well. With a population of 91,000, and deviation of only 0.14%, I feel that every person in the district is equally represented in this map. Additionally, Canton has a very diverse population, with many racial and ethnic groups. 65.21% of the population is white, leaving roughly 35% of Asian, Black, Hispanic or Native American cultures represented. I believe that this map does a very good job of showing many groups of interest, and trying not to leave any out. Finally, I believe that the borders of the district are well drawn due to their straightforward and clear lines, without branches. It makes for a very clean cut district.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Anaka Kotha

2021-11-04 00:55:07+00

Chestnut

Dislike

This makes no sense ! Novi belongs with its Oakland county community !

Marian Mahoney

2021-11-04 00:54:06+00

The district 27/28 maps make sense for me. I live in western Washtenaw County and I spend as much time in Chelsea, Grass Lake and parts farther west as I do in Ann Arbor for shopping, recreation, healthcare providers, hospital (given the option use the Chelsea Hospital rather than Ann Arbor facilities) my critters' veterinarian and boarding, etc., clearly a "community of interest/lifestyle" by this rather nebulous criteria. I think this is a fairly common situation for many of us in western Washtenaw county. Ann Arbor and the University are great, but there are many fine things we enjoy in the Ann Arbor / Jackson corridor...

That said, I believe that the Community of Interest criteria is impossible to quantitatively measure and is therefore inappropriate for an

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

Chris Justice

2021-11-04 00:51:19+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like I think that the district of Canton should not change because it is very well represented in terms of diversity and population. Populations between Canton and other districts are very similar, and there are a variety of different races and people, which means many different opinions from many different people. Lauren Franceschi 2021-11-04 00:51:04+00

Chestnut Opinion The Chestnut map is better than the Apple and Birch maps that will disfranchise African Americans. I wish that more of Detroit was included in District 12, which will provide a great chance of a candidate that will represent African American interests. Also, I would recommend added Oak Park to the map. D. Atara 2021-11-04 00:50:22+00

This map is terrible. It slices and dices Ottawa County, one of the fastest growing counties in the state into three sections! The little finger that reaches up to grab Allendale Township for District 4 is ridiculous! And then to include it with Kalamazoo! The lakeshore counties would be better served kept together. Please disregard this map. Ottawa county is being made a victim to Democrats in this map and dividing the Republican voice of our county into three sections in an effort to dilute Ottawa's unified communities.

Birch V2

Dislike

Marie Karsemeyer

2021-11-04 00:49:52+00

I really appreciate how this map represents Jackson and the I-94 corridor. Great option for elevating partisan fairness across Michigan!

Cherry V2

Like

Carrie Grant

2021-11-04 00:47:49+00

I believe the borders of the district of Canton are drawn well. With a population of 91,000, and deviation of only 0.14%, I feel that every person in the district is equally represented and that there is a very small gap in population. Additionally, there is a wide range of races and ethnicities being represented in Canton. With 65% of the population being white, and the other 35% being of races such as Black, Hispanic, Asian and Native American, I believe that most racial groups are represented fairly in this district map. It does not appear that any group of interest is left out. Finally, the borders of the district are quite neat and straight, with not many branches, which makes for a straightforward and comprehensive district map.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Anaka Kotha

2021-11-04 00:45:50+00

Apple V2	Like	<p>Of the three maps, this one has the best drawn lines for West Michigan. It does a good job of keeping county lines in tact and it groups the lakeshore counties with each other instead of dividing them amongst inland cities. This map will give the best representation to the West Michigan communities in Congress.</p>	Marie Karsemeyer	2021-11-04 00:42:53+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>Although I can see the reasoning behind proposed districts 35 and 43, I'm concerned about diluting the Plymouth Canton Community Schools vote. PCCS is funded at a lower rate than surrounding districts. A combined Plymouth-Canton voting bloc could elect a representative who would advocate for more equitable school funding statewide.</p>	Lori Brugman	2021-11-04 00:42:08+00

I'm just going to copy my comments from the other maps, since you are copying the Midland County district that we don't want! I don't like the district that you have drawn here for Midland. Please consider putting back the Bay City - Midland district that you had on the original Pine map on at least a few of the state house maps that you put forward. You can improve the districts in the Detroit area and change to a Midland-Bay City district, with Midland county in a different district, to improve the partisan fairness of the overall map.

Pine v4

Like

Allison M Wilcox

2021-11-04 00:40:41+00

I don't like the district that you have drawn here for Midland. Please consider putting back the Bay City - Midland district that you had on the original Pine map on at least a few of the state house maps that you put forward. You can improve the districts in the Detroit area and change to a Midland-Bay City district, with Midland county in a different district, to improve the partisan fairness of the overall map.

Pine v2

Dislike

Allison M Wilcox

2021-11-04 00:39:20+00

The boundaries of District 43 (Canton) are well placed. The population is roughly 91,000, which is very similar to the other districts. Canton's population is also extremely diverse with a wide range of minority groups and religious groups whom are all equally represented in voting. The district is also a fairly shaped well, and does not distort into any strange shapes that may cause difficulty for anyone living within it. Voting will be fair and well represented, as will the school systems. The only issue visible is the Plymouth-Canton border dividing the school system into separate districts making it more difficult to discern the populus, not exactly sure if there is a solution to that, but it is a minor inconvenience.

Ishq Patel

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

2021-11-04 00:37:16+00

This map makes no sense for how West Michigan is divided. The awkward shape of trying to include Grand Rapids through Ottawa County and then carving out Muskegon all in one district is mind boggling. It could best be described as a clear attempt to gerrymander West Michigan. Furthermore, Ottawa county is further divided to be included with Kalamazoo and Battle Creek. Ottawa County is very different than Kalamazoo and Battle Creek and the residents of that county will lose their voice to those residents in the cities. This is a very clear attempt of Democrats trying to squash the voices of Republicans.

Chestnut

Dislike

Marie Karsemeyer

2021-11-04 00:37:07+00

I don't like the district that you have drawn here for Midland. Please consider putting back the Bay City - Midland district that you had on the Pine map on at least a few of the state house maps that you put forward. You can improve the districts in the Detroit area and change to a Midland-Bay City district, with Midland county in a different district to improve the partisan fairness of the overall map. Making competitive districts in Mid-Michigan is really important!

Pine v3

Dislike

Allison M Wilcox

2021-11-04 00:37:04+00

As a current student at Salem High School who resides in Canton Michigan, I believe that district boundaries are reasonable but not necessary. Our district is similar in population to other districts and has a diverse community. In addition, I believe that making modifications is not needed since our community is already diverse. The minorities in District 43 make up almost 40% of the population, which is much more compared to some districts in Michigan. In the end, I believe that the boundary isn't needed, but it is reasonable and makes sense.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Zaynab Junaid

2021-11-04 00:36:03+00

I am a Canton student, who currently resides in Plymouth. I have seen the cultural, ethnic, and racial diversity that Pcep has formed. This being said, the city of Plymouth itself is not incredibly diverse. My home town is Ypsilanti, zone 67 I believe. Ypsilanti has many more POC than Plymouth. While redistricting Plymouth may be beneficial for reasons down the line, I do not believe that it would help diversify the town itself. Thankfully the large campus gives diversity to the growing population.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Madeleine White

2021-11-04 00:35:18+00

I personally believe that the boundaries of Canton, district 43, are drawn well. Out of the population of around 91,000 people, 65.21% of that population is white and the other 35.79% are African-Americans, Native Americans, Pacific Islanders, and Asians. The voting population numbers are very close to that being 66.47% voters being white and the other 33.53% are of the other heritages mentioned earlier. As of these numbers, Canton is very diverse and the people of different groups and communities can be represented well. Additionally, the deviation is only -0.14% which compared to its neighbors, who have similar populations, is very low. Overall, I believe that boundaries of Canton should be kept the same.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Sumanyu Kotala

2021-11-04 00:33:16+00

I want to thank the Commission for developing this map which reflects much greater partisan fairness by "unpacking" Washtenaw County.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

Mark Orringer

2021-11-04 00:32:39+00

Cherry V2	Like	this map forces the major political parties to be responsive to their voters, and will help reduce the "us vs. them" attitude in Lansing.	dirk mayhew	2021-11-04 00:28:20+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map isn't perfect -- I still don't like that what is shown as District 8 goes into Detroit - - but it's not as bad as the House map or a couple of the Congressional options.	Sharon Baseman	2021-11-04 00:08:28+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	I believe that the boundaries that Canton has settled right now do not need to change at all since I would consider them to be extremely fair and reasonable. The population of Canton is very similar in number to the other districts in Michigan. I also think that there is a variety of different religion and races that are represented in the Canton district and there is equal proportion throughout Canton.	Sarah Dabaja	2021-11-04 00:07:33+00
Chestnut	Dislike	Southfield, Lathrup Village, Beverly Hills and Franklin should be kept with Oakland County, not cut out and put with Wayne County.	Sharon Baseman	2021-11-04 00:04:27+00

This map #200 is disappointing for City of Midland mid-Michiganders, as it lumps Midland & Gladwin Counties together to create a safe Republican seat. It also lumps Bay City with Bay County for the same reasons. This does not improve partisan fairness for either the state of Michigan or for Bay City or City of Midland residents. I know previously you were very sensibly putting rural areas with rural areas, and putting cities with cities. Why did you stop applying that very reasonable approach? I hope it is not appeasement of the Republicans to give them a safe seat but it appears that is the logic applied. Please follow your criteria, criteria which place partisan fairness considerably above adhering to county lines. You talked about giving us options for these maps destined for the

Pine v3

Dislike

Lynn Pottenger

2021-11-04 00:04:03+00

I think the boundaries of district 43 at the moment are good and do not need to be redrawn. The target population is only 132 (0.14%) more than the population of the district currently, which is very close, and a very reasonable gap. There also is a wide range of ethnicities that are represented (34.79% being Non-White), and so this most likely leads to a good range of parties, and doesn't lead to a majority of one party making most decisions. It also has a good, geometrical shape with few arms, so I think the current boundary of district 43 is perfectly suitable.

Of the three maps now posted, I like this one the best for what is District 11 on this map. It does a good job of keeping most of the SE part of Oakland County together, as it should be.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Maria Balow

2021-11-04 00:02:12+00

Apple V2

Like

Sharon Baseman

2021-11-04 00:01:27+00

As a resident of Plymouth and a student at Plymouth High School, I believe that the district boundaries for Plymouth, district 35, is fair. I first believe that the boundaries themselves represent where the population of Plymouth is located, in both the city and township. I also believe that the population compares very well to those surrounding it, with Plymouth at 90618, Canton(district 43) at 9148, and Northville(district 110) at 91612. Plymouth also gets a great voter population at 74639. While the white people make up almost 89% of the population, I feel that that is average looking at other districts and Canton, which is very diverse, right next door. I still find all races are represented in Plymouth. Overall, I think the boundaries of district 35 are drawn well. This district reflects the interests of the communities it will serve.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Leena Saraswat

2021-11-03 23:59:19+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

William Wiitala

2021-11-03 23:56:44+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

Thank you for the very positive changes to the map for districts 27 and 29. Balance forces us to meet in the middle! That's where we want to be. considering the different factors going into the redistricting, I think that the boundaries in place already for District 43 are fine how they are. Population wise, the district has a very similar population to the other districts. The diversity is definitely a point of problem that could be seen as a reason for change, and I understand that. I think you would have to consider, though, that Michigan as a whole has a pretty fair diversity. The percentage gaps between each group can be seen in most other districts as well, so it is difficult to make the diversity more equal. Regarding other things, the schooling system for the district already works well and the different amenities for the citizens are all available in the already drawn district. I believe this is why the boundaries are good how

Howard N Hughes

2021-11-03 23:55:50+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Anuya Shetty

2021-11-03 23:55:13+00

Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for creating a much more balanced and fair district!	Elizabeth Nightingale	2021-11-03 23:54:15+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	love it	Thomas Cornfield, PhD	2021-11-03 23:49:57+00
		Iâ€™m not sure why the original Pine map was changed. It was a very competitive district that either party would have been able to win. With the revisions, Auburn and Williams Townships are now in a totally different district than either Midland or Bay City, the two areas with which we are most closely connected. It almost seems like someone has a vested interest in keeping Midland county a safe Republican seat. Please reconsider, and keep the original Pine map.		
Pine v3	Dislike	love it	Lisa Gano	2021-11-03 23:48:21+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like		Thomas Cornfield, PhD	2021-11-03 23:47:23+00
		My thanks to the commission for your work on the new map. I know that this is a substantial volume of work and that the results will never please everyone. Your product is clearly superior to the maps of old. Well done.	Todd L Austin	2021-11-03 23:40:09+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like			

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like      The way that Districts 27 and 29 are drawn is a big step in the right direction toward competitive, fair maps.      Jason Withrow      2021-11-03 23:39:54+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like      All things considered I truly believe the canton district in where I stay is fine the way it is right now, the population isn't as diverse as i'd like it to be, but considering Michigan as a whole and even going as far as the whole country, the diversity is fair. Geological wise the redistricting is mildly impressive, the way they have schools everywhere for kids off all ages and even transportation for those schools, it's awe-inspiring, I also believe the boundaries and geography lines between districts should be kept the same, voting too. The voting has been fair and just, espec      donovan Gomez      2021-11-03 23:38:33+00

I agree with the boundaries of District 43 (Canton). For one, the deviation is only -0.14%, which is much better than the -0.63% and -0.62% deviations present in Districts 42 and 44 respectively. It is also relatively diverse, having about 65.21% of its population being white and the other 34.79% consisting of minority groups including people of African-American, Native American, Asian, and Pacific Islander heritage. Because of this, the population of eligible voters is also very diverse. This district is also shaped well, including the city of Canton without being contorted or shaped strangely to serve a political agenda. All in all, I agree with the boundaries of District 43 and believe they are very well-defined.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Shlok Masurkar

2021-11-03 23:38:28+00

why have you not corrected districts 38 & 29 after numerous, numerous comments from people from each of these affected communities? Somehow this area doesn't count? The original change occurred because a Commissioner thought the shapes looked funny (seriously? look at the map!) and another Commissioner did not want his community placed in the Districts. The correction can be accomplished without affecting VRA, population, COI or partisan fairness metrics (put it back the way it originally was). Pontiac should be in a district with Auburn Hills, Sylvan Lake or Bloomfield. Lake Orion should be with Oxford, Waterford should be kept whole. It is pretty ironic that the MDOS put a very nice interview with a Pontiac resident on the MICRC

Pine v4

Dislike

Lisa

2021-11-03 23:38:10+00

Leave the city of Midland with  
Midland county and our  
watershed partners of  
Gladwin and Isabella  
Counties! This is  
gerrymandering by cherry  
picking just the city of  
Midland!

Apple V2

Dislike

jane scott

2021-11-03 23:35:05+00

why have you not corrected districts 38 & 29 after numerous, numerous comments from people from each of these affected communities? Somehow this area doesn't count? The original change occurred because a Commissioner thought the shapes looked funny (seriously? look at the map!) and another Commissioner did not want his community placed in the Districts. The correction can be accomplished without affecting VRA, population, COI or partisan fairness metrics (put it back the way it originally was). Pontiac should be in a district with Auburn Hills, Sylvan Lake or Bloomfield. Lake Orion should be with Oxford, Waterford should be kept whole. It is pretty ironic that the MDOS put a very nice interview with a Pontiac resident on the MICRC

Pine v2

Dislike

Lisa

2021-11-03 23:34:35+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>As a student attending PCEP, I believe the boundaries of district 43 are reasonable. The population of Canton is roughly 91,000 people, which is around the same population as other districts in Michigan. Canton is also a very diverse community which is reflected in our population. Approximately 39.31% of Canton residents are minority groups, which is higher compared to other districts. Since Canton is already filled with people of different cultures and ethnicities, there is no reason to make any modifications to the boundaries.</p>	Heba Sajjad	2021-11-03 23:33:43+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>Stop gerrymandering Midland County and pulling the city away from our natural watershed neighbors! Keep Midland County whole and with our natural watershed neighbors of Gladwin and Isabella!</p>	jane scott	2021-11-03 23:32:54+00

		Breaks up Midland County and separates city of Midland from our watershed. Please respect the watershed community and keep Midland county whole and with Gladwin and Isabella Counties.	jane scott	2021-11-03 23:30:31+00
Chestnut	Dislike			
		The only reason why I dislike this map is it doesnt include Troy in District 10.	Yousif	2021-11-03 23:29:31+00
Chestnut	Dislike			
		I just do not understand the commission's reasoning for District 20. Exurb Grand Rapids is not even remotely a community of interest with the lakeshore or South Haven or Benton Harbor! Please reconsider this district!	Patrick John Quist	2021-11-03 23:29:31+00
Cherry V2	Dislike			
		This map dilutes Chaldean voices with Madison Heights, Royal Oak and Ferndale. Apple is the best congressional map for Chaldeans.	Yousif	2021-11-03 23:28:41+00
Birch V2	Dislike			
		I only count one district that is plurality black and zero that are majority black. This map is begging to be struck down by courts on VRA grounds.	Daniel Harris	2021-11-03 23:26:57+00
Cherry V2	Dislike			

Cherry V2	Like	This map is much more balanced and representative of constituents than how we are currently configured.	Katie Mitchell	2021-11-03 23:26:35+00
Pine v3	Dislike	Why have you not corrected districts 38 & 29 after numerous comments from people from each of these affected communities? Somehow this area doesn't count? The correction can be accomplished without affecting VRA, population, COI or partisan fairness metrics (put it back the way it originally was). It is pretty ironic that the MDOS put a very nice interview with a Pontiac resident on the MICRC website ( <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=G1TTWzToRGs">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=G1TTWzToRGs</a> ) about Pontiac's COIs, and then the same people completely ignore the COI mentioned over & over again.	Lisa	2021-11-03 23:25:22+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo form two completely different communities of interest and should NOT be included together in a congressional district	Gregory Hansknecht	2021-11-03 23:25:09+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>Splitting cities like Grand Rapids is indefensible if the commission is supposed to be following criteria like communities of interest and avoiding chopping up municipalities. It is extremely easy to draw a clean district with a population extremely close to the target by combining all of Grand Rapids, Kentwood, and East Grand Rapids. Please revise the lines to do this.</p> <p>This doesnt include the Chaldeans in West Bloomfield, Farmington Hills. But if I had to choose one of three published, this map best represent Chaldeans. Troy, Sterling Heights and Rochester Hills. Please choose this map as the final congressional map if no further modifications will be made.</p>	Daniel Harris	2021-11-03 23:24:49+00
Apple V2	Like		Yousif	2021-11-03 23:24:39+00

I believe that the boundaries of district 43 are drawn in a way that fit canton well. The population of District 43 is very similar to its neighboring districts as well as those across the state. The deviation is also small, which I believe is good. Lastly, I believe that the wide range of Racial minorities, Religious groups, and other separations of people are all equally represented with this boundary.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Evan Pierfelice

2021-11-03 23:23:18+00

Thank you Commissioners, for unpacking Ann Arbor and addressing partisan fairness. Politicians in these districts (14/15) will have to be responsive to their constituents. This map eliminates built in bias and wasted votes. This Senate map is a huge improvement over the previous maps and I hope you are able to continue this same focus for the House maps. Thank you for your hard work and perseverance!

Cherry V2

Like

Sue Matthes Hadden

2021-11-03 23:20:44+00

I believe that the current borders of Canton which is District 42 should not change and would consider them to be fair and equal too many other districts. The district of Canton(43) does not need redistribution due to the fact that it has fairly diverse population and has an equally diverse voting population. The distribution of the borders could lead to many difficulty regarding location and address on mail and websites. Distribution would also affect many students and other people due to the different ethnic groups sharing the same district and the involvement of them all.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Gurleen Kaur

2021-11-03 23:19:23+00

I believe the boundaries of Canton(District 43) don't need redistricting at all. The deviation is very small and close to our target population. Almost 35% of Canton is made up of people with different ethnic backgrounds making it a safe place for people of color. Canton's geographical shape, a rectangle, is not weird or contorted at all. Overall, I think Canton is a great place that fits the needs of the people and equally represents their citizens in different ways.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Aryan Narla

2021-11-03 23:18:32+00

I want to thank the commission for listening to us. I realize it is challenging job you took on. But the maps you laid out are much fairer over the previous 20 years.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

Robert J Dunn

2021-11-03 23:18:25+00

Thanks.

I personally believe the district line on the current map/borderline of Canton does not need to be restricted. There are many reasons why I think this. One, Canton has an almost perfect population as it is not that high or low and is matching with surrounding districts. Also, Canton has a very diverse population, and choosing to do anything with the border possibly may affect this. This would cause a drastic change. Voting is also another thing that would be impacted if we would restrict the lines of Canton. This is due to the majority of people who alone vote in Canton.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Amina Melkic

2021-11-03 23:16:28+00

there are far too many unnecessary county splits in this map, especially in western Michigan. Ottawa is chopped into 3 pieces for no good reason. Muskegon is absurdly put into the same district as much of Kent County. Those are two completely different metro areas. Kent plus part of Ottawa is enough for one district without having to draw awkward lines into Muskegon. The US Census Bureau recognizes Kent and Ottawa as being part of the same metro area while Muskegon is in its own metro area. Grand Rapids Community College is based in Kent County with some satellite classes held in Ottawa while Muskegon has its own separate Community College. Please revise this map to both reduce county breaks and improve communities of interest.

Chestnut

Dislike

Daniel Harris

2021-11-03 23:16:27+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	The boundaries of district 43 are very fair and should not be revised. In district 43, the deviation is fairly low, for example, it is only 0.14%. The demographics also fairly represent Canton's diverse community and do not leave any racial groups or communities of interest. The district is also reasonably compact and not in any unusual shapes that could influence partisanship.	Jorrawar Grewal	2021-11-03 23:05:02+00
Pine v2	Dislike	Terrible gerrymandered map. The Soviets had elections too but the people were going to get the same leadership because the election process was rigged. With maps like these, the pretense of elections should end and the democrats should be granted permanent control.	merlin steffes	2021-11-03 22:57:23+00

As a student at the Plymouth  
Canton Education Park, I see a  
lot of diversity in our school  
community which must be a  
reflection of how diverse  
District 43 (Canton) is in itself.  
When we look at the stats, we  
see that 65.21% of the  
community consists of whites  
leaving 34.79% of the  
community to be consisting of  
residents of different  
backgrounds/races/ cultures.  
Although these numbers may  
not seem positive when it  
comes to having a diverse  
community, District 43 does a  
more diverse population than  
several other districts. For  
example, District 1's white  
population is around 17%,  
and District 94's white  
population is around 95!  
District 43's diversity will  
allow a more variety of views  
in voting as well. In addition  
to diversity, District 43's  
population is only 132 away  
from its target, meaning it's

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Shivangi Bhatt

2021-11-03 22:50:29+00

A State Senate district consist of 265,000 people. The City of Grand Rapids is 200,000 people. Splitting the City of Grand Rapids into two different districts violates municipal boundaries in an obvious intention to guarantee another democrat senator. The whole City of Grand Rapids must be in the same district since this is a community of interest that is being split. The City Ann Arbor should not be split for the same reasons. The City of Lansing should be in the same district with East Lansing and surrounding communities. The gerrymandering is grotesque.

Cherry V2

Dislike

merlin steffes

2021-11-03 22:49:10+00

The proposed boundary lines of District 4 are absurd. I strongly oppose the entire "Apple Plan" redistricting version presented here. County lines should serve as district boundaries as often as possible. As proposed, the cities of Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo would produce a stale mate in terms of the voting base as a congressional district. This would hurt both sides of the foretasted stale mate resulting in neither side having fair representation. The plan additionally fails to comply with several redistricting rules, therefore on face value, it has no merit and does not meet the necessary criteria to be named its own district as proposed.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Opinion

Diana Wells

2021-11-03 22:45:37+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like	I think the borders for Canton are good because the population is very diverse. It also has a population very similar to other districts around and will accurately represent the community without specifically favoring one party.	Sraddha Suryadevara	2021-11-03 22:40:01+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	Regarding Districts 28 through 31: these are definitely improvements over the past maps offered. As a 30-yr resident of SE Michigan, these new proposed district lines better reflect the communities to be represented; certainly they are far better than the current situation.	Karen Coulter	2021-11-03 22:38:05+00

Chestnut	Dislike	<p>The birch map is better than this map. Grand Rapids and Muskegon have nothing in common. This map is as bad as the apple map which has Grand Rapids in a district with Kalamazoo.. The same people who were complaining that Grand Rapids is in a district with Battle Creek, which I agree it shouldn't have been in a district with Battle Creek, are just thrilled to have Grand Rapids in a district with Kalamazoo?</p>	merlin steffes	2021-11-03 22:36:30+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>I greatly appreciate all the work put into creating balanced districts. While perhaps a small change area-wise, it's definitely an improvement in reaching a fairer distribution.</p>	Darcy Berwick	2021-11-03 22:29:00+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	<p>I greatly appreciate this maps solution to drawing fair districts that also reflect communities of interest in Districts 29 and 27.</p>	Ed Saunders	2021-11-03 22:27:13+00

This map is better than Apple.  
I live in Ottawa and I don't  
mind that it is split up  
because Kent and surrounding  
communities need 775,000  
people. An alternative that  
would preserve Ottawa, is to  
have Kent, Ionia, and most of  
Montcalm in a district. The  
Apple map puts rural people  
in Allegan with urban people  
in Grand Rapids and

Birch V2

Opinion

Kalamazoo.  
District 27 and 29 look much  
better than before! Thank  
you for your work.

merlin steffes

2021-11-03 22:26:59+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

Kate Higgins

2021-11-03 22:26:57+00

I believe the boundaries of District 43 (Canton) are drawn well and should be preserved. Firstly, the population is around 91,500 people and has a pretty low deviation of -0.14% from the target population. Focusing on the cultural aspect of Canton's community, there is plenty of diversity with approximately 34.8% of the people from non-white backgrounds, the larger groups being Asians (21.5%) and Africans (11.3%). I believe that this diversity allows the voting to be fairer and represents many minority groups in the community. Finally, the geographical shape of the district is a reasonably shaped rectangle and does not resemble a weird/contorted shape.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Kunal Patel

2021-11-03 22:19:06+00

I approve of the newly drawn districts 27 and 29, improving the fairness of the constituents represented in these districts.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

John Weiss

2021-11-03 22:18:31+00

Apple V2	Dislike	District 4 violates municipal and county boundaries. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo don't belong in the same district. I have never heard people in Grand Rapids wish they could be in a district with Kalamazoo. The only people supporting this district configuration are democrat party hacks. The counties of Kent, Allegan, and Kalamazoo are being sliced up to create a guarantee seat for a democrat representative. This is called gerrymandering.	merlin steffes	2021-11-03 22:14:55+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	This new district seems to make sense for my area. Thank you so much for creating districts 27 and 29! They seem more fair and representative of the two population centers. Much improved map. Thank you.	Miriam Beth Shaw	2021-11-03 22:14:45+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like		Lori Hall	2021-11-03 22:13:06+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like		Lisa	2021-11-03 22:10:00+00

Chestnut	Dislike	<p>This map appears to be a deliberate attempt to compromise the character of West Michigan. Grand Rapids has nothing in common with Muskegon, Hudsonville has nothing in common with Battle Creek. Splitting Ottawa County in half is ridiculous given the interconnectedness of these lakeshore towns and villages and their commerce. This is a terrible map for the west side of the state.</p>	Heidi Pronk	2021-11-03 22:06:26+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>I think that the boundaries of district 43 are drawn well. The population is similar to the rest of the districts, and it keeps Canton together. The deviation is also very small, which I think is good. Lastly, I think there is a unique demography in Canton and I think by keeping it together, Canton can be well represented and different interest groups can all be represented accurately.</p>	Yash Bingi	2021-11-03 22:01:15+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	<p>These look like more considerate boundaries than I've seen previously. Thanks for your work.</p>	Ryan R Dybdahl	2021-11-03 22:00:45+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I believe the current boundaries of districts 35 and 42 do not need to be revised due to the diversity and population being similar to the surrounding districts. In addition, the current boundaries do not consist of other towns. Despite the school district being split in half, I consider the current district boundaries, not in need of revision.	Zainab Ghadai	2021-11-03 22:00:12+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for including Cascade Township with the Grand Rapids metro area for the State Senate map. Please do the same for the State House map.	Miriam Boelen	2021-11-03 21:58:29+00
Birch V2	Dislike	This is not a good map. It divides Ottawa County. Please keep our county together! Creating districts for Ypsi and Ann Arbor that are more separate is a sensible solution that allows those two similar, yet different communities to have a fairer, more distinct voice in choosing representatives.	Heidi Pronk	2021-11-03 21:56:30+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like		Matthew Wilson	2021-11-03 21:54:38+00

Apple V2	Like	Thank you for retaining a lakeshore district linking communities with mutual interests. This is a good map!	Heidi Pronk	2021-11-03 21:52:44+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	Thank you so much for creating new Districts 27 and 29 in such a way that both districts are now competitive and this will encourage the legislators to listen to ALL their constituents.	Virginia Kinney	2021-11-03 21:40:56+00

I do not understand why the district lines for district 9 include this particular area, I live in this neighborhood and it is zoned into Rochester Community schools, yet the majority of my classmates are going to be zoned into district 10 while I am zoned into the thumb with rural people I have nothing in common with. What sense does it make that the neighborhood across the street from me will be zoned into a different district than I am in despite there being no differences between the people in my neighborhood and theirs? I am asking that this neighborhood be zoned into district 10 or 11 since I have much more in common with the constituents in those districts than district 9 which includes a vast majority of people that I have nothing in common with.

Apple V2

Dislike

Nabil Chamra

2021-11-03 21:37:23+00

Good revisions to Districts 27 and 29. This makes more sense. I appreciate your hard work to make this happen.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

Carol Westfall

2021-11-03 21:35:42+00

I do not understand why the district lines for district 9 include this particular area, I live in this neighborhood and it is zoned into Rochester Community schools, yet the majority of my classmates are going to be zoned into district 10 while I am zoned into the thumb with rural people I have nothing in common with. I am asking that this neighborhood be zoned into district 10 or 11 since I have much more in common with the constituents in those districts than district 9 which includes a vast majority of people that I have nothing in common with. ( I accidentally made a previous comment with the same text but accidentally marked it as

Birch V2

Dislike

"like" instead of dislike)

Nabil Chamra

2021-11-03 21:35:39+00

I do not understand why the district lines for district 9 include this particular area, I live in this neighborhood and it is zoned into Rochester Community schools, yet the majority of my classmates are going to be zoned into district 10 while I am zoned into the thumb with rural people I have nothing in common with. I am asking that this neighborhood be zoned into district 10 or 11 since I have much more in common with the constituents in those districts than district 9 which includes a vast majority of people that I have nothing in common with.

Birch V2

Like

Nabil Chamra

2021-11-03 21:34:14+00

Thank you, Commissioners, for taking partisan fairness seriously and unpacking Ann Arbor., This Senate map is a huge improvement over its predecessors in this regard. I urge you to keep it, and to extend the same kind of concern for partisan fairness to the state House maps, which still lag far behind in this regard. Thanks for your dedication and hard work!

Cherry V2

Like

Ian Robinson

2021-11-03 21:32:59+00

Thank you for taking the bold step to divide Ann Arbor into two districts. What you have done is to create more competitive districts that use our big demographic bulge to make two less partisan and more representative districts. I expect vigorous debate on the issues.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

Vivienne Armentrout

2021-11-03 21:32:44+00

I do not understand why the district lines for district 9 include this particular area, I live in this neighborhood and it is zoned into Rochester Community schools, yet the majority of my classmates are going to be zoned into a different district than I am while I am zoned into the thumb with people I have nothing in common with. I am asking that this neighborhood be zoned into the district that Rochester and Rochester Hills are in for the sake of continuity among constituents who all have common characteristics.

Chestnut

Dislike

Nabil Chamra

2021-11-03 21:30:04+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	I like the division of Ann Arbor into Districts 27 and 29 and appreciate the Commission listening to voter input about partisan fairness. I've been listening to some of the Commission meetings and appreciate all the hard work and long hours and painstaking attention to all the mapping criteria you have to consider.	Susan Beth Miller	2021-11-03 21:28:38+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	I believe this map of Districts 27 and 28 is so much better! I really appreciate your efforts to listen, and to make this a more fair map.	Sharon Held	2021-11-03 21:26:43+00

I don't think District 43 needs redistricting, even if redistricting is a good idea. The deviation for the population target is only 132 people, which is a very acceptable number. District 43 is also one of the most diverse districts, with only 34.79% of the people being Non-White, a much higher figure than other districts. District 43 is also not in a very weird shape, and combined with its diversity, means that the voting is likely fine, and that there's not much need to balance the partisan rivalries.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Arslaan Zahid

2021-11-03 21:24:56+00

As a resident of Plymouth Township, I think the redistricting of Plymouth and Canton is fair. Looking at the populations of districts of 35 and 43, you can see the similarities in size. I think that looking at the overall population size is most important in this case in order to ensure equal representations of districts. I would rather split up Canton and Plymouth (along with Plymouth Township) than leave an uneven population representation.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Addison Frazer

2021-11-03 21:22:44+00

I believe the current district border for District 42 (Canton) should remain the same. The population of Canton is roughly 91,000 which is similar to that of surrounding districts. In addition, Canton has a diverse community with people from many different backgrounds. Also, Canton's boundaries are geographically contiguous, they aren't combined with other towns or broken up. For these reasons, I don't think the boundaries need to be revised.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		Haidy Zhang	2021-11-03 21:18:25+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	Thank you for the hard work creating a fair map!	Wendy R Zindel	2021-11-03 21:16:20+00
Cherry V2	Like	This is a good looking map. I like the new and improved districts 27 and 29. Thanks for listening to our feedback	AARON CHRISTENSON	2021-11-03 21:12:01+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like		Kathleen M Singer	2021-11-03 21:11:15+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	This area belongs to Ottawa not Kent.	AARON CHRISTENSON	2021-11-03 21:08:37+00

As a Salem high school student, I would consider this system fair. I would say that the district boundaries represent the diversity of the region fairly well. It is a well defined system that centers around the city government building. The only issue I have with it is that it appears to split the Plymouth-Canton border, which would divide the school system into separate districts, although I am not sure how to fix that and keep the district population similar to the surrounding districts.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Jacob Dalebout

2021-11-03 21:08:35+00

I believe that the boundaries of District 43 are perfect as they are. For example, the population is almost spot on the target, which is very hard to get perfect. Also, District 43 has a large number of diverse people, with the largest group being Asians. The shape of District 43, Canton, is also close to being a perfect rectangle, and it certainly is not a weird shape. I feel like, with this much diversity, voting will be fair and represent the wants of everyone, so I think that District 43 should stay the same.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Rahul Nanwani

2021-11-03 21:06:18+00

I believe that the boundaries of Canton should not be changed because many aspects of the community reflect a diverse population. As a PCEP student, I am constantly surrounded by people of different ethnicities, beliefs, cultures, opinions, and values, which is a positive thing. Everyone here has the opportunity to learn from and share experiences with people of very different backgrounds. The city has a high voter population, which accurately reflects the diversity it has. Changing the borders may interfere with many people's experiences with others, as well as cause voter representation to be inaccurate.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Ella Washnock

2021-11-03 21:05:25+00

I appreciate the work that was put into this map. This helps with partisan fairness. There is a nice combination of rural and suburban communities in district 14. Thank you, and please keep the Fair Maps Project in mind as you continue to move forward.

Cherry V2

Like

Megan Short

2021-11-03 20:56:29+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	Thank you for putting in the work to make sure we have fair and balanced districts. It's so important for everyone's voice to be heard, not just the rich and powerful.	Kimberly Ellerthorpe	2021-11-03 20:54:14+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	I greatly appreciate all the work put into creating balanced districts. While perhaps a small change area-wise, it's definitely an improvement in reaching a fairer distribution.	Darcy Berwick	2021-11-03 20:50:53+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	Looks good!	J Vincent	2021-11-03 20:47:02+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike	Wh is plymouth More connected to Northville than Canton? I have more people That I know and have similar lives to me in Canton than the Townshp of Northville. Plymouth and canton are reallly close to being one town, Why are they in difirrent districts? If you live in Plymouth, you can be part of Canton High school, then why are they in different districts?	Carter Adamski	2021-11-03 20:46:42+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	Districts 27 and 29 are more fair and appropriate. Thank you	Jessica Stock	2021-11-03 20:46:34+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	I strongly agree with splitting Ann Arbor from Ypsilanti. It is, at the very least, a step in the right direction toward proportional representation in state government, which we so clearly do not have right now.	Kyle Ward	2021-11-03 20:46:20+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion	I don't think that the boundaries of District 43 should be changed as the diversity within the district gives it a unique characteristic that would change with new boundaries. Having great diversity in the district, in my opinion, creates more interactions between each other and getting to know one another, leading to a safer and brighter future within the district.	Gautam Kiran	2021-11-03 20:43:19+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	Greatly appreciate the thoughtful considerations for both Districts 27 & 29.	Wayne Millette	2021-11-03 20:40:46+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	this district looks more fair as regarding the communities around Jackson/AA/Ypsilanti, thank you for adjusting	Kelly Orringer	2021-11-03 20:39:23+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	The new borders of districts 27 and 29 make more sense now, thank you!	Henry A Buchtel	2021-11-03 20:39:22+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		I am also relieved you've split Ann Arbor into 2 districts. Thank you for unpacking Grand Rapids. We should have 2 State Senate seats representing us. This map seems fair and balanced from a partisan perspective	Ellen k Halter	2021-11-03 20:38:58+00
Cherry V2	Like		Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-11-03 20:38:50+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		This seems to be a fair division within/between communities. This makes much more sense than lumping my address with people in towns I don't travel to and with communities I do not frequent. I Think the way the map is drawn around Districts 27 and 29 is good fair map	Kris A DeAngelo	2021-11-03 20:37:43+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		This map is much more fair than the previous version	James Hallock	2021-11-03 20:36:49+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		Thank you for putting Cascade Twp and the Gerald R Ford Airport with the city of Grand Rapids. They really are a community of interest.	Pamela Ann Raymond Ernst	2021-11-03 20:36:04+00
Cherry V2	Like		Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-11-03 20:35:56+00

The fact that the pccs school district is split on education is crazy. How is a school supposed to educate people on their congressman and their area if the students have different representatives? School districts and district lines should be as similar as possible, this is because schools need to be under a unified voice. If they aren't, then the schools boundaries or the district boundaries are useless.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Carter Adamski

2021-11-03 20:34:42+00

Ottawa County should be kept whole, there is much more community of interest between the rural twps. than with the city of Grand Rapids. You could add Algoma and Cannon Twps to the east of Grand Rapids to balance the populations distribution from removing the Ottawa County Twps. If you look at a map drawn in district.org (<https://districtr.org/plan/73502>) you would see that change.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-11-03 20:34:21+00

Please do your best to take any comments that refer to existing COIs or county boundaries with a grain of salt. In this hyper-connected world, existing barriers and boundaries are just that: barriers and boundaries. They cause artificial division and prevent us from moving back to a more bipartisan political environment - the very purpose of this committee and its work. Please take advantage of this opportunity to substantially "shake things up" by merging disparate COIs, townships, and counties into fruitful and diverse groups.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

Mikhail Zolikoff

2021-11-03 20:33:19+00

Thank you splitting Ann Arbor. It was necessary to ensure fair elections. Keep up the good work!

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

Ryan M Husse

2021-11-03 20:33:15+00

Thank you for unpacking Grand Rapids, but can you please move the northern boarder line between #29 & #30 to Wealthy St from Fulton St? Wealthy St is a Ward boundary, has been for 40 years and this change would better reflect communities of interest in the city. If you look at a map drawn in district.org (<https://distrctr.org/plan/73502>) you would see that changes.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-11-03 20:29:21+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like	<p>As a student, I believe that the district line on the current map for Canton is perfectly fine. As of right now, the population for Canton is roughly 90,000 which is ideal because it isn't too high or too little compared to other districts. Canton also has many races, which shows how diverse the district already is. In addition, roughly 70,000 people vote which is very beneficial because the majority of the people already vote. This leads me to believe that the Canton district line doesn't need any changes.</p>	Roma Modi	2021-11-03 20:25:54+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	<p>This works way better than the previous map.</p>	Letitia Simmons	2021-11-03 20:24:27+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Dislike	<p>I think the Ann Arbor - Ypsi link up is good, but as Matthaai Farm development is in Ann Arbor schools etc., this makes less sense for where we live. Superior township is linked to Ypsi and Ann Arbor rather than South Lyon and Novi - very unrelated with different issues.</p>	Denise Tanguay	2021-11-03 20:18:12+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	I support the redistricting for my area based on this iteration.	Tamsin Wotton	2021-11-03 20:17:55+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	Approve These are more competitive, less partisan districts. They will assist new opportunity and energy among a wider range of political perspectives and candidates	BetsAnn Smith	2021-11-03 20:16:00+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	Love this one! This is my district! It is a broad and fair district with many different types of voters. This will make for fairer elections and more moderate policies. A representative will need to balance the opinions a wide variety of constituents.	CHARLES W BILLUPS JR	2021-11-03 20:12:42+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	Thank you for the newly drawn districts 27 & 29. This improvement reflects a fair distribution in these districts.	Carole Coulter	2021-11-03 20:12:33+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	Thank you for the new district 27 & 29. This appears much more balanced and certainly more closely matches things like local labor union jurisdiction. Thanks again!	Traci Husse	2021-11-03 20:10:55+00

Like this. The goal is to create districts that are not reliably one way or another. It drives better and fairer elections.

And less not more partisanship because we must build coalitions that are more moderate to get elected.

Splitting A2 is the right thing to do!

I think this map makes a lot of sense, and fair groups

together populations.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

CHARLES W BILLUPS JR

2021-11-03 20:10:38+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

Matthew Gutierrez

2021-11-03 20:08:05+00

I believe that Northville and Northville township should not be separtated. This is because even though there is a difference between theTownship and the city, there are traditions in the city, that people in the township want to visit, and if there is a different congressperson in the township and in the city, then the people in the township would be left out of decisions. There is also the fact that in schools, the people who go to the northville township and city go to the same school, but if there is a different congressperson in each district, and if Northville high school is in the city, then the city will have more of a say in the schools. Overall, a city is a city, and that shouldnt change whether you are in the township or the main city. Thank you for working to make a more fair distribution in District 29.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Carter Adamski

2021-11-03 20:05:00+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

Barbara K. Petersen

2021-11-03 20:04:55+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	Thank you for the efforts by the redistricting committee to improve fairness in the state of MI; I especially appreciate the new division of 27 and 29 covering AA/Ypsilanti. Great job!	Jeanne Riggs	2021-11-03 20:04:30+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	This map seems reasonable for my area. Appreciate splitting Ann Arbor from Ypsilanti	Judith Rose Smutek	2021-11-03 20:03:43+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	Thank you for all your work. I like how you have drawn the map.	Tessa Swanson	2021-11-03 20:02:58+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	I strongly support the newly drawn districts 27 and 29. They yield much more competitive districts, which make the maps more small-d democratic. Current districts in this area are completely non-competitive, and so my vote has never really mattered. Now it will. Please keep districts 27 and 29 as in this proposal.	Louise Gorenflo	2021-11-03 20:01:33+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	The present map is unbalanced and the Gerrymandering that results from it hurts us all. We need a more balanced map, leading to a fair representation of all.	Jeffrey A Alson	2021-11-03 20:01:19+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		Corentin Cras-Meneur	2021-11-03 19:57:45+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	I like this map, this will serve the community well. Thanks This map is a good effort overall and I especially support the way my area (District 14 and 15) are configured. I live in Pittsfield Township and believe combining our area and west of us with part of Ann Arbor makes sense as a community of interest, while serving the required goal of partisan fairness.	Ehsan Taqbeem	2021-11-03 19:26:53+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for your continuing hard work! I like the balance in Districts 14 and 15 as drawn. It looks like it could lead to more diverse and hopefully responsive representation for the communities between Jackson and Ann Arbor instead of treating each place as a monolith.	Mitchell G. Hall	2021-11-03 19:19:01+00
Cherry V2	Like	Please keep Banglatown together as a united voting block.	Theresa Hastert	2021-11-03 19:12:34+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike		Lynn Blasey	2021-11-03 19:05:12+00

Cherry V2	Like	This map serves Ann Arbor and the surroundings well. Keeping AA voters clumped together does not lead to partisan fairness, nor to a long-overdue recognition of the interplay between the urban area and the universities and the surrounding areas, including the Jackson corridor. Far from swamping rural areas, this partition will encourage dialogue and interchange.	janet Cannon	2021-11-03 19:04:24+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	These need to do better to reach partisan fairness. Washtenaw county needs to be unpacked to do this.	Sascha Crasnow	2021-11-03 18:55:40+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	These need to do better to reach partisan fairness. Washtenaw county needs to be unpacked to do this.	Sascha Crasnow	2021-11-03 18:55:07+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	These need to do better to reach partisan fairness. Washtenaw county needs to be unpacked to do this.	Sascha Crasnow	2021-11-03 18:54:31+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	This map is an improvement over the Pine map. I encourage the commission to add Novi's northern precincts into district 110 to keep our city whole and not dilute our minority voters voices.	Marian MAhoney	2021-11-03 18:53:45+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Thank you for unpacking Ann Arbor and Washtenaw county. Please continue to do so for the rest of the state for a more balanced map.	Sascha Crasnow	2021-11-03 18:53:23+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Gerry Meandering all over again, Snaking is to help the politicians to win, by breaking up the community. I understand this is how the Census Tract was drawn, but why can't you change that and keep the community together? That was the purpose for developing COI, we have mentioned this concerns of ours repeatedly to many Hearings and Commission meetings. Please fix it for the last time. Thanks Thank you for unpacking Ann Arbor and Washtenaw County. Please continue to do so throughout the state for a more balanced map.	Ehsan Taqbeem	2021-11-03 18:53:15+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like		Sascha Crasnow	2021-11-03 18:51:58+00

I support District 14 as drawn. I live in Jackson and my office is in Ann Arbor. My spouse lives in Jackson and his work is in Dexter. To me, the connection of the I94 corridor makes sense. In addition, it is a good configuration because it provides voters with opportunities to elect someone from either party. Having balanced districts is crucial for our representative democracy.

Cherry V2

Like

Monica Day

2021-11-03 18:18:10+00

This map is better than most for Oakland County. Swap South Lyon and Plymouth and it works better. Keep Rochester Hills, Rochester and Oakland Township with more of Oakland County. That is their community of interest

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC

Opinion

Theresa Mungioli

2021-11-03 17:42:57+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	I don't understand the logic of splitting South Lyon away from Oakland County and putting Plymouth with Oakland County. Swap the areas and the map is not bad. Rochester Hills, Rochester and Oakland Township are not communities of interest with St. Clair lake areas.	Theresa Mungioli	2021-11-03 17:40:22+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Rochester Hills, Rochester and Oakland Township are not communities of interest with St. Clair lake communities.	Theresa Mungioli	2021-11-03 17:36:52+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Oakland County is not split with communities of interest. This map needs work. Rochester Hills, Rochester and Oakland Township belong with more of Oakland County.	Theresa Mungioli	2021-11-03 17:34:06+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Opinion	So far a better map than the others. Please minimize going splitting Oakland County into so many House districts as it is not going with other communities of interest.	Theresa Mungioli	2021-11-03 17:31:23+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Dislike	<p>Worst House map yet for Oakland County. Like the Senate maps, you continue to split up Oakland County into many different pieces and not with communities of interest. There are more House seats here than current. And each is a smaller portion of Oakland County further diluting the voters voices.</p> <p>Stop gerrymandering.</p>	Theresa Mungioli	2021-11-03 17:28:34+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike	<p>Keep Rochester Hills, Rochester and Oakland Twpshp together. Like the Senate maps, you continue to split up Oakland County into many different pieces and not with communities of interest. There are more House seats here than current. And each is a smaller portion of Oakland County further diluting the voters voices.</p> <p>Stop gerrymandering.</p>	Theresa Mungioli	2021-11-03 17:27:18+00

			Like the Senate maps, you continue to split up Oakland County into many different pieces and not with communities of interest. There are more House seats here than current. And each is a smaller portion of Oakland County further diluting the voters voices.		
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Stop gerrymandering.	Like the Senate maps, you continue to split up Oakland County into many different pieces and not with communities of interest.	Theresa Mungioli	2021-11-03 17:24:48+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Worst map yet for Oakland County. When will you use communities of interest to develop a map?	When will you follow communities of interest? This map is awful as well.	Theresa Mungioli	2021-11-03 17:22:55+00
10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Dislike			Theresa Mungioli	2021-11-03 17:19:57+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike			Theresa Mungioli	2021-11-03 17:18:34+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Awful map. How many ways do you plan to split Oakland County. This makes 9 Senate seats when we currently have 5. The seats are small and with communities that do not share an interest.		Theresa Mungioli	2021-11-03 17:16:34+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Another awful plan that splits Oakland County into too many small pieces.		Theresa Mungioli	2021-11-03 17:14:34+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>This splits Oakland County into so many small pieces and attaches it to other counties that do not share similar backgrounds and communities of interest. Stop using Oakland County as a pin cushion to address a numbers issue.</p>	Theresa Mungiola	2021-11-03 17:11:09+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>I appreciate the work put into this map, redistricting is tough in a state as diverse as Michigan. I appreciate that the south-central I-94 corridor is part of one district. As a Jackson resident who travels I-94 daily for work and business, I am keenly aware of how the corridor region is connected economically and culturally.</p>	Scott	2021-11-03 16:53:44+00

10-29-21 SD v3	Opinion	<p>This is a big improvement over previous maps that placed Commerce with more rural communities. I'm still concerned about partisan fairness, though. This map looks much like the current state house map that was gerrymandered to favor Republicans. It's no secret that Republicans are trying to subvert democracy in Michigan. Don't assist them by skewing maps in their favor.</p>	Douglas Floto	2021-11-03 16:34:56+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	<p>Oakland Charter Township is linked more closely with Rochester in terms of local services, schools, and neighborhoods than the rest of proposed D10. Better fit for district 6.</p>	Edward Vaughan	2021-11-03 16:28:27+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This map is well drawn and fair. It keeps essential communities within Oakland County, like that of the Walled Lake Consolidated School District, together. With less divide among constituents, the strong identity of this already prominent congressional district will be kept alive.</p>	Olivia O'Connell	2021-11-03 16:17:44+00

I believe that the current border lines of Canton (district #43) doesn't need to be redistricted because although the population of Canton is quite high, there are other districts with a similar population. Canton also has a very diverse population and changing the district borders could affect this diversity. It could impact students like myself who attend school among many people of different ethnic groups. I also believe that redistricting isn't necessary because a large majority in Canton vote, and redistricting could change the number of voters which isn't encouraged.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Aditi Kulkarni

2021-11-03 15:53:38+00

Please make the boundary between the Senate Districts #29 and #30 Wealthy St not Fulton. Wealthy St follows the Ward line inside of Grand Rapids and the Wards are core to communities of interest.

Cherry V2

Opinion

Kim Gates

2021-11-03 15:23:09+00

Pine v2	Like	Livingston County is drawn well in this map. The Brighton area is kept together and the Howell/Fowlerville area is kept together is another district.	Dan Wholihan	2021-11-03 15:23:00+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	Please remove these few Ottawa townships from Kent County.	Kim Gates	2021-11-03 15:21:30+00
Pine v3	Like	Livingston County is drawn well in this map with the Brighton area kept together in one district, the Howell/Fowlerville area together in another district, and Tyrone Twp with nearby Fenton.	Dan Wholihan	2021-11-03 15:20:38+00
Cherry V2	Like	Thanks for heading my comment earlier to put the airport with Grand Rapids.	Kim Gates	2021-11-03 15:19:52+00
Chestnut	Like	I feel this map is the best option for my area.	Ashley Podein	2021-11-03 15:16:50+00
Cherry V2	Like	Combining the airport area with metro GR makes more sense. Thanks for listening.	Joel Ombry	2021-11-03 15:14:51+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	The cities of Farmington and Farmington Hills share many things, including a school and library system. Splitting the communities up along an arbitrary line (I-696) does not make sense.	Alex Meyers	2021-11-03 15:10:42+00

Cherry V2	Like	Thank you for adding the airport, and Rockford, to the metro Grand Rapids area! These rural communities would be better represented if included with an Ottawa County community of interest. There is little in common with Grand Rapids and the Ada area.	Cindy Krieg	2021-11-03 14:44:48+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	The second ward boundary in Grand Rapids is at Wealthy St, rather than Fulton. Moving Senate District 30 to Wealthy St would keep the second ward community of interest in one district, rather than cutting out one section.	Cindy Krieg	2021-11-03 14:41:30+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	Thank You! Thank You! for including Cascade Township with the metro Grand Rapids area on this State Senate Map. Please do the same for the State House as well!	Cindy Krieg	2021-11-03 14:34:54+00
Cherry V2	Like	It makes since to move the boundary between districts 29 and 30 to Wealthy street. That way neighborhoods are not divided.	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-11-03 14:28:29+00
Cherry V2	Opinion		Fredric Overeem	2021-11-03 14:20:00+00

10-29-21 SD v3	Like	Thank you for making the map more partisan-neutral. That is the only way to have fair elections that actually represent the people in these districts.	Fred Klein	2021-11-03 14:19:14+00
Pine v3	Opinion	On this map, there are only 109 districts. The Michigan House should have 110, you are missing a district. If I missed it please ignore my opinion, however, I looked a few times and could not find district 110. Please fix!!!	Bryce Webster	2021-11-03 14:17:15+00
Cherry V2	Like	Rockford is part of the Grand Rapids metropolitan area. Thank you for mapping it in that manner.	Fredric Overeem	2021-11-03 14:14:28+00

This is the one change from the Detroit re-alignment that I don't like. Chair Szetella said that the district that shifted from blue to red on the partisan fairness metrics was in Macomb County, but I don't think it's true. I think that happened because 22 and 13 exchanged Southgate and Ecorse. Put Ecorse back in 22 with the other Detroit River communities and Southgate back in 13 with Allen Park and Lincoln Park. That re-unites communities of interest and improves the

Pine v3

Dislike

partisan fairness.

Christopher Khorey

2021-11-03 14:12:10+00

These Ottawa townships are rural and have little in common with suburban and metro Grand Rapids

Cherry V2

Opinion

Fredric Overeem

2021-11-03 14:10:36+00

Pine v3	Like	<p>The Commission should keep the Detroit revisions suggested by Commissioners Kellom, Curry, and Rothhorn. These "unpack" Detroit while keeping neighborhoods and other cultural interest groups whole. I also like the resulting changes in Oakland and Macomb Counties, which create several very competitive districts in Troy/Sterling Heights/Clinton Township.</p> <p>Thank you for putting Rockford city in with the rest of the GR suburbs. It really make more sense this way.</p>	Christopher Khorey	2021-11-03 14:09:44+00
Cherry V2	Like		Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-11-03 14:06:10+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map is fair and balanced.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-11-03 14:05:30+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	<p>Thank you for unpacking Grand Rapids, the district line should be moved though to better reflect our community of interest by tweaking this map and add the precincts north of Wealthy to the district #30. That is the natural boundary for the city.</p>	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-11-03 14:04:15+00

Cherry V2	Opinion	Ottawa County should not be link to Metro Grand Rapids. This is rural area with their own specific issues. Keep Ottawa County together.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-11-03 14:01:55+00
Cherry V2	Like	I like the way Grand Rapids is divided in that it will likely get us to Senate seats to be honest the second largest metro area in the state should be represented in such a way considering how fast the Grand Rapids metro area and the city itself is growing	Dennis B MURPHY	2021-11-03 14:00:48+00
Cherry V2	Like	I think it is a positive move to put the Grand Rapids airport in with the metro area it's a natural fit	Dennis B MURPHY	2021-11-03 13:59:48+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	The boundary in Grand Rapids between Senate Districts #29 & #30 should be move South to Wealthy Street which aligns with neighborhoods as demarcated by the city. using Fulton Street as a boundary cuts a neighborhood in half. the neighborhoods in Grand Rapids are communities of Interest	DENNIS MURPHY	2021-11-03 13:56:35+00

Cherry V2	Opinion	<p>The boundary in Grand Rapids between Senate Districts #29 &amp; #30 should be move South to Wealthy Street which aligns with neighborhoods as demarcated by the city. using Fulton Street as a boundary cuts a neighborhood in half. the neighborhoods in Grand Rapids are communities of Interest</p>	DENNIS MURPHY	2021-11-03 13:56:02+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	<p>The rural Ottawa County Township should not be link to Metro Grand Rapids these are two distinct demographics and each have their own specific issues. they should be in the same district as the rest of Ottawa County</p>	DENNIS MURPHY	2021-11-03 13:53:36+00

As a student, I believe that the district line on the current map for Canton is perfectly fine. As of right now, the population for Canton is roughly 90,000 which is ideal because it isn't too high or too little compared to other districts. Canton also has many races, which shows how diverse the district already is. In addition, roughly 70,000 people vote which is very beneficial because the majority of the people already vote. This leads me to believe that the Canton district line doesn't need any changes.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Roma Modi

2021-11-03 13:18:10+00

People who live on the northern and eastern side of the City of Kalamazoo have similar socio-economic interests as people who live in the eastern part of the county in places like Comstock Township. These communities that have similar socio-economic needs should be represented by a state rep. of their own, since the western side of the city and county have different demographics and interests

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Genesis Griffin

2021-11-03 13:09:19+00

This map is an improvement over the past maps for State Senate districts around Grand Rapids. However the Commissioners keep putting Ottawa CO townships in with Ada Township which is an eastern suburb of Grand Rapids. Ottawa CO should be removed from district 30. District 30 should have its western border right down the western edge of Kent CO. None of Ottawa CO is in my COI. Areas north and east of Ada Township in Kent CO are more of my COI's. Also the north-south line that separates districts 29 and 30 should be Wealthy St., not Fulton St., because Wealthy St. has been the border line between wards for 40 years. These ward lines are important to Grand Rapids residents. Replace Ottawa CO townships with Cannon and Algoma

Cherry V2

Opinion

Townships, these second two Robert Dragan

2021-11-03 13:03:13+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>I like that you have worked on partisan fairness in this map. But my community of the west side of Detroit is lumped in with Farmington and Redford Charter Twp this is to a disadvantage to Detroiters who will never be able to win a candidate of their choice to represent them in the State Senate. Please reconsider Detroit's VRA districts.</p>	Loida Tapia	2021-11-03 12:44:08+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>I appreciate the work that has been done to improve this maps partisan fairness. I am still very concerned about VRA districts in Detroit and the fact that you have not addressed this issue in this map. Great edits but still more work to be done.</p>	Loida Tapia	2021-11-03 12:41:23+00

The western part and eastern part of Kalamazoo county have different communities, with different interests and needs.

The west Kalamazoo district can include the city, the college and the Kalamazoo suburbs to the west and north.

People who live on the northern and eastern side of the City of Kalamazoo have similar socio-economic interests as people who live in the eastern part of the county in places like Comstock Township. In the interest of equity, these communities that have similar socio-economic needs should be represented by a state rep. of their own, who can speak to and for them since the western side of the city and county has different socioeconomic demographics and needs. Douglas as a

Patrese Griffin

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

2021-11-03 12:22:05+00

The current border of district # 43 cuts of some parts of southeastern Canton. However, this is acceptable because Canton population increases rapidly and is currently bigger than that of the average district. I believe that the Communities of Interests are well represented as shown by the demographics of the district. I also believe that this district is quite compact. All in all I think that the Canton border should not be redistricted despite not including the full municipality of Canton.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Yash Kalani

2021-11-03 12:03:53+00

You only created this Midland district to appease Republicans asking for a whole country district. Nowhere in the constitutional criteria is "appease Republicans because they didn't get the other districts they want". But partisan fairness is number 4 on the criteria. Far above county and city lines. This district hurts the overall fairness of the maps and creates two strong Republican districts in Midland and Bay City where you had a strong Red and a barely (1%) leaning Blue. That was as close to zero political bias as you were ever going to get and you erased it for no good reason.

Pine v3

Dislike

Jennifer Austin

2021-11-03 11:47:29+00

You only created this Midland district to appease Republicans asking for a whole country district. Nowhere in the constitutional criteria is "appease Republicans because they didn't get the other districts they want". But partisan fairness is number 4 on the criteria. Far above county and city lines. This district hurts the overall fairness of the maps and creates two strong Republican districts in Midland and Bay City where you had a strong Red and a barely (1%) leaning Blue. That was as close to zero political bias as you were ever going to get and you erased it for no good reason.

Pine v2

Dislike

Jennifer Austin

2021-11-03 11:46:08+00

Cherry V2	Like	<p>As an independent who has voted for candidates from both parties I'd like to thank you for improving the fairness of my district. The proposed district enhances representation for communities along the I-94 corridor whereas the prior district diluted their interests with large rural areas having different personal and economic wants and needs. As proposed, the district is diverse across small city, suburban and near-rural areas that nonetheless share a sense of community. I see this as a step toward reducing the divisiveness so prevalent in the political landscape.</p>	Russ Desy	2021-11-03 11:30:01+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>It is right that Gerald Ford Airport is in Senate District 30. It serves the metropolitan area of Grand Rapids. Thank you for responding to past comments in support of that change.</p>	DAVID A BERNSTEIN	2021-11-03 11:19:19+00

Cherry V2	Opinion	<p>Please do not include townships from Ottawa County in Senate District 30. Ottawa County's interest are more focused on rural and small-town issues and solutions. Senate District 30's problems and solutions are increasingly those of a metropolitan area.</p> <p>I was at the public meeting in Grand Rapids. I think that the boundary between districts 29 and 30 should be Wealthy Street, not Fulton as in the present mapping. I am a strong believer in city wards as important political communities, since we have city commissioners elected within those wards. Please make the southern boundary</p>	DAVID A BERNSTEIN	2021-11-03 11:16:48+00
Cherry V2	Opinion	<p>Wealthy Street.</p>	DAVID A BERNSTEIN	2021-11-03 11:10:35+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	<p>Kalamazoo is not represented well in this primarily rural map. Kalamazoo is better represented in the Apple map with Grand Rapids.</p>	Charles Hodgman	2021-11-03 09:29:46+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Kalamazoo is not represented well in this rural map. The Apple map with Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids in the same district is better representation for Kalamazoo.	Charles Hodgman	2021-11-03 09:25:53+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Kalamazoo is not represented well in this map.	Charles Hodgman	2021-11-03 09:20:05+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Kalamazoo is not represented well in this map.	Charles Hodgman	2021-11-03 09:14:07+00

In addition to the file attached, here is the explicit version in text.

Ravi Krishnaswami  
Democratic Precinct Delegate  
for 20 in Troy  
A 37 year resident of Troy

The Entire Analysis for Troy is based on the map generated from 10-08-21 v1 CD RAS - Birch

Analysis of the congressional map of MICRC's newly proposed congressional district for Troy based on Economic Communities of interest

I reviewed the redrawn congressional district by MICRC from the perspective of Troy MI.

The purpose of this document is to provide reasons why I and many others feel that the map is a good one. Kudos to

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Commission for coming up Ravi Krishnaswami

2021-11-03 07:05:31+00

I believe that change is not needed for the district of Canton. The boundary lines are adequate to the amount of residents and the diversity of the area, and furthermore, should not be changed. Redistricting may affect the diverse communities of the area, and could further affect the voting population as well. The size and density of the population is proportional to the amount of area, and is quite similar to districts with a similar total population. These factors, such as the diversity and voting population, all make up the uniqueness of this district. Changing this would result in disruption of these factors.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Resham Chawdhory

2021-11-03 06:12:44+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I believe that the map of the district should stay the same. Right now our population is around the same as the population of surrounding districts. The population that we have is very diverse, making it so that we meet that requirement. The district is also compact. With this in mind, I do not think the district needs any changes.	Ayush Patel	2021-11-03 03:50:06+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	I think the voting district for Plymouth is mediocre. The target population is met with minimal deviation and the boundary is compact and reasonable. However the population ratios for minorities seem to be an issue but this problem is also shared by many other districts so it does not seem feasible to solve. Something that can be solved is the division of Northville between Novi and Plymouth, I think something should be done about that.	Max Lin	2021-11-03 03:45:38+00

I feel as if we should keep the district as is. Canton has a very diverse community, which is pretty compacted in my opinion. Along with we have a high population that I would say roughly is around the same as fellow districts around it. Plus Canton is still an on-growing community which can help keep it more diverse and grows the population. The only side effect is who knows if the population will outgrow surrounding districts. Along with that with such diversity, I wouldn't say we pick directly/favor one party group. It is pretty mixed

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

around the whole community. Morgan Haynes

2021-11-03 03:35:41+00

I came to this opinion that the district of Canton may have slightly biased opinions because of the very one-sided ethnic background. This may favor the opinions of the majority with their political viewpoints. I believe that the non-majority opinion may not be heard.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Moksh Patel

2021-11-03 03:31:56+00

Cherry V2	Like	<p>This configuration does a far better job of keeping diverse, suburban Oakland County communities together. The swap of West Bloomfield, Orchard, Keego, and Sylvan to be with Farmington Hills and Novi is fair and better reflects the interests of the minority ethnic communities including Jewish, Chaldean, Black, Asian. Kudos to the Commission for working to find this better configuration. I think that district lines are good. I also think there is equal representation between the people in canton. I feel that if we were to change the boundaries it would add a lot of chaos. With things like schools and houses. If the boundaries were to get bigger canton would probably just build more houses. Which means more children, which would make the schools overflow. I think canton is good for right now</p>	Noah	2021-11-03 03:30:20+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		Nyla williams	2021-11-03 03:21:13+00

I am part of a group which has been advocating for Battle Creek and Kalamazoo to be together in the same Congressional district since early this year, so I'm glad to see this map is now collaborative and up for consideration.

Historically, the Battle Creek economic and social service interests have been more closely tied to the I-94 corridor in an east/west orientation, with examples of economic, governmental, and community collaboration with Kalamazoo County to the west. COI examples include Bronson Hospital, Western Michigan University, United Way of Kalamazoo/Battle Creek, Kalamazoo/Battle Creek International Airport, and countless others.

This version of Kzoo's district is far more competitive than the Birch map, which is critically important when

Chestnut

Like

Mariah Phelps

2021-11-03 03:06:34+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Dislike	<p>I live in Grosse Ile, District 7. Wyandotte and Southgate should be in District 7. We have been patients at Henry Ford of Wyandotte, we share police and Fire. When dining in Wyandotte, Grosse Ile is directly across the river. Keep downriver together in District 7.</p>	John Leon	2021-11-03 03:02:18+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>I like the current map of Canton. I think that the population represented well. People of different backgrounds are included in the population and they get representation as well. Canton also has a similar size to other districts around it. I think changing the boundaries would upset the equal balance in representation that Canton has already achieved. Canton should not be divided into different districts. Causing taxes to rise in other districts. Also, it is relatively a big district itself, with its fellow districts themselves. It seems pretty compacted as well.</p>	Amrita Sidhu	2021-11-03 03:02:01+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion		Luis	2021-11-03 03:00:49+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike Each district should stay under its own. when branching out it always brings discontent because each district has its own guidelines that they follow whether it aligns or not with what is agreed upon. Linda Swisher 2021-11-03 02:53:44+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like I think the canton School district is good but also has its downside. I am new to the district so I don't know a lot about it. But i feel the district does represent it's communities and how canton is brought up. I do not think canton favors any political parties and it is very diverse and full of different minds that come together and make good things happen. Arpita Singh 2021-11-03 02:31:22+00

I work in the Canton district and have found myself encountering different cultures of people. I think it is amazing how diverse this city is and therefore believe the district lines should stay the same. Along with that, the number of different businesses and managements that are included in this community add to the economic increase in the city. Changing the lines would create chaos and cause the city's cultures and community involvement to decrease tremendously.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Ravi Patel

2021-11-03 02:29:39+00

I am a mother of a child that attends high school in the city of Canton. I think it is very important to keep the district lines the way they are because they provide my daughter with a community of diverse friends and teachers. She is able to collaborate with all sorts of people and therefore expands the way she feels when she is in this city. Another big thing that comes with this city is the number of different cultures that are included in this city. This creates such a caring environment for all those that live in it.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Gira Patel

2021-11-03 02:26:58+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>I think that Canton district lines should stay the way they are. Being a student of a high school in Canton, I have been able to experience the vast diversity throughout the city. I think having this diversity is vital to creating a peaceful and comfortable society for all those that live in it. Along with that, the different community of people that live in this city adds to the city's culture.</p>	Puja Patel	2021-11-03 02:24:29+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>I think that the Canton district is good as it is. Currently, this district includes many people that have similar experiences within their community. The choice should be to keep this area as it is. The community that is enclosed within this district has a population of diverse individuals voting on similar issues.</p>	Samantha Emery (for another friend	2021-11-03 02:21:05+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>I think Canton should stay as is. I personally believe the district is properly representing the communities in Canton, and isn't favoring any political party unfairly.</p>	Kazamir Piotrowski	2021-11-03 02:19:47+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	I think districts 43 and 35 should be combined. The Plymouth-Canton community should remain unified in districts since it is unified in other areas. If these townships share a schooling district, then they should share a voting district as well.	Samantha Emery (for a friend)	2021-11-03 02:15:53+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Dislike	As a resident, I am pleased to be a part of the interconnected community known as Ottawa County, a unique Community of Interest whose smaller cities and townships share economic and agricultural ties. Keep Ottawa County whole. I believe that the district of Canton should stay as it is. The population of its district is similar to the population of districts in its surrounding area. The population of Canton is a diverse community as it is and there are no necessary changes during this time.	Candy DeHaan	2021-11-03 02:13:00+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion		Samantha Emery	2021-11-03 02:09:40+00

Jamestown Charter Township is a mostly rural community, with barely 20% of the Township being serviced by Public Water and Sewer and about 2/3 of the Township is zoned Agricultural.

As a resident, I am pleased to be a part of the interconnected community known as Ottawa County, a unique Community of Interest whose smaller cities and townships share economic and agricultural ties.

Jamestown Charter Township should not be lumped in with Kent Count or the Grand Rapids area but remain with Ottawa County.

Keep Jamestown Township as part of the Ottawa County Community when redistricting.

Jamestown Charter Township is a mostly rural community, with barely 20% of the Township being serviced by Public Water and Sewer and about 2/3 of the Township is zoned Agricultural.

As a resident, I am pleased to be a part of the interconnected community known as Ottawa County, a unique Community of Interest whose smaller cities and townships share economic and agricultural ties.

Jamestown Charter Township should not be lumped in with Kent Count or the Grand Rapids area but remain with Ottawa County.

Keep Jamestown Township as part of the Ottawa County Community when redistricting.

Jamestown Charter Township is a mostly rural community, with barely 20% of the Township being serviced by Public Water and Sewer and about 2/3 of the Township is zoned Agricultural.

As a resident, I am pleased to be a part of the interconnected community known as Ottawa County, a unique Community of Interest whose smaller cities and townships share economic and agricultural ties.

Jamestown Charter Township should not be lumped in with Kent Count or the Grand Rapids area but remain with Ottawa County.

Keep Jamestown Township as part of the Ottawa County Community when redistricting.

As a new resident of  
Plymouth, I believe that the  
district does need change.  
Although there is a big voting  
turnout, diversity is lacking.  
Voices of those with a  
different background or way  
in life cannot be heard.  
Possibly having Northville as  
the same district as Plymouth  
could benefit and make it a  
more diverse community  
rather it is one voice.  
Northville is similar to  
Plymouth in how they do  
events and activities in the  
community.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Ashlyn Watson

2021-11-03 02:02:29+00

Jamestown Charter Township is a mostly rural community, with barely 20% of the Township being serviced by Public Water and Sewer and about 2/3 of the Township is zoned Agricultural.

As a resident, I am pleased to be a part of the interconnected community known as Ottawa County, a unique Community of Interest whose smaller cities and townships share economic and agricultural ties.

Jamestown Charter Township should not be lumped in with Kent Count or the Grand Rapids area but remain with Ottawa County.

Keep Jamestown Township as part of the Ottawa County Community when redistricting.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Candy DeHaan

2021-11-03 02:02:08+00

The map of Canton correctly represents the diverse communities in the city and I don't think it needs to be changed. The boundaries of the district correctly represent the population without disproportionately supporting one political party. I think the current way of drawing borders also properly reflects the vital diversity of the city.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Judah Piotrowski

2021-11-03 02:00:44+00

Jamestown Charter Township is a mostly rural community, with barely 20% of the Township being serviced by Public Water and Sewer and about 2/3 of the Township is zoned Agricultural.

As a resident, I am pleased to be a part of the interconnected community known as Ottawa County, a unique Community of Interest whose smaller cities and townships share economic and agricultural ties.

Jamestown Charter Township should not be lumped in with Kent Count or the Grand Rapids area but remain with Ottawa County.

Keep Jamestown Township as part of the Ottawa County Community when redistricting.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	I believe that district #43 or Canton should not be changed as it is a very well represented area. The population of district #43 is similar to that of the other districts surrounding it. District #43 is also very diverse in that there are many different races and people. This means there are many different opinions from district #43, making the map of this district perfectly fine.	Vidhi Patel	2021-11-03 01:55:35+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	The proposed southern district (including Portage) makes sense, but the Commission should take a closer look at the city of Kalamazoo and consider dividing the proposed northern district into West and East Kalamazoo districts. Douglas street would be a good dividing line if the Commission is really working toward the best solution to a representative form of government.	James E. Perry	2021-11-03 01:45:19+00

I think the boundaries of Canton and Plymouth are pretty fair because the outlines of the districts are compact. The populations of both districts are similar to others. There seems to be a more diverse population in Canton compared to Plymouth. Having a diverse population helps to understand the needs and point of views of people from different ethnicities and/or minorities. Because of this, political officials can try their best to address these issues and make the community more cohesive.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Saumya Arora

2021-11-03 01:41:55+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>I think that it would be best if the line that Canton is drawn in stays the same. I think this because first of all its population, which is 90,000, is pretty similar to the other districts around it. Also, I think that Canton is very racially diverse which would allow all the communities to have a say. Finally, I think that the district Canton is in and the other districts look pretty geographically fit. It is for these reasons that I think the district lines are perfect and there is no need to change them.</p>	Muhammad Musa Khan	2021-11-03 01:32:53+00
Birch V2	Like	<p>This map keeps the Greater Lansing area together, and that is fair and appropriate. It keeps Clinton County in a congressional district with Ingham &amp; Eaton Counties which comprise a strong community of interest. I strongly favor this map.</p>	Melany Mack	2021-11-03 01:29:19+00

I feel there should be no change in the map for canton. I say this because the races seem to be equally divided. The map has a diverse communities of interest. Also the diversity in the community is also represented accurately and correctly. The population of this district is similar to the population of the districts around it. Also the district lines are compact just like the youtube video was talking about. However, I believe that Plymouth and Canton should be separate, that way everyone gets a say within these boundaries. Overall the map is well written and needs no change. It should stay the same.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Akalbir Pahal

2021-11-03 01:27:45+00

I feel there should be no change in the map for canton. I say this because the races seem to be equally divided. Also the map has a diverse communities of interest. Also the diversity in the community is also represented accurately and correctly. Also the population of this district is similar to the population of the districts around it. Also the district lines are compact just like the youtube video was talking about. However, I believe that Plymouth and Canton should be separate, that way everyone gets a say within these boundaries. Overall the map is well written and needs no change. It should stay the same.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Akalbir Pahal

2021-11-03 01:25:53+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	I think that the district of Canton is good. It is quite compact as the borders do not have any long or awkward protrusions. It has a total population that is very close to the totals of other districts at around 90,000 people, and the population is relatively diverse in both the total population and voting age population. Overall, I think that the plans for the redistricting of Canton are fair to Canton and the other districts.	Darren Tenka	2021-11-03 01:25:42+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	From my standpoint, the district of Canton should remain the same because it has a nice, compact size, a large diversity, and its population is similar to the districts surrounding it. These are all factors that are considered when redistricting and they are nice and fair for the district of Canton.	Iman Quraishi	2021-11-03 01:23:38+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>From my standpoint, the district of Canton should remain the same because it has a nice, compact size, a large diversity, and its population is similar to the districts surrounding it. These are all factors that are considered when redistricting and they are nice and fair for the district of Canton.</p>	Iman Quraishi	2021-11-03 01:23:35+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>Southern Macomb Twp has witnessed a beautiful growth of minority communities and including this area with the thumb will really dilute their voices.</p>	H.G.A.	2021-11-02 20:51:13+00

Birch V2	Dislike	<p>This looks like an effort to include more of Oakland County with District 10, so that Rochester and Troy are not alone. But, it's such a stretch and divides traditional sister cities like Birmingham and Royal Oak, while alienating Macomb Township and Warren from their communities. Please leave Rochester Hills and Troy with the communities that share their interests, and include more of Macomb Township and Macomb County with District 10. Thank you!</p> <p>As others have said, Troy &amp; Rochester really shouldn't be with District 10. Leave them with Farmington Hills, Royal Oak, Bloomfield, where their interests are much more aligned. Instead, include more of Macomb Township with District 10 as well as communities to the east, particularly along the Hall Road business corridor. Shelby, Utica, Macomb, Clinton Township, Sterling Heights... these all belong together. Thank you!</p>	H.G.A.	2021-11-02 20:47:54+00
Apple V2	Dislike		H.A.	2021-11-02 20:33:45+00

I have come to a conclusion that the current map of the district of the Canton is totally fine. We do not need changes, however, in the future maybe including Plymouth for more accurate measures. As of now, our population is roughly 90,000 in Canton which is ideal because it is very similar to the population of surrounding districts. Canton is full of a diverse community and that helps because it shows the aspects of all races and not just one. These conditions make me believe that the district is perfect as is, and needs no more changes as of now.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Aadi Huria

2021-11-02 18:34:12+00

I think the borderline for Plymouth should not be changed because it has a pretty diverse population to include into voting and geography. I also believe Canton and Plymouth should be separate because both of the townships have their own voting decisions. This also makes sure that Canton that has a minor population than Plymouth with a major population, so that the Plymouth decision into voting doesn't take over Canton due to different decisions in vote. A population that has majority should never take over the minority, so that everyone gets a say within these boundaries.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Suryaprakash Veeramani

2021-11-02 18:33:38+00

This is the best version of District 10 of the congressional maps. But, Rochester Hills really belongs more with Auburn Hills, Bloomfield Hills, Farmington Hills, etc. Why not put Rochester/Rochester Hills with District 11 and take into District 10 more of Macomb to the east? Thank you for your tireless work!

Chestnut

Opinion

H.G.A.

2021-11-02 18:32:54+00

This map is not perfect, but it's pretty good - including in my area. The I-94 economic corridor is an obvious community of interest of exactly the kind explicitly listed in the Constitution, and this helps you with partisan fairness. Could this be better? Sure! I like the AFL-CIO maps which keep Jackson, Calhoun, and Hillsdale Counties all whole and separate. But don't let the perfect be the enemy of the good. I really don't like your Congressional map, and I know folks on the opposite side of the political spectrum from me don't like this map. If you have each side happy with half your work and unhappy with the other half, you're probably on the right track. Keep up the good work - now fix the state house!

Cherry V2

Like

Conner

2021-11-02 18:31:19+00

Cherry V2	Like	I appreciate having most of Jackson County joined with Washtenaw county along I-94. We share common economic interests and a blend of rural/suburban/urban demographics.	Jennifer Gallagher	2021-11-02 18:27:52+00
-----------	------	--	--------------------	------------------------

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	I believe that there should be some change in the Plymouth district. This is due to the fact that there is not much diversity in ethnic background and it seems to be very one-sided. The political viewpoints seems to be fine as there is a very big voting population in Plymouth. The Plymouth district has 90,000 people in a small area which shows that the district is quite compact. The only problem is the ethnic background and how it is not very diverse.	Amaan Rizvi	2021-11-02 18:21:25+00
--------------------	---------	---	-------------	------------------------

This map ignores the commission's own COI data as it relates to mid and northern Michigan. Bay-Arenac ISD. Bay-Arenac Behavioral Health. EMCOG. Region 7b Michigan Works. Central Michigan District Health. Bay & Arenac Community Foundation. Ascension Hospital System. Where residents live/work/play. You have ignored almost every civic and social tie that binds Arenac County to Bay County and the rest of Mid-Michigan. Our voices have been silenced by unelected bureaucrats who choose to put their partisan interests above those of our community.

10-29-21 SD v3

Dislike

Adam Kroczaleski

2021-11-02 18:19:09+00

I believe that the voting district does not need any changes due to the fact that the district is quite diverse and had a decent voting population. The district is quite small and has 91,000 citizens meeting the criteria of it being quite compact. There are many backgrounds in the district which meets the requirement of a diverse district. Around 60,000 people vote in the district, which shows that there are many political interests as well as many who are politically active.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Amaan Rizvi

2021-11-02 18:15:34+00

I believe that the district for Canton should stay the same. The population of the district is pretty close to the populations of the other districts around it at about 91,000 people. Also, the population of Canton represents many different people and cultures because of the diversity of the city. The lines drawn right now for the district are compact as well so there is no reason to change it. Therefore the lines drawn for district 43 do not need to change.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Sam Steffes

2021-11-02 18:01:21+00

I think that the voting district for Canton is roughly fair. It has a community of interest where the people share similar economic interests and historical characteristics. Some might say to include Northville and Plymouth in this district due to the similar historical background, but Plymouth and Northville have different economic interests and would make a district too large and disproportional. In this case, Canton as its own district was a good choice. It has a diverse population, reflects a boundary of partisan fairness, and is of equal proportion.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Abir Hassan

2021-11-02 17:58:55+00

This district does not protect COIs. Having GR linked up with Kalamazoo while completely ignoring the metro areas that have more in common with each respective city is nonsensical.

Apple V2

Dislike

Brenden Boudreau

2021-11-02 17:58:42+00

Apple V2	Like	<p>The Lansing-Livingston County district is fair and keeps Livingston County whole in a competitive district. I do think the portion of Lyndon Twp (mistakenly called Unadilla in the meeting) can be removed and put with the rest of Washtenaw, but overall it's a good district and good for Livingston County and the Brighton area.</p>	Dan Wholihan	2021-11-02 17:56:35+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>The Lansing-Livingston County district is fair and keeps Livingston County whole in a competitive district where all voters including rural voters matter. This is good for Livingston County and the Brighton area.</p>	Dan Wholihan	2021-11-02 17:55:21+00

Birch V2	Like	<p>The Lansing-Livingston County district is fair and keeps Livingston County whole in a competitive district. I do think the portion of Lyndon Twp (mistakenly called Unadilla in the meeting) can be removed and put with the rest of Washtenaw, but overall it's a good district and good for Livingston County and the Brighton area.</p>	Dan Wholihan	2021-11-02 17:53:49+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>I think the current map for the district of Canton should be kept as it is. The population of Canton is fairly equal to the population of the other districts in Michigan. The district is also contiguous and fairly compact. There isn't any serious separation and it doesn't combine with neighboring towns, either. The diversity in the community is also accurately represented.</p>	Drasti Patel	2021-11-02 17:52:22+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>I like this map to the extent that Livingston County is kept whole and not with the City of Ann Arbor.</p>	Dan Wholihan	2021-11-02 17:48:36+00

I like the way that Canton is broken up for redistricting. I think the areas that they have broken up are accurate representations of what the cities are considered to be by the people. I think the populations of the cities are about equal as well as a diverse variety of the population. I think by breaking it up into these districts it gives a chance for the districts to be swayed in vote because of different kinds of voters and different thinkers. I think if they were broken up differently and had a lot of the same kind of thinker in the same area then that area would always vote for the same thing. I think they should keep the boundaries for areas surrounding Canton and Plymouth

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Donovan Thomas

2021-11-02 17:45:54+00

As a student who is in the Plymouth Canton district I believe that our district need not have any drastic changes. It has a proper population of 95,202 at the moment (2021). We have a rational size for a district. We also have a diverse community with different ethnicity, cultures, and religions. Talking about the boundaries at Canton, I trust that they do not need to carry forward any additional changes and they are drawn out in a suitable manner. I personally believe that we also have a good relation with our neighboring districts.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Sagarika Rai

2021-11-02 17:42:27+00

I believe residents in Plymouth and Northville would benefit more in the same district. The Township of Northville is in district #35, while Northville is in district #110. It would be more efficient and respectful of Northville boundaries to be represented in the same district as the Township of Northville (district #35). District #35 also has less diversity in voters than the surrounding areas. Therefore, the map boundaries should change to accommodate Northville.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Maysa Wasel

2021-11-02 17:35:09+00

I personally think that it would be best if the line in which Canton is drawn in remains the same. The way the map and district lines are drawn currently, it looks geographically fit and compact as they all fit into each other like perfect puzzle pieces. If the districts were to change in any way, it could mean that children's school districts would be moved as well which would be difficult for the younger students to adjust to. Another reason why the location is perfect is that of the diverse community that resides in Canton. In this community, each race is equally represented which is important for having a more developed and enriched society. The size of our current population ( being about 90,000) is also fairly equal to most of the other districts which further proves Sydney Vilorio

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

2021-11-02 17:26:45+00

I believe that District 43, representing Canton, should stay as is. The boundary lines drawn meet the redistricting criteria in population, diversity, and compactness. Canton has a population of roughly 91,000, which is similar to other districts. Additionally, the boundaries are well placed in order to maintain the vast ethnic diversity that Canton houses. Therefore, the boundary lines drawn for Canton are optimal, and need no change.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Aarya Upadhyay

2021-11-02 17:24:30+00

I personally think that it would be best if the line in which Canton is drawn in remains the same. The way the map and district lines are drawn currently, it looks geographically fit and compact as they all fit into each other like perfect puzzle pieces. If the districts were to change in any way, it could mean that children's school districts would be moved as well which would be difficult for the younger students to adjust to. Another reason why the location is perfect is that of the diverse community that resides in Canton. In this community, each race is equally represented which is important for having a more developed and enriched society. The size of our current population ( being about 90,000) is also fairly equal to most of the other districts which further proves Sydney Vilorio

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

2021-11-02 17:24:30+00

I personally think that it would be best if the line in which Canton is drawn in remains the same. The way the map and district lines are drawn currently, it looks geographically fit and compact as they all fit into each other like perfect puzzle pieces. If the districts were to change in any way, it could mean that children's school districts would be moved as well which would be difficult for the younger students to adjust to. Another reason why the location is perfect is that of the diverse community that resides in Canton. In this community, each race is equally represented which is important for having a more developed and enriched society. The size of our current population ( being about 90,000) is also fairly equal to most of the other districts which further proves Sydney Vilorio

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

2021-11-02 17:23:06+00

I personally think that it would be best if the line in which Canton is drawn in remains the same. The way the map and district lines are drawn currently, it looks geographically fit and compact as they all fit into each other like perfect puzzle pieces. If the districts were to change in any way, it could mean that children's school districts would be moved as well which would be difficult for the younger students to adjust to. Another reason why the location is perfect is that of the diverse community that resides in Canton. In this community, each race is equally represented which is important for having a more developed and enriched society. The size of our current population ( being about 90,000) is also fairly equal to most of the other districts which further proves Sydney Vilorio

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

2021-11-02 17:21:48+00

I believe that the current district for Canton is perfectly fine and it should stay the same. The population of this district (43) and other surrounding districts are very similar, they are all around 91,000 people. I personally believe that Canton accurately represents different cultures and communities within the township and it should continue to remain as is. The current boundaries of Canton are appropriate and the map is drawn correctly.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Alex Lukas

2021-11-02 17:14:22+00

I believe that district #35 of Canton should remain as it is. The district well represents the people and interests of the area and well encompasses the community and bonds in it. This district is compact and has good diversity. Although, through my knowledge and close relation to many in district #35, I believe that district #110 should not be the way that it is displayed on the map. For many years, the towns of Plymouth and Northville have developed many shared things and bonds through close proximity. Breaking up districts #35 and #110 in this way would not be beneficial to this community. There is no use dividing Northville and Township of Northville. The towns of Northville and Plymouth should be kept together. If not, it will only hurt the people.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Eva Garza

2021-11-02 17:06:48+00

I think the Canton district is well-placed to maintain the ethnic diversity of its region without watering it down. It seems to be slightly more diverse than districts 36 or 19 surrounding it, and much more diverse than district 35. This makes it in a good place. District 35's boundaries with districts 14 and 17, as well as district 110, are well placed in terms of diversity, which can frequently impact voting. This plan would allow the various communities of each district to be properly and adequately represented.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Devansh Shende

2021-11-02 17:05:09+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>I believe that District 43, representing Canton, should stay as is. The boundary lines drawn meet the redistricting criteria in population, diversity, contiguousness, and compactness. The district of Canton maintains a population of roughly 90,000, which is similar to other districts. Additionally, District 43 represents the needs of various groups of people. Moreover, it has compact borders and is contiguous. Thus, District 43 does not need any redistricting.</p>	Nabeeha Jalali	2021-11-02 17:00:10+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>Ok we're going in the wrong direction expanding this Lyndon Township/Washtenaw Co cut out. Please remove it altogether and keep the county boundary intact. This divides the Chelsea community and is unnecessary.</p>	Steven B	2021-11-02 16:58:03+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	<p>How is this not a gerrymander?  I'd love to see someone try to explain how this is a community of interest. It was clearly done for partisan reasons and is an insult to the jackson and western Washtenaw community.</p>	Alan	2021-11-02 16:55:21+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>After looking at district 43(Canton) as well as its surrounding districts I believe the size is perfect and compact. It is evident that there is a diverse community of interest. Also the district up holds the equal population mandated by US constitution. Please remove this Lyndown Township/Washtenaw Co cut out. We've seen this on several maps and this divides the Chelsea community and is unnecessary. Please keep the county boundaries intact where possible - much like you've done with the rest of</p>	Kyra Burkhardt	2021-11-02 16:52:47+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>this map.</p>	Steven B	2021-11-02 16:51:21+00

Ypsilanti has a long history of being in the shadow of Ann Arbor. This map properly gives it a district that is only shared with half of AA instead of silenced by the entire city. This also contributes to having a better balance between democrat and republican representation for your map statewide, which is one of the most important reasons Voters Not Politicians passed in the first place

Cherry V2

Like

Nieci N

2021-11-02 16:42:21+00

I believe we should keep the map as is shown. Moving schools for kids would be very hard. Geographically, it's very compact as it isn't a wildly obscure shape. Canton district is proper, and the boundaries are good as is. Canton has a diverse background which is whole with the current boundaries, giving the different communities representation. The size and population of the district also are fair. The 91,000 average of most other districts. Therefore there is no need to change the boundaries of this map.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Krish Patel

2021-11-02 16:39:34+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>I think that Canton should stay where it is. The current boundaries keep the population similar to the rest of the districts (around 90,000). Also, seeing the diversity percentages, I thought they seemed to be accurate in what I've observed in my life. I think that the people of Canton will have a very good chance of being fairly represented with this district boundary staying how it is.</p>	Jasmine Chalmers	2021-11-02 16:38:40+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>Please avoid splitting Farmington and Farmington Hills into 3 House districts. The two cities share schools, libraries, and other services, and operate often as a united community. The long slivers of the districts do not advance interests of the F/FH community nor the few living within them.</p>	Darryl Conliffe	2021-11-02 16:34:27+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	I believe that the lines for Canton create a well represented area. Canton's population is similar to the other districts but has a higher diversity compared to other districts in Michigan. I believe that the map for Canton is drawn in a way to include a good variety of opinions.	Colin Bedolla	2021-11-02 16:31:59+00
Birch V2	Like	The Birch map is the fairest one statewide, and it is good for the Lansing area.	Philip Martinez	2021-11-02 16:24:57+00

I think that district #73 should not be changed for many reasons. First, the population of this district is relatively the same as other districts at around 91,000. Additionally, the district is geographically contiguous as it does not have any breaks. The shape of the district is not contorted, it is compact. The district encompasses all of Canton Township, any expansions or reductions would cause this to change. This district groups Canton Township only, so when decisions need to be made, there would be common interests. Any change geographically would cause either part of Canton to be broken apart, or a part of another city/township to be included in the district that would mostly be Canton. Lastly, the diversity of this district causes the decisions made to reflect many groups, which is best for the

It may make more sense for Troy/Rochester to be included with Birmingham/Clawson and removed completely from Sterling Heights.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Armaan Sandhu

2021-11-02 16:23:52+00

Cherry V2

Opinion

Matthew Haupt

2021-11-02 16:21:31+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>I believe that the current voting district of Canton should be kept because it keeps the township together, thus reflecting the interests of the township of Canton. Canton has a diverse background that is held intact with the current boundaries, giving the different communities representation. The size and population of the district also are reasonable, as it hits the 91,000 average of most other districts. Thus there is no need to change the boundaries of this map.</p>	Zoha Syed	2021-11-02 16:16:15+00
Chestnut	Like	<p>For Troy specifically, this makes more sense being included with Royal Oak/Ferndale and Birmingham.</p>	Matthew Haupt	2021-11-02 16:16:03+00
Apple V2	Dislike	<p>Birch V2 is better for this area. Troy/Rochester moving by themselves to mainly Macomb cities does not make sense, especially as you head farther north. Troy has more interests aligned with Royal Oak/Ferndale and Birmingham.</p>	Matthew Haupt	2021-11-02 16:14:03+00

I think it would be more beneficial to have the Plymouth and Northville cities in the same district.

Relationships are close between the cities holding similar events and activities.

The population between these cities are also tight knit and being in one district would better reflect the people. This could also keep district 110 more compact.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Peter Kim

2021-11-02 16:13:41+00

I believe we should keep the current voting district we have in Canton. Our boundaries are continuous and do not combine with any other cities or townships. This district also has a very wide variety of cultures and interests among its people.

The districts also have similar populations in relation to the other locations.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Madison Borsh

2021-11-02 16:13:25+00

I am indifferent to this map, Muskegon and GR share a lot in common, but this map also splits Ottawa County in half and Ottawa County wishes to stay in the same community.

Chestnut

Like

This is my second favorite map after AppleV2, I like this map more than Birch.

Patrick Quist

2021-11-02 16:12:25+00

I think that this potential map for redistricting is good for Michigan because the districts have reasonable shapes which don't break up the towns and have about the same population in each. Also, partisan symmetry helps in not giving an advantage for one party over the other.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Prisha Vysyaraju

2021-11-02 16:10:45+00

I believe that the voting district in Canton is pretty proper and fair as it is. The population of the 91,480, which is nearly exactly the targeted population of 91612. The population of people living in Canton is pretty diverse too. Though some may have higher numbers than others, there is a nice and broad array of groups that can have input. Geographically, it's very compact as it isn't a wildly obscure shape, and it also is relatively small and similar to its neighbors in terms of size. It is contiguous as there isn't any major separation. All in all, this Canton district is proper, and the boundaries are good as is.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Rushil Patel

2021-11-02 16:10:24+00

I believe as long as Troy is also include with some Oakland county cities (and I agree with what is on the map) it would make sense. I know some maps had Troy alone with Macomb County which should be avoided if possible. Thank you for your hard work on this! It's getting there.

Birch V2

Opinion

Matthew Haupt

2021-11-02 16:10:05+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>I believe that the map for Canton properly represents the diverse communities within the city and doesn't require changing. The district's boundaries correctly represent the population without favoring one political party. I think that the way the boundaries are currently drawn also accurately reflects this city's diverse demographic.</p>	Keerthi Kumar	2021-11-02 16:07:23+00
Birch V2	Dislike	<p>This map, while keeping Kent County in the same district, fails to recognize true communities of interest. North and East Kent County are rural and South West Kent County is a metro area. Just because the lines look nice doesn't mean it keeps communities together.</p> <p>Please remove this cut out (Lyndon Township) and keep the Chelsea community and Washtenaw Co together. I see this proposed on many maps and strongly object. Please keep the county boundaries lines intact whenever possible.</p>	Patrick Quist	2021-11-02 16:04:58+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike		Steven B	2021-11-02 16:03:10+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	<p>Please remove this cut out (Lyndon Township) and keep the Chelsea community and Washtenaw Co together. I see this proposed on many maps and strongly object. Please keep the county boundaries lines intact whenever possible.</p>	Steven B	2021-11-02 16:02:09+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	<p>Please remove this cut out (Lyndon Township) and keep the Chelsea community and Washtenaw Co together. I see this proposed on many maps and strongly object. Please keep the county boundaries lines intact whenever possible.</p>	Steven B	2021-11-02 16:01:08+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>I believe that the map for Canton is drawn correctly and properly represent the diverse communities within the city. The size of the district is similar to that of the city and the boundaries will correctly represent the population without favoring one political party.</p>	Shayla Amin	2021-11-02 16:00:09+00

I'm a little disappointed in Caledonia not being included in District 4 but Middleville is, but other than that I completely agree with this map.

Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids share more than they're different. The 131 corridor is a common route for business in WMich. Some may complain that Kent County isn't kept whole, but I don't see how that is relevant. The commission is tasked with respecting communities of interest more than strict county borders.

I'm also a HUGE fan of keeping Ottawa County and the lakeshore as a single district, the tourism and business of the lakeshore is one community that deserves specific representation in congress.

Apple V2

Like

Patrick Quist

2021-11-02 15:59:32+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>Please remove this cut out (Lyndon Township) and keep Washtenaw Co together. I see this proposed on many maps and strongly object. Please keep the county boundaries lines intact whenever possible.</p>	Steven B	2021-11-02 15:59:30+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>Please remove this cut out (Lyndon Township) and keep Washtenaw Co together. I see this proposed on many maps and strongly object. Keep the county boundaries lines intact whenever possible. Here is a good example.</p> <p>I'm sorely disappointed that Wyandotte is not included in district 7. It has always been an integral part of our broader community and we have shared our mutual concerns and ways to work together for the greater good of our area. Quite simply, it makes no sense to delete it from our district.</p>	Steven B	2021-11-02 15:56:55+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Opinion	<p>from our district.</p>	ken tilp	2021-11-02 15:56:27+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>I think that this map is just fine for Canton, since we have equal population with the other districts as well as a diverse background that is also similar to the other districts.</p>	Jason Zhang	2021-11-02 15:53:17+00
Cherry V2	Like	<p>Thank you for unpacking Washtenaw County. Also thank you for understanding that communities of interest should not be at the sacrifice of partisan fairness. You have done your best work yet with this map, and I hope you continue to appropriately value partisan fairness with your house and Congress maps.</p>	Dwayne Grantland	2021-11-02 15:51:52+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>The voting district should stay the same for Canton. The boundaries of Canton are geographically contiguous and do not break up the town or combine it with other towns. The population of the Canton district is also equal to the population of other districts in Michigan. In addition, this district represents a group of common and cultural interests.</p>	Shreya	2021-11-02 15:44:43+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I believe we should keep the current voting district of Canton, because it groups together the entire township based on community interests and reflects Canton's diversity. The district is geographically contiguous and doesn't separate the town or combine it with another. All districts also have relatively similar populations, which provides more accurate representation.	Aliya Kurian	2021-11-02 15:44:26+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I think that this map is very good & it represents partisan symmetry very well. This helps in not giving one party an advantage over the other party. Another thing that I really like about this map is the diversity in communities that it shows the proportional amount of votes in the state of Michigan.	Adithya Varanasi	2021-11-02 15:43:52+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I think that this districting map will positively serve the state of Michigan, and the township of Canton. It does a good job of showing and reflecting the different communities of people found in Canton. I don't think that any new changes made were unnecessary.	Andrew McLaren	2021-11-02 15:42:17+00
Apple V2	Like	This district is amazing, please use this map! Referring to the district that links Kzoo and GR.	Alan D Cacciamani	2021-11-02 15:34:50+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	The map for the district of Canton is a good one, in my opinion. You can see that the districts all have an approximate population of 90,000 people. The equal size rule is a federal requirement, and it would be unnecessary and difficult to change the boundaries of our district because we already uphold this rule. In addition, our district already contains a diverse demographic, so there is not a huge need to change its boundaries.	Krithvi Rayarapu	2021-11-02 15:34:33+00

Cherry V2	Dislike	How does this represent a community of interest? You think the people in Calhoun have more in common with northern AA than the people in southern AA? This is ridiculous.	Larry	2021-11-02 15:34:32+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I believe this map is accurately drawn and works to restrict unfair practices like gerrymandering, whether of a racial basis or specific partisan alliances. I think this map will allow our state to have a more democratic outcome reflective of its population without the harmful influences of gerrymandering.	Saanvi Jingilipalem	2021-11-02 15:28:03+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	FIVE Districts for EATON COUNTY! REALLY? We are rural, and if we have to combine with another county, it should be another rural county IF you are even still doing Communities of Interest.	DARLENE LITTLEJOHN	2021-11-02 15:27:10+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>This map makes no sense. Grosse Pointe should not be split in two. North Central/East Detroit should not share a district with Macomb Co. suburbs. All of GP, Harper Woods and the following Detroit east side neighborhoods should be in a district: East English Village, Morningside, Jefferson Chalmers, Cornerstone Village. Districts should be built around communities of a shared interest.</p>	Alex C	2021-11-02 15:19:59+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Like	<p>Keeping Northville whole, and in the same district as Plymouth, is in the best interest of the community and its residents.</p>	Randa Cain	2021-11-02 15:15:10+00

This district favors  
Republicans and takes  
representation away the East  
Side of Detroit. What does a  
sliver of the overwhelmingly  
Democratic East side of  
Detroit have in common with  
any of the more conservative  
Macomb County suburbs?  
Districts are supposed to be  
made around communities of  
shared interest.  
Representation would be  
taken away from the East side  
of Detroit with this district  
map.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Alex C

2021-11-02 15:08:59+00

Brilliant! This CD map along the 1-96 corridor connects two urban centers, Grand Rapids and Muskegon and gives a stronger voice to our diverse populations. Although Muskegon is on the lakeshore and home to the most beautiful beach on the West Coast, it is the ONLY lakeshore city with a diverse population, both racially and economically. The other communities like Holland, Saugatuck and South Haven are much wealthier and tourism focused. Muskegon is post industrial, with big environmental challenges. The median income of Muskegon is \$37,400 while Holland is \$57,000. Our needs and challenges are far greater than the communities to the south so we need be aligned with people with similar life circumstances.

Chestnut

Like

Ellen M. Beal

2021-11-02 15:06:49+00

This district favors Republicans and takes representation away from Harper Woods and the East Side of Detroit. Grosse Pointe also has been trending more Democratic when looking at the 2020 election results and should not be broken into two Districts. Districts are supposed to be around communities of shared interest. New Haven and New Baltimore are very different from the urban, inner ring suburbs of Harper Woods and Grosse Pointe. District 7 should start at the Macomb Co. boundary line.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Alex C

2021-11-02 15:05:53+00

I think that combining Marquette and Houghton into one district would make more sense than keeping the UP maps almost identical to what they are now. Two towns with hospitals, shipping, colleges, and tourism. I know they're trying not to pack cities in together, but cities have more in common with each other than nearby rural areas.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Casey Adams

2021-11-02 15:03:28+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	As a teacher in the community, I feel like this is reflective of the diverse communities of interest found in Canton. It seems reasonable in size, and doesn't look like any unnecessary changes have been made in comparison to the current map.	Gordon Copee	2021-11-02 15:00:04+00
Cherry V2	Like	This map is pretty good! While it is certainly not perfect, I appreciate your thoughtful considerations towards partisan fairness. Thank you!	Michael	2021-11-02 14:35:22+00
Cherry V2	Dislike	Please include East Sterling Heights with rest of Sterling Heights and Troy. Do not disenfranchise the Chaldean communities living in East of Sterling Heights. Map p7997 does this. <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/72287?portal">https://districtr.org/plan/72287?portal</a> . Thank you commissioners.	Yousif	2021-11-02 14:26:55+00

I live in Wyandotte and excluding us and Southgate from the rest of our Downriver community of interest as proposed would not only be unfair, it would make it extremely difficult to manage the many existing agreements among us and the other members of our community, from police and fire, to animal control, to business and economic interests. Excluding us and breaking up an existing community of interest in favor of including more western communities with which we have nothing in common makes absolutely no sense and would be harmful to all concerned. and I would ask that you consider how our community actually works and how we have historically worked together before making a decision that would have such a negative impact. Thank you for keeping the Tri Cities together as a separate district.

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

Karen Schaeffer

2021-11-02 14:10:58+00

10-29-21 SD v3 Like

Dennis Bragiel

2021-11-02 14:04:26+00

10-29-21 SD v3	Like	I grew up in Adrian and agree that Lenawee and Monroe county should be together. They are more similar than Lenawee and Hillsdale and there is more crossover travel between them. Thank you.	Ashlea Phenicie	2021-11-02 13:39:44+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Opinion	I would have expected to see a bit more splits based on the target of 265,193. Wondering what the reason is for some districts to be over the target over and others under.	N. Staron	2021-11-02 13:35:50+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Like	Thank you for slipping the Greater Lansing area into two districts. This map looks fair and representative. Good work.	Ashlea Phenicie	2021-11-02 13:34:34+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	I am a Bloomfield Hills resident and cannot image why the commission has drawn a backward C shape to link Bloomfield with Orion Township. The communities could not possibly be more different without shared interests. This is a ridiculous map. Put Orion Township where it belongs with Oakland Charter township and unify neighboring communities of interest.	Julia L Galliker	2021-11-02 13:18:55+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Rochester and Rochester Township should be together and should not include Oakland Township. The communities are entirely different.	Julia L Galliker	2021-11-02 13:14:00+00
Cherry V2	Like	I believe this is what we the people wanted. A map that embodies partisan fairness that includes in the changes people like me asked for the Greater Lansing area	Jeremy	2021-11-02 13:13:39+00

I support the alignment of District 14 and District 15. It balances out the strong party lines “ both Republican and Democrat “ that occurs between the Jackson/Washtenaw/Calhoun area. I live in the City of Jackson. I have also worked with members of the public in Jackson and Washtenaw Counties. From my working and personal experience, there is a very distinct political line that happens along the Jackson/Washtenaw county border. I appreciate the balanced approach to electing our political leaders that these alignments will provide voting members of the public. I support this alignment. This is much better than the

Cherry V2	Like	current alignment.	Tanya DeOliveira	2021-11-02 13:12:45+00
10-29-21 SD v1	Like	Better than what I have seen so far	Lisa	2021-11-02 12:24:28+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Like	Finally a district that actually makes sense.	Lisa	2021-11-02 12:23:07+00

That anyone of you can say in good conscious that this district will adequately represent the people in the Jackson (I do not live here) area is very telling. They will have their voices drowned out by the Ann Arbor area. The point of this exercise is to give the people in any given district a rep who can advocate for the district; how much attention is really going to be paid to these residents? They deserve effective representation as well. Quit trying to spread Ann Arbor across half the state.

Cherry V2

Dislike

Lisa

2021-11-02 12:20:55+00

Rochester & Troy in a district with Roseville & Eastpointe? Does anyone there even consider that someone has to represent the very diverse interests and concerns of these areas and hopefully get something done? These maps are terrible and all pretty much the same. Do better; this entire exercise is to give people access to representatives that can address the areas issues in an effective and efficient manner.

Birch V2

Dislike

Lisa

2021-11-02 12:15:20+00

I agree with the other commenter. All of these maps include communities with very diverse needs, concerns & infrastructure. These districts will result in little to no support for most the communities from their rep. This is ridiculous.

Chestnut

Dislike

Lisa

2021-11-02 12:10:34+00

		Rochester in a district with Eastpointe and Waterford in a district with Royal Oak & Ferndale? Does anyone there even consider that someone has to represent the very diverse interests and concerns of these areas?		
Apple V2	Dislike	These maps are absurd.	Lisa	2021-11-02 12:06:14+00
Birch V2	Like	Birch is well drawn for the Lansing region and the fairest statewide map. Well done! How AWFUL to look at this! Can you imagine any candidate trying to address the needs and wants of the voters? This encompasses every type of life Michigan can offer. Great Lakes, farming, city life, populous and sparse. Put yourselves in	Chris Andrews	2021-11-02 11:54:54+00
Chestnut	Dislike	this position! Wyandotte and Southgate need to be included with Grosse Ile and the other downriver communities. This makes no sense at all.	Sandra J Marsh	2021-11-02 11:35:55+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Dislike	Dislike!!	Erica Chappuis	2021-11-02 11:18:54+00

10-29-21 SD v3	Dislike	As the City Clerk of Clawson....WHY WOULD YOU SPLIT A TINY 2.1 SQUARE MILE CITY IN HALF!?!?! This makes no sense at all. Just keep our small town in ONE district please. What are you thinking? Honoring geographic boundaries is supposed to be a guiding principal of this process, which you clearly ignore. This is not right! Why split up Wyandotte and Southgate? Grosse Ile has more in common with Trenton, Wyandotte and Southgate than with Ypsilanti, Canton and the like. This makes no sense.	August R Gitschlag	2021-11-02 10:56:11+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Dislike		Laurent Chappuis	2021-11-02 10:54:53+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Please redo this map. Dowagiac is Cass County's only city. It anchors the county. Putting it with district 61 pits Dowagiac against Niles for resources and representation. Dowagiac is part and parcel of Cass County historically, economically, culturally, and socially. It's like cutting the heart out of the county to put the city in a separate district. This map dilutes Pontiac's vote by splitting it from Southfield. This map does not recognize the COI with Sterling Heights and the Asian community with Troy.	Naomi Ludman	2021-11-02 04:43:49+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Dislike	I live in Midland and I like this map for State House. It keeps Midland and Bay City together. As sister cities we are a community of interest, and this map is fair to both Democrats and Republicans.	Daniel	2021-11-02 03:40:58+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Definitely a good solution for this area. Still very concerned about the VRA requirements in Detroit though.	Gisela Bailey	2021-11-02 03:13:35+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like		Janet Cannon	2021-11-02 03:03:35+00

I live in Midland and I like this map. Thank you for keeping the Tri-cities together because as the Great Lakes Bay region we are certainly a community of interest sharing Industry, Colleges and Universities , Arts etc..We need maps that reflect the political makeup of the state : 53% Democrat /47% Republican.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like

Gisela Bailey

2021-11-02 02:56:58+00

As a resident of Grosse Ile  
(District 7), I urge the  
Commission to include  
Southgate and Wyandotte as  
Communities of Interest with  
Grosse Ile and other  
Downriver communities. As  
Judith Maiga very thoroughly  
describes, Downriver  
communities are obviously  
linked geographically, as well  
as economically (by sharing  
the same employers and  
retail establishments),  
medically (access to  
Wyandotte Hospital as our  
closest and only accessible  
emergency facility),  
environmentally (we all suffer  
the same pollution and seek  
the same redress of the  
McLouth site and other  
industrial sites), while using  
shared services. Please  
include Southgate and  
Wyandotte in District and  
delete some western  
communities which share  
nothing with Downriver.

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Dislike

ROBERTA URBANI

2021-11-02 02:15:23+00

The City of South Haven affects the lives of all of the three townships that surround it in terms of livelihood, schools, and sense of community. Yet District 87 cuts in so close to the lake that Geneva Township is totally cut out. So my family who is four miles inland has no voting say in South Haven and now has no House legislator that also has our interests in our community. You have drawn the map having inclusive city areas in other towns, but not South Haven. Please include all three of its townships. Also please make sure that all maps passed have a close to zero partisan bias. The Commission was passed by the voters so that everyone had a chance to have their voice be heard. No district should be predetermined!

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Thank you for your time.

Mary Combs

2021-11-02 01:30:20+00

live in proposed district 7 on this map. Grosse Ile, Wyandotte and Southgate are definitely COI's and should be together in any configuration of downriver (as they are in most of the congressional maps.) Our cities share the services of Downriver Mutual Aid which is police and fire joint assistance and is funded through a congressional act/program, DRANO (Downriver Area Narcotics Organization), Downriver Swat Team, etc. Wyandotte shares the riverfront concerns of the clean up and future development of the abandoned McLouth Steel plant and Wyandotte's Beaumont Hospital services all emergencies from Grosse Ile as the only bridge that allows access to Grosse Ile lies where Wyandotte and Riverview meet - our Grosse Ile ambulances have literally met ambulances from

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Dislike

Judy Maiga

2021-11-02 00:36:47+00

10-29-21 SD v3	Dislike	<p>The republicans should not have a majority in any district, only democrats should have a majority. It is the only way to create fairness. This is why the "Independent Commission" was created. "This is what democracy looks like" in a socialist run society.</p> <p>Thank you very much for listening to the testimony of my higher education colleagues and to both my input and testimony. We have worked very hard to establish a higher education community of interest that includes our institutions. This has proven successful for us. Working together in a collaborative fashion we are able to advance and support the work of our colleges and universities, which are in a rural area of the state. As we seek to move Michigan forward, I encourage you to advance this map to support the education we provide.</p>	merlin steffes	2021-11-01 22:01:57+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Like	<p>David L. Eisler, president, Ferris State University.</p>	David L Eisler	2021-11-01 21:21:47+00

This is a poor map. It would give the Republican Party a 6-point advantage in the maps, so even if the Democrats won by 5 points the Republicans would get control of the chamber.

Fair maps shouldn't have a partisan advantage, and it's imperative for the Commission to ensure a partisan gap of zero. This map needs to go back to the drawing board.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Patrick Burns Dillon

2021-11-01 21:05:14+00

Les agradecemos por apoyar nuestro mapa. Les pediment que mantengan nuestra Comunidad hispana unida. El senado le corto la cuarta parte 25%. Nuestra Comunidad de interes (DHDC Latinx SW) debe estar unida para que nuestro vote tenga mas poder. Nuestra Comunidad mejoro, pero puede estar mucho mejor.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Opinion

Maria Veda E Avila

2021-11-01 20:06:04+00

Gracias.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Les agradecemos por apoyar nuestro mapa. Les pediment que maintenance a nuestra Comunidad hispana unida. La casa de representantes le cotton la quinta parte 20%. Nuestra Comunidad de interes (DHDC Latinx SW) debe de estar unida para que nuestro vote sea mas potential. Nuestra comunidad mejoro, pero puede estar mejor.	Maria Veda E Avila	2021-11-01 19:51:29+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Dislike	With 59% Republican votes in District 31, my vote does not count. Partisan fairness could be possible in this district and across the state. Please keep trying.	Susan Shink	2021-11-01 18:57:17+00
10-06-21 v2 HD corre	Dislike	Move these (2) Oxford Twp Precincts with the rest of Oxford and Addison Twp in MI46. They share one non-partisan community of interest in their single, shared school system.	Joshua Schriver	2021-11-01 18:48:41+00
10-06-2021 HD v1 RA	Dislike	Move these (2) Oxford Twp Precincts with the rest of Oxford and Addison Twp in MI46. They share one non-partisan community of interest in their single, shared school system.	Joshua Schriver	2021-11-01 18:48:05+00

10-06-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Move these (2) Oxford Twp Precincts with the rest of Oxford and Addison Twp in MI46. They share one non-partisan community of interest in their single, shared school system.	Joshua Schriver	2021-11-01 18:47:37+00
10-06-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Move these (2) Oxford Twp Precincts with the rest of Oxford and Addison Twp in MI46. They share one non-partisan community of interest in their single, shared school system.	Joshua Schriver	2021-11-01 18:46:58+00
10-06-21 v4 HD	Dislike	Move these (2) Oxford Twp Precincts with the rest of Oxford and Addison Twp in MI46. They share one non-partisan community of interest in their single, shared school system.	Joshua Schriver	2021-11-01 18:46:33+00
10-06-21 v5 HD	Dislike	These two Oxford Precincts should remain with the majority of Oxford and Addison Twps in MI46 as they share one non-partisan community of interest in their single, shared school system.	Joshua Schriver	2021-11-01 18:45:08+00

10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	Addison/Oxford share one single school system to create a non-partisan community of interest. Please keep Addison/Oxford Twp (all precincts) in the same State House District.	Joshua Schriver	2021-11-01 18:43:42+00
38.4 Coalition Minori	Dislike	All Oxford Twp Precincts should be with Addison Twp in the same State House District. Addison & Oxford Twp share an extremely important, non-partisan community of interest in their single school system.	Joshua Schriver	2021-11-01 18:42:02+00
10-1-21 HD RAS VRA '	Dislike	Keep Oxford Twp in MI46 with Addison. They have the same school system and are a non-partisan community of interest.	Joshua Schriver	2021-11-01 18:39:43+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This map takes away the voting rights of Detroiters to elect a representative of their choosing!	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:33:07+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This map hurts Black people because there are no Minority Majority districts!	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:32:44+00

I appreciate that the commission is putting the Tri-cities and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and a community of interest in regards to schools, colleges , shopping, industry and the arts. In my opinion flooding or the watershed should not be a reason to draw district lines especially the flooding from the dams failure since this was a man made catastrophe. We need maps that are fair to Democrats and Republicans alike with as close to 0 political bias as possible.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Gisela Bailey	2021-11-01 17:32:32+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:32:31+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:31:38+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:31:15+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:31:01+00

This map is Gerrymandered and unfair, giving a huge majority of seats to the minority party!  
This map takes away the voting rights of Detroiters to elect a representative of their choosing!  
This map hurts Black people because there are no Minority Majority districts!  
This map is Gerrymandered and unfair, giving a huge majority of seats to the minority party!

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Thanks for making a competitive district of Midland and Bay City!	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:30:11+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Thanks for working to unpack Ann Arbor!	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:29:57+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This map has 6 Voting Rights Act districts versus Promote The Vote™s map with 7	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:28:52+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This map takes away voting rights of Black people in Detroit	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:28:32+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This map is unfair and gives the majority of seats to the minority party.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:28:18+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	This map has 6 Voting Rights Act districts versus Promote The Vote™s map with 7	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:27:08+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	This map takes away voting rights of Black people in Detroit	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:26:21+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	This map is unfair and gives the majority of seats to the minority party	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:26:04+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	This map is close to being fair but needs to go further to give the majority of voters the majority of seats.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:25:13+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	This map has 5 Voting Rights Act districts, the same as current. Most good maps get to at least 6 but Promote the Vote has 7.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:24:42+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	696 and M-59 connect Oakland and Macomb east to west and represent approximate boundaries to the north and south; many of these communities are connected economically and culturally because of those two roads.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:23:46+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	The Southeast Michigan map needs a lot of work, nobody who lives here would think this is good. Troy and Rochester are clearly Oakland County cities with large Indian and Asian communities. Troy and Rochester Hills are similar communities and belong together in Oakland County to maintain the Asian communities of interest.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:23:11+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	West Oakland County doesn't belong in the	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:22:35+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Thumb.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:21:42+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Milford to Port Austin is a 3 hour drive!!	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:21:29+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Madison Heights and Hazel Park share more in common with Southern Macomb County than Detroit, adding them to Wayne County doesn't make sense, especially when the large Asian communities are in either Warren or Troy.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:21:15+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Doesn't make sense that Madison Heights and Hazel Park are in a Wayne County District, they'd make more sense in Oakland or Macomb.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:20:40+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Southfield belongs in a district with parts of Detroit.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:20:14+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Birmingham does not belong in a district with Macomb County, this makes no sense.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:19:59+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	This Map splits Troy and Rochester's large Asian communities from their neighbors in Bloomfield, Farmington Hills, and Novi.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:19:44+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	This map has split Oakland County in ways that are not consistent with any of our communities of interest.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:19:15+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Opinion	Everyone in Macomb County knows that one major division in the county is M-59.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:18:27+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Opinion	Milford and other southern and western Oakland townships and cities just don't make sense going so far north as the Thumb.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:17:32+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	On the whole, the diverse communities of interest throughout Oakland County need to have better representation in Washington.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:16:34+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	This congressional district is completely contained within Oakland County, thereby ensuring the county has accurate and responsive representation.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:16:14+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Thank you for including Southfield with parts of Detroit.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:15:52+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	Madison Heights and Hazel Park share more in common with Southern Macomb County than Detroit, adding them to Wayne County makes no sense.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:15:00+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	Doesn't make sense that Madison Heights and Hazel Park are in a Wayne County District, they'd make more sense in Oakland or Macomb	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:14:35+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	Central Oakland County includes Pontiac and Waterford should be kept whole.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:14:09+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	Southfield belongs more with Detroit in a Congressional seat. Southfield is much more similar to Detroit than it is to the outskirts of Oakland County.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:13:52+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This map keeps areas of West Oakland, like Milford and Commerce connected with Oakland County along I-96 and 696 and not into the Thumb.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:13:07+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Beverly Hills, Bloomfield, and Birmingham make sense to be connected together.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:12:37+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Keeping the Troy and Rochester areas together with Bloomfield, Farmington Hills and Novi keeps Asian American Communities of interest together.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:12:15+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	**We appreciate areas like Troy being connected with the Rochester Area, Royal Oak, Clawson, and other neighboring communities	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:11:43+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	On the whole, the diverse communities of interest throughout Oakland County need to have better representation in Washington.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:11:05+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This map is moving in the right direction. Thank you for recognizing that the metro Detroit area has layers of Communities of Interest and that partisan fairness must be taken into account. Thank you for this Senate map and for listening to Michiganders. This is the most fair Senate map so far and could be adopted as a final draft. Please work on a partisanly fair State House map as well.	Jared Boot	2021-11-01 17:10:08+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Like		Ciara Lowe	2021-11-01 16:20:12+00

During the 10/22 redistricting Commission meeting in Grand Rapids, several suggestions were made to improve the north Grand Rapids district 24 to much improve the Communities of Interest aspect. The suggestions were to remove the four Ottawa County townships included in the district that have no commonality with Kent County Grand Rapids and suburbs and add Cascade Township adjacent to Ada Township because of its close relationship with the core six cities.

The map supplied showing these suggestions (<https://davesredistricting.org/join/43230428-2697-4c99-9a2c-e09ee77863d1>) has been expanded show how to integrate this map with the Elm 199, Cherry 220, Spruce 226 and 249/250 map configurations without

10-29-21 SD v1

Dislike

changing the remainder of the Dorothy Munson

2021-11-01 16:10:47+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>This map has Black voters outnumbered by White voters 3-1. This means that Black voters would have a much smaller chance of electing their candidates of choice.</p> <p>I would like to thank the commission for this map. This map meets the needs of Mid Michigan. With Gratiot, being in this district I feel it meets the needs of the Mid Michigan. Gratiot County shares a State Police Post with Montcalm and Ionia Counties. All three counties also have prisons. This map keeps these communities together. I would like to thank you for your time and effort on this map. Michael A. Morris. Gratiot County Sheriff.</p>	Connie R. Mitchell	2021-11-01 16:02:39+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Like		Michael A Morris	2021-11-01 15:43:05+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>I encourage the Commission to please keep Kent County whole within one Congressional District. The current map for Kent County proposed under the "Apple Plan" divides the Grand Rapids metro 6 region into two seperate congressional districts, tying Grand Rapids into a congressional district with Kalamazoo. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo form two different communities of interest and should be within their own respective districts. Please reconfigure your map to keep Kent County whole within one congressional district.</p>	Tim Bradshaw	2021-11-01 15:06:12+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Like	<p>I would like to thank the commission for taking partisan fairness seriously and making the districts as evenly distributed as possible. I believe it will help with bringing this country back together again.</p> <p>Congratulations on a job well done!</p>	David L Fashbaugh	2021-11-01 14:54:14+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Like	<p>Its good to have District 28 back to encompassing Monroe and Lenawee counties together as a COI.</p>	Thomas Heck	2021-11-01 14:28:23+00

I'd like to thank the commission for this map. It closely meets the points I talked about during my public comment at your meeting in Big Rapids. Specifically for District 5, Montcalm, Gratiot, Mecosta, Isabella, and Ionia counties. It keeps the majority of the community of interest of which I was speaking together. Thank you again for your time and service.

Michael J. Williams,  
Montcalm County Sheriff

10-14-21 v1 SD RL

Like

Michael Williams

2021-11-01 14:25:56+00

Its good to see the senate district for Monroe and Lenawee counties back to what it should be from a COI perspective.

10-29-21 SD v1

Like

Thomas Heck

2021-11-01 14:25:35+00

This is the Senate map. The Commission should move this forward to the comment period and move on to the Congressional (which has several potentially major changes to be made) and the House (which is the most complex and difficult of the three maps). This map isn't 100% what I would have done, but it meets the criteria and will result in a responsive State Senate that either party could win in any given election cycle. Which, by the way, I'm not sure Michigan has EVER had. So congrats to the Commission - and let's do great maps for Congress and House as well.

10-29-21 SD v3

Like

Christopher Khorey

2021-11-01 14:17:18+00

I don't understand why this map was uploaded to the comment portal? This is the half-finished map from Monday, which the Commission subsequently did more work on.

10-29-21 SD v1

Dislike

Christopher Khorey

2021-11-01 14:13:42+00

10-29-21 SD v3	Like	<p>This map appears to be more fair on a statewide level than others I have seen. I hope the commission can do the same with the State House map. Ideally, I would like to see all maps achieve partisan fairness. The composition of our state legislature should match the composition of our voters both demographically and ideologically.</p>	C. Ann Maki	2021-11-01 14:07:47+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Splitting Lyndon township is a ridiculous idea. We are too small to be two different districts. We belong with the rest of The Chelsea area. These maps look to be more balance and non-partisan. Thank you for all the hard work you do on this</p>	Nancy Irish	2021-11-01 13:52:55+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Like	<p>commission.</p>	Michael Cox	2021-11-01 13:52:18+00

RES and THANK YOU for  
proposing a map that will  
result in the new HD87  
recognizing the SW Michigan  
lakeshore communities of  
interest. This is much needed  
and will result in citizens in  
our area being represented by  
someone in Lansing that will  
recognize our interests and  
bring solutions to the  
challenges we face as we  
balance tourism with meeting  
the needs of year-round  
residents. Tackling the issues  
involving the environment  
(ever increasing beach  
erosion), housing (short term  
rentals, and affordable  
housing for workers in our  
communities), while bringing  
much needed representation  
to our diverse population  
(African American, Hispanic,  
and the LGBTQ+) to address  
diversity, equity and inclusion  
are all issues we need to  
recognize and improve upon  
to bring a better quality of life Susan Catherman

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

2021-11-01 13:49:48+00

Thank you for hearing us in Jackson that we are not a rural community! This revised map is much more fair and a better reflection of our community of interest. Many, including myself, who voted to create this very system of non-partisan redistricting, were concerned by earlier maps and felt that our voices were being unfairly diluted. Thank you for listening to us and drawing a map that puts us with our friends, family, and coworkers.

10-29-21 SD v3

Like

Colleen Sullivan

2021-11-01 13:40:45+00

This map hurts us in Monroe. It splits us off from our natural Downriver community of interest. All of our major corridors in the eastern part of Monroe: Telegraph, I-75, and I-275, and the lakefront and port, tie us to with the Downriver community. There are no major corridors that tie us way out west to Saint Joseph, or any of those rural areas. Tim Walberg put out a campaign for this map because it favors his campaign. This map doesn't serve us in Monroe.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Dislike

StevenAndrewMeyer@gmail.com

2021-11-01 13:31:14+00

This map hurts us in Monroe. It splits us off from our natural Downriver community of interest. All of our major corridors in the eastern part of Monroe: Telegraph, I-75, and I-275, and the lakefront and port, tie us to with the Downriver community. There are no major corridors that tie us way out west to Saint Joseph, or any of those rural areas. Tim Walberg put out a campaign for this map because it favors him. The people that submitted this map are tied to his campaign. This map serves him, it doesn't serve the public, especially not us in Monroe.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Steven Meyer

2021-11-01 13:27:13+00

This map hurts us in Monroe. It severs us from our natural Downriver community of interest. All of our major corridors in the eastern part of Monroe: Telegraph, I-75, and I-275, and the lakefront and port, tie us to with the Downriver community. There are no major corridors that tie us way out west to Saint Joseph, or any of those rural areas so we shouldn't be lumped in with those areas at the expense of the rest of our Downriver Community. Tim Walberg put out a campaign for this map because it favors him. The people that submitted this map are tied to his campaign. This map serves him, it doesn't serve the public, especially not us in Monroe.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Steven Meyer

2021-11-01 13:12:28+00

Thank you for your continuing efforts to make the legislative and congressional districts competitive. When I have talked with other voters, this has been their highest concern.

10-29-21 SD v3 Opinion

Barbara Lucier

2021-11-01 13:07:49+00

This map hurts us in Monroe. It severs us from our natural Downriver community of interest. All of our major corridors in the eastern part of Monroe: Telegraph, I-75, and I-275, and the lakefront and port, tie us to with the Downriver community. There are no major corridors that tie us way out west to Saint Joseph, or any of those rural areas. Tim Walberg put out a campaign for this map because it favors him. The people that submitted this map are tied to his campaign.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

This map serves him, it doesn't serve the public, especially not us in Monroe. Thank you for taking another look at the Senate districts. This is much fairer than originally drawn. I appreciate your efforts to get this right!

Steven Meyer

2021-11-01 13:07:11+00

10-29-21 SD v3

Like

Thank you.

Ryan M Husse

2021-11-01 12:59:21+00

10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	I should be voting with my community. Our county is chopped up in this map in a way that completely drowns my vote under the weight of many more rural voters. The western areas of Monroe county belong more with Lenewee county as the eastern towns in Lenewee are also rural. The city of Monroe, with this map, is being buried by rural voters and rural needs.	Christine DeRoque	2021-11-01 12:41:40+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Like	This is a much better map than what was originally drawn. It certainly meets the partisan fairness we are looking for. I urge you to adopt this map for the MI Senate and use this approach when drawing the House maps. Good job!	Coleen Tuokkola	2021-11-01 12:39:46+00

when learning about the gerrymandering process and what it meant, it was explained to me that we are supposed to vote within communities. We should have something in common with the people of our district. The way our current district is drawn is pretty much the same as this "new" one. I have NOTHING in common with the towns in the western part of this map. I have never been there, know no one there, etc. From what I understand, that entire area is rural. I live in the city of Monroe. This map and our current district map completely ignore city concerns and issues as my vote is completely drowned out by rural people and needs. I feel like our district should include Monroe County and cut off at the county line and extend upwards into familiar and

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Christine DeRoque

2021-11-01 12:32:36+00

10-29-21 SD v3	Like	Thank you for making a map that is fair for both parties. Getting to 50/50 democrat and republican is more important than keeping any one district together. I hope your other map proposals follow suit and are fair in regards to partisan fairness, just like this one.	Dwayne Grantland	2021-11-01 12:31:42+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Like	Thank you for taking partisan fairness so seriously! It is so critical that we have fair maps and fair elections. This map does a pretty good job at leveling the play field and I want to commend the Commission for their work! I think this map is good. It starts to unravel the heavily Democratic votes. Even if you had several Republicans, the density of Democrats is so high it would not tend to matter in my opinion. If you jam pack an area with a specific kind of votes, the people don't get the say they like and it becomes an issue. Let's "stuff" Canton and other areas in Michigan.	Michael	2021-11-01 12:19:48+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion		Anna Dziamski pt 3 (another submit	2021-11-01 11:14:03+00

I do not think if we change the districts it will help. I think Canton is Canton and some people are proud to be from it. I also think, bringing Plymouth into this, that Plymouth and Canton should remain separated. Especially Canton and Plymouth township. Plymouth township/ Plymouth has a different racial and political spectrum that Canton does. It also houses (usually) higher class residents than Canton. Or, the average income is larger.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Anna Dziamski pt 2 (another submit: 2021-11-01 11:10:29+00

I believe we should keep the map as is shown. The races seem to be equally divided as well as they can be in this population in my opinion. Dividing Canton more than it already is would contribute to Bird to Isbister and back to Bird, moving schools can be hard, and I wouldn't wish that on anyone, especially a child. I also feel this map unpacks republican, democratic, and in between pretty well. There is not as much bias as I expected.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Anna B Dziamski

2021-11-01 11:01:42+00

10-29-21 SD v1	Dislike	<p>What's up with the little texas shaped peninsula that cuts in to district 30 from district 32 along E. Cavanaugh Rd. and Dunckel Rd. right by Trappers cove Trail. This odd border makes it so people on one side of the road have to vote in district 32 will their neighbors on the other side of the road vote in district 30.</p>	Zachary A Squires	2021-11-01 04:58:27+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Like	<p>This is a fairer approach to Washtenaw County and an improvement in statewide map. You must post the partisan fairness information, including by district, for people to knowledgably comment on fairness.</p>	Chris Andrews	2021-11-01 02:49:58+00
10-29-21 SD v1	Like	<p>Lansing region is well designed. But we need to see the partisan data -- post it with this -- to understand fairness statewide and in districts.</p>	Chris Andrews	2021-11-01 02:45:06+00
10-29-21 SD v1	Like	<p>This seems fair. Thank you!</p>	Sheila M Troxel	2021-11-01 01:52:10+00

During the 10/22 redistricting Commission meeting in Grand Rapids, several suggestions were made to improve the north Grand Rapids district 24 to much improve the Communities of Interest aspect.

(<https://districtr.org/plan/73367>) The suggestions were to remove the four Ottawa County townships included in the district that have no commonality with Kent County Grand Rapids and suburbs and add Cascade Township adjacent to Ada Township because of its close relationship with the core six cities.

The map supplied showing these suggestions (<https://davesredistricting.org/join/43230428-2697-4c99-9a2c-e09ee77863d1>) has been expanded show how to integrate this map with the Elm 199, Cherry 220, Spruce 226 and this 249 map

This makes sense compared to the previous district for Jackson. However, I'm surprised that you would not include Summit Twp with Jackson.

10-29-21 SD v3

Dislike

Dorothy Munson

2021-11-01 01:51:25+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Opinion

Sheila M Troxel

2021-11-01 01:45:59+00

I'm not sure why Jackson continues to be lumped into the rural areas south of Jackson. We are a diverse, vibrant community that lies at the crossroads of US 127 and I-94. With our two largest employers catering to the medical and public utility sector, we would align more with Chelsea, Ann Arbor, and Mason or Lansing than we do with Coldwater, Hillsdale, or Jonesville. The rural community has different needs than we do living in the city of Jackson.

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Sheila M Troxel

2021-11-01 01:42:20+00

Jackson is a vibrant and diverse community and should align with our communities along the the I-94 Corridor and neighbors Grass Lake, Chelsea and Ann Arbor.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

Sheila Troxel

2021-11-01 01:36:16+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	<p>This is a great representation for Jackson County and those along the I-94 Corridor. Jackson is a vibrant, diverse community that benefits from the crossroads of US 127 and I-94. Aligning us with our neighbors along this corridor is beneficial no matter what party you represent. It's fair. It's nice to finally have fair lines drawn as Jackson is as vibrant as Ann Arbor and we are more similar to Chelsea, Grass Lake and other along the I-94 corridor than we are to the rural areas south of us. Jackson is also more diverse like our neighbors to the east than those to our south. I'm excited to see that we have a more fair district drawn. Thank you for hard work to draw fair lines for both</p>	Sheila M Troxel	2021-11-01 01:27:16+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>parties. This appears to be the only senate district where the black population is higher than the white population. Please restore former majority-minority districts to</p>	Sheila Troxel	2021-11-01 01:22:07+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Dislike	<p>at least 51%.</p>	Margaret Schankler	2021-11-01 01:18:31+00

10-29-21 SD v3	Like	I like the separate districts for Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti, with Ann Arbor expanding west to include Jackson.	Margaret Schankler	2021-11-01 01:11:57+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Like	Thank you for your service in trying to keep our voting fair!	Kate Higgins	2021-11-01 00:36:11+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Like	Thank you for drawing fair maps.	Dani Hoover (she, her, hers)	2021-10-31 18:36:33+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This map is too favorable to Republicans	Leslie	2021-10-31 17:35:54+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This map is too favorable to Republicans	Leslie	2021-10-31 17:35:12+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	This map is too favorable to Republicans	Leslie	2021-10-31 17:34:32+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This map is too favorable to Republicans	Leslie	2021-10-31 17:33:26+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	This map is too favorable to Republicans	Leslie	2021-10-31 17:32:32+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This map is too favorable to Republicans	Leslie	2021-10-31 17:31:49+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	This map is too favorable to Republicans	Leslie	2021-10-31 17:30:38+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	This map is too favorable to Republicans	Leslie	2021-10-31 17:29:53+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	This map is too favorable to Republicans	Leslie	2021-10-31 17:28:39+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	These maps are too favorable for Republicans	Leslie	2021-10-31 17:26:34+00

my name is Dick Ramsdell. I  
reside in Flint. At this late  
date let me still suggest that  
the commissioners go back to  
the drawing board, rethink  
your guiding principles, and  
modify your maps  
accordingly. You have made a  
great effort to balance  
partisanship in your maps.  
But from the comments I am  
reading, I think you have  
missed the boat. The culture  
of a section of the state, the  
racial, cultural, and  
environmental interests of  
the majority of the members  
of a community, rather than  
partisanship needs to be your  
guiding principle. The  
comments of your citizens  
and the most recent census  
must be your guide. We, as  
citizens of Michigan, come  
from many different  
backgrounds and cultural and  
historical experiences. Those  
different experiences are

10-08-21 v2 HD

Opinion

what need to be represented Richard Ramsdell

2021-10-31 17:21:49+00

This is the kind of map that starts to give me hope that we can have a fair map that will breathe life into Michigan politics and give us a fair shot at having a government body that reflects the politics of the people of our state as a whole.

Please continue to use the public comments you've been receiving to inform the maps you propose for the MI house too.

I think the cities of Midland and Bay City should be grouped together in a district. I think they have much in common with each other and little with the rural surroundings. It would make for a good representative and competitive district.

Good in that it groups Midland and Bay cities. Should make for a good representative and competitive district.

10-29-21 SD v3

Like

John Schneider

2021-10-31 16:17:35+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO

Dislike

Christopher McMillan

2021-10-31 16:06:04+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS

Like

Christopher McMillan

2021-10-31 16:02:57+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike	Midland City and Bay City have more in common with each other than with the surrounding rural areas. Placing them together would make for a more representative (and competitive) district and less political bias.	Christopher McMillan	2021-10-31 15:57:03+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This does not seem to change Canton district. This includes the growing population in our district. I believe it is fair for how Canton district should be.	Charles E Gury	2021-10-31 15:56:35+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Like	A good map around the Tri-cities/Flint area.	Christopher McMillan	2021-10-31 15:51:57+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	This map looks good in the region around the Tri-Cities and Flint. It keeps them together with an industry-based COI, keeping Bay, Saginaw, Genesee and Midland counties mostly whole while putting Tuscola in District 10. Please continue to make partisan fairness a priority. Please don't fall for the false argument that a watershed is a determining factor for a COI. It is the least important factor to consider.	Christopher McMillan	2021-10-31 15:44:21+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like	<p>As a parent of students in the Plymouth Canton School District, I believe this map is similar to our current one and keeps our neighborhood children together within a school.</p>	Janet Gury	2021-10-31 15:35:28+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike	<p>This map looks like a Gerrymander as far as Midland and the surrounding area goes. Lumping in Gladwin to the north and Saginaw to the south while maintaining a safe seat for Moolenaar by tying industrial Midland with everything rural to the east? Not good.</p> <p>This map does keep the City of Midland in with Saaginaw, Bay City and Flint which is good as all are areas with industrial-based economies. Perhaps more of Midland County could be placed in District 11 if Tuscola OCunty were in District 10?</p>	Christopher McMillan	2021-10-31 15:33:58+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS Like		Christopher McMillan	2021-10-31 15:26:14+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	This district excludes Flint which I think should be included in the Community of Interest of Midland/Saginaw/Bay City as the urban/suburban core of Mid-Michigan. It dilutes the minority voters of Saginaw and is further from being a zero political bias map. The Tri-Cities and Flint should be in the same congressional district.	Christopher McMillan	2021-10-31 15:17:01+00
10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Dislike	This is a bad State Senate map for the Grand Rapids area. Ottawa CO should be removed from the same district that is shown here with the Ada Township. Areas currently in northeast areas of Kent CO should instead be added to Ada Township.	Robert Dragan	2021-10-31 14:24:37+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>I am against the proposed District 4. Linking the City of Kalamazoo with parts of Grand Rapids (Kent County) doesn't make sense. These two communities are vastly different from one another. The cultures are completely different, the business communities prefer to operate separately from each other. Plus, in my case, Ada which really is a suburb of Grand Rapids, will now be part of District 5? Kent County is large enough, that the whole county should be in the same district. Perhaps pick up a piece of Ionia County and combine that with 100% of Kent County.</p>	Michael Epperly	2021-10-31 14:03:39+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Like	<p>We are fine with this map. If we can include all areas up to Mound Rd. that will be much better.</p> <p>I am relieved to see that the debrief from the week of public hearings resulted in this considerably more partisan fair Senate map. Please adapt this approach/method to your MI House map as well.</p>	MD. ASIF HASAN	2021-10-31 01:53:25+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Like		Joscha Weese	2021-10-31 01:37:14+00

10-29-21 SD v3	Like	Preferred map for our community.	MD. ASIF HASAN	2021-10-31 01:36:43+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	We are okay with this draft map. Please finalize this as it is.	MD. ASIF HASAN	2021-10-31 01:23:37+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	We need to keep the City of Walker with Alpine Township in a district, Grand Rapids Township with Ada and Cascade Townships in a district (Forrest Hills Community of interest), Byron Township whole within a district, Gaines Township whole within a district, and Plainfield Township whole within a district	camala robinette	2021-10-31 01:10:20+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Like	This is a more fair map.	Jamila Martin	2021-10-31 00:53:48+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Like	Thank you. This is a fairly drawn map. Thank you for your efforts to draw a map that has partisan fairness. I believe this is the best state senate map of all the drafts you current have drawn and should be the map you move to adopt as the final one.	Jeremy	2021-10-31 00:47:33+00

Regent Park and Mohican  
Regent do not belong in a  
macomb only district. They  
have a super active  
neighborhood association  
that is working on  
development and  
neighborhood identity  
projects with Harper woods.  
This community doesn't have  
anything in common with  
Macomb and shouldn't be  
isolated.

10-29-21 SD v1

Dislike

carla Butler

2021-10-30 21:51:48+00

This is by far the best map you have drawn so far but you could do better with a couple neighborhoods. Boston Edison is split along the lodge instead of staying together. You spent a lot of time keeping neighborhoods together but missed this one. The neighborhood was split by the freeway but residents have worked really hard to keep it as one community. Also please don't put Regent park and Mohican Regent into a district with just Macomb county. They are the only detroit neighborhoods drawn into a macomb district. They would be better suited with Harper Woods a growing black community.

10-29-21 SD v1

Like

Vernon Butler

2021-10-30 21:39:05+00

10-29-21 SD v3	Like	<p>This is much better than your original draft! The I-94 economic corridor is an obvious community of interest of exactly the kind explicitly listed in the Constitution, and this helps you with partisan fairness. Could this be better? Sure! I like the AFL-CIO maps which keep Jackson, Calhoun, and Hillsdale Counties all whole and separate. But don't let the perfect be the enemy of the good. Keep up the good work - on to the state house! Warren and southern Macomb Township should be with District 6, instead of Rochester Hills and/or Troy. Macomb Township has seen an influx of black and brown communities over the last decade, all of whose voices will be completely diluted if you stick southern Macomb with the thumb! Why not give Macomb County its own district? I realize that this work is not easy. More power to you.</p>	Conner	2021-10-30 20:21:34+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike		A. Shapiro	2021-10-30 19:42:00+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	<p>This is a much better map for Muskegon than the collaboratively drawn maps because it puts us in a district with more people of color and other urban areas. It makes no sense to put Muskegon in a district that is 90% white and mostly rural.</p>	Shannon M	2021-10-30 17:39:15+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>It is completely inappropriate to put Black/African American communities in Muskegon and Muskegon Heights in a district that is 90% White and so heavily rural, especially because of the repeatedly voiced concerns about disenfranchisement. It would be far more appropriate to put it with other communities of color along the lakeshore or in Kent.</p>	Shannon M	2021-10-30 17:16:17+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	<p>I like that this map unites Muskegon with other communities of color such as Holland, Benton Harbor, and South Haven. However, I think it would be better if this district also included Kalamazoo.</p>	Shannon M	2021-10-30 17:15:43+00

It is completely inappropriate to put Black/African American communities in Muskegon and Muskegon Heights in a district that is 90% White and so heavily rural, especially because of the repeatedly voiced concerns about disenfranchisement. It would be far more appropriate to put it with other communities of color along the lakeshore or in Kent.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Dislike

Shannon M

2021-10-30 17:11:37+00

It is completely inappropriate to put Black/African American communities in Muskegon and Muskegon Heights in a district that is 90% White and so heavily rural, especially because of the repeatedly voiced concerns about disenfranchisement. It would be far more appropriate to put it with other communities of color along the lakeshore or in Kent.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Shannon M

2021-10-30 17:11:34+00

During the 10/22 redistricting Commission meeting in Grand Rapids, several suggestions were made to improve the north Grand Rapids district 24 to much improve the Communities of Interest aspect. The suggestions were to remove the four Ottawa County townships included in the district that have no commonality with Kent County Grand Rapids and suburbs and add Cascade Township adjacent to Ada Township because of its close relationship with the core six cities.

The map supplied showing these suggestions (<https://davesredistricting.org/join/43230428-2697-4c99-9a2c-e09ee77863d1>) has been expanded show how to integrate this map with the Elm 199, Cherry 220, and Spruce 226 map configurations without

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

changing the remainder of the Dorothy Munson

2021-10-30 13:57:18+00

During the 10/22 redistricting Commission meeting in Grand Rapids, several suggestions were made to improve the north Grand Rapids district 24 to much improve the Communities of Interest aspect. The suggestions were to remove the four Ottawa County townships included in the district that have no commonality with Kent County Grand Rapids and suburbs and add Cascade Township adjacent to Ada Township because of its close relationship with the core six cities.

The map supplied showing these suggestions (<https://davesredistricting.org/join/43230428-2697-4c99-9a2c-e09ee77863d1>) has been expanded show how to integrate this map with the Elm 199, Cherry 220, and Spruce 226 map configurations without

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

changing the remainder of the Dorothy Munson

2021-10-30 13:56:40+00

During the 10/22 redistricting Commission meeting in Grand Rapids, several suggestions were made to improve the north Grand Rapids district 24 to much improve the Communities of Interest aspect. The suggestions were to remove the four Ottawa County townships included in the district that have no commonality with Kent County Grand Rapids and suburbs and add Cascade Township adjacent to Ada Township because of its close relationship with the core six cities.

The map supplied showing these suggestions (<https://davesredistricting.org/join/43230428-2697-4c99-9a2c-e09ee77863d1>) has been expanded show how to integrate this map with the Elm 199, Cherry 220, and Spruce 226 map configurations without

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

changing the remainder of the Dorothy Munson Prison gerrymandering is a blight on democracy; move prison populations to overpopulated districts.

Peter Bane

2021-10-30 13:55:42+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK

Dislike

2021-10-30 04:42:02+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Move prison populations to overpopulated districts. End prison gerrymandering. Another dog of a map, Peach return a big majority of seats to the minority party, has no majority-minority districts, deprives Black Detroiters of their constitutional rights to representation, and fails the voters of this state. Scrap it!	Peter Bane	2021-10-30 04:39:37+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This map is gerrymandered and very unfair. It returns a huge majority of seats to the minority party. Michigan voters sent a resounding message in Prop 2 that we did not want any more of this injustice. Polarized politics are murderous; witness the pandemic. This map has no majority minority districts; its effect would reinforce historic racial injustice by depriving Black Detroiters of their right to representation.	Peter Bane	2021-10-30 04:37:38+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike		Peter Bane	2021-10-30 04:33:47+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>PINE map is the best of MICRC's poor House maps. It has some good features, unpacking Ann Arbor, and making the Midland/Bay City district competitive, but it still distorts partisan fairness. Don't lose the forest for the trees. This needs much more work to deliver what the voters asked for.</p>	Peter Bane	2021-10-30 04:26:00+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>This map returns a majority of seats to the minority party. It's unfair and deprives Black voters in Detroit of adequate representation.</p> <p>This map is unfair. It will give a majority of seats to the minority party. It takes away voting rights from Black people in Detroit. Promote the Vote's map produces 7 Voting Rights Districts; this one only 6.</p>	Peter Bane	2021-10-30 04:19:16+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>This map is unfair. It will give a majority of seats to the minority party. It takes away voting rights from Black people in Detroit. Promote the Vote's map produces 7 Voting Rights Districts; this one only 6.</p>	Peter Bane	2021-10-30 04:15:56+00

10-07-21	SD RAS BK	Opinion	<p>I like that this map keeps West Michigan Lakeshore communities together, but it needs improvement to ensure that the majority of voters can earn the majority of seats in the Senate. We passed Prop 2 to end unwarranted partisan dominance by the minority party in Michigan (Republicans). Fair maps will return a Democratic majority to each legislative house more often than not. Not every district has to be competitive, though a good number must be. Keep working to improve this map.</p>	Peter Bane	2021-10-30 04:12:21+00
10-07-21	SD RAS BK	Opinion	<p>Cherry map is moving in the right direction, but needs more attention to increase Voting Rights Act districts. It has 5 but the better map from Promote the Vote achieves 7. You can do better.</p>	Peter Bane	2021-10-30 04:07:08+00
10-05-21	v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>Troy and Rochester have large Asian communities, and they belong together in Oakland County. This map needs a lot of work to be more fair.</p>	Peter Bane	2021-10-30 04:03:34+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	West Oakland Co. doesn't belong in the Thumb!	Peter Bane	2021-10-30 03:59:37+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Maple map splits Oakland Co. in ways that disregard all our communities of interest. It doesn't work well and should be scrapped.	Peter Bane	2021-10-30 03:58:42+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	Central Oakland County includes Pontiac; Waterford should be kept whole.	Peter Bane	2021-10-30 03:52:14+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Birch map is moving in the right direction. Thanks for recognizing that metro Detroit has layered Communities of Interest. Partisan fairness must be taken into account so the state's overall Democratic lean is reflected in the balance of districts.	Peter Bane	2021-10-30 03:50:27+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	Doesn't make sense that Madison Heights and Hazel Park are in a Wayne County District, they'd make more sense in Oakland or Macomb.	Peter Bane	2021-10-30 03:46:34+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	To fix prison gerrymandering, move prison populations to overpopulated districts.	Peter Bane	2021-10-30 03:38:22+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike	<p>"Independent Commission" ,  you are suppose to have open  meetings to the public. Why  are you having closed  meetings? What information  are you hiding. Should you be  disbanded?</p>	merlin steffes	2021-10-30 03:26:41+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Dislike	<p>The whole city of Ann Arbor  along with the city of  Ypsilanti, Salem, Superior,  Ypsilanti, and Pittsfield  Township should form a State  Senate district. This district  would contain 265,813  people which is close to the  ideal senate district  population of 265,193 and  this district would be compact  and not split any municipal  boundaries.</p>	merlin steffes	2021-10-30 03:19:53+00
10-29-21 SD v1	Dislike	<p>"Independent Commission" ,  you are suppose to have open  meetings to the public. Why  are you having closed  meetings? What information  are you hiding. Should you be  disbanded?</p>	merlin steffes	2021-10-30 03:14:04+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	"Independent Commission" , you are suppose to have open meetings to the public. Why are you having closed meetings? What information are you hiding. Should you be disbanded? Farmington and Farmington Hills should be in the same district. We share schools, libraries and other community interests.	merlin steffes	2021-10-30 03:12:34+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This map is very gerrymandered and unfair. There are no majority minority districts.	Katherine Schaefer	2021-10-30 02:41:25+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This map is extremely gerrymandered and unfair. It also gives the majority of seats to a minority party.	Sara Kravitz	2021-10-30 02:31:26+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This map is unfair and gives a majority of seats to the minority party.	Sara Kravitz	2021-10-30 02:29:04+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This map is unfair and gives the majority of the seats to a minority party.	Sara Kravitz	2021-10-30 02:26:12+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	This map is unfair and gives the majority of seats to the minority party.	Sara Kravitz	2021-10-30 02:24:30+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	This map is unfair, giving the majority of seats to the minority party.	Sara Kravitz	2021-10-30 02:11:08+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	minority party.	Sara Kravitz	2021-10-30 01:58:51+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	No one who lives in Oakland County will think this is a good idea. Troy and Rochester Hills are similar communities that belong with Oakland County.	Sara Kravitz	2021-10-30 01:52:04+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	This map splits Oakland County in a way that is not consistent with communities of interest.	Sara Kravitz	2021-10-30 01:31:52+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This map keeps areas of west Oakland county, like Milford and Commerce, connected to Oakland County, and along I-696, not into the thumb.	Sara Kravitz	2021-10-30 01:27:43+00

Please make additional changes to West Michigan. I have yet to see any changes that were expressed during your recent public hearing in GR. I've seen the commission really get into the details for Detroit and Metro Detroit, but make very little changes to West Michigan. Several of the existing districts just need to be re-done. District 33 is spread all over and it encompasses 7 different counties. District 20's stair step from Byron Township to Benton Harbor makes no sense. District 3 is grabbing parts of Kent and connecting it down to Battle Creek is another head scratcher. I think Commissioner Lange's map V1 does a good job for this part of the state. She also still includes this pseudo coalition district.

10-29-21 SD v3

Dislike

Drew Jones

2021-10-30 01:05:43+00

I endorse the birch plan, it is the best partisan fairness so far. Thanks for your hard work on making this fair for everyone.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS

Opinion

Juliet Hentschel

2021-10-30 01:02:58+00

Like district 27 and 29. Ann Arbor and Washtenaw county should be two senate districts. I might remove the townships in hillsdale county they belong in the border district

10-29-21 SD v3

Like

cassie gatz

2021-10-30 00:28:46+00

I'm sorry, but this commission simply doesn't understand West Michigan. They will spend countless time getting every little detail of Detroit figured out and taking every comment to heart, but they don't treat the West side of the state the same. Looking at this map, they virtually changed nothing in West Michigan. All the public comments from the hearing in GR has gone unnoticed. Just look at how crazy the districts have become. I think it's district 33 that contains 7 different counties! The southern part of Kent county doesn't belong with a district that contains Benton Harbor. A Senate district that contains all of GR and Kentwood makes perfect sense, but they are hung up on this pseudo coalition district. Wholesale changes need to be made and stop ignoring all the public comments you've already

10-29-21 SD v1

Dislike

Drew Jones

2021-10-30 00:02:41+00

10-29-21 SD v1	Like	VRA concerns aside, I think this is a fair senate map that accurately portrays the state of Michigan. It was won by Trump, Whitmer, and Biden per majorities. I think the 31st district here is an excellent COI district keeping Livingston County whole.	Dan Wholihan	2021-10-29 23:57:49+00
10-29-21 SD v3	Opinion	I have mixed views overall about the map collectively, but I can accept my district as it is keeping Livingston County whole and not with the City of Ann Arbor. I preferred the map before today's changes, overall from a partisan fairness standpoint going by the seats won metric.	Dan Wholihan	2021-10-29 23:54:46+00

The lines of State House District 83 should be changed. Fruitport Township and Fruitport Village should be added to the district to increase the percentage of Muskegon County residents somewhat. The rural Nunica area should be cut out and added to the more rural 85 district. Also the southern boundary of the district should end with the City of Grand Haven. Norton Shores and Fruitport have become more populated in the past decade and have different needs than rural areas. Also, because Muskegon County is being divided into so many house districts, its residents don't make up the majority of any House district it is part of. By chopping off rural area south of Grand Haven, Muskegon County residents would have a greater voice in District 83.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike  
10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Nancy Lynn Stier  
Erin Korhorn

2021-10-29 23:18:01+00  
2021-10-29 23:09:55+00

Out of all of the House maps proposed by the commission, this is the most equitable, keeping the voices of Detroiters clear and minority communities in need of representation whole. The Commission should include this map in the next round to avoid blatant disregard for minority representation, a cry that I've heard loud from many. In addition, regardless of the boundaries drawn, the Commission must use the decennial Census data it receives from the U.S. Census Bureau as its starting point for its legislative redistricting. A dozen states have already implemented this reform.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Amani Sawari

2021-10-29 22:23:11+00

This map breaks up communities of interest. Keep the rural counties rural. Rural needs are very different that urban.

10-08-21 v1 HD Dislike

Granville Hayworth

2021-10-29 22:10:20+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	No map that splits up the Grosse Pointes is acceptable to anyone who lives in Grosse Pointe. They are clearly a community of interest, and surely have more in common with St. Clair Shores than any other nearby community.	Brendan Cotter	2021-10-29 20:17:39+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	As a teacher in the community, I think this is a good map that keeps most of Canton together in one district. Canton has communities of interest, notably the APA community that contributes to the overall community.	Richard Mui	2021-10-29 18:56:21+00

Thank you for the many days and hours you have put into this important task. I believe the Senate map for district 32 does not well represent the COIs of the different communities with-in those lines. The larger mass of Clinton and Shiawassee Counties leans strongly conservative, while the Lansing, E. Lansing (MSU), and Okemos communities have a concentrated liberal influence, covering a much smaller area. Add to that that the college students who may vote as residents, most of the time won't be permanent residents in the area affected by their vote. With this map, the larger concentrated population of the liberal cities (approximately 17% of the land mass) will have a major influence over the rural areas (83% of the area represented) . Thus representation may

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

favor the big cities over the  
This map is close to being fair but needs to go further to give the majority of voters the

Tom Moldenhauer

2021-10-29 18:54:09+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK

Opinion

majority of seats.

Carrie Hatcher-Kay

2021-10-29 18:27:34+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	<p>This map has 5 Voting Rights Act districts, the same as current. Most good maps get to at least 6 but Promote the Vote has 7.</p> <p>This map is the best of the congressional maps for Oakland county. It keeps areas of West Oakland, like Milford and Commerce connected with Oakland County along I-96 and 696 and not into the Thumb. Beverly Hills, Bloomfield, and Birmingham make sense to be connected together.</p>	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-10-29 18:27:03+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>This map takes away the voting rights of Detroiters to elect a representative of their choosing!</p>	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 18:24:39+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>This map takes away the voting rights of Detroiters to elect a representative of their choosing! This is the worst map!!</p>	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-10-29 18:16:13+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Please move the prison population to their home communities or to an overpopulated district. This map is really horrible and does not have partisan fairness</p>	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-10-29 18:14:33+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>fairness</p>	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-29 18:13:53+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This map is Gerrymandered and unfair, giving a huge majority of seats to the minority party! This is the worst house map!!	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-10-29 18:13:32+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This is a terrible map. It is gerrymandered and unfair. giving a huge majority of seats to the minority party! It hurts Black people because there are zero Minority Majority districts. It takes away the voting rights of Detroiters to elect a representative of their choosing and	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 18:13:19+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This is overall the worst house map. This map takes away the voting rights of Detroiters to elect a representative of their choosing!	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 18:10:30+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This map hurts Black people because there are no Minority Majority districts!	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 18:09:56+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Worst of the house maps. Hurts Detroiters	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-29 18:09:48+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This seems to be the worst of all your maps, since it seems to favor the minority party.	Gerald Tkach	2021-10-29 18:09:38+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This map is the WORST. No majority minority districts. Gives majority of seats to minority party.	Virginia Preuss	2021-10-29 18:09:37+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This map is terrible and hurts the black vote!!!!	Antoniese Gant	2021-10-29 18:09:23+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This is the most unfair of all the maps. It is still very gerrymandered with unacceptable majority of seats going to minority party. This is the worst of all the House maps. Please address prison gerrymandering and use a map that displays	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 18:08:36+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	partisan fairness	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-29 18:08:36+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	These are the best of the house maps. But it needs works. Please consider all people.	Antoniese Gant	2021-10-29 18:06:17+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	This map extends the District towards Clio, which should not be attached to Lapeer County, while Imlay City is separated from this district. It does include Otisville which is part of the Lakeville school district. It could be modified by extending to Davison along M15.	Gerald Tkach	2021-10-29 18:06:02+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	this is the best of the house maps, but still needs to come closer to partisan fairness	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-29 18:05:20+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	PLEASE STOP prison gerrymandering!!	Carrie Hatcher-Kay	2021-10-29 18:05:02+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map does still not achieve partisan fairness, but it is the best house map so far.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 18:04:44+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Thanks for applying partisan fairness for Midland and Bay City! It is now competitive. This is not a great map, but the best of the house maps.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 18:03:33+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Please stop prison gerrymandering!	Antoniese Gant	2021-10-29 18:03:26+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Thanks for working to unpack Ann Arbor. This is not a fair map, but it is best of the house maps.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 18:02:03+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Please move the prison population back to their home communities or to an overpopulated district.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-29 18:01:56+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This map is gerrymandered and unfair.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 17:57:57+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	To address prison gerrymandering, move the prison population to an overpopulated district.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 17:57:09+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This map is gerrymandered and unfair, giving a huge majority of seats to the minority party.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 17:54:13+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	To address prison gerrymandering, move the prison population to an overpopulated district.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 17:50:46+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	To address prison gerrymandering, move the prison population to on overpopulated district	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 17:49:42+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Thanks for working to unpack Ann Arbor.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 17:46:32+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This map takes away voting rights of Black people in Detroit.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 17:43:43+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	This map takes away voting rights of Black people in Detroit.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 17:41:24+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	This map has 5 Voting Rights Act districts, the same as the current. Most good maps get to at least 6. Promote The Vote maps has 7.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 17:38:07+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	To address prison gerrymandering, move the prison population to an overpopulated district.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 17:33:22+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	To address prison gerrymandering, move the prison population to an overpopulated district.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 17:32:26+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This map is moving in the right direction. Thank you for recognizing that partisan fairness and communities of interest are important in Oakland County and Wayne county. Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:28:35+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	gerrymandering. Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:24:37+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	gerrymandering. Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:24:05+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	gerrymandering. Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:23:29+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike	gerrymandering. Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:22:56+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:22:20+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:21:49+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:20:53+00
10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:20:19+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:19:49+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:19:10+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:18:32+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:17:42+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:16:49+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:16:08+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:15:46+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:15:16+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:14:59+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:14:22+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:14:03+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:13:09+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:12:47+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:12:16+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:11:50+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:10:43+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering. Troy and Rochester Hills are similar communities and belong together in Oakland County.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 17:10:13+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	To address prison gerrymandering, move the prison population to an overpopulated district.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 17:07:25+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	To address prison gerrymandering, move the prison population to an overpopulated district.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 17:05:22+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	To address prison gerrymandering, move the prison population to an overpopulated district. Birmingham does no belong in a district with Macomb County.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 17:04:22+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	To address prison gerrymandering, move the prison population to an overpopulated district.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 17:00:58+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	To address prison gerrymandering, move the prison population to an overpopulated district.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 16:58:09+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	To address prison gerrymandering, move the prison population to an overpopulated district.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 16:57:07+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	To address prison gerrymandering, move the prison population to an overpopulated district.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 16:51:52+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	To address prison gerrymandering, please move the prison population to an overpopulated district.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 16:50:28+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	It is better that Southfield is included with Detroit.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 16:48:07+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Beverly Hills, Bloomfield and Birmingham make sense to be together.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 16:42:13+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 16:26:19+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 16:25:48+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 16:24:58+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 16:24:02+00

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-29 16:21:58+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	Southfield belongs more with Detroit in an Congressional seat. Southfield is much more similar to Detroit than it is the outskirts of Oakland County. To address prison gerrymandering, please move the prison population to an overpopulated district.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 16:13:19+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	To address prison gerrymandering, please move the prison population to an overpopulated district.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 16:05:28+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	To address prison gerrymandering, please move the prison population to an overpopulated district.	Glen Cunkle	2021-10-29 15:58:43+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	These maps are not non-partisan. Why are Democrats packed into two districts? In 2018 and 2020, the Democrats won Kent County. These maps do not reflect that fact. We voted to created this nonpartisan commission in 2018 for a reason!	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-29 15:57:11+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Why is Wyoming split up?  This is a strong COI and it is the 2nd largest city in the area. Many minority live here. It should be the focus of a State House district, not divided up.  Wyoming really ought not to be linked with Byron Township. It is part of the GR metro area and Byron Township is very rural.</p>	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-29 15:52:23+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	<p>Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district. This is to address prison gerrymandering.</p>	Lynne Muth	2021-10-29 15:50:07+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>As a minority living in West Michigan, this district does not give a voice to the largest minority population in West Michigan. Minority issues of equal opportunity, inclusion, and human rights are continuing issues to be overshadowed and not addressed in West Michigan.</p>	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-29 15:49:29+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	<p>Please move the prison populations to an overpopulated district. This is to address prison gerrymandering.</p>	Lynne Muth	2021-10-29 15:49:17+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	And all the surrounding areas are strong Republican districts no matter how they are configured. This map ensures that all the districts in West Michigan will be Republican and Democrats will not be represented even though there are strong Democrat neighborhoods in the city.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-29 15:46:48+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This map is gerrymandered and unfair, giving a huge majority of seats to the minority party!	Lynne Muth	2021-10-29 15:45:15+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This map takes away the voting rights of Detroiters to elect a representative of their choosing.	Lynne Muth	2021-10-29 15:43:28+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	The Pine House Map is not entirely fair but the best of the House maps. Thanks for working to unpack Ann Arbor.	Lynne Muth	2021-10-29 15:41:39+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This map takes away voting rights of Black people in Detroit. It is unfair and gives the majority of seats to the minority party.	Lynne Muth	2021-10-29 15:38:16+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	This map takes away voting rights of the Black people in Detroit.	Lynne Muth	2021-10-29 15:36:02+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	This map is close to being fair but needs to go further to give the majority of voters the majority of seats.	Lynne Muth	2021-10-29 15:33:47+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	696 and M-59 connect Oakland and Macomb east to west and represent approximate boundaries to the north and south; many of these communities are connected economically and culturally because of those two roads.	Lynne Muth	2021-10-29 15:31:07+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	The map has split Oakland County in ways that are not consistent with any of our communities of interest.	Lynne Muth	2021-10-29 15:28:05+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Southfield belongs more with Detroit in a Congressional seat. Southfield is more more similar to Detroit than it is the outskirts of Oakland County.	Lynne Muth	2021-10-29 15:24:37+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I appreciate this map and they way the communities are connected - Rochester area, Royal Oak and other neighboring communities.	Lynne Muth	2021-10-29 15:21:18+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Please move the prison population to an overpopulated district. This is to address prison gerrymandering.	Lynne Muth	2021-10-29 15:13:23+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>Of the 3 versions for the Senate District for Kzoo County, I like this one the best because it is the only one that keeps the County in tact. I was born and raised in Lawton and, if the ICRC finds it absolutely necessary to include precincts outside of Kalamazoo County to meet the population requirements, then this map makes the most sense. Lawton and Mattawan are very similar communities and need to be kept together in any map. As a former resident of Albion, Battle Creek, and Marshall; I am glad to see 3 maps with this version of the 62HD. BC and Albion have similar economic, historic, and racial concerns and priorities. I am glad to see them kept together and Marshall kept out of that district.</p>	Mariah Phelps	2021-10-29 14:58:04+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Like		Mariah Phelps	2021-10-29 14:52:51+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	<p>District 5 looks like it was drawn by the sitting Congressman Tim Walberg. Its totally safe and will subject the district to more of the same representation we have now which ignores 1/2 his constituents. I worry the current Congressman who will feel embolden to do whatever he wants without any voter controls.</p>	Paul Wohlfarth	2021-10-29 14:52:43+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Opinion	<p>Do not move the line in the 24th State Senate District to Wealthy Street. Keep the line at Fulton to ensure community associations are kept within the same district.</p>	Zack Sikkema	2021-10-29 14:46:45+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>If the job was to rearrange the districts, why is it that District 8 looks unchanged. Walberg has not successfully represented his constituents for decades, and now he was to be sure to get reelected? This districts needs additional attention paid to it, and the citizens are not and have not been happy with this Walberg for a long time. This is NOT FAIR reconfiguration!</p>	Deborah J.Comstock	2021-10-29 14:40:30+00

If the job was to rearrange the districts, why is it that District 8 looks unchanged. Walberg has not successfully represented his constituents for decades, and now he was to be sure to get reelected? This districts needs additional attention paid to it, and the citizens are not and have not been happy with this Walberg for a long time. This is NOT FAIR reconfiguration!

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Deborah J.Comstock

2021-10-29 14:40:29+00

District 8 looks like it was drawn by the sitting Congressman Tim Walberg. Its totally safe and will subject the district to more of the same representation we have now which ignores 1/2 his constituents. I worry the current Congressman who will feel embolden to do whatever he wants without any voter controls.

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Paul Wohlfarth

2021-10-29 14:39:40+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Dislike	District 8 looks like it was drawn by the sitting Congressman Tim Walberg. Its totally safe and will subject the district to more of the same representation we have now which ignores 1/2 his constituents. I worry the current Congressman who will feel embolden to do whatever he wants without any voter controls.	Paul Wohlfarth	2021-10-29 14:38:57+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	District 8 looks like it was drawn by the sitting Congressman Tim Walberg. Its totally safe and will subject the district to more of the same representation we have now which ignores 1/2 his constituents. I worry the current Congressman who will feel embolden to do whatever he wants without any voter controls.	Paul Wohlfarth	2021-10-29 14:37:06+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	District 8 looks like it was drawn by the sitting Congressman Tim Walberg. Its totally safe and will subject the district to more of the same representation we have now which ignores 1/2 his constituents. I worry the current Congressman who will feel embolden to do whatever he wants without any voter controls.	Paul Wohlfarth	2021-10-29 14:36:23+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	District 8 looks like it was drawn by the sitting Congressman Tim Walberg. Its totally safe and will subject the district to more of the same representation we have now which ignores 1/2 his constituents. I worry the current Congressman who will feel embolden to do whatever he wants without any voter controls.	Paul Wohlfarth	2021-10-29 14:35:33+00

			District 8 looks like it was drawn by the sitting Congressman Tim Walberg. Its totally safe and will subject the district to more of the same representation we have now which ignores 1/2 his constituents. I worry the current Congressman who will feel embolden to do whatever he wants without		
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like		any voter controls.	Paul Wohlfarth	2021-10-29 14:34:14+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like		Thanks	J. Richard Schmitt	2021-10-29 14:33:40+00
			District 8 looks like it was drawn by the sitting Congressman Tim Walberg. Its totally safe and will subject the district to more of the same representation we have now which ignores 1/2 his constituents. I worry the current Congressman who will feel embolden to do whatever he wants without		
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike		any voter controls.	Paul Wohlfarth	2021-10-29 13:30:01+00
			Fulton St. as the border of this district should be changed to Wealthy St, since Wealthy St. is the border for the traditional Wards of Grand Rapids, and city wards must		
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		be kept together.	Gary Stark	2021-10-29 09:54:47+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Cascade, which contains the Gerald Ford airport, belongs with the Grand Rapids metro area, not with Battle Creek. Cascade should be part of district 24.</p> <p>Why is the city of Wyoming split? It is an urban area that belongs with the more urban Grand Rapids, not with rural Byron township. Don't crack the Hispanic population of Wyoming, keep the Hispanic COI there together with the Hispanic precincts of Grand Rapids.</p>	Gary Stark	2021-10-29 09:49:54+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Hispanics in West Michigan need representation. This can be done by keeping Wyoming together in one district, and joining it with the neighboring 3 heavily Hispanic precincts of Grand Rapids. East Grand Rapids, Kentwood, and Cascade can and should be</p>	Gary Stark	2021-10-29 09:43:22+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>one house district</p>	Gary Stark	2021-10-29 09:37:42+00

I like this map, that links Grand Rapids, Kentwood, and Muskegon, urban areas with strong minority populations., which will allow minority voices in West Michigan to be heard. The district is politically balanced and is linked by the I-96 corridor.

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

Gary Stark

2021-10-29 09:27:44+00

First let me thank all of you  
 for your hard work on this  
 endeavor-I campaigned for  
 proposal 2 and even if I may  
 not agree with all the maps, it  
 is important that re-districting  
 is no longer in the hands of  
 politicians. It is difficult for  
 each of you to know the make-  
 up all areas in Michigan-hence  
 these comments are very  
 important. To wit-  
 Senate map Elm-3 problems  
 on this map: Again Wealthy,  
 NOT Fulton, is the division  
 between City Wards 2 and 3.  
 Please honor this in dividing  
 the city.

Cascade Township is a suburb  
 of Grand Rapids, containing  
 the Gerald Ford International  
 Airport which is strongly  
 linked to the GR community.  
 There is very little connection  
 between Cascade Township  
 and Battle Creek.

Ottawa County should remain  
 whole. To link 4 Ottawa

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

townships to Grand Rapids,  
 Western Grand Rapids is NOT  
 rural Ottawa do not drown

elizabeth boessenkool

2021-10-29 04:25:54+00

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

gerrymander.

Richard Paas

2021-10-29 04:02:42+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike Rural Ottawa areas should not be combined in with West Grand Rapids, an urban area. Richard Paas 2021-10-29 03:59:47+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like The combined West Michigan Grand Rapids and Muskegon district meets the goal of partisan fairness which is high on the hierarchy list of MIRC's mission. I have travelled to Muskegon from GR for years to see friends and relatives and I know others have as well spending time and money in both regions. Additionally a district rep here would be able to better represent the combined minority demographics that have been drowned out by gerrymandering for decades. While the combined GR/Kalamazoo district approaches this I think a GR+Muskegon region is a more natural fit. Richard Paas 2021-10-29 03:36:24+00

There was an extensive study done back in 2002 by the West Michigan Strategic Alliance with a mission to be a catalyst for regional collaboration. It was stated that the most successful future comes from regional decisions that balances economic prosperity, environmental integrity and social justice. This map best demonstrates a strong link between the economic connection along the I-96 corridor creating a common framework for partisan fairness inclusive of Grand Rapids, Kentwood and Muskegon creating a regional alliance to develop a regional alliance with political balanced mindsets.

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

Terry Gates

2021-10-29 03:22:13+00

Many of these maps of SE Michigan disregard city and county boundaries which are "Communities of Interest". Why? my own city is divided into thirds.

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Dislike

Kevin Dolin

2021-10-29 02:59:41+00

Muskegon and Grand Rapids are connected by the economically important I-96 corridor. The current map reflects that connection positively.

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

Judith Herrick

2021-10-29 02:52:35+00

The Grosse Pointes were correctly mapped together with one exception. A small section of Grosse Pointe Woods on its south end (Precinct 4), was omitted from the map for State Representative District 4, where the rest of Grosse Pointe Woods was placed (as well as the other Grosse Pointes) and instead put into District 6. It is critical that this section of the City of Grosse Pointe Woods be included in District 6 with the rest of the city. The separation of this part of the city from the rest of the city is counterproductive to the importance of ensuring the unity of interest indicated to be accommodated by the the new redistricting.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Thomas Vaughn

2021-10-29 02:47:51+00

The Grosse Pointes were correctly mapped together with one exception. A section of Grosse Pointe Woods on its south end, Precinct 4, was omitted from the map for State Representative for District 4 where the rest of Grosse Pointe Woods was placed and instead was put in District 6. It is critical that the entire City of Grosse Pointe Woods be in the same District, in this case District 4, with the rest of the Grosse Pointes. The absence of this one precinct in the same district as the rest of the city is counterproductive to the unity of interest of the city.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Thomas Vaughn

2021-10-29 02:40:49+00

The Grosse Pointe were correctly mapped together with one exception. A section of Grosse Pointe Woods on its south end, Precinct 4, was placed in District 6, by itself, while the rest of the City of Grosse Pointe Woods was placed in District 4. Please move all of Grosse Pointe Woods into District 4.

10-08-21 v1 HD Dislike

Thomas Vaughn

2021-10-29 02:34:16+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	I can not believe you let Timmy Walberg's MiGOP cronies talk you into making a completely safe district for him. Wasn't "independent" in the name of the commission? Shame.	Patrick M Ulanowicz	2021-10-29 02:30:53+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Keep Ottawa County together separate from Kent Co., Cascade needs to be with #24 and redo the line of #23 to Wealthy not Fulton like wards. Cascade Township and Grand Rapids Township are interconnected to the Grand Rapids City transportation corridor inclusive of the airport, north/south & east/west highways, economy of prime supporting businesses and Frederick Meijer Botanical Gardens etc. that it needs to be included in the Grand Rapids City voting District as it's interrelated to the prime functions of the entire area and expansive growth expected, a coordinated efforts is imperative with Grand Rapids City.	Janet-sue Strait	2021-10-29 02:19:05+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion		Janet-sue Strait	2021-10-29 01:54:00+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	<p>Thank you this is well done, all inclusive for supportive action for all groups of our communities.</p> <p>Grracias por apoyar nuestro mapa pero me gustaria que tambien respetaran las personas de color, por que nuestras comunidades de interes (DHDC Latinx SW Detroit) no esta completo El Congreso le corto la quinta parte (20%). Exigimos que mantengan a nuestra comunidad hispana unida. Nuestra comunidad mejoro pero puede estar mucho mejor.</p>	Janet-sue Strait	2021-10-29 01:26:30+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>At 94000 people, Livonia should constitute its own district. It most certainly is a "Community of Interest". Yet here it is divided into thirds,</p>	Lourdes Valdivia	2021-10-29 00:39:46+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>to satsify what?</p>	Kevin Dolin	2021-10-29 00:28:03+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	<p>Gracias por apoyar nuestro mapa, pero me gustaria que tambien respetaran las personas de color por que nuestras COI no esta completo, el Senado nos quito la cuarta parte (25%). Exigimos que nuestra comunidad hispana se mantenga unida, nuestra comunidad mejoro pero puede estar mucho mejor.</p>	Lourdes Valdivia	2021-10-29 00:08:16+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>Please look at map p7503 and keep Banglatown together! Please do not dilute the vote of this community of interest. The new lines being drawn by the Commission are racial gerrymandering and not representative of the people the Commission was appointed to serve. Please re-draw equitable maps and keep Banglatown together!</p>	Sarah Lally	2021-10-28 22:55:40+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	<p>Exigimos que mantengan a la comunidad hispana unida, nuestra comunidad mejorÃ³ , pero puede estar mucho mejor. Nuestra comunidad de interÃ©s debe de estar unida</p>	Laura Gomez	2021-10-28 22:21:30+00

Exigimos que mantengan a la  
comunidad hispana unida.  
Nuestra comunidad mejorÃ³ ,  
pero puede estar mucho  
mejor. Nuestra comunidad de  
interÃ©s , debe de estar  
unida

10-08-21 v1 SD

Like

Milagros Duran

2021-10-28 22:19:52+00

Please don't split Dickinson County. This is a community of interest as a whole. I am from Norway Township and we would end up being in with the Marquette area. We are about 100 miles away from Marquette and have nothing in common with them. Iron Mountain is our main shopping and business center. Also, this map shows just a small strip of land between the 109th district and the 107th district. What in the world would make you think this is a good idea? We also don't have much in common with Menominee county. Please, please leave us in the 109th district with Iron Mountain. As I stated before, we have had no meetings the U.P. and no representation on the redistricting committee from the U.P. The people on this committee have certainly not done their homework on the

10-08-21 v2 HD

Opinion

Joyce Giuliani

2021-10-28 21:45:23+00

I find it hard to believe that this commission would give an area the size of the U.P. to one senator. The people on this redistricting committee obviously have no clue as to the vastness of the U.P. How is one person supposed to be able to represent an area this size and do it well. This will make it even harder to get good people to take on this job. You didn't see fit to even have 1, not 1 meeting in the U.P. And there are no representatives on the committee from the U.P.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Opinion

What a sad, sorry joke this whole thing has turned into.

Joyce Giuliani

2021-10-28 21:26:28+00

I agree with others who are in support of this district because of economic connections between Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids and this will give minority voters a bigger voice in W. Michigan. Also, Kalamazoo has much more in common with Grand Rapids than with the lakeshore communities to the west or the rural

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

communities to the east.

Naomi Siegel Morse

2021-10-28 21:14:42+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This map is Gerrymandered and unfair, giving a huge majority of seats to the minority party!	Allegra Pitera	2021-10-28 21:11:27+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This map takes away the voting rights of Detroiters to elect a representative of their choosing!	Allegra Pitera	2021-10-28 21:10:11+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Thanks for working to unpack Ann Arbor!	Allegra Pitera	2021-10-28 21:08:55+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This map is unfair and gives the majority of seats to the minority party	Allegra Pitera	2021-10-28 21:07:38+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	This map is unfair and gives the majority of seats to the minority party	Allegra Pitera	2021-10-28 21:06:56+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	This map is close to being fair but needs to go further to give the majority of voters the majority of seats.	Allegra Pitera	2021-10-28 21:05:55+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	The Southeast Michigan map needs a lot of work, nobody who lives here would think this is good. Troy and Rochester are clearly Oakland County cities with large Indian and Asian communities.	Allegra Pitera	2021-10-28 21:04:03+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Birmingham does not belong in a district with Macomb County, this makes no sense.	Allegra Pitera	2021-10-28 21:02:10+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	Having Oshtemo, Texas Township, and Portage together makes perfect sense. These areas are all suburbs of Kalamazoo and have numerous economic connections. Texas Township kids attend school in Portage. Milford and other southern and western Oakland townships and cities just don't make sense going so far north in the Thumb.	Naomi Siegel Morse	2021-10-28 21:01:09+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Opinion	This congressional district is completely contained within Oakland County, thereby ensuring the county has accurate and responsive representation.	Allegra Pitera	2021-10-28 21:01:01+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like		Allegra Pitera	2021-10-28 20:59:33+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	Southfield belongs more with Detroit in a Congressional seat. Southfield is much more similar to Detroit than it is the outskirts of Oakland County.	Allegra Pitera	2021-10-28 20:57:29+00

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Dislike	Texas Township and Portage are not rural and should not be part of a rural district (3). Both are suburbs of Kalamazoo and should not be broken up between 3 and 21. They should be entirely in 21.	Naomi Siegel Morse	2021-10-28 20:56:49+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Beverly Hills, Bloomfield, and Birmingham make sense to be connected together. Please don't take portions of Texas Township and Portage and put them in district 3. Keep all of Texas Township and Portage together and include them in district 21. Texas Township kids go to school in Portage and we have many other connections to Kalamazoo.	Allegra Pitera	2021-10-28 20:54:21+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Dislike	Forest Hills, which is part of the Grand Rapids Township is a very residential/suburban area. It should be linked with urban areas not rural areas.	Naomi Siegel Morse	2021-10-28 20:53:38+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Grand Rapids Township and Cascade Township are part of metro Grand Rapids and they should be linked in with District 75.	Christine Barsy-Eckman	2021-10-28 20:43:27+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion		Christine Barsy-Eckman	2021-10-28 20:29:32+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	I thank you for your efforts in drawing this map. I strongly support the partisan fairness. It's great to see the I96 corridor has been incorporated connecting Grand Rapids with Muskegon. Combining these 2 hubs of non-white communities will ensure minority voices of West Michigan will be heard. I can't comprehend why Clawson and Highland Park would possibly be in the same	Christine Barsy-Eckman	2021-10-28 20:03:10+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	district.	Lynn Blasey	2021-10-28 18:46:52+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Keep Banglatown together!	Mashal Zanib	2021-10-28 18:38:48+00

in 2018 I was a captain with  
Voters Not Politicians in order  
to change the gerrymandered  
mess of the districts in  
Michigan. My team collected  
thousands of signatures from  
people who wanted to end  
unfair gerrymandering by  
establishing this commission.  
Now what I see in SE  
Michigan is a new racial  
gerrymandering: dividing  
districts up by lines drawn to  
prevent racial minorities from  
electing their preferred  
representatives. Districts 8,  
16 and 21 are a  
gerrymandered nightmare. In  
order to avoid majority  
minority districts, this  
commission is creating racial  
gerrymandering.  
The City of Livonia has been  
cut into three pieces to  
support this racial  
gerrymandering. I have  
testified in person to the  
commission that Livonia  
exemplifies a community of

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Louise Weller

2021-10-28 18:24:49+00

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Like	This map looks great! The partisan fairness is improved. I also love how Bloomfield Township, Southfield Township and Birmingham are kept together.	Debbie Rosenman	2021-10-28 17:46:06+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Like	The map for District 3 seems to be a fair and reasonable grouping of Central Oakland County communities of interest.	Larry Spiece	2021-10-28 17:03:35+00

Kent County should be kept completely whole in the Congressional maps. The APPLE Plan should be rejected. The cities of Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are distinctly separate Communities of Interest (COIs) each with its own identity and priorities. Putting the two in a common District pits two cities which compete against each other for resources; they should be separate, focal economies in separate Congressional Districts. Honor the Communities of Interest!

Similarly, for the State Senate District, the three proposed maps for Kent County all are identical and violate the concept of COIs. Rather than leaving all of Grand Rapids in one State Senate seat, the maps divide the city at its North-South center into two different Districts. The city of Don't divide Livonia. We are a community. We are similar to Canton, Northville, Plymouth. Similar to cities west of us, not east. Thanks.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

James J Stanton

2021-10-28 17:00:52+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike

Robin Schuetz

2021-10-28 16:59:30+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Cascade is too far away and unrelated to the Battle Creek area.	Patrice Vrona	2021-10-28 16:59:02+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Cascade is a natural fit with Grand Rapids area - it is more urban in nature than rural. Providing balance for the voice of non white communities is important	Patrice Vrona	2021-10-28 16:55:42+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	Livonia and Brightmoor / Redford are not the same. Livonia belongs with Plymouth, Northville,	Patrice Vrona	2021-10-28 16:51:28+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	Farmington, Canto. Keep Ottawa whole. These townships certainly fit better with the rest of Ottawa, not	Robin Schuetz	2021-10-28 16:49:25+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	as part of Kent county!	John Considine	2021-10-28 16:45:02+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Wyoming strong COI and one of the largest cities in the area. It should not be not divided - make it the center of a Sate House district	John Considine	2021-10-28 16:42:25+00

Cascade township is a suburb of Grand Rapids/Kentwood and should be in a district with those areas.

The airport should be back in with the Grand Rapids metro. There is little COI with farmland townships.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

John Considine

2021-10-28 16:39:20+00

These blocks need to be moved from District 10 to District 2. Including these blocks in District 2 will keep the Bangladeshi community together - meeting the ethnic, economic, and cultural needs that create this Community of Interest. Leaving this sliver in District 10 does not make sense.

There are areas in these maps that have very, very unusual units that are out-of-place, and seem to be arbitrarily included to meet other standards of numbers to the detriment of minority voices. Please do not dilute BIPOC votes!

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Amanda Jaczkowski

2021-10-28 16:38:22+00

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Like	<p>The map deviation seems fair at -0.09%. This is better than the previous maps. Keeps Walled Lake Schools together. Generally this is central Oakland County.</p> <p>The airport is nowhere near to Battle Creek. It funded by business from Grand Rapids area. Move it back to the metro.</p> <p>Cascade Township is a suburb of Grand Rapids-include it in Grand Rapids State Senate districts.</p>	Michael Wiese	2021-10-28 16:34:45+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Cascade Township is linked to, Grand Rapids Metro region by economics, contiguous nature and cultural linkages. Cascade should be part of the Metro area.</p>	John Considine	2021-10-28 16:34:16+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>Thank you for your efforts at partisan fairness. This map is a very politically balanced map.</p>	John Considine	2021-10-28 16:28:58+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like		John Considine	2021-10-28 16:23:24+00

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Like	<p>This appears to be a fairly drawn map that includes Oakland County communities of related interest, identities, governance, schools, etc.</p> <p>It,also, seems partisanly fair. District 8 benefits from the thousands of individuals housed in Jackson area prisons who are unable to vote or participate in civic activities, and most of whom have no ties to this community. Prison populations should be included in an overpopulated district in order not to sway the size of the actual community population of the district. Likewise, communities most impacted by incarceration (such as in Districts 1, 2, and 6 on this map) should be in underpopulated districts to compensate for their displaced population.</p>	Barbara Spiece	2021-10-28 16:08:29+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike		Kenneth Gourlay	2021-10-28 15:49:25+00

I strongly oppose the current configuration of State House Districts for Muskegon County. It appears that by dividing Muskegon County into four districts, that with the exception of District 84 which is mandated by the voting rights act, the remaining three districts are drawn to completely disenfranchise Muskegon County voters. Instead of drawing a straight line south from the eastern boundary of District 84 to include Fruitport Township in District 83, the map takes a left turn to exclude us. At the same time, the Ottawa County eastern boundary extends all the way to Crockery Township to Nunica. FRUITPORT is not a rural Township.

We live two blocks from Spring Lake and are part of the Spring Lake/Norris Creek watershed. This is where I

Pete Bosheff

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

2021-10-28 15:49:24+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Troy, Rochester, Bloomfield, Farmington Hills, and other communities along the I-696/M-59 corridors have similar populations and similar interests. They should be included in a district together.	Kenneth Gourlay	2021-10-28 15:39:25+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	I am 100% opposed of this proposal. Kalamazoo and Kent County are in no way districts that share any interests. This would not represent the people of each district and need to remain separate.	Hazen Hensley	2021-10-28 15:30:49+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	I am in opposition of this proposal. These districts share completely nothing in regards to communities of interest. Cascade Township contains the Gerald R Ford airport which is THE airport for the Grand Rapids metro area. Please put this in with the Northern State Senate district #24 Cascade Township is not one of the 6-cities, but it is suburban Grand Rapids and should be part of the Grand Rapids Metro region.	Lisa Hensley	2021-10-28 15:27:17+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion		Christy Mayo	2021-10-28 15:24:07+00

Cascade township is part of the Grand Rapids metro region and should be linked in with a Grand Rapids house district.

The airport in Cascade township should be linked to the Grand Rapids metro region, not a rural State House district.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Christy Mayo

2021-10-28 15:22:27+00

Thank you for your efforts at partisan fairness. This map is a politically balanced map and should be chosen. It's extremely important that the district combines 2 hubs of non-white communities to ensure the minority voices of West Michigan can be heard.

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

Christy Mayo

2021-10-28 15:20:03+00

As a resident of Northville, I like this map because it keeps Northville and Northville Twp with central Oakland county. Our interests are similar and should be kept together.

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC Like

Liam Richichi

2021-10-28 14:24:23+00

I have been in Troy since 1979  
and DO NOT want to be a part  
of Macomb County. If I  
wanted to be in Macomb Cty I  
would have move there!  
Please stop making changes  
to satisfy political group  
instead of citizens. We pay  
the taxes and should be able  
to live in the county of our  
choosing. DO NOT MAKE  
Troy a part of Macomb  
County!

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Paula Young

2021-10-28 13:27:09+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>The proposed District 18 looks like the map of the original district from Massachusetts back in 1812 that gave us the term "gerrymander." This district and all of the others in the metro Detroit area are racially gerrymandered. This is "negative racial gerrymandering" which is designed to nullify the vote of minority residents. That may not be the intent of the commission, but that will be the result. These maps of SE Michigan are "cracking" the minority neighborhoods, which essentially inhibits their influence and cracks apart communities of interest.</p>	Louise Weller	2021-10-28 13:05:12+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	<p>Please leave Flint the way it is. Do not change the lines. We need. Use Map P7373</p>	Betty Knapp	2021-10-28 12:57:17+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Like	<p>One thing I do like about this district is that it is likely the one that is most contiguous in Oakland county, the district will seemingly represent a large cross section of Oakland.</p>	Kent Douglas	2021-10-28 04:30:27+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Please make revisions to the State House plans (Pine, Oak, and Peach) to include Precinct 95 with State House District 2 (instead of District 10). This will place more of the Bangla community together so that they can have proper representation. You can reference map p7503 for details.	Rahim Miah	2021-10-28 04:23:05+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	I am opposed to this map because it breaks apart the contiguous COIs of Black voters in Southfield/Lathrup from Oak Park and parts of Detroit. It essentially cracks the Black vote by splitting 3 contiguous African-American communities into 3 different Congressional districts, thereby diluting the Black vote.	Judy Davis	2021-10-28 04:14:30+00

I am a Southfield resident and like that this map keeps the contiguous communities of African-American citizens in Oakland County together. Too many of these maps split Southfield & Lathrup Village from Oak Park along Greenfield Rd. However, these communities comprise a contiguous African-American COI under the current Redistricting rules, sharing significant cultural, historical, economic and other interests, and should be kept intact in Congressional voting districts, per the map #c4774 submitted to the public comment portal. I also support the Commission's proposed maps which join portions of the City of Detroit with Southfield, Lathrup Village and other south Oakland County border communities with respect to Black COI representation. It is important to keep this COI

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Opinion

Judy Davis

2021-10-28 04:04:56+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like I am in agreement with this map as it keep the City of Midland where it belongs, with its Great Lakes Bay neighbors of Bay City ,Saginaw and Flint. Hurray for a un-gerrymandered map for the voters of this region! katrhleen curell 2021-10-28 04:04:08+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Opinion I am a Southfield resident and like that this map connects Southfield/Lathrup with parts of Detroit. However, it breaks up African-American citizens in the cities of Southfield and Lathrup Village from Oak Park. These communities comprise a contiguous COI under the current Redistricting rules, sharing significant cultural, historical, economic and other interests, and should be kept intact in one Congressional voting district, per the Southfield Deltas map #c4774 submitted to the public comment portal. It is important to keep this COI together in order to avoid dilution of the Black vote. Judy Davis 2021-10-28 03:50:55+00

Im very happy to see a district like this one that recognizes that the city of Midland is not rural. Midland is a CITY and belongs with Bay City, Saginaw and Flint with whom we share the same urban interests. A watershed is not a determining factor in the criteria for drawing voting maps.

COI and partisan Fairness ARE! Thank you for drawing

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	this map	kathleen curell	2021-10-28 03:48:31+00
		Contiguity correction: <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/715">https://districtr.org/plan/715</a>		
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Opinion	13	Yousif	2021-10-28 03:42:42+00
		Contiguity correction: <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/715">https://districtr.org/plan/715</a>		
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	13	Yousif	2021-10-28 03:42:28+00
		Contiguity correction: <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/715">https://districtr.org/plan/715</a>		
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Opinion	13	Yousif	2021-10-28 03:41:55+00
		Contiguity correction: <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/715">https://districtr.org/plan/715</a>		
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	13	Yousif	2021-10-28 03:41:36+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Opinion	<p><a href="https://districtr.org/plan/715">https://districtr.org/plan/715</a>  11 - Here is a plan with 2 districts with majority-minority voting age population of black voters at 47.8% for each of the 2 districts. This will comply with VRA in MI requiring 2 districts with majority-minority of black voters.</p>	Yousif	2021-10-28 03:37:10+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	<p><a href="https://districtr.org/plan/715">https://districtr.org/plan/715</a>  11 - Here is a plan with 2 districts with majority-minority voting age population of black voters at 47.8% for each of the 2 districts. This will comply with VRA in MI requiring 2 districts with majority-minority of black voters.</p>	Yousif	2021-10-28 03:36:41+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Opinion	<p><a href="https://districtr.org/plan/715">https://districtr.org/plan/715</a>  11 - Here is a plan with 2 districts with majority-minority voting age population of black voters at 47.8% for each of the 2 districts. This will comply with VRA in MI requiring 2 districts with majority-minority of black voters.</p>	Yousif	2021-10-28 03:36:10+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	<p><a href="https://districtr.org/plan/715">https://districtr.org/plan/715</a>  11 - Here is a plan with 2 districts with majority-minority voting age population of black voters at 47.8% for each of the 2 districts. This will comply with VRA in MI requiring 2 districts with majority-minority of black voters.</p>	Yousif	2021-10-28 03:34:51+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>Commissioners, I spoke at the public hearing last week expressing the needs of the Bangla town community. Please include Precinct 95 from District 10 into District 2. This will keep Banglatown while. Please look at map p7503 for details.</p>	Mohammed Islam	2021-10-28 03:29:53+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	<p>This map ranks high on the efficiency gap. Im not surprised because it places the city of Midland with rural areas instead of our urban neighbor Bay City. Please keep the state house maps that combine Midland and Bay City at the top of the list as "favored Maps". Thank You</p>	katrhleen curell	2021-10-28 03:28:06+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	<p>Bay City and Saginaw always go Democrat. Midland is usually Republican.</p>	James Harrington Jr	2021-10-28 03:20:01+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Like	<p>I am in favor of this map because of the fact that it combines Midland and Bay City in one District. Thank you for the emphasis on COI and a map that aims for zero partisan bias, therefore allowing voters to have a real voice in Lansing.</p>	kathleen curell	2021-10-28 03:11:32+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Dislike	<p>I agree with the Trasks that Dickinson County should not be split and Dickinson should not be put in the same district as Marquette county of which I am a resident. They are quite different and may dilute each others' influence such that no one will be happy with their representative in the State house.</p> <p>Please revise State House District 2 in Pine, Oak, and Peach Plans to include Precinct 95. Give Banglatown its voice. We need fair representation for Detroit and Hamtramck.</p>	DS Kahler	2021-10-28 03:11:06+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike		Yasif Rahman	2021-10-28 03:08:42+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>The City of Midland has very little in common with the rural areas of this map and this map is leaving out the important aspect of political bias. this map would not serve its voters in a fair manner. The biggest reason for Prop 2 in the first place is to draw districts that do not favor any political party.</p>	katrhleen curell	2021-10-28 02:45:27+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>I ask the commissioners to place Precinct 95 into State House District 2 instead of where it is currently located in District 10. We need equitable representation for the Bengali community and for all of Hamtramck and Detroit residents. Please reference p7503.</p>	Tanvir Rahman	2021-10-28 02:25:51+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>Please make sure that our Bengali community is kept together as much as possible so that our collective voice isn't suppressed. You can do this by moving precinct 95 from State House District 10 to District 2. Please reference map p7503. Thank you.</p>	Tahmid Mustafa	2021-10-28 01:52:34+00

10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	<p>Why is part of Ann Arbor in a State Senate district with Monroe County? The whole city of Ann Arbor along with the city of Ypsilanti, Salem, Superior, Ypsilanti, and Pittsfield Township should form a State Senate district. This district would contain 265,813 people which is close to the ideal senate district population of 265,193 and this district would be compact and not split any municipal boundaries.</p>	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-28 01:35:49+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Like	<p>I like this map to the extent that it does not split the Brighton area, keeps Livingston County as whole as possible, and does not up us with Ann Arbor.</p>	Dan Wholihan	2021-10-28 01:35:23+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>My earlier dislike is over the partisan fairness changes taking away a competitive seat in District 6. I do think my own 5th district was drawn well.</p>	Dan Wholihan	2021-10-28 01:26:24+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Opinion	12	Yousif	2021-10-28 00:55:22+00
<p>Here is a plan that creates 2 majority-minority districts at 46.1% while keeping the Chaldean district intact! This is based on Birch Plan.  <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/714">https://districtr.org/plan/714</a></p>				
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	12	Yousif	2021-10-28 00:54:45+00
<p>Here is a plan that creates 2 majority-minority districts at 46.1% while keeping the Chaldean district intact! This is based on Birch Plan.  <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/714">https://districtr.org/plan/714</a></p>				
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Opinion	12	Yousif	2021-10-28 00:54:11+00
<p>Here is a plan that creates 2 majority-minority districts at 46.1% while keeping the Chaldean district intact! This is based on Birch Plan.  <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/714">https://districtr.org/plan/714</a></p>				
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	12	Yousif	2021-10-28 00:53:05+00
<p>Here is a plan that creates 2 majority-minority districts at 46.1% while keeping the Chaldean district intact! This is based on Birch Plan.  <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/714">https://districtr.org/plan/714</a></p>				

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Please revise Pine, Oak, and Peach State House District 2 covering Detroit and Hamtramck. Specifically, please revise to include PRECINCT 95 into District 2 as suggested in P7503. Please ensure that the residents of Hamtramck and Detroit have their voices heard. I urge you to keep Banglatown whole.	Aisha Zanib	2021-10-28 00:09:03+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	Do not combine part of Muskegon County with Kent County. They are separate counties with different needs.	Isabelle Reynolds	2021-10-27 23:51:51+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	No, I do not agree on combining part of Muskegon County with Kent County.	Isabelle Reynolds	2021-10-27 23:46:10+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Why are you packing Democrats into three districts? Why are these not the fair maps that everyone-R and Ds-want? We want fair districts for our whole state.	Tina Olson	2021-10-27 23:42:48+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	I ask the commission to please move precinct 95 from state house district 10 to district 2. We need to ensure that the Muslim community of Hamtramck and Detroit has their voices heard. Keep Banglatown whole. You can refer to map submission P7503. Thank you.	Marunur R Choudhury	2021-10-27 23:32:58+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	My earlier "dislike" was for other areas. I DO like the drawing of my own county (Livingston). Keep the City of Walker with Alpine Township in a district, Grand Rapids Township with Ada and Cascade Townships in a district (favors Forrest Hills Community of interest). Keep Byron Township whole within a district, Gaines Township whole within a district, and Plainfield Township whole within a district.	Dan Wholihan	2021-10-27 22:04:42+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This map takes away voting rights of Black people in Detroit	Foppe VanderZwaag	2021-10-27 21:53:14+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Keep Grand Rapids district whole. Don't split it up!	Teri Weingarden	2021-10-27 21:50:58+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		Foppe VanderZwaag	2021-10-27 21:50:43+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	Ive said it before, and Ill say it again! This is a great map! Midland belongs together with our sister cities in the Tri Cities for fair reoresentation in Lansing. Thank You	katrhleen curell	2021-10-27 21:50:08+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	This map is unfair. It akes away voting rights of Black people in Detroit. This map has 6 Voting Rights Act districts versus Promote The Vote™s map with 7	Teri Weingarden	2021-10-27 21:49:48+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	It's totally unreasonable to put Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo into one district. —This map has 5 Voting Rights Act districts, the same as current. Most good maps get to at least 6 but Promote the Vote has 7. This map is close to being fair but needs to go further to give the majority of voters the majority of seats.	Foppe VanderZwaag	2021-10-27 21:48:17+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion		Teri Weingarden	2021-10-27 21:48:02+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	The Southeast Michigan map needs to be redone, I cannot believe any residents of the area will support this map. Troy and Rochester are clearly Oakland County cities with large Indian and Asian communities.	Teri Weingarden	2021-10-27 21:47:12+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	This splits Oakland County up in ways that are not consistent with any of our communities of interest. Birmingham does not belong in a district with Macomb County. Southfield belongs in a district with parts of Detroit.	Teri Weingarden	2021-10-27 21:45:26+00
10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Like	the Tri Cities make up the Great lakes region! thank you for recognizing that! We are a COI and we need to be in a voting district. Please continue to work on partisan fairness because that is why we fought and worked so hard to pass prop 2! Thank YOU!	katrhleen curell	2021-10-27 21:45:15+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Thank you for including Southfield with parts of Detroit.	Teri Weingarden	2021-10-27 21:42:54+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Beverly Hills, Bloomfield, and Birmingham should be connected together. This is the most fair of the maps.	Teri Weingarden	2021-10-27 21:40:23+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	We are requesting once again please bring Banglatown in one district. Please move Precinct 95 to District 2. For a modified map please visit <a href="https://www.michigan-mapping.org/submission/p7503">https://www.michigan-mapping.org/submission/p7503</a>	Annie Ahmed	2021-10-27 21:39:47+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Unfair map. Hurts black residents. Gerrymandered. This map keeps the Tri Cities together so I am in Favor. We share so may urban interests that really matter as we hope for valid and fair representation. thank You! Keep your heads up and the state of Michigan is forever in your debt.	Teri Weingarden	2021-10-27 21:36:52+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Like	This is a gerrymandered map.	kathleen curell	2021-10-27 21:36:52+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	It hurts black residents. Thanks for making a competitive district of Midland and Bay City!	Teri Weingarden	2021-10-27 21:35:33+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	thanks for making Bay city a competitive district	Teri Weingarden	2021-10-27 21:33:00+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	thanks for making Midland a competitive district	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-27 21:32:52+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	thanks for making Midland a competitive district	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-27 21:31:45+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	Thank you for all the hard work you are doing and thank you for this map which would likely produce great state representation for the constituents of the Tri Cities	katrhleen curell	2021-10-27 21:30:17+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Good Job! I appreciate that the tri cities are consistently together in most of the maps. It only makes sense!	katrhleen curell	2021-10-27 21:24:19+00
		As a Michigander with deep roots in our beautiful state, Hamtramck resident, and active community member/leader, I ask again that you please keep the Bangladeshi and Yemeni special interest groups united. Including Precinct 95 from Detroit merging into District 2 would support that goal.		
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	An example of a map I support (as presented by AIPA Vote - MI) may be found here: <a href="https://www.michigan-mapping.org/submission/p7503">https://www.michigan-mapping.org/submission/p7503</a>	Lynn Blasey	2021-10-27 21:21:56+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	this Senate map is a very good map. It recognizes the urban communities of the Tri Cities as an obvious COI.	katrhleen curell	2021-10-27 21:17:45+00

		<p>Detroit, Flint, Grand Rapids, entire state.</p> <p>This map is Gerrymandered and unfair, giving a huge majority of seats to the minority party!</p> <p>â— This map hurts Black people because there are no Minority Majority districts!</p> <p>â— This map takes away the voting rights of Detroiters to elect a representative of their</p>		
10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	choosing!	Laraine Deutsch	2021-10-27 21:15:33+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	worst of the house maps!	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-27 21:12:25+00
		<p>This is the worst of the house maps. It gives a majority of seats to the minority party. It hurts Blacks by eliminating minority-majority districts.</p>		
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	minority-majority districts.	Laraine Deutsch	2021-10-27 21:12:17+00
		<p>this map doesn't make sense to me as the Tri Cities have nothing at all in common with the central and rural areas west of Midland that stretch all the way to Lake Michigan. The Tri Cities belong in a district with Flint and these rural areas deserve to be with their own COI for adequate</p>		
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	representation	katrhleen curell	2021-10-27 21:10:35+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Independence Township has nothing in common with the thumb area. We should be with waterford, lake orion, etc in District 3. Please put Clarkston/Independence Township in in district 3. We have nothing to do with the thumb area.	Theresa Nallamothu	2021-10-27 20:54:34+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike		Theresa Nallamothu	2021-10-27 20:51:50+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	On behalf of APIA Vote-MI, I ask that precinct 95 in Detroit be included in District 2. Please check out our map at <a href="https://www.michigan-mapping.org/submission/p75">https://www.michigan-mapping.org/submission/p75</a> 03 for details. Please consider Precinct 95 in Detroit be included in District 2. Please check out our modified map at <a href="https://www.michigan-mapping.org/plans/d1">https://www.michigan-mapping.org/plans/d1</a>	Kurtis Fernandez	2021-10-27 20:24:59+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike		Rebeka Islam	2021-10-27 20:24:37+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	Can't see any way that this map makes sense. Bad on many counts	Joel A Sheltroun	2021-10-27 20:10:50+00

9-29-21 RAS v2 HD	Dislike	<p>I am commenting towards the District 1, It is not fair how you have it portrayed. Respect the community of interest which should be balanced. Why separate in 3 pieces and have them in one piece. Why is the COA not complete? It is not fair for my Hispanic/latino people.</p>	Claudia Bravo-Sanchez	2021-10-27 19:09:26+00
10-07-21 v1	SD Fixed Dislike	<p>I am commenting towards the District 17, which you should have it balanced. Respect the community of interest which should be balanced. Why separate in 3 pieces and have them in one piece.</p>	Claudia Bravo-Sanchez	2021-10-27 19:03:17+00
10-07-21 v1	SD Fixed Dislike	<p>While this map is better than before, we urge you to unify communities of interest further. By law, communities of color should be respected and kept together.</p> <p>Another concern of mine is the low number of people of color in Detroit districts. Representation matters. The bare minimum is not enough.</p>	Oscar Chapa	2021-10-27 18:56:01+00

While this map is better than before, we urge you to unify communities of interest further. By law, communities of color should be respected and kept together.

Another concern of mine is the low number of people of color in Detroit districts.

9-29-21 RAS v2 HD

Dislike

Representation matters. The bare minimum is not enough. Oscar Chapa

2021-10-27 18:54:55+00

Districts 10, 11 and 12 are disenfranchising voters.

District 10 disenfranchising the Arab community. District 11 and 12 disenfranchises the Chaldean and Asian communities. SE MI region in this map needs to be redone.

Please take a look at this map based on Birch:

10-13-21 v1 CD RL

Dislike

<https://districtr.org/plan/70686>

Yousif

2021-10-27 18:42:21+00

The attached map is a better way to redistrict 106, 107,

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO fOpinion

108, 109 districts.

Pamela Trask

2021-10-27 18:40:08+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	There is no reason to add parts of Oakland township to the Rochester-Rochester Hills district. Rochester/Rochester Hills has enough persons to fulfill the 77,000 requirement and is a unique community.	Rebecca McMahon	2021-10-27 18:31:51+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Like	I like this map to the extent that it keeps Livingston County districts whole and not put in with Washtenaw County as the vast majority of public comment stated. Thank you.	Dan Wholihan	2021-10-27 18:17:04+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	As a Ypsilanti Resident this is my favorite map so far.	Kristen Harter	2021-10-27 18:12:09+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Why is another map excluding Rochester Hills from our greater city area? Rochester, Roch Hills, Oakland Township are a tight knit community, but yall keep trying to split us up? Why were school district boundaries not thought of?	Peter Adair	2021-10-27 18:09:54+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	<p>Another map where Rochester hills is split from our Greater Rochester area. We are a tight community with Oakland Township, yet here we are separated, why? Was anyone in these communities consulted? How about looking at school districts? Our schools usually create a tight community, but you have failed.</p>	Peter Adair	2021-10-27 18:07:51+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>Was anyone consulted with prior to these maps being released? Just looking at my city, why are we tied a huge Macomb county region and not Oakland? Rochester hills is split from Oakland Township? Really, was anyone consulted how closely this area is related? Were school district boundaries reviewed for cohesion? Why would you split the counties up to this extent? Make counties more cohesive, give up parts of Macomb for northern Oakland County. Oakland Township is a part of the Greater Rochester Area, not the area in this map.</p>	Peter Adair	2021-10-27 18:04:05+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>the area in this map.</p>	Peter Adair	2021-10-27 17:59:45+00

This part of the map is fairly drawn and in a way that keeps central Oakland County together. By drawing lines in a fair way that also reflects the spread of connected groups of people, the map has kept inter-county communities, like that of the Walled Lake Consolidated Schools District and other schooling areas, together. Dividing areas that are critical for both elections and governance would not only create further divide among constituents but take away group identities that are extraordinarily meaningful among the individuals living in these areas.

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC Like

Olivia Oâ€™Connell

2021-10-27 17:58:18+00

This part of the map is fairly drawn and in a way that keeps central Oakland County together. By drawing lines in a fair way that also reflects the spread of connected groups of people, the map has kept inter-county communities, like that of the Walled Lake Consolidated Schools District and other schooling areas, together. Dividing areas that are critical for both elections and governance would not only create further divide among constituents but take away group identities that are extraordinarily meaningful among the individuals living in these areas.

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC Like

Olivia Oâ€™Connell

2021-10-27 17:58:16+00

These proposed maps have just flipped the carve-out from the west to the east side of southern Oakland Twp., north of Rochester Hills, making it an unchanged district and maintaining a partisan advantage for Republicans. In keeping with the goal of reflecting community boundaries, the entire township of Oakland should be in the same House district.

Two alternative map options would be:

• Keep Rochester and Rochester Hills combined (with 89,335 residents) to meet the House district population requirements of 77,000 to 91,000 residents.

See map:

<https://districtr.org/plan/70801>

• Include part of Auburn Hills, which would add

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Barb Anness

2021-10-27 17:09:39+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	I would like to see Tuscola County included with the Huron, Lapeer, St. Clair and Sanilac Counties. We are an Agricultural District and have many things in common with Tuscola.	Thomas R Holdwick	2021-10-27 17:00:54+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	APIA Votes asks that Precinct 95 in Detroit be included in District 2. Please check APIA Votes modified map at <a href="https://www.michigan-mapping.org/plans/d10">https://www.michigan-mapping.org/plans/d10</a> .	Bob Chunn	2021-10-27 16:50:35+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	The "arm" in district 35 is not contiguous.	Christine Radze	2021-10-27 16:47:00+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	The "arm" in district 17 and is NOT contiguous.	Christine Radze	2021-10-27 16:44:40+00

Please make sure that partisan fairness is maintained in all your districts especially at the state legislature and state senate level. The majority party that the voters overall have decided needs to be reflected in the state houses. I prefer the maps that the AFL-CIO has drawn mostly because the partisan fairness at the state level is close to evenly matched. Partisan Fairness means Representative Fairness and the reason we voted for Proposition 2.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Thank you

Constance Lippert

2021-10-27 16:36:26+00

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Plymouth should NOT be split into two or more districts and Livonia should NOT be split into three or more districts.

Christine Radze

2021-10-27 16:32:15+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>I am ok with this map. I prefer our current senate district map which is very neatly defined. It encompasses all of Calhoun County, all of Barry County and all of Ionia County. 3 counties that are very similar with nothing extra. I'm still favorable to this map over anything that includes the city of K-zoo. I just don't get why there would be a need to break up my county when we only have a population of 134,000 which is far less that a Senate district requires.</p>	Sonja Marie Patrick	2021-10-27 16:16:23+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike	<p>Do not split counties in the U.P. The current proposal shows no understanding of the Upper Peninsula populations and cultures. I'm submitting a more fair division of districts in the Upper Peninsula for your consideration and request that this be adopted instead.</p>	Scott Trask	2021-10-27 16:12:14+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion Thank you for keeping ALL of Cass County in one district. However, please consider putting the Eastern counties now in this district in a separate one. We have separate historical, cultural, and economic issues in the west. Thank you Naomi Ludman 2021-10-27 16:09:12+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like This map continues the notion that counties along the southern border of Michigan are all alike. Southwest Michigan is NOT a community of interest with counties in Southeast Michigan. We who live in the southwest corner of the state (Cass County for example), go north and west and belong with Berrien and VanBuren Counties. Naomi Ludman 2021-10-27 16:06:41+00

I am from Dowagiac in Cass County in the southwest corner of Michigan. I want to talk about the proposed House Districts 64 and 81 in the Pine grouping. District 81 takes the city of Dowagiac and carves it out of Cass County instead of leaving it in District 64 where it belongs. Dowagiac is the only city in Cass County and anchors the community economically, socially, historically, and culturally. Dowagiac is the home of Southwestern Michigan College which is integrally related to the city in multiple ways. The proposed maps put the college in one District and the city in another. Furthermore, Dowagiac has a significant African American population whose votes are diluted by pulling them out of Cass County rather than keeping them with the African

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

American communities in

Naomi Ludman

2021-10-27 15:58:26+00

Here is an alternate version of  
the Birch map that I include  
Mount Clemens:

<https://districtr.org/plan/708>

32. This map is also good for  
the Chaldean community.

Please use a similar district 6  
to either

<https://districtr.org/plan/695>

97 or

<https://districtr.org/plan/708>

32 to give Chaldeans a voice!

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Opinion Thank you commissioners! :) Yousif

2021-10-27 15:12:07+00

Here is an alternate version of  
the Birch map that I include  
Mount Clemens:

<https://districtr.org/plan/708>

32. This map is also good for  
the Chaldean community.

Please use a similar district 6  
to either

<https://districtr.org/plan/695>

97 or

<https://districtr.org/plan/708>

32 to give Chaldeans a voice!

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Opinion Thank you commissioners! :) Yousif

2021-10-27 15:10:48+00

Here is an alternate version of  
the Birch map that I include  
Mount Clemens:

<https://districtr.org/plan/708>

32. This map is also good for  
the Chaldean community.

Please use a similar district 6  
to either

<https://districtr.org/plan/695>

97 or

<https://districtr.org/plan/708>

32 to give Chaldeans a voice!

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Opinion Thank you commissioners! :) Yousif

2021-10-27 15:09:42+00

Here is an alternate version of  
the Birch map that I include  
Mount Clemens:

<https://districtr.org/plan/708>

32. This map is also good for  
the Chaldean community.

Please use a similar district 6  
to either

<https://districtr.org/plan/695>

97 or

<https://districtr.org/plan/708>

32 to give Chaldeans a voice!

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Opinion Thank you commissioners! :) Yousif

2021-10-27 15:08:44+00

what a waste of taxpayer money! It looks like something the Republicans put together and have been using for the past 10 years. Why couldn't you have just done a grid map and be done with it why all the special interest bullshit. And why does it lie Republican!? It has been dramatically leaning Republican for the past 20 years why give them the advantage yet again! Sure water down the votes in Detroit again! Do your job right!

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Linna Leslie

2021-10-27 14:54:17+00

Placing Maybee and Carleton in the same district as Plymouth and Canton is another terrible plan. These communities couldn't be any more different.

10-14-21 v1 SD RL

Dislike

Kim

2021-10-27 14:46:12+00

10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	Combining rural communities in Monroe County with urban Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti to make up District 16 is a terrible idea. There are limited commonalities between them. The residents in Monroe County will be underrepresented, misrepresented, and overshadowed by those in Washtenaw County. Monroe County needs to remain in a separate district from Washtenaw County.	Kim Cousino	2021-10-27 14:30:16+00
10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Dislike	Superior Township need to stay with the rest of Washtenaw County. You cannot fairly represent any constituent within this map. Wayne, Oakland and Washtenaw counties plus the cities and townships do not share any commonalities. None of these communities share commonalities. There are 3 counties and various school systems. Keep Superior Township with	Cheryl Lynn Depner	2021-10-27 14:26:29+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Dislike	district 27.	Cheryl Lynn Depner	2021-10-27 14:17:42+00

Superior Township  
(Washtenaw co) has nothing  
in common with the  
population of Plymouth  
(Wayne Co) and South Lyons  
(Oakland Co). School, county  
services, and taxes go to  
different counties to support  
those service. It would  
impossible for a  
representative to fairly  
represent this current map.  
Please remove add my  
section of Superior Township  
from district 11 and add us to  
district 27.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Cheryl Lynn Depner

2021-10-27 14:07:49+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Superior Township (Washtenaw co) has nothing in common with the population of Plymouth (Wayne Co) and South Lyons (Oakland Co). School, county services, and taxes go to different counties to support those service. It would be impossible for a representative to fairly represent this current map. Please remove Superior Township from district 11 and add it to district 27.	Cheryl Lynn Depner	2021-10-27 13:56:02+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Commissioners--you need to do more District 10 work on this map. A 61-36 advantage for Republicans is unacceptable.	Charles Henry	2021-10-27 12:53:46+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Why is that there is a republican majority in a democratic state?	Oscar Castaneda	2021-10-27 05:09:42+00

Please use a map similar to this one:  
<https://districtr.org/plan/706>  
86. I based this map on Mrs. Rebecca Szetela's Birch map. Please give Chaldean community a voice in one district! Include cities of Farmington Hills, West Bloomfield, Bloomfield Twp, Troy, Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights and Shelby Twp together. That's were most of the Chaldean community are. Thank you commissioners for listening!

10-07-21 v1 CD AE

Opinion

Yousif

2021-10-27 04:15:19+00

Please use a map similar to this one:  
<https://districtr.org/plan/706>  
86. I based this map on Mrs. Rebecca Szetela's Birch map. Please give Chaldean community a voice in one district! Include cities of Farmington Hills, West Bloomfield, Bloomfield Twp, Troy, Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights and Shelby Twp together. That's were most of the Chaldean community are. Thank you commissioners for listening!

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS

Opinion

Yousif

2021-10-27 04:14:54+00

Please use a map similar to this one:  
<https://districtr.org/plan/706>  
86. I based this map on Mrs. Rebecca Szetela's Birch map. Please give Chaldean community a voice in one district! Include cities of Farmington Hills, West Bloomfield, Bloomfield Twp, Troy, Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights and Shelby Twp together. That's were most of the Chaldean community are. Thank you commissioners for listening!

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Opinion

Yousif

2021-10-27 04:13:49+00

Please use a map similar to this one:  
<https://districtr.org/plan/706>  
86. I based this map on Mrs. Rebecca Szetela's Birch map. Please give Chaldean community a voice in one district! Include cities of Farmington Hills, West Bloomfield, Bloomfield Twp, Troy, Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights and Shelby Twp together. That's were most of the Chaldean community are. Thank you commissioners for listening!

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Opinion

Yousif

2021-10-27 04:13:05+00

I like this map! It does a good job of combining the Tri-Cities, along with Flint, to provide an urban/suburban focus, which is a good fit for the City of Midland, where I live. We share so much, and we share much more than with the north and west counties that some maps force us with. In fact, if you want to improve this map, you could trade some of Tuscola for some of Midland County. In any case, partisan fairness is a key determinant and this map helps Michigan with that factor. Thank-you for your hard work and this map.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Lynn Pottenger

2021-10-27 03:41:18+00

This map makes no sense as it puts the City of Midland, an urban/suburban city, in a Senate district with the very rural parts north and west. The City of Midland should be combined with the Tri-Cities area, including Bay City and Saginaw, with which there is a true community of interest. Folks keep writing that Midland needs to be kept with Gladwin due to the 2020 flood but the watershed is much bigger than that and much too big to be a single MI Senate district. We are not a single issue community and- by the way-Bay & Saginaw counties were also flooded! Please keep the Tri-Cities together and opt for the best map for political fairness.

10-14-21 v1 SD RL

Dislike

Lynn Pottenger

2021-10-27 03:24:26+00

This is an unfair redistricting proposal. Dickinson County should not be split into two districts. This will create excessive work for county clerks, confusion among constituents during election time and will negatively impact our precinct delegates. Our county is united in many ways and holds to traditional values and attitudes. Dividing our county would undermine this. We do not want to be in the same district as Marquette County or Houghton County, which are more socially progressive. Keep Dickinson County united and redistricting the same as it's always been in the Upper Peninsula--especially the current 108th district.

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO fDislike

Pamela Trask

2021-10-27 03:13:41+00

What was the committee drinking when they did this in District 10? Time to go back to the drawing board. I want to see at least one district with a black majority in Detroit but this is not how to get there.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

Mark Theobald

2021-10-27 02:55:32+00

		Why does the Downriver area always become the area of slicing and dicing and combination with Detroit when the area has a southern connection along the I-75		
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	with Monroe County.	Mark Theobald	2021-10-27 02:42:55+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	This is a test	Randy Block	2021-10-27 01:20:42+00
		Flint should not be split we		
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	need representation	Kathy McGaughy	2021-10-27 01:17:07+00
		Sterling heights doesnt belong		
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	with Detroit.	Yousif	2021-10-27 01:16:00+00

Hello, my name is Sharon Taylor, I was born and raised in Flint, Michigan. I am a product of Flint schools. I continued my education through, Mott Community College and Michigan State University.

As a resident of Flint, I have seen many injustices, systematic racism, economic oppression, and racial discrimination. the latest is the poisoning of our community through our water system. There has been no justice served nor is our water problem corrected.

Our city is a resilient community, we stand and fight together. We want our voices to be heard and most of all, we want to stay together as a community.

We don't want to be gentrified; therefore, we need individuals that have a shared cultural experience, who

10-08-21 v2 HD

Opinion

resemble North Flint and have Sharon Taylor

2021-10-27 01:08:35+00

The packing of votes in Washtenaw County is an unfair waste of many votes. It shouldn't be happening

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

anywhere.

Thomas Keskitalo

2021-10-27 01:03:44+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	The commissioners maps pack voters together in Washtenaw County and too many votes are wasted. Unpack Washtenaw and other counties.	Sigrid Katherine Wittke	2021-10-27 01:03:41+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This packs voters in Washtenaw, which is an unfair waste of their votes. I believe this is a difficult task, but unpacking votes is important.	Sigrid Katherine Wittke	2021-10-27 00:59:03+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This seems like a good way to go. Hope things go similarly in other areas.	Thomas Keskitalo	2021-10-27 00:57:04+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	The commission has made good efforts to unpack Washtenaw County but more needs to be done in other areas to reach partisan fairness.	Thomas Keskitalo	2021-10-27 00:51:22+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This seems good. Hope it works everywhere in Michigan.	Sigrid Katherine Wittke	2021-10-27 00:51:18+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like		Thomas Anthony Keskitalo	2021-10-27 00:47:29+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	<p>The Downriver area would benefit more be more aligned with District 8 than District 7 given proximity and similar cultural communities in Monroe County Just a side comment - I saw many comments about reducing Republican votes in a district - that should not be a criteria for either party !</p>	Mark Theobald	2021-10-27 00:40:02+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>A 54.2% Republican lean in this district (29) is totally unnecessary and unfair. The law requires fair maps and this is not fair. Moving the line a little or unpacking Ann Arbor a little would create a fair district.</p>	Susan Shink	2021-10-27 00:39:13+00

Birmingham, Bloomfield Hills and Bloomfield Township form a Community of Interest. They share a Chamber of Commerce and Birmingham Schools go into all three municipalities. They should form the core of a State House District. They can be combined either with A) Auburn Hills or B) Southfield Township (Franklin, Beverly Hills and Bingham Farms), Sylvan Lake, Keego Harbor and Orchard Lake.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Christopher Cummins

2021-10-27 00:00:25+00

this is a terrible map. Again, you have drawn districts vertically, crossing county lines. I'm in Sterling Heights; our COI do NOT stretch all the way to the Detroit River. It would be much more fair to keep all of Sterling Heights and Warren together in one State Senate District; and start over by drawing many districts HORIZONTALLY. You must STOP crossing county lines; this is not empty farmland or fields. Your maps should be more compact, not salamander-like in appearance as they are in this poorly constructed map. Are you achieving partisan fairness? That is, as near to 50/50 as possible? Please remember that that is what Prop 2 was all about. Gerrymandering should be gone and done with. We the majority of the citizens of Michigan want fairness in our maps and thus in our voting

Thank you, this map looks fair and balanced!

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Carole J Chi

2021-10-26 23:57:16+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD

Like

Christopher Pelton

2021-10-26 23:50:20+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	As an independent voter, this is a plan for Midland city and Midland county that I can support. Midland city and county should be in the same district. The city and county have the same flood issues and similar interests with the counties to the north and west.	Stephen Stackable	2021-10-26 23:26:06+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This is the best option. Thanks!	George Darling	2021-10-26 23:24:44+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	It is shocking how Flint is divided up so that Districts 2, 4, and 15 have very low numbers of Black voters. Black voters should not be packed into a district to limit the number of potential Black elected officials, and neither should they be cracked into small numbers in many districts to dilute their vote.	ROBERTA URBANI	2021-10-26 23:09:22+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	As an independent voter, this is a plan for Midland city and Midland county that I cannot support. Midland city and county should be in the same district. The city and county have the same flood issues and similar interests with the counties to the north and west.	Stephen Stackable	2021-10-26 23:07:50+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Dislike	As an independent voter, this is a plan for Midland city and Midland county that I cannot support. Midland city and county should be in the same district. The city and county have the same flood issues and similar interests with the counties to the north and west.	Stephen Stackable	2021-10-26 23:04:38+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Hats off to those who put in the work for our districts to be drawn around community interests. This map looks fair to both parties and should strengthen our democracy.	Terree O'Neil Darling	2021-10-26 22:59:07+00

Stephen Stackable

As an independent voter, this is the first plan for Midland city and Midland county that I can support. Midland city and county should be in the same district. The city and county have the same flood issues and similar interests with the counties to the north and west.

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC Like

Stephen Stackable

2021-10-26 22:58:07+00

As an independent voter, this is a plan for Midland city and Midland county that I cannot support. Midland city and county should be in the same district. The city and county have the same flood issues and similar interests with the counties to the north and west.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Dislike

Stephen Stackable

2021-10-26 22:54:40+00

As an independent voter, this is a plan for Midland city and Midland county that I cannot support. Midland city and county should be in the same district. The city and county have the same flood issues and similar interests with the counties to the north and west.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Stephen Stackable

2021-10-26 22:51:18+00

This proposed map for District 1 would be an absolute nightmare for the Upper Peninsula. The distance between the west end of the district and the east end is over 320 miles. Time to travel, for example, from Ironwood MI on the west end of the UP to Sault Ste. Marie, MI on the east end is nearly 5 hours and 20 minutes. That's in good weather conditions. In the winter it could easily take more than 6 to 7 hours. This is not acceptable in any way. The current 38th District is already quite large and expansive. The new map makes it unmanageable for senators and frankly, unacceptable to those living in these more rural communities up here. Senators will spend the majority of their time in the larger population areas and rural areas will certainly be underserved. I implore you to

10-14-21 v1 SD RL Dislike

David L Guizzetti

2021-10-26 22:51:15+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	As an independent voter, this is a plan for Midland city and Midland county that I cannot support. Midland city and county should be in the same district. The city and county have the same flood issues and similar interests with the counties to the north and west.	Stephen Stackable	2021-10-26 22:48:34+00
		tephen Stackable		
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	As an independent voter, this is a plan for Midland city and Midland county that I cannot support. Midland city and county should be in the same district. The city and county have the same flood issues and similar interests with the counties to the north and west.	Stephen Stackable	2021-10-26 22:45:05+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This looks like a very good map.	Andrew Melitz	2021-10-26 22:44:45+00

Stephen Stackable

As an independent voter, this is a plan for Midland city and Midland county that I cannot support. Midland city and county should be in the same district. The city and county have the same flood issues and similar interests with the counties to the north and west.

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS Dislike

Stephen Stackable

2021-10-26 22:37:46+00

As an independent voter, this is a plan for Midland city and Midland county that I cannot support. Midland city and county should be in the same district. The city and county have the same flood issues and similar interests with the counties to the north and west.

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid Dislike

Stephen Stackable

2021-10-26 22:31:33+00

As an independent voter, this is a plan for Midland city and Midland county that I cannot support. Midland city and county should be in the same district. There are serious flood issues and other interest that are more aligned with the counties to the west and north.

10-08-21 v1 SD Dislike

Stephen Stackable

2021-10-26 22:24:58+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	As an independent voter , this is a plan for Midland city and Midland county that I cannot support. Midland city and county should be in the same district and have serious flood issues and other interests more aligned with the counties to the north and west of city and county.	Stephen Stackable	2021-10-26 22:15:53+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Again, Troy is being included with cities far to the east and not with those we have more in common with to the west. I agree that districts should not county lines as Troyhas much more in common with cities to our west and north.	Constance Woods	2021-10-26 22:12:01+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	As an independent voter , this is a plan for Midland city and Midland county that I can support. Midland city and county have serious flood issues and other interests more aligned with the counties to the north and west of city and county.	Constance Woods	2021-10-26 22:09:36+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Like	Why are Rochester and Rochester Hills not included with other Oakland County cities?	Stephen Stackable	2021-10-26 22:08:37+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike		Constance Woods	2021-10-26 22:04:52+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike	<p>As an independent voter , this is a plan for Midland city and Midland county that I cannot support. Midland city and county have serious flood issues and other interests more aligned with the counties to the north and west of city and county. This is prime example of gerrymandering.</p>	Stephen Stackable	2021-10-26 22:03:51+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO fLike	<p>As an independent voter , this is the first plan for Midland city and Midland county that I can support. Midland city and county have serious flood issues and other interests more aligned with the counties to the north and west of city and county.</p>	Stephen Stackable	2021-10-26 21:58:30+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like	<p>I approve of the map for Troy but thought Troy and Clawson together was a good plan.</p>	Constance Woods	2021-10-26 21:54:26+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>As an independent voter , this is a plan for Midland city and Midland county that I cannot support. Midland city and county have serious flood issues and other interests more aligned with the counties to the north and west of city and county. Also this is prime example of gerrymandering.</p>	Stephen Stackable	2021-10-26 21:54:16+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Fenton and Fenton Township being in Genesee County are a part of the Flint, Burton, Clio community of interest as all are satellites of Flint, all receiving support from county government agencies and utilizing schools of higher education, theaters, and cultural offerings. Putting Fenton and Fenton township is district 31 removes them from their community of interest and puts them is a very uncompetitive and unrepresentative district. This map still links Troy with Oakland Twp. We need to align with cities to our west and south</p>	Marilyn Daniels	2021-10-26 21:52:18+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Opinion		Constance Woods	2021-10-26 21:48:50+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	I approve of all of Troy being in one district. I also think Oakland Twp should be in another district and more cities to the south of Troy should be added.	Constance Woods	2021-10-26 21:45:36+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Like	As an independent voter , this is the first plan for Midland city and Midland county that I can support. Midland city and county have serious flood issues and other interests more aligned with the counties to the north and west of city and county. Please adopt this map, it provides the fairness citizens	Stephen Stackable	2021-10-26 21:44:52+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	deserve and voted for. As an independent voter , this is a plan for Midland city and Midland county that I cannot support. Midland city and county have serious flood issues and other interests more aligned with the counties to the north and west of city and county. Also	Bill Chadwick	2021-10-26 21:40:45+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	looks like prime example of gerrymandering.	Steve stackable	2021-10-26 21:37:21+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>This is not a politically equitable or competitive map. No Democrat will ever be able to win a senate seat being in this district, especially with communities like Howell and Cohoctah.</p>	Marilyn Daniels	2021-10-26 21:37:20+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>As an independent voter , this is a plan for Midland city and Midland county that I cannot support. Midland city and county have serious flood issues and other interests more aligned with the counties to the north and west of city and county.</p>	Steve stackable	2021-10-26 21:27:18+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>This map needs much work as it is splitting several long time communities of interest and has been rated as not particularly competitive or politically equitable. As a 35 year resident of Fenton Township in Genesee County, I don't understand how this map complies with the goals of keeping communities of interest together.</p>	Marilyn Daniels	2021-10-26 21:25:08+00

10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Like	As an independent voter , this is the first plan for Midland city and Midland county that I can support. Midland city and county have serious flood issues and other interests more aligned with the counties to the north and west of city and county.	Steve stackable	2021-10-26 21:12:28+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	District 7 map looks good since it combines some of the downriver areas together, although It could lean more Democratic since the state leans Democratic. Thanks for the hard work.	John Leon	2021-10-26 20:54:07+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	All Three versions of District 22 are the same. They may look different because the District 1 box sometimes overlaps on District 22 depending the magnification of the map. The map looks good.	John Leon	2021-10-26 20:46:23+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Opinion	It would be nice of oshtemo twp could be pull in to city of kazoo and kazoo twp as they share the same values they shouldn't be lumped in with portage, mattawan & texas twp	Shardae L Chambers	2021-10-26 20:39:47+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	<p>Kalamazoo Co should absolutely not be added to the West lake shore area of West Michigan. Our urban core nor are values match that of the lake shore community. We do better going closer to Calhoun co not further west we need area that match us so we can work together. This Birch map stinks it needs to ne removed.</p>	Shardae L Chambers	2021-10-26 20:33:47+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>I think this map is even better than Birch. It keeps Troy, with our diverse population, with other like communities in Oakland County. The best so far!</p>	Stephen Sadlier	2021-10-26 20:31:39+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>Oshtemo twp needs to be added in with city of Kalamazoo and Kalamazoo twp they should be pushed in portage and texas twp as they don't share the same interest as these areas. Portage and Texas Twp are more aligned with each other</p>	Shardae Chambers	2021-10-26 20:28:56+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Please Kalamazoo Co together don't push us further west. We don't share the same values of those other rural communities.</p>	Shardae L Chambers	2021-10-26 20:20:40+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>And this map too is a clear violation of the federal 1965 Voters Rights Act, Section 2 which was established to protect African American and Hispanic voters. Splitting Flint into 2 sections dilutes the minority vote and probably deprives the majority minority community of Flint, (also a community of interest) of its own representative in the state house.</p> <p>My name is Lakia Cannon and I live in Flint. We need to keep Flint together as one and not split into two. I also feel we need a minority majority district with at least 50% African American representation. Finally I would like to recommend map #P7273 as our preferred map.</p>	Marilyn Daniels	2021-10-26 20:17:42+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	<p>Thank you!</p> <p>This Congressional map is the best so far. It keeps Troy/Rochester together with similar communities to our south in Oakland County.</p>	Lakia Cannon	2021-10-26 20:16:35+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like		Stephen Sadlier	2021-10-26 20:12:18+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>This map seems to be a clear violation of the federal 1965 Voters Rights Act, Section 2 which was established to protect African American and Hispanic voters. Splitting Flint into 2 sections dilutes the minority vote and probably deprives the majority minority community of Flint of its own representative in the state house.</p>	Marilyn Daniels	2021-10-26 20:09:41+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	<p>My name is Fred Lewis and I live in Flint. I am opposed to the current suggested map. We need a minority majority district with 50% or more African American representation. We need to keep flint whole. I would recommend map P7273 as our preferred map.</p>	Frederick Lewis	2021-10-26 20:07:41+00

		My name is Betty Nostrant and I reside in Flint. It is important that we keep Flint whole so we can have a minority majority district with 50% or more African-American. Also with the water crisis we need to be represented as a whole Community. I would like to reference map # P7273.		
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Thank you!	Betty Susan Nostrant	2021-10-26 20:05:20+00
		This well-balanced map makes the most sense since it represents the cultural, economic, educational, and social aspects that residents living Midland share with their neighbors in Bay City.		
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		Eric Anders	2021-10-26 20:03:07+00
		Troy & Rochester are similar communities and because of our large Asian community we are connected to Oakland County cities to the south & west. Not Macomb County.		
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike		Stephen Sadlier	2021-10-26 19:54:13+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	My name is DeDarius Blackamore and I live in Flint. I am opposed to the recommended map which does not give us minority vote. I would like to reference map #P7273 so we can have a minority majority district with at least 50% African American. Thank you!	DeDarius Blackamore	2021-10-26 19:45:09+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Partisan fairness is essential in drawing maps. I think that the Pine Map more accurately represents District 22 where I live. This map is extremely concerning as it clearly violates Section 2 of the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 that was established to protect the voting rights of African Americans and Hispanic voters. Further cutting the city of Flint in two, dilutes the minority votes of Flint.	ROBERTA URBANI	2021-10-26 19:44:33+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	The pine map is better for District 22.	Marilyn Daniels	2021-10-26 19:44:28+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike		John Leon	2021-10-26 19:42:40+00

Not sure what constituent interests the rural residents on the far west side of the state have with the much larger urbanized communities on the eastern borders of this (# 243) map.

The only current commonality between those living in Wexford County and those residing in Bay, Genesee, Midland and Saginaw counties is the current congressional satellite office in Cadillac.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

Eric Anders

2021-10-26 19:38:53+00

My name is Willie Fryer and I live in Flint. We need to have a minority majority district with at least 50% African American representation. We must keep Flint whole. I would like to reference map # P7273 as our preferred map.

10-08-21 v2 HD Like

Willie Fryer

2021-10-26 19:38:11+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

John Leon

2021-10-26 19:31:55+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like

John Leon

2021-10-26 19:26:27+00

All three of the collaborative maps for House are basically the same for the Detroit and Detroit Metro area which means Detroiters did not get a choice during the public hearings. Please reconsider. In addition The Michigan Department of Civil Rights said in a statement that "The maps this body approved on Oct 11 fail that test. They dilute minority-majority districts and strip the ability for a minority voter to elect legislative representatives who reflect their community and affect any meaningful opportunity to impact public policy and lawmaking."

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Mark Payne

2021-10-26 19:23:43+00

Please do not disenfranchise the Chaldean people. Please use district 6 similar to this: <https://districtr.org/plan/695>  
97. Thank you commissioners. Yousif Yousif

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Opinion

2021-10-26 18:58:25+00

While this map (#243) keeps the Tri-Cities together, it binds that clearly urban/suburban population with the decidedly rural population all the way to the Lake Michigan shoreline. There is a clear disadvantage to both of these sharing a single representative with such divergent interests. All those comments about sharing watershed are ignoring the fact that our watershed is the Saginaw Bay watershed. The Tri-Cities would do better combined with Flint, another large urban population with shared interests. This is not a good map for us.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	Lynn Pottenger	2021-10-26 18:57:28+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	K lan	2021-10-26 18:57:12+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	Yousif Yousif	2021-10-26 18:56:58+00

Please do not disenfranchise the Chaldean people. Please use district 6 similar to this: <https://districtr.org/plan/695>  
97. Thank you commissioners.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Opinion	Please do not disenfranchise the Chaldean people. Please use district 6 similar to this: <a href="https://distrctr.org/plan/695">https://distrctr.org/plan/695</a> 97. Thank you commissioners. Yousif Yousif	2021-10-26 18:56:05+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	Please do not disenfranchise the Chaldean people. Please use district 6 similar to this: <a href="https://distrctr.org/plan/695">https://distrctr.org/plan/695</a> 97. Thank you commissioners. Yousif Yousif	2021-10-26 18:54:51+00

we are writing to express my concerns over the various proposed versions of the State House map that have been presented for public feedback. As residents of the City of Roseville, we are especially troubled with the prospect of splitting of the city into multiple districts. In each of the proposed maps, Roseville, a city with just over 47,000 residents, is divided into two districts; which to our knowledge has never occurred previously. Generally, the proposed maps have several of our most northern precincts in a district that goes all the way to Anchor Bay, creating a significant socioeconomic and geographic disparities while the rest of Roseville in a district that encompasses Eastpointe and portions of northeastern Detroit.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

The division of Roseville as

Zvonko Blazevski

2021-10-26 18:39:08+00

we are writing to express my concerns over the various proposed versions of the State House map that have been presented for public feedback. As residents of the City of Roseville, we are especially troubled with the prospect of splitting of the city into multiple districts. In each of the proposed maps, Roseville, a city with just over 47,000 residents, is divided into two districts; which to our knowledge has never occurred previously. Generally, the proposed maps have several of our most northern precincts in a district that goes all the way to Anchor Bay, creating a significant socioeconomic and geographic disparities while the rest of Roseville in a district that encompasses Eastpointe and portions of northeastern Detroit.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

The division of Roseville as Zvonko Blazevski

2021-10-26 18:35:42+00

we are writing to express my concerns over the various proposed versions of the State House map that have been presented for public feedback. As residents of the City of Roseville, we are especially troubled with the prospect of splitting of the city into multiple districts. In each of the proposed maps, Roseville, a city with just over 47,000 residents, is divided into two districts; which to our knowledge has never occurred previously. Generally, the proposed maps have several of our most northern precincts in a district that goes all the way to Anchor Bay, creating a significant socioeconomic and geographic disparities while the rest of Roseville in a district that encompasses Eastpointe and portions of northeastern Detroit.

we are writing to express my concerns over the various proposed versions of the State House map that have been presented for public feedback. As residents of the City of Roseville, we are especially troubled with the prospect of splitting of the city into multiple districts. In each of the proposed maps, Roseville, a city with just over 47,000 residents, is divided into two districts; which to our knowledge has never occurred previously. Generally, the proposed maps have several of our most northern precincts in a district that goes all the way to Anchor Bay, creating a significant socioeconomic and geographic disparities while the rest of Roseville in a district that encompasses Eastpointe and portions of northeastern Detroit.

9-27-21 v1 HD

Dislike

The division of Roseville as

Zvonko Blazevski

2021-10-26 18:28:58+00

we are writing to express my concerns over the various proposed versions of the State House map that have been presented for public feedback. As residents of the City of Roseville, we are especially troubled with the prospect of splitting of the city into multiple districts. In each of the proposed maps, Roseville, a city with just over 47,000 residents, is divided into two districts; which to our knowledge has never occurred previously. Generally, the proposed maps have several of our most northern precincts in a district that goes all the way to Anchor Bay, creating a significant socioeconomic and geographic disparities while the rest of Roseville in a district that encompasses Eastpointe and portions of northeastern Detroit.

9-29-21 RAS v2 HD Dislike

The division of Roseville as Zvonko Blazevski

2021-10-26 18:27:43+00

we are writing this letter today to express my concerns over the various proposed versions of the State House map that have been presented for public feedback. As residents of the City of Roseville, we are especially troubled with the prospect of splitting of the city into multiple districts. In each of the proposed maps, Roseville, a city with just over 47,000 residents, is divided into two districts; which to our knowledge has never occurred previously. Generally, the proposed maps have several of our most northern precincts in a district that goes all the way to Anchor Bay, creating a significant socioeconomic and geographic disparities while the rest of Roseville in a district that encompasses Eastpointe and portions of northeastern Detroit.

9-28-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Zvonko Blazevski

2021-10-26 18:25:29+00

10-08-21 v2 HD

Like

Hello, my name is Sylvia  
Curtis. I live in Genesee  
Township. My comment, we  
need a minority district. We  
must keep Flint whoid. I  
wouid like to reference map #  
P273 as our preferred map. Sylvia Curtis

2021-10-26 18:17:05+00

Dear members of the  
Michigan Independent  
Citizens Redistricting  
Commission:

We are writing this letter today to express my concerns over the various proposed versions of the State House map that have been presented for public feedback. As residents of the City of Roseville, we are especially troubled with the prospect of splitting of the city into multiple districts. In each of the proposed maps, Roseville, a city with just over 47,000 residents, is divided into two districts; which to our knowledge has never occurred previously. Generally, the proposed maps have several of our most northern precincts in a district that goes all the way to Anchor Bay, creating a significant socioeconomic and geographic disparities while

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Zvonko Blazevski

2021-10-26 18:13:43+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	Thank you for striving to make a balanced map. Partisan fairness and creating competitive districts will help create a politics of more moderation from both parties as they would have to appeal moderates, not just strongly ideological voters. Please work on creating more competitiveness in all districts.	James S Rodgers	2021-10-26 18:13:20+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Please see the attached comments	Zvonko Blazevski	2021-10-26 18:08:22+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Please consider linking Emmet and Charlevoix counties with Antrim and part of Grand Traverse with which they have important common interests, tourism and small farming, as well as contiguity. They have very little in common with the eastern Upper Peninsula. Thanks for your efforts in undertaking this difficult task.	James S Rodgers	2021-10-26 18:06:15+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Like The 4th district makes a lot of sense it keeps the City of Grand Rapids within 1 district and with the surrounding suburbs. The objections I see are obviously by Democrats who want to make along narrow district with Kalamazoo a different area all together to try and gerrymander the district to create a Democratic leaning district in West Michigan. phillip weichman 2021-10-26 18:01:03+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike Thank you for your hard work on the State Senate maps. Although Cherry seems fairest, it still leans Republican in a lean-Democratic state and needs further adjustment. For instance, District 27 packs Democrats into one oddly-shaped district because it joins Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti. For fairness, these two should be split into separate districts that include more of their suburbs and surrounding rural areas. James S Rodgers 2021-10-26 17:56:05+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	While I realize this is a difficult process, dividing smaller communities seems counterproductive to me. I urge you to find a way to keep Novi together in one State House District. The community shares many resources like fire, police, city government, library etc. We are already divided by the expressway and into four school districts. Dividing us up again will just create another division which is counterproductive to building a sense of community and unity.	Karen B. Zyczynski	2021-10-26 17:37:16+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This is moving in the right direction, it's a good district for us, but still favors Republicans. We want a competitive election, with no one party favored over the other. It could still be better!	Erica Chappuis	2021-10-26 17:31:07+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	Hi, my name is Debra Carter I would like to reference map #7273 as our preferred map. Thank You	Debra Carter	2021-10-26 17:26:01+00

Hello. My name is Lance Barron and I reside in Flint. It is important that we keep Flint whole so we can have a minority majority district with 50% or more African-American. I would like to reference map # P7273.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Like

Thank you!

Lance Barron Sr

2021-10-26 17:18:59+00

According to the National Conference on State Legislatures, all states must comply with the federal constitutional requirements related to population and anti-discrimination. For congressional redistricting, the Apportionment Clause of Article I, Section 2, of the U.S. Constitution requires that all districts be as nearly equal in population as practicable, which essentially means exactly equal. For state legislative districts, the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution requires that districts be substantially equal.

Additionally, the state of Michigan has enacted legislation that requires that newly established districts are "Compact, Contiguous, Preserve Political

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Subdivisions, Preserve Like it best of all presented, getting better towards

Phillip Cave

2021-10-26 17:18:23+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

competitive districts

Laurent Chappuis

2021-10-26 17:05:30+00

Please consider the need for majority minority communities when you are analyzing maps. It is important to increase, not decrease minority voting strength for stronger representation in government.

Asking for you to not split minority communities. It will make it harder to elect representative candidates.

The mission of the Voting Rights Act in 1965 was to insure voting rights for all citizens. Map territories should empower communities to vote for representation for themselves.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Like

Helene Rimer

2021-10-26 16:47:42+00

Good day. My name is Beverly Lewis and I reside in Flint. It is important that we keep Flint whole so we can have a minority majority district with 50% or more African-American. I would like to reference map # P7273.

10-08-21 v2 HD Like

Beverly Lewis

2021-10-26 16:44:17+00

Thank you!

This map is somewhat better than the other maps, but still fails to meet the test of making sure the party that get the most votes gets the most seats. The commission could focus on unpacking the Democrats in small cities such as Ann Arbor in order to address this. In Livingston County's case, many people commute to Washtenaw County. The notion that we have nothing in common is false. People travel there all the time for entertainment (U of M athletics, Art Fair, culture), work, school (EMU and U of M), and health care. We have much in common and putting parts of the two counties together could meet partisan fairness.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Judy Daubenmier

2021-10-26 16:31:43+00

This map is somewhat better than the others. It is getting closer to having the Senate makeup match the makeup of the state as a whole.

Unpacking Democrats in smaller cities like Ann Arbor would help make it even more fair. Thank you for your work.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

Judy Daubenmier

2021-10-26 16:24:56+00

Thank you for your work on these maps, but as I look at this one, it still would not result in the party that gets the most votes getting the most seats. This is a Democratic-leaning state and at best, Democrats would get a tie in the Senate. Please consider unpacking some of the smaller cities such as Ann Arbor. Many people in Livingston County commute to Washtenaw County for jobs, school, health care, and some have recently moved here from Washtenaw County due to housing prices. It would be reasonable to pair them.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Judy Daubenmier

2021-10-26 16:21:33+00

Thanks for your hard work and committment to democracy in Michigan. This is the best of the Michigan senate maps, but still not far enough. This map has 5 Voting Rights Act districts, the same as current. Most good maps get to at least 6 but Promote the Vote has 7. I urge you to improve on this map overall, or consider adopting promote the vote o6159.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

Virginia Preuss

2021-10-26 16:11:49+00

Thank you for your hard work. Pine is the better of the 3 maps to start with, although I prefer you use the Promote the Vote map (portal id 06250) as it is more fair and also one that accounts for prison gerrymandering. This map gives a huge majority of seats to the minority party! That does not seem fair to Michigan voters overall.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Virginia Preuss

2021-10-26 16:07:20+00

Thank you for your hard work. Pine is the better of the 3 maps to start with, although I prefer you use the Promote the Vote map (portal id 06250) as it is more fair and also one that accounts for prison gerrymandering. This map gives a huge majority of seats to the minority party! That does not seem fair to Michigan voters overall.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Virginia Preuss

2021-10-26 16:05:37+00

This map at least makes an effort to unpack the city of Ann Arbor compared to the other maps. Many people in Livingston County commute to Ann Arbor for work or school or go there for health care so they are familiar with the area for many reasons. Glad you are trying to make the districts more fair on a partisan basis.

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Judy Daubenmier

2021-10-26 16:01:02+00

Thanks for your hard work creating our maps. The Pine map does seem the most fair of all house maps, but I still have concerns. Proposed House Districts 107 and 70 have such a large portion of their population incarcerated that they would be constitutionally too small were Michigan to reallocate incarcerated individuals to their home communities. I and many others spoke to the commission about this unfairness many times during the first round of comments, and also provided data and opportunities to speak to our organization, Voting Access for All Coalition, to find ways to address this problem. Many states have chosen to address this issue, since it syphons power away from our low income and black communities.

I realize reallocating incarcerated population

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Virginia Preuss

2021-10-26 15:59:16+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	I strongly support partisan fairness. This map would combine Muskegon and Grand Rapids which are connected by the I96 corridor. Muskegon and Grand Rapids are a strong COI for West Michigan. Thank you for your hard work and please support partisan fairness.	Joan Long	2021-10-26 15:56:35+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike	All of Lake Orion should stay in 46 and makes absolutely no sense moving to share with the other cities in lower 29! Interests, schools, etc do not align and are not shared in anyway. We must keep Lake Orion with Oxford, etc.	Evan Heinze	2021-10-26 15:47:06+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Opinion	All Oxford & Addison precincts must remain in State House District 46 because their single shared school system is one extremely important non-partisan community of interest.	Evan Heinze	2021-10-26 15:33:59+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Opinion	See my comments on the Birch map, whose District 5 is identical to this one's. Thanks for your efforts.	Dan Luria	2021-10-26 14:49:04+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Opinion	See my comment on the Birch map, which has the same District 5 as this map. Thank you. District 5 makes good sense, as it groups Livingston County with Ingham County, which makes more sense than including it in a district with Detroit's northern suburbs as CD 8 is now. But the map overall still has a partisan fairness deficit, as it would produce (as I read it) a 7-6 Republican advantage in Michigan's delegation, when the popular vote in years 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020 suggest that fairness would dictate a 7-6 Democratic-Republican delegation. Thank you for your efforts.	Dan Luria	2021-10-26 14:48:09+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion		Dan Luria	2021-10-26 14:47:06+00

See my comments on the Cherry map, whose District 31 is identical to this one's. Overall, these State Senate maps fail on partisan fairness, as they would result in a majority-Republican State Senate in a state that votes 53% Democratic. My intuition is that this results from too many districts that pack Democrats together. This can only be addressed by splitting some Democratic Counties, including Washtenaw, Genesee, Saginaw, etc. Thank you for your efforts to be fair and unbiased.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Opinion

Dan Luria

2021-10-26 14:40:40+00

10-08-21 v1 SD

Opinion

See my comments on Cherry map, since this one has an identical District 31. Thanks.

Dan Luria

2021-10-26 14:38:00+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	<p>This map makes a fair amount of sense, though it could also be seen as packing Republicans. It also ignores the strong connections between Ann Arbor and the southeast part of Livingston County. As a result, this district packs Republicans and the one to its south packs Democrats. Thus it could and should be improved. Thank you for your work.</p>	Dan Luria	2021-10-26 14:35:46+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Opinion	<p>See my comments on Pine, since this map has the identical District 45 boundaries.</p>	Dan Luria	2021-10-26 14:31:32+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	<p>See my comments on Pine, since District 45 is the same here as there.</p>	Dan Luria	2021-10-26 14:30:19+00

I appreciate the Commissioners' hard work on this. This map makes a fair amount of sense, recognizing that the southeast half of Livingston County is quite different, and more tied to Ann Arbor and less to southern Ingham County than is the northwest part of the County. With regard to Ann Arbor, however, the current maps fail to bring the required partisan fairness: by packing so much of Washtenaw County into so few State House and Senate districts, your maps make Democrats in less urban areas likely to be under-

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	represented.	Dan Luria	2021-10-26 14:26:11+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Like	This map does a good job for the tri-cities. Thank you for keeping them together.	Katie Zanotti	2021-10-26 14:13:05+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	Bay City has more in common with the regions south and west to us than the north. Please return to the senate district drawn in the Cherry plan.	Katie Zanotti	2021-10-26 14:08:15+00

10-11-21	Eid v5 CD	Like	Great map for district 11. This map keeps our communities of interest together in the Saginaw, Bay, Flint area. Please choose this map!! This does the best job at preserving the tri-cities and Saginaw Valley region. Great job at listening to our voices and opinions.	katie Zanotti	2021-10-26 13:57:33+00
10-12-21	EID v6 CD	Like	Thank you for including Flint with the Tri-Cities. It's important to remember that not only are Midland, Saginaw, and Bay City (tri-cities) connected but the Saginaw Valley, including Flint, should always be grouped together. Tuscola County could be removed from this map to make it better.	Katie Zanotti	2021-10-26 13:54:40+00
10-13-21	v1 CD RAS	Like	Better than most maps I have seen	Katie Zanotti	2021-10-26 13:48:33+00
10-14-21	v1 SD RL	Like		Lisa	2021-10-26 13:40:09+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	As a resident of Bay City, this map doesn't make much sense to me. There is little interaction with our area and the center and west Michigan to the tri-cities. Keep the I-75 corridor together instead. Flint should be included in our district instead.	Katie Zanotti	2021-10-26 13:26:40+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This map is unfair. It gives the majority of seats to the minority party.	Bonnie VanKeersbilck	2021-10-26 13:01:48+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	This map is unfair and gives the majority of seats to the minority party.	Bonnie VanKeersbilck	2021-10-26 12:59:28+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	This map has 5 voting rights districts as does the current one. Most maps get to at least six but Promote the Vote has 7.	Bonnie VanKeersbilck	2021-10-26 12:56:54+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	The Southeastern Michigan map needs a lot of work. Troy and Rochester are Oakland county communities with large Indian and Asian communities.	Bonnie VanKeersbilck	2021-10-26 12:52:41+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	This map splits up Oakland county in ways that aren't consistent with any of our communities of interest.	Bonnie VanKeersbilck	2021-10-26 12:43:08+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Overall the diverse communities of Oakland county need better representation in Washington.	Bonnie VanKeersbilck	2021-10-26 12:37:55+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This map is moving in the right direction. Thank you for understanding that metro Detroit has many communities of interest and that partisan fairness must be taken into account.	Bonnie VanKeersbilck	2021-10-26 12:29:45+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	The City of Burton should not be split for Senate Districts. Lapeer County should not be included in a district with Northern Genesee County. The City of Burton is a community of interest that has never been split for the State Senate districts.	Lisa Marie Hock	2021-10-26 12:26:43+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	The City of Burton should not be split for Senate Districts. Lapeer County should not be included in a district with Northern Genesee County. The City of Burton is a community of interest that has never been split for the State Senate districts.	Lisa Marie Hock	2021-10-26 12:25:59+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The City of Burton should not be split for Senate Districts. Lapeer County should not be included in a district with Northern Genesee County. The City of Burton is a community of interest that has never been split for the State Senate districts.	Lisa Marie Hock	2021-10-26 12:24:50+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	I dislike this State House map because based on the current map, Flint may not have its own Representative. Flint needs its own representative; the city should not be split. I'm opposed to this State House map because of the split of the City of Flint and the African American population. Flint needs its own representative; the city should not be split.	Lisa Marie Hock	2021-10-26 12:21:41+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Flint needs its own representative; the city should not be split.	Lisa Marie Hock	2021-10-26 12:18:40+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Flint needs its own representative; the city should not be split.	Lisa Marie Hock	2021-10-26 12:13:31+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	I dislike this map on grounds of partisan fairness.	Jesse Chvojka	2021-10-26 11:47:03+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	I dislike the partisan fairness of this map. Neither party should get an advantage in state government	Jesse Chvojka	2021-10-26 11:42:23+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I like that this map keeps Troy whole and that the map tries to protect partisan fairness more than the other maps	Jesse Chvojka	2021-10-26 11:39:37+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	I like the districts for Oakland County, in particular that Troy is included with other Oakland County communities. I like this map because it includes Troy and Rochester with Clawson, Royal Oak, and Berkley. These areas have a lot of common interest economically and demographically	Jesse Chvojka	2021-10-26 11:32:53+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Troy and Rochester should not be lumped into a district with Macomb county. These two communities in particular are a vital part of Oakland County and its economy and should be included with other communities in that county.	Jesse Chvojka	2021-10-26 11:28:19+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike			

9-21-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>It appears District 4 is tracking along the riverfront south of the downtown area. This capture of riverfront property to pull it in with Grosse Pointe residents would make District 4 one of the wealthiest in the state, and strangest snaking shape as well.</p> <p>Again, a good map for Troy, but not fair when viewed more broadly.</p> <p>The commission needs to work much harder on the partisan bias of these maps: see the AFL-CIO Fair Maps project maps</p>	Stephen Boyle	2021-10-26 10:31:44+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>I actually like this map for Troy, but the problems elsewhere, particularly in and around Detroit are significant. The commission needs to work from the AFL-CIO Fair Maps Project Maps to get things right all across the state.</p>	Kevin Grimm	2021-10-26 04:02:48+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>I actually like this map for Troy, but the problems elsewhere, particularly in and around Detroit are significant. The commission needs to work from the AFL-CIO Fair Maps Project Maps to get things right all across the state.</p>	Kevin Grimm	2021-10-26 04:00:17+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>This is good map for Troy and overall has strengths. The commission needs to address the concerns in other areas of the state without disrupting a district such as this one.</p>	Kevin Grimm	2021-10-26 03:56:38+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>This is a very unfair map; it creates exactly the kind of partisan bias this commission was instituted to avoid. Please try again. (See the AFL-CIO Fair Maps Project)</p>	Kevin Grimm	2021-10-26 03:50:43+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>This map ignores obvious and natural like communities to package dissimilar communities unnecessarily (e.g., Troy and Oakland Twnship). There are way too many non-competitive districts in this map, as well.</p>	Kevin Grimm	2021-10-26 03:48:55+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	<p>While better than Spruce and Elm this map still needs to improve in relation to fairness and the Voting Rights Act. A majority of votes should result in a majority of seats.</p>	Kevin Grimm	2021-10-26 03:45:31+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	This map is so bad it's hard to know where to begin. It separates strongly connected communities, such as Troy and Birmingham, and joins communities with no intrinsic connection, such as Troy and Clinton Township.	Kevin Grimm	2021-10-26 03:40:31+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	This map is acceptable on the whole; it connects communities of interest in a reasonably coherent way. I urge the Commission to ensure that partisan fairness is addressed in all the maps. It seems that overall there is a lean to the Republican party despite the fact that the state leans Democratic. Unfair partisan advantage was the reason that we all worked so hard to pass Proposal 2 and to create the Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission.	Kevin Grimm	2021-10-26 03:36:59+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	While not perfect, this map is more or less acceptable; it combines reasonable communities of interest.	ROBERTA URBANI	2021-10-26 03:36:04+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like		Kevin Grimm	2021-10-26 03:34:14+00

I think this version of District 7 is probably the best of all the proposed maps and includes most of the Downriver communities which should be grouped together. Thank you to the Commissioners and staff for their hard work with a difficult task.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

ROBERTA URBANI

2021-10-26 03:31:08+00

<https://districtr.org/plan/699>  
87 is a much better  
Congressional or US House  
map that I've drawn. Please  
check it out. You've been told  
that your maps are good  
enough - but they still  
aren't fair!  
The party that wins the most  
votes statewide, should win  
the most seats. Your maps  
don't do that.  
Your partisan fairness expert,  
Dr. Handley said that election  
results show that Michigan is  
lean-Democratic state. Why  
do all of your maps lean  
Republican?  
Your maps unfairly benefit  
Republicans. Your job isn't  
done until you fix that.  
Thanks for working to fix  
these problems. Check out  
the "Chi map".

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Carole J Chi

2021-10-26 03:28:45+00

(continued comment)  
But Troy and Rochester/Rochester Hills are also connected to communities to the west and south far more strongly than to the east. Cutting them off from their Oakland County neighbors and pushing them into a district dominated by Macomb County simply makes no sense.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Kevin Grimm

2021-10-26 03:28:41+00

This map separates strongly connected communities of interest. For example, Troy and Rochester/ Rochester Hills are similar communities that interact and blend with each other.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Kevin Grimm

2021-10-26 03:23:43+00

it is good that this map is drawn to keep the cities of Saginaw, Midland, and Bay City together. I am concerned that Zilwaukee is excluded as well as portions of Bridgeport. As a member of Saginaw African American Pastors (SAAP), our community of interest includes serving parishioners in all of these areas that will be affected collectively by decisions relative to infrastructure investment (water, transportation, and education), as well as the critical economic impact of job loss due to the international impact on the auto industry, and now the semi-conductor world. It is important to keep these communities together, but the resources that encourage the development of people should be paramount. I also agree that the important value of the watershed affects Dr. Hurley Coleman

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS Opinion

2021-10-26 02:48:46+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like as a longtime resident of Midland in search of a fair Congressional district, this map is really impressive. I like that it includes the Tri Cities and Flint, which make up a COI, but it also includes some areas of Midland County and it scores very high in Partisan fairness and is it has the lowest score in the Efficiency Gap! Bravo! kathrleen curell 2021-10-26 02:45:57+00

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Dislike As member of Saginaw African American Pastors and Ezekiel, I am challenged by the district because of the exclusion of portions of Bridgeport and Buena Vista, who share school districts in Saginaw and Bridgeport. In addition, this map excludes the critical transportation resource of MBS that serves our community and is funded through our local tax base. It also excludes SVSU and Delta College, which are critical resources to the community. This map should be redrawn to include the critical infrastructure elements. Dr. Hurley Coleman 2021-10-26 02:41:34+00

As a member of Saginaw African American Pastors, I believe that the configuration of this map, District 5, suits the critical needs of the communities of Saginaw, Flint, Bay City, and Midland. There are several important reasons for this: 1. The communities along I-75 that experienced the impact of the loss of the automotive industry as an economic foundation for most of the middle class need representation of their economic needs as a collective. 2. The shared resources of water and transportation are critical to Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw requiring a concentrated representation of infrastructure investment in MBS and the colleges (SVSU and Delta). Finally, there is a mutual workforce in these communities that is best served by a unified vision of

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD Like

Dr. Hurley Coleman

2021-10-26 02:34:02+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This map does not achieve a fair map with close to zero political bias. I would suggest that you create the Midland house district like the Pine map #227. This would create more partisan fairness for the entire state.	Ronald Parmele	2021-10-26 02:17:45+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	This map gives Midland and Bay City, two cities that have been closely aligned for a long time, a competitive house district where a representative works for the voters, not a party or an extreme base. This brings the overall map close to a zero political bias. But please continue to correct the republican lean. Michigan is 54% democrat and itâ€™s districts should reflect that.	Ronald Parmele	2021-10-26 02:11:36+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Like	Thank you!	Renee Rapanotti	2021-10-26 02:10:43+00

I strongly agree those who have commented on Berrien County and a southwestern Michigan community of interest. This map is the worst of the all. We are are to be lumped with distant Jackson and Monroe as the only "urban" centers in this district, rather than

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

Kalamazoo? Ridiculous.

Laurence Funk

2021-10-26 02:08:31+00

Thank you for your efforts. I am another who is going to ask you to listen to comments about District 29 drawn from Oxford to W Bloomfield & insist that it be changed. Once again, the city of Oxford itself should of course not be divided. Lake Orion & Oxford are considered as 'sister cities', therefore are very closely COIs and should not be divided either. These communities also share interests with Oakland Twp. (parts attend LO schools) Lapeer & Brandon. West Bloomfield community does not share the same interests as these more rural areas. This needs to be looked at closer and corrected.

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Dislike

RenÃ©e Rapanotti

2021-10-26 02:05:46+00

District 6 should include ALL of Warren; but cut out Rochester, Rochester Hills and Troy. Please stop drawing lines vertically. Warren should not be included with Detroit. And aim for "partisan fairness", for that is why Prop 2 was passed in the first place! Michigan voters voted for Prop 2 to END Gerrymandering, not to continue it. Thank you for all your work!

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Carole J Chi

2021-10-26 02:05:04+00

This map gives Midland and Bay City, which have been closely aligned for a long time, a competitive house district where a representative works for the voters, not a party or an extreme base. This brings the overall map close to a zero political bias. But please continue to correct the republican lean. Michigan is a 54% democratic state and it's districts should reflect that.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Ronald Parmele

2021-10-26 02:02:07+00

Niles must be included with the rest of Berrien County in ANY congressional map. Including Niles on this map of proposed District 9 would maintain some of the core constituencies along and near I-94 found in the current District 6, which I favor. But to put Niles with Monroe, which is 157 miles away, rather than with our county seat of St. Joseph, only 23 miles distant, is absurd.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike		Laurence Funk	2021-10-26 01:46:35+00
		Berrien County is whole, which is good. A better map would keep Van Buren County together as well.		
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Like		Laurence Funk	2021-10-26 01:14:23+00
		This looks like the most fair map for my area.		
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion		michelle luplow	2021-10-26 01:07:41+00
		Do not split Berrien County - it is THE community of interest for those of us in Niles.		
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike		Laurence Funk	2021-10-26 01:04:14+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>Another map that places Sterling Heights with Troy? Not much in the way of choice here. Dropping Sterling Heights from this map (and keeping it whole, as most of the comments request) and adding Clawson and/or Birmingham creates a district with like needs, diversity and attitudes towards the future. Please reconsider maps Elm, Cherry and Spruce where Troy and Sterling Heights are concerned. And thanks for all your hard work!</p>	Richard Wochoski	2021-10-26 01:00:56+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Berrien County should be kept whole, preferably with a the bias being more of a southwestern district ( possibly including Van Buren and Cass counties) rather than a purely southern district (one stretching from the lake shore all the way to Hillsdale makes no sense).</p>	Laurence Funk	2021-10-26 01:00:06+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>I like this because it seems the fairest for our community</p>	Mark Grazioli	2021-10-26 00:54:40+00

10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	<p>District 16 makes no sense. A district along the US23 corridor down to Dundee and Tecumseh makes sense. Extending it all the way across Monroe is dissimilar from a community viewpoint. I think it better to see more of the east side of Ann Arbor in 16 and have 18 extend into Jackson. The partisanship data shows this is not as fair as the Eid plan. The efficiency gap is pretty large and it definitely gives an advantage to Republicans.</p>	Ronald Emaus	2021-10-26 00:53:01+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>While I'm happy that Troy was not split into different districts, I think our community interests are more compatible with cities to the south like Clawson, Royal Oak and Birmingham than those north of Rochester.</p>	Kendall Hauer-Wochoski	2021-10-26 00:52:45+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike This map is marginally better than Elm. Is there a problem with dropping the cities in Macomb County and adding Clawson and Birmingham, both established cities with similar infrastructure and educational needs? If we're going to make our spending more effective, the best way is for each city in a Senate district to have similar needs. Richard Wochoski 2021-10-26 00:51:36+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike Combining Sterling Heights with Troy and northern Oakland County would result in a Senate District with very widely varying needs. While Troy and Sterling Heights are fairly similar, the needs of northern Oakland communities are very different, especially since so many of them are still converting areas to city water and gas. State funds are more effective when focused on common problems and not spread across many disparate projects. Richard Wochoski 2021-10-26 00:44:50+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I like that all these maps keep Troy as it's own district, so we can elect an official to best represent our area.	Kendall Hauer-Wochoski	2021-10-26 00:44:04+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	looks like the best map for my area.	kelly correy	2021-10-26 00:36:19+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Like	Unpacking Ann Arbor as is done in this plan is GREAT. It needs to be unpacked. The partisan data accompanying this Eid plan shows it is more fair for Ann Arbor and the state. All of us living in Ann Arbor know our votes have been wasted for years. Living on the east side, our interests often overlap with Ypsilanti: St Joe hospital, shopping on Carpenter, EMU events, Growing Hope, WCC and other overlapping interests (roads, intersections, police). The west of Ann Arbor is more aligned with Scio township, Barton Village, Dexter and Chelsea. This plan is more aligned with the interests of the subject communities.	Ronald Emaus	2021-10-26 00:34:30+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Another good map that keeps Troy intact and will make it more effective and efficient to represent the city's needs within the statehouse. Nice work!	Richard Wochoski	2021-10-26 00:31:37+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	I am not in favor of having Troy, Rochester Hills and Birmingham being put in with great swaths of Macomb County.	Kendall Hauer-Wochoski	2021-10-26 00:31:30+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Like	Another good map that maintains Troy's independence. Nice work!	Richard Wochoski	2021-10-26 00:27:21+00

The partisan distribution in the accompanying data file makes no sense; each of 38 senate districts contain > 1.2 million voters: impossible. Clearly, Ann arbor needs to be unpacked. I would split it into east/west districts. Living on the east side, our interests often overlap with Ypsilanti: St Joe hospital, shopping on Carpenter, EMU events, Growing Hope, WCC and other overlapping interests (roads, intersections, police). The west of Ann Arbor is more aligned with Scio township, Barton Village, Dexter and Chelsea. For the 36 years I've lived here, my Democratic vote has been wasted in the Michigan Senate. The wasted vote in Ann Arbor is huge and I'm sure the 'Voters not Politicians' envisioned unpacking this city. That's what I voted for. Fix this.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Ronald Emaus

2021-10-26 00:24:07+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	This is a pretty fair map, in that it keeps Troy unto itself. Given its diverse population and unique split of business and residential tax base, government representation can be focused on Troy's specific needs. Well done!	Richard Wochoski	2021-10-26 00:24:04+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This map is the most reasonable for all.	Larry Ladomer	2021-10-26 00:22:46+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	This map is the closest to being fair to the city of Troy and its diverse population. As many communities in Oakland County are relatively newer, we collectively require greater investment in new infrastructure, rather than updating old infrastructure. The same is true for many other investments requiring government funds. Better to put money to work on a small number of district-wide projects than spread it thin funding a wide number of disparate projects.	Richard Wochoski	2021-10-26 00:18:49+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	I like that this map keeps Troy with the other communities in Oakland County.	Kendall Hauer-Wochoski	2021-10-26 00:15:21+00

The partisan distribution in the accompanying data file makes no sense; each of 38 senate districts contain > 1.2 million voters: impossible. Clearly, Ann arbor needs to be unpacked. I would split it into east/west districts. Living on the east side, our interests often overlap with Ypsilanti: St Joe hospital, shopping on Carpenter, EMU events, Growing Hope, WCC and other overlapping interests (roads, intersections, police). The west of Ann Arbor is more aligned with Scio township, Barton Village, Dexter and Chelsea. For the 36 years I've lived here, my Democratic vote has been wasted in the Michigan Senate. The wasted vote in Ann Arbor is huge and I'm sure the 'Voters not Politicians' envisioned unpacking this city. That's what I voted for. Fix this. This looks like the best choice for downriver!

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Ronald Emaus

2021-10-26 00:15:14+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Carol Ladomer

2021-10-26 00:14:10+00

The partisan distribution in the accompanying data file makes no sense; each of 38 senate districts contain > 1.2 million voters: impossible. Clearly, Ann arbor needs to be unpacked. I would split it into east/west districts. Living on the east side, our interests often overlap with Ypsilanti: St Joe hospital, shopping on Carpenter, EMU events, Growing Hope, WCC and other overlapping interests (roads, intersections, police). The west of Ann Arbor is more aligned with Scio township, Barton Village, Dexter and Chelsea. For the 36 years I've lived here, my Democratic vote has been wasted in the Michigan Senate. The wasted vote in Ann Arbor is huge and I'm sure the 'Voters not Politicians' envisioned unpacking this city. That's what I voted for. Fix this.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Ronald Emaus

2021-10-26 00:13:33+00

Why split the boundaries of the Township of Plainfield, the Township of Gaines, and City of Wyoming?  
"Independent Commission"  
you can create two districts out Grand Rapids and a district with Kentwood, East Grand Rapids, the remaining part of Grand Rapids, and part of Grand Rapids Township. Walker has more ties to Alpine Township and Tallmadge Township than to the City of Grand Rapids. Ahh, you are trying to create an extra democrat seat by gerrymandering. Why not come out and say so, people are confused by the meandering boundary lines. Another map lumping Troy in with Macomb County. Unfortunately, Troy has little in common with most of the cities in Macomb County and the financial needs are not compatible. Most Macomb houses are older, their city infrastructure requires more care and their racial makeup is less diverse than in Troy. Please keep Troy in Oakland County.

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Dislike

Merlin Steffes

2021-10-26 00:12:15+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Richard Wochoski

2021-10-26 00:09:37+00

I mapped out District 11 and 12 on districtr.org. Conveniently, District 2 gives the democrats a 3.26% advantage and District 12 gives the democrats a 6.8% advantage. The "Independent Commission" is gifting a senate seat to the democrats in Kent County by slicing into surrounding communities because those people don't vote "right". Here is a thought- place the cities of Grand Rapids, East Grand Rapids, and Kentwood into a senate district. The population of those three cities is 264,592 which is very close to the required 265,192 for a senate district. Bonus, no municipal boundaries will be split.

10-14-21 v1 SD RL Dislike

Merlin Steffes

2021-10-25 23:32:15+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>District 3 should only be based in Oakland County and not include any of Macomb County. Oakland has a much stronger commercial base, is more diverse and contains more newer homes, all of which require a different kind of political and infrastructure support than Macomb County. It's newer neighborhoods in Oakland vs. older neighborhoods in Macomb. Better to have one kind of infrastructure to make funding easier and more focused.</p>	Richard Wochoski	2021-10-25 23:28:43+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the tri-cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) in a senate district as they have long been a community of interest with industrial economies, commuting workers, and shoppers, sharing colleges and school activities, watershed, and radio and TV stations. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps to achieve as close to zero political bias as possible.</p>	Ronald Parmele	2021-10-25 23:26:16+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>None of the three proposed maps works because in District 32, they carve off slivers of Bloomfield Hills and Birmingham rather than leaving those communities intact, potentially making the voters in those slivers feel disenfranchised because they would represent such a tiny portion of the district's electorate. The maps also place Clawson and Troy in separate districts, when placing those two communities in the same district would appear to make</p>	Sandra J. Burgess	2021-10-25 23:25:03+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>more sense geographically. Moving Troy into Macomb County would not be advisable. The diversity of Troy stands out against the homogeneity of Macomb County in many ways. We would not like the many cultures of Troy to be subsumed into Macomb</p>	Richard E. Wochoski	2021-10-25 23:23:19+00

10-08-21 v1 HD Dislike I gave testimony THREE TIMES (C973) and this map does exactly what I asked you NOT TO DO: split our Macatawa community along existing boundaries of 32nd Street, located south of Lake Macatawa. Our peninsula is just south of the channel and has been split in half for decades. You are doing it again. I submitted live testimony, written and maps. Please DO NOT USE 32ND STREET AS A BOUNDARY TO THE LAKEFRONT. Lisa M Jevens 2021-10-25 23:20:11+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike I gave testimony THREE TIMES (C973) and this map does exactly what I asked you NOT TO DO: split our Macatawa community along existing boundaries of 32nd Street, located south of Lake Macatawa. Our peninsula is just south of the channel and has been split in half for decades. You are doing it again. I submitted live testimony, written and maps. Please DO NOT USE 32ND STREET AS A BOUNDARY TO THE LAKEFRONT. Lisa M Jevens 2021-10-25 23:17:37+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>I gave testimony THREE TIMES (C973) and this map does exactly what I asked you NOT TO DO: split our Macatawa community along existing boundaries of 32nd Street, located south of Lake Macatawa. Our peninsula is just south of the channel and has been split in half for decades. You are doing it again. I submitted live testimony, written and maps. Please DO NOT USE 32ND STREET AS A BOUNDARY TO THE LAKEFRONT.</p>	Lisa M Jevens	2021-10-25 23:15:41+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the trust-cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) in a senate district as they have long been a community of interest with industrial economies, commuting workers and shoppers, shared colleges and school activities, watershed, and radio and TV stations. Please continue to work for partisan fairness of the maps to achieve as close to zero political bias as possible.</p>	Ronald Parmele	2021-10-25 23:14:48+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	As a Troy resident, I prefer the Juniper map for Congressional districts because it keeps Troy with other Oakland County communities that have similar characteristics while also providing diversity that respects various ethnic communities of interest.	Sandra J. Burgess	2021-10-25 23:09:58+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I chose this map because it appears to represent the downriver area best. I appreciate the commission consistently placing the tri-cities (Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw) in a senate district as they have long been a community of interest with many common concerns. They share industrial economies, commuting workers and shoppers, colleges and school activities, watershed, and radio and TV stations. Please continue to work on partisan fairness of the maps to achieve as close to zero political bias as possible.	Gene T. Swift	2021-10-25 23:09:00+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like		Ronald Parmele	2021-10-25 23:03:47+00

I appreciate the commission consistently placing the trust-cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban areas and a community of interest with industrial economies, commuting workers and shoppers, and shared college and school activities, watershed, and radio and TV stations. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness. We need maps that are as close to zero political bias as possible.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Ronald Parmele

2021-10-25 22:46:44+00

I do not like this map for District 3 because I think that Troy is much more like Birmingham and Bloomfield Hills rather than points east, all the way to East Pointe and the lake. I think the majority of Oakland County should be kept together and not split up to add to Macomb County.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Robert George Wilson

2021-10-25 22:46:06+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>I like that Troy is kept in the predominant Oakland County map because we share commonalities with Birmingham and Bloomfield Hills. My daughter lives in Rochester and I am somewhat surprised that Rochester is also not in this District, they are very similar to our Troy neighborhoods, but I know lines have to be drawn somewhere. Thank you for your work.</p>	Robert George Wilson	2021-10-25 22:41:40+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the trust-cities (Midland , Bay City, and Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban areas and a community of interest with industrial economies, commuting workers and shoppers, shared colleges and school activities, shared watershed, and shared radio and TV stations. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the republican lean most maps have. We need to be as close to zero political bias as possible.</p>	Ronald Parmele	2021-10-25 22:35:00+00

10-14-21 v2 SD RL	Dislike	Splitting Ingham County like this makes no sense. Ingham minus about 19,000 people is the target district size. Keep almost all of Ingham together and drop the remainder to another district rather than split up Lansing/East Lansing. Stop splitting Grand Rapids! The City of Grand Rapids plus East Grand Rapids plus Kentwood is almost exactly the target population for one district and is a clear community of interest. Please redraw districts 23 and 24 to fix this.	Daniel Harris	2021-10-25 22:23:36+00
10-14-21 v2 SD RL	Dislike	I appreciate the commission consistently placing the trust-cities (Midland, Bay city, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban areas with industrial economies and should have appropriate representation. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the republican lean most maps have. We need maps as close to zero political bias as possible.	Daniel Harris	2021-10-25 22:19:22+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like		Ronald Parmele	2021-10-25 22:19:06+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>This map seems to be the most fairly drawn one I have seen.</p> <p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the tri-cities and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban, industrial based economies and are a mid-Michigan community of interest with respect to schools and colleges, commuting workers and shoppers, watershed, TV and radio communications In common. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the republican lean to achieve as close to zero political bias as possible.</p>	Anthony Mazurek	2021-10-25 22:12:28+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like		Ronald Parmele	2021-10-25 21:50:50+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>I ESPECIALLY LIKE THAT THE MAP INCLUDES OUR NEIGHBORING CITIES THAT HAVE SO MUCH IN COMMON WITH gROSSE ìLE WE H AVE ALWAYS WORKED TOGETHER AND THAT IS AN IMPORTANT ASSET TO OUR SUCCESS.</p> <p>I appreciate the hard work which has gone into these lines. All I ask is that the districts be as fair as possible to voters of BOTH parties.</p>	ken tilp	2021-10-25 21:41:40+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like		Theresa Marie Hoffman	2021-10-25 21:38:43+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>This seems to be pretty fair. Still need to work at where the sections that still clearly favor one party, especially Republicans in this Democratic leaning state. demoratric leaning state. District 7 as shown seems to be a fair representation of contiguous communities and the total population is similar to other proposed districts. Please spend more time on proposed district 4 to address issues surrounding Grand Rapids.</p>	Elizabeth Leon	2021-10-25 21:32:19+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>I like how this map is balanced politically.</p>	Elizabeth Palazzolo	2021-10-25 21:29:26+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	<p>This is another interesting option for Congressional District 4. I like combining two urban/suburban areas with lots of similarities. Nice that it connects GVSU with both communities and it gives a voice to diverse population. I could be happy in this district.</p>	Alicia LaFrance	2021-10-25 21:20:23+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like		Sue Merrell	2021-10-25 21:04:57+00

Please consider the need for majority minority communities when you are analyzing maps. It is important to increase, not decrease minority voting strength for stronger representation in government.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like		Karen Lynne Bolsen	2021-10-25 21:03:00+00
		This map shows a politically balanced area. I also I like the idea of including two centers of minority population. The I 96 corridor is also a good idea.		
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like		Milly Overeem	2021-10-25 20:49:17+00
		Lincoln Park, Allen Park, and Taylor shouldn't be cut off from the rest of the Downriver community of interest in District 7. This map also places Detroit in just 1 District in violation of the		
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	VRA	Jason Behr	2021-10-25 20:47:32+00
		Taylor, Allen Park, and Lincoln Park shouldn't be cut off from the rest of the Downriver community of interest in District 7. This map also only gives Detroit only 1 District in violation of the VRA.		
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike		Jason Behr	2021-10-25 20:42:28+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	I dont feel that Taylor, Lincoln Park, and Allen Park should be separated from the rest of the Downriver community of interest in District 7. This map also puts all of Detroit in 1 District in direct violation of the VRA.	Jason Behr	2021-10-25 20:30:44+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	I like this map. It is politically balanced and will be competitive. It includes two centers of minority populations. It includes a Center of education Grand Valley State University, both the Allendale and the Grand Rapids campuses will be in one district. It also includes the I 96 corridor	Fred Overeem	2021-10-25 20:24:41+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	I like the idea of an I-96 corridor district linking Muskegon and Grand Rapids. This is my preferred congressional map.	Ryan D Bennett	2021-10-25 20:23:58+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I feel this is the most fair of the maps overall not favoring any party over another.	Karen Gorno	2021-10-25 20:20:58+00

			I can only speak as to what looks good for my area, district 7 on this new map and I think it looks fair and will meet all the requirements.		
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like		Thank you for working hard. I don't think that districts should cross county lines. We should keep Troy with the towns to the north and west	Michael Izzo	2021-10-25 20:02:36+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike		in Oakland County. 8th District should stay as	Tina Catron	2021-10-25 19:41:33+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like		chosen. Oakland county kept in 1	Richard Medina	2021-10-25 19:41:12+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like		district is the best map!	Eric McGrath	2021-10-25 19:39:44+00
			This map has many positives. It connects the Grand Rapids and Allendale campuses of Grand Valley University. It is politically balanced by combining the urban and suburban areas of Grand Rapids, Muskegon, North		
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like		Muskegon, and Grand Haven. This looks like the most fair of the Congressional maps that I've seen including for my home town of Grosse Ile in	Cindy Krieg	2021-10-25 19:37:50+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like		District 7. Troy should not be lumped into any district with Macomb	Judith Maiga	2021-10-25 19:37:13+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike		county. Terrible.	Eric McGrath	2021-10-25 19:36:04+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Northville is Wayne County and we share our resources such as our fire department with Plymouth. Our school district is Wayne County as well. The vast majority of us live in Wayne Co. and have common interests with our neighbors to the south in Plymouth. We have common interests, especially when it comes to Enviornmental concerns nearby. Please keep Plymouth with Northville.	Randa Cain	2021-10-25 19:35:07+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	The map puts Troy and Rochester votes into Macomb county, largely silencing our votes. This is not appropriate. Recommend Troy, at least, be placed in district with Royal Oak, Berkley, etc.	Eric John McGrath	2021-10-25 19:32:55+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I have looked at several maps and I believe that is map is the fairest	James Kopas	2021-10-25 19:30:32+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like I live in Grand Rapids area and have a vacation home in Muskegon area. This district combines 2 hubs of non-white communities (26%) in Grand Rapids and Muskegon ensuring that the minority voices of West Michigan can be heard. Pei-Lan Tsou 2021-10-25 19:28:57+00

10-08-21 v2 HD Dislike Our communities share resources, such as fire departments. We have common concerns, especially when addressing the landfill nearby. It has taken us years to make any progress on this important environmental and health issue facing our community. Northville is not a large community and should not be split into 2; it should remain with Plymouth. Our communities have more in common with Plymouth than Farmington Hills. I urge you to reconsider these maps and district lines. Randa Cain 2021-10-25 19:27:42+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Our communities share resources, such as fire departments. We have common concerns, especially when addressing the landfill nearby. It has taken us years to make any progress on this important environmental and health issue facing our community. Northville is not a large community and should not be split into 2; it should remain with Plymouth. Our communities have more in common with Plymouth than Farmington Hills. I urge you to reconsider these maps and district lines.	Randa Cain	2021-10-25 19:26:08+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	This Map is completely unbalanced for Troy - you cannot combine Oakland and Macomb Counties in this way.	Tina Catron	2021-10-25 19:23:47+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	green pin please I believe this is the best map for district 6. It combines Battle Creek with Kalamazoo and reflects the interconnectivity and interdependencies of the communities along I-94 and I-96.	James Kopas	2021-10-25 19:23:28+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like		Susan M Johnson	2021-10-25 19:15:12+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>Thanks for your hard work. This seems like a fair map to me...and covers an area of people I feel in community with.</p> <p>This map could use some improvement. Kalamazoo County has grown over the last 10 years and will likely grow even more over the next 10 years. The county would be better split into 3 state House districts. Especially when it comes to the City of Kalamazoo. The western and eastern parts of the city and county should be divided to better represent the different communities within Kalamazoo. The northern and eastern sides of the city and county have different needs than the western side of the city and county. The southwestern part of the county is rapidly growing and more suburban than the rest of the county. All 3 sides of Kalamazoo County should be represented by a state representative of their own.</p>	Virginia Preuss	2021-10-25 19:12:31+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion		Cory King	2021-10-25 18:46:28+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	Thank you for creating a fair partisan map! I like this a lot! You're keeping the Grand Rapids metro communities of interest together.	Miriam Boelen	2021-10-25 18:40:24+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	I like this map overall, especially with respect to the 4th district. Among the things that the Muskegon and Grand Rapids areas share in common are economic interests; education interests (with GVSU and several other colleges in the district); and diversity (not only are GR and Muskegon diverse, but so are some of their suburbs, like Kentwood, Wyoming, Muskegon Heights, and Roosevelt Park). The map also satisfies the partisan fairness requirement better than other maps I've seen. Plus, you've given ample consideration to county, city, and township boundaries. All of Kent County should be in the same district along with Ionia and most of Montcalm to achieve a population of 775,000.	Scott Urbanowski	2021-10-25 18:39:10+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike		Merlin Steffes	2021-10-25 18:34:29+00

Van Buren and Allegan should be kept whole and together for the Senate as a community of interest. They share many functions of government as well as a large Hispanic population. Both are rural, agriculture and tourism based economies with many similar small towns through the counties. Aside from being a community of interest, together, they fit nicely as about 2/3 of a Senate district and could take in other parts of similar rural or coastal counties. Holland would be a good fit, Barry County would be another. Van Buren and Allegan have much more in common with each other than they do with the "Michiana" border counties.

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS Dislike

Joshua Przygocki

2021-10-25 18:26:50+00

Van Buren and Allegan should be kept whole and together for the Senate as a community of interest. They share many functions of government as well as a large Hispanic population. Both are rural, agriculture and tourism based economies with many similar small towns through the counties. Aside from being a community of interest, together, they fit nicely as about 2/3 of a Senate district and could take in other parts of similar rural or coastal counties. Holland would be a good fit, Barry County would be another. Van Buren and Allegan have much more in common with each other than they do with the "Michiana" border counties.

10-14-21 v1 SD RL

Dislike

Joshua Przygocki

2021-10-25 18:26:30+00

Van Buren and Allegan should be kept whole and together for the Senate as a community of interest. They share many functions of government as well as a large Hispanic population. Both are rural, agriculture and tourism based economies with many similar small towns through the counties. Aside from being a community of interest, together, they fit nicely as about 2/3 of a Senate district and could take in other parts of similar rural or coastal counties. Holland would be a good fit, Barry County would be another. Van Buren and Allegan have much more in common with each other than they do with the "Michiana" border counties.

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid Dislike

Joshua Przygocki

2021-10-25 18:26:03+00

Thank you for creating a fair partisan map that includes Cascade Twp with the Greater Grand Rapids Metro area. This is a very balanced map that keeps Communities of Interest within West Michigan together!

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

KAREN T SANTELLI

2021-10-25 18:25:45+00

Van Buren and Allegan should be kept whole and together for the Senate as a community of interest. They share many functions of government as well as a large Hispanic population. Both are rural, agriculture and tourism based economies with many similar small towns through the counties. Aside from being a community of interest, together, they fit nicely as about 2/3 of a Senate district and could take in other parts of similar rural or coastal counties. Holland would be a good fit, Barry County would be another. Van Buren and Allegan have much more in common with each other than they do with the "Michiana" border counties.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Joshua Przygocki

2021-10-25 18:25:31+00

This map does not make sense for those of us living along the I-75/I-275 corridor, or lakefront part of Monroe County. There is no interstate, or corridor, that links those of us in east part of the state with those counties out west: Branch, or much less, Saint Joseph & Cass Counties. This map currently splits Berrien County along the lakefront area. So if it does that, then the redistricting committee should show the same level of mercy to those of us in Monroe along the lakefront, and not lump us in with Hillsdale, or all of those other counties way out west. Battle Creek should be with Albion - not the rural townships around BC.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Steven Meyer

2021-10-25 17:38:47+00

10-06-21 v6 HD Like

Eleanor Alexander

2021-10-25 17:22:54+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>This is a much more sensible district than the current 7th District. It doesn't run all the way from the west side of Lansing all the way to Lake Erie for starters! It also looks like a much more fair district that isn't gerrymandered to make sure one party will always win it. Competitive districts make for a better democracy.</p>	Christopher Savage	2021-10-25 17:16:10+00
		<p>Farmington and Farmington Hills combined makes a state House district. Farmington and Farmington Hills should be united in a district. We share a school district and library system and many community endeavors.</p>		
		<p>Farmington Hills should not be split in two.</p>		
		<p>Farmington Hills has a lot more in common with Farmington than Southfield.</p>		
		<p>Farmington currently is drawn into Detroit (Wayne County).</p>		
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike		Daniel N. Rimer	2021-10-25 17:13:59+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike I agree with some of the other writers on this district. I think pairing Downriver Wayne communities with Washtenaw is a terrible pairing. It would seem better to pair Downriver Wayne with Monroe and then move west of Monroe. Ty Erwin 2021-10-25 17:07:02+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like I think this district is significant and a step forward because of the pairing of Kalamazoo city with Grand Rapids city, both with significant minority populations. I think pairing Grand Rapids with Kalamazoo makes more sense than pairing with rural areas of Kent because of competing rural-urban interests. I think the rural/suburban interests of Kent and Ottawa cancel the interests of the city and this district would amplify minority voters and voices. Ty Erwin 2021-10-25 16:55:08+00

Lake shore communities need a Senate representative who understands special needs of our communities. Lakeshore erosion and property damage, the ineffective current patchwork responses to this problem, the lack of living wage jobs in a tourist economy are just some of the unique issues lakeshore communities face.

Please reconfigure this map which as drawn unites disparate communities such as Benton Harbor with its current lead/water problem, huge swaths of rural Van Buren and Allegan counties and suburban Grand Rapids communities. One solution would be to have a long lakeshore district from New Buffalo area narrowly extending up the lakeshore as far as needed to meet population guidelines. I know you have so much to balance

but this district needs

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Julia Ludwig

2021-10-25 16:54:15+00

As a former resident of Grand Rapids and now a 25 year resident of Kalamazoo, I am shocked at the proposed redistricting map. What I see is four small pieces out of four counties sliced and diced together as well as two metro districts stretched together-- even though they are a full hour apart! How can I interpret this as anything other than gerrymandering to favor the Democrats? As a Kalamazoo resident, I do not want Kalamazoo represented with Grand Rapids. This is not fair representation!

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Theresa DeVries

2021-10-25 16:51:29+00

The commission has been working very hard on these maps, but as your consultant has told you, there is still work to be done regarding partisan fairness. You can address partisan fairness by unpacking the Democrats who live in small cities such as Ann Arbor and combining parts of Ann Arbor with suburban and exurban areas. One approach would be to expand districts north along the U.S. 23 corridor. Many people along that corridor extending into Brighton commute to Ann Arbor for school and work. They have much in common with their co-workers there but can't afford housing there so they have moved a little farther away. Combining these areas would make a lot of sense and help address partisan fairness.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Judy Daubenmier

2021-10-25 16:48:51+00

Although you obviously have worked very hard on this map, it still falls short in the category of partisan fairness. Even your own consultant has told you so. This issue can be addressed by unpacking the Democrats in small cities such as Ann Arbor and creating districts that include parts of Ann Arbor and suburban and exurban areas, such as the U.S. 23 corridor up into Livingston County. Many people commute to Ann Arbor for jobs and school so they are very familiar with the area. Modifying Washtenaw and Livingston Counties would help address the partisan fairness issue.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Judy Daubenmier

2021-10-25 16:43:20+00

This map has its good point in that it keeps Kent CO whole. With so many rural areas included with Grand Rapids and its close cities it may still be gerrymandered in favor of Republicans.

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC

Opinion

Robert Dragan

2021-10-25 16:37:05+00

Thank you for your work, but there is still more to do. This map falls short on the partisan fairness measure. The party that gets the most votes statewide should get the most seats. That's not what happens here. You may have to split some small cities like Ann Arbor and combine them with suburban areas to achieve partisan fairness. Those areas usually are tied together in many ways and the city boundary is an artificial line. Right now, too many Democrats are packed in an area like Ann Arbor and that is preventing you from achieving the partisan fairness required by the constitution

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

as approved by the voters. Calendonia Twp should be added and remove the Ottawa CO areas which should not be part of any district with Kent CO.

Judy Daubenmier

2021-10-25 16:36:50+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD

Opinion

Robert Dragan

2021-10-25 16:33:24+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>This Map along with the others all disenfranchise Flint from the possibility of having at least one and in some cases 2 people who have the cities best interest at heart. This map reduces us to potentially having no representative of color</p>	Patrick McNeal	2021-10-25 16:32:10+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>This Map along with the others all disenfranchise Flint from the possibility of having at least one and in some cases 2 people who have the cities best interest at heart. This map reduces us to potentially having no representative of color</p>	Patrick McNeal	2021-10-25 16:30:41+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	<p>Many of my fellow commenters have stated their objection to this map because it puts so much rural area into the Grand Rapids area which disenfranchises Democrats. Urban areas which generally support Democrats would be at a disadvantage so the Commissioners need to consider if they look at this map. One good thing is that it keeps Kent CO whole.</p>	Robert Dragan	2021-10-25 16:30:41+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>This Map along with the others all disenfranchise Flint from the possibility of having at least one and in some cases 2 people who have the cities best interest at heart. This map reduces us to potentially having no representative of color</p>	Patrick McNeal	2021-10-25 16:28:54+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>This map eliminates the idea of a united lake shore district. A narrower map extending further north at least to Douglas/Saugatuck would unite communities of economic, environmental and ethnic (Black and Hispanic) commonalities. Please replace this map with the Pine map - edited to reflect more partisan balance. Thank you.</p> <p>This map should not be considered. Kent CO should not be in the same district with Portland, MI and parts of Ingham CO far to the east in Rural areas. The only good thing about this map is it keeps Kent CO whole.</p>	Julia Ludwig	2021-10-25 16:26:15+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike		Robert Dragan	2021-10-25 16:23:51+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I basically like this map, though it leans Republican, which I do not like. It keeps Kent CO whole which looks like a good Congressional district. Minority representation should be considered.	Robert Dragan	2021-10-25 16:21:14+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	I don't know what you were thinking with this map. Kent County and Shiawassee County have absolutely zero in common. I highly disapprove.	Patrick Quist	2021-10-25 16:19:57+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike	Please move the prison population in Ionia to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-25 16:19:42+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Please move the prison population in Ionia to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-25 16:18:22+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Please move the prison population in Ionia to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-25 16:14:21+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Please move the prison population in Ionia to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-25 16:13:33+00

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Dislike	<p>Please move the prison population in Ionia to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering. I think it makes a lot of sense to have a dedicated Lakeshore District. This draft map is a good start but needs more partisan balance. Living in South Haven I see particular issues like shoreline collapse and the patchwork, ultimately ineffective and likely harmful, "solutions" to address the problem. We have committed to a tourist economy without addressing the issues of few living wage jobs and the effect that has on schools and families. The many short term rentals have gutted the year round population of our communities. We need a representative who can educate other legislators about our concerns and push for legislation which effectively addresses them. The rural communities to our east have other concerns that need an effective</p>	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-25 16:12:29+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	representative. But we should	Julia Ludwig	2021-10-25 16:12:07+00

10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	Please move the prison population in Ionia to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-25 16:11:25+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Dislike	Please move the prison population in Ionia to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-25 16:10:35+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Please move the prison population in Ionia to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-25 16:09:33+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Please move the prison population in to an overpopulated district to address prison gerrymandering.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-25 16:07:56+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	I like this map because it's the most compact, meaning that districts will naturally encompass more similar communities.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-25 16:04:08+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Like		William Diephuis	2021-10-25 15:49:11+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	Updated <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/695">https://districtr.org/plan/695</a> 97. Fixed some Municipality boundaries. Max deviation is 0.09% the lowest. Asian community is at 10.8%, the highest compared to the 4 proposed maps. I implore commissioners to consider giving Chaldean community a voice in one district. Thank you commissioners for your hard work! :)	Yousif Yousif	2021-10-25 15:28:10+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Opinion	Updated <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/695">https://districtr.org/plan/695</a> 97. Fixed some Municipality boundaries. Max deviation is 0.09% the lowest. Asian community is at 10.8%, the highest compared to the 4 proposed maps. I implore commissioners to consider Chaldean community a voice in one district. Thank you commissioners for your hard work! :)	Yousif Yousif	2021-10-25 15:26:44+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	Updated <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/695">https://districtr.org/plan/695</a> 97. Fixed some Municipality boundaries. Max deviation is 0.09% the lowest. Asian community is at 10.8%, the highest compared to the 4 proposed maps. I implore commissioners to consider Chaldean community a voice in one district. Thank you commissioners for your hard work! :)	Yousif Yousif	2021-10-25 15:25:35+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Opinion	Updated <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/695">https://districtr.org/plan/695</a> 97. Fixed some Municipality boundaries. Max deviation is 0.09% the lowest. Asian community is at 10.8%, the highest compared to the 4 proposed maps. I implore commissioners to consider Chaldean community a voice in one district. Thank you commissioners for your hard work! :)	Yousif Yousif	2021-10-25 15:24:02+00

Goodness gracious - this is just terrible. How does it make sense to separate the Indian and Asian communities in Troy and Rochester Hills from those in Bloomfield, Farmington Hills, and Novi? Why in heaven's name would Birmingham - a semi-urban sea of Mercedes and Teslas - be grouped with Macomb County? How does it make sense to group west Oakland County with Port Austin - three hours away? This makes zero sense. Terrible, terrible, terrible. I'd issue demerits on those who came up with this map.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Opinion

Thomas Mikulski

2021-10-25 14:42:10+00

Not an entirely unreasonable map. Like that Southfield was grouped more strongly with Detroit. The Indian and Asian communities in Rochester Hills are separated from those in Troy, Bloomfield, and elsewhere - I'd like to see those communities put back together in a revised map.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Opinion

Thomas Mikulski

2021-10-25 14:35:29+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	Great to see Troy, Rochester Hills, Clawson, and Royal Oak connected together - the economic and cultural ties between these communities is significant. I'd personally group Southfield closer to Detroit - these two cities share more similarities than the outskirts of Oakland County.	Thomas Mikulski	2021-10-25 14:32:15+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Not sure why anyone would think it's a good idea to cut Troy and Rochester Hills off from Bloomfield and the rest of Oakland County. These two communities have large Indian and Asian communities that would get diluted if grouped with communities to the east - with which they have weak ties culturally and economically. Truly, it's a terrible design.	Thomas Mikulski	2021-10-25 14:26:33+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This is the best of the house maps, but still does not reach partisan FAIRNESS.	Laraine Deutsch	2021-10-25 14:21:58+00

Updated:  
<https://districtr.org/plan/695>  
82. Took Municipality lines into account. Please give a Chaldeans a voice! We have been in MI for 100+ years now and have contributed to the great state of MI. Chaldeans own 25,000+ businesses in MI. Give us our one district so we can have a voice please! Thank you commissioners!

10-07-21 v1 CD AE

Opinion

Yousif Yousif

2021-10-25 14:07:49+00

Updated:  
<https://districtr.org/plan/695>  
82. Took Municipality lines into account. Please give a Chaldeans a voice! We have been in MI for 100+ years now and have contributed to the great state of MI. Chaldeans own 25,000+ businesses in MI. Give us our one district so we can have a voice please! Thank you commissioners!

10-07-21 v1 CD DC

Opinion

Yousif Yousif

2021-10-25 14:06:51+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	<p>Updated:  <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/695">https://districtr.org/plan/695</a>        82. Took Municipality lines into account. Please give a Chaldeans a voice! We have been in MI for 100+ years now and have contributed to the great state of MI. Chaldeans own 25,000+ businesses in MI. Give us our one district so we can have a voice please! Thank you commissioners!</p>	Yousif Yousif	2021-10-25 14:05:56+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	<p>Updated:  <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/695">https://districtr.org/plan/695</a>        82. Took Municipality lines into account. Please give a Chaldeans a voice! We have been in MI for 100+ years now and have contributed to the great state of MI. Chaldeans own 25,000+ businesses in MI. Give us our one district so we can have a voice please! Thank you commissioners!</p>	Yousif Yousif	2021-10-25 14:04:28+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	<p>As a life long Genesee County resident I'm disappointed that the city of Fenton has been cut off. We don't belong in the district with Ypsilanti.</p>	Mary Whaley	2021-10-25 13:19:16+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike	The separation of Oxford and Lake Orion does not make sense, in other maps they are included in larger areas. L.O. is also referred to as "Lower Oxford" as our communities, enjoy a friendly rivalry and many citizens travel between the two.	steven sioma	2021-10-25 13:01:52+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Like	I appreciate keeping Clarkston, Oxford, Lake Orion (or "Lower Oxford" as we call it heh) and Waterford together and distinct from Pontiac. The small town, suburban and even semi-rural nature of these zones share a similar community and interests.	steven sioma	2021-10-25 12:58:07+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Dislike	Fenton and Linden and Holly share Lakes, the Shiawassee River and long associations. Why would Fenton be cut out like this?	Mary Whaley	2021-10-25 12:56:32+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	As a lifelong resident of Genesee County I am disappointed at being redistricted onto Livingston County.	Mary Whaley	2021-10-25 12:49:48+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>As a life long resident of Genesee County I am disappointed to be added to the county south of me. I am wondering where my comments are going? I have tried multiple times to challenge these maps on the basis of splitting a county - which is one of the criteria explicitly stated in the Constitutional Amendment. I happen to be a Midland County Commissioner in District 7 which is 100% in the city. Having said that, almost 95% of what I do as a commissioner impacts the county as a whole - including advocating for grant money, ARPA money, and delivery of mandated COUNTYWIDE SERVICES</p>	Mary Whaley	2021-10-25 12:45:25+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>keep the county whole!  <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/69495">https://districtr.org/plan/69495</a>. I updated the map here with the lowest population deviation out of the 4 proposed maps.</p>	Scott Noesen	2021-10-25 12:15:18+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion		Yousif Yousif	2021-10-25 05:27:09+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Opinion	<p><a href="https://districtr.org/plan/694">https://districtr.org/plan/694</a> 95. I updated the map here with the lowest population deviation out of the 4 proposed maps.</p>	Yousif Yousif	2021-10-25 05:26:41+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Opinion	<p><a href="https://districtr.org/plan/694">https://districtr.org/plan/694</a> 95. I updated the map here with the lowest population deviation out of the 4 proposed maps.</p>	Yousif Yousif	2021-10-25 05:26:05+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	<p><a href="https://districtr.org/plan/694">https://districtr.org/plan/694</a> 95. I updated the map here with the lowest population deviation out of the 4 proposed maps.</p>	Yousif Yousif	2021-10-25 05:25:46+00

Please look at this map.  
<https://distrctr.org/plan/694>  
80. I based it on Mr. Anthony  
Eid's Juniper map.

This one gives Chaldeans a  
voice in suburbs of Detroit.  
We are around 80,000-  
100,000 in my proposed  
district 6 of the map I shared.  
It also gives Asians 10.8%  
population share, the highest  
out of the 4 proposed maps.  
In District 3 it gives blacks  
22.8% which is the highest of  
District 3 out of the 4  
proposed maps. Please  
consider giving Chaldeans a  
voice in one district. Thank  
you commissioners for your  
hard work!

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Opinion

Yousif Yousif

2021-10-25 04:43:10+00

Please look at this map.  
<https://distrctr.org/plan/694>  
80. I based it on Mr. Anthony  
Eid's Juniper map.

This one gives Chaldeans a  
voice in suburbs of Detroit.  
We are around 80,000-  
100,000 in my proposed  
district 6 of the map I shared.  
It also gives Asians 10.8%  
population share, the highest  
out of the 4 proposed maps.  
In District 3 it gives blacks  
22.8% which is the highest of  
District 3 out of the 4  
proposed maps. Please  
consider giving Chaldeans a  
voice in one district. Thank  
you commissioners for your  
hard work!

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Opinion

Yousif Yousif

2021-10-25 04:42:52+00

Please look at this map.  
<https://distrctr.org/plan/694>  
80. I based it on Mr. Anthony  
Eid's Juniper map.

This one gives Chaldeans a  
voice in suburbs of Detroit.  
We are around 80,000-  
100,000 in my proposed  
district 6 of the map I shared.  
It also gives Asians 10.8%  
population share, the highest  
out of the 4 proposed maps.  
In District 3 it gives blacks  
22.8% which is the highest of  
District 3 out of the 4  
proposed maps. Please  
consider giving Chaldeans a  
voice in one district. Thank  
you commissioners for your  
hard work!

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Opinion

Yousif Yousif

2021-10-25 04:42:29+00

Please look at this map.  
<https://distrctr.org/plan/694>  
80. I based it on Mr. Anthony  
Eid's Juniper map.

This one gives Chaldeans a  
voice in suburbs of Detroit.  
We are around 80,000-  
100,000 in my proposed  
district 6 of the map I shared.  
It also gives Asians 10.8%  
population share, the highest  
out of the 4 proposed maps.  
In District 3 it gives blacks  
22.8% which is the highest of  
District 3 out of the 4  
proposed maps. Please  
consider giving Chaldeans a  
voice in one district. Thank  
you commissioners for your  
hard work!

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Opinion

Yousif Yousif

2021-10-25 04:42:07+00

Please look at this map.  
<https://distrctr.org/plan/694>  
80. I based it on Mr. Anthony  
Eid's Juniper map.

This one gives Chaldeans a  
voice in suburbs of Detroit.  
We are around 80,000-  
100,000 in my proposed  
district 6 of the map I shared.  
It also gives Asians 10.8%  
population share, the highest  
out of the 4 proposed maps.  
In District 3 it gives blacks  
22.8% which is the highest of  
District 3 out of the 4  
proposed maps. Please  
consider giving Chaldeans a  
voice in one district. Thank  
you commissioners for your  
hard work!

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Opinion

Yousif Yousif

2021-10-25 04:40:58+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

Use geography to create  
districts not gerrymandering.

Merlin Steffes

2021-10-25 04:20:13+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

I like this district; it is the only  
swing district in Northern  
Michigan and the area  
addresses common interests:  
fruit farming, tourism,  
education. Good job!

Barbara A Conley

2021-10-25 02:21:47+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike	<p>This map combines coastal NW lower MI with the eastern UP but includes more inland areas than AFL Fair Maps. AFL Fair Maps combines coastal tourist and fruit farming and less large scale farming (found inland); Also the AFL fair maps is less partisan biased so is preferable.</p>	Barbara A Conley	2021-10-25 02:16:47+00
	<p>Please consider the need for majority minority communities when you are analyzing maps. It is important to increase, not decrease minority voting strength for stronger representation in government.</p>		
	<p>Asking for you to not split minority communities.It will make it harder to elect representative candidates.</p>		
	<p>The mission of the Voting Rights Act in 1965 was to insure voting rights for all citizens. Map territories should empower communities to vote for representation for themselves.</p>	Lori M. Ballard	2021-10-25 02:01:30+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like			

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like	<p>Thank you for your thoughtful attention toward creating a district that fairly and accurately assures representation of all citizens.</p>	Nikita Murry	2021-10-25 01:54:49+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO fDislike	<p>This is a terrible proposal for district 40 - the northern Monroe County area including Carleton has no commonality with the city of Taylor. Please consider the need for majority minority communities when you are analyzing maps. It is important to increase, not decrease minority voting strength for stronger representation in government.</p> <p>Asking for you to not split minority communities. It will make it harder to elect representative candidates.</p> <p>The mission of the Voting Rights Act in 1965 was to insure voting rights for all citizens. Map territories should empower communities to vote for representation for themselves.</p>	Thomas Heck	2021-10-25 01:39:00+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC Like	<p>themselves.</p>	Lori M. Ballard	2021-10-25 01:35:20+00

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Like	<p>This is a fair proposal for District 8 - keeping the southern counties together that consist of agricultural areas, rural communities, some recreational areas, and a few small to mid-size residential areas.</p>	Tom Heck	2021-10-25 01:34:31+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>Farmington and Farmington Hills should continue to be united since they share many amenities such as library, post office, etc.</p> <p>Farmington Hills should not be in District 11. It should be in District 18 with West Bloomfield and Waterford.</p> <p>Farmington and Farmington Hills should be united in a district. We share a school district and library system and many community endeavors.</p> <p>Milford and Commerce should be put in District 11 instead.</p>	Lori M. Ballard	2021-10-25 01:33:47+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Troy should be grouped with nearby Oakland County communities. Troy should not be combined with communities far to the east in Macomb County.</p>	Lori M. Ballard	2021-10-25 01:21:34+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>Macomb County.</p>	Elizabeth Harris	2021-10-25 00:55:09+00

Why after many, many displeased comments on all the previous maps (going back to the beginning of the drawing of this district), have you not corrected these egregious districts that serve to dilute the voices of Pontiac voters and other communities as well? The residents of these communities will not accept the "it's too much work" answer. Commenters from Lake Orion, Oxford, Clarkston, Independence Township, Waterford, Auburn Hills, Bloomfield and Pontiac have all stated that these districts do not preserve COIs and do not represent the communities involved in any way. Fix this!

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-25 00:16:38+00

Why after many, many displeased comments on all the previous maps (going back to the beginning of the drawing of this district), have you not corrected these egregious districts that serve to dilute the voices of Pontiac voters and other communities as well? The residents of these communities will not accept the "it's too much work" answer. Commenters from Lake Orion, Oxford, Clarkston, Independence Township, Waterford, Auburn Hills, Bloomfield and Pontiac have all stated that these districts do not preserve COIs and do not represent the communities involved in any way. Fix this!

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-25 00:15:33+00

Why after many, many displeased comments on all the previous maps, have you not corrected these egregious districts that serve to dilute the voices of Pontiac voters and other communities as well? The residents of these communities will not accept the "it's too much work" answer. Commenters from Lake Orion, Oxford, Clarkston, Independence Township, Waterford, Auburn Hills, Bloomfield and Pontiac have all stated that these districts do not preserve COIs and do not represent the communities involved in any way. Fix this!

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-25 00:13:49+00

Why after many, many displeased comments on all the previous maps, have you not corrected these egregious districts that serve to dilute the voices of Pontiac voters and other communities as well? The residents of these communities will not accept the "it's too much work" answer. Commenters from Lake Orion, Oxford, Clarkston, Independence Township, Waterford, Auburn Hills, Bloomfield and Pontiac have all stated that these districts do not preserve COIs and do not represent the communities involved in any way. Fix this!

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-25 00:12:50+00

Why after many, many displeased comments on all the previous maps, have you not corrected these egregious districts that serve to dilute the voices of Pontiac voters and other communities as well? The residents of these communities will not accept the "it's too much work" answer. Commenters from Lake Orion, Oxford, Clarkston, Independence Township, Waterford, Auburn Hills, Bloomfield and Pontiac have all stated that these districts do not preserve COIs and do not represent the communities involved in any way. Fix this!

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-25 00:11:58+00

Why after many, many displeased comments on all the previous maps, have you not corrected these egregious districts that serve to dilute the voices of Pontiac voters and other communities as well? The residents of these communities will not accept the "it's too much work" answer. Commenters from Lake Orion, Oxford, Clarkston, Independence Township, Waterford, Auburn Hills, Bloomfield and Pontiac have all stated that these districts do not preserve COIs and do not represent the communities involved in any way. Fix this!

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-25 00:11:08+00

Why after many, many displeased comments on all the previous maps, have you not corrected these egregious districts that serve to dilute the voices of Pontiac voters and other communities as well? The residents of these communities will not accept the "it's too much work" answer. Commenters from Lake Orion, Oxford, Clarkston, Independence Township, Waterford, Auburn Hills, Bloomfield and Pontiac have all stated that these districts do not preserve COIs and do not represent the communities involved in any way. Fix this!

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-25 00:10:13+00

Why after many, many displeased comments on all the previous maps, have you not corrected these egregious districts that serve to dilute the voices of Pontiac voters and other communities as well? The residents of these communities will not accept the "it's too much work" answer. Commenters from Lake Orion, Oxford, Clarkston, Independence Township, Waterford, Auburn Hills, Bloomfield and Pontiac have all stated that these districts do not preserve COIs and do not represent the communities involved in any way. Fix this!

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-25 00:09:21+00

Why after many, many displeased comments on all the previous maps, have you not corrected these egregious districts that serve to dilute the voices of Pontiac voters and other communities as well? The residents of these communities will not accept the "it's too much work" answer. Commenters from Lake Orion, Oxford, Clarkston, Independence Township, Waterford, Auburn Hills, Bloomfield and Pontiac have all stated that these districts do not preserve COIs and do not represent the communities involved in any way. Fix this!

10-06-2021 HD v1 RA Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-25 00:07:43+00

Why after many, many displeased comments on all the previous maps, have you not corrected these egregious districts that serve to dilute the voices of Pontiac voters and other communities as well? The residents of these communities will not accept the "it's too much work" answer. Commenters from Lake Orion, Oxford, Clarkston, Independence Township, Waterford, Auburn Hills, Bloomfield and Pontiac have all stated that these districts do not preserve COIs and do not represent the communities involved in any way. Fix this!

10-06-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-25 00:06:50+00

Why after many, many displeased comments on all the previous maps, have you not corrected these egregious districts that serve to dilute the voices of Pontiac voters and other communities as well? The residents of these communities will not accept the "it's too much work" answer. Commenters from Lake Orion, Oxford, Clarkston, Independence Township, Waterford, Auburn Hills, Bloomfield and Pontiac have all stated that these districts do not preserve COIs and do not represent the communities involved in any way. Fix this!

10-06-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-25 00:06:04+00

Why after many, many displeased comments on all the previous maps, have you not corrected these egregious districts that serve to dilute the voices of Pontiac voters and other communities as well? The residents of these communities will not accept the "it's too much work" answer. Commenters from Lake Orion, Oxford, Clarkston, Independence Township, Waterford, Auburn Hills, Bloomfield and Pontiac have all stated that these districts do not preserve COIs and do not represent the communities involved in any way. Fix this!

10-06-21 v4 HD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-25 00:05:09+00

Why after many, many displeased comments on all the previous maps, have you not corrected these egregious districts that serve to dilute the voices of Pontiac voters and other communities as well? The residents of these communities will not accept the "it's too much work" answer. Commenters from Lake Orion, Oxford, Clarkston, Independence Township, Waterford, Auburn Hills, Bloomfield and Pontiac have all stated that these districts do not preserve COIs and do not represent the communities involved in any way. Fix this!

10-06-21 v5 HD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-25 00:03:30+00

Why after a myriad of comments on all the previous maps, have you not corrected these egregious districts that serve to dilute the voices of Pontiac voters and other communities as well? Commenters from Lake Orion, Oxford, Clarkston, Independence Township, Waterford, Auburn Hills, Bloomfield and Pontiac have all stated that these districts do not preserve COIs and do not represent the communities involved in any way. Fix this!

10-06-21 v6 HD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-24 23:59:59+00

I agree with the other commenters. Pontiac should be in a district with its COIs of Auburn Hills, Lake Angelus, Sylvan Lake and Bloomfield. Lake Orion should be in a district with its COIs of Oxford, Brandon and Leonard. Waterford belongs with its COIs Independence Township and Clarkston. This is unfair to all communities involved and does nothing to ensure that they will have adequate and effective representation.

9-30-21 HD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-24 23:55:11+00

Farmington and Farmington Hills combined makes a state House district. Farmington and Farmington Hills should be united in a district. We share a school district and library system and many community endeavors.

Farmington Hills should not be split in two.

Farmington Hills has a lot more in common with Farmington than Southfield.

Farmington currently is drawn into Detroit (Wayne County).

I concur with the other commenters, Districts 30 & 39 are grossly gerrymandered. Pontiac should be in a district with its COIs of Auburn Hills, Lake Angelus, Sylvan Lake and Bloomfield. Oxford and Orion belong with the Brandon/Ortonville, Leonard area. Waterford, Clarkston and Independence Township are a COI. This is a disgrace; fix this!

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Lori M. Ballard

2021-10-24 23:53:56+00

9-29-21 RAS v2 HD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-24 23:49:15+00

I agree with the other commenter. Pontiac has a COI with Auburn Hills (schools, both are manufacturing related economies, social interests and other concerns). To include a random portion of the southern Lakes district in Waterford is ridiculous. There is no COI and very few if any other shared concerns (Waterford is a Township form of government and does not handle its own roads (Pontiac is City), it has its own police department (Pontiac uses County Sheriff), Waterford has its own water system (Pontiac uses GLAA, most Waterford students go to school in Waterford, a large portion of Pontiac students go to school in West Boomfield)). There is no logical reason for this pairing and it is unfair to all; due to the disparate nature of the communities and their needs

9-28-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-24 23:44:28+00

This district dilutes both the voices of Pontiac voters and voters from Waterford. This is not necessary; the district was set and met VRA, population and COI standards when it was in a district with the Bloomfield/Auburn Hills area with whom Pontiac has COIs. Fix this! Pontiac and Waterford residents deserve better from this Commission. Lisa

9-27-21 v1 HD

Dislike

2021-10-24 23:36:18+00

This map is atrocious. Why would you remove Pontiac's COIs of Auburn Hills and Bloomfield Hills, nor include Sylvan Lake and Lake Angelus with whom they share school districts or even West Bloomfield where a large portion of the Pontiac children go to school, but instead include the southern Lakes portion of Waterford? These areas do not have a COI and have disparate social and economic interests. This is unfair to the residents of Pontiac and dilutes their voices. It is also unfair to the residents of Waterford who have their community unnecessarily divided. Hazel Park should be included with Ferndale. I agree with many comments regarding Farmington and Farmington Hills. These two communities should be kept together. We share a school system, library and many cultural events. Thanks!

9-24-21 v1 HD	Dislike		Lisa	2021-10-24 23:32:16+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike		Marie Colombo	2021-10-24 23:24:49+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike		Barbara Williamson	2021-10-24 22:03:54+00

commenting on the  
"Szetela State house  
plan" for district 56 which  
includes parts of Gratiot,  
Saginaw, Shiawassee and  
Genesee

You have already split up  
Gratiot County and drew the  
balance with rural and  
suburban areas of four  
different counties although  
not happy with it it is  
acceptable. Now this plan  
takes all the rural parts of  
district 56 removes the  
suburban parts of the district  
and with blatant political  
gerrymandering breaks up the  
work of commissioner Curry  
of Detroit, splitting up the city  
of Saginaw into two districts,  
a violation of the 1965 voting  
rights act. Please do not allow  
this to go forward changing  
the hard work of  
Commissioner Curry of  
Detroit.

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Chuck Murphy

2021-10-24 20:55:00+00

District 5 makes no sense it is  
way to wide and poor  
representation area, District  
10 has a districted carved out  
right of the middle but why?

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

Nick Page

2021-10-24 20:43:19+00

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	<p>This cannot possibly benefit the residents of the township of Waterloo. The Representative can't do a fair job for all.</p>	Linda Tengman	2021-10-24 20:14:48+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>I like this proposed House map. The Bay and Midland areas have so much in common and so many shared interests. As you work on the final maps, please keep partisan fairness in mind, so voters get to pick their elected officials and not the other way around.</p>	GLORIA WOODS	2021-10-24 19:42:57+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	<p>This is a good state Senate map for our area. I appreciate the Commission's recognition of the Tri-Cities and Tri-Counties (Bay, Midland, Saginaw) as communities of interest with many common concerns in employment, health, and environmental issues. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps, keeping them as close to Michigan's 54% Democratic lean as possible.</p>	GLORIA WOODS	2021-10-24 19:33:08+00

10-11-21	Eid v5 CD	Like	Map 233 is a very good map for our CD. It keeps the Tri-Cities/Flint COI together, includes Bay, Saginaw and Genesee counties intact and keeps most of Midland county also, while moving Tuscola county into a District that better fits its needs. It's so good to see you continuing to work for fairness and putting that and COIs first. Thank you!	GLORIA WOODS	2021-10-24 19:06:30+00
10-12-21	EID v6 CD	Like	This is the best congressional map I have seen for our area. It respects the Mid-Michigan COI (Tri-Cities and Flint), places Tuscola County in District 10 where it belongs, keeps Bay, Saginaw, Genesee counties in their entirety, and includes most of Midland County. Well done! Please continue to make partisan fairness a priority by making sure the maps reflect the actual Dem/Republican vote share of the state. Thank you!	GLORIA WOODS	2021-10-24 18:54:17+00
10-08-21	v1 HD RAS	Dislike	don't do that.	Stephen Case	2021-10-24 18:51:18+00
10-08-21	v1 CD RAS	Like	I like the Map!	Lisa Solis	2021-10-24 18:50:07+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Milford and other communities in southwest Oakland County have nothing that connects them to the Thumb area. Although this map keeps Bay City, Midland and Saginaw together it leaves out Flint, a vital member of our COI. There are only 4 urban/suburban cities in the Mid-Michigan area so why leave Flint out? This maps also disempowers the voices of minority/BIPOC people in our COI. Unacceptable. Please continue the Commission's work towards fairly drawn districts.	William Asher	2021-10-24 18:47:15+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	Southwest Oakland County shouldn't be group with Thumb communities. It is a three hour drive from Milford to Port Austin, and I don't think they have much that connects them.	GLORIA WOODS	2021-10-24 18:46:18+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	This map has split Oakland County in ways that are not consistent with any of our communities of interest	William Asher	2021-10-24 18:45:10+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike		William Asher	2021-10-24 18:43:36+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	District 3 on this map is entirely within Oakland County, which ensures that this part of the county will have accurate and responsive representations.	William Asher	2021-10-24 18:41:46+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Including Southfield with parts of Detroit makes sense, as they have much in common. This also contributes to Voting Rights Act compliance.	William Asher	2021-10-24 18:40:37+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	Southfield should be grouped with portions of Detroit. That will improve its Voting Right Act compliance. It has more in common with Detroit than parts of northern Oakland County.	William Asher	2021-10-24 18:36:55+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This maps keeps western Oakland County connected with Oakland County along I-96 and I-696, and not into the Thumb, thus preserving a community of interest.	William Asher	2021-10-24 18:35:05+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This map links Beverly Hills, Bloomfield, Bloomfield Twp, and Birmingham together.	William Asher	2021-10-24 18:33:45+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Thanks! It's good to connect Troy, Rochester Hills, Rochester, Clawson, Royal Oak and neighboring communities.	William Asher	2021-10-24 18:31:50+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>This is a good map of our proposed Congressional District as it includes the Tri-Cities and Flint, urban and suburban areas. However, I would like to see more of Midland County in our CD so our community of interest is more complete. I like map 237 for this. Please continue to work for partisan fairness as you complete your task. Thanks for your commitment to get it right!</p>	GLORIA WOODS	2021-10-24 17:59:33+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>This map is Gerrymandered and unfair, giving a huge majority of seats to the minority party! Look at the Promote the Vote maps for the State House. They achieved all 7 criteria and make them fair!</p>	Debbie Rosenman	2021-10-24 17:21:57+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>This map is unfair and gives the majority of seats to the minority party.</p>	Debbie Rosenman	2021-10-24 17:19:37+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	<p>This map has 5 Voting Rights Act districts, the same as current. Most good maps get to at least 6 but Promote the Vote has 7.</p>	Debbie Rosenman	2021-10-24 17:17:51+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	The Southeast Michigan map needs a lot of work, nobody who lives here would think this is good. Troy and Rochester are clearly Oakland County cities with large Indian and Asian communities.	Debbie Rosenman	2021-10-24 17:15:45+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	This Map splits Troy and Rochester's large Asian communities from their neighbors in Bloomfield, Farmington Hills, and Novi. Birmingham does not belong in a district with Macomb County, this makes no sense.	Debbie Rosenman	2021-10-24 17:13:40+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	This congressional district is completely contained within Oakland County, thereby ensuring the county has accurate and responsive representation.	Debbie Rosenman	2021-10-24 17:11:12+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	Central Oakland County includes Pontiac and Waterford should be kept whole.	Debbie Rosenman	2021-10-24 17:06:42+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This map best represents our communities of interest in Calhoun County.	Kamps Ginger	2021-10-24 16:42:46+00

10-08-21 v1 HD Dislike This map does not achieve a fair map with as close to zero political bias as possible. I would suggest you create the Midland House District like it is drawn in the Pine map (#227). This will help to create a map with more partisan fairness for the entire state. Please keep in mind the voters voted yes to Prop 2 and need fair representation in their districts. Partisan fairness is the whole point of redistricting and MI is 54% democratic vote. Thank you. Julie A Ortiz 2021-10-24 16:07:15+00

10-08-21 v2 HD Like This map gives Midland and Bay City, two cities that have been closely aligned for a long time, a competitive district where a representative works for the voters and brings the overall map closer to a zero political bias, but please continue to correct the Republican lean. Michigan is a 54% Democratic state and its districts should reflect that. Julie A Ortiz 2021-10-24 16:02:46+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like I like this map with representation of both Midland and Bay City together. Please continue to work on partisan fairness as Michigan is a 54% Democratic state and its districts should reflect that. Thank you for your hard work! Julie A Ortiz 2021-10-24 15:58:08+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Like I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City & Saginaw) in a senate district as they have been a long-standing community of interest within the Great Lakes Bay Region. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters as this is the whole point of the redistricting commission. Thank you for all your efforts! Julie A Ortiz 2021-10-24 15:49:13+00

I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City & Saginaw) in a senate district as they have been a long-standing community of interest with many common concerns. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters-This is why the voters passed proposal 2.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Like

Julie A Ortiz

2021-10-24 15:46:04+00

Thank you for keeping the tri-cities together as part of the Great Lakes Bay Region. Please work on partisan fairness because the outcomes of the map should reflect the political make-up of the state. This will give the voters a more competitive district and a better representative. This is what the voters wanted when they passed Proposal 2.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like

Julie A Ortiz

2021-10-24 15:43:12+00

I like the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. I would like to see more of Midland County in this district like Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We appreciate the power of the vote being restored and need a more level playing field.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Thank you for your work!

Julie A Ortiz

2021-10-24 15:36:52+00

I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. I would like to see more of Midland County in this district like Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Like

Julie A Ortiz

2021-10-24 15:31:27+00

I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. I would like to see more of Midland County in this district like Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Thank you for your efforts!

Julie A Ortiz

2021-10-24 15:28:43+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Opinion	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. I would like to see more of Midland County in this district like Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.</p>	Julie A Ortiz	2021-10-24 15:20:44+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Opinion	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. I would like to see more of Midland County in this district like Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.</p>	Julie A Ortiz	2021-10-24 15:17:02+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Like The proposed Elm district is good. It keeps East English Village, Morningside, and Mecca neighborhoods together. The proposed Cherry would divide East English Village into two districts. I strongly urge our vibrant community NOT be split up into two districts. Elizabeth A Greenhow 2021-10-24 13:36:08+00

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Like Proposed districts 66, 68, and 69 are clearly attempting to split the heavily democratic voting population of Ann Arbor into rural areas to move these districts all into a Democratic majority. This is obvious Gerrymandering attempting to benefit the Democrat party and needs to be undone. How can one Representative adequately represent the interests of residents in the inner city of Ann Arbor and also represent the interests of citizens in heavily rural areas. Please undo this obvious Gerrymandering. Thank you Sharon Houck 2021-10-24 12:45:28+00

in your redrawing of the state House maps, you have made three very safe Democratic districts and three Republican districts, despite the fact that we are overwhelmingly Democratic. Most of the Democratic voters are now packed in our region in three districts. Where is the partisan fairness here? The region is gerrymandered worse than with the 2011 maps. In your current drawing of the state House maps, there are NO competitive House districts in the Greater Lansing Region. This is one reason, and an important one, why when you look at your state House maps across Michigan, they are still not meeting criteria 4 (partisan fairness).

Greater partisan fairness, with more competitive House districts within the Great Lansing Region can be

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Igor Vojnovic

2021-10-24 11:31:26+00

in your redrawing of the state House maps, you have made three very safe Democratic districts and three Republican districts, despite the fact that we are overwhelmingly Democratic. Most of the Democratic voters are now packed in our region in three districts. Where is the partisan fairness here? The region is gerrymandered worse than with the 2011 maps. In your current drawing of the state House maps, there are NO competitive House districts in the Greater Lansing Region. This is one reason, and an important one, why when you look at your state House maps across Michigan, they are still not meeting criteria 4 (partisan fairness).

Greater partisan fairness, with more competitive House districts within the Great Lansing Region can be

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Igor Vojnovic

2021-10-24 11:29:49+00

in your redrawing of the state House maps, you have made three very safe Democratic districts and three Republican districts, despite the fact that we are overwhelmingly Democratic. Most of the Democratic voters are now packed in our region in three districts. Where is the partisan fairness here? The region is gerrymandered worse than with the 2011 maps. In your current drawing of the state House maps, there are NO competitive House districts in the Greater Lansing Region. This is one reason, and an important one, why when you look at your state House maps across Michigan, they are still not meeting criteria 4 (partisan fairness).

Greater partisan fairness, with more competitive House districts within the Great Lansing Region can be

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Igor Vojnovic

2021-10-24 11:26:36+00

There seems to be an assumption that all the border counties have similar issues whether they are in west Michigan or East Michigan. This is simply not true!! We in Southwest Michigan are part of WEST Michigan; our interests, economies, history, education, economy, demographics--are different than those in the eastern part of the state. As part of West Michigan, we drive to the west and to the North for the routine of our daily lives --and weekend recreation. Please cut this district in two vertically and let West and East have their separate representation.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Naomi Ludman

2021-10-24 06:13:17+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	<p>Please, please, we in the South WEST corner of the state do not have anything in common with those in the EAST when it comes to political representation. Cass County belongs with counties to the WEST and North, which also belong to WESTERN Michigan. Please keep Eastern counties in separate congressional districts from Western counties. This map seems to be based on the assumption that because we are all along the state border, we are alike. NO. We are not. Please adjust these maps to put Western Counties together. I am sure the Eastern counties would prefer their own representation also.</p> <p>Naomi Ludman</p>	2021-10-24 06:03:54+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>Calhoun County's cultural, social and financial interests reside along the I94 corridor. We have very little in common with the southern counties. This is a bad map</p> <p>Diane Cloutier</p>	2021-10-24 05:48:01+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Calhoun County's cultural, social and financial interests reside along the I94 corridor. We have very little in common with the southern counties. This is a bad map	Diane Cloutier	2021-10-24 05:46:54+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Calhoun County's cultural, social and financial interests reside along the I94 corridor. We have very little in common with the southern counties. This is a bad map	Diane Cloutier	2021-10-24 05:44:57+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Calhoun County's cultural, social and financial interests reside along the 194 corridor. We have very little in common with the southern counties. This is a bad map	Diane Cloutier	2021-10-24 05:43:17+00

Please keep all of Cass County in one House District. Placing Cass County's only city in proposed district 64 and the rest of Cass County in proposed district 81, just doesn't make any sense. It's really important to keep all of the Pokagon Band's housing and administrative facilities in one county to be represented by one elected official. The Band's elder housing is now in proposed district 81, while the Four Winds Casino and the tribe's administrative complex are in proposed district 64. They should be kept together and represented by the same elected official in the Michigan Legislature. Please keep ALL of Cass County in one house district. Thank you.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Naomi Ludman

2021-10-24 05:38:36+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>Dowagiac is Cass County's only city and helps to anchor the county. Please, it MUST be put in proposed district 81 along with the rest of Cass County. The city cannot be separated from Southwestern Michigan College--the two are integrally related historically, economically, and educationally. Dowagiac must be represented by the same person as the rest of Cass County. Cass County must be kept whole.</p>	Naomi Ludman	2021-10-24 05:26:10+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	<p>Linking people who live in Calhoun and Kalamazoo Counties makes sense. The financial and cultural interest between these counties is well established.</p>	Diane Cloutier	2021-10-24 05:16:12+00

This map has a glaring problem. It takes the city of Dowagiac and rips it out of Cass County. Dowagiac is the only city in Cass County--it anchors the county and is integral to the county. The city of Dowagiac has municipal, social, cultural, and recreational ties to the whole county. The city helps to administer grants for the county commission and Dowagiac has a commissioner on the County Board of Commissioners. The Cass County Council on Aging has two locations--one in Cassopolis and one in Dowagiac. Southwestern Michigan College is in the county and has historical, economic and educational ties to the city of Dowagiac. Dowagiac high school students attend SMC as dual enrolled students. Dowagiac is in proposed District 81 and the College along with the

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Naomi Ludman

2021-10-24 05:13:34+00

Please consider the need for majority minority communities when you are analyzing maps. It is important to increase, not decrease minority voting strength for stronger representation in government.

Asking for you to not split minority communities. It will make it harder to elect representative candidates.

The mission of the Voting Rights Act in 1965 was to insure voting rights for all citizens. Map territories should empower communities to vote for representation for themselves.

Please consider the need for majority minority communities when you are analyzing maps. It is important to increase, not decrease minority voting strength for stronger representation in government.

Asking for you to not split minority communities. It will make it harder to elect representative candidates.

The mission of the Voting Rights Act in 1965 was to insure voting rights for all citizens. Map territories should empower communities to vote for representation for themselves.

Please consider the need for majority minority communities when you are analyzing maps. It is important to increase, not decrease minority voting strength for stronger representation in government.

Asking for you to not split minority communities. It will make it harder to elect representative candidates.

The mission of the Voting Rights Act in 1965 was to insure voting rights for all citizens. Map territories should empower communities to vote for representation for themselves.

Please consider the need for majority minority communities when you are analyzing maps. It is important to increase, not decrease minority voting strength for stronger representation in government.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Asking for you to not split minority communities. It will make it harder to elect representative candidates. Farmington Hills should not be in District 11. It should be in District 18 with West Bloomfield and Waterford.	Ardeshir Irani	2021-10-24 00:21:13+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Farmington and Farmington Hills should be united in a district. We share a school district and library system and many community endeavors.	Ardeshir Irani	2021-10-24 00:18:05+00

Farmington and Farmington Hills combined makes a state House district. Farmington and Farmington Hills should be united in a district. We share a school district and library system and many community endeavors.

Farmington Hills should not be split in two.

Farmington Hills has a lot more in common with Farmington than Southfield.

Farmington currently is drawn into Detroit (Wayne County).

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Ardeshir Irani

2021-10-24 00:15:52+00

working hard to ensure that all Michiganders have fair representation and to make racial gerrymandering a thing of the past. However, all of your draft maps accept the questionable premise that majority-minority districts can be reduced to 40% minority and still have a fair chance to elect minority representation. In fact, out of 148 total seats in Michigan's House and Senate, only three majority-white districts are represented by Black legislators. In addition, Section 2 of the voting rights act requires that at least the same number of minority opportunity districts in a previous redistricting plan be drawn in a new redistricting plan. Please adjust this map to align with map o6250 which provides better minority opportunity for Detroit districts.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Margaret Schankler

2021-10-24 00:09:49+00

working hard to ensure that all Michiganders have fair representation and to make racial gerrymandering a thing of the past. However, all of your draft maps accept the questionable premise that majority-minority districts can be reduced to 40% minority and still have a fair chance to elect minority representation. In fact, out of 148 total seats in Michigan's House and Senate, only three majority-white districts are represented by Black legislators. In addition, Section 2 of the voting rights act requires that at least the same number of minority opportunity districts in a previous redistricting plan be drawn in a new redistricting plan. Please adjust this map to align with map o6250 which provides better minority opportunity for Detroit districts.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Margaret Schankler

2021-10-24 00:08:10+00

This Cherry map is the best senate map overall. However, this map over-corrects for the packed majority-minority districts of Detroit. Please look at map o 6159 to create Detroit area districts that are closer to majority-minority.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Margaret Schankler

2021-10-24 00:05:11+00

This map over corrects for the packed majority-minority districts of Detroit. I believe this commission is working hard to ensure that all Michiganders have fair representation and to make racial gerrymandering a thing of the past. However, all of your draft maps accept the questionable premise that majority-minority districts can be reduced to 40% minority and still have a fair chance to elect minority representation. In fact, out of 148 total seats in Michigan's House and Senate, only three majority-white districts are represented by Black legislators. In addition, Section 2 of the voting rights act requires that at least the same number of minority opportunity districts in a previous redistricting plan be drawn in a new redistricting plan. Please revise the Detroit Senate districts as presented. Please add Caledonia Township to district 4. All of our business and social dealings are with the areas north and west of us. Nothing is south and east.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Margaret Schankler

2021-10-23 23:58:25+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Opinion

Kim Gates

2021-10-23 23:43:24+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	This map connects Muskegon and Grand Rapids which both have a higher density of minority populations who share similar issues.	Kim Gates	2021-10-23 23:41:28+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	Thank you for your obvious efforts to achieve partisan fairness.	Kim Gates	2021-10-23 23:39:15+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	The communities of Farmington and Farmington Hills need to be together. They share one school district, one library system and other community events.	Terry Klenczar	2021-10-23 22:57:57+00

As a Royal Oak resident who travels in the area, these are my routes and places I frequent. I understand Detroiters concerns on diluting their impact. On the other hand, I would like it if we worked together more. I feel that the 8 mile divide and divisiveness by Oakland's former Executive made it toxic. I would like to work together more as a region as things such as transportation, the environment and overall city design needs more cooperation.

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS Like

Lynne Muth

2021-10-23 22:48:19+00

Normally I would favor this map. But it doesn't contribute to partisan fairness which the voters who voted for the MICRC are looking for. I prefer Pine or Peach since their political bias is closer to zero.

10-08-21 v1 HD Dislike

Carol Heron

2021-10-23 21:26:58+00

This is the least bad State Senate Map drawn by the commission. It respects Ada/Forest Hills being included with Grand Rapids metro and Cascade to Wyoming is a fine compromise. I am unhappy with the inclusion of anything south of M6 being lumped in with the vast rural communities of Lowell, Hastings, and Charlotte. I don't know why the commission insists on including anything south of M6 like it's in the middle of the state far away from GR. We deserve representation with our community.

10-14-21 v1 SD RL

Opinion

Patrick Quist

2021-10-23 20:57:36+00

I like this map better than the ones that include Flint, but I think we need to include all of Midland County as well as Gladwin. Midland is focused on ESG and our future is to the North and West, but we do have a legacy with Bay City and Saginaw.

9-16-21 v2 CD

Dislike

John Michael Elsen

2021-10-23 20:29:52+00

9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	<p>This map does not represent the values or culture Midland has for ESG. We have a legacy with Bay City and Saginaw, but none with Flint. The future of Midland is to the North and West. Midland need to continue its focus on the environment and not have it diluted by communities to the South.</p>	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 20:25:19+00
9-20-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Although I like parts of the map as we do have a legacy with Bay City and Saginaw, we must include all and Midland County along with Gladwin. We share too much our commitment to ESG (Environment, Social, Governance) to be broken up. Midland has it future pointing to the North and South.</p> <p>This map represent Midlands values and commitment to ESG. We need to support out community and be a leader. We have a legacy with Bay City and Saginaw, but out future is to the North and West.</p>	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 20:20:01+00
9-20-21 v2 CD	Like		John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 20:12:49+00

9-20-21 V3 CD	Dislike	<p>This map does not represent Midlands commitment to ESG. We need to protect our environment and not have it be diluted. We have a legacy with Bay City and Saginaw, but not Flint. Midlands future is to the North and West as our values are closely aligned.</p>	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 20:08:41+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Like	<p>This is another good make and keeps Midlands focus on ESG. We will have a greater impact on improving the environment, social, and governance of the area. Midlands future is to the North and South.</p>	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 20:04:24+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>This is a great map as it focuses towards the future of Midland and our values of ESG. Midland is the gateway to the North and West. We hold legacy values with Bay City and Saginaw and not Flint. Our future is to the North and West.</p>	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 20:00:29+00

9-29-21	Eid v2a CD	Dislike	This map does not reflect Midlands values for ESG. We have a future to the North and West and we have a legacy with Bay City and Saginaw, but none with Flint. Our focus for ESG cannot be diluted by Flint.	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 19:57:00+00
9-29-21	Eid v2b CD	Like	This map satisfies Midlands commitment to ESG. We are a gateway to the North and West. We represent an area that aligns with the values and norms of the communities to the West. We have a legacy with Bay City and Saginaw but our future is to the North and West.	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 19:52:47+00
9-30-21	RAS revisions	Dislike	This map does not represent Midland commitment to ESG. We are developing a future to the North and West. We have a legacy with Bay City and Saginaw, but none with Flint.	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 19:49:25+00
10_3_21	RSzetela CD	Dislike	This map does not reflect Midlands goal for ESG. We are the gateway to the North and West and our growth is in that direction. We do have a legacy with Bay City and Saginaw, but not Flint.	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 19:46:19+00

10_2_	DustinWidjets	Like	This is a good map as it covers our future towards ESG. We have a legacy with Bay City and Saginaw, but not with Flint. Midlands future is to the North and West.	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 19:33:26+00
10-05-21 v1 CD		Dislike	This map does not represent our values towards ESG. It does not look towards the future for Midland which is North and West. We have a tradition with Saginaw and Bay City, but not Flint.	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 19:30:32+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW		Dislike	This map does not reflect the values of Midland. We must be focused on ESG, which mean the communities to the North and West are more aligned with our interests.	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 19:27:09+00
10-04-21 CD V3a AE		Dislike	This map does not reflect the values of Midland and the surrounding communities. Midland is growing to the North and West. We have a legacy with Bay City and Saginaw, but none with Flint. We must continue our focus on ESG.	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 19:23:42+00

10-04-21 CD V3b AE Like	<p>I like this map as it reflects the values of Midland and the surrounding communities. We have a legacy with Bay City and Saginaw, but we are growing more to the North and West. This map shows a strong correlation to the ESG (Environment, Social, Governance) values of Midland.</p>	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 19:19:17+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike	<p>This is another horrible map. Midland and its residents are more closely aligned with communities to the North and West. We have a legacy with Bay City and Saginaw but none with Flint. We need to consider ESG in making our choices.</p>	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 19:15:46+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE Dislike	<p>This map does not represent the values or future growth for Midland. It does not consider ESG for the future of Midland. We are growing more and more to the North and West. We still hold a tradition with Bay City and Saginaw, but none with Flint.</p>	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 19:12:17+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	<p>This map does not think about the future of Midland or the Environment in which we live. We have a traditional legacy with Bay City and Saginaw, but none with Flint. We need to consider ESG (Environment, Social and Governance) in our plans for the future.</p>	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 19:06:13+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>A horrible map. This grouping does not reflect the values of Midland nor its future development. We should be part of Midland county and we are developing in that direction. We should also consider the ESG of our community. We need to be part of the watershed that flows through our city.</p> <p>Another horrible map. It demonstrates a lack of understanding of the culture of Midland. Midland is a city run on the principle of ESG. Midland is developing to the North and West. Saginaw and Bay City are legacy communities but does not reflect the future of Midland and the surrounding</p>	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 19:02:24+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>communities.</p>	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 18:48:08+00

10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>This is another horrible map. We need to be associated with Midland county. We have too much at stake not to be. We have a traditional legacy with Saginaw and Bay City and none with Flint. We need to consider our responsibility with ESG and future development.</p>	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 18:42:20+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Like	<p>This map does not consider what is best for Midland. We are a community that looks to the North and West for development. We have an historical legacy with Bay City and Saginaw, but nothing with Flint. We must consider the environment and ESG of our community with the people to the North and West.</p>	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 18:36:28+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>This map does not reflect Midland and its culture. We are gateway to the North and West. Our development is in that direction. Many residents to the North and West are employed in Midland. Plus, Midland needs to work closely with Midland and Gladwin counties to protect out watershed.</p>	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 18:32:54+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	<p>A horrible map. It speaks nothing to the development and future prospects for Midland. Midland associates more with the values of those to the North and West. Bay City and Saginaw have legacy traditions with Midland, not Flint.</p>	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 18:20:59+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>I like this map. I have seen others that had my county, Muskegon mixed with Grand Rapids and going all the way over near Midland. That was ridiculous. This map keeps the lakeshore counties together. They are communities of interest. I would like to see them stay together.</p>	Timothy Cross	2021-10-23 18:18:38+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Like	<p>This is a good map as Midland is developing in a North, West path. There is less and less connection to the communities to the South. We need to protect our Watershed.</p>	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 18:14:46+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>This is a horrible map. I can understand the tri-cities, but there is little connection to Flint. Midland is developing North and West. We are closely connected to Midland county especially from an environmental and development point of view.</p> <p>I like District 53 in which Bay City and Midland are united in the same House district. This would give better representation to these cities that share so much. It is a more competitive and fair district, which is the goal of this process. Thank you for all your hard work!</p>	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 18:10:29+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		Cassandra M Foley	2021-10-23 18:04:13+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	<p>Midland is looking to the North and West for development. We have ties to Saginaw and Bay City, but the North and West is the future for the prosperity of Midland.</p>	John Michael Elsen	2021-10-23 18:01:29+00

Mr. Thrush, I like what you've done with Grand Rapids and surrounding communities in map 63361. The problem is, as I'm sure you know, you move one district, you are certain to move more. It might be interesting for you to finish that map just to see how what you've done affects other surrounding districts and beyond. The truth is, I'm not particularly fond of any of the six State House maps thus far. And, I understand Detroiters are less than pleased with what they've seen so far as well. There was a map out there before that I thought had potential but I don't see it among these six. So, Mr. Thrush, I'd be curious to see what you can do with the rest of Michigan. Who knows, the Commission might even take an interest. Note to the Commission: Please don't take my lack of enthusiasm

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	<p>This is not a fair map for District 13. What does Midland have in common with Ludington? Please go back to the maps that keep the Tri-Cities and Flint in one district. Please unite these communities of interest in a competitive district that will result in better representation for Mid-Michigan.</p> <p>Please do not dilute the interests of the Tri-Cities by including them with so many rural counties. Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw share many interests and industries with the Flint area. A map that keeps these mid-sized cities together, along with as much of their counties as possible, would achieve a more competitive district and much better representation for voters.</p>	Cassandra M Foley	2021-10-23 17:54:03+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	<p>The city of Kalamazoo could be divided into two districts. A west Kalamazoo and an east Kalamazoo districts. Different communities there which would give them both an opportunity to elect a rep that will work for them.</p>	Cassandra M Foley	2021-10-23 17:46:14+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion		Emily	2021-10-23 17:45:05+00

This map does not respect the natural communities of interest that exist in the Tri-Cities of Bay City, Saginaw, and Midland. This area is recognized as the Saginaw Valley, and the shared interests include an international airport (MBS), Delta College and Saginaw Valley State University, hospital systems, and cultural and entertainment engagement. In addition, many people commute among these three mid-sized cities for jobs at the variety of industries in the area. The Cherry map is a much better plan and creates a fair district. Cassandra M Foley

10-14-21 v1 SD RL Dislike

2021-10-23 17:34:57+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	I DO NOT agree with combining Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo. I have lived in both places. They are completely different. It is evident what the REAL purpose of this is. The majority of Republicans and Independents and one-third of Democrats do NOT believe Biden won (mainly due to the refusal to do a FORENSIC audit - if there is nothing to hide DO the forensic audit). Why would you further erode election integrity by skewing the map to guarantee a one-party rule for the future? And why are the Democrats wholeheartedly in favor of combining the two? Think before you act!	Debora Smythe	2021-10-23 17:23:01+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	This map is much more representative of the needs of Midland county and the community. It provides fair representation for the state	Katrina Bucknell	2021-10-23 17:22:43+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map allows like districts with shared interests an equal voice and representation in the state house. I agree with these lines	Katrina Bucknell	2021-10-23 16:53:11+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>This map doesn't reflect the needs of midland. Midland belongs with larger economic interests in more urban communities. We would not receive the representation we need with this map</p> <p>I absolutely will not approve this setup of combining Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo. These are two different cities. Everywhere you go, when someone is asking you where you are from, I would say from grand rapids, not Kalamazoo. There is an ulterior motive to combine these two cities. I am 100% against this!</p>	Katrina Bucknell	2021-10-23 16:42:40+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>Nancy</p> <p>Please ignore the comments of people who don't like the maps based on how other people vote. That is what gerrymandering is. Draw maps based upon geography. Michigan counties and townships are all squares. Just use those until you have the right number of residents.</p>	Nancy Tuohy	2021-10-23 16:05:28+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Opinion	<p>the right number of residents.</p>	John W Person	2021-10-23 14:35:00+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO fDislike I don't live in or near this district. It is obvious from the shape that it was constructed based on who lives there and how they are likely to vote, otherwise it would not have this contorted shape. This is the definition of gerrymandering and is exactly what the commission was supposed to correct. John W Person 2021-10-23 14:27:58+00

10-14-21 v2 SD RL Dislike I grew up on on the Northeast side of Detroit. Please keep the northeast together. Our neighborhoods have too much in common to be cut up into 4 or 5 districts. Especially the part of Regent park that is cut up into the Eastpointe district. Our community extends between 6 and 8 mile going East. This area have the same schools bus routes and church community. the proposed map cuts my community up for no reason. Vernon Butler 2021-10-23 04:51:13+00

Please keep the Northend together. The Northend is a neighborhood bounded by highland park to the north, Hamtramck to the West and grand Blvd to the south, and Woodward to the West. It's a neighborhood that was one of the first integrated neighborhoods for affluent black professionals. It has a vibrant neighborhood planning efforts and CDC that organize communities. Please keep the neighborhood together with Hamtramck and all of Boston Edison.

10-14-21 v2 SD RL Dislike

Carla Butler

2021-10-23 04:35:55+00

Apologies, the sheep pasture I was thinking of is over here, Ms. Richardson placed her pin on an entirely different farm with all the fictitious amenities of a large urban area. Incidentally, there is someone by the name of Cynthia Richardson in Waterloo Township who resides in an area serviced by the Chelsea School District. It must be a doppelgänger; the Ms. Richardson of this comment portal has nothing in common with any communities in Washtenaw County - Chelsea being one such community.

10-14-21 v1 SD RL

Opinion

Alice

2021-10-23 04:05:32+00

Ms. Richardson, I heard you speak at the public hearing in Lansing on Oct. 21. It might interest you to know that the random area you quite obviously do not know in Washtenaw County where you placed your dislike pin and mischaracterized the entirety of the County as some super-urban New York City look-alike has the following:

Private wells and septic systems, not municipal water and sewerage

Limited if any broadband options and extremely spotty cellular reception

No public transportation whatsoever from Parker Rd and Scio Church, if your car breaks down you are out of luck. In fact you will have to travel many miles to even get to a place where you might be able to schedule public transportation if you are elderly or disabled only.

10-14-21 v1 SD RL

Opinion

Alice

2021-10-23 03:18:40+00

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	<p>Please see the link to the map the Detroit Hamtramck Coalition for Advancing Healthy Environments created <a href="https://www.michiganmapping.org/submission/c78">https://www.michiganmapping.org/submission/c78</a></p>	Sharon Buttry	2021-10-23 01:44:52+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>The Detroit Hamtramck Coalition for Advancing Healthy Environments gave you a map clearly indicating we need a united voice for Hamtramck and East side of Detroit to address environmental justice concerns. District 8 needs to go all the way to Gratiot at the very least, from 6 mile all the way to 94</p>	Sharon Buttry	2021-10-23 01:41:51+00

County boundaries should not be crossed. AlsoThe Detroit Hamtramck Coalition for Advancing Healthy Environments gave you a map clearly indicating we need a united voice for Hamtramck and East side of Detroit to address environmental justice concerns. District 2 needs to go all the way to Gratiot at the very least.

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Dislike

Sharon Buttry

2021-10-23 01:36:13+00

The Detroit Hamtramck Coalition for Advancing Healthy Environments gave you a map clearly indicating we need a united voice for Hamtramck and East side of Detroit to address environmental justice concerns. District 2 needs to go all the way to Gratiot at the very least.

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO Dislike

Sharon Buttry

2021-10-23 01:34:07+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	The Detroit Hamtramck Coalition for Advancing Healthy Environments gave you a map clearly indicating we need a united voice for Hamtramck and East side of Detroit to address environmental justice concerns. District 2 needs to go all the way to Gratiot at the very least.	Sharon Buttry	2021-10-23 01:26:56+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	8 districts in the Detroit and northern suburbs cross county lines creating Districts that don't have clear community centers. They could easily be done more of a box shape than long thin shapes.	Daniel Buttry	2021-10-23 01:24:35+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Like this map keeping Farmington and Farmington Hills together.	Kyle Matthew Hoynacki	2021-10-23 01:08:09+00

Please consider the need for majority-minority communities when you are analyzing maps. It is important to increase, not decrease minority voting strength for stronger representation in government. Asking for you to not split minority communities. It will make it harder to elect representative candidates. The mission of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 was to ensure voting rights for all citizens. Map territories should empower communities to vote for representation for themselves.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Like

Kyle Matthew Hoynacki

2021-10-23 01:06:13+00

Please consider the need for majority-minority communities when you are analyzing maps. It is important to increase, not decrease minority voting strength for stronger representation in government. Asking for you to not split minority communities. It will make it harder to elect representative candidates. The mission of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 was to ensure voting rights for all citizens. Map territories should empower communities to vote for representation for themselves.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Kyle Matthew Hoynacki

2021-10-23 01:05:45+00

Please consider the need for majority-minority communities when you are analyzing maps. It is important to increase, not decrease minority voting strength for stronger representation in government. Asking for you to not split minority communities. It will make it harder to elect representative candidates. The mission of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 was to ensure voting rights for all citizens. Map territories should empower communities to vote for representation for themselves.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Like

Kyle Matthew Hoynacki

2021-10-23 01:05:17+00

I am confident that this map represents myself and all Mid Michigan residents in a more just way, with fairness and more common sense than any other map I have seen. It has been many decades since our Mid Michigan voters have had an equal chance to have our voices heard.

10-05-21 v1 CD Like

Katherine L. Stephens

2021-10-23 01:01:11+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Farmington Hills should not be in District 11. It should be in District 18 with West Bloomfield and Waterford. Farmington and Farmington Hills should be united in a district. We share a school district and library system and many community endeavors. Milford and Commerce should be put in District 11 instead.</p>	Kyle Hoynacki	2021-10-23 00:19:08+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Farmington and Farmington Hills combined to make a state House district. Farmington and Farmington Hills should be united in a district. We share a school district and library system and many community endeavors. Farmington Hills should not be split in two. Farmington Hills has a lot more in common with Farmington than Southfield. Farmington currently is drawn into Detroit (Wayne County).</p>	Kyle Hoynacki	2021-10-23 00:14:10+00

Naked gerrymandering. To connect two disparate urban centers across a wide rural area does a disservice to both. Especially when one (Muskegon, in this case) is far smaller than the other (Grand Rapids) The smaller one will only get taken advantage of to sway an election, and otherwise ignored by the representative who will only focus on the bigger city. This may help a political party (which is obviously the intent here) but it will not help either community.

Please keep Muskegon County intact and connected with other medium-sized communities up and down the lakeshore like Holland, Grand Haven and/or Ludington. NOT Grand Rapids. Ted Dyson

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Dislike

2021-10-22 23:40:14+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	<p>This is not a bad map. You do have the Tri-Cities with Flint which is good. But the map could be improved by moving Tuscola County to other Thumb Districts, with whom they have something in common. Doing so would allow for more of Midland and more of Bay Counties to be included. Thank you for keeping Tri-Cities and Flint together though.</p>	Carol Heron	2021-10-22 23:18:37+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	<p>While this map does contain the Tri-Cities, it lumps them together with rural areas with whom only Midland County has anything in common. Flint is eliminated from our Congressional District. This is terrible. Flint needs to be with the Tri-Cities and such surrounding suburbs as population allows. The commonalities of these communities has been enumerated by many comments. Please do not use this map 243. Thank you for your efforts and for keeping tri-Cities together in your maps.</p>	Carol Heron	2021-10-22 23:11:24+00

my in person presentation. I am a lifetime resident of metro Grand Rapids. I am a proud member of the Grand Rapids Chapter of the League of Women Voters. I canvassed for and voted for Prop 2 because I believe we deserve to have partisan fairness. None of the current maps create partisan fairness. The current state house and senate maps are just NOT good enough. There is still work to do to make them fair. I would like to echo the words of the director of the Michigan Department of Civil rights - he was quoted in the Bridge " We urge you to meet the test of fairness and accountability and rectify the Voting Rights Act violation that are inherrent in the maps under consideration"

I would like to comment on map 201 . Of the 4 congressional maps 201 is the best configuration . This map joan L long

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

2021-10-22 23:09:46+00

Midland is part of the "Great Lakes Bay Region" which shares an economy, cultural institutions, educational institutions (Delta and SVSU), an airport (MBS), and even a professional baseball team (Great Lakes Loons in Midland). Midland should definitely be in the same district as Bay City and Saginaw. Thank you.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Neil C Hawkins

2021-10-22 22:39:05+00

copy of my in person  
testimony 10/22.

I'm Dorothy Munson, a  
lifelong resident of Grand  
Rapids, representing  
Proactive, a non-partisan, non-  
profit organization supporting  
underserved folks. We  
strongly advocated for prop 2.

We are depending on you to  
make sure the maps of west  
Michigan are fair and they  
need work to do so.

The best congressional map is  
Apple 201 with Grand Rapids  
and Kalamazoo in District 4. It  
best represents minority  
populations in West Michigan  
with 31% being non-white.  
They need representation in  
Washington. It has  
significant commonality  
including being leaders in  
education, healthcare and  
research. I have made more

copy of my in person  
testimony 10/22.

I'm Dorothy Munson, a  
lifelong resident of Grand  
Rapids, representing  
Proactive, a non-partisan, non-  
profit organization supporting  
underserved folks. We  
strongly advocated for prop 2.

We are depending on you to  
make sure the maps of west  
Michigan are fair and they  
need work to do so.

The best congressional map is  
Apple 201 with Grand Rapids  
and Kalamazoo in District 4. It  
best represents minority  
populations in West Michigan  
with 31% being non-white.  
They need representation in  
Washington. It has  
significant commonality  
including being leaders in  
education, healthcare and  
research. I have made more

copy of my in person  
testimony 10/22.

I'm Dorothy Munson, a  
lifelong resident of Grand  
Rapids, representing  
Proactive, a non-partisan, non-  
profit organization supporting  
underserved folks. We  
strongly advocated for prop 2.

We are depending on you to  
make sure the maps of west  
Michigan are fair and they  
need work to do so.

The best congressional map is  
Apple 201 with Grand Rapids  
and Kalamazoo in District 4. It  
best represents minority  
populations in West Michigan  
with 31% being non-white.  
They need representation in  
Washington. It has  
significant commonality  
including being leaders in  
education, healthcare and  
research. I have made more

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Opinion

detailed comments on the Dorothy Munson

2021-10-22 22:32:45+00

This maps violates your first rule. compact districts. You are strengthening this district 90 miles. Berrien should not be split. We are a community of interest with our neighbors in Cass and St. Joseph counties. Leave these together.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Julie Wuerfel

2021-10-22 22:32:23+00

copy of my in person  
testimony 10/22.

I'm Dorothy Munson, a  
lifelong resident of Grand  
Rapids, representing  
Proactive, a non-partisan, non-  
profit organization supporting  
underserved folks. We  
strongly advocated for prop 2.

We are depending on you to  
make sure the maps of west  
Michigan are fair and they  
need work to do so.

The best congressional map is  
Apple 201 with Grand Rapids  
and Kalamazoo in District 4. It  
best represents minority  
populations in West Michigan  
with 31% being non-white.  
They need representation in  
Washington. It has  
significant commonality  
including being leaders in  
education, healthcare and  
research. I have made more

copy of my in person  
testimony 10/22.

I'm Dorothy Munson, a  
lifelong resident of Grand  
Rapids, representing  
Proactive, a non-partisan, non-  
profit organization supporting  
underserved folks. We  
strongly advocated for prop 2.

We are depending on you to  
make sure the maps of west  
Michigan are fair and they  
need work to do so.

State Senate map "Cherry  
220" is the best option,  
however it needs to have  
Cascade township with  
our airport and all its  
commerce and population  
added to the northern Grand  
Rapids District 24. It is now  
connected to Battle Creek and  
all the rural areas in between.

The southern boundary for  
the northern district should

10-04-21 v2 SD

Opinion

be Wealthy Street, not Fulton Dorothy Munson

2021-10-22 22:29:42+00

copy of my in person  
testimony 10/22.

I'm Dorothy Munson, a  
lifelong resident of Grand  
Rapids, representing  
Proactive, a non-partisan, non-  
profit organization supporting  
underserved folks. We  
strongly advocated for prop 2.

We are depending on you to  
make sure the maps of west  
Michigan are fair and they  
need work to do so.

State Senate map "Cherry  
220" is the best option,  
however it needs to have  
Cascade township with  
our airport and all its  
commerce and population  
added to the northern Grand  
Rapids District 24. It is now  
connected to Battle Creek and  
all the rural areas in between.

The southern boundary for  
the northern district should

10-08-21 v1 SD

Opinion

be Wealthy Street, not Fulton Dorothy Munson

2021-10-22 22:28:51+00

copy of my in person  
testimony 10/22.

I'm Dorothy Munson, a  
lifelong resident of Grand  
Rapids, representing  
Proactive, a non-partisan, non-  
profit organization supporting  
underserved folks. We  
strongly advocated for prop 2.

We are depending on you to  
make sure the maps of west  
Michigan are fair and they  
need work to do so.

State Senate map "Cherry  
220" is the best option,  
however it needs to have  
Cascade township with  
our airport and all its  
commerce and population  
added to the northern Grand  
Rapids District 24. It is now  
connected to Battle Creek and  
all the rural areas in between.

The southern boundary for  
the northern district should

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

be Wealthy Street, not Fulton Dorothy Munson

2021-10-22 22:27:45+00

This map does not represent our community of interest. Berrien County should not be divided. Much of these lakeshore communities are 2nd homes. People live and work between the two district you have divided. Berrien is the largest county in the southwest corner and should not be divided.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Julie Wuerfel

2021-10-22 22:26:46+00

Not a good map, we have very little in common with the southern counties.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Gary Garland

2021-10-22 22:24:08+00

nothing about this map makes any sense. You're splitting up whole communities and pitting them against each other. Grand Rapids with Kalamazoo? Splitting Grand Rapids into what, 3 different districts? We have a hard enough time getting proper representation without this outright gerrymandering. The STATED purpose of Prop 2 was to give power to the people to draw their lines, NOT to give power to the Democratic party to gerrymander so outrageously. I did not vote for this proposal because this is exactly what I knew would happen. Keep the major cities together as the center of their own communities and counties. Splitting up Ottawa, Muskegon, Kent and Kalamazoo so ridiculously makes NO SENSE. Not to mention my own Newaygo County getting lost in such a

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Theresa Emshwiller

2021-10-22 22:19:50+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	We have very little in common with the southern counties. We have stronger ties with Kalamazoo along the I-94 corridor. Please do not consider this map or any other that puts Battle Creek with area we have very little in common with.	Kathy Garland	2021-10-22 22:17:37+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Looking at the way Detroit is divided none of the districts have a majority of African Americans. It is possible that the residents of Detroit could have no African American representation	Steven Artt	2021-10-22 22:08:00+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The residents of Farmington Hills will not share many of the issues that residents of wixom, New Hudson Salem or Worden. I see this a leading to tensions and divisiveness.	Steven Artt	2021-10-22 22:01:09+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	City of Farmington and Farmington Hills share the library and school district in common. Separating these two cities will complicate our relationship.	Steven Artt	2021-10-22 21:54:17+00

copy of my in person  
testimony 10/22. My name  
is Rich Thrush from Grand  
Rapids.

I am asking the Committee to  
please keep in mind that the  
main reason Proposition 2,  
which formed the  
Commission, was voted in by  
the people was to develop  
partisan fair maps rather than  
have the legislature draw  
biased maps. The current  
Commission maps are  
improving, but more work is  
needed to get partisan  
fairness correct. We are  
counting on you.

The current house map  
divides up cities and  
complicates representation. I  
suggest reconfiguring the 6  
cities Grand Rapids Area and  
related townships and simply  
divide by city lines to preserve  
Communities of Interest all  
within the same area.

Combine Grandville and

10-08-21 v1 HD

Opinion

Walker Cities to form district

Rich Thrush

2021-10-22 21:51:31+00

copy of my in person  
testimony 10/22. My name  
is Rich Thrush from Grand  
Rapids.

I am asking the Committee to  
please keep in mind that the  
main reason Proposition 2,  
which formed the  
Commission, was voted in by  
the people was to develop  
partisan fair maps rather than  
have the legislature draw  
biased maps. The current  
Commission maps are  
improving, but more work is  
needed to get partisan  
fairness correct. We are  
counting on you.

The current house map  
divides up cities and  
complicates representation. I  
suggest reconfiguring the 6  
cities Grand Rapids Area and  
related townships and simply  
divide by city lines to preserve  
Communities of Interest all  
within the same area.

Combine Grandville and

10-08-21 v2 HD

Opinion

Walker Cities to form district

Rich Thrush

2021-10-22 21:50:31+00

copy of my in person  
testimony 10/22. My name  
is Rich Thrush from Grand  
Rapids.

I am asking the Committee to  
please keep in mind that the  
main reason Proposition 2,  
which formed the  
Commission, was voted in by  
the people was to develop  
partisan fair maps rather than  
have the legislature draw  
biased maps. The current  
Commission maps are  
improving, but more work is  
needed to get partisan  
fairness correct. We are  
counting on you.

The current house map  
divides up cities and  
complicates representation. I  
suggest reconfiguring the 6  
cities Grand Rapids Area and  
related townships and simply  
divide by city lines to preserve  
Communities of Interest all  
within the same area.

Combine Grandville and  
Walker Cities to form district  
The percent of African  
Americans in the district does  
not reflect the percentage of  
African Americans in the city  
of Farmington Hills.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Rich Thrush

2021-10-22 21:49:17+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Opinion

Steven Artt

2021-10-22 21:48:30+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	All members of the community - majority and minority should have equal representation....that was the mission of the Voting Rights Act of 1965. Let there be equal representation for all. Please consider majority and minority communities while analyzing the maps because both should be equally represented. The mission of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 was to make sure all citizens are equally represented and have a voice in their	Zenobia Lala	2021-10-22 21:42:23+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	community. Please keep Farmington and Farmington Hills together in the same district. We share school and library systems and many community events	Zenobia Lala	2021-10-22 21:38:17+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	and have a lot in common.	Zenobia Lala	2021-10-22 21:30:49+00

Farmington Hills should not be District 11. We should be in District 18 with West Bloomfield and Waterford. Since Farmington and Farmington Hills share the same school and library systems both should be together in one district. Milford and Commerce should be in District 11.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Zenobia Lala

2021-10-22 21:26:17+00

I live in Rockford, I go to Grand Rapids frequently but hardly ever go to Kalamazoo....These maps make no sense you are putting rural areas with urban. and expecting them to be compatible and share interest. ..Gr should be a separate district with kentwood and Egr another

10-05-21 v1 CD DW

Dislike

Robert Allen Ponstein

2021-10-22 21:21:33+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Farmington and Farmington Hills should be one district. We have been sharing the same school and library systems and several community events and want to stay that way. The two districts should not be split up because Farmington and Farmington Hills have more in common than with Southfield.</p>	Zenobia Lala	2021-10-22 21:19:39+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>Please keep Kent County whole! Do not tie city of Grand Rapids with Muskegon or Kalamazoo!! Two totally different areas, also too much rural/suburban inbetween.... Do NOT break up the old District 3. It has worked just fine in the past. Quit trying to make every district</p>	karla wagner	2021-10-22 21:13:03+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>DEMOCRAT.</p>	Connie D Crumpton-Armijo	2021-10-22 19:56:59+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Like I like that this map keeps Farmington and Farmington Hills together, as we have many common interests and a common school district. The mission of the Voting Rights Act in 1965 was to insure voting rights for all citizens. Map territories should empower communities to vote for representation for themselves. Christina Hoynacki 2021-10-22 19:45:49+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like I like that this map keeps Farmington and Farmington Hills together, as we have many common interests and a common school district. The mission of the Voting Rights Act in 1965 was to insure voting rights for all citizens. Map territories should empower communities to vote for representation for themselves. Christina Hoynacki 2021-10-22 19:44:06+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>I like that this map keeps Farmington and Farmington Hills together, as we have many common interests and a common school district. The mission of the Voting Rights Act in 1965 was to insure voting rights for all citizens. Map territories should empower communities to vote for representation for themselves.</p>	Christina Hoynacki	2021-10-22 19:42:08+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	<p>Thank you for making a map that keeps Ottawa County together. This only makes sense. Please keep our Lakeshore community and their needs together so our representative can care for our whole community with similar needs. Thanks.</p>	Ruth Zwierzynski	2021-10-22 19:16:20+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Like	<p>This is the best one for Berrien County. It keeps our Senate district with our communities of interest - Border Communities</p>	Julie Wuerfel	2021-10-22 19:12:49+00

This map doesn't group communities appropriately - notably around where I live in Sterling Heights. Neighboring Warren shares much more in common with us. Many of Warren's residents work in Sterling Heights and vice versa. I and a number of other people also serve in the Warren Concert band despite being from elsewhere in Macomb. In my experience as a resident of the area in question for many years, this may as proposed divides communities of interest instead of uniting them.

9-20-21 V3 CD

Dislike

Jonathan Matthews

2021-10-22 19:11:56+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>We moved to Georgetown Township in Ottawa County 7 years ago and we love it here. Ottawa County is different from the surrounding communities and bears little resemblance to Grand Rapids. If we get put into a district with Grand Rapids, our rural and agricultural needs will be swallowed up and neglected as a representative cares for the needs of the city over the country areas. We need someone who can represent the character and community that we have here. Please choose a map that keeps Ottawa County together like this one.</p>	Ruth Zwierzynski	2021-10-22 19:11:06+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>This is the best map for Berrien County as it keeps us with 2 representatives and limited divide.</p>	Julie Wuerfel	2021-10-22 19:10:50+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	<p>Thank you for keeping Ottawa County together. We have common interests and need to share our views with the person who represents us in government.</p>	Cynthia J Lohrke	2021-10-22 18:56:16+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO fLike	<p>The Thumb area looks reasonable. I understand how hard this must be. You did a decent job of placing like communities together in the State House. Well done on 41, 47, 48, 49 and 52. Even 46, 44, 37 and 29 look ok. In 29 even though they are more geographically separated, the communities are similar in nature.</p>	Jaime Greene	2021-10-22 18:39:08+00	
10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion	<p>I appreciate your efforts. Ultimately, I want the districts to make sense and be able to effectuate majority rule. If Dems are receiving a majority of the votes, than that should be reflected in the makeup of the legislature</p>	Diane Hewson	2021-10-22 18:34:21+00	
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>As a citizen in northern Rockford I don't understand why we would split the school district into 2 different seats. I would encourage that the district map for the very large division 1 school district stay in tact for the district and surrounding communities that are with Rockford school district.</p>	jason rourk	2021-10-22 18:30:32+00

I agree with Christina Kacanowski. This district is not of likeness. Clinton township is absolutely nothing like the northern communities in the district. It would be ideal for the boundary to be north of 26 mile road and go into St. Clair County and even east. Also Oakland County is nothing like the communities in Northern Macomb County, which are still active farming rural communities. It would be best for the representation that the rural communities stay together and the urban/suburb communities are combined.

10-14-21 v1 SD RL

Dislike

Jaime Greene

2021-10-22 18:24:26+00

I think Grand Rapids should be a whole. It's starting to look like a jigsaw puzzle with huge parts wrapped around a shiny middle. I've lived in East Town for a little while and it's very different from the rest of Grand Rapids. Why you would group as you have puzzles me.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS

Dislike

John Waffle

2021-10-22 18:22:21+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>I don't like the idea of why you folks would want to attempt to fix something that's not broken. I'm worried Grand Rapids will not get it's FAIR representation. Grand Rapids is very diverse and my family like it like that. I think Grand Rapids should be a whole. It's starting to look like a jigsaw puzzle for no purpose as I can see.</p>	John Waffle	2021-10-22 18:18:05+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	<p>Good to see Farmington Hills and Farmington in the same district. Do not split minority communities as it will be harder to elect their representative candidates. I grew up and lived for 20 years in Kalamazoo and moved to Grand Rapids 15 years ago. These are two ENTIRELY DIFFERENT communities of interest, and they should not be lumped together. Keep Kent County whole.</p>	Sharookh Lala	2021-10-22 18:10:50+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike		Anna Kamp	2021-10-22 18:06:20+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>Glad to see Farmington Hills and Farmington together in the same district. Also, please consider the need for minority communities when you are analyzing maps. It is important to increase, not decrease minority voting strength for stronger representation in government.</p>	Sharookh Lala	2021-10-22 18:05:56+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Like	<p>Do not like any of the State House District Maps. As a Community of interest Holland should be with Zeeland as we have shared manufacturing and cultural issues. Currently Zeeland is linked with parts of the Byron Center area in Kent Co which has vastly different issues. Please draw a House District map that keeps Holland and Zeeland together. Pull Park Township out if you need a smaller district and put it with House District 83.</p>	P Coen	2021-10-22 18:03:32+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>Please consider the need for majority minority communities when you are analyzing maps. It is important to increase, not decrease minority voting strength for stronger representation in government.</p> <p>Asking for you to not split minority communities. It will make it harder to elect representative candidates. The mission of the Voting Rights Act in 1965 was to insure voting rights for all citizens. Map territories should empower communities to vote for representation for themselves.</p>	Sharookh Lala	2021-10-22 18:00:45+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Farmington and Farmington Hills should be in the same district. They share the same school district and library as well as other community interests. Please combine these two cities.</p>	Katherine Schaefer	2021-10-22 18:00:02+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Keep Hispanic areas together. The Hispanic areas of Grand Rapids and Wyoming should not be split!</p>	Lorena Aguayo-Marquez	2021-10-22 17:58:47+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Keep Hispanic areas together. Please group the Hispanic precincts of Wyoming and Grand Rapids together. Farmington Hills should be in district 18 with West Bloomfield and Waterford. Farmington Hills and Farmington should both remain in the same district as we share common school, library and other community events.	Lorena Aguayo-Marquez	2021-10-22 17:55:36+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		Sharookh Lala	2021-10-22 17:55:05+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Thank you for your work hard work. This map is the best one. I would favor of your selection of this map. It keeps the lakeshore together as it should be and keeps Ottawa County together. Thank you for drawing a Southern Grand Rapids District with a strong African-American and People of Color population.	Judith H Shepard	2021-10-22 17:52:52+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		Lorena Aguayo-Marquez	2021-10-22 17:51:44+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Farmington & Farmington Hills should be one district and not separated as we share common schools and library systems.	Sharookh Lala	2021-10-22 17:48:41+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	As a resident of eastern Ottawa county, I am deeply disturbed by how this map is drawn. The residents have much more in common with the rest of Ottawa county than Kent county. This seems to be a map load with gerrymandering. Whoever thought this one up should be as ashamed!	James Herrmann	2021-10-22 17:48:36+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	A Wyoming district along with the 3 heavily Hispanic precincts of Grand Rapids makes a good State House district and keeps the Hispanic population together. Wyoming is the 2nd largest city in Kent County. It deserves to anchor its own State House district, not be split up.	Lorena Aguayo-Marquez	2021-10-22 17:45:42+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I feel Ottawa county should be left completely intact as a voting district. We have very little in common with Kent county, Kalamazoo or Muskegon. The plans that want to split up Ottawa county seems to be gerrymandering at it's worst!	James Herrmann	2021-10-22 17:39:27+00

As a Resident of the Grand Rapids Area I strongly object to the fusion of Grand Rapids with Kalamazoo for congressional representation. Grand Rapids is the second Largest City in Michigan whose metropolitan area with a population of approximately 1 million people is more than large enough to have undivided representation in Congress. Combining the dissimilar and competing cities of Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids into one district would do a grave disservice to both of these cities' distinct interests.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Adam Palasek

2021-10-22 17:32:34+00

Please consider the need for majority minority communities when you are analyzing maps. It is important to increase, not decrease minority voting strength for stronger representation in government.

Asking for you to not split minority communities. It will make it harder to elect representative candidates.

The mission of the Voting Rights Act in 1965 was to insure voting rights for all citizens. Map territories should empower communities to vote for representation for themselves.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE

Like

Nancy Donohue

2021-10-22 17:29:31+00

Don't split Farmington/Farmington hills. We share schools, library and many public/community projects.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Nancy Donohue

2021-10-22 17:26:25+00

Charlie Starkman  
I am really pleased with  
Farmington and Farmington  
Hills being back together  
again. This map is much  
better because it also  
represents the voting rights of  
minority populations, which is  
an accurate depiction of the  
changing demographics of the  
state. We need to make sure  
these maps are current and  
not relics.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Like

Charlie Starkman

2021-10-22 17:26:23+00

Out of the 199 thousand citizens now in Grand Rapids, I would think it would make more sense to keep the natural boundaries of Kent County! I see no reason to break up Kent County into the disproportional areas in this map! What is your thinking? I am sorely confused at this map! Why would you put such an uneven grouping of the suburbs of Kent County into the patches that you have? I am a resident of southern Kentwood, my address is Grand Rapids, yet I am not even mapped into either of them! This can be

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

done better.

Kathy M Welsh

2021-10-22 17:25:36+00

Don't split

Farmington/Farmington Hills.

Should be one district. We

share school, library and

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

many community projects.

Nancy Donohue

2021-10-22 17:24:48+00

I am really pleased with Farmington and Farmington Hills being back together again. This map is much better because it also represents the voting rights of minority populations, which is an accurate depiction of the changing demographics of the state. We need to make sure these maps are current and not relics.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Like

Charlie Starkman

2021-10-22 17:24:08+00

This map is by far the best one of the proposed maps, and I strongly urge the Commission to choose it. No map will be perfectly representative of COIs, but this one does arguably better than its peers while being the most representative of MI's partisanship. COIs will always be subjective, and what one commenter might think is representative might not be for another; this map is mostly quite well-received (so far.) The same can't be said of most of the other maps. Tying the GR area with Allendale, Muskegon, and Grand Haven makes decent COI sense, while creating a competitive/blue lean district representative of recent trends in West Michigan. The Berrien split is disputed, but by putting Benton Harbor in with Holland, Kalamazoo, and Battle Creek, it gives those voters a voice in a

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

Noah Joseph

2021-10-22 17:22:01+00

Farmington and Farmington Hills combined makes a state House district. Farmington and Farmington Hills should be united in a district. We share a school district and library system and many community endeavors. Farmington Hills should not be split in two. Farmington Hills has a lot more in common with Farmington than Southfield.

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Farmington currently is drawn into Detroit (Wayne County). Due to multiple common interests (schools, library, community center) Farmington Hills and the City of Farmington should remain in one district. Splitting Farmington Hills itself makes no sense at all! This would split the City of Farmington Hills into 3 different Senate districts and dilute our voting strength as a community and recognized political unit.	Keara Donohue	2021-10-22 17:21:46+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Thank you for keeping Ottawa County together as a whole on this map.	William Curry Lodge	2021-10-22 17:21:15+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like		Sylvia L Rhodea	2021-10-22 17:15:56+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Due to multiple common interests (schools, library, community center) Farmington Hills and the City of Farmington should remain in one district. Splitting Farmington Hills itself makes no sense at all!	William Curry Lodge	2021-10-22 17:15:13+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	Thank you Commissioner Lange for listening to us and keeping Ottawa County whole in your map.	Karen J Ryskamp	2021-10-22 17:14:54+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	Thank You! Please do NOT split up Ottawa County. I support map 201. Ottawa County is a very unique county and should be kept the same. It makes no sense to be lumped with Grand Rapids or any other area.	William Ryskamp	2021-10-22 17:13:56+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Due to multiple common interests (schools, library, community center) Farmington Hills and the City of Farmington should remain in one district. Splitting Farmington Hills itself makes no sense at all!	William Curry Lodge	2021-10-22 17:12:55+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Please keep Ottawa County together as this map provides.	Sylvia L Rhodea	2021-10-22 17:11:57+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like	Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are urban areas with similar interests.	Donna Deeb	2021-10-22 17:08:06+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike	<p>I just wanted to say I was born in Grand Rapids. I raised my daughter in Grand Rapids. I've worked most of my jobs in Grand Rapids. I will more than likely die in Grand Rapids. I don't like the idea of adding Kalamazoo to Grand Rapids! They have their own airport, businesses, communities and, just like Grand Rapids, their own problems. Each has their own Chamber of Commerce and they shouldn't be grouped together as is proposed. Our maps should better reflect it's people, by keeping each city whole and separate from each other! I am proud of being from Grand Rapids and would like these maps to reflect my city from the rest.</p>	John Paul Waffle	2021-10-22 17:07:31+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	<p>Redistricting is about communities of interest. Commissioner Lange's map keeps Ottawa and Allegan Co as whole units and keeps us with the Lakeshore areas of Muskegon Co. which share water resource, agriculture and manufacturing issues. Also this is the only map that keep Kalazamoo and Battle Creek together which share an airport and have Western Michigan Univ campuses which creates common interests as well. This map makes the most sense for the West Michigan area.</p>	P Coen	2021-10-22 17:05:53+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>Due to multiple common interests (schools, library, community center) Farmington Hills and the City of Farmington should remain in one district. Splitting Farmington Hills itself makes no sense at all!</p>	William Curry Lodge	2021-10-22 17:05:48+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Muskegon and North Muskegon should be combined with areas to the south which are similarly urban and suburban as opposed to the areas to the north which are primarily rural and/or vacation areas. It makes no sense to split Farmington and Farmington Hills. We are one community with a shared history, one school district, library system and many cultural activities and services. To split us in two is a travesty, especially in a district with cities to the far west of us. We have more common concerns with West Bloomfield and areas to our north.</p>	Jessica Wolin	2021-10-22 17:02:36+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		Aimee Ergas	2021-10-22 17:01:11+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	<p>I strongly support leaving the Map 201 as it is. We do not want the district to change the map!! Please leave it as is.</p> <p>Thank you, Karen</p>	Karen J Ryskamp	2021-10-22 17:01:00+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>Due to multiple common interests (schools, library, community center) Farmington Hills and the City of Farmington should remain in one district. Splitting Farmington Hills itself makes no sense at all!</p> <p>In this proposed map, I see groupings that have nothing in common with each other. Ex: Walker and East Town! Walker and Alpine should be grouped together, as well as Plainfield and Rockford, instead of this oddly shaped, long reaching districts. It seems as though there is voter packing involved and I don't find that fair.</p>	William Curry Lodge	2021-10-22 17:00:22+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>It makes no sense to split Farmington and Farmington Hills. We are one community with a shared history, one school district, library system and many cultural activities and services. To split us into multiple districts is a travesty.</p>	Kathy M Welsh	2021-10-22 16:56:23+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>It makes no sense to split Farmington and Farmington Hills. We are one community with a shared history, one school district, library system and many cultural activities and services. To split us into multiple districts is a travesty.</p>	Aimee Ergas	2021-10-22 16:55:03+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>I would simply echo the comments made by many residents already.</p> <p>Farmington Hills should not be split up and FH and the City of Farmington should remain in the same district. We have multiple interest in common and have all been part of the same district since the early 1980's.</p>	William Curry Lodge	2021-10-22 16:54:01+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Farmington Hills should not be in District 11. It should be in District 18 with West Bloomfield and Waterford. Farmington and Farmington Hills should be united in a district. We share a school district and library system and many community endeavors. Milford and Commerce should be put in District 11 instead.</p>	Christina Hoynacki	2021-10-22 16:52:44+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Farmington Hills should not be in District 11. It should be in District 18 with West Bloomfield and Waterford. Farmington and Farmington Hills should be united in a district. We share a school district and library system and many community endeavors. Milford and Commerce should be put in District 11 instead.</p> <p>Great ...like the way Farmington and Farmington Hills are back together again ...at last ! Also like voting rights of minorities being better represented in the ever changing demographics of our State! Time to get with the times and not barricade ourselves into the past !! - Thanks!</p>	Christina Hoynacki	2021-10-22 16:52:27+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	<p>Lets keep the 6 cities and Grand Rapids Township together.</p>	Chris Talwar	2021-10-22 16:51:41+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	<p>Lets keep the 6 cities and Grand Rapids Township together.</p>	Donna Deeb	2021-10-22 16:51:29+00

Muskegon and North  
Muskegon should be included  
with Grand Haven. The  
population has more in  
common with these more  
suburban areas than with the  
mainly rural areas to the  
north.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Jessica Wolin

2021-10-22 16:50:40+00

Great ...like the way  
Farmington and Farmington  
Hills are back together again  
...at last ! Also like voting  
rights of minorities being  
better represented in the  
ever changing demographics  
of our State! Time to get with  
the times and not barricade  
ourselves into the past !! -  
Thanks!

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Chris Talwar

2021-10-22 16:49:24+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Farmington and Farmington Hills combined makes a state House district. Farmington and Farmington Hills should be united in a district. We share a school district and library system and many community endeavors. Farmington Hills should not be split in two. Farmington Hills has a lot more in common with Farmington than Southfield. Farmington currently is drawn into Detroit (Wayne County).</p>	Christina Hoynacki	2021-10-22 16:46:47+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Farmington and Farmington Hills combined makes a state House district. Farmington and Farmington Hills should be united in a district. We share a school district and library system and many community endeavors. Farmington Hills should not be split in two. Farmington Hills has a lot more in common with Farmington than Southfield. Farmington currently is drawn into Detroit (Wayne County).</p>	Christina Hoynacki	2021-10-22 16:46:27+00

Great ...like the way  
Farmington and Farmington  
Hills are back together again  
...at last ! Also like voting  
rights of minorities being  
better represented in the  
ever changing demographics  
of our State! Time to get with  
the times and not barricade  
ourselves into the past !! -  
Thanks!

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Chris Talwar

2021-10-22 16:44:49+00

I understand the challenge of  
producing maps that make  
geographic sense while  
weighing other factors. This  
map isn't terrible  
geographically, but by  
separating Bay City and  
Midland it ignores partisan  
fairness completely. Bay City  
and Midland are closely  
aligned economically, as  
many residents live in one  
area and work in the other.  
Please consider putting Bay  
City and Midland back  
together. Thank you.

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Dislike

Brendon M Baranek

2021-10-22 16:43:42+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike

Cascade Township is in the  
community interest of 24.

Donna Deeb

2021-10-22 16:43:32+00

Great ...like the way  
Farmington and Farmington  
Hills are back together again  
...at last ! Also like voting  
rights of minorities being  
better represented in the  
ever changing demographics  
of our State! Time to get with  
the times and not barricade  
ourselves into the past !! -  
Thanks!

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like		Chris Talwar	2021-10-22 16:42:05+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Keep Ottawa County with Ottawa County, same community of interest.	Donna Deeb	2021-10-22 16:39:43+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Please add Cascade Township and airport to district 23. Same community of interest.	Donna Deeb	2021-10-22 16:37:09+00

I thought the purpose of this commission was to district maps that kept our communities together and not spit us up into weird portions that didn't make sense! This map goes from Walker to south of Vicksburg, which none of these cities have anything in common. The city of Grand Rapids has nothing in common with Kalamazoo, even though they are located in Michigan. In terms of distance, to get from one end of proposed 4 to the other would take over 2 hours! Each city needs to be the center of it's own county! Keep district to former lines at Wealthy.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Kathy M Welsh

2021-10-22 16:35:52+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

Donna Deeb

2021-10-22 16:35:43+00

Please keep  
 Farmington~Farmington Hills  
 together ...Many reasons  
 ...long family and cultural  
 histories between these two  
 regions and many longterm  
 residents have much pride  
 and commitment to the well  
 being collectively of our twin-  
 cities ...the last gerrymander  
 to separate the communities  
 was never liked ... Farmington  
 and Farmington Hills  
 combined makes a  
 pragmatically sensible district  
 and should be together. We  
 share a school district and  
 library system and many  
 community endeavors. Many  
 of us grew up here over the  
 past 30 yrs plus ..as did our  
 kids ...and we would like to  
 see that continued  
 investment into a graceful  
 and well earned retirement  
 for our shared seniors ! Also  
 please ensure Farmington  
 Hills is not split in two in a  
 future redraw. Thanks !  
 Keep Kent County separate  
 from Ottawa County.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Chris Talwar

2021-10-22 16:35:03+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK

Opinion

Donna Deeb

2021-10-22 16:31:04+00

Please keep Farmington~Farmington Hills together ...Many reasons ...long family and cultural histories between these two regions and many longterm residents have much pride and committment to the well being collectively of our twin-cities ...the last gerrymander to separate the communities was never liked ... Farmington and Farmington Hills combined makes a pragmatically sensible district and should be together. We share a school district and library system and many community endeavors. Also please ensure Farmington Hills is not split in two in a future redraw. Thanks !  
Bad old-fashioned Republican gerrymander for the Lansing region.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Chris Talwar

2021-10-22 16:29:55+00

10-14-21 v1 SD RL Dislike

Chris Andrews

2021-10-22 16:26:14+00

Please keep Farmington~Farmington Hills together ...Many reasons ...long family and cultural histories between these two regions and many longterm residents have much pride and committment to the well being collectively of our twin-cities ...the last gerrymander to separate the communities was never liked ... Farmington and Farmington Hills combined makes a state House district. Farmington and Farmington Hills should be united in a district. We share a school district and library system and many community endeavors. Also please ensure Farmington Hills is not split in two in a future redraw. Thanks !

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Chris Talwar

2021-10-22 16:24:59+00

Please keep Farmington~Farmington Hills together ...Many reasons ...long family and cultural histories between these two regions and many longterm residents have much pride and commitment to the well being collectively of our twin-cities ...the last gerrymander to separate the communities was never liked ... Farmington and Farmington Hills combined makes a state House district. Farmington and Farmington Hills should be united in a district. We share a school district and library system and many community endeavors. . I like the idea of a lakeshore district extending from Berrien to Muskegon. As a western Allegan county resident I see the common interest between these lakeshore areas.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Chris Talwar

2021-10-22 16:19:48+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW

Like

Jim Hanson

2021-10-22 16:19:38+00

Please keep  
Farmington~Farmington Hills  
together ...Many reasons  
...long family and cultural  
histories between these two  
regions and many longterm  
residents have much pride  
and committment to the well  
being collectively of our twin-  
cities ...the last gerrymander  
to separate the communities  
was never liked 2

Farmington and Farmington  
Hills combined makes a state  
House district. Farmington  
and Farmington Hills should  
be united in a district. We  
share a school district and  
library system and many  
community endeavors.  
Farmington Hills should not  
be split in two.

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Like	<p>Thank you for keeping the Tri-Cities together in a senate district. They are a clear COI that share economic, cultural, and educational ties. Please continue to improve the maps until the seat shares match the vote shares of the state (54% D / 47% R)</p>	Marie Fox	2021-10-22 16:02:55+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	<p>I dislike this senate district. The Tri-Cities are a closely aligned COI and the majority of the comments on the portal reflect that. Please return to the senate district drawn in the Cherry plan. While this district may make sense geographically, it does not add to the overall partisan fairness of the entire map. I suggest going back to the Midland and Bay City house district. These 2 cities are closely aligned economically, culturally, and through the arts and school systems. Please continue to improve the maps until the seat shares match the vote shares of the state (54% D / 47% R)</p>	Marie Fox	2021-10-22 16:01:35+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike	<p></p>	Marie Fox	2021-10-22 15:58:48+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Like This is a good house district. it achieves a near zero political bias in the district which will allow the people to elect a representative that works for them and not their party, and it unifies two closely aligned cities that share economic, cultural, and educational ties. Please continue to improve the maps until the seat shares match the vote shares of the state (54% D / 47% R) Marie Fox 2021-10-22 15:57:39+00

10-11-21 Eid v5 CD Like This is the best congressional map I have seen for our area. It respects the Mid-Michigan COI of the Tri-Cities and Flint, places Tuscola County in District 10 where it belongs, kept 3 counties whole (Bay, Saginaw, Genesee) and included most of Midland County as well. This is a great job. Please continue to make partisan fairness a priority and make sure the maps reflect the 53% Dem and 47% Republican vote share of the state. Marie Fox 2021-10-22 15:56:24+00

This is the best congressional map I have seen for our area. It respects the Mid-Michigan COI of the Tri-Cities and Flint, places Tuscola County in District 10 where it belongs, kept 3 counties whole (Bay, Saginaw, Genesee) and included most of Midland County as well. This is a great job. Please continue to make partisan fairness a priority and make sure the maps reflect the 53% Dem and 47% Republican vote share of the state.

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

Marie Fox

2021-10-22 15:55:58+00

Thank you for continually placing the Tri-Cities and Flint in a congressional district. We are all urban/suburban areas with industrial based economies and share cultural, educational, and economic interests. Please consider placing Tuscola County in District 10. This will allow you to place more of Midland County into District 11.

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Marie Fox

2021-10-22 15:55:09+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	: This map gives Midland and Bay City, two cities that have been closely aligned for a long time, a competitive district where a representative works for the voters, not the party or extreme base. This brings the overall map closer to a zero political bias, but please continue to correct the Republican lean. Michigan is a 54% Democratic state and its districts should reflect that. Please don't use this map. Ottawa needs to be kept whole, that is why map 201 is best. We have too many differences with Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo.	Marie Fox	2021-10-22 15:53:43+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike		Arend Gilligan	2021-10-22 15:52:18+00

I do like this more than how it has been. That said, I like how Holly is moved to 31 and I feel the demographics of Highland are such that it should also be part of that district. Again, this is better than the current, but still as a Planning Commissioner for over 20 years I can tell you that Highland is not the same as West Bloomfield, or even Waterford and White Lake. Milford is a bit closer but Highland fits much better with Holly and, well, all of Livingston County.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Scott Temple

2021-10-22 15:50:24+00

I drafted a district maps that represents the Chaldean community. We stretch from Macomb all the way to the outskirts of Wixom! We are around 160,000 people in Metro detroit area alone and growing! Please use either district map:  
<https://distrctr.org/plan/68270> or  
<https://distrctr.org/plan/68275>. Please do not dilute our Chaldean voices! We have lived and contributed to MI for over 100 years! Please give a voice! We are a community of interest! Thank you commissioners!

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Yousif

2021-10-22 15:47:26+00

I drafted a district maps that represents the Chaldean community. We stretch from Macomb all the way to the outskirts of Wixom! We are around 160,000 people in Metro detroit area alone and growing! Please use either district map:

<https://distrctr.org/plan/68270> or

<https://distrctr.org/plan/68275>. Please do not dilute our

Chaldean voices! We have lived and contributed to MI for over 100 years! Please give a voice! We are a community of interest! Thank

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

you commissioners! Youusif

2021-10-22 15:46:33+00

I would like map 201 "Apple" approved. But I appreciate Ottawa county being kept whole on this map, so this would be my second choice.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Opinion

Thank you. Arend Gilligan

2021-10-22 15:46:18+00

I drafted a district maps that represents the Chaldean community. We stretch from Macomb all the way to the outskirts of Wixom! We are around 160,000 people in Metro detroit area alone and growing! Please use either district map:  
<https://distrctr.org/plan/68270> or  
<https://distrctr.org/plan/68275>. Please do not dilute our Chaldean voices! We have lived and contributed to MI for over 100 years! Please give a voice! We are a community of interest! Thank you commissioners!

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Yousif

2021-10-22 15:45:48+00

I drafted a district maps that represents the Chaldean community. We stretch from Macomb all the way to the outskirts of Wixom! We are around 160,000 people in Metro detroit area alone and growing! Please use either district map:  
<https://distrctr.org/plan/68270> or  
<https://distrctr.org/plan/68275>. Please do not dilute our Chaldean voices! We have lived and contributed to MI for over 100 years! Please give a voice! We are a community of interest! Thank you commissioners!

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Dislike

Yousif

2021-10-22 15:44:43+00

I drafted a district maps that represents the Chaldean community. We stretch from Macomb all the way to the outskirts of Wixom! We are around 160,000 people in Metro detroit area alone and growing! Please use either district map:  
<https://distrctr.org/plan/68270> or  
<https://distrctr.org/plan/68275>. Please do not dilute our Chaldean voices! We have lived and contributed to MI for over 100 years! Please give a voice! We are a community of interest! Thank you commissioners!

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

Yousif

2021-10-22 15:43:49+00

I drafted a district maps that represents the Chaldean community. We stretch from Macomb all the way to the outskirts of Wixom! We are around 160,000 people in Metro detroit area alone and growing! Please use either district map:  
<https://distrctr.org/plan/68270> or  
<https://distrctr.org/plan/68275>. Please do not dilute our Chaldean voices! We have lived and contributed to MI for over 100 years! Please give a voice! We are a community of interest! Thank you commissioners!

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

Yousif

2021-10-22 15:42:58+00

I drafted a district maps that represents the Chaldean community. We stretch from Macomb all the way to the outskirts of Wixom! We are around 160,000 people in Metro detroit area alone and growing! Please use either district map:  
<https://distrctr.org/plan/68270> or  
<https://distrctr.org/plan/68275>. Please do not dilute our Chaldean voices! We have lived and contributed to MI for over 100 years! Please give a voice! We are a community of interest! Thank you commissioners!

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Dislike

Yousif

2021-10-22 15:42:07+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>I drafted a district maps that represents the Chaldean community. We stretch from Macomb all the way to the outskirts of Wixom! We are around 160,000 people in Metro detroit area alone and growing! Please use either district map:  <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/68270">https://districtr.org/plan/68270</a> or  <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/68275">https://districtr.org/plan/68275</a>. Please do not dilute our Chaldean voices! We have lived and contributed to MI for over 100 years! Please give a voice! We are a community of interest! Thank you commissioners!</p>	Yousif	2021-10-22 15:41:16+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Like	<p>I like this map very much, specifically District 11. The Mid-Michigan COI of Tri-Cities including Flint makes perfect sense. In respects to known partisan vote share, this map is spot on. Thank you.</p>	Brendon Mark Baranek	2021-10-22 15:36:35+00

			<p>"Apple" map 201 is the best of the maps your commission has put together. All of Ottawa county is a lakeshore community, and needs to be kept that way. We should not be joining up with metro areas like Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo, there's little common interests we share as far as economic, politics, land issues, tourism, etc.</p>		
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Thank you.	Arend Gilligan	2021-10-22 15:35:18+00	
		Do not lump Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo. These are two different cities, different economies, concerns and lumping them together will eliminate voices that need to be heard.			
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike		Mary Schulte	2021-10-22 15:34:43+00	
		I am a fan of this map, especially District 11. It keeps the COI Tri-Cities and Flint whole and places Tuscola in County District 10 which makes sense. This is a fairly drawn District respecting known partisan vote share.			
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like		Brendon Mark Baranek	2021-10-22 15:29:23+00	

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Map 219 is nonsensical. Wasn't the original purpose of the redistricting committee was to eliminate gerrymandering? This plan is gerrymandering to the Nth degree and worse than what we have currently.	Carol Rood	2021-10-22 15:23:26+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are very different and not connected. They should be separate districts. Farmington/Farmington Hills should be together! We share schools & public services! Did noone check this first?	Ryan Sytsma	2021-10-22 15:22:42+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Like	I am not a fan of this map, particularly District 11. A Tri-Cities including Flint map makes a lot more sense than including Gladwin in with Bay City, Saginaw and Flint. Historically the 4 counties of Bay City-Saginaw-Midland-Flint have been a logical COI in Mid-Michigan. I urge you to do what you can to keep that existing COI whole.	Diane D Hague	2021-10-22 15:21:19+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	Great! having Farmington/FarmingtonHills together!	Brendon Mark Baranek	2021-10-22 15:19:55+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like		Diane D Hague	2021-10-22 15:17:38+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Farmington and Farmington Hills should be together. They share a school district, library and many community services!	Carolyn Lowe	2021-10-22 15:16:14+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Do not split Farmington/Farmington Hills! We share schools and other public services. Keep them as one!	Diane D Hague	2021-10-22 15:08:08+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This map makes some good sense, especially in my proposed district 11. Bay City, Saginaw, Flint and parts of Midland have a shared interest and commonality between suburban and urban areas. Thank you for your work.	Brendon Mark Baranek	2021-10-22 15:07:22+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Farmington Hills should not be in District 11. It should be in District 18 with West Bloomfield and Waterford. Farmington and Farmington Hills should be united in a district. We share a school district and library system and many community endeavors. Milford and Commerce should be put in District 11 instead.	Anita J Mixon	2021-10-22 15:07:09+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Farmington and Farmington Hills combined makes a state House district. Farmington and Farmington Hills should be united in a district. We share a school district and library system and many community endeavors. Farmington Hills should not be split in two. Farmington Hills has a lot more in common with Farmington than Southfield. Farmington currently is drawn into Detroit (Wayne County)</p>	Anita J Mixon	2021-10-22 15:05:03+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>Grand Rapids absolutely should NOT be combined with Kalamazoo, there are far too many differences between the cities, the people, the economies, the geographic locations, the political atmosphere and philosophies. I demand this proposal be rejected.</p>	STEVEN M SACHA	2021-10-22 14:58:47+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>We are opposed to the combining of Grand Rapids with Kalamazoo regarding Congressional redistricting. These are two very different communities and must remain seperate.</p>	Steve Milito	2021-10-22 14:56:10+00

The mission of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 was to ensure voting rights for all citizens. Please continue to consider the need for majority-minority communities when you are analyzing all maps throughout the state. Map territories that will empower communities to vote for representation of themselves. Please do not split the vote of the majority-minority communities. Definitely, keep Farmington/Farmington Hills cities together. Our schools, libraries and community organizations are products of joint endeavors, efforts and collaborations. Thank you.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Like

Kelle Shawn Shepherd-Bhavsar

2021-10-22 14:40:09+00

The mission of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 was to ensure voting rights for all citizens. Please continue to consider the need for majority-minority communities when you are analyzing all maps throughout the state. Map territories that will empower communities to vote for representation of themselves. Please do not split the vote of the majority-minority communities. Thank you.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Kelle Shawn Shepherd-Bhavsar

2021-10-22 14:37:02+00

The mission of the Voting Rights Act in 1965 was to ensure voting rights for all cities. Please map territories to empower communities to vote for representation for themselves. Please continue to increase, not decrease, minority voting strength and stronger representation in government.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Like

Kelle Shawn Shepherd-Bhavsar

2021-10-22 14:33:13+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Farmington Hills should not be in District 11. It should be in District 18 with West Bloomfield and Waterford. Farmington and Farmington Hills should be united in a district as we share school districting and library systems and many other community endeavors.	Kelle Shawn Shepherd-Bhavsar	2021-10-22 14:27:17+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	CALHOUN: Battle Creek-Albion district represents black voters in the region and the state's diverse communities while increasing partisan fairness. There are other parts of the state that still need work on VRA but Keep Calhoun County like this. Farmington Hills and Farmington have a joint schools and library systems (and many community organizations). Both Farmington and Farmington Hills should remain as one. This separation does not	Linda J Pell	2021-10-22 14:21:58+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	make sense.	Kelle Shawn Shepherd-Bhavsar	2021-10-22 14:17:01+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	As with all the other maps, Midland should be put with other larger populated cities such as Bay City and/or Saginaw. This map is not fair - very biased. Please change it. Strongly apposed to this map. This district should be more like the Pine map. Thank you.	Patricia Ellison	2021-10-22 14:13:05+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I appreciate this map - having Midland and Bay City together makes sense and is a better representation of both parties.	Patricia Ellison	2021-10-22 14:08:10+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are not common interest areas. They two entirely different communities.	Daniel Schellenberg	2021-10-22 14:07:31+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	I would strongly suggest the rejection of this map. Not only does it break Ottawa County into three districts, it combines a rural section of Ottawa County with an urban section of Kent County. I find it hard to believe that one person could adequately represent the different needs of a rural and urban population. One side or the other would lose their voice.	David Beuschel	2021-10-22 14:07:03+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are 2 entirely different communities. The Apple map makes no sense to put them together as a district of interest.	Michelle Schellenberg	2021-10-22 14:04:40+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	The map show less bias than before. Thank you for keeping it fair. Please Keep Kent County intact. Objective Number 1 is to keep Communities of Interest whole. This map is in clear opposition to the objective. Grand Rapids and Kent County should remain whole and not be tied to Kalamazoo. You Represent the Citizens of Michigan You Represent Fairness You Represent Transparency You Do Not Represent any Political Party You Represent Diversity. Please listen to the Citizens and KEEP KENT COUNTY WHOLE. Thank you!	Patricia Ellison	2021-10-22 14:03:48+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike		Timothy	2021-10-22 13:03:28+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Like APPLE and BIRCH, the JUNIPER proposal captures the entire Muskegon river watershed. This is a natural community of interest.	Timothy Koschmann	2021-10-22 12:26:28+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	I appreciate the way in which the MAPLE proposal captures the full Muskegon, White, and Pere Marquette River watersheds in a single district. These watersheds have a lot in common and form a natural community of interest.	Timothy Koschmann	2021-10-22 12:21:48+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	It's frustrating to see so many suggestions to split Ottawa County into different districts. Holland should not be combined with Kalamazoo but rather with Grand Haven and Muskegon County and Allegan County - the lakeshore region with similar manufacturing, tourism and agricultural needs. The "Apple" map 201 and the Lang map call for this cohesive, logical approach. Please give your support to either of them!	Ann VanZalen	2021-10-22 12:16:11+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike By placing most of Muskegon CTY into a cluster with the down lakeshore communities, APPLE isolates the city of Muskegon from the rest of the Muskegon River watershed. The users and residents of this watershed constitute a natural community of interest. Timothy Koschmann 2021-10-22 12:14:36+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike Pushing Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids together is the very opposite of the intention to prevent gerrymandering. The Grand Rapids and surrounding areas i.e., Cascade, etc is more than large enough to support the population requirement of a District, is of a reasonable map plan rather than scything north to south in an awkward division cutting through counties rather than encompassing counties. This divides each communities economic and educational interests and regional development. Thank you. Gina Hadley 2021-10-22 09:08:10+00

Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids should not be put together in the same district while separating those cities from the rest of their county. Kalamazoo belongs in Kalamazoo County, and with Southwest Michigan, Grand Rapids belongs in Kent County. Having the people of Kalamazoo County be divided and represented by two different representatives makes no sense.

Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids are completely different communities of interest. This would be a clear example of a gerrymandered district that favors one political party over another.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	This map is very gerrymandered and give a heavy partisan advantage.	Sam	2021-10-22 06:31:47+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This map must be scrapped. This map is very unfair. Does not have partisan fairness. Does not reflect needs of COI.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-22 06:20:07+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This map needs to be scrapped.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-22 06:19:15+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This is the WORST of the maps. Unfair map and must be scrapped.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-22 06:18:06+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This is the WORST of the maps. Extremely unfair. Scrap this map.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-22 06:17:21+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map still needs A LOT of work to make it fair, but its the best of the tree options. Glad to see Bay City and Midland in a competitive district. This map needs some work to improve partisan fairness, but its the best of the tree options.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-22 06:16:16+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map takes away voting rights from Black Detroiters.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-22 06:15:27+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Unfair map	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-22 06:13:41+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This map is unfair. This does not reflect partisan fairness and removes VRA districts	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-22 06:12:51+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	This map takes away voting rights of Black people in Detroit. This map is unfair.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-22 06:11:01+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	This map is just plain unfair.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-22 06:10:01+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	This is the best of the Senate maps. However it still needs more VRA districts and needs to be tweaked to increase it's partisan fairness.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-22 06:07:34+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Troy and Rochester Hills are similar communities and belong together in Oakland County to maintain the Asian communities of interest.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-22 06:05:40+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Madison Heights does not belong with Wayne County.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-22 06:04:14+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Milford does not belong with the thumb. That makes no sense	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-22 06:03:13+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Opinion	Milford does not belong with the thumb	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-22 06:01:26+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	B'ham and Macomb County together? That makes no sense	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-22 05:59:50+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Thanks for keeping Southfield together	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-22 05:57:32+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Keeping the Troy and Rochester areas together with Bloomfield, Farmington Hills and Novi keeps our Asian American Communities of interest together.	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-22 05:55:28+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	Pontiac and Waterford should be kept together	Nomi Joyrich	2021-10-22 05:53:32+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	I support Commissioner Lange's map for redistricting. It is a county of similar issues, concerns, business interests and tourism and benefits us by keeping Ottawa County a distinct entity unto itself.	Shelly C. Boeve	2021-10-22 04:31:52+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This house map shows a good partisan fairness score and keeps the City of Holland and Holland Township, which both have sizeable minority populations together.	Christine Jarosik Pawlak	2021-10-22 04:11:11+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	Thank you Redistricting Commissioner Lange for keeping Ottawa County whole!	Luke Koops	2021-10-22 04:06:08+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Map 201 is best for Ottawa County by keeping the common interests of its residents and small local communities together.	Luke Koops	2021-10-22 04:03:04+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo communities should not be combined in this way. This re-districting is a deliberate attempt to combine the population centers while neglecting the rural communities around the cities.	Lynette Timmer	2021-10-22 03:57:03+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Opinion	In all these House maps, Saugatuck School District (Saugatuck, Douglas and Saug Township)and Laketown Township have much more in common with the towns in District 87 than they do with almost all of District 88	Catherine Brockington	2021-10-22 03:56:41+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Please keep Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids as separate districts. Other than political motivations, it makes no sense to stretch this district in such a way that these two populations are combined.	Jeffrey Timmer	2021-10-22 03:53:29+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	This is a good map for the Tri-cities area! Thank you! It's good to keep Midland and its adjacent townships together with Bay City/County and Saginaw. This will make for a competitive district where a candidate from either party has a possibility of winning if they listen to the voters of the district rather than the current gerrymandered district that we have now. Please keep the district drawn this way as you work towards partisan fairness for the entire state.	Allison M Wilcox	2021-10-22 03:33:42+00

I must protest this map vociferously. First, it fails to keep Cass County whole and second it puts us with communities too far East. Cass County identifies with Berrien and Van Buren Counties to the north and east. But I must make two particular points. This map puts the city of Dowagiac in House District 81 and Southwestern Michigan College (SMC) in 64. The college is supported by and dependent upon the city of Dowagiac. The taxes of the county support SMC and the economic and cultural health of the two are interdependent. It makes NO sense to put the city and the college in two different districts. In a similar fashion, the interests of the Potawatomi Indian Tribe have been divided. Their elder house complex is five minutes from both SMC and

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Naomi Ludman

2021-10-22 03:33:28+00

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Like	<p>This is a really good map for the Tri-cities! And by including the townships directly next to Midland, you have made a nicely compact district and put together communities of interest.</p>	Allison M Wilcox	2021-10-22 03:29:19+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	<p>I don't like that Midland is separated from the other tri-cities. We have more in common with them than with the rural counties to our north.</p>	Allison M Wilcox	2021-10-22 03:25:09+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	<p>Thanks for keeping Midland in the same district as Bay City and Saganaw, but it would make more sense to draw this district as done in map #237, which put most of Midland county into the district and takes out the part of Tuscola county included here, which has little in common with the other more urban/suburban areas.</p>	Allison M Wilcox	2021-10-22 03:19:12+00

While I like that Midland is included with the cities to our south, I would prefer that you include the townships directly adjacent to Midland and put the rural townships in Tuscola county in a different district. That would also make the district more compact and be a plus for partisan fairness. The idea that the "watershed" should be kept together shows a misunderstanding that flooding due to poor infrastructure is a statewide and national problem. Also, this is a district for representation at the

10-07-21 v1 CD AE

Opinion

FEDERAL level, not the state. Allison M Wilcox

2021-10-22 03:12:15+00

Thank you for providing partisan fairness for all voters. Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw are part of the same watershed. I endorse putting rural interests and urban interests together politically.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Like

Theresa Townley

2021-10-22 03:10:38+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>I don't like that this map puts the city of Midland together with the rural areas to the north. I prefer the other two maps that put Midland in a district with Bay City. Please continue to consider partisan fairness for the state as whole with your maps.</p>	Allison M Wilcox	2021-10-22 03:02:47+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	<p>I appreciate your hard work to provide partisan fairness. Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw are part of the same watershed. I endorse providing an opportunity for urban interests and rural interests to be represented separately.</p>	Theresa Townley	2021-10-22 03:01:03+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>Thanks for continuing to put the tri-cities together in one senate district. Please consider partisan fairness for the entire state as you adjust the district lines in other areas of the state.</p>	Allison M Wilcox	2021-10-22 02:51:27+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	I appreciate the hard work of the commission to create partisan fairness. Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw are all part of the same watershed, and share an airport. I appreciate putting those with urban interests together and those with rural interests together politically.	Theresa Townley	2021-10-22 02:49:44+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	This is a great senate district for the Tri-cities of Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw. Thanks for putting us together. Please continue to work towards partisan fairness for the state as a whole.	Allison M Wilcox	2021-10-22 02:47:57+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This map is satisfactory for Muskegon County. It keeps our county intact, and groups us with similar sized communities that won't overshadow us as would have been a problem if we were connected to Grand Rapids.	Ted Dyson	2021-10-22 02:45:37+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like	<p>This district 1 map is a good one for the Tri-cities. Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw are tied together by many interests in the Great Lakes Bay Region. Thanks for keeping them together.</p>	Allison M Wilcox	2021-10-22 02:45:24+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL Like	<p>This map is satisfactory for Muskegon County. It keeps our county intact, and groups us with similar sized communities that won't overshadow us as would have been a problem if we were connected to Grand Rapids.</p>	Ted Dyson	2021-10-22 02:43:16+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike	<p>Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are different communities of interest by all definitions. The two communities have differing cultural, historical, and economic interests. Having one narrow district along the 131 corridor does not accurately represent any community in the proposed district.</p>	Dayne Hoffman	2021-10-22 02:43:05+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>This map is an enormous disservice to a large portion of the residents of Kent County. Under this scheme, the majority of Grand Rapids suburbs are lumped in with far-flung regions of Michigan all the way to Roscommon. How is it protecting a community of interest to separate the suburbs of Michigan's second largest city from its urban core? Clearly the goal of this map is not to protect any interest outside of political ones - the very opposite of the supposed intent of this commission. Please consider realigning this map to keep Kent County as intact as possible.</p>	Shana Shroll	2021-10-22 02:38:54+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Like	<p>This is probably the best option so far. But it would be nice if the remaining corner of Muskegon County could be incorporated as well.</p>	Ted Dyson	2021-10-22 02:36:56+00

10-14-21 v2 SD RL	Opinion	<p>This map, while better than 247 still has it's flaws. You are cutting out too much of Muskegon County and chopping up several of the northern counties. Map 244 does a much cleaner job of keeping counties intact.</p> <p>I strongly support keeping Ottawa county together as one district, in order to represent local community and business interests as the founders intended, and I feel the way this map is drawn would be a fair balance.</p>	Ted Dyson	2021-10-22 02:34:31+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	<p>Thank you.</p>	Brenda Bellamy	2021-10-22 02:32:13+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>I am very much in support of Map 201, which keeps Ottawa County whole. I think it is important to have local representation, which is what the Founders intended, and the community and businesses in Ottawa county need to be represented accordingly Thank you for your hard work and consideration in drawing up these maps. Please keep Ottawa County together as it has been for many years!</p>	Brenda Bellamy	2021-10-22 02:28:42+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	This map dilutes the voice of Asians, Indians and Middle Easterns in District 6. Royal Oak, Oak Park and Ferndale belongs to district 3. Please do not use this map!	Yousif	2021-10-22 02:27:58+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	Gerrymander alert. Tight squiggly lines show all the red flags of an attempt to cherry pick certain demographics to engineer a partisan outcome. Please keep counties as whole as possible. Don't slice and dice us for partisan advantage like this. Muskegon County is a "community of interest" and shouldn't be divided. You had a map that did a much better job of this, combining nearly all of Muskegon county with intact counties to the north. Please use that one.	Ted Dyson	2021-10-22 02:26:14+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	I do not support the "Apple" district map. Keep Kent county as one congressional district with East Grand Rapids and Kentwood. We share a community of interest. We do not share a community of interest with Kalamazoo and other outside areas.	Deborah Bragenzer	2021-10-22 02:24:04+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	I am against Map 219, which would split Ottawa County into three congressional districts, diluting our voice and separating parts of Allegan and Muskegon from Ottawa County. I do not believe Ottawa county should be split up, and it should not be with Kent County.	Brenda Bellamy	2021-10-22 02:20:43+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	I do not support the "Apple" district map. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo do not have the same needs or have enough in common to be grouped together. Keep Kent County whole with East Grand Rapids and Kentwood.	Jenna Bragenzer	2021-10-22 02:13:51+00

This map seeks to do the opposite of the stated goal of Prop 2, which was passed because of its promise to end gerrymandering. This creates a strange, sliced up district that stretches an hour south to tie two completely different metro areas together, when there is plenty of population around GR to reach the needed quota. I can only assume this was done to favor one party over another. These two separate regions are very different, and deserve to have their own representation in their own geographical area. We all deserve reasonably shaped districts, and thats what we voted for.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Anna Timmer

2021-10-22 02:13:45+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>I do not support the "Apple" district map. Kent County should be kept whole within one congressional district. The city of Grand Rapids should be kept whole within one Senate district with the neighboring communities of East Grand Rapids and Kentwood. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo have no common or shared interests!</p>	Nicole Bragenzer	2021-10-22 02:05:05+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>It seems inappropriate for Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo to be in the same district. The only thing. The cities have in common that they happen to be larger cities. They have different issues of interest. Grand Rapids is a truly urban area. Kalamazoo is built around a college. Two different issues to deal with. Im not at all in favor of this map. The City of Midland does not belong in a district with a large rural area. Bay City should not be in a district with a big rural area. It appears to ignore COI, and partisan fairness.</p>	Angela Marie DeLing	2021-10-22 01:57:37+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike		kathleen curell	2021-10-22 01:49:10+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>I am satisfied with this map because of the inclusion of the Tri Cities with Flint as they comprise a COI. but as I look at it more, it would be an improvement if you could include at least the some of the proximate areas of Midland county to the north and west, and move Tuscola county or another adjacent area of district 11 into district 10, if you can also eliminate any partisan benefit. thank You!</p>	kathleen curell	2021-10-22 01:39:14+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids are both cities with large state educational institutions and with significant minority populations. Combining their populations give them a strong voice in furthering their shared interests. Thank you, Commissioner Lange, for working to keep Ottawa County whole. We have unique interests to our county and should be kept together.</p>	DAVID A BERNSTEIN	2021-10-22 01:38:43+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like		Brian Haab	2021-10-22 01:29:42+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>This commission was formed to end gerrymandering. Putting Grand Rapids in a district with far away Kalamazoo is nothing more than a gerrymander to ensure a Democrat is elected in the only way possible in West Michigan.</p>	James W. TenHaaf	2021-10-22 01:28:04+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	<p>I am in strong favor of this map. It very intuitively keeps the Tri Cities and Flint where they belong-in a voting district. i also think its just great to include some of the most closely aligned areas of Midland County. Superior Township (Washtenaw co) has nothing in common with the population of Plymouth (Wayne Co) and South Lyons (Oakland Co). School, county services, and taxes go to different counties to support those service. It would impossible for a representative to fairly represent this current map. Please remove add my section of Superior Township from district 11 and add us to district 27</p>	kathleen curell	2021-10-22 01:24:12+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p></p>	Cheryl Depner	2021-10-22 01:23:37+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	<p>Cascade township should be included in District 24, since it includes the Gerald R. Ford airport which is the airport for Grand Rapids metropolitan area. Cascade Township's interests are closely tied to those of metropolitan Grand Rapids. They belong in the same Senate district.</p> <p>Thank you Redistricting Commissioner Lange for listening to us and keeping Ottawa County whole. The Ottawa county community has a unique blend of economic, agricultural, manufacturing, and cultural ties that should not be torn apart in an effort to undermine our collective political voices in elections.</p>	DAVID A BERNSTEIN	2021-10-22 01:22:40+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	<p>Ottawa County does not belong in District 24. District 24 is a largely urban population with concerns and interests quite different from the rural areas of Ottawa County presently in the Cherry map. Please remove Ottawa County from District 24.</p>	Dan DeHaan	2021-10-22 01:14:31+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like		DAVID A BERNSTEIN	2021-10-22 01:10:22+00

10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	I certainly hope this map does not succeed in being approved. The city of Midland has virtually nothing in common with the rural communities of this draft.	katrhleen curell	2021-10-22 01:09:02+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Pine map was much better than this one. Move the southern boundary of the district from Fulton south to Wealthy, since that conforms to the present boundary for the ward established by the City of Grand Rapids. Wards are long standing communities of interest and should be preserved.	kelly correy	2021-10-22 01:08:07+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	I support map 201 because as a member of the Ottawa county community I understand the great disservice it would be for the residents to break up the county's representation as it would undermine their democratic voices.	DAVID A BERNSTEIN	2021-10-22 01:04:27+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	This is a horrible map, you split Southgate in half.	Dan DeHaan	2021-10-22 01:04:22+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike		kelly correy	2021-10-22 01:03:48+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	It makes sense to have eastern Ottawa and western Ionia in a district with Kent. These areas have more in common than Kent with Shiawassee or Ottawa with Montcalm and Barry. This map is gerrymandered. I live in the part of Ottawa that would be in district with Kent according to map 240. That part of map 240 is okay, not the whole map.	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-22 01:03:17+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	It makes sense to have eastern Ottawa and western Ionia in a district with Kent. These areas have more in common than Kent with Shiawassee or Ottawa with Montcalm and Barry. This map is gerrymandered. I live in the part of Ottawa that would be in district with Kent according to map 240. That part of map 240 is okay, not the whole map.	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-22 01:01:50+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Pine is the better of the house maps when it comes to District 22. Dislike.	Judy Maiga	2021-10-22 00:59:17+00

This is a terrible map and a dis-service to the residents of Midland City and the county of Midland. Midland and Flint have NOTHING in common to warrant grouping them together in a congressional district. Midland County should remain whole including the city of Midland and be combined with our true community of interest in our watershed neighboring counties. The project to re-build the watershed region should be paramount in the consideration of this commission regarding this region. Hundreds of millions of dollars and several years will be required to complete this process. We need focused representation to see this thru well.

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

jane scott

2021-10-22 00:57:40+00

This is the worst choice of the house maps for District 22. It should at the very least include Southgate. Please

10-08-21 v1 HD Dislike

Judy Maiga

2021-10-22 00:56:55+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like This is a good map that keeps downriver community together. Downriver shares resources and this maps reflects it. kelly correy 2021-10-22 00:56:23+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Dislike This is a terrible map and a dis-service to the residents of Midland City and the county of Midland. Midland and Flint have NOTHING in common to warrant grouping them together in a congressional district. Midland County should remain whole including the city of Midland and be combined with our true community of interest in our watershed neighboring counties. The project to rebuild the watershed region should be paramount in the consideration of this commission regarding this region. Hundreds of millions of dollars and several years will be required to complete this process. We need focused representation to see this thru well. jane scott 2021-10-22 00:55:38+00

This is a terrible map and a dis-service to the residents of Midland City and the county of Midland. Midland and Flint have NOTHING in common to warrant grouping them together in a congressional district. Midland County should remain whole including the city of Midland and be combined with our true community of interest in our watershed neighboring counties. The project to re-build the watershed region should be paramount in the consideration of this commission regarding this region. Hundreds of millions of dollars and several years will be required to complete this process. We need focused representation to see this thru well.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE

Dislike

jane scott

2021-10-22 00:53:29+00

Please do not use this map. Cherry is the best of the Senate maps for #12. This map is not acceptable.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Judy Maiga

2021-10-22 00:53:07+00

This is a terrible map and a dis-service to the residents of Midland City and the county of Midland. Midland and Flint have NOTHING in common to warrant grouping them together in a congressional district. Midland County should remain whole including the city of Midland and be combined with our true community of interest in our watershed neighboring counties. The project to rebuild the watershed region should be paramount in the consideration of this commission regarding this region. Hundreds of millions of dollars and several years will be required to complete this process. We need focused representation to see this thru well.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

jane scott

2021-10-22 00:52:17+00

This is a terrible map and a dis-service to the residents of Midland City and the county of Midland. Midland and Flint have NOTHING in common to warrant grouping them together in a congressional district. Midland County should remain whole including the city of Midland and be combined with our true community of interest in our watershed neighboring counties. The project to rebuild the watershed region should be paramount in the consideration of this commission regarding this region. Hundreds of millions of dollars and several years will be required to complete this process. We need focused representation to see this thru well.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

jane scott

2021-10-22 00:51:07+00

This is a terrible map and a dis-service to the residents of Midland City and the county of Midland. Midland and Flint have NOTHING in common to warrant grouping them together in a congressional district. Midland County should remain whole including the city of Midland and be combined with our true community of interest in our watershed neighboring counties. The project to re-build the watershed region should be paramount in the consideration of this commission regarding this region. Hundreds of millions of dollars and several years will be required to complete this process. We need focused representation to see this thru well.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

jane scott

2021-10-22 00:50:37+00

I support map 201, which keeps Ottawa County as one district. As a Georgetown Township resident, our township should remain with the rest of Ottawa county. Our economy, values, etc. are more similar than Kent County/Grand Rapids.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Stephanie Lelo

2021-10-22 00:50:25+00

This is a terrible map and a dis-service to the residents of Midland City and the county of Midland. Midland and Flint have NOTHING in common to warrant grouping them together in a congressional district. Midland County should remain whole including the city of Midland and be combined with our true community of interest in our watershed neighboring counties. The project to re-build the watershed region should be paramount in the consideration of this commission regarding this region. Hundreds of millions of dollars and several years will be required to complete this process. We need focused representation to see this thru well.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

jane scott

2021-10-22 00:49:11+00

Unsure how to remove my previous "like" that was made in error thinking this was a different map. This map is NOT a good map. Cherry is the better of the Senate maps for district 12 but the maps overall need to have closer to zero bias.

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike

Judith Maiga

2021-10-22 00:48:50+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	This map looks unfair and hurts the downriver community.	kelly correy	2021-10-22 00:48:42+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	The 13th district certainly make more sense than the current district	Matthew Novak	2021-10-22 00:45:50+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This map is horrible and should be discarded. No representative can fairly represent the communities as far west and north as they are and Grosse ile is a DOWNRIVER community - not a rural community. Grosse Ile aligns with Trenton, Southgate, Wyandotte in dozens of ways and belongs	Michael Izzo	2021-10-22 00:44:06+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	With them. This is a horrible map.	kelly correy	2021-10-22 00:43:47+00

This map artificially cuts the city of Midland away from our county and watershed neighbors. It will be a massive effort to re-build the dams and lakes which directly flow into and affect the city of Midland. Please keep Midland whole and with our watershed partners who are our true community of interest. Tri City name is no longer valid. Midland and Saginaw have completely different issues to work on. Both communities should have a representative focused on those issues of their constituents and not pulled in ten different directions doing too many things not well. Another dilution of majority minority districting, please revise

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS Dislike

jane scott

2021-10-22 00:43:23+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Matthew Novak

2021-10-22 00:42:15+00

This map artificially cuts the city of Midland away from our county and watershed neighbors. It will be a massive effort to re-build the dams and lakes which directly flow into and affect the city of Midland. Please keep Midland whole and with our watershed partners who are our true community of interest. Tri City name is no longer valid. Midland has nothing in common with Saginaw. There are completely different challenges for each and their representative should be focused on the issues of their constituents and not running around working on huge completely different problems.

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid Dislike

jane scott

2021-10-22 00:41:26+00

This plan dilutes a majority minority district that could be comprised solely within Detroit.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Matthew Novak

2021-10-22 00:41:08+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike There is no possible way that Detroit and Birmingham share any commonality aside from Woodward Ave. This appears to dilute what should be a majority minority district comprised of Detroit Matthew Novak 2021-10-22 00:38:48+00

10-08-21 v1 SD Dislike This map artificially cuts the city of Midland away from our county and watershed neighbors. It will be a massive effort to re-build the dams and lakes which directly flow into and affect the city of Midland. Please keep Midland whole and with our watershed partners who are our true community of interest. Tri City name is a legacy with no meaning today. Midland and Saginaw have completely different challenges and this grouping will dilute the representations efforts to work on completely different issues. jane scott 2021-10-22 00:38:16+00

This map artificially cuts the city of Midland away from our county and watershed neighbors. It will be a massive effort to re-build the dams and lakes which directly flow into and affect the city of Midland. Please keep Midland whole and with our watershed partners who are our true community of interest. Tri cities is a legacy name with no meaning anymore. Saginaw and Midland couldn't be further apart in their needs. Please get rid of this map.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

jane scott

2021-10-22 00:36:13+00

My name is Paul Lilly and I am  
a resident of Ottawa County  
and the City of Holland.  
Ottawa County is the fastest  
growing county in the state  
and has a rich heritage and  
dynamic spirit. The County  
has had a strong tie to Lake  
Michigan and the seasonal  
influx of visitors it brings. The  
county has enjoyed a diverse  
industrial and agricultural  
heritage. Our people have  
long engaged in the personal  
efforts that build community.  
We have an identity that is  
often demonstrated in times  
of natural disaster and social  
need. The county has been  
known as a place that people  
can feel that they belong.  
Our diversity in employment  
and religious affiliations  
provide a strong community  
for all to share.  
Options that fracture the  
representation of our  
residents will not support the  
significant progress made in

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Like

Paul Henry Lilly

2021-10-22 00:34:31+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>As residents of Kentwood, we want to remain within Kent County, and keep it whole with 1 congressional district. We do not want to be combined with Kalamazoo which is a different city that likely has different areas of interest. Combining Kentwood with EGR and Grand Rapids makes sense as a 1 Senate district because we are communities of interest. This map artificially cuts the city of Midland away from our county and watershed neighbors. It will be a massive effort to re-build the dams and lakes which directly flow into and affect the city of Midland. Please keep Midland whole and with our watershed partners who are our true community of interest.</p>	Kim Galdes	2021-10-22 00:32:54+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		jane scott	2021-10-22 00:32:00+00

I am not in favor of combining Grand Rapids, Kentwood and Kalamazoo. We are residents of Kentwood and should be combined with our adjoining City of Grand Rapids and E Grand rapids but not with Kalamazoo which is a completely separate community with different and sometimes competing interests.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Paul Galdes

2021-10-22 00:26:43+00

This is a good map. The City of Midland is kept with the rural areas of Midland County. Many of the workers at my business are coming to the City of Midland from the surrounding rural areas represented by this map. This map keeps the City of Midland together with the areas that have experienced the issues with the dams failing and flooding. This is a good map and should be kept!

10-08-21 v1 HD Like

Mike Scott

2021-10-22 00:14:37+00

My name is Paul Lilly and I am a resident of Ottawa County and the City of Holland. Ottawa County is the fastest growing county in the state and has a rich heritage and dynamic spirit. The County has had a strong tie to Lake Michigan and the seasonal influx of visitors it brings. The county has enjoyed a diverse industrial and agricultural heritage. Our people have long engaged in the personal efforts that build community. We have an identity that is often demonstrated in times of natural disaster and social need. The county has been known as a place that people can feel that they belong. Our diversity in employment and religious affiliations provide a strong community for all to share. Options that fracture the representation of our residents will not support the significant progress made in

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Like

Paul Henry Lilly

2021-10-22 00:13:21+00

I would like to thank the commission for recognizing that the City of Midland's interests and needs are more closely aligned with the Tri-Cities and Flint than with the rural/agricultural counties to the west and North, or even with the rural townships of Midland county itself.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Joseph Weir

2021-10-22 00:11:05+00

This is a good map. We are grouped with rural areas around Midland. Many of the workers at my business are coming to Midland from the Gladwin and Isabella counties. This map keeps the City of Midland together with the areas that have experienced the issues with the dams failing and flooding. We have very little in common with Flint. We have very different school systems and the crime rates are very different. The issues that require significant work in Flint are much different than those in the City of Midland. This is a good map.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Like

Mike Scott

2021-10-22 00:08:45+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>I would like to thank the commission for recognizing that the City of Midland's interests and needs are more closely aligned with the Tri-Cities and Flint than with the rural/agricultural counties to the west and North, or even with the rural townships of Midland county itself.</p> <p>This is a good map. We are grouped with rural areas around Midland. Many of the workers at my business are coming to Midland from the Gladwin and surrounding areas. This map keeps the City of Midland together with the areas that have experienced the issues with the dams failing and flooding. We have very little in common with Flint and Saginaw. We have very different school systems and the crime rates are very different. The issues that require significant work in Flint and Saginaw are much different than those in the City of Midland. This is a good map. Please keep this map.</p>	Joseph Weir	2021-10-22 00:06:03+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Like		Mike Scott	2021-10-22 00:03:12+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	I would like to thank the commission for recognizing that the City of Midland's interests and needs are more closely aligned with the Tri-Cities and Flint than with the rural/agricultural counties to the west and North, or even with the rural townships of Midland county itself.	Joseph Weir	2021-10-22 00:03:02+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I would like to thank the commission for recognizing that the City of Midland's interests and needs are more closely aligned with the Tri-Cities and Flint than with the rural/agricultural counties to the west and North, or even with the rural townships of Midland county itself. As a lifelong resident of Ottawa County, I love this area and it needs to stay connected and intact.	Joseph Weir	2021-10-22 00:01:23+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like		Barbra Ann Katerberg	2021-10-21 23:59:13+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>I would like to thank the commission for recognizing that the City of Midland's interests and needs are more closely aligned with the Tri-Cities and Flint than with the rural/agricultural counties to the west and North, or even the rural townships of Midland county itself.</p> <p>Thank you for keeping Ottawa County whole on this map. Ottawa is a unique community of interest, whose smaller cities and township's share economic and agricultural ties. My specific township of Jamestown remains a rather rural community with about 2/3 of the Township zoned Agricultural as you can see from the attachment. We should definitely not be lumped in with Kent County.</p>	Joseph Weir	2021-10-21 23:58:14+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	Thank you.	Candy DeHaan	2021-10-21 23:50:58+00

Thank you for keeping Ottawa  
County whole on this map.  
Ottawa is a unique  
community of interest, whose  
smaller cities and township's  
share economic and  
agricultural ties. My specific  
township of Jamestown  
remains a rather rural  
community with about 2/3 of  
the Township zoned  
Agricultural. We should  
definitely not be lumped in  
with Kent County. Thank you. Candy DeHaan

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

2021-10-21 23:45:38+00

Thank you for receiving my comment which echoes the voices of many across Ottawa County. My support, our support, is in favor of the proposed map #201, the only map that makes sense for maintaining the integrity, and cohesiveness of our wonderful county. As a lack of fair representation of West Michigan within the MICRC is duly noted, I want to state clearly the fact that the remaining proposed maps that would divide many of our communities is unfavorable to the commonality of the Community of Interest we currently share in Ottawa County. As commented on before, these homogeneous interests touch us within Cultural, Community, and Economic Identifiers, as well as shared public services that have created strong bonds contributing to our growth and stability in the state of

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Opinion

Marla Imhoff

2021-10-21 23:24:08+00

This map will better serve the more rural communities in the area. The lakeshore communities have their own unique requirements and concerns, and it will be very helpful to have a representative who is able to concentrate on the needs of our residents. I would, however, suggest that Saugatuck be placed within the lakeshore district, as it has much more in common with those communities than it does with the more rural areas in the proposed HD88. The new proposed districts of HD 87, HD88, and HD81 recognize communities of interest, and allow the African American and Latino/Hispanic communities to be better represented. Overall, well

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

done!

Lyn Alban

2021-10-21 23:10:39+00

A good map as far as Ottawa, Muskegon, and Allegan Counties are concerned. Ottawa should not be divided; there is no good reason to do so.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Like

John Kubczak

2021-10-21 23:01:55+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	This map keeps Farmington and Farmington Hills together, as they should be since they are very closely related communities of interest.	Aimee Ergas	2021-10-21 23:01:55+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Ottawa County should remain intact. North to south, east to west, the similarities are very strong and it should be represented by one congressional district. I like this map because it keeps Farmington and Farmington Hills together.	John Kubczak	2021-10-21 22:56:52+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Due to commonality of Farmington and Farmington Hills, I approve of putting these two cities together in this district.	Aimee Ergas	2021-10-21 22:51:46+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I am a huge supporter of keeping Ottawa County together! Thank you for doing so in this map. Ottawa residents have a shared value system and way of life. Keeping Ottawa together would preserve the unified voice our county seeks to maintain.	Laura Paulson	2021-10-21 22:47:59+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like		Marie Karsemeyer	2021-10-21 22:43:43+00

Thank you for keeping the Tri-Cities together in a senate district. These 3 cities are an obvious community of interest who all have industrial based businesses, a shared tri city airport, several shared media outlets, regional colleges and universities, and together we are considered the Great Lakes Bay Area. Please Continue to work on partisan fairness because the outcomes of the map should reflect the political make-up of the state. This will give the voters a more competitive district and a better representative. This is what the voters wanted when they passed Proposal 2. Please continue to improve the maps until the seat shares match the vote shares of the state (54% D / 47% R) Thank you!!

The eastern boundary of District 83 should be redrawn to include Fruitport Township and Village for the following reasons:

1. Fruitport is suburban in nature not rural. It is one of the fastest growing communities in Muskegon County.
2. Fruitport Township is part of the Spring Lake/Norris Creek watershed. Spring Lake is two blocks from my house and where we often launch our boat to get to Lake Michigan. We are part of the lakeshore community of interest.
3. We made the case to the County Apportionment Commission that our township has far more in common with Norton Shores than Ravenna Township and they drew the new map accordingly. Our new district will include the southeast section of Norton Shores

10-08-21 v2 HD

Like

Ellen M. Beal

2021-10-21 22:39:07+00

Cool, another acceptable map! Thank You for drawing a state Senate district that includes the cities of Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw, also known as the Tri Cities. These 3 cities are an obvious community of interest who all have industrial based businesses, a shared tri city airport, several shared media outlets, regional colleges and universities, and together we are considered the Great Lakes Bay Area. Please Continue to work on partisan fairness because the outcomes of the map should reflect the political make-up of the state. This will give the voters a more competitive district and a better representative. This is what the voters wanted when they passed Proposal 2.

I am in support of this map because it keeps Ottawa County separate from Kent County and it also keeps the lakeshore counties together. All of Ottawa County needs to be kept together as the residents of this county share distinct values that differ from Kent County. The economy of all the lakeshore counties are similar in nature and it makes sense to keep these counties together so that their interests do not get diluted by being lumped with non-lakeshore communities like Grand Rapids or Kalamazoo.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Marie Karsemeyer

2021-10-21 22:37:41+00

I like this map as it includes the cities of Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw – also known as the Tri Cities. These 3 cities are an obvious community of interest who all have industrial based businesses, a shared tri city airport, several shared media outlets, regional colleges and universities, and together we are considered the Great Lakes Bay Area. Please Continue to work on partisan fairness because the outcomes of the map should reflect the political make-up of the state. This will give the voters a more competitive district and a better representative. This is what the voters wanted when they passed Proposal 2. Thanks, and keep up the good work.

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Like		Cindy Weir	2021-10-21 22:35:23+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This map does not achieve zero political bias. The map needs to achieve partisan fairness.	Beverly J Riggie	2021-10-21 22:33:45+00

I reside in Cass County, MI.

My family founded and based a small home supply company here over 50 years ago. Since then, our small business has expanded to 7 stores based in Cass, Berrien and St. Joseph counties. Bordering Indiana, the term "Michiana" was created to describe this area of Southwest Michigan.

There are unique issues that businesses face bordering another state. The people of Michiana have figured out viable solutions to these complex economic issues, but a split senate district risks adequate representation. The proposed redistricting map splits our small business into two senate districts, creating hurdles and damaging solutions that we have made to ensure our business is successful. Please keep Michiana intact to ensure that our small business is represented.

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS Dislike

Jared Hannapel

2021-10-21 22:33:01+00

I reside in Cass County MI.

My family founded and based a small home supply company here over 50 years ago. Since then, our small business has expanded to 7 stores based in Cass, Berrien and St. Joseph counties. Bordering Indiana, the term "Michiana" was created to describe this area of Southwest Michigan.

There are unique issues that businesses face bordering another state. The people of Michiana have figured out viable solutions to these complex economic issues, but a split senate district risks adequate representation. The proposed redistricting map splits our small business into two senate districts, creating hurdles and damaging solutions that we have made to ensure our business is successful. Please keep Michiana intact to ensure that our small business is represented.

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS Dislike

Jared Hannapel

2021-10-21 22:33:00+00

Please go back to the Cherry map. The Cherry map draws a state Senate district that includes the cities of Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw, also known as the Tri Cities. These 3 cities are an obvious community of interest who all have industrial based businesses, a shared tri city airport, several shared media outlets, regional colleges and universities, and together we are considered the Great Lakes Bay Area. Please Continue to work on partisan fairness because the outcomes of the map should reflect the political make-up of the state. This will give the voters a more competitive district and a better representative. This is what the voters wanted when they passed Proposal 2.

Not a fan of this map. This map does not consider overall partisan fairness! I suggest going back to the Midland and Bay City house district map 241. Map 241 is fair and representative of the area. These 2 cities are closely aligned economically, culturally, and through the arts and school systems. Please continue to improve the maps until the seat shares match the vote shares of the state (54% D / 47% R).

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO Dislike

Thanks Much!

Cindy Weir

2021-10-21 22:26:57+00

This comment is in regards to proposed district 83. Please consider redrawing the eastern boundary to include Fruitport Township into this district for the following reasons.

1. Fruitport Township and Village is suburban, not rural. We have 14,500 residents and our area is one of the fastest growing communities in Muskegon County.
2. Fruitport is part of the Spring Lake/Norris Creek watershed. Spring Lake is two blocked from my house and we often laum

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Ellen Beal

2021-10-21 22:26:01+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	<p>This map gives Midland and Bay City a district where a representative would work for the voters. This brings the overall map closer to zero political bias. Since Michigan is a 54% Democratic state its districts should reflect that. Please work for zero bias in the districts. Thank you for working on this project.</p>	Beverly J Riggie	2021-10-21 22:24:51+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	<p>This is the worst map proposed yet. It gerrymanders districts 11 and 12. It dilutes and cancels Asians, Indians and Middle Eastern voices living in Macomb and Oakland counties. Please do not chose this map!</p>	Yousif	2021-10-21 22:21:45+00

Great Map! Thank you for including Midland and Bay City into a State House district. These two cities have more in common with each than the rural districts surrounding them. Even though the shape is odd, it is more representative as a community and political bias is closer to zero, which will make the district more competitive, and allow for better representation. And this is the goal of proposal 2. Please continue to improve the maps until the seat shares match the vote shares of the state (54% D / 47% R) Thank you!

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Cindy Weir

2021-10-21 22:20:23+00

Midland City is not rural, and should be included with Bay City in a State House district. These two cities have more in common with each than the rural districts surrounding them. This would be more representative as a community and political bias is closer to zero, which will make the district more competitive, and allow for better representation. And this is the goal of Proposal 2. Thank You! Please continue to improve the maps until the seat shares match the vote shares of the state (54% D / 47% R)

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Dislike

Cindy Weir

2021-10-21 22:17:36+00

This map is totally unacceptable. Cass County on the west side of this map has nothing in common with the areas to the east. We go to Kalamazoo, Grand Rapids and Holland to the north and to St. Joseph (city, not county) Benton Harbor to the west. This is a very bad map as it puts communities together that have nothing in common.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Naomi Ludman

2021-10-21 22:17:14+00

This map gives Midland and Bay City A district where a representative works for the voters, not the party or extreme base. This brings the overall map closer to a zero political bias, which is why we needed to redraw the districts. Please continue to correct the Republican lean. We all want our vote to count.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Beverly J Riggie

2021-10-21 22:16:38+00

I oppose this proposed map. Kent and Ottawa counties should remain entirely in one district. This division splits Community of Interest of Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo. In addition it splits multiple governmental services and smaller communities of interest, including MANY school districts. Splitting these communities in this way for any reason other than population (or the VRA) is a blatant violation of the Michigan Constitution. And could also be considered Gerrymandering. Redistricting should be conducted with the last 10 years of district maps available and recognized Communities of Interest shown, not just a political demographics map.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Steven Davidson

2021-10-21 22:09:34+00

10-11-21	Eid v5 CD	Like	<p>Hooray.... A great map for our area! Best so far! I like that it includes the Tri-Cities and Flint and places Tuscola County in District 10 where it belongs. It also keeps 3 counties whole (Bay, Saginaw, Genesee) and included most of Midland County as well. This is a great job. Please continue to make partisan fairness a priority and make sure the maps reflect the 53% Dem and 47% Republican vote share of the state.</p> <p>Thank you for keeping the towns of Midland/Saginaw/Bay City in the same district. Many people live in one city and work in a different one. As municipalities with a industrial bases, we have many similar interests from public policy. Adding in Flint makes sense as well. There is more logic to urban versus rural interests when creating a COI. I also urge you to keep districts competitive from a political party perspective if feasible. Thank you for your work.</p>	Cindy Weir	2021-10-21 22:08:02+00
10-13-21	v1 CD RAS	Like		Anne Wallin	2021-10-21 22:03:58+00

Thank you for keeping the towns of Midland/Saginaw/Bay City in the same district. Many people live in one city and work in a different one. As municipalities with a industrial bases, we have many similar interests from public policy. Adding Flint as well makes more sense than maps that pulled in large rural areas. There is more logic to urban versus rural interests when creating a COI. I also urge you to keep districts competitive from a political party perspective if feasible. Thank you for your work.

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

Anne Wallin

2021-10-21 22:01:58+00

I'm not a huge fan of this map. Thank you for keeping the towns of Midland/Saginaw/Bay City in the same district. Many people live in one city and work in a different one. As municipalities with a industrial bases, we have many similar interests from public policy. However this map then brings in a large rural area that doesn't make a lot of sense. There is more logic to urban versus rural interests when creating a COI. I also urge you to keep districts competitive from a political party perspective if feasible. Thank you for your work.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

Anne Wallin

2021-10-21 22:00:05+00

This is one of the best congressional maps I've seen for the Mid-Michigan COI of the Tri-Cities and Flint area and places Tuscola County in District 10 where it belongs. You've kept 3 counties whole (Bay, Saginaw, Genesee) and included most of Midland County as well. Great work!! Most importantly, please continue to make partisan fairness a priority and make sure the maps reflect the 53% Dem and 47% Republican vote share of the state.

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

Thanks much!!

Cindy Weir

2021-10-21 21:59:56+00

Please keep the towns of Midland/Saginaw/Bay City in the same district. Many people live in one city and work in a different one. As municipalities with a industrial bases, we have many similar interests from public policy. There is more logic to urban versus rural interests when creating a COI. I also urge you to keep districts competitive from a political party perspective if feasible. Thank you for your work.

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

Anne Wallin

2021-10-21 21:57:46+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>Thank you for keeping at least the towns of Midland/Saginaw/Bay City in the same district. Many people live in one city and work in a different one. As municipalities with a industrial bases, we have many similar interests from public policy. There is more logic to urban versus rural interests when creating a COI. I also urge you to keep districts competitive from a political party perspective if feasible. Thank you for your work.</p>	Anne Wallin	2021-10-21 21:56:05+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	<p>This is such a monumental project for Michigan. Thank you to the commission. Keeping Kalamazoo in the same district as Grand Rapids makes sense because of their commonalities. Both communities are leaders in the healthcare industry</p>	Maureen Rice	2021-10-21 21:55:49+00

Thank you for keeping at least the towns of Midland/Saginaw/Bay City in the same district. Many people live in one city and work in a different one. As municipalities with a industrial bases, we have many similar interests from public policy. There is more logic to urban versus rural interests when creating a COI. I also urge you to keep districts competitive from a political party perspective if feasible. Thank you for your work.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC

Like

Anne Wallin

2021-10-21 21:54:38+00

I don't think this map represents the areas as well as the other two maps. The rural areas should be kept together and the larger cities of Midland and Bay City together, so everyone's needs can be met. Please focus on partisan fairness as well.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Lynda Kay Magirl

2021-10-21 21:54:11+00

Please.... NOT THIS MAP.....  
This map looks like a  
gerrymander to save Rep.  
John Moolenaar's seat. It is  
unconstitutional to  
intentionally draw districts  
that benefit a candidate.  
Including Gladwin in a district  
with Saginaw, Bay City and  
Flint makes no sense. This  
makes no sense. Please go  
back to a Tri-Cities and Flint  
congressional district. This  
allows you to keep most of  
those 4 counties whole and  
have a clear Mid-Michigan  
COI. Thank you!

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

Cindy Weir

2021-10-21 21:53:46+00

Thank you for keeping at least the towns of Midland/Saginaw/Bay City in the same district. Many people live in one city and work in a different one. As municipalities with a industrial bases, we have many similar interests from public policy. There is more logic to urban versus rural interests when creating a COI. I also urge you to keep districts competitive from a political party perspective if feasible. Thank you for your work.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Anne Wallin

2021-10-21 21:53:09+00

Remove the Ottawa county areas from the Kent County district. They share little in common.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Kim Gates

2021-10-21 21:51:22+00

I much prefer maps which combine at least the towns of Midland/Saginaw/Bay City in the same district. Many people live in one city and work in a different one. As municipalities with a industrial bases, we have many similar interests from public policy. There is more logic to urban versus rural interests when creating a COI. This puts Midland in a largely rural district which makes little sense from a public policy perspective. I also urge you to keep districts competitive from a political party perspective if feasible.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Thank you for your work.

Anne Wallin

2021-10-21 21:51:16+00

Thank you to the Commission for this important project. To improve fairness. My recommendation is to move the district line from Fulton south to Wealthy

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

Maureen Rice

2021-10-21 21:48:26+00

I dislike splitting the towns of Midland and Bay City into different districts. Many people live in one city and work in a different one. As municipalities with industrial bases, we have many similar interests from public policy. I am unconvinced that the floods and watershed make a significant COI. There is more logic to urban versus rural interests when creating a COI. I also urge you to keep districts competitive from a political party perspective if feasible. Thank you for your work.

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Dislike

Anne Wallin

2021-10-21 21:48:12+00

I like this map but please consider placing Tuscola County in District 10. This will allow you to place more of Midland County into District 11. The City of Midland should be included in a Congressional district along with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint. These cities are the core of Mid-Michigan and a community of interest. The City of Midland needs be included in an area that makes economic and geographic sense! Also, most importantly, please continue to look at partisan bias when tweaking maps. Thanks for all you all are doing!

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Cindy Weir

2021-10-21 21:48:12+00

Thank you for keeping the towns of Midland and Bay City in the same district. Many people live in one city and work in a different one. As municipalities with industrial bases, we have many similar interests from public policy. There is much logic to keeping urban/suburban areas together as a COI. I also urge you to keep districts competitive from a political party perspective if feasible.

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Thank you for your work.

Anne Wallin

2021-10-21 21:46:23+00

I like maps 31 and 53. This map gives Midland and Bay City, two cities that have been closely aligned for a long time, a competitive district where a representative works for the voters, not the party or extreme base. This brings the overall map closer to a zero political bias, but please continue to correct the Republican lean. Michigan is a 54% Democratic state and its districts should reflect that.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Lynda Kay Magirl

2021-10-21 21:46:17+00

I dislike splitting the towns of Midland and Bay City into different districts. Many people live in one city and work in a different one. As municipalities with industrial bases, we have many similar interests from public policy. I am unconvinced that the floods and watershed make a significant COI. There is more logic to urban versus rural interests when creating a COI. I also urge you to keep districts competitive from a political party perspective if feasible. Thank you for your work.

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO fDislike

Anne Wallin

2021-10-21 21:44:20+00

Thank you for keeping the towns of Midland and Bay City in the same district. Many people live in one city and work in a different one. As municipalities with industrial bases, we have many similar interests from public policy. I am unconvinced that the floods and watershed make a significant COI. There is more logic to urban versus rural interests when creating a COI. I also urge you to keep districts competitive from a political party perspective if feasible. Thank you for your work.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Anne Wallin

2021-10-21 21:42:43+00

I like that GR and Kazoo are kept together since they are major cities having similar interests concerning minority populations--affordable housing, healthcare, civil rights and education.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Kim Gates

2021-10-21 21:42:07+00

I don't like this map. The City of Midland should be included in a Congressional district along with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint. These cities are the core of Mid-Michigan and a community of interest. The City of Midland needs be included in an area that makes economic and geographic sense! I don't like this map because this district excludes Flint. Please include the Tri-Cities and Flint together in a congressional district as they are more closely aligned economically and geographically.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

Cindy Weir

2021-10-21 21:42:00+00

I dislike splitting the towns of Midland and Bay City into different districts. Many people live in one city and work in a different one. As municipalities with a industrial bases, we have many similar interests from public policy. I am unconvinced that the floods and watershed make a significant COI. There is more logic to urban versus rural interests when creating a COI. I also urge you to keep districts competitive from a political party perspective if feasible. Thank you for your work.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Anne Wallin

2021-10-21 21:41:16+00

I do not support this plan. The metropolitan regions of Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo form two completely different communities of interest. The two cities also have different economic interests. Please keep Kent County whole within one congressional district.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW

Dislike

Lyle Vogler

2021-10-21 21:40:33+00

Thank you for keeping the towns of Midland and Bay City in the same district. Many people live in one city and work in a different one. As municipalities with industrial bases, we have many similar interests from public policy. There is more logic to urban versus rural interests when creating a COI. I also urge you to keep districts competitive from a political party perspective if feasible. Thank you for your work.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Like

Anne Wallin

2021-10-21 21:38:45+00

Thank you for keeping the towns of Midland/Saginaw/Bay City in the same district. Many people live in one city and work in a different one. As municipalities with a industrial bases, we have many similar interests from public policy. I am unconvinced that the floods and watershed make a significant COI. There is more logic to urban versus rural interests when creating a COI. I also urge you to keep districts competitive from a political party perspective if feasible. Thank you for your work.

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS Like

Anne Wallin

2021-10-21 21:36:21+00

The cities of Midland and Bay City have significantly different policy and economic interests from this very rural area going west and north. I much prefer maps that group urban/suburban communities together and rural areas together. I also urge you to keep districts as competitive as feasible. Thank you for your work.

10-14-21 v1 SD RL Dislike

Anne Wallin

2021-10-21 21:35:19+00

Thank you for keeping the towns of Midland/Saginaw/Bay City in the same district. Many people live in one city and work in a different one. As municipalities with a industrial bases, we have many similar interests from public policy. I am unconvinced that the floods and watershed make a significant COI. There is more logic to urban versus rural interests when creating a COI. I also urge you to keep districts competitive from a political party perspective if feasible. Thank you for your work.

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid Like

Anne Wallin

2021-10-21 21:31:50+00

Thank you for keeping the towns of Midland/Saginaw/Bay City in the same district. Many people live in one city and work in a different one. As municipalities with a industrial bases, we have many similar interests from public policy. I am unconvinced that the floods and watershed make a significant COI. There is more logic to urban versus rural interests when creating a COI. I also urge you to keep districts competitive from a political party perspective if feasible. Thank you for your work.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Like

Anne Wallin

2021-10-21 21:30:51+00

Thank you for keeping the towns of Midland/Saginaw/Bay City in the same district. Many people live in one city and work in a different one. As municipalities with a industrial bases, we have many similar interests from public policy. I am unconvinced that the floods and watershed make a significant COI. There is more logic to urban versus rural interests when creating a COI. I also urge you to keep districts competitive from a political party perspective if feasible. Thank you for your work.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Like

Anne Wallin

2021-10-21 21:29:37+00

Thank you for keeping the towns of Midland/Saginaw/Bay City in the same district. Many people live in one city and work in a different one. As municipalities with a industrial bases, we have many similar interests from public policy. I am unconvinced that the floods and watershed make a significant COI. There is more logic to urban versus rural interests when creating a COI. I also urge you to keep districts competitive from a political party perspective if feasible. Thank you for your work.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

Anne Wallin

2021-10-21 21:27:08+00

Splitting the City of Dearborn, and the burgeoning Arab American community, into 3 different house districts is entirely unacceptable. Using the VRA as an excuse is also a cop-out since Arab Americans are considered a protected class by the Supreme Court (since 1987). Census data does not accurately reflect the composition of SE Michigan (intentionally), and I was under the impression this commission is supposed to factor communities of interest. AFL-CIO fair maps initiative had a much better approximation for House maps (pg. 25, 42) (minus a few precincts, but MUCH better still). Note Dearborn heights as well, providing another opportunity seat (pg. 38). Dearborn faces unique infrastructure challenges, language access, educational, economic, and environmental issues that require state level

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO fDislike

Mustapha Hammoud

2021-10-21 21:25:02+00

This is a good map. It keeps the county of Midland whole and with our natural community of interest, our watershed neighbors. We are partners with our rural neighbors via the watershed and this will allow us to continue our focused efforts to weather the current multi year, multi million dollar process to re-build. Tri cities is a legacy term and no longer applies to this area. I am a resident of over 32 years in Midland and I rarely go to Saginaw or Bay City. "Midland Strong" is our flood survivor and rebuilding slogan.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Like

jane scott

2021-10-21 21:20:38+00

The Tri-Cities (Bay City/Saginaw/Midland) staying together is great, but it seems like Flint should be included as well. These have always been communities of similar interest as long as I can remember and I would expect an elected official to represent the whole of those communities. Please don't exclude Flint from the Tri-Cities.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL

Dislike

Brendon M Baranek

2021-10-21 21:18:21+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	Please work to keep the whole of Ottawa County together as a voting bloc. It makes no sense to connect it to areas/districts that do not represent the county's makeup, interests, and even values. Thank you	Ray Paget	2021-10-21 21:15:53+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	I live in Plainfield Township in the Northview School District. We are very close to Grand Rapids but also to Rockford. The Community of Interest should include people IN northeastern Grand Rapids and Adjacent! Many people in Plainfield Township shop or work in the city of Grand Rapids. We pay (partial) income tax. We have much more of a state in what happens in the City of GR than do people quite south of Grand Rapids like Kentwood, Cutlerville, Kalamazoo, etc.	Susan L Jordan	2021-10-21 21:14:48+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	I am opposed to the creation of a joint Grand Rapids - Kalamazoo district as we are fundamentally distinct communities of interest due to our differing - sometimes competing - needs and interests.	Emerson Silvernail	2021-10-21 21:06:59+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	Thank you Commissioner Lange for listening to us and keeping Ottawa County whole in your map.	Sue Horling	2021-10-21 20:51:37+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Please keep Ottawa Co together. I am from Grand Rapids. My community of interests doesn't have anything to do with Kalamazoo or Midland. Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids are their own metropolises and must be kept separate. Midland also doesn't have anything to do with my community of interest as it is on the other side of the state and also isn't apart of Kent county in any way. In this map, you have Kent County split into two parts. The bottom half with Kalamazoo County and the top half of Kent County connected to Midland. How does a country town relate to a metropolis in Grand Rapids? It doesn't. When asked where we are from, we say Grand Rapids if from Kent County, not Midland as it's on the other side of the state, and not Kalamazoo as Kalamazoo County is an hour and half south of Kent County, making	Susan Aalsburg	2021-10-21 20:51:34+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike		April Davidson	2021-10-21 20:49:34+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>This is a good house district. it achieves a near zero political bias in the district which will allow the people to elect a representative that works for them and not their party, and it unifies two closely aligned cities that share economic, cultural, and educational ties. Please continue to improve the maps until the seat shares match the vote shares of the state (54% D / 47% R)</p>	JESSIE WOOD	2021-10-21 20:48:36+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Like	<p>Thank you for keeping the Tri-Cities together in a senate district. They are a clear COI that share economic, cultural, and educational ties. Please continue to improve the maps until the seat shares match the vote shares of the state (54% D / 47% R)</p>	JESSIE WOOD	2021-10-21 20:46:06+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>I support Map 201 that keeps Ottawa County together. Ottawa County is unique with its lakeshore and rural areas and it's important that we stay connected as much as possible. Thank you for this map and the hard work of the commission.</p>	Sandra Betten	2021-10-21 20:44:22+00

10-14-21 v1 SD RL Dislike I dislike this senate district.  
The Tri-Cities are a closely aligned COI and the majority of the comments on the portal reflect that. Please return to the senate district drawn in the Cherry plan. JESSIE WOOD 2021-10-21 20:44:12+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike The Districts in Lower Michigan make no sense at all 3, 3, 5, 6, I appreciate work I really do but if you have neve worked or lived in the area drafting 20 maps and living in UP . You really have no idea. We do really want partisan fairness right? Please have another hearing down state, very disappointed at people that had to call in and verbally abuse commissioners, we are better than that Thank you Maureen Cirocco 2021-10-21 20:42:53+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Dislike	<p>While this district may make sense geographically, it does not add to the overall partisan fairness of the entire map. I suggest going back to the Midland and Bay City house district. These 2 cities are closely aligned economically, culturally, and through the arts and school systems. Please continue to improve the maps until the seat shares match the vote shares of the state (54% D / 47% R)</p>	JESSIE WOOD	2021-10-21 20:42:52+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like	<p>I feel it is VERY important to keep Ottawa Co as one!</p>	Tarrah Meyering	2021-10-21 20:41:56+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD Like	<p>This is the best congressional map I have seen for our area. It respects the Mid-Michigan COI of the Tri-Cities and Flint, places Tuscola County in District 10 where it belongs, kept 3 counties whole (Bay, Saginaw, Genesee) and included most of Midland County as well. This is a great job. Please continue to make partisan fairness a priority and make sure the maps reflect the 53% Dem and 47% Republican vote share of the state.</p>	JESSIE WOOD	2021-10-21 20:40:55+00

Although this Congressional District 4 is not as gerrymandered as the current Congressional District 2, it still manages to dilute the multicultural voices of Grand Rapids by pasting on the rural areas of Ottawa and Ionia counties. Grand Rapids is the second largest city in the state and it's not fair to try to mute the community's needs and identity by spreading it over 3 counties. Republicans already have plenty of district representation in the state; allow the Democrats of Grand Rapids an opportunity to be heard.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

(Donna) Sue Merrell

2021-10-21 20:39:56+00

This is the best congressional map I have seen for our area. It respects the Mid-Michigan COI of the Tri-Cities and Flint, places Tuscola County in District 10 where it belongs, kept 3 counties whole (Bay, Saginaw, Genesee) and included most of Midland County as well. This is a great job. Please continue to make partisan fairness a priority and make sure the maps reflect the 53% Dem and 47% Republican vote share of the state.

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

JESSIE WOOD

2021-10-21 20:39:38+00

I am not impressed at all with this map. This is the worst case of gerrymandering you could come up with in the North. The split counties and the projected new borders are very much political gerrymandering and not for the good of the citizens. Common sense is what is needed here.

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Dislike

Leland Hershey

2021-10-21 20:38:44+00

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	<p>This map looks like a gerrymander to save Rep. John Moolenaar's seat. It is unconstitutional to intentionally draw districts that benefit a candidate. You even put Gladwin in a district with Saginaw, Bay City and Flint. This makes no sense. Please go back to a Tri-Cities and Flint congressional district. This allows you to keep most of those 4 counties whole and have a clear Mid-Michigan COI.</p>	JESSIE WOOD	2021-10-21 20:37:15+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Like	<p>This is a good map. Keeps Midland County whole and keeps us with our natural watershed community. The re-building of our watershed will take years and massive amounts of money and coordination of our communities. Nothing defines a community like the watershed we share. Thank you for recognizing this overwhelmingly important fact. I have been a resident of the city of Midland for over 32 years and support this map.</p>	jane scott	2021-10-21 20:35:55+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS Like	<p>Thank you for continually placing the Tri-Cities and Flint in a congressional district. We are all urban/suburban areas with industrial based economies and share cultural, educational, and economic interests. Please consider placing Tuscola County in District 10. This will allow you to place more of Midland County in District 11.</p>	Jessie Wood	2021-10-21 20:34:35+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO fDislike	<p>I do not want to be moved out of the 105 district. This new map splits towns close to each other to different districts such as Mio and Fairview. Leave it like it was.</p>	Treva Hershey	2021-10-21 20:32:38+00

I appreciate the commission continually respecting the COI of the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) but this district excludes Flint. These 4 cities are the only urban/suburban cities in Mid-Michigan and should be included together as they are also a community of interest. This district all dilutes the minority votes of Saginaw in a dangerous way and moves the commission farther from a zero political bias map. Put the Tri-Cities and Flint together in a congressional district. Aligning the Tri-Cities with rural counties all the way to the western shoreline of the State. Representation would favor Lake Michigan Shoreline. The Industrial Tri-Cities/Counties are more closely aligned with Flint/Genesee. I strongly object to this map

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

Jessie Wood

2021-10-21 20:30:58+00

I strongly oppose combining the cities of Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo in the Apple map. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are very distinct and have little commonality (other than they both are democrat strongholds surrounded by Republican suburban and rural communities

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Germaine Orville Kooiman

2021-10-21 20:27:19+00

Wow this is great. I never thought about a congressional district that combined Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo but it makes perfect sense. The cities have so much in common -- universities, hospitals, industry -- that is in sharp contrast to the rural areas of the state. They also have a diverse population in common which makes it possible for these voices to be heard. At last. I have always felt like I didn't have a voice in Washington because Grandville was gerrymandered in with all the rural Lakeshore voices in the 2nd Congressional district. In this new 4th Congressional district I feel like I really belong. Like my vote counts. Thanks for coming up with this map.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Sue Merrell

2021-10-21 20:26:27+00

First, I great appreciate the attempt to keep Counties intact, however, while I respect that greatly, one might argue that the economic, social, and political challenges faced by rural communities today vary greatly from those of urban and even mid-small cities. Add to that, there are now dozens of initiatives all aimed at creating a "Great Lakes Bay" environment. For these reasons, I would vote against this particular map and vote instead in favor of a maps that address the Tri-Cities together (MBS) AND allow for rural communities to have a separate voting block to address those concerns. Much thanks for the work of this commission to create fairness in our regional, political systems.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Tammy J DeRuyter

2021-10-21 20:24:46+00

I like this map because it keeps Farmington Hills and Farmington TOGETHER.We share same SCHOOL SYSTEM and Library System and many organizations!

10-07-21 v1 CD AE

Like

janice GOLDFARB

2021-10-21 20:20:10+00

The economic, social, and political challenges that face rural communities vary greatly from those of urban and even mid-small cities. Add to that, there are now dozens of initiatives all aimed at creating a "Great Lakes Bay" environment. For these reasons, I am grateful to see that the re-districting lines account for Midland-BayCity to be a "clump" together. I am so appreciative for the work of this commission to create fairness in our regional, political systems.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Like

Tammy J DeRuyter

2021-10-21 20:19:34+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>The economic, social, and political challenges that face rural communities vary greatly from those of urban and even mid-small cities. Add to that, there are now dozens of initiatives all aimed at creating a "Great Lakes Bay" environment. For these reasons, I am grateful to see that the re-districting lines account for Midland-BayCity to be a "clump" together. I am so appreciative for the work of this commission to create fairness in our regional, political systems.</p>	Tammy J DeRuyter	2021-10-21 20:18:47+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>District 27 is still packed with too many Dems packed into one district. Please unpack this district so that it is more fairly represented!</p> <p>I dislike this map because it splits Farmington Hills and Farmington. We should be TOGETHER. We share same SCHOOL SYSTEM and Library System and many organizations!</p>	Laurie Williams	2021-10-21 20:18:26+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike		janice GOLDFARB	2021-10-21 20:17:32+00

I believe that this district comprised of lakeshore communities is very important as it will give a common representative voice to the people for addressing their needs and concerns regarding issues relating to tourism, fair housing, diversity and the environment. Expanding the district north to Saugatuck would be an even greater enhancement.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Mary Colliflower

2021-10-21 20:16:54+00

The economic, social, and political challenges that face rural communities vary greatly from those of urban and even mid-small cities. Add to that, there are now dozens of initiatives all aimed at creating a "Great Lakes Bay" environment. For these reasons, I am grateful to see that the re-districting lines account for Midland-BayCity-Saginaw to be a "clump" while posturing the rural portions of all three counties divided into congressional districts that will be fair and impartial to these areas as well. I am so appreciative for the work of this commission to create fairness in our regional, political systems.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

Tammy J DeRuyter

2021-10-21 20:16:20+00

The economic, social, and political challenges that face rural communities vary greatly from those of urban and even mid-small cities. Add to that, there are now dozens of initiatives all aimed at creating a "Great Lakes Bay" environment. For these reasons, I am grateful to see that the re-districting lines account for Midland-BayCity-Saginaw to be a "clump" while posturing the rural portions of all three counties divided into congressional districts that will be fair and impartial to these areas as well. I am so appreciative for the work of this commission to create fairness in our regional, political systems.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Like

Tammy J DeRuyter

2021-10-21 20:15:25+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>The economic, social, and political challenges that face rural communities vary greatly from those of urban and even mid-small cities. Add to that, there are now dozens of initiatives all aimed at creating a "Great Lakes Bay" environment. For these reasons, I am grateful to see that the re-districting lines account for Midland-BayCity-Saginaw to be a "clump" while posturing the rural portions of all three counties divided into congressional districts that will be fair and impartial to these areas as well. I am so appreciative for the work of this commission to create fairness in our regional, political systems.</p>	Tammy J DeRuyter	2021-10-21 20:14:39+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>Dislike because separate Farmington and Farmington Hills. Same SCHOOL SYSTEM and LIBRARY SYSTEM and MANY ORGANIZATIONS.</p>	janice GOLDFARB	2021-10-21 20:13:57+00

The economic, social, and political challenges that face rural communities vary greatly from those of urban and even mid-small cities. Add to that, there are now dozens of initiatives all aimed at creating a "Great Lakes Bay" environment. For these reasons, I am grateful to see that the re-districting lines account for Midland-BayCity-Saginaw to be a "clump" while posturing the rural portions of all three counties divided into congressional districts that will be fair and impartial to these areas as well. I am so appreciative for the work of this commission to create fairness in our regional, political systems.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Like

Tammy J DeRuyter

2021-10-21 20:13:48+00

The economic, social, and political challenges that face rural communities vary greatly from those of urban and even mid-small cities. Add to that, there are now dozens of initiatives all aimed at creating a "Great Lakes Bay" environment. For these reasons, I am grateful to see that the re-districting lines account for Midland-BayCity-Saginaw to be a "clump" while posturing the rural portions of all three counties divided into congressional districts that will be fair and impartial to these areas as well. I am so appreciative for the work of this commission to create fairness in our regional, political systems.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Tammy J DeRuyter

2021-10-21 20:13:11+00

I like how this map is unpacking a heavily Democratic district. It's important to have fair competition, and I believe that our representatives need to be held accountable to all constituents in their district. When a district is unfairly and heavily gerrymandered, representatives become accountable only to their political party, and not to all the people in the district.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Laurie Williams

2021-10-21 20:12:57+00

The economic, social, and political challenges that face rural communities vary greatly from those of urban and even mid-small cities. Add to that, there are now dozens of initiatives all aimed at creating a "Great Lakes Bay" environment. For these reasons, I am grateful to see that the re-districting lines account for Midland-BayCity-Saginaw to be a "clump" while posturing the rural portions of all three counties divided into congressional districts that will be fair and impartial to these areas as well. I am so appreciative for the work of this commission to create fairness in our regional, political systems.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like

Tammy J DeRuyter

2021-10-21 20:10:43+00

I like this map because it keeps Farmington Hills and Farmington TOGETHER. We share same SCHOOL SYSTEM, LIBRARY SYSTEM and organizations.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Like

janice GOLDFARB

2021-10-21 20:10:05+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

I like this map because it keeps Farmington Hills and Farmington TOGETHER.We share same SCHOOL SYSTEM, LIBRARY SYSTEM and organizations.

janice GOLDFARB

2021-10-21 20:09:05+00

The economic, social, and political challenges that face rural communities vary greatly from those of urban and even mid-small cities. Add to that, there are now dozens of initiatives all aimed at creating a "Great Lakes Bay" environment. For these reasons, I LOVE that this map is re-districting lines to account for Midland-BayCity-Saginaw to be a "clump" while posturing the rural portions of all three counties divided into congressional districts that will be fair and impartial to these areas as well. Given that logic, I'm not comfortable with the distance into the Thumb, however, I am so grateful for the work of this commission to create fairness in our regional, political systems.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Opinion

Tammy J DeRuyter

2021-10-21 20:06:05+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are two distinct communities, and creating a map where they are unnaturally blended into one District doesn't make sense, and is contrary to the purpose of this exercise.	Sean C Sperling	2021-10-21 20:05:12+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	In 2020, in Michigan, Democratic voters had 53% of votes, it seems these maps should lean toward democrats having more representation than republicans. This map does not reflect that fact.	Lisa	2021-10-21 20:03:41+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	In 2020, in Michigan, Democratic voters had 53% of votes, it seems these maps should lean toward democrats having more representation than republicans. This map does not reflect that fact.	Lisa	2021-10-21 20:03:23+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>I've lived in Kentwood for 20 years and I worked in Allegan for 14 years. Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids are extremely different communities of interest. Grand Rapids and the surrounding areas of Wyoming, Kentwood, Jenison, Ada, Cascade, etc. is a true representation of a "community of interest" if that is the goal.</p>	Tom McKelvey	2021-10-21 20:02:30+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>In 2020, in Michigan, Democratic voters had 53% of votes, it seems these maps should lean toward democrats having more representation than republicans. This map does not reflect that fact.</p> <p>I am completely opposed to the proposal to lump Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo together. It makes no logical sense. Both communities share very little in common. District 9 looks like a mess also.</p>	Lisa	2021-10-21 20:02:17+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike		Fred Walburn	2021-10-21 20:00:56+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I like this map because Farmington and Farmington hills are TOGETHER. They should be TOGETHER because we share a SCHOOL SYSTEM and Library System and community organizations	janice GOLDFARB	Farmington Hills is	2021-10-21 19:58:47+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	In 2020, in Michigan, Democratic voters had 53% of votes, it seems these maps should lean toward democrats having more representation than republicans. This map does not reflect that fact.	Lisa		2021-10-21 19:57:29+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	In 2020, in Michigan, Democratic voters had 53% of votes, it seems these maps should lean toward democrats having more representation than republicans. This map does not reflect that fact.	Lisa		2021-10-21 19:56:04+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	In 2020, in Michigan, Democratic voters had 53% of votes, it seems these maps should lean toward democrats having more representation than republicans. This map does not reflect that fact.	Lisa		2021-10-21 19:54:54+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Farmington Hills is split into two parts. Farmington and Farmington hills are split in two parts. They should be TOGETHER because we share a SCHOOL SYSTEM and Library System and community organizations.</p> <p>In 2020, in Michigan, Democratic voters had 53% of votes, it seems these maps should lean toward democrats having more representation than republicans. This map does not reflect that fact.</p>	janice GOLDFARB	2021-10-21 19:54:18+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>Eaton and Barry Counties have little in common, especially the fact that each county has a sheriff of differing parties (Dar Leaf is a Republican, Tom Reich is a Democrat).</p> <p>Also, I grew up in Calhoun County, and its district on this map is hacked up just as badly as its current district.</p>	Lisa	2021-10-21 19:54:12+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	<p>Good representation of our population</p>	Robert Youngs Jr	2021-10-21 19:54:11+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like		Lisa	2021-10-21 19:52:50+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	In 2020, in Michigan, Democratic voters had 53% of votes, it seems these maps should lean toward democrats having more representation than republicans.	Lisa	2021-10-21 19:51:24+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Farmington Hills is split into two parts. Farmington and Farmington hills are split in two parts. They should be TOGETHER because we share a SCHOOL SYSTEM and Library System and community organizations.	Janice G Goldfarb	2021-10-21 19:50:46+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	In 2020, in Michigan, Democratic voters had 53% of votes, it seems these maps should lean toward democrats having more representation than republicans.	Lisa	2021-10-21 19:50:39+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	No partisan fairness on this map, please keep trying. I like this map because it keeps Washtenaw County whole. The "collaborative maps" do not.	Diane Weinman	2021-10-21 19:49:28+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like		Susan McKee	2021-10-21 19:46:34+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>These maps are not non-partisan. They pack Democrats into two or three districts. The Commission is supposed to be non-partisan. That is why it was created. In 2018 and 2020, the Democrats won Kent County. These maps do not reflect that fact. Please make the maps reflect reality. Don't pack Democrats into a few districts.</p>	Frank Lynn	2021-10-21 19:43:33+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Opinion	<p>Keep Lansing and East Lansing together, but separate Lansing away from the district containing Eaton County and most of Ingham County. The needs of Lansing are far different than rural communities like Charlotte, Eaton Rapids, Mason, and Leslie.</p>	Robert Youngs Jr.	2021-10-21 19:42:01+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	<p>PLEASE do NOT split Lyndon Township into 2 congressional districts. We are a small township, population-wise and this would require two different elections, costing lots of extra money. It is mostly state land with very few residents.</p>	Susan McKee	2021-10-21 19:38:39+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Opinion	<p>It is good that this district includes over 50% of people of color. We would encourage to expand it to include the NW side of Grand Rapids to better capture the Latinx community's voice.</p>	Jocelyne Romero	2021-10-21 19:31:50+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	<p>I live in Ottawa County and I am okay with combining part of Ottawa with Kent County. How does combining Ottawa with Barry or Montcalm County different? Kent is more similar than those counties. PS, I live in a part that would be combines with Kent in the map Kent, part Ottawa, part Ionia. You can split Ottawa as it is contained logically.</p>	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-21 19:31:39+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	<p>It is good that this district includes over 50% of people of color. We would encourage to expand it to include the NW side of Grand Rapids to better capture the Latinx community's voice.</p>	Jocelyne Romero	2021-10-21 19:31:37+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>It is good that this district includes over 50% of people of color. We would encourage to expand it to include the NW side of Grand Rapids to better capture the Latinx community's voice.</p>	Jocelyne Romero	2021-10-21 19:30:43+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>It seems since there are more democrats in Michigan these maps should lean toward democrats having more representation than republicans.</p>	Lisa	2021-10-21 19:30:28+00

It appears to me that many cities and neighborhoods are divided and many communities that have no common interests are combined. I'm also very concerned that all of the maps are skewed to favor Republicans and particularly will dilute the voices of Detroit residents and other communities of color. The State Senate and House maps need to be redrawn for better representation. I urge you to please check for partisan fairness as our state needs and deserves balanced, unbiased, fair maps in compliance with the VRA.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Rosalind Cox

2021-10-21 19:27:49+00

This map is ok, its the best of the maps, but I believe the commission still needs to work on partisan fairness, too many republican leaning maps and we are a democratic leaning state.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like

Diane Weinman

2021-10-21 19:27:42+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	I do not support this proposed map. I live in Grand Rapids, and I absolutely do not understand how my area can be in the same "community of interest" as Kalamazoo. I think even the Wayland area is a reach. I feel like it'd be more appropriate to include all of Kent County in one district, and all of Kalamazoo in another. These are two very separate communities who have very little in common. I'd like to believe this was simply an oversight, and not politically motivated. Thank you.	Jennifer Baker	2021-10-21 19:27:03+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	This is a fair map with the tri-cities; Bay, Saginaw and Midland together in a State Senate District. Please work to make sure the rest of the State is done fairly to ensure that we do not have another ten years of gerrymandering. That was the purpose of the Commission. Your maps have not shown partisan fairness. I believe you will do better throughout this great state. Thank you!	Rosa Holliday	2021-10-21 19:21:11+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Opinion	Our recommendation is that Grand Rapids should not be split. The Grand Rapids area, Wyoming, Byron Center, and Kentwood should remain in the same district. We agree that these municipalities share common interests.	Jocelyne Romero	2021-10-21 19:19:57+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Our recommendation is that Grand Rapids should not be split. The Grand Rapids area, Wyoming, Byron Center, and Kentwood should remain in the same district. We agree that these municipalities share common interests.	Jocelyne Romero	2021-10-21 19:19:41+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Opinion	I do not like that this map takes a piece of East Lansing and joins it to rural areas north. Other maps made a better effort to keep Lansing and East Lansing together. Also this map does not reflect the proportion voters Dem/Rep, and I believe the	Jocelyne Romero	2021-10-21 19:19:15+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	other maps do.	Jacqueline Stewart	2021-10-21 19:16:28+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	In a state with twelve districts, Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo should not be in the same district. Two distinct and separate communities. This map looks like the kind of gerrymandered product this committee was created to avoid.	Daniel R DeHoek	2021-10-21 19:11:35+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	Ottawa County should not be lumped in with Grand Rapids. Our rural and small town needs are far different from the urban needs of Grand Rapids.	Angela Jessop	2021-10-21 19:11:34+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Cascade Township and the Grand Rapids airport should be in the city of Grand Rapids as a common community of interest.	Donna E Farris	2021-10-21 19:10:46+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	I really like this map, it is fair in a partisan sense and it also creates plenty of competitive districts. I urge the commission to adopt this version.	Cooper Burton	2021-10-21 19:10:31+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are two different communities with unique interests and should NOT be combined as one.	Rick Kimball	2021-10-21 19:09:30+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	I strongly disagree with combining Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo. I work in both cities and they are completely separate with distinct and different characteristics, populations and needs separated by several other communities and many miles between them. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo each need to be represented separately.	ANNE M ENGLAND	2021-10-21 19:09:01+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	After comparing it to the other maps, although this has 73% of the white population it is the one that has lowest white population. It is the map with lines drawn that encompasses 23% of the black and brown communities.	Jocelyne Romero	2021-10-21 19:08:22+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike	Lake County already has numerous ballot styles at election time because of all the school districts we have. Splitting us on another level is only going to add even more ballot styles to our elections.	Patti Pacola	2021-10-21 19:06:47+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	This is correct in keeping Ottawa County intact.	Cory Juntunen	2021-10-21 19:06:47+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	District 27 is still packed. Too many Dems jammed into one district! Please fix this ASAP!	Al DuBruck	2021-10-21 19:05:12+00
		This map honors the Calhoun County COI between Battle Creek and Albion, particularly among the population of people of color. BC and Albion have by far the greatest concentration of people of color in the county, and keeping them together in a districts empowers those folks. Splitting BC and Albion would dilute their power at the polls. As drawn here (which is the current 62nd district), the district is a competitive swing district. Splitting Albion away from BC would create a lean-Republican district. That would be going backwards in terms of competitive fairness, which we don't want, and it would disenfranchise people of color in Calhoun County.		
10-08-21 v1 HD	Like	I support this map. Please keep Ottawa County	Andy Helmboldt	2021-10-21 19:01:56+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	together.	Cory Juntunen	2021-10-21 19:01:46+00

This map honors the Calhoun County COI between Battle Creek and Albion, particularly among the population of people of color. BC and Albion have by far the greatest concentration of people of color in the county, and keeping them together in a districts empowers those folks. Splitting BC and Albion would dilute their power at the polls. As drawn here (which is the current 62nd district), the district is a competitive swing district. Splitting Albion away from BC would create a lean-Republican district. That would be going backwards in terms of competitive fairness, which we don't want, and it would disenfranchise people of color in Calhoun County.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Like

Andy Helmboldt

2021-10-21 19:01:44+00

This map honors the Calhoun County COI between Battle Creek and Albion, particularly among the population of people of color. BC and Albion have by far the greatest concentration of people of color in the county, and keeping them together in a districts empowers those folks. Splitting BC and Albion would dilute their power at the polls. As drawn here (which is the current 62nd district), the district is a competitive swing district. Splitting Albion away from BC would create a lean-Republican district. That would be going backwards in terms of competitive fairness, which we don't want, and it would disenfranchise people of color in Calhoun County.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Andy Helmboldt

2021-10-21 19:01:30+00

It appears to me that many cities and neighborhoods are divided and many communities that have no common interests are combined. I'm also very concerned that all of the maps are skewed to favor Republicans and particularly will dilute the voices of Detroit residents and other communities of color. The State Senate and House maps need to be redrawn for better representation. I urge you to please check for partisan fairness as our state needs and deserves balanced, unbiased, fair maps in compliance with the VRA.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Rosalind J Cox

2021-10-21 18:59:44+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>This map looks to be as "gerrymandered" as any map that has previously existed. Putting the whole Lake Michigan shore from Muskegon to the Indiana border makes no sense, nor does squeezing a district from Grand Rapids to Kalamazoo. By this sort of "gerrymandering," the Commission is splitting up cohesive communities that have common/shared interests and trying to diffuse those communities to accomplish a Democratic political outcome.</p>	Martin Brown	2021-10-21 18:58:37+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>This map looks like a step in the right direction. The Ann Arbor area MUST BE UNPACKED, so that our votes don't get wasted, like they have been over the past many years.</p>	Al DuBruck	2021-10-21 18:55:34+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>I am opposed to the change as written</p>	Martin Hoffmeister	2021-10-21 18:55:18+00

This, and the other two currently proposed Senate maps, lump Battle Creek and Calhoun County together with Barry County and areas to the NW with whom we share very little. As previously commented and testified to, our strongest COI lies with Kalamazoo and Albion along the I-94 Corridor. There are other maps available that honor this COI. Please consider those instead.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Andy Helmboldt

2021-10-21 18:54:14+00

This, and the other two currently proposed Senate maps, lump Battle Creek and Calhoun County together with Barry County and areas to the NW with whom we share very little. As previously commented and testified to, our strongest COI lies with Kalamazoo and Albion along the I-94 Corridor. There are other maps available that honor this COI. Please consider those instead.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Andy Helmboldt

2021-10-21 18:54:02+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This, and the other two currently proposed Senate maps, lump Battle Creek and Calhoun County together with Barry County and areas to the NW with whom we share very little. As previously commented and testified to, our strongest COI lies with Kalamazoo and Albion along the I-94 Corridor. There are other maps available that honor this COI. Please consider those instead.	Andy Helmboldt	2021-10-21 18:53:37+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo need to be two distinct districts. Each requires representation equally	gayle becker	2021-10-21 18:51:52+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids together make a good fit.	Marlene Deming	2021-10-21 18:50:21+00

I am not happy with how you drew the state House maps, there are 3 VERY safe Democratic districts and 3 Republican districts. You packed most of the Democratic voters in our region into 3 districts, which again looks like gerrymandering the region as bad as it has been on the 2011 maps.

I think that there are NO competitive State House districts in the Greater Lansing Region as you have currently drawn the state House maps.

This is a big reason why your state House maps are still not meeting criteria 4 (partisan fairness) when you look at them statewide.

I would like the commission to can fix this by doing these three things in the Great

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Marsha

2021-10-21 18:48:06+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	We do not believe this map was drawn in the best interests of either communities. Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids have different populations with different interests. There are more common populations and interests to the East and West of this map lines that would align much better than going further South. Additionally, the way the map is set up now district 4 is made up of 73% white. There is no chance for communities of color to have a significant voice.	Jocelyne Romero	2021-10-21 18:47:37+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Ottawa county should stay intact as a voting district. These changes are preposterous and offensive.	Scott Cole	2021-10-21 18:44:05+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Do not lump Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo together. Different areas, completely different needs. I most vociferously object.	Marleen G	2021-10-21 18:43:47+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are two VERY distinct districts that require separate representation to adequately voice concerns of their constituents.	James R Foley Jr	2021-10-21 18:42:10+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	This, and all three other currently proposed maps, lump Battle Creek and Calhoun County together with the southern tier of counties with whom we share very little. As previously commented and testified to, our strongest COI lies with Kalamazoo along the I-94 Corridor. There are other maps available that honor this COI. Please consider those instead.	Andy Helmboldt	2021-10-21 18:40:35+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Keep Grand Rapids and Kent County as a district separate from Kalamazoo. I like that Cass County is connected with Berrien county because there are many common bonds between these counties- recreation, schools and business. Cass county was my home for 68 years.	MILES SCHMIDT	2021-10-21 18:40:34+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Like		Marlene Deming	2021-10-21 18:40:26+00

This, and all three other currently proposed maps, lump Battle Creek and Calhoun County together with the southern tier of counties with whom we share very little. As previously commented and testified to, our strongest COI lies with Kalamazoo along the I-94 Corridor. There are other maps available that honor this COI. Please consider those instead.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Andy Helmboldt

2021-10-21 18:40:23+00

This, and all three other currently proposed maps, lump Battle Creek and Calhoun County together with the southern tier of counties with whom we share very little. As previously commented and testified to, our strongest COI lies with Kalamazoo along the I-94 Corridor. There are other maps available that honor this COI. Please consider those instead.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Dislike

Andy Helmboldt

2021-10-21 18:40:04+00

This, and all three other currently proposed maps, lump Battle Creek and Calhoun County together with the southern tier of counties with whom we share very little. As previously commented and testified to, our strongest COI lies with Kalamazoo along the I-94 Corridor. There are other maps available that honor this COI. Please consider those instead.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Andy Helmboldt

2021-10-21 18:39:46+00

There are a lot of ways to keep both Ottawa and Kent Counties together. One way I mapped out on the district website, number 51955. Just really stop trying to insult the rural voters who happen to live between on one side, Grand Rapids and Muskegon and, on the other side, Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo. They deserve more than to be the thinly sliced rural sausage on a big urban-interest sandwich.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Doug Koopman

2021-10-21 18:37:42+00

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Like	<p>Re: District 32 -- I like the look of the potential balance between Republican/Democratic voters. The combination of rural and urban is good in order to understand the needs of all in discussion and negotiation.</p>	Elaine Chris Pogoncheff	2021-10-21 18:33:18+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	<p>Re: District 32 -- I like the look of the potential balance between Republican/Democratic voters. The combination of rural and urban is good in order to understand the needs of all in discussion and negotiation.</p>	Elaine Chris Pogoncheff	2021-10-21 18:30:20+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	<p>Re: District 32 -- I like the look of the potential balance between Republican/Democratic voters. The combination of rural and urban is good in order to understand the needs of all in discussion and negotiation.</p>	Elaine Chris Pogoncheff	2021-10-21 18:30:19+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	Re: District 32 -- I like the look of the potential balance between Republican/Democratic voters. The combination of rural and urban is good in order to understand the needs of all in discussion and negotiation.	Elaine Chris Pogoncheff	2021-10-21 18:26:12+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Please keep Ottawa County all one district.	Jenny Burman	2021-10-21 18:20:36+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	I appreciate the balance of potential Republican/Democrat voters. This would make the district more competitive and representative of voter issues.	Elaine Chris Pogoncheff	2021-10-21 18:19:35+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	I appreciate the balance of potential Republican/Democrat voters. This would make the district more competitive and representative of voter issues.	Elaine Chris Pogoncheff	2021-10-21 18:19:34+00

Being just outside the city of Jackson, I have hardly any of my community of interest in this district, which include the rural areas of Jackson Co. Please KEEP OUR COUNTY TOGETHER! If it must be split because of numbers, it should be divided through the city to give the suburbs and the city unified with their community of interest. We are not unified with Washtenaw county.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Jennifer

2021-10-21 18:18:49+00

This map seems to give a more balanced Republican/Democratic population. This will be good for fair and balanced elections to the Michigan Senate.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

Elaine Chris Pogonceff

2021-10-21 18:14:06+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	<p>This map fails at considering communities of interest but is the best choice of all the other proposed maps. There is no reason to carve out part of Jackson County and Monroe and Cass County share nothing with the counties of Branch, St. Joseph, Hillsdale or Jackson as far as community interests go. Please revisit the communities of interest as your primary focus, not partisan considerations.</p>	Kimberly K Lindsey	2021-10-21 18:07:28+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	<p>This map depicting Ottawa county is correct. We would not benefit from the splitting of this county to align with others who have no similar interests or values as this county.</p>	Victor Cerbins	2021-10-21 18:07:09+00

Since this is the ONLY map that links Battle Creek and Kalamazoo, I have to strongly support it. Even our local airport acknowledges this important link, i.e. Kalamazoo Battle Creek International Airport. Moreover, Western Michigan University has campuses in both cities which compliment our diversity. The I-94 corridor closely links these two communities in culture, outlook, recreation and business. For decades we have been working together to enhance our shared interests, and would appreciate having a representative that reflected these goals. We are two wheels on the same tandem bike -- don't cripple us by letting the air out of one of the tires.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Like

Mary Younker

2021-10-21 18:02:23+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	Thank you for keeping more of Midland County together with the City of Midland, and all of Bay, Saginaw and Genesse Counties in this Congressional map #237 as well as #233. This is a big improvement over Birch and Juniper. Your continued efforts to listen and to strive for partisan fairness are applauded. Thank you!	Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman	2021-10-21 18:02:18+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	While this map is the best option of all those presented is is rife with gerrymandering, exactly what the commission was enacted to prevent. Splitting counties, cities and towns in an attempt at fairness is fair to no one in the end. Communities of interest should be the overriding criteria and this is anything but that. Keep Ottawa county as it is today, no to any redistricting that splits the county into areas that are not	Kimberly K Lindsey	2021-10-21 18:00:43+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	homogeneous.	Victor Cerbins	2021-10-21 18:00:12+00

For District 16, I want to keep Troy whole and ask that you also consider including all of Clawson and moving more west to include Birmingham and Beverly Hills. Take out Oakland Township as we have nothing in common with that area.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

Rosalind Cox

2021-10-21 17:54:12+00

This map is the best option of all those given if one is looking for communities of interest as the main goal. It is lacking in this area once you go west of St. Joseph County. Berrien and Cass County are not good choices for Branch, St. Joseph and Hillsdale counties. A better choice with the object of communities of interest in mind would be Lenawee, Jackson and possibly Washtenaw. The standards set forth in the Michigan Constitution would best be followed by grouping the above mentioned counties together. I believe the proposed map is too partisan in nature, something the Michigan Redistricting Commission was put in place to avoid.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Kimberly K Lindsey

2021-10-21 17:53:26+00

This map is good. Keeping Midland with our rural community makes the most sense. As a 32 year resident of the city of Midland, my community of interest is represented here. The water doesn't care about arbitrary political lines. It flowed into city and county homes alike.

Keeping the watershed together is paramount for our representation to watch over the multi million dollar multi year project to restore the watershed infrastructure and communities. We have nothing in common with Flint and they need good representation for their issues. Thank you for recognizing Midland's and Flint's needs as separate.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL

Like

jane scott

2021-10-21 17:48:09+00

I appreciate that this map keeps Ottawa County as a unit and not separating it into bits and pieces. This is a common sense approach.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL

Like

Bonnie Miller

2021-10-21 17:46:33+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	I have watched your process and appreciate it's complexity. This map although combining the Tri-Cities will totally dilute all our urban voices as well as overwhelm the important shoreline and watershed issues. I have not seen the numbers but I doubt the fairness metrics are met by this map. Please keep Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint in a Congressional district as configured in Birch and a number of the collaborative maps. Thank you.	Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman	2021-10-21 17:42:39+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	I am against these changes. I do not believe Berrien County should be split up!	Brenda L Lewis	2021-10-21 17:38:54+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	We would like map 201 to stay. Keep all of Ottawa county together.	Tracey Crane	2021-10-21 17:38:21+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	Yes! Keep Ottawa County together. Do not lump portions in with Grand Rapids.	Cori Dailey	2021-10-21 17:26:23+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Thank you! Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are definitely different communities with distinctly different interests and should be kept separate.	Clayton David deJong	2021-10-21 17:25:47+00

This map gives Midland and Bay City, two cities that have been closely aligned for a long time, a competitive district where a representative works for the voters, not the party or extreme base. This brings the overall map closer to a zero political bias, but please continue to correct the Republican lean. Michigan is a 54% Democratic state and its districts should reflect that.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Karol L Walker

2021-10-21 17:24:41+00

I oppose this proposed map. Kalamazoo should not be consolidated with Grand Rapids (Kent). Ottawa County should remain in tact and should not be "piece-mealed" into other districts.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Cori Dailey

2021-10-21 17:23:26+00

This map keeps Ottawa County together, rather than splitting it up and placing some of it in with Grand Rapids. This makes sense.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Like

Ken Van Dyken

2021-10-21 17:21:31+00

Thank-you!

I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City & Saginaw) in a senate district as they have been a long-standing community of interest with many common concerns. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like

Karol L Walker

2021-10-21 17:21:08+00

This map keeps Ottawa County out of the Grand Rapids district. It also keeps Ottawa County together and does not split it up. Ottawa County should be kept together and not blended with the urban areas to the east.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Ken Van Dyken

2021-10-21 17:16:41+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. I would like to see more of Midland County in this district like Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.</p>	Karol L Walker	2021-10-21 17:15:59+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>This map is a great choice to move forward for consideration. This map achieves partisan fairness, keeps Sterling Heights whole, and allows for a number of competitive seats. One problem with this map is that Warren has been taken out of Macomb county and placed with Wayne. If it is possible to achieve partisan fairness and keep Warren with Macomb, please make this change. I think this map is the best one so far</p>	Drew Anthony Beckman	2021-10-21 17:14:24+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>I think this map is the best one so far</p>	Roger Holtslander	2021-10-21 17:05:56+00

This map finally achieved partisan fairness! This is a fair map that the people of Michigan can get behind. My only concern is that Sterling Heights is being split in half which I would prefer stay together. Attached is the Districtr version of this map that shows partisan breakdown over 5 different elections. The commission should publish the partisan breakdown of each district in a map so that it is more transparent.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL

Like

Drew Anthony Beckman

2021-10-21 17:04:30+00

Thank you in advance for listening to us residents of Ottawa County and keeping our county unified as a whole.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL

Like

Crystal Mol

2021-10-21 16:59:11+00

As a relative newcomer to Michigan, I have a hard time taking this map seriously. Grand Rapids is a fine anchor for a single district....and Kalamazoo is a fine anchor for a single, and separate, district. Kalamazoo is smaller, and built around a major state university, whereas Grand Rapids is a bigger, significantly more broad-based economy. These are two distinct communities of interest and, by that criteria, should be the basis on which these two cities stay separate in the next congressional map.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Tim Allen

2021-10-21 16:56:29+00

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Opinion	<p>This map is moving closer to partisan fairness, but still does not reach an acceptable level of fairness. The Republican party is still receiving a disproportional advantage under these maps. From a COI perspective, I like that the city of Sterling Heights is maintained whole and is grouped with Troy. An improvement would be to include the city of Warren with District 6 so that they can remain in a Macomb County District.</p>	Drew Anthony Beckman	2021-10-21 16:56:19+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>I would like to see Ottawa County kept together as a unified whole as this map shows.</p>	Crystal Mol	2021-10-21 16:55:56+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>I think this map is the best choice.</p>	Russell Holtslander	2021-10-21 16:55:39+00

The positive of this map is that the Macomb county community of interest in this map is kept together. One glaring problem in this map is partisan fairness. Using the 2016 Presidential election data (50-50), this map will produce a 8-5 Republican split which is completely unfair. Likewise using 2018 AG data (52-48 D), this map gives 7-6 Republicans even though they lost. I urge you to use this map as a basis but move toward greater partisan fairness.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Opinion

Drew Anthony Beckman

2021-10-21 16:53:06+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo should be kept as two different districts. Each community is extremely diverse and have extremely different communities. The economy, educational goals, and community goals are different in these two areas. Being in Grand Rapids, I understand that we have different needs and interests than those who live in Kalamazoo. Lumping these districts would be a clear overstep and mistake in meeting all community members' needs.</p>	Olivia Hickey	2021-10-21 16:49:20+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>I stand in opposition to this proposed map. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo have extremely different needs and interests so to group them into together would be a disservice to members of both communities.</p>	Gavyn	2021-10-21 16:49:11+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>Keep Kent County and Ottawa County separate, as they each have their own interests to be represented.</p>	Foppe VanderZwaag	2021-10-21 16:48:36+00

Like many of the other collaborative maps, this map still does not achieve true partisan fairness. Many of Michigan's elections are very close, so when evaluating partisan fairness, be sure to look at races where the result is close. Using the 2018 Governor's race to determine fairness gives an inaccurate picture of partisan fairness given that it was a strong Democratic year. The benefit of this map is that it keeps the city of Sterling Heights together.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC

Opinion

Drew Beckman

2021-10-21 16:47:43+00

The current map proposal splits Grand Rapids Metropolitan area into two districts, while lumping part of it together with Kalamazoo. When looking at similar communities of interest, the Grand Rapids area should be kept together in the same district to maintain standardization across the city/metropolitan area. Kalamazoo, on the other hand, has a very different economy, population, and community needs than Grand Rapids.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW

Dislike

Travis M

2021-10-21 16:47:34+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Keep Kent County and Ottawa County separate, as they each have their own interests to be represented.	Foppe VanderZwaag	2021-10-21 16:46:59+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Ottawa County should be kept together, USE MAP 201 PLEASE!!	Anita A Emens	2021-10-21 16:45:32+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Keep Kent County and Ottawa County separate. They each have their own interests to be represented.	Foppe VanderZwaag	2021-10-21 16:44:24+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Keep Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo separate. They have a right to their own representation.	Foppe VanderZwaag	2021-10-21 16:41:11+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Keep Ottawa County together as a community We are the fastest growing county in Michigan and we shouldn't be split up. Please use map 201 to keep our lake shore community together.	Leif Haugen	2021-10-21 16:40:14+00

This map is moving closer to partisan fairness, but still does not reach an acceptable level of fairness. The Republican party is still receiving a disproportional advantage under these maps. From a COI perspective, I like that the city of Sterling Heights is maintained whole and is grouped with Troy. An improvement would be to include the city of Warren with District 6 so that they can remain in a Macomb County District.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Opinion

Drew A Beckman

2021-10-21 16:39:50+00

I vehemently disagree with this map. Kent County should be kept whole within one congressional district. I'm from Kent County and proudly say I'm from Grand Rapids, not Kalamazoo. This map breaks up many communities of interest, including a number of school districts. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo should be focal economies of their own districts. We that live here in Kent County know our districts, so please listen to us. Breaking up Kent County is evident that you have not listened to our voices. In addition, take into consideration that West Michigan has no one representing US on the commission. Not one person. Please don't split communities of interest in the name of partisanship.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

#keepkentcountywhole

KM

2021-10-21 16:39:44+00

Community of Interest?  
Hardly! I know fellow seniors  
who live in Grand Rapids AND  
HAVE NEVER BEEN TO  
KALAMAZOO! They are both  
rather self-sufficient and have  
little in common except the  
obvious sought-after density  
of voting democrats. The City  
of Grand Rapids is, in most  
ways that matter to residents'  
everyday lives, one-and-the-  
same with Kentwood, East  
Grand Rapids, GR Township  
and the tightly bunched cities  
sharing SCHOOL DISTRICTS  
(which truly ARE Communities  
of Interest - given that folks  
seek to buy homes in specific  
School Districts so that the  
unique needs of their families  
can be met.) The Apple plan  
needs to fall off the tree and  
rot.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Cris Birdsong

2021-10-21 16:38:40+00

I appreciate that this map keeps the city of Sterling Heights whole, and creates a competitive district here. However, the overall partisan fairness of this map clearly favors the Republican party. Using data from the 2018 AG race, the Democrats would have won 52% of the vote but still won fewer seats in Congress. Please correct this and this map may be viable.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Opinion

Drew Beckman

2021-10-21 16:32:24+00

Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are two distinctly different communities of interest -- and as such I would hope you would follow the multiple requests/comments from residents in both regions that they should be in in two SEPARATE Congressional seats as they are currently and have been throughout history.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Eileen McNeil

2021-10-21 16:29:11+00

the gerrymandering necessary to draw the Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo district flies in the face of Communities of Interest. I have lived in both Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo, and the two communities are clearly distinct. Individuals in these cities and surrounding townships say "I'm from Kalamazoo" or "I'm from Grand Rapids", not "I'm from the cities and townships that border US 131." There is no such Community of Interest. Rather, Grand Rapids has its own metropolitan Community of Interest which is approximately the size of a congressional district, and carving up this community for any reason other than population (or the VRA) is a blatant violation of the Michigan Constitution. In EVERY Senate map submitted for public comment, the City of Rockford was specifically

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Joel Freeman

2021-10-21 16:28:51+00

10-08-21 v2 HD Opinion Easttown is a unique area of Grand Rapids that deserves to be included with the east side of Grand Rapids. Their interests are completely different from cities west of Grand Rapids, including Walker and and Standale. Cindy Krieg 2021-10-21 16:28:02+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike I am opposed to this map. The cities of Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are separate communities of interest and contain their own metropolitan regions that under this map are divided from one another. Please keep the cities of Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids in separate congressional districts. Bill Witte 2021-10-21 16:25:56+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	<p>Seeing a map like this makes me think that the commission did not listen to, in fact completely disregarded, public comment concerning communities of interest. Battle Creek and the surrounding area have no shared interest with this broad band of communities to the south that look like they were cobbled together because they happen to fit a pattern not because they share anything in common.</p>	Katie Reasons	2021-10-21 16:25:50+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	<p>Thank you for keeping Ottawa county intact. please do not lump Hudsonville in with Grand Rapids.</p>	Sandy TenHoeve	2021-10-21 16:25:14+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are two different areas and should not be represented together in one lump.</p>	Katharine Pierce	2021-10-21 16:17:20+00

I am very much opposed to any map that joins Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo into a single Congressional district. As a long-term resident of Kent County who graduated from Western Michigan University, I know first-hand that these areas have distinct voices, cultures, and attitudes. Kent County and Kalamazoo County are distinct communities of interest. Kalamazoo County is really the gateway to lower SW Michigan via the I-94 corridor; Kent County is the gateway to the state's middle west and the lakeshore. The "Apple" plan, to me, disregards the significant cultural and infrastructural differences between these two regions in what I can only infer is a transparently partisan attempt to gerrymander a safe Democratic seat in a part of the state where historically

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Democrats cannot win US

Jason Gillikin

2021-10-21 16:16:57+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Like

Thank you Redistricting Commissioner Lange for keeping Ottawa County together. I truly appreciate it!

Joel Studebaker

2021-10-21 16:16:02+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like I support map 201 especially in regards to Ottawa County. Please keep Ottawa County together. Ottawa County must work together in every facet and that is how we need to be represented at the state level. Joel Studebaker 2021-10-21 16:13:37+00

This district has no significant metro area included. It is clearly drawn to assure the 5th District will always and forever be a "safe seat" for Republicans. As such, we will only see the most extreme candidates elected.

We need Kalamazoo in the corner district, and maybe even Battle Creek. That district (Kzoo, BC and Lansing) is just the opposite - all urban - all Democratic!

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike The point of this commission is to blend these districts, as best possible, to assure they are competitive - not to carve out "safe seats" for both parties. Ric Seager 2021-10-21 16:12:47+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	A map aligning Kalamazoo and Battle Creek just makes sense. These two cities share many things; an airport, a watershed, a major highway connecting them, many travel between them to work. They share a sense of community, People in this area have connections with both Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo via the 131 corridor. This map represents that.	Katie Reasons	2021-10-21 16:08:02+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	People in this area have connections and interests with Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo traveling the north/south 131 corridor.	Cindy Krieg	2021-10-21 16:07:29+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	People in this area have connections and interests with Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo traveling the north/south 131 corridor.	Cindy Krieg	2021-10-21 16:02:48+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	People in this area have connections and interests with Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo traveling the north/south 131 corridor.	Cindy Krieg	2021-10-21 16:00:07+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri Cities (Midland, Bay City and Saginaw) in a State Senate District as they have been a long standing community of interest with many common concerns. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters. Thank you.	Kristina R Jacobs	2021-10-21 15:55:40+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	This map is much better than any of the current draft maps. It honors the I-94 corridor COI connection between Battle Creek and Kalamazoo that we continue to advocate for. People in this area have connections and interests with Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo traveling the north/south 131 corridor.	Andy Helmboldt	2021-10-21 15:55:00+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike		Cindy Krieg	2021-10-21 15:54:49+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) in a State Senate District as they have been a long standing community of interest with many common concerns. Please continue to work on he partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all</p>	Kristina R Jacobs	2021-10-21 15:51:24+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>Michigan voters. Thank you Ottawa county is a unified voice of freedom and deomocracy. We need to keep our county together as we share similar values.</p>	Rhonda Wilson	2021-10-21 15:46:32+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) in a State Senate District a they have been a long standing community of interest with many common concerns. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters. Thank you	Kristina R Jacobs	2021-10-21 15:45:01+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Dividing Cass County in this fashion is a horrible injustice. It divides communities of interest, communities of color, and our Pokagon tribal community. It is also horribly partisan. Keep Cass County together! A divided Kent County will weaken the transportation, environmental, and infrastructure planning and coordination efforts in this major metropolitan area of Michigan.	JAMES R PEDERSEN	2021-10-21 15:41:23+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike		Rob VerHeulen	2021-10-21 15:39:03+00

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Like	I like that my home in Cass County is oriented towards Lake Michigan, and not towards the East.	JAMES R PEDERSEN	2021-10-21 15:35:04+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	Thank you, Commissioner Lange, for your work to keep Ottawa County whole in the map you created.	Krista Yetzke	2021-10-21 15:34:27+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	I support keeping Ottawa county as one district and I feel the way this map is drawn would be a fair balance	Mike Waldner	2021-10-21 15:28:27+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I support map 201. Please keep Ottawa County as it is. Thank you	Krista Yetke	2021-10-21 15:26:39+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Kent County with a population of over 675,000 people is an integrated unit and should remain so. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are totally different economic regions with separate and distinct public universities (Grand Valley State University and Western Michigan University), and separate economic and transportation and infrastructure planning organizations. Residents of Kent County consider Kalamazoo a totally distinct area of Michigan. Please revise the map to keep Kent County intact.	Rob VerHeulen	2021-10-21 15:25:54+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Like	Please ensure our community receives the appropriate allocation of resources. It is my hope that Ottawa county will stay together. I support map 201.	Gerald D. Robinson	2021-10-21 15:23:40+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion		Mary Hockin	2021-10-21 15:21:36+00

Kent County with a population of over 675,000 people is an integrated unit and should remain so. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are totally different economic regions. They have separate and distinct public universities (Grand Valley State University and Western Michigan University), and separate economic and transportation and infrastructure planning organizations. Residents of Kent County consider Kalamazoo a totally distinct area of Michigan. I urge you to revise the map to keep Kent County together. I like this map because it keeps Ottawa County together. Thank you for listening to the people.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Rob VerHeulen

2021-10-21 15:14:57+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Like

Heather Cerbins

2021-10-21 15:13:34+00

I encourage the Commission to keep the City of Grand Rapids whole within one State Senate district. The current map splits Grand Rapids into two districts. Grand Rapids is small enough to be drawn into one State Senate district and should not be split. Instead, the Commission should draw a State Senate district on the western border of the county that incorporates the Grandville and Walker area, instead of with Grand Rapids and Kentwood. The residents of these three municipalities share a community of interest as their school systems overlap with each other and residents work and shop in neighboring municipalities. I would suggest the Commission adopt a State Senate plan that includes the City of Grand Rapids, East Grand Rapids, and Kentwood. Please keep Ottawa Co. together. I support map 201.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Sandy Baxter

2021-10-21 15:12:42+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW

Like

William J Wright

2021-10-21 15:11:48+00

I encourage the Commission to keep the City of Grand Rapids whole within one State Senate district. The current map splits Grand Rapids into two districts. Grand Rapids is small enough to be drawn into one State Senate district and should not be split. Instead, the Commission should draw a State Senate district on the western border of the county that incorporates the Grandville and Walker area, instead of with Grand Rapids and Kentwood. The residents of these three municipalities share a community of interest as their school systems overlap with each other and residents work and shop in neighboring municipalities. I would suggest the Commission adopt a State Senate plan that includes the City of Grand Rapids, East Grand Rapids, and Kentwood. Sandy Baxter

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

2021-10-21 15:11:37+00

The way you drew the state House maps, there are 3 VERY safe Democratic districts and 3 Republican districts. You packed most of the Democratic voters in our region into 3 districts, gerrymandering the region worse than the 2011 maps.

There are NO competitive House districts in the Greater Lansing Region as you have currently drawn the state House maps.

This is a big reason why your state House maps are still not meeting criteria 4 (partisan fairness) when you look at them statewide.

You can fix this by doing three things in the Great Lansing Region:

Put Delta Township back in the Eaton County-based district.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Abigail Frost

2021-10-21 15:07:27+00

I encourage the Commission to please keep Kent County whole within one Congressional District. The current map for Kent County proposed under the "Apple Plan" divides the Grand Rapids metro 6 region into two seperate congressional districts, tying Grand Rapids into a congressional district with Kalamazoo. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo form two different communities of interest and should be within their own respective districts. Please reconfigure your map to keep Kent County whole within one congressional district.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Sandy

2021-10-21 15:06:12+00

This is a good map. Please keep Ottawa County together. Furthermore this map makes a Lakeshore district which makes a lot of sense.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Heather Cerbins

2021-10-21 15:03:05+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are unique communities that each have their own interests, concerns, and needs for the citizens that live within them. Each is large enough to be the central magnet and the motive force for a district. Attempting to merge them into a single Congressional district is a mistake that will result in poor representation for both communities. Richard Houskamp 2021-10-21 14:59:58+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike District 9 needs to stay intact. Ottawa, Allegan, and Muskegon Counties have similar economies that rely on the lake, have interconnected manufacturing sectors, and significant agricultural ties that include fruits, vegetables, and greenhouse flora. This is a community of interest. This constant redistricting is not for the people, but for the power. WE THE PEOPLE do not consent. Michele Muir 2021-10-21 14:56:24+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City &amp; Saginaw) in a State Senate District as they have been a long-standing community of interest with many common concerns. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters.</p>	Lisa Weldy	2021-10-21 14:53:58+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City &amp; Saginaw) in a State Senate District as they have been a long-standing community of interest with many common concerns. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters.</p>	Lisa Weldy	2021-10-21 14:52:44+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	This map best represents the unique concerns/needs of those along the southern part of the lakeshore. It also offers diversity among the constituents. I support this map for this portion of the districting.	Karen Bush	2021-10-21 14:52:12+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	This map makes sense for the people of Calhoun and Kalamazoo counties who share many of the same infrastructures and resources. I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City & Saginaw) in a State Senate District as they have been a long-standing community of interest with many common concerns. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters.	Judith A. Andrews	2021-10-21 14:52:10+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like		Lisa Weldy	2021-10-21 14:50:47+00

			Ottawa County is the fastest growing county in the state of Michigan. It makes sense to keep it together. I like map #201 and it makes sense to geographically to keep all of Ottawa County together.		
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Thank you.	Elizabeth Kik	2021-10-21 14:47:28+00	
		Please do not split Ottawa county and lump with Grand Rapids.			
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Thank you	Ernest May	2021-10-21 14:38:08+00	
		Linking Lakeshore communities with Lake Huron communities makes no sense. Linking Allendale, Holland, and Zeeland with Kalamazoo makes no sense. Need to keep a Lakeshore district that is already diverse. Citizens must be able to vote with the population amongst which they choose to live and work and not with a population mandated by a few.			
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	when we voted on this a few years ago this was before the pandemic. We now know we do NOT want this township split up	Ruth Vanden Berg	2021-10-21 14:29:42+00	
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	please do NOT spit up Ottawa and lump this with G.R in	Dave Van Haitsma	2021-10-21 14:21:11+00	
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	anyway !	Dave Van Haitsma	2021-10-21 14:19:21+00	

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	Thank you Commissioner Lange for listening and keeping Ottawa County whole in your map	Jim Wierenga	2021-10-21 14:13:40+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I want to encourage keeping Ottawa County intact and not splitting it up into other districts. I most strongly agree with creating a District containing Allegan, Ottawa and Muskegon counties together. Thank you.	Jim Wierenga	2021-10-21 14:12:17+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	As a citizen of Kent county with 650,000 people roughly I feel as though we should maintain our district together in order to represent our metropolitan interest. Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids are separate metro areas and should be represented to reflect separately. I personally work in Grand Rapids and live in Kent county. I feel a connection to the Grand Rapids market when I moved our family here and set up for this area.	jason rourk	2021-10-21 13:59:40+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>Please keep the historic Underground Railroad communities of Dowagiac, Cassopolis, Vandalia and to a lesser extent, Niles together, as a community of interest and for racial equity. Uniting these communities is important for historic and social reasons. Thank you. I could live with the map of this District 13 which incorporates Northville, Plymouth and Canton in with Livonia. I have long felt that the western Wayne County areas should stay together.</p>	JAMES R PEDERSEN	2021-10-21 13:58:38+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like		Katharine Shishkovsky	2021-10-21 13:57:51+00

I agree with other people's comments about why we have to have Ada Township where I live which is east of Grand Rapids in the same district with Ottawa CO areas which go all the way to Lake Michigan. I wish there was some way for the Commission to explain why they need to do that. Is there not enough population in Ada Township, Cascade Township, East Grand Rapids, Grand Rapids, Kentwood, and other parts of Kent CO to make a congressional district?

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Dislike

Robert Dragan

2021-10-21 13:55:17+00

I am opposed to this 4th Congressional District map. The cities of Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are two vastly different communities of interest. These two areas form different metropolitan regions that should each be the anchor for their own respective congressional district. The two cities also have competing economic interests. Under this plan, a large portion of the Grand Rapids metropolitan region is tied into a congressional district that is drawn all the way to Midland County. I strongly urge the Commission to reject this plan and to keep Kent County whole within one Congressional District.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Gerard Sullivan

2021-10-21 13:48:42+00

This map looks good to me for combining Ada and Cascade Townships into the same district 96. This map looks a lot like what the Commision drew up in map #227. I go into Cascade Township several times a week such as for shopping along the busy 28th street corridor which includes the Cascade Meijer. I drive by the Gerald R. Ford airport, the main airport servicing the greater Grand Rapids area which is in Cascade Township, which is in my COI.

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Like

Robert Dragan

2021-10-21 13:45:48+00

This map makes the most sense for the people who live and work in Calhoun and Kalamazoo County. The shared university, shared United Way, shared news sources, shared social, cultural, environmental concerns, and recreational opportunities all suggest these two communities are closely linked and share the same interests. The residents of these two counties live and work interchangeably in these two counties. This map keeps people who have a shared interest appropriately associated.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Like

Rob Lyerla

2021-10-21 12:44:16+00

I strongly support a lakeshore district that consists of Ottawa County, Allegan County, and Muskegon County. And I strongly disagree with splitting Ottawa County.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Corene K DeHaan

2021-10-21 11:24:45+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO fLike	<p>I would just like to see i see all the negative comments about this and then i look at the map of bay city connected to midland by one road which has positive comments. I thought we were getting away from jerrymandering not encouraging it. Thank you for not jerrymandering!</p>	Matthew Bowyer	2021-10-21 10:37:07+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL Like	<p>Finally a map that acknowledges the importance of keeping the Tittabawassee watershed together and keeping county seats connected to the rest of their counties when possible! It's common sense! Thank you Commissioner Lange.</p>	Cathy Leikhim	2021-10-21 08:58:13+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike	<p>Areas north of Rockford and east of Lowell do not share common interests with Grand Rapids. The areas are rural and agricultural and should be part of a district that represents their interests.</p>	Roger Rittenhouse	2021-10-21 07:39:46+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	<p>Areas north of Rockford and east of Lowell share no common interests with the Grand Rapids area. GR is largely urban and industrial oriented while the areas north of Rockford and east of Lowell are largely rural and agricultural. the outlying non-urban areas deserve to be represented by a rural district.</p>	Roger Rittenhouse	2021-10-21 07:37:12+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	<p>Areas north of Rockford and areas east of Lowell share very little commonality of interests with the greater Grand Rapids area. Including these rural areas with the urban and industrial areas around Grand Rapids make little sense.</p>	Roger Rittenhouse	2021-10-21 07:32:21+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>This map does a good job of keeping commonality of interests together by linking Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo, with its common healthcare industries, airport concerns, and western Michigan major educational facilities. The corridor shares urban concerns and it does a good job by not adding rural areas, which should in all fairness be represented by placement in a largely rural district.</p>	Roger Rittenhouse	2021-10-21 07:28:05+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Opinion	<p>District 23 makes more sense if the northern limit is moved south to Wealthy Street, the current division line of wards in Grand Rapids and is expanded east to include Cascade Township, which is the location of the Grand Rapids airport. Putting the airport in District 3 separates it from the Grand Rapids community of interest. It has no business being in District 3.</p>	Roger Rittenhouse	2021-10-21 07:13:34+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Add Cascade Township from district 3 to the Grand Rapids district 24 makes much more sense. This way the Grand Rapids airport is part of a district that is centered on the Grand Rapids community of interest.	Roger Rittenhouse	2021-10-21 07:08:03+00
		This map makes zero sense in regards to Cascade township, which is the eastern Grand Rapids metro area. The Grand Rapids airport is in Cascade Township, yet you are separating it from the Grand Rapids area and putting it in a district that extends south through Battle Creek and the rural areas of Barry County. The airport and all the industries and warehouses that surround it need to be represented by a Grand Rapids centered district. Cascade Township needs to be added to the northern Grand Rapids district.		
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	District 22 is well drawn and reflects communities with common interests.	Roger Rittenhouse	2021-10-21 07:04:07+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Douglas Floto	Lisa Newton	2021-10-21 06:34:48+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	You are MARXIST DICK.	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-21 04:28:52+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This is a very disappointing map .Please review and add Cascade Township to SS#24.The Gerald Ford Airport is what metro Grand Rapids uses. That is in Cascade Township, Keep Cascade with metro Grand Rapids.	Anne Zoppa	2021-10-21 04:10:08+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	Most fair map	Gregg R Spoolstra	2021-10-21 03:55:38+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I support a lake shore district that consists of Ottawa County, Allegan County, and Muskegon County.	Gregg R Spoolstra	2021-10-21 03:52:24+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I want to encourage keeping Ottawa County intact and not splitting it up into other districts. I most strongly agree with creating a District containing Allegan, Ottawa and Muskegon counties together. Thank you.	Susan Urbytes	2021-10-21 03:18:58+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I STRONGLY approve of keeping Ottawa County intact and I STRONGLY encourage creating a Lakeshore District containing Allegan, Ottawa and Muskegon counties. Thank you.	Mitchell G. Urbytes	2021-10-21 03:14:22+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Having a district so big (29) next to a district so small (27) makes me think 27 --Ann Arbor--ought to be unpacked!	Susan Shink	2021-10-21 02:50:20+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Like	This appears to be the best map overall for the house district. I would still like to see Orion Township in the northern area with Oakland, and Oxford Township instead of south into Bloomfield Hills but all of the maps have Orion set up this way.	Will Wilsher	2021-10-21 02:31:45+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	This Map of the old 1st Congressional District finally corrects the flaw in previous maps by including Edwards Township with the rest of Ogemaw County. Thank you.	Joel A Sheltroun	2021-10-21 02:27:50+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	I like that north Ottawa County is paired with Muskegon and GR. Much better fit than keeping with southern Ottawa County. The transportation and education ties an important link.	Christine A Baker	2021-10-21 02:27:14+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	This map is terrible the way communities are put together. Some of it is drawn as if communities looked like ribbon farms.	Elizabeth Buckner	2021-10-21 02:03:20+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Highland Park and Clawson have nothing in common Splitting Farmington and Farmington Hills make no sense. The two communities share too much (such as school district and library) to have different legislative districts.	Elizabeth Buckner	2021-10-21 02:01:14+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Northville has more in common with Plymouth than with Farmington	Elizabeth Buckner	2021-10-21 01:58:42+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Ionia and Ottawa counties need to be kept separate from Grand Rapids. Their needs are very different from a metro area's needs. I do not agree with this map.	Elizabeth Buckner	2021-10-21 01:50:58+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	This map is better than most. At least it keeps Farmington and Farmington Hills together. This is important since we share a school district, libraries, parks, to name a few.	Anne Zoppa	2021-10-21 01:46:44+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like		Elizabeth Buckner	2021-10-21 01:46:19+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	It's vital to keep our school district Farmington Public Schools in one district! Farmington, Farmington Hills belong together!	Veronica Goldberg	2021-10-21 01:38:15+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	I recognize how difficult it is to balance all the requirements, but this is not quite right.	Laurent Chappuis	2021-10-21 01:35:51+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Worst map of the three proposed. Would not lead to good representation, this is not grouping, but slicing and dicing.	Laurent Chappuis	2021-10-21 01:33:18+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I like it. Best effort of the three. We want these competitive elections.	Laurent Chappuis	2021-10-21 01:31:43+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Was the aim to break up Detroit? Not as bad as ELM, but still, back to the drawing board.	Laurent Chappuis	2021-10-21 01:30:15+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Why is Detroit broken up beyond recognition? Terrible map, does not meet the intent of the ballot initiative.	Laurent Chappuis	2021-10-21 01:25:57+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	I like that Cherry includes Grosse Ile with Wyandotte , Trenton and other downriver communities. Regarding the rest of the state, I understand the difficulties of balancing all of the requirements, but for me, having competitive districts should remain the top priority. It was the goal of the ballot initiative.	Laurent Chappuis	2021-10-21 01:18:11+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I like this map! It keeps Farmington Hills and Farmington together and they have a shared community.	Alex Meyers	2021-10-21 01:15:52+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	I wonder how one person can possibly represent the people of this district. Dems galore. The communities of Farmington and Farmington Hills share culture, events, school districts, and more. Splitting them between multiple legislative districts does not make sense.	Kathleen Koorhan	2021-10-21 00:46:31+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike		Alex Meyers	2021-10-21 00:42:51+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	EGR and Kentwood are similar suburban communities and should be linked. They have more in common with each other than with the city of Grand Rapids.	Nancy Hejna	2021-10-21 00:42:35+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	The communities of Farmington and Farmington Hills share culture, events, school districts, and more. Splitting them between multiple legislative districts does not make sense.	Alex Meyers	2021-10-21 00:41:34+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	As the two largest cities in West MI, Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo share many urban issues. The cities also have the largest minority populations on the west side of the state.	Nancy Hejna	2021-10-21 00:15:43+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Thank you for this rendering of District 32 (and the other plans that mimic this) which keeps Troy largely intact!	Lawrence Cramer	2021-10-21 00:09:36+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Good job! Moving the southern boundary of district 24 from Fulton to Wealthy would be consistent with longstanding ward boundaries within Grand Rapids.	Nancy Hejna	2021-10-21 00:01:20+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like	This is the best option but it is still Poorly drawn. You need to consider downriver as a community of interest and address the issue of partition equality.	kelly correy	2021-10-21 00:00:23+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion	Thank you for unpacking Grand Rapids. The northern Senate district should not go so far west. Ottawa County should be kept whole. Please adjust the district boundary so that Kent is not combined with Ottawa. Thank you!	Emily Brooke	2021-10-20 23:58:15+00

I think that the alignment of Midland City to maps combining regional urban areas vs. topographical feature similarities represents a valid conflict of priorities. But I do think the watershed/flood plains concern is significant for Midland, Saginaw and Bay City from the way the map shows the rivers flowing. But those issues are significant over most of the state and should be addressed primarily on that level, including special task forces to help resolve issues for specific needs and event recoveries such as the dam failures in May 2020. I do think economic development should address regions largely based on population densities “ weighing the benefits and harms to proposed developments on people. Again, special issues might require task forces focused on

This Senate Plan is the best of the options given, because it does a better job considering the communities of interest as outlined in the Michigan Constitution.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE

Like

James Mentele

2021-10-20 23:55:24+00

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

Julia Lindsey

2021-10-20 23:52:51+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>Splitting up the Downriver communities between at least 2 districts is wrong. From Melvindale in the north to Flat Rock in the south and possible as far west as Romulus, Downriver has always been a united region in needs and interests. Keep us that way and redo map.</p>	Dan Holowicki	2021-10-20 23:48:54+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Dislike	<p>Another bad map for the Downriver communities. We need to be united as a region and a voice. From Melvindale in the north to Flat Rock in the south our needs do not reflect the needs of Detroit or the far western suburbs.</p>	Dan Holowicki	2021-10-20 23:45:34+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Opinion	<p>Of all the Congressional maps, this one is the most fair to the Downriver communities. Keeping the Downriver communities together as one voice is wanted and needed. Our needs are very different than Detroit or the far western suburbs.</p>	Dan Holowicki	2021-10-20 23:42:48+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Thank you for the Juniper plan which properly places Troy with its community of interest. This district also looks really competitive. Well done!	Lawrence Cramer	2021-10-20 23:40:47+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	The Downriver communities of Melvindale, Allen Park, Southgate, Wyandotte, Taylor and even Romulus have very different needs compared to Detroit and the Grosse Points. This map is bad for Downriver.	Dan Holowicki	2021-10-20 23:39:54+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Splitting up the Downriver communities between the proposed Districts 1 and 7 is bad for our unique needs and interests. We want our own voice, not combined with the needs of Detroit or the Ann Arbor area.	Dan Holowicki	2021-10-20 23:36:47+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	The Downriver communities from Melvindale in the north to Flat Rock in the south have unique needs and interests that do not align with Detroit or with cities as far west as the Ann Arbor region. Downriver wants representation that would be fair to our area.	Dan Holowicki	2021-10-20 23:34:30+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Thank you for a map that properly reflects north metro Detroit COIs. This is the only congressional map that does so. The District 3 configuration precisely reflects how our communities interact. Thanks for your hard work on this!	Terri McCormick	2021-10-20 23:34:25+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	The Downriver communities are split into two districts, one includes part of Detroit, the other extends west to Grass Lake. The Downriver communities of Melvindale in the north to Flat Rock in the south have nothing in common with Detroit or even west of Romulus. Totally bad idea for Downriver. Totally dislike this plan as far as the Downriver communities go. They are split into 5 different districts.	Dan Holowicki	2021-10-20 23:32:01+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	Bad idea.	Dan Holowicki	2021-10-20 23:28:53+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Traditionally aligned Downriver communities are split into 4 different districts in this map. Not a good idea for those of us who live in and care about the needs and interests of the Downriver communities.	Dan Holowicki	2021-10-20 23:27:11+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike	The Downriver cities from Melvindale in the north to Flat Rock in the south have always thought of themselves as one geographical area with unique needs and interests. I believe most of the people in these cities consider themselves from the Downriver region and would like to see united representation.	Dan Holowicki	2021-10-20 23:23:58+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	The Downriver cities in Districts 13 and 22 need to be together, not divided as this map suggests	Dan Holowicki	2021-10-20 23:20:49+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	The Downriver communities in Districts 13 and 22 should remain together with representation that align with our interests and needs	Dan Holowicki	2021-10-20 23:18:36+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Thank you for including Midland (the city where "don't eat the fish" signs begin) with the down-stream communities which are so impacted by Midland's industry. The Tri Cities need to work together and this map will help that happen.	Frederick J Thomas	2021-10-20 23:16:47+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Not only are the Downriver Communities split up, now you are splitting up the city of Southgate. Obviously whomever drew up this map has no idea of the unique needs and interests of the Downriver Communities from Melvindale in the north to Flat Rock in the south.	Dan Holowicki	2021-10-20 23:15:14+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Thank you for including Midland (the city where "don't eat the fish" signs begin) with the down-stream communities which are so impacted by Midland's industry. The Tri Cities need to work together and this map will help make that happen.	Frederick J Thomas	2021-10-20 23:15:05+00

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Dislike	<p>The Downriver communities from Melvindale in the North to Flat Rock in the south are a strong bond, with unique needs and interests. These communities need fair representation that includes all our communities.</p>	Dan Holowicki	2021-10-20 23:12:11+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>Thank you for including Midland (the city where "don't eat the fish" signs begin) with the down-stream communities which are so impacted by Midland's industry. The Tri Cities need to work together and this map will help that happen. Of all the State Senate maps presented, this one includes most of the Downriver communities in one region. Taylor and Allen Park should also be included. They are traditionally considered Downriver and most of the people that I know living in those cities identify as being from Downriver.</p>	Frederick J Thomas	2021-10-20 23:10:27+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	<p></p>	Dan Holowicki	2021-10-20 23:09:50+00

Please keep Northville and Plymouth together. Our communities shared resources, such as fire departments. We have common concerns, especially when addressing the landfill nearby since it affects both of our communities. We are not a large community. Northville should not be divided and should remain with Plymouth. Our communities are similar, everything from our homes, walkable downtowns, and even our friends, neighbors and community events. I urge you to reconsider these maps and district lines.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Randa Cain

2021-10-20 23:09:06+00

Splitting up the Downriver communities is a bad idea. From Melvindale in the north to Flat Rock in the south and west towards Huron Township, the Downriver communities identify together with our own unique interests and needs.

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid Dislike

Dan Holowicki

2021-10-20 23:04:39+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Once again, the Downriver communities of Melvindale, Ecorse, River Rouge, Allen Park and Taylor need to be aligned with the rest of Downriver, not Detroit.	Dan Holowicki	2021-10-20 23:01:49+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Omission of Taylor, Allen Park and Melvindale from the Downriver communities is wrong. They need to be aligned with Downriver, not portions of Dearborn/Detroit. Kalamazoo should not be in a rural lakeshore district. It belongs with Battle Creek.	Dan Holowicki	2021-10-20 22:58:57+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike		Max Hornick	2021-10-20 22:55:06+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	The Downriver communities from Melvindale/Ecorse/River Rouge south to Gibraltar/Flatrock should remain in one district. We have needs substantially different than Detroit, the far western suburbs and the Monroe region. Political parties aside, the Downriver communities have always been united for our region. I appreciate that Kalamazoo and Battle Creek are in the same district here. I'm not sure about the rest of it.	Dan Holowicki	2021-10-20 22:54:19+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Opinion		Max Hornick	2021-10-20 22:53:09+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	This makes far more sense for Kalamazoo than previous maps. Kalamazoo and Battle Creek have many shared interests and should be in the same district.	Max Hornick	2021-10-20 22:48:48+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	This whole map thing is so skewed towards D-party. I think I'll take my disposable income along with tax money and move someplace like Maine where they just pick the top vote getters.	Herbert Schwartz	2021-10-20 22:46:14+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	Kalamazoo should not be in a rural district.	Max Hornick	2021-10-20 22:46:04+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This house map - of all available draft house maps available for comment at this stage in the process - is the one I endorse, for the following reasons: it best captures my Community of Interest of North Ottawa County's Tri-Cities area (Grand Haven north toward Muskegon) and exhibits the best partisan fairness measures of all house maps to-date	Karen J Obits	2021-10-20 22:45:57+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Kalamazoo does not belong in a rural lakeshore district.	Max Hornick	2021-10-20 22:44:32+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	A Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo district makes no sense. Other than being urban, the two communities have nothing in common. A Battle Creek/Kalamazoo district would be a far more compelling urban community of interest.	Max Hornick	2021-10-20 22:43:00+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	The Cherry map seems to be the best draft State Senate map so far. Partisan fairness is essential and this comes the closest.	ROBERTA URBANI	2021-10-20 22:37:35+00

GOOD EVENING,

I am Pamela Martin Turner,  
President and CEO of  
Vanguard Community  
Development Corporation.  
Vanguard is a non-profit  
organization, serving Detroit's  
Historic North End  
neighborhood since 1994.  
We provide services in  
Community Engagement,  
Economic Development and  
Housing.  
I am here to support  
redistricting that puts the  
North End in one district, not  
two (8 & 17). Splitting the  
neighborhood, which includes  
East Boston and Arden Park,  
diminishes residents' political  
access and dilutes their  
political voice/power. The  
North End is one community.  
It is Historic and must be in  
one district, not two.

Thank you.

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		Pamela Martin Turner	2021-10-20 22:37:08+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Kalamazoo does not belong in a rural district. Stop trying to lump Kalamazoo into a rural district!	Max Hornick	2021-10-20 22:37:01+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike		Max Hornick	2021-10-20 22:34:22+00

GOOD EVENING,

My name is Monica Edmonds and I'm the Vice President of Community Engagement and Planning for Vanguard Community Development Corporation. Vanguard has served the Historic North End neighborhood since 1994. I am here to support redistricting that puts the North End neighborhood in one district instead of split between two (Districts 8 and 17). Splitting the neighborhoods, which includes Arden Park and East Boston, diminishes residents' political access and dilutes their political power. The North End is one community. It is a Historic neighborhood and must be one district not two.

Thank you.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Monica Edmonds

Monica Edmonds

2021-10-20 22:31:51+00

I understand the need to include Georgetown and other suburban areas with Grand Rapids and Kent. Honestly, the farm days of most of Ottawa are in the past. What percent of Eastern Ottawa works and shops in the Greater Grand Rapids area? The only reason to keep Ottawa out of Kent is to maintain status quo. I think it is a disservice to representatives to think that they cannot represent a number of interests of its constituents. The state of Montana has one representative. Do what makes the most sense.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Dean Dykstra

2021-10-20 22:31:21+00

I work with Vanguard Community Development Corporation, a non-profit organization serving Detroit's Historic North End neighborhood since 1994. I am here to support redistricting that puts the North End in one district instead of split between two as it is now (District 8 and 17). Splitting the neighborhood, which includes Arden Park/East Boston, diminishes residents' political access and dilutes their political power. The North End is one community; historic; and must be in one district, not two.

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Thank you. Silly. AA and Ypsi should be separate. And why the little carve out to include Saline.	Monica Edmonds	2021-10-20 22:25:04+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	No one would be happy.	MIke Mitchell	2021-10-20 22:23:24+00

10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Like	<p>Thank you commision for placing Mid-Michigan together in this map. I support having more of Midland County included with all of Bay County, Saginaw County and Genesee County. Having lived here for many years, I have experienced how we share so many business, cultural and environmental interests. This map shows your due diligence to weigh partican fairness. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible. Keeping Ottawa county together is a very good thing. The west side of the State is very different from the north/south or east. We share more commonality than with a big city.</p>	Catherine Mitzel	2021-10-20 22:11:22+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>This appears to do exactly what we voted for the Commission NOT to do - pack Washtenaw Co. Democratic voters into an 80% Dem district. We need districts with less partisan lean.</p>	jeanne lutz	2021-10-20 22:10:25+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike		Carol Mohr	2021-10-20 22:06:34+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like This Map also keeps the Great Lakes Bay Area together and adds GLBA increasing the economic strength of the Area. Gladwin county is more aligned with the non-city part of Midland county. Phil Sarnacke 2021-10-20 22:02:56+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like Thank you commision for placing the Mid-Michigan area together on this map. I support having more of Midland County included with all of Bay County, Saginaw County and Genesee County. Having lived in this area for many years, I have experienced how we share so many business, cultural and environmental interests. This map shows your due diligence to weigh partican fairness. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible. Catherine Mitzel 2021-10-20 22:02:07+00

Not a fan of this map! I don't like the far northern areas included here. This would skew the population toward the rural and to be honest, I have nothing in common with those folks. The EID map is far more towards my liking. Though I do have to say this is a vast improvement over our current district map. Still overcompensating to the Republican party.

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Douglas Floto

2021-10-20 21:59:34+00

I am resubmitting my comment because it showed up on the map incorrectly: I DISLIKE the proposed map as drawn. My rationale is below.

The Clark State House Plan for proposed HD 37 and all of the other proposed draft maps from the Commission for my community are all identically drawn and no different from House District 45. All of the proposed Commission maps flip the carve-out from the west to the east side of Oakland Twp. Essentially making it an unchanged district and still maintaining a Republican advantage. To honor township boundaries, all of Oakland Twp. should stay in the same House district. Lastly, with the House requirement for district populations to be within 77,000 to 91,000 residents, both Rochester and Rochester Hills combined meet this

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Dislike

Hills combined meet this B Anness

2021-10-20 21:50:13+00

This map attempts to break up the Great Lakes Bay Region by separating Midland City. The Business community in Midland, including 3 fortune 500, have spent millions promoting the the business opportunities in Midland as part of the Great Lakes Bay Region. Separating Midland be economically bad for supporting new businesses coming to Midland City.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Opinion

Phil Sarnacke

2021-10-20 21:50:09+00

District 3 looks pretty good. I like the combination of urban and suburban communities. It is big improvement over our current congressional district. I'm still worried about favoring republicans when our current rep is a moderate democrat who I think fairly represents our values. My point is not the incumbent, but the adherence to acceptable political norms and not the extremism eating up the Republican party. I can't live with that and neither can my neighbors.

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

Douglas Floto

2021-10-20 21:49:27+00

This does not represent COI. County line does not represent COI! Please use COI as a high priority and not county lines. This dilutes our Middle Eastern voices. Troy, Sterling Heights and Rochester belong together. Royal Oak, Ferndale, West Bloomfield should be in a separate district!

10-13-21 v1 CD RL

Dislike

Yousif

2021-10-20 21:47:17+00

Well, i'll give you credit for making District 13 more symmetrical than our current crazy boundaries, but the character of the communities in these boundaries are wildly divergent. A 4% republican bias is clearly unacceptable. Fix that and i might be able to live with it. But as closely contested as our races are, we have to strive for competitiveness and fairness to Democrats and people who will defend our democratic institutions. The fascist voices in the Republican party need to be marginalized so that we don't have permanent minority rule by white supremacists.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL

Opinion

Douglas Floto

2021-10-20 21:38:44+00

The Clark State House plan for proposed HD 37 and the other proposed draft maps from the Commission for my community are all identically drawn (why?) and no different from what is currently House District 45. All of the proposed maps flip the carve-out from the west to the east side of Oakland Twp. essentially making it an unchanged district and still maintaining a Republican advantage. To honor township boundaries, all of Oakland Twp. should stay in the same House district. With the House requirement for district populations to be within 77,000 to 91,000 residents, both Rochester and Rochester Hills combined meet this requirement with a population of 89,335, making it unnecessary to carve out any other community with Rochester and Rochester Hills.

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Like

Â

B Anness

2021-10-20 21:36:56+00

This map has the best partisan fairness so far. It does a good job of keeping communities of interest together.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Judith Schartenberg Goodman

2021-10-20 21:32:25+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	Thank you commision for placing the Tri-Cities together in this map. We share so many business, cultural and environmental interests. Please do continue to weigh partican fairness. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.	Catherine Mitzel	2021-10-20 21:31:12+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	Thank you commision for placing the Tri-Cities together in this map. We share so many business, cultural and environmental interests. Please do continue to weigh partican fairness. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.	catherine mitzel	2021-10-20 21:29:16+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Thank you commision for placing the Tri-Cities together in this map. We share so many business, cultural and environmental interests. Please do continue to weigh partican fairness. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.	catherine mitzel	2021-10-20 21:27:01+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like It's appropriate that Muskegon and North Muskegon are included with Grand Haven and Grand Rapids. These areas are mainly urban and suburban and much more like Grand Haven and Grand Rapids than the communities even a little bit to the north which are much more rural. Jessica Wolin 2021-10-20 21:23:54+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like Thank you commision for placing the Tri-Cities together in this congressional district. We share so many business, cultural and environmental interests. If possible I would like to see more of Midland County included in this district like Commisioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partican fairness. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible. Catherine Mitzel 2021-10-20 21:20:24+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy should remain with its Oakland County neighbor cities - communities with which it has so much in common. I don't see any logic in pairing it with Macomb County communities. Thank you for your work on this project.	Aldona Sonta	2021-10-20 21:15:44+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	Thank you commision for placing the Tri-Cities together in this congressional district. We share so many business, cultural and environmental interests. If possible I would like to see more of Midland County included in this district like Commisioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partican fairness. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible. District 3 needs work. The fart north prongs help dilute the suburban nature of the southern part of the map, bringing a rural republican aspect to the district. It's not cohesive nor representative of the moderate Democrats and Independents who live here.	catherine L mitzel	2021-10-20 21:15:08+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike		Douglas Floto	2021-10-20 21:11:24+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like This is a great map. My brother in Kalamazoo will have to quit complaining about being stuck in a district with people from the lakeshore who don't understand the needs of cities. He goes to Grand Rapids frequently and sees they have the same problems and needs. Steve Fish 2021-10-20 21:02:07+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like Thank you commision for placing the Tri-Cities together in this congressional district. We share so many business, cultural and environmental interests. If possible I would like to see more of Midland County included in this district like Commisioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partican fairness. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible. catherine L mitzel 2021-10-20 21:00:29+00

redistricting commission.

Berrien's state senate district has been the entire county of Berrien coupled with Cass and St. Joseph counties for at least 20 years, if not more.

Splitting Berrien county's senate district is not useful.

While in the past the north population centers and the southern population centers have had their differences, the county commission has largely mended those fences over the years. Splitting Berrien County now would re-ignite some of those issues.

Population-wise, it is my understanding, this is not a needed adjustment and therefore would not recommend drastically changing the political dynamics for no apparent reason. Northern Berrien County does not identify with the counties to the north, but rather to the whole of Berrien County.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Michael Sepic

2021-10-20 20:57:58+00

redistricting commission.

Berrien's state senate district has been the entire county of Berrien coupled with Cass and St. Joseph counties for at least 20 years, if not more.

Splitting Berrien county's senate district is not useful.

While in the past the north population centers and the southern population centers have had their differences, the county commission has largely mended those fences over the years. Splitting Berrien County now would re-ignite some of those issues.

Population-wise, it is my understanding, this is not a needed adjustment and therefore would not recommend drastically changing the political dynamics for no apparent reason. Northern Berrien County does not identify with the counties to the north, but rather to the whole of Berrien County.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Michael Sepic

2021-10-20 20:56:40+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	I like this map in that it includes Muskegon in an area that shares much more commonality. Muskegon is much more similar to these areas than the more rural areas toward the middle of the state.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 20:54:05+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Thank you commision for placing the Tri-Cities together in this congressional district. We share so many business, cultural and environmental interests. If possible I would like to see more of Midland County included in this district like Commisioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partican fairness. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.	catherine L mitzel	2021-10-20 20:53:03+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Ionia County does not belong with Kent County. Very different populations. Better to include Muskegon in a district with Grand Rapids as they are much more similar.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 20:48:06+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Ottawa County should not be split up, and it should not be in with Kent County.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 20:42:30+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I like this House District. Midland and Bay City share many urban and suburban concerns. This configuration allows for their fair representation in a way that has never been seen.	Carol Heron	2021-10-20 20:41:57+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Dislike	No, no, no in the Kent County area. The close in SE suburbs in Kent County belong in the Grand Rapids area district, not with farflung Manistee and Gladwin. And Muskegon has coastal interests like Manistee, Ludington, Grand Haven, etc., -- dredging dollars for example. Stop trying to "marry" west Michigan cities that have little in common, while ignoring close-commuter neighborhoods that have much in common.	Doug Koopman	2021-10-20 20:39:26+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Good job with this district! Keeping Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo together makes a lot of sense as they have a lot of commonalities.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 20:37:36+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	The Village of Leonard and Addison Township belong with other Oakland County towns. This also breaks up school districts.	Mary Hanser	2021-10-20 20:35:35+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	The near west side needs to be part of the city just as the Near south side. This boarder to our south is too close and the one to the west includes suburbs that experience none of our metropolitan issues.	Michael M York	2021-10-20 20:34:02+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	East Grand Rapids has more in common with Kentwood than with Grand Rapids, and should be in a district with Kentwood.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 20:30:40+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Opinion	I believe more of Bay County and more of Saginaw County could be included in District 11 if Tuscola County were included in District 10 with which Tuscola has more in common. I am happy that Midland City is now being recognized as part of the Tri-Cities.	Carol Heron	2021-10-20 20:30:27+00

Don't see why four townships in Ottawa county would be included in district #24 with the city areas. They are low population country townships and outside the busy area of Kent County. They should stay in Ottawa county with other like townships. They do not share any have any or share any services like water, sewer, public transportation, or waste removal. The do not have issues with low-cost housing or city police/fire protection. There is no common Community of Interest.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Opinion

Sam Chu

2021-10-20 20:29:06+00

Ada is suburban, not rural. It should be linked with areas to the west - not the more rural areas to the east.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Franklin P Crownover

2021-10-20 20:28:47+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	<p>Don't see why four townships in Ottawa county would be included in district #24 with the city areas. They are low population country townships and outside the busy area of Kent County. They should stay in Ottawa county with other like townships. They do not share any have any or share any services like water, sewer, public transportation, or waste removal. The do not have issues with low-cost housing or city police/fire protection. There is no common Community of Interest.</p>	Sam Chu	2021-10-20 20:27:56+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>It's good that this district maintains a strong concentration of people of color. Please try to do this in other parts of the state too, These communities must have a voice!</p>	Jessica Wolin	2021-10-20 20:27:43+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Don't see why four townships in Ottawa county would be included in district #24 with the city areas. They are low population country townships and outside the busy area of Kent County. They should stay in Ottawa county with other like townships. They do not share any have any or share any services like water, sewer, public transportation, or waste removal. The do not have issues with low-cost housing or city police/fire protection. There is no common Community of Interest.	Sam Chu	2021-10-20 20:26:42+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Walker and Grandville share services and belong in the same district. This map includes Tuscola County with the Tri-Cities and Flint/Genesee. It should be with the Thumb. Please keep the Counties of Bay, Saginaw and Genesee together and include the City of Midland who are begging to be in a congressional district with the Tri-Cities.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 20:24:54+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Opinion		Carol Heron	2021-10-20 20:24:19+00

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Like	This is a sensible map. It keeps Midland County whole. We are connected via watershed to our neighbors to our west and north. The City of Midland is connected to the entire county, supplying municipal water, solid waste solutions, floodplain management, and healthcare. Keep Midland County Whole.	Joseph Sova	2021-10-20 20:24:15+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	District 3 is looking better on this map in terms of COIs and partisan balance. Balance still needs to get to zero. Eastown should be with NE Grand Rapids, not western areas.	Douglas Floto	2021-10-20 20:23:36+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This is a sensible map. It keeps Midland County whole. We are connected via watershed to our neighbors to our west and north. The City of Midland is connected to the entire county, supplying municipal water, solid waste solutions, floodplain management, and healthcare. Keep Midland County Whole.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 20:22:17+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Like		Joseph Sova	2021-10-20 20:21:57+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Like	This is a sensible map. It keeps Midland County whole. We are connected via watershed to our neighbors to our west and north. The City of Midland is connected to the entire county, supplying municipal water, solid waste solutions, floodplain management, and healthcare. Keep Midland County Whole.	Joseph Sova	2021-10-20 20:17:54+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	While this map appears to encompass the ask for Tri-Cities and Flint/Genesee, I prefer maps 233 and especially 237. Please more strongly consider one of those two maps because they include more of Bay, Saginaw and Genesee County. Four representatives for one county. That really focuses on communities of interest.	Carol Heron	2021-10-20 20:17:41+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Seriously?	Sue Macrellis	2021-10-20 20:15:07+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Cascade and the Grand Rapids airport should be part of a Metro Grand Rapids district - not in with rural areas.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 20:14:41+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Grouping Ann Arbor and Ypsi in this way packs a large proportion of Democrats in a really populous area of the state into a single district. Making this more geometric would be a better balance and less based on urban/party lines.	Maia O'Meara	2021-10-20 20:14:17+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Jackson - one county, three representatives. One representative for four counties. Gerrymandering anyone?	Sue Macrellis	2021-10-20 20:12:44+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	The Hispanic areas of Wyoming and Grand Rapids should be kept together.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 20:12:10+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	So Jackson county would have three representatives, one of which would represent parts of four counties. Sure happy we have maps with some nice straight lines.	Sue Macrellis	2021-10-20 20:10:16+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Wyoming should not be split up, and certainly should not be linked with rural Byron township.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 20:10:06+00

10-08-21 v1 CD	Like	InLiked this configuration until I actually saw that DISTRICT 11 could be drawn without Tuscola County. Maps 237 and 233 are much better. Please include their rendition for Cd11.	Carol Heron	2021-10-20 20:07:55+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Keep the communities of interest together. With this map, you will have urban communities hurting the family farm. Keep the rural communities of interest together and help keep the family farm alive.	Granville A Hayworth	2021-10-20 20:06:52+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Eastown should be with NE Grand Rapids, not the west side.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 20:06:33+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	There is no reason to split the City of Midland away from its county. We are connected via watershed to our neighbors to our west and north. The City of Midland is connected to the entire county, supplying municipal water, solid waste solutions, watershed management, and healthcare. Keep Midland County Whole.	Joseph Sova	2021-10-20 20:04:57+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Ada should be included with a less rural district, such as District 75	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 20:04:29+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>Portions of Jackson County linked to Ann Arbor have nothing in common. As Jackson County is mostly rural, it needs to stay with mostly rural areas. Populated areas will begin to dictate what happens in agricultural areas and harm family farms.</p>	Granville Hayworth	2021-10-20 20:03:46+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Dislike	<p>There is no reason to split the City of Midland away from its county. We are connected via watershed to our neighbors to our west and north. The City of Midland is connected to the entire county, supplying municipal water, solid waste solutions, watershed management, and healthcare. Keep Midland County Whole.</p>	Joseph Sova	2021-10-20 20:02:29+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Like	<p>This map is good too. It keeps the Tri-Cities together while including more of their counties. Tuscola is with District 10 as it should be. Thank you for this map and keeping Bay, Saginaw and Genesee together while including Midland.</p>	Carol Heron	2021-10-20 19:59:39+00

There is no reason to split the City of Midland away from its county. We are connected via watershed to our neighbors to our west and north. The City of Midland is connected to the entire county, supplying municipal water, solid waste solutions, watershed management, and healthcare. Keep Midland County Whole.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Dislike

Joseph Sova

2021-10-20 19:57:13+00

During public comment in Jackson, the vast majority of people present discussed their COI. Invariably they asked that Jackson County NOT be paired with Ann Arbor. The two areas have populations that could not be more disparate. It seems obvious that the needs of the much more populous Ann Arbor/Ypsilanti would be attended to before those of Jackson. Jackson becomes the forgotten step-child. Please reconsider.

10-08-21 v1 SD Dislike

Sue Macrellis

2021-10-20 19:57:09+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	I think this map form district 3 is good balance of city and suburbs with a good blend of diversity. Just make sure that partisan balance is near zero. Since our current moderate Democrat won with only 1.7% of the vote, there is no partisan margin for error. This map is not terrible. it does include the Tri-Cities and Flint but map # 237 is much better. #237 puts Tuscola County in District 10 which is better due to rural and agrarian concerns not shared by the urban areas. If Tuscola is removed , then Bay County can be whole and more of Midland County may be included.	Douglas Floto	2021-10-20 19:56:52+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Like		Carol Heron	2021-10-20 19:51:51+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>So many, many people addressed the idea of COI at the public hearing in Jackson. We sincerely asked that Jackson County not be swallowed up by Ann Arbor. These two areas could not be more disparate. It would be extremely challenging for one person to adequately represent such diverse interests - and those of Jackson County would most likely be ignored.</p>	Sue Macrellis	2021-10-20 19:51:48+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	<p>There is no reason to split the City of Midland away from its county. We are connected via watershed to our neighbors to our west and north. The City of Midland is connected to the entire county, supplying municipal water, solid waste solutions, watershed management, and healthcare. Keep Midland County Whole. Although not perfect (none of the maps are), I think this map does the best job with the SE part of Oakland County.</p>	Joseph Sova	2021-10-20 19:51:16+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like		Sharon Baseman	2021-10-20 19:50:10+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	District 3 is an improvement over the current state of affairs, but the northern prongs tip the district in favor of Republicans and violate the COIs and the nonpartisan principles of your work.	Douglas Floto	2021-10-20 19:49:40+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	There is no reason to split the City of Midland away from its county. We are connected via watershed to our neighbors to our west and north. The City of Midland is connected to the entire county, supplying municipal water, solid waste solutions, floodplain management, and healthcare. Keep Midland County Whole.	Joseph Sova	2021-10-20 19:47:26+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Thanks for keeping Walker and N.W Grand Rapids together. They share a lot in common.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 19:45:45+00

It makes more sense to divide the city of Kalamazoo into two districts. One would contain the west side of the city, including the colleges, Oshtemo township and the western suburbs. The other would be the eastern part of the city with Parchment and Comstock included. These are very different populations with different representation needs. Portage, Texas Township, and Schoolcraft would make sense as their own district as well. However, it makes no sense to combine Oshtemo with Portage - Oshtemo has much more in common with Kalamazoo than it does with Portage.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Todd D Ellis

2021-10-20 19:45:03+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	This is a very good map. It keeps Midland County whole. We are connected via watershed to our neighbors to our west and north. The City of Midland is connected to the entire county, supplying municipal water, solid waste solutions, floodplain management, and healthcare. Keep Midland County Whole.	Joseph Sova	2021-10-20 19:43:58+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Walker and Grandville share a school district and should be in the same district.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 19:43:57+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	District 10 is a WTF moment for me. This is seriously a non-starter for COIs and nonpartisanship. Get serious! Cascade Township and the GR Airport should be in a Grand Rapids House District rather than a rural one.	Douglas Floto	2021-10-20 19:42:04+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Kentwood is large enough to anchor its own district.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 19:41:56+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion		Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 19:39:37+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	East Grand Rapids and Kentwood are similar enough that they should be included in the same district. They are more similar to each other than East Grand Rapids is to Grand Rapids.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 19:37:52+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	So many people addressed COI during the public hearing in Jackson. We sincerely asked that Jackson County NOT be swallowed up by Ann Arbor. These two areas share nothing more than a fondness for football. Hardly a reason to ask one person to represent the widely varying interests of the two areas. Please keep the Hispanic areas of Wyoming and Grand Rapids together in the same district.	Sue Macrellis	2021-10-20 19:36:06+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion		Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 19:34:51+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	There is no reason to split a county, especially Midland County. We are connected via watershed to our neighbors to our west and north. The City of Midland is connected to the entire county, supplying municipal water, solid waste solutions, floodplain management.	Joseph Sova	2021-10-20 19:32:41+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Keep Midland County Whole. Wyoming is a large enough city that it should not be split into 2 different districts. District 33 is a problem because it ignores the community of interest for this section of commerce twp and is not fairly balanced between the parties. I would like to see it shaped along the lines of the EID senate map. Regardless, the Republican advantage needs to be eliminated.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 19:32:19+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike		Douglas Floto	2021-10-20 19:29:21+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	<p>This is a much better map for CD 11. Bay County, Saginaw County and Genesee County are whole and more of Midland is included, keeping the Tri-Cities and Flint together. Tuscola County is moved to District 10 where it belongs. Thank you for this map, please, give it strong consideration.</p>	Carol Heron	2021-10-20 19:27:59+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>I like this map because the Tri Cities share so many of the same interests. I've lived in Midland for almost 50 years and most often when I or anyone I know travels for business or pleasure it is to Bay City, or Saginaw (and Flint). Thank you for working together to end partisan advantage in our voting districts.</p>	katrhleen curell	2021-10-20 19:27:42+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Cascade Township and the Grand Rapids Airport should be in a district with Grand Rapids (District 24).</p>	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 19:22:23+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	District 33 lops of my region of commerce two from our communities if interest to the south and east. It dumps us in the fascist-leaning Republican insurrectionists and Covid deniers to the north and west. This is going to lead to marginalizing moderates and independents and tip the balance to a handful of extremists.	Douglas Floto	2021-10-20 19:20:24+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	The southern boundary of District 24 should be Wealthy Street rather than Fulton. This is consistent with the city's Ward 2 boundary.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 19:20:22+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Rural Ottawa County does not fit with an urban area such as Grand Rapids and shouldn't be in District 24. Ottawa County should all be in the same district.	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 19:18:35+00

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	<p>Regarding District 11, this map 238 is a bad map. It attempts to keep the shape of the commission's Collaborative Congressional District 11 but fools no one. Do not split the Tri Cities just so that you can dilute their voice with rural concerns.</p> <p>Please do not adopt this map.</p>	Carol Heron	2021-10-20 19:16:55+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>Sikh Americans deserve to have an amplified voice in congress. Please keep the metro Detroit portion the same in the final map, specifically so the Sikh community of interest in Troy, Rochester Hills, and Sterling Heights are together.</p>	Nimrat Malhi	2021-10-20 19:16:45+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>There is no reason to split a county, especially Midland County. We are connected via watershed to our neighbors to our west and north. The City of Midland is connected to the entire county, supplying municipal water, solid waste solutions, floodplain management.</p> <p>Keep Midland County Whole.</p>	Joseph Sova	2021-10-20 19:15:54+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Thank you for this map. The Tri cities share so much, thats why we are grouped as the Tri City area. This kind of map will improve partisan fairness. Cascade Township, which encompasses the Grand Rapids airport, should be in District 24 with the bulk of Metro Grand Rapids.	katrhleen curell	2021-10-20 19:14:23+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion		Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 19:13:44+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Sikh Americans are a community of interest. Please keep Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights and Troy together so both Gurdwara (Sikh place of worship) communities of Oakland and Macomb Counties are represented by one congressman	Tejasvir Singh Rekhi	2021-10-20 19:12:29+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike	I don't like the way district 33 is drawn. It gives far too much preference to the republican leaning North and West. My part of commerce township has far more in common with areas to the south and east. So follow the community of interest guideline and balance out a non-partisan mix for our region.	Douglas Floto	2021-10-20 19:12:05+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, &amp; Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban area and should have appropriate representation. It would be nice to see more of Midland County in this district like on Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.</p>	Beverly J Riggie	2021-10-20 19:09:06+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	<p>The southern boundary of District 24 should be Wealthy Street rather than Fulton. This keeps the boundary consistent with the existing local ward boundary.</p>	Franklin P Crownover	2021-10-20 19:07:46+00

10-04-21 CD V3b AE Like	<p>Thank you for recognizing the Midland area watershed. It is so important to keep Midland, Isabella and Gladwin together given how inter connected they are. We saw this from the flooding in Midland in 2017 that was from the waters coming from Isabella and Gladwin and then in 2020 from Gladwin again. I appreciate the commission putting the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, &amp; Saginaw) and Flint together in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. It would be nice to have more of Midland County in this district like Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.</p>	Joseph Sova - Drain Commissioner	2021-10-20 19:00:07+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC Like		Beverly J Riggie	2021-10-20 19:00:02+00

I am in favor of this map because it reflects the shared interests of the Tri Cities with the City of Midland. Midland is not an agricultural community. The floods that have affected Midland are not a good reason to keep the district gerrymandered or to favor a republican advantage. please emphasize a close to zero partisan slant and make the best maps possible. i don't envy the work you are doing one bit! Pat yourselves on the back for working to have fair maps in Michigan

katrhleen curell

2021-10-20 18:58:13+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

In an earlier comment I expressed serious concerns about aligning the tri-Cities with the Thumb. This map generates the same concerns by aligning the Tri-Cities with rural counties all the way to the western shoreline of the State. Representation would favor Lake Michigan Shoreline. The Industrial Tri-Cities/Counties are more closely aligned with Flint/Genesee. I strongly object to this map. Please consider A collaborative map, Birch.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

Carol Heron

2021-10-20 18:57:44+00

It is appreciated that the commission consistently is placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw) with Flint in a congressional district because they are urban/suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. It would be nice to see more of Midland County in this district like Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Beverly J Riggie

2021-10-20 18:51:42+00

thank you for the very difficult work you are doing. i appreciate seeing the cities of Midland, Bay City Saginaw and Flint together in a voting district. please remember the original reason Michiganders passed Prop 2 is to draw maps that are as close to fair as you can get them regarding partisan advantage. More than anything else, most voters want to end the advantage republicans have enjoyed by drawing the district maps. Our state votes roughly 54% Democrat and 47% Republican. I hope to see

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

this reflected in the maps. Area 81

katrhleen curell

2021-10-20 18:47:20+00

Why is Berrien County split into three different districts? This does not give us fair representation. This map reminds me of the maps I saw in textbooks when I was back in high school to teach us about gerrymandering. To me it is quite obvious what is being done. I think what we have now has been pretty well. Berrien County should be as one.

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Dislike

Debra Stevens

2021-10-20 18:45:55+00

your idea of putting all of the lower portion on MI together is a horrible one. I cannot fathom why you would do this. I moved here from the Chicago area and this is not representing our district. If anything is together it should be up the coast including Kalamazoo area not accross the bottom of the state. I can assure you that they are entirely different areas and have very little in common, except being along Michiganâ€™s southern border. Did anyone working on this do any research at all about the areas in question? My initial reaction to this is that is an effort at gerrymandering. Actually, if I look at the entire map, it seems to be an effort to stifle the voices of those in less populated areas bu those who live in more urban areas. I think you need to work on this some more.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

Debra Stevens

2021-10-20 18:43:04+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City &amp; Saginaw) with Flint in a congressional district as they have urban and suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. It would be nice to see more of Midland County in this district like Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. Our maps need to be as close to zero political bias as possible.</p>	Beverly J Riggie	2021-10-20 18:38:16+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>Nope, my commerce neighborhood is more aligned with diverse suburban communities to the south and east. This map throws us in with more rural facets-leaning republicans. Strive for less partisan balance. I love it. District 6 of Wayne County should reflect the voters SE of Warren Macomb County. The map is actually crossing the voting boundaries of 8 mile.</p>	Douglas Floto	2021-10-20 18:34:50+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like		Jacqueline Sproles	2021-10-20 18:30:43+00

10-08-21 v1 HD Dislike District 33 aligns my neighborhood with the maniacs in Milford who sent busloads of insurrectionists to the capital on January 6th! Maps have to be drawn to isolate and marginalize traitors, not empower minority rule over decent michigan residents. Douglas Floto 2021-10-20 18:28:13+00

10-08-21 v1 SD Opinion District 23 composed of the South side of Grand Rapids metro area is packed with Democratic votes. This can be improved by moving the north limit of 23 south to match the City Ward 2 line at Wealthy Street and expanding south into Gaines Township. The northern part of Gaines Township looks like a suburb of the six-city area and shares a school District with Kentwood. People north of Wealthy Street align more closely with the middle-class district 24. Also, the north district 24 should have Cascade Township included as it is also a suburb of the six-city area. Dorothy Munson 2021-10-20 18:20:26+00

District 23 composed of the South side of Grand Rapids metro area is packed with Democratic votes. This can be improved by moving the north limit of 23 south to match the City Ward 2 line at Wealthy Street and expanding south into Gaines Township. The northern part of Gaines Township looks like a suburb of the six-city area and shares a school District with Kentwood. People north of Wealthy Street align more closely with the middle-class district 24. Also, the north district 24 should have Cascade Township included as it is also a suburb of the six-city area.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

Dorothy Munson

2021-10-20 18:19:49+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>District 23 composed of the South side of Grand Rapids metro area is packed with Democratic votes. This can be improved by moving the north limit of 23 south to match the City Ward 2 line at Wealthy Street and expanding south into Gaines Township. The northern part of Gaines Township looks like a suburb of the six-city area and shares a school District with Kentwood. People north of Wealthy Street align more closely with the middle-class district 24. Also, the north district 24 should have Cascade Township included as it is also a suburb of the six-city area.</p>	Dorothy Munson	2021-10-20 18:19:09+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>District 33 is not representative of communities of interest. While it's fine to break up Commerce Township, my area's community of interest is to the south and east. This map really favors Republican interests and needs to be re-thought in terms of partisan fairness,</p>	Douglas Floto	2021-10-20 18:18:22+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Redistricting is a great thing to do. It gives the community the chance to Speak up. Once again, I agree with Sharon Baseman. Another map that does a disservice to the communities in this district, splitting neighbors with similar interests, and disenfranchising voters in Wayne County.	Terry Tywaun Burrell	2021-10-20 18:12:04+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	I agree with everything Sharon Baseman wrote. This map is not good for any of the South Oakland county communities or the Wayne County communities they are paired with.	Suzanne Kinnen	2021-10-20 18:08:48+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	At my locale, I have very little in common with the communities encompassed in map 18. It seems to favor rural Republicans over Suburban Democrats and Independents. My community of interest is definitely to the south and east.	Suzanne Kinnen	2021-10-20 18:05:44+00
10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Dislike		Douglas Floto	2021-10-20 18:03:22+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	The districts in South Oakland County are ridiculous. Splitting school districts and communities, looping in communities that have nothing in common with one another. Why is Berkley split from north to south?	Suzanne Kinnen	2021-10-20 18:01:39+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	I am happy to see this map which includes the Tri cities and Flint. I've lived in Midland for almost 50 years and this kind of Congressional Map shows respect for COI and the beginning of the end of the current gerrymandered district. District 34 seems like a better fit than in other maps. I believe this better reflects the community not interest concept. Democrats have won in the district, but only by slim margins. So a Republican bias even as low as 1% still is an issue.	kathleen curell	2021-10-20 17:58:03+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Like		Douglas Floto	2021-10-20 17:56:45+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike	Berkley should not be split into two districts, and there is no reason North Royal Oak should be included in this district. School districts should be kept together in these maps to the extent that is reasonable.	Suzanne Kinne	2021-10-20 17:56:14+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	This map splits Berkley and Oak Park to include half of Royal Oak. I'm not in favor of this.	Suzanne Kinnen	2021-10-20 17:51:40+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This map is not acceptable. Detroit is sliced into too many districts, watering down the power of the voters to elect candidates of choice. I worked hard to get this Petition turned into law and the bottom line is the majority of your maps STILL favor Republicans. Should they favor dems? Honestly, yes, in a Blue leaning state they should. Since that would cause heads to pop off, let's aim for competitive districts with a more balanced final overall map which was, after all, the point of all this.	Judy Maiga	2021-10-20 17:50:59+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Opinion	this map do not represent my community.	zelma joyce turner	2021-10-20 17:49:42+00

District 18 moves Commerce township into a more rural base. Our community of interest lies more to the south and east, at least from my neighborhood. We spend most of our social and commercial life in walled lake, wixom, and novi. I think this map is skewed to the rural north and west. Too favorable to Republicans.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Douglas Floto

2021-10-20 17:48:46+00

I have watched many of your hearings closely and I know how hard it has been to meet all the requirements of the new Constitutional amendment. I do not envy your job. This map is horrible, to be blunt. Detroit is sliced so much that it's watered down in terms of electing a preferred candidate. Districts can't be packed but they also can't be watered down. Please try again and look to Promote the Vote or other non partisan groups who have drafted maps that meet all the criteria for fair maps for inspiration. "A little gerrymandered" is gerrymandered. R's should NOT have the advantage on the whole in a Blue leaning state. Imagine the outrage if the maps leaned blue. We need to strive for better maps and that's not impossible at all as others have done it.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Judy Maiga

2021-10-20 17:47:40+00

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

I appreciate keeping  
southwestern Washtenaw  
separate from Ann  
Arbor/Ypsi. The rural  
communities and townships  
face very different challenges  
than Ann Arbor or Ypsilanti.

Alexander McKay

2021-10-20 17:47:20+00

I am not in favor of splitting Berrien County into two separate districts. Berrien has always been a representative county on its own; rural and urban, political affiliations, genders and races. Many of the lakeshore communities share similar issues that would best be handled by one official. Berrien County as a whole is home to major routes of transportation and infrastructure, again best suited to be represented by one official. This proposed redistricting would put my residence in one, with my work in another, all within a matter of 20 miles. I would like to see the existing map remain in place, which places Berrien, Cass, and St. Joseph counties as one. We are considered Michiana and should remain as such.

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Dislike

Christine A Lyon

2021-10-20 17:44:48+00

I live in Cascade Township. It should to be part of a Senate district with the Greater Grand Rapids city area. Going from my home to any area around the city you can not tell the difference in landscape, residences, shopping and businesses. We are essentially a suburb of Grand Rapids. The city airport is in our area. Ideally, we would be in district 24 with Ada Township as they are a very similar community and we share a school system. The current map has us grouped with rural farming communities all the way down to Barry and Allegan counties. These are country type environments that bear no resemblance to our community.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Opinion

Lisa Lamancusa

2021-10-20 17:44:30+00

AS I LOOK at this map, I can say, this is ridiculous. I see a puzzle with a lot of representation crossing into suburban areas. The idea behind redistricting was to make the voting process favorable for all involved in the process. To make sure all have the correct representation. What I see is would be another jab at Detroit. Does the representation mean to the commission? Where has the Detroit reps been during this process to speak with community members. The fact that the Detroit representation went silent is unfair to us as voters and tax payers. There has been plenty of community meetings for them to explain the process or at least hear out the community. If this is against the rules, this process was flawed from the beginning. I

10-06-21 v4 HD

Dislike

ask that you please make this E'yandra Otis

2021-10-20 17:43:13+00

I live in Cascade Township. It should to be part of a Senate district with the Greater Grand Rapids city area. Going from my home to any area around the city you can not tell the difference in landscape, residences, shopping and businesses. We are essentially a suburb of Grand Rapids. The city airport is in our area. Ideally, we would be in district 24 with Ada Township as they are a very similar community and we share a school system. The current map has us grouped with rural farming communities all the way down to Barry and Allegan counties. These are country type environments that bear no resemblance to our community.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

Lisa Lamancusa

2021-10-20 17:43:12+00

Again, why are we splitting Berrien County into lakeshore communities and separating the rest of the county? This is nonsensical and does not take into account the other assets and infrastructure.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Christine A Lyon

2021-10-20 17:43:01+00

This is not a fair map. It does not meet the requirements of "not favoring any one party over another." The R's still have the advantage - competitive districts was was WHOLE point of this years long initiative. Please look at Promote the Vote for inspiration. They have much fairer maps and were a bipartisan effort. Thank you for your hard work.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Judy Maiga

2021-10-20 17:42:48+00

Please keep Northville and Plymouth together. Our communities share resources, such as fire departments. We have common concerns, especially when addressing the landfill nearby since it affects both of our communities. As a Northville resident, I vote on elections that affect Schoolcraft Community College, not Oakland OCC. Northville needs to stay with Plymouth and Wayne County, not Novi. Our communities are very similar, everything from our homes, walkable downtowns, and even our friends and community events. I urge you to reconsider these maps and district lines.

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Dislike

Randa Cain

2021-10-20 17:42:25+00

I live in Cascade Township. It should to be part of a Senate district with the Greater Grand Rapids city area. Going from my home to any area around the city you can not tell the difference in landscape, residences, shopping and businesses. We are essentially a suburb of Grand Rapids. The city airport is in our area. Ideally, we would be in district 24 with Ada Township as they are a very similar community and we share a school system. The current map has us grouped with rural farming communities all the way down to Barry and Allegan counties. These are country type environments that bear no resemblance to our community.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Lisa Lamancusa

2021-10-20 17:41:58+00

Berrien county is split in this map, yet it is the largest county in the two different districts. It needs to be whole and in one of these congressional districts (preferrably on the border as we share that community of interest).

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS

Dislike

Julie Wuerfel

2021-10-20 17:41:35+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Commerce is more properly associated with suburban areas to the south and east. The way this map is drawn is highly favorable to more rural, Republican-leaning areas.	Douglas Floto	2021-10-20 17:39:27+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This is terrible map. There are multiple issues with the VRA, Detroit is chopped up WAY too much (and I do understand the idea was not to "pack" the district but you instead have watered down the voting power of Black residents in Detroit. This could be much better but is a candidate for a lawsuit as is.	Judy Maiga	2021-10-20 17:39:21+00

I would like to thank the Commission for hearing us as we asked for Tri-Cities to be together for fair representation. This map will give our geographical area the representation that it needs to improve infrastructure, educational entities from pre-k through higher ed.. The representation possible in this District 1 has a better ability for addressing flooding concerns, drinking water concerns, environmental protections, industrial improvements and other concerns as they arise, than previous Senate maps. Please keep addressing partisan fairness to bring to near zero political bias, reflecting the partisan balance of the state.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like

Thank you again.

Carol Heron

2021-10-20 17:37:18+00

Another map that cuts South Oakland County off from its neighboring communities in order to include the far reaches of Macomb County.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

We are not similar areas.

Suzanne Kinnen

2021-10-20 17:37:05+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike Commerce is more suburban and diverse and has little in common with the rural north and west. This map would strongly favor Republicans. Douglas Floto 2021-10-20 17:36:00+00

10-14-21 v2 SD RL Dislike 4 partial counties = no representation. This Senate map is awful. Berrien county should be whole. Our community of interest is with other border communities, so Berrien, Cass and St. Joseph Counties share services, out of state tourism, and out of state media. We share Nothing with Allegan or Kent counties. This district runs 90 miles and has little in common. Julie Wuerfel 2021-10-20 17:34:35+00

I am confused by this effort to divide Berrien County by lakeshore communities and not leave it intact. Does this have something to do with the numbers of "out of state" second homes typically found in these areas? The tourism industry in Berrien goes far beyond the lakeshore communities and includes a large area of wineries and breweries that have attracted many to the area. Keep Berrien County whole.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Christine A Lyon

2021-10-20 17:34:19+00

right now, there are 5 very safe Democratic districts and 3 Republican districts. This is worse in terms of partisan fairness than the 2011 maps.

There are no competitive House districts in the Greater Lansing Region as you have currently drawn the state House maps, meeting they aren't meeting the partisan fairness criteria.

To make the maps more fair:

1. Put Delta Township back in the Eaton County-based district.
2. Split East Lansing and Meridian Township north and south like you did with the city of Lansing. You used the river to help divide the city of Lansing in the current House maps. Use Grand River Ave to divide East Lansing and Meridian.

right now, there are 5 very safe Democratic districts and 3 Republican districts. This is worse in terms of partisan fairness than the 2011 maps.

There are no competitive House districts in the Greater Lansing Region as you have currently drawn the state House maps, meeting they aren't meeting the partisan fairness criteria.

To make the maps more fair:

1. Put Delta Township back in the Eaton County-based district.
2. Split East Lansing and Meridian Township north and south like you did with the city of Lansing. You used the river to help divide the city of Lansing in the current House maps. Use Grand River Ave to divide East Lansing and Meridian.

right now, there are 5 very safe Democratic districts and 3 Republican districts. This is worse in terms of partisan fairness than the 2011 maps.

There are no competitive House districts in the Greater Lansing Region as you have currently drawn the state House maps, meeting they aren't meeting the partisan fairness criteria.

To make the maps more fair:

1. Put Delta Township back in the Eaton County-based district.
2. Split East Lansing and Meridian Township north and south like you did with the city of Lansing. You used the river to help divide the city of Lansing in the current House maps. Use Grand River Ave to divide East Lansing and Meridian.

This seems like a better alignment of communities of interest. Commerce is more suburban and diverse. This seems like a better fit than with more rural areas to the north and west.

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid Like

Douglas Floto

2021-10-20 17:30:31+00

Under the current configuration of State Senate District 7, the Grosse Pointes and Harper Woods have very little in common with the rural in north Macomb and southern St. Clair Counties aside from proximity to Lake St. Clair. The Grosse Pointes, Harper Woods and the east side of Detroit have several shared and common interests such as regional bus system, cultural and recreational facilities and, the communities are served by regional medical facilities that include: Ascension St. John Hospital in Detroit, Henry Ford in Grosse Pointe Farms, and William Beaumont Hospital in Grosse Pointe Park, the communities share common shopping districts including those along Mack, Kercheval, Charlevoix and East Jefferson, and the "Eastland" complex in Harper Woods.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

maria Simon

2021-10-20 17:29:13+00

This map is nonsensical, with Berrien County being split up by lakeshore communities and the rest of the county. Berrien County needs to remain intact and not split up in this fashion. Very confusing and does not take into consideration the entirety of the tourism business in the county, only those of the lakeshore communities.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Thumbs down.

Christine A Lyon

2021-10-20 17:28:39+00

There are a large number of Democrats in the Grand Rapids Kent County area (over 250,000 voters) and they deserve to have fair representation in the State Senate in two districts. The way the north district number 24 is currently configured, it will be very difficult to elect a Democratic Senator. The district 23 on the south side is overloaded with Democrats. Suggest keeping the north 24 district all in Kent County and contiguous with the 6-city area plus close townships. This provides for a reasonable chance of electing a Democrat. There are a number of choices for expansion in Kent County. Include Algoma township to the north, move Cascade township into the district and/or move the border between 24 and the south 23 district southward. Thanks

10-08-21 v1 SD

Opinion

for your diligent efforts to

Cary Fleischer

2021-10-20 17:25:29+00

I am not in favor of splitting Berrien County into two separate districts. Berrien has always been a representative county on its own; rural and urban, political affiliations, genders and races. Many of the lakeshore communities share similar issues that would best be handled by one official. Berrien County as a whole is home to major routes of transportation and infrastructure, again best suited to be represented by one official. This proposed redistricting would put my residence in one, with my work in another, all within a matter of 20 miles. I would like to see the existing map remain in place, which places Berrien, Cass, and St. Joseph counties as one. We are considered Michiana and should remain as such.

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS Dislike

Christine A Lyon

2021-10-20 17:24:58+00

There are a large number of Democrats in the Grand Rapids Kent County area (over 250,000 voters) and they deserve to have fair representation in the State Senate in two districts. The way the north district number 24 is currently configured, it will be very difficult to elect a Democratic Senator. The district 23 on the south side is overloaded with Democrats. Suggest keeping the north 24 district all in Kent County and contiguous with the 6-city area plus close townships. This provides for a reasonable chance of electing a Democrat. There are a number of choices for expansion in Kent County. Include Algoma township to the north, move Cascade township into the district and/or move the border between 24 and the south 23 district southward. Thanks

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

for your diligent efforts to Cary Fleischer

2021-10-20 17:24:03+00

Why is Berrien County split into three different districts? This does not give us fair representation. This map reminds me of the maps I saw in textbooks when I was back in high school to teach us about gerrymandering. To me it is quite obvious what is being done. I think what we have now has been pretty well. Berrien County should be as one.

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Dislike

Darlene Lee

2021-10-20 17:23:15+00

There are a large number of Democrats in the Grand Rapids Kent County area (over 250,000 voters) and they deserve to have fair representation in the State Senate in two districts. The way the north district number 24 is currently configured, it will be very difficult to elect a Democratic Senator. The district 23 on the south side is overloaded with Democrats. Suggest keeping the north 24 district all in Kent County and contiguous with the 6-city area plus close townships. This provides for a reasonable chance of electing a Democrat. There are a number of choices for expansion in Kent County. Include Algoma township to the north, move Cascade township into the district and/or move the border between 24 and the south 23 district southward. Thanks

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

for your diligent efforts to

Cary Fleischer

2021-10-20 17:22:51+00

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	Another map that cuts South Oakland County off from its neighboring communities in order to include the further reaches of Macomb county. It doesn't make sense, and it feels very much like gerrymandering to me.	Suzanne Kinne	2021-10-20 17:21:53+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Like	I think this is a better attempt that some of the earlier version. I do like that Berrien County is whole and that we are still aligned with Cass County. Although I would prefer staying with St. Joseph County, I could accept Van Buren as an alternate, however I would rather see that entire counties stay together.	Christine A Lyon	2021-10-20 17:18:13+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	What on earth is going on in District 10? That split is not acceptable.	Suzanne Kinnen	2021-10-20 17:15:49+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Like	This is the only acceptable districting that I support. Berrien remains whole and is connected with Cass and St. Joseph counties. This is what Michiana represents and I want to retain our current districting.	Christine A Lyon	2021-10-20 17:13:48+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	<p>â€œCherryâ€ is the best of the bad options, but I am still concerned that the Commissioners seem to be ignoring the issue of partisan fairness. Being a little bit gerrymandered is like being a little bit pregnant. Please continue to work on this issue until you arrive at a map that doesnâ€™t unfairly advantage Republicans in a Democratic-leaning state. Democratic Commissioners, in particular, should vote against any map that does not meet this Constitutionally-mandated requirement.</p>	Karen Schaeffer	2021-10-20 17:11:35+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	<p>This is a good deal better than the Szetela plan. I am not in favor of a split of Berrien County in any form. Each of these proposed maps has a north/south split and I am curious as to how anyone thinks that this is a positive move. Berrien County is a cohesive county that represents urban, rural, multiple cultures and political affiliations. Please leave Berrien County whole.</p>	Suzanne Kinnen	2021-10-20 17:10:32+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike		Christine A Lyon	2021-10-20 17:10:16+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	<p>The map is still not a fair map. It has republican partisan bent. Particularly District 4 has packed all of Grand Rapids together and this will dilute the vote of this community. I suggest that some unpacking of urban voters happen here to make the overall map a more partisan-fair one.</p>	Shuvra Das	2021-10-20 17:09:56+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>Good idea putting Leelanau with Traverse City and Northern Benzie as they are roughly communities of interest.</p>	Sylvia McCullough	2021-10-20 17:08:55+00

I am very confused as to why anyone on the commission would split up Berrien County. It makes absolutely no sense to me. This seems to be clearly a political move and I would like to know if there is any representation for SW Michigan within the commission? Berrien County is a vital county for commerce, tourism, interstate and part of the first line of defense for public safety protection from contraband and criminal activity coming in from Indiana via Interstate I-94. The county functions as a whole and dividing into a northern and southern half will only cause further disconnect between residents.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Christine A Lyon

2021-10-20 17:06:04+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike

Northern Ottawa county needs to be separated from Kent County

Justin Stadt

2021-10-20 17:05:45+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	District 6 is a mess. Why is a chunk cut out in the center, separating adjacent communities and grouping Ferndale and Oak Park in with St. Clair Shores and Mount Clemens? It doesn't make sense.	Suzanne Kinnen	2021-10-20 17:02:06+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	I have NOTHING in common with Sterling Heights and the east side of Troy currently is mostly Democratic & Sterling Heights would vote for Trump today if asked. Bad decision made on this mapping.	Shelley Lake	2021-10-20 16:59:12+00

Monroe County has much more in common with the downriver area. We share the I 75 corridor and the western shore of Lake Erie. Monroe county is the connector between Metro Detroit and Toledo, not the connector of SE MI farms. The maps in general that have been drawn thus far do not follow your Constitutionally mandated criteria to draw FAIR Maps. As drawn they favor Republicans getting elected over Democrats. Historically, more votes are cast for Democrats in MI, but due to gerrymandering, more Republicans win seats in Lansing. Please don't continue this unfair practice.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Linda Lauer

2021-10-20 16:52:56+00

Regarding Congressional District 7, please do NOT lump Waterloo Township, Jackson County in with Ann Arbor/Washtenaw County and Brighton/Livingston County. Waterloo Township is VERY rural, and the needs of its citizens are very different from urban dwelling citizens. Please keep Waterloo Township within Jackson County. Please do not divide up counties. Proposed Jackson County maps have 3 districts in them. The end result looks very suspicious as to what your end goal is here. Cynthia Richardson

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

2021-10-20 16:49:25+00

As I stated on other similar versions for District 8, I strongly disapprove of any of the map versions that place Battle Creek with southern, rural counties. It has nothing in common with them and has stronger ties with Kalamazoo along the I-94 corridor, which includes economic, health, social, environmental, and educational interests. Please do not consider this or other versions that place Battle Creek with areas of little common interest. This is worse than our current district of being included with Grand Rapids. The Congressional Map submitted by the Promote the Vote Coalition should be considered because it better represents how Calhoun County fits into the state economy.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Dislike

Christine Kosmowski

2021-10-20 16:49:05+00

Please stop lumping Waterloo Township, Jackson County into Washtenaw County communities (proposed State Senate District 18). Please keep Waterloo Township within Jackson County rural communities. Our needs are VERY different from Washtenaw County communities. We do not have similar public services such as police/fire, public water/sewage (we have private wells and septic systems), and we do not have broadband. I spoke at the Redistricting public hearing event that was held in Jackson this past May. I spoke about how students in Waterloo Township do not have broadband available and are lumped in with communities with broadband. I asked for the Commission to keep Waterloo Township with similar communities so our children can be taught using

10-14-21 v1 SD RL

Dislike

Cynthia Richardson

2021-10-20 16:45:17+00

As I stated on other similar versions for District 8, I strongly disapprove of any of the map versions that place Battle Creek with southern, rural counties. It has nothing in common with them and has stronger ties with Kalamazoo along the I-94 corridor, which includes economic, health, social, environmental, and educational interests. Please do not consider this or other versions that place Battle Creek with areas of little common interest. This worse than our current district of being included with Grand Rapids. The Congressional Map submitted by the Promote the Vote Coalition should be considered because it better represents how Calhoun County fits into the state economy.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Christine Kosmowski

2021-10-20 16:41:18+00

I have noticed several dislike online comments regarding proposed maps that merge rural and urban areas. I also am unhappy with the proposed State House Representative/â€~Pineâ€™™ map for District 69. I live in Waterloo Township, Jackson County. Merging Waterloo Township with northern Washtenaw County communities is a poor idea. Waterloo Township is VERY rural. We do not have the public services that Ann Arbor, Dexter or Scio Township residents have such as public water/sewage, police, or broadband services to name a few. Please keep â€~likeâ€™™ communities together. As a member of the Waterloo Township Planning Commission, I would like to see our Township continue to work with communities such as Henrietta Township, Grass Lake Township and Leoni

Cynthia Richardson

2021-10-20 16:41:16+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Dislike

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	The proposed redistricting would be a complete disaster for Newaygo county residents and businesses. There is no reasonable basis of support for this in Newaygo County.	Scott Faulkner	2021-10-20 16:40:18+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I like this map. It keeps Ottawa County together. Any map that breaks up Ottawa Co is just gerrymandering. In this map, Berrien County will have 3 Representatives. That is not community of interest. Prioritizing Partisan fairness is Gerrymandering. Keep our county intact with 2 representatives. If it goes outside the county borders to make the 2 complete, then that is different. But to draw around a community of interest to make it partisan is wrong.	Melissa W	2021-10-20 16:39:24+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike		Julie Wuerfel	2021-10-20 16:34:21+00

Dear Commissioners,

I am concerned that the Lansing maps do not accurately reflect the community, particularly on the issue of partisan fairness.

Our current state House maps have 3 Dem districts, 2 Republican districts and 1 swing district (D71 - Eaton County area) in Greater Lansing. However, the new proposed maps have 3 Dem districts and 3 Republican districts because the vast majority of Dem voters in our region have been packed into 3 districts.

This means there are no competitive House districts in Greater Lansing and violates criteria 4 (partisan fairness). This is an example of gerrymandering that goes even further than the 2011 maps.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Rachel Cichon

2021-10-20 16:26:59+00

Dear Commissioners,

I am concerned that the Lansing maps do not accurately reflect the community, particularly on the issue of partisan fairness.

Our current state House maps have 3 Dem districts, 2 Republican districts and 1 swing district (D71 - Eaton County area) in Greater Lansing. However, the new proposed maps have 3 Dem districts and 3 Republican districts because the vast majority of Dem voters in our region have been packed into 3 districts.

This means there are no competitive House districts in Greater Lansing and violates criteria 4 (partisan fairness). This is an example of gerrymandering that goes even further than the 2011 maps.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Rachel Cichon

2021-10-20 16:26:32+00

Sikh Americans are a  
community of interest. Please  
keep Royal Oak, Rochester  
Hills, Sterling Heights and  
Troy together so both  
Gurdwara (Sikh place of  
worship) communities of  
Oakland and Macomb  
Counties are represented by  
one congressperson

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Arjun Sundaram

2021-10-20 16:25:20+00

Dear Commissioners,

I am concerned that the Lansing maps do not accurately reflect the community, particularly on the issue of partisan fairness.

Our current state House maps have 3 Dem districts, 2 Republican districts and 1 swing district (D71 - Eaton County area) in Greater Lansing. However, the new proposed maps have 3 Dem districts and 3 Republican districts because the vast majority of Dem voters in our region have been packed into 3 districts.

This means there are no competitive House districts in Greater Lansing and violates criteria 4 (partisan fairness). This is an example of gerrymandering that goes even further than the 2011 maps.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Rachel Cichon

2021-10-20 16:24:40+00

Your idea of putting all of the lower portion on MI together is a horrible one. I cannot fathom why you would do this. I grew up in SE MI and still have family there. I have lived in SW MI for over 40 years. I can assure you that they are entirely different areas and have very little in common, except being along Michigan's southern border. Did anyone working on this do any research at all about the areas in question? My initial reaction to this is that is an effort at gerrymandering. Actually, if I look at the entire map, it seems to be an effort to stifle the voices of those in less populated areas but those who live in more urban areas. I think you need to work on this some more.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

Darlene Lee

2021-10-20 16:23:50+00

As I stated on other similar versions for District 8, I strongly disapprove of any of the map versions that place Battle Creek with southern, rural counties. It has nothing in common with them and has stronger ties with Kalamazoo along the I-94 corridor, which includes economic, health, social, environmental, and educational interests. Please do not consider this or other versions that place Battle Creek with areas of little common interest. This worse than our current district of being included with Grand Rapids. Please consider the congressional map submitted by Promote the Vote

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Coalition.

Christine Kosmowski

2021-10-20 16:23:07+00

Sikh Americans are a community of interest. Please keep Royal oak, Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights and Troy together so both Gurdwara (Sikh place of worship) communities of Oakland and Macomb Counties are represented by one congressperson

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Gagandeep Kaur

2021-10-20 16:19:22+00

As I stated on other similar versions for District 8, I strongly disapprove of any of the map versions that place Battle Creek with southern, rural counties. It has nothing in common with them and has stronger ties with Kalamazoo along the I-94 corridor, which includes economic, health, social, environmental, and educational interests. Please do not consider this or other versions that place Battle Creek with areas of little common interest. This worse than our current district of being included with Grand Rapids.

10-07-2021 CD RAS Dislike

Christine Kosmowski

2021-10-20 16:18:18+00

As I stated on other similar versions for District 8, I strongly disapprove of any of the map versions that place Battle Creek with southern, rural counties. It has nothing in common with them and has stronger ties with Kalamazoo along the I-94 corridor, which includes economic, health, social, environmental, and educational interests. Please do not consider this or other versions that place Battle Creek with areas of little common interest. This worse than our current district of being included with Grand Rapids.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Christine Kosmowski

2021-10-20 16:15:13+00

This map doesn't come close to achieving partisan fairness and I don't support this version at all. Please continue working toward this goal. Both the AFL/CIO and Promote the Vote have submitted maps showing its possible to achieve thi

10-08-21 v1 SD Dislike

Jason Behr

2021-10-20 16:14:20+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	I don't understand why you'd put the only 3 cities in with a huge swath of rural area. The Tri-Cities have more in common with Flint than with West Michigan.	Rebecca Younk	2021-10-20 16:12:48+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This map doesn't come close to achieving partisan fairness and treats Detroit very badly by slicing it up into many suburban districts.	Jason Behr	2021-10-20 16:09:58+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Commissioners--you still have work to do. The partisan lean needs to be closer to zero....isn't that the point of this whole exercise? This is not Texas, thankfully.	Charles Henry	2021-10-20 16:08:37+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I strongly disapprove of any of the map versions that place Battle Creek with southern, rural counties. It has nothing in common with them and has stronger ties with Kalamazoo along the I-94 corridor, which includes economic, health, social, environmental, and educational interests.	Christine Kosmowski	2021-10-20 16:07:26+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	This State Senate is the best of the 3 but still has a ways to go to achieve partisan fairness. Please continue working toward this goal. Both the AFL/CIO and Promote the Vote have submitted maps showing its possible to achieve this.	Jason Behr	2021-10-20 16:02:51+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Your maps, even this one, unfairly benefit Republicans. Your job isnâ€™t done until you fix that	Charles Henry	2021-10-20 16:01:09+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Opinion	Keep Kent County together and keep Ottawa County together. Split them up within the counties if you need to, but don't mix the two.	Grace Ann Moes Smith	2021-10-20 15:59:46+00

Map 236: District 1 includes the 3 larger urban areas of Midland, Bay City and Saginaw together in one district. This is VERY good as it reflects that they are communities with shared interests and they will allow the citizens to be properly represented and not be separated out into large rural districts which will dilute or mute the needs and concerns of the more urban and diverse population of the 3 cities. This is a good map for the mid-MI area as it represents the mix and diversity of the citizens within areas that share many of the same economic, educational, environmental, cultural and social issues. Yeah for the People Not the Politicians who choose their representatives.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

Marie Johansen

2021-10-20 15:59:38+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Keep northern Kent County together and separate from Ottawa County. Northern Kent County is really a bedroom community of Grand Rapids. Even our rural areas are more associated with people working in GR than with other rural areas of Ottawa County.	Grace Smith	2021-10-20 15:52:39+00
10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Like	Map 242 District 1 includes the 3 larger urban areas of Midland, Bay City and Saginaw together in one district. This is VERY good as it reflects that they are communities with shared interests and they will allow the citizens to be properly represented and not be separated out into large rural districts which will dilute or mute the needs and concerns of the more urban and diverse population of the 3 cities. This is a good map for the mid-MI area.	Marie Johansen	2021-10-20 15:50:14+00

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Like	<p>District 1 includes the 3 larger urban areas of Midland, Bay City and Saginaw together in one district. This is VERY good as it reflects that they are communities with shared interests and this design will allow the citizens to be properly represented and not be separated out into large rural districts which will dilute or mute the needs and concerns of the more urban and diverse population of the 3 cities. This is a good map for the mid-MI area.</p>	Marie Johansen	2021-10-20 15:48:35+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	<p>It makes no sense to stick the city of Bay City with rural communities like Linwood and Almeda Beach. Urban voters will be drowned out. Especially including Freeland in with Bay City is extremely odd, and makes me suspicious of this map and its partisan bent.</p>	Rebecca Younk	2021-10-20 15:47:11+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>I believe this map considers communities of interest but putting 5 counties together is gerrymandering.</p>	Sharon Butter	2021-10-20 15:45:32+00

10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Like	<p>This map is an improvement for the Battle Creek area because it is drawn in with the Kalamazoo area. However, I would prefer more of the I-94 corridor communities in Calhoun County be included.</p>	Christine Kosmowski	2021-10-20 15:44:42+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	<p>This map is the best one for Calhoun County. We have a lot in common with these areas.</p>	Kathy Garland	2021-10-20 15:44:30+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	<p>This map is the best one for Calhoun County. We have a lot in common with these areas.</p>	Kathy Garland	2021-10-20 15:44:29+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	<p>I prefer this map. Walled Lake has more in common with Novi as it continues to grow. This map overall is the more balanced politically. The goal of this commission is to create competitive districts which reflect the voting public. For too long the state and us congress has not reflected the 50-50 balance of the state's voters.</p>	Nancy Sylvia Czech	2021-10-20 15:43:35+00

This district map can easily be simplified to measurably improve the Communities of Interest aspect so a Senator can represent a homogeneous group of people with similar legislative issues. Add Cascade Township to district #24 and remove the four townships in Ottawa County (Tallmadge, Wright, Polkton, Allendale). Cascade Township is a suburb of Grand Rapids and does not belong where it is in district 96 with all the rural farming communities in that district. The four townships in Ottawa County are also rural low population farming communities. Keep them with Ottawa county. Probably have to add Algoma Township to Rockford City to get the #24 district population right. Rockford City is in Algoma Township and they have a combined school district. Might have to move

10-08-21 v1 SD

Opinion

Rich Thrush

2021-10-20 15:42:26+00

This district map can easily be simplified to measurably improve the Communities of Interest aspect so a Senator can represent a homogeneous group of people with similar legislative issues. Add Cascade Township to district #24 and remove the four townships in Ottawa County (Tallmadge, Wright, Polkton, Allendale). Cascade Township is a suburb of Grand Rapids and does not belong where it is in district 96 with all the rural farming communities in that district. The four townships in Ottawa County are also rural low population farming communities. Keep them with Ottawa county. Probably have to add Algoma Township to Rockford City to get the #24 district population right. Rockford City is in Algoma Township and they have a combined school district. Might have to move

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

Rich Thrush

2021-10-20 15:41:42+00

This district map can easily be simplified to measurably improve the Communities of Interest aspect so a Senator can represent a homogeneous group of people with similar legislative issues. Add Cascade Township to district #24 and remove the four townships in Ottawa County (Tallmadge, Wright, Polkton, Allendale). Cascade Township is a suburb of Grand Rapids and does not belong where it is in district 96 with all the rural farming communities in that district. The four townships in Ottawa County are also rural low population farming communities. Keep them with Ottawa county. Probably have to add Algoma Township to Rockford City to get the #24 district population right. Rockford City is in Algoma Township and they have a combined school district. Might have to move

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Rich Thrush

2021-10-20 15:40:50+00

As with other maps, this one again places Battle Creek and Calhoun County with rural, southern counties while having more in common with the I-94 and I-69 corridors, including Kalamazoo.

Comments had been submitted about this Community of Interest, but they apparently have not been considered when drawing this map and others.

10-10-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Christine Kosmowski

2021-10-20 15:40:08+00

Map 247 This map keeps Midland and Bay City together, BUT it does not include Saginaw with them as part of the TRI-CITIES. This is not reflective of the shared interest of cultural, economic, environmental and educational ties that these 3 urban areas share. Keep the Tri-Cities together so that the voices of the citizens of these urban communities have their concerns and needs represented.

10-14-21 v1 SD RL Dislike

Marie Johansen

2021-10-20 15:39:10+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Dislike	<p>It makes no sense to put the city of Bay City in with a bunch of rural areas. Urban voters will be drowned out completely by a population we have nothing in common with.</p>	Rebecca Younk	2021-10-20 15:38:13+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like	<p>Sikh Americans deserve to have an amplified voice in congress. Please keep the metro Detroit portion the same in the final map, specifically so the Sikh community of interest in Troy, Rochester Hills, and Sterling Heights are together. This map is an improvement because it places Battle Creek with Kalamazoo because of the community of interest. However, I would prefer it include more of the I-94 corridor within Calhoun County.</p>	SS KAHLON	2021-10-20 15:36:25+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like		Christine Kosmowski	2021-10-20 15:31:16+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO Dislike	<p>Map 239 District 31 has Midland included in a rural box. District 53 separates Bay City from Midland and also sits in a rural district. By separating these two urban areas which have shared interests culturally, economically, educationally and environmentally, the voices and interests of the citizens are diluted. Midland and Bay City must stay together as a district. Please go back to map 241 as an example of a fair and representative design.</p>	Marie Johansen	2021-10-20 15:26:38+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike	<p>This District 8 map Battle Creek and Calhoun County with southern, rural counties when they have more in common with Kalamazoo and counties to the north along the I-94 and I-69 corridors. This map is similar in configuration to others and is also very disappointing. Comments submitted previously about the I-94 Community of Interest appears to have been ignored. Please revise this map.</p>	Christine Kosmowski	2021-10-20 15:26:35+00

10-14-21 v1 SD RL Dislike No map should split up the Tri-Cities of Bay City, Saginaw, and Midland. We share an airport, the economic development centers of these cities all work together, it's very common for someone to live in one city, work in another, and visit all of the cities for shopping and activities. They are the 3 urban centers in the region and should be kept together. Rebecca Younk 2021-10-20 15:23:57+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike I voted for Proposal 2 with the hope that political fairness and communities of interest would be considered when drawing the map. I don't see how that has been done for District 8. Battle Creek and Calhoun County has been included with southern, rural counties when they have more in common with Kalamazoo and counties to the north along the I-94 and I-69 corridors. This map is very disappointing. Please revise it. Christine Kosmowski 2021-10-20 15:17:52+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Like

This is the map I approve of.  
It keeps Troy included with  
the major cities of Oakland  
County.

Sandra Halmi

2021-10-20 15:17:47+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Map 241 District 53, while  
the shape of the district does  
seem a bit linear, I believe it  
does reflect accurately the  
ties that Midland and Bay City  
have both economically and  
culturally. I like that it does  
balance the mix of both  
communities along with the  
diversity of the people who  
live in each community. They  
do have shared interests and  
because both urban cities are  
also imbedded in large rural  
areas, these urbanites have  
their unique needs and voices  
heard. This is a map that can  
reflect the voices of the  
citizens.

Marie Johansen

2021-10-20 15:17:37+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Dislike	<p>Map 248-District District 31 and District 53 include two large urban areas that have close ties culturally and economically and they should be in the same district so that the citizens in these similar communities will have their voices heard in congress. By separating them and then including each into a very rural areas, their voices are again diluted and drowned out. All voices need to be heard and communities with like minds, needs and interests must be together so they are represented.</p>	Marie Johansen	2021-10-20 15:07:29+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like	<p>I appreciate that thus map aligns Midland, Bay City and Saginaw. There is an increase in partisan fairness as well.</p>	Maxine Guettler	2021-10-20 15:00:24+00

Map 237. District 11- I would definitely support this map. You have done an excellent job in designing this map to keep the economic and urban areas of Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint together. As they are aligned to reflect the mix of citizens in District 11- including minority, working and professional. This is great and I think you have designed a map that seems very unbiased but representative of the people who live in the Mid-MI areas. This appears to be a very similar district design as Map 237 regarding District 11.

10-11-21 Eid v5 CD Like

Thank you.

Marie Johansen

2021-10-20 14:55:26+00

This is a best map that I have seen for district 11. Keeping three counties whole (Bay, Saginaw, and Genesee) and adding most of Midland county makes perfect sense.

10-11-21 Eid v5 CD Like

This map gets an A+ from me. Allison M Wilcox

2021-10-20 14:52:58+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Opinion	<p>This map is the best out of all of the Congressional maps. However, believe it could still be improved with further modifications focusing more on communities of interest.</p> <p>This is a bad map. The rural residents in the western part of proposed district 2 have little in common with the communities in the eastern part. Midland, Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint make a</p>	Ashley Bohacz	2021-10-20 14:50:54+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	<p>more sensible combination.</p> <p>I believe this map is the best out of all of the State House maps, using it as a foundation with further modifications focusing more on</p>	Allison M Wilcox	2021-10-20 14:50:16+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	<p>communities of interest.</p> <p>I believe this map is the best out of all of them, but could still use further modifications with the focus on</p>	Ashley Bohacz	2021-10-20 14:49:32+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>communities of interest.</p>	Ashley Bohacz	2021-10-20 14:47:53+00

Map 237. District 11- I would definitely support this map. You have done an excellent job in designing this map to keep the economic and urban areas of Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint together. As they are aligned to reflect the mix of citizens in District 11- including minority, working and professional. This is great and I think you have designed a map that seems very unbiased but representative of the people who live in the Mid-MI areas.

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

Thank you.

Marie Johansen

2021-10-20 14:47:41+00

I like that the city of Midland is included with the rest of the tri-cities, but I think it would be better to take off Tuscola county in the thumb and put it in district 10 and then include more of Midland county. The townships surrounding Midland are part of Midland's "micropolitan area" even though they are not inside the city limits.

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS Opinion

Allison M Wilcox

2021-10-20 14:47:00+00

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	<p>PUTting Midland county in a district that goes all the way across the state to Lake Michigan makes no sense. Connect us to the communities nearest to us, like Bay City and Saginaw. That's where our community of interest is located.</p>	Allison M Wilcox	2021-10-20 14:43:27+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	<p>This is one of the better maps and I think would accurately represent where I'm from. Detroit should not be divided up like this. We need a strongly represented Detroit in order for the whole metro area to succeed and this divides up Detroit's power too much.</p>	Kyle de Beausset	2021-10-20 14:42:15+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>Appreciate my neighbors to the East, Bay City &amp; Saginaw, being part of the same voting district as Midland. Thanks!</p>	Kyle de Beausset	2021-10-20 14:40:55+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>This is an excellent congressional map for the mid-Michigan area. It keeps the Tri-cities and Flint in one district and includes the portion of Midland county that really makes up the Midland "micropolitan" area.</p>	Jane Templeman	2021-10-20 14:40:14+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	<p>This is an excellent congressional map for the mid-Michigan area. It keeps the Tri-cities and Flint in one district and includes the portion of Midland county that really makes up the Midland "micropolitan" area.</p>	Allison M Wilcox	2021-10-20 14:39:29+00

I accidentally marked "like" on my other comment when I meant to mark "dislike:" There should be a way to edit comments. Here's the other comment I wrote:

Detroit should not be divided up like this. We need a strongly represented Detroit in order for the whole metro area to succeed and this divides up Detroit's power too much.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Kyle de Beausset

2021-10-20 14:39:02+00

Appreciate my neighbors to the East, Bay City & Saginaw, being part of the same voting district as Midland. Thanks!

10-08-21 v1 SD

Like

Jane Templeman

2021-10-20 14:38:18+00

Map 200 District 13 and District 11. This map has draw districts to reflect very large rural areas while also including the more urban cities and towns within them. The effect is to dilute the voices of those urban and minority citizens.

A key example is including Midland into District 13, separating it from District 11 which houses Saginaw, Bay City and Flint. Segregating Midland from the other 3 mid-sized industrial/urban centers defeats the purpose of keeping communities with similar features together, esp. when they are geographically close together. Midland must not be separated from the Tri-Cities and Flint in any District map. These areas must have the representation they deserve by having their voices heard. What is the intent of separating Midland? Are we

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

making these maps to reflect Marie Johansen

2021-10-20 14:38:01+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Like	Detroit should not be divided up like this, in my opinion. We need a strongly represented Detroit in order for the whole metro area to succeed and this divides up Detroit's power too much.	Kyle de Beausset	2021-10-20 14:37:01+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	Appreciate my neighbors to the East, Bay City & Saginaw, being part of the same voting district as Midland. Thanks!	Jane Templeman	2021-10-20 14:36:39+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	DO NOT split Oakland County...especially Southfield! This map makes no sense!	Beth R Rich	2021-10-20 14:35:42+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	It's easy to see who will control this district. Addison, Bruce and Washington townships supply the money. Clinton Twp, Mt. Clemens, and Macomb Twp supply the population and the corruption. Ray, Lenox, Richmond and Armada ride along, irrelevant as always. This map shows how to destroy lives and homes under the guise of fairness.	Christina Kacanowski	2021-10-20 14:35:34+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Appreciate my neighbors to the East, Bay City & Saginaw, being part of the same voting district as Midland. Thanks!	Jane Templeman	2021-10-20 14:35:33+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This is one of the better maps and I think my district, 22, is a good district for our communities to be able to participate in through our downriver communities.	Kyle de Beausset	2021-10-20 14:35:13+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Appreciate my neighbors to the East, Bay City & Saginaw, being part of the same voting district as Midland. Thanks!	Jane Templeman	2021-10-20 14:30:43+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	Either this map or the "apple" map would be my favorite. I like that it includes Muskegon with Grand Rapids since Muskegon fits much better with GR instead of the more rural north and east.	James Tremblay	2021-10-20 14:27:35+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I like this map. It makes sense to have a lakeshore district on the west side that includes Muskegon. Also, Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo together makes sense since the 2 western Michigan cities have a lot of shared interests.	James Tremblay	2021-10-20 14:24:11+00

Map 240: Regarding District 11, I generally like that you included Bay City, Saginaw and Flint together and also included parts of Midland, BUT the ENTIRE city of Midland needs to be kept in the same district. We are one community-one urban area. I do not understand why you would split up Midland. That does not seem proper nor reflective of the goals of this committee. Is there an address that is being protected???? This map has some good points, but it needs to be revised. Keep working at it.

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS Opinion

Marie Johansen

2021-10-20 14:17:35+00

Cascade township should be in the north #24 district. It is very similar in character to Ada Township to the north. Certainly, it should be attached to the Grand Rapids city areas rather than where it is now combined with rural farming townships going all the way down south to Allegan and Barry Counties

10-08-21 v1 SD Opinion

Patricia Dawson

2021-10-20 14:14:55+00

I live on the west side of Grand Rapids in Walker. I was surprised to see the four Ottawa Townships included in the north side #24 Senate district. They have no relationship to the west side city area. They are very sparsely populated farming communities compared to where we live in the city. Recommend removing them from this district and replacing with areas closer to the city in Kent County that are more like us.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Opinion

Patricia Dawson

2021-10-20 14:14:21+00

Cascade township should be in the north #24 district. It is very similar in character to Ada Township to the north. Certainly, it should be attached to the Grand Rapids city areas rather than where it is now combined with rural farming townships going all the way down south to Allegan and Barry Counties

10-07-21 SD RAS BK

Opinion

Patricia Dawson

2021-10-20 14:12:57+00

I live on the west side of Grand Rapids in Walker. I was surprised to see the four Ottawa Townships included in the north side #24 Senate district. They have no relationship to the west side city area. They are very sparsely populated farming communities compared to where we live in the city. Recommend removing them from this district and replacing with areas closer to the city in Kent County that are more like us.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

Patricia Dawson

2021-10-20 14:12:33+00

Cascade township should be in the north #24 district. It is very similar in character to Ada Township to the north. Certainly, it should be attached to the Grand Rapids city areas rather than where it is now combined with rural farming townships going all the way down south to Allegan and Barry Counties

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Patricia Dawson

2021-10-20 14:10:49+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>These maps still tilt Republican, in a state that tends to lean Democratic in its voting. The party that gets more votes should have more seats. Please work to correct the partisan bias.</p> <p>I live on the west side of Grand Rapids in Walker. I was surprised to see the four Ottawa Townships included in the north side #24 Senate district. They have no relationship to the west side city area. They are very sparsely populated farming communities compared to where we live in the city. Recommend removing them from this district and replacing with areas closer to the city in Kent County that are more like us.</p>	Eric Ash	2021-10-20 14:10:24+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>These maps still tilt Republican, in a state that tends to lean Democratic in its voting. The party that gets more votes should have more seats. Please work to correct the partisan bias.</p>	Patricia Dawson	2021-10-20 14:10:16+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>These maps still tilt Republican, in a state that tends to lean Democratic in its voting. The party that gets more votes should have more seats. Please work to correct the partisan bias.</p>	Eric Ash	2021-10-20 14:09:31+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	These maps still tilt Republican, in a state that tends to lean Democratic in its voting. The party that gets more votes should have more seats. Please work to correct the partisan bias.	Eric Ash	2021-10-20 14:07:36+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	These maps still tilt Republican, in a state that tends to lean Democratic in its voting. The party that gets more votes should have more seats. Please work to correct the partisan bias.	Eric Ash	2021-10-20 14:07:11+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	These maps still tilt Republican, in a state that tends to lean Democratic in its voting. The party that gets more votes should have more seats. Please work to correct the partisan bias.	Eric Ash	2021-10-20 14:06:26+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	These maps still tilt Republican, in a state that tends to lean Democratic in its voting. The party that gets more votes should have more seats. Please work to correct the partisan bias.	Eric Ash	2021-10-20 14:06:11+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	These maps still tilt Republican, in a state that tends to lean Democratic in its voting. The party that gets more votes should have more seats. Please work to correct the partisan bias.	Eric Ash	2021-10-20 14:05:51+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	These maps still tilt Republican, in a state that tends to lean Democratic in its voting. The party that gets more votes should have more seats. Please work to correct the partisan bias.	Eric Ash	2021-10-20 14:05:30+00

Map 243 . District 2 lumps together the major cities of midMI (Tri-Cities and Flint) with a large swath of rural areas of MI. But this design does not allow for proper representation of the urban populations. It almost seems as if there was an effort to dilute the voices of the more urban areas and minority folks by including enough rural areas with sufficient populations of rural folks to either match or exceed the urban areas. This looks like gerrymandering in a new shape. Mid-MI urban areas must have their voices heard, keep the Tri-Cities and Flint together, and keep them in a more cohesive and concise district, so their voices are not drowned out by rural populations.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

Marie Johansen

2021-10-20 14:02:25+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>It makes no sense to carve up the Grosse Pointe communities in such a convoluted way. Also, these maps still tilt Republican, in a state that tends to lean Democratic in its voting. The party that gets more votes should have more seats. Please work to correct the partisan bias.</p> <p>These maps still tilt Republican, in a state that tends to lean Democratic in its voting. The party that gets more votes should have more seats. Please work to correct the partisan bias.</p>	Eric Ash	2021-10-20 14:02:23+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Thank you for keeping the Tri-Cities together in a senate district. They are a clear COI that share economic, cultural, and educational ties. Please continue to improve the maps until the seat shares match the vote shares of the state (54% D / 47% R)</p>	Eric Ash	2021-10-20 13:59:03+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like		Angie Kelleher	2021-10-20 13:56:09+00

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Like	Thank you for keeping the Tri-Cities together in a senate district. They are a clear COI that share economic, cultural, and educational ties. Please continue to improve the maps until the seat shares match the vote shares of the state (54% D / 47% R)	Angie Kelleher	2021-10-20 13:55:42+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Like	I dislike this senate district. The Tri-Cities are a closely aligned COI and the majority of the comments on the portal reflect that. Please return to the senate district drawn in the Cherry plan.	Angie Kelleher	2021-10-20 13:55:14+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	I dislike this senate district. The Tri-Cities are a closely aligned COI and the majority of the comments on the portal reflect that. Please return to the senate district drawn in the Cherry plan.	Angie Kelleher	2021-10-20 13:54:43+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO Dislike

While this district may make sense geographically, it does not add to the overall partisan fairness of the entire map. I suggest going back to the Midland and Bay City house district. These 2 cities are closely aligned economically, culturally, and through the arts and school systems. Please continue to improve the maps until the seat shares match the vote shares of the state (54% D / 47% R)

Angie Kelleher

2021-10-20 13:54:06+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Like

This is a good house district. it achieves a near zero political bias in the district which will allow the people to elect a representative that works for them and not their party, and it unifies two closely aligned cities that share economic, cultural, and educational ties. Please continue to improve the maps until the seat shares match the vote shares of the state (54% D / 47% R)

Angie Kelleher

2021-10-20 13:51:20+00

While this district may make sense geographically, it does not add to the overall partisan fairness of the entire map. I suggest going back to the Midland and Bay City house district. These 2 cities are closely aligned economically, culturally, and through the arts and school systems. Please continue to improve the maps until the seat shares match the vote shares of the state (54% D / 47% R)

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Dislike

Angie Kelleher

2021-10-20 13:50:47+00

This is the best congressional map I have seen for our area. It respects the Mid-Michigan COI of the Tri-Cities and Flint, places Tuscola County in District 10 where it belongs, kept 3 counties whole (Bay, Saginaw, Genesee) and included most of Midland County as well. This is a great job. Please continue to make partisan fairness a priority and make sure the maps reflect the 53% Dem and 47% Republican vote share of the state

10-11-21 Eid v5 CD Like

Angie Kelleher

2021-10-20 13:50:10+00

This is the best congressional map I have seen for our area. It respects the Mid-Michigan COI of the Tri-Cities and Flint, places Tuscola County in District 10 where it belongs, kept 3 counties whole (Bay, Saginaw, Genesee) and included most of Midland County as well. This is a great job. Please continue to make partisan fairness a priority and make sure the maps reflect the 53% Dem and 47% Republican vote share of the state

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

Angie Kelleher

2021-10-20 13:49:30+00

This map looks like a gerrymander to save Rep. John Moolenaar's seat. It is unconstitutional to intentionally draw districts that benefit a candidate. You even put Gladwin in a district with Saginaw, Bay City and Flint. This makes no sense. Please go back to a Tri-Cities and Flint congressional district. This allows you to keep most of those 4 counties whole and have a clear Mid-Michigan COI.

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

Angie Kelleher

2021-10-20 13:48:52+00

Thank you for continually placing the Tri-Cities and Flint in a congressional district. We are all urban/suburban areas with industrial based economies and share cultural, educational, and economic interests. Please consider placing Tuscola County in District 10. This will allow you to place more of Midland County inot District 11.

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Angie Kelleher

2021-10-20 13:47:41+00

I appreciate the commission continually respecting the COI of the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) but this district excludes Flint. These 4 cities are the only urban/suburban cities in Mid-Michigan and should be included together as they are also a community of interest. This district all dilutes the minority votes of Saginaw in a dangerous way and moves the commission farther from a zero political bias map. Put the Tri-Cities and Flint together in a congressional district.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

Angie Kelleher

2021-10-20 13:46:48+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like I like this redistricting map. The district is now comprised of my surrounding community, unlike the previous districting. Linda Delaney 2021-10-20 13:42:41+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like I appreciate that the commission placed the Great Lakes Bay region (Bay City, Midland, Saginaw) and Flint in the same congressional district; they are urban/suburban areas and form a community of interest. As such, they should have appropriate representation. A large city like Midland is more similar to the other nearby cities than it is to rural areas. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible. Lisa Gano 2021-10-20 13:33:55+00

I appreciate that the commission placed the Great Lakes Bay region (Bay City, Midland, Saginaw) and Flint in the same congressional district; they are urban/suburban areas and form a community of interest. As such, they should have appropriate representation. A large city like Midland is more similar to the other nearby cities than it is to rural areas. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Like

Lisa Gano

2021-10-20 13:32:37+00

I appreciate that the commission placed the Great Lakes Bay region (Bay City, Midland, Saginaw) and Flint in the same congressional district; they are urban/suburban areas and form a community of interest. As such, they should have appropriate representation. A large city like Midland is more similar to the other nearby cities than it is to rural areas. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Lisa Gano

2021-10-20 13:31:06+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>I appreciate that the commission placed the Great Lakes Bay region (Bay City, Midland, Saginaw) and Flint in the same congressional district; they are urban/suburban areas and form a community of interest. As such, they should have appropriate representation. A large city like Midland is more similar to the other nearby cities than it is to rural areas. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.</p> <p>I prefer south Warren to be included in District 1 like in this proposed map or proposed Map 2. We are much more diverse than north Warren. Representation would be better this way.</p>	Lisa Gano	2021-10-20 13:26:09+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like		Connie Faull	2021-10-20 13:22:04+00

This map does not achieve a fair map with as close to zero political bias as possible. I would suggest you create the Midland House District like it is drawn in the Pine map (#227). This will help to create a map with more partisan fairness for the entire state.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Edward Clements

2021-10-20 13:10:28+00

This map gives Midland and Bay City, two cities that have been closely aligned for a long time, a competitive district where a representative works for the voters, not the party or extreme base. This brings the overall map closer to a zero political bias, but please continue to correct the Republican lean. Michigan is a 54% Democratic state and its districts should reflect that.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Edward Clements

2021-10-20 12:55:14+00

I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City & Saginaw) in a senate district as they have been a long-standing community of interest with many common concerns. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like

Edward Clements

2021-10-20 12:54:18+00

I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. I would like to see more of Midland County in this district like Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Edward Clements

2021-10-20 12:53:37+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Agree with the map. Thank you for keeping the Great Lakes Bay Region together. We need better representation and fairness in this area.	Patricia Ellison	2021-10-20 12:51:59+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	Agree with the map. Thank you for keeping the Great Lakes Bay Region together.	Patricia Ellison	2021-10-20 12:50:28+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Agree with the map. Thank you for keeping the Great Lakes Bay Region together.	Patricia Ellison	2021-10-20 12:49:49+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Thank you for keeping Midland with Bay City and Saginaw.	Patricia Ellison	2021-10-20 12:41:30+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	District 10 and 11 split? The tiny area to the EAST of Detroit included in district 10 but Detroit is not included?	Michele Winterhalter	2021-10-20 06:26:02+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Beverly Hills, Lathrop Village, and Southfield should be in District 3, not District 2. This is Gerrymandering.	michelle mormul	2021-10-20 06:20:13+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	District 6 is will mapped. Warren, Centerline, and Madison Heights should be with Oakland and Macomb Counties.	michelle mormul	2021-10-20 06:19:02+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	Warren, Centerline, and Madison Heights should be kept with Oakland and Macomb Counties.	Michelle Mormul	2021-10-20 06:16:27+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Warren, Centerline, and Madison Heights should be kept with Oakland and Macomb Counties.	michelle mormul	2021-10-20 06:14:07+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	District 9 is not competitive. Stop the gerrymandering.	M. Winterhalter	2021-10-20 06:12:51+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Warren and Centerline should be with Oakland and Macomb counties.	MICHELLE MORMUL	2021-10-20 06:11:08+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	It makes no sense for the city of St. Clair Shores to be in 3 separate districts.	Michelle Mormul	2021-10-20 06:08:36+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	It makes no sense to have St. Clair Shores in 3 districts.	Michelle Mormul	2021-10-20 06:06:15+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike	It makes no sense to have St. Clair Shores in 3 districts.	Michelle Mormul	2021-10-20 06:03:27+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	The city of St. Clair Shores is is 3 districts? How does this make sense?	Michelle Mormul	2021-10-20 06:01:07+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	It makes no sense to split up the city of St. Clair Shores.	Michelle Mormul	2021-10-20 05:58:36+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Splitting up Sterling Heights does not make any sense.	Michelle Mormul	2021-10-20 05:55:50+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	St. Clair Shores should not be split into two different districts.	Michelle Mormul	2021-10-20 05:53:18+00
10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Dislike	This area of St. Clair Shores should not be separated from the other part of the city.	Michelle Mormul	2021-10-20 05:51:09+00
10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Dislike	Grosse Pointe Park should not be split from the other Grosse Pointes.	Michelle Mormul	2021-10-20 05:50:00+00
10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Dislike	Sterling Heights should not be split down the middle	Michelle Mormul	2021-10-20 05:49:13+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Dislike	It makes no sense to split up Sterling Heights like this.	Michelle Mormul	2021-10-20 05:44:25+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Opinion	This is a better inclusion of all of the Grosse Pointes in the Lake St. Clair surrounding cities.	Michelle Mormul	2021-10-20 05:40:55+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Grosse Pointe Park should be with the other Marine communities in District 7.	Michelle Mormul	2021-10-20 05:36:29+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Who is Grosse Pointe Park left out of the Lake St. Clair Community?	michelle mormul	2021-10-20 05:31:35+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	The Peoples Republic of Michigan approves of this gerrymandered map.	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-20 05:27:09+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	Warren, Centerline, and Madison Heights should not be included with Wayne County!!! This is Gerrymandering. They should be included with Oakland and Macomb Counties.	Michelle Mormul	2021-10-20 05:26:15+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	St. Clair Shores should not be included with all of the Thumb. These communities are nowhere similar in makeup, business, and population.	Michelle Mormul	2021-10-20 05:21:22+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Dislike	Southfield, Lathrup Village, and Beverly Hills should clearly be with Area 3. Anything else is racist Gerrymandering.	Michelle Mormul	2021-10-20 05:17:07+00

10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	<p>This is clear Gerrymandering to include huge swathes of unrelated areas. To include St. Clair Shores with areas way up north does not make any sense.</p> <p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the tri cities (Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. Connecting a large city like Midland to rural areas would be a mistake as it would not be in the community's best interest. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible so we can elect leaders the citizens truly want and our government can be a true representation of our state.</p>	Michelle Mormul	2021-10-20 05:10:32+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like		Jennie Ireland	2021-10-20 05:08:48+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>Warren and Centerline should be included with the Oakland and Macomb Counties.</p>	Michelle Mormul	2021-10-20 05:04:40+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	Wow! This map is probably the worst one I've seen in terms of the representation of the City of Pontiac. Pontiac has way more closely aligned interests with the rest of Oakland County, heck it is the county seat and yet we are instead in a mostly rural district that connects with Flint versus Metro Detroit. Please do not consider this map.	Scott Stewart	2021-10-20 05:00:41+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	Sikh Americans are a community of interest. Please keep Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights and Troy together so both Gurdwara (Sikh place of worship) communities of Oakland and Macomb Counties are represented by one congressperson	Sukhvir Singh	2021-10-20 04:58:52+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Agreed with the comments here. Pontiac has way more COI with Auburn Hills, so please keep that together.	Scott Stewart	2021-10-20 04:57:46+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Dislike	<p>Please stop including Pontiac and the small sliver of Pontiac together. In discussions with many individuals in the city, it has been agreed that the two cities are not aligned, as one of the commissioners previously stated.</p>	Scott Stewart	2021-10-20 04:56:20+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Opinion	<p>Sikh Americans deserve to have an amplified voice in congress. Please keep the metro Detroit portion the same in the final map, specifically so the Sikh community of interest in Troy, Rochester Hills, and Sterling Heights are together.</p>	Amarjit Kaur	2021-10-20 04:56:12+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Opinion	<p>Sikh Americans deserve to have an amplified voice in congress. Please keep the metro Detroit portion the same in the final map, specifically so the Sikh community of interest in Troy, Rochester Hills, and Sterling Heights are together.</p>	Harman Singh	2021-10-20 04:53:19+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This map is great! Keeping the city of Midland with Bay city and Saginaw makes more sense then putting it with any other area. The tri cities are similar in many ways and should be kept together.	Jennie Ireland	2021-10-20 04:51:39+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Great map! It keeps the Tricities together which makes a lot of sense since they have a lot in common and share many of the same issues.	Jennie Ireland	2021-10-20 04:47:05+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	"Finally someone drew a map that actually takes in consideration the communities that belong together in West Michigan. Well done!" Does the City of Grand Rapids belong together?	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-20 04:01:40+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Cascade Township has much more in terms of shared interests with Ada and Forest Hills and should be moved to District 24 (northern Kent County) not attached to Battle Creek.	Jeffrey Thomas	2021-10-20 04:01:14+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	<p>This is a good map because it keeps Midland with the other urban parts of MidMichigan. Midland is home to 3 Fortune 500 companies, a large cultural center and a large hospital center. Midland is not rural so it should be represented with other urban areas.</p>	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:59:31+00
10-13-21 v1 SD RAS Like	<p>This is a good map because it keeps Midland with the other urban parts of MidMichigan. Midland is home to 3 Fortune 500 companies, a large cultural center and a large hospital center. Midland is not rural so it should be represented with other urban areas.</p>	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:58:31+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid Like	<p>This is a good map because it keeps Midland with the other urban parts of MidMichigan. Midland is home to 3 Fortune 500 companies, a large cultural center and a large hospital center. Midland is not rural so it should be represented with other urban areas.</p>	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:57:23+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	Thank you for recognizing Midland as a vital part of the Tri-Cities. Midland needs a representative that knows the needs of a city just as the rural parts of Midland and Bay Counties need a representative that will fight for their needs.	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:55:36+00
10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Like	Thank you for recognizing Midland as a vital part of the Tri-Cities. Midland needs a representative that knows the needs of a city just as the rural parts of Midland and Bay Counties need a representative that will fight for their needs.	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:54:47+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	This is an obvious attempt at a partisan gerrymander to disenfranchise the people of the strongly Democratic city of Grand Rapids.	Jeffrey Thomas	2021-10-20 03:54:22+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Like	Thank you for recognizing Midland as a vital part of the Tri-Cities. Midland needs a representative that knows the needs of a city just as the rural parts of Midland and Bay Counties need a representative that will fight for their needs.	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:53:38+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Ottawa County should not be split weirdly among three districts.	Jeffrey Thomas	2021-10-20 03:52:50+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	You have disassembled the Tri-Cities and this is wrong. We are called the Tri-Cities for many reasons. Our non-profits share one office, our cultural scene relies on people for all of these cities. Put us back together!	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:51:19+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Combining Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids makes for a much more coherent district - in terms of shared interests - than either currently belongs to.	Jeffrey Thomas	2021-10-20 03:48:20+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	This map does not keep the urban areas of MidMichigan together. The Tri-Cities of Midland, Bay City and Saginaw are a COI for many reasons. We live and work in each city, we shop in all of these cities, we play each other in sports and we share an economic interest with all our Fortune 500 companies.	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:47:27+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO	Dislike	This map does not respect the long standing relationship between Midland and Bay City. So many people live in one city and work in the other.	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:42:30+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO	Dislike	<p>This map can not address the issue of political bias in both Midland and Bay City. You have diluted the urban voter in both of these counties and placed them in rural areas when their population does not dictate such action. The urban voters will not have their voices heard and this will lead to extreme</p>	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:41:14+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>politicians. Many people who work in Midland, live in Bay City and vice versa. Dow Chemical has a presence in both communities. And we spend our leisure time in both places. We are a community of interest.</p>	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:39:10+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>This map is great for a State House district because Midland and Bay City are about the same size, and they share many economic institutions both for-profit companies and non-profit services.</p>	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:37:30+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike	This map can not address the issue of political bias in both Midland and Bay City. You have diluted the urban voter in both of these counties and placed them in rural areas when their population does not dictate such action. The urban voters will not have their voices heard and this will lead to extreme politicians.	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:34:28+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike	This map does not respect the long standing relationship between Midland and Bay City. So many people live in one city and work in the other.	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:30:33+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Like	Wow! This map is fantastic. The Tri-Cities and Flint are together so the economic cooridor of MidMichigan is in tact. The minority voice of Flint and Saginaw will be stronger and the counties have been kept relatively whole. Good Job!!!	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:26:34+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Like	his is by far the best map that I have seen for our area. It keeps the Tri-Cities and Flint together as COIs and it keeps counties together too.	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:25:08+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	Wow! This map is fantastic. The Tri-Cities and Flint are together so the economic cooridor of MidMichigan is in tact. The minority voice of Flint and Saginaw will be stronger and the counties have been kept relatively whole. Good Job!!!	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:22:22+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	This is by far the best map that I have seen for our area. It keeps the Tri-Cities and Flint together as COIs and it keeps counties together too.	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:17:33+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	This is by far the best map that I have seen for our area. It keeps the Tri-Cities and Flint together as COIs and it keeps counties together too.	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:17:32+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	This map is drawn to dampen the minority voice of Flint and Saginaw. And it completely silences the voice of Midland. Midland is an urban community and a vital part of the Tri-Cities and the MidMichigan economic cooridor.	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:13:15+00

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	<p>This is a ridiculous map. This must be to try and save John Moolenaar's seat. Midland is the only major city in this very rural district. It's absurd to have Gladwin county in with Bay City, Saginaw and Flint but not Midland. What the heck???</p>	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:10:05+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>Thank you for keeping all the cities of the MidMichigan cooridor in the same congressional distict. This grouping is better for the urban areas of MidMichigan as well as the rural areas.</p>	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:05:08+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>This is a good map because it keeps the Tri-Cities and Flint together in one district. We live and work in all these communities and we share urban commerce. The only suggestion that I have for this map is to put Tuscola in with the thumb and add more of Midland County.</p>	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-20 03:02:22+00

Scott Weston Rose,  
I was looking at your map and  
I give it my approval.  
Municipal and County  
boundaries are split only at  
necessity. Example, you have  
Grand Rapids containing two  
districts and the excess  
population in a district with  
Wyoming. The Detroit  
districts are split among  
Detroit boundaries instead of  
creeping into Oakland and  
Macomb Counties. The same  
for Ann Arbor and all cities.  
The problem is the  
"Independent Committee"  
and Anthony Eid, The  
Democrat, will not agree  
because there is no  
gerrymandering that will  
benefit a particular political  
party.

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO fOpinion

Merlin Steffes

2021-10-20 02:57:33+00

I realize that your work is  
difficult but this map is not  
good. You've placed three  
major cities of MidMichigan in  
a very rural district. Saginaw's  
minority population will be  
over ruled by the large white  
population of this map.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

Jim Morrissey

2021-10-20 02:56:14+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	<p>Although this map does keep the Tri-Cities together it lumps them with a very rural area. The Tri-Cities are urban and should be considered as such. This will also wash out the minority vote in Saginaw which is the farthest city north in our state with a lot of minority population.</p>	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-20 02:52:55+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	<p>District 1 looks like it has a great representation and a good mix of local areas that are connected.</p>	Emily Dittmer	2021-10-20 02:52:20+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>Sikh Americans are a community of interest. Please keep Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights, and Troy together so both Gurdwara (Sikh place of worship) communities of Oakland and Macomb Counties are represented by one congressman</p>	Ravinder Kaur	2021-10-20 02:50:35+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Sikh Americans deserve to have an amplified voice in congress. Please keep the metro Detroit portion the same in the final map, specifically so the Sikh community of interest in Troy, Rochester Hills, and Sterling Heights are together. I am from Sikh Community and I like this map because it keeps the communities of Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights, and Troy together and this will keep my Sikh community of interest together.	Gurpreet	2021-10-20 02:47:08+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like		Shilpa Kaur	2021-10-20 02:36:09+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This is the worst map for State Senate district, as it cuts my neighborhood into two, and there is no regards to the neighborhood communities in the entire district. Please respect municipal and county boundaries.	Soh Suzuki	2021-10-20 02:33:44+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Please respect municipal and county boundaries. It makes no sense to divide up Detroit, and stretch it out into various communities. However, I'm glad to see the three neighborhoods on the east side are kept in the same district (unlike other maps).	Soh Suzuki	2021-10-20 02:30:20+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Sikh Americans are a community of interest. Please keep Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights and Troy together so both Gurdwara (Sikh place of worship) communities of Oakland and Macomb Counties are represented by one congressperson	Balbir Singh	2021-10-20 02:28:50+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO	Dislike	This does not address the political bias issue in the region. Please use the maps that have Bay City and Midland together. This map will not help with extremist influence on Politian's. I think the improved balance will create and support moderate Politian's and prevent them from being held hostage by extreme bases.	Craig Austin	2021-10-20 02:27:04+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Dislike	<p>This does not address the political bias issue in the region. Please use the maps that have Bay City and Midland together. This map will not help with extremist influence on Politian's. I think the improved balance will create and support moderate Politian's and prevent them from being held hostage by extreme bases.</p>	Craig Austin	2021-10-20 02:26:12+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Like	<p>This is a good map for the businesses of the region. Thanks for the hard work and for making sure the bias is balanced as much as possible in the state and region. Please keep the three neighborhoods on the east side of Detroit (East English Village, Morningside, Cornerstone Village) in the same district. It makes no sense to cut up Detroit and join them with communities in Macomb and Oakland counties.</p>	Craig Austin	2021-10-20 02:22:24+00
10-08-21 v2 HD Dislike	<p></p>	Soh Suzuki	2021-10-20 02:22:01+00

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	Thanks for the hard work you are doing but this map is not in the interests of the region. This does not meet the clear goal to have communities of interest together.	Craig Austin	2021-10-20 02:20:26+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	Thanks for your hard work but this map does not make sense for Midland county. The Midland city concerns align with the Tri-Cities and Flint. Good map with the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district which is good for the common employment interests of the region and the employees that work and live in this district.	Craig Austin	2021-10-20 02:17:40+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	It makes no sense to slice up Detroit and join them with communities outside of Wayne County. Why not keep districts to exist within Detroit? Please also do not disassemble neighborhoods on the east side of Detroit (Cornerstone Village, Morningside, East English Village) - keep them in the same district.	Soh Suzuki	2021-10-20 02:17:22+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Please do not break up Novi into two district. This is particularly harmful to the APIA community in P14.	Ratna Rao	2021-10-20 02:15:38+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Like	Good map with the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district. I like the larger portion of Midland County included. This is good for the common employment interests of the region and the employees that work and live in this district. I applaud partisan fairness to help fix this countries extremist hold with gerrymandered districts. I think the improved balance will create and support moderate Politian's and prevent them from being held hostage by extreme bases. This map, as well as others, are slicing up Detroit and pulling each part of the city up into communities in different counties. This goes against the idea of keeping communities of interests and respecting municipal boundaries.	Craig Austin	2021-10-20 02:14:15+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike		Soh Suzuki	2021-10-20 02:13:42+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	Perfect map with the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district and a good chunk of Midland County included. This is good for the common employment interests of the region and the employees that work and live in this district. I applaud partisan fairness to help fix this countries extremist hold with gerrymandered districts. I think the improved balance will create and support moderate Politian's and prevent them from being held hostage by extreme bases. Please do not break up Novi into two district. This is particularly harmful to the	Craig Austin	2021-10-20 02:13:15+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	APIA community in P14. Please DO NOT break up Novi into two district. This is particularly harmful to the	Ratna Rao	2021-10-20 02:13:08+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	APIA community in P14.	Ratna Rao	2021-10-20 02:11:32+00

Good map with the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district. This is good for the common employment interests of the region and the employees that work and live in this district. I applaud partisan fairness to help fix this countries extremist hold with gerrymandered districts. I think the improved balance will create and support moderate Politian's and prevent them from being held hostage by extreme bases. Craig Austin

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS Like

2021-10-20 02:11:23+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>Good map with the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district. This is good for the common employment interests of the region and the employees that work and live in this district. I applaud partisan fairness to help fix this countries extremist hold with gerrymandered districts. I think the improved balance will create and support moderate Politian's and prevent them from being held hostage by extreme bases.</p>	Craig Austin	2021-10-20 02:08:51+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	<p>I believe this is the best map for Calhoun County. This is the area that we identify with. District 9 is a great plan for this area of Southwest MI. Saugatuck and South Haven lakeshore communities have a great deal in common with the Kalamazoo area in lifestyle and culture.</p>	Cherie Riser	2021-10-20 02:06:20+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like		Catherine Brockington	2021-10-20 02:03:31+00

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	77	Scott Weston Rose	2021-10-20 02:02:56+00
<p>There is no reason to have so many county boundaries crossed by districts of this size. Please consider my map which minimized county and city cross overs and also has a very low population deviation of 1.37% max.  <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/491">https://districtr.org/plan/491</a></p>				
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	77	Scott Weston Rose	2021-10-20 02:00:26+00
<p>There is no reason to have so many county boundaries crossed by districts of this size. Please consider my map which minimized county and city cross overs and also has a very low population deviation of 1.37% max.  <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/491">https://districtr.org/plan/491</a></p>				
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike	77	Scott Weston Rose	2021-10-20 01:57:44+00
<p>There is no reason to have so many county boundaries crossed by districts of this size. Please consider my map which minimized county and city cross overs and also has a very low population deviation of 1.37% max.  <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/491">https://districtr.org/plan/491</a></p>				

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>There is no reason to have so many county boundaries crossed by districts of this size. Please consider my map which minimized county and city cross overs and also has a very low population deviation of 1.37% max.</p> <p><a href="https://districtr.org/plan/49177">https://districtr.org/plan/49177</a></p>	Scott Weston Rose	2021-10-20 01:53:54+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>There is no reason to have so many county boundaries crossed by districts of this size. Please consider my map which minimized county and city cross overs and also has a very low population deviation of 1.37% max.</p> <p><a href="https://districtr.org/plan/49177">https://districtr.org/plan/49177</a></p>	Scott Weston Rose	2021-10-20 01:50:03+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>There is no reason to have so many county boundaries crossed by districts of this size. Please consider my map which minimized county and city cross overs and also has a very low population deviation of 1.37% max.</p> <p><a href="https://districtr.org/plan/49177">https://districtr.org/plan/49177</a></p>	Scott Weston Rose	2021-10-20 01:45:55+00

This is a reasonable district for the Grand Rapids area. Linking it with Allendale (and therefore, Grand Valley State University) makes a lot sense. Grand Valley is definitely part of the Grand Rapids community. This map also helps to keep Ottawa County a bit more connected, which is a plus.

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

David Bricker

2021-10-20 01:44:04+00

There is no commonality with the areas in this district number four. How could a Representative to Congress possibly deal with all the varied issues of these diverse areas and with some legislative wants actual being conflicting. There are urban challenges and farming area challenges. A district around Greater Grand Rapids that is primarily urban/suburban is needed for focused representation. Key areas that need improvement are public schools and transportation. In urban areas there are more feasible efficient opportunities for addressing climate issues with electric power because of the higher density population.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Cary Fleischer

2021-10-20 01:40:20+00

There is absolutely zero community of interest between Battle Creek/Calhoun County and Monroe and whatever other counties are south of Detroit. (I'm not even sure what counties they are.) There are no commonalities whatsoever. Any areas west of Hillsdale will be totally diluted and ignored in favor of Detroit-area communities. This map is totally unacceptable. (A side question: why does each of the four maps throw Calhoun County in with the Detroit area?)

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Kathleen So

2021-10-20 01:40:10+00

Sikh Americans are a community of interest. Please keep Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights and Troy together so both Gurdwara (Sikh place of worship) communities of Oakland and Macomb Counties are represented by one congressperson. Appreciate the work being done by the redistricting commission.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Harminder Kaur Bedi

2021-10-20 01:39:22+00

There is no commonality with the areas in this district number four. How could a Representative to Congress possibly deal with all the varied issues of these diverse areas and with some legislative wants actual being conflicting. There are urban challenges and farming area challenges. A district around Greater Grand Rapids that is primarily urban/suburban is needed for focused representation. Key areas that need improvement are public schools and transportation. In urban areas there are more feasible efficient opportunities for addressing climate issues with electric power because of the higher density population.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Cary Fleischer

2021-10-20 01:39:09+00

There is no commonality with the areas in this district number four. How could a Representative to Congress possibly deal with all the varied issues of these diverse areas and with some legislative wants actual being conflicting. There are urban challenges and farming area challenges. A district around Greater Grand Rapids that is primarily urban/suburban is needed for focused representation. Key areas that need improvement are public schools and transportation. In urban areas there are more feasible efficient opportunities for addressing climate issues with electric power because of the higher density population.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Dislike

Cary Fleischer

2021-10-20 01:38:05+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Sikh Americans are a community of interest. Please keep Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights and Troy together so both Gurdwara (Sikh place of worship) communities of Oakland and Macomb Counties are represented by one congressperson. Appreciate the work being done by the redistricting commission.	Harminder Kaur Bedi	2021-10-20 01:37:56+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Sikh Americans are a community of interest. Please keep Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights and Troy together so both Gurdwara (Sikh place of worship) communities of Oakland and Macomb Counties are represented by one congressperson. Appreciate the work being done by the redistricting commission.	Gurmeet Singh Bedi	2021-10-20 01:37:03+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	Please keep Livingston county and Washtenaw county apart. Politics could not be farther from each other.	Scott Weston Rose	2021-10-20 01:36:43+00

This district 4 map links the major metro, urban, and suburban areas in Western Michigan. These regions have similar and unique needs and interests on federal issues compared to the surrounding areas. Healthcare, housing, civil rights, public education are important to this unique community of interest. As there are primarily city governments in this district, they face unique challenges with taxation and supply of services such as police, fire and trash removal compared to Township government

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Cary Fleischer

2021-10-20 01:35:59+00

I will make the same comment I have made on every single other map: There is absolutely zero community of interest between Battle Creek/Calhoun County and Monroe and whatever other counties are south of Detroit. (I'm not even sure what counties they are.) There are no commonalities whatsoever. Any areas west of Hillsdale will be totally diluted and ignored in favor of Detroit-area communities. This map is totally unacceptable.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Kathleen So

2021-10-20 01:35:43+00

This map just perpetuates the Gerrymandering that the district has suffered in the past. The large number of Democratic voters in West Michigan will be split so there is no possibility of electing a candidate. All districts surrounding Grand Rapids area (second largest city in Michigan and twice the population of Lansing) strongly lean Republican, so the only way to draw a fair district to give Democrats a chance at representation is to draw a district that includes either Kalamazoo or

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Muskegon

Rich Thrush

2021-10-20 01:33:25+00

This map just perpetuates the Gerrymandering that the district has suffered in the past. The large number of Democratic voters in West Michigan will be split so there is no possibility of electing a candidate. All districts surrounding Grand Rapids area (second largest city in Michigan and twice the population of Lansing) strongly lean Republican, so the only way to draw a fair district to give Democrats a chance at representation is to draw a district that includes either Kalamazoo or

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Muskegon

Rich Thrush

2021-10-20 01:32:47+00

This map just perpetuates the Gerrymandering that the district has suffered in the past. The large number of Democratic voters in West Michigan will be split so there is no possibility of electing a candidate. All districts surrounding Grand Rapids area (second largest city in Michigan and twice the population of Lansing) strongly lean Republican, so the only way to draw a fair district to give Democrats a chance at representation is to draw a district that includes either Kalamazoo or

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Dislike

Muskegon

Rich Thrush

2021-10-20 01:31:56+00

The Commission should draw districts that are fair for both parties throughout the state. This Grand Rapids-Kalamazoo district would include the major concentration of Democratic voters in West Michigan (325,000 voters). If the cities are not linked, then Democrats are cracked into separate districts with no chance of electing a candidate in West Michigan. All districts surrounding this area lean strong Republican. This district map will help address the overall state partisan fairness of the current Commission maps by building a likely Democratic seat in West Michigan

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Rich Thrush

2021-10-20 01:30:44+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	<p>This map, like the others, is unacceptable to Battle Creek/Calhoun County. Why are we thrown in with communities south of Detroit? There is zero commonality. I'm not even sure what counties are south of Detroit. Calhoun County and points west will be totally diluted and drowned out by Detroit-area interests, which are not West and Central Michigan interests in the least. Please redo.</p>	Kathleen So	2021-10-20 01:30:05+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>This district does not give a voice to the largest minority population in West Michigan-210,000 people in the Greater Grand Rapids area. Minority issues of equal opportunity, inclusion and human rights will continue to be overshadowed and not addressed.</p>	Katie Sun	2021-10-20 01:27:44+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>Please keep Livingston county together. Expand the brown area west into Washtenaw and make Livingston yellow. Easy fix. Or try this <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/478">https://districtr.org/plan/478</a></p>	Scott Weston Rose	2021-10-20 01:27:09+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	<p>This district does not give a voice to the largest minority population in West Michigan-210,000 people in the Greater Grand Rapids area. Minority issues of equal opportunity, inclusion and human rights will continue to be overshadowed and not addressed.</p>	Katie Sun	2021-10-20 01:26:32+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	<p>This district does not give a voice to the largest minority population in West Michigan-210,000 people in the Greater Grand Rapids area. Minority issues of equal opportunity, inclusion and human rights will continue to be overshadowed and not addressed.</p>	Katie Sun	2021-10-20 01:25:26+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>The Grand Rapids Kalamazoo district includes the major center of African-Americans, Hispanics and other racial minorities in West Michigan. 31% of the total population of the district is non-white (250,000 people). Western Michigan's various racial minority populations deserve to be heard in Washington.</p>	Katie Sun	2021-10-20 01:23:36+00

As drawn, district 4 isolates the large collections of minority populations in Grand Rapids, Muskegon and Kalamazoo (252,000 people). Their voting power is split and they would be disenfranchised. They have unique issues that need to be addressed â€” civil rights, inclusion, equal opportunity to name a few.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Sam Chu

2021-10-20 01:21:40+00

regarding communities of  
interest-

Gov Whitmer's plan restarting  
the state during covid, divided  
into 8? regions. Areas were  
chosen based on where  
citizens traveled, lived and  
worked. To me that would be  
a community of interest.  
Midland county, Saginaw,  
Bay, and Gladwin and others  
to the north were part of a  
region.

The Tittabawassee River  
Water shed should also be  
considered due to shared  
economic impact during  
recovery. This will be a  
process for years. Yes,  
Midland, Bay City and  
Saginaw are the major cities  
of the Great Lakes Bay  
Region. Also in common are  
areas of major agriculture and  
rural areas that are connected  
to these municipalities. I do  
not see a significant  
connection to Genessee  
county and Fenton.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Bill and Karen Gibson

2021-10-20 01:21:20+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>There is no reason to overlap 3 counties with 1 district here. Please minimize county cross overs. Also, this will keep the African American representation from being lost. Here is my proposal: <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/478">https://districtr.org/plan/478</a></p> <p>83</p>	Scott Weston Rose	2021-10-20 01:20:27+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	<p>As drawn, district 4 isolates the large collections of minority populations in Grand Rapids, Muskegon and Kalamazoo (252,000 people). Their voting power is split and they would be disenfranchised. They have unique issues that need to be addressed – civil rights, inclusion, equal opportunity to name a few.</p>	Sam Chu	2021-10-20 01:20:24+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	<p>As drawn, district 4 isolates the large collections of minority populations in Grand Rapids, Muskegon and Kalamazoo (252,000 people). Their voting power is split and they would be disenfranchised. They have unique issues that need to be addressed – civil rights, inclusion, equal opportunity to name a few.</p>	Sam Chu	2021-10-20 01:19:03+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	<p>Good job keeping this corner split between 3 districts unlike the other plans. County breaks in this plan are minimized. Well done. Consider review of my plan for a few tweaks.</p> <p><a href="https://districtr.org/plan/47883">https://districtr.org/plan/47883</a></p>	Scott Weston Rose	2021-10-20 01:17:25+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>As drawn, this district #4 is a varied mix of working class, very affluent and rural farmers. How is a Federal Representative going to service all their needs? Also, city governments operate much different than Townships and the expectations for government provided services such as schools, public transportation and police/fire is much greater in cities.</p> <p>You've been told that your maps are good enough but they aren't fair. Your partisan fairness expert, Dr. Handley said that election results show that Michigan is lean-Democratic state. Why do all of your maps lean</p>	Sam Chu	2021-10-20 01:17:23+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	<p>Republican?</p>	Bonnie VanKeersbilck	2021-10-20 01:15:47+00

As drawn, this district #4 is a varied mix of working class, very affluent and rural farmers. How is a Federal Representative going to service all their needs? Also, city governments operate much different than Townships and the expectations for government provided services such as schools, public transportation and police/fire is much greater in cities.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Dorothy Munson

2021-10-20 01:14:12+00

As drawn, this district #4 is a varied mix of working class, very affluent and rural farmers. How is a Federal Representative going to service all their needs? Also, city governments operate much different than Townships and the expectations for government provided services such as schools, public transportation and police/fire is much greater in cities.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Dorothy Munson

2021-10-20 01:13:25+00

As drawn, this district #4 is a varied mix of working class, very affluent and rural farmers. How is a Federal Representative going to service all their needs? Also, city governments operate much different than Townships and the expectations for government provided services such as schools, public transportation and police/fire is much greater in cities.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Dislike

Dorothy Munson

2021-10-20 01:12:14+00

Like this map district 4. Metro Grand Rapids deserves to be the population base for a competitive Congressional district along with another city area. The current district divides up Grand Rapids (the second largest city in Michigan) into two congressional districts-the second and third to gerrymander the votes of the Metro 6 cities plus closely allied suburban townships-population of 500,000 people (5 percent of the population of Michigan). Only change I would suggest is adding Ada Township in northwest Grand Rapids area and removing Thornapple Township in the northwest corner of Barry County for better alignment with Communities of Interest.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Dorothy Munson

2021-10-20 01:11:02+00

This is an improvement over the other House maps but still needs work. The party with the most votes should win. You need to unpack districts.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

All votes should count.

Bonnie VanKeersbilck

2021-10-20 01:10:33+00

regarding communities of  
interest-

Gov Whitmer's plan restarting  
the state during covid, divided  
into 8? regions. Areas were  
chosen based on where  
citizens traveled, lived and  
worked. To me that would be  
a community of interest.  
Midland county, Saginaw,  
Bay, and Gladwin and others  
to the north were part of a  
region.

The Tittabawassee River  
Water shed should also be  
considered due to shared  
economic impact during  
recovery. This will be a  
process for years. Yes,  
Midland, Bay City and  
Saginaw are the major cities  
of the Great Lakes Bay  
Region. Also in common are  
areas of major agriculture and  
rural areas that are connected  
to these municipalities. I do  
not see a significant  
connection to Genessee  
county and Fenton.

There is no reason to overlap districts between Oakland, Macomb and Wayne counties in this way. Please minimize county cross overs. Also, this will keep the African American representation from being lost. Here is my proposal: <https://districtr.org/plan/478>

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

83

Scott Weston Rose

2021-10-20 01:08:04+00

I'm not sure what commission members were smoking when they designed this, but I sure wish I had some. There is absolutely zero community of interest between Battle Creek/Calhoun County and Monroe and whatever other counties are south of Detroit. (I'm not even sure what counties they are.) There are no commonalities whatsoever. Any areas west of Hillsdale will be totally diluted and ignored in favor of Detroit-area communities.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

This map is totally unacceptable.

Kathleen So

2021-10-20 01:05:29+00

The border between Senate Districts 24 and 23 should be moved to Wealthy Street, which is the border between Wards 2 and 3 in Grand Rapids and keeps Ward 2 residents in the same Senate district. This change helps to unpack the Democratic voter concentration in the south #23 district. If precincts need to be added to meet the population requirement, the commission could include the northern parts of Gaines Township in the Kentwood School District along M-6. If precincts need to be added, include the northern parts of Gaines Township in the Kentwood School District along M-6.

Grand Rapids deserves two State Senate seats. Ottawa County should expand to the north to balance out the population requirement. I

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

suggest removing four

Diane McMillan

2021-10-20 01:04:47+00

This is the map MOST compliant with partisan fairness so far. Please keep working to make your maps more fair. Pay attention to how many votes are being wasted. We want maps with the least wasted votes possible. Thank you for your work.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Bonnie VanKeersbilck

2021-10-20 00:59:39+00

This map shows many rural townships in adjacent Counties and north in Kent County attached to Grand Rapids Metro 6 cities. The rural townships have unique characteristics, challenges and needs such as rural school transportation, well water contamination, law enforcement by county sheriff, farming subsidy legislation, regional volunteer fire departments, housing and education of migrant workers county/state road maintenance and limits on taxation. These characteristics are completely different than Metro areas-how is a Representative to deal with all these issues in representing the diverse communities. Need to redraw this #4 district to include some more urban areas.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Lisa Lamancusa

2021-10-20 00:55:34+00

This map shows many rural townships in adjacent Counties and north in Kent County attached to Grand Rapids Metro 6 cities. The rural townships have unique characteristics, challenges and needs such as rural school transportation, well water contamination, law enforcement by county sheriff, farming subsidy legislation, regional volunteer fire departments, housing and education of migrant workers county/state road maintenance and limits on taxation. These characteristics are completely different than Metro areas-how is a Representative to deal with all these issues in representing the diverse communities. Need to redraw this #4 district to include some more urban areas.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Lisa Lamancusa

2021-10-20 00:54:15+00

This map shows many rural townships in adjacent Counties and north in Kent County attached to Grand Rapids Metro 6 cities. The rural townships have unique characteristics, challenges and needs such as rural school transportation, well water contamination, law enforcement by county sheriff, farming subsidy legislation, regional volunteer fire departments, housing and education of migrant workers county/state road maintenance and limits on taxation. These characteristics are completely different than Metro areas-how is a Representative to deal with all these issues in representing the diverse communities. Need to redraw this #4 district to include some more urban areas.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Dislike

Lisa Lamancusa

2021-10-20 00:52:58+00

Glad to see Kalamazoo combined with Grand Rapids in one district. This district map includes the centers of Medical Technology, Research and Education in West Michigan. Examples are in Kalamazoo - Pfizer, Stryker, Bronson Hospital System, WMed Innovation Center, Western Michigan University (WMU) Stryker Medical School, WMU Nursing School and in Grand Rapids area - Spectrum Health, Mercy Health/St Mary's and Metro Health Hospital Systems, University of Michigan West, Van Andel Institute, Michigan State University Secchia Medical School, Grand Valley State University Nursing School. People working in, training in and using these organizations form a strong Community of Interest in this district.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Lisa Lamancusa

2021-10-20 00:50:42+00

		I'm amazed at how cities like Warren and Sterling Heights are so chopped up and the voters in this along with the other nearby districts will have their voices diminished and increase confusion in who represents who and where.		
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Dislike	We need FAIR MAPS! Thought the idea was that Ottawa County wanted to be together-why the townships in Ottawa in this map. Also, the Ionia County very rural townships do not want to be in district 4 with city people. There are no common issues or needs with these townships and Grand Rapids	Joel Rutherford	2021-10-20 00:49:32+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Metro 6. Thought the idea was that Ottawa County wanted to be together-why the townships in Ottawa in this map. Also, the Ionia County very rural townships do not want to be in district 4 with city people. There are no common issues or needs with these townships and Grand Rapids	Patricia Dawson	2021-10-20 00:48:27+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Metro 6.	Patricia Dawson	2021-10-20 00:47:19+00

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	<p>There is no reason to overlap 3 counties with 1 district here. Please minimize county cross overs. Also, this will keep the African American representation from being lost. Here is my proposal: <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/47883">https://districtr.org/plan/47883</a></p> <p>Thought the idea was that Ottawa County wanted to be together-why the townships in Ottawa in this map. Also, the Ionia County very rural townships do not want to be in district 4 with city people. There are no common issues or needs with these townships and Grand Rapids Metro 6.</p>	Scott Weston Rose	2021-10-20 00:46:39+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	<p>This is a mess. It will only hurt the voters by not keeping communities together but creates districts that aren't in common by interests in any way,</p>	Patricia Dawson	2021-10-20 00:46:07+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	<p>We need FAIR MAPS!</p>	Joel Rutherford	2021-10-20 00:45:49+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like	<p>Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids have much in common. This map is good because it keeps them together in one Community of Interest. 92 percent of the people in this district live in city/suburban areas. The area is the hub of west Michigan manufacturing and Healthcare. It is the center of activity in West Michigan – commerce, entertainment, significant urban/suburban residential populations, higher education, cultural venues, air and land transportation, employment and shopping. Truly a unique Community of Interest</p>	Patricia Dawson	2021-10-20 00:43:50+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE Like	<p>Good job keeping this corner split between 3 districts unlike the other plans. County breaks in this plan are minimized. Well done. Consider review of my plan for a few tweaks.  <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/478">https://districtr.org/plan/478</a></p>	Scott Weston Rose	2021-10-20 00:42:17+00

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Dislike	<p>This district will only hurt the voters in Warren. I don't understand how this map was created and if the point was to make it as confusing to voters as possible.</p>	Joel Rutherford	2021-10-20 00:42:15+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>This seems an odd split. Northville and Novi have a lot more in common with Canton and Plymouth and Farmington Hills along the 696 Corridor than they do with Pontiac and Auburn Hills. Oakland County has 20% of the state's GDP and we deserve fair representation in Landing and Washington.</p> <p>Once again, a map that diminishes the voices of voters in the entire district by putting communities together that don't have much in common.</p>	Andrea Johanson	2021-10-20 00:41:23+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike	<p>We just need FAIR MAPS!</p>	Joel Rutherford	2021-10-20 00:36:29+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	<p>There is no reason to overlap 3 counties with 1 district here. Please minimize county cross overs. Also, this will keep the African American representation from being lost. Here is my proposal: <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/47883">https://districtr.org/plan/47883</a></p>	Scott Weston Rose	2021-10-20 00:35:11+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>The Gerald R. Ford International Airport is in Cascade Township, but is technically a part of Grand Rapids City. I suggest that Cascade Township is added to District 24, the metro Grand Rapids area, because it has much more in common with the northern suburbs of Grand Rapids. It is not a COI with parts of very rural Barry and Allegan counties.</p>	Diane McMillan	2021-10-20 00:33:51+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>Ugh, why are so many of these maps just slicing up Warren and diminishing the voices of it's voters? There isn't much in common with the southern parts of this district. It only hurts voters everywhere in the district.</p> <p>We need FAIR MAPS!</p>	Joel Rutherford	2021-10-20 00:33:41+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>There is no reason to overlap 3 counties with 1 district here. Please minimize county cross overs. Also, this will keep the African American representation from being lost. Here is my proposal: <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/478">https://districtr.org/plan/478</a></p>	83	Scott Weston Rose	2021-10-20 00:32:36+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>There is no reason to overlap 3 counties with 1 district here. Please minimize county cross overs. Also, this will keep the African American representation from being lost. Here is my proposal: <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/478">https://districtr.org/plan/478</a></p> <p>This again chops up Warren and is a terrible district that will do nothing to keep communities of interest together or give everyone in it a fair voice or fair representation..</p>	83	Scott Weston Rose	2021-10-20 00:28:16+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	We just need FAIR MAPS!		Joel Rutherford	2021-10-20 00:28:05+00

Why would you take Warren  
and put it in a Wayne County  
dominant district? The voters  
in Warren, the 3rd largest city  
in the state, would have their  
voices diminished. We need

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

FAIR MAPS!

Joel Rutherford

2021-10-20 00:22:05+00

Please keep our county together. This lakeshore stair step maps discounts the community of interest by not acknowledging the need for inland lake communities and services provided by cities and townships just off the lakeshore. In fact, you have divided St. Joseph within this map. Royalton Two is in a different community, however, we are a part of St. Joseph schools and business associations. Our county should not be divided to other counties, unless there is a need for two house districts within the county. There is no reason for it to go up the lakeshore 3 or more counties. We don't even have the same tourism as the next county to our north. Berrien is a border county that gets all our media from Indiana. Our tourism from Indiana and Illinois. We have many 2nd

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

home owners from these  
My comment is to dislike this map. I don't know why the one above says like???

Julie Wuerfel

2021-10-20 00:19:17+00

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC

Dislike

What's going on here?

Joel Rutherford

2021-10-20 00:16:41+00

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Dislike	14	<p>All of the 38 district proposals fail to minimize county breaks as much as possible. There is no reason for a district to overlap 3 counties like this. This is a clear gerrymander. Also, you are diluting the African American representation. Please see my proposal:  <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/485">https://districtr.org/plan/485</a></p>	Scott Weston Rose	2021-10-20 00:16:08+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Like		<p>This is absolutely terrible. The voters in Warren, the third largest city in Michigan, will lose their voice in such a Wayne County centric district.</p>	Joel Rutherford	2021-10-20 00:14:41+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Like		<p>Please we need FAIR MAPS! including ann arbor with western washtenaw is very smart and a good communities of interest</p>	Cassie Gatz	2021-10-20 00:11:55+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike		<p>I don't understand how this district was drawn. Warren and Sterling Heights should be together and this is again, too dominated by Wayne county voters.</p>	Joel Rutherford	2021-10-20 00:11:53+00

10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	<p>All of the 38 district proposals fail to minimize county breaks as much as possible. The districts 26-27, 28-30 should not overlap 2 counties. This is a clear gerrymander. Also, you are diluting the African American representation. Please see my proposal: <a href="https://districtr.org/plan/485">https://districtr.org/plan/485</a></p>	Scott Weston Rose	2021-10-20 00:10:39+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>I think this map makes the most sense - it keeps Ottawa whole and puts Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo together which are the 2 largest cities in West Michigan and have more in common than communities on the Lake shore. Thank you!</p>	Joan Long	2021-10-20 00:09:48+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Like	<p>I really like that East Lansing has its own state senate district separate from Lansing proper. It is a distinct community and I really like the shape of these two districts!</p>	Cooper Burton	2021-10-20 00:07:39+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	<p>This is a better map. I'd still prefer to see Madison Heights included instead of Rochester Hills but it's better than some others.</p>	Joel Rutherford	2021-10-20 00:06:59+00

All of the 38 district proposals fail to minimize county breaks as much as possible. There is no reason for a county to be split into 3 districts. This is a clear gerrymander. Also, you are diluting the African American representation. Please see my proposal: <https://districtr.org/plan/485>

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid Dislike

14

Scott Weston Rose

2021-10-20 00:05:19+00

Berrien county should not be split. Our community of interest is with Cass & St. Joseph counties. We share media, we share services, we share tourism (from surrounding states). These maps make it look like there is no one from west Michigan on the Commission as they do not reflect our communities of interest. Partisan fairness has no place in this process. We have this commission to avoid Gerrymandering. Please keep our county whole and we our "similar" neighbors in Cass and St. Joseph Counties.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Julie Wuerfel

2021-10-20 00:03:28+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike This map isn't fair, especially to Warren and Centerline residents. You cannot possibly believe that our voices will matter in a district that is so heavily weighed towards Wayne county. A better way is to include Warren, Centerline, Sterling Heights and also Eastpointe and Roseville. If you included Madison Heights, then I could understand. We need FAIR MAPS! Joel Rutherford 2021-10-20 00:01:02+00

10-08-21 v1 SD Dislike All of the 38 district proposals fail to minimize county breaks as much as possible. There is no reason for a county to be split into 3 districts. This is a clear gerrymander. Also, you are diluting the African American representation. Please see my proposal: <https://districtr.org/plan/485> 14 Scott Weston Rose 2021-10-19 23:47:09+00

All of the 38 district proposals fail to minimize county breaks as much as possible. There is no reason for a county to be split into 3 districts. This is a clear gerrymander. Also, you are diluting the African American representation. Please see my proposal: <https://districtr.org/plan/485>

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

14

Scott Weston Rose

2021-10-19 23:43:26+00

I like this map overall because it provides for a better voice for the Sikh communities in Macomb and Oakland county.. however, I would like to see oakland township included with Rochester. We are rochester schools and rochester post office.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Kuldip Dulai

2021-10-19 23:32:19+00

Please do not split Lyndon Township into two districts. We moved here precisely because we identify with Chelsea and the rest of Washtenaw County as our communities of interest. We shop, worship, recreate, and have all of our healthcare centered in Washtenaw County, despite having a Gregory mailing address which is based on the location of the nearest post office. We don't even go there; we use the post office in Chelsea. Our public library is also in Chelsea. We moved here precisely because we were appalled by the politics of Livingston County. We do no business there at all. It makes no sense to take a small township of approximately 3000 residents and split it in half. It not only creates an undue financial burden on the township; it also destroys any sense of community. ALL of

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Lisa Volker

2021-10-19 23:24:30+00

All of the 38 district proposals fail to minimize county breaks as much as possible. There is no reason for a county to be split into 3 districts. This is a clear gerrymander. Also, you are diluting the African American representation. Please see my proposal: <https://districtr.org/plan/485>

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

14

Scott Weston Rose

2021-10-19 23:16:09+00

I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City & Saginaw) in a senate district, we are a urban/suburban area that works together, we have been a long-standing community of interest with many common concerns. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like

Raymond Barber III

2021-10-19 23:14:47+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. I would like to see more of Midland County in this district like Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.	Raymond Wilbur Barber III	2021-10-19 23:06:39+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	The map seems to address the aspect of competitive fairness and is an excellent map. I agree with these changes.	Jenifer Jo West	2021-10-19 22:55:09+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	These Ottawa townships are rural and do NOT belong with Grand Rapids. Keep Ottawa whole - but please not put it with Grand Rapids.	Joan Long	2021-10-19 22:49:43+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I like the Birch map because it gives a chance for the Sikh American community in Macomb and Oakland county to be represented as a unified community.	Ajmel Singh Dulai	2021-10-19 22:42:22+00

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid Like As a Grosse Pointe Park resident, I love this map! Thank you for creating a district to reflect our Lake St. Clair community! We will finally have a voice in Lansing to address stewardship of our lake, water levels, lakefront infrastructure, and issues related to combined sewer and storm-water overflows. I cannot thank you enough for giving us this voice! Erin Dindoffer 2021-10-19 22:40:13+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Like As a Grosse Pointe Park resident, I love this map! Thank you for creating a district to reflect our Lake St. Clair community! We will finally have a voice in Lansing to address stewardship of our lake, water levels, lakefront infrastructure, and issues related to combined sewer and storm-water overflows. I cannot thank you enough for giving us this voice! Erin Dindoffer 2021-10-19 22:38:33+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Like As a Grosse Pointe Park resident, I love this map! Thank you for creating a district to reflect our Lake St. Clair community! We will finally have a voice in Lansing to address stewardship of our lake, water levels, lakefront infrastructure, and issues related to combined sewer and storm-water overflows. I cannot thank you enough for giving us this voice! Erin Dindoffer 2021-10-19 22:36:02+00

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid Like The Lake St. Clair district in this map is great! I want to thank the Commission for advancing this map, which will the lakefront residents to come together and stand-up for shared issues. With this map, we would finally have a voice in Lansing to support our lakefront infrastructure and to address problems from combined sewer and storm systems that dump sewage into our lake. Please adopt this plan or a similar plan, which keeps the Lake St. Clair shoreline whole! Fred 2021-10-19 22:32:36+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like Sikh Americans deserve to have an amplified voice in congress. Please keep the metro Detroit portion the same in the final map, specifically so the Sikh community of interest in Troy, Rochester Hills, and Sterling Heights are together. Raminder Kaur 2021-10-19 22:29:48+00

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS Dislike I live in Grosse Pointe Park. I strongly oppose this map because it splits Grosse Pointe Park from the other 4 Grosse Pointes and from the rest of the Lake St. Clair lakeshore. The Lake St. Clair lakeshore should remain whole. We have serious issues that need to be addressed, including the dumping of sewage in our lake. The inland communities do not prioritize those issues. We need unified representation. Fred 2021-10-19 22:27:16+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike	<p>I strongly OPPOSE this map.  My home town is Grosse  Pointe Park. In this map, it  has been split from the other  Grosse Pointes and from the  rest of the Lake St. Clair  lakeshore. Grosse Pointe  Park is a lakefront suburb and  should be with the other  lakefront communities in  District 7 and not with  industrial areas like District 6. Fred</p>	2021-10-19 22:23:02+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike	<p>This state has more  democrats than republicans  so this map should be 7 dems  6 republicans. Lisa</p>	2021-10-19 22:18:02+00

The Lake St. Clair district in this map is great! I want to thank the Commission for advancing this map, which will the lakefront residents to come together and stand-up for shared issues. With this map, we would finally have a voice in Lansing to support our lakefront infrastructure and to address problems from combined sewer and storm systems that dump sewage into our lake. Please adopt this plan or a similar plan, which keeps the Lake St. Clair shoreline whole!

10-08-21 v1 SD

Like

Fred

2021-10-19 22:17:17+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>The Lake St. Clair district in this map is great! I want to thank the Commission for advancing this map, which will the lakefront residents to come together and stand-up for shared issues. With this map, we would finally have a voice in Lansing to support our lakefront infrastructure and to address problems from combined sewer and storm systems that dump sewage into our lake. Please adopt this plan or a similar plan, which keeps the Lake St. Clair shoreline whole!</p>	Fred	2021-10-19 22:15:33+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	<p>I believe this is the best map that has been drawn for Calhoun County. It includes the I-94 and I-69 Communities of Interest and the connections especially for Battle Creek and Kalamazoo. Please consider this map for selection.</p>	Christine Kosmowski	2021-10-19 22:08:31+00

Washtenaw County voters should not be packed together in a 80% Dem district. The east and west side of the county has very different needs, in fact the needs of urban and rural east and west sides have more in common. Please do not pack washtenaw county into one district.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Sue Hadden

2021-10-19 22:07:59+00

Why do you insist on breaking up Downriver? Ecorse, River Rouge, Detroit 48217, Lincoln Park, Allen Park and Taylor belong with Wyandotte, Southgate, Riverview and south to the Monroe border. Why are you so concerned about black or white or asian? We don't look at our neighbors that way. We are all a part of Downriver and should have representation as a single unit.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Deborah Bloomfield

2021-10-19 21:59:07+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Why do you insist on breaking up Downriver? Ecorse, River Rouge, Detroit 48217, Lincoln Park, Allen Park and Taylor belong with Wyandotte, Southgate, Riverview and south to the Monroe border. Why are you so concerned about black or white or asian? We don't look at our neighbors that way. We are all a part of Downriver and should have representation as a single unit.	Deborah Bloomfield	2021-10-19 21:56:24+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Back to the drawing board!. These maps favor republicans I don't like my township being split up and grouped with a much larger and less likeminded district in the	Neill Stacy Lankford	2021-10-19 21:54:12+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	thumb.	Liam Feeney	2021-10-19 21:53:34+00

This Grand Rapids/Kent  
County map with the addition  
of townships in both Ottawa  
and Ionia Counties is a mix of  
completely different areas-  
high population cities and  
rural farming areas. There is  
no commonality within this  
area so a legislator will be  
dealing with conflicting  
priorities with the district.  
In this map, the concentration  
of Democratic voters in Grand  
Rapids (the second largest city  
in Michigan " twice the size  
of Lansing) is disenfranchised.  
The Grand Rapids area  
includes 492,000 people in  
the six-city area (5% of the  
population of all of Michigan)  
with 53% Democrats. This is  
the significant concentration  
of Democratic voters in West  
Michigan and it should not be  
subject to gerrymandering.  
All the surrounding areas are  
strong Republican no matter  
how they are configured. This  
map ensures that all the

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-19 21:52:44+00

This current plan for 6th District that will be replacing the current 9th district is egregious. On what planet does Sterling Heights, Clinton Township and St Clair Shores belong in a district with Royal Oak, Ferndale, Berkely and Huntington Woods etc. especially from a communities of interest standpoint. This 6th Congressional district plan is for the most part just the current 9th district gerrymander with Warren excluded. Commissioner Clark needs to do a better job of maintaining the Macomb County communities of interest, while keeping the splitting of Macomb County to a minimum.

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

Garian Cook

2021-10-19 21:51:01+00

This Grand Rapids/Kent  
County map with the addition  
of townships in both Ottawa  
and Ionia Counties is a mix of  
completely different areas-  
high population cities and  
rural farming areas. There is  
no commonality within this  
area so a legislator will be  
dealing with conflicting  
priorities with the district.  
In this map, the concentration  
of Democratic voters in Grand  
Rapids (the second largest city  
in Michigan " twice the size  
of Lansing) is disenfranchised.  
The Grand Rapids area  
includes 492,000 people in  
the six-city area (5% of the  
population of all of Michigan)  
with 53% Democrats. This is  
the significant concentration  
of Democratic voters in West  
Michigan and it should not be  
subject to gerrymandering.  
All the surrounding areas are  
strong Republican no matter  
how they are configured. This  
map ensures that all the

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-19 21:50:50+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	I resided in Battle Creek for over 40 years, only recently moved to Kalamazoo. The map that connects Kalamazoo to Battle Creek makes sense because of our shared economies and business.	Claire M Ott	2021-10-19 21:50:50+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	This map connects Battle Creek to Kalamazoo which makes sense because of our shared economies and business linkages.	Karen Weideman	2021-10-19 21:45:53+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	In no universe should Macomb County and Birmingham be in the same district.	Garian Cook	2021-10-19 21:45:20+00

This Grand Rapids/Kent County map with the addition of townships in both Ottawa and Ionia Counties is a mix of completely different areas- high population cities and rural farming areas. There is no commonality within this area so a legislator will be dealing with conflicting priorities with the district. In this map, the concentration of Democratic voters in Grand Rapids (the second largest city in Michigan " twice the size of Lansing) is disenfranchised. The Grand Rapids area includes 492,000 people in the six-city area (5% of the population of all of Michigan) with 53% Democrats. This is the significant concentration of Democratic voters in West Michigan and it should not be subject to gerrymandering. All the surrounding areas are strong Republican no matter how they are configured. This map ensures that all the interest of Macomb County residents while keeping the county splitting to a minimum.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE

Dislike

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-19 21:42:24+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE

Like

Garian Cook

2021-10-19 21:41:39+00

This current plan for 6th District that will be replacing the current 9th district is egregious. On what planet does Sterling Heights, Clinton Township and St Clair Shores belong in a district with Royal Oak, Ferndale, Berkely and Huntington Woods etc. especially from a communities of interest standpoint. This 6th Congressional district plan is for the most part just the current 9th district gerrymander with Warren excluded. The commission needs to do a better job of maintaining the Macomb County communities of interest, while keeping the splitting of Macomb County to a minimum.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Garian Cook

2021-10-19 21:34:47+00

I love this map, of all the ones I've seen and commented on, this one seems the fairest for the folks living here. The map connects the two largest cities on the westside of Michigan. These two metro regions make up 92% of the population of this Congressional district. These cities and metro regions have similar needs and interests on federal issues: healthcare, housing, civil rights, public education. They definitely are a COI. The Representative for this district would not have to deal with competing priorities. This district would include the major centers of African-Americans, Hispanics and other racial minorities in western Michigan. 31% of the total population of the district is non-white (250,000 people total). Western Michigan's various racial minority populations, including immigrants, deserve to be

This is my second favorite map after Apple. I feel like Grand Rapids has less in common with Muskegon than Kalamazoo though.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-19 21:23:19+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

Patrick Quist

2021-10-19 21:08:49+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>This lakeshore community of Interest map is the best I have seen yet. #87 pulls together people who face the issues of the lake, tourism, seasonal economies, unique rental and housing issues. Including Saugatuck might make sense as well.</p>	Audrey Lester	2021-10-19 21:08:48+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	<p>Mostly agree with the map for District 12 in that it keeps communities of interest such as Southfield and Oak Park Park together. However, I would still advocate for a black majority district.</p>	Angela T Enoch	2021-10-19 21:08:09+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Opinion	<p>If precincts need to be added, include the northern parts of Gaines Township in the Kentwood School District along M-6. The linked map achieves these goals  <a href="https://davesredistricting.org/maps#viewmap::43230428-2697-4c99-9a2c-e09ee77863d1">https://davesredistricting.org/maps#viewmap::43230428-2697-4c99-9a2c-e09ee77863d1</a></p>	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-19 20:55:29+00

Cascade township should be included in the northern Kent County District (District 24), because:

-Ford Airport: The airport is in Cascade (but technically part of GR City) and should be represented by a metro Representative

-Cascade fits with the northern district because it is in Forest Hills School District (along with Ada and Grand Rapids Townships). It will provide a more cohesive Community of Interest than what is currently shown – more affluent middle-class neighborhoods.

-Cascade is not a Metro 6 City, but it is a rapidly suburbanizing area becoming increasingly a bedroom community with residential neighborhoods and fewer farms. It is an integral part of Grand Rapids metro area in terms of neighborhoods, business and shopping.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Opinion

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-19 20:54:51+00

Metro Detroit, as long as I've lived, has philosophically separated suburbs by East and West. Drilling down farther, Wayne, Oakland and Macomb counties are decidedly different from each other. My Senate district 16 now includes a chunk of Warren, in Macomb County. Residents of Oakland do not share many common interests with residents of Macomb. Oakland is more white collar, big in legal and professional services, requires masks in school and follows scientific evidence. Macomb is more blue collar, industrial manufacturing related, does not require masks in school and is a hotbed of conspiracy theories. These are just a few significant examples of the mismatch of common

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

interests in Senate District 16. Ruth A Kell

2021-10-19 20:53:32+00

The border between Senate Districts 24 and 23 should be moved to Wealthy Street, which is the border between Wards 2 and 3 in Grand Rapids and keeps Ward 2 residents in the same Senate district. There are only three wards in GR and they have had those boundaries for over 40 years. Ward 2 & Ward 3 are core internal GR identities/Communities of Interest. The current Ward system has existed in Grand Rapids since the 1980s and has long standing meaning and value to Grand Rapidians. This change helps to unpack the Democratic voter concentration in the south #23 district. The district line should follow precinct boundaries along Wealthy Street not Fulton Avenue. This adds the benefit of keeping GVSU downtown campus intact. People north of Wealthy Street align more

10-08-21 v1 SD

Opinion

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-19 20:53:07+00

We do not want to have a State Senate District that includes parts of Ottawa County when there is room to go north from the Six Cities (GR, EGR, Kentwood, Wyoming, Grandville or Walker). Kent County is the 4th largest county in Michigan and deserves to have at least two State Senators guaranteed to be from Kent County. These 2 Senate districts have over ½ million people with a high percentage Democratic content. This area deserves to have 2 Democratic competitive Senate seats. There is not a community of interest between Kent and Ottawa Counties. The linked map achieves these goals  
<https://davesredistricting.org/maps#viewmap::43230428-2697-4c99-9a2c->

10-08-21 v1 SD

Opinion

e09ee77863d1

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-19 20:52:18+00

Senate districts should be based in the Metro Six Cities (GR, EGR, Kentwood, Wyoming, Grandville or Walker). These six cities share water, sewer, transit and waste management. It seems often in the past weâ€™ve been represented in the Senate by people from Lowell, Gaines or Cannon Township, not the Six Cities. Surrounding suburban townships should be added only when necessary. Certainly, Townships in Ottawa county have no community of interest with North Grand Rapids, so keep Ottawa County separate from Kent County when possible. The linked map achieves these goals  
<https://davesredistricting.org/maps#viewmap::43230428-2697-4c99-9a2c-e09ee77863d1>

10-08-21 v1 SD

Opinion

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-19 20:51:47+00

If precincts need to be added,  
include the northern parts of  
Gaines Township in the  
Kentwood School District  
along M-6. The linked map  
achieves these goals  
[https://davesredistricting.org  
/maps#viewmap::43230428-  
2697-4c99-9a2c-  
e09ee77863d1](https://davesredistricting.org/maps#viewmap::43230428-2697-4c99-9a2c-e09ee77863d1)

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

e09ee77863d1

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-19 20:49:13+00

Cascade township should be included in the northern Kent County District (District 24), because:

-Ford Airport: The airport is in Cascade (but technically part of GR City) and should be represented by a metro Representative

-Cascade fits with the northern district because it is in Forest Hills School District (along with Ada and Grand Rapids Townships). It will provide a more cohesive Community of Interest than what is currently shown – more affluent middle-class neighborhoods.

-Cascade is not a Metro 6 City, but it is a rapidly suburbanizing area becoming increasingly a bedroom community with residential neighborhoods and fewer farms. It is an integral part of Grand Rapids metro area in terms of neighborhoods, business and shopping.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-19 20:47:04+00

The border between Senate Districts 24 and 23 should be moved to Wealthy Street, which is the border between Wards 2 and 3 in Grand Rapids and keeps Ward 2 residents in the same Senate district. There are only three wards in GR and they have had those boundaries for over 40 years. Ward 2 & Ward 3 are core internal GR identities/Communities of Interest. The current Ward system has existed in Grand Rapids since the 1980s and has long standing meaning and value to Grand Rapidians. This change helps to unpack the Democratic voter concentration in the south #23 district. The district line should follow precinct boundaries along Wealthy Street not Fulton Avenue. This adds the benefit of keeping GVSU downtown campus intact. People north of Wealthy Street align more

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-19 20:44:12+00

We do not want to have a State Senate District that includes parts of Ottawa County when there is room to go north from the Six Cities (GR, EGR, Kentwood, Wyoming, Grandville or Walker). Kent County is the 4th largest county in Michigan and deserves to have at least two State Senators guaranteed to be from Kent County. These 2 Senate districts have over ½ million people with a high percentage Democratic content. This area deserves to have 2 Democratic competitive Senate seats. There is not a community of interest between Kent and Ottawa Counties. The linked map achieves these goals

[https://davesredistricting.org/maps#viewmap::43230428-2697-4c99-9a2c-](https://davesredistricting.org/maps#viewmap::43230428-2697-4c99-9a2c-e09ee77863d1)

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

e09ee77863d1

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-19 20:43:31+00

This map has the 2 largest cities in West Michigan and have communities of interest - they deserve to have a voice in Washington.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Joan Long

2021-10-19 20:43:06+00

Senate districts should be based in the Metro Six Cities (GR, EGR, Kentwood, Wyoming, Grandville or Walker). These six cities share water, sewer, transit and waste management. It seems often in the past weâ€™ve been represented in the Senate by people from Lowell, Gaines or Cannon Township, not the Six Cities. Surrounding suburban townships should be added only when necessary. Certainly, Townships in Ottawa county have no community of interest with North Grand Rapids, so keep Ottawa County separate from Kent County when possible. The linked map achieves these goals  
<https://davesredistricting.org/maps#viewmap::43230428-2697-4c99-9a2c-e09ee77863d1>

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-19 20:42:42+00

If precincts need to be added, include the northern parts of Gaines Township in the Kentwood School District along M-6. The linked map achieves these goals  
<https://davesredistricting.org/maps#viewmap::43230428-2697-4c99-9a2c-e09ee77863d1>

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-19 20:39:29+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	This map has a huge mix of demographics. Ottawa County should be out of the Kent district map	Donna E Farris	2021-10-19 20:39:12+00

Please remove Waterloo Township from proposed district 69. This District somehow connects a large section of the city of Ann Arbor with Waterloo Township, which is in Jackson County. Waterloo township has no water or sewer service, no broadband, and no public transportation. Ann Arbor on the other hand has all of these things. How will a Representative vote on legislation addressing any of these things? Waterloo township will be left without a voice. Please consider exchanging Waterloo Township in Jackson County with Sylvan Township, which is in Washtenaw county. Sylvan Township includes the small town of Chelsea which is a very similar community as Ann Arbor. This would leave Waterloo Township connect with rural Jackson county.

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO fDislike

This would provide much

William Richardson

2021-10-19 20:35:50+00

Cascade township should be included in the northern Kent County District (District 24), because:

-Ford Airport: The airport is in Cascade (but technically part of GR City) and should be represented by a metro Representative

-Cascade fits with the northern district because it is in Forest Hills School District (along with Ada and Grand Rapids Townships). It will provide a more cohesive Community of Interest than what is currently shown "more affluent middle-class neighborhoods.

-Cascade is not a Metro 6 City, but it is a rapidly suburbanizing area becoming increasingly a bedroom community with residential neighborhoods and fewer farms. It is an integral part of Grand Rapids metro area in terms of neighborhoods, business and shopping.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

please put Cascade Township with the Grand Rapids State Senate districts. Cascade Township should NOT be with

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-19 20:34:02+00

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Battle Creek.

joan long

2021-10-19 20:31:44+00

The border between Senate Districts 24 and 23 should be moved to Wealthy Street, which is the border between Wards 2 and 3 in Grand Rapids and keeps Ward 2 residents in the same Senate district. There are only three wards in GR and they have had those boundaries for over 40 years. Ward 2 & Ward 3 are core internal GR identities/Communities of Interest. The current Ward system has existed in Grand Rapids since the 1980s and has long standing meaning and value to Grand Rapidians. This change helps to unpack the Democratic voter concentration in the south #23 district. The district line should follow precinct boundaries along Wealthy Street not Fulton Avenue. This adds the benefit of keeping GVSU downtown campus intact. People north of Wealthy Street align more

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-19 20:29:54+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>District 9 is logical, combining the area's coastal communities while simultaneously representing the agricultural needs of West Michigan. Definitely reflects the area's tourist economy and similar cultures. Great job.</p>	Carter Welch	2021-10-19 20:27:53+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>We do not want to have a State Senate District that includes parts of Ottawa County when there is room to go north from the Six Cities (GR, EGR, Kentwood, Wyoming, Grandville or Walker). Kent County is the 4th largest county in Michigan and deserves to have at least two State Senators guaranteed to be from Kent County. These 2 Senate districts have over ½ million people with a high percentage Democratic content. This area deserves to have 2 Democratic competitive Senate seats. There is not a community of interest between Kent and Ottawa Counties.</p>	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-19 20:24:44+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like	<p>By far the strongest example of how to create a lakeshore district. This map goes a long way to address and cover all the unique and dynamic political issues unique to lakeshore communities, and would allow for true representation of the SW MI coast's interest. I strongly believe the final map should incorporate a district very similar to this one.</p>	Carter Welch	2021-10-19 20:24:10+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike	<p>What happened to the Lakeshore COI map? I and many others made positive comments last week regarding that map. The lakeshore is unified naturally but when interrupted lines exist one area can allow things another doesn't. It leads to confusion and harmful inconsistencies.</p>	Audrey Lester	2021-10-19 20:23:01+00

I wholeheartedly agree with Andrew's comment. I don't understand why this map splits very similar communities like Sawyer, Bridgman, Stevensville, and St. Joe, while combining St. Joe with a very dissimilar place like Watervliet. Lakeshore communities hold the most similarities to one another, recognize this.

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Carter Welch

2021-10-19 20:20:45+00

Senate districts should be based in the Metro Six Cities (GR, EGR, Kentwood, Wyoming, Grandville or Walker). These six cities share water, sewer, transit and waste management. It seems often in the past weâ€™ve been represented in the Senate by people from Lowell, Gaines or Cannon Township, not the Six Cities. Surrounding suburban townships should be added only when necessary. Certainly, Townships in Ottawa county have no community of interest with North Grand Rapids, so keep Ottawa County separate from Kent County when possible

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-19 20:20:22+00

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Dislike	I think this map falls to recognize the stark differences between coastal Berrien County and counties like Cass and St. Joseph which it is grouped with. Tourism, environmental protection, and strong economic development are the most vital factors in this part of the state, and these don't often align with the other counties.	Carter Welch	2021-10-19 20:18:15+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Opinion	I concur with Jan's comment, keep the coastal segments of Berrien and Van Buren united, while making the interiors of both counties integrated into a Cass/St. Joseph district. please redraw this map, leaving washtenaw county out of 29. Jackson is a very conservative county where as Washtenaw is very liberal; connecting them as drawn here does not represent a community of interest as so many participants stated in the past several months	Carter Welch	2021-10-19 20:15:21+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		tim golding	2021-10-19 20:09:21+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike	<p>The city of Novi should be kept together in 110. Splitting up our community will disempower our diverse community's voice, especially our large AAPI population. I messed up my previous comment so here it is corrected: I appreciate the commission continually respecting the Tri-Cities COI in their map creation, but I don't like this district. First, as the only cities even close together, Flint, Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw should be together in a congressional district. There are no other cities that even come close to the populations of these 4 urban/suburban area and we should not be put with northern rural counties.</p>	Sharon Trumpy	2021-10-19 20:06:45+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	<p>This proposal is an improvement over maps 227, 228, 229, 232, 239 and 248. I would still like to see ALL of the city of Novi in 110. Our diverse city's voice should not be disempowered.</p>	Jennifer Austin	2021-10-19 20:06:08+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion		Sharon Trumpy	2021-10-19 20:04:25+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	The county of Ottawa should be kept together and not be with Kent.	Donna E Farris	2021-10-19 20:03:49+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Grass Lake, Blackman Twp, Jackson, Michigan Center attached to Chelsea?? This is not a community of interest. Please redraw this entire map based on the community of interest comments given in Jackson earlier this year. Some are arguing this map doesn't represent a community of interest. However, it is a better representation of the local populations and would be much more inclusive. The reps would have a broader constituency and have broader perspectives on issues. I think this is better to make neighborhoods become more involved in the community at large. The other map perpetuates	tim golding	2021-10-19 20:01:28+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	separateness.	Connie Burke	2021-10-19 20:00:58+00

Try to keep in mind the Common Community of Interest: Shared water, sewer, transit, waste management, economy, and culture. If you have to add townships, make sure they are nearby largely residential and commercial suburban townships like Cascade, Ada, Plainfield, and Grand Rapids Township. Try to keep the greater Grand Rapids cities and townships in Kent County together. Avoid putting suburban townships in other counties. Kent County is the 4th largest county in Michigan and deserves to have representatives from the County as much as possible. The link following accomplishes these goals.  
<https://districtr.org/plan/633>

61

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-19 19:59:11+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>What happened to the Lakeshore Col map? I and many others made positive comments last week regarding that map. The lake shore is unified naturally but when interrupted lines exist one area can allow things another doesn't. The ecological, tourist and climate issues are unique to this natural formation. Rural areas share realities with other rural areas. Please bring back the coastal plan.</p>	Audrey Lester's	2021-10-19 19:58:48+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO	Dislike	<p>The City of Novi belongs in one district so our representative can speak for our community's interests. Our diverse city and especially AAPI voices are disempowered when we are split up.</p>	Sharon Trumpy	2021-10-19 19:58:12+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	how can you possibly connect Waterloo with the east side of Ann Arbor? that in no way represents any of the majority of community of interest comments given over the last several months. this map clearly favors on party over the other. please redraw this map per directive #3 in the constitution	tim golding	2021-10-19 19:56:52+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Plainfield and Northeastern Grand Rapids share a common school district: Northview School District	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-19 19:56:46+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	GR Township is fully commercial and residential suburban, it has only 2 farms. It is a township that has much in common with the surrounding cities	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-19 19:56:13+00

This still needs some additional attention to achieve equality between both parties. But the improvements are getting us there. District 7 works at well. It is very important for school districts to be reprised by one person. Schools are the community and they need to hear from one voice. New Hudson, South Lyon, and Lyon Township are all part of South Lyon Schools. So district 7 does hold them together. I like the area Pontiac is in. It is a good cross section of voters who have the same issues and concerns. I like where Livonia is in one district and not divided. It too is a huge school district and with 14,000 kids in their district it will be helpful for parents to reach out to one representative. This will also benefit business too. Juniper is heading in the right direction but still needs to look further towards the Ward system has a long standing value to Grand Rapids residents, it's important to keep the non-downtown areas of Ward 2 together

10-07-21 v1 CD AE

Like

Shirley Brockhahn

2021-10-19 19:55:54+00

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-19 19:55:35+00

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	Novi has a diverse population with a large AAPI community. Splitting our city into separate districts disempowers these voices. We need to be in one district so our representation can speak for our community interests.	Sharon Trumpy	2021-10-19 19:55:00+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Sikh Americans deserve to have an amplified voice in congress. Please keep the metro Detroit portion the same in the final map, specifically so the Sikh community of interest in Troy, Rochester Hills, and Sterling Heights are together. Grandville, Walker and Westside GR are all Metro Six Cities.	Jasper Gill	2021-10-19 19:54:51+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Southern Walker and Grandville share the Grandville School District	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-19 19:53:41+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Walker and Westside GR share common interest: West Catholic High School, Catholic heritage, commercial districts. Walker, Westside GR, and Grandville are both Metro Six Cities. Southern Walker and Grandville share the Grandville School District	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-19 19:52:57+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Novi needs to be kept in one district. This proposal disempowers our AAPI community. It also splits up the Novi Community School District. Our city of Novi and school district should be in one district so our representative can work towards our common goals.	Sharon Trumpy	2021-10-19 19:52:23+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Westside is the home to long-standing Polish and Catholic neighborhoods. Westside GR and Walker share common interest: West Catholic High School, Catholic heritage, commercial districts. Westside GR and Walker are both Metro Six Cities	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-19 19:51:49+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>The intent is to make voting districts equitable and local. SD 27 will be heavily weighted to one party. It would be more fair if the map were down more square then rectangular to include more rural, but local voters. It is obvious this map is down to keep as many people in one party together. Please do better</p>	Connie Burke	2021-10-19 19:50:01+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Opinion	<p>I can not tell from this map which of the new proposed districts I would be in</p>	David Peter Pentescu	2021-10-19 19:49:22+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Cascade should be added together with its neighbor Kentwood. The Ford Airport, which is an island that belongs to the City of Grand Rapids, should be represented by someone who lives in a Metro Six city. Kentwood and Cascade share a common 28th Street Corridor</p>	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-19 19:49:07+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>East Grand Rapids is a City and Should be placed with a fellow Six City, ideally with neighboring Kentwood. But, East Grand Rapids is suburban and should be placed with Kentwood rather than Grand Rapids</p>	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-19 19:47:57+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>Novi needs to be kept in one district. This proposal disempowers our AAPI community. It also splits up the Novi Community School District. Our city and school district should be in one district so we can work for our common interests.</p>	Sharon Trumpy	2021-10-19 19:47:40+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Opinion	<p>Please be so kind as to explain the reasoning for splitting Lyndon township between 5 &amp; 7? My previous comment mistakenly said 6 &amp; 6, but I guess that is because this is rather confusing.</p>	David Peter Pentescu	2021-10-19 19:47:34+00

This district makes sense geographically, and it's not a "bad" district, but if the overall partisan fairness for the state doesn't meet zero political bias and erase the vote efficiency gap, then I suggest you use the Bay City/Midland House district I've seen in several maps. Bay City and Midland are two closely aligned cities and they have been for a long time. Please continue to make the maps better so that the share of possible seats a party can win matches their vote share in the state.

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO fDislike

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-19 19:46:41+00

Thank you for drawing a Southern Grand Rapids District with a strong African-American and People of Color population. It is possible to create a State House District that is around 50% non-white. African-Americans have not had a Black State Representative from Grand Rapids for over a decade. They deserve a chance to elect a non-white Representative to focus on their unique issues: housing, policing, justice, economic opportunity, etc.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-19 19:45:45+00

Poorly drawn; this map is does not conform at all to the community of interest comments given in jackson so many months ago. how can you possibly connect Napoleon, Summit Twp, Novell etc to Ann Arbor. These population centers/demographics couldnt be more dissimilar.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS

Dislike

tim goldign

2021-10-19 19:45:03+00

Wyoming is a city of 77,000 people and is a Community of Interest that deserves to be kept together as a core part of a State House District. Do not split up Wyoming into two State House Districts. State House District population levels can be reached by adding the three main Hispanic precincts in Grand Rapids into a Wyoming district. This creates an opportunity for Hispanic representation from Kent County in the State House since population of this district would 32% Hispanic, the largest, most concentrated Hispanic district possible in Kent County. The current MICRC maps divide Wyoming unnecessarily. The current MICRC map splits the Hispanic communities living in southwest Grand Rapids and Wyoming

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-19 19:44:25+00

The city of Novi needs to be kept in one district. To do otherwise disempowers our AAPI community and creates unnecessary complexity.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS

Dislike

Sharon Trumpy

2021-10-19 19:41:07+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Please do not split up the city of Novi. We have a growing Asian American community and our northern precincts are quite diverse. By splitting up our community into separate districts, these voices are diluted.	Marian MAhoney	2021-10-19 19:36:17+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO	Dislike	Please keep Novi intact. Our northern precincts are very diverse and we have a growing Asian American community - if these are split up their voices will be diluted.	Marian MAhoney	2021-10-19 19:34:26+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	Please do not split up the city of Novi. We have a growing Asian American community and our northern precincts are quite diverse. By splitting up our community into separate districts, these voices are diluted.	Marian MAhoney	2021-10-19 19:31:59+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	The rural townships in Ottawa county have little in common with Kent county, Keep Kent together.	Mildred A Overeem	2021-10-19 19:29:32+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Keep Wyoming together! A wyoming district along with the 3 heavily Hispanic districts of Grand Rapids keeps Hispanic population together. Please include Novi northern precincts - Please keep the City of Novi intact - this protects the voices of our growing Asian American community.	Kim Bergs	2021-10-19 19:27:08+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Dislike		Marian MAhoney	2021-10-19 19:26:19+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I really like this map. The Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo metropolitan areas share similar demographics. They also have a shared interest in health care, higher education, and manufacturing. I am a person of color who lives in a highly gerrymandered district in Kentwood. This map gives me at least some chance that my vote may count.	Diane McMillan	2021-10-19 19:24:26+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	This district takes the kent county and puts it with rural counties of Ottawa and Ionia when it should be with Kalamazoo county that shares more of a community of interest both being more urban centers	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 19:21:31+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	I like that district 12 mostly follows the county outlines. Makes the district map less weird	Matthew W From	2021-10-19 19:19:52+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	This district makes good since. The two major communities are carters of health care and health research.	Mildred A Overeem	2021-10-19 19:19:29+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I Support the Birch Map for Congressional District 6. All of Sterling Heights and many other parts of Macomb County are encompassed into a Fair and Competitive Congressional District.	Robert Mijac	2021-10-19 19:18:45+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are similar communities and having them together is a good idea	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 19:16:16+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I like this map. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo have far more in common than the some of the lakeshore communities.	Kim Bergs	2021-10-19 19:14:48+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	These 4 Ottawa County townships are rural and do not belong in a community of interest with Metro Grand Rapids. These townships will be better served with the representation of the rest of Ottawa, rather than Kent County urban area.	mark swan	2021-10-19 19:13:04+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Cascade Township contains the Gerald R Ford airport. This Township has nothing to do with Battle Creek. It belongs to the Grand Rapids Metro!	mark swan	2021-10-19 19:10:53+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Keep Ottawa county to Ottawa. How is the rural area in Ottawa county share the same demographic as the Grand Rapids and it's urban area?	mark swan	2021-10-19 19:08:41+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Eastown community of interest is with North East Grand Rapids not the westside of Grand Rapids	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 18:54:54+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Ada is part of the Grand Rapids metro community of interest please kept them together	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 18:53:28+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Walker and Grandville are the same school district and should be kept together as a community of interest	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 18:51:54+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	Thank you for this map! I appreciate all your hard work and looking at the whole state to create fair maps.	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-19 18:48:44+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Cascade township is a community of interest with Metro Grand Rapids because of the airport and other share interest	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 18:48:38+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	East Grand Rapids and Kentwood are two similar communities of interest so should be kept together	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 18:47:27+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Kentwood is a community of interest and should not be split up	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 18:46:20+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Please keep the Hispanic community of interest in Wyoming and Grand Rapids together	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 18:45:48+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Wyoming is a community of interest and should not be split up	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 18:44:26+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	The Grosse Pointes, Harper Woods and the east side of Detroit have many shared interests such as commerce, culture, public transit, health care and recreation. As urban and nearby suburban communities we have much more in common than with distant rural communities.	Laura Kellett	2021-10-19 18:39:19+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Thank you for keeping Southern Grand Rapids District and strong community of color	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 18:37:12+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Northview school District should be kept together	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 18:35:46+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Eastown community of interest is with the North East Grand Rapids not the Westside please change this district.	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 18:34:08+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Ada is part of the Grand Rapids metro community of interest and should be kept together	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 18:31:33+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	North west Grand Rapids and Walker have a community of strong Catholic population.	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 18:30:03+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Walker and Grandville are the same school district and should be kept together as a community of interest	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 18:28:58+00

when I voted in support of establishing this commission I did so in the hope (but also frankly the expectation) that I would see exactly what has been going on here – thoughtful dialog, civic engagement, and commitment to democratic process in re-working our political representation within our state. I’ve followed the process fairly closely and have found it interesting reading the comments and getting a chance to see where priorities and preferences are when establishing these lines. Some seem to prefer keeping current D/R voting blocks together, while others prefer joining communities of similar size, style or economic backgrounds together. I think any realist at the start of all this would accept that this wouldn’t be an easy process, but I hope we can all appreciate that ultimately the Cascade township is a community of interest with Metro Grand Rapids so they should be kept together

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Opinion

Steven Blum

2021-10-19 18:26:55+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

JOAN FIFELSKI

2021-10-19 18:25:29+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	East Grand Rapids and Kentwood are two similar communities of interest so should be kept together	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 18:23:51+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Kentwood is a community of interest and should not be split up	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 18:22:24+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Please keep the Hispanic community of interest in Wyoming and Grand Rapids together	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 18:21:37+00

I strongly oppose this map and encourage the commission to keep Ottawa County whole! Ottawa County is a community of interest. The county is unique with its Dutch heritage and vibrant diversity. Ottawa County also benefits from the Great Lakes. Even those on the eastern part of Ottawa County rely on the Great Lakes as a source of income or simple recreation. Ottawa County residents deserve a federal Representative who understands the importance of the Great Lakes and considers that while crafting policy in Washington. Currently, Ottawa County has a substantial voice in federal elections because the entirety of the county is in one congressional district. Splitting up the county diminishes West Michigan's voice and leaves a situation where small

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Hunter Ihrman

2021-10-19 18:21:33+00

I strongly oppose this map and encourage the commission to keep Ottawa County whole! Ottawa County is a community of interest. The county is unique with its Dutch heritage and vibrant diversity. Ottawa County also benefits from the Great Lakes. Even those on the eastern part of Ottawa County rely on the Great Lakes as a source of income or simple recreation. Ottawa County residents deserve a federal Representative who understands the importance of the Great Lakes and considers that while crafting policy in Washington. Currently, Ottawa County has a substantial voice in federal elections because the entirety of the county is in one congressional district. Splitting up the county diminishes West Michigan's voice and leaves a situation where small Wyoming is a community of interest and should not be split up

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Hunter Ihrman

2021-10-19 18:20:57+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

JOAN FIFELSKI

2021-10-19 18:20:36+00

I strongly oppose this map and encourage the commission to keep Ottawa County whole! Ottawa County is a community of interest. The county is unique with its Dutch heritage and vibrant diversity. Ottawa County also benefits from the Great Lakes. Even those on the eastern part of Ottawa County rely on the Great Lakes as a source of income or simple recreation. Ottawa County residents deserve a federal Representative who understands the importance of the Great Lakes and considers that while crafting policy in Washington. Currently, Ottawa County has a substantial voice in federal elections because the entirety of the county is in one congressional district. Splitting up the county diminishes West Michigan's voice and leaves a situation where small

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Dislike

Hunter Ihrman

2021-10-19 18:19:33+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like

James L Owen

2021-10-19 18:16:10+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Thank you for keeping Ottawa County intact! East Lansing and Lansing are strongly tied communities of interest and should not be in separate districts. This is demonstrated by the large number of people living in East Lansing who work for the state in Lansing, and these cities share: public transportation, a name, 911 call center, and active adult living communities. Lansing should definitely not be divided but should include East Lansing. I resided in East Lansing for 30 years and personally lived and saw the commonalities between those two cities, working in one, living in the other, along with so many of our East Lansing neighbors. Alternatively, drawing the district line at the Clinton County line or State Road (latitude), major road south of Clinton County line, so that any small portion of East Lansing to the North of that line is in the same district

Hunter Ihrman

2021-10-19 18:14:34+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike

Janice Harte

2021-10-19 18:10:00+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Because the airport for Grand Rapids is in Cascade Township this area should be with District 24 as they are a community of interest	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 17:47:37+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Wealthy street should be the boundary of District 23 & 24 because it is a ward line	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 17:45:54+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	I think Ottawa County is a community of interest and should be kept whole	JOAN FIFELSKI	2021-10-19 17:44:30+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	The proposed districts of this map have oddly-grouped populations with totally different needs and considerations. For example, in the proposed District 4, folks living in Grand Rapids metro have been grouped with rural folks in Ionia and Ottawa Counties. The only sense this proposed district map appears to have is in diluting any concentrations of likely Democratic voters and denying them representation.	Lindsay Oaster	2021-10-19 17:38:18+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	<p>The proposed districts of this map have oddly-grouped populations with totally different needs and considerations. For example, in the proposed District 4, folks living in Grand Rapids metro have been grouped with rural folks in Ionia and Ottawa Counties. The only sense this proposed district map appears to have is in diluting any concentrations of likely Democratic voters and denying them representation.</p>	Lindsay Oaster	2021-10-19 17:37:36+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	<p>The proposed districts of this map have oddly-grouped populations with totally different needs and considerations. For example, in the proposed District 4, folks living in Grand Rapids metro have been grouped with rural folks in Ionia and Ottawa Counties. The only sense this proposed district map appears to have is in diluting any concentrations of historically-Democratic voters and denying them representation.</p>	Lindsay Oaster	2021-10-19 17:36:21+00

when I voted in support of establishing this commission I did so in the hope (but also frankly the expectation) that I would see exactly what has been going on here – thoughtful dialog, civic engagement, and commitment to democratic process in re-working our political representation within our state. I’ve followed the process fairly closely and have found it interesting reading the comments and getting a chance to see where priorities and preferences are when establishing these lines. Some seem to prefer keeping current D/R voting blocks together, while others prefer joining communities of similar size, style or economic backgrounds together. I think any realist at the start of all this would accept that this wouldn’t be an easy process, but I hope we can all appreciate that ultimately the Steven Blum

when I voted in support of establishing this commission I did so in the hope (but also frankly the expectation) that I would see exactly what has been going on here – thoughtful dialog, civic engagement, and commitment to democratic process in re-working our political representation within our state. I’ve followed the process fairly closely and have found it interesting reading the comments and getting a chance to see where priorities and preferences are when establishing these lines. Some seem to prefer keeping current D/R voting blocks together, while others prefer joining communities of similar size, style or economic backgrounds together. I think any realist at the start of all this would accept that this wouldn’t be an easy process, but I hope we can all

10-14-21 v1 SD RL Opinion

appreciate that ultimately the Steven Blum Airport is in Cascade

2021-10-19 17:32:58+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

Township and should be kept with Grand Rapids District 24 Joan Fifelski

2021-10-19 17:30:38+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Grand Rapids has had wards for over 40 years the line for the district split should follow the ward line on wealthy not Fulton st	Joan Fifelski	2021-10-19 17:28:49+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Dislike	District 3 isn't too bad on this map, but Southfield, Beverly Hills, Franklin and Lathrup Village should be kept with Oakland County and should not go into Wayne.	Sharon Baseman	2021-10-19 17:26:59+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	District 4 is a good, representative district on this map. This district includes the major centers of BIPOC and Latinx folks residing in this portion of Michigan. These populations deserve to be heard in DC. The district as represented on this map gives a good, representative voice to the minority populations in West Michigan.	Lindsay Oaster	2021-10-19 17:25:03+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	I actually like this map for District 12. It keeps the communities in SE Oakland together, as they should be, and only includes a small part of Macomb County.	Sharon Baseman	2021-10-19 17:24:27+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Please keep Ottawa County whole not part of Metro Grand Rapids	Joan Fifelski	2021-10-19 17:18:02+00

I thought the earlier maps had problems, but this is far worse for what is now District 30. It splits apart communities in the SE corner of Oakland County that should be kept together. Oak Park and Huntington Woods should be kept with Ferndale and Pleasant Ridge, and possibly Hazel Park. The district still goes into Detroit, which was the problem with the earlier maps -- it's just a different part of Detroit. Taking this district all the way up to Troy makes no sense for a state senate district. We have much more in common with neighboring communities in the SE corner of the county. This is one of the better maps for my area. Thanks for keeping Livingston County whole and not with Ann Arbor.

10-14-21 v1 SD RL Dislike

Sharon Baseman

2021-10-19 17:13:00+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like

Dan Wholihan

2021-10-19 17:02:10+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like This map is a good one for my area and keeps Livingston County together and not with Washtenaw County. The GR to Kzoo split is a little strange, but I understand that is also at the request of public comment in Ottawa County requesting that they be kept whole with their lakeshore. I also like how the 6th District is kept competitive in this map. Dan Wholihan 2021-10-19 16:59:11+00

10-08-21 v1 HD Dislike I would suggest that Grand Rapids township and Ada township be included in District 75. We are much more closely associated with this district. Now we are included in a district 96 which includes a weird mix of our two suburban townships in Kent county with two eastern rural townships in Kent county and very rural communities in Barry and Allegan counties to the south. We have nothing in common with them. Can't imagine a single representative servicing all of the needs of these townships Katie Sun 2021-10-19 16:49:27+00

10-08-21 v1 HD Dislike Don't understand why Walker/Grand Rapids Ward 1 residential northwest 76 district would be combined with the Grand Rapids city area that is east of the river in Ward 2-totally different type of downtown city area. This is a much better fit with the northwest 75 district. Just add similar area Grandville city to 76 to get the population. Katie Sun 2021-10-19 16:48:55+00

10-08-21 v2 HD Opinion I would suggest that Grand Rapids township and Ada township be included in District 75. We are much more closely associated with this district. Now we are included in a district 96 which includes a weird mix of our two suburban townships in Kent county with two eastern rural townships in Kent county and very rural communities in Barry and Allegan counties to the south. We have nothing in common with them. Can't imagine a single representative servicing all of the needs of these townships Katie Sun 2021-10-19 16:47:29+00

10-08-21 v2 HD      Opinion      Don't understand why Walker/Grand Rapids Ward 1 residential northwest 76 district would be combined with the Grand Rapids city area that is east of the river in Ward 2-totally different type of downtown city area. This is a much better fit with the northwest 75 district. Just add similar area Grandville city to 76 to get the population.      Katie Sun      2021-10-19 16:46:59+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS      Opinion      I would suggest that Grand Rapids township and Ada township be included in District 75. We are much more closely associated with this district. Now we are included in a district 96 which includes a weird mix of our two suburban townships in Kent county with two eastern rural townships in Kent county and very rural communities in Barry and Allegan counties to the south. We have nothing in common with them. Can't imagine a single representative servicing all of the needs of these townships      Katie Sun      2021-10-19 16:44:32+00

There is only one reason for the existence of the ICRC: end partisan advantage in districts. Whether that advantage is created deliberately or inadvertently is of no consequence. The result either way is to thwart the expressed will of the voters. The Lansing area districts will contribute to the gerrymandering problem, not solve it. Please ensure that you fix the Lansing area and the overall skew toward Republicans that is the clear result of the current draft House map. This is at the core of your mission as members of the ICRC.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Jeffrey Padden

2021-10-19 16:43:57+00

Don't understand why Walker/Grand Rapids Ward 1 residential northwest 76 district would be combined with the Grand Rapids city area that is east of the river in Ward 2-totally different type of downtown city area. This is a much better fit with the northwest 75 district. Just add similar area Grandville city to 76 to get the population.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Katie Sun

2021-10-19 16:42:47+00

I commend the committee for taking on this daunting task. My advice in all fairness would be to keep the lines fair and equitable to the voting populus of the people in that district/jurisdiction... when you draw lines that disenfranchises a particular voting block particularly by ethnicity and party neither is equitable nor fair... fairness was the whole purpose of this coalition! Let us not forget FAIRNESS....Do the right thing!!

10-10-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Darold Newton

2021-10-19 16:42:08+00

All the areas in the six cities (Grand Rapids, Kentwood, Wyoming, Grandville, Walker and East Grand Rapids) along with the townships of Grand Rapids, Cascade, Ada and South Plainfield should be kept together when drawing the State House maps. These are the core of the Greater Grand Rapids city and suburban area. Population in this area makes 5 districts.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Sam Chu

2021-10-19 16:37:15+00

10-08-21 v1 HD Dislike I have no idea why the district 76 extends east across the river into the city. City east of the river is downtown businesses, hospital and restaurants/entertainment- completely different from the west. Better to combine Walker City with Grandville City and put the area east of the river above Fulton avenue in 75 and south of Fulton in 78 Sam Chu 2021-10-19 16:36:37+00

10-08-21 v1 HD Like Districts 86/96 Southwest side We have a Chinese restaurant in Kentwood. Most of our customers come from East Grand Rapids and Cascade as well as Kentwood. The people are all similar in middle class economics and lifestyles. Looking forward to Have a State Representative in district 86 that services all my customers. Sam Chu 2021-10-19 16:35:55+00

10-08-21 v2 HD Like Districts 86/96 Southwest side We have a Chinese restaurant in Kentwood. Most of our customers come from East Grand Rapids and Cascade as well as Kentwood. The people are all similar in middle class economics and lifestyles. Looking forward to Have a State Representative in district 86 that services all my customers. Sam Chu 2021-10-19 16:34:39+00

10-08-21 v2 HD Like I have no idea why the district 76 extends east across the river into the city. City east of the river is downtown businesses, hospital and restaurants/entertainment- completely different from the west. Better to combine Walker City with Grandville City and put the area east of the river above Fulton avenue in 75 and south of Fulton in 78 Sam Chu 2021-10-19 16:34:01+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	All the areas in the six cities (Grand Rapids, Kentwood, Wyoming, Grandville, Walker and East Grand Rapids) along with the townships of Grand Rapids, Cascade, Ada and South Plainfield should be kept together when drawing the State House maps. These are the core of the Greater Grand Rapids city and suburban area. Population in this area makes 5 districts. Cascade township is more aligned with East Grand Rapids and Kentwood and has nothing to do with Battlecreek. Cascade needs to be contained in state seat 24 as that is where it's tax dollars go.	Sam Chu	2021-10-19 16:33:30+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		Miriam Boelen	2021-10-19 16:33:09+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion All the areas in the six cities (Grand Rapids, Kentwood, Wyoming, Grandville, Walker and East Grand Rapids) along with the townships of Grand Rapids, Cascade, Ada and South Plainfield should be kept together when drawing the State House maps. These are the core of the Greater Grand Rapids city and suburban area. Population in this area makes 5 districts. Sam Chu 2021-10-19 16:31:43+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion I have no idea why the district 76 extends east across the river into the city. City east of the river is downtown businesses, hospital and restaurants/entertainment- completely different from the west. Better to combine Walker City with Grandville City and put the area east of the river above Fulton avenue in 75 and south of Fulton in 78 Sam Chu 2021-10-19 16:30:59+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Districts 86/96 Southwest side We have a Chinese restaurant in Kentwood. Most of our customers come from East Grand Rapids and Cascade as well as Kentwood. The people are all similar in middle class economics and lifestyles. Looking forward to Have a State Representative in district 86 that services all my customers.	Sam Chu	2021-10-19 16:30:17+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Cascade needs to be included in State Senate #24 as it is aligned with the airport and the 6 cities. Cascade township tax dollars go to these jurisdictions. Cascade township should not be included in rural areas, there is nothing rural about Cascade. Cascade Township needs to be included with East Grand Rapids and	Miriam Boelen	2021-10-19 16:29:45+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Kentwood.	Miriam Boelen	2021-10-19 16:26:20+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	The map should be slightly adjusted to keep East Grand Rapids, Kentwood and Cascade Township together as all three share school districts, shopping districts, business districts, medical facilities and the airport.	Miriam Boelen	2021-10-19 16:23:19+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Ottawa county is rural and has nothing in common with Kent County which is primarily metropolitan. Keep Ottawa in Ottawa county and not in Kent County. Same for Ionia County.	Miriam Boelen	2021-10-19 16:18:24+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Easton and Wilcox Park in the eastern finger of district 76 do not fit. These areas probably fit better in 78 or 75. They are not related to the west side district 76 in many ways.	Cary Fleischer	2021-10-19 16:16:47+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Why is Ada residential township included in district 96 with rural townships in Kent county and other townships outside the county in Barry and Allegan townships? No common Community of Interest whatsoever. Better to put Ada township in District 75 with like communities	Cary Fleischer	2021-10-19 16:15:54+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	General comment " Kent county is the 4th largest county in the state (658,000 residents) and the greater Grand Rapids area is most of the population. Please keep State House districts in the Greater Grand Rapids area including Ada, Cascade and Plainfield townships together.	Cary Fleischer	2021-10-19 16:15:30+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	General comment " Kent county is the 4th largest county in the state (658,000 residents) and the greater Grand Rapids area is most of the population. Please keep State House districts in the Greater Grand Rapids area including Ada, Cascade and Plainfield townships together.	Cary Fleischer	2021-10-19 16:14:16+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I like that you kept Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo areas together since they are both metropolitan areas and share a lot in common.	Miriam Boelen	2021-10-19 16:14:15+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like	<p>This is the most fair of the house maps for this area. I live on Grosse Ile and as a community with only a volunteer Fire department we share resources with Fire/Police locally with Wyandotte/Southgate/Trenton etc and we are definitely a community of interest. This map is the most fair in terms of creating competitive districts/partisan fairness as well as meeting the other criteria. The state as a whole still needs MUCH work in terms of partisan fairness but this district is pretty good as is. Thanks for your hard work!</p>	Judy Maiga	2021-10-19 16:14:09+00	
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	<p>Why is Ada residential township included in district 96 with rural townships in Kent county and other townships outside the county in Barry and Allegan townships? No common Community of Interest whatsoever. Better to put Ada township in District 75 with like communities</p>	Cary Fleischer	2021-10-19 16:13:44+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	Easton and Wilcox Park in the eastern finger of district 76 do not fit. These areas probably fit better in 78 or 75. They are not related to the west side district 76 in many ways.	Cary Fleischer	2021-10-19 16:13:07+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Easton and Wilcox Park in the eastern finger of district 76 do not fit. These areas probably fit better in 78 or 75. They are not related to the west side district 76 in many ways. Why is Ada residential township included in district 96 with rural townships in Kent county and other townships outside the county in Barry and Allegan townships? No common Community of Interest whatsoever. Better to put Ada township in District 75	Cary Fleischer	2021-10-19 16:11:27+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	with like communities	Cary Fleischer	2021-10-19 16:10:48+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>General comment “ Kent county is the 4th largest county in the state (658,000 residents) and the greater Grand Rapids area is most of the population. Please keep State House districts in the Greater Grand Rapids area including Ada, Cascade and Plainfield townships together.</p>	Cary Fleischer	2021-10-19 16:10:05+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>I am thankful a commission is making these decisions and NOT politicians! I like this option as I think it meets the goal of non partisanship!</p>	Sue Coats	2021-10-19 15:45:55+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>Thank You for your work on this!</p> <p>Intriguing idea for Districts 5, 7, and 9 on this map. I like the Oakland-Macomb configuration, but doing that creates population issues in District 5, which were previously solved by adding Barry County. This map puts Barry with Kalamazoo and Allegan, which makes more sense, while uniting the M-52 and US-23 corridors into 5 and 7 respectively.</p>	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-19 15:40:29+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	This is the best Thumb District and the best Flint-Tri Cities district of any of the maps presented.	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-19 15:36:23+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	Consider the Grand Rapids-Muskegon option, which was not included on any of the collaborative maps that moved forward to the public hearings. It could be a good compromise between the "Kent County plus surrounding townships" idea and the "Grand Rapids-Kalamazoo" idea.	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-19 15:35:52+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	please fix the boundary between the Senate Districts #23 and #24 - the boundary should be Wealthy St. , NOT Fulton	joan long	2021-10-19 15:34:51+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Like	IF Warren isn't going to be with the rest of Macomb County (I'd prefer it was, but I know the Commission wants to respect the Warren-Hamtramck immigrant connection), then this is the best version of District 6. It keeps the Thumb district more reasonable, and keeps COIs together in Oakland and Macomb Counties.	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-19 15:31:10+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>I agree with Sydney Schaaf that the EEV/Morningside/Cornerstone Village area being grouped together is great choice, but as a Grosse Pointe I would prefer that our neighboring community is joined with that, rather than grouped with Marine City/Algonac area. While I appreciate the idea of grouping lakefront communities together, I would prefer that they be represented by more state senators who can work to protect our lake, and I feel that our community is more closely related to District 6 than much of District 7.</p>	Caitlin Kalfut	2021-10-19 15:18:09+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO fLike	Like	<p>This is a much better configuration of District 87 than the collaborative maps, while achieving the same partisan fairness goal.</p>	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-19 15:17:01+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like	<p>This is the most fair of the proposed Senate maps. It creates a competitive race which makes for a better representative. The map as a whole still needs lots of work as they all favor a party (the republicans) which they are not supposed to do per the constitution. Thanks for your hard work but there is still much need for improvement.</p>	Michael Izzo	2021-10-19 15:16:02+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO fOpinion	<p>Response to Cheri Fields - these are the boundaries of the City of Kentwood, which is an unusual backwards L-shape. That said, the commission has ignored municipal boundaries elsewhere, and it is true that northeast Kentwood is very different than the rest of the City.</p>	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-19 15:14:04+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid Like	<p>This is a much better configuration of Districts 3, 20, and 33 than any of the other maps. Though I prefer Commissioner Lange's suggestion of adding Cascade into a GR-area district, if that doesn't end up happening, this is the way to go.</p>	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-19 15:12:01+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Thank you for unpacking the East Lansing / Lansing region for this map. This is the right idea. It increases partisan fairness at the state level and keeps communities of interest intact.	Mark Brandt	2021-10-19 15:11:56+00
10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Dislike	If the Commission is looking for ways to split up Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti, I like Commissioner Eid and Commissioner Lange's ideas better. This one doesn't really respect any COIs.	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-19 15:10:38+00

The commission needs to unpack Lansing to create fair districts. There are many ways to do this, but one would be to recognize the Lansing five. The commission could move Delta TWP into Eaton County and let it anchor its own district. The city of Lansing could anchor its own. East Lansing could anchor its own district, Delhi TWP, its own, and then Meridian TWP, its own district. This would do two things. First, it keeps communities of interest together and puts communities with different interests apart. Second, it increases partisan fairness. Both of these are requirements and should be addressed.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Mark Brandt

2021-10-19 15:08:36+00

This configuration of Oakland and Macomb appears to create a bunch of Safe D and Safe R districts, which probably evens out in the overall partisan fairness metric, but won't produce a very responsive Senate - just one constantly locked in 19-19 gridlock. The collaborative maps have a number of competitive districts, which is the way it should be.

10-14-21 v1 SD RL Dislike

Christopher Khorey

2021-10-19 15:06:47+00

EID gets my vote again. Except for the fact that the district numbers are reversed on this one, I'm guessing this is the same map as 9-29-21 EID VTA CD, also numbered as #188. If so, the comments I made on that one apply this one. This is the best of the bunch, but it could still benefit from a tweak or two. Of the three comments I made previously, the two most relevant are: "One other small suggestion for SE Michigan. You might consider moving Taylor, Romulus, and Oak Park (majority Black) to the 12th (2nd); Dearborn to the 13th (1st) along with a few Detroit precincts. Southgate to the 8th (7th); additional parts of Novi to the 9th (3rd). Doing that gives you two majority minority districts and increases the Black population to 45% in the 12th (2nd) while leaving the black population in the 13th (1st) at 40%. What happened to the Tri-Cities district? That should be a fixture on all maps, given the highly positive response it has received.

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

Jack Bengtsson

2021-10-19 15:00:31+00

10-14-21 v1 SD RL Dislike

Christopher Khorey

2021-10-19 14:58:54+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>It's not fair when, peoples in pontiac or any black or brown people not intitled to have a god giving representative, for there community, you are trying to cut them out for voting, when will this stop , just do the right things , please remember, united we stand, divided we all fall.</p>	Doris wilkes	2021-10-19 14:58:07+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	<p>Not a fan of dividing Lansing like this at all. Two Lansing-area districts is perfect for partisan fairness. 1 packs Democrats, 3 cracks them. Go with two like many of the other maps have!</p>	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-19 14:56:39+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Like	<p>I like the thought behind these Grand Rapids area districts, and overall I think they are better than the ones proposed on the collaborative maps, though they need a little tweaking. There is no need to split up East Grand Rapids, and the various Townships on the edges that are split up could probably be made whole with a little more re-work. Overall, though, I like this idea!</p>	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-19 14:54:10+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Like this map for District 6. Keeping urban communities together, as they sometime overpower the voice of farming communities. Would have loved it more if Oakland twp is in District 6... not that many farmers there now... Thanks for all the hardwork by redistricting board.	kulwinder singh	2021-10-19 14:45:35+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Dislike	Clarifying my other comment - I meant 5 and 7, not 6 and 7.	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-19 14:43:49+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Like	There are a ton of comments on other maps advocating for splitting up Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti. This seems like a fair way to do that. Commissioner Eid is usually very conscientious with his map drawing, so I'm surprised he left this configuration of Districts 6 and 7 in tact. This was drawn haphazardly in an attempt to make both districts 51-49 D with little regard for any other considerations. The configuration of 6 and 7 on the Cherry map is the way to go.	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-19 14:41:56+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Dislike		Christopher Khorey	2021-10-19 14:40:53+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	I appreciate the work the commission has done, particularly uniting the tri-cities together. Continued good luck on your work	Michael Kuhn	Michael James Kuhn	2021-10-19 14:35:06+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	These maps will never pass the political fairness test.....65-70% Republican. The commission has much work to do in this regard.		Charles Henry	2021-10-19 14:28:03+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I like this map as it keeps the Sikh American communities in Oakland and Macomb county together. Our community of interest should have a unified voice in Congress.		Gurpreet Singh Tuteja	2021-10-19 14:23:28+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Looks good in our area		Tracey Tucker	2021-10-19 14:10:16+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This map does not achieve a fair map with as close to zero political bias as possible. I would suggest you create the Midland House District like it is drawn in the Pine map (#227). This will help to create a map with more partisan fairness for the entire state.		Richard R Meeth	2021-10-19 14:06:57+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City &amp; Saginaw) in a senate district as they have been a long-standing community of interest with many common concerns and where a representative work for the voters, not the party or extreme base. This brings the overall map closer to a zero political bias, but please continue to correct the Republican lean. Michigan is a 54% Democratic state and its districts should reflect that.</p>	Richard R Meeth	2021-10-19 14:05:09+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO fDislike	<p>Keep Novi together. This map limits the impact of our AAPI voters in Novi. Having a couple precincts in a separate district does not make sense.</p>	Betsy Beaudoin	2021-10-19 14:04:30+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO Dislike	<p>Keep Novi together. This map limits the impact of our AAPI voters in Novi. Having a couple precincts in a separate district does not make sense.</p>	elizabeth mae beaudoin	2021-10-19 14:03:29+00

		Keep Novi together. Chopping off a couple of precincts from our city makes no sense. This map dilutes the voices of the AAPI		
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	community. Please try again.	elizabeth mae beaudoin	2021-10-19 14:01:51+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Not politically balanced	Susan Anderson	2021-10-19 14:01:22+00
		I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri- Cities (Midland, Bay City & Saginaw) in a senate district as they have been a long- standing community of interest with many common concerns. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all		
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	Michigan voters.	Richard R Meeth	2021-10-19 14:00:28+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Keep all of Novi together. This map cuts off a small part of our city and lumps it in with another district. This set up will dilute the voices of our AAPI community as well as negatively impact city by diluting our representation. We have a diverse community with common goals...our representation should be working towards the goals of all of residents.</p>	elizabeth mae beaudoin	2021-10-19 14:00:14+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City &amp; Saginaw) in a senate district as they have been a long-standing community of interest with many common concerns. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters.</p>	Richard R Meeth	2021-10-19 13:59:55+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>This is an unfair map favoring GOO</p>	Susan Anderson	2021-10-19 13:59:18+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I have lived in the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint my entire life and I feel that our community shares a common interest and our congressional representative would best serve our urban/suburban areas. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the gerrymandered maps we have been forced to live in. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.	Richard R Meeth	2021-10-19 13:58:36+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Not politically fair	Susan Anderson	2021-10-19 13:58:21+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	I have lived in the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint my entire life and I feel that our community shares a common interest and our congressional representative would best serve our urban/suburban areas. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the gerrymandered maps we have been forced to live in. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.	Richard R Meeth	2021-10-19 13:57:50+00

I have lived in the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint my entire life and I feel that our community shares a common interest and our congressional representative would best serve our urban/suburban areas. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the gerrymandered maps we have been forced to live in. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Richard R Meeth

2021-10-19 13:56:38+00

Keep Novi together in one district. Separating a small chunk of our city from the rest will create division and water down the voices of our AAPI voters. Novi is a leading school district in our state with highly invested voters. Please keep our city together as one group so the advocate can work towards the goals of everyone in our area

10-08-21 v2 HD Dislike

elizabeth mae beaudoin

2021-10-19 13:56:22+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	I have lived in the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint my entire life and I feel that our community shares a common interest and our congressional representative would best serve our urban/suburban areas. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the gerrymandered maps we have been forced to live in. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.	Rick Meeth	2021-10-19 13:55:36+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	The maps of the Lansing area are unfair and favor Republicans. Put Delta Township back in Eaton County.	Susan Anderson	2021-10-19 13:48:18+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	We want Troy to stay in Oakland County! We have connected to the Oakland County services for over decades, really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county.	Jiahao Gu	2021-10-19 13:46:41+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This map packs all like-minded voters in one district -- need more representation.	Cheryl Catton	2021-10-19 13:45:00+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Please keep all of Novi's precincts in the 110. We need to ensure the voices of our AAPI community members are not watered down. This is much better, but please keep working on it to get all of Novi in the same district!	elizabeth mae beaudoin	2021-10-19 13:43:40+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This map of 27, 29, 31 is clearly biased. The map is packing voters who have different community interests (e.g., ypsi & Ann Arbor), and splitting others - like my neighborhood. Re-do the maps to make voting fairer - that was your job!!	Terri Voepel-lewis	2021-10-19 13:39:44+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Like	Of the six State Senate maps in this link, this is, by far, the better of the six. I really am not fond of the other five at all. While I might have drawn it differently, from a West Michigan perspective, I believe this one gets the job done. Unless a better map comes to the fore, this one would have my vote.	Jack Bengtsson	2021-10-19 13:33:45+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	I request the MICRC unpack this heavily Dem district. All voters should have an equal say in their representation.	Victoria Gutowski	2021-10-19 13:32:20+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This map packs democratic voters into the Ann Arbor/Ypsi area and republican voters in mine and other areas. Re-do the maps to equalize voting power in Michigan!!!!	Terri Voepel-Lewis	2021-10-19 13:26:30+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike	This map is just another version of terrible. It splits communities and dilutes the voices of Detroit voters. KEEP COMMUNITIES TOGETHER!	Lisa	2021-10-19 13:19:48+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	The map is ridiculous - only benefits democrats - as usual. Although I am from Hastings and I would be on the edge of the district, I feel this map does a great job of bring a similar communities together for District 12.	Ernest Colling Jr.	2021-10-19 13:19:29+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	I am not sure how I feel about his map for District 3. It feels disjointed. I like that we are not lumped in Grand Rapids, Kalamazoo or Battle Creek, but we that we have disconnect with the Lakeshore and with the Holland, Grand Haven and Muskegon. Just not sure.	David Neubauer	2021-10-19 12:56:24+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Opinion		David Neubauer	2021-10-19 12:53:15+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Opinion	As a collector of signatures for VNP. This has the lowest efficiency gap which makes this a map a Fair Map	Mary O'Neill	2021-10-19 12:47:53+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Rutledge Township should be included with City of Hastings, we are one community and should not be split up.	David Neubauer	2021-10-19 12:45:51+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Opinion	Rutledge Township should be included with City of Hastings, we are one community and should not be split up.	David Neubauer	2021-10-19 12:45:03+00
10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Like	As a collector of VPN signatures, this has the lowest efficiency gap which, is what we want for Fair Maps	Mary O'Neill	2021-10-19 12:42:44+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I don't know if it was done intentionally, but I appreciate the way in which #13 encompasses the full Muskegon River watershed. This highlights the importance of protecting the waters while at the same time calling for representation that can serve the diversity of interests that comprise the proposed district.	Timothy Koschmann	2021-10-19 12:41:55+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Rutledge Township should be included with City of Hastings, we are one community and should not be split up.	David Neubauer	2021-10-19 12:36:30+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	As a collector of VNP signatures for fair maps. This one has the lowest efficiency gap which is what we want.	Mary O'Neill	2021-10-19 12:35:36+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Opinion	Rutledge Township should be included with City of Hastings, we are one community and should not be split up. This map makes a lot more sense than the others. Midland City is more similar to Bay City and Saginaw City than the surrounding	David Neubauer	2021-10-19 12:34:27+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	townships. I like this one.	Jesse Dockett	2021-10-19 12:34:01+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	This like some good gerrymandering to me!	David Neubauer	2021-10-19 12:32:55+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	Rutledge Township should be included with City of Hastings, we are one community and should not split up.	David Neubauer	2021-10-19 12:31:21+00

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Dislike	I feel this map does not allow for Barry County to have a voice. the people of Kentwood, Grand Rapids and Battle Creek would out weigh our voice. They are not of like community of interest. we would be better lumped in with western Ingham County and parts of southern Ionia County.	David Neubauer	2021-10-19 12:28:34+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Like	For Hastings and Barry County, this map better brings it together with other communities of interest and not part of Grand Rapids or Battle Creek.	David Neubauer	2021-10-19 12:27:10+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Like	This map for Hastings and Barry County better lumps community of interests and does not lump us in with Battle Creek or Grand Rapids. I feel this map does not allow for Barry County to have a voice. the people of Kentwood, Grand Rapids and Battle Creek would out weigh our voice. They are not of like community of interest. we would be better lumped in with western Ingham County and parts of southern Ionia County.	David Neubauer	2021-10-19 12:24:18+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike		David Neubauer	2021-10-19 12:21:42+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	I feel this map does not allow for Barry County to have a voice. the people of Kentwood, Grand Rapids and Battle Creek would out weigh our voice. They are not of like community of interest. we would be better lumped in with western Ingham County and parts of southern Ionia County.	David Neubauer	2021-10-19 12:19:26+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This map appears to be both reasonable and competitively fair. It's also been drawn in a way that will allow for those elected to take have a good regional perspective, which is essential for the long-term planning and well-being of our communities.	Carolyn DeMates	2021-10-19 12:15:30+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I prefer the map that places Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids in the same district.	Charles Hodgman	2021-10-19 10:07:06+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	This is a good map for district 4. Combining Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids should provide for good representation of these communities with many similarities.	Charles Hodgman	2021-10-19 09:59:45+00

Downriver is a community of interest and this map keeps much of downriver together. Including Wyandotte and Southgate with Grosse Ile is important because we share police and fire services, the waterfront, concerns on the redevelopment of McLouth Steel and our communities are tied together. This is a decent map but the overall state maps need to improve to have more competitive districts - they still lean toward one party and that's not acceptable under the guidelines provided. There's no such thing as a "little gerrymandered." Please work to make many more areas a 50/50 chance as far as outcome of elections.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like

Judith Maiga

2021-10-19 05:39:03+00

I like this map because it keeps the communities of Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights, and Troy together because this will keep my Sikh community of interest together.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Gurpreet Kaur

2021-10-19 04:31:48+00

10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	<p>This is a terrible draw for district 16. The whole point of this effort is to make the district lines make more sense. Carving out part of northern Monroe county that is rural and including it with Ypsilanti and Ann Arbor makes no sense. The groups of people and communities are vastly different. This will serve nothing more than taking a group of rural voters and lumping them in to an urban area to dilute votes. This is worse than the currently drawn district lines!</p>	Matthew Petree	2021-10-19 04:30:07+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>Sikh Americans are a community of interest. Please keep Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights, and Troy together so both Gurdwara (Sikh place of worship) communities of Oakland and Macomb Counties are represented by one congress person.</p>	Rumit Singh	2021-10-19 04:20:05+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	<p>I am pleased to see a district with some cohesiveness. The tri-cities are exactly that with shared needs and concerns.</p>	Mary Roberts	2021-10-19 03:25:47+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I like this map because it keeps our American Sikh communities in Oak and Macomb counties together. This important to me and my family.	Jaswinder Singh	2021-10-19 03:12:00+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I like this map because it keeps the communities of Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights, and Troy together, because this will keep my Sikh community of interest together	Simranprit Kaur	2021-10-19 03:06:50+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Thank you the redistricting committee for the time and effort spent on this map.  Sikh Americans are a community of interest. Please keep Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights and Troy together so both Gurdwara (Sikh place of worship) communities of Oakland and Macomb Counties are represented by one congressperson	Devinder Bhasin	2021-10-19 03:01:08+00

District 31 needs to be redrawn in a way that recognizes more than just county boundaries for a map. County lines are not a priority in the redistricting amendment, but you wouldn't know it from looking at any Livingston County map. Thousands of Livingston County workers commute to the Ann Arbor area and rely on legislators who will vote to preserve the interest of infrastructure investment. There are shared economic interests and environmental interests with Washtenaw County as well due to the Huron River and the Chain of Lakes in Hamburg/Pinckney. These are communities of interest and should be considered when drawing these maps. Bringing more of Eastern Livingston county in with Ann Arbor would help further unpack Washtenaw County's Dem voters and give Kasey Helton

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

2021-10-19 02:51:27+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Thank you to the redistricting Committee for the time and effort spent on this. I prefer this map because Sikh Americans deserve to have an amplified voice in congress. Please keep the metro Detroit portion the same in the final map, specifically so the Sikh community of interest in Troy, Rochester Hills, and Sterling Heights are together.	Harleen Singh	2021-10-19 02:47:02+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This seems to be the best map so far for my congressional district. This is a good map. Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids share so many educational and medical institutions. With all the medical institutions, medical processing and records management in Grand Rapids...it is wise to align ourselves with Kalamazoo and the pharmaceutical manufacturers and medical research expertise. This map is a thumbs up!	Mary Ann Fontana	2021-10-19 02:43:36+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like		Anne Zoppa	2021-10-19 02:42:50+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like	<p>I like this map because it will keep our Sikh communities in Sterling Heights, Rochester Hills, and Troy together.</p>	Tarandeep Kaur	2021-10-19 02:28:33+00
	<p>I don't know as much about the local dynamics, but find the eastern arm of District 86 odd. Why combine them with the people in Kelloggsville rather than those down the street?</p>		
	<p>From the times I've driven past the homes around that part of town they are hardly in the same community of interest.</p>		
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO fOpinion	<p>If I were drawing it, I'd probably put part of that section in the 78th and part in the 75th.</p>	Cheri Fields	2021-10-19 02:28:08+00
	<p>Sikh American are a community of interest. Please keep Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights, and Troy together so both Gurudwara (Sikh place of worship) communities of Oakland and Macomb Counties are represented by one member of congress.</p>		
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like		Harsimran Bath	2021-10-19 02:24:05+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f Like	<p>I'm liking the shape of the 76th District. Since I live near the middle of this area, it makes sense for us to get the medical mile/Heritage Hill area with us. Even the NE border of Leonard works as well as any boundary I can think of.</p>	Cheri Fields	2021-10-19 02:23:33+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like	<p>I like this map because it keeps the communities of Sterling Heights, Rochester Hills, and Troy together, because this will keep my Sikh community of interest together.</p>	Harpreet Bath	2021-10-19 02:14:51+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike	<p>The 4th District connects Grand Rapids with points much too far to the east for commuting while splitting off many of the bedroom communities nearby. What gives?</p>	Cheri Fields	2021-10-19 02:08:29+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, &amp; Saginaw) in a State Senate District as they have been a long standing community of interest with many common concerns. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan Voters.</p>	Beverly J Riggie	2021-10-19 01:58:33+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>My previous comment mistakenly says I am a southwestern Livingston County resident when in fact I live in the southeast portion of Livingston.</p>	Cindy Michniewicz	2021-10-19 01:54:27+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, &amp; Saginaw) in a State Senate District as they have been a long standing community of common concerns. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters.</p>	Beverly J Riggie	2021-10-19 01:51:21+00

There a great connection down the US23 Corridor from Brighton to Ann Arbor. I as a southwestern Livingston County Resident in Hamburg Twp I have lots of connections to Washtenaw County. As a senior Southeast almost all of my many doctors are in Washtenaw and affiliated with either St. Joes Ann Arbor or Michigan Medicine. I had years of commuting from our home in Hamburg to Washtenaw for both work and school (my husband and kids did/do too). Ann Arbor and Dexter are my go to areas for shopping and entertainment too. I have never worked or shopped in Genesee or Shiawasee and only a couple of times in Ingham. #showupspeakup #draftfairmaps

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Cindy Michniewicz

2021-10-19 01:49:00+00

I appreciate the commission placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City & Saginaw) in a State Senate District as they have been a long standing community of interest with many common concerns. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like

Beverly J Riggie

2021-10-19 01:41:10+00

I think the Birch Congressional map will be best for partisan fairness.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Katayoon Sowerby

2021-10-19 01:35:11+00

			I like this senate district because it keeps Livingston County whole while linking it with eastern Ingham County. Folks in Unadilla Twp (Livingston) go to Stockbridge (Ingham) and Some kids in southern Hamburg Twp (Livingston) go to Dexter Schools (Washtenaw). This map honors those communities of interest. It also connects Fenton with Livingston although not in the county is connected to it. #showupspeakup		
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Like		#drawfairmaps	Cindy Michniewicz	2021-10-19 01:28:07+00
			Cascade and the airport are part of the Grand Rapids metro area. They shouldn't be combined with rural areas to the south.		
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike			Joel Ombry	2021-10-19 01:20:39+00
			I don't think Eastown fits with the western part of GR. It should be part of a NE district.		
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion		Keeping Walker and Northwest Grand Rapids together is smart. They have similar business interests and population characteristics.	Joel Ombry	2021-10-19 01:15:06+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		Thank you.	Joel Ombry	2021-10-19 01:10:22+00

Districts that extend from north to south in absurdly long ribbon shapes across 8 Mile Road are an absurdity. Black residents of Detroit will not have the opportunity to elect candidates of their choice in these districts. These districts also cut across a number of different communities of interest. At most, these districts technically meet VRA requirements and none of the other criteria that the Commission is supposed to consider.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Joshua Miller

2021-10-19 01:10:07+00

Cascade is a suburb of Grand Rapids metro area and has more in common with other suburbs like Kentwood and East Grand Rapids than it does with rural areas to the east and south.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS

Opinion

Joel Ombry

2021-10-19 01:01:25+00

what a complicated map and divides up cities to further complicate representation. Very simple to keep the 6 cities Grand Rapids area and related townships together and divide by City lines to preserve the Communities of Interest. Combine Grandville City with Walker City to keep the west side culture together. Keep Wyoming City whole and add some of Grand Rapids City west of the freeway to represent the Hispanic population well. Combine Kentwood City, East Grand Rapids City, and Cascade Township to combine like areas of the Southwest side. Keep the South Central Grand Rapids City whole from Fulton street south to represent the underserved minorities and combine the North Grand Rapids City from Fulton Street north and include Grand Rapids Township, Ada Township and

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Rich Thrush

2021-10-19 00:59:45+00

what a complicated map and divides up cities to further complicate representation. Very simple to keep the 6 cities Grand Rapids area and related townships together and divide by City lines to preserve the Communities of Interest. Combine Grandville City with Walker City to keep the west side culture together. Keep Wyoming City whole and add some of Grand Rapids City west of the freeway to represent the Hispanic population well. Combine Kentwood City, East Grand Rapids City, and Cascade Township to combine like areas of the Southwest side. Keep the South Central Grand Rapids City whole from Fulton street south to represent the underserved minorities and combine the North Grand Rapids City from Fulton Street north and include Grand Rapids

10-08-21 v2 HD

Opinion

Township, Ada Township and Rich Thrush

2021-10-19 00:58:51+00

Please don't divide Wyoming  
up. It's a significant  
population center in Kent  
County. Dividing it will also  
dilute the voice of a  
significant Hispanic  
population.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Joel Ombry

2021-10-19 00:57:56+00

what a complicated map and divides up cities to further complicate representation. Very simple to keep the 6 cities Grand Rapids area and related townships together and divide by City lines to preserve the Communities of Interest. Combine Grandville City with Walker City to keep the west side culture together. Keep Wyoming City whole and add some of Grand Rapids City west of the freeway to represent the Hispanic population well. Combine Kentwood City, East Grand Rapids City, and Cascade Township to combine like areas of the Southwest side. Keep the South Central Grand Rapids City whole from Fulton street south to represent the underserved minorities and combine the North Grand Rapids City from Fulton Street north and include Grand Rapids

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	This map makes sense. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo have shared industry, educational facilities, urban issues, etc. Lakeshore and rural communities are kept distinct as well. Please approve this map.	Joel Ombry	2021-10-19 00:48:15+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This district is packed and the efficiency gap is way too high. Only close to 0% bias is acceptable.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-19 00:42:30+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	This is the fairest of the maps. Combining Kent with parts of Ottawa and Ionia counties doesn't seem right. The latter counties are rural. Kent has more in common with Kalamazoo than the lakeshore or rural areas.	Judith A Maiga	2021-10-19 00:41:08+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike		Joel Ombry	2021-10-19 00:39:03+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. I would like to see more of Midland County in this district like Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.</p>	Marisa Osterberg	2021-10-19 00:20:40+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>This map looks very reasonable and fair to me. Thank you for your hard work on the commission.</p>	Paul W Durance	2021-10-19 00:19:29+00

I've lived in Troy for almost 30 years, I feel strongly that Troy should remain a part of Oakland County. We are a community of interest with cities here and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area., having no common interests with communities in Macomb County reaching as far as Lake St. Clair. I want my tax dollars to remain in Oakland County as well. Quit messing with redistricting to favor Republican constituents. They are in the minority and not of the same mindset as those of us who read and wish to save our democracy from autocratic rule.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Gary R Thompson

2021-10-19 00:12:15+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Opinion	I like how this map is done for the most part. One suggested modification would be to start "District 2" at the far Northwest corner of Wayne County proper though and move both South and East until population requirements are met. Northville, Plymouth and Canton have more of a shared community of interest with Livonia than is realized. Cascade and the airport should be part of the metro GR area, not part of the rural areas.	James D Hooper	2021-10-19 00:05:37+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Wyoming is more a part of the GR metro area than rural Byron Township. Please do not split up Wyoming.	Jamie Ombry	2021-10-18 23:53:33+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	I think this is a good map for this congressional district.	Jamie Ombry	2021-10-18 23:51:26+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Cascade Township and the airport are more a part of the GR metro area (than the rural area) and should be linked in with a GR House District.	Dennis J Bruck	2021-10-18 23:50:29+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Wyoming deserves to have its own State House district and not be split up.	Jamie Ombry	2021-10-18 23:47:50+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion		Jamie Ombry	2021-10-18 23:43:12+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Please move Cascade Township and the GR airport back to GR Metro. It makes more sense than being in the same district as Battle Creek.	Jamie Ombry	2021-10-18 23:38:30+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Please move the border from Fulton to Wealthy St - as it keeps the boundary along the ward lines, and keeps GVSU together.	Jamie Ombry	2021-10-18 23:35:41+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Ottawa County townships are very different than metro GR and should stay in Ottawa County.	Jamie Ombry	2021-10-18 23:32:42+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO f	Dislike	Municipal boundaries are violated for example Grand Rapids and Ann Arbor. The State of Michigan might as well have a permanent democrat dictatorship or an "Independent Commission", democrat party controlled, which is the same thing.	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-18 23:29:06+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Cascade Twp and the airport are more part of the metro GR area - more so than Battle Creek and rural areas to the south.	Jamie Ombry	2021-10-18 23:28:53+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	Thank you for this map. I like that it's a fair district.	RAY ELLISON	2021-10-18 23:28:11+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	MBS (Midland, Bay City, & Saginaw) should be together. Thank you for ensuring fair maps for all voters.	RAY ELLISON	2021-10-18 23:23:04+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Wealthy St. follows the Ward line. The boundary should be Wealthy St., not Fulton.	Jamie Ombry	2021-10-18 23:22:07+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Map is fair. Thank you. Rural Ottawa County and metro GR are quite different and shouldn't be in the same district. Better to keep Ottawa County whole.	RAY ELLISON	2021-10-18 23:20:12+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Love seeing Saginaw, Midland, and Bay City. We're the Great Lakes Bay Region and should be together.	Jamie Ombry	2021-10-18 23:16:58+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	Thank you.	Patricia Ellison	2021-10-18 23:13:01+00

thank you for your hard work  
developing independent  
redistricting maps. They  
improve greatly on the  
current maps and promise a  
more representative process  
for all of Michigan.

As a resident of Greater  
Lansing, I do have concerns  
with the state House maps for  
my region. The six districts in  
Greater Lansing all appear to  
be uncompetitive, with  
Democratic voters and  
Republican voters packed into  
three districts apiece. Further,  
the locations of the splits  
result in districts that don't  
clearly reflect boundaries  
between communities of  
interest.

I suggest that you split East  
Lansing and Meridian  
Township along Grand River  
Avenue. This major highway  
divides Michigan State

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

University from most of the Ashton Shortridge

2021-10-18 23:12:18+00

thank you for your hard work  
developing independent  
redistricting maps. They  
improve greatly on the  
current maps and promise a  
more representative process  
for all of Michigan.

As a resident of Greater  
Lansing, I do have concerns  
with the state House maps for  
my region. The six districts in  
Greater Lansing all appear to  
be uncompetitive, with  
Democratic voters and  
Republican voters packed into  
three districts apiece. Further,  
the locations of the splits  
result in districts that don't  
clearly reflect boundaries  
between communities of  
interest.

I suggest that you split East  
Lansing and Meridian  
Township along Grand River  
Avenue. This major highway  
divides Michigan State

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

University from most of the

Ashton Shortridge

2021-10-18 23:10:33+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	Fabulous! Keep up the good work of partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters.	Patricia Ellison	2021-10-18 23:10:08+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Thank you for keeping Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw together.	Patricia Ellison	2021-10-18 23:06:05+00

Thank you for your hard work developing independent redistricting maps. They improve greatly on the current maps and promise a more representative process for all of Michigan.

As a resident of Greater Lansing, I do have concerns with the state House maps for my region. The six districts in Greater Lansing all appear to be uncompetitive, with Democratic voters and Republican voters packed into three districts apiece. Further, the locations of the splits result in districts that don't clearly reflect boundaries between communities of interest.

I suggest that you split East Lansing and Meridian Township along Grand River Avenue. This major highway divides Michigan State

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

University from most of the

Ashton Shortridge

2021-10-18 23:06:04+00

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Fire Whitmer!

Paul Jefferson

2021-10-18 22:46:24+00

District 1 on this map is well drawn, putting together the tri-cities into one senate district. Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw share many interests in common and are joined economically. We are united by our educational and cultural institutions, and many people live in one city and work in another of the tri-cities.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like

Allison M Wilcox

2021-10-18 22:37:28+00

Thank you for drawing a southern district in Grand Rapids that increases the chances of people of color receiving representation.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Cindy Krieg

2021-10-18 22:35:34+00

This map does not achieve a fair map with as close to zero political bias as possible. I would suggest you create the Midland House District like it is drawn in the Pine map (#227). This will help to create a map with more partisan fairness for the entire state.

10-08-21 v1 HD Dislike

Ariel Rak

2021-10-18 22:34:55+00

10-08-21 v2 HD Like This map gives Midland and Bay City, two cities that have been closely aligned for a long time, a competitive district where a representative works for the voters, not the party or extreme base. This brings the overall map closer to a zero political bias, but please continue to correct the Republican lean. Michigan is a 54% Democratic state and its districts should reflect that. Ariel Rak 2021-10-18 22:34:10+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like his map gives Midland and Bay City, two cities that have been closely aligned for a long time, a competitive district where a representative works for the voters, not the party or extreme base. This brings the overall map closer to a zero political bias, but please continue to correct the Republican lean. Michigan is a 54% Democratic state and its districts should reflect that. Ariel Rak 2021-10-18 22:33:14+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City &amp; Saginaw) in a senate district as they have been a long-standing community of interest with many common concerns. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters.</p>	Ariel Rak	2021-10-18 22:31:49+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City &amp; Saginaw) in a senate district as they have been a long-standing community of interest with many common concerns. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters.</p>	Ariel Rak	2021-10-18 22:31:10+00

: I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. I would like to see more of Midland County in this district like Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Ariel Rak

2021-10-18 22:29:45+00

: I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. I would like to see more of Midland County in this district like Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Like

Ariel Rak

2021-10-18 22:28:29+00

: I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. I would like to see more of Midland County in this district like Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible. Eastown belongs with NE Grand Rapids.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Ariel Rak

2021-10-18 22:27:56+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Cindy Krieg

2021-10-18 22:26:55+00

: I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. I would like to see more of Midland County in this district like Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Opinion

Ariel Rak

2021-10-18 22:25:21+00

This district that stretches from the west coast to the "far" east completely ignores the criterion of "communities of interest. Cut this proposed district down the center vertically and you might come somewhat close to something resembling a community of interest. It doesn't work for either side of the state. We just have different issues and we on the west don't work with those on the east in terms of municipal and government arrangements, nor social ones. We in the southwest corner go north to Kalamazoo and sometimes Holland or even Grand Rapids.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	We don't go east.	Naomi Ludman	2021-10-18 22:20:16+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Eastown definitely affiliates with NE Grand Rapids, but not NW Grand Rapids and Walker. Kalamazoo and Battle Creek are communities of interest and should be grouped together in one district.	Cindy Krieg	2021-10-18 22:11:31+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike		Naomi Ludman	2021-10-18 22:04:04+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>We want Troy to stay in Oakland County. We are deeply connected to all common services in Oakland county and have no connection with the communities in Macomb!</p> <p>It does not make sense to take us out of Rochester's district. That is what we use in our mailing address. And I would like for Oakland Twp and Rochester communities to be kept together so we can be represented by the same congress person.</p>	Aarthi Arunachalam	2021-10-18 21:51:14+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>As someone who has lived in both Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo in recent years, I can say that there are many similarities between these to areas. Both share similarities when it comes to their diversity of people and their social needs. Western Michigan University also has campuses in both communities. Also many small businesses have locations in both Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids. This is a good district that the MICRC has drawn</p>	Bhajneet Kohli	2021-10-18 21:47:16+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>here.</p>	Cory King	2021-10-18 21:46:14+00

This map does put the city of Dowagiac with Niles which is a good thing as we are a community of interest with that city. However, Dowagiac, Cassopolis, and Edwardsburg are also a strong community of interest. We all have ties to the Niles community. That is where we live and shop, go out to eat, and go to the doctor. Farther west, such as Three Oaks, are not the places we go to on a daily and weekly basis. Dowagiac, Niles, Edwardsburg, and Cassopolis should be in one district.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Opinion

Naomi Ludman

2021-10-18 21:16:11+00

This map appears to put the city of Dowagiac in a separate district from Southwestern Michigan College. This is insane! SMC has a Dowagiac address and is integrally connected to the city. Most of the college's employees come from Dowagiac, the two plan many events together, people in the city support the college financially and the residents of Dowagiac pay taxes to support the college. Dowagiac high school students attend SMC as dual enrolled students. I could go on and on. I live in Dowagiac and worked at the college for 35 years. The college and Dowagiac must be in the same district

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Naomi Ludman

2021-10-18 21:08:26+00

The commission has made efforts to unpack Washtenaw County, this is essential for the maps to reach partisan fairness but they have more to do in other areas.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Brigit Macomber

2021-10-18 21:07:28+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		The commission has made efforts to unpack Washtenaw County, this is essential for the maps to reach partisan fairness but they have more to do in other areas.	Brigit Macomber	2021-10-18 21:05:26+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	The commission's maps pack voters together in Washtenaw and waste many of their votes. In order to make fair maps across the state Washtenaw, and other areas, need to be unpacked.	Brigit Macomber	2021-10-18 21:03:51+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	The commission's maps pack voters together in Washtenaw and waste many of their votes. In order to make fair maps across the state Washtenaw, and other areas, need to be unpacked.	Brigit Macomber	2021-10-18 21:00:25+00

Please try to create state House Districts largely composed of the metro six cities. There share Common Community of Interest: Shared water, sewer, transit, waste management, economy, and culture. If you have to add townships, make sure they are near largely residential and commercial suburban townships like Cascade, Ada, Plainfield, and Grand Rapids Township. Try to keep the greater Grand Rapids cities and townships in Kent County together. Avoid putting suburban townships in other counties. Kent County is the 4th largest county in Michigan and deserves to have representatives from the County as much as possible. A map that achieves these goals is linked here. <https://districtr.org/plan/63361>

10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	Plainfield and Northeastern Grand Rapids share a common school district:	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-18 20:57:31+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	Northview School District	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-18 20:55:16+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	GR Township is fully commercial and residential suburban, it has only 2 farms. It is a township that has much in common with the surrounding cities	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-18 20:54:42+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	The Ward system has a long standing value to Grand Rapids residents, it's important to keep the non-downtown areas of Ward 2 together	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-18 20:54:03+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	Grandville, Walker and Westside GR are all Metro Six Cities. Southern Walker and Grandville share the Grandville School District	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-18 20:53:16+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	Walker and Westside GR share common interest: West Catholic High School, Catholic heritage, commercial districts. Walker, Westside GR, and Grandville are both Metro Six Cities. Southern Walker and Grandville share the Grandville School District	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-18 20:52:38+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	Westside is the home to long-standing Polish and Catholic neighborhoods. Westside GR and Walker share common interest: West Catholic High School, Catholic heritage, commercial districts. Westside GR and Walker are both Metro Six Cities	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-18 20:51:46+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Keep Harper woods with the Grosse Pointes, as so so many have requested they be considered as a COI	Joe Fresard	2021-10-18 20:50:55+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	Eastown should not be placed in with areas like Grandville and Walker, but rather in a district within Grand Rapids to the south with Ward 3 or the North with Ward 2	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-18 20:50:52+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Don't ignore your mandate to incorporate COI's (above most other considerations) and maintaining municipal boundaries	Joe Fresard	2021-10-18 20:50:18+00

Cascade should be added together with its neighbor Kentwood. The Ford Airport, which is an island that belongs to the City of Grand Rapids, should be represented by someone who lives in a Metro Six city. Kentwood and Cascade share a common 28th Street Corridor. Cascade is a suburban township that should not be put in with predominantly rural, agricultural townships like Vergennes and Caledonia or townships in Barry and Allegan County

10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-18 20:49:38+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	Joe Fresard	2021-10-18 20:49:18+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-18 20:48:38+00

I think the one COI I saw more than any other was keeping the Grosse Pointes and harper woods together. Why ignore it?  
East Grand Rapids is a City and Should be placed with a fellow Six City, ideally with neighboring Kentwood. But, East Grand Rapids is suburban and should be placed with Kentwood rather than Grand Rapids

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	This ignores the constitutionally mandated criteria of preserving communities of interest and considering municipal boundaries	Joe Fresard	2021-10-18 20:48:25+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	Kentwood is a city of 55,000 people and should make up the core of a State House District. Add Kentwood's fellow Metro Six City of East Grand Rapids since they share water, waste, and transit services and have the same system of government. Add the most similar nearby township -- Cascade Township -- because it shares the 28th Street Commercial Corridor and has the Ford Airport	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-18 20:47:28+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Needlessly separates COI's and breaks municipal boundaries	Joe Fresard	2021-10-18 20:47:12+00

Thank you for drawing a Southern Grand Rapids District with a strong African-American and People of Color population. It is possible to create a State House District that is around 50% non-white. African-Americans have not had a Black State Representative from Grand Rapids for over a decade. They deserve a chance to elect a non-white Representative to focus on their unique issues: housing, policing, justice, economic opportunity, etc.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Opinion

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-18 20:46:36+00

(c) Districts shall reflect the state's diverse population and communities of interest. Communities of interest may include, but shall not be limited to, populations that share cultural or historical characteristics or economic interests. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

(f) Districts shall reflect consideration of county, city, and township boundaries.

(g) Districts shall be reasonably compact.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Joe Fresard

2021-10-18 20:45:58+00

Wyoming is a city of 77,000 people and is a Community of Interest that deserves to be kept together as a core part of a State House District. Do not split up Wyoming into two State House Districts. State House District population levels can be reached by adding the three main Hispanic precincts in Grand Rapids into a Wyoming district. This creates an opportunity for Hispanic representation from Kent County in the State House since population of this district would 32% Hispanic, the largest, most concentrated Hispanic district possible in Kent County. The current MICRC maps divide Wyoming unnecessarily. The current MICRC map splits the Hispanic communities living in southwest Grand Rapids and Wyoming

10-08-21 v2 HD

Opinion

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-18 20:45:33+00

(c) Districts shall reflect the state's diverse population and communities of interest. Communities of interest may include, but shall not be limited to, populations that share cultural or historical characteristics or economic interests. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

(f) Districts shall reflect consideration of county, city, and township boundaries.

(g) Districts shall be reasonably compact.

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	This fails on these counts This is the better way to draw	Joe Fresard	2021-10-18 20:43:43+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	this district	Joe Fresard	2021-10-18 20:42:06+00

(c) Districts shall reflect the state's diverse population and communities of interest. Communities of interest may include, but shall not be limited to, populations that share cultural or historical characteristics or economic interests. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

(f) Districts shall reflect consideration of county, city, and township boundaries.

(g) Districts shall be reasonably compact.

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Joe Fresard

2021-10-18 20:41:24+00

I'm asking this committee to create State House Districts largely composed of the metro six cities. They have Common Community of Interest: Shared water, sewer, transit, waste management, economy, and culture. If you have to add townships, make sure they are near largely residential and commercial suburban townships like Cascade, Ada, Plainfield, and Grand Rapids Township. Try to keep the greater Grand Rapids cities and townships in Kent County together. Avoid putting suburban townships in other counties. Kent County is the 4th largest county in Michigan and deserves to have representatives from the County as much as possible. Please see this linked map which achieves these goals. <https://districtr.org/plan/63361>

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-18 20:31:24+00

Plainfield and Northeastern Grand Rapids share a common school district:

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Northview School District

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-18 20:25:30+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	GR Township is fully commercial and residential suburban, it has only 2 farms. It is a township that has much in common with the surrounding cities	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-18 20:24:54+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	The Ward system has a long standing value to Grand Rapids residents, it's important to keep the non-downtown areas of Ward 2 together	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-18 20:23:55+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Grandville, Walker and Westside GR are all Metro Six Cities. Southern Walker and Grandville share the Grandville School District	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-18 20:22:49+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Walker and Westside GR share common interest: West Catholic High School, Catholic heritage, commercial districts. Walker, Westside GR, and Grandville are both Metro Six Cities. Southern Walker and Grandville share the Grandville School District	Nancy Mroczkowski	2021-10-18 20:21:35+00

Westside is the home to long-standing Polish and Catholic neighborhoods. Westside GR and Walker share common interest: West Catholic High School, Catholic heritage, commercial districts. Westside GR and Walker are both Metro Six Cities

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-18 20:20:42+00

Eastown should not be placed in with areas like Grandville and Walker, but rather in a district within Grand Rapids to the south with Ward 3 or the North with Ward 2

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-18 20:19:39+00

Cascade should be added together with its neighbor Kentwood. The Ford Airport, which is an island that belongs to the City of Grand Rapids, should be represented by someone who lives in a Metro Six city. Kentwood and Cascade share a common 28th Street Corridor. Cascade is a suburban township that should not be put in with predominantly rural, agricultural townships like Vergennes and Caledonia or townships in Barry and Allegan County

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-18 20:17:44+00

East Grand Rapids is a City and Should be placed with a fellow Six City, ideally with neighboring Kentwood. East Grand Rapids is suburban and should be placed with Kentwood rather than Grand Rapids

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-18 20:16:01+00

Kentwood is a city of 55,000 people and should make up the core of a State House District. Add Kentwood's fellow Metro Six City of East Grand Rapids since they share water, waste, and transit services and have the same system of government. Add the most similar nearby township -- Cascade Township -- because it shares the 28th Street Commercial Corridor and has the Ford Airport

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-18 20:14:50+00

Thank you for drawing a Southern Grand Rapids District with a strong African-American and People of Color population. It is possible to create a State House District that is around 50% non-white. African-Americans have not had a Black State Representative from Grand Rapids for over a decade. They deserve a chance to elect a non-white Representative to focus on their unique issues: housing, policing, justice, economic opportunity, etc.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Nancy Mroczkowski

2021-10-18 20:13:00+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike Keep the cities of Northville,  
Plymouth and Northville  
Township in the same district. George Moroz 2021-10-18 20:12:16+00

Wyoming is a city of 77,000 people and is a Community of Interest that deserves to be kept together as a core part of a State House District. Do not split up Wyoming into two State House Districts. State House District population levels can be reached by adding the three main Hispanic precincts in Grand Rapids into a Wyoming district. This creates an opportunity for Hispanic representation from Kent County in the State House since population of this district would 32% Hispanic, the largest, most concentrated Hispanic district possible in Kent County. The current MICRC maps divide Wyoming unnecessarily. The current MICRC map splits the Hispanic communities living in southwest Grand Rapids and Wyoming.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion Nancy Mroczkowski 2021-10-18 20:10:49+00

red new state house district maps are unfair to Democrats I see only 3 "Democratic" districts and 2 "Republican districts" because you packed most of the Democratic voters in our region into 3 districts. This is worse gerrymandering than the 2011 maps.

I see no "evenly split" House districts in the Greater Lansing Region, on your maps. I conclude that your state House maps are still not meeting criteria 4 (partisan fairness). Please fix this them by:

1. Putting Delta Township back in the Eaton County district.
2. Splitting East Lansing and Meridian Township north and south, like you did with the city of Lansing. Use Grand River Ave to divide East Lansing and Meridian North-and-South.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Randall Schaetzl

2021-10-18 19:41:44+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like This map gives Midland and Bay City, two cities that have been closely aligned for a long time, a competitive district where a representative works for the voters, not the party or extreme base. This brings the overall map closer to a zero political bias, but please continue to correct the Republican lean. Michigan is a 54% Democratic state and its districts should reflect that. Geoff Malicoat 2021-10-18 19:33:29+00

10-08-21 v2 HD Like This map gives Midland and Bay City, two cities that have been closely aligned for a long time, a competitive district where a representative works for the voters, not the party or extreme base. This brings the overall map closer to a zero political bias, but please continue to correct the Republican lean. Michigan is a 54% Democratic state and its districts should reflect that. Geoff Malicoat 2021-10-18 19:32:20+00

This map does not achieve a fair map with as close to zero political bias as possible. I would suggest you create the Midland House District like it is drawn in the Pine map (#227). This will help to create a fairer map for the entire state.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Geoff Malicoat

2021-10-18 19:30:17+00

I appreciate that the Flint Water Shed is in the same district. However, I'm concerned that taking out Holly from Oakland County, as many people who work in North Oakland County live in Holly (Auburn Hills, Troy), using the I-75 corridor, thus roadways, bridges, water control all need addressed by fellow users. Oakland County Fair grounds is just outside Holly, in Springfield Township and many of the 4 H groups of Northern Oakland County have similar interests and a community. Of the past, district 8 congressionally, did not have citizens who were familiar with the same issues as others, such as water conditions, sewer needs, and the lack of transportation resources. Also, state park land is unique to Northern Oakland County, crossing from Holly and crossing across the northern border

I appreciate how the tri cities are put together. Midland, Bay, and Saginaw have many common issues. It makes sense that they are put together.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

Margaret Heller

2021-10-18 19:28:33+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like

Jennie Ireland

2021-10-18 19:13:21+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This is the least worst of the options so far. Efficiency gap isn't great, but it has one of the smaller biases overall in the state house composition.	Josh Vermaas	2021-10-18 18:56:46+00
10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Like	Lowest efficiency gap out of the state senate plans.	Josh Vermaas	2021-10-18 18:52:09+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	This map has all borders strategically placed to make sure all minorities are in the minority vote. It is carefully done to not include multiple cities with a minority population. It is catered to the republican vote and the non-white vote.	kindra	2021-10-18 18:50:11+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I will say that most of the places I go to officiate high school games are now all in one congressional district! Efficiency gap is low. I like it.	Josh Vermaas	2021-10-18 18:49:27+00

It's encouraging to see that a map which reflects the Saginaw-Midland-Bay City Combined Statistical Area in Mid/Central Michigan. It not only supports the business, transportation, environmental and residential infrastructure resources within Saginaw Bay and Saginaw River watershed region but also the economic development synergies collectively embraced the Bay Area, Midland Area and Saginaw County Chambers of Commerce, Bay Future, Midland Tomorrow and Saginaw Future Inc.

All three Mid Michigan cities share the same natural fresh water source in Lake Huron, trade schools, private academies, and higher educational campuses.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Constituents in Bay City,  
Midland and Saginaw also

Eric Anders

2021-10-18 18:49:20+00

10-08-21 v1 CD	Like	This map, which groups Flint and Genesee County with Saginaw and Bay City, is a good map that makes much more sense than previous drafts. This map groups communities together with shared needs and similar experiences. Please keep Genesee, Saginaw, and Bay grouped together in future maps!	Joel Arnold	2021-10-18 18:47:28+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	I sort by efficiency gap. This one has the lowest one, and looks like the congressional district I'd be in makes sense.	Josh Vermaas	2021-10-18 18:46:37+00

It's encouraging to see that a map which reflects the Saginaw-Midland-Bay City Combined Statistical Area in Mid/Central Michigan. It not only supports the business, transportation, environmental and residential infrastructure resources within Saginaw Bay and Saginaw River watershed region but also the economic development synergies collectively embraced the Bay Area, Midland Area and Saginaw County Chambers of Commerce, Bay Future, Midland Tomorrow and Saginaw Future Inc.

All three Mid Michigan cities share the same natural fresh water source in Lake Huron, trade schools, private academies, and higher educational campuses.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Like

Constituents in Bay City, Midland and Saginaw also

Eric Anders

2021-10-18 18:46:23+00

It's encouraging to see that a map which reflects the Saginaw-Midland-Bay City Combined Statistical Area in Mid/Central Michigan. It not only supports the business, transportation, environmental and residential infrastructure resources within Saginaw Bay and Saginaw River watershed region but also the economic development synergies collectively embraced the Bay Area, Midland Area and Saginaw County Chambers of Commerce, Bay Future, Midland Tomorrow and Saginaw Future Inc.

All three Mid Michigan cities share the same natural fresh water source in Lake Huron, trade schools, private academies, and higher educational campuses.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Constituents in Bay City,  
Midland and Saginaw also

Eric Anders

2021-10-18 18:44:46+00

It's encouraging to see that a map which reflects the Saginaw-Midland-Bay City Combined Statistical Area in Mid/Central Michigan. It not only supports the business, transportation, environmental and residential infrastructure resources within Saginaw Bay and Saginaw River watershed region but also the economic development synergies collectively embraced the Bay Area, Midland Area and Saginaw County Chambers of Commerce, Bay Future, Midland Tomorrow and Saginaw Future Inc.

All three Mid Michigan cities share the same natural fresh water source in Lake Huron, trade schools, private academies, and higher educational campuses.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Like

Constituents in Bay City, Midland and Saginaw also

Eric Anders

2021-10-18 18:42:52+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>I appreciate that Midland is consistently placed with Bay City and Saginaw. We're part of one region, we share one airport, and this is the same area most businesses pull the majority of their staff from.</p>	William W Kent	2021-10-18 18:21:43+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	<p>Economically these cities belong together.</p> <p>I appreciate that Midland is consistently placed with Bay City and Saginaw. We're part of one region, we share one airport, and this is the same area most businesses pull the majority of their staff from.</p>	William W Kent	2021-10-18 18:20:56+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	<p>Economically these cities belong together.</p>	William W Kent	2021-10-18 18:19:31+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like	<p>Many thanks to the Commission for all your hard work and dedication to fair, non-partisan districts. As a resident of Freeland (at the center of the Midland-Bay City-Saginaw triangle) I am particularly grateful to see the Tri Cities deserve.</p>	Frederick J Thomas	2021-10-18 18:15:38+00
	<p>Thank you for this map! The tri-cities is a community of interest in that we share an economic base, an arts community and an educational community. We even share an airport called MBS: Midland, Saginaw, Bay City. This map represents an increase in partisan fairness in the area. Please continue to keep Midland, Bay City, And Saginaw in the same district.</p>		
10-04-21 v2 SD Like	Thank you!	Sarah Schulz	2021-10-18 18:14:38+00

Thank you for this map! The tri-cities is a community of interest in that we share an economic base, an arts community and an educational community. We even share an airport called MBS: Midland, Saginaw, Bay City. This map represents an increase in partisan fairness in the area. Please continue to keep Midland, Bay City, And Saginaw in the same district.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Like

Thank you!

Sarah Schulz

2021-10-18 18:14:02+00

Thank you for this map! The tri-cities is a community of interest in that we share an economic base, an arts community and an educational community. We even share an airport called MBS: Midland, Saginaw, Bay City. This map represents an increase in partisan fairness in the area. Please continue to keep Midland, Bay City, And Saginaw in the same district.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like

Thank you!

Sarah Schulz

2021-10-18 18:13:08+00

This map shows a gerrymandered Grand Rapids (#4) by including very rural areas to the north and east, as well as some to the west. GR and Kalamazoo have much more in common with one another, so using map 201 which goes down the 131 corridor (a commuting route for many) between the cities makes more sense. As this map goes, the Democratic vote is greatly diluted for #4 and especially for #9. Kalamazoo combined with the rural area west and northwest to the lakeshore. Those areas have nothing in common. GR and Kalazazoo have common needs that would make sense for a legislator representing the two. Tech, industry, racial diversity, educational institutions, major medical, and arts/culture opportunities. Thanks for your work, but please

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Like

reconsider this one. West MI District 4 makes sense being

Beth Ernest

2021-10-18 17:54:31+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

on the 131 corridor

Linda Kristensen

2021-10-18 17:53:48+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Opinion	<p>The lines between districts 105 and 106 are not adding up, you are 737 under the targeted population in 105 and over the targeted population in 106.</p> <p>To draw a more fair map you need to move the line to add 1k to the population from 106 and give it to 105</p>	Cindi Holland	2021-10-18 17:51:04+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>District 23 should include; East Grand Rapids, Kentwood, Wyoming, Cascade - all suburbs to Grand Rapids and in Kent County</p> <p>I am happy to see the tri-cities (Midland, Saginaw, and Bay City) placed together in a senate district. In the short time I have lived in this area, my family has lived and/worked in each city. We continue to see the whole area as home and I have found this to be the case among others. There are many common interests</p>	Linda Kristensen	2021-10-18 17:47:54+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>among the tri-cities.</p>	Meki Craig	2021-10-18 17:46:30+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	<p>I am happy to see the tri-cities (Midland, Saginaw, and Bay City) placed together in a senate district. In the short time I have lived in this area, my family has lived and/worked in each city. We continue to see the whole area as home and I have found this to be the case among others. There are many common interests among the tri-cities.</p>	Meki Craig	2021-10-18 17:45:12+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>This is a gerrymandered map. Grand Rapids, our large West MI urban center has been expanded to the east and north to include very Republican-leaning farm country that has different concerns. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo, as our 2 urban areas, share much more in common and would make a far, far more logical district. As shown here, district 9 greatly dilutes the vote of Kalamazoo by adding in the very conservative farm country east and west. Kalamazoo has little to nothing in common with the Michigan lakeshore area.</p>	Beth Ernest	2021-10-18 17:44:55+00

10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Like	<p>Finally someone drew a map that actually takes in consideration the communities that belong together in West Michigan. Well done!</p> <p>I am happy to see the tri-cities (Midland, Saginaw, and Bay City) placed together in a senate district. In the short time I have lived in this area, my family has lived and/worked in each city. We continue to see the whole area as home and I have found this to be the case among others. There are many common interests</p>	Drew Jones	2021-10-18 17:43:20+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	<p>District 76 should include Walker, Grandville, Wyoming, Grand Rapids Township and Ada since they are suburb cities/township to Grand</p>	Meki Craig	2021-10-18 17:43:18+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>Rapids</p>	Linda Kristensen	2021-10-18 17:41:03+00

Thank you for serving on this commission. This map fractures the common interests in our West Michigan urban centers—Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo. Kept as it is, this map would divide the interests of the green area, making it very hard to represent. Please keep GR and Kzoo together—they have much more in common (diversity, industry, educational institutions, big-city policing needs, tech) than we do with other area outside the cities. The Lowell area and east is farm country—nothing in common with GR. Dividing the 2 cities and adding in more Republican farm country dilutes the Democratic vote and leaves it unlikely that the large number of Democrats in the West Michigan urban corridor will be represented.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC

Dislike

Beth Ernest

2021-10-18 17:36:33+00

District 86 should include East Grand Rapids and Cascade since they are also cities bordering Grand Rapids and include the international airport.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS

Opinion

Linda Kristensen

2021-10-18 17:36:26+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	District 35 in all maps seems to be a reasonable grouping of rural and small town areas with some diversity added by including Mt. Pleasant. Thank you for your hard work.	Kathleen C McCormick	2021-10-18 17:30:43+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	District 35 in all maps seems to be a reasonable grouping of rural and small town areas with some diversity added by including Mt. Pleasant. Thank you for your hard work.	Kathleen C McCormick	2021-10-18 17:30:01+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	District 35 in all maps seems to be a reasonable grouping of rural and small town areas with some diversity added by including Mt. Pleasant. Thank you for your hard work.	Kathleen C McCormick	2021-10-18 17:29:04+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Sikh Americans are a community of interest. Please keep Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights and Troy together so both Gurdwara (Sikh place of worship) communities of Oakland and Macomb Counties are represented by one congressperson	Umeet Singh Bedi	2021-10-18 17:08:15+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	Very happy with this map! I like the idea of senators needing to understand the needs of multiple counties/communities as we should be electing capable and dynamic people who are up to the task and focused on serving their constituents not just their voters or donors.	Sean Gray	2021-10-18 17:02:59+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	Very happy with this map! I like the idea of senators needing to understand the needs of multiple counties as we should be electing capable and dynamic people who are up to the task and focused on serving their constituents not just their voters or donors.	Sean Gray	2021-10-18 16:58:09+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I like this map because it keeps the communities of Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights, and Troy together, because this will keep my Sikh community of interest together.	Balpreet Kaur	2021-10-18 16:55:07+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	I value how this map puts Midland together with its sister cities Bay City and Saginaw, to represent our shared interests and history.	Nancy Hetrick	2021-10-18 16:50:03+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	I value how this map puts Midland together with its sister cities Bay City and Saginaw, to represent our shared interests and history. I think it is so important to have Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw represented as a group. We are a geographic area with extremely similar needs and should be represented as a united, Tri-City unit.	Nancy Hetrick	2021-10-18 16:49:20+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Districts drawn should do more than follow units of government lines. These districts do not take into account the history of development and the major transportation routes that the community has developed around. This district has distinct areas: M-6 in the south; 44th St in the middle, 28th Street/Chicago Drive in the north. Districts should be drawn more along east/west configuration, unless the area is along the Division/US 131 area which goes north/south, or Kalamazoo Ave.	Nancy Hetrick	2021-10-18 16:46:54+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike		Herbert Seamons	2021-10-18 16:37:36+00

Districts drawn should do more than follow units of government lines. These districts do not take into account the history of development and the major transportation routes that the community has developed around. This district has distinct areas: M-6 in the south; 44th St in the middle, 28th Street/Chicago Drive in the north. Districts should be drawn more along east/west configuration, unless the area is along the Division/US 131 area which goes north/south, or Kalamazoo Ave.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Herbert Seamons

2021-10-18 16:37:35+00

Farmington and Farmington Hills are one community that share a history, school district, library system, community center, senior programs, and much more. Clearly Farmington and Farmington Hills IS a Community of Interest. It appears that Farmington/Farmington Hills is split into 2-3 districts. This will make it very difficult for Farmington and Farmington Hills to get cohesive representation in the legislature.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Dana Fortier

2021-10-18 16:37:21+00

Farmington and Farmington Hills are one community that share a history, school district, library system, community center, senior programs, and much more. Clearly Farmington and Farmington Hills IS a Community of Interest. It appears that Farmington/Farmington Hills is split into 2-3 districts. This will make it very difficult for Farmington and Farmington Hills to get cohesive representation in the legislature.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Dana Fortier

2021-10-18 16:36:08+00

Flint needs its own representative; the city should not be split. Genesee County will not have minority representation with the current maps because of the split of the City of Flint and the African American Population. Flint is and has historically been faced with unique challenges specific to the City and Flint needs its own Representative. Based on the current maps, Flint may not have its own Representative.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Kaneesa Tooson

2021-10-18 16:34:13+00

Flint needs its own representative; the city should not be split. Genesee County will not have minority representation with the current maps because of the split of the City of Flint and the African American Population. In addition, Flint is and has historically been faced with unique challenges specific to the City and Flint needs its own Representative. Based on the current maps, Flint may not have its own Representative.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Kaneesa Tooson

2021-10-18 16:31:01+00

Saugatuck, Saugatuck Township, and Douglas should be in District 87 with the other southwest Michigan lakeshore communities. All have common interests around Great Lakes issues and tourism. Fennville is also growing as tourism destination.

10-06-21 v6 HD

Opinion

Scott Dean

2021-10-18 16:30:30+00

Flint needs its own representative; the city should not be split. Genesee County will not have minority representation with the current maps because of the split of the City of Flint and the African American Population. Flint is and has historically been faced with unique challenges specific to the City and Flint needs its own Representative. Based on the current maps, Flint may not have its own Representative.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Kaneesa Tooson

2021-10-18 16:26:11+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	The way Southwest Grand Rapids area is configured, you are gerrymandering the Hispanic community out of representation. The history of development for Grand Rapids was growth to the Southwest towards Grandville and the establishment of Wyoming. That area is now home to a thriving Hispanic community but everyone drawing maps is more focused on the traditional gerrymandering of seats to the South Grand Rapids African-American community and the Southwest White suburban community. Disagree with this redistricting. My thoughts are expressed in the attached letter. Thank You, Vedette Cordes	Herbert Seamons	2021-10-18 16:25:50+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti should not be in the same state Senate district. We have different communities of interest and should be represented differently. Please separate district 27 between Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti	Vedette J Cordes	2021-10-18 16:23:30+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike		Carrie A Rheingans	2021-10-18 16:20:53+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I like this map because it keeps the communities of Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights, and Troy together, because this will keep my Sikh community of interest together.	Rajinder Bhasin	2021-10-18 16:20:23+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	Thank you for keeping the tri-cities together! They are a community of interest with so many connections culturally that it makes sense.	Aria Segura	2021-10-18 16:18:15+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	Thank you for keeping the tri-cities together! These are a community of interest and it absolutely makes sense.	Aria Segura	2021-10-18 16:16:52+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Sikh Americans are a community of interest. Please keep Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights and Troy together so both Gurdwara (Sikh place of worship) communities of Oakland and Macomb Counties are represented by one congressperson	Sangeeta Kaur	2021-10-18 16:15:47+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the current Republican lean. We need maps with as close to ZERO POLITICAL BIAS as possible. Please see Commissioner Eid's map #237.	Carol Arnosky	2021-10-18 16:15:24+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Sikh Americans deserve to have an amplified voice in congress. Please keep the metro Detroit portion the same in the final map, specifically so the Sikh community of interest in Troy, Rochester Hills, and Sterling Heights are together.	Mandeep Singh	2021-10-18 16:14:49+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	First, I appreciate the difficult work that has been done by the Redistricting Committee. I believe this map, which includes communities that have common interests and issues, is an excellent map and will allow the citizens of the new 6th District to have a competitive congressional race and an officeholder that will reflect the District's concerns and political preferences.	David R Radtke	2021-10-18 16:10:38+00

This map does not achieve a fair map with as close to zero political bias as possible. I would suggest you create the Midland House District like it is drawn in the #227 map. This will help to create a map with more partisan fairness for the entire state.

10-08-21 v1 HD Dislike Angie Kelleher 2021-10-18 16:02:16+00

The tri-cities are a community that is constantly doing things and working together with so much crossover. It makes so much sense to keep them together.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like Aria Segura 2021-10-18 16:01:05+00

This map gives Midland and Bay City, two cities that have been closely aligned for a long time, a competitive district where a representative works for the voters, not the party or extreme base. This brings the overall map closer to a zero political bias, but please continue to correct the Republican lean. Michigan is a 54% Democratic state and its districts should reflect that.

10-08-21 v2 HD Like Angie Kelleher 2021-10-18 16:00:46+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>This map gives Midland and Bay City, two cities that have been closely aligned for a long time, a competitive district where a representative works for the voters, not the party or extreme base. This brings the overall map closer to a zero political bias, but please continue to correct the Republican lean. Michigan is a 54% Democratic state and its districts should reflect that.</p>	Angie Kelleher	2021-10-18 16:00:09+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City &amp; Saginaw) in a senate district as they have been a long-standing community of interest with many common concerns. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters.</p>	Angie Kelleher	2021-10-18 15:58:46+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City &amp; Saginaw) in a senate district as they have been a long-standing community of interest with many common concerns. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters.</p>	Angie Kelleher	2021-10-18 15:58:23+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City &amp; Saginaw) in a senate district as they have been a long-standing community of interest with many common concerns. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters.</p>	Angie Kelleher	2021-10-18 15:57:51+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. I would like to see more of Midland County in this district like Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.</p>	Angie Kelleher	2021-10-18 15:55:38+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. I would like to see more of Midland County in this district like Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.</p>	Angie Kelleher	2021-10-18 15:55:02+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. I would like to see more of Midland County in this district like Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.</p>	Angie Kelleher	2021-10-18 15:54:29+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and should have appropriate representation. I would like to see more of Midland County in this district like Commissioner Eid's map #237. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.</p>	Angie Kelleher	2021-10-18 15:53:49+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Currently a Grand Rapids resident, I previously lived in Kalamazoo. I find both communities to be extremely similar demographically, culturally, and economically. Grand Rapids has a Western Michigan University satellite campus which ties Grand Rapids with Kalamazoo as well. This map makes sense,

Jeff Winston

2021-10-18 15:49:24+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike

Please reconsider this Senate District map. A SD in SW MI that will more appropriately recognize and represent the lakeshore communities of interest, and bring together our more diverse population especially for the African-American and Hispanic communities, is much more appropriate. I know you have several considerations, however I believe it is possible to create a SD that better represents our challenges and concerns.

Rick L Catherman

2021-10-18 15:37:33+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Put Huron Co back with Bay/Saginaw as it was a decade ago. Huron Co. is in the Saginaw/Bay City media market....we depend on WNEM, TV 5, and other local stations for our local news.....can't even tune in to Detroit media. We also depend on Bay/Saginaw Co. for our major hospital care, shopping, and entertainment. Our shoreline recreation industry also more closely aligns with Bay Co.	Jeanne Henry	2021-10-18 15:35:36+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Please continue to keep all of Novi together for all maps. This HD map doesn't look like any of the other HD maps of the Commission. As proposed, these HD in SW MI would break-up the much needed lakeshore community of interest, and does not recognize the diversity of populations (African American, Hispanic.) Please consider all other HD maps, before this one.	Atasi Bagchi	2021-10-18 15:33:08+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike		Rick Catherman	2021-10-18 15:30:06+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Good! Makes sense. Fair.	Jacques Maurissen	2021-10-18 15:28:42+00

I like this senate district. The majority of people on the portal have asked for a Tri-Cities senate seat including Midland, Bay City, & Saginaw and I appreciate that the commission has consistently drawn the maps this way. The Tri-Cities are closely aligned, and urban/suburban areas need appropriate representation as well as rural areas need to be grouped together to receive appropriate representation. Please continue to work on these maps and make them as close to zero political bias as possible. The electorate of the state is 53/46 D/R and the possible seat share should reflect that.

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS Like

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-18 15:26:49+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Huron Co., at the tip of the Thumb, should be in a District with Bay/Saginaw Co. Not only do residents depend on Bay/Saginaw for hospital care, entertainment, shopping, recreation, etc., the area consumes news coverage from the Bay City/Saginaw media market. Put Huron Co back with Bay/Saginaw as it was a decade ago.	Charles Henry	2021-10-18 15:26:46+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	I'd like to see Livingston and Ann Arbor / Washtenaw kept separate. This map sort of looks like a power grab of more rural communities for Ann Arbor, who are definitely not cohesive by any means.	Richard Olrich	2021-10-18 15:25:57+00

I like this senate district. The majority of people on the portal have asked for a Tri-Cities senate seat including Midland, Bay City, & Saginaw and I appreciate that the commission has consistently drawn the maps this way. The Tri-Cities are closely aligned, and urban/suburban areas need appropriate representation as well as rural areas need to be grouped together to receive appropriate representation. Please continue to work on these maps and make them as close to zero political bias as possible. The electorate of the state is 53/46 D/R and the possible seat share should reflect that.

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid Like

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-18 15:25:34+00

Thank you for creating the new HD87 recognizing our lakeshore communities of interest. The new HD87 is much needed and will allow us to be represented by someone in Lansing that will help us find solutions to the challenges regarding our economies (impacted by tourism), the environment (ever increasing beach erosion), housing (short term rentals, and affordable housing for workers in our communities), while bringing much needed representation to our diverse population (African American, Hispanic, and the LGBTQ+) to address diversity, equity and inclusion.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Thank you for your efforts. The 5th and 7th seem like a mess or something fishy happening. Brighton should be in Livingston and not extending to Ann Arbor and out to Southgate.

Rick Catherman

2021-10-18 15:23:14+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Richard Olrich

2021-10-18 15:21:39+00

I am glad that Livingston  
County is included with  
Ingham County in the 5th  
Congressional District in this  
map. But the rest of the map  
falls short. It fails the test of  
being fair to voters of all the  
political parties. Your  
consultant has told you that  
Michigan leans Democratic,  
yet your map leans toward  
Republicans. How can that be  
fair? Michigan voters went to  
a lot of trouble and expense  
to set up your commission  
and hire you with the  
expectation that we would  
get rid of the Republican  
gerrymandered maps we  
have lived under for decades.  
But you have delivered a  
slightly watered-down version  
of the same old thing. That's  
not what you were hired to  
do. Please finish the job.  
You've put in a lot of time and  
effort. With just a little more  
work, you can transform  
these maps from another

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Opinion

Judy Daubenmier

2021-10-18 15:21:16+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike Brighton area should not be in a district with any portion of Ann Arbor, as well as associated Livingston Townships. These areas have nothing in common with Ann Arbor. Richard Olrich 2021-10-18 15:19:57+00

10-14-21 v2 SD RL Dislike I disapprove of this senate district. The majority of the comments in the portal have asked for a Tri-Cities Senate district. This is not what the majority of people have asked for. The Tri-Cities are closely aligned for so many reasons and despite what a handful of Republicans try to tell you, we are not closely aligned with northern counties. Jennifer Austin 2021-10-18 15:18:55+00

10-14-21 v1 SD RL Dislike I disapprove of this senate district. The majority of the comments in the portal have asked for a Tri-Cities Senate district. This is not what the majority of people have asked for. The Tri-Cities are closely aligned for so many reasons and despite what a handful of Republicans try to tell you, we are not closely aligned with northern counties. Jennifer Austin 2021-10-18 15:18:10+00

I like the fact that Livingston County is joined with Ingham County in Congressional District 5. Like many other people in Livingston County, I have worked in Ingham County and am very familiar with the area. Parts of our county even share school districts with Ingham County and our newspaper is edited there. But overall, the map falls short. It fails to meet the requirement of partisan fairness. The party that gets the most votes should get the most seats. Michigan leans Democratic, yet your map leans Republican. That isn't fair. Everyone's voice should be equal, but Democratic voices are being wasted. Michigan voters set up your commission and hired you to make our maps fair. So far, your work falls short. Please finish the job. You must adjust the maps to make them more fair to the parties in order to

10-07-21 v1 CD DC

Opinion

Judy Daubenmier

2021-10-18 15:15:07+00

This district makes sense geographically, and it's not a "bad" district, but if the overall partisan fairness for the state doesn't meet zero political bias and erase the vote efficiency gap, then I suggest you use the Bay City/Midland House district I've seen in several maps. Bay City and Midland are two closely aligned cities and they have been for a long time. Please continue to make the maps better so that the share of possible seats a party can win matches their vote share in the state.

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO Dislike

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-18 15:14:17+00

Huron Co., at the tip of the Thumb, should be in a District with Bay/Saginaw Co. Not only do residents depend on Bay/Saginaw for hospital care, entertainment, shopping, recreation, etc., the area consumes news coverage from the Bay City/Saginaw media market. Put Huron Co back with Bay/Saginaw as it was a decade ago.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Charles L. Henry

2021-10-18 15:14:13+00

I strongly oppose this map as well. It goes against my community of interest and is not fair to the residents of Livingston County. We should not be paired with Ann Arbor; this will be detrimental to the best interests of smaller and more rural areas located within Livingston County. We are diametrically different counties when it comes to our values and way of life, and this particular map is completely unfair to Livingston County so it is out of the question in my opinion.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL

Dislike

Thank you.

Leslie Baker

2021-10-18 15:07:52+00

Thank you for combining Livingston County with Ingham County in this version of Congressional District 5. Residents of Livingston County are used to going to Ingham County for work, school, medical care, and more. But overall this map needs more work. Your job was to get rid of the Republican gerrymandered maps we have lived with for decades and you haven't gotten there yet. Your maps still favor Republicans even though your consultant told you that Michigan leans Democratic. Michigan voters set up your commission and you were hired to fix maps that lean Republican in a Democratic state. Please finish the job. Make the maps reflect the partisan makeup of the state. Thank you for all your hard work.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Opinion

Judy Daubenmier

2021-10-18 15:07:14+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>The division of Detroit into long skinny districts is bizarre. It would make more sense to group neighborhoods in districts 8 and 17 near the river together, then work outward. As Lisa Kulawczyk-Pringle pointed out, it is incredible that there isn't at least one district with a majority of Black voters.</p>	Paul Torek	2021-10-18 15:00:34+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Please keep Plymouth and Northville together. They are communities of interest. We (Plymouth) partner with Northville on so many things. Our Fire Department is shared. We work together with Northville and there is a shared history with Plymouth and Northville. These should not be separated. We want shared representation with Northville, please.</p>	Sherrie Brindley	2021-10-18 15:00:08+00

I like the portion of this map that includes Livingston County with Ingham County. Our two counties are connected in many ways. Many people commute to Lansing to work or go to school or have done so in the past. But I think overall, you have more work to do with this map. It still is not a fair map. It continues too much of the Republican gerrymander that we have had in the past. The commission was set up to fix that. You have put in a lot of hours and hard work. Please finish the job and make the map reflect the political makeup of the state. Michigan leans Democratic as a state and the maps should do the same.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Judy Daubenmier

2021-10-18 14:59:32+00

Sikh Americans deserve to have an amplified voice in congress. Please keep the metro Detroit portion the same in the final map, specifically so the Sikh community of interest in Troy, Rochester Hills, and Sterling Heights are together.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Jatinder Batra

2021-10-18 14:51:12+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>If the proposed is is drawing the Redistricting lines to lump Troy from Oakland County to Macomb County, I am totally against it. I've lived in Troy for since Troy became part of Oakland County since 1955. I've seen many changes over the year and am proud to be a resident of Troy in Oakland County. Oakland is more affluent than Macomb County, and is ranked the 10th best out of 83 counties in Michigan. We need to remain in the county of Oakland!</p>	Debra Tannir	2021-10-18 14:50:36+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>Your partisan fairness expert, Dr. Handley said that election results show that Michigan is lean-Democratic state. Why do all of your maps lean Republican?</p>	Aaron Haury	2021-10-18 14:49:25+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>I appreciate the committee keeping the tri cities together and agree with this proposed map. Please continue to work towards partisan fairness and to insure every Michigan citizen's vote counts.</p>	Marisa Osterberg	2021-10-18 14:46:51+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	While this map is better than the other two state senate maps, your maps unfairly benefit Republicans. Your job isn't done until you fix that.	Jared Boot	2021-10-18 14:46:14+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	I disagree with parts of this map. Midland should be included and the thumb counties excluded. Midland has much more in common with Bay City and Midland including a manufacturing base vs the farming/rural communities to the east.	Linda Weaver	2021-10-18 14:45:16+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Your maps unfairly benefit Republicans. Your job isn't done until you fix that. The state house maps are worse relative to the state senate and congressional maps!	Jared Boot	2021-10-18 14:45:14+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Your maps unfairly benefit Republicans. Your job isn't done until you fix that. The state house maps are worse relative to the state senate and congressional maps!	Jared Boot	2021-10-18 14:44:39+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Your maps unfairly benefit Republicans. Your job isn't done until you fix that.	Jared Boot	2021-10-18 14:43:36+00

I agree with this apportionment and appreciate the commission consistently placing the TriCities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas with many commonalities like manufacturing and should have appropriate representation. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible so please correct the Republican slant as much as possible.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like		Linda Weaver	2021-10-18 14:42:29+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Your maps unfairly benefit Republicans. Your job isn't done until you fix that.	Jared Boot	2021-10-18 14:40:41+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Your maps unfairly benefit Republicans. Your job isn't done until you fix that.	Jared Boot	2021-10-18 14:39:32+00

While this map is better than the other congressional maps, your maps unfairly benefit Republicans. Your job isn't done until you fix that. Your partisan fairness expert, Dr. Handley said that election results show that Michigan is lean-Democratic state. Why do all of your maps lean Republican?

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Opinion

Jared Boot

2021-10-18 14:38:37+00

I appreciate the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a congressional district as they are urban/suburban areas and share many commonalities and deserve appropriate representation. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Linda Weaver

2021-10-18 14:38:19+00

Your maps unfairly benefit Republicans. Your job isn't done until you fix that.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Jared Boot

2021-10-18 14:37:08+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Your maps unfairly benefit Republicans. Your job isn't done until you fix that.	Jared Boot	2021-10-18 14:36:26+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Your maps unfairly benefit Republicans. Your job isn't done until you fix that.	Jared Boot	2021-10-18 14:34:58+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Thank you for keeping the tricities, Bay City, Saginaw and Midland in the same district as they are urban/suburban areas and have many commonalities such as their manufacturing base. Please continue to weigh partisan fairness and correct the Republican lean most maps have. We need maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.	Linda Weaver	2021-10-18 14:34:10+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This map seems to be the best for keeping the Sikh American communities in Rochester, Rochester Hills, Troy and Sterling Heights all together. It also seems pretty fair overall for our area.	Davinderpreet Kaur Dulai	2021-10-18 14:30:26+00

10-08-21 v1 HD Dislike I do not like that the communities of Midland and Bay City are not in the same district. They are more similar than the rural communities around them and share a manufacturing base that binds them. Linda Weaver 2021-10-18 14:26:45+00

10-08-21 v2 HD Like I agree with this version of apportioning and that the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City & Saginaw) in the same district as they have been a long-standing community of interest with many commonalities including a manufacturing base. I would like to see the University Center included in the district since these communities are feeders to the student population. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters. Linda Weaver 2021-10-18 14:23:28+00

The way you drew the state House maps, there are 3 VERY safe Democratic districts and 2 Republican districts. You packed most of the Democratic voters in our region into 3 districts, gerrymandering the region worse than the 2011 maps.

There are NO competitive House districts in the Greater Lansing Region as you have currently drawn the state House maps.

This is a big reason why your state House maps are still not meeting criteria 4 (partisan fairness) when you look at them statewide.

You can fix this by doing three things in the Great Lansing Region:

1. Put Delta Township back in the Eaton County-based district.

The way you drew the state House maps, there are 3 VERY safe Democratic districts and 2 Republican districts. You packed most of the Democratic voters in our region into 3 districts, gerrymandering the region worse than the 2011 maps.

There are NO competitive House districts in the Greater Lansing Region as you have currently drawn the state House maps.

This is a big reason why your state House maps are still not meeting criteria 4 (partisan fairness) when you look at them statewide.

You can fix this by doing three things in the Great Lansing Region:

1. Put Delta Township back in the Eaton County-based district. Plymouth and Northville should be kept together.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Daniel Opsommer

2021-10-18 14:20:52+00

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

They're similar in every way. Kate Shortal

2021-10-18 14:18:55+00

The way you drew the state House maps, there are 3 VERY safe Democratic districts and 2 Republican districts. You packed most of the Democratic voters in our region into 3 districts, gerrymandering the region worse than the 2011 maps.

There are NO competitive House districts in the Greater Lansing Region as you have currently drawn the state House maps.

This is a big reason why your state House maps are still not meeting criteria 4 (partisan fairness) when you look at them statewide.

You can fix this by doing three things in the Great Lansing Region:

1. Put Delta Township back in the Eaton County-based district.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Daniel Opsommer

2021-10-18 14:18:19+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	<p>I agree with this version of apportioning and that the commission consistently placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City &amp; Saginaw) in the same district as they have been a long-standing community of interest with many commonalities including a manufacturing base. I would like to see the University Center included in the district since these communities are feeders to the student population. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters.</p> <p>I like this map because it keeps our American Sikh communities in Oakland and Macomb counties together. This is important because we have a place of worship in each county and there is a lot of crossover between the two. It is important to me to have a representative that understands our needs and hears our voice.</p>	Linda Weaver	2021-10-18 14:18:11+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like		Mandheer Singh Dulai	2021-10-18 14:17:34+00

The way that Gladwin, Clare, and Lake (#59,#94) counties are divided gives the appearance of an agenda or no regard. Most rural counties and municipalities share interest as well as resources. Would make more sense to try to keep lines at county lines where possible and it surely appears that the sliver of Lake could be exchanged for the sliver of Clare counties to have #94 more sensible and by removing that sliver of Clare would exchange it for the southern sliver of Gladwin County to include in #59 to again keep it complete. Keeping the process simple and sensible will save it from controversy and future headaches or need to start from scratch again in another 10 years.

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Michael Hoadley

2021-10-18 14:16:59+00

I agree with this version of the reapportioning and appreciate the commission putting the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City & Saginaw) in a State Senate District as they have been a long-standing community of interest with many common concerns and commonalities including a manufacturing base. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

Linda Weaver

2021-10-18 14:02:51+00

We want Troy to stay within Oakland County! I work for the Troy School District and we are deeply connected to all of our services in Oakland County. Troy belongs with Rochester, Rochester Hills, Birmingham, Bloomfield. We have a very diverse, high quality education system that needs to remain in Oakland County.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Judy Holmes

2021-10-18 14:01:25+00

I agree with this version of the reapportioning and appreciate the commission putting the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City & Saginaw) in a State Senate District as they have been a long-standing community of interest with many common concerns and commonalities including a manufacturing base. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Like

Linda Weaver

2021-10-18 14:01:08+00

I agree with this version of the reapportioning and appreciate the commission putting the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City & Saginaw) in a State Senate District as they have been a long-standing community of interest with many common concerns and commonalities including a manufacturing base. Please continue to work on the partisan fairness of the maps as a whole to achieve as close to zero political bias as is possible and ensure fair maps for all Michigan voters.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like

Linda Weaver

2021-10-18 13:59:57+00

we want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Sumit

2021-10-18 13:56:38+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	Thank you for keeping this area together as the proper socioeconomic district it should be. How this area can be best represented makes sense with this mapping.	Doug Warczynski	2021-10-18 13:10:45+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	Thank you for keeping this area together as the proper socioeconomic district it should be. How this area can be best represented makes sense with this mapping.	Doug Warczynski	2021-10-18 13:09:48+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Thank you for keeping this area together as the proper socioeconomic district it should be. How this area can be best represented makes sense with this mapping.	Doug Warczynski	2021-10-18 13:07:03+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	Crazy districts like 10 here are wholly unacceptable in any instance. As Angelo points out everyone will assume "the enemy" is doing this despite facts being freely available to dissuade them from such opinions.	Benjamin Dupee	2021-10-18 12:55:35+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	I like to stay in oakland county	francis matthew kuntzler	2021-10-18 06:47:18+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	District 20 & 26 split Berrien County in half and combine North county with partial Van Buren, partial Allegan and partial Kent. This is gerrymandering at its finest, if you can't even have any whole counties. Berrien should not be split it is the 10th largest county in the state. This should be whole.	Julie Wuerfel	2021-10-18 04:06:03+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This is a really good map which features a logical district and permits partisan fairness. As a current public office holder who will not be a candidate for elected office in the future, I believe this is a good and fair map because it creates cohesive communities with partisan fairness. This mapping process is hard work and the Redistricting Commission should be commended for their efforts.	Martha Higgins	2021-10-18 03:12:19+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This map appears to best meet the goal of competitive fairness.	William Sowerby	2021-10-18 02:54:12+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	We want Troy to stay with Rochester Hills and Bloomfield! Don't want our voices to be lost.	Sara Gielegem	2021-10-18 01:52:43+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike			2021-10-18 01:52:38+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This map does not show lack of political bias.	Danielle Fergin	2021-10-18 01:42:35+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb County reaching as far as Lake St. Clair.	Preetha Ghosh	2021-10-18 01:38:55+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Combine Wyoming and the adjoining 3 Hispanic Districts from Grand Rapids would make a nice Hispanic State House District.	Frank Lynn	2021-10-18 01:30:58+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>In local government, the mantra has been: 'think locally, act regionally.' I see the 'Birch' Congressional map as a representation of this concept. It achieves partisan fairness and serves as an impetus for Congressional leaders to advance policy that serves multiple communities, counties and those bigger policy initiatives that uplift the region as a whole. Thank you to the commission for this difficult work.</p>	PAUL GIELEGHEM	2021-10-18 01:24:04+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	<p>Grand Rapids is the second biggest city in Michigan. Ottawa and Ionia are rural counties. They do not belong together. This disenfranchises Grand Rapids voters.</p>	Frank Lynn	2021-10-18 01:12:08+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>I feel that this map does not accurately and fairly represent the voters of Michigan, given that Michigan's population centers and voting majority are more likely to vote Democratic, and yet this is not reflected in the districting.</p>	Peter Schwankl	2021-10-18 01:06:30+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	As a resident of Ann Arbor, this appears to divide the city into well-represented districts.	Peter Schwankl	2021-10-18 01:03:18+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Never have minorities in West Michigan been represented. This district will give them a chance for representation. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo have much in common. This district makes sense.	Frank Lynn	2021-10-18 00:57:41+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This map unfairly packs the Democrats. Partisan fairness is important.	Kelly Carnahan	2021-10-18 00:56:26+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map does a good job of not packing the cities unfairly.	Kelly Carnahan	2021-10-18 00:54:54+00

regarding the new State  
Senate District 24, I reside in  
the North East area of the  
City of Grand Rapids. I would  
prefer that District 24 consist  
of Cities and Townships  
situated within Kent County  
only, and not include any  
Ottawa County Townships.

Most of my social  
connections, friends and my  
church community are  
centered in the east side of  
Kent County. I have many  
friends in Grand Rapids  
Township, East Grand Rapids,  
Kentwood as well as the City  
of Grand Rapids.

My commercial activities,  
medical visits, shopping and  
entertainment is usually done  
in Grand Rapids (Eastown  
shops on Wealthy Street “  
Fulton Street Market), nearby  
East Grand Rapids (Gaslight  
Village “ D&W Market),

10-08-21 v1 SD

Opinion

Cascade Township (Meijer on Norman Scott Stensaas

2021-10-18 00:46:53+00

regarding the new State  
Senate District 24, I reside in  
the North East area of the  
City of Grand Rapids. I would  
prefer that District 24 consist  
of Cities and Townships  
situated within Kent County  
only, and not include any  
Ottawa County Townships.

Most of my social  
connections, friends and my  
church community are  
centered in the east side of  
Kent County. I have many  
friends in Grand Rapids  
Township, East Grand Rapids,  
Kentwood as well as the City  
of Grand Rapids.

My commercial activities,  
medical visits, shopping and  
entertainment is usually done  
in Grand Rapids (Eastown  
shops on Wealthy Street “  
Fulton Street Market), nearby  
East Grand Rapids (Gaslight  
Village “ D&W Market),

regarding the new State Senate District 24, I reside in the North East area of the City of Grand Rapids. I would prefer that District 24 consist of Cities and Townships situated within Kent County only, and not include any Ottawa County Townships.

Most of my social connections, friends and my church community are centered in the east side of Kent County. I have many friends in Grand Rapids Township, East Grand Rapids, Kentwood as well as the City of Grand Rapids.

My commercial activities, medical visits, shopping and entertainment is usually done in Grand Rapids (Eastown shops on Wealthy Street “Fulton Street Market), nearby East Grand Rapids (Gaslight Village “D&W Market),

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Cascade Township (Meijer on This map is good. It looks fair and will provide competitive districts.

Norman Scott Stensaas

2021-10-18 00:31:05+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS

Like

Melinda Romero

2021-10-18 00:21:48+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>If one studies the representative districts in Kent County, one will notice that the 75th, 76th, 78th, and 86th lean democrat while the 79th, 96th, and 98th lean republican. That is 4 to 3 advantage for the democrats in a county that leans republican. Democrat leaning municipal boundaries must be carved out to achieve this gerrymandering.</p>	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-17 23:26:29+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>Thank you for keeping Troy with Oakland County and cities where we share COI. This is the best map that I have seen so far.</p>	Rosalind J Cox	2021-10-17 22:59:11+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Opinion	<p>This section of the map has homes in Kalamazoo County ISD and the folks work primarily in either Battle Creek or Kalamazoo -- it would make more sense for this to be in the same State Senate District with either Battle Creek or Kalamazoo instead of in a district to the south.</p>	Linda Pell	2021-10-17 22:56:11+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I like this Midland/Bay City House district. These are two cities who have always been closely aligned, we are similar sized cities and have similar concerns, and it creates a competitive district where the candidate has to work for the voters, not their party or extreme base. Please continue to work to make these maps as close to zero political bias as possible. This district helps to do that.	Jennifer Austin	2021-10-17 22:38:04+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	This one in SD 66 or 68 is a good unpack! Thank you!	Carolyn Hill Stark	2021-10-17 22:34:01+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	This one in SD 66 or 68 is a good unpack! Thank you!	Carolyn Hill Stark	2021-10-17 22:34:01+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	This map makes a lot of sense for Calhoun County which is at the crossroads of I-94 and I-69 and has many synergies with the counties along the I-94 corridor and north along I-69.	Linda Pell	2021-10-17 22:33:38+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	I can see no purpose for this map at all. Carving the northern section of Calhoun County out and moving them to a northerly district while leaving Battle Creek with a rural agricultural Republican gerrymander makes absolutely no sense at all. This is clearly a Republican gerrymander. Battle Creek has many, many synergies along the I-94 Corridor and does not fit in a CD with the southern tier counties.	Linda Pell	2021-10-17 22:30:28+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike		Linda Pell	2021-10-17 22:28:11+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	This map reflects the synergies that Battle Creek has with Kalamazoo and other I-94 Corridor Communities. This one is a good unpack!	Linda Pell	2021-10-17 22:26:27+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Thank you!	Carolyn Hill Stark	2021-10-17 22:24:46+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	See comments on other maps that combined Calhoun County with the southern tier counties. There is NO reason to justify putting Calhoun County into a group with Hillsdale, Branch, St. Joseph and Cass except to create a Republican gerrymander.	Linda Pell	2021-10-17 22:23:47+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	<p>Ottawa, Ionia, and Kent county do not belong in the same district. Kent is home to a major metropolitan area. The other two counties are rural.</p> <p>I support this map. It recognizes the decades long synergies that both the public and private sectors have built along I-94 to strengthen the economy, health, education and environment in this region.</p>	Fred John Overeem	2021-10-17 22:21:30+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Like		Linda Pell	2021-10-17 22:21:29+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>I am not in favor of ANY map that puts Battle Creek in a gerrymandered Republican District with the southern tier counties. Battle Creek has nothing in common with these counties and this completely ignores the strong ties to our economic, social, health, education, and environmental interests that follow the I-94 corridor.</p>	Linda Pell	2021-10-17 22:18:42+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Grand rapids an Kalamazoo share many common interests. Both cities have a high commitment to higher leaning. Both communities have a commitment to arts. Both communities include a high minority of minority citizens. It makes sense that they both are part of the same congressional district. The Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80 percent Democratic district.	Fred John Overeem	2021-10-17 22:12:25+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Suburban light industrial North Kent county has little in common with very rural Ottawa County.	Carolyn Hill Stark	2021-10-17 22:10:35+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion		Fred John Overeem	2021-10-17 21:25:55+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Very good overall. Suggest: Keep Livingston in 5th and Washtenaw in 7th. Move NW Oakland twps form 10th to 11th and Move East Tuscola from 11th into 10th. SW shore counties together = good. Greater GR good, S Oakland more contiguous than previous versions= good.	STEVE WAGNER	2021-10-17 21:01:24+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	This would be a better map if Delta Township were removed.	Marsha Wheaton	2021-10-17 20:57:33+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	It is good to keep cities together while minimizing combining rural areas, creating a fair map!	Marsha Wheaton	2021-10-17 20:54:59+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	This is the fairest map. Great job!	Stefanie Sedlar	2021-10-17 20:53:43+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This is a good map as it keeps similar communities together Midland and Bay City belong together and create a fair map.	Marsha Wheaton	2021-10-17 20:51:25+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	A fairer map would divide Kalamazoo between the college/west side and the more diverse district on the east.	Marsha Wheaton	2021-10-17 20:49:00+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Family members that live in Grand Rapids said dividing the the city/metro north to south is the fair way to proceed, rather than moving the district into Ottawa. Wealthy Street's city ward line would be a good divider.	Marsha Wheaton	2021-10-17 20:44:47+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	This clearly unpacks the district by splitting Ann Arbor which is more fair.	Marsha Wheaton	2021-10-17 20:39:11+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like		Marsha Wheaton	2021-10-17 20:33:59+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	I live in Cascade Twp and it has nothing in common with the rural townships of Lowell, Caledonia, etc. Cascade Twp is part of the Forest Hills School District and Borders East Grand Rapids and Kentwood! It should be included in District 23 as it shares the same metropolitan interest (including Ford airport) as East Grand Rapids and Kentwood.	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-10-17 20:31:20+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	I live in Cascade Twp and it have much more in common with East Grand Rapids than the rural areas of Caledonia and Alaska. Cascade Twp should be included in district 24.	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-10-17 20:26:45+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This creates a fairer district by unpacking the area and the suburbs look good.	Marsha Wheaton	2021-10-17 20:25:04+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Ottawa County is rural and really has nothing in common with Metro Grand Rapids. Keep Ottawa in Ottawa County and not in Kent County.	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-10-17 20:23:55+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Saugatuck would be a good addition to this lakeshore community	Marsha Wheaton	2021-10-17 20:17:52+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	I live in Cascade Twp and it shares a lot in common with East Grand Rapids and Kentwood. It really misrepresents Cascade to put it in rural areas as it is very metropolitan. Keep Cascade Township with East Grand Rapids and Kentwood. It makes no sense to split Wyoming. There is a large Hispanic Community of Interest that straddles Wyoming and Grand Rapids border. It should be kept together.	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-10-17 20:15:42+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	I like how this map keeps South Grand Rapids District together.	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-10-17 20:13:58+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-10-17 20:09:38+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I like keeping Walker with Northwest Grand Rapids as they have much in common in regards to population COI's and Commercial districts.	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-10-17 20:06:26+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Walker and Grandville would align better in a single district as they share School Districts, Commercial districts, and common size and make up in their population.	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-10-17 20:04:10+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	I live in Cascade Twp and this map would be much better if it keeps East Grand Rapids, Kentwood, and Cascade Twp together as they share common interest in the Airport, Schools, Shopping, and Healthcare facilities.	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-10-17 20:00:31+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	This map still looks like gerrymandering to me! The largest constituency is the Grand Rapids Metro area mixed with a large rural area that dilutes the majority of people in Grand Rapids.	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-10-17 19:55:43+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	This map puts a rural farming community(Ottawa County) in a district with a major city (Grand Rapids). The interest and concerns of these communities are in direct conflict with each other. How is a representative supposed to represent both constituencies? Keep Ottawa County self contained in a district in Ottawa County and keep it out of Kent County. Same for Ionia County which is rural.	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-10-17 19:52:17+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like	<p>This map keeps two large cities together which share common interests in minority Community of Interests and common needs of a metropolitan area (i.e. public safety, education and healthcare).</p>	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-10-17 19:45:30+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike	<p>The city of Novi should not be divided into 2 districts. This dilutes the Asian-American voices. Our city already has 4 different school districts - splitting our city into different districts further adds undue complexity. Please keep Novi together.</p> <p>I really like how this map keeps Grand Rapids aligned to Kalamazoo as they share very common characteristics and interests in both being metropolitan areas and shared interest in the vast Medical centers in the West MI area.</p>	Marian Mahoney	2021-10-17 19:43:51+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like		KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-10-17 19:42:12+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	The city of Novi should not be divided into 2 districts. This dilutes the Asian-American voices. Our city already has 4 different school districts - splitting our city into different districts further adds undue complexity. Please keep Novi together.	Marian Mahoney	2021-10-17 19:41:06+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	The city of Novi should not be divided into 2 districts. This dilutes the Asian-American voices. Our city already has 4 different school districts - splitting our city into different districts further also adds undue complexity. Please keep Novi together.	Marian Mahoney	2021-10-17 19:37:01+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	I don't like this map - eliminate Delta Township and it would be better.	Amy Teare	2021-10-17 18:46:30+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	District 10 in this version is not good. Combining western Oakland County with Port Austin and communities along Lake Huron makes no sense whatsoever. We have more in common with Commerce, West Bloomfield and and Pontiac than those northeastern areas. At the least, county boundaries should not be broken or crossed willy-nilly.	Ethyl M Rivera	2021-10-17 18:44:27+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	So this area is also familiar to me. By making the lines like this the non-city area combinations are limited. This is a good map!	Amy Teare	2021-10-17 18:41:59+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I often go to this area to visit relatives. Keep this area together - this is fair.	Amy Teare	2021-10-17 18:38:40+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Bay City and Midland are so close and often considered a single area. This is a good map!	Amy Teare	2021-10-17 18:36:01+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I went to U of M and so I know that area. The suburbs are good to include to create a fair map.	Amy Teare	2021-10-17 18:31:53+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I like keeping the shore addresses together. Maybe include Saugatuck??	Amy Teare	2021-10-17 18:29:07+00

Partisan fairness is more important than following city/county lines and making compact districts. Funny shapes are okay - what's NOT okay is a system that doesn't adequately represent the people.

These district lines will control what happens in Michigan for the next 10 years. It is imperative that every single person reading this email

10-04-21 v2 SD	Opinion	speak up about this injustice. Better choice for fairness is to divide the city into two parts,	Marvin Dunn	2021-10-17 18:24:28+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	going north and south. Better choice for fairness is to divide the city into two parts,	Amy Teare	2021-10-17 18:24:10+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	going north and south. This creates a more balanced map - Ann Arbor needs to be	Amy Teare	2021-10-17 18:24:09+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like		divided	Amy Teare	2021-10-17 18:17:28+00

This map of District 10 is ridiculous. An example of gerrymandering, which is what the Commission is supposed to eliminate. White Lake has nothing in common with Port Austin and other north/northeastern areas? Districts should have some semblance of continuity within the boundaries. Please redo. There are options.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Ethyl M Rivera

2021-10-17 18:02:27+00

This is probably the best congressional map I have seen for the Tri-Cities and Flint. You placed Tuscola County in the Thumb where it belongs, kept 3 counties whole (Bay, Saginaw, Genesee) and kept most of Midland in here too. Excellent job. I didn't look at the efficiency scores or partisan lean for the entire map, so if that needs more work please continue to do so. We need maps that split the possible seats as evenly as possible. Michigan is 54% Democratic and the possible seats they can win should reflect that.

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-17 17:50:03+00

Are you kidding me? I have been very civil on all my comments, but this doesn't even make sense. You ignored the clear COI of the Tri-Cities and Flint that most of the commissioners have included in their maps just so you could place Midland in this central district. This map seems to be drawn directly to support John Moolenaar. You know drawing a map directly in favor of a politician is illegal. You even put most of Gladwin in a district with Bay, Saginaw and Flint?! That makes absolutely no sense. Go back to the versions of the map that put the Tri-Cities and Flint together in a congressional district. This is one is awful.

10-11-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-17 17:47:23+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>I am so happy that the commission keeps remembering the COI of the Tri-Cities and Flint. I really appreciate it. I would suggest that Tuscola County be moved to the Thumb district as it is a more rural and agrarian area and more closely aligns with the Thumb. That would allow you to put more of Midland County into this district with the Tri-Cities and Flint.</p>	Jennifer Austin	2021-10-17 17:43:34+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	<p>I appreciate the commission continually respecting the Tri-Cities COI in their map creation, but I don't like this district. First, as the only cities even close together, Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw should be together in a congressional district. There are no other cities that even come close to the populations of these 4 urban/suburban area and we should not be put with northern rural counties.</p>	Jennifer Austin	2021-10-17 17:40:32+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>don't concentrate like minded voters in one district</p>	Thomas Cornfield, PhD	2021-10-17 17:01:33+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Easttown doesn't match up well with the west side of Grand Rapids. A better fit would be putting Easttown with the northeast section of Grand Rapids.	Sheila Martin	2021-10-17 16:48:03+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	The airport isn't farmland, it belongs with a metro area district.	Sheila Martin	2021-10-17 16:45:11+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Cascade Township is not rural, it's suburban and should be with Kentwood or Grand Rapids.	Sheila Martin	2021-10-17 16:42:49+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Keep the Hispanic areas of Grand Rapids and Wyoming together; don't split them up and lessen their voice.	Sheila Martin	2021-10-17 16:38:40+00
10-14-21 v2 SD RL	Like	This is the one of the best proposals for District 28 - including all of Monroe and Lenawee counties together with an eastern slice of Hillsdale County. This proposal seems to actually be based on the Community of Interest priority.	Thomas Heck	2021-10-17 16:34:45+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Do not split up the city of Wyoming. It's the second largest city in Kent County and shouldn't be split. Keep that community of interest together. It should not be linked to rural Byron Township; this wouldn't be the best for either.</p> <p>This is a terrible proposal for District 16 - Monroe County has no commonality with Ypsilanti and northern Monroe County has no commonality with the area of Wayne county this proposal adds it to (District 17). This appears to be a gerrymandering attempt to dilute Monroe County with more left leaning Ypsilanti and Wayne County. Go back to the previous proposal with Monroe and Lenawee Counties together as senate district.</p>	Sheila Martin	2021-10-17 16:33:07+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike		Thomas Heck	2021-10-17 16:30:39+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>Eastown doesn't really belong with the west side of Grand Rapids. It's more similar to the northeast part of the city. Their interests would be better served being grouped with NE Grand Rapids.</p>	Sheila Martin	2021-10-17 16:16:15+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This map puts together Ann Arbor and Ypsi for a packed district rather than respecting differences and providing balanced representation.	Stephanie Rowden	2021-10-17 16:12:12+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Cascade Township is really part of the Grand Rapids metro region; link it with a Grand Rapids House district.	Sheila Martin	2021-10-17 16:10:55+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	It would make more sense for the airport to be linked to the Grand Rapids metro region, rather than a rural district. Given its size, Kentwood should anchor a State House district. Link it with East Grand Rapids since the two areas are more like each other than they are Grand Rapids itself.	Sheila Martin	2021-10-17 16:01:08+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	This keeps the two urban areas in West Michigan together.	Sheila Martin	2021-10-17 15:58:48+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like		Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-17 15:51:31+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	I'm glad to see that the map kept Kent county whole but why is it including parts of Ottawa county? The farming community has very different challenges when compare to the suburban GR area.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-17 15:48:23+00

Lumping Kalamazoo to the framing community is a mistake. There are nothing in common!

Kalamazoo-GR is also the Healthcare Corridor: The links to the two important centers of healthcare, scientific research, and medical engineering manufacturing.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Pei-Lan Tsou

2021-10-17 15:45:54+00

The city of Wyoming should anchor its own State House district, not be split up. It's the second largest city in Kent County with a large Hispanic population. Add in the three nearby Grand Rapids districts that are heavily Hispanic and that community of interest will be together.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Sheila Martin

2021-10-17 15:45:32+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	In this map, the concentration of Democratic voters in Grand Rapids (the second largest city in Michigan " twice the size of Lansing) is disenfranchised. The Grand Rapids area includes 492,000 people in the six-city area (5% of the population of all of Michigan) with 53% Democrats. This is the significant concentration of Democratic voters in West Michigan and it should not be subject to gerrymandering.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-17 15:42:57+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	I live in Plainfield township. This map includes the urban GR area then it includes places like Cedar Spring, Sparta in the north and Lowell to the east that are farming communities. City governments have a much different challenges for government services than rural townships. Some examples are fire/police/emergency service, public transportation water/sewer compared to county/state police and well water.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-17 15:42:00+00

I live in Plainfield township. This map includes the urban GR area then it includes places like Cedar Spring and Sparta that are farming communities. City governments have a much different challenges for government services than rural townships. Some examples are fire/police/emergency service, public transportation water/sewer compared to county/state police and well water.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Pei-Lan Tsou

2021-10-17 15:40:08+00

Lumping Kalamazoo with the rural farming townships in the west is a mix of completely different areas-high population cities and rural farming areas. This map also completely dilute the representation of the minority in the west Michigan area.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Pei-Lan Tsou

2021-10-17 15:37:41+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Troy does NOT belong with Macomb County! We are an Oakland County city, with similar interests and concerns as other Oakland County residents, like Rochester, Rochester Hills and Bloomfield. Do NOT put us in Macomb County voting district. Our voices will not be heard.</p>	Denise Sauter	2021-10-17 15:35:33+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	<p>Livingston County should not be split. Cohoctah and Conway Townships belong with Fowlerville, not Davison. In a State Senate district, Meridian Township belongs with Lansing and East Lansing, not Brighton.</p>	Dan Wholihan	2021-10-17 15:35:33+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	<p>Makeup of this district is a crazy mix of working class, very affluent and rural. The city governments have a much different challenges for government services than rural townships, some examples are fire/police/emergency service, public transportation water/sewer compared to county/state police and well water.</p>	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-17 15:32:12+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Lumping Kalamazoo to the west is just terrible. The urban area is completely different from the agricultural rural area in the west. In addition, the GR-Kzoo District includes the major centers of African-Americans, Hispanics and other racial minorities in western Michigan. 31% of the total population of the district is non-white (250,000 people total). This is a diluting the minority representation in west Michigan area,	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-17 15:29:24+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	This map concentrate all the 12 higher education institutions in western Michigan connected by busy US-131 highway corridor. I'm a scientist that works on Covid 19 research. The GR-Kzoo district links two important centers of healthcare, scientific research, and medical engineering manufacturing. Pfizer and Stryker are two example of such.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-17 15:25:06+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like		Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-17 15:23:38+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Allendale township and Coopersville should belong to Ottawa county, not lump together with GR area.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-17 15:16:48+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Ottawa County should not be a part of Grand Rapids district.	Chris	2021-10-17 15:10:58+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy needs to be with Oakland to maintain the current Community Interests. Please don't change	Vennie Balaraman	2021-10-17 13:08:10+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	In addition to eliminating the cohesion and identity we have now in Oakland County, all three of the senate maps takes a small chunk of Beverly Hills residents and moves them out of the Village and into some other town. You are breaking our own neighborhood community and connection to our village!	Rosemary Bayer	2021-10-17 12:19:46+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Opinion	You have Milford in district ten so go head and add new hudson into district 10. when you add south lyon to district 5 and give 7 stockbridge and white oak and bunker Hill from 5.	daniel cassidy	2021-10-17 10:06:03+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Opinion	put south lyon in district 5 and give 7 some rural territory from 5 stockbridge up white oak and west going to Bunker Hill	daniel cassidy	2021-10-17 09:55:48+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	District 6 should remove Rochester and Rochester Hills. Place those in ten district instead and take district ten territory right underneath new Baltimore going east up to marine city. Put more rural areas into district 6. Make it more moderate.	daniel cassidy	2021-10-17 09:42:30+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Opinion	Plymouth and canton into district 3 . South Field on and Oak Park into 2. Romulus and Wayne go to District 7 southfield and oak park should be in district 2 and move romulus and wayne to district 7 and plymount and conton to go into district 3	daniel cassidy	2021-10-17 09:36:14+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Opinion	Thank you for putting Troy rest of oakand counties cities that are similar priorities and interests.	daniel cassidy	2021-10-17 09:34:02+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like		Vital Anne	2021-10-17 09:27:49+00

Troy belongs to Oakland County and needs to remain it.

Our highly diverse population is oriented to the attitudes and beliefs held by the typical Oakland County voter, and many of us do not have such conservative views as a typical Macomb County voter. Our voices could be lost. Do NOT redraw boundaries placing Troy in Macomb County. We are from Oakland County and want to stay that way.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Sandra Matthews

2021-10-17 05:34:32+00

I recommend the BIRCH proposed map be adopted. The Lansing area residents will get fair representation in the legislature, and this will help create a fair statewide representation. The most legislative seats should go to the party with the most votes, and BIRCH will help accomplish this.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

laurie stewart

2021-10-17 04:14:02+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Thank you for creating this new map of districts. I'm wondering why this new district goes so far west into Ottawa County. The current senate district 28 just covers Kent County but it stretches way too far south. This proposed district stretches too far west. I agree Kent County should be divided better than it currently is but I don't think this is the answer.	Pamela Bloink	2021-10-17 03:57:34+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	As a 22 year resident of Troy, I like Troy being placed with communities that are very similar. COI and Partisan fairness is preserved in this map.	Hirak Chanda	2021-10-17 03:23:17+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	I agree with Sharon Baseman. These north-south vertical housedistricts violate COI and will likely deprive Detroit of adequate representation contrary to the VRA.	Murray A Gorchow	2021-10-17 02:45:04+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Birmingham has no COI with Macomb County. It belongs with Oakland County. It is the heart of south Oakland County. Oakland County should not be split up into what looks like 5 different CDs.	Murray A Gorchow	2021-10-17 02:26:09+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	I like that Southfield is included with nearby portions of Detroit.	Murray A Gorchow	2021-10-17 02:18:15+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I like this Congressional map. Good on COI and partisan fairness.	Murray A Gorchow	2021-10-17 02:14:10+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This district packs Democrats together. There should be a better way.	Patricia Robertson	2021-10-17 00:00:31+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Plymouth and Northville are communities of interest, sharing many common concerns, interests and issues. They should be in the same representative district	Patricia Robertson	2021-10-16 23:54:01+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Plymouth and Northville are communities of interest, sharing many common issues, services and concerns. I think these communities should be in the same district.	Patricia Robertson	2021-10-16 23:50:52+00

I like the fact that in the Commission map #229 that in Ada/Ada Township where I live it has Cascade Township in my district, no. 96 for a Michigan House district. Being a few miles south and close to the East 28th street shopping district, a main shopping corridor in Cascade Township, which includes the Cascade Meijer, Cascade Township is in my COI which I go to several times per week for shopping and 2 of my community groups. My part of Ada Township is close to Grand Rapids and the East Beltline corridor which also goes through Grand Rapids Township. My part of Ada Township is largely residential and is very much like the other residential and commercial suburban townships like Cascade, Plainfield, and Grand Rapids Townships.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Like

These maps are also good

Robert Dragan

2021-10-16 23:29:08+00

I like very much that in the Commission maps #227, #228, and #229 that in Ada/Ada Township where I live it has Cascade Township in my district, no. 96 for a Michigan House district. Being a few miles south and close to the East 28th street shopping district, a main shopping corridor in Cascade Township, which includes the Cascade Meijer, Cascade Township is in my COI which I go to several times per week for shopping and 2 of my community groups. My part of Ada Township is close to Grand Rapids and the East Beltline corridor which also goes through Grand Rapids Township. My part of Ada Township is largely residential and is very much like the other residential and commercial suburban townships like Cascade, Plainfield, and Grand Rapids Townships.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Like

Robert Dragan

2021-10-16 23:22:45+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Please include Cascade township in district #24. It makes no sense to put Cascade with the rural area to the east. It is where the airport locates and all of us in GR use that airport. In addition, Cascade and GR shares a school district.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-16 23:03:27+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Correction to my previous comment. My Grand Rapids city ward 2 runs to Wealthy, not Fulton. Having this map go to Wealthy would be more beneficial for maintaining our community of interest.	Cindy Krieg	2021-10-16 21:41:45+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Correction to my previous comment in District 24, the natural dividing line between wards in Grand Rapids is Wealthy, not Fulton.	Cindy Krieg	2021-10-16 21:35:27+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Correction to my previous comment, the dividing line for Grand Rapids would be best suited if it was Wealthy, not Fulton.	Cindy Krieg	2021-10-16 21:25:11+00

10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Like	<p>District 7 - appreciate the understanding that Milan in Washtenaw and Monroe Counties has more in common with Ann Arbor than with communities in Monroe or Lenawee county. I'm happier with this map than some I've seen.</p>	Jillyn Schultz	2021-10-16 21:20:47+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	<p>District 7 in this map includes all of Milan, not just Washtenaw which is good. I don't think Ann Arbor belongs with anything in Livingston county. Chelsea and Dexter have much more in common with Ann Arbor than Livingston County.</p> <p>This district 12 map is a very much improved map of like, rural communities. Although it covers a very large area it puts areas of similar sizes with the same representatives who can concentrate on our shared issues and concerns.</p>	Jillyn Schultz	2021-10-16 21:08:18+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions	Like		Betty Jo Nelson	2021-10-16 21:00:08+00

I like very much that in the Commission maps #227, #228, and #229 that in Ada/Ada Township where I live it has Cascade Township in my district, no. 96 for a Michigan House district. Being a few miles south and close to the East 28th shopping district, such as the Cascade Meijer, Cascade Township is in my COI which I go to several times per week for shopping and 2 of my community groups. My part of Ada Township is close to Grand Rapids and the East Beltline corridor. My part of Ada Township is largely residential and is very much like the other residential and commercial suburban townships like Cascade, Plainfield, and Grand Rapids Townships. The Commission has done a good job in putting these townships together or nearly all together in these 3 Commission maps (227 - 229). Robert Dragan

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

2021-10-16 20:54:04+00

10\_2\_ DustinWidjets Dislike I don't understand why you would divide Ovid and Elsie into different districts. They share the same school systems, Ovid -Elsie school. The students as well as families are entwined in shared life issues. How confusing to put them in different districts that would give them different representation. This confusion is not necessary. Betty Jo Nelson 2021-10-16 20:40:38+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like I believe this is the best map we can have for the lakeshore communities. It allows similar people and economies that face similar issues to have those issues heard and focused on by our representative while allowing for increased cooperation and city planning. With increased focus on the rising lake level and the good & bad effects of tourist focused economies this map will be greatly appreciated by itâ€™s residents. Andrew Graeber 2021-10-16 20:20:13+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	I work in Allendale township. These 4 Ottawa County townships are rural, including a 25K university population and do not belong in a community of interest with Metro Grand Rapids. Keep Ottawa Whole!	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-16 20:16:10+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Cascade Township is very much part of Grand Rapids and should be associated with it, not with Battle Creek. Cascade Township contains the Gerald R Ford airport which is THE airport for the Grand Rapids metro area. I use this airport even though I live in the north end of the county. Please put this in with the Northern State Senate district #24. Cascade Township is suburban Grand Rapids, shares a school district with GR and should be part of the Grand Rapids Metro region.	Jessica Wolin	2021-10-16 20:14:19+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion		Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-16 20:13:57+00

Clarkston & Independence Township belong in 3, not district 10. If you have to move a community out, keep Lake Orion with Oxford, Brandon and Leonard. Swap South Lyon and Northville. Northville should be in 7, it is not even part of Oakland County. District 10 just looks like an attempt to pack republicans from various diverse areas into 1 district thereby marginalizing their voices.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-16 20:13:21+00

The boundary between the Senate Districts #23 & #24 should be Wealthy St not Fulton. Wealthy St follows the Ward line inside of Grand Rapids and the Wards are core Communities of Interest to the City. Wealthy St is a ward line and the wards were established 40 years ago. Thank you for unpacking Grand Rapids.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

Pei-Lan Tsou

2021-10-16 20:11:42+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Clarkston & Independence Township belong in 3, not district 10. If you have to move a community out, keep Lake Orion with Oxford, Brandon and Leonard. Swap South Lyon and Northville. Northville should be in 7, it is not even part of Oakland County.	Lisa	2021-10-16 20:11:17+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Clarkston & Independence Township belong in 3, not district 10. If you have to move a community out, keep Lake Orion with Oxford, Brandon and Leonard. Swap South Lyon and Northville. Northville should be in 7, it is not even part of Oakland County.	Lisa	2021-10-16 20:09:37+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	I live in Kent County but works in Allendale township. Allendale is a university town with 25K student population in the middle of the corn field. It's not the same demographic as Grand Rapids. Keep Ottawa county together.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-16 20:08:07+00

Clarkston & Independence  
Township belong in 3, not  
district 10. If you have to  
move a community out, keep  
Lake Orion with Oxford,  
Brandon and Leonard. Swap  
South Lyon and Northville.  
Northville should be in 7, it is  
not even part of Oakland  
County.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-16 20:07:19+00

This map splits up the lakeshore communities that have common cultures and economies. Residents often drive up and down the coast for work, shopping, and leisure resulting in the entire coast feeling like our community. Meanwhile rural residents in the east of the County choose to live there to get away from the busyness of the coast towns. The governance needed for maintaining the growing coast cities often seems like unnecessary oversteps for inland rural residents, and the hands off approach the inland residents like tends to leave the coast cities out to dry. These are conflicting needs that don't need to be forced together, and each could be properly addressed with a different map.

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Andrew Graeber

2021-10-16 20:07:16+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	We would like Troy to stay with Oakland County for voting district purposes, so that our votes are counted along with other voices who share similar characteristics and concerns. We fear that our voices would be diluted if we were joined with Macomb.	Dan Pace	2021-10-16 20:07:07+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Independence Township and the remainder of the Clarkston area should be kept as whole as possible and added to this district. Ada is a suburban township where Amway head quarter is located, it is an affluent suburban neighborhood, not a rural one. This should be linked to the west with the Grand Rapids metro region. East Grand Rapids should be linked with Kentwood instead of Grand Rapids. Keep the 6 cities together!	Lisa	2021-10-16 20:05:02+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike		Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-16 20:02:58+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Eastown does not belong with a NW GR district. It belongs with a Northeast GR district. I used to live in Walker/Standale area. Grandville and Walker are very similar and they share services like schools districts and garbage services. Keep these 2 cities together!</p>	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-16 20:00:43+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>I suggest you remove W Bloomfield from this district and add the remainder of Independence Township and the Clarkston area. This aligns much better with COIs and the numerous comments on these maps.</p>	Lisa	2021-10-16 20:00:26+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	<p>Ottawa County has a very different demographic from Kent County and should not be included in this district.</p>	jessica wolin	2021-10-16 19:59:52+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>It makes no sense to put Cascade township with rural districts. This is a suburb of Grand Rapids/Kentwood and should be in a district with those areas. Not to mention that they share the same school districts.</p> <p>Please also put the airport (Cascade township) back in with the Grand Rapids metro. That is the airport that we use all the time. It should not be in with the farming districts.</p>	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-16 19:58:53+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>Please consider mapping to include a lakeshore district.</p> <p>Thank you</p>	Gretta Van Bree	2021-10-16 19:58:33+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>I would recommend removing W Bloomfield (from Pontiac Trail south) from this district and adding the remainder of Independence Township/Clarkston area which are more closely aligned to this district.</p> <p>Wealthy St. should be the southern boundary, not Fulton St. That would be consistent with the existing ward boundary.</p>	Lisa	2021-10-16 19:55:51+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion		jessica wolin	2021-10-16 19:55:09+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>Easttown should be in with a NE GR district, not the west side.</p> <p>Please keep Northview and Grand Rapids township together. They share the Northview School district!</p>	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-16 19:54:57+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>I used to live in this area. South part of Walker actually share the Grandville school district. Please put Grandville in with Walker.</p> <p>Walker &amp; Grandville are very similar cities, with working class people and strong Catholic populations. People live in Walker actually shop at the malls in Grandville area. Please keep these 2 cities together!</p>	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-16 19:54:14+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-16 19:52:54+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion I think it's a mistake to divide Wyoming. They have a population of 77,000 and definitely make up a community of interest. I think it would be much better to keep the city all together in one district. This would also better represent our Hispanic population in Kent County. Under this map, hispanic communities are divided and risk being under represented. Max Gordon Aulbach 2021-10-16 19:52:02+00

10-08-21 v2 HD Opinion I think it's a mistake to divide Wyoming. They have a population of 77,000 and definitely make up a community of interest. I think it would be much better to keep the city all together in one district. This would also better represent our Hispanic population in Kent County. Under this map, hispanic communities are divided and risk being under represented. Max Gordon Aulbach 2021-10-16 19:51:09+00

Kentwood is the 3rd largest city in Kent County and should anchor a State House district.

East Grand Rapids and Kentwood are similar suburban areas of Grand Rapids and should be linked together.

They are more similar to each other than with the actual city of Grand Rapids.

Cascade township is part of the Grand Rapids metro region. They also share a school district, it should be included with a Grand Rapids district.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Pei-Lan Tsou

2021-10-16 19:49:48+00

Keep Waterford whole!  
There is no reason to divide this community!

10-08-21 v1 HD Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-16 19:49:19+00

Gerald Ford Airport is definitely part of Grand Rapids and should be included in district 24. Separating it from Grand Rapids makes this map look gerrymandered, something I believe we are trying to avoid.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

Jessica Wolin

2021-10-16 19:48:29+00

10-08-21 v2 HD      Opinion      I think it would be worthwhile to keep Ward 2 of Grand Rapids together. I'm a lifelong resident of Ward 2 and feel it would be better remain with my Ward 2 in terms of state house representation.      Max Gordon Aulbach      2021-10-16 19:48:08+00

10-08-21 v1 HD      Dislike      Do not divide Waterford Township, as others have noted this is unnecessary and disenfranchises the residents of Waterford, Pontiac, Auburn Hills and Bloomfield. There also seems to be a concerted attempt to fragment Townships into Cities or a district made up of fragments of a number of Townships. What is the purpose of this other than to dilute the voices of the residents in these areas? Also, it is very telling that the only Township even remotely intact in the area is home to one of the Commissioners. Correct this!      John      2021-10-16 19:47:42+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	I think it would be worthwhile to keep Ward 2 of Grand Rapids together. I'm a lifelong resident of Ward 2 and feel it would be better remain with my Ward 2 in terms of state house representation.	Max Gordon Aulbach	2021-10-16 19:47:09+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	If this district was expanded slightly to the north it would make a great Hispanic District.	Frank Lynn	2021-10-16 19:46:54+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Opinion	I think it would be worthwhile to keep Ward 2 of Grand Rapids together. I'm a lifelong resident of Ward 2 and feel it would be better remain with my Ward 2 in terms of state house representation.	Max Gordon Aulbach	2021-10-16 19:43:45+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Do not split the community of Waterford! This is not necessary under any of the VRA, COI or Population requirements. This is completely unnecessary and further results in the splitting of the Pontiac/Auburn Hills/Bloomfield Hills community, which is also unnecessary, as the districts as originally drawn were VRA and population compliant and kept communities of interest together. There have been many, many comments from the residents of all these districts on this matter; this needs to be fixed!	Tom	2021-10-16 19:42:28+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Wyoming should not be split up. It is the second biggest city in Kent County and should have it's own district. It also has a large Hispnaic population.	Frank Lynn	2021-10-16 19:38:28+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Opinion	I think it's a mistake to divide Wyoming. They have a population of 77,000 and definitely make up a community of interest. I think it would be much better to keep the city all together in one district.	Max Aulbach	2021-10-16 19:36:37+00

I agree with the other commenters, the Pontiac, Bloomfield, Auburn Hills area should be kept together as should Waterford. It appears that Townships are being split en masse and shoved, in small portions, into cities with which they have no relationship or in districts with small fragments of many townships, diminishing each their voices. It is rather telling that the only Township that is anywhere near whole is the home district of one of the Commissioners. This needs to be corrected immediately.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

John

2021-10-16 19:31:16+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Keep Waterford whole, there is no need to separate a small section of this community into a district where there is no COI and the small number of population makes it impossible for Waterford citizens to help or hinder anything brought forward. This could also impair the residents of the Pontiac district from bringing a unified voice to Lansing. Fix this!	Tom	2021-10-16 19:26:10+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	These maps divide too many communities and do not take COIs into consideration. Keep Waterford and other communities whole and with their COIs! I agree with the other commenter that Pontiac and Auburn Hills should be in a district together, also keep Waterford whole. There is no need to divide these communities and deny them their voices.	Tom	2021-10-16 19:21:18+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike		John	2021-10-16 19:19:08+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Thank you for making 2 Grand Rapids centered State Senate seats. This city deserves 2 representatives in the Senate. Thanks for your hard work. Please don't split out City wards. Fulton St. should not be the boundary for this district. It should be Wealthy St. Wealthy is the boundary between the 2nd & 3rd	Rocky Adams	2021-10-16 19:13:42+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Wards. Thanks.	Frank Lynn	2021-10-16 19:13:23+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	bad split Troy should stay in Oakland County as it stands today. It's a BIG NO from me and my family. Troy has nothing in common with cities within Macomb County.	Anthony Scannell	2021-10-16 19:00:06+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Cascade Township has nothing to do with Battle Creek. It is part of the Grand Rapids metro area. They shop in Kentwood/GR and house the Grand Rapids airport. Wyoming should be kept together. The Hispanic vote would not be represented with the proposed district lines.	Cindy Xavier	2021-10-16 18:40:14+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		Frank Lynn	2021-10-16 18:12:59+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike		Jenna Williams	2021-10-16 18:10:06+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Kentwood, Cascade, and East Grand Rapids are all suburbs of Grand Rapids. It would make more sense to keep the three together.	Jenna Williams	2021-10-16 18:08:53+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Cascade Township should be part of district 24. It is part of the Grand Rapids area.	Jenna Williams	2021-10-16 18:06:08+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Ottawa County is a very rural area and should not be included in the Grand Rapids Metro district.	Jenna Williams	2021-10-16 18:04:55+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Cascade Township is part of Grand Rapids, it should be in district 24.	Jenna Williams	2021-10-16 18:02:21+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	These Ottawa County areas are very rural and should not be included in the Metro Grand Rapids district.	Jenna Williams	2021-10-16 18:01:16+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Wyoming shouldn't be split. There is a strong Hispanic representation in this area with lots of local business owners being Hispanic. Please keep Wyoming together. The current map dilute the Hispanic representation in the area.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-16 17:46:18+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	In district 86 Wyoming and Kentwood shouldn't be together (see comment on not splitting Wyoming). It makes more sense to combine Kentwood with Cascade/East Grand Rapids.	Joel Ombry	2021-10-16 17:34:59+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Similar suburbs. Thank you. In district 79 Wyoming shouldn't be split. This dilutes Hispanic representation in this area. Please keep Wyoming together. Thank you.	Joel Ombry	2021-10-16 17:31:06+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This boundary between 23 & 24 should be Wealthy St, I'm not sure why it's currently on Fulton. Wealthy is a natural city boundary between the different COI's within Grand Rapids.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-16 17:29:29+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO	Dislike	Why is the Village of Oxford and Lake Orion in a district with Auburn Hills and Bloomfield Hills? There is no community of interest between these municipalities. They should be in a district with Brandon, Ortonville, Leonard and Romeo.	Lisa	2021-10-16 17:28:12+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO	Dislike	Keep Waterford whole!	Tom	2021-10-16 17:23:37+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	There is no reason to separate a portion of Waterford from the rest of the community. Keep Waterford united!	Tom	2021-10-16 17:21:23+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Cascade Township, including the airport, should be included with the Northern GR district - District 24. Cascade really should be part of the northern Grand Rapids State Senate District 24 because it contains the airport that is so important to our metro area.	Franklin P. Crownover	2021-10-16 17:08:54+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	The second ward in Grand Rapids goes south to Fulton St. It would make sense to have the Senate map run to Fulton rather than Wealthy St.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-16 17:08:18+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Cascade should be part of the northern GR State Senate District 24 because it contains the airport that is so important to our metro area.	Cindy Krieg	2021-10-16 17:08:10+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		Deb Havens	2021-10-16 17:06:01+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO	Dislike	Why are you dividing and combining communities that have historically never been done and are unrelated? This disenfranchises all involved. There have been many comments on this district from both communities. Keep the communities of interest and the local municipalities intact.	John	2021-10-16 17:05:57+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Hi there. Taking a look at the boundaries, Cascade town should should be Ada Township, not Ottawa.	Traci Morrisette	2021-10-16 17:05:56+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Ottawa should be kept whole as it is a rural area and would not be well represented as it's interest would conflict with the Metropolitan Grand Rapids Area.	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-10-16 17:05:24+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Cascade Twp should be part of District 24	Chris Crandall	2021-10-16 17:05:15+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Cascade Township is an integral part of Grand Rapids and should be in District 24	Donna E Farris	2021-10-16 17:05:11+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Ottawa wants to be kept whole! Please listen to them.	Emily Brooke	2021-10-16 17:04:33+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Cascade Township and the GR airport are part of metro GR. They should be part of district 24 rather than district 3.	Joel Ombry	2021-10-16 17:04:20+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Cascade should be in District 24 with Forest Hills and Ada, those are a much more coherent community of interest than Cascade with the rest of its current district. I work at GVSU in Ottawa county and the demographic is really different from Grand Rapids area. Keep Ottawa together away from Kent county.	Jeff Thomas	2021-10-16 17:04:17+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	I live in Cascade Twp near the airport. It is much more aligned to District 24 and East Grand Rapids. The GR Airport services the metropolitan Grand Rapids Area and should be included in District 24. The district boundary should be on Weathy St to be consistent with Grand Rapids ward lines.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-16 17:03:36+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	These portions of Ottawa County should not be tied to metro Grand Rapids. Keep Ottawa together.	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-10-16 17:02:19+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		Jeff Thomas	2021-10-16 17:01:53+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		Jeff Thomas	2021-10-16 17:01:11+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	My Grand Rapids city ward 2 currently runs to Fulton St. It would make sense for District 24 to run to Fulton instead of Wealthy St.	Cindy Krieg	2021-10-16 17:00:48+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	The Waterford community should not be divided. Pontiac should not be separated from their COIs of Auburn Hills and Bloomfield. This map divides too many communities. The House districts are supposed to be the most local part of our representation, yet you divide them all up. Keep communities intact. The southern boundary of proposed district 24 should be Wealthy. This lines up with the ward boundary.	John	2021-10-16 17:00:42+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Thank you.	Joel Ombry	2021-10-16 17:00:24+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Keep Ottawa County together Though I live in the north side of Kent county, we use the Grand Rapids airport. It	Donna E Farris	2021-10-16 16:59:27+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	belong in district 24.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-16 16:58:49+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Grand Rapids is the urban center with great diversity. The Ottawa rural area are not. Those areas should be kept with Ottawa county. and more urban areas of Grand Rapids should be added.	Frank Lynn	2021-10-16 16:58:24+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Cascade should be in 24 with Forest Hills and Ada, many more shared interests than with the areas to the south and west.	Jeffrey Thomas	2021-10-16 16:56:21+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Rural Ottawa County should not be included with a Metro area such as Grand Rapids. Appreciate the unpacking of Grand Rapids. However, the inclusion of Ottawa County rural areas in this map doesn't fit with Grand Rapids metro	Franklin P. Crownover	2021-10-16 16:56:15+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	COI. Thank you. Cascade TWP is a part of the Grand Rapids Metro and should be included in Senate	Joel Ombry	2021-10-16 16:55:59+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	district 24. The Grand Rapids airport is an important part of our city and	Emily Boyer	2021-10-16 16:55:31+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	should be in Dist. 24.	Donna E Farris	2021-10-16 16:55:17+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Rural Ottawa townships should not be in a district with suburban N Kent County.	Fred Overeem	2021-10-16 16:54:22+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	I live in Cascade Township near the Airport. Cascade Twp is more aligned to district 24 (Grand Rapids) than anything south. The Airport services the Metro Grand Rapids area and should be in the same district as Grand Rapids (District 24).	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-10-16 16:54:20+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Ottawa County should not be a part of the urban GR area. The district boundry should be at Wealthy St. to be consistent with Grand Rapids wards.	Chris Crandall	2021-10-16 16:53:28+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	It's great to break out the district in Grand Rapids, but there are better ways than intruding into Ottawa County because those townships are rural and don't fit the GR urban area.	Jeffrey Thomas	2021-10-16 16:53:13+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion		Deb Havens	2021-10-16 16:51:24+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	<p>I work in Allendale area and live in Kent county. I like that Grand Rapids area is in one district. Ottawa county is a very different community compare to Kent county. The GVSU campus is also located in Allendale. The demographic there is very different from Grand Rapids metro.</p>	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-16 16:51:09+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	<p>This portion of Ottawa county does not share a community of interest with the city of Grand Rapids. It should be kept with the rest of the county.</p>	Jeffrey Thomas	2021-10-16 16:48:48+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	<p>Ottawa County is primarily rural and lower in population that does not reflect the metropolitan Grand Rapids area. Grand Rapids should be self contained in district 24 and not span into Ottawa. Anyone representing District 24 would have very conflicting constituent interests where someone would not be adequately represented (probably Ottawa residents since they would be in the minority).</p>	KAREN T SANTELLI	2021-10-16 16:47:11+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Again another map that puts most of warren with areas that do not have the same values and requirements for representation. There seems to be a common theme of taking out the fairness of Macomb county in these maps.	James Green	2021-10-16 16:44:10+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	Rochester in a district with Eastpointe? Come on. Please explain that COI. This is ridiculous. These districts DO NOT represent COIs and are unfair to the communities involved.	Lisa	2021-10-16 16:42:41+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	This map seems to clearly show that Warren was added to the Detroit district to make is seemed balanced. This does nothing for the city of warren.	James Green	2021-10-16 16:40:23+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	Leonard, Waterford and Clarkston in a district with Flint? Seems a bit absurd.	Lisa	2021-10-16 16:40:00+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	This maps really makes warrens vote pointless for any congressional seats.	James Green	2021-10-16 16:38:52+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>the 5,3,6,and 7th districts are terrible. The 6th district has been completely gerrymandered. Please explain how Rochester is a COI with Eastpointe. Why are Brighton and Howell separated? This map is ridiculous and frankly insulting to those communities who have been decimated. Fix this!</p> <p>Really at this point i am not sure what the difference in between the three maps. All of this maps are looking at going vertical and break up citys and combining them in with other multiple citys above or below them. This is going to result in areas not getting the representation they need. The current maps do a better job than this right now.</p>	Lisa	2021-10-16 16:37:44+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>Another map that break the city of warren up with half being shared with sterling heights and the other districts with Detroit. I don't see how this helps warren get proper representation nor Detroit.</p>	James Green	2021-10-16 16:36:49+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>Another failure by this group.</p>	James Green	2021-10-16 16:33:53+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>We want Troy to stay with Rochester, Rochester hills and Bloomfield in Oakland County. Troy has nothing in common with folks in Macomb county. Please do not create this mess and make lives miserable. Thanks</p> <p>This map keeps communities intact and combines areas that are COIs. These areas are part of the "lakes area" and are members of the Lakes Area Chamber of Commerce. They are also fairly alike in socioeconomic and other demographics. This is a logical district. This will allow this COI to voice their concerns in a strong and unified voice.</p>	Vijayalakshmi Chandrani	2021-10-16 16:31:38+00
10-14-21 v2 SD RL	Like	<p>It seems like the goal of all three maps for state senate is to break up the city of warren. This is another map where the city is split vertically and has reach back into Detroit. Nothing of this helps to keep communities together. Would be better to have the largest third largest city in the state have their own district or more of their own districts.</p>	Lisa	2021-10-16 16:31:24+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike		James Green	2021-10-16 16:28:51+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>It seems like the goal of all three maps for state senate is to break up the city of warren. This is another map where the city is split vertically and has reach back into Detroit. Nothing of this helps to keep communities together. Would be better to have the largest third largest city in the state have their own district or more of their own districts.</p>	James Green	2021-10-16 16:28:50+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>It seems like the goal of all three maps for state senate is to break up the city of warren. This is another map where the city is split vertically and has reach back into Detroit. Nothing of this helps to keep communities together. Would be better to have the largest third largest city in the state have their own district or more of their own districts.</p>	James Green	2021-10-16 16:28:50+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Like	<p>Not perfect; but much better than most I have seen as far as clean districts and keeping communities together.</p>	Lisa	2021-10-16 16:26:34+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	I fail to see how we could call district 6 fair as these does not keep communities together. The needs to the district as it is draw here and too diverse. Same comment goes for district 7, you have a good portion of population of 3 different counties in one map. A poorly drawn map for sure.	James Green	2021-10-16 16:24:50+00
10-14-21 v1 SD RL	Dislike	Municipal boundaries are violated in this map. Throw it out. Gerrymandered again. Area north of Wealthy needs to be in District #24 - it is an established boundary.	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-16 16:22:41+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This is a rural area - belongs with Ottawa Co.	Susan Aalsburg	2021-10-16 16:22:32+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Wealthy St is a long established ward line and boundary please add the precincts north of Wealthy to District #24.	Susan Aalsburg	2021-10-16 16:20:21+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	The areas in Ottawa County are rural and are not part of the community of interest of the urban Grand Rapids area.	Susan Aalsburg	2021-10-16 16:16:20+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Throw it out. Gerrymandered!	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-16 16:10:51+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike			2021-10-16 16:08:47+00

10-06-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>This map unnecessarily splits multiple communities and townships. There is no VRA, COI or population requirement that necessitates this. This is pure gerrymandering.</p> <p>The most important thing we need these maps to be is partisan fairness. The commission is so far using voting data from 2012 to now with equal weightage. That should not be done. The electorate of ten years ago do not reflect how it will look over the next ten years. They should provide more weightage to the recent elections and strive to create fairer maps. As an example, the map proposed by AFL-CIO is much fairer than any of the maps the commission has proposed.</p>	Lisa	2021-10-16 15:53:30+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Why would cascade township be in district 96 when it is essentially an extension of Kentwood District 86. My husband works there.</p>	Shuvra Das	2021-10-16 14:46:06+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike		Dorothy Munson	2021-10-16 14:44:56+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>I am surprised that the 76 district where we live goes across the river to the east side. That's a busy city area with offices and the hospital. The west side is strictly middle class residential.</p> <p>The most important thing we need these maps to be is partisan fairness. The commission is so far using voting data from 2012 to now with equal weightage. That should not be done. The electorate of ten years ago do not reflect how it will look over the next ten years. They should provide more weightage to the recent elections and strive to create fairer maps. As an example, the map proposed by AFL-CIO is much fairer than any of the maps the commission has proposed.</p>	Dorothy Munson	2021-10-16 14:44:19+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>78 Suggest having a Grand Rapids south district East of interstate 131 north south freeway to form a great district to represent black people. There are many common issues and needs in that area</p>	Shuvra Dass	2021-10-16 14:44:04+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>that area</p>	Dorothy Munson	2021-10-16 14:43:37+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	86/79 Wyoming should be kept together and some area along Grandville avenue to the north should be in one district to represent the Hispanic population well. I spend a lot of time supporting the people in that area.	Dorothy Munson	2021-10-16 14:42:53+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Opinion	How can one tell if a proposed district achieves partisan fairness? I live in proposed district 7 and I suspect it doesn't meet this goal. There needs to be transparency on this issue.	Janice M Sovak	2021-10-16 14:42:09+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	The most important thing we need these maps to be is partisan fairness. The commission is so far ussing voting data from 2012 to now with equal weightage. That should not be done. The electorate of ten years ago do not reflect how it will look over the nnext ten yeras. They should provide more weightage to the reecent elections and strive to create fairer maps. As an example the map proposed by AFL-CIO is mmuch fairer than any of the maps the commission has proposed.	Shuvra Das	2021-10-16 14:41:37+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	<p>96 Why would cascade township be in district 96 when it is essentially an extension of Kentwood District 86. My husband works there.</p> <p>I am surprised that the 76 district where we live goes across the river to the east side. That's a busy city area with offices and the hospital. The west side is strictly middle class residential.</p>	Dorothy Munson	2021-10-16 14:41:25+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	<p>78 Suggest having a Grand Rapids south district East of interstate 131 north south freeway to form a great district to represent black people. There are many common issues and needs in that area.</p>	Dorothy Munson	2021-10-16 14:40:50+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	<p>Wyoming should be kept together and some area along Grandville avenue to the north should be in one district to represent the Hispanic population well. I spend a lot of time supporting the people in that area.</p>	Dorothy Munson	2021-10-16 14:40:17+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion		Dorothy Munson	2021-10-16 14:39:39+00

10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Please keep ALL of Lyndon Township in Washtenaw County. It makes no sense to split it. It is very small. I do basically everything in Washtenaw county. Yes, USPS uses the Pinckney post office to deliver my mail, but that is because of how their routes run. Our building department, sewer department, recycling department, health department, etc. are ALL in Washtenaw county. Please, please put us with our friends in Lyndon Township and our other main relationships in Washtenaw county.</p>	Linda Lorenz	2021-10-16 14:38:56+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>Why would cascade township be in district 96 when it is essentially an extension of Kentwood District 86. My husband works there. I am surprised that the 76 district where we live goes across the river to the east side. That's a busy city area with offices and the hospital. The west side is strictly middle class residential.</p>	Dorothy Munson	2021-10-16 14:37:23+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion		Dorothy Munson	2021-10-16 14:36:10+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Suggest having a Grand Rapids south district East of interstate 131 north south freeway to form a great district to represent black people. There are many common issues and needs in that area.	Dorothy Munson	2021-10-16 14:35:18+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I like that Ann Arbor is split into multiple districts. It supports the partisan fairness goal by spreading Dem votes across districts (i.e, don't pack them all into one district).	Janice M Sovak	2021-10-16 14:34:36+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Wyoming should be kept together and some area along Grandville avenue to the north should be in one district to represent the Hispanic population well. I spend a lot of time supporting the people in that area.	Dorothy Munson	2021-10-16 14:33:33+00
10-14-21 v2 SD RL	Dislike	Proposed district 27 cannot possibly meet the partisan fairness criteria, as it packs a lot of Dem voters into one district. Go back to previous versions where Ann Arbor was split into multiple districts, it was a better map.	Janice Sovak	2021-10-16 14:24:53+00

Keep northern Kent County  
together with district 24,  
move western edge to  
Ottawa county. Rockford  
should be with Plainfield as  
you have shown it, but keep  
Algoma and Courtland with  
Rockford and Plainfield

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

township

cheryl scales

2021-10-16 14:04:51+00

Breaking up the city of  
Midland from the surrounding  
areas (like Larkin Township),  
and adding Midland to other  
urban areas significantly  
dilutes the voice of the  
greater Midland area. The  
majority of Midland County  
residents work, shop,  
worship, or recreate in the  
City of Midland every day or  
at least every week. While  
the City of Midland shares  
some of the urban features of  
cities like Bay City, Saginaw,  
and Flint, each city is very  
unique in their strengths,  
challenges, and opportunities.  
As others have mentioned,  
flood recovery is and will  
continue to be a cohesive  
area of interest until at least  
the next redistricting. So, if  
for nothing else, I would  
recommend that the  
upcoming redistricting map  
continue to align the city of  
Midland and the greater  
Midland area.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Brian Callihan

2021-10-16 12:54:57+00

10-14-21 v2 SD RL	Dislike	Partisan Fairness in my area needs to be the priority. In my district, the opposing party hardly runs because the other party is so entrenched. Voters are disenfranchised and don't vote because the odds are so skewed. Please apply partisan fairness to all maps.	Constance Lippert	2021-10-16 12:16:54+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	I actually like this map for my district which is Milford. This district has traditionally been so partisan that frequently the opposing party does not even run because it's considered a waste. If this area is more politically balanced I believe that both political parties will have to be accountable to all the voters and not just the voters in their party. Thank you	Constance Lippert	2021-10-16 11:59:15+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I like the way this looks and appreciate the unpacking of this heavily Democratic district. Now each party has a fighting chance to compete and the candidates will be held accountable to everyone in the district, not just their party. Thank you	Constance Lippert	2021-10-16 11:50:49+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Opinion	Rochester and Rochester Hills should be placed in district 10 and give district 6 going east to Algonac. Keep New Baltimore in district 10. That would be the modification I would suggest. You have an opportunity to make 6 more moderate. Furthermore, by going east to Algonac into 6 you take away some conservative territory away from 10.	daniel cassidy	2021-10-16 11:23:57+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Opinion	I do like this map over all the best, Put Midland and Flint together in district 11. I do think it would make district 6 more moderate if you gave it going east to Algonac from district 10. Then Put Rochester and Rochester from 6 into 10 Instead.	daniel cassidy	2021-10-16 11:19:05+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Unevenly balanced -please do not use	Lisa K	2021-10-16 10:44:25+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Unevenly balanced -please do not use	Lisa K	2021-10-16 10:41:29+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Unevenly balanced -please do not use	Lisa K	2021-10-16 10:39:52+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	This is the only fair partisan map. Thank you	Lisa K	2021-10-16 10:35:21+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like This is the fairest map we've seen in years. Thank you for your hard work in creating this map. Lisa K 2021-10-16 10:22:36+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like This makes perfect sense and is the best representation of sw MI c.o.i. Many rural residents testified that they have nothing in common with the cities of Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo, at the public meetings. This map addresses that. Jim Pedersen 2021-10-16 09:49:16+00

Cascade township where I live should be in with Grand Rapids metro areas “ probably with Kentwood and East Grand Rapids city in 86. Don’t understand why would be in a district with townships south of us in Barry and Allegan counties. We are totally attached to Grand Rapids. The airport is nearby. I am also surprised that Ada township north of us is in the 96 district. It has the same close relationship with Grand Rapids six cities. It should be connected to the north side of Grand Rapids in a district there

10-08-21 v2 HD

Opinion

Lisa Lamancusa

2021-10-16 04:20:13+00

Actually the county is 55% Democratic in elections for the last 4 years. 55% of the population divided by 91,000 people per house district equates to 4 full Democratic seats required to match the balance of voting population. The latest Birch map is more balanced for partisanship and does a better job with community of interests.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Opinion

Rich Thrush

2021-10-16 03:48:21+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS

Like

George Dilgard

2021-10-16 03:29:19+00

Cascade township where I live should be in with Grand Rapids metro areas “ probably with Kentwood and East Grand Rapids city in 86. Don’t understand why would be in a district with townships south of us in Barry and Allegan counties. We are totally attached to Grand Rapids. The airport is nearby. I am also surprised that Ada township north of us is in the 96 district. It has the same close relationship with Grand Rapids six cities. It should be connected to the north side of Grand Rapids in a district there.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Lisa Lamancusa

2021-10-16 03:23:03+00

Cascade township where I live should be in with Grand Rapids metro areas “ probably with Kentwood and East Grand Rapids city in 86. Don’t understand why would be in a district with townships south of us in Barry and Allegan counties. We are totally attached to Grand Rapids. The airport is nearby. I am also surprised that Ada township north of us is in the 96 district. It has the same close relationship with Grand Rapids six cities. It should be connected to the north side of Grand Rapids in a district there.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Lisa Lamancusa

2021-10-16 03:17:51+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Yes - Farmington and Farmington Hills are together as we are one community. Yes[ Farmington and Farmington Hills should be together - we are one community.

Iori A Boyce

2021-10-16 02:07:30+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Like

Iori A Boyce

2021-10-16 02:06:20+00

Please note Farmington is surrounded by Farmington Hills and shares history, a school district, library system, community center and senior programs as well as social, sports and cultural activities. Clearly a COI. Further, Farmington hills appears to be split into at least 2 perhaps 3 districts. This will make it very difficult for our community to get representation in the legislature.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Iori A Boyce

2021-10-16 02:04:07+00

Farmington and Farmington Hills combined makes a state House district. Farmington Hills should not be split into 2 or 3 (can't tell on map). Farmington and Farmington Hills should be united in a district. We share a school district and library system and many community endeavors. Farmington Hills has a lot more in common with Farmington than Southfield. Farmington currently is drawn into Detroit (Wayne County).

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Iori A Boyce

2021-10-16 01:57:54+00

Farmington and Farmington Hills combined makes a state House district. Farmington Hills is currently split at least into 2 districts. Further, Farmington and Farmington Hills should be united in a district. We share a school district and library system and many community endeavors. Farmington Hills should not be split in two or more districts. Farmington Hills has a lot more in common with Farmington than Southfield. Farmington currently is drawn into Detroit (Wayne County).

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Iori A Boyce

2021-10-16 01:54:08+00

Farmington and Farmington Hills combined makes a state House district. Farmington Hills as currently drawn is split in 2, and with Farmington separate - makes 3 House districts for a single community. Farmington and Farmington Hills should be united in a district. We share a school district and library system and many community endeavors. Farmington Hills should not be split in two. Farmington Hills has a lot more in common with Farmington than Southfield. Farmington currently is drawn into Detroit (Wayne County).

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike		Iori A Boyce	2021-10-16 01:51:35+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The commission should ensure that districts are balanced.	Dari Brenda Stuhl	2021-10-16 01:43:32+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	79 I would say Wyoming should be together as there are many Spanish people there. Maybe need to add some of grand rapids on west side of freeway to keep the Spanish together.	Patricia Dawson	2021-10-16 01:10:14+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>76 Why is Easttown and Wilcox park in 76 northwest district-they are way east of the Grand River. We are west siders and have our own unique polish neighborhoods, churches and local businesses.</p> <p>79 I can see us being with Grandville because my grandson lives in walker but goes to Grandville schools</p>	Patricia Dawson	2021-10-16 01:09:14+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	<p>Why is Easttown and Wilcox park in 76 northwest district-they are way east of the Grand River. We are west siders and have our own unique polish neighborhoods, churches and local businesses.</p> <p>79 I can see us being with Grandville because my grandson lives in walker but goes to Grandville schools</p>	Patricia Dawson	2021-10-16 01:07:14+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Opinion	<p>I would say Wyoming should be together as there are many Spanish people there. Maybe need to add some of grand rapids there to keep the Spanish together.</p>	Patricia Dawson	2021-10-16 01:06:10+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	79 I would say Wyoming should be together as there are many Spanish people there. Maybe need to add some of grand rapids there to keep the Spanish together. Why is Easttown and Wilcox park in 76 northwest district- they are way east of the Grand River. We are west siders and have our own unique polish neighborhoods, churches and local businesses.	Patricia Dawson	2021-10-16 01:03:14+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	79 I can see us being with Grandville because my grandson lives in walker but goes to Grandville schools	Patricia Dawson	2021-10-16 01:01:44+00

keep the greater Grand Rapids cities and townships in Kent County together. Avoid putting suburban townships in other counties. Kent County is the 4th largest county in Michigan and deserves to have representatives from the County as much as possible. Also, the Ward system has a long standing value to Grand Rapids residents, it's important to keep the non-downtown areas of Ward 2 together

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Pei-Lan Tsou

2021-10-16 00:16:18+00

The greater Grand Rapids area shares common community of Interest like water, sewer, transit, waste management.  
If you have to add townships, make sure they are nearby largely residential and commercial suburban townships like Cascade, Ada, Plainfield, and Grand Rapids Township.

Kent County together! Avoid putting suburban townships in other counties like Ottawa county. Ottawa county are mostly agricultural areas and it is VERY DIFFERENT from Kent county. Kent County is the 4th largest county in Michigan and deserves to have representatives from the County as much as possible.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Pei-Lan Tsou

2021-10-16 00:03:26+00

You need to respect municipal boundaries. Examples, Grand Rapids is split three ways and Ann Arbor is split 4 ways. Throw this map out. Redraw with minimization of splitting up municipalities.

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Merlin Steffes

2021-10-15 23:41:58+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>We have been living in Troy for the past 21 years and we want Troy to stay in Oakland county. We have NO connections to Macomb county in any way, shape or form and we want to stay in OAKLAND county.</p>	JAYAGOPAL APPUKUTTY	2021-10-15 23:16:48+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>So, breaking up Farmington and Farmington Hills is just nonsense. I worked at Beaumont Hospital , before that Botsford. for over 35 years. Those communities belong together</p>	Maureen Cirocco	2021-10-15 22:56:31+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>So, breaking up Farmington and Farmington Hills is just nonsense. I worked at Beaumont Hospital , before that Botsford. for over 35 years. Those communities belong together</p>	Maureen Cirocco	2021-10-15 22:56:30+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>The Lansing area map is a good example of vote-packing, giving an unfair advantage to one party (Republican) over the other (Democratic). It needs unpacking!</p>	Elizabeth Anne Kaufman	2021-10-15 22:53:30+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>Please keep the city of Novi intact - our Asian community of interest should not be sliced up across districts. Keep on this map.</p>	Marian Mahoney	2021-10-15 22:37:28+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like	<p>This is a fair map that recognizes the shared interests of the urban areas of Saginaw, Bay City and Midland, and its rural areas as well. Our airport is MBS, based on those three counties. Our Delta Community College has in district tuition for Midland, Bay and Saginaw Counties. We share a media market and many cultural institutions that the whole area supports, as well as the Saginaw River</p>	Barb Handley-Miller	2021-10-15 22:26:47+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Dislike	<p>/Valley watershed. Farmington and Farmington Hills are one community that share history, a school district, library system, community center and senior programs, as well as social, sports and cultural activities. We are the very definition of a COI. Keep us together in one district.</p>	Aimee Ergas	2021-10-15 22:21:01+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like	<p>This map is much closer to what Michiganders expects from the Redistricting Commission. Partisan fairness is much improved, it preserves communities of interest, and satisfies the Voting Rights Act. Thanks for your ongoing efforts toward creating fair maps to un-</p>	Josephine Mondrala	2021-10-15 22:20:30+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like	<p>gerrymander Michigan. Farmington and Farmington Hills are one community that share history, a school district, library system, community center and senior programs, as well as social, sports and cultural activities. We are the very definition of a COI. Keep us together in one</p>	Aimee Ergas	2021-10-15 22:19:58+00
10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike	<p>district. Farmington and Farmington Hills are one community that share history, a school district, library system, community center and senior programs, as well as social, sports and cultural activities. We are the very definition of a COI. Keep us together in one</p>	Aimee Ergas	2021-10-15 22:16:43+00

10-08-21 v1 HD Dislike Farmington and Farmington Hills are one community that share history, a school district, library system, community center and senior programs, as well as social, sports and cultural activities. We are the very definition of a COI. Keep us together in one district. Aimee Ergas 2021-10-15 22:13:26+00

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid Like Why is the Rochester Hills/Rochester/Try area from Oakland County in a district from Sterling Heights? This is ridiculous; these districts are oddly shaped and appear to not have given ANY thought about how a neighborhood or local area is supposed to present a unified voice to their rep. These districts are made for everyone and could very much impair a neighborhood from Detroit from electing a representative of their choice to advocate on their behalf. Lisa 2021-10-15 22:00:33+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO	Dislike	Put this district as it was originally, keeping Waterford whole and pairing Pontiac w/the Bloomfield Auburn Hills area. Why are you denying both communities their ability to make their voices heard and to advocate with and for their COI? There have been many comments about this; FIX THIS!	Lisa	2021-10-15 21:55:05+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Why slice a neighborhood out of Waterford unnecessarily and then have to slice up a neighboring township to make up the difference? KEEP WATERFORD UNITED! The river makes a great border on the west, but why is this patch and a few other blocks along this line being lumped in with East GR and Kentwood?	Lisa	2021-10-15 21:50:42+00
10-14-21 v2 SD RL	Dislike	Why is the entire UP connected to northern MI? If you are going to lump the entire UP together, why can't we be our own entity?	Cheri Fields	2021-10-15 21:49:06+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Opinion		Nancy Ring	2021-10-15 21:44:42+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Why are all these maps so dead-set on grouping Kalamazoo, Holland, and coastal Michiana together?	David Puzycki	2021-10-15 21:07:30+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Why are all these maps so dead-set on grouping Kalamazoo, Holland, and coastal Michiana together?	David Puzycki	2021-10-15 21:06:42+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Why are all these maps so dead-set on grouping Kalamazoo, Holland, and coastal Michiana together?	David Puzycki	2021-10-15 21:06:20+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Why are all these maps so dead-set on grouping Kalamazoo, Holland, and coastal Michiana together?	David Puzycki	2021-10-15 21:04:58+00
10-14-21 v2 SD RL	Like	This is an improvement over the Congressional. Livingston is kept whole and not with Washtenaw.	Dan Wholihan	2021-10-15 20:41:45+00
9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	Gratiot and Isabella Counties share an RESD. Please do not split them across 2 different Congressional Districts.	Stefanie Sedlar	2021-10-15 20:10:15+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	Gratiot and Isabella Counties share an RESD. Please do not split them across 2 different Congressional Districts.	Stefanie Sedlar	2021-10-15 20:09:57+00

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD	Dislike	Gratiot and Isabella Counties share an RESD. Please do not split them across 2 different Congressional Districts.	Stefanie Sedlar	2021-10-15 20:08:57+00
9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Dislike	Gratiot and Isabella Counties share an RESD. Please do not split them across 2 different Congressional Districts.	Stefanie Sedlar	2021-10-15 20:08:40+00
10-04-21 CD V3a AE	Dislike	Gratiot County and Isabella Counties share an RESD. Please do not split them across 2 different Congressional Districts.	Stefanie Sedlar	2021-10-15 20:07:32+00
10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Dislike	Gratiot County and Isabella Counties share an RESD. Please do not split them across 2 different Congressional Districts.	Stefanie Sedlar	2021-10-15 20:07:16+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	Gratiot County and Isabella Counties share an RESD. Thanks for not splitting them across 2 different Congressional Districts.	Stefanie Sedlar	2021-10-15 20:06:22+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Like	Gratiot County and Isabella Counties share an RESD. Thanks for not splitting them across 2 different Congressional Districts.	Stefanie Sedlar	2021-10-15 20:06:02+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Gratiot County and Isabella Counties share an RESD. Thanks for not splitting them across 2 different Congressional Districts.	Stefanie Sedlar	2021-10-15 20:05:38+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Like	Gratiot County and Isabella Counties share an RESD. Thanks for not splitting them across 2 different Congressional Districts.	Stefanie Sedlar	2021-10-15 20:05:17+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Dislike	Gratiot County and Isabella Counties share an RESD. Please do not split them across 2 different Congressional Districts.	Stefanie Sedlar	2021-10-15 20:04:43+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Gratiot County and Isabella Counties share an RESD. Thank you for not splitting them across 2 different Congressional Districts.	Stefanie Sedlar	2021-10-15 20:03:26+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Dislike	Gratiot County and Isabella Counties share an RESD. Please do not split them across 2 different Congressional Districts.	Stefanie Sedlar	2021-10-15 20:02:49+00
10-11-21 v1 CD DJC	Like	Gratiot County and Isabella Counties share an RESD. Thank you for not splitting them across 2 different Congressional Districts.	Stefanie Sedlar	2021-10-15 20:02:23+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Gratiot County and Isabella Counties share an RESD. Thank you for not splitting them across 2 different Congressional Districts.	Stefanie Sedlar	2021-10-15 20:01:43+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Opinion	Gratiot County and Isabella Counties share an RESD. Please do not split them across 2 different Congressional Districts. This process is meant to correct for the gerrymandering that distorts Michigan's legislative priorities and processes. Creating a district that is 80% Democratic creates the effect of "packing," whether intended or not, and undermines the intent of the large majority that voted in favor of this initiative. Please reconsider.	Stefanie Sedlar	2021-10-15 19:56:34+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Don't split the city of Burton. It is a community of interest that should be kept together. And it should not be put together with the different communities of interest in Lapeer County. Burton should remain with Clio and Davison.	Lynne Raughley	2021-10-15 19:46:54+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		Charlie Close	2021-10-15 19:41:40+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>Don't split the city of Burton.  It is a community of interest  that should be kept together.  And it should not be put  together with the different  communities of interest in  Lapeer County. Burton should  remain with Clio and Davison.</p>	Charlie Close	2021-10-15 19:40:41+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>Don't split the city of Burton.  It is a community of interest  that should be kept together.  And it should not be put  together with the different  communities of interest in  Lapeer County. Burton should  remain with Clio and Davison.</p> <p>Flint needs its own  representative and should not  be split. This map works  against minority  representation in the city of  Flint, which is unacceptable  because of the particular  challenges the city has faced  and is facing.</p>	Charlie Close	2021-10-15 19:39:52+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike		Charlie Close	2021-10-15 19:34:58+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Flint needs its own representative and should not be split. This map works against minority representation in the city of Flint, which is unacceptable because of the particular challenges the city has faced and is facing.	Charlie Close	2021-10-15 19:33:49+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This map looks fair to all sides Troy should stay in Oakland County	Sanford Hansell	2021-10-15 18:53:16+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Please consider the attached alternative. This would still have a Lansing/East Lansing divide, it would just move that divide to follow along the western border of East Lansing instead of splitting Lansing city in half. to make up for the move, the East Lansing district would take on more of Ingham County. Making this move would follow pre-existing municipality lines, and still create 2 dem-leaning senate seats while better reflecting our region's political diversity.	Gurinder Sodhi	2021-10-15 18:47:07+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Opinion		Zach R	2021-10-15 18:38:43+00

the whole point of this  
commission is to get the  
districts to reflect the actual  
voter majority. there are  
more democratic voters than  
republican voters so some  
tiny town should not have a  
greater influence than large  
communities elsewhere.  
majority should rule not  
special interests.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Patricia Branam

2021-10-15 18:33:28+00

I am not in favor of splitting Berrien County into two separate districts. Berrien has always been a representative county on its own; rural and urban, political affiliations, genders and races. Many of the lakeshore communities share similar issues that would best be handled by one official. Berrien County as a whole is home to major routes of transportation and infrastructure, again best suited to be represented by one official. This proposed redistricting would put my residence in one, with my work in another, all within a matter of 20 miles. I would like to see the existing map remain in place, which places Berrien, Cass, and St. Joseph counties as one. We are considered Michiana and should remain as such. Thank you.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Christine A Lyon

2021-10-15 18:18:16+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Dislike	Farmington and Farmington Hills are inseparable. One is located within the other and services and schools, libraries and many goals are inseparable. They must not be split.	Kathleen Boettcher	2021-10-15 18:16:25+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	This map of the 58th splits off the northern tier of township in Shiawassee County from the whole, diminishing this community of interest	Thomas Cook	2021-10-15 18:15:09+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This map of the 58th splits off the northern tier of township in Shiawassee County from the whole, diminishing this community of interest	Thomas Cook	2021-10-15 18:13:59+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This map of the 58th splits off the northern tier of township in Shiawassee County from the whole, diminishing this community of interest	Thomas Cook	2021-10-15 18:12:53+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Farmington and Farmington Hills should not be split! They share a common community which includes schools, libraries and shared resources. They musn't be split.	Kathleen Boettcher	2021-10-15 18:07:56+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	do not split Farmington and Farmington Hills. The communities have too many shared endeavors including libraries and schools.	Kathleen Boettcher	2021-10-15 17:55:23+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Many of these districts, such as the 4th and the 5th are not compact. The far eastern and western extremes of the 4th district share little in common Please do not pack Washtenaw County voters together in one district. It should be split for non-	Thomas Cook	2021-10-15 17:50:51+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	partisan fairness. How about an east to west configuration? Splitting St Clair Shores? Community of interest?	Sarah Kennedy	2021-10-15 17:24:31+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO	Dislike	â€œKeep working!â€	Jack Ellis	2021-10-15 17:19:53+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	This late in the process and there are still significant flaws in this district as proposed Split up the city? Community of interest? As I will keep saying, KEEP working!	Jack Ellis	2021-10-15 17:11:13+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	I believe the Lansing districts are unfair because Lansing is packed into 3 districts and Delta Township is placed into District 91. Delta Township relates to Eaton County and not Lansing. I volunteer in Delta Township and I know this to be true. This map needs to be fixed.	William Ceasar	2021-10-15 17:07:56+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	If the intent is to just split up south Macomb county and have a "unusual" map, this succeeds. I will continue to repeat "keep working! There's no reason to split Unadilla Township, a one precinct Twp in SW Livingston County. It belongs with either Putnam or Iosco Twp, not Ann Arbor.	Jack Ellis	2021-10-15 16:56:54+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Dislike	This is one of the better maps for the Livingston County area.	Dan Wholihan	2021-10-15 16:04:38+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	This is the best map for district 70, as it preserves the COI including the I94 corridor. I like this map too, submitted by Connor... <a href="https://www.michigan-mapping.org/submission/p6057">https://www.michigan-mapping.org/submission/p6057</a>	Dan Wholihan	2021-10-15 16:00:33+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		Lucinda A Eby	2021-10-15 15:51:33+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	The greater Lansing region should be broken up into 5 districts that are Dem majority. This will help make your maps more fair. You need to make the maps fair on a partisan basis. Your maps for our region are not fair.	Heidi Schaetzel	2021-10-15 15:43:34+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Overall, the cherry plan is not as awful as the elm plan. That said, I still urge you to move the border of the Grand Rapids districts down to Wealthy Street and move Cascade into District 23.	Scott Urbanowski	2021-10-15 15:40:45+00

It was bad enough that Battle Creek and GR were put into the same congressional district ten years ago. To put Battle Creek and Cascade Township in the same State Senate district now would be even worse. Cascade is a charter township with a more suburban than rural feel (making it different than the rural areas in most of District 3). Cascade is also home of Gerald R. Ford International Airport, the regional airport serving Grand Rapids. It makes more sense to put Cascade in District 23. Within GR, I'd also suggest moving the border between 23 and 24 down to Wealthy Street and moving District 24 out of Ottawa County entirely.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Scott Urbanowski

2021-10-15 15:32:50+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	It is extremely important for a representative democracy to limit partisan advantage in drawing district maps and this map does the best job of doing so. This map allows similar communities to vote in their best interest without providing an advantage to any one political party.	Jason Trzcinski	2021-10-15 15:22:05+00
10-14-21 v2 SD RL	Opinion	While this is an improvement, I would still like Eid's version of the 29th better. Washtenaw County and Calhoun have absolutely nothing in common with each other.	Noah Walker	2021-10-15 15:11:25+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This map is good, do this one, thanks. As a resident of Royal Oak, I do NOT support dividing the city into two districts. Please find a way to remedy this while adhering to the criteria.	Carolyn Chen	2021-10-15 14:59:43+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Thank you. Please keep Novi together. It should not split.	Marie Colombo	2021-10-15 14:59:26+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This is the most logical map I've seen so far in regards to partisan fairness. I support this map as the final map.	Dignesha P	2021-10-15 14:51:53+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like		Jacqueline Hentschel	2021-10-15 14:48:06+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	This map is an improved version and as a resident of Royal Oak I support the boundaries of District 12. Flint needs its own representative and should not be split. This map works against minority representation in the city of Flint, which is unacceptable because of the particular challenges the city has faced	Marie Colombo	2021-10-15 14:44:20+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	and is facing. Flint needs its own representative and should not be split. This map works against minority representation in the city of Flint, which is unacceptable because of the particular challenges the city has faced	Charlie Close	2021-10-15 14:39:18+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	and is facing.	Charlie Close	2021-10-15 14:37:29+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	It does not make sense to separate out three townships out of a very cohesive Hillsdale County. Keep those three townships together with the rest of the county.	Suzanna Hull	2021-10-15 14:30:06+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	Carving Somerset Township out of Hillsdale County should not be allowed. We pay taxes to Hillsdale County and our representation should be assigned to our county	Peter Tochman	2021-10-15 14:20:38+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	This map for Greater Lansing area gives unfair advantage to Republicans. Please do not pack all Democrats in to one area. Almost looks like gerrymandering.	Nandita Jain	2021-10-15 14:10:09+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map is fair and balanced.	Pamela Ann Raymond Ernst	2021-10-15 13:11:29+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	BIRCH is a good congressional map, fairly drawn with no partisan leaning. It is logical to keep these suburbs together, like Farmington and Farmington Hills, as these communities of interest already share so much. Thank you for working so hard to get these maps right and for making partisan fairness an expected outcome for all Michiganders.	Paula I Martinos-Mantay	2021-10-15 12:59:39+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I support the District 3 map. Beverly Hills, Birmingham and Bloomfield Hills work well together.	Diane Luscombe	2021-10-15 12:59:16+00

This map is no different than what currently is called HD 45. All three of the proposed maps flip the carve out from the west to the east side of Oakland Twp. essentially making it an unchanged district and still maintaining a Republican advantage. All of Oakland Twp. should stay in the same House district.

Additionally, given the current population of both Rochester and Rochester Hills, keeping Oakland Twp. exceeds the population requirement. If a carve out is necessary then it should be from Troy, given their population (see attached)

Carving out Rochester and Rochester Hills into Macomb and removing it from Oakland County is not a smart way to redraw the Congressional lines for this community. Keeping school districts, and cities within the same county when looking at Representation at a federal level should be given more consideration.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Like

B.A.

2021-10-15 12:48:50+00

10-11-21 Eid v5 CD

Dislike

B.A.

2021-10-15 12:23:21+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS

Like

Like map

Prashanth Balusu

2021-10-15 12:06:28+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Out of all the proposed maps, this proposal seems to be the most logical when it comes to keeping communities that share cultural and economic ties together in representation.	Spencer Gold	2021-10-15 10:04:10+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map is balanced and fair. It does what the commission set out to do- unpacking districts in order not to overrepresent either major political party in one area.	James Baldwin	2021-10-15 08:32:29+00
10-14-21 v2 SD RL	Opinion	State Senate District 27 is an improvement. You are respecting the municipal boundaries of the City of Ann Arbor, you need to follow suit with other city municipal boundaries.. The people living in eastern Calhoun, western Washtenaw, and Jackson County, the 29th, can at least select their representation instead of the City of Ann Arbor dictating who will represent the people in eastern Calhoun, western Washtenaw, and Jackson County Counties.	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-15 07:51:17+00

This version of District 6 is a lot better than the ones that cut off at Hayes and Hall Road. Please keep it like this or take in even more of Macomb Twp (north to 25 mile and east to Romeo Plank or Hydenrich) as the southern part of Macomb Township has a LOT more in common with Utica, Shelby, Clinton Twp, Sterling Heights, than it does with Bad Axe, Lexington or the rest of the thumb. BTW, thank you for your tireless work on this difficult and largely thankless job!

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

HGA

2021-10-15 07:04:13+00

we want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair.

10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike

Zheng Qin

2021-10-15 04:01:07+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This is the best map I've seen in terms of keeping communities of interest together, especially Birmingham and Bloomfield Hills, which are very similar. I support this going forward as the final map for District 3.	Lisa Peers	2021-10-15 03:58:03+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	This map is heading in the right direction regarding Novi. This map keeps the majority of the AAPI community in one state House district. However, Novi precincts 11 and 12 need to be included with the rest of Novi in HD 110. Novi precinct 12 is a very diverse community and will be extremely marginalized and ignored in HD 45. PLEASE KEEP ALL OF NOVI TOGETHER IN HD 110.	Brittany Pinnock	2021-10-15 02:56:00+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Like this map. Seems it represents what we were trying to achieve.	Kathleen M Singer	2021-10-15 02:53:35+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This map is far from ideal but moves in the right direction toward greater partisan fairness.	Constance Tucker	2021-10-15 02:45:18+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I like that Farmington and Farmington Hills are kept together. We are definitely one community. I also like that W.Bloomfield is kept intact. This comes the closest to partisan fairness. Thanks so much for all your hard work!!!	Nancy Joyrich	2021-10-15 02:39:56+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	This map fails to comply with partisan fairness requirements and should be dropped from consideration. This map also does not reflect my COI (which is best represented with Ferndale, Royal Oak, Clawson, Madison Heights, south Troy, south Sterling Heights, north Warren, and Fraser). It also has really awful partisan fairness stats. This is definitely not in compliance with the law. While it's probably impossible to reflect everyone's COIs, it is possible to produce maps that are significantly more fair while providing decent representation a variety of COIs. This one also needs to be tossed.	Constance Tucker	2021-10-15 02:34:50+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike		Jessica Tucker	2021-10-15 02:32:16+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	<p>This map does not reflect my COI (which is best represented with Ferndale, Royal Oak, Clawson, Madison Heights, south Troy, south Sterling Heights, north Warren, and Fraser). Further more the partisan fairness stats are abysmal. This is definitely not in compliance with the law. While it's probably impossible to reflect everyone's COIs, it is possible to produce maps that are significantly more fair while providing decent representation a variety of COIs. This one needs to be tossed.</p>	Jessica Tucker	2021-10-15 02:19:06+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>We want Troy to stay within Oakland County! We are deeply connected to all of our services in Oakland County. We have no connection with the communities in Macomb county.</p>	Andrew Young	2021-10-15 02:15:49+00

None of the maps reflect my COI, but at least this one does the best from a fairness perspective. Living in North Warren, my COI is really better represented by Ferndale, Royal Oak, Clawson, Madison Heights, Troy (south), Sterling Heights (south), north Warren and Fraser. Probably 80% of my every day life is in those areas. It'd like to see this map adjusted to have Warren & Madison Heights north of 696 added to District 6. Moving the area north of 696 out of a Detroit district is probably better for Detroit, to ensure that Detroiters better representation / more likely to provide VRA minority representative opportunity. I realize it may not be possible to accommodate every COI. If my COI isn't reflected in the maps, the best way for me to get fair representation is partisan fairness.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Jessica Tucker

2021-10-15 01:59:14+00

my name is Amer Zahr and  
I'm a resident of  
Dearborn, a political activist,  
and a law professor. I'm  
also a member of Dearborn-  
based AAPAC, the Arab  
American Political Action  
Committee.

I'm extremely concerned  
with the latest State House  
maps published by the MICRC  
as they relate to Dearborn  
and the Arab American  
community. The latest maps  
split Dearborn down the  
middle and greatly dilute the  
Arab American vote. Districts  
3 and 7 split the Arab  
American community.

I presented to the  
commission on September 7  
in Lansing about the concerns  
of the Arab American  
community. In that  
presentation, I expressed  
support for the Fair Maps  
map as it related to Dearborn. Amer Zahr

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

2021-10-15 01:50:55+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I like this map the best! I think these Macomb County Communities pair very logically with the Oakland County communities. We are mostly small metro-cities with similar needs in terms of federal considerations. This allows the person who represent District 6 to focus on regional solutions to many problems.	Alysa Diebolt	2021-10-15 01:48:25+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Good job keeping Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti together. The fact is that they are a community of interest. Everyone knows that. Any claim to the contrary is only by activists trying to gerrymander.	Al Poehl	2021-10-15 01:34:32+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	A few precincts of Detroit in with a district that runs into Macomb? That makes zero sense.	Al Poehl	2021-10-15 01:32:55+00

Remember when the commission claimed that they would respect communities of interest and consider the input of the public? Well, Eid certainly lied when it came to this senate configuration. How can he possibly say that Lansing and East Lansing are not a community of interest?? They share the same name for crying out loud. And he drew part of the city (a few precincts) in with East Lansing. All this is an effort to DEMOCRATIC GERRYMANDER and give democrats two solid democratic seats instead of respecting the region as a whole. Rural eaton has nothing to do with the city of lansing. Respect COIs , commission. You can do the right thing and fix Eid's gerrymander here.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Al Poehl

2021-10-15 01:32:00+00

This is clearly a Democratic gerrymander. How else do you explain cutting up Ann Arbor to include with - get this - Marshall???? This completely disrespects communities of interest. The commission said they would respect the public's COIs (unless of course for VRA compliance). But this is beyond that, and beyond ridiculous. Thanks for your efforts, commissioners, but pay attention to the constitution please and pay attention to our COIs.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Dislike

Alan P

2021-10-15 01:27:49+00

Eid gerrymandered for the Dems? Shocker. That's about what we can expect from the outspoken Bernie Bro that lied about his political affiliation, then continues to bully fellow commissioners. His maps are always a Democratic gerrymander. Ann Arbor split up and into Milford - are you kidding me?

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid Dislike

Alan P

2021-10-15 01:23:29+00

This map is absurd. In addition to not fixing the Lansing fiasco, this map seriously splits all the way from Marshall through Calhoun, through Jackson, through Washtenaw, just to break up the city of Ann Arbor? How does that satisfy communities of interest? Also, breaking up the Lake Saint Clair district so that northern macomb is with more of detroit? This commissioner needs to re-read the constitution and respect COIs.

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS Dislike

Alan Poehl

2021-10-15 01:20:11+00

Still splitting up Monroe County and and merging some of Monroe County with southern Wayne and Washenaw. A better proposal would be to pt the city of Taylor into district 20 and Brownstown Twp into district 22 then move the Carleton and Steiner areas from 40 to district 60

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Thomas Heck

2021-10-15 01:05:44+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO	Dislike	These proposals continue to split Monroe county into 4 districts which makes no sense especially including the city of Taylor into district 40 with the village of Carleton and the Steiner area - no common issues! Also why include Belleville in district 65?	Thomas Heck	2021-10-15 00:59:31+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Dislike	I recommend keeping York Twp with the Saline district and instead adding either the Willis or Sumpter area to district 28	Thomas Heck	2021-10-15 00:54:10+00
10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Like	Still a good proposal for District 28 - Monroe and Lenawee counties + Milan	Thomas Heck	2021-10-15 00:47:29+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	I like this one more than the rest the efficiency gap is low.	Joshua Davis	2021-10-15 00:47:17+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	Not a bad proposal for District 8 except it breaks up Calhoun and Jackson counties - I recommend moving the city of Jackson into district 7 as it has more in common with that district than with the southern border counties.	Thomas Heck	2021-10-15 00:45:07+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Opinion Not a bad proposal for District 8 keeping the southern border counties together - I do agree with Conner's comment that maybe Jackson should be moved up into district 7. Thomas Heck 2021-10-15 00:39:27+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like This is one of the best maps I've seen coming out of the Commission. I've told the commission repeatedly that fairness and Voting Rights Act compliance are the two most important aspects of its work. According to FiveThirtyEight.com, this map produces as even a split between Republican and Democratic districts as can be done with an odd number of districts. Several of the districts are solidly Republican, others are solidly Democratic, and others are competitive. Two of the districts result in "minority-majority" populations, which gives the map VRA compliance. \*This\* is what the voters asked for in the 2018 initiative that created the Redistricting Commission. William Asher 2021-10-15 00:33:04+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Keeping Oak Park & Ferndale together, as well as Huntington Woods and Oak Park is logical since they share school districts & have shared religious communities.	Jill A Warren	2021-10-15 00:29:50+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I like that Birmingham and Bloomfield are together. With Ann Arbor unpacked I would feel that my individual vote has more significance.	Andrew Corey	2021-10-15 00:29:12+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This is the best congressional map! I like that Birmingham and the Bloomfield communities are kept together as they are common communities.	Gregory Fox	2021-10-15 00:24:43+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like		Deborah Rosenman	2021-10-15 00:14:32+00

This district is one of the only proposed districts which currently spans across three counties. The Commission has not properly considered the communities of interest in Novi. The 8 Mile Corridor between Oakland and Wayne Counties provides an easy natural boundary line to draw. Milford and Commerce should be added to District 11, and Washtenaw County should be completely removed. These areas do not have shared resources, services, or community interests. Please keep working on this district.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Aaron Martinez

2021-10-14 23:10:44+00

This district is one of the only proposed districts which currently spans across three counties. The Commission has not properly considered the communities of interest in Novi. The 8 Mile Corridor between Oakland and Wayne Counties provides an easy natural boundary line to draw. Milford and Commerce should be added to District 11, and Washtenaw County should be completely removed. These areas do not have shared resources, services, or community interests. Please keep working on this district.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Aaron Martinez

2021-10-14 23:10:14+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>This district is one of the only proposed districts which currently spans across three counties. The Commission has not properly considered the communities of interest in Novi. The 8 Mile Corridor between Oakland and Wayne Counties provides an easy natural boundary line to draw. Milford and Commerce should be added to District 11, and Washtenaw County should be completely removed. These areas do not have shared resources, services, or community interests.</p>	Aaron Martinez	2021-10-14 23:08:56+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Too many of these southern Oakland districts spread into Detroit and thus take away the chance for Detroit residents to elect their own representatives. Please use more data to determine VRA compliance. Packing the Democrats together is giving Republicans undue advantage.</p>	Debbie Rosenman	2021-10-14 23:03:18+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Bloomfield Township is being divided up into at least three different House seats. This is NOT good for our community!	Debbie Rosenman	2021-10-14 22:58:07+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I like this map. It looks like a good effort to keep communities of interest together and good effort at non-partisan fairness.	Lauren Lisi	2021-10-14 22:50:07+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	It's great to see this map being pretty fair partisanly, but dividing Ann Arbor 4 ways is a little much. Rather it would be better to split Ann Arbor 2-3 ways and get another Democratic-leaning seat in the Lansing metro and the Flint area. This will provide a very fair map without destroying so many COI's around Washtenaw and Livingston.	Zach Geyer	2021-10-14 22:49:23+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This is the best and most fair collaborative map of Oakland and Macomb county, and keeps similar suburbs together. Please move this map forward to deliberations.	Damanpreet Bedi	2021-10-14 22:20:02+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>I like the idea of Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo being included in one district. The two urban and suburban communities certainly have more in common than the surrounding rural regions. It is not evident that the proposed districts result in competitive elections which allow results that reflect the partisan votes. In other words, if Democrats get 55% of votes statewide, they should get about 55% of the legislative seats. (Or conversely, if the Republicans get 55% of the vote, they should get about 55% of the seats). Currently, districts unfairly tilt toward</p>	Fred John Overeem	2021-10-14 22:05:14+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>Republicans. I would move this boundary South more to Wealthy St. That's a Grand Rapids ward line that makes more sense.</p>	Ron Eggleston	2021-10-14 21:50:28+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	<p>Thank you.</p>	Rocky Adams	2021-10-14 21:25:08+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	we want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair.	Albert Hu	2021-10-14 21:22:56+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Thank you for splitting up the GR region into 2 areas. I agree that the dividing line in GR could use some work (Wealthy St boundary makes more sense) but I like this idea.	William Saxton	2021-10-14 21:22:41+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Opinion	This boundary between SS #23 & #24 should be Wealthy St, not Fulton. Its a natural city boundary between the different COI's within Grand Rapids.	Barbara Karenko	2021-10-14 21:20:38+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Cascade township is part of the GR metro region, is a GR suburb, and has the GR airport. This area must be included with a State Senate district. Matches well with Ada. Thank you.	Barbara Karenko	2021-10-14 21:19:30+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Please Ottawa away from Kent County. This area is much too rural to be a part of a Grand Rapids metro/Kent County Senate district.	Barbara Karenko	2021-10-14 21:18:29+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Cascade Township is a GR suburb and should be in the Grand Rapids metro region, not Battle Creek. This is also our airport, so a COI with State Senate district #24 seems obvious. Thank you.	Barbara Karenko	2021-10-14 21:16:04+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	This really shouldn't be part of Grand Rapids metro. Its rural Ottawa County and even Ottawan say to keep Ottawa together!	Barbara Karenko	2021-10-14 21:13:52+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	All 4 proposals for the congressional maps stink. Midland county should remain intact even if it means relaxing the population targets a bit!	David P Brausch	2021-10-14 21:05:51+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	Please consider moving Southfield to the adjoining Detroit district, as it is aligned more with Detroit than northern Oakland County.	William Asher	2021-10-14 21:02:53+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	The big problem with these maps is the attempt to keep the populations extremely equal! +/- 5% might be a more realistic target to get realistic maps that keep counties intact.	David P Brausch	2021-10-14 21:01:12+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Thanks for keeping Royal Oak with most of southeastern Oakland County, with which Royal Oak shares interests. Also you didn't dilute minority votes by including northern white suburbs with Detroit districts.	William Asher	2021-10-14 21:01:04+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	We want Troy to stay in Oakland Co. where representation can be similar to other cities in Oakland Co. We share ideals, community interests, value ideas and community services which we value are part of our community.  We have little in common with Macomb county either in ideals, or community values. This county stretches all the way to Lake St. Clair.	Jane Weyhrauch	2021-10-14 21:00:42+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Including Southfield with parts of Detroit makes sense, and would contribute to compliance with the Voting Rights Act. Thanks.	William Asher	2021-10-14 20:57:52+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Yet again there is no excuse for breaking up counties this way. Just another example of blatant gerrymandering.	David P Brausch	2021-10-14 20:54:10+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Again, there is no excuse for breaking up a county into 3 districts!!!	David P Brausch	2021-10-14 20:52:00+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This map is moving in the right direction in terms of partisan fairness - please keep it going.	Marian Mahoney	2021-10-14 20:51:32+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	District 16 on this map keeps Rochester Hills and Troy together, and that's good because those communities are aligned and connected. Troy is also aligned with Clawson, but Rochester Hills/Troy are not so aligned with Oakland Township. Move Oakland Twp to another district, pull in Clawson, and a bit more of Sterling Heights to compensate for the population numbers.	William Asher	2021-10-14 20:49:27+00

I'm writing to express my disapproval that the latest plans for for state senate completely ignore the input our Detroit neighborhood (East English Village) gave the Commission. The earlier drafts of the State Senate districts kept our neighborhood intact. It would split East English Village (EEV) up into Districts 6 and 7. Yet EEV has been a united neighborhood for decades, with a strong neighborhood association which works together for the good of the entire neighborhood, not just half of it. Furthermore, we believe we should be in the same district with the neighborhoods on either side of us (Cornerstone and Morningside) as we have been working together cohesively for years and share the same concerns regarding quality of life and city services. We pulled together a Caryn Rose I don't think there is any excuse for breaking a county down into 3 districts.

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid

Dislike

Caryn Rose

2021-10-14 20:48:53+00

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

David P Brausch

2021-10-14 20:47:57+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy does not belong in Macomb County !!!! Leave troy in Oakland County where it belongs!!!	CHELAINÉ ann Fair	2021-10-14 20:46:11+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Like	This is what redistricting should look like. Keep the counties and cities intact as much as possible. NO GERRYMANDERING!!!	David P Brausch	2021-10-14 20:43:43+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Troy and Clawson are aligned and connected communities. Keep them together Oakland Twp and Troy/Rochester are not so aligned, so consider moving Oakland Twp out of district 16 and Clawson into district 16. You may need to move a little bit more of Sterling Heights into that district, so as to compensate for the population.	William Asher	2021-10-14 20:42:02+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>Troy and Clawson are aligned and connected communities. Keep them together Oakland Twp and Troy/Rochester are not so aligned, so consider moving Oakland Twp out of district 16 and Clawson into district 16. You may need to move a little bit more of Sterling Heights into that district, so as to compensate for the population.</p>	William Asher	2021-10-14 20:41:26+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>Yet another example of blatant gerrymandering. Communities of Interest are just an excuse to do exactly this. We should stick with cities and counties as much as possible.</p>	David P Brausch	2021-10-14 20:38:31+00

I like that this map is keeping the Tri-cities together. In our community I have seen concerns about Midland needing to be grouped differently to address flooding. Michigan has a flooding issue. Not just Midland. The state needs to address the infrastructure concerns relating to this for the whole state. Midland being included in the tri-cities does not mean its flooding issues won't be addressed.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Ben Fergin

2021-10-14 20:35:36+00

I like that this map is keeping the Tri-cities together. In our community I have seen concerns about Midland needing to be grouped differently to address flooding. Michigan has a flooding issue. Not just Midland. The state needs to address the infrastructure concerns relating to this for the whole state. Midland being included in the tri-cities does not mean its flooding issues won't be addressed.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Like

Benjamin Fergin

2021-10-14 20:34:28+00

10-08-21 v1 CD	Like	Am excellent map of the 5 currently proposed. I'm glad you split Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids (I grew up there). A good balance on this side of the state as well. I like the way Novi is handled	Katlyn C Mehne	2021-10-14 20:33:30+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I like that this map is keeping the Tri-cities together. In our community I have seen concerns about Midland needing to be grouped differently to address flooding. Michigan has a flooding issue. Not just Midland. The state needs to address the infrastructure concerns relating to this for the whole state. Midland being included in the tri-cities does not mean its flooding issues won't be addressed. Idk which of the Democrats drew this map, but holy gerrymandering Batman. Tho this one still doesn't give us one black majority district that people have been crying about.	Benjamin Fergin	2021-10-14 20:33:28+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike		Angelo D Guarnieri	2021-10-14 20:32:14+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	I like that this map is keeping the Tri-cities together. In our community I have seen concerns about Midland needing to be grouped differently to address flooding. Michigan has a flooding issue. Not just Midland. The state needs to address the infrastructure concerns relating to this for the whole state. Midland being included in the tri-cities does not mean its flooding issues won't be addressed. Talk about gerrymandering. This makes our current maps	Benjamin Fergin	2021-10-14 20:32:06+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	look good! Birmingham does not belong with Macomb county. They belong with the Oakland county cities that are connected along Woodward Ave - all a part of an economic community of interest - Bloomfield Hills, Berkley, Royal Oak.	David P Brausch	2021-10-14 20:31:55+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike		Marian Mahoney	2021-10-14 20:24:39+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Milford and Commerce Township are more aligned with Novi and South Lyon, and less so with Farmington Hills, and even less so with Washtenaw County. So I would group Novi and South Lyon with Milford and at least some of Commerce Township, and not with Farmington Hills and Washtenaw County.	William Asher	2021-10-14 20:22:49+00
----------------	---------	---	---------------	------------------------

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Please consider moving Milford and Commerce Township from district 18 into district 11. To compensate, you should move the part of Farmington Hills that's in district 11 to district 18. (Ideally all of Farmington Hills should be kept together, but I understand that may not be possible).	William Asher	2021-10-14 20:22:10+00
----------------	---------	---	---------------	------------------------

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Please consider moving Milford and Commerce Township from district 18 into district 11. To compensate, you should move the part of Farmington Hills that's in district 11 to district 18. (Ideally all of Farmington Hills should be kept together, but I understand that may not be possible).</p>	William Asher	2021-10-14 20:19:23+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>Good progress on the metro Detroit area. Milford and Commerce Township are more aligned with Novi and South Lyon, and less so with Farmington Hills, and even less so with Washtenaw County. So I would group Novi and South Lyon with Milford and at least some of Commerce Township, and not with Farmington Hills and Washtenaw County.</p>	Marian Mahoney	2021-10-14 20:14:37+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Washtenaw County.</p>	William Asher	2021-10-14 20:13:50+00

District 11 needs more work. Novi, South Lyon and Milford should be together in District 11. These cities are all connected via I96 - shopping, restaurants, entertainment, etc. It seems this map includes part of Washtenaw county for no other reason then to add population - Instead of Washtenaw county, more of Commerce should be included in District 11 as part of Oakland county.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Please keep working on this. Marian Mahoney

2021-10-14 20:08:12+00

You have several districts that are split across the county line between Detroit and Oakland County, like districts 18 and 21. This means that it is extremely likely that the residents of the Detroit portions of those districts will not be able to elect a representative that looks like the voters. That would be a violation of the Voting Rights Act, and something that I think the commission should take very seriously. We've got to satisfy the VRA, and we've got to ensure partisan fairness.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

William Asher

2021-10-14 20:06:21+00

You have several districts that are split across the county line between Detroit and Oakland County, line district 18 in Royal Oak. This means that it is extremely likely that the residents of the Detroit portions of those districts will not be able to elect a representative that looks like the voters. That would be a violation of the Voting Rights Act, and something that I think the commission should take very seriously. We've got to satisfy the VRA, and we've got to ensure partisan fairness.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

William Asher

2021-10-14 20:02:18+00

District 11 needs more work. Novi, South Lyon and Milford should be together in District 11. These cities are all connected via I96 - shopping, restaurants, entertainment, etc. Washtenaw county should not be included in District 11. Please keep working on this.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Marian Mahoney

2021-10-14 19:55:20+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Novi and South Lyon ought to be kept together, and tied to communities in Oakland County, and not in a district shared with Washtenaw.	William Asher	2021-10-14 19:50:55+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Pontiac and Auburn Hills should be in the same district. You can tell this is an Eid map because he gives his area it's own district making sure he has representation is our government while screwing over the minorities in Detroit by dragging them into the suburbs and making sure no Senator comes from the City. Eid's motives for his map aren't surprising. He even lied about his party affiliation, claiming to be an Independent, when he's a Socialist Bernie Bro.	William Asher	2021-10-14 19:48:52+00
10-14-21 v4 SD Eid	Dislike	Please do not pack Democrats, Giving Republicans an unfair advantage	Angelo D Guarnieri	2021-10-14 19:47:57+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike		Bettina Brander	2021-10-14 19:35:04+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	District 11 needs more work. Milford is sister a community to Novi and South Lyon and should be in District 11. Washtenaw county does not belong with Novi - please keep working on this.	Marian Mahoney	2021-10-14 19:32:24+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	District 11 needs more work. Milford is sister a community to Novi and South Lyon and should be in District 11. Washtenaw county does not belong with Novi - please keep working on this.	Marian Mahoney	2021-10-14 19:32:23+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This mapping process is simply a Republican power grab - a nasty one at that. A shameful charade. Novi should not be cut in half - that's ludicrous.	Brett J Meyer	2021-10-14 19:26:37+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	I appreciate the progress, but more work needs to be done to keep Novi intact. Our northern precincts belong in the Novi district 110 !	Marian Mahoney	2021-10-14 19:07:49+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Like	<p>The districts for the Lansing area seem highly unfair because they pack Democrats from Eaton and Ingham county into 3 districts. This will create unfair partisan advantage and needs to be fixed</p>	Vijaya Jayaraman	2021-10-14 19:01:08+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	<p>I appreciate the way my district has been drawn, it feels like a fair split of my community and I feel fairly represented in it. I hope that the Detroit area will be reconfigured so that all of my BIPOC friends and neighbors can feel the same about their districts.</p>	Rosario Molina	2021-10-14 18:59:09+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>This map for the Lansing area seem unfair. They seem to pack Democrats from Eaton and Ingham county into 3 districts. This creates unfair partisan advantage and must be fixed</p>	Vijaya Jayaraman	2021-10-14 18:54:55+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Like	<p>I like the fact that this proposed 45th District includes the I-96 Corridor. Many people from Brighton City, Green Oak Township and Brighton Township travel that highway to and from work every single day. It also reflects that these communities I mentioned in Livingston County are exurban contingencies of Oakland County suburbia.</p>	Gayle Steele	2021-10-14 18:34:18+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Dislike	<p>Here again Farmington Hills is split in two. Please keep Farmington Hills together and then Farmington is in the middle of Farmington Hills, so it would be beneficial to keep Farmington and Farmington Hills. together. We share one school district, one library system, and many community events. For elected representation, it makes sense to keep them together. This district is so strangely shaped and doesn't reflect what anyone wants. Let Macomb have a district, keep Warren in it, and exclude</p>	Karen Lynne Bolsen	2021-10-14 18:22:12+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike	Oakland from it	Joe Fresard	2021-10-14 18:19:40+00

10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	This district should really keep more of Macomb intact and not go way over to Oakland county. COI's are important	Joe Fresard	2021-10-14 18:18:21+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Keep Macomb intact and separate from Oakland	Joe Fresard	2021-10-14 18:16:27+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	This map makes a lot of sense. Keep Macomb more intact	Joe Fresard	2021-10-14 18:15:16+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	The Grosse Pointes should not be grouped in with downtown Detroit	Joe Fresard	2021-10-14 18:13:28+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	keep harper woods and the Grosse Pointes together with the east side.	Joe Fresard	2021-10-14 18:12:37+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Gerrymandering is what this process is supposed to prevent. The two main methods of gerrymandering are colloquially referred to as "packing" and "cracking". If this district as drawn is 80% Democratic in our 50-50 state, how by any stretch of the imagination is that not packing Democrats in order to under-represent Democrats in other districts? Explain if you can, but so far it sure looks like you need to do MUCH better than this.	Lewis Okun	2021-10-14 18:11:16+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	It's very strange to have the representative for downtown Detroit be the same as the one for the Grosse Pointes. Combine the Grosse Pointes with Harper Woods and the east side instead	Joe Fresard	2021-10-14 18:10:48+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Why is Northville being split from Northville Township? They should be kept together. We're not part of Plymouth. Keep Harper woods with the Grosse Pointes and don't split up the COI's on Detroit's east side.	Rekha Dutta	2021-10-14 18:10:36+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Why not include Harper woods and the east side with the Grosse Pointes rather than downtown Detroit? It looks very strange	Joe Fresard	2021-10-14 18:09:48+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This splits Harper Woods from the Grosse Pointes and splits up east side Detroit COI's.	Joe Fresard	2021-10-14 18:07:51+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This district looks as bad as any gerrymander	Joe Fresard	2021-10-14 18:06:55+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike		Joe Fresard	2021-10-14 18:05:57+00

Midland City is not rural, and should be included with Bay City (as in maps Pine and Peach) in a State House district. These two cities have more in common with each than the rural districts surrounding them. Even though the shapes of Pine and Peach are odd, it is more representative as a community and political bias is closer to zero, which will make the district more competitive, and allow for better representation. And this is the goal of proposal 2.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Thank you!

Cindy Weir

2021-10-14 18:05:53+00

Thank you for including Midland and Bay City into a State House district. These two cities have more in common with each than the rural districts surrounding them. Even though the shape is odd, it is more representative as a community and political bias is closer to zero, which will make the district more competitive, and allow for better representation. And this is the goal of proposal 2.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Like

Thank you!

Cindy Weir

2021-10-14 18:01:24+00

Thank you for including Midland and Bay City into a State House district. These two cities have more in common with each than the rural districts surrounding them. Even though the shape is odd, it is more representative as a community and political bias is closer to zero, which will make the district more competitive, and allow for better representation. And this is the goal of proposal 2.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Thank you!

Cindy Weir

2021-10-14 18:00:42+00

If Washtenaw County will be split for congressional districts, I like Western Washtenaw in this northern district better than in the Border County district where we have been this past decade. I like that we're included with more suburban communities in this district. That better reflects the way the growing Western Washtenaw communities along I-94 function in our county.

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Heather Hunnell

2021-10-14 17:54:15+00

I would like to thank the Commission for drawing a state Senate district that includes the cities of Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw— also known as the Tri Cities. These 3 cities are an obvious community of interest who all have industrial based businesses, a shared tri city airport, several shared media outlets, regional colleges and universities, and together we are considered the Great Lakes Bay Area. Please Continue to work on partisan fairness because the outcomes of the map should reflect the political make-up of the state. This will give the voters a more competitive district and a better representative. This is what the voters wanted when they passed Proposal 2.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

Cindy Weir

2021-10-14 17:50:20+00

This is a great map..... A big thanks to the Commission for drawing a state Senate district that includes the cities of Midland, Bay City, and Saginawâ€¦ also known as the Tri Cities. These 3 cities are an obvious community of interest who all have industrial based businesses, a shared tri city airport, several shared media outlets, regional colleges and universities, and together we are considered the Great Lakes Bay Area. Please Continue to work on partisan fairness because the outcomes of the map should reflect the political make-up of the state. This will give the voters a more competitive district and a better representative. This is what the voters wanted when they passed Proposal 2.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Like

Cindy Weir

2021-10-14 17:49:18+00

I would like to thank the Commission for drawing a state Senate district that includes the cities of Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw— also known as the Tri Cities. These 3 cities are an obvious community of interest who all have industrial based businesses, a shared tri city airport, several shared media outlets, regional colleges and universities, and together we are considered the Great Lakes Bay Area. Please Continue to work on partisan fairness because the outcomes of the map should reflect the political make-up of the state. This will give the voters a more competitive district and a better representative. This is what the voters wanted when they passed Proposal 2.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like

Cindy Weir

2021-10-14 17:47:05+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>This map packs Greater Lansing area Democrats into three districts, which is not what Michigan voters voted for in 2018. Please fix these maps so Republicans do not have an unfair advantage.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	Cheryl Hayes	2021-10-14 17:40:35+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>Thanks for this map.... The City of Midland should be included in a Congressional district along with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint. These cities are the core of Mid-Michigan and a community of interest. The City of Midland needs be included in an area that makes economic and geographic sense! I have seen a lot of comments stating Midland is rural? The City of Midland is NOT rural. Also, most importantly, please continue to look at partisan bias when tweaking maps.</p>	Cindy Weir	2021-10-14 17:36:45+00

Thank you for this map.... The City of Midland should be included in a Congressional district along with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint. These cities are the core of Mid-Michigan and a community of interest. The City of Midland needs be included in an area that makes economic and geographic sense! I have seen a lot of comments stating Midland is rural? The City of Midland is NOT rural. Also, most importantly, please continue to look at partisan bias when tweaking maps.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Like

Cindy Weir

2021-10-14 17:35:36+00

Thank you for this map. The City of Midland should be included in a Congressional district along with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint. These cities are the core of Mid-Michigan and a community of interest. The City of Midland needs be included in an area that makes economic and geographic sense! I have seen a lot of comments stating Midland is rural? The City of Midland is NOT rural. Also, most importantly, please continue to look at partisan bias when tweaking maps.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Cindy Weir

2021-10-14 17:34:09+00

Thank you for including the City of Midland in a Congressional district along with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint. These cities are the core of Mid-Michigan and a community of interest. The City of Midland needs be included in an area that makes economic and geographic sense! I have seen a lot of comments stating Midland is rural? The City of Midland is NOT rural. Also, more importantly, please continue to look at partisan bias when tweaking maps.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Like

Cindy Weir

2021-10-14 17:32:23+00

This map butchers COIs in Washtenaw County. Ann Arbor shouldn't be split 4 ways. You can make good districts by splitting it once and including Ann Arbor, Scio, and Pittsfield townships in those districts. I understand the desire to make fair maps, but you can do it without destroying communities of interest like this.

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Casey Lewis

2021-10-14 17:28:09+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Please do not put Lansing and Delta Township in the same district. It creates unfairness due to packing and would advantage one party.	JoAnn Render	2021-10-14 17:27:33+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Lansing area districts pack Democrats into three districts creating an advantage for Republicans. Please fix this issue to create a level playing field.	Cheryl Hayes	2021-10-14 17:27:12+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Opinion	I am not happy with Novi splitting. Please keep Novi together. Especially Please keep Liberty Park as part of Novi.	Chandra G	2021-10-14 17:25:39+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	The districts for the Lansing area are unfair because they pack Democrats from Eaton and Ingham County into three districts. This creates unfair partisan advantage and this is supposed to be a non partisan process.	Telaina M Eriksen	2021-10-14 17:24:50+00

Novi should not be divided between two different districts. It needs to be returned to district 110. By separating the city, it works to silence the voices of the AAPI population. Beyond that, this map divides many school districts that should be kept together to best serve the needs of their communities, while at the same time lumping parts of communities that share very few common issues or concerns.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Heather Burnside

2021-10-14 17:23:08+00

I like this 29th district that connects Ypsilanti to Western Wayne, it is a good COI and is good for partisan fairness. However I think you should keep Ann Arbor whole and with the rest of Washtenaw County. I think that you made this split for partisan fairness reasons, but you can make a partisanly fair map without it. If you have to split Ann Arbor, the way you did it here is at least acceptable.

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid Opinion

Casey Lewis

2021-10-14 17:23:02+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Novi should not be divided between two different districts. It needs to be returned to district 110. By separating the city, it works to silence the voices of the AAPI population. Beyond that, this map divides many school districts that should be kept together to best serve the needs of their communities, while at the same time lumping parts of communities that share very few common issues or concerns.</p>	Heather Burnside	2021-10-14 17:22:13+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>The districts for the Lansing area are unfair because they pack Democrats from Eaton and Ingham County into three districts. This creates unfair partisan advantage and needs to be fixed</p>	Telaina M Eriksen	2021-10-14 17:21:38+00

Novi should not be divided between two different districts. It needs to be returned to district 110. By separating the city, it works to silence the voices of the AAPI population. Beyond that, this map divides many school districts that should be kept together to best serve the needs of their communities, while at the same time lumping parts of communities that share very few common issues or concerns.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Heather Burnside

2021-10-14 17:19:30+00

Thank you for keeping the Lake St. Clair COI together in District 7 in this map. I appreciate that our community would have a voice to address lakeshore infrastructure and stewardship of our lake. I approve of this configuration, with one significant caveat. I think that people of the Jefferson-Chalmers neighborhood in Detroit should have the final say as to whether they are included in District 7 or not. In my mind, of all Detroit neighborhoods, Jefferson-Chalmers is the single neighborhood that best fits with the nautical character of District 7. However, it should be up to the people in that neighborhood to decide whether or not they want to be with the lakeshore suburbs. It is my hope that those folks will speak-up and let you know.

10-14-21 v4 SD Eid Like

Robert Dindoffer

2021-10-14 16:45:43+00

The most important thing the Commission can do is give us maps that are fair. That's what the overwhelming majority of Michigan voters were voting for when they approved the Independent Commission. These maps for the Lansing area are anything but fair. They pack Democrats into three districts thereby limiting their political impact. This practice--packing--is one of the forms of gerrymandering we voted to eliminate. We will wonder what it was all for if we end up like the districts proposed for the Lansing area.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Charles Wilbur

2021-10-14 16:36:19+00

I am a bit confused by District 11 going into District 13 in Midland like this. Only covering half of East Wheeler Rd, covering a corn field part, and a portion of 10. It only covers a few houses. Do they matter that much to the other district?

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS Opinion

Emma Hintz

2021-10-14 16:36:06+00

9-16-21 v2 CD	Opinion	<p>I believe Midland City has more in common with the cities and counties of Bay City and Saginaw than that of the towns in our county like Sanford that feel more similarly connected to Gladwin and Clare when it comes to shared resources. Like that so many municipalities in Central Oakland County are kept together. Farm. and Farm. Hls. share a school district, library system, and many community events. This will make representation for us much better than if we were split up by Farm. and Farm. Hills or even splitting the City of Farm. Hills in two as some maps have proposed.</p>	Nicholas Pellegrino	2021-10-14 16:32:48+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>Getting closer! This looks much better for APIA but let's go all the way and keep Novi together. Don't orphan a few precincts please.</p>	Karen Lynne Bolsen	2021-10-14 16:20:00+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion		Ratna Rao	2021-10-14 16:16:21+00

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Like	<p>Thank you for addressing the concerns voiced. District 110 does look a bit better now. We appreciate trying to keep community together and not marginalize voters in the North part of our district. Please keep working hard towards achieving balance and independence.</p> <p>Like that so many municipalities in Central Oakland County are kept together. Farm. and Farm. Hls. share a school district, library system, and many community events. This will make representation for us much better than if we were split up by Farm. and Farm. Hills or even splitting the City of Farm. Hills in two as some maps have proposed.</p>	Katherine Dooley	2021-10-14 16:16:10+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like		Karen Lynne Bolsen	2021-10-14 16:15:59+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	<p>Like that so many municipalities in Central Oakland County are kept together. Farm. and Farm. Hls. share a school district, library system, and many community events. This will make representation for us much better than if we were split up by Farm. and Farm. Hills or even splitting the City of Farm. Hills in two as some maps have proposed.</p>	Karen Lynne Bolsen	2021-10-14 16:14:38+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>This proposal is much better for Novi since it keeps our community together - both our large APPI community as well as our school district. This will allow us to continue to work together towards shared goals.</p>	Sujata Raman	2021-10-14 16:14:10+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>Don't break up Novi! This particularly impacts the APIA community as P14 has the highest APIA population in Novi and it's voice would be lost if dumped with other cities and county.</p>	Ratna Rao	2021-10-14 16:08:16+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This map joins Delta township to Lansing, thereby packing Democrats from both Eaton and Ingham counties into 3 districts, creating an unfair map. Please correct this map.	Mary Ann Margaret Idzikowski	2021-10-14 16:07:28+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Please put Farmington and Farmington Hills together and don't split up Farmington Hills. We have a joint school board and library system, and many community activities. Farmington sits in the middle of Farmington Hills. As a former school board member, being in touch with multiple legislative representatives would be a challenge to representation.	Karen Lynne Bolsen	2021-10-14 16:04:48+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Don't break up Novi! This particularly impacts the APIA community as P14 has the highest APIA population in Novi and it's voice would be lost if dumped with other cities and county.	Ratna Rao	2021-10-14 16:03:58+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>This map has Delta Township joined to Lansing, thereby packing Democrats from both Eaton and Lansing counties into 3 districts. That creates an unfair map. Please correct this.</p>	Mary Ann Margaret Idzikowski	2021-10-14 16:02:40+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>Please put Farmington and Farmington Hills together and don't split up Farmington Hills. We have a joint school board and library system, and many community activities. Farmington sits in the middle of Farmington Hills. As a former school board member, being in touch with multiple legislative representatives would be a challenge to representation.</p>	Karen Lynne Bolsen	2021-10-14 16:02:06+00

area, both Washtenaw and Livingston should be kept whole and in different districts with a chance at their own fair representation representative of our different cultures. There isn't much middle ground between these two staunchly partisan counties, as shown with the current 22nd St Senate District which gerrymandered your county and Chelsea, Dexter, and Scio Twp especially. Partisan Fairness to me is a 48.5-51.5% D/R district where no voters can be ignored (dismissed due to different party OR taken for granted by same party) and everyone has their say. Swing districts are tough with Michigan geography, and the commission collaboratively has a good one. This however is a 55% district. This is basically the current 22nd State Senate District if it was drawn in reverse, except

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

Dan Wholihan

2021-10-14 16:00:15+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Don't break up Novi! This particularly impacts the APIA community as P14 has the highest APIA population in Novi and it's voice would be lost if dumped with other cities and county.	Ratna Rao	2021-10-14 16:00:01+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Please put Farmington and Farmington Hills together and don't split up Farmington Hills. We have a joint school board and library system, and many community activities. Farmington sits in the middle of Farmington Hills. As a former school board member, being in touch with multiple legislative representatives would be a challenge to representation.	Karen Lynne Bolsen	2021-10-14 15:59:32+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This makes no sense to add to 9th district. There are few apts here but most would consider themselves to have community in Northville not in Livonia as the highway cuts off the area. The map should follow the course of the highway for 11 and 9th district	Jonathan Paul Kinneman	2021-10-14 15:57:32+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Please put Farmington and Farmington Hills together and don't split up Farmington Hills. We have a joint school board and library system, and many community activities. Farmington sits in the middle of Farmington Hills.	Karen Lynne Bolsen	2021-10-14 15:55:19+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Please put Farmington and Farmington Hills together and don't split up Farmington Hills. We have a joint school board and library system, and many community activities. Farmington sits in the middle of Farmington Hills.	Karen Lynne Bolsen	2021-10-14 15:53:35+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Linking Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint together makes so much sense. If we are to grow as a region, we need to combine our economic and social interests. Thank you for this map.	Christine Graves Klykken	2021-10-14 15:45:04+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	Linking Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint together makes so much sense. If we are to grow as a region, we need to combine our economic and social interests. Thank you for this map.	Christine Graves Klykken	2021-10-14 15:43:26+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>Linking Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint together makes so much sense. If we are to grow as a region, we need to combine our economic and social interests.</p> <p>Thank you for this map.</p>	Christine Graves Klykken	2021-10-14 15:42:07+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>Linking Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint makes so much sense. If we are to grow as a region, we need to combine our economic and social interests. Thank you for this map.</p>	Christine Graves Klykken	2021-10-14 15:40:10+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Opinion	<p>This map does encompass the city of Midland and it's county, but I think that cutting off Auburn and Bay City is unfortunate. We share so much through economic and educational concerns that our representation should reflect that.</p> <p>Bay City and Midland share so much through both business and educational interests.</p>	Christine Graves Klykken	2021-10-14 15:36:06+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	<p>This map really ties that together. Thank you!</p>	Christine Graves Klykken	2021-10-14 15:30:58+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>Bay City and Midland share so much through both business and educational interests. This map really ties that together. Thanks you!</p> <p>This one isn't bad. I like that Livingston County is kept whole, in a swing district, and not with Ann Arbor and Washtenaw County. Lyndon Twp is with Washtenaw as well. District 6 is better with no "robot arm" going to SE Oakland County. The 11th is better with more than just some "carve out" of Midland City without at least part of the Eastern Townships. I have mixed views about Kent-Muskegon, but there's arguments both ways for that and I don't have a dog in that battle.</p>	Christine Graves Klykken	2021-10-14 15:28:00+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Like	<p>This looks much better for Novi residents. Great job! This will allow us to keep our community and school district united as we work towards</p>	Dan Wholihan	2021-10-14 15:24:04+00
10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	our common goals.	elizabeth mae beaudoin	2021-10-14 15:11:29+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Like	I like this configuration, only in this area, more than any that Commission(ers) have designed. Just wouldn't split SwDetroit if I didn't have to	Anthony Scannell	2021-10-14 15:07:43+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	The Lansing area map gives an unfair advantage to Republicans. Please fix this. Put Delta Township back with the rest of Eaton County.	John P Veith	2021-10-14 15:02:30+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	The City of Jackson is not rural, and is not a border community. It should be included in the orange district and not the yellow one.	Conner	2021-10-14 14:56:38+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Delta Township belongs with Eaton County, not the city of Lansing	Rosalie Batchelor	2021-10-14 14:43:56+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Delta Township belongs with Eaton County, not the city of Lansing	Rosalie Batchelor	2021-10-14 14:43:55+00

Please keep Berrien, Cass, and St. Joseph together.

Understanding the uniqueness of Michiana is important and vital to all communities across southwest Michigan. For example you have split school districts in this proposal. Stevensville and Baroda are just one example and I think we owe it to our children to make sure they have strong representation in Lansing and splitting them up will hurt their voice. Also, Michiana (Berrien Cass and St. Joseph Counties) are all in the Indiana media market. We do not get Kzoo or GR media markets as we are part of Southwest Michigan not West Michigan.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Daniel Carrick

2021-10-14 14:35:09+00

This map looks to follow the strategy of keeping communities, townships, and cities together the best. For me that's how we live, so that's how we should vote and be represented.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW

Opinion

KAREN WEBER

2021-10-14 14:21:51+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	The Lansing Area map does not appear fair to me. I worked on getting this redistricting plan passed and hoped for a more balanced approach.	KATHLEEN VEITH	2021-10-14 14:19:10+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Please do not put Lansing and Delta Township in the same district. It creates unfairness due to packing and would advantage one party.	JoAnn Render	2021-10-14 14:09:35+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	The city of Flint needs to have its own representative and not be split between House Districts. The City of Burton should also not be split between House Districts. Also, Genesee County should be lumped in with Lapeer and Saginaw County. Please reconsider the House districts that you have proposed for Genesee County.	Frank Burger	2021-10-14 14:04:18+00

You could definitely do better than this. AFL CIO Fair Maps has a version that meets all of the constitutional criteria AND has less county breaks. Why don't you look at their maps? Every version you presented splits Calhoun County down the middle. It very much feels like you are using the middle of the state counties as fillers to meet your population numbers and just putting us wherever you need to, to meet the quota with no regard for the input from COIs or the many, many local government and non-profit relationships that have been built over time.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Opinion

Linda Pell

2021-10-14 14:00:38+00

I am happy that this proposal for District 6 includes the whole of my neighborhood, East English Village, plus our neighboring communities of Morningside and Cornerstone Village. These 3 neighborhoods make up the Morningside, East English Village, & Cornerstone Village Community Advocates (â€œMECCAâ€) Development Corporation non-profit as well as the East Warren Development Corp. non-profit which focuses on the stretch between Mack and Alter encompassing all 3 neighborhoods. It is most important to me that our 3 neighborhoods remain intact within the new District boundaries.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Like

Sydney Schaaf

2021-10-14 13:59:24+00

This proposal makes no sense for my neighborhood. This proposal separates me from the rest of my neighborhood, East English Village, and divides the neighboring communities of Morningside and Cornerstone Village. These 3 neighborhoods make up the Morningside, East English Village, & Cornerstone Village Community Advocates (â€œMECCAâ€) Development Corporation non-profit as well as the East Warren Development Corp. non-profit which focuses on the stretch between Mack and Alter encompassing all 3 neighborhoods. It is most important to me that our 3 neighborhoods remain intact within the new District boundaries. This proposal does not satisfy my needs and the needs of my community. Sydney Schaaf

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

2021-10-14 13:57:02+00

10-08-21 v1 SD Opinion You could definitely do better than this. AFL CIO Fair Maps has a version that meets all of the constitutional criteria AND has less county breaks. Why don't you look at their maps? Linda Pell 2021-10-14 13:55:21+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion You could definitely do better than this. AFL CIO Fair Maps has a version that meets all of the constitutional criteria AND has less county breaks. Why don't you look at their maps? Linda Pell 2021-10-14 13:53:04+00

I am happy that this proposal for District 6 includes the whole of my neighborhood, East English Village, plus our neighboring communities of Morningside and Cornerstone Village. These 3 neighborhoods make up the Morningside, East English Village, & Cornerstone Village Community Advocates (â€œMECCAâ€) Development Corporation non-profit as well as the East Warren Development Corp. non-profit which focuses on the stretch between Mack and Alter encompassing all 3 neighborhoods. It is most important to me that our 3 neighborhoods remain intact within the new District boundaries.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

Sydney Schaaf

2021-10-14 13:51:58+00

The Congressional Map submitted by Promote the Vote Coalition is a FAR BETTER representation of how Calhoun County fits into the state economy. This map does not reflect the economic, social, health, education or environmental connections or Community of Interest between Calhoun County (I-94 Corridor). The southern tier counties have synergies along an economic corridor along Route 12. and M-60. This significantly dilutes the interests of Calhoun County.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Linda Pell

2021-10-14 13:48:03+00

The Congressional Map submitted by Promote the Vote Coalition is a FAR BETTER representation of how Calhoun County fits into the state economy. This map does not reflect the economic, social, health, education or environmental connections or Community of Interest between Calhoun County (I-94 Corridor). The southern tier counties have synergies along an economic corridor along Route 12. and M-60. This significantly dilutes the interests of Calhoun County.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Linda Pell

2021-10-14 13:43:58+00

There is almost no economic, social or environmental connection or Community of Interest between Calhoun County (I-94 Corridor) and the southern tier counties along with an economic corridor along Route 12. This significantly dilutes the interests of Calhoun County.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Linda Pell

2021-10-14 13:36:27+00

I respectfully oppose this map because it breaks up my COI into three pieces. The Lake St. Clair Community of Interest should remain whole. This map splits my home town of Grosse Pointe Park from the Lake St. Clair district and from the other Grosse Pointes. This is completely unnecessary and ruins two communities of interest simultaneously. Moreover, Clay and Ira townships should be included with the rest of the lakeshore. Finally, I have noted that a number of commenters on a similar map expressed concerns that that this configuration splits up important Detroit neighborhoods that want to be together in a community of interest. Although I do not specifically know what the purpose behind this configuration is, the outward appearance is that it treats people and communities as

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS Dislike

Robert Dindoffer

2021-10-14 13:32:54+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	No disrespect to the southern tier counties -- but there is almost no economic, social or environmental connection or Community of Interest between Calhoun County (I-94 Corridor) and the southern tier counties along with an economic corridor along Route 12. This significantly dilutes the interests of Calhoun County.	Linda Pell	2021-10-14 13:31:40+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Opinion	Troy should stay with Oakland County along with Rochester Hills and Rochester. Not sure why anyone would try to lump Troy into Macomb region.	Janet Jennings	2021-10-14 13:13:30+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Opinion	Please keep Novi together. Taking a densely populated part of Liberty park from precinct (14) and lumping it into a rural district (with Salem, South Lyon and Worden) seems like a deliberate attempt to dilute the voice of a diverse community.	vijay G	2021-10-14 12:35:05+00

My greatest concern is the overall fairness of the maps, but something seems wrong here. If you would, try to draw a boundary around this region using the boundaries of the districts you have here that is fair (a slight dem lean like the state total) then you could limit the cascading issues, you could set a "fire break" as I have mentioned before, and look at this region holistically. You might find one more step of the overall fairness I believe is lacking for the map as a whole.

You can't please everyone but DO NOT believe it is "good enough" that your party has a small advantage. There are champions of fairness within your group. Close ranks around them please. Good luck.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

David Barnosky

2021-10-14 12:11:37+00

I'm not certain that the split here between districts 10 and 11 is legal. I don't think either district would count as contiguous based on this map.

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Dislike

Max Milstein

2021-10-14 11:45:20+00

The Lansing area map is unfair  
and does not correct the  
problems that currently exist.  
It packs Democrats into 3  
districts which gives an unfair  
advantage to Republicans.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Please correct this.

Cathy Kiernan

2021-10-14 11:39:37+00

I don't know Dan. Back in the summer most people were asking to keep Livingston county whole, in this map the county is intact. Washtenaw county residents made similar appeals and Com. Lange has honored those as well. In a close election, the estimated partisan outcome is small enough a good Republican candidate who listens to the needs of his/her constituents could have a chance of winning the seat. I thought that was your advice on the live chat of commission drafting sessions for people concerned about partisan balance and Republican leaning maps. I notice you object to Shiawassee being grouped with Grand Rapids due to distance. Yet you raise no objection to Benton Harbor and Monroe in the same district. Isn't that an even greater distance? I know Alice

10-13-21 v1 CD RL Opinion

2021-10-14 08:16:46+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Opinion This is better than the other individual maps, although I prefer the version Commissioner Eid drew for the collaborative map. It's not terrible though for my area. Dan Wholihan 2021-10-14 05:16:31+00

This is a flat out partisan gerrymander that breaks up communities of interest. Ann Arbor shouldn't be split three ways. The Brighton area should not be split. Genoa Township and Hamburg Township belong with Brighton. In addition, a Brighton State House District shouldn't go all the way to Eastern Commerce Township, nor to Waterloo, nor to Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor will control everything in these three districts, and we will have no representation whatsoever in our communities.

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike Dan Wholihan 2021-10-14 05:04:14+00

There's no real to split part of Unadilla Township, a one precinct township that has nothing in common with Ann

10-13-21 v1 SD RAS Dislike Dan Wholihan 2021-10-14 04:58:48+00

Arbor.

Brighton and Howell should not be split. Livingston should be kept whole. In addition, Dexter and Ann Arbor shouldn't be split, and Livingston and Washtenaw do not belong together. This butchers communities of interest and takes away a competitive 5th district that was worked on in the collaborative map. This map also has the non-competitive 6th district. This is a bad map based on communities of interest AND a bad map for actual partisan fairness.

10-13-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Dan Wholihan

2021-10-14 04:54:07+00

The separation of Midland city from Midland county looks to be gerrymandering to me. I believe you need to redraw your maps. Midland city belongs in district 13 with the rest of Midland county. Also Bay county and Saginaw counties are cut up into different districts and look to be gerrymandered also.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Steve Stackable

2021-10-14 04:44:32+00

10-13-21 v1 CD RL	Dislike	I strongly oppose this map. Livingston County does not belong with Washtenaw County. This is also a non competitive district where Ann Arbor overwhelms the rural areas. It also splits up the Lansing area, packs Lansing with Kalamazoo (makes no sense), and puts Shiawassee with Grand Rapids 100+ miles away on the other side of the state.	Dan Wholihan	2021-10-14 04:41:43+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	This is a good map. It takes into account the similar demographics between Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo.	Katherine Leighton	2021-10-14 04:20:01+00

I think it was the right choice to put Hemlock in with the tri cities district. Unlike most the other draft maps which throw Hemlock outside of the tri city district this one lets us be apart of our community. Hemlock is very economically connected with Saginaw the city. Whenever people need to go buy food most of us drive into Saginaw to get food. Or many people like me go into Saginaw because thats were we work. It wouldn't make sense that we get cut out and thrown into a another community we have no connection with.

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

Peyton Ball

2021-10-14 04:16:24+00

I see many comments asking to unite certain areas in the recently flooded watershed in District 11. However, the watershed in mid-Michigan includes many other counties and many other communities in addition to the flooded areas, and all will be affected by future decisions. While this is an important issue, particularly for the flooded communities, there are other important issues as well. Midland is interested in continuing to grow as a city and prosper along with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint. Since these four areas have many common business, industrial, educational, and cultural interests, it is a great idea to include the city of Midland in District 11. Putting Midland in District 13 would make the flood and that one part of the watershed nearly the ONLY shared interest among

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Midland and the many, much Cassandra M Foley

2021-10-14 04:15:07+00

Again these maps make no sense. go back to the drawing board. This is not fair at all that you are sheading communities and communities who work closely with one another. obviously you know nothing about communities in Detroit as you are doing nothing but try and destroy them Please check the Cody Rouge

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Neighborhood Boundaries	Barb Matney	2021-10-14 04:05:41+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	There is no need to fish hook this slice of Washtenaw	Dominic Restuccia	2021-10-14 04:01:22+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Stretching Grand Rapids to Kalamazoo makes no sense. This is a prime example of why the Princeton Gerrymandering Project has graded these maps as average or bad for geographic integrity. The commission should seek to adhere to all of parameters set out in the Michigan Constitution, but keeping counties, townships, and even neighborhoods together has been completely ignored and neglected. This district doesn't even add anything to COI or VRA compliance.	Dominic Restuccia	2021-10-14 03:58:50+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This map for district 7 is worse than the last one. Why are you wanting to rip apart communities	Barbara A Matney	2021-10-14 03:58:37+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This map is splitting our community organization . Our boundaries are Ford to Joy Rd and M39 to Rouge Park. This map is also separating. The Cody Rouge Neighborhoods that work very close with one another. This makes no sense	Barb Matney	2021-10-14 03:54:49+00

This map is a positive step for the Tri-Cities and Flint. District 11 unites common business, educational, medical, and cultural interests together in one district so that those interests can be more strongly represented in Congress. I also like the idea of including more of Midland County in this district instead of Tuscola. I know this is an enormous task, and I certainly thank you for your efforts to satisfy all the criteria, including partisan fairness. I think this district will thrive as a result.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Like

Cassandra M Foley

2021-10-14 03:46:23+00

This map is a positive step for the Tri-Cities and Flint.

District 11 unites common business, educational, medical, and cultural interests together in one district so that those interests can be more strongly represented in Congress. I also like the idea of including more of Midland County in this district instead of Tuscola. I know this is an enormous task, and I certainly thank you for your efforts to satisfy all the criteria, including partisan fairness. I think this district will thrive as a result.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Cassandra M Foley

2021-10-14 03:43:50+00

The commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in an 80%

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Francine C Quail

2021-10-14 03:40:47+00

Democratic district.

10-13-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>Why is the city Ann arbor split into three different State Representative districts. These districts are not communities of interest. Ann Arbor has 124,000 people. A rep. district is 91,000. Ann Arbor should have 1.36 districts. You did a similar split with the City of Grand Rapids, although not as expansive.</p> <p>Stop the gerrymandering</p>	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-14 03:39:44+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>North Lansing &amp; Lansing Township have economic and infrastructure in common with Lansing. They need to be in a district with Lansing, not Clinton County &amp; St. Johns.</p> <p>Why is the city Ann arbor split into three different State Representative districts. These districts are not communities of interest. Ann Arbor has 124,000 people. A rep. district is 91,000. Ann Arbor should have 1.36 districts. Stop the</p>	Ruth E Linnemann	2021-10-14 03:35:55+00
10-13-21 v1 HD CSO	Dislike	<p>gerrymandering.</p>	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-14 03:35:39+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>This map is a positive step for the Tri-Cities and Flint. It unites common business, educational, medical, and cultural interests together in one district so that those interests can be more strongly represented in Congress. Thank you for your attention to all the criteria, including partisan fairness. I think this district will thrive as a result.</p>	Cassandra M Foley	2021-10-14 03:33:55+00
10-13-21 v1 SD RAS	Dislike	<p>Keep the City of Ann arbor in the same State Senate district. Why go all the way to Calhoun County and split the county to form two partisan State Senate districts. Calhoun and Jackson County should be in the same State senate district and Washtenaw in another State Senate district.</p>	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-14 03:23:51+00
10-13-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>Keep all of Livingston County in the 5th. Keep Washtenaw County in the 7th.</p>	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-14 03:17:43+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Like I am very much in favor of this version of District 1. It honors the many connections among the Tri-Cities. This map will lead to a state senator who will be a strong voice for our interests. The interests of the other more rural districts will be better represented as well. I appreciate all the time and hard work you have put in to meet the criteria and eliminate partisan bias as much as possible. When voters approved Prop 2 creating this commission, this is the result they had in mind. Cassandra M Foley 2021-10-14 03:15:12+00

10-08-21 v1 SD Like Thank you so much for all your hard work to create maps that meet all the criteria and are as fair as possible. This map not only unites communities of interest in the Tri-Cities, it gives us a district that will be competitive with close to zero political bias. This will result in better, more responsive candidates. When voters passed Prop 2 in 2018, this is exactly what they wanted. Cassandra M Foley 2021-10-14 03:03:07+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>The Lansing area map is very unfair. It gives an unfair advantage to Republicans. This map needs to be unpacked. Put Delta back with Eaton. Please fix this. Maps should be fair.</p>	Kari Huss	2021-10-14 02:59:14+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	<p>Thank you so much for all your hard work to create maps that meet all the criteria and are as fair as possible. This map not only unites the communities of interest in the Tri-Cities, it gives us a district that will be competitive and fair to both parties. This will result in better, more responsive candidates. When voters passed Prop 2 in 2018, this is exactly what they wanted.</p>	Cassandra M Foley	2021-10-14 02:58:02+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Please let's keep Novi intact. Please keep Liberty Park in Precinct 14. Thank you for listening!</p>	Shola Salako	2021-10-14 02:49:52+00

10-13-21 v1 HD CSO	Dislike	Thank you for listening to the comments about I-94 communities. I would like this district better if the population between Washtenaw and Jackson were a bit closer. I know that it will not be even, but this district would feel more fair if it was at least a little closer.	Heather Hunnell	2021-10-14 02:43:56+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Packs Democrats into one district, which is gerrymandering!	Jim Svensson	2021-10-14 02:43:42+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	Thank you for listening to comments about I-94 communities, but I would like this map more if there was a better balance between Jackson and Washtenaw population. I know that it won't be even, but it would feel more fair if it was at least a little bit closer.	Heather Hunnell	2021-10-14 02:40:46+00

urge the commission to re-evaluate the decision to separate northern Berrien county from the rest of Michiana. It's important to keep all three counties together. Here's why:

SW Michigan, otherwise known as Michiana, has its own electric grid. Indiana Michigan (Michiana) Hydro Power is generated in Berrien & St. Joseph Counties.

Berrien, Cass & St. Joseph Counties are served by PJM, a regional transmission organization (RTO) that coordinates the movement of wholesale electricity. The rest of the state is served by MISO. By breaking Berrien into two Senate districts, it could potentially disrupt energy supplies in the region.

Further, the police departments of the three

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Douglas Dallich Jr.

2021-10-14 02:35:18+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I understand somebody somewhere needs to be on a district boundary, but separating Troy from its Oakland County neighbors seems so weird. Is there no straightforward way to transfer it and adjust the impacted districts?	R. Scott Bailey	2021-10-14 02:27:47+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	The "Apple" US House Districting map exceeds all expectations! It is COMPACT and CONTIGUOUS and EQUALLY PROPORTIONED!!! Well done!!! The deviations are LESS THAN 10 basis points! Every Michigan citizen has EQUAL representation: 1 Member of the US House of Representatives for 775,125 citizens. It is a PHENOMENAL result that clearly took serious work and dedicated effort. Congratulations! Well done. This map would be better if all of Lyndon Township was included in one district or the other. That will be a confusing split for voters.	Robert Traurig	2021-10-14 02:16:37+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion		Heather Hunnell	2021-10-14 02:14:41+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Lyndon Township will be a very awkward and expensive split. If Western Washtenaw townships are going to be in different districts, it would be better to move the whole township.	Heather Hunnell	2021-10-14 02:12:37+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	This split in Lyndon Township is going to be expensive for the township and confusing to voters. If Western Washtenaw townships are going to be in a different district, the entire township should be moved.	Heather Hunnell	2021-10-14 02:09:56+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	The Lyndon Township split here will be unnecessarily expensive and confusing for voters. Please do not split townships in Western Washtenaw. If some portion of Western Washtenaw is going to be in a different district, the whole township should be moved.	Heather Hunnell	2021-10-14 02:07:46+00

10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Like	<p>I like that this map doesn't split any townships in Western Washtenaw. Thank you for listening to the comments that NW Washtenaw does not belong in a Border County congressional district. I did see a few public comments in the portal suggesting that Manchester did want to be in a Border County district, so thank you for listening to those. If SW Washtenaw voters feel that they belong in that district, then I hope it is what will be adopted.</p>	Heather Hunnell	2021-10-14 02:02:52+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>I agree with Jeremy Fisher. Hazel Park and Madison Heights have ties to Royal Oak, Ferndale, and Oak Park, and we in Hazel Park are now cut off from communities that share our interests.</p>	Dr. Adam Duberstein	2021-10-14 01:42:29+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	<p>I like Ann Arbor being split from Ypsilanti. This is a fairer configuration.</p>	Mary Ann Margaret Idzikowski	2021-10-14 01:41:02+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>I believe Southfield belongs more with Detroit in a Congressional seat. Southfield is much more similar to Detroit than it is the outskirts of Oakland County. This map has split Oakland County in ways that are not consistent with our communities of interest.</p> <p>Birmingham does not belong in a district with Macomb County</p>	Allen R Wolf	2021-10-14 01:39:10+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	<p>Southfield belongs in a district with parts of Detroit. Thank you for including Southfield with parts of Detroit. There is a clear community of interest here. Also important for VRA compliance.</p>	Allen R Wolf	2021-10-14 01:38:06+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like		Allen R Wolf	2021-10-14 01:37:02+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>The Lyndon Township split in this map is awkward and will surely cause unnecessary expense and confusion for the voters living in this township.</p>	Heather Hunnell	2021-10-14 01:36:44+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Judith A. Sova is absolutely correct. Hazel Park should be with Ferndale due to overlapping school districts and interests.	Dr. Adam Duberstein	2021-10-14 01:36:43+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	District 1 doesn't fit together. While the grouping of Hazel Park, Madison Heights, and Warren makes sense, these cities have almost nothing in common with the Downriver communities they are grouped with. Hazel Park should also be grouped with Ferndale, as the two cities tend to share resources and similar interests, including some overlap in school districts.	Dr. Adam Duberstein	2021-10-14 01:34:38+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	This map is moving in the right direction. Thank you for recognizing that the metro Detroit area has layers of Communities of Interest and that partisan fairness must be taken into account.	Allen R Wolf	2021-10-14 01:34:13+00

We like Rochester Hills and Troy together. But you should keep Troy whole, include all of Clawson, include all of Sterling Heights west of Van Dyke, and should NOT include Oakland Township at all.

Keep the community of Troy together as it represents a unique Community of Interest Allen R Wolf

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

2021-10-14 01:33:02+00

District 11 (Novi, South Lyon, etc.) should include Milford and more of Commerce Township, but less of Farmington Hills and none of Washtenaw County.

District 18 (West Bloomfield, Waterford, etc.) should include most of the part of Farmington Hills that you have with District 11, and it should drop Milford and most of Commerce Township into District 11.

District 16 (Rochester Hills, Troy, western Sterling Heights) should keep Troy whole, include all of Clawson, include all of Sterling Heights west of Van Dyke, and should NOT include Oakland Township at all. Keeping Rochester Hills and Troy together is good.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

Allen R Wolf

2021-10-14 01:32:13+00

District 11 (Novi, South Lyon, etc.) should include Milford and more of Commerce Township, but less of Farmington Hills and none of Washtenaw County.

District 18 (West Bloomfield, Waterford, etc.) should include most of the part of Farmington Hills that you have with District 11, and it should drop Milford and most of Commerce Township into District 11.

District 16 (Rochester Hills, Troy, western Sterling Heights) should keep Troy whole, include all of Clawson, include all of Sterling Heights west of Van Dyke, and should NOT include Oakland Township at all. Keeping Rochester Hills and Troy together is good.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Allen R Wolf

2021-10-14 01:31:24+00

District 11 (Novi, South Lyon, etc.) should include Milford and more of Commerce Township, but less of Farmington Hills and none of Washtenaw County.

District 18 (West Bloomfield, Waterford, etc.) should include most of the part of Farmington Hills that you have with District 11, and it should drop Milford and most of Commerce Township into District 11.

District 16 (Rochester Hills, Troy, western Sterling Heights) should keep Troy whole, include all of Clawson, include all of Sterling Heights west of Van Dyke, and should NOT include Oakland Township at all. Keeping Rochester Hills and Troy together is good.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Allen R Wolf

2021-10-14 01:30:19+00

VRA districts must establish the opportunity to elect a candidate of choice. This requires careful consideration of primary results and voter participation, especially in Flint, Detroit and surrounding Metro areas.

I'm concerned about how you have sliced Detroit up into thin strips and put them with heavily white areas in the suburbs. It is important to me that Detroit be able to elect its own representatives, and I'm not sure your maps will guarantee that.

Please use more data than just the 2018 Governor primary to assess VRA compliance.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Allen R Wolf

2021-10-14 01:29:03+00

VRA districts must establish the opportunity to elect a candidate of choice. This requires careful consideration of primary results and voter participation, especially in Flint, Detroit and surrounding Metro areas.

I'm concerned about how you have sliced Detroit up into thin strips and put them with heavily white areas in the suburbs. It is important to me that Detroit be able to elect its own representatives, and I'm not sure your maps will guarantee that.

Please use more data than just the 2018 Governor primary to assess VRA compliance.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Allen R Wolf

2021-10-14 01:28:16+00

Please keep the community of Troy together as it represents a unique Community of Interest.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS

Like

Allen R Wolf

2021-10-14 01:26:27+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	I live in Huntington Woods. I am worried that Detroiters will lose out on electing Detroit representatives when combined with the white suburbs to their north. Pontiac and Auburn Hills should be together	Lauren Lisi	2021-10-14 01:23:24+00
		Novi & South Lyon should be together without any part of Washtenaw County		
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Rochester & Rochester Hills should be together without any part of Oakland Twp	Allen R Wolf	2021-10-14 01:22:06+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	Thank you for including Southfield with district 2 as it is a must. As a majority-black city, it adds to the minority population in district 2 which properly meets the VRA requirements for Michigan.	Zach Geyer	2021-10-14 01:07:51+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	Thank you for removing Tuscola county from District 11, it is largely rural so it makes more sense to put it with the rest of the thumb.	Zach Geyer	2021-10-14 01:05:06+00

10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	%61 of the people asked for a less partisan map for Michigan and we still are coming up with a +5-6% Republican bias. This not a proper response to an articulated majority opinion.	Bradley Davis Hoth	2021-10-14 00:59:44+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I like the map a lot. GR and Kalamazoo has a lot in common and this this map provides the populations around urban centers to have a representation in congress. Delta Township is in Eaton County and provides for a voting base that is equally balances the Republicans and Democrats. The whole point of this redistricting action is to equalize.	Pei-Lan Tsou	2021-10-14 00:56:17+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	All these maps are just terrible. It is like you started out well and then just kind of got lost in the numbers, forgetting the end goal is to give resident fair and responsive representation.	LARRY MYNHIER	2021-10-14 00:55:05+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Dislike		Lisa	2021-10-14 00:51:31+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Monroe County will be locked into Republican winners for 10 years with this current map. We have more in comment with the Downriver area, I 75 corridor and Lake Eris issues.</p> <p>I am very concerned about the division of Farmington and Farmington Hills into multiple districts. The two cities are inextricably linked and to slice them up is not logical.</p>	Linda Lauer	2021-10-14 00:49:31+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>This map really reflects the social and economic interests that are shared by the major cities in this area.</p>	Charles Starkman	2021-10-14 00:43:09+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>This map really reflects the social and economic interests that are shared by the major cities in this area.</p>	Christine Graves Klykken	2021-10-14 00:41:53+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	<p>Why are you taking chunks out of every Township only to replace them with a check of another Township? Why not leave communities whole?</p> <p>This map really reflects the social and economic interests that are shared by the major cities in this area.</p>	Lisa	2021-10-14 00:40:55+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	<p>This map really reflects the social and economic interests that are shared by the major cities in this area.</p>	Christine Graves Klykken	2021-10-14 00:40:02+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	<p>This map really reflects the social and economic interests that are shared by the major cities in this area.</p>	Christine Graves Klykken	2021-10-14 00:37:28+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	The communities of Farmington and Farmington Hills share culture, events, school districts, and more. Splitting them between multiple legislative districts does not make sense.	Alex Meyers	2021-10-14 00:37:07+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	The communities of Farmington and Farmington Hills share a lot and work together closely. Splitting them up does not make sense for the unity of communities of interest.	Alex Meyers	2021-10-14 00:31:01+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	I live in Eaton County and Delta Township should be put back into Eaton County. Excluding Delta Township creates a republican district out of a swing district. To correct this Delta Township should be put back into Eaton County.	Kyle Jones	2021-10-14 00:22:04+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	My county residence is Eaton. The map does not serve the people of Eaton Co. It packs Dems into 3 districts. This makes Eaton co. which was a swing district into a Republican district. Put Delta Township back into Eaton CO.	Linda D. Appling	2021-10-13 23:54:14+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>District 18 should include most of Farmington Hills that is currently with District 11 and should instead put Milford and the part of Commerce Township into District 11 instead. I live in West Bloomfield and spend considerable time in Farmington, more so than Milford or Commerce. Farmington and West Bloomfield are very connected.</p>	Marci W	2021-10-13 23:39:21+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Opinion	<p>As other commenters have pointed out, I feel that Northern Oakland County should be incorporated into one of the surrounding districts (3, 5, or 11). For example, Milford has much more in common with Brighton than it does with Bad Axe. With that being said, I really appreciate how the commission has responded to the public feedback, and I feel that this map is an improvement over the existing districts.</p>	Max Ford	2021-10-13 23:37:21+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	I am concerned about the way you have sliced up Detroit. Detroit needs to be able to elect their own Representatives and I am not sure they will be able to do that because this map has the city sliced up and put with heavily white districts.	Marci W	2021-10-13 23:36:54+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Thank you for keeping Troy together as it is a unique COI. Novi and South Lyon should be together without any part of Washtenaw. These cities are both part of Oakland county and it would not make sense to group them with a different county.	Marci W	2021-10-13 23:34:03+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	Rochester and Rochester Hills should be kept together without any part of Oakland Township. Rochester and Rochester Hills are completely intertwined and their communities are connected. The commission should not pack this district in this manner - please choose equity in representation	Marci W	2021-10-13 23:32:38+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion			2021-10-13 23:31:09+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike		Dana Bowers	2021-10-13 23:29:53+00

Cheboygan County is a community of interest for so many reasons. It is one of 3 counties comprising the Straits of Mackinac, and its vast wetlands, shoreline, lakes, and streams, and inland waterways connect to other counties. The Mackinac Bridge is in our back yard, and Line 5 is just over the fence line in Emmet County. We survive on 4 seasons tourism, and are intricately connected to the industry in Emmet and Mackinac Counties, we rely upon these counties for healthcare, and Emmet for education, goods, and services. Mackinac Bands and Sault Tribe members access tribal services in Mackinac and Chippewa counties. We receive news, tv, and radio from the west side (ask Edward Woods III). Cheboygan County residents visit Presque Isle and

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Montmorency counties for

Debbie

2021-10-13 23:15:24+00

Good proposal for district 28 - there is commonality between Lenawee and Monroe Counties and it includes the full city of Milan.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS

Like

Thomas Heck

2021-10-13 23:07:01+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Opinion	For the most part, I like this map for what's been done with keeping most of SE Oakland County together and keeping Warren and Centerline with Macomb County, however, I feel that Southfield belongs in District 3, not district 2. It has much more in common with Oakland County than with Wayne County. It should not be broken off from Oakland.	Sharon R Baseman	2021-10-13 23:06:25+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	This is a good proposal for District 28 keeping Lenawee and Monroe counties together, keeping the city of Milan together, and adding Sumpter Twp	Thomas Heck	2021-10-13 23:03:55+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Dislike	For District 8 I prefer the previous version (10-10-21 V1 CD RAS) which follows county lines closer except for adding the small slice of Berrien and removing the small slice of Jackson.	Thomas Heck	2021-10-13 23:01:17+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Dislike	I prefer the ;ater proposal that does not include all of Berrien County - this proposal breaks up too many counties in District 8	Tom Heck	2021-10-13 22:57:58+00

10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I like this proposal for District 8 - it keeps the border counties together with a couple of counties just off the border that are a combination of agriculture and recreational land. I dont understand why the small portion of Jackson county is not included while a small area of Cass county is added	Tom Heck	2021-10-13 22:53:16+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	The Lansing region maps are unfair because they pack Democrats into 3 districts. Please fix this and create fair districts for the Lansing area and fair statewide maps. Novi should not split and requesting not to move the liberty park subdivision where we live and to Keep the subdivision as it remains in Novi. This change will not bring in many business and residents living in this subdivision.	Susan Andrews	2021-10-13 22:42:26+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Opinion		Ramesh Iyer	2021-10-13 22:15:05+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	I am in favor of the proposed redrawing of Districts 27 & 29. Competitive districts will attract better "middle of the road" candidates. That will in turn create a saner government and more bi-partisan cooperation. Thank you for your service and for hearing my concerns.	William Stirling	2021-10-13 21:55:38+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	Thank you for this district. The I-96 corridor is a great COI. Grand Rapids fits well with Muskegon.	Barbara Karenko	2021-10-13 21:43:38+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Is this green tail from the 106th in Cheboygan County purposeful or accidental?	D Pond	2021-10-13 21:34:53+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	I loathe every single state senate map. Why is the commission obsessed with including Southern Kent County with the lakeshore? We share almost nothing in common. I'd rather be included in district 3 than district 20.	Patrick Quist	2021-10-13 21:29:34+00

I don't like any of the state house maps proposed. It is obvious the commission is purely guessing on what to do with West Michigan/Grand Rapids. I like district 75, but 79/86 are lacking. I live in proposed district 96 and while I don't think the district is perfect, Alaska/Middleville/Caledonia/Lowell are very similar as a community of interest.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Patrick Quist

2021-10-13 21:24:48+00

I approve of this map out of the five congressional maps proposed. Grand Rapids and its suburbs share far more in common with Kalamazoo and its suburbs than with the rural communities of Northern/Eastern Kent County. Completely anecdotal, but in my 27 years of living I've visited and worked far more in these borders than North Kent County. Some may complain that Kent County isn't kept whole, but I don't see how that is relevant. The commission is tasked with respecting communities of interest more than strict county borders. The only thing I would change is to add Ada/Forest Hills to this map and possible remove Middleville.

This map also respects Ottawa County and the lakeshore community.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Patrick Quist

2021-10-13 21:16:17+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy is part of Oakland County and is most strongly connected to the Oakland County communities, such as Rochester, Bloomfield Township, etc. To include it with the generally more conservative Macomb County seems like an attempt to dilute Troy's electoral choices. Keep Troy with our Oakland County neighbors.	Wendy L Chessin	2021-10-13 20:59:20+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Please keep my voting area in Novi. Thank you for this district. The I-96 corridor is a great COI. Grand Rapids fits well	Sheel Desai	2021-10-13 20:58:04+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Like	with Muskegon.	Barbara Karenko	2021-10-13 20:54:07+00

10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Unnecessary. Single-township is carved-out of its county, and Western Wayne/Washtenaw neighbors where it shares County-wide issues not of interest to folks in Lake Orion and Central/NE Oakland County. People here work in Ann Arbor, Detroit, Dearborn. This town was part of a poster-child of gerrymandering (MI11). Keep Northville with representation south of 96/696 corridor. Juniper map does that. Please incorporate some of the ideas from the Juniper map for this NW Wayne County region on the final maps.</p>	Diana	2021-10-13 20:50:25+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>This is a map that truly fulfills the promise of the independent commission and should be kept intact when the final maps are released.</p>	Shane Trejo	2021-10-13 20:40:54+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>This is a well-written map that preserves communities of interest and allows for quality representation.</p>	Shane Trejo	2021-10-13 20:40:27+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 8-% Democratic district,, For what you achieve in BVAP, +0.2 &+ 0.6%, compared to a more conventional East-West split, this arrangement obscures COIs on that basis. Also a pretty uneven division of Detroit, with majority in D1 & that district having the entirety of Detroit River shoreline. I think East-West districts split up from downtown along Gd River, up around Meyers Rd. would be a better division than this.	Deborah Keller-Cohen	2021-10-13 20:38:07+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Dislike		Anthony Scannell	2021-10-13 20:36:19+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Like	This is a map that truly fulfills the promise of the independent commission and should be kept intact when the final maps are released.	Shane Trejo	2021-10-13 20:30:48+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	District 4 looks great in this map. I question the bump out of 5 Straight lines will sell much better. Thank you for all of your work on this project - This is great!	Joy Murphy	2021-10-13 20:30:48+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Like	This is a well-written map that preserves communities of interest and allows for quality representation.	Shane Trejo	2021-10-13 20:30:13+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	This is a map that truly fulfills the promise of the independent commission and should be kept intact when the final maps are released.	Shane Trejo	2021-10-13 20:29:15+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	This is a well-written map that preserves communities of interest and allows for quality representation.	Shane Trejo	2021-10-13 20:24:47+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Like	I want Libertypark community to be within Novi. I feel it is not fair and ethical to move the existing Novi community to Lyon Township.	Ram Ramanathan	2021-10-13 20:22:02+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Combining a few comments - would moving the funky Washtenaw triangle to District 5, South Lyon to District 3, and Northville to District 7 equalize population while keeping county boundaries in tact?	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-13 19:49:26+00

This is my favorite Oakland-Macomb layout. It eliminates that need to put western Oakland communities with the thumb, and preserves communities of interest in Southeast Oakland and Central Macomb. I don't love the carve out of Warren, but I'm OK with it given that it was specifically requested by the Yemeni and Bengali communities.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Christopher Khorey

2021-10-13 19:47:28+00

For a long list of reasons, thank you for putting Midland with the Tri-Cities and Flint in a congressional district. As others have suggested you might consider moving Tuscola to the Thumb; taking less from Oakland and Macomb for the Thumb and replacing this population in District #11 with more of townships surrounding the City of Midland. Thank you for all your diligence to make these the fairest maps possible -- I have been following your mapping sessions and appreciate the complicated task you have.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Good luck to us all!

Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman

2021-10-13 19:45:44+00

For a long list of reasons, thank you for putting Midland with the Tri-Cities and Flint in a congressional district. As others have suggested you might consider moving Tuscola to the Thumb; taking less from Oakland and Macomb for the Thumb and replacing this population with more of townships surrounding the City of Midland in District #11. Thank you for all your diligence to make these the fairest maps possible -- I have been following your mapping sessions and appreciate the complicated task you have.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Like

Good luck to us all!

Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman

2021-10-13 19:43:19+00

This map (Oak) does not work. It does not meet the partisan fairness score, and probably should not have been brought forward to public hearing. The final map should be either Peach (with the Midland-Bay City district) or Pine (with more representation for Ann Arbor and a blue-leaning Jackson district). You probably can't do both of those changes, or the map will unfairly advantage Democrats - but I see both of those ideas are receiving positive feedback!

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Christopher Khorey

2021-10-13 19:42:36+00

For a long list of reasons, thank you for putting Midland with the Tri-Cities and Flint in a congressional district. As others have suggested you might consider moving Tuscola to the Thumb; taking less from Oakland and Macomb for the Thumb and replacing this population with more of Midland County in District #11. Thank you for all your diligence to make the fairest maps possible.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman

2021-10-13 19:38:08+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Do not agree with being redistricted into Pontiac. Keep Waterford the same. Do not split.	Natalie Pulido	2021-10-13 19:37:07+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	This map (Cherry) is the best Senate option! It would produce a State Senate that is narrowly divided between the two parties (I count 16 more-or-less safe seats for each party), with several key swing districts (looks like 5, 7, 11, 16, 18, and 24) deciding partisan control. That's how we get a responsive Senate that knows that the people can vote out the majority party at any election!	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-13 19:36:49+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	For a long list of reasons, thank you for putting Midland with the Tri-Cities and Flint in a congressional district. As others have suggested you might consider moving Tuscola to the Thumb; taking less from Oakland and Macomb for the Thumb and replacing this population with more of Midland County in District #11. Thank you for all your diligence to make the fairest maps possible.	Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman	2021-10-13 19:34:41+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>This extension of District 5 into Chesterfield Township was done in good faith (trying to make both 5 and 7 swing districts), but it was poorly executed and doesn't represent a COI or any other criteria the Commission is supposed to use. The version of districts 5 and 7 on the Cherry map are much better.</p>	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-13 19:33:23+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>This is the worst option for Districts 5 and 7, in my opinion. Algonac and Marine City do not belong with Grosse Pointe.</p>	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-13 19:31:56+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	<p>Chiming in to disagree with those who do not like the Lansing split. Consolidating urban areas into a single district simply because they are urban is how we get maps that unfairly advantage Republicans. The Commission's task is to draw fair legislative maps, not to engage in a mapping exercise about commuting ties or school districts.</p>	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-13 19:30:17+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This map gerrymanders heavily democratic Dexter into a district with more republican rural districts, resulting in a representative who doesn't represent our needs or political desires in Washington. We want VOTERS and not politicians to determine our political maps. Liberty Park has to be part of Novi. The precinct has a large Asian population similar to the rest of Novi, these communities all must be kept together.	Rachel Ross	2021-10-13 19:29:03+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike		Swetha Bomi	2021-10-13 19:28:32+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	It appears that Novi's current State Rep Kelly Breen will live in the 36th District and not the newly proposed 110th District. I think this map is fair and equitable.	David W Staudt	2021-10-13 19:26:59+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	This is the best configuration of District 7, in my opinion. Algonac and Marine City are a community of interest with the St. Clair River communities, not with the urban Metro Detroit communities.	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-13 19:26:55+00

I like the Grand Rapids split in that it creates one Democratic district and one swing district, surrounded by GOP districts. That fairly represents the politics of the region. I agree with the comment that the boundary between the two districts within the City of Grand Rapids could be moved south to Wealthy Street, which is a common cultural dividing line in the city. That could remove enough population from District 23 to potentially add Cascade Township to 23? I agree with the comments that it should not be split from the rest of Metro GR.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

Christopher Khorey

2021-10-13 19:24:25+00

This map looks to be the best. It supports COIs (boarder, Tri-county in Lansing, Tri-city in Midland/Bay/Flint, Kzoo+Battle Creek, GR+Muskegon) It has about a 0.6% Efficacy Gap, which is the best of maps so far, and also has a few competitive districts. I like this one the best!

10-12-21 EID v6 CD

Like

Peter Stevenson

2021-10-13 19:21:39+00

10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Please keep Novi together. Taking a densely populated part of Liberty park from precinct (14) and lumping it into a rural district (with Salem, South Lyon and Worden) seems like a deliberate attempt to dilute the voice of a diverse community.</p>	Abhay B	2021-10-13 19:20:17+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Precinct 14 in the City of Novi is placed in Dist 36 on this map. It should be in Dist 110. A large portion of the AAPI community in Novi would be separated from the rest of this local Community of Interest. Also, it would be the only Precinct in the Novi School District to reside in a different House district, creating unnecessary confusion. Keep Novi intact. Return Novi Pct. 14 to District 110.</p>	Gwen Markham	2021-10-13 19:19:05+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>Precinct 14 in the City of Novi is placed in Dist 36 on this map. It should be in Dist 110. A large portion of the AAPI community in Novi would be separated from the rest of this local Community of Interest. Also, it would be the only Precinct in the Novi School District to reside in a different House district, creating unnecessary confusion. Keep Novi intact. Return Novi Pct. 14 to District 110.</p>	Gwen Markham	2021-10-13 19:16:31+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Why is Liberty Park paired with Lyon Township? It is part of Novi. It should stay with Novi. This is plain and clear gerrymandering.</p> <p>Re: the Lansing area map. I want maps to be fair and meet the criterion of not giving an unfair advantage to one party over the over. This map packs Democrats into three districts in an Ingham-Eaton district, but Delta township should have its own Mi state house district in Eaton county.</p> <p>That would be more more fair.</p>	Danial Hyder	2021-10-13 19:10:36+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike		Mary Ann Margaret Idzikowski	2021-10-13 19:10:15+00

I live in Lyndon Township but my mailing address is Chelsea. Looking at this map makes no sense to me unless you are trying to divide the little township of Lyndon for political reasons which I thought was the whole idea for this committee not to be doing! I and my family are connected to Washtenaw County. I work here (Deputy Clerk of Lyndon Township). My son works in Washtenaw and attends Washtenaw Community College. It makes more sense to have the entire township represented by one person. The cost of running elections will go up with that burden put on the residents. Even looking at a Census map it makes no sense to split up this little township the way it is on this map. Please put all of Lyndon Township together and back in CD 7.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Like

Marion Rock

2021-10-13 19:07:13+00

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Please keep Novi together.

Sampath Paturi

2021-10-13 19:05:28+00

10-07-21 v1 HD	Like	<p>Precinct 14 in the City of Novi is placed in Dist 36 on this map. It should be in Dist 110. A large portion of the AAPI community in Novi would be separated from the rest of this local Community of Interest. Also, it would be the only Precinct in the Novi School District to reside in a different House district, creating unnecessary confusion. Keep Novi intact. Return Novi Pct. 14 to District 110.</p>	Bruce Markham	2021-10-13 19:00:18+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Opinion	<p>Originally I was content to see Midland intact in a house district which this map does, however, I echo Jennifer Austin and many others that fair maps are the prime goal. I prefer Pine and Peach configuration for that reason. Thank you for all your work to make the fairest maps possible.</p>	Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman	2021-10-13 18:58:03+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Like	<p>I don't want liberty park subdivision to be out of novi. Novi should not be split. This is not fair. Pls keep novi together</p>	Subassri Santhanam	2021-10-13 18:54:32+00

THIS IS OBVIOUS

Gerrymandering and an attempt to benefit the Democrat party. The advertised reason for creating the Commission was to STOP GERRYMANDERING AND SPLITTING UP COMMUNITIES. From PBS, 9/25/21: "In a gerrymandered state, it's not unusual to see towns carved in half and shared school districts split into different political districts. This is by no means accidental â€” the redistricting process has long been conducted behind closed doors, under the watchful eye of the very politicians hoping to tip voting lines in their favor. But Michigan may have found a way to break this pattern â€” and take the politics out of the process."

REALLY!? So how is the resulting map from this Commission any different?

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Dislike

Catherine Upton

2021-10-13 18:50:05+00

District #53 is a genius house map for the two small cities of Midland and Bay City with our many shared community interests and values fairly. Combining the surrounding rural districts into district #31 is also a brilliant. These districts would allow so many more voices to be heard. Thank you for striving to make fair maps that reflect the political makeup of the state.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Like

Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman

2021-10-13 18:48:31+00

This is a completely unacceptable map from a constitutionally required partisan fairness perspective and should be rejected outright. Michigan can do much better.

#### Fair Maps Favor People Over Parties

The underlying principle enshrined in Michigan's Constitution by Proposal 2, which established Michigan's Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission, is that fair maps should prioritize the voice of the people, not political parties. The people of Michigan deserve representation that reflects their beliefs, their values, and ultimately, their votes. Therefore, our state's newest district maps should give Michigan voters meaningful control over who represents them in Lansing,

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

NICHOLAS OCCHIPINTI

2021-10-13 18:44:13+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Like I like this map because Leelanau County is grouped with Grand Traverse County. I feel that reflects our economic interrelationship. Leelanau County does share a health department with Benzie County and has other ties there, but if there has to be a choice, we belong with Grand Traverse. Natalie Wilson 2021-10-13 18:33:15+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like I like this Tri-Cities senate district. You have done an excellent job of keeping these three cities together, adding in some of their counties, creating a competitive district in which the candidates running will have to work for our votes before and AFTER the election, corrected a heinous gerrymander from the previous maps, and contributed to the overall political fairness of the entire map. Please keep this district as it is drawn as you move forward. Jennifer Austin 2021-10-13 18:30:44+00

I really like this Tri-Cities + Flint congressional district but I have some suggestions. If you place Tuscola County with the Thumb district you will have room to put more of Midland, Bay and Saginaw Counties back into this district. I lived in the Thumb for 11 years and I always considered Tuscola county to be more closely aligned with the Thumb through rural and agrarian areas and the schools play each other in sports and the communities interact through festivals and events. That would also allow for you to take less from Macomb and Oakland which have their own communities of interest that may not align with the Thumb as well.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-13 18:27:31+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Map #53 is a genius map for Midland and Bay City. These two small cities have so much in common. Combining the surrounding rural areas as district #31 is brilliant as well. These maps ensure everyone's voice is heard in both these districts.. Thank you for striving to make fair maps that reflect the political makeup of the state.	Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman	2021-10-13 18:26:56+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	District #29 map places part of Calhoun and Hillsdale counties with Jackson county, which they have no community of interest with, nor any similar representative needs. District #29 should reflect Jackson county with western Ann Arbor and Scio Twp. added while removing Calhoun and Hillsdale counties.	Amador Ybarra	2021-10-13 18:24:56+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Opinion	I live in proposed district 7 and I can't tell if it achieves the goal of partisan fairness.	Janice M Sovak	2021-10-13 18:24:40+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Dislike	The Ann Arbor-Ypsilanti area has nothing in common with Calhoun County. I appreciate trying to make these districts competitive, but Marshall, Albion, and the rest of SE Calhoun County have more in common with Battle Creek than Ann Arbor and Jackson.	Noah Walker	2021-10-13 18:20:36+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like	Based on the outrage of Novi Democrats, this map must be fair and equitable.	David W Staudt	2021-10-13 18:17:41+00
10-04-21 v2 SD Like	This is a great map for Midland and the Tri-cities as it reflects our many shared community interests and values fairly. Thank you for striving to make fair maps that reflect the political makeup of the state.	Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman	2021-10-13 18:10:09+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like	This is a great map for Midland and the Tri-cities as it reflects our many shared community interests and values fairly. Thank you for striving to make fair maps that reflect the political makeup of the state.	Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman	2021-10-13 18:09:06+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	This is a great map for Midland and the Tri-cities as it reflects our community interests and shared values fairly. Thank you for striving to make fair maps that reflect the political makeup of the state.	Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman	2021-10-13 18:07:14+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Please move Madison Heights and Hazel Park into proposed district 13 with Royal Oak, Clawson and Birmingham.	Brian	2021-10-13 18:06:01+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	This is a great map for Midland and the Tri-cities as it reflects our community interests and shared values fairly. a Thank you for striving to make fair maps that reflect the political makeup of the state.	Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman	2021-10-13 18:03:33+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	This is the best map for Madison Heights because it unifies the growing Chinese, Indian and Chaldean communities from SE Oakland County up Woodward Ave and West to Farm Hills, Novi and W. Bloomfield.	Brian	2021-10-13 17:55:34+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Troy DOES NOT belong with Macomb County!</p> <p>We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area.</p>	Leslie Accardo	2021-10-13 17:32:06+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>If "communities of interest" are a chief concern of this commission, then splitting a single precinct off from its city, school district, and home county is not a defensible action. Please keep Novi whole, or risk diminishing one of the shining examples of exemplary districts in the state</p>	Julie A Argonis	2021-10-13 17:22:20+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>This map packs Democrats, dilutes the voices of the Greater Lansing Area, and is a map that overall significantly favors Republicans.</p>	Debbie Rosenman	2021-10-13 17:22:02+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>If "communities of interest" are a chief concern of this commission, then splitting a single precinct off from its city, school district, and home county is not a defensible action. Please keep Novi whole, or risk diminishing one of the shining examples of exemplary districts in the state</p>	Julie A Argonis	2021-10-13 17:20:51+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>If "communities of interest" are a chief concern of this commission, then splitting a single precinct off from its city, school district, and home county is not a defensible action. Please keep Novi whole, or risk diminishing one of the shining examples of exemplary districts in the state</p>	Julie A Argonis	2021-10-13 17:20:14+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	<p>This map is significantly fairer than others because it has effectively unpacked Washtenaw County, giving it better and more responsive representation. My family in Ann Arbor appreciates it.</p>	Debbie Rosenman	2021-10-13 17:17:45+00

The proposal to split Lyndon Township into two districts does not make any sense. It is a community that has a small tax base due to the State of Michigan owning approximately 52% of the land for parks. This split would put an unfair tax burden on the Lyndon Township residents. The Township should be kept in its entirety in Washtenaw County. Please reconsider your proposal.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Janet Gilkey

2021-10-13 17:15:47+00

This map is getting better, but if "communities of interest" are of chief importance, then there is still work to be done here. Novi has far more in common than the rural communities of Superior Twp and Washtenaw County. I also think it's unnecessary and overly complex to spread this district out across three very disparate counties - wayne, oakland and washtenaw. that seems excessive, please consider a more easterly extension of the map to include more "second ring" suburbs closely connected by school districts, infrastructure development zones and city and county boundry lines.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Julie A Argonis

2021-10-13 17:11:25+00

This map is getting better, but if "communities of interest" are of chief importance, then there is still work to be done here. Novi has far more in common than the rural communities of Superior Twp and Washtenaw County. I also think it's unnecessary and overly complex to spread this district out across three very disparate counties - wayne, oakland and washtenaw. that seems excessive, please consider a more easterly extension of the map to include more "second ring" suburbs closely connected by school districts, infrastructure development zones and city and county boundry lines.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Julie A Argonis

2021-10-13 17:09:17+00

This map is getting better, but if "communities of interest" are of chief importance, then there is still work to be done here. Novi has far more in common than the rural communities of Superior Twp and Washtenaw County. I also think it's unnecessary and overly complex to spread this district out across three very disparate counties - wayne, oakland and washtenaw. that seems excessive, please consider a more easterly extension of the map to include more "second ring" suburbs closely connected by school districts, infrastructure development zones and city and county boundry lines.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Julie A Argonis

2021-10-13 17:07:33+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair.	Thomas L Jean	2021-10-13 16:52:34+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	One of the reasons this Commission was created was to stop the gerrymandering and the splitting up of communities. Keep Waterford together; there is no reason to split us. Waterford needs to be whole in order to efficiently and effectively represent the residents. KEEP WATERFORD TOGETHER!	Tom	2021-10-13 16:47:00+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Opinion	Huron County is better aligned with the Bay Area "the Tri Cities. That's where shopping, medical, hospital, business services more likely are for many in the county. It makes sense to have a Saginaw Bay centered district.	Wanda Eichler	2021-10-13 16:42:36+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Huron County people shop in Bay City/Saginaw area, use medical and hospital services there, and have many business connections in the Tri Cities and Flint area. It's time to align Huron County with the Bay Area.	Wanda Eichler	2021-10-13 16:39:03+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Like	I like the West Borders of District 1. It keeps the Livernois corridor together. Also maintains Detroit's voting block in most areas. Great map for the district to help common businesses in the area. Putting Tri-cities with Flint is great for business to work together for the regional resources. Thanks for working to balance the districts in a fair way that also benefits the people. You are following the data and not just the opinion; thank you for that!	Lisa Kulawczyk-Pringle	2021-10-13 16:38:25+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like		Craig Austin	2021-10-13 16:34:19+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Opinion	Huron County is split from Tuscola County and the Tri Cities area here. Our shopping, business, and medical alignments are with Saginaw and Bay City. It would make much more sense to keep Huron with the Tri Cities.	Wanda Eichler	2021-10-13 16:34:14+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	Great map for the district to help common businesses in the area. Putting Tri-cities with Flint is great for business to work together for the regional resources. Thanks for working to balance the districts in a fair way that also benefits the people.	Craig Austin	2021-10-13 16:32:09+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Great map for the district to help common businesses in the area. Putting Tri-cities with Flint is great for business to work together for the regional resources. Thanks for working to balance the districts in a fair way that also benefits the people.	Craig Austin	2021-10-13 16:31:28+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Great map for the district to help common businesses in the area. Putting Tri-cities with Flint is great for business to work together for the regional resources. Thanks for working to balance the districts in a fair way that also benefits the people.	Craig Austin	2021-10-13 16:30:56+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	Waterford is a township that is a member of the Lakes community. Our communities of interest include Independence Township, White Lake Township, West Bloomfield Township and Highland Township among others. By splitting our community, you have broken 2 core tenets of the redistricting plan, you have split a community unnecessarily and you have not included all of Waterford in its community of interest. Keep Waterford together.	John	2021-10-13 16:30:52+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	This map looks great! Love seeing Midland, Saginaw, Bay City and Flint all together which reflects our shared values and resources.	Danielle Fergin	2021-10-13 16:30:16+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Like This map looks great! Love seeing Midland, Saginaw, Bay City and Flint all together which reflects our shared values and resources. Danielle Fergin 2021-10-13 16:28:37+00

10-08-21 v1 HD Dislike What is the purpose of redistricting if it just increases the partisan imbalance that already exists and breaks up communities into districts that make no logical sense? Novi reaches from 8 mile to 14 mile. Breaking it up in this way is confusing. It will play havoc with school districts. It will reduce the important contribution of the strong AAPI community in the city. It will favor Republicans in a city that has become more Democrat each year. I understand there are a lot of issues to take into consideration in redistricting, but this version of the map seems to make all of those issues worse. Keep the whole of Novi together. Andrea Johanson 2021-10-13 16:28:11+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	Great map for the district to help common businesses in the area. Thanks for working to balance the districts in a fair way that also benefits the people.	Craig Austin	2021-10-13 16:27:30+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This map looks great! Love seeing Midland, Saginaw, Bay City and Flint all together which reflects our shared values and resources.	Danielle Fergin	2021-10-13 16:27:04+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Great map for the district to help common businesses in the area. Thanks for working to balance the districts in a fair way that also benefits the people.	Craig Austin	2021-10-13 16:26:40+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	This map looks great! Love seeing Midland, Saginaw, Bay City and Flint all together which reflects our shared values and resources.	Danielle Fergin	2021-10-13 16:25:56+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Ignore the comments about this being a gerrymandered map. This is undoing the gerrymandering of the past and creating a fair map.	Craig Austin	2021-10-13 16:23:57+00

Keep Novi together as it was in previous versions of this map. This latest version makes no sense for the school districts and crosses 3 counties! The communities in these areas have nothing in common and do not share resources. It will also disenfranchise Novi's important AAPI community and threaten the diversity of our current district. Even putting together ballots for this proposed district would be a nightmare. All of Novi should be in 110.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Andrea Johanson

2021-10-13 16:23:14+00

I like this map. Thanks for following the process to make a fair and balanced map. Thanks for keeping the tri-cities together to help businesses grow and work on common issues in the region. This can help the region thrive even more.

10-04-21 v2 SD Like

Craig Austin

2021-10-13 16:20:45+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	Good job with this map. I like this too because it keeps the tri-cities together for common issue representation. This will help serve our community and businesses better. Thanks for building a fair map by following the process.	Craig Austin	2021-10-13 16:18:20+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Great map. Keeps Tri-cities together for common issues to be address by our senators. This is great for business development and support for resource (people and supplies) needs. Thanks for following the process well, meeting the requirements, and building a fair district. Please keep Novi together! Novi is an extremely diverse community and splitting it up would adversely effect a large portion of the AAPI population and our schools.	Craig Austin	2021-10-13 16:14:50+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Keep Novi together	Raman Sujata	2021-10-13 16:13:29+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>Please do not separate Novi. Novi precinct 14 should be part of district 110 because failing to do so will be detrimental to its large, closely knit AAPI community. Additionally, it will split up our school district.</p>	Raman Sujata	2021-10-13 16:09:46+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>Please keep Novi together! At the VERY least, Novi precinct 14 should be moved from 36 BACK to 110 as it was on previous maps. By separating P14 from the rest of Novi, the AAPI community is split up and our school district is split up and makes no sense. Please move Novi P14 back to 110!</p>	Sujata Raman	2021-10-13 16:00:29+00

I see the benefit of cooperation along Woodward between county lines in District 18. However, this map clearly removes majority minority districts, divides communities of interest, and there are no majority Black districts in the entire state despite having several cities that are majority black. If 260,000 people lived in a single tower that took up a 1/4 mile of land, you wouldn't divide the tower up just to expand the district footprint would you? This map makes me think you would.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Lisa Kulawczyk-Pringle

2021-10-13 15:49:31+00

It appears that the districts are possibly more gerrymandered now than before the Voters not Politicians proposal was adopted. How did we get to this point? The redistricting committee was suppose to be in charge of taking partisan politics out of drawing district lines for redistricting. As of now, it has not happened.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Larry Frank Tolbert

2021-10-13 15:45:51+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	I approve of this map. It is important to keep the tri-cities (Midland, Saginaw, Bay City) together. These communities share values and resources. This map fairly reflects the values and needs of these more urban areas.	Danielle Fergin	2021-10-13 15:43:50+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	I approve of this map. It is important to keep the tri-cities together. These communities share values and resources. This map fairly reflects the values and needs of these more urban areas.	Danielle Fergin	2021-10-13 15:42:02+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	I approve of this map as it keeps Midland with other communities of similar interests. Midland shares community values and resources with the "Tri Cities". This map reflects the communities interests fairly.	Danielle Fergin	2021-10-13 15:38:37+00

There are three maps, and there is no variety in this area at all. Reaching every district in these zip codes across 8 mile in slim, siloed districts, up several miles into communities that have little in common is a mistake.

There is some positive to reaching across 8-mile, but doing so in these sliver-like districts it is only dividing Detroit neighborhoods and diluting the population's vote. Anywhere north of 696 has very different interests, challenges, and populations from Detroit. What does Birmingham have in common with Winship? Huntington Woods with Bagley? There's some good though in District 18 along the Woodward Corridor, but it separates University District and Palmer Woods from their most near neighbors.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Lisa Kulawczyk-Pringle

2021-10-13 15:38:16+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>This map gerrymanders heavily democratic Dexter into a district with more republican rural districts, resulting in a representative who doesn't represent our needs or political desires in Washington. We want VOTERS and not politicians to determine our political maps.</p>	Jessica Fealy	2021-10-13 15:33:07+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>Put all of Novi in 110. This set up is muting the voices of our Asian community in that part of Novi. Please move it to join the rest of the City of Novi.</p>	elizabeth mae beaudoin	2021-10-13 15:24:54+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>Reaching every district in these zip codes across 8 mile in slim, siloed districts, up several miles into communities that have little in common is a mistake. There is some positive to reaching across 8-mile, but doing so in these sliver-like districts it is only dividing Detroit neighborhoods and diluting the population's vote. Anywhere north of 696 has very different interests, challenges, and populations from Detroit.</p>	Lisa Kulawczyk-Pringle	2021-10-13 15:23:48+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Rochester/Rochester Hills should not be moved to Macomb Co. I strongly disagree. This is classic gerrymandering and clearly not what the people who pay taxes in our community want. Do NOT move our district.	Courtney Jones	2021-10-13 15:17:37+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Dislike	This redraw is exactly the opposite of what we are hoping to achieve. Our eastside Detroit neighborhood's have been working together extensively, along with sections of Grosse Pointe Park, to achieve a more accurate representation of our common interests in our district. Your proposed Districts 6 and 7 here destroy all of our hard work and go against our goals.	Elena Ruth Fracassa	2021-10-13 15:16:14+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Please keep the city of Novi in one district so we can have better representation for our cities diverse population.	sean beaudoin	2021-10-13 15:10:25+00

All of Novi should be in one district. please move the balance of Novi into 110, instead of having a chunk of Novi in 38. Novi is a very diverse area on its own and needs to have all of our citizens represented by the same person to work for our mutual goals. We have one of the leading school districts in the state and need to have someone protecting the interest of the school system so it can continue to be a leader in the area.

Additionally, this map has put a large section of our AAPI voters in 38 where their voices will be drown out by the rural voters they are sharing the district with.

PLEASE KEEP NOVI VOTERS  
TOGETHER.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

elizabeth mae beaudoin

2021-10-13 15:07:59+00

Under Section 3 of the Voting Rights Act, a change affecting voting, such as a redistricting plan, may not be used unless you can show that the change has neither a discriminatory purpose nor will have a discriminatory effect. It appears that this map lines will have a discriminatory effect by cutting up the black community in Detroit and Wayne County moving far across County lines.

Can the Redistricting Commission provide evidence that this map will not have a discriminatory effect? Detroiters interests are totally different from someone living on the other side of Oakland County. This house district is cut up so that we will have no black representation. If a black person ran for office it would take a millions to win and compete with a much wealthier Oakland County.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Monique Baker McCormick

2021-10-13 14:55:00+00

Under Section 3 of the Voting Rights Act, a change affecting voting, such as a redistricting plan, may not be used unless you can show that the change has neither a discriminatory purpose nor will have a discriminatory effect. It appears that this map lines will have a discriminatory effect by cutting up the black community in Detroit and Wayne County moving far across County lines.

Can the Redistricting Commission provide evidence that this map will not have a discriminatory effect? Detroiters interests are totally different from someone living on the other side of Oakland County. This house district is cut up so that we will have no black representation. If a black person ran for office it would take a millions to win and compete with a much wealthier Oakland County.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Monique Baker McCormick

2021-10-13 14:54:25+00

Proposed House District 36 has been one of the fastest growing areas of the state over the past 10 years. It's expected to see a lot of additional population growth over the next 10 years. This district will quickly become overweighted population-wise as the population continues to grow. In fact, considering the population numbers are based on the 2020 Census, the current overweight is probably even higher than the numbers presented. Shifting at least one of the more built-out Novi precincts into the Proposed House District 110 will ensure that these districts better conform to the equal population requirement now and into the future.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Andrew Mutch

2021-10-13 14:52:39+00

Proposed House District 36 has been one of the fastest growing areas of the state over the past 10 years. It's expected to see a lot of additional population growth over the next 10 years. This district will quickly become overweighted population-wise as the population continues to grow. In fact, considering the population numbers are based on the 2020 Census, the current overweight is probably even higher than the numbers presented. Shifting at least one of the more built-out Novi precincts into the Proposed House District 110 will ensure that these districts better conform to the equal population requirement now and into the future.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Andrew Mutch

2021-10-13 14:52:03+00

No. Just no. This version would put my city in with the rest of Detroit and make it so I have anti-Semite Rashida Tlaib as my Rep. Hate has not place in our government and she is the amongst the most vile hateful people in Congress. She wishes death on many and supports a government that wants to see people like me exterminated from the planet.

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Dislike

Angelo D Guarnieri

2021-10-13 14:43:36+00

Under Section 3 of the Voting Rights Act, a change affecting voting, such as a redistricting plan, may not be used unless you can show that the change has neither a discriminatory purpose nor will have a discriminatory effect. It appears that this map lines will have a discriminatory effect by cutting up the black community in Detroit and Wayne County moving far across County lines.

Can the Redistricting Commission provide evidence that this map will not have a discriminatory effect? Detroiters interests are totally different from someone living on the other side of Oakland County. This house district is cut up so that we will have no black representation. If a black person ran for office it would take a millions to win and compete with a much wealthier Oakland County.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Monique Baker McCormick

2021-10-13 14:40:31+00

Under Section 3 of the Voting Rights Act, a change affecting voting, such as a redistricting plan, may not be used unless you can show that the change has neither a discriminatory purpose nor will have a discriminatory effect. It appears that this map lines will have a discriminatory effect by cutting up the black community in Detroit and Wayne County moving far across County lines.

Can the Redistricting Commission provide evidence that this map will not have a discriminatory effect? Detroiters interests are totally different from someone living on the other side of Oakland County. This house district is cut up so that we will have no black representation. If a black person ran for office it would take a millions to win and compete with a much wealthier Oakland County.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Monique Baker McCormick

2021-10-13 14:39:01+00

Districts should be drawn to reflect the make-up of voters in the state. A state that leans Democratic should be able to elect a legislature that will represent its interests. A map that largely favors Republicans in a Democratic-leaning state is unacceptable. Jill Jividen Goff

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

2021-10-13 14:38:32+00

Proposed House District 36 has been one of the fastest growing areas of the state over the past 10 years. It's expected to see a lot of additional population growth over the next 10 years. This district will quickly become overweighted population-wise as the population continues to grow. In fact, considering the population numbers are based on the 2020 Census, the current overweight is probably even higher than the numbers presented. Shifting at least one of the more built-out Novi precincts into the Proposed House District 110 will ensure that these districts better conform to the equal population requirement now and into the future.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Andrew Mutch

2021-10-13 14:38:29+00

Under Section 3 of the Voting Rights Act, a change affecting voting, such as a redistricting plan, may not be used unless you can show that the change has neither a discriminatory purpose nor will have a discriminatory effect. It appears that this map lines will have a discriminatory effect by cutting up the black community in Detroit and Wayne County moving far across County lines.

Can the Redistricting Commission provide evidence that this map will not have a discriminatory effect? Detroiters interests are totally different from someone living on the other side of Oakland County. This house district is cut up so that we will have no black representation. If a black person ran for office it would take a millions to win and compete with a much wealthier Oakland County.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Monique Baker McCormick

2021-10-13 14:38:13+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	<p>I question the division down Livernois on all three maps. Bagley/Fitgerald and University District/Sherwood Forest down Livernois are joined together around the business district along the Avenue.</p> <p>Again I question the division down Livernois. Bagley/Fitgerald and University District/Sherwood Forest down Livernois are joined together around the strong business district along the Avenue. There are also historic ties between the Marygrove campus and UDetroit Mercy. Would this hinder collaboration and progress down McNichols</p>	Lisa Kulawczyk-Pringle	2021-10-13 14:25:20+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	<p>between the campuses? Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together</p>	Lisa Kulawczyk-Pringle	2021-10-13 14:23:56+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>in a 80% Dem district.</p>	Margaret Mason	2021-10-13 14:23:18+00

Please relocate Delta Township to a district that lies within the true boundaries of Eaton County. The proposed 91st district is mostly in the City of Lansing and Ingham County, which has a totally different makeup of all attributes that redistricting was supposed to correct. The proposed district that Delta lies in, does nothing to correct the issues that need to be fixed, financially, racially, or economically. Delta is the center of diversity in Eaton County... the remainder of the County needs more of the diversity that Delta has!!!

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

James P. Whitacre

2021-10-13 14:22:40+00

Splitting 3 townships off Hillsdale County and strapping them to the urban center of Jackson disrupts our community. Hillsdale County is intact in all other districting, but gerrymandered in this scheme, creating a rift of interests in our county. This is only one small portion of all the micro-finessing of lines in SW Michigan in an attempt to preserv a 2-seat majority for one party in our state, violating the charter of this commission.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

David Hambleton

2021-10-13 14:20:35+00

I question the division down Livernois. Bagley and University District/Sherwood Forest down Livernois are joined together around the business district along the Avenue. There are also historic ties between the Marygrove campus and UDetroit Mercy. Would this hinder collaboration and progress down McNichols between the campuses?

10-07-21 v1 CD DC

Opinion

Lisa Kulawczyk-Pringle

2021-10-13 14:16:23+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Splitting the NorthEast corner three townships of Hillsdale County and tying to to a district separate from the rest of us disrupts county unity and creates diverse interests between neighbors. Urban district lines logically cross county lines, but rural counties should be kept intact.</p>	David Hambleton	2021-10-13 14:05:41+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>The Delta Township democrats should not be packed in with Ingham County! Please redraw to make this fair.</p>	Linda Dow	2021-10-13 14:00:26+00

I am dismayed at how a bipartisan commission has come up with something that completely favors Republicans. Districts 5, 8,9,10,11,12,13 will be red. 4 will be a toss-up, 1,2,3,&7 will be overwhelmingly blue. This is gerrymandered. You should take a wagon-wheel or sliced pie approach where the center of the wheel is in the major blue cities like Detroit, Ann Arbor, etc and extend them out into rural areas so it contains roughly 50% each. I'm disappointed in whomever the democrats were on this commission that they didn't recognize this extreme flaw as it was being drawn up. Scrap this, start over.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Gregg A Hartsuff

2021-10-13 13:59:54+00

It appears that this map lines are discriminatory cutting up the black community in Detroit and Wayne County moving far across County lines. Brightmoor interests are totally different from someone living in Farmington. This is the same or worse than the republican drawn map from 10 years ago.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Commissioner Monique Baker McCo 2021-10-13 13:59:46+00

While the western part of Monroe County is mostly rural and fits well with Lenawee/Branch County and the others in District 8, the City of Monroe and the area along I-75 is largely industrial/blue-collar, situated right between Detroit and Toledo, and has a lot more in common with Downriver. It should be grouped with other Downriver communities rather than Cass and Saint Joseph Counties. I suggest adding Monroe to District 7.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Opinion

Christopher Slat

2021-10-13 13:58:22+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	<p>While the western part of Monroe County is mostly rural and fits well with Lenawee/Branch County and the others in District 8, the City of Monroe and the area along I-75 is largely industrial/blue-collar, situated right between Detroit and Toledo, and has a lot more in common with Downriver. It should be grouped with other Downriver communities rather than Cass and Saint Joseph Counties. I suggest adding Monroe to District 7. The Lansing House districts are unfair and contribute to making the statewide map unfair. This needs to be fixed. One way is to move Delta Township back with other Eaton communities.</p>	Christopher Slat	2021-10-13 13:57:49+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike		Chris Andrews	2021-10-13 13:57:40+00

While the western part of Monroe County is mostly rural and fits well with Lenawee/Branch County and the others in District 8, the City of Monroe and the area along I-75 is largely industrial/blue-collar, situated right between Detroit and Toledo, and has a lot more in common with Downriver. It should be grouped with other Downriver communities rather than Cass and Saint Joseph Counties. I suggest adding Monroe to District 7. It appears that this map lines are discriminatory cutting up the black community in Detroit and Wayne County moving far across County lines. Detroiters interests are totally different from someone living on the other side of Oakland County. This is the same or worse than the republican drawn map from 10 years ago.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Christopher Slat

2021-10-13 13:56:23+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Commissioner Monique Baker McCo 2021-10-13 13:55:40+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Delta Township is in Eaton County and needs to stay in the same district as the rest of the county for optimal representation in the State House.	Jane Whitacre	2021-10-13 13:52:47+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Like	This is the ONLY MAP that makes some sense, as it includes Allendale township in the same district as Kent County. When I think of COIs I think of transportation patterns, and one of the biggest is Allendale --> GR so much so a MAJOR BUS LINE TRAVELS HERE.	George D Smith	2021-10-13 13:16:58+00

As I have watched this commission go from an original map that made perfect sense having the City of Battle Creek, Springfield, Townships of Pennfield, Bedford, Emmett all of which share a Library, Police and Fire Services, School Systems, Ambulance Service, have members on BCATS, AMASA boards together, and share many other services, to now a District that is clearly stretched and once again gerrymandered for political purposes only, and it is disappointing.

To say that Battle Creek and Albion are these close communities is simply false. You can not even drive between the two without going through another proposed District. I also see that the City of Albion and Albion Township have been separated? So this

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Dave Morgan

2021-10-13 13:04:01+00

As I have watched this commission go from an original map that made perfect sense having the City of Battle Creek, Springfield, Townships of Pennfield, Bedford, Emmett all of which share a Library, Police and Fire Services, School Systems, Ambulance Service, have members on BCATS, AMASA boards together, and share many other services, to now a District that is clearly stretched and once again gerrymandered for political purposes only, and it is disappointing.

To say that Battle Creek and Albion are these close communities is simply false. You can not even drive between the two without going through another proposed District. I also see that the City of Albion and Albion Township have been separated? So this

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Dave Morgan

2021-10-13 13:02:35+00

As I have watched this commission go from an original map that made perfect sense having the City of Battle Creek, Springfield, Townships of Pennfield, Bedford, Emmett all of which share a Library, Police and Fire Services, School Systems, Ambulance Service, have members on BCATS, AMASA boards together, and share many other services, to now a District that is clearly stretched and once again gerrymandered for political purposes only, and it is disappointing.

To say that Battle Creek and Albion are these close communities is simply false. You can not even drive between the two without going through another proposed District. I also see that the City of Albion and Albion Township have been separated? So this

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Dave Morgan

2021-10-13 12:58:15+00

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Leave this as it was. No good reason to put Roch/Troy with Macomb County.

KEVIN PARENT

2021-10-13 12:50:34+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>Mount Clemsn and Clinton Twp should be in District 5. Mount Clemns is not a lakeshore community and has little in common with the rest of your new district.</p> <p>Clinton Twp and Mt Clemens should be in the same district. Mount Clemens has nothing in common with the rural areas of northern Macomb County.</p>	Sheila Strunk	2021-10-13 11:50:34+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Just wondering why there are box like formations on much of the map, but then in places like Traverse City area and SE Michigan we get into this squiggly line process. If the boxes need be smaller to encompass the population density then so be it, but the squiggly line effect just gives the appearance that there is a bit of gerrymandering</p>	Sheila Strunk	2021-10-13 11:46:05+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Opinion	<p>happening in these areas.</p>	Harriet Hardeman	2021-10-13 11:43:15+00

this map and the Detroit neighborhoods' responses to it demonstrate why Communities of Interest are so much more important than partisanship. LOCAL REPRESENTATION MATTERS AND COMMUNITY-BASED ISSUES MATTER. WE ARE MORE THAN JUST STATISTICS, WE ARE PEOPLE WITH COMMUNITY NEEDS THAT TRANSCEND PARTY BOUNDRIES. The residents of East English Village are rightly outraged at being ignored (despite numerous public comments) and split-up from the Cornerstone and Morningside neighborhoods in this map. The residents of those neighborhoods want to be together in Detroit-based districts. The residents of the Grosse Pointes want to be together along with the northern suburbs and the rest of the Lake St. Clair shoreline.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Dislike

Why not give us all what we In the current Senate map, Calhoun County is whole. I don't see why there is any need to separate our county into multiple districts.

Robert Dindoffer

2021-10-13 10:59:56+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

Sonja Marie Patrick

2021-10-13 06:47:43+00

The city of Troy does not belong with Macomb county. The schools, interest and commerce are much more influenced by Oakland county and the neighboring cities of Birmingham and Bloomfield. Particularly our neighborhood is Birmingham Schools. Put Troy back with Oakland county.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Ann Hailer

2021-10-13 06:33:21+00

For my own community, I can live with this. Before the Lyndon township "œtooth" I could accept the collaborative map as well. As another commenter said it's hard to judge if the individual submission maps don't have a compliance spreadsheet posted like the collaborative. Commissioner Eid, thank you for listening to feedback from the public, fellow commissioners, and portal comments. I have watched your maps incorporate different small changes based on feedback. Your professionalism and effort are much appreciated not just by myself, but others who have been watching maybe even over-watching this process. Again, thank you. Alice Troy belongs in Oakland county, NOT Macomb.

10-12-21 EID v6 CD Like

10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike

Kar Benn

2021-10-13 05:44:04+00

2021-10-13 04:28:08+00

		Instead of elongating district 76 so far east, why not extend east just to the natural boundary of the Grand River, then include Talmadge Township and my home of Grandville.		
10-06-21 v1 HD	Opinion	Plainfield Twp.	Jason Besley	2021-10-13 03:50:33+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	KEEP WATERFORD UNITED!	Lisa	2021-10-13 03:49:40+00
		The map keeping Ottawa County wholes demonstrates the constitutional responsibility the commission has to identify, and address communities of interest. The community that is the fastest growing in Michigan.		
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	UNITE WATERFORD	James Lunga	2021-10-13 03:49:20+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	TOWNSHIP!	Lisa	2021-10-13 03:48:03+00
		There is no reason to split Waterford Township - Keep Waterford Township United!		
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Waterford Township United!	Lisa	2021-10-13 03:46:03+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	KEEP WATERFORD UNITED!	Lisa	2021-10-13 03:43:14+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Running the districts north-south instead of east-west in the metro Detroit area weakens the urban counties voices-Wayne, Oakland and Macomb lose the cohesion of districts that represent areas of similar age and income levels. This configuration actually makes primary elections less likely to go to minority candidates than trying to keep districts more inside of one county.	Nancy Duemling	2021-10-13 03:30:08+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This drawing unfairly clusters Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti voters-- looks like straight-up gerrymandering. This map concentrates Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti into a very Democratic district. Splitting the two into two or more districts would create more competitive districts with more responsive representation.	Matt Hampel	2021-10-13 03:28:23+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike		Tim Berla	2021-10-13 03:27:43+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Nice map!	Lauren Steele	2021-10-13 03:20:05+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy should not be lumped into a district with Macomb county. Troy has a community and relationship that reflect the county in which it resides, Oakland County, and does not match the community in the Macomb district	Robert Gibb	2021-10-13 03:17:11+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This is not a competitive district - too many dems. Consider extending the upper boundary farther north and separating Ypsi as others have suggested.	Lauren Steele	2021-10-13 03:13:37+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The Commission should not pack Washtenaw County voters together in a 80% Democratic district.	Natasha Dacic	2021-10-13 02:49:38+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Opinion	Kalamazoo and Battle Creek are very similar communities and should be included together in a district.	Wendy Flora	2021-10-13 02:42:08+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Wyoming should not be split up in this way. Nearly all public comment regarding Wyoming stated that it should be combined with Grandville at the state house level.	Chris Herweyer	2021-10-13 02:41:38+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Appears to be attempting to concentrate certain types of voters into one district to limit their representation.	Jon Chen	2021-10-13 02:40:36+00

I'm concerned that this map splits my neighborhood (East English Village) into two districts (6 & 7). Many of my fellow neighbors have expressed our interests in keeping the three neighborhoods on the East side of Detroit in the same district, as we share similar interests.

My major concern is that not only the our neighborhood is split into two, the whole city of Detroit appears to be cut and stretched out into areas that don't seem to share interests. Can the commission please respect the municipal and county borders and try to keep districts aligned with municipal boundaries.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Dislike

Soh Suzuki

2021-10-13 02:28:54+00

This puts essentially all the Democrats into one district. A fair map would be more balanced.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Mary White

2021-10-13 02:28:08+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Packing all of the blue urban votes into districts instead of extending those districts out into adjacent rural areas does not result in evening out the Senate so that it better represents the 50/50 divide in the state. You need to do better in ALL of the adjacent rural/urban areas until that result is achieved. That is what the People voted for and why the MICRC exists!	Dan Berland	2021-10-13 02:21:45+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Nothing is gained in partisan fairness or community of interests in dividing up Detroit, Southfield, Oak Park, Warren and the large municipal areas. Detroit needs to have representation not a chopped up mess. AfICio can do fair mapping as can other outside organizations, please look at their maps.	nina dodge abrams	2021-10-13 02:20:44+00

I am very glad to see that this map's District 6 respects the strong community within East English Village, as well as its connections with the Morningside neighborhood to the west and Cornerstone to the east. The three neighborhoods work on community development in Restorin East Warren (<https://restorinewarren.com/neighborhoods/>) and the MECCA Development Corp. I am also glad that the district stretches to the river through the Jefferson Chalmers neighborhood, which includes recreational and cultural sites that we frequent. However, I'm disappointed that the districts in this area are so long and narrow, stretching into distant communities to the north with which we have little contact or shared interests. I would prefer that it include Grosse Pointe Park and other communities closer

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

Nancy Brigham

2021-10-13 02:09:20+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>There are 3 State House maps being considered for advancement. Each and every one of these state House maps favors Republicans by a disproportionate amount. They all but guarantee Republican majorities for the next decade. The commissioners must apply partisan fairness standards.</p>	Judith S. Goodman	2021-10-13 02:00:11+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>There are 3 State House maps being considered for advancement. Each and every one of these state House maps favors Republicans by a disproportionate amount. They all but guarantee Republican majorities for the next decade. The commissioners must apply partisan fairness standards.</p>	Judith S. Goodman	2021-10-13 01:58:44+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>There are 3 State House maps being considered for advancement. Each and every one of these state House maps favors Republicans by a disproportionate amount. They all but guarantee Republican majorities for the next decade. The commissioners must apply partisan fairness standards. This is the best map for the four areas I have been following most closely because I live/lived there (St Joseph, Midland, Kentwood, Traverse City). The SW coast (87) and Midland/Bay City (53?) "look funny", but looking funny is not what makes a district gerrymandered. The coast has different needs from the agricultural inland parts, and, which Benton Harbor is unique for that area, it fits better with the St Joe than farmland. Midland and Bay City are correctly grouped on other maps, and they fit with each other better than with the farmland surrounding them.</p>	Judith S. Goodman	2021-10-13 01:56:41+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		Jan Baumgras	2021-10-13 01:50:57+00

This map does not properly represent West Michigan. Holland and Kalamazoo have little to nothing in common. Putting Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo is a far more compelling community of interest than trying to force Ottawa County communities in with Kent. Splitting Ottawa County into 3 different congressional districts essentially takes away any voice from the largest growing county in the state. Communities like Georgetown Township have social, economic, and historical ties to the rest of Ottawa County, not to Kent.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Dislike

Ben Umanos

2021-10-13 01:48:20+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	This map does not properly represent West Michigan. Holland and Kalamazoo have little to nothing in common. Putting Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo is a far more compelling community of interest than trying to force Ottawa County communities in with Kent. Splitting Ottawa County into 3 different congressional districts essentially takes away any voice from the largest growing county in the state. Communities like Georgetown Township have social, economic, and historical ties to the rest of Ottawa County, not to Kent. Packing is a form of gerrymandering...just stop it	Ben Umanos	2021-10-13 01:45:26+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Dear Commissioners, I urge you to keep Ottawa County as shown in Map 201. Ottawa County, including Georgetown Township should remain intact.	elizabeth r benyi	2021-10-13 01:40:21+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike		Karen Helsen	2021-10-13 01:38:40+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>This map does not represent communities of interest in West Michigan. Splitting Ottawa County into 3 different congressional districts is terrible. Communities like Jenison, Hudsonville, and Jamestown have far more in common with the rest of Ottawa County and communities on the lakeshore than they do with Grand Rapids and Kent County. This map would completely take away Ottawa County's voice.</p>	Ben Umanos	2021-10-13 01:35:26+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>This is the only map that properly represents West Michigan. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo have far more in common than with communities on the lakeshore and communities within Ottawa County. Great map.</p>	Ben Umanos	2021-10-13 01:27:09+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti have very different concerns about education, housing, health care and should not be packed into the same district.</p>	William Wiitala	2021-10-13 01:26:29+00

I hope you can adjust Berrien County. In the State House maps you have the coastal areas separated, which I believe they should be, yet on this map the southern coastal areas of St Joseph and New Buffalo are separated. to me it makes more sense to keep the coastal part of Berrien County with the coastal part of Van Buren and the inland parts of both counties together.

10-08-21 v1 SD	Opinion	The same is true of all the Senate maps. The City of Novi is one of the most diverse cities in Michigan. It should be kept intact as many groups of interest are represented and our House seat should reflect that.	Jan Baumgras	2021-10-13 01:20:26+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike		Gwen Markham	2021-10-13 01:19:16+00

Of the three State Senate maps, I think this is the best, but I hope you can adjust Berrien County. In the State House maps you have the coastal areas separated, which I believe they should be, yet on this map the southern coastal areas of St Joseph and New Buffalo are separated. to me it makes more sense to keep the coastal part of Berrien County with the coastal part of Van Buren and the inland parts of both counties together.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	The same is true of all the Senate maps.	Jan Baumgras	2021-10-13 01:19:04+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	The City of Novi is one of the most diverse cities in Michigan. It should be kept intact as many groups of interest are represented and our House seat should reflect that.	Gwen Markham	2021-10-13 01:16:59+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	The City of Novi is one of the most diverse cities in Michigan. It should be kept intact as many groups of interest are represented and our House seat should reflect that.	Gwen Markham	2021-10-13 01:12:23+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Troy should not be lumped into a district with Macomb county. Troy has a community and relationship that reflect the county in which it resides, Oakland County, and does not match the community in the Macomb district</p> <p>I don't think this is worst choice, but it isn't the best either. No map can get everything "right", but Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are big enough that it should be possible to create a district that reflects their voters, and this map doesn't.</p>	Alexander William Roney	2021-10-13 01:03:08+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Opinion		Jan Baumgras	2021-10-13 01:01:15+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>This map puts the City of Kalamazoo in a group with no other even semi-metropolitan areas. We have very little in common with all of the rural areas to the west of us. It would disenfranchise the many people of color in our community.</p>	Jessica M Swartz	2021-10-13 00:58:04+00

10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I can't see anything about this map that is better than the others, and it appears to be the most politically biased. Given that most other comments so far appear to be Dislike, I hope the Commission will not choose this map.	Jan Baumgras	2021-10-13 00:54:32+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Thank you for your hard work. I second Mariah Phelps' comment.	Jessica M Swartz	2021-10-13 00:54:01+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	While this map does reflect much of what my COI has advocated for, which is keep the tri-Cities with Flint for a Congressional District, I have one tiny concern. It is this: why is Tuscola County included in this map, while part of Bay County is eliminated? I do not see any reason for this. I appreciate that the tri-Cities are kept together with Flint. I wouldn't wish this to change. But adding in more of Bay County on the northern shoreline of Saginaw Bay serves this community in a way that Tuscola and it's rural areas do not. Thank you for your efforts.	Carol Heron	2021-10-13 00:45:16+00

Having lived in Grand Rapids, I believe this is the best map for them for reasons that others have already mentioned. GR and Kzoo have been disenfranchised for a long time, and this map will allow their voices to be heard. I understand that other counties prefer to remain "intact", but I believe that in this case many more voters will benefit than will be harmed. There are many counties being split for good reasons or because they have to be, so it should be about the best interest of the most voters, not county lines per se.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Jan Baumgras

2021-10-13 00:42:23+00

The City of Flint needs its own representative and should not be split. If this map stands, Genesee County will not have minority representation because of the split of the City of Flint and the African American population in this and the surrounding areas. Flint is and has historically been faced with unique challenges specific to the City and it needs its own Representative. Based on the current maps, Flint may not have its own Representative. Flint is a community of interest that should be within one State House district.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Ashley Prew

2021-10-13 00:36:54+00

The City of Flint needs its own representative and should not be split. If this map stands, Genesee County will not have minority representation because of the split of the City of Flint and the African American population in this and the surrounding areas. Flint is and has historically been faced with unique challenges specific to the City and it needs its own Representative. Based on the current maps, Flint may not have its own Representative. Flint is a community of interest that should be within one State House district.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Ashley Prew

2021-10-13 00:36:03+00

the more as the city of  
Midland right. Having read  
previous comments, I say  
again that Midland is a small  
CITY not a small town like  
other towns in the county.  
Midland has a a big medical  
center, big manufacturing  
facility, big corporate  
headquarters, performing arts  
facility, sports facilities, many  
hotels, apartment complexes,  
a (dying) mall, and a mixture  
of blue collar and professional  
residents. Those  
characteristics are found in  
Bay City or Saginaw but  
nowhere else in Midland or  
Gladwin County.  
Those who think the  
watershed should determine  
districting need to remember  
that Saginaw and Bay City are  
also downstream of the  
Tittabawassee. They had less  
flood damage but share the  
dioxin cleanup, so if they  
want the water shed to  
determine the district the

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Jan Baumgras

2021-10-13 00:35:44+00

The City of Flint needs its own representative and should not be split. If this map stands, Genesee County will not have minority representation because of the split of the City of Flint and the African American population in this and the surrounding areas. Flint is and has historically been faced with unique challenges specific to the City and it needs its own Representative. Based on the current maps, Flint may not have its own Representative. Flint is a community of interest that should be within one State House district.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Ashley Prew

2021-10-13 00:35:07+00

The City of Burton should not be split for Senate Districts and Lapeer county should not be included in a District with Northern Genesee County. The City of Burton is a community of interest that has never been split for the State Senate Districts. Burton, Davison, and Clio are not a community of interest with Lapeer County.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Ashley Prew

2021-10-13 00:30:27+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>This is the only map, of the nine that are proposed, that makes any sense for the interests of Warren residents. The more as the city of Midland right. Having read previous comments, I say again that Midland is a small CITY not a small town like other towns in the county. Midland has a a big medical center, big manufacturing facility, big corporate headquarters, performing arts facility, sports facilities, many hotels, apartment complexes, a (dying) mall, and a mixture of blue collar and professional residents. Those characteristics are found in Bay City or Saginaw but nowhere else in Midland or Gladwin County. Those who think the watershed should determine districting need to remember that Saginaw and Bay City are also downstream of the Tittabawassee. They had less flood damage but share the dioxin cleanup, so if they want the water shed to determine the district the</p>	William Mezuk	2021-10-13 00:23:07+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like		Jan Baumgras	2021-10-13 00:21:21+00

If one studies the representative districts in Kent County, one will notice that the 75th, 76th, 78th, and 86th lean democrat while the 79th, 96th, and 98th lean republican. That is 4 to 3 advantage for the democrats in a county that leans republican. Kent County residents must accept this disfranchisement for the sake of equality.

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Dislike

Merlin Steffes

2021-10-13 00:09:47+00

The Saugatuck and Douglas area should be included in district 87 as true lakeshore communities rather than lumping them with otherwise inland, rural and agriculturally based towns and townships.

10-08-21 v1 HD Dislike

Mark LaChey

2021-10-13 00:09:45+00

Proposed districts 66, 68, and 69 are clearly attempting to split the heavily democratic voting population of Ann Arbor into rural areas to move these districts all into a Democratic majority. This is obvious Gerrymandering attempting to benefit the Democrat party and needs to be undone. How can one Representative adequately represent the interests of residents in the inner city of Ann Arbor and also represent the interests of citizens in heavily rural areas. Please undo this obvious Gerrymandering.

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Dislike

Bill Richardson

2021-10-13 00:07:12+00

I like the West Michigan configuration with a true Lakeshore district and putting the largest 2 West Michigan cities together which share much more in common than smaller towns and townships.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Mark LaChey

2021-10-13 00:06:32+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	This district should be redrawn. The City of Burton is a community of interest that has never been split for the State Senate districts. Burton, Davison, and Clio do not share a community of interest with Lapeer.	Ashley Prew	2021-10-13 00:05:18+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Putting Allegan County not in a Lakeshore centered district but rather with Kalamazoo is nonsensical from virtually every metric. The other map carving out a true Lakeshore district and putting GR and Kzoo together is much better.	Mark LaChey	2021-10-13 00:04:09+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Dislike	Keep the whole of Ann Arbor in the same State Senate district. Do not split Ann Arbor!	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-12 23:58:50+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Better than than Anthony Eid, "The Democrat", map. Keep Ann Arbor together.	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-12 23:54:12+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD	Dislike	The 4th is gerrymandered. Do not support Troy redistributed to Macomb	Merlin G Steffes	2021-10-12 23:46:56+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	county	Kripa bhavnagri	2021-10-12 23:21:06+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>As a former Mayor of Farmington Hills, I worked closely with the City of Farmington for what was in the best interests of our citizens. Farmington and Farmington Hills have been in the same Representative District for the 38 years I have lived here. This map splits a very close knit community unnecessarily. Keep us together.</p>	Barry Brickner	2021-10-12 23:09:35+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>As a former Mayor of Farmington Hills, I worked closely with the City of Farmington for what was in the best interests of our citizens. Farmington and Farmington Hills have been in the same Representative District for the 38 years I have lived here. This map splits a very close knit community unnecessarily. Keep us together.</p>	Barry Brickner	2021-10-12 23:07:34+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>As a former Mayor of Farmington Hills, I worked closely with the City of Farmington for what was in the best interests of our citizens. Farmington and Farmington Hills have been in the same Representative District for the 38 years I have lived here. This map splits a very close knit community unnecessarily. Keep us together.</p>	Barry Brickner	2021-10-12 23:05:06+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>As a former Mayor of Farmington Hills, I worked closely with the City of Farmington for what was in the best interests of our citizens. Farmington and Farmington Hills have been in the same Senate District for the 38 years I have lived here. This map splits a very close knit community unnecessarily. Keep us together.</p>	Barry Brickner	2021-10-12 23:01:23+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	As a former Mayor of Farmington Hills, I worked closely with the City of Farmington for what was in the best interests of our citizens. Farmington and Farmington Hills have been in the same Senate District for the 38 years I have lived here. This map splits a very close knit community unnecessarily. Keep us together.	Barry Brickner	2021-10-12 22:58:26+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	The district including Troy should be totally in Oakland County. The character of the citizens of Troy are closely related to other Oakland Co. cities and do not align in any way with those in Macomb County.	Jim Fenner	2021-10-12 22:57:17+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Thank you, Commission. This map looks fair and helps unpack Washtenaw County.	Lon Nordeen	2021-10-12 22:47:52+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	We need an Eaton County-centered district that is anchored by Delta Township. I believe the districts should follow county boundaries as much as possible.	MaryAnn Halbeisen	2021-10-12 22:43:15+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike	<p>The Cities of Flint and Burton are communities of interest that should not be split. All of Flint should be in one district. All of Burton should be in one district. Davison, Burton, and Clio are not a community of interest with Lapeer. This District should be redrawn to not extend into Lapeer</p>	Ashley Prew	2021-10-12 22:37:38+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike	<p>County. Too many Dems packed into one district!</p>	Lon Nordeen	2021-10-12 22:36:38+00
10-12-21 EID v6 CD Opinion	<p>There's no way to tell if this map follows the guidelines without seeing the partisan balance. For Ann Arbor residents (I am one) it is much more important for our district to include the source of our drinking water to the North that directly impact our drinking water.</p>	Ronald K Emaus	2021-10-12 22:28:08+00

I certainly support splitting Ann Arbor where many Democratic votes are currently 'wasted' leading to an undemocratic State Senate. It makes sense to divide it North and South so communities along 94 are represented in district 29. I'm less certain about the composition of the southern district 27 and whether it gives enough opportunity to non-white voters to elect a non-white representative. My home location is about equal distant between Ypsilanti and downtown Ann Arbor and we share many of the same community interests. It will be a challenge for this area to engage and share concerns with the residents in Brooklyn, Manchester, and Jerome.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

Ronald Emaus

2021-10-12 22:04:12+00

Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti may be neighboring cities, but they are distinct communities whose interests and needs may be better reflected in separate constituencies rather than merged together to form a disproportionately heavy Democrat district.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Karolina Ramos

2021-10-12 21:48:14+00

Glad to see Kent County whole, however it shouldn't come at the expense of Ottawa. This map divides Ottawa not once but twice! There is no logical reason a community of interest like Ottawa County should be cracked into 3 separate congressional districts. Townships such as Jamestown, Georgetown, and Tallmadge as well as the city of Hudsonville are economically, socially, and historically tied to Ottawa County. They are also agriculturally/farming based communities. Fix this map by making Ottawa County whole and making up the population with either residents from Montcalm to the north or Barry to the south.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

FLOYD PATRICK

2021-10-12 21:40:13+00

This map form Eaton County would seriously alter the balance of the electorate. It packs Delta Township and Lansing leaving Eaton County split into disjointed fragments. It would not serve the people of Eaton County fairly.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Bon Robinson

2021-10-12 21:33:50+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	This map covering Ann Arbor in Washtenaw County is much better than previous drafts in that it unpacks Ann Arbor in the name of fairness. Thank you for adding this map.	Susan Vandercook	2021-10-12 21:24:34+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	What is the logic in putting Hazel Park and Madison Heights in with a huge chunk of Detroit and down into Allen Park and Taylor. You're talking 3 very different areas. Hazel Park should logically be with Ferndale, Madison Heights, Royal Oak, etc. This is a complete lack of logic.	Judith A Sova	2021-10-12 21:10:42+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Great map overall! Good job commissioners!	Casey Adams	2021-10-12 21:05:18+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Like	This is a positive move that would allow communities along the lakeshore to more effectively address their unique issues and circumstances. I do, however, feel that Saugatuck should be included in this lakeshore district.	Wendi Onuki	2021-10-12 21:05:07+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Good idea mixing Traverse City with Leelanau County	Casey Adams	2021-10-12 21:04:58+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map keeps Battle Creek and Albion together, two cities with a large population of people of color. I like this one.	Casey Adams	2021-10-12 21:04:22+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	I like this map a lot. Approved by me.	Casey Adams	2021-10-12 21:03:22+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Augusta twp needs to be with districts within Washtenaw. Lumping one township from Washtenaw with Lenawee and Monroe is not a good idea.	Brian Everett Blackburn	2021-10-12 21:01:41+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy always have been included in Oakland County. Troy has lots of shared interests with different cities in Oakland County, it needs to stay in Oakland County, thanks	Roy Reaume	2021-10-12 21:00:21+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	All of Augusta Twp should be in one house district, Carving out 1/8 of it to be with Ypsi is not value added.	Brian Everett Blackburn	2021-10-12 20:54:41+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I like this map.	Sharon Baseman	2021-10-12 20:52:13+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Why spilt up this Virginia Park Historic District and Piety Hill neighborhoods both goes from Woodward to the Lodge Freeway?	LaMar Thompson-Hightower	2021-10-12 20:41:49+00

As Lyndon Township Clerk, I am highly opposed to the split that is being proposed that will basically split Lyndon Township in half. The State of Michigan owns over 52% of the property in our rural township, which results in a much lower tax base. The proposed change will result in having more ballot styles, thus greatly increasing the cost to administer elections and the revenue to support it. Splits should be reserved for larger cities. Please make Lyndon Township whole again and follow county lines.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Linda Reilly

2021-10-12 20:23:51+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>These maps seem designed to crack the Detroit and Highland Park vote. District 8 specifically appears to be drawn to ensure that Clawson and Madison Heights control the outcome of the Highland Park race. Similarly, District 16 seems to lump west Detroit in with Birmingham of all places. It is hard to imagine a justification for this other than to dilute the vote of Highland Park and Detroit with that of wealthy White voters who do not share their interests or needs.</p>	Mitch M	2021-10-12 20:16:00+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Like	<p>New House District #32 Map        “ I have lived in Troy since the early 1970s; making Troy its own Michigan House District is excellent. We have similarities, differences and a nice sized AAPI population and we can and have come together and resolve differences. Thank you.</p>	Carla Meier	2021-10-12 20:11:25+00

As the Lyndon Township Clerk, I am highly opposed to having Lyndon Township Split in half. The State of Michigan owns over 52% of the property in Lyndon Township, which results in a much lower tax base. This proposed change will result in having even more ballot styles, thus greatly increasing the cost to administer elections and not the revenue to support it. Splits should be reserved for larger cities. Please make Lyndon Township whole again and follow county lines.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Linda Reilly

2021-10-12 20:11:08+00

Farmington and Farmington Hills are one community in many ways. We share a library system, Farmington Public Schools, and many community activities. When elected officials report out at public meetings, it is important to have one representative for both cities, aka school board meetings. The City of Farmington sits within the City of Farmington Hills. We should be in the same District. Farmington should not be with Southfield as it is not the same community.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Karen Lynne Bolsen

2021-10-12 20:06:43+00

NEW Senate District # 10 map  
" I have lived in Troy since the early 70s, yet most of my work experience has been in Macomb County. There is NO WAY that Oakland/Macomb are communities with common interests. By including Macomb with Troy, it weighs heavily republican. Troy affiliates with the rest of Oakland County in Oakland Schools, library affiliation, scouts and sports teams but I can't think of any affiliation with Macomb County. Here's a funny difference: Troy's/Oakland County's E-W streets have names, but change to different names once you cross from Oakland to Macomb. The speed limits even change! Troy needs to be with Oakland County and Sterling Heights needs to be with Macomb County. These communities share interests and political fairness; as Troy is an important city in relation with Rochester, Rochester Hills, and other cities in Oakland County, it must stay where it belongs, thank you!

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Dislike

Carla Meier

2021-10-12 20:03:57+00

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Darcy

2021-10-12 20:00:14+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Like I like this map. A huge thank you to the Commissioners for listening to me and keeping my counting whole. I'm not sure how the people in the Southeast corner of Berrien County feel about being with us. It seems like Jackson county and Berrien county could be closer to whole. Sonja Marie Patrick 2021-10-12 19:58:30+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like Putting Midland and Bay City into one State House districts makes a lot of sense. These two small cities have more in common with each other than they do with the rural areas surrounding them. Combining the rural parts of Midland co with the rural parts of Bay County also makes sense, as these two areas have similar demographics and are rural communities. Thanks for drawing the districts this way. Allison M Wilcox 2021-10-12 19:57:55+00

Congressional Districts are  
The proposed CD 6 is republican lopsided and is heavily partisan. If any boundaries should be changed to align with its respective county, it's Oakland and Macomb. I moved to Troy in the early 70s, but my employment has always been in Macomb County. And believe me, Macomb and Oakland differences are significant. Troy affiliates with the rest of Oakland County in Oakland Schools, library affiliation, scouts and sports teams and regions; but I can't think of any affiliations Troy has with Macomb County. Here's a funny difference: Troy's (and Oakland County) E-W streets have names, but change to different names once you cross from Oakland to Macomb. The speed limits even change! The proposed I think this map is well done. It does a good job unpacking districts in order not to overrepresent either major political party in one are.

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

Carla Meier

2021-10-12 19:57:01+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Susan Beth Miller

2021-10-12 19:50:39+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Seems to keep Oakland and Macomb Counties in a reasonable arrangement.	Robert Gordon Storck	2021-10-12 19:47:54+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy belongs to Oakland County , thanks.	Rachel Cardiff	2021-10-12 19:43:45+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Like	I like the idea of an I-96 corridor district from Muskegon to Grand Rapids.	Ryan D Bennett	2021-10-12 19:42:38+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This packing in of similar voter affiliation in one district seems counter-productive to what the commission should be doing. Isn't this like gerrymandering?	Kate Higgins	2021-10-12 19:35:29+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Please don't lump Rochester/Hills with macomb county! we have nothing other than a county border in common with them, Oakland county trending blue, and would like to keep that momentum	Kevin Robson	2021-10-12 19:33:57+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	This map divides Eaton County among 5 different districts, which likely will leave a lot of issues in limbo. With most of Eaton County in one district, ours has been a swing district which allows all voters a voice. This map would deprive Eaton County of the balance it now has. Please separate Delta Township from Lansing and keep it with the rest of Eaton County.	Jacquelyn Tennis	2021-10-12 19:31:18+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Thank you for unpacking Lansing! This is an excellent Senate map district 1 because it acknowledges the ties between the urban areas of Saginaw, Bay and Midland Counties. This area would benefit from a unified voice on industrial, educational, and environmental policies. Thank you, this is a fair map.	Emily Brooke	2021-10-12 19:26:24+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like		Barb Handley-Miller	2021-10-12 19:19:41+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>This is a very good State House map, thank you. It acknowledges the mutual economic interests where as so many have already commented, so many citizens live in one community and work in the other. And we take advantage of the cultural and natural recreational opportunities of both communities. Dow Chemical has world headquarters in Midland and offices in Bay City. This is a fair and balanced district that would bring more cooperation between these two communities.</p>	Barb Handley-Miller	2021-10-12 19:13:54+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>I really like this map as far as COI go. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo share many features. Higher education, entertainment, shopping areas, 131 highway and medical facilities. I feel this map gives a more balanced flavor to Allegan Co.</p>	HELEN GOYINGS	2021-10-12 19:12:29+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>Please do not split Lyndon Township between 2 CDs. See map 231 for my lengthier comment.</p>	C. Michelle Balhorn	2021-10-12 19:11:18+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	It doesn't seem right that Ypsilanti should be grouped with Ann Arbor. Both tend heavily democratic despite being very different communities with different interest groups.	Mary Ellen Hagenauer	2021-10-12 19:05:22+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Thank you for a good metropolitan map with the cities of Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint represented here! The only caveat is we could Midland and Bay Counties represented more holistically if you moved the area from Tuscola County into a Thumb map. I appreciate all of the work you are doing to make fair maps!	Barb Handley-Miller	2021-10-12 19:03:27+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	What is the purpose of this tail on Dist 11? That almost seems blatant gerrymandering. It strikes me that adjustments could be made to 10 and 11 to avoid this if the rationale is population consistency.	Greg Donahoe	2021-10-12 19:02:25+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Like	Thank you for keeping Lyndon Township in a single CD.	C. Michelle Balhorn	2021-10-12 19:00:17+00

The City of Novi extends from 8 mile to 14 mile and yet this proposal divides the city and places us in areas that have nothing in common. Novi is a diversely rich city that finally achieved a decent partisan balance. However, this proposal tips the balance in the Republican favor and nearly eliminates the voice of the our minority, and specifically, AAPI communities in northern Novi. Please do not cut off this portion of Novi from the city resources that makes us the wonderful community we are today. Please move the portion of Novi in 36 to 110 and keep us together.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Rachel Sines

2021-10-12 18:55:08+00

The City of Novi extends from 8 mile to 14 mile and yet this proposal divides the city and places us in areas that have nothing in common. Novi is a diversely rich city that finally achieved a decent partisan balance. However, this proposal tips the balance in the Republican favor and nearly eliminates the voice of the our minority, and specifically, AAPI communities in northern Novi. Please do not cut off this portion of Novi from the city resources that makes us the wonderful community we are today. Please move the portion of Novi in 36 to 110 and keep us together.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Rachel Sines

2021-10-12 18:52:15+00

I am a former mayor of Albion. I agree with other commenters that "this map for state senate districts looks to be the fairest one developed, while still maintaining communities of interest as much as possible." District 29 looks good in terms of partisan fairness as it appears to balance urban and rural voters.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Like

Garrett Brown

2021-10-12 18:51:10+00

The City of Novi extends from 8 mile to 14 mile and yet this proposal divides the city and places us in areas that have nothing in common. Novi is a diversely rich city that finally achieved a decent partisan balance. However, this proposal tips the balance in the Republican favor and nearly eliminates the voice of the our minority, and specifically, AAPI communities in northern Novi. Please do not cut off this portion of Novi from the city resources that makes us the wonderful community we are today. Please move the portion of Novi in 36 to 110 and keep us together.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Rachel Sines

2021-10-12 18:50:00+00

Please place my home with the rest of Lyndon Township in CD 7. Our main source of recreation is .8 miles away but in CD 7. Our neighbors to the west across M52 are now in CD 7 while we are in CD 5. Our kids are attending Chelsea schools. All our commerce takes place in Chelsea or Ann Arbor. I would like to be grouped with CD 7 which services the rest of Lyndon Township and Chelsea.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

C. Michelle Balhorn

2021-10-12 18:47:07+00

This Greater Lansing configuration breaks COIs and dilutes Republican voters. Biden only received 55% of the total vote among the 4 capital region counties, but these lines put everyone within those counties in senate districts he carried by wide margins. Please consider adjusting these two districts to better preserve the Lansing/East Lansing COI, reflect our region's political diversity, and creates at least one district that's on an even playing field for both parties.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Zach R

2021-10-12 18:40:22+00

This Greater Lansing configuration breaks COIs and dilutes Republican voters. Biden only received 55% of the total vote among the 4 capital region counties, but these lines put everyone within those counties in senate districts he carried by wide margins. Please consider adjusting these two districts to better preserve the Lansing/East Lansing COI, reflect our region's political diversity, and creates at least one district that's on an even playing field for both parties. Zach R

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

2021-10-12 18:39:03+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>This Greater Lansing configuration breaks COIs and dilutes Republican voters. Biden only received 55% of the total vote among the 4 capital region counties, but these lines put everyone within those counties in senate districts he carried by wide margins. Please consider adjusting these two districts to better preserve the Lansing/East Lansing COI, reflect our region's political diversity, and creates at least one district that's on an even playing field for both parties.</p>	Zach R	2021-10-12 18:36:16+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>The Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in an 80% Dem district.</p>	MARION HOEY	2021-10-12 18:32:45+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>We want Troy to stay with Royal Oak in Oakland County! We are a community of interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair.</p>	Adam C	2021-10-12 18:30:56+00

I do not like this District because it lumps Watertown Twp. in with St. Johns MI. This District should be redrawn, into a District that reflects Watertown as relationship with the city of Lansing. Greater Lansing should be a least four Districts maybe five. Watertown should be considered "Northwest" Lansing. My mailing address is Lansing, not St. Johns.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Joseph (Joe) D Davis

2021-10-12 18:22:59+00

've lived in Troy for almost 30 years, I feel strongly that Troy should remain a part of Oakland County. We are a community of interest with cities here and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area., having no common interests with communities in Macomb County reaching as far as Lake St. Clair. I want my tax dollars to remain in Oakland County as well. Quit messing with redistricting to favor Republican constituents. They are in the minority and not of the same mindset as those of us who read and wish to save our democracy from autocratic rule.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Thomas R Doll

2021-10-12 18:09:49+00

've lived in Troy for almost 50 years, I feel strongly that Troy should remain a part of Oakland County. We are a community of interest with cities here and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area., having no common interests with communities in Macomb County reaching as far as Lake St. Clair. I want my tax dollars to remain in Oakland County as well. Quit messing with redistricting to favor Republican constituents. They are in the minority and not of the same mindset as those of us who read and wish to save our democracy from autocratic rule.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Thomas R Doll

2021-10-12 18:08:52+00

we voted for redistricting to fix the extreme gerrymandering that has given Republicans a huge advantage for decades, and that continuously hands them a ridiculous number of seats in both Houses that is disproportionate to the number of votes they receive. These maps seem to do the opposite and give Republicans an even greater boost. I know there are many factors to consider here, but since this was the number one goal of redistricting, this partisan bias should be addressed.

Splitting Novi in the way this map has done has no logic to me. The current break at 8 mile that creates the small hamlet of Noville(!) makes more sense. Residents of Novi share school districts with Northville, but breaking Northville into 2 seems to be unnecessary as does splitting This zig zagging configuration unfairly packs Washtenaw County voters of one party together in one district.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Andrea Johanson

2021-10-12 18:04:03+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK

Dislike

Linda Winkler

2021-10-12 18:02:33+00

Of the three maps I like this most. It keeps Southeast Oakland County together, keeps Warren with Macomb County, and does the overall best job of balancing a representative vote to match statewide vote, while minimally splitting communities. It isn't perfect, but perfect is likely impossible. This is an impressive balance of a fair vote and sensible districts, while accepting the limitations of a geographic representative system.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Like

Brandon Alger

2021-10-12 18:02:07+00

My friends and I have looked forward to the results of the MyDistricting committee in hopes that here in Lyndon Township we would be connected to Ann Arbor voters who we identify with in most respects here in Washtenaw County. Our Lyndon Township elected officials are the greatest! I would hate to see them unfairly burdened by a decision to divide our township in half. Please consider your decision carefully in this regard. Marijo

10-08-21 v1 CD

Like

Grogan

Marijo Grogan

2021-10-12 17:56:32+00

I agree with Carol, keep Dimondale area in Eaton county with Delta township district. The way the district of Charlotte, rural Eaton with Hasting area in Barry that is set up to always end up

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS

Opinion

controlled by one party.

Jeanne Pearl-Wright

2021-10-12 17:54:36+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	This maps packing Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti together goes against both communities of interest, partisan fairness, and decreases the opportunity for voters of color in Ypsilanti to have a voice in choosing their state senator. It shouldn't be adopted.	Kerri A.	2021-10-12 17:52:18+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	This map divides Warren and Eastpointe into a district that heavily favors Wayne County voters. This is unfair to Macomb County residents. Ypsilanti and Ann Arbor both have very high proportions of Democratic voters. They should not be packed into a single, completely uncompetitive district.	Joel Rutherford	2021-10-12 17:51:03+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike		Michael Ploof	2021-10-12 17:47:22+00

I've lived in Troy for almost 30 years, I feel strongly that Troy should remain a part of Oakland County. We are a community of interest with cities here and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area., having no common interests with communities in Macomb County reaching as far as Lake St. Clair. I want my tax dollars to remain in Oakland County as well. Quit messing with redistricting to favor Republican constituents. They are in the minority and not of the same mindset as those of us who read and wish to save our democracy from autocratic rule.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Mary Johns

2021-10-12 17:38:52+00

I am objecting to dividing Lyndon township into two districts and taking my part of the township away from Chelsea and Washtenaw, our communities of interest where we shop, get medical services and recreate. This would put an additional economic burden on our small Lyndon population and tax base in terms of elections and grants etc. There would have to be a very compelling reason for such a carve out and that does not exist here. This is not a theoretical issue for me. These are the reasons for the whole reapportionment process. Please return us to CD7 so we can feel represented and not endure additional tax burdens for our services.

Thank you.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Susan Morse

Susan S. Morse

2021-10-12 17:37:05+00

I strongly oppose the splitting of Novi and lobbing the northern neighborhoods - which are of a strong AAPI makeup - with the rural Washtenaw communities of Superior Twp, Salem, etc. How that constitutes "communities of interest" is a mystery. Please consider putting the northern Novi sections of district 36 back into district 110. As well, each and every one of these state House maps favors Republicans by a disproportionate amount. The purpose of the ballot initiative was to make this process fair and to take partisan thumbs off the scales. The GOP has controled the legislature for decades and now is the time, and we have the opportunity to make truly fair and competitive districts in this state. These maps do not yet reflect that. We are a leans

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Julie A Argonis

2021-10-12 17:16:11+00

Each and every one of these state House maps favors Republicans by a disproportionate amount. The purpose of the ballot initiative was to make this process fair and to take partisan thumbs off the scales. The GOP has controled the legislature for decades and now is the time, and we have the opportunity to make truly fair and competitive districts in this state. These maps do not yet reflect that. We are a leans Democrat state, however our maps continue to favor republicans. More Michiganders vote Democrats with dems getting more votes statewide, and yet republicans continue to hold more seats. Please, make these maps fair and live up to the promise of the 2018 ballot initiative and let the voters pick their reps and not the other way around. In general this map is unfair and unbalanced regarding partisanship.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Julie A Argonis

2021-10-12 17:12:52+00

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-12 17:07:03+00

It is very simple - there are more Democrats than Republicans in Michigan, so at the end of the redistricting there must be more Democratic leaning districts than there are Republican leaning districts. The balance of our elected representatives must match the balance of the voters. If it is not done this way, then all of us voters know that the map has been

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Gerrymandered.

Stephen Rassi

2021-10-12 17:06:53+00

This map is completely unfair and not even slightly balanced between parties.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-12 17:04:22+00

Please re-think dividing our tiny (3,000 people) Lyndon township into two different congressional districts. I am an election worker and this would create a lot of problems for our township clerk and add a lot of expense to our taxpayers. Overall, I think these maps look to be an improvement from the gerrymandered districts of the past. My hope is that the districts will be more competitive so that highly partisan campaigns will not be an advantage to a candidate. I hope there will be more moderate candidates that are interested in working across the aisle in Washington DC AND in the state government. Susan Fegley McKee

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

2021-10-12 17:04:00+00

Still very disappointed to see Novi still in two districts and the partisan lean continues to lean Republican. This is unacceptable, especially in light of the fact that the majority of the districts with a partisan lean favor republicans. This is not in the spirit of fairness, nor is it in line with the ballot initiative that established this district. Back to Novi, separating and AAPI community in north Novi with rural Washtenaw villages like Salem TWP, and stretching the district over two disparate counties like Oakland and Washtenaw is unacceptable as it drowns out a crucial, growing minority population's voice. Please, please consider putting the Novi area of district 36 back into district 110 and keep

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Novi whole

Julie A Argonis

2021-10-12 17:03:29+00

we want the voters to draw maps with as close to zero political bias as possible. I know your job is not easy, but at the end of the day, the political party that receives the most votes in an election, should receive the most seats in an elected body.

Under the previously gerrymandered maps, in 2018, Governor Whitmer won the state by a 9.5% margin. In 2020, President Biden won Michigan and our two US Senators are Democrats. Despite these overwhelming victories for one party, Michigan's delegation to the US House is split 50/50.

This map will do better to accurately reflect the will of Michiganders who have consistently elected Democrats to statewide offices.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	The district 10 on this map is preferable to the others. Rather than hook around rural northern Oakland and Macomb county, it descends fairly equally. A jagged district border is preferable to the "crab claw" in the other maps.	Troy Heck	2021-10-12 16:58:21+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS	Like	This Map represent the community well. This doesn't look like a fair map at all. It packs Dems into Ann Arbor/Ypsi and Reps into the rural parts of the county. This doesn't lead to competitive elections.	Faisal Sayeed	2021-10-12 16:57:41+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Represent the community well.	Lindsay McCarthy	2021-10-12 16:56:16+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Like	I like this Map	Faisal Sayeed	2021-10-12 16:56:01+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	The commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in an 80% Dem district.	Faisal Sayeed	2021-10-12 16:52:38+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Voters in Sault Ste. Marie, Cedarville, St. Ignace, etc. share more in common with those across the rest of the UP than voters down in the Boyne area and on the Leelanau peninsula. Removing them from the rest of the UP feels like a disservice to them.	Justin Woods	2021-10-12 16:41:34+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion		Matt Toaz	2021-10-12 16:31:46+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Please keep Ottawa County intact It is my community of interest! Our lakeshores are breathtakingly beautiful. I have lived in this community for over 45 years. We are the 2nd most agriculturally diverse county in the U.S. We have 2 of the most visited parks in the state! Please Keep our county whole!	Connie Eardley	2021-10-12 16:30:00+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map looks good and is a good effort for fair and equal balance in districts. This feels like an effort to reduce the impact of voters in Washtenaw county.	Lindsay McCarthy	2021-10-12 16:29:04+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike		Matt Toaz	2021-10-12 16:28:01+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Please do not take a sliver of northwest Washtenaw out of CD 7. I am an elected Trustee of Lyndon Township. This would split our township into 2 CD's. This would greatly increase costs for our township's elections and would make it difficult to achieve fair representation for our township. Please keep us all in one CD with the rest of Washtenaw county	Tim Eder	2021-10-12 16:24:35+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This is a fair competitive map that reflects the voters of Michigan as a whole. Unpacking the Ann Arbor/Ypsilanti are was a beneficial edit.	Cindy Michniewicz	2021-10-12 16:21:16+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	District 8 is too narrow of a district (mostly one county high). It would be preferable to have District 7 to encompass Monroe county and swap the western extents in the proposed map with district 8. This is principally a criticism to make the district 8 more compact.	Troy Heck	2021-10-12 16:15:40+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Yes, this map helps "unpack" Washtenaw Cty.	Stuart Noel Dowty	2021-10-12 16:11:32+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Novi should not be split up! I should have explained that Delta Township's business center is where we in Dimondale/Eaton go to for our purchasing needs. Our community is Delta-not Lansing. Please keep us with Delta.	Kanira Jain	2021-10-12 16:11:21+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Yes, this map makes sense for Washtenaw Cty. A good "unpack".	Carol D Wilson-Duffy	2021-10-12 16:08:13+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		Stuart Noel Dowty	2021-10-12 16:07:56+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	The City of Northville is a part of Wayne County and always has been , why is Commission trying to drag it North ? Can we attempt to redraw that would be in my opinion what is best for their community.	Maureen Cirocco	2021-10-12 16:02:11+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Appears to pack one party affiliation into one District. Isn't this just the kind of thing the commission was created to corect?	Jeffrey M Bieszki	2021-10-12 16:01:45+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Washtenaw County should not be packed together in a zig zag configuration for 80 percent of a democratic voting.	Lisa Hutnik	2021-10-12 16:00:34+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	I live in Dimondale/Eaton County and know that we belong with Delta Township-- not the city of Lansing.	Carol D Wilson-Duffy	2021-10-12 16:00:19+00

This is a terrible district.  
Midland county has little in  
common with the other  
counties in this district.  
Midland is not a rural/farming  
county. Manufacturing,  
technology and education has  
always been the base of  
Midland county's economy.  
I'd wager that most people in  
Midland could not even name  
the other counties in the  
proposed district. Midland  
belongs with the Bay City,  
Saginaw and Flint.

9-29-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Joseph Weir

2021-10-12 15:52:13+00

Thank you for your careful job at Senate redistricting. However, I believe East Grand Rapids belongs in the north Kent district (24), as does Cascade. Cascade has much in common with Ada, and is where the GR metro area airport is located; it should be with the other GR metro suburbs. Including EGR and Cascade in 24 would allow/require the exclusion of the 4 rural townships in W. Ottawa county, which have no real relationship with Kent County or the urban and suburban areas of northern Kent County. Please keep Kent County together. Thank you.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Gary Stark

2021-10-12 15:50:17+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This iteration looks like a partisan gerrymander. No argument to include Albion and Battle Creek together can outweigh the argument to keep Battle Creek and Emmett township together since Emmett's economy, school districts and workforce are primarily defined around being part of the greater Battle Creek identity like the other suburbs in Bedford and Pennfield townships. The same can be said about Albion and Marshall who are tied by a uniquely diverse and geographically large school district that requires a representative to be invested in both communities to truly represent the area.	Ben McLeod	2021-10-12 15:44:53+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map will allow the voice of each community around Ann Arbor.	Alana DeWitt Johnson	2021-10-12 15:42:24+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map solves the problems that previous maps had, by unpacking Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti. Thank you for listening.	Philip Martinez	2021-10-12 15:40:35+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in an 80% Democratic district.	Alana DeWitt Johnson	2021-10-12 15:39:26+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	We should not be packed into an 80% democratic district. This seems no different than past gerrymandering. This is one of the better maps submitted so far. District 6 is 50/50. District 5 is 50/50 and keeps Livingston County whole and away from	Stephen Smith	2021-10-12 15:38:47+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	Washtenaw. This is one of the better maps submitted so far for public comment. District 6 is competitive and with Macomb County. District 5 is within a few hundred votes. Livingston County is kept	Dan Wholihan	2021-10-12 15:38:22+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	whole. Please don't divide townships such as using M-52 passing thru Lyndon	Dan Wholihan	2021-10-12 15:37:00+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Township.	David M Downey	2021-10-12 15:34:26+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	This map for state senate districts looks to be the fairest one developed, while still maintaining communities of interest as much as possible.	Philip Martinez	2021-10-12 15:33:57+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	I don't like the late changes to District 6. The metrics may say one thing, but in reality it does not improve partisan fairness. 49%D to 55%D turns a competitive seat where voters have their say to one where politicians have their say. Geography severely limits the number of swing districts in Michigan, and taking one away is bad for this state and bad for the voters.	Dan Wholihan	2021-10-12 15:33:27+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Dem district.	Vanessa G Campbell	2021-10-12 15:29:01+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Like	This is the best map of those submitted so far for State House. It also drew Livingston County well respecting our communities of interest.	Dan Wholihan	2021-10-12 15:28:32+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	While my county was drawn well, I feel bad for the good people of rural Jackson County (and suburban Summit Township) who were thrown in to a partisan gerrymander with Ann Arbor, of which they have little in common.	Dan Wholihan	2021-10-12 15:26:50+00

This type of packing is unfair because it put Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti together in one state senate district with 80% of voters voting Democratic. These two larger cities in Washtenaw County should be put together with some of their outlying suburbs and rural areas.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Dion Frischer

2021-10-12 15:25:28+00

We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair. We do not want Troy to belong with Macomb County

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Sivaram Venkat

2021-10-12 15:21:43+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>This district is good for Livingston County by keeping it together and not with Washtenaw County. Ann Arbor and Ypsi are both college communities that belong together (or with Canton to the east) instead of with rural areas to the West, South, or North. A lot of partisan activists there are going to complain about it, but A2/Ypsi was the right call to make.</p>	Dan Wholihan	2021-10-12 15:21:18+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>By carving Delta Township out of Eaton County and packing it in with another Democratic-leaning area, you are diluting the votes of the residents of Delta Twp. It should be included in a district within Eaton County.</p>	Philip Martinez	2021-10-12 15:21:12+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>I thought I already submitted comment but District 5 , in my opinion should go North not stretch long ways. Could you please work on that thank you Commissioners and SOS !</p>	Maureen Cirocco	2021-10-12 15:08:54+00

		<p>Combining Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo in one Congressional district in West Michigan is a great idea. The two cities have much in common. Sizeable healthcare providers and manufacturing suppliers - Bronson &amp; Borgess Healthcare, Spectrum Health, Mercy Healthcare, Trinity Health/St. Mary's and Stryker Manufacturing, Pfizer Pharmaceutical. Automotive suppliers. Significant large Aerospace Companies- General Electric, Eaton Corporation, Parker Hannifin, L-3. All the Colleges and Universities in West Michigan. The population of these cities makes up the most of the district. A representative will be able to focus on city issues/needs from this common area.</p>	Steve Fish	2021-10-12 15:05:33+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>Muskegon needs to be in a district with Kent or Ottawa or at least other lakeshore communities to the north. We have nothing in common with mid-state communities.</p>	Ryan Bennett	2021-10-12 15:05:03+00

I call it my vacation time in District 5 , not really . I had to live with sibling because of poor health . Anyway could you please work on that seems it would be better served going North a bit than stretching long ways . I dc advise living with my siblings when ill ,Thank you Commissioners and SOS.

10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike		Maureen Cirocco	2021-10-12 15:04:19+00
		this appears to be a good map that appears fair and		
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	appropriate	Heather Burrows	2021-10-12 15:00:47+00
		I want my home to remain in Oakland county. I do not want re-districting!! I will not ever		
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	support this!!	Karen Bloom	2021-10-12 14:58:02+00

Districts 10 and 12 should not cut across so many different communities. If a representative for district 10 lived in the northwest portion of Warren, they would have very little in common with those near Eastern Market in the way of neighborhood history, local economy, school districts, city/county government representation, etc. I live in Warren and would not want to take away representation from

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Dislike

Detroiters or vice versa.

Carl Weckerle

2021-10-12 14:55:44+00

The proposed State House District No. 6 really makes no sense to me; it is truly combining areas with no shared interests, from Mack to 12 Mile. We requested to at least keep our neighborhoods of Morningside, East English Village and Cornerstone together in 1 district. Morningside appears to be broken up into 3 districts. Once again, these districts appear to be clearly drawn to dilute the shared interests and voting power of Detroit residents.

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Dislike

Charles D Teeter

2021-10-12 14:55:22+00

Having lived in Troy for almost 50 years, I feel strongly that Troy should remain a part of Oakland County. We are a community of interest with cities here and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area., having no common interests with communities in Macomb County.

10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike

Karen Cox

2021-10-12 14:50:17+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	Plymouth and Canton share a school district. The commission is supposed to consider communities with shared interests, but this map separates the two. Splitting Lyndon Township between two districts will cause financial harm to our small township. Election costs will rise significantly if this is done. A large portion of our township is state land, so we already have limited resources. Please keep our township in one	Mary Maguire	2021-10-12 14:41:09+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	Congressional District.	Kristen Brekke	2021-10-12 14:40:42+00

It was my understanding the redistricting commission would represent the interests of the people. The proposed State Senate districts for 6 and 7 do not make any sense for residents of the East English Village, Morningside and Cornerstone neighborhoods. Combining us with suburbs to the north does not represent our interests, and in fact this would drastically reduce our effectiveness as neighborhoods. This appears to be more gerrymandering. Please keep our neighborhoods together, we have more in common with other Detroit neighborhoods, Harper Woods and the Grosse Pointes than we do with Mt.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Dislike

Clemens or Sterling Heights. The Commission is supposed to take into account communities of common interest. The map separates Plymouth from Canton, which have share one school district. The two communities are close, along with

Charles D Teeter

2021-10-12 14:40:13+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Northville.

Mary Maguire

2021-10-12 14:36:17+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	Well, I can speak on only area I lived in for 35 years Livonia looks pretty good thank you . It is an incredibly tough job people dont realize when you make comments about packing Democrats your speaking in a unfair partisan slant.	Maureen Cirocco	2021-10-12 14:35:24+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in an 80% Democratic district.	Sarah McCallum	2021-10-12 14:34:29+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Opinion	Appreciate that Ann Arbor has been split, thank you, it's an improvement. However, can't tell if district 27 meets the partisan fairness criteria.	Janice M Sovak	2021-10-12 14:34:08+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	It is quite obvious that non-conservative voters have been packed into one district in Washtenaw County. This looks like blatant partisan gerrymandering. It is not a fair map by a longshot.	meagen kucaj	2021-10-12 14:32:45+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy needs to stay in Oakland County.	Kathleen Petrucci	2021-10-12 14:30:41+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Placing Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti into one super packed district undermines communities of interest and partisan balance. Ann Arbor should be placed with the rest of northern Washtenaw and over towards Jackson. Chelsea and Dexter are clearly in communities of interest with Ann Arbor. Ypsilanti would have more say over its representation if the district goes south or southwest, rather than getting overwhelmed by larger Ann Arbor.	Andrew Ault	2021-10-12 14:29:35+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Dem district.	Connie	2021-10-12 14:22:06+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map does a much better job at achieving nonpartisan districts for Washtenaw County and will ensure more fair representation for the people	Gay MacGregor	2021-10-12 14:22:05+00

PAM BYRNES,  
SUPERVISOR OF LYNDON  
TOWNSHIP, AND FORMER  
STATE REPRESENTATIVE.  
THE MOST RECENT MAP FOR  
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS  
HAS SPLIT LYNDON  
TOWNSHIP BETWEEN THE  
5TH AND 7TH CD'S. THIS  
ACTION WILL SEVERELY  
HAMPER THE EFFECTIVE  
DELIVERY OF SERVICES TO  
OUR COMMUNITY OF LESS  
THAN 3,000 RESIDENTS.

OUR 2020 SEV WAS \$220  
MILLION, 17TH OUT OF 20  
TOWNSHIPS IN OUR COUNTY.  
OVER 50% OF THE LAND IS  
OWNED BY THE STATE. WE  
ARE A RURAL, AGRICULTURAL  
TOWNSHIP WITH AN  
EMPHASIS ON OUTDOOR  
RECREATION.

IF THE TOWNSHIP WERE TO  
BE IN TWO CONGRESSIONAL  
DISTRICTS, OUR BOARD

WOULD HAVE TO DIVERT

10-10-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Pam Byrnes

2021-10-12 14:19:19+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Do not support Troy begin redistricted to Macomb county. We want our tax dollars to benefit the Troy school district, our library and other services. What is the rationale? Expecting transparency.	Deobrah Chandonnet	2021-10-12 14:15:58+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Like	I appreciate this latest version of District 7 as it restores Lyndon Township in its entirety along with the rest of Washtenaw County	Pam Byrnes	2021-10-12 14:15:01+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS	Like	Good map that represents the community well. Please consider for final selection	Shafiq Bari	2021-10-12 14:14:31+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Like	Good map that represents the community well. Please consider for final selection	Shafiq Bari	2021-10-12 14:12:42+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Good map that represents the community well. Please consider for final selection	Shafiq Bari	2021-10-12 14:08:54+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	It looks like a good job unpacking for SD 66.	Madeline Wagner	2021-10-12 14:08:41+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	This map gives an expected partisan balance that is more in line with the votes of Michigan residents overall. To do this, it must draw some less compact districts such as Kalamazoo/GR, but that is necessary given the urban / rural voting patterns. Fairness is a more important factor than COI which can be defined in many different ways.	Mark E Miller	2021-10-12 14:05:58+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	It looks like the Commission is packing Washtenaw Democratic voters into this one district. This is not a balanced map.	Madeline Wagner	2021-10-12 14:04:02+00

This District 4 map is well done. As noted, Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids share many institutions with common interests--12 institutions of higher learning, health care providers and health care manufacturing firms, aerospace, automotive, and technology firms, and so on. Minority populations in West Michigan have rarely had a voice when it comes to our representation. This map provides us that voice as it places large sects of our Latino/a/x and lgbtq+ community together between Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo. This map provides the populations around urban centers a voice in congress, whereas many of the districts throughout the area provide rural residents with that representation.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Doug Booth

2021-10-12 14:02:10+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	As a school board member, districts 6 and 8 cover too many communities, cities, school districts, and counties. These districts will make it very difficult for any state senator to get to know and represent well the school districts across all of these borders.	Carl Weckerle	2021-10-12 14:01:59+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	There are so many Democrats crammed into this one district that it's not a fairly-drawn map.	Chris Savage	2021-10-12 13:56:13+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	This is another map that seems to intentionally cram as many Democrats into one district as possible. This, in my view, is not a fair map.	Chris Savage	2021-10-12 13:55:10+00

the proposed map, as it stands, blatantly ignores input you have requested and received from the members of the East English Village, Cornerstone Village, and Morningside communities. Our commonalities have been diluted in the past by the district divisions (4/6). Throughout this process of reviewing and redrawing district lines, we have been vocal in requesting that our three neighborhoods be contained in a single district. We also spend time, effort, and money, as well as sharing social concerns with the Grosse Pointe and Jefferson Chalmers communities to the south. Our tri-community efforts to improve the East Warren Business/Commercial Corridor is likely to take a hit as a result of your current proposed redistricting. Please consider the boundaries you have established for District 6. The amount of Democrats crammed into this one district looks intentional. This is not a fair map.

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Dislike

Susan Ann Lawrence

2021-10-12 13:54:54+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Chris Savage

2021-10-12 13:53:38+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Dislike	this map does not work for the issues affecting communities along the lake and the efforts of the East English Village, Morningside and Cornerstone communities. Please keep us together so we can continue to help our neighbors.	Barbara Tuohey	2021-10-12 13:49:35+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike	packing a heavily Democratic area into one district is called gerrymandering, this is what this process is supposed to prevent	kelly orringer	2021-10-12 13:44:25+00

We want Troy to stay in Oakland County with other like minded communities. I do not want to be part of Macomb County, Macomb schools or their governance within their communities. I don't want my taxes going to Macomb when I spend/associate 90% of my time/money in neighboring Oakland communitites/facilities. Troy School District needs to stay in Oakland County. We moved to Troy because of the schools. No way should our Troy District Schools be included in Macomb county. This is for politics only and for no other reason. Quit messing with our kids education for political reasons!

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Allison Emerson

2021-10-12 13:37:36+00

Keep Troy in Oakland

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Nancy C. Vida

2021-10-12 13:35:15+00

County!!!!!!

Dear Commissioners, I urge you to keep Ottawa County as shown in Map 201. Ottawa County, including Georgetown Township, is unique in character.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW

Opinion

james phillip lorence

2021-10-12 13:29:57+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like	Midland and Bay City have been connected my entire life. Our neighborhoods are more similar to each other than to the surrounding rural townships. Putting these areas together makes sense.	Katie Zanotti	2021-10-12 13:17:21+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike	Do not pack Democratic voters into one district. This decreases the chances for substantive governance that truly represents the will of the people. It is time to create a more balanced district map.	Jeffrey Orringer	2021-10-12 12:18:21+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike	This is gerrymandering at its finest! Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids are not a community of interest. Why not keep Kent and Kalamazoo Counties whole? There is very like commonality between GR and Kalamazoo other than they are cities. Southwest Michigan was better served with the old 6th District. It was a compact community of interest as you directed people to consider. Carving up these counties is not the best way to serve its citizens.	Scott McGraw	2021-10-12 12:12:50+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	The city of Midland has economic interests similar to Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint. Our representation at the state level should support the development and growth of our economy.	Kristi M Kline	2021-10-12 11:27:21+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Like	The 6/7 and 7/6 is much fairer than the older maps	Lisa S Kamil	2021-10-12 11:14:09+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Opinion	These district lines are far more jagged than the one's we have now. Look at all tjhe red dots, yet the commissioners chose to vote regardless of what the people have been asking for. Do not put Battle Creek with Kalamazoo. It is the metro area for our entire RURAL county. Battle Creek is home to most of our resources, healthcare, school systems. If you separate us, then what do you think will happen to the people who rely on those resources. We will continue to be left out. If everyone is so admit about making every area in this state attached to a very large urbanized city, then it won't be too long before we no longer have a rural community.	Sonja Marie Patrick	2021-10-12 08:07:03+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	I am new to looking at these maps. Districts 27 and 29 look good in terms of partisan fairness, which is the most important thing to me. I would be in District 27. Lumping 80% of non conservative voters in AA/ Ypsi looks like the exact type of gerrymandering this project was supposed to correct. Wrong.	Josephine Rood	2021-10-12 07:49:09+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This is a great Congressional map because it keeps Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint all together which are the urban areas of MidMichigan. Our schools all play each other in sports and we spend lots of time in all these communities trading goods and services.	James Baldwin	2021-10-12 07:01:14+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	This is a great Congressional map because it keeps Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint all together which are the urban areas of MidMichigan. Our schools all play each other in sports and we spend lots of time in all these communities trading goods and services.	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-12 06:08:38+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	goods and services.	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-12 06:06:59+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint are all home to major Fortune 500 companies. We are industrial leaders in MidMichigan and should be kept together in a Congressional map so that we are represented by someone who has urban interests.	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-12 06:05:34+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint are all home to major Fortune 500 companies. We are industrial leaders in MidMichigan and should be kept together in a Congressional map so that we are represented by someone who has urban interests.	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-12 06:04:03+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint are all home to major Fortune 500 companies. We are industrial leaders in MidMichigan and should be kept together in a Congressional map so that we are represented by someone who has urban interests.	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-12 06:01:55+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like This is a great Congressional map because it keeps Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint all together which are the urban areas of MidMichigan. Our schools all play each other in sports and we spend lots of time in all these communities trading goods and services Molly Morrissey 2021-10-12 06:00:01+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Like Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint are all home to major Fortune 500 companies. We are industrial leaders in MidMichigan and should be kept together in a Congressional map so that we are represented by someone who has urban interests. Jim Morrissey 2021-10-12 05:56:53+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Like This is a great Congressional map because it keeps Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint all together which are the urban areas of MidMichigan. Our schools all play each other in sports and we spend lots of time in all these communities trading goods and services. Molly Morrissey 2021-10-12 05:53:59+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	This map is great for a State House district because Midland and Bay City are about the same size and they share many economic institutions both for-profit companies and non-profit services.	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-12 05:46:59+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Like	Many people who work in Midland, live in Bay City and vice versa. Dow Chemical has a presence in both communities. And we spend our leisure time in both places. We are a community of interest.	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-12 05:45:20+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Many people who work in Midland, live in Bay City and vice versa. Dow Chemical has a presence in both communities. And we spend our leisure time in both places. We are a community of interest.	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-12 05:43:47+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map is great for a State House district because Midland and Bay City are about the same size and they share many economic institutions both for-profit companies and non-profit services.	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-12 05:42:10+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>This is a good map because it keeps Midland with the other urban parts of MidMichigan. Midland is home to 3 Fortune 500 companies, a large cultural center and a large hospital center. Midland is not rural so it should be represented with other urban areas.</p>	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-12 05:29:02+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>Thank you for recognizing Midland as a vital part of the Tri-Cities. Midland needs a representative that knows the needs of a city just as the rural parts of Midland and Bay Counties need a representative that will fight for their needs.</p>	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-12 05:27:07+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>This is an unfair map. Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Dem district.</p>	Katherine C ASkew	2021-10-12 05:25:01+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	<p>Thank you for recognizing Midland as a vital part of the Tri-Cities. Midland needs a representative that knows the needs of a city just as the rural parts of Midland and Bay Counties need a representative that will fight for their needs.</p>	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-12 05:24:20+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	<p>This is a good map because it keeps Midland with the other urban parts of MidMichigan. Midland is home to 3 Fortune 500 companies, a large cultural center and a large hospital center. Midland is not rural so it should be represented with other urban areas.</p>	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-12 05:23:22+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	<p>This is a good map because it keeps Midland with the other urban parts of MidMichigan. Midland is home to 3 Fortune 500 companies, a large cultural center and a large hospital center. Midland is not rural so it should be represented with other urban areas.</p>	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-12 05:22:17+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	<p>This is a good map because it keeps Midland with the other urban parts of MidMichigan. Midland is home to 3 Fortune 500 companies, a large cultural center and a large hospital center. Midland is not rural so it should be represented with other urban areas.</p>	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-12 05:20:24+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like This is a great map because it recognizes Midland as a vital part of the Tri-Cities. Midland needs a representative that knows the needs of a city just as the rural parts of Midland and Bay Counties need a representative that will fight for their needs. Molly Morrissey 2021-10-12 05:18:04+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike Please take North/Northwest Oakland County out of District 10, as drawn. This area has much in common with Genesee County (District 11) or District 3. We have nothing to do with the Thumb area. Tuscola County has much do with the Thumb area, and you continue to leave it out and in this map you have portions of Wayne County in District 3, at the expense of North Oakland County. Whoever keeps making these maps needs to rethink District 10, District 11 and/or District 3. Phillip Reid 2021-10-12 05:16:19+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	<p>Please take North or Northwest Oakland County out of District 10! This area has nothing to do with the Thumb Area, unlike Tuscola County which you put in District 11, as drawn. North Oakland County has much more to do with Genesee County as part of the I-75 Automation Alley corridor. We also have much more to do with District 3. Please do not do this!</p>	Phillip Reid	2021-10-12 05:05:42+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Dislike	<p>Split Southfield Township from Southfield City. The Township (and components Beverly Hills, Bingham Farms, Franklin) have more in common with Birmingham than with Southfield, so should stay there.</p>	John	2021-10-12 05:05:07+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>Please do no divide Clinton County into two different congressional districts. Doing so dilutes our voice, breaks our county COI, and makes it much harder for people to know who their congressman is. The 3 other plans keeps our county united.</p>	Zach Rudat	2021-10-12 05:01:41+00

Please take North Oakland County out of District 10 as drawn. This area has nothing to do with the Thumb area. We have more in common with District 3 or District 11, as drawn. When we moved here in 1997 we were part of the District 5 which was represented by Dale Kildee out of the Flint Area. Our son went to UM Flint and we have had many associations with Genesee County. Also we have many associations with District 3 as drawn. Further the continued exclusion of Tuscola County from District 10 makes no sense, especially when you have included Midland in District 11 along with Tuscola County.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Phillip W Reid

2021-10-12 04:57:22+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Please do not include North Oakland County in District 10 as drawn, our area has much more in common with District 11. When we moved to Independence Township in 1997, this area was in District 5 which was Represented by Dale Kildee in the Flint area. Our son attended UM Flint, and we frequently make trips to the Flint area. We have nothing to do with the Thumb area. Also it seems ill advised that you would leave Tuscola County out of the District 10 has it is clearly part of the Thumb region of the state. Looks like a partisan gerrymander: cluster non-conservative votes to A2/Ypsilanti in order to allow the more conservative population in rural areas more input into matters that	Phillip Reid	2021-10-12 04:46:17+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	matter to all of us.	Swati Dutta	2021-10-12 04:36:54+00

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	<p>As others have said, the comments regarding Waterford and Pontiac are not true. As a resident of Pontiac, there are a variety of common bonds between Pontiac and Auburn Hills that solicit them to stay together - as Lisa mentioned, including the schools, tax base, etc. Auburn Hills used to be Pontiac Township itself! To include Pontiac with this random area of Waterford is not right for this community. Please stop ignoring these comments - it has been on multiple of these maps.</p>	Scott Stewart	2021-10-12 04:34:28+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>You've packed the Lansing area. Delta TWP should have its own state House district inside of Eaton County. Please change the lines.</p>	Emily Boyer	2021-10-12 04:33:41+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Lived in Troy off and on since 1958. Always been in Oakland County., actually three different homes in the same subdivision over the years. No need or logic in moving to Macomb Cty Who originated this scheme, and what was their rational???</p>	Philip P Ciesliga	2021-10-12 04:28:00+00

10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Good maps which fits for Bengali Community, so, expect to have a final map like this	Md Mansurul Hoque Hamja	2021-10-12 04:25:05+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	The other Congressional maps do a better job of treating Ingham-Eaton-Clinton as the unified Lansing region that it is. Don't adopt this one. My "like" comment is in regard to Independence Township up to Oakhill Rd	Chris Andrews	2021-10-12 04:24:38+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	I feel that Monroe County needs to be included with Downriver or Washtenaw County as so many of our residents work in those areas when compared with Lenawee County. With I-75 and US-23, we shop and work in Toledo, Ann Arbor, Canton, and Downriver. Please consider this when lumping us in with the more agricultural areas whom we have little in common.	Phillip Reid	2021-10-12 04:21:47+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	+1 Preferred by Bangla community. Thank you for counting my vote.	Constance Hayter	2021-10-12 04:21:21+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS	Like		Mahnaz Chowdhury	2021-10-12 04:18:15+00

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Like	+1 Preferred by Bangla community. Thank you for counting my vote.	Mahnaz Chowdhury	2021-10-12 04:15:44+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The commission should not pack Washtenaw voters in an 80% Democratic district.	David Fry	2021-10-12 04:14:33+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	This map and others creates disproportionate partisan advantage for Republicans in the Lansing Area by packing Democrats from Delta Twp in Eaton County in with Ingham. You create 3 massively Democratic districts (wasting votes) and spread out the rural votes (maximizing Republican votes. There are many solutions, but one is to put Delta back in Eaton County (and maybe bring over the Ingham portion of Waverly School District with it) Make an Eaton-centric district (including its biggest community Delta Twp.) like we have now.	Chris Andrews	2021-10-12 04:13:36+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	+1. Preferred by Bangla community. Thanks for counting my vote.	Mahnaz Chowdhury	2021-10-12 04:11:03+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Again, why are we districting with Lenawee County? About 50% of Monroe's residents work in Detroit, Toledo, Downriver, and Ann Arbor while about 8% work in Lenawee. We have much more in common with Downriver and Washtenaw County because of our transportation routes.	Constance Hayter	2021-10-12 04:10:50+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	My "Like" opinion is related to the inclusion of Independence Township up to Oakhill Rd in District 18. Monroe is not a farming community and has less in common with Lenawee County than with the Downriver area. With our transportation routes between Detroit and Toledo, many more residents work in those areas than Lenawee.	Phillip Reid	2021-10-12 04:08:57+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Opinion	Why are we with Lenawee? +1. Preferred by Bangla community. Thanks for	Constance Hayter	2021-10-12 04:06:55+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	valuing my vote. There is no way Troy should be redistricted to Macomb. I disagree 100%. This will be escalated to the highest	Mahnaz Chowdhury	2021-10-12 04:02:46+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	levels.	Vivian Hackman	2021-10-12 04:02:15+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	District 18, as drawn, should include Independence Twp, up to Oakhill Rd. Do not put this neighborhood into District 2, as drawn.	Phillip Reid	2021-10-12 03:59:42+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	While looking for a new job, only one job has come up for Lenawee County when entering demographics for Monroe; why is Monroe in a district where most residents don't work or have much in common? Almost every employment opportunity comes from Downriver, Ann Arbor, Canton, or Toledo. I opposed keeping Ottawa County whole but I retract that statement. Focusing on that goal could have been a detriment to the whole but I saw your efforts, this is fine. My overall approval will be based on overall fairness issues, not my own county.	Constance Hayter	2021-10-12 03:58:31+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Refer to w6436 for reasons to reconsider.	David Barnosky	2021-10-12 03:53:45+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike		Alice	2021-10-12 03:37:53+00

		This map cuts the heart of Byron Township into two different house districts. Does that make sense? You've already cut the city of Wyoming up, why not just add the north part of Wyoming to the neighbor district and keep the Hispanic population together.		
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike		Drew Jones	2021-10-12 03:36:06+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	See w6436 for a good explanation	Alice	2021-10-12 03:34:15+00
		This map will split Manistee County into two state senate districts. These sparsely populated rural counties should not have to be split like this to achieve the population targets. This also significantly disrupts the Little River Band of Ottawa Indians community of interest reducing their impact on their elected state senator.		
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Please see comment portal submission author Pam Byrnes Donâ€™t Split Lyndon	John M, Helge	2021-10-12 03:31:05+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Township for reason	Alice	2021-10-12 03:29:20+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This one looks good.	Judith Rose Smutek	2021-10-12 03:27:49+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This map will be good because it honors the county boundaries for the sparsely populated, rural counties in this US House District.	John M. Helge	2021-10-12 03:27:05+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	This map makes sense because it honors the county boundaries in this primarily rural, sparsely populated US House District.	John M. Helge	2021-10-12 03:24:12+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This is a good effort at fairness.	Sharon Moore	2021-10-12 03:23:53+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Not much of an improvement over current situation. Still puts Washtenaw voters into largely Dem district.	Judith Rose Smutek	2021-10-12 03:22:46+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	This map makes sense because it makes every effort to follow the rural county boundaries. The geographical size is a huge challenge, but it is necessary given the sparse population in this region.	John M. Helge	2021-10-12 03:20:39+00

I am shocked to see that the latest plans for state senate completely ignore the input our Detroit neighborhood gave the Commission. The earlier drafts of the State Senate districts kept our neighborhood intact. But this version chops us up, despite our strong identity as a neighborhood, . It would split East English Village (EEV) up into Districts 6 and 7. Yet EEV has been a United neighborhood for decades, with a strong neighborhood association, newsletter, web site, and activities. Many of us submitted maps that showed how EEV should be kept intact, and should also be in the same district with the neighborhoods on both sides, Cornerstone and Morningside. We work together in Restorin' East Warren (<https://restorinewarren.com> /). to revitalize our shared

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Dislike

Nancy Brigham

2021-10-12 03:20:00+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>This map splits Manistee County into two different state house districts. It is very important to make every effort to keep sparsely populated rural counties whole in this process. I am confident that the commission can do a better job of carving up these districts to minimize the amount of each rural county that must be served by multiple representatives.</p>	John M. Helge	2021-10-12 03:15:59+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>This map splits Manistee County into two different state house districts. It is extremely important to keep rural counties whole and represented by one representative. It should not be difficult to hit the populations target by keeping sparsely populated rural counties whole.</p>	John M. Helge	2021-10-12 03:11:02+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Dislike	<p>Please keep all five Grosse Pointes together in one district, with Harper Woods, All Five Pointes and Harper Woods belong in District 7, thank you for taking input</p>	Gregory E Spangler	2021-10-12 03:06:41+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map seems to fix the unfairness in Washtenaw County by unpacking Democrats in Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti. An important step toward fairness. Thanks to commissioners for listening.	Chris Andrews	2021-10-12 03:06:11+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This map would put Manistee County in two different state house districts. This would make it very hard to support any candidate on a County-wide level. It is critically important to leave rural counties whole in this process!	John M. Helge	2021-10-12 03:05:54+00
10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed	Like	Thank you for keeping Troy, Rochester Hills and Sterling Heights together! We are COI!	Yousif	2021-10-12 03:02:06+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	This s one of the best maps of the chosen 4 CD. please chose this one! It takes COI into account. Especially district 6 and 3 are redistricted as they should be.	Yousif	2021-10-12 02:57:55+00

10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	This is the worst map of the 4 chosen maps to move forward. It does not take COI into account. It is the most partisan map of the 4. Maps 219 and 201 gives Asians, Indians and Middle Easterners a bigger voice. Please choose either 219 or 201.	Yousif	2021-10-12 02:53:51+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I'm glad to see Ann Arbor split this way, and to see that Ypsilanti has its own district.	Pamela J Hayes	2021-10-12 02:50:40+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	this is a good unpack! Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together	Leslie Wilkins	2021-10-12 02:46:25+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	in a 80% Dem district.	Leslie Wilkins	2021-10-12 02:43:46+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	I like this map the best of the three tree-named options. It unifies many communities that have common interests while creating a competitive district that would not be automatically skewed toward the Republicans. It also represents the racial, ethnic and religious diversity of this part of the state without diluting the minority majority districts of Wayne County, and it keeps Northville and Novi together.	Lisa Peers	2021-10-12 02:43:44+00

I appreciate that Southfield and Beverly Hills are combined with other towns and cities in Oakland that share many issues, needs and interests. I also agree that Northville belongs with Novi in the same district. That said, including Orion Township and going all the way up to Holly may tip the political balance toward the Republicans and dilute the growing Democratic voting power of the region. I ask the Commissioners to consider this level of balance when creating this congressional district and others so we have more competitive districts that represent our

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Opinion	communities of interest.	Lisa Peers	2021-10-12 02:40:32+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This one seems to be a good solution to the packing	Vicki Lawrence	2021-10-12 02:40:26+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	District 27 still looks pretty packed. Please try this one again.	Vicki Lawrence	2021-10-12 02:37:03+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Dem district.	Michael Adsit	2021-10-12 02:33:29+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>District 3 brings together communities with a number of common interests in terms of business, education, community programs and infrastructure needs. I also believe it is relatively balanced in terms of political party and racial and ethnic diversity. I'm not sure why Beverly Hills and Southfield are carved out to be included in District 2; they could just as easily be part of District 3. I ask the Commissioners to consider this level of balance when creating the other congressional districts as well so we have more competitive districts that represent our communities of interest.</p>	Lisa Peers	2021-10-12 02:32:50+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>I cannot understand what the commission is trying to accomplish with these State Senate maps. It lessens the voice of Warren and Sterling Heights residents and does nothing to improve representation for anyone in this proposed district.</p>	Joel Rutherford	2021-10-12 02:30:35+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>My neighbors in Troy and Rochester have more in common with Bloomfield Twp, than Macomb County. My school district even crosses into Troy.</p>	Mark Antakli	2021-10-12 02:30:19+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>I cannot understand what the commission is trying to accomplish with these State Senate maps. It lessens the voice of Warren and Sterling Heights residents and does nothing to improve representation for anyone in this proposed district.</p>	Joel Rutherford	2021-10-12 02:29:45+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>Again, keep Warren and Sterling Heights together. This bizarre sectioning of counties provides no voice for the people in this drawn district.</p>	Joel Rutherford	2021-10-12 02:26:52+00

I like that District 13 includes Birmingham, Royal Oak, Ferndale, most of Clawson and other towns and cities with a lot of common interests and needs, like businesses, schools, infrastructure and public health. I'm not sure why the district dips into Wayne County, which does not have the same needs and issues as those towns north of 8 Mile. I worry that this is an attempt to dilute the majority minority districting in Detroit - and if that's the case, this needs to be redrawn. I also would prefer to keep the counties in separate districts if possible.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Opinion

Lisa Peers

2021-10-12 02:24:53+00

There is no reason to split this district up this way. Warren should be in Macomb County along with other cities in Macomb County, not this sectioning of three different counties. There would be no area in this district that would receive fair representation.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Joel Rutherford

2021-10-12 02:24:10+00

I like that District 13 includes Birmingham, Royal Oak, Ferndale, most of Clawson and other towns and cities with a lot of common interests and needs, like businesses, schools, infrastructure and public health. I'm not sure why the district dips into Wayne County, which does not have the same needs and issues as those towns north of 8 Mile. I worry that this is an attempt to dilute the majority minority districting in Detroit. I also would prefer to keep the counties in separate districts if possible.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Opinion

Lisa Peers

2021-10-12 02:23:13+00

I like that District 13 includes Birmingham, Royal Oak, Ferndale, most of Clawson and other towns and cities with a lot of common interests and needs, like businesses, schools, infrastructure and public health. I'm not sure why the district dips into Wayne County, which does not have the same needs and issues as those towns north of 8 Mile. I worry that this is an attempt to dilute the majority minority districting in Detroit. I also would prefer to keep the counties in separate districts if possible.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

Lisa Peers

2021-10-12 02:21:46+00

Niles must remain with the rest of Berrien County. I join others that have questioned the carve outs of small sections of more rural counties for no readily apparent reason. For us in Niles, Berrien County is THE community of interest. Second of importance is the opportunity to remain in the larger part of the old 6th District, which this map proposes. I've found no re-districting map dating back to 1973 that divides Berrien County.

10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Laurence Funk	2021-10-12 02:20:14+00
		Just like in the Oak map, you're diving Warren in the worse possible way. Keep Warren together so we can get fair representation. Thank		
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	you.	Joel Rutherford	2021-10-12 02:17:16+00
		This represents a disturbing attempt to pack the district with too many Dems. Better equity between rural and		
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	urban is needed.	Sharon Moore	2021-10-12 02:16:15+00

The current District 16 extends from Birmingham to Detroit at I-96, which makes no sense. It includes small pieces of too many, very different cities and towns across two counties. There are not enough common interests in terms of business, community programs, infrastructure, public health, or education. It looks randomly drawn and gerrymandered, and I worry it attempts to dilute the minority majority districts of Wayne County in the process.

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This must be redrawn.	Lisa Peers	2021-10-12 02:15:31+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy should remain a part of Oakland County!! I appreciate the commission developing a map that would give an opportunity to have more diverse representation, but this map doesn't help people in Warren get that. It is so heavily favoring Detroit that it isn't good for any group in Warren. Make Warren its own district and if need be go east but not this. This will only hurt people in	chloe Z	2021-10-12 02:15:16+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Warren. Thank you.	Joel A. Rutherford	2021-10-12 02:15:04+00

The current District 16 extends from Birmingham to Detroit at I-96, which makes no sense. It includes small pieces of too many, very different cities and towns across two counties. There is not enough common interests in terms of business, community programs, infrastructure, public health, or education. It looks randomly drawn and gerrymandered, and I worry it attempts to dilute the minority majority districts of Wayne County in the process.

10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This must be redrawn. I like that I will now have a chance to see my interests represented. it is time that Michigan is not controlled by Southeast Michigan and	Lisa Peers	2021-10-12 02:14:28+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	Oakland county.	michael e liskey	2021-10-12 02:14:08+00

I appreciate that the commissioners have apparently heard the requests to unpack Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti. However, creating a Senate seat that combines Ypsi with all of the rural townships running all the way to Calhoun County does a great disservice to the people of Ypsi. Stretching that Senate district that far does not make sense, when there are ways to create fair maps that take into account communities of interest and compactness that work just as well to ensure fairness and numerical parity. Maps such as those presented by the AFL-CIO are good examples. This is a good start, but doesn't quite hit the mark.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Opinion

Jennifer F

2021-10-12 02:13:10+00

The current District 16 extends from Birmingham to Detroit at I-96, which makes no sense. It includes small pieces of too many very different different cities and towns across two counties. There is not enough common interests in terms of business, community programs, infrastructure, public health, or education. It looks randomly drawn and gerrymandered, and I worry it attempts to dilute the minority majority districts of Wayne County in the process.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

This must be redrawn. You need to rethink your ideas. Where is the commonality in any of the cities, towns, villages, twps. in the new districting. NO one could effectively be a positive representative to such a district. Get real and honest

Lisa Peers

2021-10-12 02:13:09+00

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Dislike

and non-partisan

Cynthia L Zorn

2021-10-12 02:05:51+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	<p>This map would give Warren a chance at a representative of color, which is great, but I'm afraid that our voices, of all colors and backgrounds, would be lost with this map. Wayne County is so dominant that we in Warren would be an afterthought, even though we are the third largest city in Michigan.</p>	Joel Rutherford	2021-10-12 02:04:03+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	<p>Try making this district line so that the townships, cities, villages etc are more like in personalities. NO one could possible be effective in serving a district split this way. GEt real!</p>	Cynthia L Zorn	2021-10-12 02:03:26+00

What is going on with Byron Township here? It's being split between 3 separate districts. This is the first map I've seen that put Byron in more than 2 districts. Byron Center is an actual community. To just divide it up piecemeal and lump some in with Grandville/Wyoming, some in with Caledonia/Cascade, and some in with Jamestown/Zeeland isn't really fair to the people who live here. I realize that at some point you have to just pick a line and the districts won't be perfect. But these lines aren't even square. There are significantly better draft maps that the committee has presented. Not sure what happened with

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Dislike

this one.

David Bricker

2021-10-12 02:02:55+00

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Opinion

Some districts appear noncompetitive. Don't stretch or sway districts.

Nancy Bliss

2021-10-12 02:01:07+00

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Like	<p>             I'm a former mayor of Albion. Thank you for reconnecting Albion and Battle Creek into one community of interest! This makes sense because Albion and Battle Creek are similar in terms of post-industrial economies and racial demographics. Albion is approximately 30% Black/African American. Severing Albion's political ties with Battle Creek would do serious harm to the political power of Black residents whose families relocated to both communities during the Great Migration-era.           </p>	Garrett Brown	2021-10-12 02:00:43+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Dislike	<p>             The cities/towns, villages in this district do NOT all have uncommon personalities and needs. They are NOT Good match ups. They would be very hard for someone to properly serve and represent. You NEED to fix it closer to what is currently is.           </p>	Cynthia L Zorn	2021-10-12 02:00:03+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>             Too many Dem voters packed into A2 Ypsi. Bad.           </p>	John Patrick	2021-10-12 01:54:17+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	Macomb Township does not belong lumped in with the thumb, we have nothing in common with these northern communities.	Grant LaFaive	2021-10-12 01:52:39+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Like	This map matches more voter preferences for district shapes.	Nancy Bliss	2021-10-12 01:51:12+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I have lived in troy my entire life and I strongly disagree with redistricting Troy from Oakland to Macomb County. Based on the current communities of interest Troy has more in common with Rochester, Rochester Hills and Bloomfield Hills. There doesn't appear to be a reason why the change is necessary or beneficial to the residents.	stephanie martz	2021-10-12 01:49:41+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I have lived in Troy over 20 years and want Troy to stay in Oakland County along with Rochester and Rochester Hills. These citys have common social and ecoomic objective with Oakland county than Macomb county . I really didn't see a good reason to move those cities to Macomb County and strong oppose this redistricting!	Xiuli Zhang	2021-10-12 01:45:36+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I strongly feel that Troy should remain a part of Oakland County. I made a conscious decision to purchase a house and send my kids to school here in Troy in part because the city was part of Oakland County.	Dana Wingblad	2021-10-12 01:41:30+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	troy should be kept in Oakland County. no-brainer...	s m hodorek	2021-10-12 01:38:23+00

I live right next door to Pontiac, and I share concerns with those residents. I don't see why District 29 winds around the City of Pontiac out to Lake Orion which is much different. I appreciate that Oakland, Wayne, and Macomb county leadership should be acting in a more regional way. I don't think cross-county districts is the way to do it, if that is the thinking behind these three maps. Southern Oakland and Northern Wayne districts as drawn, extend too far north-south, again assuring many citizens will not understand where their district begins or ends. Electors deserve clarity. Candidates must be able to reach out and listen.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Nancy Bliss

2021-10-12 01:36:40+00

I strongly disagree with the redistricting of Troy, Rochester, Rochester Hills from Oakland county to Macomb county. I moved to Troy because of the high quality of the school. That is the reason I haven't chosen Macomb county.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Crystal Du

2021-10-12 01:35:53+00

10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	This is the best Congressional Map that meets the community needs, please consider this as final.	Nighat Taqbeem	2021-10-12 01:26:59+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	I live in Bloomfield Twp and this district appears too far away from neighboring districts. I appreciate that Oakland, Wayne, and Macomb county leadership should be acting in a more regional way. I don't think cross-county districts is the way to do it, if that is the thinking behind these three maps. Southern Oakland and Northern Wayne districts as drawn, extend too far north-south, again assuring many citizens will not understand where their district begins or ends. Electors deserve clarity. Candidates must be able to reach out and listen.	Nancy Bliss	2021-10-12 01:24:50+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	This is a terrible map for Warren, Sterling Heights, and surrounding areas. It just slices and dices everyone up.	Diane Young	2021-10-12 01:24:20+00

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Like	<p>As a Vice of President BAPAC,  the nonprofit Organization  that works for the wellbeing  of the Bangladeshi  Community  in Hamtramck and Detroit, I  endorse this proposed map.</p>	Abdur Razzaque	2021-10-12 01:19:41+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>This is the kind of map that  looks fair. Compared to the  other district map that has  been proposed which is not  fair. The committee has to do  the same kind of drawing as  this map for the other map.</p>	Robert Dunn	2021-10-12 01:17:20+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS	Like	<p>We trust you can do this.  As a Vice of President BAPAC,  the nonprofit Organization  that works for the wellbeing  of the Bangladeshi  Community  in Hamtramck and Detroit, I  endorse this proposed map.</p>	Abdur Razzaque	2021-10-12 01:16:18+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>I appreciate that Oakland, Wayne, and Macomb county leadership should be acting in a more regional way. I don't think cross-county districts is the way to do it, if that is the thinking behind these three maps. Southern Oakland and Northern Wayne districts as drawn, extend too far north-south, again assuring many citizens will not understand where their district begins or ends. Electors deserve clarity. Candidates must be able to reach out and listen.</p>	Nancy Bliss	2021-10-12 01:15:58+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>As a Vice President BAPAC, the nonprofit Organization that works for the wellbeing of the Bangladeshi Community in Hamtramck and Detroit, I endorse this proposed map.</p>	Abdur Razzaque	2021-10-12 01:10:45+00

As you know, the purpose of the committee is to make a fair drawing of the state. When 80% of the community are Democratic and you lump them together this does seem fair. I realize this is a tough job and everyone will not like the like the end results. However, this is setting the state up to be no different than it was under a party gerrymandering drawing. You must and can do better for the sake of our Democracy.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Robert J Dunn

2021-10-12 01:06:06+00

This proposed district is completely void of a community of interest priority as the northern portion of Monroe county with Carleton and Steiner and Ash Township have absolutely nothing in common with the City of Taylor - this proposed district makes NO SENSE!!

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Dislike

Tom Heck

2021-10-12 01:02:55+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of similiar Interest and I moved to Troy and Oakland county for the school. I left Sterling heights and macomb county.	LeAnne Rumptz	2021-10-12 01:00:12+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	It's about time for a Lansing-based district that keeps Eaton, Ingham and Clinton counties together. For far too long, the Capital Region has been split up, watering down the voices of Greater Lansing residents to accomplish one of the state's most blatant partisan gerrymanders.	Thomas P Morgan	2021-10-12 00:55:25+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	It's about time for a Lansing-based district that keeps Eaton, Ingham and Clinton counties together. For far too long, the Capital Region has been split up, watering down the voices of Greater Lansing residents to accomplish one of the state's most blatant partisan gerrymanders.	Thomas P Morgan	2021-10-12 00:55:08+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	<p>It's about time for a Lansing-based district that keeps Eaton, Ingham and Clinton counties together. For far too long, the Capital Region has been split up, watering down the voices of Greater Lansing residents to accomplish one of the state's most blatant partisan gerrymanders.</p>	Thomas P Morgan	2021-10-12 00:54:46+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>The commission's mandate was to produce district maps that were fair, producing competitive districts that would reflect the will of the people - not the will of the current majority in the state legislature. Please set up the districts so they reflect this majority.</p>	William Asher	2021-10-12 00:54:43+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>The green dot right next to this comment is also mine. It was supposed to be a red dot. Please, at this point, do not mistake anyone in the greater Farmington community to have given positive feedback on what the commission has done to divide our community and combine it with places with dissimilar interests.</p>	Theresa Orlaske-Rich	2021-10-12 00:51:38+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Looks like a good unpack. Even mix of urban and rural populations. Far too many Dems are pushed into this one district! The Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in an 80% Democratic district.	Ian Sorensen	2021-10-12 00:36:13+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This is a weird layout that as drafted seems to try to pack Ann Arbor and Ypsi together as urban areas, leaving rural areas as is. There should be equal representation, not to mention AA and Ypsi have different communities of interest.	Mark B. Orringer	2021-10-12 00:35:36+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	We want Troy to remain in Oakland County. All of our activities are with Troy, Birmingham, Bloomfield Hills, Rochester, Rochester Hills, Clawson and Royal Oak. We have very little in common with Macomb County. There is no logical reason to lump Troy in with cities and areas in Macomb county.	Ian Sorensen	2021-10-12 00:31:48+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	This map offers a chance at fairness. Thank you!	Ron and Sharon Roe	2021-10-12 00:30:59+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		Monica Day	2021-10-12 00:23:41+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	This is finally a fair map that divides Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti. This is the best map I have seen that represents the Ann Arbor metro area properly. Those from Ypsilanti will tell you it is a proud city that has gone through a lot, and deserves a map like this one that makes it more central to its district	Lewis	2021-10-12 00:21:59+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Opinion	Keeping the cities of Holland and Grand Haven together in one Senate district is positive.	Kim Nagy	2021-10-12 00:15:55+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Too many Dems are packed into one district.	Susan Beth Miller	2021-10-12 00:13:53+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I disagree with this mapping. Troy has nothing in common with farther east communities of Macomb county. This is nothing ploy to pull tax revenue out of Oakland county and dilute the tax revenues available to Troy. it seems very inappropriate to put the two large population centers (AA and Ypsi) together instead of actually trying to have a balanced representation of urban and rural locations.	Jason Waltz	2021-10-12 00:11:28+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike		Heather Burrows	2021-10-12 00:10:27+00

I strongly disagree with the redistricting of Troy from Oakland County to Macomb County. I live in the corner of Troy that is Troy on one side of the street, Rochester Hills on the other side of the street, and Bloomfield Hills down the road a half mile. The students in my neighborhood attend Avondale Schools, not Troy, so they are redistricted in that sense now. Moving Troy to Macomb County does not make sense as we have more in common with the communities that surround us.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Kara Waltz

2021-10-12 00:04:54+00

I am a Southfield resident. As Southfield is part of Oakland County and has many POC, I do not believe that should be drawn with cities such as Dearborn Heights, for example. The effect is to dilute a specific group of voters. It is important that these maps be drawn without political bias so that all citizens have a say in representation.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE

Dislike

Yvonne Bridges

2021-10-12 00:04:41+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map, as currently drawn, is fair. Thank-you.	Vincent R Elie	2021-10-11 19:32:39+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair.	Ray	2021-10-11 19:13:26+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy should not be lumped in with communities in Macomb County. Keep us with other Oakland County communities. Rope us in with Birmingham, Bloomfield Hills & Twsp., Rochester, Rochester Hills.	Victor Bovey	2021-10-11 19:12:49+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Did the RNC pay you to rig the map by putting all of Ypsi and AA together? Maybe you'd like to include Detroit and Kalamazoo also. Shameful	Eliot Scott	2021-10-11 19:12:44+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy should stay with Rochester, Rochester Hills, Bloomfield in Oakland County	David M Hackman	2021-10-11 19:10:12+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I strongly disagree with redistricting Troy, Rochester, and Rochester Hills into the Macomb County district. They should stay in Oakland County where they belong. We are strongly connected to Bloomfield Hills, and doing such could impact our congressional vote. Please do the right thing and leave well enough alone!	Josephine George	2021-10-11 19:09:29+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This map favors Republicans in a Democratic leaning state. We need fair maps with no partisan lean. A minority government should not be running our state for the next 10 years. All voters need representation.	Bonnie VanKeersbilck	2021-10-11 19:08:39+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map clearly avoids the gerrymandering of the past. We finally can feel like our votes will truly reflect our area.	Glenna Jo Christen	2021-10-11 19:08:21+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map clearly is working to eliminate gerrymandering. I feel with this map our votes will better represent us at last!	Glenna Jo Christen	2021-10-11 19:04:14+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>we want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair</p>	Helmut Gruber	2021-10-11 19:01:02+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Like	<p>I appreciate Battle Creek and Albion staying together on I-94. Our communities share demographics and cultures, and are well-served by staying in the same State House district.</p>	Andrew Helmboldt	2021-10-11 18:59:44+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>I strongly disagree with placing Rochester Hills in Macomb County. It should remain in Oakland County where it belongs! Troy, Rochester, Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield Hills are very interconnected, and should be represented together. Moving Troy, Rochester, and Rochester Hills to the Macomb County District could change our congressional vote.</p>	Josephine George	2021-10-11 18:58:42+00

10-10-21 v1 CD RAS Like	<p>This district 7 is far superior than the current. I appreciate this map puts the Washtenaw portion of Milan into the new MI07 and not MI08. This is a more progressive, liberal part of the city and it has been poorly represented in current and past years by being plunked into the fold with the conservative agricultural communities along the border and curving up midstate (like current MI07).</p> <p>As drafted, packs excessive Dems into Dist. 27; does not respect distinct community character of Ypsilanti. Please modify to correct these deficiencies.</p>	Jillyn Schultz	2021-10-11 18:58:28+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike		MARC GERSTEIN	2021-10-11 18:57:19+00

For the 11th district I'm glad to see Midland with the Tri-cities. The fact that 2001 map has Midland, Montcalm and Grand Traverse County as part of that district made no sense. Midland should be with the Tri-Cities. The fact that the 11th district and what was once the 10th district has not had a competitive election in over hundred fifty years despite changing demographics. It shows how sorely this change is needed.

10-10-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Neil Rankin

2021-10-11 18:48:19+00

I'm seeing a lot of praise for splitting Ann Arbor, but it seems to be coming at the cost of a lot of communities of interest in rural areas and is resulting in the bizarre, stretched out districts we were hoping to avoid with this new system.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Dislike

Alexander McKay

2021-10-11 18:44:16+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Dislike	Splitting Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti is more fair, but these districts still includes portions of other counties that don't make sense. I prefer the option that is a Ypsilanti seat and then a Greater Ann Arbor one. I think o6326 does a better job of handling Jackson and Calhoun. Although I know that municipal boundaries aren't the highest priority, crossing 4 county lines for a single state senate districts along the I-94 corridor seems unnecessary.	Heather Hunnell	2021-10-11 18:43:57+00
10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike	Keep Troy and Rochester Hills in Oakland County We dislike Troy being added to Macomb County District 6. Most of our activities, services and interests occur in Birmingham Bloomfield district. We should be part of District 3. We really have no common interests with the communities currently in Macomb County. Thank you,	Wong	2021-10-11 18:43:49+00
10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike	Virginia Fakhouri	Virginia Fakhouri	2021-10-11 18:42:37+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Dislike	This district is nonsensical.	Brenden Boudreau	2021-10-11 18:36:36+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Dislike	This is a ridiculous partisan gerrymander of 27 and 29 specifically drawn to benefit Democrats.	Daniel G	2021-10-11 18:34:00+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This map is highly partisan and leans heavily in favor of Republicans. This does not end gerrymandering! There need to be a better balance and a bi-partisan population included in the map. The area should be more competitive so the elected officials will be compelled to respond to a greater diversity of political views. Change this map to be more competitive!	jean mary goddard	2021-10-11 18:32:45+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Please Keep me with the rest of the Lakeshore ie Holland, Saugatauk Dogoulas, South Haven!	Joshua Davis	2021-10-11 18:32:32+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This map is highly partisan and leans heavily in favor of Republicans. This does not end gerrymandering! There need to be a better balance and a bi-partisan population included in the map. The area should be more competitive so the elected officials will be compelled to respond. to a greater diversity of political views. Change this map to be more competitive!	jean mary goddard	2021-10-11 18:32:03+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	This map is highly partisan and leans heavily in favor of Republicans. This does not end gerrymandering! There need to be a better balance and a bi-partisan population included in the map. The area should be more competitive so the elected officials will be compelled to respond. to a greater diversity of political views. Change this map to be more competitive!	jean mary goddard	2021-10-11 18:29:23+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	This is the only of your 3 maps	Joshua Davis	2021-10-11 18:28:59+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Dislike	I don't oppose. How is this not a gerrymander?	Brenden Boudreau	2021-10-11 18:26:40+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	The problem is that these maps tilt in favor of Republican control. Aren't these maps supposed to be more partisan and competitive? That is one of the goals of the independent commission. This is not a fair map and should be adjusted to allow a better balance of democrats and republicans.	jean mary goddard	2021-10-11 18:25:01+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	I worked on helping to pass the Prop 2 initiative. The goal was to make sure all voice were heard in voting and I am not sure that the way the proposed lines are being drawn that the is the case. Our political voices will be lost if we are lumped in with Macomb County.	Deborah L Wood	2021-10-11 18:24:24+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Keep Me With other Muskegon County Voters	Vivian Zoma	2021-10-11 18:21:58+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	Please? Not a fan of this version. It puts the areas I want to move to next year into Rashida Tliab's domain and the thought of having an anti-Semite as my Representative in Congress makes me want to die.	Joshua Davis	2021-10-11 18:20:16+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Dislike		Angelo D Guarnieri	2021-10-11 18:19:16+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Map 201 keeps Ottawa County and its cohesive culture and philosophy together. Please also keep Georgetown Township with it.	Erik Olson	2021-10-11 18:18:36+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Opinion	Splitting Ann Arbor is a huge step toward partisan fairness, but this could be improved by doing an east/west split instead of a north-south one. This is very possible without throwing off the overall map, and would be in line with dozens of - if not over 100 - public comments from May through this morning. Some good Portal comments about this include c4631, o6062, c5502, p3122, w6195, etc.	Conner	2021-10-11 18:16:57+00
9-16-21 v2 CD	Like	Thank you! Thank you! I agree with this map! No way should Battle Creek be connected with Kalamazoo as I have seen previously.	Bonnie Kazmar	2021-10-11 18:16:38+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Totally Dislike! Keep Troy in Oakland County! We have lived here for over 40 years!!	Theresa Dudzinski	2021-10-11 18:16:09+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I strongly disagree with placing Troy in Macomb county. It should stay in Oakland County!!!! we want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair.	Rajeev Verma	2021-10-11 18:14:34+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy has always been part of Oakland County. We have lived here for over 40 years and we demand that Troy stay in Oakland County.	Theresa Dudzinski	2021-10-11 18:11:41+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map seems more of a real attempt at fulfilling the goals of the commission. Troy should stay in Oakland County! Strongly disagree with moving Troy to Macomb county. Stop calculating politics and do some real good thing!!!	William Jaynes	2021-10-11 18:09:39+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Xin Jin	2021-10-11 18:08:35+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I disagree with lumping in Rochester Hills with Macomb County. While I respectively acknowledge their values they differ significantly from mine and do not reflect my core beliefs. Please put the Rochester Hills voting district back in Oakland County where it belongs.	James Carline	2021-10-11 18:05:39+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This is clearly packing Democrates together, plus ignoring the differences between Ypsi and AA. No go on this district.	William Jaynes	2021-10-11 18:03:30+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	The rural areas are getting far more representation than the more urban areas. Please make this fair.	Julie K Dean	2021-10-11 18:01:54+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	Prefer map 193 with a few tweaks.	Jack Bengtsson	2021-10-11 18:00:01+00

I respectfully oppose this map. This map needlessly splits Grosse Pointe Park from the other Grosse Pointes and from the Lake St. Clair lakeshore. This map also splits-up the Lake St. Clair Community of Interest (A-9 from the MGGG report) into 3. This will limit our ability to advocate for our lakefront infrastructure issues, address water levels, and stand-up against other communities dumping sewage in our lake during rain events. The reasoning behind splitting-up the lakeshore is unclear here. If it was done for partisan reasons then I have two points to make: (1) COIs are higher in priority than partisan outcomes; and (2) the original District 7 could be more easily modified with minor alterations, rather than by breaking it up completely. I like that this map doesn't only group me with AA urbanites

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Dislike

Robert Dindoffer

2021-10-11 17:57:47+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Lee Katterman

2021-10-11 17:57:23+00

10-10-21 v1 CD RAS Like Looks good to me! It looks like the commission is doing an excellent job taking a very complex process and keeping it nonpartisan and simple, boundary wise! Paul Gorishek 2021-10-11 17:55:23+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike I'd rather every district be drawn to provide as close to 50/50 Democrats/Republicans/Independents as possible. I expect that such districts will end up as pie charts centered on urban areas and pie slices extending away for a long distance in some cases. Lee Katterman 2021-10-11 17:50:14+00

I think my Congressional District is acceptable and fair. Livingston County is kept whole and away from Ann Arbor and 99% of Washtenaw (Lyndon Twp doesn't belong in the district - Waterloo is a better replacement). In addition, this is a fair 50/50 district and winnable for either party. No voters will be ignored.

I think drawing District 6 from a 50/50 district to a 55%D district is against partisan fairness taking away a rare competitive district and making it safe democrat. Voters are no longer choosing politicians here.

Also, In response to Mr. Coletti, Ann Arbor does not belong with Livingston County. We are very culturally different communities that have even less in common with Ann Arbor than Do not pack Washtenaw voters together in an 80% Democratic district.

10-10-21 v1 CD RAS Opinion

Dan Wholihan

2021-10-11 17:49:27+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Jean B. Cortina

2021-10-11 17:48:03+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike As drafted, packs excessive Dems into Dist. 27; does not respect distinct community character of Ypsilanti. Please modify to correct these deficiencies. Lana Pollack 2021-10-11 17:47:19+00

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Like District 93 appears to provide a good representation of similar communities. My only comment is to recommend Rutledge Township to be included into District 93. They are an integrated part of the Hastings Community. To have them separate would be disadvantage to both. David Neubauer 2021-10-11 17:47:04+00

As an Ypsilanti resident of many years, and a former resident of Ann Arbor and Chelsea, I do not feel this map respects the distinct "Communities of Interest" within the area. Both Ypsilanti and Ann Arbor residents see a strong distinction between the two: Ann Arbor identifies more strongly with its small-city and township neighbors to the west and north, while Ypsilanti retains a blue-collar industrial heritage shared more closely with its neighbors to the east of US23. A shared Senate district erases Ypsilanti's distinct community of interest, violating the Commission's mandate. Reconsider maps that instead connect Ypsilanti with communities like Belleville, City of Wayne, South Lyon, or Monroe. At least 80% Democrats. This does not seem to be a balanced distribution. Same ole same ole.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Richard Murphy

2021-10-11 17:46:57+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Opinion

Bennet Wolper

2021-10-11 17:42:26+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair.	Jeff L.	2021-10-11 17:41:28+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	this is a more competitive way for voters to make sure there are real choices.	susan sefansky	2021-10-11 17:39:54+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	My neighbors, in Troy, should not be re-districted into a predominately Macomb County area. We are one community, that strongly identify with Oakland County. Why is the area around Dexter chopped up with part in District 27, but most in District 29? This whole area should be included in one District. How can a school district not have the same representation?	Patrick M. McQueen	2021-10-11 17:36:12+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Rochester Hills should stay in Oakland County!	Christine Purchis	2021-10-11 17:35:55+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Bev Yee	2021-10-11 17:31:32+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Strongly disagree merging Troy into Macomb county. Troy belongs to Oakland county. We have lived in Troy and Rochester hills for past 10 years and they have common objectives.	Kiran Venisetty	2021-10-11 17:30:44+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	These maps gerrymander Detroit more than politicians ever did. I lived in Grosse Pointe and there's no reason their district should go all the way to Marine city. Its crazy that the population in detroit decreased but the number of Senate seats that covered Detroit has doubled. I'm glad people care about partisan fairness but its important not to erase 50+ years of civil rights gains by gutting majority Detroit. We want Troy to stay with Bloomfield and Rochester within Oakland County. We have much more in common within the Oakland County area than Macomb County.	Jacqueline Hollier-Jackson	2021-10-11 17:29:54+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		David V Barbieri	2021-10-11 17:29:53+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Your job is difficult, but this district (27) packs Democratic voters into one district, it was my understanding that the commission's goal was not to pack Republican or Democrats into monolithic voting blocks. Please reconsider this district's boundaries.	Vincent R Elie	2021-10-11 17:25:23+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map represents the diverse interests of the district and ensures a more competitive environment for candidates to make sure voters in this district like me will have real choices.	Jeffrey B Halter	2021-10-11 17:24:21+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Like	So far, this is the best State Rep Map that meets the community needs, please keep this intact and under consideration for finalization	Ehsan Taqbeem	2021-10-11 17:21:56+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Districts should stay with in the county lines. Mixing cities that are fairly well 50/50 in the political party make up with cities in a different county that are heavily one sided, is NOT what this initiative was about.	Susan M Bowyer	2021-10-11 17:19:32+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	So far, this is the best State Senate Map that meets the community needs, please keep this intact and under consideration for finalization	Ehsan Taqbeem	2021-10-11 17:18:47+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy should not be lumped with Macomb County. We are very different in culture and should be kept with Oakland County. Putting us in District 6 will dilute our vote and disenfranchise voters in our community.	Russell & Kathleen Hug	2021-10-11 17:15:55+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Please do not pack Washtenaw voters like me together in a 80% Dem district.	Jeffrey B Halter	2021-10-11 17:12:37+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Like	So far, this is the best State Rep Map that meets Bangladeshi community, please keep this intact and under consideration for finalization	Ehsan Taqbeem	2021-10-11 17:07:45+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Please keep Georgetown part of Ottawa County. Thank you!	Robin Pintar	2021-10-11 17:06:54+00

I agree with Mrs. Austin. Tuscola should be within a district with the Thumb since they are a much more rural area compared to Flint, Saginaw, Bay City, and Midland. Adding the rest of Midland County would make sense because many of the people living within the county goes too Midland. While I can't say much from Tuscola's perspective, I believe they would feel better represented by a Thumb district rather than with the Tri-Cities and Midland. Attached would be the proposed idea that I had in mind.

10-10-21 v1 CD RAS Opinion

Trenton Berry

2021-10-11 17:06:17+00

The City of Midland is not rural, and should be included in a Congressional district along with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint. These cities are the core of Mid-Michigan and a community of interest. The City of Midland needs be included in an area that makes economic and geographic sense! I see a lot of comments stating Midland is rural? The City of Midland is NOT rural. Please do not consider this map. Also, please continue to look at partisan bias when tweaking maps.

9-29-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Cindy Weir

2021-10-11 17:05:45+00

So far, this is the best State Senate Map that meets Bangladeshi community, please keep this intact and under consideration for finalization

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS

Like

Ehsan Taqbeem

2021-10-11 17:04:27+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c Dislike	<p>There's no reason to put part of Livingston County (or Stockbridge) into the 29th. In addition, Unadilla Twp is one precinct and this splits the precinct for no good reason.</p>	Dan Wholihan	2021-10-11 17:03:48+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike	<p>I also think doublecross of the districts to Jackson and Calhoun is bad for Jackson and Calhoun Counties and takes their voice away giving it to the Big City. Better party distribution, please</p>	Kimberly Randall	2021-10-11 17:02:54+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like	<p>This map does a better job of dividing up the communities and mitigate gerrymandering in past maps. So far, this is the best Congressional Map that meets Bangladeshi community, please keep this intact and under consideration for finalization</p>	Carol Shepherd	2021-10-11 17:01:39+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS Like		Ehsan Taqbeem	2021-10-11 17:00:31+00

10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>A township, Lyndon, split in half? Two different polling sites with attendant cost, and two different representatives? This seems counterproductive. Lyndon Township is closely linked to washtenw County in terms of its recreational activities, most supported by the County, and its economics.</p> <p>Please rethink this division.</p>	Robert H Mester	2021-10-11 17:00:17+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>Same as it ever was - this map concentrates Democratic party voters. This is the kind of gerrymandering that led to the so-called "independent" redistricting committee. Clearly not "independent" enough.</p>	Carol Shepherd	2021-10-11 16:58:36+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	<p>I cannot support this map. This map does not address the community of interest of the northern part of Bay county as part of the Saginaw Bay Watershed area.</p>	Catherine A Macomber	2021-10-11 16:58:05+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti have very different COIs. Ypsi deserves its own district. This is gross "packing". This map is a step backwards and unfair.</p>	Stuart Noel Dowty	2021-10-11 16:58:05+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Keep Troy in Oakland County with Rochester and Rochester Hills. This is ridiculous to change it to Macomb.	Susan Jones	2021-10-11 16:56:28+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map better represents the diverse interests of the district and ensures a more competitive environment for candidates to make sure citizens are getting the best out of their legislative representation.	Jessica Lefort	2021-10-11 16:52:37+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This is a completely unfair district. It isn't representative of the community when you're packing both A2 and Ypsilanti together in an 80% democrattic district.	Jessica Lefort	2021-10-11 16:47:19+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	These maps favor Republicans by a disproportionate amount. They all but guarantee Republican majorities even though there are more Democratic voters in the state	Lisa Ross	2021-10-11 16:45:51+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	the goal should be to create competitive districts, the Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Democratic district. Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti have different constituencies, they would be better served by having their own representatives.	Catherine Richter	2021-10-11 16:45:15+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Like	These maps favor Republicans by a disproportionate amount. They all but guarantee Republican majorities even though there are more Democratic voters in the state	Lisa Ross	2021-10-11 16:44:59+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	These maps favor Republicans by a disproportionate amount. They all but guarantee Republican majorities even though there are more Democratic voters in the state.	Lisa Ross	2021-10-11 16:43:17+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Like	Ann Arbor split is necessary step for partisan fairness	K	2021-10-11 16:41:13+00

redistricting commission.

Berrien's state senate district has been the entire county of Berrien coupled with Cass and St. Joseph counties for at least 20 years, if not more.

Splitting Berrien county's senate district is not useful.

While in the past the north population centers and the southern population centers have had their differences, the county commission has largely mended those fences over the years. Splitting Berrien County now would re-ignite some of those issues.

Population-wise, it is my understanding, this is not a needed adjustment and therefore would not recommend drastically changing the political dynamics for no apparent reason. Northern Berrien County does not identify with the counties to the north, but rather to the whole of Berrien County.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Michael J Sepic

2021-10-11 16:38:40+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I like this map better because it makes me feel like my vote has a voice. In some of the other maps that lump Ann Arbor and Ypsi democrats into one district, the outcome seems predetermined, diminishing the value of my vote. As a voter, I want the guarantee of a free and fair election - not the guarantee of a victory for any party.	Mary Patek	2021-10-11 16:35:53+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Dislike	This maintains better continuity, but still looks a lot like gerrymandering together GR and Muskegon regardless of the areas between.	Luke Dornon	2021-10-11 16:32:07+00

much of the West Michigan  
Lake Shore area in Ottawa  
county is centered around  
small city life, their small  
suburbs, and the rural areas.  
This large area brings a  
balance in representation  
between all three groups.  
Dividing it into 3 districts risks  
the smaller cities outweighing  
their rural populations, and  
dilutes the representation of  
the area. It should remain as a  
single represented district.  
Having grown up in the area  
and moving back I'd like to  
see it kept that way.

Additionally having lived in  
Kent County for some time  
prior putting two cities  
together of totally different  
community cultures, and  
suburb life structures to make  
a district seems purposefully  
made to outweigh the rural  
needs of the county. I find it  
dubious at best for that to be  
done.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Opinion

Ian Millard

2021-10-11 16:31:46+00

You should not pack so many  
Washtenaw county voters  
together in an 80%

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Alexandra Moore

2021-10-11 16:29:39+00

Democratic district

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS c	Dislike	District 16 does not maintain county contiguity. Troy does not belong with Maccomb County.	Timothy Talbert	2021-10-11 16:29:09+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	An 80% Democratic State Senate district is packing. Don't include Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti in the same district. Make it a fair contest.	Eleanor Linn	2021-10-11 16:28:45+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This heavily skewed Dem district fails to represent many folks in Washtenaw County. I voted for fairer districts where both parties have a chance to win elections. >:(	Mary Keils	2021-10-11 16:26:59+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Please keep Georgetown Township part of Ottawa County, and keep Ottawa County whole! Please keep our lakeshore community whole.	Monika Kohsel	2021-10-11 16:22:12+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Moving Troy, Rochester Hills, Clawson, Royal Oak, Berkley, Huntington Woods and Ferndale out of District 3 and into District 6 does not preserve county contiguity.	Timothy Talbert	2021-10-11 16:19:35+00

The City of Midland should be in a congressional district with the tri-Cities and Flint as they are all urban/suburban areas that need appropriate representation. If Tuscola County were placed in the Thumb district and the portion of Gratiot that is carved out for the 11th is put back with the 13th and then place the city of Midland as much of Midland County as will fit in the 11th this would be a better district and more representative of the communities and would make a map closer to zero political bias. You can then remove some of Oakland and Macomb counties from the Thumb district. Partisan fairness is far more important for the overall map and this map does not achieve this.

9-29-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-11 16:18:25+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This is not a fair representation of all voters in this area, and it packs far too many voters of one party together. It needs to be fairly balanced across voters to ensure safety of votes for all in future elections.	April Ryan	2021-10-11 16:17:52+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Please keep Troy with Oakland County	Cathy Guo	2021-10-11 16:16:15+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy should stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County. This is a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. I like this map distributing Ann Arbor voters among three districts and giving Ypsilanti a separate voice. Looks like better representation all the way around.	Nancy Malak	2021-10-11 16:15:34+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		Vivienne Armentrout	2021-10-11 16:14:14+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Madison Heights should be included with Oakland county	Cathy Guo	2021-10-11 16:13:53+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Please don't redistrict and split up the Indian American community. You are taking away our political power.	Ajay Bedi	2021-10-11 16:13:30+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Keep Troy, Birmingham and Rochester in Oakland County! Macomb County is highly partisan and does not reflect the voting diversity of our three Oakland County communities.	Ben Shaw	2021-10-11 16:12:24+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	Do NOT split Ottawa County into separate districts. This would be extremely harmful for all citizens who live in or near Ottawa County.	DW Bock	2021-10-11 16:11:41+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	I don't think an 80% dem district is the best we can do	Letitia Simmons	2021-10-11 16:11:03+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	We don't like the Idea of a merger. we are fine with staying with Oakland county. Nothing against Macomb county, we moved to Troy for better education and safety. lets not go and change that now.	Saif Dawood	2021-10-11 16:07:47+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I like Troy to stay in Oakland county along with Rochester and Rochester Hills.	Zhenguo Zhu	2021-10-11 16:07:28+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Don't like this map. The city of Midland should be with the tri-Cities and Flint in a congressional district and the map as a whole needs to reflect partisan fairness.	Jennifer Austin	2021-10-11 16:06:58+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy belongs in Oakland county with Rochester, Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield Hills. I want my votes to count in the county I live.	Mary Stockdale	2021-10-11 16:06:40+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy should NOT be included in a Macomb County group. Troy and Rochester Hills should be in the same district, along with any other Oakland County communities. Gerrymandering is not democratic.	Kathleen Lalla	2021-10-11 16:05:15+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike		Holger Elischberger	2021-10-11 16:02:44+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I have lived in Troy over 20 years and want Troy to stay in Oakland County along with Rochester and Rochester Hills. These cities have common social and economic objectives with Oakland County than Macomb County. I really didn't see a good reason to move those cities to Macomb County.	Yili	2021-10-11 16:01:35+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	Please keep Ottawa County as one district. It does not make sense to divide one lakeshore county multiple ways. Thank you.	Heidi Pronk	2021-10-11 15:58:43+00

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	<p>Belleville/Van Buren/Willow Run have to go somewhere, I guess, but putting them in a district with Milan makes little sense. Milan is a rural community while the other three communities are suburban in nature. Further, Milan, to the extent it feeds anywhere, feeds north to Ann Arbor while Belleville/Van Buren split about evenly between Detroit &amp; suburbs and Ann Arbor, leaning towards Detroit/Dearborn/Romulus/Canton. Those economic ties are fundamentally different than those of the Milan/York area with which they are lumped</p> <p>For shame. As a commission to benefit the people of Michigan where is your sense of fairness in Detroit to achieve appropriate representation?</p>	Dominic Coletti	2021-10-11 15:58:06+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike		Elaine Pitt	2021-10-11 15:57:26+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Well drawn district. Warren is included with Sterling Heights and kept in Macomb County.	Michael Chehab	2021-10-11 15:56:35+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Like	Well drawn district! We need to keep these cities together.	Michael Chehab	2021-10-11 15:55:01+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Keep Troy in Oakland County! I was Troy resident. Well drawn district! Warren and Sterling Heights need to be kept together to give the Arabic and Chaldean communities adequate representation. The map as before was heavily gerrymandered. This is much more fair and gives underrepresented folks a	Sherry Fu	2021-10-11 15:53:58+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Like	voice!	Michael Chehab	2021-10-11 15:53:33+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Dislike Separating Ypsi from A2 divorces 2 communities with separate interests that are often lumped together due to	Martha Valen	2021-10-11 15:52:50+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Like	political party ties.	Dominic Coletti	2021-10-11 15:50:17+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Warren and Sterling Heights should be in the same Senate District. They are strongly tied together. I worked with members of the Arabic and Chaldean communities here as a Social Worker. This is one of the largest, and most poorly represented groups in the state. The population centers are through Warren and Sterling Heights, and these groups need to be able to have their interests represented.	Michael Chehab	2021-10-11 15:50:17+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy needs to stay in Oakland county. We have nothing in common with Macomb county.	Cynthia Merritt	2021-10-11 15:49:51+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Please keep Troy, Rochester and Rochester Hills a part of Oakland County. I live in Birmingham on the border of Troy, just one street over and it changes to Troy. Troy is nothing like Macomb County.	Michele Conner	2021-10-11 15:49:28+00

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Like	<p>My preference goes with this (proposed State House map) for Bengali community; because it covers entire Banglatown, where most Bengali people lives in. So, please try to finalize it like this.</p>	Md. Asif Hasan	2021-10-11 15:49:26+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	<p>Also chiming in on Chelsea. It is far more tied to Ann Arbor than it is to Jackson. If you want to carve something out, Manchester would be better, though still not great, for keeping tied communities together</p>	Dominic Coletti	2021-10-11 15:48:54+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>we want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair.</p>	Weihan Cao	2021-10-11 15:48:23+00

10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike Troy, at least in terms of east/west should not be lumped together with macomb. west of rochesterroad(where i live) is heavily invested in the Birmingham, Rochester, royal oak, clawson neighborhoods. We participate in arts beats and ets, neighborhood parks, we walk to burmingham, NOT macomb. Some children in troy even go to birmingham schools(again NOT macomb) Christopher Bluhm 2021-10-11 15:47:46+00

10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike Troy, at least in terms of east/west should not be lumped together with macomb. west of rochesterroad(where i live) is heavily invested in the Birmingham, Rochester, royal oak, clawson neighborhoods. We participate in arts beats and ets, neighborhood parks, we walk to burmingham, NOT macomb. Some children in troy even go to birmingham schools(again NOT macomb) Christopher Bluhm 2021-10-11 15:47:45+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>We want Troy to stay with similar communities in Oakland County. We vote for Oakland county seats and our services are connected. Being districted almost entirely with Macomb County cities does not best represent our needs!</p>	Kristen Rondeau	2021-10-11 15:46:50+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Troy DOES NOT BELONG with Macomb County!  We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair!</p>	Allexa P	2021-10-11 15:46:40+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>It would be much better to include Mount Clemens and Clinton Township with Roseville, Frasier, and St. Claire Shores. The way it's drawn now splits up economic sections. The map should not be partisan, but it is the way it's drawn now.</p>	Michael Chehab	2021-10-11 15:46:20+00

10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>Ann Arbor does not belong with the Downriver communities here. It hasn't for years. Dingell Dems and A2 progressives have different interests. Adding Downriver to other Metro Detroit districts and expanding 7 north into Livingston and NE into Oakland to capture A2 commuters makes sense. Don't divide Novi. It needs to be kept together.</p>	Dominic Coletti	2021-10-11 15:46:19+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>With a strong Asian community, we keep the same interest to work towards the common goal to keep our community safe and strong.</p>	Sharif Hasan	2021-10-11 15:46:17+00

10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	This map (10-10-21 V1 CD RAS) should not be used. First, it does not comply with one of the tenants of the redistricting which is to preserve county contiguity wherever possible. Moving Rochester, Rochester Hills, Troy, Clawson, Berkley, Royal Oak, Huntington Woods, and Ferndale OUT of District 3 (Oakland County) and INTO District 6 (Macomb County) violates that very premise. Commission should not "pack" Washtenaw Country voters into a 80% Democratic district!	Chris	2021-10-11 15:46:06+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Troy and Rochester should stay with Oakland County.	A. G. Ulsoy	2021-10-11 15:44:54+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	we want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities touching Lake St. Clair	Aditi Bagchi	2021-10-11 15:44:11+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		AnaMaria Gould	2021-10-11 15:43:16+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	we want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities touching Lake St. Clair	AnaMaria Gould	2021-10-11 15:41:10+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS	Like	I think the proposed senate district map is fine for the Bengali community. Please keep Novi together. Taking the most heavily AAPI precinct (14) and lumping it into a rural district (with Salem, South Lyon and Worden) seems like a deliberate attempt to quiet the voices of the AAPI community.	Md. Asif Hasan	2021-10-11 15:40:38+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	we want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area.	Aditi Bagchi	2021-10-11 15:38:43+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	we want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area.	Krutika Parikh	2021-10-11 15:36:34+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Midland and Bay City have much in common and combining them into a single district makes sense. This map is fair and will ensure all voices in the district are considered and equitably represented.	Pat Magirl	2021-10-11 15:34:31+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Good to go for Bengali community.	Md. Asif Hasan	2021-10-11 15:31:42+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The point of redistricting was to STOP packing and cracking. Putting most of Ann Arbor and most of Ypsi into one district is the opposite of that. This is not how redistricting should go. Why are you packing voters in Washtenaw county together in a 80% Democrat district?? Not Good!	Judy Stone	2021-10-11 15:30:35+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Under the proposed plan Novi/Northville, which is in Oakland/Wayne County are being combined with a rural part of Washtenaw County. Why aren't we combined with Bloomfield area and rural communities like Milford and Highland put with Salem and Superior township? Please don't split up the AAPI community.	Janet Osborn	2021-10-11 15:29:39+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Opinion		Aditi Bagchi	2021-10-11 15:29:31+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	<p>Please keep our county as one district so that our County can stay unified and united. Our strength as a County of morals, religion and education depends on this.</p> <p>Sincerely Randy &amp; Andrea Miller</p>	Randy C Miller	2021-10-11 15:27:17+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>10-05-21 v1 CD DW and 10-05-21 v1 CD are the best maps for district 6 and 3. This map does not represent Asians, Indians and Middle Easterners voices. This map makes MI a one party state. Let us make fair maps and guarantee balance of power in MI.</p> <p>All Novi needs to stay together under Oakland county. We don't want to be divided because of any political reason.</p>	Yousif	2021-10-11 15:26:21+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>DONT Re-District!!!!!! Period!!!!</p> <p>Please keep Georgetown Township connected to Ottawa County, Holland and the Lakeshore. We have always and should remain 1 community. Thanks</p>	Sharif Hasan	2021-10-11 15:25:56+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like		KENNETH S WOOD	2021-10-11 15:25:33+00

10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	Preferred Map for Banglatown community	Kawsar A Khan	2021-10-11 15:25:13+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	We want Troy to stay with Rochester, Rochester Hills, Bloomfield, and Bloomfield Hills in Oakland County! We believe our values are closely aligned to those cities and feel that we have less in common with Macomb county, which stretches all the way to Lake St. Clair. Troy needs to stay in the same district as other similar cities in Oakland County like Bloomfield, Rochester, and Rochester Hills. Troy has nothing in common with Lake St. Clair, St. Clair Shores	Michael John Leser	2021-10-11 15:24:51+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Partisan Gerrymander	Sonny Levine	2021-10-11 15:23:37+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This map divides Warren and silences the voices of the residents of Macomb's third largest district.	Joshua Martin	2021-10-11 15:21:40+00
10-07-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Very good map. Represent the communities and NOT circle them in 1 district. This way, cultures and minorities can represent themselves	Jeremy Fisher	2021-10-11 15:21:34+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		Tariq Fanek	2021-10-11 15:21:31+00

Commissioner Szatela is doubling down on her D-gerrymander which she proposed the other day. 3,6,and 10 can be re-drawn to create 3 competitive districts. 1 that leans D 1 that leans R and one that is even. Instead this map packs R's into District 10. Please also draft an alternative map with the thumb region drawn into the Tri-cities area. It is possible to do. Give the public an actual alternative than simply drawing the thumb into the Detroit area.

10-10-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Daniel G

2021-10-11 15:20:11+00

Troy does not belong with Macomb County. Troy needs to stay with Rochester, Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County. We are a community of interest and we are deeply connected to all our services in the area. We have nothing in common with communities like Lake St. Clair

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Sonny Levine

2021-10-11 15:20:00+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Please give the residents of south Warren a voice in the legislature. This map does not do that. Merge the portions of south Warren in this map into a single district. That is the only fair way to draw Warren	Jeremy Fisher	2021-10-11 15:17:40+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Very good map. Represent the communities and NOT circle them in 1 district. This way, cultures and minorities can represent themselves.	Tariq Fanek	2021-10-11 15:17:22+00

I think this is exactly the right move. Our district should be a representation of the people that live in the district. I hold no ill will toward rural residents, but think that they should have a representative that represents their interests and those of us in the cities should have a representative that represents our interests. Representation should be based on the people, not the land area. It is easy to argue that Midland and Bay should be kept within arbitrary lines drawn based on geography, but in reality the two cities have much more in common than the cities with the rural areas in the same county.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Thank you.

Jesse Dockett

2021-10-11 15:17:19+00

This is an intentional act to dilute democratic voters in the state of Michigan. This area is extremely populous compared to the state and it makes 0 logical sense for it to be one district.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

J'Taime Lyons

2021-10-11 15:15:52+00

Please don't crack the vote of south Warren. South Warren has long had a difficult time gaining representation. Only one of 7 city council members are from south Warren due to gerrymandering in the council districts. The state house maps have made getting representation here difficult as well. You have a real chance of giving south Warren a voice in Lansing by merging the southern portion of Warren into one house district with Center Line.

Merging Detroit portion of these two districts would benefit Detroit as well. Do the right thing. Give Warren proper representation!!!

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Jeremy Fisher

2021-10-11 15:15:27+00

Again, this map is drawn to give partisan advantage to Democrats in the metro-Detroit area. Macomb County is large enough to be its own congressional district. Dividing South Lyon from Oakland County is done specifically to dilute Republican votes in Oakland County...and District 10 is being drawn as a Republican vote sink. How about drawing a map with 3 competitive districts in 3, 6, and 10...one that leans R, one that leans D, and one that is even. Also, if the commission is serious about giving the public alternatives, why not propose an alternative map that draws the thumb into a district that is centered around Bay City or Flint. Why does the thumb have to be drawn down into the Metro Detroit? I know why....because you are trying to benefit the Democrats the I am interested to see how this will all work out.

10-11-21 Eid v5 CD

Opinion

Daniel Grams

2021-10-11 15:14:48+00

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Dory Goldberger

2021-10-11 15:13:27+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike Ypsilanti and Ann Arbor communities are very different and diverse with many cultures and backgrounds. They need to have separate representation Tariq Fanek 2021-10-11 15:12:54+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike I agree with Laura. I do think there is a way for Dexter and Chelsea to be combined with Jackson and cut around the southern portion of this Dexter-Chelsea-Jackson district. If to make it more politically-balanced you need to include some of the areas of north of Dexter, Chelsea, and Ann Arbor, that's reasonable. But Jackson does not need to be swallowed in "Red" yet again!!! Ryan Flaherty 2021-10-11 15:11:24+00

I do like District 25. It keeps sections of Warren and Sterling Heights together that are part of Warren Conn. I wish you did the same with District 28. That Shelby Township portion doesn't fit with Sterling Heights. The northern portion of western Warren is part of Warren Conn along with the southern portion of western Sterling Heights. Warren and Sterling Heights are sister cities and deserve common representation.

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Like

Jeremy Fisher

2021-10-11 15:11:10+00

Proposed district 7 needs to be split. It isn't fair from a partisan perspective to pack so many Democrat voters into one district. Need to split Ann Arbor at a minimum.

10-10-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Janice M Sovak

2021-10-11 15:10:17+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>Keep Troy in Oakland County. Macomb County's interests don't align with ours. We have much less in common with Macomb County than we do with the cities within Oakland. Please, please, do not lump us together. We need to stay where we are, with people of like minds and attitudes.</p> <p>The southern section of Warren does not deserve to be chopped up like this. As Michigan's third largest city, we are being treated as the spoils that go to the victor. Divided among Detroit and others, our voices are being silenced. This is wrong. Please merge the Detroit seats. Then merge the two south Warren sections. Give south Warren the voice in Lansing it has never had.</p>	Lynda Baatz	2021-10-11 15:09:56+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	<p>Ypsi and Ann Arbor are very different voters; they need to have separate representation.</p>	Jeremy Fisher	2021-10-11 15:09:04+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike		Ilene Sommers	2021-10-11 15:07:42+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Opinion	The new proposed map for Senate District 27 (SD27) packs the majority of Ann Arbor with a majority of Ypsilanti into one district, diluting the vote of Democrats in a really populous area of the state. Warren = Heart of Macomb Warren = Diversity in Macomb Warren = GM Tech Center Warren = Army Tacom Warren = Macomb's Economic engine	Julie Hassen	2021-10-11 15:07:14+00
		Please do not pack us in with Detroit.		
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	Please keep us with our Macomb neighbors.	Jeremy Fisher	2021-10-11 15:06:26+00

We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield, Birmingham in Oakland County! We are like communities and similar views. I do not want to be part of Macomb County, Macomb schools or their governance within their communities. I don't want my taxes going to macomb when I spend/associate 90% of my time/money in neighboring Oakland communitites/facilities.

Growing up in Rochester and now living in Troy. We really have nothing in common with the communities towards the east touching out to Lake St.

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Clair.	Chad Bengry	2021-10-11 15:06:12+00
9-28-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Troy is in Oakland County and should be associated with cities like Rochester, Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield. We share common interests and goals.	Joseph A Johns	2021-10-11 15:06:03+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Are you serious? You cannot possible claim this to be neutral. No. Be honest and stop the politics.	Kenneth Vance	2021-10-11 15:04:18+00

I know my comments on the many maps are redundant, but trust me when I speak for many in our community. Warren is the heart of the auto industry in Macomb (due to GM Tech Center) an the heart of the defense industry in Macomb (due to Army Tacomb). The Mound Van Dyke Corridor defines our county. We are blue collar, diverse, and bipartisan. As the heart of Macomb, Warren deserves to be in with our Macomb neighbors. Do not pack us in with Detroit simply because we are diverse. Our diversity is a plus for a Macomb seat. Keep Ottawa County together do not break it up. I only approve of Map 201.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Like

Jeremy Fisher

2021-10-11 15:03:32+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Kelly Spence

2021-10-11 15:03:03+00

10-07-2021 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>Warren is Michigan's most overlooked city. Despite being the third largest city in the state, we are treated like an appendage of Detroit. We have our own character, our own culture, our own economy, and our own interests. We deserve to be in a district with our neighboring Macomb county Communities. We are the heart of Macomb.</p>	Jeremy Fisher	2021-10-11 14:59:33+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Opinion	<p>The present district lines have been drawn primarily for the purpose of retaining a majority of one party over the other. These lines are haphazard and cut right thru the middle of neighborhoods, skirting undesirable locations and cross county lines. our 11th district is one of the worst. I believe a good faith effort to simplify the lines while keeping district sizes equal will go a long way toward ensuring more fair elections and ultimate representation.</p>	Cheryl blunden	2021-10-11 14:59:21+00

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Warren belongs in with the rest of Macomb County. We are the heart of Macomb. This breaks up the Mound Van Dyke Corridor and silences the voice of Michigan's third largest city. Please use the other maps as a guide and keep Warren in a Macomb District with Sterling Heights, Eastpointe, Roseville, and Fraser.	Jeremy Fisher	2021-10-11 14:57:22+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	This map still dilutes Asians, Indians and Middle Easterners voices. It also make Michigan a one party states. Royal Oak, Ferndale and Clawson belong to District 3. Please vote NO on this map! This 10-05-21 v1 CD map remains the best one for partisan fairness and takes COI into account better for District 3 and 6.	Yousif	2021-10-11 14:53:47+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	It makes sense to separate Ann Arbor and Ypsi, which are quite different locations. The commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Democratic district.	William Price	2021-10-11 14:53:10+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike		Loraine Cranor	2021-10-11 14:53:09+00

10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Like	This is great as it keeps Warren with the rest of Macomb. Warren is the heart of Macomb. We are its largest city, the third largest in the state. We are also the heart of Macomb's auto industry and its defense industry. Please use this as a template for Macomb.	Jeremy Fisher	2021-10-11 14:53:07+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Opinion	I don't feel the Troy and Rochester Hills should be grouped into Macomb County. How is this different from past practice of gerrymandering, by concentrating all the Democratic voters in the county together? Moreover, Ypsilanti has much more poverty and gets overshadowed by Ann Arbor's wealth - they need different representation	Paula Bratto	2021-10-11 14:53:06+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	worst that could be drawn	Lesli Hoey	2021-10-11 14:51:07+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Keep Troy where it belongs, in Oakland County! I am not clear on this proposal and don't believe the decision accurately represents the voices of Troy residents....	Joe Zurawski	2021-10-11 14:50:44+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Sveta Desai	2021-10-11 14:50:16+00

10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>Please keep Warren in with the rest of Macomb County. This map should be the template:  <a href="https://michigan.mydistricting.com/legdistricting/comments/plan/218/23">https://michigan.mydistricting.com/legdistricting/comments/plan/218/23</a>  It keeps Warren with Macomb, keeps the sister cities of Warren and Sterling Heights together, and makes sure that Macomb is properly represented.</p>	Jeremy Fisher	2021-10-11 14:50:00+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>Ypsi and Ann Arbor are very different; they shouldn't be lumped together.</p>	William Price	2021-10-11 14:49:55+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Troy School District needs to stay in Oakland county with rochester and bloomfield. I moved to this Neighborhood for the excellent schools, There is no need to redistrict into Macomb County as the school district has been in Oakland County for years and years. Strongly OPPOSED to this redistricting.</p>	Nicholas Yerkes	2021-10-11 14:49:23+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>I want Troy to stay with Rochester, Rochester Hills and Bloomfield in Oakland County</p>	Marjorie Gearty	2021-10-11 14:48:49+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities touching Lake St. Clair. We have different needs and different values.	Nicole Bengry	2021-10-11 14:48:43+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Fair maps should not pack voters from one party in a district. Instead, the map should create possibility for a qualified candidate from any party to win.	Mary Patek	2021-10-11 14:47:35+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	This map is pretty fairly drawn. It gives better representation to the people who live in this district.	Karen Zera	2021-10-11 14:47:28+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	This map is pretty fairly drawn. It gives better representation to the people who live in this district.	Karen Zera	2021-10-11 14:47:27+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>This is not what the new districts are supposed to look like. It appears you have packed SD27 with 80% Democrat representation. There is very little chance that the other party could win a seat. Please reject this map.</p>	Karen Zera	2021-10-11 14:44:11+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS	Like	<p>Thank you for continuing to keep the Tri-Cities together in senate district! This district is close to zero political bias and will allow the people to elect a senator that works for them and not just their party or extreme base. When a legislator has to work for their vote, they will have to keep working for it in Lansing! Ypsilanti and Ann Arbor are very different communities that need their own representation. This map is contrary to the whole idea of redistricting that Michigan citizens want and voted for.</p>	Jennifer Austin	2021-10-11 14:43:00+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike		Kerri Pepperman	2021-10-11 14:42:55+00

		We want Troy to remain in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We purchased our home specifically in Oakland County and paid a premium over similar houses in Macomb County. We strongly oppose		
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	this potential change.	Nicole Bengry	2021-10-11 14:42:47+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	Looks good to me.	Erin Taylor	2021-10-11 14:42:25+00
		This is a preferred map, more fairly representing the		
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	electorate.	Laurie D Krauth	2021-10-11 14:42:23+00

Geographically this house seat for Midland makes sense, but if the overall map isn't achieving zero political bias I say go to the one with Midland and Bay City in a house district. I know it looks weird, but political fairness is far more important than how the map looks. We have lived under minority rule for 3 decades because the GOP gerrymandered the map so they could stay in power. There are more Democrats and Independents in this state and we should have a fair map that allows us to elect reps that work for our vote. Keep working until the partisan fairness matches the electorate of the state.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Opinion

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-11 14:40:33+00

Geographically this house seat for Midland makes sense, but if the overall map isn't achieving zero political bias I say go to the one with Midland and Bay City in a house district. I know it looks weird, but political fairness is far more important than how the map looks. We have lived under minority rule for 3 decades because the GOP gerrymandered the map so they could stay in power. There are more Democrats and Independents in this state and we should have a fair map that allows us to elect reps that work for our vote. Keep working until the partisan fairness matches the electorate of the state.

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Opinion

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-11 14:39:33+00

Good to see Ann Arbor connected to surrounding towns and townships with Ypsi having its own representation.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Jan Ulrich

2021-10-11 14:37:09+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	I am a Ferndale resident. My comment relates to the overall tilt in favor of the Republican party in these maps. Our state needs and deserves a balanced, unbiased map for our congressional districts as well as our local reps and senate districts.	Kate Baker	2021-10-11 14:36:01+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Like	Proposed districts 66, 67, 68, 69 appropriately split Ann Arbor (in addition to separating Ypsilanti) so the heavily Dem population is spread out into multiple districts. Thank you. I like this map a lot! It puts Tuscola with the Thumb as it should be and puts more of Midland County in the Tri-Cities+Flint district! Thank you! This is excellent. keep up the good work and put partisan fairness at the forefront of the discussion. We need fair maps for Michigan and personal interest has to take a back seat.	Janice M Sovak	2021-10-11 14:35:56+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Like		Jennifer Austin	2021-10-11 14:34:55+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>The purpose of your commission is to create districts where elections are competitive, resulting in a legislature that represents the people, not create districts that are 80% one party-- making it impossible for the other party to win. Please reject this map.</p> <p>Please keep Georgetown Township as part of Ottawa County. This district should stay the way it is! Please keep map 201 as it is. Thank you!</p>	Laurie D Krauth	2021-10-11 14:32:47+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion		Lyndsey Coffey	2021-10-11 14:32:08+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>Map 201 makes sense to me. Don't split up Ottawa County.</p>	Louis E. Lotz	2021-10-11 14:32:01+00

Thank you for placing the Tri-Cities (Midland, Bay City, Saginaw) and Flint in a Congressional district as they should be. The commission has consistently been doing this of late and I greatly appreciate it. May I suggest that you place Tuscola County with the Thumb. They are a more rural agrarian county and their schools play the Thumb schools as well in sports. I lived in the Thumb for 11 years and I always thought of them as part of the Thumb. This would allow you to leave more of Oakland and Macomb County out of this the Thumb district and put some of Midland County into the Tri-Cities/Flint district. Areas around the city of Midland like Larkin, Mills, and Homer are more closely aligned with the city than they are with the rural parts of the county. Thanks for all the hard work you've been

10-10-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-11 14:31:00+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	I'd like to see a district that is more evenly balanced between the parties, to INCREASE the likelihood of shared middle-ground conversation and legislation. To that end, you could split Ann Arbor down the middle and include some of the red/R townships to the west, then give the other (right/east) half of Ann Arbor to Ypsilanti and other red/R townships to the east, north, and south.	Mikhail Zolikoff	2021-10-11 14:30:54+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS	Dislike	Proposed district 27 is not fair from a partisan perspective. You need to split Ann Arbor - in addition to separating it from Ypsilanti - in order to spread heavily Dem population into multiple districts.	Janice M Sovak	2021-10-11 14:29:31+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This proposal would concentrate too many Washtenaw voters into one already highly democratic district-representative of a dangerous trend that needs to be fought locally and nationally.	Wendy Marder	2021-10-11 14:28:47+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	Happy to join Macomb!	Yunming shen	2021-10-11 14:28:15+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I oppose the redistricting. Troy should remain as part of Oakland County districting, as it is not located in Macomb County and has nothing in common with Macomb County. Macomb County representatives do not represent me and my community.	Suzanne Trella-Gillespie	2021-10-11 14:26:38+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy belongs with other Oakland County cities. We have chosen to live in and participate in Oakland County. To lump us in with Macomb County is pure gerrymandering.	Marilyn K. Babich	2021-10-11 14:22:53+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy belongs in Oakland County where itâ€™s been for years. keep it there	David Parton	2021-10-11 14:22:42+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This is a packed district â€” it needs to be split. The whole point of redistricting was to further fairness and avoid gerrymandering.	Lisa Marshall Bashert	2021-10-11 14:21:23+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I like this map a lot : )	Chris Savage	2021-10-11 14:20:23+00

While I disagreed with Jennifer F on Manchester, I largely do agree with her on Chelsea. In my experience Chelsea residents are far more likely to interact with Ann Arbor than Jackson.

That being said, I believe it is often unwise to split up cities, except for when it is absolutely necessary. It often means the surrounding, more rural townships are grouped with a larger population center that does not understand their challenges

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Opinion	and priorities. We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland	Alexander McKay	2021-10-11 14:16:10+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	County Troy should stay as part of	Karen North	2021-10-11 14:15:33+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Oakland County	Nancy Jones	2021-10-11 14:14:31+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy is part of Oakland County and needs to stay that way. We are not connected to Macomb County and our interests are more like Rochester and Rochester Hills.	Barbara Fowler	2021-10-11 14:14:01+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy needs to stay in Oakland County where itâ€™s been for years.	David Parton	2021-10-11 14:10:13+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	It is important to keep Ottawa County together in one district. Our most effective representation in Congress will be with one person who works for our whole county and understands the way township and county policies relate to national ones. Map 201 will do that.	Mary Jean Lotz	2021-10-11 14:10:07+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	No, no, no...this is a terrible map. It continues the practice of gerrymandering by packing all the Democratic voters in the county together. Please fulfill your mission and end gerrymandering.	Ellen Frank	2021-10-11 14:09:48+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	How badly this is! We really want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. Why did you make this kind of bad proposal to change it to Macomb?	Xin Ouyang	2021-10-11 14:09:14+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti vary drastically in terms of demographics (race, socio-economic status), and should be split apart. Please reconfigure proposed district 27 to break apart Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti.	Carrie A Rheingans	2021-10-11 14:08:56+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	This is a much more even distribution of voters than the one I previously commented on.	Larry Bright	2021-10-11 14:08:45+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Oppose the redistricting change. Troy needs to remain in Oakland County where community interests with Birmingham and West Bloomfield are more parallel.	William Hudak	2021-10-11 14:08:18+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I like how the MI House map has unpacked the more densely populated City of Ann Arbor to allow our larger population to have a larger number of representatives. As the 5th largest city in the state, it seems fair for us to have more representation.	Carrie A Rheingans	2021-10-11 14:05:47+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy belongs in Oakland County, we have nothing in common with Macomb County. Their representatives do not represent me or our community.	Linda Almeranti	2021-10-11 14:05:06+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Like	Thank you for creating a map that encompasses the essence of this community, we are growing and thriving -- we are not the rural focused community we were in the past. We have tourism, beach-front activities, and many pressing issues on the shoreline that need to be addressed. This district will help consolidate our focus on the issues of the coastline and create a competitive area where we can hold our elected officials accountable.	Chokwe Pitchford	2021-10-11 14:04:22+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I am in favor of this map because Bay City and Midland are two similar urban cities. They have more in common with each other than the smaller cities closer to both. Keep District 53 like this.	Lynda Magirl	2021-10-11 14:03:21+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This map packs this district with too high a concentration of Democratic voters, which, in turn, dilutes the effectiveness of the voters.	Larry Bright	2021-10-11 14:03:18+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This is an excellent map. The people of the City of Midland and Bay City already consider themselves a community of interest. We share an arts community and an economic base. People who live in each city commute to work in the other city. This gives fair representation to both Bay City and Midland and creates a competitive and fair district. These are 2 urban areas who share so many interests and are part of a community of interest. Thank you for this map! .	Sarah Schulz	2021-10-11 14:01:33+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Thank you for unpacking Washtenaw county. Ypsi deserves to have a voice distinct from Ann Arbor.	Joe Lalonde	2021-10-11 14:00:59+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>I live in Ypsilanti and don't want my vote to be lumped into Ann Arbor's. Ypsi is distinct from Ann Arbor in place and people and deserves to be unpacked. Wasn't the point of remapping to eliminate any partisan bias? 6% partisan bias is still too much. I support the AFL-CIO maps for partisan fairness.</p>	Joe Lalonde	2021-10-11 13:59:36+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Under the proposed plan Novi, which is in Oakland County, is being combined with communities in Wayne County and what I think is a rural part of Washtenaw County where the AAPI community in this area have no mutual communities of interest.</p>	Atasi Bagchi	2021-10-11 13:59:05+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Troy needs to stay with Oakland county. It is more closely aligned with Birmingham and Bloomfield Hills.</p>	Rakesh Shah	2021-10-11 13:58:54+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Strongly oppose this redistricting change. Troy belongs with Oakland County- Rochester, Birmingham, Bloomfield Hills.</p>	DIANE JEAN RUDZEWICZ	2021-10-11 13:58:31+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>Keep Troy, where it belongs.....with Rochester, Rochester Hills and Bloomfield in Oakland county. Charles Marchetti</p> <p>This is a terrible map that has no partisan balance. The whole purpose of your commission is to create districts where elections are competitive resulting in a legislature that represents the people not create districts that are 80% one party-- making it impossible for the other party to win. This is unacceptable.</p>	Gay MacGregor	2021-10-11 13:58:14+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>We most strongly want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb County reaching as far as Lake St. Clair.</p>	YING ZHANG	2021-10-11 13:58:05+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>We most strongly want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb County reaching as far as Lake St. Clair.</p>	YING ZHANG	2021-10-11 13:58:04+00

		A quick counterpoint to Jennifer F's comment: looking at the census data (and assuming I'm doing it right - I'm not an expert) for the 48158 area code, commuters to "any principle city" make up less than a quarter of the workforce. Given I did the area code instead of Manchester, but I would argue that the greater Manchester area is at most loosely tied to Ann Arbor and it's challenges and concerns often very different.	Alexander McKay	2021-10-11 13:56:56+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Opinion	Commissioners must apply partisan fairness standards	Prashanth Balusu	2021-10-11 13:56:44+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Commissioners must apply partisan fairness standards	Prashanth Balusu	2021-10-11 13:55:52+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	All of Novi should be kept together. Separating current Pct 14 from the rest of the city segregates the AAPI population in that area from other communities with similar interests.	Atasi Bagchi	2021-10-11 13:55:52+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This is an awful proposal, gerrymandering us further into an uneven and unfairly represented state.	Susan Westhoff	2021-10-11 13:55:51+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike			

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This is awful! Clearly a partisan stacking of Dems in a single district.	Clayton Lewis	2021-10-11 13:55:50+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I am strongly opposed to having Troy be moved into Macomb County. We choose to live in Troy because it is a part of Oakland County. Troy is closely connected with Rochester/Rochester Hills/Birmingham and Bloomfield and shares many similarities with these communities. We spend our time in these communities and and share the same values with these communities. Troy does not belong in Macomb County. Commissioners must apply	Marybeth Zoller	2021-10-11 13:55:03+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	partisan fairness standards	Prashanth Balusu	2021-10-11 13:54:56+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair. Thank you!</p> <p>All of Novi should be kept together. Separating current Pct 14 from the rest of the city segregates the AAPI population in that area from other communities with similar interests.</p>	Yumin Sheng	2021-10-11 13:54:55+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Atasi Bagchi	2021-10-11 13:54:04+00

The Lyndon Township carve out for District 5 is absurd.

Lyndon Township is a single entity, it has extensive political and economic ties to Washtenaw County and few to the North or West, it is part of the Southeast Michigan recreation system with its parks and trails, it is specialized in such rural recreational activities, supported in large part by Washtenaw County, and it has a population that commutes daily mainly to the south, not north. Lyndon township is a part of both the Washtenaw county recreation system, and the commuter based economic system. Please keep at least the township lines intact, if not the county lines. Do not detach a small part of a township from a greater economic and political community and attach it to a

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	District with which it has few	Robert H mester	2021-10-11 13:53:19+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This one is a good unpack.	Robert D Ahronheim	2021-10-11 13:52:50+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Much too packed.	Anne Adams	2021-10-11 13:51:55+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Like	We request the maps to be fair.	Prashanth Balusu	2021-10-11 13:51:48+00

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS	Like	<p>This is very well done!  County boundaries are respected, the communities here have common interests. Please try to extend this same logic across all other districts on the map.</p>	Robert Wolfer	2021-10-11 13:50:32+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>Don't split Berrien County - no reason too. Keep it with Cass and Van Buren Counties. These three counties border Indiana and have tourists, vacationers and second home owners from Chicago area.</p>	Randy Palmiter	2021-10-11 13:50:13+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>This area is MICHIANA!! This is a reasonable and unpacked map that western Washtenaw could live with.</p>	Anne Adams	2021-10-11 13:49:27+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS	Opinion	<p>This district is a vast improvement from previous drafts, but still is needlessly "snakes" through Allegan County to get to Kent. Not only does this district no respect existing county boundaries, it envelopes communities that have little or no common interest. Berrien County should be left wholly in one district, which would solve much of this problem.</p>	Robert Wolfer	2021-10-11 13:48:50+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	This is the only map that maps sense. Keeping Georgetown township together with Ottawa County and the lakeshore is a must! Please do not put us with Kent County. I started my family and business here in Ottawa County as I grew up in Kent.	Eric Hartman	2021-10-11 13:48:43+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	It makes no sense to lump Troy with Macomb County. We should remain with Oakland County-Rochester, Birmingham, Bloomfield Hills.	nancy Jones geik	2021-10-11 13:48:26+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	It makes no sense to lump Troy with Macomb County. We should remain with Oakland County-Rochester, Birmingham, Bloomfield Hills.	nancy Jones geik	2021-10-11 13:48:26+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	No thanks! Try this: <a href="https://davesredistricting.org/join/26901765-7806-42a3-b87c-e23285970955">https://davesredistricting.org/join/26901765-7806-42a3-b87c-e23285970955</a>	Jack Bengtsson	2021-10-11 13:47:36+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS	Like	Preferred map for Bangla community . Thank you. It is my preference to remain with Oakland County. PLEASE DO NOT redistrict Troy to	Kawsar A Khan	2021-10-11 13:46:27+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	Macomb County.	Christine White	2021-10-11 13:45:55+00

10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Like	<p>This appears to be a slightly tweaked duplicate of map #188. The comments I made on 188 still stand. This map is acceptable with some minor adjustments. However, you might consider another option, one that is simpler, yet fair.</p> <p><a href="https://davesredistricting.org/join/26901765-7806-42a3-b87c-e23285970955">https://davesredistricting.org/join/26901765-7806-42a3-b87c-e23285970955</a></p>	Jack Bengtsson	2021-10-11 13:45:54+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Troy belongs in Oakland County, we have no affiliation with Macomb County which stretches all the way to Lake St. Clair</p> <p>Kalamazoo County has enough population to be within the 10-percent deviation threshold of the ideal population for a Senate District. The district and the county should therefore be</p>	David Christie	2021-10-11 13:45:39+00
10-10-21 v6 SD RAS	Dislike	<p>one in the same.</p>	Robert Wolfer	2021-10-11 13:44:58+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>The Commission seems to have understood the importance of unpacking Ann Arbor and separating it from Ypsilanti in the latest HD maps, but still seems intent on keeping them together in the SD maps. Please look at this issue again. It is important not to waste people's votes by packing a district so heavily Democratic-leaning. Republicans who live there will never have the hope of electing someone who represents them in that district, and too many Democratic votes in one district means many will be superfluous.</p> <p>Rochester Hills, Troy, and Rochester need to stay with Oakland County. These areas are distinct from Macomb County. Take Macomb County north if you need to add population to that district.</p>	Jennifer F	2021-10-11 13:44:46+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Much, much better. Thank you</p>	Robert E Lauer	2021-10-11 13:44:44+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		Lisa K	2021-10-11 13:44:34+00

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	The 10-percent discrepancy threshold allows this district to simply consist of Branch and Hillsdale counties. This extra bite out of Lenawee is unnecessary and only complicates matters.	Robert Wolfer	2021-10-11 13:41:44+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Please keep Ottawa county just as it is . Please keep Georgetown Township connected to Ottawa county, holland and the Lakeshore.We enjoy Ottawa County values Just as they are.	JEFF KING	2021-10-11 13:41:11+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I like this map and preferred by Bangla Community in Hamtramck area. It keeps Bangla community in interest in mind and our voice will have some value. Thank you.	Kawsar A Khan	2021-10-11 13:41:03+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	House Districts encompass approximately 91,000 people and can be within a 10-percent margin. Given these guide rails, there should NEVER be a reason that any district in southern Michigan stretches into four separate counties!	Robert Wolfer	2021-10-11 13:40:41+00

Macomb County is one of the easiest counties to map for the senate. We know that you are trying hard, but you are over thinking this.

Sterling Heights and Warren are sister cities that deserve to be represented by a single Senator. The Mound/Van Dyke Corridor is an important economic hub for both cities. They share similar demographics, economies, and school districts.

Similarly, Eastpointe, Fraser, Roseville, Saint Clair Shores, Clinton Township, and Mt. Clemens should be in one senate district. They have a ton in common. They are all diverse communities who have shared representation in the past. These communities share the Groesbeck/Gratiot corridor that dictates their economic development.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

These communities also share Jeremy Fisher

2021-10-11 13:40:14+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	We like map 201 that keeps Ottawa county together including Georgetown Township. We would like to keep Ottawa County together and not split up in any way. Ottawa County has a variety of demographics and I think that is why our county works so well together. So ask that you keep Ottawa County together in the redistricting	Elizabeth Besteman	2021-10-11 13:40:04+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	Lapeer County has enough population where it can wholly be encompassed by one House District. Here, it has been split into three separate districts. This is incredibly unnecessary.	Robert Wolfer	2021-10-11 13:38:19+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Like	I like this Map keeping Banglatown which is Bengali /asian community of interest under one block. splitting one common cummnity does not help them to heard their voices. Please keep this map.	Kawsar A Khan	2021-10-11 13:36:43+00

I see so many problems with this map, it will just save me more time to write my general comment here. 1.) If there are so many counties that are not solely located in one Congressional District, you probably have not done this right.

2.) District 8, is an abomination as it groups together people from very distinct communities that have different interests. Someone in New Buffalo is not going to have the same day-to-day concerns as someone from Hillsdale, and neither will have similar interests to someone from Monroe. How is anyone elected member of Congress supposed to fairly represent all the interests of people in these communities?

3.) Great care is also being taken to cram as many This is definitely an improved map. Thank you!

10-11-21 Eid v5 CD Dislike

Robert Wolfer

2021-10-11 13:35:27+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Zona Scheiner

2021-10-11 13:32:09+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	We need to stay connected with Rochester, Rochester Hills, and West Bloomfield and being in Oakland County not any other County, thanks	Amal William	2021-10-11 13:31:49+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Please keep Troy with Oakland County. Troy belongs with Macomb Country and we pay taxes to Oakland County. Please do NOT redistrict us with Macomb County.	John W Loose	2021-10-11 13:30:49+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	While I think you are on the right path for unpacking Ann Arbor so as not to waste votes in one district there, the Chelsea area should not be carved out of Washtenaw County. Most of the economic activity from Chelsea residents is into the Ann Arbor area - work, shopping, recreation, health care, etc. Their interests would best be served by a representative who understands that.	Jennifer F	2021-10-11 13:30:14+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in an 80% Dem district.	Linda Ballard	2021-10-11 13:29:21+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This looks more fair.	Carol Westfall	2021-10-11 13:28:01+00

10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	Flint and the Tri-cities areas are two, very distinct, population centers in our state, with different challenges. Grouping them together like this risks weakening all of their voices.	Robert Bernard Wolfer	2021-10-11 13:27:54+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Too much packing not pack voters together in a heavy dem area.	Lisa	2021-10-11 13:26:47+00
		While I prefer a Macomb only District, I understand this decision. Rochester and Shelby are fairly similar in both demographics and economics.		
		We appreciate the work you are doing. It is hard and there are a lot of critics. However, I am really pleased with this effort. I think this map should be adopted without		
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	significant changes.	Jeremy Fisher	2021-10-11 13:26:43+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Looks like a fair map.	Carol Westfall	2021-10-11 13:26:29+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike	I still don't understand the justification for this district.	Robert Bernard Wolfer	2021-10-11 13:26:07+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>You have created a perfect gerrymandered map where a district is created that is not at all competitive in an area where competition is expected and reasonable. As you do your maps, a partisan balance is appropriate. Unacceptable to have a district of 80% democrats when not intrinsically necessary. The goal is to have our legislative bodies reflect our population .</p>	Zona G Scheiner	2021-10-11 13:25:50+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>The commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in an 80% Dem district.</p>	Maria Gilson	2021-10-11 13:25:36+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>Looks good -- definitely an improvement!</p>	Carol Westfall	2021-10-11 13:23:04+00

this is better than prior maps but still gives an unfair advantage to republicans. Democratic votes are consistently approx. 52% in this state and yet this map does not reflect even 50/50 chance for either party to win the majority of seats as required by the constitution. Ann Arbor remains packed with democratic votes and would be an easy fix. I appreciate your hard work and realize fully how hard it is to present relatively fair maps. Please consider looking at the AFL/CIO Fair Maps for inspiration. They were developed by groups of R's, D' and Independent's and do a good job of achieving a very hard task. Please also extend public comment. 1 minute is not long enough for something that will change our lives for 10 years. Thanks for your commitment to restoring faith in the way our Please keep Troy with Oakland County and do not redistrict us with Macomb County.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Judith Maiga

2021-10-11 13:23:02+00

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

John Cantlon

2021-10-11 13:22:35+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	A redistricting plan that confers upon and preserves majority power for a minority party is anti-democratic. I disagree with Alexander McKay. Many, many of us in the Manchester area commute to Ann Arbor for work, shopping, health care, recreation, etc. Not all of us have easy access to I-94, so use other roads to get there, such as Pleasant Lake Rd, Scio	Doug Baker	2021-10-11 13:22:06+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Opinion	Church Rd, Austin Rd.	Jennifer F	2021-10-11 13:21:59+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Opinion	I think this is great overall, but perhaps it would be beneficial to place the Washtenaw Townships around Manchester (or the area of the Manchester School District) with the 8th district while carving out the area south of Milan that is within Milan schools to be in the 7th.	Alexander McKay	2021-10-11 13:20:44+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This is excellent map. Midland and Bay City share many commonalities, and their metropolitan aspects make for many shared future interests.	Jayne Johnson	2021-10-11 13:20:18+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This is not a competitive district and doesn't make a reasonable effort to respect county boundaries.	Jessica Stock	2021-10-11 13:19:27+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map is good. I'm glad to see that you have put Ypsi City and Ypsi Township together and separate from Ann Arbor.	Janet Goldwasser	2021-10-11 13:18:03+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map is a definite improvement over previous drafts!	Janet Goldwasser	2021-10-11 13:17:32+00

Districts 10 and 12 don't make a lot of sense. I really appreciate the effort to make as many VRA districts as possible. However, South Warren deserves its own representation. It shouldn't be packed in with Detroit simply because it has a large minority community. The desires of the minority community in south Warren are not the same as the minority community in Detroit.

While I do not believe that packing was the intent of these maps, I do believe that this is the outcome. The Detroit portions of these districts should be combined. Then the Warren portion of these districts should be combined. This would allow these two communities to have strong voices in Lansing.

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	As drawn, there is a	Jeremy Fisher	2021-10-11 13:17:24+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This looks more fair.	Sue Jacob	2021-10-11 13:16:57+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This looks like a fair map	Barbara Smuts	2021-10-11 13:14:55+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This seems like a good map	Barbara Smuts	2021-10-11 13:14:17+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy should definitely stay in Oakland County and not be redistricted to Macomb county. We pay Oakland county taxes and need to be represented by Oakland county representatives who hear and voice our concerns. Keep Troy in Oakland County!	Sita Nath	2021-10-11 13:13:19+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	For district 66, I think it's hard to justify grouping rural townships and small towns like Manchester or Brooklyn in with Ann Arbor. They share relatively little in common, especially since this seems to carve away from I-94, meaning a lot of the people in this district do no commute to work, shop, worship, or recreate within it.	Alexander McKay	2021-10-11 13:11:54+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This is a good one! Unpacked and fair!	Sue Jacob	2021-10-11 13:11:41+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	stop this gerrymandering.	Jessie	2021-10-11 13:10:57+00

District 28 is not terrible. However, I do not feel like Sterling Heights has much in common with Shelby Township. I feel like taking part of District 10 and giving it to 28 would make more sense than going into Shelby. Between the auto industry, the defense industry, minority communities, and general demographics, Warren and Sterling Heights have a lot in common. We also share Warren Consolidated Schools. Sterling Heights Voters in District 28 simply do not have a connection with that portion of Shelby Township. Troy should not be in a Macomb County District. It needs to stay in Oakland County.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Jeremy Fisher

2021-10-11 13:10:29+00

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Kathleen Molesa

2021-10-11 13:10:21+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>This map for SD27 does not solve the problem of gerrymandering: it does not create a competitive district in an area where it could reasonably do so. Instead, you have created a heavily Democratic district. The Commission must consider partisan balance when you create districts!</p>	Janet Goldwasser	2021-10-11 13:09:10+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Opinion	<p>I mostly like this map, but I do think that <a href="https://www.michigan-mapping.org/submission/o6057">https://www.michigan-mapping.org/submission/o6057</a> is better. As a resident of Chelsea, I'd like to see at least one other Western Washtenaw township included here.</p>	Heather Hunnell	2021-10-11 13:07:57+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Like	<p>I love the way that District 25 is drawn. It is fair. It keeps Warren and Sterling Heights together. We have a lot in common and deserve common representation. This is a great, non-partisan drawing of the district. I would like to see a few more of the districts drawn in this common sense way.</p>	Jeremy Fisher	2021-10-11 13:07:30+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>I dislike this map because it packs Democrats into the district.</p>	William Burnham	2021-10-11 13:06:00+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	Better map than the alternative	William Burnham	2021-10-11 13:04:38+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This is the worst of gerrymandering - packing mostly democratic voters into one district. Unacceptable	Barbara Smuts	2021-10-11 13:03:55+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This map packs democrats into the district.	William Burnham	2021-10-11 13:02:30+00
10-10-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	When will we see who the representative is for each district? It looks like Iâ€™m in District 5.	Dale Carlson	2021-10-11 13:00:56+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	we want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair.	richard young	2021-10-11 12:59:05+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Hillsdale and Eastern Calhoun were not requested to be in this district and really don't belong in it. This district should either be Western Washtenaw and Jackson as public comments described or a different configuration altogether. If a different configuration is preferred, I like 06326. The double-digit population growth in Chelsea, Dexter, Saline, and Pittsfield Twp are related to proximity to Ann Arbor and there's room for that trend to continue. Let's have a Greater Ann Arbor senator and give Ypsilanti a chance to elect someone from their own community to represent their issues and interests.	Heather Hunnell	2021-10-11 12:57:44+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This seems like a good option. Thank you for unpacking Ann Arbor and Ypsi	Janet E Wright	2021-10-11 12:53:49+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	“ allows more fair representation	Nancy Reed Twiss	2021-10-11 12:53:41+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	As I said on September 28th, in person, at Cadillac Place in Detroit: You are being paid to use your creativity to create balanced and fair districts. This does not fit that description--for many of the reasons others have listed.	Rae Ann Weymouth	2021-10-11 12:52:50+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	I like this map better than the alternative	Marcia Major	2021-10-11 12:51:43+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	All 3 maps currently favor Republicans. Also, Oakland County is a huge economic engine that needs to be together. Why would Detroit and Madison Heights be together? It makes no sense.	Judy Robinson	2021-10-11 12:51:23+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy does not belong lumped in with Macomb County. The reps that come out of MC do not represent me.	Lynne Piraino	2021-10-11 12:51:06+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I like this one - like how Ann Arbor is split. Also how Chelsea is in 70 making it more even.	CHARLES W BILLUPS	2021-10-11 12:51:05+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	This one is a fair distribution of voters.	Cynthia Lempert	2021-10-11 12:50:36+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together into an 80% Democratic district.	Stephanie Gray	2021-10-11 12:50:14+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	I dislike this map because it packs Democrats into the district	Marcia Major	2021-10-11 12:49:20+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	the Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Dem district.	Susan Sefansky	2021-10-11 12:48:38+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Don't pack Democrats into an 80% district!	Cynthia Lempert	2021-10-11 12:46:53+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Doesn't this map just pack mostly Dems into one district? Same problem that previously existed. Need fairer representation.	Carol Westfall	2021-10-11 12:46:29+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Please don't pack Washtenaw voters together into this 80% Dem district!	Sue Jacob	2021-10-11 12:46:13+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This map is far worse than our current gerrymandered map from 10 years ago! In fact it packs even more reliably Democratic voters into 27 adding Scio and the Eastern part of Dexter into it now. This is NOT what was supposed to happen. It looks like you've created new GERRYMANDERED ISLAND in the map for 27. This is awful.	CHARLES W BILLUPS	2021-10-11 12:45:23+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>We should not be in a Macomb County District. They do not represent my views.</p> <p>We pay Oakland County taxes and should be represented by them.</p>	Rita Cartier	2021-10-11 12:44:31+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>I like how you have tried to address the way the current Ann Arbor / Ypsi district is pretty much a lock for Dems. Breaking up that mass of voters to provide more competitive districts is a good thing. Different views deserve a shot at fair representation.</p>	Thomas L Knox	2021-10-11 12:44:06+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>This map perpetuates the gerrymandering we are trying to get rid of for accurate representation. Please do not pack Democratic voters of Washtenaw County into a single district.</p>	Nancy Reed Twiss	2021-10-11 12:42:47+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>In regards to the redistricting options for Ottawa County, my hope is that the goal is to keep the current congressional district intact. I am in full support of Map 201 in which Georgetown Township remains included in the congressional district.</p>	Linda Mango	2021-10-11 12:39:04+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy has so many connections to adjoining cities in Oakland County that it would feel very disjointed to be placed in Macomb.	Donald Hentschel	2021-10-11 12:38:54+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This packs Dems the same way as it always has. We passed the amendment to avoid this sort of gerrymandered district.	Andy LaBarre	2021-10-11 12:38:15+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy needs to stay in Oakland County. There is no reason to change to Macomb	Ashley Lagrou	2021-10-11 12:37:58+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This districting proposal repeats the unjust arrangement of current districting. It packs a single party into one district and denies members of that party fair representation statewide.	Nancy Whitelaw	2021-10-11 12:35:45+00

This map seems to unsure that 27 will be a Dem district while 29 (where I would vote) will be a Rep district, which is the same trouble we have today!

Districts should be competitive and not leave minority voices with little to no hope of representation. There are many options to create fairer districts in Washtenaw Country and its neighboring counties.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Thomas L Knox

2021-10-11 12:34:25+00

A good 'city vs. country' map for this district given the population density issues and commonalities in this area... Seems like all the Negative comments are being Astroturf'ed here by Democrats - Is that what we voted for with this law???? I guess the Political

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Like

Eric Lielbriedis

2021-10-11 12:32:54+00

Manipulation never ends. Troy should absolutely be considered part of Oakland County. Troy should be included in District 3!

10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike

Jane Livermore

2021-10-11 12:31:41+00

Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Dem district.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Lesley

2021-10-11 12:30:13+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Dem district.	Victoria Haehl	2021-10-11 12:29:53+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy should remain with Oakland County. There are too many differences of opinions with Macomb.	Jocil Rogus	2021-10-11 12:28:48+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This is the option I like.	Kevin OBrien	2021-10-11 12:25:41+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Opinion	Troy should definitely be included as part of Oakland County. It makes no sense to include Troy as part of Macomb County. Please reconsider putting Troy in District 3.	Rochelle Solomon	2021-10-11 12:23:46+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	1. Thanks for keeping Ottawa County together as a community of interest. 2. We are the fastest growing county in Michigan and we shouldn't be split up. 3. Please use map 201 to keep our lake shore community together.	Leif Haugen	2021-10-11 12:23:10+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This is a pack job to reduce Democratic voters impact.	Kevin OBrien	2021-10-11 12:21:35+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The commission should not pack Washtenaw voters in an 80% Democratic district.	Margo Czinski	2021-10-11 12:20:41+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>Hasting and Barry County has nothing in common with the City of Battle Creek and should not share are senator. Consider being Battle Creek and Kalamazoo together and Keeping Barry county combine with other areas of similar population. The communities of interest need to be taken into consideration here over trying to balance the partisan. This district is partisan balance as the will of Battle Creek will always outbalance the will of rural areas lumped in with it.</p> <p>Troy does not belong in Macomb County. It is an Oakland County city and has relationships with Rochester, Rochester Hills, Birmingham and Bloomfield, among others. We have no connection with Macomb County. Our representatives should be the ones that service Oakland County and share our concerns for what is happening there.</p>	David Neubauer	2021-10-11 12:19:16+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Diana L. Martin	2021-10-11 12:17:19+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	This is a good unpack.	Ralph G Johnson	2021-10-11 12:14:25+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Dem district.	Sarah Marie Roldan-Dodson	2021-10-11 12:02:46+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Breaking up Novi is simply not ok!! Also, there is so much Republican leaning partisan bias in these maps overall.	Ratna Rao	2021-10-11 12:01:42+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Need to keep Georgetown as part of Ottawa County. We should not be redistricting due to political agendas.	Lorna L Martin	2021-10-11 12:01:20+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Dislike	J Vincent	2021-10-11 11:57:14+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This map just re-creates the current problems we have by lumping Democratic areas together	Brad Gibson	2021-10-11 11:37:05+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy should remain with Rochester Hills, it is an Oakland county city. Why are politician always trying to control the vote. It should remain where it is currently and not be lumped in with any city in Macomb County.	Donna Taylor	2021-10-11 11:17:44+00

You have re-gerrymandered some of these districts, including the district organized around Washtenaw county. The population spread and geography of this part of Michigan allows for balanced districts that would give different perspectives to have a chance of having their votes matter. You have handed the area to democrats, with no chances for other perspectives

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

BetsAnn Smith

2021-10-11 11:13:28+00

Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Dem district

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Semra Koknar

2021-10-11 10:41:42+00

I have the biggest issues with the proposed house maps of the three maps being drawn. All of them would continue to heavily favor republicans and continue having gerrymandering determine the state house, despite there being more democratic voters in the state as shown by the most recent statewide elections. Greater effort should be taken to draw a fair map here that would more closely represent the Michigan populace.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Spencer Gold

2021-10-11 10:21:12+00

I have the biggest issues with the proposed house maps of the three maps being drawn. All of them would continue to heavily favor republicans and continue having gerrymandering determine the state house, despite there being more democratic voters in the state as shown by the most recent statewide elections. Greater effort should be taken to draw a fair map here that would more closely represent the Michigan populace.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Spencer Gold

2021-10-11 10:20:48+00

I have the biggest issues with the proposed house maps of the three maps being drawn. All of them would continue to heavily favor republicans and continue having gerrymandering determine the state house, despite there being more democratic voters in the state as shown by the most recent statewide elections. Greater effort should be taken to draw a fair map here that would more closely represent the Michigan populace. Rochester Hills & Troy feel like sister cities that share a similar population so it's appropriate that they are kept together in representation.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Spencer Gold

2021-10-11 10:19:51+00

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Like

Spencer Gold

2021-10-11 10:00:08+00

I feel as though many of the proposed districts in this area would not see any competitive races - they are heavily partisan. Politicians not needing to worry about their elections causes too much apathy from both the elected official and the community they represent. I would want districts to be created that would make for competitive elections where they would have to respond to the citizens.

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Dislike

Spencer Gold

2021-10-11 09:53:23+00

I think Novi is a good community to start this district in, as it has ties and the greatest similarities to other Oakland county communities as opposed to elsewhere. In that regard, the other Oakland county communities not currently in this district (Rochester Hills & Troy) would be better suited for this district starting in Novi as opposed to the more dissimilar Macomb county communities of the district they are currently in.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Spencer Gold

2021-10-11 09:47:39+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in an 80% democratic district	Rory Forrest Baker	2021-10-11 09:44:30+00
		Keeping the central Oakland county communities in this area together in the same district makes sense.		
		However, I think this district should continue further east to include other the Oakland county communities that more closely resemble the ones in current district 3 (Rochester Hills & Troy) instead of with Macomb county communities.		
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like		Spencer Gold	2021-10-11 09:44:13+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>It makes much more sense to put Troy &amp; Rochester Hills in the Oakland county district instead of Macomb county. I grew up further west in what is currently district 3, and feel a connection to those communities as tied together with my own, much more than Macomb communities like Utica &amp; Sterling Heights. Putting the Oakland county communities together would make for more accurate representation for more people.</p>	Spencer Gold	2021-10-11 09:37:21+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Similarly, Madison Heights should be included with Oakland county. They also have more representation, connections, and interactions with the cities in district 3 than they do with Detroit. Warren should be in a district with Macomb county. They are much closer in representation and connections to Sterling Heights than they are with Detroit.</p>	Spencer Gold	2021-10-11 09:28:50+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Detroit.</p>	Spencer Gold	2021-10-11 09:25:36+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Keep Troy in Oakland County! Thank you for providing an option that represents the shared interests of ALL of the residents of Ottawa County. We are a diverse, but unified community of interests that has a rich heritage of collaboration in industry, society and local government. Please do not bifurcate our population by splitting our Congressional representation. We deserve a cohesive path towards common representation for our thriving county.</p>	Mary Johnson	2021-10-11 08:01:31+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>This map divides cities, townships and schools districts unnecessarily, while combining communities without any common interests or socioeconomic demographics and seriously marginalizes Detroit residents. It favors Republicans despite the Commission's directive to ensure partisan fairness.</p>	Thomas E Bird	2021-10-11 07:38:31+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike		Marcie Paul	2021-10-11 07:30:27+00

10-08-21 v1 SD Dislike W. Bloomfield has no common socioeconomic demographics Highland or Milford, etc. and would not be fairly represented in the same district. Moreover, this entire map does not ensure partisan fairness and provides advantages to Republicans. Marcie Paul 2021-10-11 07:21:30+00

10-08-21 v1 HD Dislike These long narrow districts in metro Detroit like from Clawson to Highland Park or Detroit to Birmingham cannot ensure adequate representation to such varying demographics. Detroit residents cannot possibly get fair representation. On the whole, this map provides advantages to Republicans although it runs counter to the Commission's directives for partisan fairness. Marcie Paul 2021-10-11 07:11:06+00

These long narrow districts in metro Detroit like from Clawson to Highland Park or Detroit to Birmingham cannot ensure adequate representation to such varying demographics. Detroit residents cannot possibly get fair representation. On the whole, the entire map does not ensure partisan fairness and favors Republicans

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Marcie Paul

2021-10-11 07:04:35+00

We dislike having Troy be a part of District 6 in Macomb County. We chose and have lived in our Troy home for 44 years in the area which is definitely more related to Birmingham than to Troy. Our son attended Pembroke Elementary School, Derby Middle School, and Seaholm High School, all of which are Birmingham public schools. He still coaches sports in Birmingham, as he has for years; and I taught in Birmingham's public middle schools for a portion of my teaching career. My grandsons, who live in Troy, also attended Birmingham's public schools.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Mary Sue Livingston

2021-10-11 06:41:02+00

Looking at the possible options this is the very best solution for the Lakeshore communities in SW Michigan. The Lakeshore communities have much in common culturally, financially, and have specialized infrastructure concerns, and thereby benefit by having representation that understands and can focus on the needs of the lakeshore. Continuity is important. As a long time resident of Ottawa County, the other options that split Ottawa county apart would be detrimental to continuity and unnecessarily confusing to constituents. In that light It is important that Georgetown Township, which is part of Ottawa county remains as part of this option.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Thank you for your consideration.

Geri McCaleb

2021-10-11 06:34:35+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

This district 27 perpetuates gerrymandering, packing Democratic voters of Washtenaw County into a single district.

Pamela Ann Raymond Ernst

2021-10-11 06:31:21+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Although the commission is required to apply partisan fairness standards, this maps favors Republicans. It divides cities, townships and schools districts, while combining communities that have no common interests and seriously marginalizes Detroit residents.	Marcie Paul	2021-10-11 06:23:02+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Although Oakland Co is divided at least Rochester and Troy stay with Royal Oak and Ferndale. However, Hazel Park and Madison Heights belong with them as well. Also Warren still belongs together with the rest of Macomb in 6 and will not be sufficiently represented grouped with Detroit.	Marcie Paul	2021-10-11 06:08:12+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Combining Warren with Macomb offers the best chance for Warren to receive adequate representation.	Marcie Paul	2021-10-11 06:03:00+00

10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Many good aspects of this map. Although Oakland Co is split up at least Rochester and Troy stay with Royal Oak and Ferndale. However, Hazel Park and Madison Heights belong with them as well. Also Warren still belongs with Macomb in 6</p>	Marcie Paul	2021-10-11 05:56:16+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>Many good aspects of this map. Although Oakland Co is split up at least Rochester and Troy stay with Royal Oak and Ferndale. However, Hazel Park and Madison Heights belong with them as well. Also Warren still belongs with Macomb in 6</p>	Marcie Paul	2021-10-11 05:54:03+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	<p>I previously lived in Southfield for many years and feel strongly about it remaining with Oakland County. It is demographically aligned and economically intertwined with all the similar surrounding suburbs.</p>	Marcie Paul	2021-10-11 05:49:38+00

I disagree with grouping Troy with Macomb County cities. This does not make any sense. As a Troy resident, Troy should remain with Rochester, Rochester Hills, Bloomfield Hills, as these are the cities that my family primary associates with for parks, education, etc. Do not change thisâ€¦ it is 100% wrongâ€¦ especially to do it in such a quiet way without involving Troy residents.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

DISAGREE!!!

Kara Greenwalt

2021-10-11 05:44:44+00

This is an inadequate state Senate map. It's worse than what we had before. Again, district lines should NOT be drawn vertically to mix the Counties of Macomb and Oakland with Wayne County. And I agree with E.Boyer, J.Fisher, G.Chapp, J.Rutherford and C.Finn's comments. Sterling Heights and Warren 2 of the largest cities in Michigan and have so much in common that together they'd make a perfect COI Senate District. We share school districts, workplaces, shopping areas, restaurants, places of worship, etc. Please make all of Sterling Heights and all of Warren into one Senate district and draw the rest of SE Michigan State Senate districts horizontally. Let's maintain partisan fairness, zero political bias. Close enough is not nearly good enough. End the  
This is my preferred option.

10-08-21 v1 SD Dislike  
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Carole J Chi  
William Christen

2021-10-11 05:42:10+00  
2021-10-11 05:36:11+00

Of all the possible map options being considered, this Map 201 is THE best and most well-thought-out for the counties on the Lake Michigan lakeshore. I'm a lifelong resident of Ottawa County and over the years have spent a lot of time in the different communities not only in Ottawa County but also along the Lake Michigan lakeshore. They have their own distinct flavor and culture, distinct even from an "inland" county like Kent County or Ionia County. Map 201 thankfully keeps Ottawa County represented congressionally as one county, and keeps Georgetown Twp (which is in Ottawa Co.) as part of Ottawa County. Thank you! The other redistricting map suggestions do not do justice to the unique cultural and historical flavor of these lakeshore counties and splits This is better. Fewer convoluted boundaries. But the districts should keep contiguous communities intact whenever possible.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Rev. Tyler Wagenmaker

2021-10-11 05:33:01+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Ryan Waddington

2021-10-11 05:31:46+00

what this map does across  
Oakland County is a hot mess.  
The breaking up of the  
greater Farmington  
community, a diverse  
community in its own right  
that is presently represented  
by one member of the State  
House makes no sense. That  
district should have been left  
alone as it presently is.  
Instead, this map illogically  
carves it into three different  
districts.

What is presented in District 9  
basically slides together all  
the areas of more affluence,  
which is not a COI.

District 5's tortured  
drawing eleven miles across  
but only a mile wide in many  
places grabs the less affluent  
areas, dives across Southfield,  
and then reaches south three  
miles by one-half or one mile  
into Wayne County/Detroit.

There is no commonality of  
interest here. Please help me  
understand the logic here, as  
The Commission should not  
pack Washtenaw voters  
together in a 80% Dem  
district.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Theresa Rich

2021-10-11 05:30:33+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Robert D Ahronheim

2021-10-11 05:24:47+00

Come on commissioners, just look at this district 19 and many more. Gerrymandered! Districts should be more compact...NOT look like salamanders again. Draw the district lines from east to west (horizontally). Stop creating districts from north to south (vertically) for you are including Wayne County with Oakland County cities/communities and Wayne County with Macomb County cities/communities. Many of the districts on this map in SE Michigan look like salamanders (gerrymandered). Back to the drawing board, Please! Thank you.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Carole J Chi

2021-10-11 05:23:22+00

The breaking up of the greater Farmington community, a diverse community in its own right that is presently represented by one member of the State House simply does not hold water. That district should have been left alone as it presently is.

Instead, this map illogically carves it into three different districts that do not have commonality of interest.

What is presented in District 9 basically slides together all the areas of more affluence, which is not a COI. District 5's tortured drawing eleven miles across but only a mile wide in many places grabs the less affluent areas, dives across Southfield, and then reaches south three miles by one-half or one mile into Wayne County/Detroit.

Where is the commonality of interest? Please help me

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

understand the logic here, as Theresa Rich

2021-10-11 05:19:43+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>This is poor map. The more convoluted the district lines, the more gerrymandered it remains. Do NOT carve Dexter out of District 27 if you are going to include other Ann Arbor border communities like Saline.</p> <p>My State House District 25 seems pretty fair. But I agree completely with Carmi Finn and the Ellises that all of the districts to the south of me should NOT be drawn vertically--crossing county lines, but rather go back and redraw them from east to west to combine COIs! Macomb and Oakland County districts should not include parts of Detroit (Wayne County). And please remember we want maps with zero political bias. The whole point of this exercise is to rid Michigan of gerrymandering once and for all. Let's go for partisan fairness.</p>	Ryan Waddington	2021-10-11 05:19:35+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Opinion		Carole J Chi	2021-10-11 05:14:08+00

the breaking up of the greater Farmington community, a diverse community in its own right that is presently represented by one member of the State House simply does not hold water. That district should have been left alone as it presently is. Instead, this map illogically carves it into three different districts. What is presented in District 9 basically slides together all the areas of more affluence, which is not a COI. District 5's tortured drawing eleven miles across but only a mile wide in many places grabs the less affluent areas, dives across Southfield, and then reaches south three miles by one-half or one mile into Wayne County/Detroit. They are all wonderful communities, but there is no commonality of interest here. Please help me understand the logic here, as it was not

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Theresa Rich

2021-10-11 05:06:29+00

Grand Rapids and Kent County have a strong connection. Kent County has a large population of 657, 974 residents (2020 census). Map 233 breaks up the natural bond between Grand Rapids and Kent County, to instead connect Grand Rapids to the Lake Michigan shoreline counties. In so doing, Ottawa County has to be chopped up between 3 different districts. Why would that be done? Kent County has nearly enough residents to form its own district. Please eliminate Map 233 and keep both Kent County and Ottawa County intact.

10-11-21 Eid v5 CD

Opinion

Deborah K Benz

2021-10-11 05:04:35+00

I like the way this map looks. The county divisions have been minimal. Also appreciate district 8 not being part of Kalamazoo. We have much more in common community-based with the mapped part of the state.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Like

Pamela Lasley

2021-10-11 04:54:48+00

Congressional District 6 should include ALL of Warren and Sterling Heights for they are sister cities. Warren should not be split up and connected to a CD that includes Detroit, which is in another county, for then Detroit's interests would be the focus. Also consider removing Oakland County communities from District 6; and instead move the map boundary eastward to include Fraser, Eastpointe and Roseville, even St. Clair Shores, for we all have more in common.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Carole J Chi

2021-10-11 04:53:57+00

I like this map. It is spreads out both democratic and republican votes to make the whole process more democratic.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS

Like

John Hunter

2021-10-11 04:42:19+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair. Troy is not "east side".	Deborah Villneff	2021-10-11 04:41:54+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This map is terrible; please make fair maps. Please do not pack democrats together to minimize their voices. Let's make the democratic process fair.	John Hunter	2021-10-11 04:36:18+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	I don't believe that so many Washtenaw voters should be packed together in this district. Please redistribute more fairly.	Angela Martin-Schwarze	2021-10-11 04:36:10+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>The counties that include Lake Michigan shoreline have a strong common interest and purpose. I support Map 201 because it keeps the bond these counties naturally have. Ottawa County has the 7th largest population in the state of Michigan with 296,200 residents (2020 census). Many of the proposed maps divide Ottawa county between 3 different districts. To chop up Ottawa County in such a manner, dilutes the voice and vote of Ottawa County. Please keep Ottawa County intact and itâ€™s voice strong with Map 201. Please make fair districts so the democratic process functions. Don't try to gerrymander democrats together to dilute their voices.</p>	Deborah K Benz	2021-10-11 04:28:40+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>This area good. Good representation and no packing with o e party.</p>	Tia Caldwell	2021-10-11 04:25:25+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		Constance Burke	2021-10-11 04:24:05+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Rochester, Rochester Hills and Oakland Township combine to form a Community of Interest (known as the Rochester Area). They should be kept whole and completely intact in a Congressional District, and that District should include many other northern Oakland County communities. We should not be in a Macomb County District.	Christopher Cummins	2021-10-11 04:15:06+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	Do NOT break up Ottawa County into multiple districts. Keep Ottawa County together as shown in MAP 201. Thank you.	Carl Spence	2021-10-11 04:14:58+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	we want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair	Kristopher Nastovski	2021-10-11 04:11:54+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	the Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Dem district.	Hillary M. Ward	2021-10-11 04:06:40+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	the Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Dem district.	Hillary M. Ward	2021-10-11 04:06:12+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Dem district.	Rama K Paruchuri	2021-10-11 04:05:37+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map unpacks the votes well and improves the democratic elements in the process.	John Niles Woodford	2021-10-11 04:04:42+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	the Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Dem district.	Hillary M. Ward	2021-10-11 04:03:31+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Democrat district. Please be fair. I'm a young voter, and I care that everyone is equally represented by our new districting.	Julia Baldwin	2021-10-11 04:02:16+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	I'm trying to understand the unifying factors that comprise State Senate District 6. Boundaries from Grosse Pointe in Wayne County to 20 Mile in Macomb County encompasses vastly different communities with different concerns and needs. The community would be better served by more compact district.	Lori Stone	2021-10-11 04:01:55+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This is a good unpacking of Ann Arbor voters. Please do not split Ottawa County. Please keep Georgetown Twshp part of Ottawa County.	Zoe Cutler	2021-10-11 03:54:18+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	This is an poorly drawn district that will result in inequities.	Linda Allen	2021-10-11 03:54:07+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	No gerrymandering in Michigan, please!	John Niles Woodford	2021-10-11 03:52:53+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike		Lawrence Harbison	2021-10-11 03:52:31+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti are very different and this district is heavily partisan in that it favors Republicans by diluting the voice of Democratic voters. I'm concerned that overall the new maps give Republicans an edge when in fact the last presidential and gubernatorial elections were won by Democrats. I'm afraid again the power of my vote is being weakened.	Karen Holman	2021-10-11 03:52:26+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Keep Troy in Oakland County. We moved to Troy to enjoy the area and surrounding cities like Rochester, Rochester Hills and Birmingham.	Walter Bieber	2021-10-11 03:52:12+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The commission should not pack all of washtenaw county's democratic votes into one district.	Zoe Cutler	2021-10-11 03:51:22+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	I'm concerned about State Senate District 8 spanning the 3 most densely populated counties in Michigan. I don't believe my community will have adequate representation with my state senator dividing there attention between 3 county governments.	Lori Stone	2021-10-11 03:45:18+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This seems like a good way to distribute (unpack) Ann Arbor voters to allow for better representation.	Lynne Kochmanski	2021-10-11 03:42:54+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	The Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Dem district!	Ezra Donner	2021-10-11 03:40:40+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Dem district.	Crystal Olalde-Garcia	2021-10-11 03:39:22+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	We want Troy to remain with Rochester and Rochester Hills. We enjoy the same community services. We do not belong in Macomb County.	Kathy Bieber	2021-10-11 03:39:09+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Packing the Democrats into one district. - This is not why we worked so hard to get petitions signed.	Kathleen M Singer	2021-10-11 03:38:37+00

Thank you for Map 201 which keeps Ottawa County intact. As the fastest growing county in Michigan with the fastest growing township in Michigan, demographics for communities of interest in Ottawa County are changing rapidly. With that in mind, I think it is important to remember that no community of interest exists as an island. Every community of interest is inextricably tied to another community of interest. For that reason, I think it is vitally important to keep Georgetown Township a part of the Congressional District of which Ottawa County is a part. The citizens of Ottawa County have a shared vision for the future of the county. It is that shared vision which has made Ottawa County the fastest growing county in Michigan. It has made the county a wonderful place to

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Cynthia Davis

2021-10-11 03:38:18+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>I appreciate the number of districts this map creates and that Ypsilanti and Ann Arbor are separated. This allows for better representation of these distinctly different places and 'unpacks' the democratic voters in Washtenaw Co.</p> <p>I find SDs 66 and 68 a reasonable way to distribute Ann Arbor voters while not letting them dominate Ypsilanti. I also approve of the Detroit Metro HDs division. It keeps established Detroit neighborhoods together while putting the ones that border Oakland or Macomb communities with a small section of those communities. It's OK for HDs to cross county lines if done in a nonpartisan manner.</p>	Beth Gibbons	2021-10-11 03:37:30+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		Moira Tannenbaum	2021-10-11 03:37:30+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>This looks like packing to me. Districts need to be fair and as non-partisan as possible.</p>	Lynne Kochmanski	2021-10-11 03:36:25+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>You are essentially gerrymandering Democrat votes into this one single district to dilute the voting power. Isn't this committee supposed to avoid major mistakes like this? Not sure what needs to be done but on surface level it would make sense to split Ypsilanti from Ann Arbor...</p>	Will Feliciano	2021-10-11 03:36:00+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills. We are connected with community services in our area and should stay in Oakland County. We pay Oakland County taxes.</p>	Kathy Bieber	2021-10-11 03:33:34+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Troy is part of Oakland County and it should remain a part of the district in Oakland County. Troy has little in common with Macomb.</p>	Ishwar Sethi	2021-10-11 03:33:02+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Opinion	<p>Why is Troy, Rochester and Rochester Hills being re-districted into Macomb County ? Is it to make Macomb more Democratic ? Would like to understand the rationale. People of Troy, Rochester etc are more like minded with Birmingham, Bloomfield and going west. They do not share interests with Sterling Heights and cities going east.</p>	Kevin Smith	2021-10-11 03:28:25+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>TROY should stay in Oakland county. One of the reason for us to move to Troy was that it is in Oakland county. Troy has more commonality with other cities in Oakland county</p>	Naeem Ahmed	2021-10-11 03:27:33+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>TROY should stay in Oakland county. One of the reason for us to move to Troy was that it is in Oakland county. Troy has more commonality with other cities in Oakland county</p>	Naeem Ahmed	2021-10-11 03:27:32+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This looks an awful lot like packing many Democrats into a single district, which is gerrymandering, the very practice we are trying to eliminate.	Pamela J Hayes	2021-10-11 03:26:35+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	Don't divide Ottawa county	Elwood Tallman	2021-10-11 03:25:22+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This is a good map - it unpacks the Democratic votes in Washtenaw county, and it respects my perception of the community definition of Ypsilanti, as someone who lives here, shops and eats participates in community activities here, and sends my kids to school here.	Emily Van Ark	2021-10-11 03:24:45+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This map squeezes - or packs - together washtenaw county dems into a single district which will allow less representations for the people in this district. Please split Ypsi and Ann Arbor.	Beth Gibbons	2021-10-11 03:24:38+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Thanks for splitting up Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti. Districts should be fairly located and not packed with	Noah Allington	2021-10-11 03:22:56+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	on eparticular party.	William Christen	2021-10-11 03:22:32+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>The current 11th district is very gerrymandered and putting Troy with its Sterling Heights and Macomb County neighbors would go along way to changing that. We have lots in common with Sterling Heights, much more than Birmingham, Milford, or many of the other cities were are currently grouped with.</p>	Nathaniel Bryson	2021-10-11 03:21:06+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>The commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together into an 80% Democratic district. I live on the north side of Ypsi, and the community I relate to is Ypsi to the south and Superior Township to the North, NOT Ann Arbor. This is a terrible district from the point of view of making competitive districts, and also a terrible district from the point of view of respecting community boundaries.</p>	Emily Van Ark	2021-10-11 03:20:55+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>As drawn, this is obviously a gerrymandered district with overwhelming concentration of likely Democratic voters.</p>	Glenn Eric Sproull	2021-10-11 03:20:25+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	I don't want my vote to be packed in with all the other Democratic voters in Washtenaw County.	Noah Allington	2021-10-11 03:19:08+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Putting all of Ann Arbor and Ypsi into a single district is packing the district. As an Ypsi resident this seriously concerns me because I feel that my vote will be diminished by being packed in a district which is separated from other other surrounding areas.	Beth Gibbons	2021-10-11 03:18:51+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This map unfairly puts too many Democrats into a single district. Seems not to fit the effort to reduce gerrymandering.	Janet E Wright	2021-10-11 03:17:25+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	It is appropriate to split Ann Arbor (and Ypsilanti) into different districts to achieve partisan fairness.	Janice M Sovak	2021-10-11 03:16:30+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	I object to the proposed SD 27, combining Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti. It would concentrate so many Democrat voters in one SD. That is hardly anti-gerrymander.	Moira Tannenbaum	2021-10-11 03:16:16+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	not that I'm a big fan of Troy becoming a part of Macomb county but I dislike Troy's attempts at squashing citizens rights and any attempt to make it more in line with the republican party's point of view is good!!	Jim Cable	2021-10-11 03:15:06+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Ypsi and Ann arbor are the most left leaning cities in the county. Packing them like together like this is very much like gerrymandering, but with more logical boundaries. Please separate Ann arbor from Ypsilanti in your map. Unpacking Ann Arbor would be great for voter interest and my vote might actually be important. It would be great for democracy if there were candidates from more than one party with a chance to win!	John Basler	2021-10-11 03:14:42+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		MIke Mitchell	2021-10-11 03:14:09+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This is too partisan (80% Dem). Please redraw to reflect greater party diversity.	Jan Ulrich	2021-10-11 03:13:42+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	I was brought here by an email from the Washtenaw County Democratic Party instructing people to come and comment and telling them exactly what buzz words to use. Email attached.	Andrew Hendra	2021-10-11 03:13:28+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Dem district.	William Christen	2021-10-11 03:13:01+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	I was brought here by an email from the Washtenaw County Democratic Party instructing people to come and comment and telling them exactly what buzz words to use. Email attached.	Andrew Hendra	2021-10-11 03:12:55+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Dem district.	Beth Dwoskin	2021-10-11 03:11:42+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	I was brought here by an email from the Washtenaw County Democratic Party that instructed people exactly what to put in their comment as a buzzword. Email attached.	Andrew Hendra	2021-10-11 03:11:08+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>Why are you packing here?  So many of our votes will be totally wasted! This is what we are trying to avoid -- packing "Dem Garbage" into one district.</p>	Julie Roth	2021-10-11 03:10:53+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>It's absolutely absurd that the Ann Arbor area would be represented by 3 different representatives. This map is created solely to bolster democratic numbers at the state and national level as opposed to creating a map that allows for the people to be represented by someone who has their best interests in mind.</p>	Andrew Hendra	2021-10-11 03:08:18+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>Doesn't appear to have fair minority districts in Detroit. Better and less partisan maps are available!</p>	Deaver Armstrong	2021-10-11 03:07:47+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>As currently drawn, this district packs too many Democratic voters together, thereby diluting their representation. Ann Arbor should be split to spread the Dem votes across more than one district.</p>	Janice M Sovak	2021-10-11 03:04:22+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>I support this proposal to unpack Ann Arbor including Scio Township where I live.</p>	Harvey A Somers	2021-10-11 03:01:51+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This district gerrymanders across multiple counties. The Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in an 80% Dem district. I thought the purpose of drawing a fair district was NOT to pack it politically with one side? This discourages interest voter	dirk mayhew	2021-10-11 03:01:51+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	turn out. TROY SHOULD REMAIN IN OAKLAND COUNTY. ABSOLUTELY DISAGREE	Mike Mitchell	2021-10-11 02:59:35+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	MOVING TROY TO MACOMB COUNTY. Why would residents want Washtenaw voters "packed" into an 80% Democratic	ANTHONY VITUCCI	2021-10-11 02:57:41+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	district? do not pack Washtenaw county voters in an 80%	Harvey A Somers	2021-10-11 02:54:45+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	democratic district	Hallie Levine	2021-10-11 02:53:45+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Why is Oakland County cut up into so many different pieces? How can the people of Oakland County get fair representation when we are chunked into 5 different districts? I understand that our population is too large to fit into a single district, but to chunk up the remainder into so many pieces deprives Oakland county residents of representation reflective of our interests.	Susan Brodzinski	2021-10-11 02:53:37+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Dem district.	Pam Corbett	2021-10-11 02:52:26+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	.	Colleen Bean	2021-10-11 02:52:08+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I appreciate that Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti seem to have been split up, allowing greater representation from both. This mapped district defeats the purpose of an "un-gerrymandered" map. Please redraw district in a less partisan and a more distributive manner in terms of Dems/Repub voters. Thank you!	Megan Hagenauer	2021-10-11 02:51:47+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike		Sarah Elizabeth DeFlon	2021-10-11 02:51:39+00

the current proposal for Novi makes zero sense to how we operate as a city and community. We consistently share resources with neighboring cities such as Northville, Walled Lake/Wixom, Farmington Hills and Farmington. We even had a consortium for Novi, FH, and Farmington at one point. We have zero in common with the rural communities outside of our area and share no commonality in operations. You are also marginalizing our growing diverse population here with how you cut this map. You are cutting up school districts, and including counties that have nothing to do with our area and our neighbors. Novi to the north needs to be whole with the rest of our community. You are cutting Precinct 14 out of its own community. It is taking voters who are in Novi schools out of Katherine Dooley

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

2021-10-11 02:49:52+00

the current proposal for Novi makes zero sense to how we operate as a city and community. We consistently share resources with neighboring cities such as Northville, Walled Lake/Wixom, Farmington Hills and Farmington. We even had a consortium for Novi, FH, and Farmington at one point. We have zero in common with the rural communities outside of our area and share no commonality in operations. You are also marginalizing our growing diverse population here with how you cut this map. You are cutting up school districts, and including counties that have nothing to do with our area and our neighbors. Novi to the north needs to be whole with the rest of our community. You are cutting Precinct 14 out of its own community. It is taking voters who are in Novi schools out of

Katherine Dooley

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

2021-10-11 02:49:07+00

The current proposal for Novi makes zero sense to how we operate as a city and community. We consistently share resources with neighboring cities such as Northville, Walled Lake/Wixom, Farmington Hills and Farmington. We even had a consortium for Novi, FH, and Farmington at one point. We have zero in common with the rural communities outside of our area and share no commonality in operations. You are also marginalizing our growing diverse population here with how you cut this map. You are cutting up school districts, and including counties that have nothing to do with our area and our neighbors. Novi to the north needs to be whole with the rest of our community. You are cutting Precinct 14 out of its own community. It is taking voters

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

who are in Novi schools out of Katherine Dooley  
Why is Troy integrated in with Macomb County?? We are part of Oakland and should remain so!!

2021-10-11 02:48:26+00

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Brendan Newell

2021-10-11 02:48:19+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The Commission should not cram Washtenaw county voters together in a district that is 80% Democratic. Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti should be split up. Currently they are packed together, producing a single district with a really high density of liberal/democratic voters. This packing dilutes overall representation in a manner similar to a gerrymandered district.	Julie Ellis	2021-10-11 02:47:34+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Democratic district.	Megan Hagenauer	2021-10-11 02:45:12+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike		Robert Krzewinski	2021-10-11 02:39:25+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I appreciate all the hard work this committee has done, and really appreciate the fact Ottawa is still a whole in this map. I am hoping you will consider on keeping Ottawa as a whole, as we are our own unique county along the lakeshore. Thank you for taking the time to hear us.	David Kroll	2021-10-11 02:35:17+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This map unfairly packs Democrats into concentrated districts in order to give them less power overall	Ryan Lindveit	2021-10-11 02:33:06+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	I like how this seems to take into account Partisan Fairness in the Ann Arbor and surrounding areas.	Catherine Marshall	2021-10-11 02:31:48+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	I don't feel it makes sense to pack a huge population of mainly Democratic-leaning people into just one district. Thanks for considering alternatives!	Lori Hall	2021-10-11 02:31:06+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Dem district.	Vivian Kalumbi	2021-10-11 02:30:52+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Washtenaw County should not be concentrated in one highly Democratic district	Edward Diehl	2021-10-11 02:30:28+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in a 80% Dem district.	Sean Johnson	2021-10-11 02:28:54+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	Troy should stay in Oakland County. I am resident of Troy. We have nothing in common with Macomb. We are a community of same interest with Bloomfield hills, Rochester and Rochester hills.	Shalini Malhotra	2021-10-11 02:26:58+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	In general, I think the map is unbalanced. The Democratic districts are crammed together and that distorts voting results. We lost a great representative 10 years ago, Lynn Rivers, and should be compensated.	Katherine Mendeloff	2021-10-11 02:26:53+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This map does not come close to achieving Partisan Fairness. This district should be unpacked to more evenly distribute Democratic and Republican votes.	Catherine Marshall	2021-10-11 02:25:20+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in an 80% Dem district	Deborah Boot	2021-10-11 02:25:17+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This is a packed Democratic District. It should be split for improved efficiency and non-partisan fairness.	John Allison	2021-10-11 02:24:22+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy should absolutely STAY in Oakland County! Do not move this district to Macomb County. The heart of the city- which is it's schools, and commerce are much closer to Bham, and Bloomfield than anything in Macomb county. Do not mess with a good thing.	Margaret Fris	2021-10-11 02:22:29+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in an 80% Dem district	Aaron Haury	2021-10-11 02:22:21+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	The Commission should not pack Washtenaw voters together in an 80% Dem district	Jared Boot	2021-10-11 02:21:21+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I do not agree with moving Troy to Macomb County. We need to be with Rochester Hills, and Rochester in Oakland County. I did not move to Troy to be in Macomb County.	Elizabeth Head	2021-10-11 02:20:40+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	This map keeps Ottawa county, the most populous county on the lakeshore together and keeps the most populous counties on Lake Michigan together. The other maps dilute both lakeshore and Ottawa county votes. Troy is not part of Macomb County. We pay Oakland County taxes and should be represented in Oakland County.	Donald R Benz	2021-10-11 02:13:32+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Michelle Piazza	2021-10-11 02:06:09+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Thank you for keeping Ottawa County together as a community of interest. Please use map 201 to keep our lake shore community together.	Susan J Lyzenga	2021-10-11 02:03:09+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Thank you! Districts 8,9,14,16 and 18 are not competitive. On of the goals for redistricting is not to allow an advantage to either party. We need competitive Senate districts to encourage more responsive government for all.	George Dilgard	2021-10-11 01:59:34+00

The inclusion of portions of Hillsdale and Calhoun in this district don't really make sense and were not requested in my previous public comment or any other that I saw. I think that there are better configurations available. I personally like 06326. Chelsea, Dexter, Saline, and Pittsfield Twp had double-digit population growth driven by proximity to Ann Arbor and there's room for that to continue in the future. Let's have a senator for the greater Ann Arbor community and give Ypsilanti a chance to elect someone focused on their issues, which are different from Ann Arbor's issues and from the rest of the county.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS Dislike

Heather Hunnell

2021-10-11 01:58:19+00

The more I look at District 3, the crazier it looks. Why did you cut off the Grand Rapids airport and the eastern Grand Rapids area from the rest of the Grand Rapids and put it in a district with absolutely no commonality of interest to Grand Rapids. District 3 is so gerrymandered that it looks illegal.

10-10-21 v6 SD RAS Dislike

Roger Rittenhouse

2021-10-11 01:55:52+00

Strongly opposed. Please keep Troy with Rochester, Birmingham and all of Oakland County. I've lived in Oakland County communities since 1976. Macomb County has not supported Covid vaccinations and masking to the degree necessary to protect the public welfare. Macomb's political leanings in general are the antitheses of all I believe in that is in the best interest of the student world, the old, the underprivileged, the medically under-served, diversity and inclusion, and the plight of our immigrants. Macomb and Oakland are literal worlds apart in values and attitudes and MUST be kept separated in this redistricting exercise.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Thank you.

John Musich

2021-10-11 01:55:22+00

I want to thank the committee for its work.

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC

Like

District 96 seems to fit your stated criteria.

Roger Rittenhouse

2021-10-11 01:50:45+00

Only time will tell, but I think separating Midland from Isabella County will be a positive. Regarding the map in general, I don't have enough information to knowledgably critique all of the districts. However, multiple newspaper articles have indicated that the current maps favor one political party. As a citizen of Michigan, my hope was that the maps would result in a legislature that more accurately reflects the voters of this state, would result in more competitive districts, would lead to more collaboration in Lansing, and would result in elected officials who are responsive to the citizens of this state. Too often, I receive responses from elected officials that tell me they will not be taking my opinion into consideration if they bother to respond at all.

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Opinion	Too often they appear in	Ruth Helwig	2021-10-11 01:46:50+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Like	I agree with Daniel Harris.	Roger Rittenhouse	2021-10-11 01:41:44+00

I support map 201 which does not split Ottawa County or separate our communities of interest. I am a resident of Georgetown township and we hold much more in common and multiple regards to Hudsonville, Zeeland and Holland than we do with Grand Rapids. With Ottawa County being the fastest growing county in the state I would hate to see its congressional so drastically altered. Please use map 201 to allow this blossoming community the chance to also blossom together at the congressional level. Thank you for your time and keep Georgetown Township with Ottawa County.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Opinion

Daniel Atwood

2021-10-11 01:39:16+00

Please keep Georgetown Township as part of Ottawa County. I am against redistributing. I agree with map 201

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Laura Potgeter

2021-10-11 01:34:11+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	When this commission is drawing Congressional District Lines, please understand that Ottawa County should be kept whole and that the communities form a shared interest that has deep ties agriculturally, economically, and culturally.	Grace Veenstra	2021-10-11 01:33:31+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy should remain in Oakland County. I can't understand the logic is moving Troy which borders all the other Oakland cities.	Julia Rodriguez	2021-10-11 01:33:31+00

I would like to voice my support for map 201 which keeps Ottawa County whole. This map keeps the communities together such as Georgetown/Hudonsville/Holland and Zeeland which share common interests. Georgetown, of which I am a resident, holds far more in common with these areas than with Grand Rapids. As a precinct delegate I speak on behalf of my community to fully support map 201. Thank you for your hard work and consideration in drawing up these maps. Please keep Ottawa County whole!

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Opinion

Rachel Atwood

2021-10-11 01:33:20+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>This map has a distinct partisan bent and I have lost confidence in the re-districting committee after they rushed through an unscheduled, unannounced vote when all of the members were not in attendance with a only the bare minimum quorum present for no apparent reason other than to be done with it. If we cannot have confidence in the committee, this negates the whole purpose of this entire process.</p>	Ellyn B McCue	2021-10-11 01:31:00+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>We want Troy to stay in Oakland county. We have NOTHING in common with Macomb. We have different belief systems and values. I am against this change.</p>	Krystal Scobie	2021-10-11 01:27:43+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>We would like Troy to stay with Oakland County. We have lived here for 35 years and we rarely go to Macomb County</p>	Lita Regala	2021-10-11 01:26:34+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	This map heavily favors Republicans even though a majority of Michigan votes Democratic. I know the Commissioners are working very hard, but we need to go back to the drawing board to come up with a fair map.	Allen R Wolf	2021-10-11 01:26:15+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This map heavily favors Republicans even though a majority of Michigan voted Democratic in the last election. This simply is not fair and it isn't why we voted to create an Independent Redistricting Committee. I know the Commissioners are working hard and are under a lot of pressure, but we need to go back to the drawing board to come up with a fair map.	Allen R Wolf	2021-10-11 01:23:59+00

PLEASE do not split Ottawa County. ANYTHING and EVERYTHING that happens to Ottawa county impacts the ENTIRE state of Michigan. Can you HONESTLY, and prayerfully, say splitting Ottawa county will be better for MICHIGAN. NOT! I will be praying for you and all the amazing residents of Ottawa county. Please pick the Map 201 option and keep Ottawa together as the strong

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Opinion

community it is. Amen!

Denise Webb

2021-10-11 01:23:17+00

we want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Pooja Raman

2021-10-11 01:21:40+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>we want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair</p> <p>Wow....I am in disbelief at this map. The reason so many people volunteered and worked so hard was to have districts that reflect the people in that district. This map doesn't achieve either partisan fairness nor maintaining even our current Minority Majority Districts.</p>	Amith Thirunarayan	2021-10-11 01:19:56+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Opinion	What is going on here?	Kimber Ann Bishop-Yanke	2021-10-11 01:18:55+00

This map makes a lot of sense. It contains the common interests of large minority groups, urban centers (greater Grand Rapids and Muskegon), large healthcare centers, furniture industries, auto part industries, many of the colleges and universities of west Michigan (Grand Valley State, MSU College of Medicine, Grand Rapids Community College, Davenport University, Calvin University, Cornerstone University, Muskegon Community College, Aquinas College, Western Michigan University Grand Rapids, Kendall College of Art & Design of Ferris State University, and others), transportation centers (Grand Rapids Airport, Muskegon Airport, Amtrak, Greyhound Bus Lines, The Rapid bus service, Muskegon Area Transit System, and Lakeshore Roger Rittenhouse

10-04-21 CD V3a AE Like

2021-10-11 01:18:11+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair.	Mohan Bijlani	2021-10-11 01:15:54+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Please keep Georgetown Township as part of Ottawa County and keep Ottawa County whole.	Lynn Brouwer	2021-10-11 01:14:05+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>It is important to keep Ottawa County together in one Congressional District. It is the fastest growing county in the state and combines a Dutch heritage with a growing Latino population. Ottawa County is the second most diverse agricultural county in the U.S. I have lived in different parts of the county for fifty years and our most effective representation always has been with one Member of Congress who knows the county and its citizens well. Our local, state, and national governmental organizations really help our community. I encourage you to keep us together.</p>	Jack Holmes	2021-10-11 01:12:25+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>Putting Farmington and Farmington Hills into separate districts is the wrong thing to do. Those 2 cities should remain together.</p>	Dana Fortier	2021-10-11 00:57:58+00

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	Why in the WORLD are you putting Farmington/Farmington Hills into 3 separate districts? Farmington/Farmington Hills (old District 37) is PERFECT as it is now. You should keep that one in tact, then work out from there.	Dana Fortier	2021-10-11 00:56:34+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Opinion	I like Troy with District 6. Is this possible to make and still make it VRA Mr. Eid? I still need to take a look at the partisan advantage. Hope this is more competitive.	Yousif	2021-10-11 00:56:06+00
10-11-21 Eid v5 CD	Dislike	Rethink where you have Novi. Keep Georgetown Township as part of Ottawa County	Dana Fortier	2021-10-11 00:54:20+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	No townships in Ottawa county should be lumped in the metro area that is Grand Rapids and environs. Keep Georgetown Township in with Ottawa county voting district Battle Creek should be with Kalamazoo.	shawn freeman	2021-10-11 00:52:02+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like		Thomas A Elwood	2021-10-11 00:37:25+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Jessica M Swartz	2021-10-11 00:27:13+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Ottawa County is a strong community of interest. The task of the commission is to keep communities together. We are the fastest growing county in Michigan, and we should be kept together. I like this map because it does a good job of keeping our community together.	Joe Moss	2021-10-11 00:16:40+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy should remain in Oakland County. My husband and I rarely go to Macomb County. Each of us have lived in Oakland County all our lives. Lumping us in with Macomb County voters doesn't make sense. This proposed change is absurd.	Nina Nowell	2021-10-11 00:07:30+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Please keep Georgetown Township part of Ottawa County, and keep Ottawa County whole!	Sharon VanderBoon	2021-10-11 00:03:47+00

This is the only map the Commission has drawn for a Congressional District including the Grand Rapids area that has a small Democratic voting majority. The other maps I see are Republican. There are many Democratic voters in West Michigan and they need to be represented fairly. Looks like adding Muskegon does this. Both cities are very similar. Also, there are many Black and Hispanic people in Muskegon and Grand Rapids. They need a representative to support them. Thanks for all your efforts.

10-04-21 CD V3b AE Like

Lisa Lamancusa

2021-10-11 00:01:14+00

This is the only map the Commission has drawn for a Congressional District including the Grand Rapids area that has a small Democratic voting majority. The other maps I see are Republican. There are many Democratic voters in West Michigan and they need to be represented fairly. Looks like adding Muskegon does this. Both cities are very similar. Also, there are many Black and Hispanic people in Muskegon and Grand Rapids. They need a representative to support them. Thanks for all your efforts.

10-04-21 CD V3a AE Like

Lisa Lamancusa

2021-10-10 23:59:01+00

Please keep Ottawa County together. We are a good mix of farm land with more populated cities from Holland to Grand Haven and east to Jamestown and Georgetown Twp. Our county has great cohesiveness and would be a shame to break that up.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Brian VanDussen

2021-10-10 23:57:32+00

10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike Troy should not be lumped in with Macomb County. I visit neighboring communities like Rochester - Clawson - Bloomfield. But rarely go to Macomb County. I VOTE and will remember this issue at the appropriate time. Harry Nowell 2021-10-10 23:54:53+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike I spent much of the fall of 2018 knocking on 400 doors and giving several presentations on how gerrymandering works so that we could have a Michigan legislature that fully reflects the balance in the state population. The current map, favoring Republicans by 6.5% while the state voting trends show Democrats in the majority, is not what I wanted from the work I did during the 2018 campaign. Try again. Yes, I know time is short. But we have to live with this for ten years. Paul Kinney 2021-10-10 23:51:01+00

This map has 0 Black Majority Districts in Detroit vs 2 today!

I worked hard to get Proposition 2 passed, so I am very disappointed to note that this map doesn't achieve either partisan fairness nor maintaining even our current Minority Majority Districts"

Basically, this map doesn't get it done! Partisan Fairness means no disproportionate advantage for either party! There are plenty of examples of good maps at Michigan-mapping.org. I strongly encourage the commission to adopt this plan, <https://www.michigan-mapping.org/submission/o6159> by Promote The Vote gives 7 voting rights districts vs only 5 today

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Marcy B Waldinger

2021-10-10 23:48:42+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>It does not make sense to put Rochester Hills, Rochester and Troy with cities in Macomb. While it could be said that there are similarities as far as size of city, there is one critical difference. What concerns Macomb as far as government and representation doesn't not reflect Rochester Hills, Rochester and Troy. Rochester Hills, Rochester and Troy have more in common with Auburn Hills, Bloomfield Hills and Birmingham</p>	Mary Matthews	2021-10-10 23:40:26+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>This map doesn't get it done! Partisan Fairness means no disproportionate advantage for either party</p>	Aaron Haury	2021-10-10 23:31:05+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>I appreciate the work so far, but this map:  * by definition does not achieve partisan fairness, as it gives disproportionate advantage to Republicans. There can be and are maps that achieve partisan fairness.  * has zero black majority districts in Detroit - How does that make sense?</p>	Mitch Hall	2021-10-10 23:30:19+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	This map doesn't achieve either partisan fairness nor maintaining even our current Minority Majority Districts Troy needs to stay with OAKLAND county -- Rochester, Rochester Hills, Bloomfield Hills. Being attached to Macomb makes no sense. We grew up in Macomb and moved to Oakland County for a reason. We pay taxes in Oakland ....not Macomb. We will lose our voices if this version is accepted. This is just not right.	Jared Boot	2021-10-10 23:29:35+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Denise M Stout	2021-10-10 23:27:31+00

This map has 0 Black Majority Districts in Detroit vs 2 today.

There are plenty of examples of good maps at Michigan-mapping.org. This plan, <https://www.michigan-mapping.org/submission/o6159> by Promote The Vote gives 7 voting rights districts vs only 5 today.

This map does not reflect that Democrats are a majority in the state of Michigan. If it were in line with the 2020 voting, there would be 18 Republican seats and 20 Democratic seats. I would prefer that the maps are competitive for both parties so that we don't end up with extreme candidates winning primaries and coasting to victory because their district is so gerrymandered.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Catherine Moras

2021-10-10 23:23:51+00

The state has voted democratic at the presidential level, as well as for the lead offices in Lansing. It is simply not "representative" to make the Senate a Republican body deliberately? An even split might be more acceptable; but not deliberately skewing the results ahead of the vote. That is not the intent of the petition and the statewide vote. Surely you must recognize that!!!!

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Arthur Stone

2021-10-10 23:22:46+00

This district map gives a voice to the centers of largest minority populations in West Michigan (182,000 people total). Grand Rapids has the largest minority population in West Michigan and Muskegon has a large population as well -This is a significant minority vote which deserves not to be diluted.

- Per the 1965/1975 Voting Rights Act, the minority population in West Michigan needs to be properly represented.

- Minority issues of equal opportunity, inclusion and human rights are continuing issues to be monitored and addressed

This map which includes Grand Rapids and Muskegon have much in common “ so a Representative would not have to deal with conflicting priorities.

10-04-21 CD V3a AE Like

-Has Like Communities of

Patricia Dawson

2021-10-10 23:21:54+00

I support map option 201 as the best choice for Ottawa County which includes Georgetown township. It maintains the integrity of the area politically and economically.

It makes no sense to split Ottawa County into 3 congressional districts and violates the very promise of the redistricting commission to maintain districts that fit together and work well.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Opinion

Stephen J. Redmond

2021-10-10 23:20:53+00

The Commission should prioritize political fairness when mapping. Districts should be drawn with as close to zero political bias as possible. The current 6.5% in favor of Republicans should be reduced. The Commission should work with partisan data in front of them in order to whittle this down to a fully objective playing field. All districts should be objectively competitive.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Opinion

Ann Victoria Hopcroft

2021-10-10 23:17:25+00

10-06-21 v6 HD	Like	<p>Battle Creek and Albion deserve honest representation and an end to gerrymandered lines that split them up. They share a lot in common, both have incredible histories and downtown urban areas that have the potential to thrive for the benefit of ALL Michigan residents. With industries and proud campuses of higher education they are clearly not rural areas which also contribute greatly to Michigan in their own way.</p>	James & Virginia Donahue	2021-10-10 23:13:10+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>I love how this map correctly keeps Warren with Sterling Heights and the rest of our surrounding communities. Warren is the heart of Macomb. This map is fair and reasonable!</p>	Jeremy Fisher	2021-10-10 23:11:53+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>That is a very strange carve-out for Midland What is the purpose? Why would a community be entirely separated from the rest of their county? Seems very odd (and I am not an affected resident).</p>	Lisa	2021-10-10 23:10:12+00

10-08-21 v1 CD

Like

This map seems to have more partisan fairness than many of the others. I think grouping the communities the way they did makes more sense than on the other maps.

Debbie Rosenman

2021-10-10 23:09:40+00

This district map gives a voice to the centers of largest minority populations in West Michigan (182,000 people total). Grand Rapids has the largest minority population in West Michigan and Muskegon has a large population as well -This is a significant minority vote which deserves not to be diluted.

- Per the 1965/1975 Voting Rights Act, the minority population in West Michigan needs to be properly represented.

- Minority issues of equal opportunity, inclusion and human rights are continuing issues to be monitored and addressed

This map which includes Grand Rapids and Muskegon have much in common “ so a Representative would not have to deal with conflicting priorities.

10-04-21 CD V3b AE Like

-Has Like Communities of

Patricia Dawson

2021-10-10 23:04:35+00

I want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair. I look forward to the next elections to vote out those responsible.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Tysen Moore

2021-10-10 23:00:19+00

I urge the commission to please place Precinct 14 in Novi to District 110, instead of where it is currently in District 36. This precinct has a significant AAPI community, and there are fears that their voices may not be heard if they are kept with District 36. On the other hand, if the precinct is placed with 110, they can be part of a coalition/voting bloc so that their interests are better served. I hope that the commission considers this proposal so that we ensure that Precinct 14 has a voice and that voice is heard.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Kurtis Fernandez

2021-10-10 22:59:20+00

I'm disappointed in this proposed map. The districts, particularly in the ones in Detroit appear to have it split up into every which way. I would like the commission to respect the municipal boundaries, especially county boundaries, as well as neighborhood boundaries. I was informed that the commission may be interested in limiting racial/ethnic representations in each district, which appears to against the idea of maintaining communities of interests. Detroit is a city with appx 85% African Americans, and as such I would expect my district to reflect close proportional representation.

I would also add stretching out districts over multiple municipalities will only creates challenges to those who represent us, and will be a disservice to the PLEASE include Troy in Oakland County! I never go to Macomb County and spend my time and \$\$ in Oakland County, where we are and should always be.

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Dislike

Soh Suzuki

2021-10-10 22:55:57+00

10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike

Christine Musich

2021-10-10 22:48:26+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	West Bloomfield does not belong with Independence, Highland, White Lake, or Milford, it belongs with other diverse, suburban communities.	Judah Karesh	2021-10-10 22:48:23+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	Please keep Georgetown Township as part of Ottawa County in District 9.	Cindy Amante	2021-10-10 22:44:25+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I agree with Daniel. Also, apparently many in Midland do not feel that they should be in a district with Saginaw and Bay City anyway. Fix the map!	Lisa	2021-10-10 22:42:47+00

If there is nowhere to put the Thumb, it seems it would make more sense to keep it connected to Bay City, Saginaw, Flint, etc. It seems like the rationale is that the Tri-Cities want to be kept together. That's a poor rationale for drawing the Thumb into Metro-Detroit. It's very possible to draw the map differently. There isn't enough African American population in Flint for the VRA to apply to a congressional district so what happens in that portion of the map should not be dictated by Flint. The commission should present maps with different options, including one with the Thumb not drawn into Metro Detroit.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Opinion

Daniel G

2021-10-10 22:24:01+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>The current boundaries make no sense. We are linked with Detroit and are one district - with far more people than anywhere else. This is clearly political and is no way just, democratic, or fair. It's about time we look at what's RIGHT, not what a people can manipulate for their own advantage.</p>	Richard K Rappleye	2021-10-10 22:18:02+00
10-04-21 v1 HD am s	Dislike	<p>I think Cascade township and East Grand Rapids should be included in the north Kent County districts. They are aligned with Ada township in terms of community of interest. They are part of the Greater Grand Rapids Metro area of businesses and shopping.</p>	Joan Long	2021-10-10 22:10:39+00

10-08-21 v1 SD Like As a Grosse Pointe Park resident, I love this map! Thank you for creating a district to reflect our Lake St. Clair community! We will finally have a voice in Lansing to address stewardship of our lake, water levels, lakefront infrastructure, and issues related to combined sewer and storm-water overflows. I cannot thank you enough for giving us this voice! Erin Dindoffer 2021-10-10 22:04:27+00

10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike I absolutely do not agree with Troy being placed in a district with Macomb county. Our city is much more aligned with cities in Oakland County like Bloomfield Hills and Rochester. We share with those communities similar ideals, goals, and expectations for our community. We do not share the same with Sterling Heights and Macomb County. I think the two counties vastly differing responses to Covid-19 serves as an excellent example. Anne Marine 2021-10-10 22:01:01+00

10-06-21 v6 HD

Like

I support this map. Albion has a lot of synergies with the City of Battle Creek and very few with the surrounding rural townships. Both of these cities will benefit from being represented by the same HD. Linda J Pell

2021-10-10 22:00:40+00

very, very disappointed in the proposed map for District 6. This entire process feels like an exercise in futility. Why ask voters for input and then blatantly ignore the many comments that have emphasized the importance of keeping our East English Village, Morningside and Cornerstone Village neighborhoods united in one district and not split up (as historically this has been the case). We are a strong voting bloc - we turn out for elections and make our voices heard. Splitting Morningside does a disservice to all of our three eastside communities. We work together on countless issues and projects (which I have enumerated in prior submissions). In this proposed plan, we are also split off from Jefferson Chalmers as well as the Grosse Pointe communities with whom we share many I like how Warren is included the surrounding areas that help support it.

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Dislike

Maureen Dritsan

2021-10-10 21:59:26+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Like

Stephen Finn

2021-10-10 21:56:33+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	This looks good! Thank you for how you've kept the Macomb County communities together. My district 10 and surrounding districts run too north and south and should run more east and west. Please also be mindful of individual counties and cities within the counties, and their similarities within and differences without. Very different needs and interests in many of these municipalities. Thanks for your hard work!	Carmela Finn	2021-10-10 21:55:01+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike		Stephen Finn	2021-10-10 21:51:53+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Yikes! My district 10 includes a tiny piece of Oakland County with no commonality with Warren or Sterling Heights and then dips into Detroit almost to the river? Why is this so vertical? By having all these House districts dip into Detroit, you're diluting their voice and creating artificial groups of voters. Please change this and try using a more east/west orientation. Please make sure that partisan gerrymandering comes to an end with your maps! Thanks.</p>	Carmela Finn	2021-10-10 21:48:44+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Troy should NOT be with Macomb county! Troy needs to be with like minded communities -Birmingham and Bloomfield Hills.</p>	Amita Bishnoi	2021-10-10 21:48:00+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>District 5 shouldn't go into Wayne County. I believe Clinton Twp. and Mt. Clemens and New Baltimore should be in the same Senate district because those communities are dependent on each other and share many issues and concerns.</p>	Stephen Finn	2021-10-10 21:35:10+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Keep Troy with other communities in oakland county. We have more in common with Rochester Hills, Birmingham, Rochester and Bloomfield. Lumping us with Macomb will not represent our unique communities, demographics or preferences. Highly uncomfortable with this decision.	Ranette Norred	2021-10-10 21:33:23+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Like	Battle Creek should be with Albion not rural townships around it. FYI - Mt. Clemens, Harrison Twp. and Clinton Township should be in the same Senate district. They are very connected in many ways, including in the makeup of the population, and waterfront issues. The only thing that Brandenburg has in common with Clinton is the "Twp" at the end of their names! Please review this and change.	Kathy Garland	2021-10-10 21:31:16+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike		Carmela Finn	2021-10-10 21:30:32+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	<p>I think it's wrong that my District 6 is tied in with Wayne County. It should be grouped with Fraser, Sterling Heights and Roseville - communities that share commonalities of culture and growth and infrastructure.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	Stephen Finn	2021-10-10 21:25:29+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>Do not like! Warren and Sterling Heights belong together - shared school system, infrastructure, industry and a lot of crossover between cities. These two large cities have far more in common in Macomb County than they do with Detroit in Wayne County. Please fix!</p> <p>And thanks for your hard work!</p>	Carmela Finn	2021-10-10 21:22:08+00

I recognize the MANY hours of work that went into this map. However, there are 0 proposed districts with a minority majority over 50% (compared to 5 today). It feels like the commission is "watering down" non-white votes by lumping the (mainly democratic) areas containing high numbers of people of color with rural areas that are highly republican and white.

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Please fix this.	Jocelyn Levin	2021-10-10 21:08:51+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	There is no way Troy should be included with Macomb County. Issues and priorities are more in line with Oakland County.	Jill Palmer	2021-10-10 21:04:36+00
10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	This is a textbook packed map! Please find a division that respects partisan fairness and the opportunity for all votes to have weight.	Janet	2021-10-10 20:40:21+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	(My previous comment was frozen before I could finish so I consider this to be my actual comment.) As a long time resident of Georgetown Township, it is my strong preference that it remain part of the Ottawa County voting district. I have always connected more to the Ottawa County community, and believe that relationship should remain intact in all ways. Ottawa County is a wonderful blend of communities and I see no reason to alter it in this process. I request that Georgetown Township continue to be part of the Ottawa County voting district. Good grouping. This works to unpack the city and include different voices.	Carole Polter	2021-10-10 20:26:27+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like		janet Cannon	2021-10-10 20:23:29+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I am against splitting up Ottawa county, I believe Ottawa County should remain as one district and continue to include Georgetown Township.Thanks	James Newhouse	2021-10-10 20:23:27+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Good solution for unpacking Ann Arbor.	janet Cannon	2021-10-10 20:22:26+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This seems a very fair division, giving a voice to more citizens.	janet Cannon	2021-10-10 20:21:35+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This is a good solution for unpacking Ann Arbor, similar to what you are looking at in other cities.	janet Cannon	2021-10-10 20:19:21+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Please keep Georgetown Township part of Ottawa County! It has worked fine thus far, and has been a positive thing for Ottawa County	Aurelia Lopez	2021-10-10 20:04:55+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	I live and work in Ann Arbor so I can only give the context for the area that I know. As the map currently stands, I am concerned that my senate vote as an Arborite would drown out the voices of Ypsilanti and deny them a degree of self-determination. Any election would be decided by the Ann Arbor consensus no matter what the majority of Ypsilantians decided. I would like to see Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti split into different districts to prevent this. I think Ann Arbor is more similar to Chelsea, Scio and Dexter.	Ian Green	2021-10-10 19:59:56+00

The purpose of the commission is to create districts that are not biased in either direction politically, and best represent the Michigan people. Why then, is this map 6.5% biased towards republican performance, and the house map 10% biased towards republican performance? There have been maps presented to the commission that achieve near 0% party bias (such as the Michigan AFLCIO fair maps project among others), so this is clearly possible and practical. We all deserve unbiased maps that give us the most representative government.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Jackson Pilutti

2021-10-10 19:53:30+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>This map still contains an unacceptable partisan bias towards Republicans. In line with the goal of fairness, Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti should not be packed into one district. Please split the two cities, and look at maps such as the one created by the AFLCIO Fair Maps Project that have been able to get the partisan bias close to 0%.</p> <p>For years, Ypsilanti has been in the same district as Ann Arbor and hence has been drowned out by the overwhelming population of Ann Arbor. Ypsilanti deserves to anchor its own representative and voice in the State just like Ann Arbor so it should be split into a separate district.</p>	Emily Brod	2021-10-10 19:52:53+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Please keep Troy with in a district with Luther Oakland County cities. We share services with other cities in Oakland County and it would be beneficial for us to vote for representatives that can reflect those interests.</p>	Kevin Fei	2021-10-10 19:51:27+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		RACHEL PREBLICH	2021-10-10 19:49:52+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike Please unpack Ann Arbor. Especially, make Ypsilanti its own district. This would support Ypsilanti in developing an independent voice, and would help adjust for political bias in the state. The specific interests of Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti vary in many ways, and it is more reasonable to have them separated. Jack Suber 2021-10-10 19:46:44+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike Ypsilanti and Ann Arbor are distinctly different communities with distinctly different needs, priorities and populations. The people of Ypsilanti need to have their own voice heard and not swallowed up the very different voices coming from Ann Arbor. Their are maps (AFLCIO) that are much less partisan than the map here. So, if you're wanting to get as close to 0% bias, then please consider separately Ypsilanti and Ann Arbor along with any other changes that result in a more evenly designed map. Thanks. Scott Elliott 2021-10-10 19:46:35+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	As a resident of Ann Arbor, I reject the current unfairness of forcing my political opinion on the residents of Ypsilanti. Ypsilanti deserves to anchor its won senate district and to have its own political voice in our state legislature. Separate Ypsi and Ann Arbor	Natasha Abner	2021-10-10 19:44:04+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Thank you for breaking Ypsilanti city and township out of Ann Arbor politics.	Chris Tebbens	2021-10-10 19:42:48+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Please split Ypsilanti from Ann Arbor to ensure we have our own voice in state politics and ensure an unbiased map. The currently-proposed senate map has an unacceptable and anti-democratic partisan bias favoring the republican party.	Joseph Feldblum	2021-10-10 19:42:16+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Rochester Hills and Rochester should not be in the same district as Macomb County. We do not have enough in common. We belong with Auburn Hills, Berkley, Bloomfield Hills, Birmingham and other like communities - NOT with communities like Sterling Height and Clinton Township. It doesn't make sense.	Marlene Davidson	2021-10-10 19:40:55+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Keep Troy with other communities in oakland county. We have more in common with Rochester Hills, Birmingham, Rochester and Bloomfield. Lumping us with Macomb will not represent our unique communities, demographics or preferences. Highly uncomfortable with this decision.	Annalisa Esposito Bluhm	2021-10-10 19:40:37+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Ypsilanti and Ann Arbor should be split, ypsilanti deserves it's own voice and this unfairly packs democratic voters	Greg Woodring	2021-10-10 19:39:40+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Please unpack this area! Ypsilanti deserves its own Senate District!	David Christensen	2021-10-10 19:38:03+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>We want Troy to stay with Rochester, Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb County and see this as a political reach.</p>	Sasank Boppana	2021-10-10 19:35:01+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Opinion	<p>Better than most maps we have been presented, but there are some very strange districts drawn here. Moving the 110th District to the NOVI area is capricious and poorly thought out. It calls into question your motives, actions and abilities</p>	Lisa	2021-10-10 19:30:56+00
10-09-21 v1 HD DJC	Dislike	<p>to make sound decisions.</p>	Barbara J Kramer	2021-10-10 19:30:09+00

I have a problem with this district. As originally drawn, the district was compliant w/VRA requirements and included Auburn Hills, Pontiac and Bloomfield Hills. These communities are all connected via school districts, business districts (Bloomfield and Pontiac annex area on Telegraph, which includes Pontiac Schools for any residents there) and other infrastructure. These communities were removed and an unrelated portion of the Waterford Lakes District was added because a commissioner thought it looked funny. He also stated that Waterford was a better fit. The argument was that the socio-economic, housing and jobs, etc were more similar between Waterford than between Pontiac, AH or Bloomfield. This is patently untrue, per the IRS website that area of Waterford is

10-09-21 v1 HD DJC Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-10 19:14:52+00

ing in extremely  
disappointed to see that  
these proposed House District  
maps still have Novi split in  
half. Novi extends from 8 Mile  
Rd to 14 Mile Rd. NOVI  
SHOULD BE KEPT WHOLE.  
Novi is not 2 separate entities  
and it should not be divided.  
The proposed North Novi  
District stretches to rural  
parts of Washtenaw County.  
Southwest Oakland County  
doesn't have any similarities  
to rural Washtenaw County.  
There are no shared services  
nor similar demographics.  
Novi is a growing city with a  
significant AAPI community  
similar to Troy. Dividing Novi  
in half diminishes the voting  
power of the AAPI community  
and other communities of  
color and suppresses  
important voices in  
democracy. Novi shares  
school districts with Northville  
and Walled Lake and works  
with Farmington and

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Brittany Pinnock

2021-10-10 19:03:24+00

Please do not make Michigan a one party state! This is coming from someone who has voted for democrats, republicans and third party before. We need a balance of power. This redistricting not only takes COI out of consideration, but also ensures MI will be a one party system with NO balance of power. Indians, Asians, Middle Easterners voices are being diluted here and disregarded! Please Use this map 10-05-21 v1 CD.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Yousif

2021-10-10 19:02:32+00

ing in extremely  
disappointed to see that  
these proposed House District  
maps still have Novi split in  
half. Novi extends from 8 Mile  
Rd to 14 Mile Rd. NOVI  
SHOULD BE KEPT WHOLE.  
Novi is not 2 separate entities  
and it should not be divided.  
The proposed North Novi  
District stretches to rural  
parts of Washtenaw County.  
Southwest Oakland County  
doesn't have any similarities  
to rural Washtenaw County.  
There are no shared services  
nor similar demographics.  
Novi is a growing city with a  
significant AAPI community  
similar to Troy. Dividing Novi  
in half diminishes the voting  
power of the AAPI community  
and other communities of  
color and suppresses  
important voices in  
democracy. Novi shares  
school districts with Northville  
and Walled Lake and works  
with Farmington and

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Brittany Pinnock

2021-10-10 19:01:27+00

I agree with Sharon & Murray. These maps and the districts stretching from Detroit into Oakland County will impede Detroit area voters from electing the rep of their choice. As a commenter noted in the comment portal, it is much more expensive to campaign in Oakland County and this could impede many candidates from the Detroit area. Also, while you have drawn most of these districts to be democrat seats, the disparity between the vast amount of split communities and their economic, social and other needs may be so great as to deny all of the voters in the district the representation they need.

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-10 19:01:07+00

is extremely  
disappointed to see that  
these proposed House District  
maps still have Novi split in  
half. Novi extends from 8 Mile  
Rd to 14 Mile Rd. NOVI  
SHOULD BE KEPT WHOLE.  
Novi is not 2 separate entities  
and it should not be divided.  
The proposed North Novi  
District stretches to rural  
parts of Washtenaw County.  
Southwest Oakland County  
doesn't have any similarities  
to rural Washtenaw County.  
There are no shared services  
nor similar demographics.  
Novi is a growing city with a  
significant AAPI community  
similar to Troy. Dividing Novi  
in half diminishes the voting  
power of the AAPI community  
and other communities of  
color and suppresses  
important voices in  
democracy. Novi shares  
school districts with Northville  
and Walled Lake and works  
with Farmington and

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

Brittany Pinnock

2021-10-10 18:58:26+00

changing the composition of the district to get the result one wants is contrary to what this commission was created to do. Also, the supreme court has invalidated maps that stray from traditional redistricting principles.

It should be noted, that in *Larios v. Cox*, 300 F. Supp.2d 1320 (N.D. Ga. Feb. 10, 2004), *aff'd* 542 U.S. 947 (June 30, 2004), the United States Supreme Court affirmed without opinion a three-judge court decision holding unconstitutional a legislative plan within tolerable statistical limits (overall range less than 10%) when the legislature had departed from traditional redistricting principles and had discriminated against Republican incumbents. In *Larios*, Plaintiffs challenged the 2001 congressional and House plans and the 2001 and Lisa

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

2021-10-10 18:50:52+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	City of Troy should be with communities in Oakland county. It shouldn't be combined with communities in Macomb county. The present map doesn't represent or serve the communities values of Troy.	Karuna Boppana	2021-10-10 18:49:07+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I strongly oppose this redistricting. I do not want to share my Troy voting district with Sterling Hts.. We do not share the same values. The city of Ann Arbor needed to be unpacked. The Commission recognized the importance of unpacking cities when drawing districts in Lansing and Grand Rapids. Why is Ann Arbor the exception? More representation for the Senate would be good!	Donald R Plachta	2021-10-10 18:26:19+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike		Emily Brooke	2021-10-10 18:24:20+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Oakland County residents need to stay in Oakland County. This makes no sense!	SHINER	2021-10-10 18:21:39+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County!	Todd Green	2021-10-10 17:53:13+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Please unpack Ann Arbor!  Pittsfield, Saline and Lodi  need to be moved off toward  Jackson. To have fair  representation at the Senate  level, Ann Arbor needs to be  split into more than one  district and Ypsilanti would be  better served with it's own  Senate District. Thank you.</p>	Constance Lippert	2021-10-10 17:36:03+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>We want Troy to stay with  Rochester and Rochester Hills,  and Bloomfield in Oakland  County! We are a community  of Interest with cities there  and are deeply connected to  all of our services in the area.  We really have nothing in  common with the  communities in Macomb  county reaching as far as  Lake St. Clair.â€</p>	Rita Costigan	2021-10-10 17:29:28+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>Thank you for unpacking Ann  Arbor. Looking forward to a  balanced Legislature and  feeling like my vote will count  and not be useless.</p>	Constance Lippert	2021-10-10 17:24:54+00

I want Troy to stay with  
Rochester and Rochester Hills,  
and Bloomfield in Oakland  
County! We are a community  
of interest with cities there  
and are deeply connected to  
all of are services in the area.  
We really have nothing in  
common with the  
communities in Macomb  
County reaching as far as Lake  
St. Clair. Please KEEP Troy -  
Rochester - Rochester Hills -  
Bloomfield in Oakland  
County..... These City's  
belong in Oakland County!!!

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Raymond A Richard

2021-10-10 17:05:01+00

Keep working. This map is also nonsense. Detroit does not belong in Oakland. It deserves its own representative. Vertical lines, dividing Oak Park and Southfield, not keeping community of interests together, and preferring Republicans is not what the Constitution requires or why we voted for a Re-Districting Committee. Foremost is the need for partisan fairness. Second, keep communities who already work together together. Third, give Detroit its due and let it stand alone. And 4th, do not splinter Oakland County one of Michigan's economic generators. We want a stronger better state not a 3rd world disaster. Please unpack this area to make it more fair. As it currently stands it is not equally distributed. Thank you for your consideration. Thank you for unpacking Ann Arbor. I agree with these changes in Washtenaw County.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

nina dodge abrams

2021-10-10 17:02:02+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike

Kirsten Ingrid Ault

2021-10-10 16:49:45+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Kirsten Ingrid Ault

2021-10-10 16:43:40+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Lets get it done!	Allen Hilliker	2021-10-10 16:37:27+00
		Districts 8, 9 and 14 are heavily Democratic. Meanwhile Districts 16 and 18 are safely Republican. One of the purposes of creating the Independent Redistricting Committee was to create competitive districts so that elected officials would need to be responsive to voters. Creating safe districts does not do that. These 5 Districts need to be revised to make them competitive.		
10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed	Dislike		Judith S Goodman	2021-10-10 16:34:45+00
		I agree with Sharon Baseman. The vertical Detroit to Oakland County districts seriously risk Detroiters losing or not having Detroiters in the State House. I understand the need to unpack the Detroit vote, but theses districts go to far into Oakland and Macomb counties jeopardizing Detroit representation.		
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike		Murray A Gorchow	2021-10-10 16:32:42+00

I support this Congressional Map 201. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo have much in common. Healthcare providers and manufacturers, educational institutions, the 131 corridor and a significant amount of the population in this district are good reasons to keep it together. They both contain a significant minority populations who share common interests and needs. Thanks for your thoughtful consideration.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Kimberly D Gates

2021-10-10 16:17:53+00

Represent Citizens not your own interests! Current analysis suggests that the proposed State House and Senate districts favor Republicans by 6.5%. Despite a Democratic majority, there are a proposed 18 D seats to 20 R seats, 7 D districts vs. 5 R districts are packed, and there are 0 proposed districts with a minority majority over 50% (compared to 5 today). Despite being well-intentioned, the independent commission will not inherently lead to the creation of a fair map. Partisan fairness is more important than following city/county lines and making compact districts. Funny shapes are okay - what's NOT okay is a system that doesn't adequately represent the people.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Tracy Kendall

2021-10-10 16:10:36+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	East Grand Rapids does not align with the communities currently in this district. It doesn't have have low-cost housing issues of other cities/townships in this area; nor does it have the high density residences. They don't share common issues. It does not have a significantly diverse population as does the communities it is currently placed with.	Kimberly D Gates	2021-10-10 16:09:48+00
----------------	---------	---	------------------	------------------------

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	East Grand Rapids and Cascade Townships should be included with the northern district. They do not have a common community of interest in their current location that includes many rural townships. The airport in this area should be incorporated along with the city of Grand Rapids because of its integral services.	Kimberly D Gates	2021-10-10 16:03:36+00
----------------	---------	--	------------------	------------------------

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>We need absolute fairness. Michigan has had the worst gerrymandering of districts in the country, and it doesn't appear that your current distribution will give all Michigan voters an equal voice. Please fix this!</p>	Diana Jeanne Munch	2021-10-10 16:02:19+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair.</p> <p>The redistricting should reflect the citizens not your political party. Why do we need to redistrict now it seems to be working. You do it cause you don't like the results of the past election</p>	Tara Odgers	2021-10-10 15:51:25+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>results.</p>	Catherine Tondreau	2021-10-10 15:51:06+00

by combining Oakland County with Detroit and other parts of Wayne, and in some places Macomb, as well as combining Macomb in some places with Detroit makes no sense in a State Senate district. First of all, this map could very possibly mean no representation for Detroit, which would be horrible. District 13 would be better if it did not include Detroit, but did include Hazel Park and Madison Heights, which belong with Oakland, not Macomb. The Detroit districts look very gerrymandered. Detroit deserves to be represented by Detroiters. Alternatively, this district could extend west into Southfield in some way, as well as including Madison Heights and Hazel Park. If that was done, then the northern part of the district could go to District 14. The main point is that it should not include

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Sharon R Baseman

2021-10-10 15:45:42+00

by combining Oakland County with Detroit and other parts of Wayne, and in some places Macomb, as well as combining Macomb in some places with Detroit makes no sense in a State Senate district. First of all, this map could very possibly mean no representation for Detroit, which would be horrible. District 13 would be better if it did not include Detroit, but did include Hazel Park and Madison Heights, which belong with Oakland, not Macomb. The Detroit districts look very gerrymandered. Detroit deserves to be represented by Detroiters. Alternatively, this district could extend west into Southfield in some way, as well as including Madison Heights and Hazel Park. If that was done, then the northern part of the district could go to District 14. The main point is

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

that it should not include

Sharon R Baseman

2021-10-10 15:44:54+00

by combining Oakland County with Detroit and other parts of Wayne, and in some places Macomb, as well as combining Macomb in some places with Detroit makes no sense in a State Senate district. First of all, this map could very possibly mean no representation for Detroit, which would be horrible. District 13 would be better if it did not include Detroit, but did include Hazel Park and Madison Heights, which belong with Oakland, not Macomb. The Detroit districts look very gerrymandered. Detroit deserves to be represented by Detroiters. Alternatively, this district could extend west into Southfield in some way, as well as including Madison Heights and Hazel Park. If that was done, then the northern part of the district could go to District 14. The main point is that it should not include Georgetown Township included in Ottawa County in District 9.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Sharon R Baseman

2021-10-10 15:44:13+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW

Opinion

Karen Walbridge

2021-10-10 15:43:24+00

All of the districts in SE Oakland County are bad. State House districts should not split communities, and this splits Oak Park into two districts, as well as Southfield and other communities. These districts should not go into Detroit -- it dilutes the vote in Detroit and creates the possibility that Detroiters will not have representation. The SE corner of Oakland should remain together -- Huntington Woods, Oak Park, Ferndale, Pleasant Ridge, RO Township, Madison Heights and Hazel Park. These districts should have a more horizontal configuration than a vertical configuration as they currently are. This is also true of south Macomb County and Detroit -- they should be separate for many reasons, but the dilution of the Detroit vote and the possibility of Detroit having no

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

representation makes this

Sharon R Baseman

2021-10-10 15:30:11+00

All of the districts in SE Oakland County are bad. State House districts should not split communities, and this splits Oak Park into two districts, as well as Southfield and other communities. These districts should not go into Detroit -- it dilutes the vote in Detroit and creates the possibility that Detroiters will not have representation. The SE corner of Oakland should remain together -- Huntington Woods, Oak Park, Ferndale, Pleasant Ridge, RO Township, Madison Heights and Hazel Park. These districts should have a more horizontal configuration than a vertical configuration as they currently are. This is also true of south Macomb County and Detroit -- they should be separate for many reasons, but the dilution of the Detroit vote and the possibility of Detroit having no

10-08-21 v2 HD

Dislike

representation makes this

Sharon R Baseman

2021-10-10 15:29:28+00

All of the districts in SE Oakland County are bad. State House districts should not split communities, and this splits Oak Park into two districts, as well as Southfield and other communities. These districts should not go into Detroit -- it dilutes the vote in Detroit and creates the possibility that Detroiters will not have representation. The SE corner of Oakland should remain together -- Huntington Woods, Oak Park, Ferndale, Pleasant Ridge, RO Township, Madison Heights and Hazel Park. These districts should have a more horizontal configuration than a vertical configuration as they currently are. This is also true of south Macomb County and Detroit -- they should be separate for many reasons, but the dilution of the Detroit vote and the possibility of Detroit having no

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike

representation makes this

Sharon Baseman

2021-10-10 15:28:02+00

I have lived in Troy over 20 years and want Troy to stay in Oakland County along with Rochester and Rochester Hills. These cities have common social and economic objectives with Oakland County than Macomb County. I really didn't see a good reason to move those cities to Macomb County.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Yi Cheng Feng

2021-10-10 15:13:33+00

This District 4 map is well done. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo have much in common, and are linked but the busy US 131 corridor. They share many institutions with common interests--12 institutions of higher learning, health care providers and health care manufacturing firms, aerospace, automotive, and technology firms, and so on. Combined, these two urban areas of West Michigan deserve greater representation, and this district will finally give the large minority population in West Michigan a chance to have their voices heard in Congress. While other proposed districts in West Michigan will represent primarily rural interests, this district will represent the significant urban population living here. Please approve this district map.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Gary Stark

2021-10-10 15:07:15+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Redistricting should BETTER reflect the citizens of this state and their political preferences. When a state that has a majority of citizens who vote for Democrats is districted so that Republicans get greater representation, then justice and democracy are not being served.	Lisa Bernstein	2021-10-10 14:56:31+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I support option 201. The residents of Ottawa County have values and beliefs that are best served by having representation from people with those same values. Dividing the county into several districts would not be the best way to serve our neighbors.	Rick Hansen	2021-10-10 14:48:42+00

Stop diluting Asian and Middle Easterners voices please in district 6! Take our COI into account and NOT political parties as some in the panel suggested we just give democrats more districts.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Royal Oak and Ferndale belong to district 3. Please use this map 10-05-21 v1 CD! Let politician fight for our voices!

Yousif

2021-10-10 14:47:57+00

I agree with Daniel! District 6 should not be strongly democrat or strongly republican! I am very disappointed at this last map. It totally disenfranchises Asian and Middle Easterners voices! Please use 10-05-21 v1 CD or come up with a better map!

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Yousif

2021-10-10 14:41:09+00

I have said before, that MICRC will know you they are doing a great nonpartisan job when they make BOTH political parties unhappy. Right now, they are making democrats ONLY very happy with these redistricting. Let both parties FIGHT for our voices! MAKE THEM BOTH UNHAPPY! This map, 10-05-21 v1 CD, is WAY more competitive! it makes both democrats and republicans have to fight for 1-2 seats to guarantee control. It gives democrats 6 seats and republicans 5 seats. 2 seats must be fought for! Use 10-05-21 v1 CD PLEASE!!! This one takes COI into account and NOT political parties!

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Yousif

2021-10-10 14:38:09+00

I have heard some at the commission say we must give an advantage to democrats. NO! We must give COI voices in their respective district and NOT DILUTE our voices! The purpose for MICRC was too take into account COI and NOT political parties! Those people who say we must give democrats an advantage are on the record! LETS NOT MAKE MICHIGAN A ONE PARTY STATE! Let politicians FIGHT for our voices! Let us make districts more competitive!

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Yousif

2021-10-10 14:32:16+00

The reason why we voted for having a nonpartisan redistricting commission is so the maps are fairer and both parties work for our votes. I see these maps give a huge partisan advantage to democrats only. Why would democrats or republicans fight for our votes now? Whoever runs in districts 3 and 6 have NO REASON to fight for the people. They are guaranteed to win based on their political affiliation.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Yousif

2021-10-10 14:28:18+00

District 6 and 3 DO NOT make sense. You are diluting Asian and Middle Easterners voices by including Royal Oak and Ferndale into District 6 when they obviously belong to district 3!

STOP DILUTING ASIAN AND MIDDLE EASTERNERS VOICES!!!

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Yousif

2021-10-10 14:24:01+00

This map is an example of gerrymandering.

Pack all democrats and all republicans in one region and give an advantage to democrats.

So we went from republican gerrymandering to democrat gerrymandering. Not taking into account ANY COI! Packing is what is happening here.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Yousif

2021-10-10 14:20:05+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like Bay City and Midland should be in the same district! The people share so many things! Common goals because of the close proximity of the 2 urban communities. People in each shop, work and spend their leisure time in each other's city. They are more alike than the smaller cities around the area. The major industries and shopping opportunities are in these sister cities! Julie Hilliker 2021-10-10 13:46:35+00

10-08-21 v1 CD Dislike As I said, the plan I just created on Dave's, might have a flaw or two. I just noticed one my self. I just made some minor changes to 11, 12, 13 by switching out Wyandotte for Romulus and moving few Detroit precincts. Now, there are 4 dem, 4 rep, 5 competitive, but still 6.42 likely Dem districts. <https://davesredistricting.org/join/26901765-7806-42a3-b87c-e23285970955> Jack Bengtsson 2021-10-10 13:38:46+00

there are a lot of things wrong with this map, and the other two you uploaded on the 8th. Previously, I have expressed support for map #188 with a few tweaks. I still support that map as a clear alternative to this one. However, I was messing around on Dave's Redistricting this morning and came up with another one. While no plan is perfect, this new plan (see link below) is fairly simple and I think it addresses several concerns that I've read. The tri cities are together, Arenac is part of the aforementioned district as well. Ottawa County remains whole, instead of split into 3 parts, as part of a lakeshore district that goes from Mason to Berrien. Therefore, Kalamazoo and Battle Creek are no longer in part of a district with Ottawa. Muskegon and Kent remain whole as well. There has been Please keep Ottawa County whole. We are stronger together not broken up. We believe in the same things. We would like Ottawa County to remain the same.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Jack Bengtsson

2021-10-10 13:19:40+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW

Like

Anne Walker

2021-10-10 13:18:55+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I want you to keep Georgetown Township part of Ottawa County! I choose map 201.	Carol Goswick	2021-10-10 13:01:01+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	Ottawa County must remain whole. Keep Georgetown with Ottawa County. Ottawa County is composed of individuals of Dutch heritage with like interests and values and a focus on our natural resources such as Lake Michigan..	Linda D Potter	2021-10-10 11:11:37+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I strongly support Map 201 that keeps Ottawa County together. Thank you ahead of time for keeping Georgetown Township part of Ottawa County (JC)	Lucy Ebel	2021-10-10 11:08:39+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I support map 201 because it keeps Ottawa County intact. Thank you.	Howard Bouwens Jr	2021-10-10 10:43:04+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	As an Eastpointer, I'd much prefer to not be in the same Senate district as St. Clair Shores. The Shorians do not share the same political interests or priorities with us more inland suburbs, in my experience.	Jack Van Sullen	2021-10-10 07:24:13+00

Kalamazoo is a Senate District in itself. These people that continue to say that Kalamazoo and Battle Creek should be together because they share a highway or an airport. First of all, I wasn't aware of an airport that went from Battle Creek all the way to Kalamazoo. 2nd using a highway to connect counties is ridiculous. By that logic, you would have to connect every highway to every county it runs through. Now they trying to use the Military establishments which only connect Augusta (the outermost part of Kalamazoo County with Battle Creek). Marshall would have to be included as well. The city of Kalamazoo has absolutely nothing to do with the military community. Our Veterans need a Senator who understands them and knows about the secret budget cuts always in jeopardy for the

10-08-21 v1 SD

Opinion

Sonja Marie Patrick

2021-10-10 06:36:40+00

GOOD EVENING,

Tonight one more thing was brought to my attention I did not even think of about the gerrymandered 74th District. The children from Albion attend Marshall Public Schools yet you have the City of Albion in one District, Albion Township in another, as well as the City of Marshall in another. But instead of having Albion and Marshall together which have a great working relationship together to the point of bring both communities together to share the same school you tie the City of Albion in with the West side of the county. The 74th District is the most stretched, gerrymandered District I see on the map.

It makes no sense not to have both the City of Albion and Albion Township together with Marshall where the two

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Dave Morgan

2021-10-10 06:10:04+00

I never thought of a map like this but it make so much sense since The people of Midland and Bay City spend so much time in each city. Many of the people that work in Bay City actually work for Dow, Corteva or DuPont. And many of the people that live in Midland work for McLaren. We also shop and spend leisure time in each of these cities. But the biggest reason these two cities should be together is because they are both urban areas and need representation that will serve the constituents better. And the rural areas of both counties need the same; a rep that will look out for their needs.

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Molly Morrissey

2021-10-10 05:18:56+00

Ottawa county needs to remain as whole. I oppose redistributing.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Brandy Mayer

2021-10-10 04:41:00+00

I support Map 201. Please keep Georgetown Township a part of Ottawa County.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Cheryl Forbes

2021-10-10 04:13:10+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

After reviewing these I would like to ask for map 201 to be the one chosen. I believe the georgetown township should stay with ottawa county in this redistricting plan.

Chet Seay

2021-10-10 03:54:09+00

1.The MICRC has no one on the Commission who represents the Upper Peninsula, so there is no one who has a vested interest in the welfare of Upper Peninsula residents,

2.Proposal 2 passed by the residents of Michigan in 2018 specifically directed the Commission to focus on "Communities of Interest" when redrawing the District boundaries. Dividing Dickinson County, our "Community of Interest" into two separate House districts violates the spirit and the intent of Proposal 2.

3.Splitting Dickinson County into two legislative districts will increase the cost of holding elections to taxpayers.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Barbara J Kramer

2021-10-10 03:23:06+00

10-04-21 v1 HD am s	Dislike	Cascade Township definitely needs to be included in the north Kent County district senate map for fair representation to ensure keeping community of interest together. The current map shows no relationship when clearly Cascade is closely aligned with Ada Township. In addition, there alignment with Grand Rapids area businesses and shopping. This correlation is not reflected at all in the current map.	Mary Fenske	2021-10-10 03:17:34+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Opinion	Harper Woods should be drawn into an Eastside senate district with the Grosse Pointes like it is.	Ernestine Lyons	2021-10-10 03:12:35+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I like map 201. Please keep Georgetown Township a part of Ottawa County.	Judy Cotts	2021-10-10 03:07:40+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I support Map 201 because I am in favor of keeping Ottawa County together. Please keep Ottawa County together. Keep Georgetown Township part of Ottawa County!	Pamela Telgenhof	2021-10-10 03:00:17+00

The integrity and continuity of the County of Monroe is sliced apart like Swiss cheese in this proposal. There needs to be emphasis on community integrity. This proposal completely dissects the county into 4 different districts, none of which are in their entirety from the County. I believe this proposal is not keeping in the guidelines of the new State law. Thank you

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike		William T Bruck	2021-10-10 02:59:17+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Strong objection to have Troy leave Oakland county.	Zhaohua Lian	2021-10-10 02:36:03+00

This is a disgusting example of political gerrymandering that the citizens of Michigan voted to put an end to when they supported the MICRC. By cracking the townships of Williams, Monitor, and Bangor this board is making it harder for citizens to vote because there will be unnecessary confusion for voters. They won't automatically know who their state rep is because it will be different from their neighbors who live in their exact same township. You are splitting communities of interest, a constitutional mandate, in order to draw two sitting incumbents together for partisan reasons. Shame on you, this commission can and must do better.

10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Adam Kroczaleski	2021-10-10 02:31:56+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	XIKUI GONG	2021-10-10 02:26:41+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	Kathy Dollard	2021-10-10 02:15:29+00

Thanks for putting Midand in district 11 which is more representative of where we belong in the Great Lakes Bay.

These maps once again cut up the northend. The Northend has a long tradition and is really important neighborhood in Detroit. tons of organizations have funded this area and it should all be drawn into one district. The Northend stretches west of Hamtramck to Woodward between i-94 and The Southern border of Highland Park. There is no way this district should go to Taylor. The Eastside of Detroit should have two districts of its own, like it does today.

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Jamaal Williams	2021-10-10 02:00:34+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Keith Den Hollander	2021-10-10 02:00:00+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	Jennifer Mapes	2021-10-10 01:36:53+00

I'm not thrilled with district 18. Lumping part of Royal Oak and most of Ferndale with a part of north central Detroit splits south Royal Oak from its northern neighbors, and dilutes the minority vote for constituents in Detroit. Under the Voting Rights Act you really need to provide opportunities for representation for the Detroit residents. Meanwhile Royal Oak has common concerns with other southeastern Oakland communities like Berkley, Huntington Woods, and Madison Heights (not to mention Hazel Park!); a legislator representing those communities would have a fairly unified docket.

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

William Asher

2021-10-10 01:22:57+00

Switched-out Warren for Taylor, Romulus. . .not really a distinct "option" for residents of this area, between all of your drafts.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE

Dislike

Anthony Scannell

2021-10-10 01:17:05+00

10-07-2021	CD RAS	Dislike	I don't know why this configuration of D1 has persisted throughout all drafts, but it seems bizarre. There is hardly any . . if any . . connection/affiliation between the upper & lower portions of D1.	Anthony Scannell	2021-10-10 01:15:27+00
10-04-21	CD V3b AE	Dislike	D1 loos like a bowtie. Hardly any difference than the collaborative one. Virtually just switches-out Warren for Taylor . . really couldn't come up with ANY other configuration for Detroit? Detroit obviously must be split but this is not a true representation of East-West divide. Neither this collaborative nor Eid's "variant" have much distinction, as they virtually only switch-out Warren for Taylor.	Anthony Scannell	2021-10-10 01:14:23+00
10-08-21	v1 CD	Dislike	Please keep Georgetown with Ottawa County.	Anthony Scannell	2021-10-10 01:07:04+00
10-05-21	v1 CD DW	Like	Please keep Ottawa County whole. Map 201 is an improvement, but Georgetown Township should have the same representation as the rest of Ottawa County. Thank you for your consideration.	Anthony F Sullivan	2021-10-10 01:01:12+00
10-05-21	v1 CD DW	Dislike		Mary Kattreh	2021-10-10 00:58:54+00

I moved to Ottawa County  
five years ago and find it to be  
a good mix of city, suburban,  
and rural communities but  
with its own community  
spirit. It should not be spread  
out among multiple  
representatives but have one  
congressman who can speak  
for our interests.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Pam Reed

2021-10-10 00:48:33+00

Represent Citizens not your own interests! Current analysis suggests that the proposed State House and Senate districts favor Republicans by 6.5%. Despite a Democratic majority, there are a proposed 18 D seats to 20 R seats, 7 D districts vs. 5 R districts are packed, and there are 0 proposed districts with a minority majority over 50% (compared to 5 today).

Despite being well-intentioned, the independent commission will not inherently lead to the creation of a fair map. Partisan fairness is more important than following city/county lines and making compact districts. Funny shapes are okay - what's NOT okay is a system that doesn't adequately represent the people.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Jason Katona

2021-10-10 00:45:55+00

Please keep Georgetown Township connected to Ottawa County, Holland and the lakeshore. We are one community.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW

Dislike

Rhonda L Kempema

2021-10-10 00:44:17+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Opinion Please keep Georgetown Township connected to Ottawa County, Holland, and the lakeshore. It is not necessary to split this location to to more than one representative Patrick morgan 2021-10-10 00:43:29+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Dislike It appears this map is too far drawn into Wayne county. It could be more east west to include Eastpointe and would help keep communities of Warren and Eastpointe together and not favor so much of Wayne County and leave Warren, Eastpointe and Centerline residents at a real disadvantage in having our voiced hears Joel Rutherford 2021-10-10 00:41:16+00

The commission needs to completely redraw Oakland districts 3,6,10. At this point it is a partisan gerrymander to benefit the Democrats in District 6. Chair Szatela redrew district 6 to be 55% Democrat...even when Commissioners Orton and Clarke noted it was a departure from what the commission had been doing. District 10 intentionally packs Republican votes. The 3 districts can be re-drawn in a way that make sense to the eye, and in terms of COI and partisan fairness. 3 districts that would be neither strongly Republican or strongly Democratic. Troy should remain in Oakland County. Our mission, values and shared values that are part of our Troy, Rochester and Oakland County identity. Thank you.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Daniel Grams

2021-10-10 00:38:40+00

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Laura Matthews

2021-10-10 00:35:41+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This map seems to be more partisan than when it was drawn by Republican Governor Engler. Partisan districting is not acceptable. You are doing very important work. Do a better job at measuring and evaluating fairness.	Joy Whitten	2021-10-10 00:27:34+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	This map is good is regard to partisan fairness. Thank you for how Warren, Utica, Clinton, and Sterling Heights are drawn here. This is much more fair than prior districts.	Susan Diliberti	2021-10-10 00:26:02+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Thank you for how Warren, Utica, Clinton, and Sterling Heights are drawn here. This is much more fair than prior districts.	Shania Chehab	2021-10-10 00:25:51+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Like	How is this even a district? Why do these districts seem to be drawn north/south and NOT east west. And taking a small portion of St. Clair Shores out of the district speaks of the old gerrymander. Disappointing. We say again, keep working.....keep working!!!	Shania Chehab	2021-10-10 00:13:31+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike		Jack and Joellyn Ellis	2021-10-10 00:07:26+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Thanks	Mary Hall-Rayford	2021-10-10 00:07:11+00

10-08-21 v1 CD	Opinion	<p>This could be improved by keeping District 6 in Macomb, not across into Oakland. The communities are very different. More importantly, Warren should absolutely be combined with Sterling Heights. In this map, their concerns will be completely bi-passed because the focus will be on Detroit.</p>	Shania Chehab	2021-10-10 00:05:40+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>I really like this map. I like the that the Macomb County communities are together and that Wayne County is it separate voice.</p>	Susan Diliberti	2021-10-10 00:02:49+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Like	<p>This map is does a good job of representing my portion of Clinton Township. It is good to have the northeast of the township above Mt. Clemens, as well as that city, included with the city of Fraser and this section of Clinton Township.</p>	Nancy Duemling	2021-10-10 00:02:42+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	Please keep Georgetown Township connected to Ottawa County, Holland, and the lakeshore. We all have a connection to our values and beliefs. It is not necessary to split this location to more than one representative.	Brian Allard	2021-10-10 00:01:30+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Like	Districts 25, 28, 10, and 12 do an excellent job at keeping Sterling Heights and Warren into one compact area and keeping communities of interest together. Thanks!	Benjamin Orjada	2021-10-10 00:00:28+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Thank you for keeping Sterling Heights and Warren together. This is much better than map #231, where Warren will be completely bi-passed for Detroit's concerns. This is one of the best maps for voter fairness in Macomb County. This keeps integrity and strength of Macomb County voters and not get lost by being in such a small part of a Detroit centric map.	Shania Chehab	2021-10-09 23:58:40+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Thank you!	Joel Rutherford	2021-10-09 23:58:31+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	I really like this District. It keeps Sterling Heights and Warren together and ties together most of Macomb County's industrial corridor and communities of interest. This map does a good job of keeping districts relatively square and leaving Macomb well represented.	Benjamin Orjada	2021-10-09 23:57:39+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	This map overall favors the Republican Party. Please revise it so that the split between the major parties is closer to an even split.	Nancy Duemling	2021-10-09 23:57:01+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	WE are concerned about the overall configuration of the entire map. So many of the basic issues that should be your "gospel beliefs" are simply ignored. (partisan fairness? Communities of interest?) We urge you to keep working and working and working. We implore you to avoid the mistakes of the last gerrymander.	Susan Diliberti	2021-10-09 23:44:41+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike		Jack and Joellyn Ellis	2021-10-09 23:44:13+00

10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Warren is the heart of Macomb. Taking it out of a Macomb Congressional and placing it with Detroit is a gross abuse of power. Warren is the home of the GM Tech Center and Army Tacomb, both of which have employees who live throughout Macomb. Both of which have suppliers in Sterling Heights. Warren should be in with the rest of Macomb.</p>	Jeremy Fisher	2021-10-09 23:44:04+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>Mount Clemens and Clinton Township should share a district. Our communities have shared business and economic concerns. Our district should not stretch north other communities.</p>	Susan Diliberti	2021-10-09 23:42:04+00

The districts need to reflect shared community interests, and it appears that the current configuration favors the Republican party even though most Michiganders vote with the Democratic party. It is not acceptable to continue partisan districting, even if this is unintentional. We need representation, including representation of political party and values. Please continue your important work and do not allow a an outcome that is biased toward one party.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Opinion

Melissa Grey

2021-10-09 23:40:54+00

Mount Clemens would be best combined with Roseville, Clinton Township, Saint Clair Shores, and Fraser. This is important as these communities are very similar and for partisan fairness. They all expanded at the same time during the mid-1900s and have the same infrastructural concerns. Plus, there is a large push to keep lake-shore communities together, which makes sense as they will have similar concerns. Mount Clemens is surrounded by these communities and the concerns of the residents of Mount Clemens are very similar to the surrounding communities.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Shania Chehab

2021-10-09 23:39:59+00

SD 16 is ridiculous and deprives half of Sterling Heights of fair representation. Any district including Sterling Heights should be extending down into Warren, NOT up into Rochester and Oakland Charter Twp.

Sterling Heights and Warren share multiple communities of interest. We are both homes to major automotive plants, strong Polish-Ukrainian communities, and have historically grown together hand in hand for decades. I'm galled by this map in particular because it cracks the Polish Ukrainian community between three districts: 6, 8, and 16. That guts our ability to be represented at all. Fix this and put Sterling Heights and Warren back together.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Benjamin Douglas Orjada

2021-10-09 23:37:28+00

This map continues the  
bizarre configuration that the  
last gerrymander created. St.  
Clair Shores is split from  
Fraser, Eastpointe, and  
Roseville. The St. Clair Shores  
lakeside people should be  
together. Geographically,  
Instead of going way into the  
thumb, we picked up Grosse  
Pointe. Still a very very  
strange map. PLEASE PLEASE

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

keep working  
Warren and Sterling Heights  
should share a Senate District.

Jack and Joellyn Ellis

2021-10-09 23:36:27+00

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

They are sister cities and  
should share a senator.

Emily Boyer

2021-10-09 23:30:20+00

I would like to see Mt Clemens included with Clinton Township and also to see the part of Clinton Township north of the city of Mt Clemens included with the Township, not with the lakeside communities. Splitting the township into two and also including part of Wayne County in the district dilutes the voice of our township, which is the largest population township in the state. It also feels like you are diluting the voice of Macomb County in general by including parts of Wayne County with so many Senate districts. Sterling Heights and Warren are strong communities of interest. District 6 and 16 would be best re-worked to have Sterling Heights and Warren together. They are extremely similar communities and Sterling Heights itself is cut in half, which was an issue with the prior districts.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Nancy Duemling

2021-10-09 23:29:47+00

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Shania Chehab

2021-10-09 23:27:52+00

I do not agree with this map as proposed. Sterling Heights and Warren are more natural partner communities due to shared economic and infrastructural synergies. While Warren shares a southern border with Wayne County, the economic, local governance, and infrastructural ecosystems are much different. In this case, this border as proposed does not honor these distinctions. The district should be redrawn to include Warren, Sterling Heights, Fraser, and Roseville.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

George August Chapp

2021-10-09 23:27:15+00

South Warren has historically had a hard time getting representation on council as well as in the state house and senate. By dividing south Warren into multiple districts, you have weakened the voices of the residents and made it harder to get a voice in Lansing. All of Warren should be together with all of Sterling Heights.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Jeremy Fisher

2021-10-09 23:25:59+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>We are disappointed that St, Clair shores is configured in such a bizarre fashion. Eastpointe and Roseville share common interests with us. Additionally, Macomb County (is a whole)( is split into a bizarre configuration. Please keep working!!!</p> <p>This is terrible. You shouldn't pack Democrats in Warren and Detroit together. Warren and Sterling Heights make much more sense being together along with more of Macomb County, not adding Detroit for fair representation.</p>	Jack and Joellyn Ellis	2021-10-09 23:23:16+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>I would like to see Mt Clemens included with Clinton Township and also to see the part of Clinton Township north of the city of Mt Clemens included with the Township, not with the lakeside communities. Splitting the township into two and also including part of Wayne County dissolves the voice of the township too much.</p>	Joel Rutherford	2021-10-09 23:17:51+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like		Nancy Duemling	2021-10-09 23:17:29+00

Warren is Michigan's third largest city.  
Sterling Heights is Michigan's fourth largest city.  
To call these two cities "sister cities" is an understatement. People work in Warren, and live in Sterling Heights. People live in Warren and shop in Sterling Heights. These two communities are the most closely connected communities in the county. You can make a fair senate map that makes sense simply by merging these two cities. Please do not dilute the voices in these two cities.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Jeremy Fisher

2021-10-09 23:17:12+00

Detroit's western neighborhoods share little with Birmingham. Due to the distinct racial and socioeconomic composition of the two cities, voters in Birmingham and Detroit have different goals. Lumping Detroit and Birmingham together perverts the concept of community of interest so central to Michigan's redistricting process.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Nicholas Martens

2021-10-09 22:57:56+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw share infrastructure, a regional tourism board, and ecological problems. Keeping them together makes a lot of sense.	Nicholas Martens	2021-10-09 22:53:22+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Pontiac and Auburn Hill are effectively one community and should not be divided between two districts. Please keep Georgetown Township connected to Ottawa County, Holland, and the lakeshore. We are one community and share goals and values. It does not make sense to split us into smaller groups and divide the community. Together, we are	Julia L Galliker	2021-10-09 22:53:03+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Ottawa County	Delanie Aguilar	2021-10-09 22:52:10+00

Senate district 20 should be rearranged. District 20's portion of Kent County and northern Allegan County definitely fall within the orbit of Grand Rapids. Most residents of those localities work in the GR metropolitan area. According to the Census Bureau, over 70 percent of workers in Byron and Gaines Townships commute to jobs in Kent County, as do a majority of Dorr Township workers. The northern tip of district 20 belongs with the other Grand Rapids exurbs in districts 3 or 22, not Berrien and Van Buren Counties. One possible solution would be shifting district 20 north to encompass Holland and Grand Haven. District 20 could pick up the southern suburbs and exurbs of Grand Rapids currently split between 20 and 22. Keeping district 20 closer to the lakeshore would place the

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Nicholas Martens

2021-10-09 22:51:23+00

Keeping Troy and Rochester together makes a lot of sense. They represent a shared community of interest.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

Julia L Galliker

2021-10-09 22:51:03+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>Excellent map that gives fair representation to the cities of Midland, Bay City and the surrounding areas. The metro area houses a lot of workers who cross back and forth into the area. Our residents have shared economic and personal interest in being represented together. For example, our major employer, Dow Chemical, has facilities in Bay and Midland as well as employees who live throughout the proposed district. Good map!</p> <p>I absolutely do not understand why Troy and Rochester are grouped together with Macomb County. The population characteristics of Rochester and Troy have much more in common with other second ring suburbs like Bloomfield Township/West Bloomfield. Both Troy and Rochester are integral business and social hubs in Oakland County.</p>	Jacob Hilliker	2021-10-09 22:49:49+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Julia L Galliker	2021-10-09 22:43:03+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Like	I don't understand why East English Village, Cornerstone, and all of Morningside, Grosse Pointe Park and Jefferson Chalmers are not in District 6. This makes no sense geographically, nor does it take into account the fact that these neighborhoods work closely together. This must be changed. It is particularly incomprehensible that the Morningside neighborhood is split into two districts.	Deborah L Thompson	2021-10-09 22:42:08+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Battle Creek and Kalamazoo share an airport, labor shed, military base, and VA hospital. The ties binding Kalamazoo and Battle Creek are far stronger than the COIs represented in district 3's current form.	Nicholas Levi Martens	2021-10-09 22:27:26+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	It is absurd to separate Liberty Park area from Novi as almost 100% of population there is Asian. It should stay with Rest of Novi.	Vinit Gupta	2021-10-09 22:14:03+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	District 6 needs to go all the way to the riverfront to be fair! These maps look awful the way the divide up the (democratic) city!	Gregory Falkner	2021-10-09 22:12:38+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Why is district 6 even a district? You ripped East English village away from its surrounding neighborhoods! Just to meet a criteria! East English village needs to be included in District 4 now!	Gregory Falkner	2021-10-09 22:08:34+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This s terrible! Why would you carve out the East English village from district 4 and add it to a neighborhood across a freeway that it has no connections with? District four needs to go up to the I94 freeway not across it. Add East English Villneighborhood	Gregory Falkner	2021-10-09 22:04:32+00

This map (201) is the best I've seen so far. It keeps Ottawa County and the Southern lakeshore intact as a distinct community represented by ONE member of Congress. Regardless of race, creed, etc. there is a lot that makes this area a distinct and almost unique community of interest. Unlike most areas of Michigan, it is growing and vibrant. It cares about the Great Lakes and the lakeshore recreation it affords to everyone - whether resident or visitor. We have had - and we need - a distinct voice in the Congress who puts the values of this Michigan treasure foremost in his/her work as its REPRESENTATIVE. Don't dilute this valuable voice.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Michael Kuras

2021-10-09 21:53:40+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Not sure why we need to change what is working fine so far. Troy and Rochester Hills should be moved from District 6 (Macomb County) to District 3 (Oakland County) where it belongs as there are more similarities between with District 3. We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area.</p>	Ajit Shah	2021-10-09 21:53:34+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	<p>District 14 takes in a wide variety of communities, from Detroit up to Pontiac, that are generally not included together. It cuts across both the Woodward and 696 corridors, and by packing so many Democrats (who lack other commonalities) together it contributes to the statewide scheme's partisan gap.</p>	Max Milstein	2021-10-09 21:52:02+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>Ottawa County must be kept together. It makes no sense to carve the county up into multiple pieces! Keep Georgetown Township, Holland and Grand Haven in the same district.</p>	Jeffery M Buhner	2021-10-09 21:38:28+00

I understand that current analysis indicates that the districts favor Republicans. Given the fact that our state is at least 50% Democrat, based on the party of both of our senators and our governor, this obviously is not a defensible outcome. One other comment: districts that are heavily tilted toward one party or the other tend to create extreme candidates because the winner of the party's primary is virtually certain to win the election and this leads to appeals to the activist base rather than a more balanced electorate. So I would urge that balance within districts be strongly considered.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Opinion

Robert E Gilbert

2021-10-09 21:29:34+00

Very disappointed in Commissioner Szatela's partisan gerrymander of this district. As Commissioners Clark and Orton noted, the commission had been working to make districts competitive, but this district was changed not to make it competitive, but specifically to give the Democrats a 55% advantage. More Republican portions of the district were drawn into District 10 which is an insane district stretching from Western Oakland County to the Thumb in order to pack Republican voters. The previous version of this district were better.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Dislike

Daniel G.

2021-10-09 21:25:35+00

10-08-21 v1 CD Dislike

Troy being with Eastpointe doesn't make much sense.

Penny Chigan

2021-10-09 21:09:13+00

House District 50 recognizes the Isabella/Gratiot educational community of interest which includes not only CMU and Alma College, but also the Gratiot/Isabella Intermediate School District, operating out of Shepherd (Isabella County) and Ithaca (Gratiot County). Ithaca is an

10-08-21 v1 HD

Like

important part of this map.

Frances Lichtman

2021-10-09 21:09:07+00

The city of Novi is already divided between four different school districts. To divide it again between two state house districts does a huge disservice to the cohesiveness and interests of the community. The more ways we divide up an area the less people see themselves as part of that community and their interests become divided in multiple directions. As someone who lives in Novi but within the boundaries of another school district, I find that many of my neighbors become more aligned with the school district and community where their children attend school and less so with the community in which they live. Minimally, I believe we need to keep the city within one district.

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Karen Zyczynski

2021-10-09 20:44:24+00

Under the proposed plan Novi, which is in Oakland County, is being combined with communities in Wayne County and what I think is a rural part of Washtenaw County where we have no communities of interest. Minimally the district needs to be reconfigured to eliminate the Washtenaw County portion and to include an area with some COI.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

Karen Zyczynski

2021-10-09 20:34:26+00

I know there have been claims of gerrymandering when looking at this district, and I realize that it is a strange shape, but I think the average person isn't understanding how difficult it is to take all of the mapping requirements into consideration. Too many people complain about something needing to be compact or adhering to COI's not understanding that those are all considerations to be used, but not every district is going to reflect those perfectly. In order to maintain partisan fairness and have a map with as close to zero political bias, some people are going to have to sacrifice their wants or their expectations of COI's or compactness. A gerrymander only occurs when a map is drawn to give unfair advantage to one political party. If more than half the Michigan population

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-09 20:32:40+00

I know there have been claims of gerrymandering when looking at this district, and I realize that it is a strange shape, but I think the average person isn't understanding how difficult it is to take all of the mapping requirements into consideration. Too many people complain about something needing to be compact or adhering to COI's not understanding that those are all considerations to be used, but not every district is going to reflect those perfectly. In order to maintain partisan fairness and have a map with as close to zero political bias, some people are going to have to sacrifice their wants or their expectations of COI's or compactness. A gerrymander only occurs when a map is drawn to give unfair advantage to one political party. If more than half the Michigan population Novl P 14 belongs in Novi's State District! Do not split up the APIA community of our city!!

10-08-21 v2 HD

Like

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-09 20:32:05+00

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS

Dislike

Ratna Rao

2021-10-09 20:25:20+00

Thank you for keeping the city of Midland with the Tri-Cities and Flint for a congressional district. I would suggest placing Tuscola County with the Thumb as it more closely aligns with those counties. I lived in Huron County for 11 years and I know that with schools, agriculture and rural areas it was more like the Thumb. That would allow you to place more of Midland County in the district with the city of Midland, especially those areas around the city like Larkin, Mills, and Homer that more closely align with the city. It is clear that many commenters do not understand the intricate work it takes to adhere to all of the criteria required of these maps, so let me just say that I appreciate all the hard work you are doing. You have tried maps taking into consideration the differing requirements from

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Like

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-09 20:24:11+00

Thank you for keeping the city of Midland with the Tri-Cities and Flint for a congressional district. I would suggest placing Tuscola County with the Thumb as it more closely aligns with those counties. I lived in Huron County for 11 years and I know that with schools, agriculture and rural areas it was more like the Thumb. That would allow you to place more of Midland County in the district with the city of Midland, especially those areas around the city like Larkin, Mills, and Homer that more closely align with the city. It is clear that many commenters do not understand the intricate work it takes to adhere to all of the criteria required of these maps, so let me just say that I appreciate all the hard work you are doing. You have tried maps taking into consideration the differing requirements from Troy should remain in Oakland County.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Like

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-09 20:23:05+00

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Nalla Senthilnathan

2021-10-09 20:14:39+00

Making Districts 10, 21,18, and 16 verticle from South Oakland into half of Detroit makes no sense. There is enough space among these 4 districts to make sensible groupings. South Oakland county shares schools, security, libraries, infra structure and community of interests. Detroit has its own combinations of shared community needs. Also, the ethnic, religious, and race interests are so split up that the likelihood of diverse and fair respresentation of COI in the legislature is nil. These are need to be re-drawn and horozontally to be fair and meet constitutional requirements. Detroit deserves its own representative.

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Nina Dodge Abrams

2021-10-09 19:58:23+00

Splitting Oakland up into Wayne and Macomb hurts one the most economically contributing counties of Michigan. Wayne and Detroit deserves to have dedicated representative as does Oakland and Wayne needs their integrity. The South Oakland inner suburbs should be grouped together: they are economically and security tied together, share the same infrastructure problems, and have similer community of interests. These COIs are ignored by including significant parts of Detroit/Wayne and spreading over to Macomb.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Nina Dodge Abrams

2021-10-09 19:45:39+00

I have lived in Clinton Twp. for 32 yrs. During that time I witnessed Macomb Cty being gerrymandered into a Republican dominated area. The map needs to be redrawn to be non partisan and not to favor one party over another, that is the purpose of this commission. The majority of our state voted blue and our state districts need to be more in line with the reality of people's choices.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Sandra Wettergren

2021-10-09 19:29:09+00

The line splitting Berrien County make little sense especially in light of how the MICRC (better!) did the state House and US Congressional districts. Splitting the county is fine with me because it does have somewhat distinct sections, but you did better on the other maps keeping like with like. The east part of Berrien is rural, but the coastal part is lakeside tourist all the way down to New Buffalo. I think it would be better to extend district 20 along the coast and shift the southern part of Van Buren County into 26. Paw Paw would probably rather be in 26 too, so perhaps you could also shift it into 26 and balance populations some other way. I feel less strongly about Paw Paw than the coastal area, though, because to balance population somewhere in the counties around Kalamazoo has to be

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Jan Baumgras

2021-10-09 19:04:35+00

Ottawa county needs to be kept whole as it is a county that is diverse and yet relies on its strong heritage of manufacturing, agriculture, and tourism. It needs single representation from a Representative that knows the county and is aware of its diversity.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Steven A Burman

2021-10-09 18:56:52+00

I disagree with the comment that district 87 is gerrymandered. On the contrary, the coastal area makes sense as a community of interest, which the MICRC is supposed to consider. St Joe and New Buffalo are lakeside tourist towns. In this case, a "long narrow" district makes sense. Most of the rest of Berrien County is rural with many farms. Benton Harbor is probably more like Flint than anywhere else in Berrien County, but Flint is too geographically remote to be in the same district. All the commission can do is decide whether Benton Harbor should be with the a neighboring city or with farming, and I think you make the best choice including it with neighboring St Joe.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Like

Thanks for your hard work.

Jan Baumgras

2021-10-09 18:48:14+00

10-08-21 v1 SD

Like

I agree that Midland belongs with the Tri-Cities for reasons others have mentioned plus reasons I listed in a comment on the US Congressional district map. Thank you for your hard work.

Jan Baumgras

2021-10-09 18:30:27+00

I recently moved from  
Midland but lived there  
almost 30 years, and I believe  
that including the City of  
Midland with the Tri-Cities  
and the Flint area rather than  
the rest of Midland County is  
best. The key word is "city".  
While Midland is a small city,  
it is not a small town, which  
all the other towns in Midland  
County are. In many ways  
Midland resembles Traverse  
City or some Detroit suburbs  
that are too far away to be  
districted with Midland, so  
the best the commission can  
do is group it with other cities  
that have some  
commonalities. Nowhere else  
in Midland County will you  
find any of the following, but  
you would find all of them  
in/near Bay City, Saginaw,  
and/or Flint:  
Large manufacturing site,  
performing arts center, a  
large medical facility, several  
sports venues (some of which Jan

10-08-21 v1 CD

Like

2021-10-09 18:23:18+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>If one studies the representative districts in Kent County, one will notice that the 75th, 76th, 78th, and 86th lean democrat while the 79th, 96th, and 98th lean republican. That is 4 to 3 advantage for the democrats in a county that leans republican. Kent County residents must accept this disfranchisement for the sake of equality.</p>	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-09 18:16:25+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>I don't see any good reason to include Rochester, Rochester Hills into a district with Macomb County when there are parts of Macomb County not in that District</p> <p>The 79th is extended because the democrats who control the "Independent Commission" need to create as many democrat leaning representative districts in Kent County as possible. They must ignore municipal boundaries to accomplish this worthy goal. Remember, we are all in this together and equality is our goal at any cost to some people or most people.</p>	John Woodward	2021-10-09 18:13:47+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>people.</p>	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-09 17:57:33+00

10-08-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>I have been critical of the draft maps in many other areas but I want to commend you for the improvements to District 4. It is compact, reasonable as a community of interest, and relatively competitive. Thank you for eliminating the ugly gerrymander that stretched Grand Rapids to Kalamazoo. District 6 needs to be entirely in Macomb County and not double crossed into Oakland. Macomb County is a distant community of interest apart from Oakland. The two counties have separate Community College Districts, separate sheriff offices, and generally compete in separate high school athletic conferences. Breaking the county line like this also makes district 6 less competitive and I thought the commission was supposed both draw clean lines and err on the side of competitiveness. This map does the opposite of both of these goals.</p>	Daniel Harris	2021-10-09 17:43:44+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Daniel Harris	2021-10-09 17:40:30+00

10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Why is district 79 is Kent County stretched out to split multiple municipalities like that? Earlier drafts had all of Wyoming and Grandville together in one clean district, which is an obvious community of interest. It seems like the more the State House map gets revised, the worse it gets.	Daniel Harris	2021-10-09 17:27:00+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Why is district 74 suddenly stretched out across Calhoun County? In earlier drafts it was a much more compact district in the western part of Calhoun. Please change it back to the earlier version.	Daniel Harris	2021-10-09 17:22:45+00

The City of Coopersville should be included in a State Senate district with the City of Grand Rapids because the City of Coopersville uses tap water provided by the City of Grand Rapids making these communities, communities of interest???????????? Also, after every Presidential Election, Michigan's State Senate and Representative membership should be equally proportioned by party to reflect the party voting percentage in the Presidential election, thereby promoting fairness in Michigan

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

politics????????????

Merlin Steffes

2021-10-09 17:22:16+00

Grand Rapids should be put entirely in one district with Kentwood and East Grand Rapids. This combination is within only a few hundred people of the target population and better represents communities of interest.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Daniel Harris

2021-10-09 17:19:31+00

Please keep residents of Novi together. We are part of Novi community schools and are under the city of Novi. Please keep this sub and others in Novi together as part of the same district. This impacts our representation and also ensures that we are part of the mixed community in terms of our origin (immigration) and multiculturalism (like Novi). Our sub and other parts of Novi should be together; as we are part of have more common with Novi residents.

10-07-21 v1 HD

Like

Ashok Madhuranath

2021-10-09 17:12:50+00

I have lived in Cascade Township for 16 years and am very familiar with all that we have in common with the area represented as north Kent County district senate map #24. We are adjacent to Ada Township and close to East Grand Rapids. All three areas share many businesses, shopping, restaurants, resources, parks, etc. We have similar middle-class neighborhoods, schools, economic parity. In fact, East Grand Rapids, Ada and Cascade are perfect examples of the "communities of interest" that was the point of the anti-gerrymandering campaign. I can't think of any relationship or "community of interest" we have with the current district drawn, #20, that is very distant from us and mostly rural.

10-04-21 v1 HD am s€ Like

Pamela K Olsen

2021-10-09 16:57:14+00

Cascade, Ada and East Grand Rapids should be included in the northern district #24. They are neighborhood communities. They are socio-economically similar. Even though they are suburban, all three look to urban Grand Rapids for work and leisure interests.

Furthermore, Cascade, Ada and East Grand Rapids do not share the housing and employment concerns of south district #23. Nor do their suburban/metropolitan interests align with those of the rural areas of Ottawa County.

Recommend the following revised map to address these concerns:

<https://davesredistricting.org/%2Fjoin%2F8f4e299e-9f02-458e-aecb-77ae231858f5&data=04%7C01%7C%7Cb01dfc4417b24648>

Cascade, Ada and East Grand Rapids should be included in the northern district #24. They are neighborhood communities. They are socio-economically similar. Even though they are suburban, all three look to urban Grand Rapids for work and leisure interests.

Furthermore, Cascade, Ada and East Grand Rapids do not share the housing and employment concerns of south district #23. Nor do their suburban/metropolitan interests align with those of the rural areas of Ottawa County.

Recommend the following revised map to address these concerns:

<https://davesredistricting.org/%2Fjoin%2F8f4e299e-9f02-458e-aecb-77ae231858f5&data=04%7C01%7C%7Cb01dfc4417b24648>

10-04-21 v1 HD am s Dislike

Ocee08d98a99a0f5%7C84df9 Cindy Mader

2021-10-09 16:56:54+00

I'd like to see Albion in the same district as Battle Creek - I think our community has a lot more in common with BC than it does with the rural

10-06-21 v6 HD Like

townships that surround us. Clayton Parr

2021-10-09 16:51:54+00

10-06-21 v6 HD	Like	I believe Battle Creek needs to be in same district as Albion.	Mary Bourgeois	2021-10-09 16:45:40+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	I am disappointed in the redistricting for State House of Representatives (District 17 and District 56). This committee was set up to create fair and non-partisan districts; not partisan re-districting designed to help one party over the other. The communities within the new proposed district are not communities of interest. Agrarian communities and suburban communities have dissimilar needs and different policy concerns. They should not be combined. Your job is to re-district in a non-partisan manner which combines communities of interest.	Steven Hill	2021-10-09 16:38:05+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	This is important, difficult work. I appreciate your due diligence to create maps that are as close as possible to zero political bias. If this map reflects partisan fairness, map #226, Senate District 1 would represent many shared economic, educational/cultural, entertainment and environmental interests.	Catherine Mitzel	2021-10-09 16:33:03+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	Thank you for unpacking Ann Arbor. Agree with the changes made to Washtenaw!	Emily	2021-10-09 16:22:05+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	If the purpose of the independent commission to create partisan fairness across the state is to be achieved, it is essential that Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti areas be split. As it is, we have just 1 senate seat to represent us. All the democrats are packed into one district. This is not partisan fairness.	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-09 16:17:55+00

10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>This is not at all what we in East English Village, Detroit testified for and want. You are trying to split us off entirely from the waterfront communities of the Grosse Points and Jefferson Chalmers where we spend a lot of time, whether it's shopping, going to church, or recreation. You are also splitting apart the Morningside community, a strong neighborhood with its own web site and newsletter. This ignores all the maps and comments we sent in.</p>	Nancy Brigham	2021-10-09 16:17:13+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Like	<p>We need these districts to give us partisan fairness. Our current districts are too packed. This map unpacks us. Thank you!</p>	Margaret Schankler	2021-10-09 16:10:13+00

These maps keep getting worse and worse. Many members of my neighborhood testified about how the East English Village, Morningside and Cornerstone neighborhoods work closely together on many key projects, including developing the East Warren corridor, and are united in MECCA, an East side development group. But you are trying to split Morningside, a very coherent neighborhood, into two separate districts the 4th and the 6th. This is terrible! Please don't chop us up like that!

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Nancy Brigham

2021-10-09 16:06:49+00

Monroe County is served very well in the existing configuration. Splitting Monroe County into 4 House Districts makes no sense, goes against the Communities of Interest idea, and will result in very poor representation for the County. Monroe County is more rural nature and should not be included in any way with Wayne County.

10-08-21 v1 HD

Like

William A Kipf

2021-10-09 15:53:58+00

10-07-21 v1	SD Fixed Dislike	Oakland Charter Township has very little in common with Troy. Please swap Oakland Charter township with out of this district and reeplace it with Madison Heights which is closer to Troy community as a COI. Thanks for all your work. Shuvra Das		2021-10-09 15:43:13+00
10-05-21 v1	CD Dislike	My family wantÂ TroyÂ toÂ stayÂ withÂ RochesterÂ andÂ RochesterÂ Hills,Â andÂ BloomfieldÂ inÂ OaklandÂ County!Â WeÂ areÂ aÂ communityÂ ofÂ InterestÂ withÂ citiesÂ thereÂ andÂ ar eÂ deeplyÂ connectedÂ toÂ al lÂ ofÂ ourÂ servicesÂ inÂ the Â area.Â WeÂ reallyÂ haveÂ n othingÂ inÂ commonÂ withÂ t heÂ communitiesÂ inÂ Maco mbÂ countyÂ reachingÂ asÂ f arÂ asÂ Â LakeÂ St.Â Clair	Jun Ying Zhou	2021-10-09 15:14:26+00
10-04-21 v2	SD Dislike	Doesn't make sense to have Farmington & Farmington Hills in different districts	richard e Landback	2021-10-09 15:10:08+00

10-08-21 v1 CD	Opinion	While I like that the 5th District keeps Livingston whole, is a 50/50 district, and doesn't have Ann Arbor area (although Lyndon Twp should be in 7), I don't like the changes to the 6th turning it from a 50/50 district to a 55% Dem. That's a gerrymandering change that removes one of the few competitive districts in Michigan. Fair districts are districts that can be competitive.	Dan Wholihan	2021-10-09 15:07:35+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Keep lakeshore counties whole. This gives one strong representation I like that this map is a 50/50 map where each party can win with good candidates and that Livingston County is kept whole and not put with Washtenaw County where we do not have much in common. I do however have many VRA related concerns about this map and the IMO bad legal advice and bad data you have received by Mr. Adelson and Dr Handley, although that applies to all maps.	Daniel Jay Scholten 77	2021-10-09 15:01:40+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like		Dan Wholihan	2021-10-09 14:58:45+00

Unpacking Washtenaw  
County is great! There are  
many ways to do this that  
adequately address BOTH COI  
and partisan fairness. The AFL-  
CIO maps \*AND\* the Promote  
the Vote maps have  
articulated some good ways  
to do this, please take a look  
at those too!

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Opinion

Conner

2021-10-09 14:56:14+00

Thank you for putting the City  
of Jackson with other  
communities along the I-94  
corridor! This is a critical COI  
that should be reflected in all  
three levels of government -  
Jackson is NOT a rural border  
community as a county, and  
the City of Jackson certainly  
isn't a rural border  
community. You could even  
improve this district from a  
COI perspective by making it  
look more like this:  
[https://www.michigan-  
mapping.org/submission/p60  
57](https://www.michigan-mapping.org/submission/p6057)

10-08-21 v1 HD RAS Like

Conner

2021-10-09 14:55:09+00

Pittsfield Township should not be lumped in with Ann Arbor - it has its own needs that are more similar to western Washtenaw. There was some compelling testimony to this effect on Friday 10/8. Please

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

move this to District 29.

Conner

2021-10-09 14:52:07+00

just to add a few thoughts.  
My concern is that Novi has been cut in half, but that 's not exactly true. By separating the northern part of the city and lopping it in with rural parts of Washtenaw county, I fear that those voices will be drowned out. that area has a significant AAPI population and without the connectivity back to their own city, school district, economic base and interests, they will be disenfranchised as members of a growing city, and without representation for a significant and valued minority population. If "communities of interest" are a priority, city boundaries and school districts must be kept whole as much as possible.

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Please keep trying.

Julie A Argonis

2021-10-09 14:51:38+00

Saline has more in common with small towns in western Washtenaw like Chelsea and Deter and Manchester, and less with major cities like Ann Arbor and Ypsi/Ypsi Twp. It should be moved to District

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

29.

Conner

2021-10-09 14:51:25+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Scio Township is clearly part of western Washtenaw, and should be in that district instead.	Conner	2021-10-09 14:50:43+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Opinion	THANK YOU for putting Jackson and Washtenaw together! You are on the right track, but you should go further into Washtenaw. Many, many, many public comments supported the AFL-CIO maps which kept this district entirely within Jackson and Washtenaw Counties with none others. Scio, Saline, and Pittsfield should be in that western district, not with AA and Ypsi.	Conner	2021-10-09 14:50:17+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	There is no reason to split Hillsdale County - all of it should be in District 26.	Conner	2021-10-09 14:46:04+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	I don't think there was ANY comment in favor of putting Calhoun and Jackson together at the Jackson public hearing or since. Not sure why this is here. Certainly Marshall and rural Calhoun belong with Battle Creek. I could see keeping Albion with Jackson but that's it.	Conner	2021-10-09 14:45:22+00

Thank you so much for all of your efforts on this incredibly important task. I know that you must look at a number of criteria for this process but it seems that your assignment of a section of Lyndon Township to the align with Livingston, Ingham, etc. counties is not a good choice. I am a resident of Lyndon Township and share no areas of economic or social interest with the above mentioned counties. I work in Chelsea, shop in Chelsea and Ann Arbor, have family members that attend Chelsea schools, patronize restaurants in Chelsea and Ann Arbor, etc. Please reconsider your choice regarding that portion of

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Opinion	Lyndon Township.	Maureen Tobin	2021-10-09 14:39:27+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Why would you divide a city in half. This is ludicrous and defies common sense!	Kathleen Roderick	2021-10-09 13:43:11+00

		I do not appreciate the map splitting my home city of Novi into 2 districts. This is unacceptable. We are Novi. Overall, the map doesn't appear to end gerrymandering at all, and instead, piecemeals the ideas of gerrymandering into smaller chunks to make it seem fairer... but it's not.		
10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Please do better. This keeps city and county of Midland whole but it would be more complete related to the watershed issues to include Gladwin as well. Thanks for working to keep the City and Counties of	Michael L McEvoy	2021-10-09 13:33:50+00
10-06-21 v1 HD	Like	Midland together! fully support this map! it keeps the city and county	Steve Shafer	2021-10-09 13:27:40+00
10-06-21 v2 HD	Like	whole.	Steve Shafer	2021-10-09 13:24:57+00

10-06-21 v4 HD	Dislike	Please keep Midland city and county whole and with our counties to the north as it relates to watershed issues. Many of the needs of Midland Co are supported by those non profits and people of the City of Midland. May of us work together for the community as a whole and there is a large symbiotic relationship in the county that needs to be kept whole.	Steve Shafer	2021-10-09 13:22:51+00
10-06-21 v5 HD	Dislike	Midland city and county should be kept whole and separate from bay city. I oppose this map because City of Midland and county should be kept together.	Steve Shafer	2021-10-09 13:14:05+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	Please keep Rochester hills and Troy together	Steve Shafer	2021-10-09 13:09:06+00
10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Like	Like		Prashanth Balusu	2021-10-09 13:02:11+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Oakland county is an automation hub. Splitting it between Macomb and Wayne counties would be disastrous economically and socially and it should not happen.	Prashanth Balusu	2021-10-09 12:59:20+00

I live in Ada Township. Cascade Township and Kentwood are areas that I go to frequently such as to shop and they are in my Community of Interest and should be in my district along with the northern and eastern areas of Grand Rapids. I never go to Coopersville and Allendale in Ottawa CO and they should be removed from the proposed district 24 as shown in this map. Cascade Township and Kentwood should be added to the same district 24 (grey-brown) shown in this map with Ada Township.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Robert Dragan

2021-10-09 11:55:33+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Please, keep Troy with Oakland County. We see our association with Rochester, Rochester Hills, Bloomfield, and other Oakland County cities/townships/etc as VITALLY IMPROTANT. We do not share values with Macomb County. We are an Oakland County city and our current representation/services/priorities reflect that.	Matthew Michael Sackrison	2021-10-09 11:35:52+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Breaking Novi precinct 14 from the rest of Novi makes no sense. The precinct has a large Asian population similar to the rest of Novi, these communities of interest must be kept together.	Manoharprasad K. Rao	2021-10-09 11:07:07+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Dislike	Independence Township and Clarkston have nothing in common with the thumb. Should be in district 3.	Rob	2021-10-09 07:48:22+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Like	I appreciate the Commission for letting to us and keeping us with similar counties	Sonja Marie Patrick	2021-10-09 07:34:37+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Like	I like this map	Sonja Marie Patrick	2021-10-09 07:32:31+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Like	I'm in favor of this map	Sonja Marie Patrick	2021-10-09 07:31:22+00

This current state house map fails to reflect the cohesion between communities in Southwest Oakland County. The two Novi districts (36 and 110) will divide the City and place each district with the interests of a different county. Proposed District 36 puts suburban Oakland County with very rural parts of Washtenaw County where there are virtually no shared interests. Proposed District 110 places Novi with an oddly drawn portion of Northville in Wayne County which separates a neighborhood. It quite literally divides a neighborhood's representation. The interests of Oakland County's growing suburban communities are vastly different from those of small villages and townships in rural areas.

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

The City of Novi is a crossroads for many

Aaron Martinez

2021-10-09 06:23:24+00

The current map fails to consider the communities of interest within Oakland County. It does not make sense to have the Novi area (proposed District 3) extend into Wayne County while separating Novi from the surrounding areas in our own county. South Lyon, Lyon Township, and New Hudson should all be in a district which is predominantly made up of Oakland County communities, which all have shared resources, schools, histories, and infrastructure.

For example, the City of Novi has 4 school districts within its boundaries: Novi, Walled Lake, South Lyon, and Northville. These communities have strong ties to one another and within the Oakland County community. They should be kept together as much as possible.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Aaron Martinez

2021-10-09 06:09:56+00

10-07-2021 CD RAS	Dislike	Representation will be much more effective and better able to serve constituents if they have cities that have similar values and priorities which is why Troy belongs with Oakland County not Macomb's district 6. Additionally, this map appears to render Indian voices mute.	Dina Shargabian Stuber	2021-10-09 05:12:40+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	This district is pretty well drawn. I agree that these areas of central/western Oakland County share a community of interest. My one suggestion would be to unite Independence Township, though. The current division seems arbitrary, and as someone who has lived on both sides of the drawn line, I can say with confidence that there is no difference between the precincts you have put in and taken out. The township should be unified, if possible.	Alexander Gavulic	2021-10-09 04:51:48+00

We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

r.

Xiuwei Liu

2021-10-09 04:39:14+00

The way this map splits Oakland County is much better than the previous maps, but northern Oakland is still an issue. Independence Township, which rests along the I-75 corridor and is an exurb of Detroit, should be in District 3 with the rest of central/west Oakland County. Trading Orion Township, which does not lie along that corridor, into District 10 would keep population even, but you could also remove Rose Township, Northville, and Northville Township (which aren't even in Oakland County), to keep both Independence and Orion Townships in 3. Either way, Clarkston deserves to be represented along with its fellow exurban communities rather than a region it has no connections to.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Opinion

Alexander Gavulic

2021-10-09 04:31:23+00

Here again is an oddly shaped sub-section that is being removed from its county lines and added to a different district. The only area that this should occur is the very populated city of Detroit and its suburbs. I see no justification for this to occur with smaller towns/cities. Please keep county lines clean to avoid the appearance of special interests that breach public trust.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Robert C

2021-10-09 04:20:35+00

I am Novi resident for past 12 years. Liberty Park has been in Novi precinct 14. I see that it has been taken out from that precinct now. It is divided and put in some other precinct. I strongly urge the commission to please not divide Indian American

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Asha Vinod

2021-10-09 04:14:07+00

community

All efforts should be made to align districts with county lines except for the most populated area of Detroit. Carving out small sections, cities and neighborhoods will breed skepticism and distrust in this process. This map is starting to again carve out small sections and group them in a variety of districts. Please keep lines simpler and clean.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Robert C

2021-10-09 04:12:37+00

Why is this section added to district 5? In fact, why is the entire west portion of Ionia county separated from the other half of Ionia county, given its distance from Grand Rapids? Why can't county lines be better preserved in creating districts when they involve less populated areas?

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Robert C

2021-10-09 04:10:41+00

Why is Ottawa County divided into THREE districts that stretch half way across Michigan? Does it make any sense to group these portions of the county away from the more proximal district 4 that is centered around Grand Rapids? Preserving the area around Grand Rapids into one district seems more logical. Why not draw district 4 to cover both Ottawa and Kent counties and then group Ionia county into district 5? Following county lines in less populated areas best preserves the appearance of fairness.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Robert C

2021-10-09 04:09:52+00

District 8 spreads too far across the state to be reflective to regional differences. This would break up the current District 6 that includes Allegan, Berrien, Cass, St Jospeh, Van Buren and Kalamazoo. One of the tasks assigned to the commission is to create compact districts. District 8, as drawn, seems to contradict this edict. The eastern, central and western portions of District 8 should be divided and grouped in closer geographical proximity to neighboring counties.

10-08-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Robert C

2021-10-09 04:08:52+00

I completely agree with Lisa's comment. Clarkston and Independence Township belong in District 3, not 10. Orion Township could be swapped into 10 (it has roughly equal population as Independence), or the Commission could remove Northville, Northville Township, and Rose Township from 3 while keeping Independence and Orion for a likewise workable population difference.

This map is certainly an improvement for Oakland County over the past maps, but Independence Township remains part of the exurban Detroit suburbs, especially along the I-75 corridor, and it should be paired with its fellow exurban/suburban communities in 3 rather than a region it shares no interests with in 10.

10-08-21 v1 CD RAS Opinion

Alexander Gavulic

2021-10-09 04:08:26+00

10-08-21 v1 CD	Like	Thank you for keeping the Tri-Cities and Flint together in this map. This map creates a competitive district for District 11. It serves the Tri-Cities and Flint very well by insuring that we will have a voice representing the urban, suburban, and rural interests that all these counties share.	Cassandra M Foley	2021-10-09 04:05:03+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Why does the commission want to carve up a single county into being represented by 5 different congressional districts. The commission can do better than that!!! These carve out areas need to be minimized in several areas across this map.	Robert C	2021-10-09 04:03:30+00

10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Please leave county lines intact when dealing with less populated areas. As previously stated, why not leave the entire county of Jackson as part of district 8? Stop looking so much at numbers to make certain statistical goals and use more common sense in maintaining county lines, to avoid the appearance of gerrymandering or special interests.</p>	Robert C	2021-10-09 04:01:42+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Born and raised in Berrien County. Why carve out this part of Berrien County and attach it District 8 that spreads across the entire base of Michigan. Leave county lines intact whenever dealing with less populated areas where there is already shared history, government and economic interests. Likewise, the east part of Jackson county is carved out of District 8 and attached to District 7, when it should remain as an intact county and left in District 8. Why are there so many odd carves out on this map???</p>	Robert C	2021-10-09 04:00:26+00

10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Dislike	<p>Midland should not be separated from the Bay City and Saginaw! The Tri-Cities and Flint should be in the same district so that there is a voice in Congress for their common interests. Midland is an active, growing city; we are not focused on rural concerns. This map ignores that fact.</p> <p>Carving out small portions of one county and lumping them into another district is questionable in less populated areas. This compromises the commission's integrity against special interests and gerrymandering. The only locations that should be divided outside of county lines are large city locations. This has been repeatedly</p>	Cassandra M Foley	2021-10-09 03:59:50+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>mentioned.</p> <p>An unnecessary carveout that should be redrawn. Please keep county lines together in less populated areas.</p>	Robert C	2021-10-09 03:59:35+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Robert C	2021-10-09 03:58:39+00

10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Why is this corner of Ogemaw County being placed with District 13, instead of being preserved with the rest of the county to District 12? Carving out small portions like this makes no sense in less populated areas. There are several areas on this map which does so and is compromising the commission's integrity against special interest.</p>	Robert C	2021-10-09 03:56:04+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	<p>It looks like this map grants West Bloomfield's request to be one District at the expense of Bloomfield Township which is now divided into 3 separate Districts. Our local Democratic Club which had 2 State Reps to interact with would now have 5 of them!</p>	Allen R Wolf	2021-10-09 03:54:52+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I like that this map puts the City of Midland in the same district as Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint. I have lived here my entire life and believe Midland - an urban center with several Fortune 500 companies as major employers - has much more in common with the other cities in the Great Lakes Bay Region than it does with the counties included in the proposed District 13.	Sally Youn	2021-10-09 03:54:09+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	I like that this map puts the City of Midland in the same district as Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint. I have lived here my entire life and believe Midland - an urban center with several Fortune 500 companies as major employers - has much more in common with the other cities in the Great Lakes Bay Region than it does with the counties included in the proposed District 13.	Sally Youn	2021-10-09 03:52:32+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Novi needs to be kept together and not divided.	Hirak Chanda	2021-10-09 03:48:22+00

This is a good map for District 11. Thank you for keeping the Tri-Cities and Flint in the same district. These areas have so much in common, including hospital systems, educational systems, industries, and a balance of rural and urban interests in each of the counties. This district would be competitive, which is what voters want.

10-07-2021 CD RAS Like

Cassandra M Foley

2021-10-09 03:46:14+00

This federal congressional map for District 10 is an improvement over the previous map, but I would still prefer to see Rochester Hills and Troy remain with the rest of northern Oakland County while removing the portions that are in Macomb. Although I am not sure how to compensate for keeping the large population centers with Oakland county's northern townships, those communities north of Lapeer and Goodrich have more in common with Flint and the rural communities north of them than they do with the the northern townships of Oakland County. I think it may make more sense to keep northern Oakland County together and split southern Genesee, if necessary.

Or split eastern Livingston and add it to District 10 while keeping Genesee and Lapeer

9-16-21 v2 CD

Opinion

Sandra L Dyl

2021-10-09 03:19:23+00

This Michigan Senate map#213 puts the Tri-Cities: Midland, Bay City, & Saginaw, together. This is very good. We have a long history of shared communities of interest across the Tri-Cities and this map recognizes that strong & historic COI. Yes, we have COI with Midland County also, obviously as the City of Midland is the county seat, but northern/western parts of Midland County are very rural and not so connected to the urban/suburban population of the City of Midland. I know watershed has been frequently raised as an issue and a rationale to keep City of Midland with Midland County, but actually the watershed of Saginaw Bay comprises 22 counties and there is no way all of those can remain together. Thanks for putting the Tri-Cities together and especially

10-06-2021 SD RAS Like

Lynn Pottenger

2021-10-09 03:10:40+00

You are doing important and hard work. Thank-you. This map #226 does a reasonable job of keeping the City of Midland together with Bay City, and Saginaw, to create Michigan Senate District 1. These are all urban/suburban populations that share many communities of interest, including the economic/industrial base and their cultural & educational opportunities. I know some folks have complained about keeping Gladwin County and Midland County linked, but the City of Midland is NOT rural and Gladwin County (like northern/western Midland Country) is very rural. They are a good fit with the counties west and north of them. It makes sense to put those more rural parts together. Some of the complaints are about watershed mgmt. and recovery from the

10-08-21 v1 SD

Like

Lynn Pottenger

2021-10-09 03:02:16+00

We want Troy to stay with  
Rochester and Rochester Hills,  
and Bloomfield in Oakland  
County! We really have  
nothing in common with the  
communities in Macomb  
county reaching as far as  
Lake St. Clair

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Nidhi M

2021-10-09 02:36:29+00

Thank you for the important and hard work you are doing. This map #205 does a reasonable job of keeping the City of Midland together with Bay City, and Saginaw, to create US Congressional District 11, along with Flint. These are all urban/suburban populations that share many communities of interest, including the economic/industrial base and their cultural & educational opportunities. I know some folks have complained about keeping Gladwin County and Midland County linked, but the City of Midland is NOT rural and Gladwin County (like northern/western Midland Country) is very rural. They are a good fit with the counties west and north of them. It makes sense to put those more rural parts together. You might consider moving Tuscola County to the Thumb district #10, and could

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Like

Lynn Pottenger

2021-10-09 02:33:49+00

With every iteration the State House map gets worse and worse. There is really no reason to split Novi and put a piece of it with rural area such as Wixom. There is no link between these two areas as COI. All parts of Novi belong together. Similar things are being seen in other districts of SE Michigan. On top of this, this map gives distinct advantage to one party.

Refer to

<https://miaflcio.org/fairmaps/> for a Fair map. It is much more logical and fair.

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Shuvra Das

2021-10-09 02:15:38+00

Northern Washtenaw is gerrymandered with southern Oakland and while they are all great places, it doesn't make sense. Northern Washtenaw should be paired with Washtenaw County communities. They are intimately connected with the greater Ann Arbor community and should be treated as

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Like

such.

Susan Shink

2021-10-09 02:09:20+00

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. And from reading the other comments I can see you have a lot of opinions to weigh. I just thought part of the plan was to create nice compact districts around a community of interest so I am surprised to see the Grand Rapids Metro area split among three or four districts that spread through several counties and all the way to Indiana. The population of the metro area would fit rather nicely into two districts, I think, The airport and all the suburbs and shopping in cascade are part of the metro area. Dividing the metro area of Kent County into two compact districts would allow the more rural areas of Ottawa, Allegan and Barry counties to remain together in their area of interest without pulling in a handful of metro voters. Thanks, and

(Donna) Sue Merrell

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

2021-10-09 01:43:46+00

Please redraw this district!  
Scio Twp is part of Ann Arbor,  
sharing the library district,  
school district, and university  
community. Scio Twp in no  
way resembles Brooklyn,  
Clarklake, Napoleon, Grass  
Lake, etc., which are all  
heavily Republican-leaning  
and rural communities.  
Chelsea and Dexter are also  
communities which resemble  
Ann Arbor more than other  
communities in this proposed  
Dist. 66, as currently drawn.  
They (Chelsea, Dexter), too,  
should be removed from this  
district.

10-06-21 v6 HD

Dislike

Laurie Williams

2021-10-09 01:32:05+00

Troy belongs in Oakland  
County, not lumped with  
Macomb. This is not a  
reflection of our community.  
I am a resident of Troy and  
Troy is in OAKLAND COUNTY.  
Districting MUST reflect that.  
Troy doesn't belong with  
Macomb County. It's in  
OAKLAND COUNTY!

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Michelle A Haight

2021-10-09 01:30:13+00

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Like		<p>I believe a major redistricting goal is to create districts in which elected officials must be responsible to and listen to all their constituent voters. Please give consideration to revising Districts 8, 9, 14, 16, &amp; 18 to create competitive districts &amp; accomplish this.</p>	Bridget Schipper	2021-10-09 01:26:25+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	<p>This district is terrible! How can you include Scio Township in this district? Scio is part of ANN ARBOR - School district, library district, college community, etc. This proposed district is as gerrymandered as our current State Senate District (22). Please redraw this district. Scio should be included with either the proposed 68th or 69th district!</p> <p>Clarkston &amp; Independence Township belong in 3, not district 10. If you have to move a community out, keep Lake Orion with Oxford, Brandon and Leonard. Swap South Lyon and Northville. Northville should be in 7, it is not even part of Oakland County.</p>	Al DuBruck	2021-10-09 01:25:18+00
10-08-21 v1 CD RAS	Dislike		Lisa	2021-10-09 01:16:24+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti need to be separated!	Laurie Williams	2021-10-09 01:14:31+00
10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed	Like	Thank you for keeping Troy and Rochester Hills together. These communities have much in common and this was a good choice keeping them together. Thank you!	Bonnie VanKeersbilck	2021-10-09 01:14:25+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Dislike	Clarkston belongs with District 3, if you have to move a district to 10, it should be Lake Orion; remove Northville from 3.	Lisa	2021-10-09 01:12:39+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti should be in separate districts.	Al DuBruck	2021-10-09 01:12:07+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Like	I like that there is a lakeshore district that can represent lake shore interests	John McGinnis	2021-10-09 01:09:22+00
10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed	Dislike	Please look again at Senate districts 8, 9, 14, 16 and 18. These need to be more fairly drawn so one political party is not favored over the other party. Citizens need a representative who listens to them. If the district is safely drawn the rep doesn't have to work with the other party and will only listen to some of their constituents. This has not been good for our country.	Bonnie VanKeersbilck	2021-10-09 01:08:44+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>Pontiac &amp; Waterford form a community of interest, as do Rochester Hills &amp; Troy, and also Novi &amp; Farmington Hills. Please consider keeping them intact.</p>	Bridget Schipper	2021-10-09 01:03:04+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>live in Huntington Woods which is included in District 21. Looking at how this district has been drawn and many surrounding districts I don't understand the rationale for splitting cities in a district. Also why would you split the district between the 2 largest counties in Michigan? We would be much better served if you kept the south Oakland County cities of Huntington Woods, Royal Oak Township, Oak Park, Ferndale, and Berkley together. Our children attend school in either the Berkley, Ferndale, or Oak Park system. We are all small cities that share services and have always been represented together. Also by drawing our district in with part of Detroit, I feel you are doing a disservice to the people of Detroit who deserve their own representative to speak to their issues.</p>	Mary Ann Fontana	2021-10-09 01:02:44+00

10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	This is ridiculous! Why has Novi P 14 been chopped off from rest of the city? This precinct is heavily Asian/Indian American and an integral part of rest of the community. It belongs in Novi!	Ratna Rao	2021-10-09 01:01:55+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	For our goal to achieve fair maps please give serious thought to how the Oakland County map is divided and consider that Oakland County is trending Democratic and is experiencing population growth	Bridget Schipper	2021-10-09 00:59:59+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	Fix this to include Pontiac with Auburn Hills and Bloomfield, and keep Waterford whole, as it was before Eid messed this area all up. Entire map is bad as well. It appears that no thought has been given as to how an elected official is going to adequately advocate for all the disparate and fractured communities across the state (which is the entire point of this exercise).	Lisa	2021-10-09 00:58:22+00

10-07-2021 CD RAS	Opinion	<p>I agree with Alexander Gavulic's comment. District 3 is a much better fit for Oakland County, but Independence Township is ALSO a part of the shared communities that district represents. Clarkston is made up of exurban Detroit suburbs and is very clearly a part of the I-75 corridor in Northern Oakland County, and should be combined with the communities it shares economic and personal interests to the south along that corridor, rather than the thumb. Note: This is the correct post. I forgot to click on the opinion button in my previous post. The button's default is set to "Like".</p>	Rhonda Irish	2021-10-09 00:58:08+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>These continue to be very poor proposals from a Community of Interest perspective - having Monroe County split into 4 House districts as opposed to the current 2 districts which works well to provide representation to the county.</p>	Thomas Heck	2021-10-09 00:57:05+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Opinion	more equitable representation in Washington is needed for the diverse Oakland County communities	Bridget Schipper	2021-10-09 00:54:19+00
10-08-21 v2 HD	Dislike	Still a terrible map. Disenfranchises communities and voters across the state. I like this proposal for District 8 as it uses current District 7 as a base and adds or subtracts from that for the most part to maintain reasonable Communities of Interest however I dont understand the reasons to add the small slice of Berrien County and removing the small slice of Jackson County. It makes more sense to maintain county lines especially with these relatively minor geographic additions and subtractions	Lisa	2021-10-09 00:53:00+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Like	City of Troy needs to stay as part of the Oakland county since Troy community shares common social and economical goals with the Oakland county community than Macomb county community.	Thomas Heck	2021-10-09 00:51:16+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Jayshree Paal	2021-10-09 00:44:56+00

10-07-2021 CD RAS	Like	<p>I agree with Alexander Gavulic's comment. District 3 is a much better fit for Oakland County, but Independence Township is ALSO a part of the shared communities that district represents. Clarkston is made up of exurban Detroit suburbs and is very clearly a part of the I-75 corridor in northern Oakland County, and should be combined with the communities it shares economic and personal interests to the south along that corridor, rather than the thumb.</p>	Rhonda Irish	2021-10-09 00:42:11+00
10-08-21 v1 HD RAS	Dislike	<p>I strongly encourage the commissioners to consider putting Precinct 14 in Novi to District 110, instead of where it is currently in District 36. The precinct has a significant population of APIA but their influence and voices is diluted by being in the minority in 36. In 110, they can be part of a majority and form a voting bloc with similar interests.</p>	Dmitri Nguyen	2021-10-09 00:34:33+00
10-08-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Thank you. Same terrible map; different day.</p>	Lisa	2021-10-09 00:31:20+00

The Metro Detroit map is better here than in most other Congressional maps the commission has considered so I commend you for that. However, there is no reason to cross Dequindre Road to put Rochester/Rochester Hills into an otherwise Macomb based seat. Just put Macomb Township in the Macomb district and the Rochester area in the Oakland to thumb based seat.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Opinion	Daniel Harris	2021-10-09 00:21:28+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Lisa	2021-10-09 00:19:53+00

Clarkston should be with Oakland County, remove Northville and place in with the Ann Arbor district.

my comment is not about my district. I voted for the Commission and I don't care if Northville is combined with Plymouth, Novi or Ann Arbor. My primary concern is with the electoral fairness of this entire process and whether it is going to continue to favor a minority party statewide. I have lived in Michigan for 20+ years and in every one of the six presidential elections since then, the Democratic candidate has won the majority of votes cast, except in 2016. Similarly, during the same period, Democrats have won the Governor's office three times. Yet despite the fact that Democrats have won the majority of votes statewide in most elections over the past two decades, only twice has Michigan sent more Democrats to Congress than Republicans, only twice has the State House had a District 87 is a hideous looking gerrymander the way it snakes along 3 different counties. Just put it entirely in Berrien County and keep things simple.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

William F L Moses

2021-10-09 00:19:11+00

10-08-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Daniel Harris

2021-10-09 00:14:22+00

		We prefer to keep Troy as part of the Oakland county. Community in the city of Troy has more in common social and economical preferences with the community in rest of the Oakland county than		
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Macomb county.	Bhupen Paal	2021-10-09 00:06:26+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	I do not agree with this map. I count 35 districts that are majority white by voting age population and 0 that are majority black. Good luck winning VRA court cases with those kinds of numbers.	Tina Kline	2021-10-09 00:06:20+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Opinion	Cascade is a intricate part of our community and should be added to the north Kent County district to represent our community.	Daniel Harris	2021-10-09 00:01:43+00
10-04-21 v1 HD am s	Dislike	I am a resident of Troy and Troy should stay with Oakland county.	Vicki Lynn Manning	2021-10-08 23:29:42+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like		Chandni Rastogi	2021-10-08 23:01:46+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>I oppose. Troy should remain part of Oakland County. I lived in Troy for over 35 years. I work, shop, socialize, and worship in cities such as Birmingham, Bloomfield Hills, Royal Oak, Pontiac, Rochester, and Rochester Hills. We are communities with similar interests.</p> <p>The whole point of this is to have a map that is representative, not biased. You've got to get this right. I appreciate all of your hard work and hope you continue working so that Michigan residents are fairly represented.</p>	Suresh Vemuri	2021-10-08 22:58:43+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>I would recommend moving Brownstown Twp and Flat Rock from this proposals for District 60 into the District 22 Proposal to maintain county lines and because they have more common interests. I would propose adding the Monroe County portions of District 40 over to to District 60 add population with common interests (versus moving those to District 65).</p>	Marni Schmid	2021-10-08 22:58:15+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>I would recommend moving Brownstown Twp and Flat Rock from this proposals for District 60 into the District 22 Proposal to maintain county lines and because they have more common interests. I would propose adding the Monroe County portions of District 40 over to to District 60 add population with common interests (versus moving those to District 65).</p>	Thomas Heck	2021-10-08 22:37:22+00

Considering the rational in the Dorothy Munson comments below, this looks like an excellent opportunity to reduce/eliminate packing in the south #23 district, more fairly represent the large number of Democratic voters in the area and improve overall statewide partisan fairness percentage. Greatly improves meeting the requirement for Communities of Interest as well.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Cary Fleischer

2021-10-08 22:36:46+00

I live in Cascade Twp and it REALLY needs to be aligned North Kent County Senate map #24. The Airport and Ada are all aligned with this region which is serviced by the Airport! Cascade is both affluent and metropolitan in it's interest and makeup. It only makes sense to align "like" areas. East Grand Rapids borders Cascade Twp and has the same make up. It too should be included in Senate map #24. I really don't see a "non-partisan" commitment in these current maps. They still appear very gerrymandered to me.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

KAREN T SANTELLI

2021-10-08 22:31:14+00

This proposal for District 65 should include the areas of Monroe County currently proposed for District 40 (Ash Twp, Carleton, Steiner). If population is needed to fill the District proposal keep Milan and York Twp as they have more in common with the sections of Monroe County than Belleville and the area in Wayne County between Huron and Romulus. I would move those areas into

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

District 40 proposal.

Thomas Heck

2021-10-08 22:29:46+00

Dorothy Munson

Following are comments to the latest Commission State Senate map VERSION 10-04-21 V1 HD AM SESSION and similar versions in the Greater Grand Rapids Kent County West Michigan area These changes improve the districts around Grand Rapids. This map illustrates the suggested changes map

<https://davesredistricting.org/join/8f4e299e-9f02-458e-aecb-77ae231858f5> 1

Cascade township needs to be included in the north Kent County district senate map (shown in blue) -Has no relationship (community of interest) with the current district drawn (shown in purple)-all the way down to the state line and to Lake Michigan -The airport is there which services the city -It is closely aligned with Ada township to the north in terms of community of

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Dorothy Munson

2021-10-08 22:28:14+00

10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>This proposal for District 40 makes absolutely no sense from a Community of Interest perspective. The City of Taylor has no common interests with the Villages of Carleton or Waltz or the section in Ash Twp of Monroe County. I dont believe it even has any common interests with New Boston in Wayne County. The areas in Monroe County should be included in the proposal for District 65.</p> <p>Thank you for placing the City of Midland with other areas along I-75. We are a manufacturing community. I was raised in Saginaw in General Motors land. This is Dow (et al) land, but it is still an economy driven by manufacturing.</p>	Thomas Heck	2021-10-08 22:24:14+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like		Daniel P Segura	2021-10-08 22:18:42+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	<p>I like this proposal for District 28 as it maintains what is currently District 17 as Monroe and Lenawee Counties enjoy a relatively tight relationship. I like adding the remainder of the City of Milan from Washenaw County so as to not split the city between 2 districts. However I dont understand adding Sumpter Twp in Wayne County. I recommend keeping the Sumpter Twp section with the adjacent District in Wayne County to maintain County Lines.</p>	Thomas Heck	2021-10-08 22:16:29+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Opinion	<p>I like this proposal for District 8 as it uses current District 7 as a base and adds or subtracts from that for the most part to maintain reasonable Communities of Interest however I dont understand the reasons to add the small slice of Berrien County and removing the small slice of Jackson County. It makes more sense to maintain county lines especially with these relatively minor geographic additions and subtractions.</p>	THOMAS HECK	2021-10-08 22:08:21+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy should stay in Oakland county.	Raj Rajaraman	2021-10-08 22:05:08+00
10-08-21 v1 CD	Like	Even though I don't like how Oakland county is split its the best map so far	David Schell	2021-10-08 21:59:55+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Farmington and Farmington Hills should obviously be in the same district. Too many interests in common to separate politically.	theodore m iskenderian	2021-10-08 21:56:56+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Rochester, Rochester Hills and Troy should not be grouped in with Macomb County. The above three communities function as one community of Oakland County and have little in common with Communities in Macomb!	Carole	2021-10-08 21:55:46+00

Current analysis suggests that the proposed State House and Senate districts favor Republicans by 6.5%. Despite a **\*\*Democratic majority\*\***, there are a proposed 18 D seats to 20 R seats, 7 D districts vs. 5 R districts are packed, and there are 0 proposed districts with a minority majority over 50% (compared to 5 today). Despite being well-intentioned, what's NOT okay is a system that doesn't adequately represent the people. Close enough isn't good enough as these district lines will control what happens in Michigan for the next 10 years. Partisan fairness must be measured and evaluated every step of the way. Thank you for your work. I hope you're strive to do better.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Glenden Hess

2021-10-08 21:50:41+00

Cascade township and East Grand Rapids should both be included with the northern Grand Rapids district; the communities are part of the greater Grand Rapids metro area, and connecting them together into one senate district would create a district that can be more reasonably represented. Cascade has no connection with the current district it is drawn in, and does not share a community of interest with the communities further south.

Effective representation for residents of Cascade - many of whom use Grand Rapids city water and sewer systems - would mean including them in the broader Grand Rapids community where they live, work, and play.

Instead, I urge the commission to adjust the northern district to include

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Sarah Woolsey

2021-10-08 21:47:53+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>I am one of those people living in a rural northern county who happens to like the addition of Muskegon to our district. We, like many of our neighbors moved here following retirement from a much more diverse, urban community. We, and many of our neighbors, do in fact live here year round and we take a progressive view toward many policy issues. The only hope we have for getting our district in alignment with these views is by including a county like Muskegon in our mix.</p>	Bonnie Garbrecht	2021-10-08 21:46:02+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>Troy, Rochester and Rochester Hills belong in the Oakland county district. They are part of I696 corridor community of interest - which connects the Oakland county community - it make no sense to tie them to Macomb county - please revisit this.</p>	Marian Mahoney	2021-10-08 21:45:36+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>We want RH to stay with Troy, Bloomfield and Clarkston inOakland County. We are a community of interest with cities there and our deeply connected to all of our services thin the area. We have nothing in common with the cities touching Lake St Clair.</p> <p>Lets not dilute Asian and Middle Easterners voices!</p> <p>Royal Oak and Ferndale belongs to District 3.</p> <p>Please let's make those maps more competitive and not just one district for democrats and one district for republicans.</p> <p>Let both fight for our votes!</p> <p>I like Troy with Rochester Hills and Sterling Heights in District 6.</p>	Maureen Miller	2021-10-08 21:44:11+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>Royal Oak and Ferndale in District 3.</p>	Yousif	2021-10-08 21:42:07+00

I like this map a lot more than the other one that got a lot of press a couple weeks ago. The effort to draw a district where urban areas of Kent and Kalamazoo counties are in the same district is a good idea IMHO. The areas surrounding those two urban areas then get placed into more rural districts which will tend to represent more of their population more accurately. Thank you for listening to requests to change that other map.

9-29-21 v1 CD

Like

Alan Waddilove

2021-10-08 21:23:52+00

Troy does not belong with Macomb County. If you look at the demographics especially. Troy, Rochester Hills and Rochester should not be combined with Macomb County. We have very little in common with the cities that border Lake St. Clair, and Troy has different interests than those in Macomb County. This is a bad idea. Please try again.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Katherine L Greig

2021-10-08 21:21:46+00

we know this is hard but please don't stop until this is made right. The city of Novi extends from 8 mile to 14 Mile. This map cuts north Novi off from our city resources and community that we have grown to love over the last 25 years. The area has been trending blue for years and finally have achieved a decent partisan balance. Instead of putting our area back with the rest of our city, you have added in Farmington Hills which makes no sense. This map places us with other areas that we have NOTHING in common with. Novi shares school districts with other OAKLAND county cities of Northville, Walled Lake and South Lyon. We have absolutely nothing in common with Washtenaw County. Extending our district south of 8 Mile or west of our county line puts us with areas

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

we share nothing with. Our Keep Rochester Hills in

Adrienne Elliot

2021-10-08 21:07:56+00

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Oakland County

Robert Storck

2021-10-08 20:59:50+00

Thank you very much for voting to publish a state senate map with the Lake St. Clair lakeshore whole. If you adopt a map with District 7 intact, we will have a voice in Lansing to address our lakefront concerns, including water levels and issues related to separation of storm and sewer systems to prevent (or at-least minimize) dumping of sewage into our Lake. I also highly advise that the Commission listen closely to the opinions of residents of the Jefferson-Chalmers neighborhood of Detroit, which was added to the district. In my mind, of all Detroit neighborhoods, Jefferson-Chalmers is the one neighborhood that is most similar to the nautical nature of the rest of District 7. However, whether the District is finalized with or without Jefferson-Chalmers included should be deliberately based

10-08-21 v1 SD

Like

Robert Dindoffer

2021-10-08 20:55:44+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Like	I approve of this senate district. It keeps the Tri-Cities together which is important and also adds some of the suburban townships most closely aligned with the city of Midland. Thank you and keep up the good work!	Jennifer Austin	2021-10-08 20:48:18+00
10-07-21 v1 SD	Like	I approve of this senate district. It keeps the Tri-Cities together which is important and also adds some of the suburban townships most closely aligned with the city of Midland. Thank you and keep up the good work!	Jennifer Austin	2021-10-08 20:47:56+00
10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed	Like	I approve of this senate district. It keeps the Tri-Cities together which is important and also adds some of the suburban townships most closely aligned with the city of Midland. Thank you and keep up the good work!	Jennifer Austin	2021-10-08 20:47:33+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>Close enough isn't good enough. Partisan fairness must be measured and evaluated every step of the way. In recent State elections, Democrats have one the most votes overall, but lost elections in district after district due to gerrymandering. Leave the 6% favor for Republicans is a wrong solution!</p> <p>I'm concerned that the commission is not using all of the tools available to it. Experts are available to draw maps that meet the criteria while maximizing partisan fairness, complying with the VRA, and meeting the other criteria. People want to choose their politicians because (1) they want local representatives who are responsive to constituent issues and (2) because they want legislative policy to reflect the values of the voters. (2) is all about partisan</p>	Maureen Sheahan	2021-10-08 20:47:32+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Opinion	fairness.	Nicole Shannon	2021-10-08 20:44:19+00

10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	I approve of this senate district. It keeps the Tri-Cities together which is important and also adds some of the suburban townships most closely aligned with the city of Midland. Thank you and keep up the good work!	Jennifer Austin	2021-10-08 20:39:36+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Make all of the voting districts non-partisan. That was the point of this whole process. Get it right before getting it done.	Louise Ott	2021-10-08 20:38:28+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Thank you for putting Midland with the tri-Cities and Flint in a congressional district. I would suggest putting Tuscola with the Thumb. This would allow you to place more of Midland County in this district and take less from Oakland and Macomb counties in the south for the Thumb district.	Jennifer Austin	2021-10-08 20:35:21+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	Thank you for putting Midland with the Tri-Cities and Flint as it should be. I would also suggest placing Tuscola County with the Thumb district. This would allow you to put more of Midland in this district and take less from Macomb and Oakland for the Thumb district.	Jennifer Austin	2021-10-08 20:33:22+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Opinion	Also, Tuscola county should be with the Thumb. That would give you room to add some of Midland County to this district and not grab so much from Macomb and Oakland for the Thumb district.	Jennifer L Austin	2021-10-08 20:31:36+00

Thank you for continually placing Midland in with the Tri-Cities and Flint in a congressional district. Not only are we a urban/suburban COI with city concerns, but this district helps to create a map that is closer to zero political bias. Let's keep that up. Please make the districts a close to 50/50 split for D's and R's and have as many competitive districts as possible. Let's make these politicians work for our votes instead of assuming they can have them because they are in a safe district. Maybe then we can have legislatures that compromise and work together for the betterment of all people, not just their extreme base.

10-07-2021 CD RAS Like

Jennifer L Austin

2021-10-08 20:29:46+00

I am not too concerned one way or another what our state house district looks like. This meets some of the map criteria and is a decent district based on compactness and geography. But it is imperative that we keep partisan fairness in the center of our minds. You have had a lot of practice mapping on compactness, COI's, not splitting more than is necessary, and I see that you are mapping based on VRA and partisan fairness issues. Let's keep that up. We must have a map that has as close to zero political bias as possible. The map must reflect the voters of the state and not give undue advantage to a party that receives fewer votes. Let's make our legislature work for us by making it so they have to work for our votes. Legislatures in safe districts

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Opinion

don't have to work for votes. Jennifer Austin

2021-10-08 20:25:58+00

we want Troy to stay with  
Rochester and Rochester Hills,  
and Bloomfield in Oakland  
County! We are a community  
of Interest with cities there  
and are deeply connected to  
all of our services in the area.  
We really have nothing in  
common with the  
communities touching Lake  
St. Clair.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Carrie Leser

2021-10-08 20:18:14+00

I'm torn on this map. I would love to see districts more compact and square. I would love to see COIs kept together. And I would love to see House districts that aren't spread out so candidates don't have to travel as much to talk to voters and concerns are more centralized around an area. At the same time, partisan fairness is the most important factor as far as I am concerned. We have had maps that decided how our legislature was made up for decades. Republicans gerrymandered so badly that even though there were a majority of voters who voted for Democrats, the Republicans held minority rule. That has to end. We need maps that achieve as close to zero political bias as possible and if that means my district is shaped like a bone then so be it. We can't all have what we want. The most

Jennifer Austin

10-07-21 v1 HD

Like

2021-10-08 20:16:43+00

I applaud the commission for doing the actual right thing and including midland in this tri-cities-flint district. If some people really want midland county together, I recommend including the rest of midland county instead of Tuscola county in this district. Zach Geyer

10-07-2021 CD RAS Like

2021-10-08 20:15:20+00

First I would like to say thank you for your service while serving on this commission. I have been quietly watching the commissions on line meeting and wanted to see how things would turn out. I know the reason this commission was formed was to attempt to eliminate gerrymandering and it is safe to say few Districts in the state were gerrymandered more than the 62nd District which now is even worse in your District 74. I am a life long resident of Pennfield Charter Township and served as the Township Supervisor for 4 of those years. As I have watched our "New" District evolve during your meetings it has been disheartening to see that once again the main concern has become to make sure it is a Democratic seat and not a seat that truly represents the people.

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

David Morgan

2021-10-08 20:12:25+00

10-07-2021 CD RAS	Dislike	Troy should stay with cities that border us to the west and share our county, economy, our common interests, our services, and our values. We have little in common with these cities in Macomb county that we are grouped with. Troy does not belong with Macomb county.	Anne Smith	2021-10-08 20:12:04+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Like	This district accurately represents the Tri-Cities and puts them together in a competitive district. Thank you commission for creating this!	Zach Geyer	2021-10-08 20:11:07+00
10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed	Like	Thank you for keeping Troy and Rochester Hills together. My friend lives in Rochester Hills and Troy is her go to place. These two cities belong together. Good job. Split Ann Arbor and Ypsi up!	Lauren B Lisi	2021-10-08 20:09:54+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	This district is an 80% democratic pack. Put Ann Arbor with Dexter and Manchester instead.	Zach Geyer	2021-10-08 20:09:34+00

I love the map including the creation of a lakeshore district. This clearly demonstrates an understanding of the unique needs of lakeshore communities that are so very different than our rural and agricultural counterparts. Our unique needs for lakeshore conservation, education, transportation, tourism and economic development can be joined in one voice for District 87. Thank you for this consideration.

10-06-21 v6 HD

Like

Laura Goos

2021-10-08 20:07:18+00

This district as well as 8 and 9 are heavily Democratic, while other Districts, like 16 and 18 are safely Republican. This will mean that representatives in these districts will not be as likely to listen to their voters as they might be if the districts were more fairly split between republican and democratic voters. Please redo these Districts so that they are more competitive. Fairness and representatives who are responsive to their constituents is ultimate goal.

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Dislike

Lauren B Lisi

2021-10-08 20:06:28+00

As a resident of this district, I will have to agree with both other comments made about it here. This area of Kent County is much more linked to GR and its surrounding suburbs than the southern counties which it is drawn in with. While I understand the need to meet quotas on population distribution, the Cascade area at the least should be included in a district like the current 24th. While some adjustments would need to be made with surrounding districts, I believe this would still produce a more equitable and contiguous result overall than the map as currently drawn.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Thank you.

James M Aidala

2021-10-08 20:05:10+00

Every map the Commission posts continues to ignore the very real issues raised by numerous commenters on north and west Oakland County. Only the county's northeastern most townships (Addison, Oxford, Oakland), have any feasible connection with Romeo or Lapeer in District 10. The rest of the county should categorically not be paired with the thumb. Northern Oakland County shares a community of economic and personal interest with areas in the central part of the county - Pontiac, West Bloomfield, Commerce Township, Novi, etc. - and has no shared community at all with the rest of District 10. I've attached what an Oakland County-based district that unites these communities could look like here. Oakland County deserves the same standard

10-07-21 v1 CD DC

Dislike

of consideration that the  
keep Troy in Oakland County

Alexander Gavulic

2021-10-08 20:00:24+00

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

elizabeth s walter

2021-10-08 20:00:06+00

This remains a major sticking point of any map the Commission puts forth. North and Western Oakland County have no alignment with the thumb. Only the northeastern most townships (Addison, Oxford, etc.) could conceivably have connections to cities like Romeo or Lapeer in District 10. The rest of that area is much more connected to Pontiac, West Bloomfield, Commerce Township, Novi, etc, and deserves to be represented as such. I've attached what a proposed Oakland County-unifying district could look like. Please don't split Oakland County like this - we're a community that deserves representation just like the rest of the state.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Dislike

Alexander Gavulic

2021-10-08 19:54:34+00

I appreciate the hard work the first ever independent redistricting commission is doing to create fairness in our political system. However, this current map does not seem like it creating fairness. It appears that the Metro Detroit area suburbs are cracking pieces of Detroit out, which will end up limiting these constituents political power. many of these suburbs also do not have common interests with the parts of Detroit they are taking from. Also. Oakland County is cracked up into 9 different districts, only 1 of which remains entirely in the county. This needs to be changed. 1 improvement would be to Madison Heights and Hazel Park in Oakland Co. and put the rest of Sterling Heights back in Warren Co. This map seems to unnecessarily break up COI's. Brian David Stawowy

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

2021-10-08 19:53:19+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Rochester Hills and Macomb should be kept separate. I have lived in both areas and they are very different. Rochester Hills has much more similarity to Clarkston.</p> <p>Keep the county lines! Please keep Novi together! Novi extends up to 14 Mile and this proposal is separating my community from the rest of the city and putting us in an entirely different county of Washtenaw. This makes no sense! You are grouping a heavily populated area into a rural area where we have little in common. Traditionally we have worked closely with Walled Lake, South Lyon, and Northville and would like to continue to do so.</p>	Amanda Jane Steen	2021-10-08 19:52:40+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>Do the job the voters of this state asked you to do. Create fair and balanced districts that represent our diverse</p>	Rachel Sines	2021-10-08 19:47:24+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>population.</p>	Leonard Laurence-Probert	2021-10-08 19:47:13+00

Please keep Novi together!  
Novi extends up to 14 Mile  
and this proposal is  
separating my community  
from the rest of the city and  
putting us in an entirely  
different county of  
Washtenaw. This makes no  
sense! You are grouping a  
heavily populated area into a  
rural area where we have  
little in common.  
Traditionally we have worked  
closely with Walled Lake,  
South Lyon, and Northville  
and would like to continue to  
do so.

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Dislike

Rachel Sines

2021-10-08 19:44:38+00

Royal Oak falls into how many districts? Looks like at least 3. And how many other communities fall in the 18th and 21st? Seems like Royal Oak should be contained within a single district since Royal Oak has more in common with the rest of Royal Oak than other communities it's packed with. And 9 districts cross the Wayne/Oakland county line? Doesn't seem like this adequately prioritizes consideration of county, city, and township boundaries or communities of interest.

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Dislike

Paul Kittinger

2021-10-08 19:43:47+00

This version of the map gives republicans up to a 6 point advantage as well as really drowning out the voices of our Asian population. Novi is a very diverse community with an large and exemplary school district. Splitting the representation of our school community will negatively impact the cohesiveness of our community. Hacking a small chunk of our city off on the North side will create problems as that is one of the few areas in Novi with Multi family housing options. This area also includes a large percentage of the lower incomes in Novi. Hacking them off of our city will disenfranchise these voters by putting them in with a large group of rural voters, with whom, they have nothing in common. As this project's goal is to create a fair map, I would

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

ELIZABETH BEAUDOIN

2021-10-08 19:43:25+00

Please keep Novi together!  
Novi extends up to 14 Mile  
and this proposal is  
separating my community  
from the rest of the city and  
putting us in an entirely  
different county of  
Washtenaw. This makes no  
sense! You are grouping a  
heavily populated area into a  
rural area where we have  
little in common.  
Traditionally we have worked  
closely with Walled Lake,  
South Lyon, and Northville  
and would like to continue to  
do so.  
No way, Jamestown is not a  
community of interest with  
Kent County.

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Rachel Sines

2021-10-08 19:41:13+00

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

FLOYD BURT PATRICK

2021-10-08 19:38:31+00

this map needs some adjustments. This commission cannot be afraid to re-examine West Michigan. Ottawa County is split far too much here. That is not an honest assessment of the county and details the commissions lack of knowledge pertaining to this area of the map. Kent County being whole makes sense but it shouldn't bleed into Ottawa County either. The bordering townships such as Jamestown, Georgetown, Tallmadge, Chester, and Wright, are not only part of Ottawa County but that is how they associate as well. Connecting Lake Michigan in Ottawa County with Saginaw Bay in Aernac County isn't right. Muskegon should also fit into this map instead of being placed with Midland County. It's frustrating to see the commission continue to use this as a starting map when so

FLOYD PATRICK

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

2021-10-08 19:35:38+00

I'm extremely disappointed to see that this map has split Novi in half. Novi extends from 8 Mile Rd to 14 Mile Rd. NOVI SHOULD BE KEPT WHOLE. Novi is not 2 separate entities and it should not be divided. The proposed North Novi district stretches to rural parts of Washtenaw County. Southwest Oakland County doesn't have any similarities to rural Washtenaw County. There are no shared services nor similar demographics. Novi is a growing city with a significant AAPI community similar to Troy. Dividing Novi in half diminishes the voting power of the AAPI community and other communities of color. Novi shares school districts with Northville and Walled Lake and works with Farmington and Farmington Hills. Do not divide Novi into multiple districts. Keep Novi together in one district and

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Brittany Pinnock

2021-10-08 19:35:34+00

This map is going in the right direction, but Independence Township needs to be in District 3, not District 10. It has a roughly equal population with Orion Township, which can be switched into 10 to maintain population balance. Northville City and Township could also be switched out for a roughly equal population balance, as they aren't even a part of Oakland County. Additionally, Independence Township is part of the I-75 corridor and maintains a shared community of interest with all of District 3.

I still firmly believe none of Oakland County should be paired with the thumb, and that a unified exurban Oakland district (all of the county other than the southeast corner of Southfield, Bloomfield Hills, Troy, Rochester Hills, and

10-07-2021 CD RAS Opinion

Royal Oak), would represent Alexander Gavulic

2021-10-08 19:33:00+00

Van Buren and Allegan should be kept whole and together for the Senate as a community of interest. They share many functions of government as well as a large Hispanic population. Both are rural, agriculture and tourism based economies with many similar small towns through the counties. Aside from being a community of interest, together, they fit nicely as about 2/3 of a Senate district and could take in other parts of similar rural or coastal counties. Holland would be a good fit, Barry County would be another. Van Buren and Allegan have much more in common with each other than they do with the "Michiana" border counties.

10-08-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Joshua Przygocki

2021-10-08 19:32:31+00

I do not agree with this redrawing of the district lines. There is not good reason to carve this section out of washtenaw county.

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC

Dislike

Tara Master Hunter

2021-10-08 19:30:00+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>This keeps a united lakeshore district that is largely intact. A quick change on the township in Muskegon County but it keeps Ottawa County whole. By adjusting kent county this would allow Allegan to be made whole as well. This portion of the map is something that should be included in the final product.</p>	FLOYD PATRICK	2021-10-08 19:24:46+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	<p>This seems like a mapping error. Why just one township here that divides Muskegon County?</p>	FLOYD PATRICK	2021-10-08 19:21:57+00
		<p>This eliminates communities that clearly associate with the Grand Rapids Metro Area. Specifically, I am referring to Plainfield Township, Alpine Township, Rockford, and Cannon Township were I reside.</p>		
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	<p>Kent County should be kept whole and potentially with counties of Berry, Montcalm, Ionia, or even Newaygo. Kent County needs to be fixed.</p>	FLOYD PATRICK	2021-10-08 19:20:45+00

the current proposal for Novi makes zero sense to how we operate as a city and community. We consistently share resources with neighboring cities such as Northville, Walled Lake/Wixom, Farmington Hills and Farmington. We even had a consortium for Novi, FH, and Farmington at one point. We have zero in common with the rural communities outside of our area and share no commonality in operations. You are also marginalizing our growing diverse population here with how you cut this map. You are cutting up school districts, and including counties that have nothing to do with our area and our neighbors. Novi to the north needs to be whole with the rest of our community.

I voted on redistricting to make people's voices better heard and the cutting up of

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Katherine Dooley

2021-10-08 19:17:59+00

This is a disappointing result for Wyoming, a city of 76,500 persons, to have a split representation in house districts. A singular, unified voice for residents of the city is of the utmost importance, but the arbitrary extension into Byron Township seems unnecessary when many draft maps to this point created a suitable, strong district with Grandville and Wyoming together in their entirety. Wyoming/Grandville or Wyoming/Grand Rapids are much better suited to the residents and are representative of the communities of interest in the metro-Grand Rapids area.

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

John Fitzgerald

2021-10-08 19:13:51+00

Troy and Rochester Hills should be moved from District 6 (Macomb) to District 3 (Oakland). Oakland county should be kept as one.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Sreedwaraka Prasad Boppana

2021-10-08 19:13:23+00

Following are comments to the latest Commission State Senate map VERSION 10-04-21 V1 HD AM SESSION and similar versions in the Greater Grand Rapids Kent County West Michigan area

These changes improve the districts around Grand Rapids. This map illustrates the suggested changes map <https://davesredistricting.org/join/8f4e299e-9f02-458e-aecb-77ae231858f5>

1 Cascade township needs to be included in the north Kent County district senate map (shown in blue)  
-Has no relationship (community of interest) with the current district drawn (shown in purple)-all the way down to the state line and to Lake Michigan  
-The airport is there which services the city

10-04-21 v1 HD am s Dislike

-It is closely aligned with Ada An unnecessary carveout that should be redrawn.

Dorothy Munson

2021-10-08 19:06:24+00

10-07-2021 CD RAS Dislike

Robert C

2021-10-08 19:05:59+00

10-07-2021 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>Why does the commission want to carve up a single county into being represented by 5 different districts. The commission can do better than that!!! These carve out areas need to be reduced. Splitting up lots of SE Michigan cities that have cohesive identities (and voting concerns) - makes no sense! I see that you tried to have lily white Livonia have more POC by including neighboring communities (and since Plymouth still comes out very white!), but I don't know how that helps represent voters. Everyone on the political spectrum deserves to have their concerns heard (hearts and minds can be changed other ways).</p>	Robert C	2021-10-08 19:05:11+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Grand Rapids, Kentwood, and East Grand Rapids have the perfect population to create a State Senate District, 265,000 people. Why are you splitting Grand Rapids?</p>	Elizabeth Calhoun	2021-10-08 19:04:40+00
10-07-21 v1 SD	Dislike		Merlin Steffes	2021-10-08 19:04:19+00

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed	Dislike	Grand Rapids, Kentwood, and East Grand Rapids have the perfect population to create a State Senate District, 265,000 people. Why are you splitting Grand Rapids?	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-08 19:03:47+00
10-08-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Grand Rapids, Kentwood, and East Grand Rapids have the perfect population to create a State Senate District, 265,000 people. Why are you splitting Grand Rapids? Please leave county lines intact when dealing with less populated areas. As previously stated, why not leave the entire county of Jackson as part of district 8? Stop looking so much at numbers to make certain statistical goals and use more common sense in maintaining county lines, to avoid the appearance of gerrymandering or special interests.	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-08 19:02:43+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Dislike	Southfield needs to move from District 3 from District 2	Robert C	2021-10-08 19:00:32+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Michelle Randall	2021-10-08 19:00:31+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Warren should be kept with Macomb county and emphasize that Troy should be kept with Oakland County Born and raised in Berrien County. Why carve out this part of Berrien County and attach it District 8 that spreads across the entire base of Michigan. Leave county lines intact whenever dealing with less populated areas where there is already shared history, government and economic interests. Likewise, the east part of Jackson county is carved out of District 8 and attached to District 7, when it should remain as an intact county and left in District 8. Why are there so many odd carves out on this map???	Michelle Randall	2021-10-08 18:59:11+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Dislike		Robert C	2021-10-08 18:53:44+00

Northville a carveout? Look at the traffic patterns and COI; this area is connected to M14 (Washtenaw) 275 (Western Wayne county) and i-96 to Detroit where residents here work. Active infrasture issues and activity is tied to Western Wayne/Washtenaw. This is a specific cutout - would like an explanation. This appears to be about pulling Southgate, etc.. into #7. They are not Western Wayne, and have completely different infrastructure and COI. This is about 10 years into the future. No-Northville carve out. Put with #7... #2 isn't a good fit, but it's better than #3 which will always get a rep from Auburn Hills/the lakes area of Central Oakland. This has to be a hard No. Either divide at the county line at 8 mile - or bring in Novi like the AE maps.

10-07-2021 CD RAS Dislike

Diana

2021-10-08 18:48:21+00

Why is Ottawa County divided into three congressional districts? this is ridiculous. It splits up an agricultural and economic community of interest. Ottawa should be kept whole. Jamestown, Georgetown, and Hudsonville belong with Ottawa County not cracked and given to Kent County. To me this looks like an effort to gerrymander Ottawa County to dilute a traditional republican area.

10-07-2021 CD RAS Dislike

FLOYD BURT PATRICK

2021-10-08 18:45:48+00

I am a resident of Genesee County and strongly support being included with the Great Lakes Bay region, along with all of Saginaw, Bay City, and Midland. It would create a diverse district with strong ties to both parties, to city areas, and to rural areas.

10-07-2021 CD RAS Like

Elizabeth Ullrich

2021-10-08 18:44:36+00

as with the state district map, this senate district separates Novi from similarly minded neighbors like Farmington, Farmington Hills, in favor of rural communities like Salem and Superior Township - little in common with 696/96 growth corridor. It also crosses three country lines, linking Wayne, Oakland and Washtenaw which seems as excessive and unnecessary as splitting plymouth and canton, and farmington and farmington hills. This district is not balanced, is not connecting communities of interest and is miss-matched all the way around

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Dislike

Julie A Argonis

2021-10-08 18:34:33+00

Dozens of public commenters requested a Jackson-Washtenaw district, not sure why you included Calhoun and Hillsdale but split the non-AA/Ypsi part of Washtenaw. I could see including Albion with Jackson, but even so, Albion is more connected to Battle Creek.

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Dislike

Conner

2021-10-08 18:31:56+00

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Dislike	<p>You should keep Hillsdale County whole in District 26, this would allow you to draw a true Jackson-Washtenaw district as requested by dozens of public commenters. Conner</p>	2021-10-08 18:31:32+00
10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Opinion	<p>Dozens of public commenters requested a Jackson-Washtenaw district, so it's a good start! But I'm not sure why you included Calhoun and Hillsdale but split the non-AA/Ypsi part of Washtenaw. Pittsfield Township belongs with western Washtenaw in district 29 -- dozens of public commenters requested a Jackson-Washtenaw district, not sure why you included Calhoun and Hillsdale but split the non-AA/Ypsi part of</p>	2021-10-08 18:31:04+00
10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Opinion	<p>Washtenaw. Conner</p>	2021-10-08 18:30:42+00
10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Opinion	<p>Saline belongs with western Washtenaw in district 29 -- dozens of public commenters requested a Jackson-Washtenaw district, not sure why you included Calhoun and Hillsdale but split the non-AA/Ypsi part of Washtenaw. Conner</p>	2021-10-08 18:30:32+00

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Opinion	<p>Scio Township belongs with western Washtenaw in district 29 -- dozens of public commenters requested a Jackson-Washtenaw district, not sure why you included Calhoun and Hillsdale but split the non-AA/Ypsi part of</p>	Conner	2021-10-08 18:30:20+00
10-06-2021 HD v1 RA Like	<p>Washtenaw. This district is a good start! The I-94 corridor is a critical COI, and this map helps partisan fairness. It could be better and more compact if you draw it like this: <a href="https://www.michigan-mapping.org/submission/p60">https://www.michigan-mapping.org/submission/p60</a> 57 40% of Jackson votes for Democrats, so it should have at least 1 competitive or lean-D district between its state house, state senate, and Congressional maps. You're on the right track!</p>	Conner	2021-10-08 18:27:55+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE Dislike	<p>Southfield Township should be split from Southfield city and included with Birmingham. The township shares more in common with Birmingham--school districts, socioeconomic demographics.</p>	John	2021-10-08 18:23:33+00

why on earth would you cut  
Novi in half and separate key  
elements of its economic base  
across two districts? Not sure  
that carving up school  
districts across legislative  
distric lines is a good idea at  
all, and lobbing in a rapidly  
expanding suburban  
community in with a rural  
communities across county  
lines really stretches the  
notion of "communities of  
interest." School districts are  
the backbone of any  
community and should be  
kept intact across legislative  
district lines. This is not  
happening in this map, not  
just for Novi, but for several  
districts in the surrounding  
area. Busting up school  
districts, crossing county  
lines, miss-matched suburban  
and rural 'communities of  
interest' with very little in  
common from housing stock  
to population density to  
infrastructure needs to  
Birmingham and Bloomfield  
should be kept together.  
This seems like an odd  
carveout. Why not work to  
keep the county together?

10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Julie A Argonis	2021-10-08 18:22:34+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	John	2021-10-08 18:18:39+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Dislike	Brenden Boudreau	2021-10-08 18:14:50+00

10-07-2021 CD RAS	Dislike	This is a very odd carveout and will be a headache for this rural Northern district.	Brenden Boudreau	2021-10-08 18:13:45+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	We want to stay Troy with Rochester hills, Bloomfield hills in OAKLAND county! We are a community of interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county.	Yashodhara Gupta	2021-10-08 18:06:54+00

The diverse communities of interest throughout Oakland county need better representation in DC. Many of these communities are tied together economically, and should be kept intact. Partisan balance can be obtained by grouping communities along the 696 corridor, which is a more reasonable solution for an "economic community of interest" than stretching the district north to include more rural, sparsely populated populations with very different economic concerns. Ultimately, it ends up watering down both economic considerations. Pontiac and Waterford should be kept together. Troy and Rochester should be kept together, Farmington hills and Novi should be kept together... all of these similarly-minded communities

10-07-2021 CD RAS Dislike

should be kept together in a Julie A Argonis

2021-10-08 18:05:44+00

10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike

Troy and Rochester Hills should be moved from District 6 (Macomb) to District 3 (Oakland). Oakland County should be kept whole.

Judy Robinson

2021-10-08 18:05:22+00

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Opinion		<p>Partisan fairness expert, Lisa Handley, election law expert, Ruth Greenwood, and Voters Not Politicians executive, Nancy Wang, have all stated that, to comply with the Voting Rights Act standards and criteria, the MICRC can and should create competitively fair and balanced legislative maps while respecting communities of interest. To instill the trust and respect of Michigan voters in this process, it must be the top priority of the MICRC.</p>	Josephine Mondrala	2021-10-08 18:03:43+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Warren should be part of Macomb (District 6) and not District 1 (Detroit). Warren and Detroit are dissimilar communities.</p>	Judy Robinson	2021-10-08 18:02:46+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Madison Heights should be moved from District 1 (Detroit) to District 3 (Oakland County). These are dissimilar communities with little in common.</p>	Judy Robinson	2021-10-08 17:57:11+00

10-07-2021 CD RAS	Like	<p>As a lifelong resident of Ferndale, I strongly prefer this map to the previous versions which had Southeast Oakland dominated by more affluent suburbs in Birmingham the and Bloomfields. This map actually gives our votes value. I appreciate the Commission keeping most the Southeast Block together, however I would like to see Hazel Park and Madison Heights included in this seat as well, as they are closely related to Ferndale and Royal Oak. This is a step in the right direction.</p>	Ian Sandler-Bowen	2021-10-08 17:57:08+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>Close enough isn't good enough. Partisan fairness must be measured and evaluated every step of the way.</p>	Aaron Haury	2021-10-08 17:53:27+00

In District 13, you have drawn the district so the majority of voters are WHITE! Yet you are including districts in Detroit, where 92% of Detroit is Black. Once again, how dare you draw this blatantly racist map?! you people need an education in segregation and what's really wrong with Detroit and the suburbs. INTEGRATE before you divide us forever. These districts are cause for an uprising and sincere protest.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Amrit Kohli

2021-10-08 17:44:14+00

I am absolutely appalled that Ferndale is now going to start weighing in on politics in Detroit, a city that is 92% Black. How many Black people live in Ferndale? And how many Black people live in Pleasant Ridge? Berkley? Next to none. Don't you dare draw these lines. Integrate the city first. You have no business pitting Black and White voters against each other. This is the same mentality that got America here in the first place. This crap about divide and conquer. How dare you!

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Amrit Kohli

2021-10-08 17:40:07+00

Here again, this District is not drawn fairly. Detroit is not connected with the Downriver communities of Allen Park and Taylor. There is no shared nor common interest between the cities. There can be no fair and equal representation the way the map is currently drawn for Detroit Wayne County. Having representation for Royal Oak, Ferndale Oakland County and mixing Hamtramck, Detroit Wayne County is an unfair map. Oakland County Royal Oak, Ferndale should remain together and the Wayne County Detroit and Hamtramck should tie together with their shared interest. I know this has been a tiring process but please review before making final map. Fairness is always appreciated.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Wanda Anderson

2021-10-08 17:31:51+00

There are a huge number of COI submissions asking for this district to go north, none asking for this.

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

JF

2021-10-08 17:14:10+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Keep the Rochester and Troy areas in Oakland County! Makes no sense to split up a significant area of District 3 and "conveniently" move it to District 6.	Roy Mason	2021-10-08 17:13:28+00
10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed	Like	Thank you for keeping Rochester and Troy in the same Senate district! This will make sure there is fair representation for the people living there. Well done! Harper Woods should be included with the Pointes, they share a school system, newspaper, etc.	jean mary goddard	2021-10-08 17:12:25+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	It's a terrible idea to have the same representative for the Grosse Pointe's and downtown Detroit	JF	2021-10-08 17:12:21+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	It's good that this keeps Macomb more intact	JF	2021-10-08 17:10:22+00

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Dislike		The three northern townships of Hillsdale County, Moscow, Scipio, and Somerset Township should be included in SD 26 with the rest of the county. Not doing so would split apart multiple school districts and separate many prominent members of the greater Hillsdale community from the rest of the county.	Samuel Lair	2021-10-08 17:10:21+00
10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike		Troy does not belong with Macomb County.	Grace Gao	2021-10-08 17:08:31+00
10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Dislike		Districts 8, 9 and 14 are heavily Democratic. Districts 16 and 18 are safely Republican. The Independent Redistricting Committee was to create competitive districts so that elected officials would need to be responsive to voters. They were not supposed to create safe ditricts!. These 5 Districts need be redrawn so that they are competitive districts.	jean mary goddard	2021-10-08 17:08:01+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike		Keep more of Macomb together, don't combine it with Oakland	JF	2021-10-08 17:06:51+00

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed	Dislike	I am concerned that the maps are now following the goals of the Proposal 3 and the Independent Commission to draw equal representation of communities, and competitive races in each Senate District- I feel the maps do not meet the goals of Proposal 2 nor the goals of Independent Commission.	Mary Murphy	2021-10-08 17:06:28+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Why are Troy and Rochester Hills included in district #6 with Macomb County? Oakland County communities should be kept together and not split between Macomb and Wayne Counties.	Rhonda Rhodes-Grant	2021-10-08 17:03:37+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Rochester Hills and troy should be moved from district 6 to district 3. We need a congression district that is all in Oakland county and not split between Oakland and Macomb. Rochester Hills ant Troy are similar and have a lot of economic interests in common.	jean mary goddard	2021-10-08 16:59:11+00

I believe making my district (13) part Oakland County and part Wayne County is not good because the needs and interests of both are different. Also I believe we need districts with equal amounts of Democrats and Repulicans which would make the districts fairer and more competitive. I am sure this can be done and I believe that is why we are having the redistricting.

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Dislike

Wendy Appleton

2021-10-08 16:55:54+00

Thank you for your participation in the Independent Commission but I feel Oakland County should be separate from Detroit. I believe in working together within cities and counties but the issues in Detroit may be different than the issues in South East Oakland County. Keep Detroit together so people and communities can work together. Keep Oakland county together again so communities can work together.

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Dislike

Mary Murphy

2021-10-08 16:53:52+00

10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Baffled how Birmingham and areas near 8 mile have much in common. The economy, schools, social events etc... are totally different. Not even close! This looks very gerrymandered (in shape too) given how many different communities are all lumped together.	Nessa Feller	2021-10-08 16:51:41+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Madison Heights should be in district #3, with other communities in Oakland County.	Rhonda Rhodes-Grant	2021-10-08 16:47:07+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Like	THANK YOU FOR YOUR WORK ON THIS AREA OF THE STATE. The proposed District 87 will bring together the lakeshore communities into a HD that will allow our communities of interest to be represented appropriately.	Rick Catherman	2021-10-08 16:38:39+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Lumping Troy in with Macomb county makes no sense. We should be part of Oakland county.	Dan Pingree	2021-10-08 16:36:12+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Communities in Macomb County, such as Warren, should stay in the Macomb County district #6.	Rhonda Rhodes-Grant	2021-10-08 16:27:47+00

Thank you for keeping Rochester Hills with Troy in a Senate district. This will help ensure fair representation. However, please continue to review and revise districts 16 & 18 to keep them competitive to serve ALL the people.

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Opinion

Diane Luscombe

2021-10-08 16:27:27+00

I support including Midland with the other Great Lakes Bay area cities of Bay City and Saginaw, since there are so many economic and employment opportunities and challenges we share. I am concerned that Sanford is excluded from this district. I realize that the western part of Midland county is more rural, but the Tittabawassee watershed area ties us together and requires support due to the potential impact downstream to Midland, Saginaw and Bay City. Also our Midland county school districts benefit from common legislative representation

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Opinion

Deborah P Passmore

2021-10-08 16:24:16+00

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Like	<p>Elected officials need to be responsive to ALL voters. Creating safe districts does not do that. They need to be revised to make them competitive re: Districts 16 &amp; 18.</p> <p>That will only be accomplished using bi-partisan fairness in the Metro Detroit area. Please consider it for WE the People.</p>	Diane Luscombe	2021-10-08 16:23:07+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	<p>The manner in which this map is drawn for District 8 provides minimum representation for the communities involved. There is no shared interest with Clawson, Madison Heights to be connected with the eastern section of Detroit.</p>	Wanda Anderson	2021-10-08 16:22:43+00

As a member of the Board of Trustees of Saugatuck Township, I want to voice that we should be in House District 88 as we are a lakeshore resort area and should be included in the district that represents so many Lake Michigan towns. Please reconsider the placement of Saugatuck Township as you work through this tough process. Many thanks, Jon

10-06-21 v6 HD

Opinion

Jon Helmrich

2021-10-08 16:21:20+00

Looking at the Great Lakes Bay Area, this looks appropriate to me. Thank-you to the commission for what appears to keep counties and townships together where the demographic and industrial/farming warrent.

10-07-21 v1 HD

Like

Deborah P Passmore

2021-10-08 16:19:23+00

I am temporarily living in Troy and my new home is under construction in Troy. I do not see any thing in common with Macomb county. Troy has a lot in common with Rochester, Rochester Hills, Bloomfield Birmingham. I want troy to be included in oakland county districts.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

LAKSHMI P KAZA

2021-10-08 16:19:19+00

South West Kent County could really use another look, these areas are cut up based on some very interesting dividing lines. I think areas are better served dividing them by things such as County and township lines, rather than using things like highways or subdivisions. Byron township and school district are now represented by 4 different representatives, while the Gaines township and City of Wyoming areas all fall into multiple districts as well. I understand trying to make each district about the same amount of voters, but by splitting up some communities so much you take away all of their voices and give them to the larger areas around them.

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Brad Ellens

2021-10-08 16:16:15+00

Macomb towns should remain in same district. They are economically combined and these working class communities belong together

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Sanford I Hansell

2021-10-08 16:12:49+00

I support including Midland with the other Great Lakes Bay area cities of Bay City and Saginaw, since there are so many economic and employment opportunities and challenges we share. I am concerned that the rest of Midland County is excluded from this district. I realize that the western part of Midland county is more rural, but the Tittabawassee watershed area ties us together and requires support due to the potential impact downstream to Midland, Saginaw and Bay City. Also our Midland county school districts benefit from common legislative

10-07-2021 CD RAS Opinion

representation

Deborah P Passmore

2021-10-08 16:07:55+00

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Opinion		<p>The Oakland County part of District 13 looks good, but putting part of Detroit into that district, among other Oakland County districts doesn't make sense, Detroit districts should be all Detroit and the Oakland County districts should be all Oakland County. Mixing these counties dilutes the priorities of the communities in these districts.</p>	Sharon Baseman	2021-10-08 16:02:24+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Rochester Hills should NOT be in a district with Macomb County, RH should stay with Troy, Bloomfield, and Clarkston in Oakland County! We are a community of interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities touching Lake St. Clair.</p>	Catherine Mason	2021-10-08 16:02:18+00

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed	Opinion	In general, I'm pleased with the effort. The districts appear to give more representation to the Metro Detroit area which has a large population and represents the diversity of our state's population.	Lisa Ross	2021-10-08 15:59:42+00
10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed	Like	I like keeping Rochester Hills and Troy in the same district. There is a lot of shared interest in this area.	Lisa Ross	2021-10-08 15:52:00+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Like	I believe that Battle Creek should be with Albion not rural townships around it. Thank you!	Beth Byrd	2021-10-08 15:51:44+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	Please keep Berrien County as one seat. We have enough division between the two end of the county. We need to be working together, not having our divisions justified with a district line.	Bonnie S Benner	2021-10-08 15:51:10+00
10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed	Dislike	Please ensure Districts 8, 9, 14, 16 and 18 are competitive. It's important to have partisan fairness in the Metro Detroit area where most of the state's population is.	Lisa Ross	2021-10-08 15:50:40+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are community of interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the Area. We really have nothing in common with communities in Macomb County	Mamta Patel	2021-10-08 15:49:03+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are community of interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the Area. We really have nothing in common with communities in Macomb County	Shailesh Patel	2021-10-08 15:48:27+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are community of interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the Area. We really have nothing in common with communities in Macomb County	Priti Patel	2021-10-08 15:47:23+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are community of interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the Area. We really have nothing in common with communities in Macomb County</p> <p>Troy and Rochester Hills should be moved from District 6 to District 3. They are very important to Oakland County and their voters shouldn't be split off from Oakland County</p>	Ajit J Patel	2021-10-08 15:46:25+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>representatives. Please make District 14</p> <p>Democratic district.</p>	Lisa Ross	2021-10-08 15:44:57+00
10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed	Dislike	<p>Madison Heights belongs in District 3 Oakland County. Madison Heights and Detroit deserve to be represented fully and I think MH would not get as much representation because Detroit is a much larger city and has more</p> <p>needs.</p>	Judy Ann Robinson	2021-10-08 15:44:28+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Lisa Ross	2021-10-08 15:42:01+00

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Like	<p>For the first time in 10 years, West Bloomfield has been made whole. The severe gerrymandering we have been under is eliminated. Under this map we will become a fair district with the either party able to win the State House Seat.</p>	John A Erich	2021-10-08 15:39:18+00	
10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>When I looked to buy a house, I looked in Birmingham, Beverly Hills and Franklin. Those are all communities of interest and similar enough where people don't differentiate between the areas. We share schools. There is a huge focus on schools. The kids from the area all come to Birmingham to hang out. Those are the kids my kids hang out with - they are similar and shouldn't be split off from Birmingham.</p>	Nessa Feller	2021-10-08 15:39:16+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Warren is in Macomb County and should be included with District 6. Macomb communities should stay in a Macomb Country district.</p>	Lisa Ross	2021-10-08 15:37:47+00

10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>These districts have been shaped to get the right demographic blend instead of keeping together COI which is the wrong priority. These maps are supposed to serve us for a decade. People move, politics change, demographics can change over 10 years. The boundaries of the cities, counties, and school districts will not.</p>	Jeff Ridsdale	2021-10-08 15:37:02+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>I'm sorry but this map is poorly done. The fact that Byron Township is split up 3 different ways doesn't make sense at all. This area needs to be kept whole. Can you imagine being a Rep. that helps this school district? Oh there's a event at the high school, well that's a different rep...</p>	Drew Jones	2021-10-08 15:36:50+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Opinion	<p>This is much more uniform than the last map and definitely has more respect for COI in the counties than the last, but I still have no earthly idea as to why The M-59 corridor is bulked in with Macomb and why Warren is bulked in with Detroit.</p>	Kent Douglas	2021-10-08 15:32:02+00

10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>This map is turing out to be more of a map tilted towards one party. It is necessary to make to map more fair. Michigan's AFL-CIO has proposed a very Fair map in their Fair maps project ( <a href="https://miaflcio.org/fairmaps/">https://miaflcio.org/fairmaps/</a> ). Their map has not made wierd shaped districts in the Detroit Area and have kept communities of interest together in a much better way than these State House maps being proposed. Also, they are much less partisan leaning and fair.</p>	Shuvra Das	2021-10-08 15:28:11+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>This map for District 21 is still awful. This should be an all-south Oakland County district. Oak Park should not be split in half. As I've mentioned on earlier maps, the configuration of this district should be horizontal and end at 8 Mile. The Detroit part of this district should be kept with Detroit and it should extend east to include Ferndale, Pleasant Ridge, possibly Hazel Park and Madison Heights.</p>	Sharon Baseman	2021-10-08 15:25:27+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy and Rochester Hills belong to Oakland Community only and not Macomb. We have much greater connection to Oakland county cities like Novi and Farmington Hills. Pls reconsider this area to make it more logical.	Padma Sanam	2021-10-08 15:25:20+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	It is important to keep Warren in the Macomb County district.	Randy Young	2021-10-08 15:24:17+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Dislike	I also agree with Yousif that 10-05-21 is much better than this one. Thank you to the commissioners for trying to get this right. I know itâ€™s difficult to please everyone. Hopefully weâ€™ll wind up with maps that please the most people possible, while following the requirements.	Sharon Baseman	2021-10-08 15:21:10+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Why on earth would Troy and Rochester be included in Macomb County? We belong in Oakland County. These feels like an attempt to dilute the vote of a city's people who have been changing dramatically in the past ten years.	Sharon MacDonell	2021-10-08 15:18:17+00

This map is awful for the SE Oakland part of District 6. The previous map was much better. The southeastern part of Oakland County belongs together " Oak Park, Huntington Woods, Berkley, Ferndale, Madison Heights, Hazel Park should definitely be kept with District 3. Oak Park and Huntington Woods, especially, have a significant Jewish population and should be kept with Southfield, West Bloomfield and Farmington Hills, which also have a strong Jewish population. If at all possible, Troy and the Rochesters should also be kept with Oakland County. Warren should be completely in Macomb County.

10-07-2021 CD RAS Dislike

Sharon Baseman

2021-10-08 15:14:15+00

10-07-2021 CD RAS Dislike Why is this corner of Ogemaw County being placed with District 13, instead of being preserved with the rest of the county to District 12? Carving out small portions like this makes no sense in less populated areas. There are several areas on this map which does so and is compromising the commission's integrity against special interest. Robert C 2021-10-08 15:04:15+00

10-06-21 v6 HD Dislike Oh My!!!! This is really a bad suggestion. City of Midland is in Midland County. Keep it in Midland County. I am realizing how hard your job is reading through everybody's comments but please be reasonable and realistic. Ignore political line drawing. Our family has begun praying for you all. Hopefully you are seeking His wisdom also. MARCIA BLACKSON 2021-10-08 14:59:19+00

10-06-21 v5 HD	Dislike	<p>This one also is ridiculous!  City of Midland is in Midland  County. Keep it in Midland  County. I am realizing how  hard your job is reading  through everybody's  comments but please be  reasonable and realistic.  Ignore political line drawing.  I'm praying for you all.</p>	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-10-08 14:55:34+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Dislike	<p>Hopefully you are also.  I agree with Jennifer Fairfield.  Carving out small portions of  one county and lumping them  into another district is  questionable in less  populated areas. This  compromises the  commission's integrity against  special interests and  gerrymandering. The only  locations that should be  divided outside of county  lines are large city locations.  This has been repeatedly</p>	Robert C	2021-10-08 14:55:13+00
10-06-21 v4 HD	Dislike	<p>mentioned.  What is this?!!!! I strongly  oppose this suggestion. This  does not keep us with our  closest neighbors and the  watershed issues we are all  facing.</p>	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-10-08 14:47:53+00

minus the Leavitt and Colfax townships are not with the 101 District. The businesses' Chamber of Commerce are Silver Lake Sand Dunes Area Chamber of Commerce for Leavitt and Pentwater Chamber of Commerce for Colfax.

The businesses are therefore aligned with the Lake Shore interests. The Non-profits also divide by counties, for example: Love INC of Oceana and Love INC of Newago Counties. Also the Silver Lake Visitor Bureau is partnernered with the Silver Lake Chamber of Commerce they serve most most of Oceana County minus Pentwater. Our fire department aligns us with Oceana County.

Walkerverville has a website called Walkerville Thrives and we consider our neighborhood: Leavitt, Colfax, Elbridge, Crystal from Oceana County and Troy and Beaver

10-06-21 v6 HD

Dislike

Deanna J Helmlinger

2021-10-08 14:32:36+00

This map makes no sense for the southeastern Oakland County area. Troy belongs with neighboring Oakland County cities, not Macomb County cities. We have a much greater connection to our neighbors in Oakland County than we do with those in Macomb County. Troy should not be lumped with places like Sterling Heights, St. Clair Shores, Eastpointe, and Clinton Twp. Neither should Rochester or Rochester Hills. Please reconsider this area to make it more logical.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Melanie Woodrich

2021-10-08 14:31:24+00

This is definitely better. But why not include all of Gladwin County and remove the sliver of Clare County and put them in District 94

10-06-21 v1 HD

Opinion

MARCIA BLACKSON

2021-10-08 14:29:09+00

10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Like	<p>Ann Arbor and Ypsi belong together. Don't let Commissioner Eid change it. He is motivated by partisan interests. Just look at all the comments that come parroting exactly what he says! Splitting Ann Arbor and Ypsi would be done only to give partisan advantage to the Democrats. Please keep this Senate District as it is.</p>	Daniel G	2021-10-08 14:22:30+00
10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Like	<p>Troy, Rochester, and Sterling Has are a fine community of interest. Troy and Rochester have lots of similarities. East Troy especially fits with Sterling Heights. We shop in Sterling Heights. SE Troy goes to H.S. in Sterling Heights. There's overlap between Asian Indian and Chaldean communities. This Senate District works. Don't change it!</p>	Daniel G	2021-10-08 14:20:29+00
10-06-21 v2 HD corre Opinion	<p>This suggest isn't too bad but it would be better if you included all of Gladwin County and exclude that chunk of District 94.</p>	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-10-08 14:18:12+00

I've been reading the comments. I find one thing that I feel has been overlooked is that over the past 30 years or so Michigan has been steadily losing population which translates in the shift from Progressive to Conservative in Out -State Michigan. Albeit the Districts having been drawn somewhat more fairer they are still going to lean slightly right of center versus the hard right lines of 2011.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

Todd Koss

2021-10-08 14:18:03+00

Troy fits with Sterling Heights and Rochester. The part of Troy that people think belongs with Birmingham/Bloomfield fits just as well or better with Rochester. East Troy (where I live) does have a lot in common with Sterling Heights. There's alot of overlap with Sterling Heights and the Asian Indian Community and Chaldean Community. One of the largest Chaldean churches in Metro Detroit is in Troy. SE Troy goes to Sterling Heights High School. Don't listen to people who are only thinking D vs. R

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC

Like

Daniel

2021-10-08 14:16:47+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Troy does not belong with Sterling Heights and Saint Clair Shores. It is a COI with Bloomfield and Birmingham. In fact, between Adams Road and Coolidge Hwy, many Troy children attend school in Bloomfield and Birmingham School Districts. Keep Troy in with other Oakland County suburbs!</p>	Kristin Pingree	2021-10-08 14:13:57+00
10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Dislike	Dislike	<p>One of the six constitutional criteria provided to the redistricting commission by voters in 2018 was partisan fairness. Partisan fairness is the <i>*only*</i> way we will end up with legislative bodies that accurately reflect the will of the people, rather than the gerrymandered mess that we have now. Numerous districts in Oakland County skew heavily toward one party or the other (8, 9, and 14 skew Democratic and 16 and 18 are Republican). Please revise these five districts so they are competitive.</p>	William Asher	2021-10-08 14:12:16+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Dislike The commission needs to find some way to realign 10. Western Oakland County (especially SW) and the Thumb are completely different. Daniel 2021-10-08 14:12:13+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Dislike I strongly dislike this map. The old map - which I didn't like a whole lot - is better than this map. I prefer Troy (where I live) to be joined up with the Rochesters. It is not like Southern Oakland County. While it's become more liberal in the last few years, it's not like Ferndale or Royal Oak. We also have much in common with Sterling Heights (we share a border). There is the Asian Indian Community, the Chaldean community (one of the largest Chaldean churches in the Metro Area is in Troy.) COI is not supposed to be about party preference. Troy fits better in the previous iteration of the map...also in Commissioner Clarke's version with Birmingham. Daniel 2021-10-08 14:11:16+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Troy should be in a district with SE Oakland County. The entire infrastructure is with Oakland County. I own a business in Chelsea, I am finding it hard to understand why you would cut a portion of Lyndon Township out of the rest of Washtenaw County. It doesn't represent a voting precinct, a school district, or any real COI with the rest of the district you have included it in. On the other hand, the majority of the people who live in that area work in Washtenaw County, shop for their everyday needs in Washtenaw County, and send their kids to school in Washtenaw County. They very rarely do any of those in Livingston, Ingham, or any of the other counties you have randomly chosen to put them with. You cannot ignore the connection of that piece of Washtenaw County to the rest of the county in an effort to be precise with the numbers. It makes as much</p>	Kathleen S Martin	2021-10-08 14:10:36+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Dislike	sense to carve out this piece	Jennifer Fairfield	2021-10-08 14:08:22+00

Keep all of Midland County together including the City of Midland with its west and north boundary counties. You have supposedly spent the last two weeks reading through all the reasons expressed by the citizens therefore hearing "all" the very good reasons to keep Midland County intact with our northwest neighbors. Not sure why we have to say it over and over again and then not see any corrective actions implemented.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

MARCIA BLACKSON

2021-10-08 14:08:19+00

Saugatuck/Douglas/Saugatuck Township should be included in district #88 not #87. This is far more representative of the residents there than to be lumped with a group that goes all the way South.

10-06-21 v6 HD

Opinion

Joy Renee McClendon

2021-10-08 14:07:12+00

10-05-21 v1 CD is still the best map thus far for district 1, 3 and 6.

Clawson, Ferndale and Royal Oak belongs to District 3. Please continue to keep Troy with Rochester Hills and Sterling Height. We are COI.

10-07-2021 CD RAS	Opinion	Thank you for your hard work commissioner!	Yousif	2021-10-08 14:06:03+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Like	This is an excellent map by Chair Szetela. It looks much cleaner than other maps. I appreciate the changes to District 10; you've kept Kent County whole; the Flint area looks better. People will complain about Ottawa County being split and Midland, but on the whole this one of the best maps of seen.	Daniel Grams	2021-10-08 14:05:45+00

Keep all of Midland County together including the City of Midland with its west and north boundary counties. You have supposedly spent the last two weeks reading through all the reasons expressed by the citizens therefore hearing "all" the very good reasons to keep Midland County intact with our northwest neighbors. Not sure why we have to say it over and over again and then not see any corrective actions implemented. AGAIN you are pulling the City of Midland out of Midland County.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

MARCIA BLACKSON

2021-10-08 14:05:44+00

Keep all of Midland County together with its west and north boundary counties. You have supposedly spent the last two weeks reading through all the reasons expressed by the citizens therefore hearing "all" the very good reasons to keep Midland County intact with our northwest neighbors. Not sure why we have to say it over and over again and then not see any corrective actions implemented.

10-04-21 CD V3a AE Dislike

MARCIA BLACKSON

2021-10-08 14:02:10+00

AS SOMEONE WHO HAS LIVED IN both Troy and Sterling Heights, I have to object to putting Troy in a district with Sterling Heights and Macomb County. Troy is far more connected to the other communities in Oakland County, such as Rochester and Birmingham, than it is connected to Sterling Heights. One major example: our schools are a major component of and strength in our community. By districting Troy with Sterling Heights and other communities, I fear that our schools will not be a focus of our legislator, as the legislator will be representing a majority of communities in the Macomb County ISD, as opposed to Oakland Schools. As another example: If you go to the Troy Chamber of Commerce website and search for the businesses who are members, you will see members from the adjoining Half of West Bloomfield should be with Waterford; the other half with Commerce Township. Put Pontiac with Auburn Hills.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Alison Hendry

2021-10-08 14:00:08+00

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Dislike

Kristine McLonis

2021-10-08 13:59:18+00

10-07-2021 CD RAS Dislike Keep all of Ogemaw County in 12 Joel A Sheltroun 2021-10-08 13:55:19+00

The most recent House map splits up the greater Lansing area, effectively packing two districts with one party so that the partisan splits do not reflect the political views of the entire area. Ingham County, with a population of 285,000 (3.1 House districts) is carved into pieces of 6 districts; Eaton County (110,000, 1.2 districts) is divided into 3 districts. An improvement would provide better partisan balance. The two counties voted 61.7% Democratic in 2020; the current maps would pack that vote into 2 districts, resulting in a significant partisan advantage for the minority party. A fairer map would produce 3 Democratic leaning districts and 2

10-07-21 v1 HD Dislike Republican leaning districts. Walter Sorg 2021-10-08 13:53:50+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike	<p>Keep all of Midland County together including the City of Midland with its west and north boundary counties. You have supposedly spent the last two weeks reading through all the reasons expressed by the citizens therefore hearing "all" the very good reasons to keep Midland County intact with our northwest neighbors. Not sure why we have to say it over and over again and then not see any corrective actions implemented.</p>	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-10-08 13:52:18+00
10-06-21 v2 HD corre Like	<p>It's great that West Bloomfield has been kept whole with surrounding lake communities. Thank you to the commission. Our lake communities were unfairly gerrymandered before with little cohesiveness.</p>	Susan Erich	2021-10-08 13:51:54+00

10-07-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Please keep Allendale Township in the Ottawa County District and not grouped together with significantly larger Walker/Rockford area in Kent County. These areas are different and will cause the smaller Allendale Township to be under represented.	Phil Forner	2021-10-08 13:44:30+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Keep all of Midland County together with its west and north boundary counties. You have heard "all" the reasons for this decision.	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-10-08 13:40:35+00
10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed	Dislike	Please keep Allendale Township in the Ottawa County District and not grouped together with significantly larger Walker/Rockford area in Kent County. These areas are different and will cause the smaller Allendale Township to be under represented.	Phil Forner	2021-10-08 13:39:15+00
10-07-2021 CD RAS	Dislike	Keep Midland County together with its west and north boundary counties. You have heard "all" the reasons for this decision.	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-10-08 13:38:00+00

Please keep Allendale Township in the Ottawa County District and not grouped together with significantly larger Walker/Rockford area in Kent County. These areas are different and will cause the smaller Allendale Township to be under represented.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Phil Forner

2021-10-08 13:24:35+00

This map breaks up the Lake St. Clair COI (A-9 from the MGGG report) across 3 districts and the Grosse Pointes across 2 districts. My hometown of Grosse Pointe Park has been removed from the lakeshore district. Please restore the Lake St. Clair State Senate District 7. If you need to "flip" a district for partisan reasons, then please just shave a couple of points, rather than breaking up the whole COI. Or, better yet, look at a different district that is based on a clear COI.

10-06-2021 SD RAS Dislike

Thanks.

Robert Dindoffer

2021-10-08 12:14:06+00

there has been a large amount of public comment from voters of color in Muskegon who are worried about being split off from other urban communities of color and grouped into a 90% white and rural district. Given this public comment, I'm surprised that the commission continues to draw the Western Michigan districts this way. It seems to me that there are multiple reasonable ways to shift things so that Muskegon is in either 9 or 4, which would be a much better fit for this community. I find it odd the commission keeps rejecting such plans because they're worried such a district would not be compact enough. The reality is that they are currently considering plans that are even less compact. #9 in this plan for instance has weird narrow stretches that extend out in Van Buren and Oakland K

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

2021-10-08 06:16:13+00

This map is WAY better than others because:  
Troy belongs with #3  
#7 Northville is included with COI neighbors (not a carve out added to Central Oakland cnty)  
Bringing Novi/S. Lyon is a fit due to some school overlap and similar development/business issues. These Oakland Cnty towns are South of i-96 and no lone twp or city is pulled into a different county.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	Diana	2021-10-08 05:41:18+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Pia Gandrothu	2021-10-08 05:26:42+00

I think you could make this congressional district better. The commission assigned this area by census blocks to even out population between districts. If you instead assigned some census blocks in the northern or western portion of Lyon township on the eastern side of Livingston County it would likely make your map more compact. Additionally, the commission would not be splitting the Chelsea area - right now you have captured some businesses with Chelsea addresses and I am fairly certain there are residential homes with residents, who were expecting to be in a district with their Chelsea and Waterloo neighbors, mixed in there as well. I am not as familiar with the area north of South Lyon but the satellite feature shows some compact housing developments, a few of them split. If it comes

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Opinion

Alice Mychajlonka

2021-10-08 04:41:08+00

Grosse Ile is disconnected from most of its closest downriver fellow communities of interest. We share police and fire support from Trenton, Wyandotte and Southgate as well as a shoreline with Trenton and Wyandotte and the same massive concerns over the McLouth steel polluted area cleanup. At the very least, Wyandotte, Southgate and Trenton should be included in District 7.

10-07-21 v1 CD AE Dislike

Judy Maiga

2021-10-08 04:31:03+00

10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	<p>I really like this map because it maintains a practical geographic and commercial connection between Warren, with cities like Sterling Heights. Given the Warren and Sterling Heights are the 3rd and 4th largest cities in the state, we share not just geography but a lot of cross-commerce, economic, and civic activities. This map simply makes more sense in that it reflects the already existing relationships between Warren and its Macomb County, municipal counterparts--just in district form.</p>	George Chapp	2021-10-08 04:28:18+00
10-06-21 v2 HD corre	Dislike	<p>Lake Orion belongs with Oxford, Brandon and Leonard, not Auburn Hills and Bloomfield. Pontiac belongs with Auburn Hills and Bloomfield. This change would put all the affected communities back into their actual COIs, clean up the maps and preserve the VRA.</p>	Lisa	2021-10-08 04:27:46+00

there is so much wrong here  
that I almost don't know  
where to start.

1) on districts 38 and 29 -  
they were originally changed  
because the 29 district was  
"c" shaped and "looked  
weird" - somehow during the  
discussion Comm. Eid  
removed Auburn Hills from  
Pontiac, turned it into an ]  
(inverted c), then  
disenfranchised a very small  
portion of Waterford/Union  
Lake by separating them from  
the rest of their community  
(and basically wasting all their  
votes), and lastly deliberately  
underpopulated the district to  
concentrate the Pontiac vote.  
He did this while saying that  
Pontiac had more in common  
with Waterford than Auburn  
Hills, Lake Angelus or Sylvan  
Lake? Umm, no. Auburn Hills  
and Lake Angelus share  
schools, infrastructure and  
COIs with Pontiac, Sylvan Lake  
shares a school district and  
Ypsilanti should be it's own  
district to ensure equal  
representation for minority  
communities.

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-08 04:19:05+00

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Phil Bianco

2021-10-08 04:11:38+00

I thought you were supposed to keep communities of interest together? You have Muskegon mixed with the rest of the middle of the state all the way close to Midland. These are vastly diverse communities. Why have you not kept the lakeshore communities together? You could have stretched the district up to Traverse City, not across the state.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC Dislike

Timothy L Cross

2021-10-08 04:07:03+00

1. I appreciate the important work the commission is doing.

2. We need maps that show partisan fairness, which the proposed Congressional District 6 for Troy does not. Troy does not have common demographics or county government with the communities east of us.

3. This map isolates Troy from communities of interest. Our fellow communities of interest are to the south and west of us. We more commonly share governmental services and recreational and entertainment venues with the communities stretching to the south and/or west of Troy in Oakland County than the parts of Macomb County the proposed map puts Troy with.

4. I strongly support the state maps produced by the Michigan AFL-CIO Fair Maps Project. They are very well-researched, and fair in all 7

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Charles W. Painter III

2021-10-08 04:06:09+00

Maps like this that split Dearborn down Greenfield effectively split the Arab American community and dilute the vote of our community. This is clearly done to split the Arab American community (which makes up about 90% of the population of Dearborn east of Southfield Freeway). If a map like this were adopted, Arab Americans would be a minority bloc in both districts in which Dearborn is a part. The AFL Fair Maps draws a much more equitable map, keeping East Dearborn (E of Southfield Freeway) fully intact and grouping it with parts of West Dearborn to reach the requisite numbers of roughly 92,000 for a district. Please do not accept any maps that split up the Arab American center of Dearborn (East Dearborn).

10-07-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Thank you.

Amer Zahr

2021-10-08 04:03:02+00

10-06-2021 HD v1 RA Dislike I can not believe you have split Muskegon County into 4 different State House Districts. This is ridiculous. We have gone from two to four. You should keep communities of interest together. This is crazy. Timothy L Cross 2021-10-08 03:56:24+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike I want to thank the commission for your hard and very important work. I realize how difficult a task this is. Nevertheless, I strongly disapprove of the current MI Senate map. If a House district represents a reasonable community of interest, then why fracture a House district in drawing a Senate district, as you have done here? District 16 would preserve a more coherent community of interest if it included Clawson and stretched more to the west than the north. Clawson/Troy has much more in common with Birmingham and Beverly Hills, for example, than with Oakland Township. Kevin Grtimm 2021-10-08 03:53:56+00

10-04-21 CD V3a AE	Dislike	Western and Northern Oakland County does not belong in a district with the Thumb. Do you actually think that all these residents will receive adequate representation in this configuration?	Lisa	2021-10-08 03:46:21+00
10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Dislike	District 10 is horrible. Western Oakland County does not belong in a district with the Thumb. Thank you for putting Rochester Hills with Troy. We are a COI. I work in Troy and live in Rochester Hills. We do share similar values.	Lisa	2021-10-08 03:43:57+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like		Daniel K.	2021-10-08 03:41:59+00
10-07-21 v1 HD	Opinion	curious as to why information comparing D vs R voting numbers used to determine these maps isn't available to the public.. for example the comparrisons Mr. Adelson is there to enforce compliance with under the guise of VRA.	K.Godfrey	2021-10-08 03:40:55+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Dislike	District 10 is absurd and actually ensures that no one stuck into that mess will have adequate representation. Fix it or throw out the map.	Lisa	2021-10-08 03:40:37+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Dislike	Nope. Highland, Milford and White Lake do not belong with the Thumb (and I am not in this district). This is not fair to anyone in that proposed district.	Lisa	2021-10-08 03:38:22+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	Asians and Middle Easterners will be really happy to have Troy with our COI of Rochester Hills and Sterling Heights! :)	Yousif	2021-10-08 03:30:21+00
		Please keep Troy with Sterling Heights and Rochester!		
		These are communities of interests! Asian and Middle Eastern voices will get dilutes if we are with Madison Height, Birmingham, Bloomfield Hills or Clawson.		
		As many of you mentioned, you want Asians and Middle Easterners to have voices! Please do not dilute our voices!		
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	District 6 is perfect as it is here!	Yousif	2021-10-08 03:28:38+00

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Like	<p>I think this map reflects the population living in this area. We really are Seperate from Flint... We live in Genessee County, go to Grand Blanc Schools and Have a Holly Mailing.. Services in Flint do not recognise us as residents because of the Holly mailing If you're going to take half of Calhoun County and Batty County and part of Ionia County with pieces here and there of other counties, that's when it starts looking gerrymandered. Our current Senate District is all of Calhoun, all of Barry, and all of Ionia. 3 perfect county squares that are very similar to one another in 1 Senate District.</p> <p>It is good to keep Troy and Rochester/Rochester Hills together. I taught my entire career in Troy. These are Communities of Interest.</p>	K.Godfrey	2021-10-08 03:28:18+00
10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Opinion		Sonja Marie Patrick	2021-10-08 03:26:40+00
10-07-21 v1 SD Fixed Like		Debbie Rosenman	2021-10-08 03:16:32+00

My previous comment had a typo/error in it. I apologize. The first sentence of my comment should have read: Looking at this Senate map, I am concerned about how far North the Troy district extends. This correction makes the rest of my statement about the district being more representative and fair if it were to be extended south (instead of so far north) more accurate and consistent.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Laurie Evans

2021-10-08 03:12:19+00

Novi goes a lot further North than this map! Currently Novi and Walled Lake share schools. Lumping Walled Lake and Wixom with South Lyon all the way across to Whitmore Lake makes no sense. The Walled Lake community and the Washtenaw county communities share nothing in common.

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Opinion

Andrea Johanson

2021-10-08 03:11:07+00

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Dislike	<p>I really don't understand why you would want to split Northville and Northville Township in this way. Why would they not be together? Combining Novi and Northville is also unnecessary. The current split at 8 mile road makes sense because it's on the line between Wayne and Oakland Counties. This grabbing of half of Northville and combining it with Novi makes no sense at all.</p>	Andrea Johanson	2021-10-08 03:07:49+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC Like	<p>I like this map. District 8 has very clean lines. For the most part counties are being kept whole. Battle Creek and Jackson are both industrial metro areas with small city communities that have deep roots here from many generations.</p>	Sonja Marie Patrick	2021-10-08 03:01:35+00

I'm extremely disappointed to see that this map has split Novi in half. Novi extends from 8 Mile Rd to 14 Mile Rd. NOVI SHOULD BE KEPT WHOLE. Novi is not 2 separate entities and it should not be divided. The proposed North Novi district stretches to rural parts of Washtenaw County. Southwest Oakland County doesn't have any similarities to rural Washtenaw County. There are no shared services nor similar demographics. Novi is a growing city with a significant AAPI community similar to Troy. Dividing Novi in half diminishes the voting power of the AAPI community and other communities of color. Novi shares school districts with Northville and Walled Lake and works with Farmington and Farmington Hills. Do not divide Novi into multiple districts. Keep Novi together in one district and

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Dislike

Brittany Pinnock

2021-10-08 02:55:52+00

the newly proposed 101st House District makes sense generally, and I support it. Having served in the military in the the southern portion (northern Muskegon Co) living in Oceana County, college and running a business in Mason and Manistee county, I fully appreciate the cultural, economic, and "family/social ties" of these areas as specifically outlined. This said, Oceana and/or Mason county SHOULD at least have their counties fully within the 101st district. As a past county commissioner, for instance, I represented Colfax and Leavitt Townships in North-eastern Oceana County and is where I attend church as a board member. That small community works/shops in Ludington but also in Hesperia and Hart. The fire department has half of its firemen from Elbridge township. Please

10-06-21 v6 HD

Opinion

Andrew Allen Sebolt

2021-10-08 02:52:56+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>The current District 6 is a strange amalgam of what might be called "communities of disinterest." We share little with communities such as Fraser, Clinton Township, and Eastpointe. Where I live in Troy is part of a community of interest that includes, for example, Royal Oak, Birmingham, Ferndale, Berkley, Bloomfield Hills, Beverly Hills. Carving Troy away from most of its Oakland County neighbors simply makes no sense. I strongly disapprove of this map.</p>	Kevin Grtimm	2021-10-08 02:52:29+00
10-06-21 v1 HD	Like	<p>Keeping Midland County wholly represented is just as it should be. Logical and appropriate. I fully support this map.</p>	Lisa McGaugh	2021-10-08 02:51:31+00
10-06-21 v2 HD	Like	<p>Absolutely support this map, keeping Midland County in it's entirety is a logical approach to insuring that the communities interests are best served.</p>	Lisa McGaugh	2021-10-08 02:49:59+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy needs to stay with its Oakland County neighbors. We have lived in Troy for 22 years. Very proud of our diverse community. Please do not lump us with Macomb County cities.	Debjani Nayak	2021-10-08 02:44:42+00
10-06-21 v5 HD	Dislike	I strongly disagree with this map. Connecting Midland to Bay County is blatant gerrymandering and should not be considered.	Lisa McGaugh	2021-10-08 02:44:10+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy should not be with Macomb County. We have much more in common with Oakland County and we should be together along with Rochester and Bloomfield.	Michelle Sell	2021-10-08 02:40:29+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	I do not support this map. The residents of Midland county want to and need to be kept together in one, westward facing congressional district. Please do not carve the City of Midland away from the rest of the county.	Lisa McGaugh	2021-10-08 02:37:32+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike		Michael McGaugh	2021-10-08 02:33:55+00

10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Like	This map is one of the best; however its not my favorite becuase it leaves behind Porter, Mt. Haley, Ingersol, and Warren and sends them to the Flint/Saginaw District. I fully support this map. It keeps Midland and Midland County together and is best designed to handle the specific local challenges of the area.	Michael McGaugh	2021-10-08 02:31:15+00
10-06-21 v1 HD	Like	Oakland County should be kept together and not split between Macomb and Wayne Counties.	Michael McGaugh	2021-10-08 02:28:34+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Do not add Muskegon to Kent county. We are completely different and should remain separate.	Judith Scharenberg Goodman	2021-10-08 02:27:49+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Opinion	I support this map. Keeping Midland County together is pragmatic, makes sense, and is fair to the Midland County voters.	Kris Rieger	2021-10-08 02:27:36+00
10-06-21 v2 HD	Like		Michael McGaugh	2021-10-08 02:27:14+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Madison Heights and Detroit have little in common. Macomb communities should stay in a Macomb County district.	Judith Scharenberg Goodman	2021-10-08 02:27:10+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Judith Scharenberg Goodman	2021-10-08 02:26:08+00
10-06-21 v4 HD	Dislike	This map tries to dilute and drown out Midland.	Michael McGaugh	2021-10-08 02:25:24+00

10-07-21 SD RAS BK	Dislike	<p>Split Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti!!  This district is an 80% democratic pack. It needs to be split for partisan fairness. Dexter, Manchester, and Western Washtenaw are all more closely linked to Ann Arbor than Ypsilanti, and so should be put with Ann Arbor instead.</p>	Zach Geyer	2021-10-08 02:22:53+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Warren needs to be with other Macomb County communities. Warren and Sterling Heights are sister cities and share economic ties. Remove Troy and Rochester from District 6. Add Warren to the other Macomb County communities in District 6.</p>	Brittany Pinnock	2021-10-08 02:21:47+00
10-06-21 v5 HD	Dislike	<p>I oppose this map. Combining Midland with Bay County does not make sense, they are two different areas.</p>	Michael McGaugh	2021-10-08 02:21:38+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	we want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair.	jai p mistry	2021-10-08 02:19:27+00
10-07-21 v1 CD AE	Like	I really like this map because it keeps some of the major city roads and businesses in a same district. M53 and M59 are connected and people in this district share a lot of the same business and consumer needs.	Diane Young	2021-10-08 02:18:43+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	I oppose this map because the City of Midland and Midland County should be in the same district.	Michael McGaugh	2021-10-08 02:18:16+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	we want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair. Troy does not belong with Macomb County.	jai p mistry	2021-10-08 02:17:22+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy is an important part of OAKLAND county, not Macomb! This makes no sense.	Sarah Wright	2021-10-08 02:10:17+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	Do not add Muskegon to Grand Rapids. We are entirely different than Grand Rapids and deserve to remain as we are. It seems like a grab for blue votes to me!	Susan Sidock	2021-10-08 02:09:10+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	What the commission has done to Southwest Michigan is shameful. As a Lincoln Township resident, this map does not represent me, my family, or my community.	Jean G.	2021-10-08 02:02:20+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy and Rochester need to be with Oakland County. Troy has a growing AAPI population similar to Novi. Troy shares County government, resources, services, shopping, entertainment and recreational venues with other Oakland County communities. Troy is a community of interest with Oakland County communities. Keep Troy and Rochester with Oakland County.	Brittany Pinnock	2021-10-08 02:00:48+00
10-06-21 v1 HD	Like	I support this map! Keeps Midland/Midland county together to handle specific local challenges! This is great! We want Bloomfield, Rochester, Rochester Hills and Troy to stay in Oakland County. We are community of interest with these cities and nothing in common with communities in Macomb County	James H. Gurnee	2021-10-08 01:57:22+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	County	Yatindra Koneru	2021-10-08 01:57:13+00

We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, Bloomfield and Royal Oak in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities touching Lake St. Clair, and we never have reason to cross the county border. We and our friends and co-workers live and work along the Woodward Corridor and have more in common with Wayne County than with Maccomb.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Yang Bi

2021-10-08 01:56:15+00

Milford township and Highland township need to be included in district 5. Royal Oak and Ferndale should be in District 2. Thank you for moving Rochester Hills out of 5. It was an embarrassment when looking at the old map and seeing a line down M59 that then encompassed all of RH. They are 40 mins away and have more in common with Macomb county than Oakland.

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Opinion

Jeff Vawter

2021-10-08 01:55:34+00

We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, Bloomfield and Royal Oak in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities touching Lake St. Clair, and we never have reason to cross the county border. We and our friends and co-workers live and work along the Woodward Corridor and have more in common with Wayne County than with Macomb.

10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike

Fabrice Smieliauskas

2021-10-08 01:55:09+00

10-06-21 v4 HD	Dislike	I Strongly oppose this map! Midland/Midland country has specific needs.. Strongly dislike!	James H. Gurnee	2021-10-08 01:54:26+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	we want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities touching Lake St. Clair. Troy does not belong with Macomb County.	Vanessa Grayson	2021-10-08 01:52:53+00

As you look to make edits to your work, please keep Berrien County as whole as possible. I attended the Benton Harbor meeting and was disappointed the people speaking were politicians, not voters and that one of your members seems to be advocating on behalf of them and not the people of our county. Berrien County has historically been divided by a North/South split. Creating a coastal district is not in the best interest of our County and wouldn't represent the people well in Lansing. Please keep our community together and listen to the people, not paid, failed politicians.

10-06-21 v6 HD

Dislike

Dick G.

2021-10-08 01:50:53+00

I am supportive of this version of a Congressional Map for Metro Detroit. As a downriver resident, it makes much more sense for us to be a part of CD 1, keeping it within Wayne county rather than crossing 8 mile. Thank you!

10-07-21 v1 CD AE

Like

Callie Bruley

2021-10-08 01:47:37+00

18 D Seats to 20 R Seats with  
a Democratic Majority! Do  
Better!

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Jared Boot

2021-10-08 01:47:16+00

You're fracturing communities  
of interest in favor of creating  
gerrymandered districts for  
Democrats. At least we've  
gotten to the point where  
you'll finally admit it.

What you're doing in  
Southwest Michigan violates  
the historical split of Berrien  
County, you've split school  
districts, media markets, and  
you've lumped in  
communities that have no  
business being together.  
Lincoln Township, Royalton  
Township, and St. Joseph  
Township are residential  
areas that have no similarities  
between New Buffalo,  
Saugatuck, and Douglas.

You should be ashamed of  
what you've done to our  
community. Scrap this and  
throw it in the trash where it  
belongs!

10-06-21 v6 HD

Dislike

Christopher

2021-10-08 01:44:13+00

what this commission has done to Southwest Michigan is simply terrible, and your lack of a West Michigan resident was incredibly apparent.

1) You've mutilated Berrien, Van Buren, and Allegan Counties. You've split county lines, you've shattered school districts, and you've completely disregarded communities of interest and have ignored the history of the areas.

2) You've shattered media markets, making it difficult for any future representative to communicate with their constituents. Berrien is in the South Bend market, not the Grand Rapids market like Van Buren and Allegan.

3) You've chosen to accept the North/South Berrien split in your senate map, yet you ignored it with this map. The commission has been

10-06-21 v6 HD

Dislike

inconsistent, choosing to  
This map does nothing but  
drown out Midland. Strongly  
dislike.

Robert

2021-10-08 01:37:06+00

10-06-21 v4 HD

Dislike

Jennifer Gurnee

2021-10-08 01:35:59+00

10-06-21 v5 HD	Dislike	<p>I oppose this map! Our City/County has specific needs that align to our region. This map does not support our local needs! You've cut off Bainbridge Township from its surrounding community! Bainbridge shares a school district with Watervliet. Bainbridge, Coloma, Watervliet, Hagar, Hartford all share a readership of one of the last small papers in the state. Don't fracture the readership of this paper into multiple districts. (Tri-City Record)</p> <p>This mapped community shatters a public safety unit, the North Berrien Fire/Rescue.</p> <p>This mapped community shatters a chamber of commerce</p> <p>This mapped community is not serviced by the North Berrien Historical museum</p> <p>This map represents a democrat gerrymander and you should reject it.</p>	James H. Gurnee	2021-10-08 01:34:49+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike		Jay	2021-10-08 01:33:49+00

10-06-21 v5 HD	Dislike	<p>This map is ridiculous!  Putting Midland with Bay County makes no sense.  Strongly disagree with this.  This map is an abomination and in no way represents Berrien County! Berrien County has a history of having a North/South split. As a lifelong resident, I worked and shopped in St. Joseph. We have much more in common with our fellow northern townships than we do with Niles or Buchanan. Please keep the North/South split that we've had for so long. Please protect our long developed natural communities of interest and reject this blatant</p>	Jennifer Gurnee	2021-10-08 01:31:40+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	<p>gerrymander.</p>	Larry	2021-10-08 01:30:16+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>I like that Pontiac and Waterford Township are kept together and are in Oakland county. Troy and Rochester Hills should be in Oakland county also. Thank you!</p>	Bonnie VanKeersbilck	2021-10-08 01:27:13+00

We want Troy to stay with Rochester Hills, Rochester and Bloomfield Hills in Oakland County! We are communities with similar interests and have nothing in common with communities near Lake St. Clair. Troy does not belong with Macomb County.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Leslie Diebol

2021-10-08 01:26:06+00

Here, I had added my map suggestions, as Block club President of Warwick from W. Warren to Belton, we were about to add the streets south bound but if our boundaries are separated this would disconnect the areas. Also I am a Radio Patrol member, Precinct Delegate and Community Liaison. My church is in my district, please don't change the lines at Schoolcraft and give it to another district, making the districts too wide and large will divide our residents, we would never get back the Community feel being One District, rather than Detroiters fighting to be accepted in an area that don't understand their needs. Lines will not make communities receive our residents but rather create tension. Detroit and Dearborn has merged but others surrounding city's have

10-06-21 v4 HD

Dislike

Roslyn Ogburn

2021-10-08 01:23:40+00

We are faced with many unique challenges in Gladwin County and have suffered a disastrous setback with the recent floods that impacted much of both Gladwin and Midland County. We have worked tirelessly with many entities throughout all of Midland County on efforts aimed at recovery, but also on ways to mitigate future disasters. Thank you for your efforts; however carving the communities apart without recognition of the economic impact of separating the City of Midland from these regional efforts will hurt Gladwin County and our watershed and economic work long term.

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Scott Govitz	2021-10-08 01:23:33+00
10-06-21 v1 HD	Like	This map makes a lot of sense. In full support!	Jennifer Gurnee	2021-10-08 01:22:36+00
10-06-21 v2 HD	Like	Fully support this map. Keep Midland together!	James H. Gurnee	2021-10-08 01:22:29+00
10-06-21 v2 HD	Like	Community interest are the same!	Jennifer Gurnee	2021-10-08 01:18:35+00
		Great! Keep Midland together, keep community interests together as one.		

Commissioners, I appreciate the progress you've made so far on this very difficult initiative. Please continue your work to provide a truly level playing field for future candidates all across Michigan. For example, the proposed District 29 map groups Chelsea and Dexter with the predominantly conservative Jackson County to our west, but the makeup of our communities is much more of a blend of liberal and conservative. The map as drawn does not reflect that diversity of viewpoints. A more balanced map is especially important to give my loved ones and neighbors in the LBGTQ community a fair shot at having their concerns heard.

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Laura E. Noble	2021-10-08 01:13:55+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	Jennifer Gurnee	2021-10-08 01:08:36+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	Jim Gurnee	2021-10-08 01:08:32+00

I oppose this map because the city of Midland and Midland county should be one district. City of Midland and County of Midland should be in 1 district.

10-05-21 v1 CD is still a better representation and takes COI more into account. Birmingham and Clawson belong to District 3.

10-07-21 v1 CD DC    Opinion    Yousif    2021-10-08 01:04:32+00

Commissioner Anthony is not taking COI into account. But he is taking political parties.

This is an absolute no for Troy and Rochester Hills, Warren and Madison Heights. Districts 1, 3 and 6 DO NOT MAKE SENSE! They are not in the correct district! 10-05-21 v1 CD makes the best sense! Please Independent commissioners vote NO on this one!

10-07-21 v1 CD AE    Dislike    Yousif    2021-10-08 00:58:38+00

I live in Royal Oak but I lived and worked for many years in southern Macomb County. Hazel Park and Madison Heights should be part of District 3 as part of southern Oakland County. I shop and eat in these cities and have friends and family there. Oakland county is big but I would like to add that southern Oakland county communities are very connected to one another. Don't split us up. And I'm sorry to say this, but pulling Warren out of Macomb County would be a shocking gerrymander like move. It also would hurt the city because it would be overshadowed by Detroit. Warren has a special connection with Sterling Heights and they both should be with the rest of Macomb County.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Bonnie VanKeersbilck

2021-10-08 00:53:50+00

rene ,

This entire process has been very confusing to the residents that are engaged with basic politics so those that had now prior in-depth knowledge is going to be baffled! The change of numbers that represent our areas made no sense at all? The lines that are drawn for 15 are horrible and ripping apart communities! 1st, Grandriver to Lyndon is part of the Historical District you can not separate that neighborhood. Bring you lines down south to Lyndon , 2nd , the Rouge Park, Far west side, Cody Rouge, the entire Warrendale and Warren Ave! We work together and host events annually to disconnecting us would create ripple effect that could destroy how community work together. Also Add to 15

10-06-21 v4 HD

Like

,(Take from 14) west to

Roslyn Ogburn

2021-10-08 00:51:41+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>As a Highlander and so close to Milford I see little connection to Port Huron and beyond. We are a real part of greater Oakland County and have no real connection to near Thumb needs. Jim Those who are apposing District 6 are either bots or do not truly understand Troy and Rochester Hills cities.</p> <p>Thank you commissioners for districting Troy and Rochester with Macomb!</p> <p>We have NOTHING in common with Warren, Madison Heights, Royal Oak, Ferndale or Birmingham. Please Please keep Troy and Rochester Hills Communities in District 6!!!</p> <p>For Asian and Middle Eastern communities! Please keep up in District 6 and DO NOT DILUTE ARE VOICES!!!</p>	James F. Williams	2021-10-08 00:47:00+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	Thank you! :)	Yousif	2021-10-08 00:44:24+00

Please add Grosse Pointe Park, Clay Township, and Ira Township back into the Lake St. Clair District (7). We are community of interest A-9 from the MGGG report. Our COI is supported by dozens of public comments from around the entire lakeshore. My home town of Grosse Pointe Park should be included. There are other ways to achieve your partisan goals without breaking up a clear lakeshore COI district.

10-07-21 SD RAS BK Dislike

Robert

2021-10-08 00:43:15+00

I like the 96th District on this map and the previous one (#193). Keeping Byron Township together with Gaines Township (our neighbor to the east) makes a lot of sense. Byron and Gaines coordinate on utilities and emergency departments and are very similar in community makeup. Combining them with the more rural communities to the south is, I think, a better choice than combining with the urban area to the north. Byron and Gaines are still mostly rural.

10-1-21 HD RAS VRA 'Like

David Bricker

2021-10-08 00:36:49+00

10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	This is wonderful. All of these communities share size, community diversity, industrial & agricultural focus, and have been working / supporting one another all thru out my lifetime. This is a great way for representation to have an overall positive effect due to the nature of the communities & their population.	Jill Stout	2021-10-08 00:30:47+00
10-07-21 v1 CD DC	Like	This is wonderful. All of these communities share size, community diversity, industrial & agricultural focus, and have been working / supporting one another all thru out my lifetime. This is a great way for representation to have an overall positive effect due to the nature of the communities & their population.	Jill Stout	2021-10-08 00:30:47+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I am opposed to the redistricting of Macomb County to include Troy. Troy is better suited with similar communities such as Rochester, Bloomfield, and Birmingham.	Scott Snyder	2021-10-08 00:28:46+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Opinion	<p>Thank you for placing Farmington and Farmington Hills together. Our adjoining communities in Oakland County make great sense. Thank you. But, please keep Oakland County together, i.e. Rochester Hills, Rochester, Troy, Royal Oak, Ferndale.</p>	Karen Lynne Bolsen	2021-10-08 00:15:27+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Communities in Oakland County share a great deal of economic ties, schools of choice, participation in Oakland Community College, and ethnic and religious diversity. Oakland communities should all stay in an Oakland County district.</p>	Denise Jacob	2021-10-08 00:14:24+00

Please reconsider the map for the region including Farmington and Farmington Hills. It is inconceivable that you would split communities unless you were intentionally trying to divide the electorate. Farmington sits inside the borders of Farmington Hills. We share a school district, a library system, and many civic functions. We were once a city that grew into a surrounding twp. It is one community. Splitting us up as a community and placing half of us with Southfield and another half with Franklin and Beverly Hills and Southfield makes no sense.

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Dislike

Karen Lynne Bolsen

2021-10-08 00:08:29+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Why is the Clarkston community being split in half? A large portion of Springfield Township is Clarkston mailing and Clarkston schools yet we are not included in the same Senate district as Independence Township where our interests are most similar. In addition the characteristics of our area and that of Independence Township are more aligned with Waterford and Bloomfield Township and should all be included in the same district not the more rural areas we are currently lumped in together. Farmington sits inside Farm. Hls.' borders. We share a school district and many community events and councils. We should be in the same district and not with Scio Twp. That makes no sense.</p>	Ellyn B McCue	2021-10-08 00:01:22+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		Karen Lynne Bolsen	2021-10-07 23:55:58+00

10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike Troy and Rochester/Rochester Hills should be grouped with their neighbor cities to the west and south in Oakland County. Given that they have much more in common with those communities and schools/sports/etc. for these communities are focused in Oakland County, it makes little sense to district them with Macomb. AJG 2021-10-07 23:46:59+00

10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike I appreciate the hard work of the Redistricting Commission, but I think the proposed division of Oakland County is wrong. As a Royal Oak resident, I have significant connections with the citizens and services of cities like Troy and Rochester Hills. The interests of the Macomb eastern (lake area) and northern (farm area) have little in common with places like Troy and Rochester Hills. Thank you. Marie Colombo 2021-10-07 23:45:40+00

			<p>This map is bad. Oakland County is in 5 of 13 districts; this is this excessive splitting of our area. I also seriously object to Western and Northern Oakland county being in a district with the Thumb, with whom they have no connection. Meanwhile, you have Northville in a district with Auburn Hills?</p>		
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	This is absurd.	Lisa	2021-10-07 23:43:02+00	
		I oppose. Troy should be part of Oakland county with Rochester and Bloomfield hills.			
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I think this is a great district for the Grand Rapids area. Keeping that area all together for Congressional representation makes a lot of sense. I do see the complaints that Ottawa County residents have of being split up. I wonder if it might be possible to tinker with some of the outlying townships and keep Ottawa County more together.	Tejaskumar Patel	2021-10-07 23:41:53+00	
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Like		David Bricker	2021-10-07 23:33:54+00	

10-04-21 CD V3a AE	Dislike	Battle Creek is the metro area for Calhoun County. All of our resources come from Battle Creek. BATTLE CREEK DOES NOT BELONG WITH KALAMAZOO!!!	Sonja Marie Patrick	2021-10-07 23:26:20+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I oppose that Troy being lumped into Macomb County. I want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County	Pankaj Kathiriya	2021-10-07 23:11:59+00
10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Like	This is a great map in terms of partisan fairness, and in keeping districts fairly compact. While not perfect, I beleieve we see a big improvment in comparison to the highly partisan 8-5 R map that was devised previously. Well done! Also, I like the Lansing based district in this map.	Landon Weber	2021-10-07 23:00:46+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Oakland County communities should be kept together! We have the same interests and do not share any services with other counties.	Kathleen Metevier-Rizza	2021-10-07 23:00:04+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>There should not be a 6% partisan bias in any map. That is gerrymandering.</p> <p>Furthermore, Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti should not be lumped into one district. That metro area should be split into multiple districts.</p>	Chris Lewis	2021-10-07 22:47:25+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	<p>all of Midland County needs to remain whole as it is one active and vibrant community. Please do not give into the gerrymandering approach proposed by this map. The "independent' commission was intended to not be as political as this map implies.</p>	Richard Cesaretti	2021-10-07 22:43:45+00
10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Like	<p>Would much prefer to keep Midland County whole...but this is better than the other maps showing the City of Midland pulled from the rest of the Midland County community that it is critically a part of.</p>	Richard Cesaretti	2021-10-07 22:37:34+00

This map fairly groups lakeshore communities with similar concerns together, it is fairly shaped mostly along county lines, and it seems roughly evenly split with regard to partisan leanings.

My city of Whitehall is a very short drive from Oceana county and in fact many people drive back and forth from there to work in my city and vice versa.

Thank you for your hard work! Muskegon County has a lot of shared concerns with other cities to the north like Pentwater, Ludington and Manistee that also have maritime and tourist-focused economies.

10-06-2021 SD RAS Like

Sean M

2021-10-07 22:37:30+00

Keeping Midland County together makes much more sense. Thank you!

10-06-21 v1 HD Like

Richard Cesaretti

2021-10-07 22:31:26+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	we want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair.	Yogiraj Sangamreddy	2021-10-07 22:29:41+00
10-06-21 v2 HD corre	Like	The map fairly groups lakeshore communities with similar concerns together. My city of Whitehall is a very short drive from Oceana county and in fact many people drive back and forth from there to work in my city and vice versa. The cities of Whitehall/Montague are similar sized and have a lot in common economically and culturally with cities like Pentwater, Ludington and Manistee. This grouping makes sense.	Sean M	2021-10-07 22:29:06+00
10-06-21 v2 HD	Like	Fully support this map. Thank you for keeping Midland County whole!	Richard Cesaretti	2021-10-07 22:28:43+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I dislike this idea	Anil S	2021-10-07 22:27:52+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	Madison Heights and Detroit shouldn't be in the same district. They have very little in common. I'm not a fan of this one.	Seth Rosen	2021-10-07 22:26:28+00
10-06-21 v5 HD	Dislike	I oppose this map as the proposed 53rd district maybe the very definition of Gerrymandering by connecting Bay City and Midland county with a long narrow strip of land. This is the shameful type of politics that we were ensured this so-called "independent" commission was going to help us avoid.	Richard Cesaretti	2021-10-07 22:25:17+00

I ask you to keep my county  
(Muskegon County) undivided  
on your congressional map.  
Maps 205, 200, 187 and 180  
do this very well. Our county  
is very interconnected  
economically and culturally  
and would be poorly served  
by being divided between  
districts.

We are a lakeshore  
community with a maritime  
focused economy and  
lifestyles and as such share  
common interests with  
similar communities up and  
down the lakeshore like  
Holland, Grand Haven,  
Pentwater, and Ludington.  
Please group us with similar  
communities to our north and  
south, not with Grand Rapids  
to our east. Grand Rapids is a  
large city that dwarfs  
Muskegon. Your maps such as  
203, 195 and others that try  
to connect us to Kent County  
would be a major detriment

10-04-21 CD V3b AE Dislike

Sean M

2021-10-07 22:23:42+00

I oppose this map as the proposed 53rd district maybe the very definition of Gerrymandering by connecting Bay City and Midland county with a long narrow strip of land. This is the shameful type of politics that we were ensured this so-called "independent" commission was going to help us avoid.

10-06-21 v6 HD

Dislike

Richard Cesaretti

2021-10-07 22:22:48+00

I ask you to keep my county (Muskegon County) undivided on your congressional map. Maps 205, 200, 187 and 180 do this very well. Our county is very interconnected economically and culturally and would be poorly served by being divided between districts.

We are a lakeshore community with a maritime focused economy and lifestyles and as such share common interests with similar communities up and down the lakeshore like Holland, Grand Haven, Pentwater, and Ludington. Please group us with similar communities to our north and south, not with Grand Rapids to our east. Grand Rapids is a large city that dwarfs Muskegon. Your maps such as 203, 195 and others that try to connect us to Kent County would be a major detriment I like that Pontiac and Waterford are together. This makes sense.

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Like

Sean M

2021-10-07 22:20:27+00

10-05-21 v1 CD Like

Seth Rosen

2021-10-07 22:20:24+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	As a proud Michigander, I strongly believe Macomb communities should stay in a Macomb County district. Warren and Sterling Heights are very similar cities with strong economic ties. Mound and Van Dyke are the main vein of American industry and belong together.	Seth Rosen	2021-10-07 22:17:51+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Opinion	Central Oakland County includes Pontiac and Waterford and should be kept whole.	Diane Luscombe	2021-10-07 22:10:37+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I am a resident of Troy and Oakland County. I do not want to be represented legislators and officials outside my county. Do not move Troy into Macomb County. We have nothing in common with them.	Edward James Hannum	2021-10-07 22:10:04+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>I am vehemently opposed to Troy being lumped into Macomb County. Troy needs to stay with Rochester, Rochester Hills, Birmingham, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the Macomb County communities. Troy and Rochester Hills should be moved from District 6 (Macomb County) to District 3 (Oakland County). Their socio economically similar and all three cities share Schools of Choice students. It makes sense when looking through the eyes of a school age parent. Even the sports programs have us competing with each other and Bham and Bloomfield Hills.</p>	Anne Sackrison	2021-10-07 22:09:15+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Opinion		Diane Luscombe	2021-10-07 22:08:40+00

10-06-2021 SD RAS Dislike I agree with Patrick Quist. Why are these districts so convoluted in boundaries, sprawled across vast areas instead of being more geographically compact? It just gives the impression of more gerrymandering and special interests. Robert C 2021-10-07 22:03:43+00

10-06-2021 SD RAS Dislike Why not make District 21 the entire county of Kalamazoo, instead of carving out and adding a part of Van Buren county and removing a portion of Kalamazoo county and adding it District 3? Robert C 2021-10-07 21:58:52+00

I live in Troy, in Oakland County. My kids go to the Troy School District, and later they will go to Oakland Community College, where they will be in resident tuition. If they were to go to Macomb Community College, they would pay out of state tuition. This is just an example to show that we are connected to other Oakland County cities. We are not connected with Macomb County, and I don't want to be connected to them in a district when we are in different counties.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Karen Hunt

2021-10-07 21:58:12+00

Madison Hgts should be moved to Oakland County. They are neighbors to Royal Oak and use R.O. Schools as well as Troy school. Residents spend a lot of time in R.O and Troy on the John R Corridor.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Diane Luscombe

2021-10-07 21:55:51+00

10-06-2021 SD RAS	Dislike	<p>What rational can be given to carve this section out and attach it to district 21? District lines should be more mindful of geographical proximity and county lines. It makes me wonder what special interest is pressuring the commission to carve these special sections out from one county and to attach them to another?</p>	Robert C	2021-10-07 21:55:21+00
10-06-21 v2 HD corre	Dislike	<p>This is a district that severs municipalities and ties the remaining parts together. The remaining pieces are not a community of interest. It is a set of (partial) communities that have little to do with one another.</p>	Jonathan Warshay	2021-10-07 21:54:24+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Macomb communities should stay in a Macomb County district. Warren and Sterling Heights are sister cities that share economic ties. The Mound-Van Dyke manufacturing corridor is the economic lifeblood of these communities. Everyone know these are sister cities and should remain as such.</p>	Diane Luscombe	2021-10-07 21:52:43+00

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Dislike This creates a district that has no shared interests with the different communities that would be a part of it. Much of the proposed district has nothing to do with other parts. Jonathan Warshay 2021-10-07 21:51:56+00

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Dislike I live next to Farmington Hills. This map severs the city, combines part with Franklin, with which is does not share common interests, and the southern part creeps along the south side of the county into Detroit, again not really sharing interests. Perhaps this is someone's idea of creating a majority-minority district, but it does not create a district of shared community. Jonathan Warshay 2021-10-07 21:50:45+00

10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike we want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities touching Lake St. Clair Emily Morgan 2021-10-07 21:49:39+00

The city of Midland has no business being separate from the rest of Midland County. As a young professional who lives and works in the city of Midland, I can attest that most of my colleagues and friends who also work in the city of Midland reside in the surrounding townships. By siphoning off the city from the rest of the county, this map neglects not only the community of interest that is Midland as a whole (which stretches far outside of the city limits), but also creates other problems when considering the continuing restoration efforts of the 2020 flood. It's worth noting that the city of Midland is also the county seat. Additionally, I spent most of my life split between Oakland County and Iosco County, and I can say with complete certainty that the city of Midland has very

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

little in common with

Holly Wetzel

2021-10-07 21:49:13+00

10-06-2021 SD RAS	Dislike	<p>Why are there so many districts on this map that have contorted shapes that divide up counties and are not more compact? Carving out parts to put in other districts gives the appearance of gerrymandering and erodes the trust of the commission. The only areas where this should occur are in and around larger cities, not less populated regions.</p>	Robert C	2021-10-07 21:44:36+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Warren is the heart of Macomb County and is a community of interest along with Sterling Heights Accordingly, both should be in district 6.</p> <p>I do not understand the commissions obsession with putting south kent county with south haven and/or benton harbor. I do not like this arrangement. I'd much rather be part of a Kent County district.</p>	lori A Boyce	2021-10-07 21:40:20+00
10-06-2021 SD RAS	Dislike	<p>Ottawa/Barry/Allegan county is okay too.</p>	Patrick Quist	2021-10-07 21:32:17+00

Looking at this Senate map, I am concerned about how far East the Troy district extends. Given the shopping, business, and daily activities of this area, it would be more appropriate--and would address serious issues of partisan bias and fairness--to extend District 16 further south, adjusting the Birmingham District to bring it into the Troy district. This district appears to be stretched in the wrong direction if the purpose is to reflect communities that live, work, and play together.

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike LAURIE Evans 2021-10-07 21:31:53+00

I live in Birmingham and my children even go to school with kids from Troy. We go to Troy for shopping, socializing and find it very similar to Birmingham in many ways. The fact that they are separated in different districts on this map doesn't make sense. They are similar communities right next to each other - it doesn't make sense to split them in different districts.

10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike Nessa Feller 2021-10-07 21:31:37+00

As a resident of Ann Arbor for over 20 years, I find that it isn't right to combine it with Ypsilanti -> they are two entirely different types of communities and should be split up. It is common knowledge all over the state / mid-west that Ann Arbor has a unique perspective in how they view the community / role of government.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Nessa Feller

2021-10-07 21:26:38+00

The Federal Office of Management and Budget defines the Saginaw-Midland-Bay City Combined Statistical Area in Mid/Central Michigan as the area that surrounds the business, transportation, environmental and residential infrastructure resources within Saginaw Bay and Saginaw River watershed region. The MBS combined statistical area is the 4th largest CSA in the state of Michigan.

In 2009, the Bay Area, Midland Area and Saginaw County Chambers of Commerce, Bay Future, Midland Tomorrow and Saginaw Future Inc. jointly decided prior to the last census that the Great Lakes Bay Region of Mid/Central Michigan includes Bay City, Midland and Saginaw.

Thank you for all of your work; however, I am very concerned to see Troy being put into a district with Macomb County jurisdictions. Please keep Troy whole and completely with Oakland County. Troy does not share a community of interest with Macomb County cities. We are connected with Oakland County Government, services, recreation, and entertainment venues. We have many more commonalities with communities to our South or West and very little in common with jurisdictions to the East. I do not understand or agree with any rationale that moves Troy away from Oakland County or away from the communities in which we most often conduct business. The idea behind the Redistricting Committee was to fix issues such as communities being districted

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Laurie Evans

2021-10-07 21:20:30+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Chesterfield doesn't belong out in the sticks with Marine City and Algonac. Chesterfield should be with Clinton Township and Mt. Clemens. We are just another suburb of Detroit now, and no longer a farming community in any way.</p>	June C. Zydek	2021-10-07 21:18:16+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities touching Lake St. Clair.</p> <p>Troy and Rochester Hills should be moved from their current District to District 3 in Oakland County. They have much more in common with the inner ring suburbs of Oakland County than they do with Macomb County.</p>	Garima Kumar	2021-10-07 21:15:53+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Troy and Rochester Hills should be moved from their current District to District 3 in Oakland County. They have much more in common with the inner ring suburbs of Oakland County than they do with Macomb County.</p>	Lauren Lisi	2021-10-07 21:12:15+00

I think it would be unfair to put Warren, Roseville, and Eastpointe in separate Congressional Districts when they're neighboring Macomb County communities. I'm an attorney in private practice, in Warren. Many of my clients live and work in Eastpointe, Roseville, and Warren. My gynecologist and rheumatologist, I see in Roseville. I shop in these cities; at Costco for gas, groceries, clothes, and many other things and other stores. I use the libraries in Eastpointe, Roseville, and Warren. I go to restaurants and entertainment opportunities in Eastpointe, Roseville, and Warren. My personal sense of community is in these three cities together.

9-20-21 V3 CD

Dislike

Carol Margaret Hogan

2021-10-07 21:11:59+00

together, Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti make up most of Washtenaw County. From west to east, as well as from urban cores to rural peripheries, Washtenaw County is significantly diverse. I have lived/ worked/ volunteered in Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti for a combined total of 6 years. I strongly advise against tying Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti into one district. The differences between each are not recognized often enough. These differences are somewhat congruous with COVID-19 hospitalization data. Zip codes such as 48197 are a stark contrast to others like 48105. The residents of these areas deserve to be recognized , as well as to self govern, per their unique identities. Differences between these zip codes are sometimes black and white (literally but also figuratively).

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

They include but are not

Anna Wysocki

2021-10-07 21:09:22+00

I used to work at Macomb Community College, South Campus. Macomb communities should stay in a Macomb County district. This means that Warren should be in the same district as it's sister city, Sterling Heights. Just as the inner ring suburbs of Oakland County should be kept together, the inner ring suburbs of Macomb County should be kept together as well. The communities that line Mound, Van Dyke, Groesbeck and Gratiot use those thoroughfares to visit the same cities, neighborhoods and businesses. Do not make Warren an orphan city.

Map #193  
 try tweaking map #193, it's much better map. Not happy with  
 Kent County

10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike  
 10-06-21 v2 HD corre Dislike  
 10-06-2021 HD v1 RA Dislike

Lauren Lisi  
 Jack Bengtsson  
 Jack Bengtsson

2021-10-07 21:09:12+00  
 2021-10-07 21:07:55+00  
 2021-10-07 21:06:05+00

This is a horrible map. Putting the City of Midland in with counties north and west is totally the wrong way to go. The City of Midland should be put in with the Tri Cities. The City looks east and south and is not at all well placed on this map.

10-04-21 CD V3b AE Like

Greg Mayville

2021-10-07 21:01:04+00

I live in Huntington Woods. Southfield needs to be moved down into District 2 because of the Voting Rights Act. With the exception of Southfield, keep the inner ring suburbs of Detroit together, this includes adding Hazel Park and Madison Heights into District 3 rather than including them with Detroit. Keep the inner ring suburbs along both sides of the Woodward Corridor of Oakland County together. We have our own vibe. The cities in the western part of this district, Northville and Novi, have nothing in common with the eastern part of this district. We have much more in common with Madison Heights and Hazel Park. You should also add Troy and Rochester Hills into this District. They belong with Oakland County, not Macomb County.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Lauren Lisi

2021-10-07 20:59:09+00

10-06-2021 SD RAS	Like	<p>This is an excellent map connecting the City of Midland with the Tri Cities including Bay City and Saginaw. The City of Midland looks to the east and south and connects most appropriately with the Tri Cities. The City of Midland should not be thrown in with rural counties to the north or west. Thank you for proposing a proper map. Troy, Rochester and Rochester Hills should be included in District 3. Absolutely no relevance with cities stretching out to Lake St. Clair, Be certain Ferndale and Hazel Park are fully included in District 3.</p>	Greg Mayville	2021-10-07 20:55:12+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		John Owens	2021-10-07 20:53:20+00

10-06-21 v4 HD	Like	<p>This is a good map. The city of Midland has a lot more in common with Bay City than the rest of Midland County. The community of interest argument being put forward by some of the comments is false, the City of Midland and the rest of Midland County are very different and should be plot in the manner of this map. Thank you for putting this forward.</p>	Greg Mayville	2021-10-07 20:47:14+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Troy does not belong in an district for Macomb County! It is part of Oakland County and the map should be divided up according to county lines. There should be no little pieces of 1 county broken off and added to a different county!</p>	Paul Rizza	2021-10-07 20:44:01+00

Despite Rochester Hills, Troy, and Madison Heights proximity to Macomb County, those communities have more in common with their neighboring Oakland County communities, and should be moved from District 6 to District 3. This change would allow Warren to be grouped with its neighbors in Macomb county. I realize you would have to move some communities out of district 3 - perhaps Walled Lake, Wixom, Novi, Northville, Northville Twp., and Waterford Twp. I want to support Jennifer Austin's comment. I'm glad that this map keeps the mid-Michigan tri-Cities together.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

William Asher

2021-10-07 20:38:52+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC

Opinion

Max Milstein

2021-10-07 20:38:26+00

Madison Heights fits better with Oakland County communities like Troy or Royal Oak than Wayne County. Also, why have a district that is split between 3 different counties? Seems like 1 should be the goal, and 2 should be the max as every county is different. At only 30k and 16k respectively there's no reason why Madison Heights and Hazel

9-20-21 v4 CD

Dislike

Park couldn't fit into district 6. Mark Bliss

2021-10-07 20:37:49+00

Why is Warren separated from Macomb County? This looks as gerrymandered as the partisan maps previously drawn by politicians. Warren is the largest city in Macomb County and should share representation with nearby Macomb cities like Sterling Heights and Roseville, with whom we share industries, school systems, cultures. There is a big difference between the needs of the City of Detroit in Wayne County and the needs of Macomb County cities. Also, you shouldn't pack the most populous city in Macomb County with the most populous city in Wayne County. This map does not work for either Detroit or Warren or Macomb County.

9-20-21 V3 CD

Dislike

Carmela Finn

2021-10-07 20:32:40+00

9-20-21 v4 CD	Opinion	<p>If this is your final map without changes on Redistricting Congressional 8, I'm pleased that this map does not divide communities. However I'm now hearing that divisions of Counties are happening? Please don't fall to the gerrymandering and unite our communities as we voted upon on this proposal. Sadly, Last hour changes to your plan will draw great suspicion and unsettle your hard work. Troy must stay where it is.. we have much more synergies with Oakland County and Rochester Hills/Rochester. Please do not even think of changing it.</p>	Kathleen Thorrez	2021-10-07 20:23:56+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>I live in Auburn Hills and my community should remain whole and not divided, especially into a Macomb County district. Please keep Oakland County cities with Oakland County district to ensure we get Representatives who can focus on the needs of our community.</p>	Srini Subramaniam	2021-10-07 20:21:27+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>community.</p>	Renee Bradford	2021-10-07 20:20:01+00

I thought that this redistricting was going to fix gerrymandering by grouping together counties that bordered each other. Instead I see that Troy has been cut out of Oakland county and placed in Macomb County. It does NOT belong in Macomb County. Looking at the map state wide I still see appendiges attached to districts when districts should be solid blocks according to counties. Counties should not be divided up into different districts!

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Paul Rizza

2021-10-07 20:13:32+00

We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities in Macomb county reaching as far as Lake St. Clair. Troy DOES NOT belong with Macomb County!

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

R. Maramraju

2021-10-07 20:09:02+00

I am a W. Bloomfield resident and we have several socioeconomic similarities with Troy and Rochester. These Oakland County cities should be in our District to preserve the economic ties that connect the majority of our county's communities. Removing Troy and Rochester from District 6 would allow the addition of Warren to the rest of Macomb County (Dist. 6). Warren and Sterling Heights are sister cities that share economic ties. The Mound-Van Dyke manufacturing corridor is extremely important to these communities of interest.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Marcie Paul

2021-10-07 20:04:12+00

I am a long time resident of Novi and was very upset and disturbed to see a small section of Novi notched out of our district. This just happens to be the location of the home of our newly elected State Representative! Is this the result of deliberate rigging on the part of some Commissioners? Novi is a very cohesive community with strong ties among residents. It should remain intact, especially since this map seems to have partisan bias built into it, the very opposite of the goal of the Commission. Also, West Bloomfield should be divided in a north and south manner and paired with Waterford and Commerce Township respectively. They are similar and geographically aligned. Pontiac and Auburn Hills should be similarly paired.

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Dislike

Elizabeth Morris

2021-10-07 20:04:01+00

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Opinion	<p>One modest suggestion for the Upper Peninsula. it would be more compact and cleaner looking if Baraga County stays with the rest of the western UP just like it has in previous maps. Then your district number 108 can take in more of Dickinson County and both districts won't stretch so far from west to east.</p>	Daniel Harris	2021-10-07 19:58:22+00	
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Troy is in Oakland County, not Macomb. We are a community with interests aligning with neighboring Oakland County cities. We are connected to all of the services in Oakland County, not Macomb. Our children attend schools in Oakland County which are supported by Oakland County Intermediate School District, again, not Macomb.</p>	Lea VanAmberg	2021-10-07 19:58:20+00

As communities with much in common, Mount Clemens and Clinton Township belong with Roseville and Fraser, not with Algonac or Grosse Pointe. And after looking at the partisan bias scores of the proposed districts 8, 9 and 14, it is obvious they need to be dispersed in some ways to districts 16 and 18. Avoiding partisan bias and ensuring competitive elections is the guiding impetus for the Redistricting Commission and that needs to be looked at seriously.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

Elizabeth Morris

2021-10-07 19:54:11+00

Troy belongs with other cities in Oakland County. We do not share any communities of interest with Macomb County. Our demographics are completely different. We do not work, shop, worship, etc. in Macomb County. We belong with Birmingham, Bloomfield, Clawson, Pontiac or Rochester.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Vee K

2021-10-07 19:45:54+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Novi and Farmington Hills are sister communities with many economic and cultural ties and should be kept together. Troy and Rochester Hills are also similar communities and should be kept together. Pontiac and Waterford should remain together for the same reason. Finally, the cities of Novi, Troy and Rochester and very unified and should remain intact and whole in theses maps.</p>	Elizabeth Morris	2021-10-07 19:44:25+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>This redistricting is the definition of gerrymandering. Troy has very little in common with the counties to the east. We are in Oakland County and want to remain with Rochester Hills, Bloomfield Hills, and Birmingham.</p>	Jamie Kaniarz	2021-10-07 19:42:03+00

As a past resident of what is seen as the 87th district on this map, I really agree on the way the shorelines have been connected. Those regions have extremely similar interests whether it be the upkeep of the shoreline, tourism, or the lake itself. This will allow for a better focus of political issues that are specific to the area. This is by far the best map representation that I have seen to date.

10-06-21 v6 HD

Like

Nealie Andrews

2021-10-07 19:40:53+00

The municipality of Taylor should be paired with the 7th District instead. Taylor is a semi-rural town, and has more in common with the rural areas south and west of here.

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC

Dislike

Daniel P Dobbins

2021-10-07 19:40:29+00

I have lived in Troy for over 20 years, my husband and I moved to this community for is richly diverse culture, highly educated population and resources that are comparable to other communities in Oakland County. It is unfortunate that the idea arose to redistrict Troy with Macomb County. We have little in common with the majority of the county, especially the north and east portions that are rural. They do not support the same suburban values that the majority of Troy residents do. The voices of the Troy population will not be heard if the congressional lines are moved.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Anne Katherine Sweeney

2021-10-07 19:37:34+00

Keep the District Areas by County. It has been like this for years.

Do not 'take' from one area and 'add' it to another.

People make their decisions where to live for economic reasons.

What you are trying to do is 'Stack the Deck' in your favor by selecting areas based upon political preferences.

9-20-21 v4 CD

Dislike

Joseph Feinberg

2021-10-07 19:36:37+00

Troy belongs with other cities in Oakland County. We do not share any communities of interest with Macomb County. Our demographics are completely different. We do not work, shop, worship, etc. in Macomb County. We belong with Birmingham, Bloomfield, Clawson, Pontiac or Rochester.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Brian Spillane

2021-10-07 19:32:20+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Troy does not belong lumped in with Macomb County. We are a diverse community and have very different demographics than Macomb County. We share no communities of interest. Myself and my neighbors do not work, worship, shop, seek entertainment, go to higher education, or even have family in Macomb. We are more aligned with Birmingham, Bloomfield Hills, Clawson, Pontiac, or even Rochester and Rochester Hills. Troy belongs with other cities in Oakland County.</p>	Lori Ann Mizzi-Spillane	2021-10-07 19:29:18+00
10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Dislike	<p>This map is terrible! It places Alma which is a much smaller town than Midland in with Saginaw, Bay City and Flint. Midland is part of the Tri-Cities and should not be seperated from them.</p>	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-07 19:23:05+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Like	<p>Thank you for putting the city of Midland in its proper place with other urban areas of Mid-Michigan. We need representation that will look after our economic intrests and that will happen when with other urban areas.</p>	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-07 19:19:07+00

Just who is it doing this? Who thinks Troy should be in MACOMB COUNTY?? Certainly not those of us who live here! Why don't you people go out and ASK what WE, THE RESIDENTS want here?? I'm so damn tired of all this arbitrary nonsense "redistricting" committees come up with that tear Democratic votes away from us!! KNOCK IT OFF!!

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		John Rose	2021-10-07 19:18:20+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	This is absolutely ridiculous. Troy needs to be kept with Oakland county!	Collee Lindgren	2021-10-07 19:14:37+00
		It's odd to group Troy with our neighbors to the east. Troy should be grouped with neighboring cities in Oakland county. As Oakland and Macomb counties are different, putting Troy with Macomb county cities would break up a community of interest. Please group Troy with like cities in Oakland County.		
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Thank you for reading, and thank you for your work.	Justine Galbraith	2021-10-07 19:11:05+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>This is absolutely ridiculous. Troy has nothing in common with with communities in Macomb country and this is a desperate attempt to silence democratic voices in Troy's richly diverse community. Troy should stay in Oakland county with cities like Rochester and Bloomfield hills who share similar interests and communities.</p> <p>I cannot support this map. This map puts northern Bay county into a northern district. Northern Bay county hugs the shore of Saginaw Bay. We have flooding, power outage, and road impediment concerns that are similar to the rest of the Watershed. Our community of interest aligns with the southern half of Bay county. Do not split the county in this manner.</p>	Shreya Balusu	2021-10-07 19:03:43+00
10-06-2021 SD RAS	Dislike	<p>totally agree with Vital Anne. Troy is very much a part of our Oakland County community of interest and has nothing in common with the east side.....</p>	Catherine A Macomber	2021-10-07 19:01:06+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>the east side.....</p>	Lori Boyce	2021-10-07 19:00:50+00

10-05-21 v1 HD	Opinion	I support this map with reservations. The Saginaw Bay Watershed is an important consideration for Bay county as a community of interest. I believe splitting the county in this way does a disservice to this COI.	Catherine A Macomber	2021-10-07 18:59:47+00
38.4 Coalition Minori	Opinion	I support this map with reservations. I believe the Saginaw Bay Watershed and supporting waterways is an important community of interest. I believe splitting the county does not address the needs of this community of interest.	Catherine A Macomber	2021-10-07 18:58:42+00
10-06-21 v5 HD	Dislike	I disagree with this map. Please keep the city of Midland with Midland County.	Jill Thill	2021-10-07 18:58:03+00
10-06-21 v5 HD	Dislike	I disagree with this map. Please keep the city of Midland with Midland County.	Jill Thill	2021-10-07 18:58:03+00
10-06-21 v5 HD	Dislike	I disagree with this map. Please keep the city of Midland with Midland County.	Jill Thill	2021-10-07 18:58:03+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Troy is a very diverse community, and to lump it in with Macomb County doesn't make sense. Troy and Sterling Heights are very different in terms of demographics, and many of the county services in Troy are shared with other cities in the same county (Oakland County.) It doesn't make sense to move Troy, Rochester Hills, and Rochester to align with Macomb County. Keep Macomb County in it's own district, and split Oakland County into several being sure to include Troy, Rochester and Rochester Hills with other Oakland county cities.</p>	Daniel Greig	2021-10-07 18:57:40+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	<p>I cannot support this map. The Watershed of the Saginaw Bay and the feeding waterways makes the entirety of Bay county a community of interest that should be considered. Splitting Bay county in this way discounts this natural community.</p>	Catherine A Macomber	2021-10-07 18:57:04+00

10-04-21 CD V3a AE	Like	<p>This map and the 10-04-21 CD V3B better group communities in the Oakland/Macomb/Washtenaw county area than many of the other maps (including the 10-05-21 sets). I live in Milan, and while it is divided rural/suburban, many of our residents work in Saline, Ann Arbor, and other cities north of us. This map reflects that our community has more in common with Saline than the rural south of the entire State. I also feel that this map through Oakland and Macomb Country is a better way to group those communities.</p>	Jeremy Hanke	2021-10-07 18:55:43+00
10-06-21 v5 HD	Dislike	<p>I cannot support this map. You have split Bay county away from Bay City. I believe the Watershed of Saginaw Bay and feeding waterways makes the entirety of the county a community of interest that should be considered.</p>	Catherine A Macomber	2021-10-07 18:55:41+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	<p>Please keep our Midland county whole!</p>	Jill Thill	2021-10-07 18:55:40+00

10-06-21 v4 HD	Opinion	<p>I support this map with reservations. I believe the Watershed shared through the Saginaw Bay and feeding waterways should be considered for northern Bay county. The Watershed connects northern Bay county as a community of interest in line with southern Bay county.</p>	Catherine A Macomber	2021-10-07 18:54:25+00
10-06-2021 HD v1 RA Dislike		<p>Map still stinks. Metro Detroit is sliced and diced into an unrecognizable mess. Western Michigan is also getting ripped apart. Communities and COIs are ignored. There is a better way to comply with the regulations that will keep communities whole and create fair and adequately represented districts. This map is good for no one.</p>	Lisa	2021-10-07 18:53:27+00
10-06-21 v2 HD	Opinion	<p>I support this map with reservations. I believe the issues related to the Watershed that impacts northern Bay county should be considered and that area should be kept with southern Bay county</p>	Catherine A Macomber	2021-10-07 18:53:01+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I am a 20 year resident of Troy MI, who moved here and have loved the community and diversity of Troy. Redistricting Troy with Macomb county would silence our voice and not address our needs. We share ideals, industry and community with the rest of Oakland county especially Rochester Hills, Rochester and Bloomfield Hills, not the area adjacent to Lake St. Clair.	Margaret Chinoski	2021-10-07 18:52:51+00
10-06-21 v1 HD	Like	Thank you for keeping Midland County whole.	Jill Thill	2021-10-07 18:52:41+00
10-06-21 v1 HD	Like	Thank you for keeping Midland County whole. I support this map with reservations. I believe northern Bay county has more in common with the rest of the Watershed. The Saginaw Bay, fed by the associated rivers, has the potential to create flooding, power outages, and access barriers similar to those in southern Bay county. I think this should be taken into	Jill Thill	2021-10-07 18:52:41+00
10-06-21 v1 HD	Opinion	consideration.	Catherine A Macomber	2021-10-07 18:51:36+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy must stay with Rochester, Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County. There is absolutely no reason to move Troy into Macomb County for voting purposes.	Anne Merrill	2021-10-07 18:51:25+00
10-06-2021 SD RAS	Opinion	You can't have a district that cuts Farmington away from Farmington Hills, Farmington is effectively the downtown for Farmington Hills	Kent Douglas	2021-10-07 18:51:16+00
10-06-21 v2 HD	Like	Thank you for a map that keeps Midland County whole!	Jill Thill	2021-10-07 18:49:51+00
10-06-21 v2 HD corre	Dislike	Additionally, the commission deliberately shorted the population in the district to concentrate the Pontiac vote, when the same effect is easily achieved using the communities that actually have connections with Pontiac (such as Auburn Hills) without artificially under-populating the district.	Lisa	2021-10-07 18:45:08+00

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Dislike	I think that if you are to divide up Waterford, if you must, that it's much a better idea to do along a north/south divide as opposed to east/west. Most of the time the east side of Waterford has much more in common, economically with Pontiac and the Lakes communities than the west side, which is often more like communities like White Lake. We have a very tight knit community between Farmington and Farmington Hills. We work together on so many issues and share common school districts, library system and so much more. Splitting the city of Farmington Hills apart also makes no sense - we need a single representative for our whole community.	Kent Douglas	2021-10-07 18:43:46+00
10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike		Lori Boyce	2021-10-07 18:40:54+00

I support this map with reservations. I believe that the consideration of the northern part of Bay county as a community of interest affiliated with the Watershed that includes Saginaw Bay is important.

10-06-2021 HD v1 RA Opinion

Catherine A Macomber

2021-10-07 18:37:28+00

I live in Farmington Hills and I view Farmington as part of our community. We share school district, library system and focus on economic development together. Personally - I view supporting our Farmington businesses as the same as supporting Farmington Hills. It makes no sense having these parts of our community in 2 different districts.

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Dislike

Iori A Boyce

2021-10-07 18:37:10+00

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Dislike	<p>This is a terrible map. Auburn Hills, Lake Angelus and Sylvan Lake have infrastructure, schools and COIs with Pontiac. Pontiac students also attend W Bloomfield Schools in large numbers, but somehow a small section of Waterford, which has no such connections is put in this district, which is unrepresentative of the communities and creates these very oddly shaped districts.</p>	Lisa	2021-10-07 18:33:39+00
10-06-21 v2 HD corre Dislike	<p>Splitting up Farmington and Farmington Hills makes no sense - we operate together - same school system; library and work together in approach to market. Us residents frankly view ourselves as one community and we support each other's businesses throughout Farmington and Farmington Hills.</p>	Iori A Boyce	2021-10-07 18:33:35+00

the tri-cities and Flint should be within the same district. These regions share the same watershed, have similar economic activity, and share the same infrastructure. Further, the tri-cities and Flint are witnessing a declining population, due to a lack of new economic activity. Young people are leaving for college and not coming back. The only difference between these communities is their racial/ethnic make-up. Saginaw and Flint have significant Black communities, while Midland and Bay City are majority-white. Although, Bay City has a large Hispanic population. The individuals who believe these communities are different, only do so because of those racial differences. They rationalize this belief by stating they have more in common with the rural 2nd district. However, the only

10-04-21 CD V3b AE Dislike

Ethan Edward Fick

2021-10-07 18:29:01+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>I want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We have nothing in common with the communities touching Lake St. Clair. Troy belongs in OAKLAND County, thankyouverymuch.</p>	Vicki Bonus	2021-10-07 18:20:41+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	<p>No district worth its salt in this region divides the M-59 corridor in so many pieces. We should at least recognize that communities along it in Oakland deserve to be in the same district as many of the residents commute to places of work from the center of the county to the east of the county.</p>	Kent Douglas	2021-10-07 18:18:12+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Like	<p>This map for district 87 makes a lot of sense. It joins the shoreline communities that share many interests including tourism, industry, education, and environmental awareness. Let's keep it!</p>	Jonathan Fisk	2021-10-07 18:10:08+00

The maps the commission is making in West Michigan area awful. Start doing your research on communities that belong together. Grabbing population just because is a ridiculous way to make a map. Do better! This map, plus the one actively being drawn has 3 different seats put into a small suburb area.

10-06-2021 HD v1 RA Dislike

Keep it whole

Drew Jones

2021-10-07 18:03:36+00

This placement of Troy along with Rochester and Rochester Hills is very ill conceived. It places those of us who live in these communities in a voting block that have very different policy needs from each other. Our communities belong with those in the proposed District 3 because now needs are more aligned.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Jennifer Richardson

2021-10-07 18:00:24+00

I am a 20 year resident of Troy. Troy along with Rochester and Rochester Hills are grouped with about 10 cities in Macomb county in this district #6 map. We really have nothing in common with these communities sprawling east as far as Lake St. Clair. Troy is a high diverse urban city with close to 30% immigrant population, highly educated (over 60% of adults in Troy are college educated) with great emphasis on public education. In contrast many of the cities in the proposed district are rural, such as Washington Township for example, is 95% white and less than 1% immigrant population and only about 32% of adults are college educated. Also being part of Oakland county, we, Troy shares many resources with other Oakland county cities, our children play and compete with other schools in Vital Anne

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

2021-10-07 17:55:06+00

10-06-21 v6 HD	Like	I agree wholeheartedly with the way you have drawn this map for district #87; the grouping of lakeshore municipalities makes good sense—we have much in common with other tourist towns and cities along the Lake Michigan shoreline.	Gretta Van Bree	2021-10-07 17:52:27+00
10-06-21 v2 HD corre Opinion		I support this map with reservations. I believe keeping the northern part of Bay county with the rest of the Watershed is an important consideration given that the threat of flooding, power outages, and road maintenance are items which concern the entirety of the community of interest related to the Watershed.	Catherine A Macomber	2021-10-07 17:51:18+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>I cannot support this map.  This map puts northern Bay county into a norther district.  Northern Bay county hugs the shore of Saginaw Bay. We have flooding, power outage, and road impediment concerns that are similar to the rest of the Watershed. Our community of interest aligns with the southern half of Bay county. Do not split the county in this manner.</p>	Catherine A Macomber	2021-10-07 17:48:35+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>I cannot support this map.  This map puts northern Bay county into a norther district.  Northern Bay county hugs the shore of Saginaw Bay. We have flooding, power outage, and road impediment concerns that are similar to the rest of the Watershed. Our community of interest aligns with the southern half of Bay county. Do not split the county in this manner.</p>	Catherine A Macomber	2021-10-07 17:48:02+00
10-06-21 v2 HD corre	Dislike	<p>Auburn Hills should be partnered with Rochester/Rochester Hills. Oakland Twp. should stay with northern Oakland Co.</p>	B Anness	2021-10-07 17:47:54+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>I cannot support this map. This map puts northern Bay county into a norther district. Northern Bay county hugs the shore of Saginaw Bay. We have flooding, power outage, and road impediment concerns that are similar to the rest of the Watershed. Our community of interest aligns with the southern half of Bay county. Do not split the county in this manner.</p>	Catherine A Macomber	2021-10-07 17:47:44+00
10-04-21 CD V3a AE	Like	<p>I support this map. The northern half of Bay county aligns well with the rest of the Watershed given the proximity to the Saginaw Bay coastline.</p>	Catherine A Macomber	2021-10-07 17:47:10+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Troy and Rochester Hills should be grouped with their neighbors in Pontiac, Birmingham, Clawson, and Royal Oak. Troy and Rochester Hills share far more economic and government interests with communities in Oakland County than those of Macomb.</p>	John Shepherd	2021-10-07 17:46:21+00

10-04-21 CD V3b AE Like I support this map. The Watershed of Saginaw Bay and beyond is an important community of interest that aligns with the northern sections of Bay county. Thank you. Catherine A Macomber 2021-10-07 17:46:11+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike I cannot support this map. This map puts northern Bay county into a norther district. Northern Bay county hugs the shore of Saginaw Bay. We have flooding, power outage, and road impediment concerns that are similar to the rest of the Watershed. Our community of interest aligns with the southern half of Bay county. Do not split the county in this manner. Catherine A Macomber 2021-10-07 17:44:16+00

10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike Troy needs to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities touching Lake St. Clair.â€ Lindsay Long 2021-10-07 17:43:52+00

Troy should not be lumped in with Macomb county. Very different areas and we want very different representation. We should be included with Rochester, Rochester Hills, Bloomfield Hills, Birmingham, etc. We do not have as much in common with communities in Macomb county as we do with those communities in Oakland. Very odd that Troy would be lumped in with Macomb county communities.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Alec Peeples

2021-10-07 17:42:41+00

Troy needs to be with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We have nothing in common with the communities touching Lake St. Clair.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Susan Hayden

2021-10-07 17:39:27+00

Seriously? This map isn't any different than the original HD 45. You've only flipped the carve out from the west to the east side of Oakland Twp. Oakland Twp. Should stay whole and so should Auburn Hills. The attached map shows how this can be achieved.

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Dislike

B Anness

2021-10-07 17:38:57+00

I attended meetings presented by the Independent commission from California. One of the repeated comments made by the presenters, one Democrat, one Republican, one Independent, was to keep local communities of interest together. Maps were redrawn many times to fit the needs of the communities not the politicians or parties. Oakland county needs to stay together. Ferndale needs to stay with south east Oakland county not in 3 districts. Dividing Ferndale makes this more difficult for all 3 counties not easier. Separating Troy into 2 districts is also more difficult. Keep South east Oakland county intact so communities can work together.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Mary Murphy

2021-10-07 17:23:21+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy should remain in Oakland County districting. Troy is much more aligned with Rochester and Birmingham. This is crazy! Do not align Troy with Macomb County - Troy is Oakland County - the end.	Amanda Haller	2021-10-07 17:21:01+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy should remain grouped with Oakland county and NOT grouped with Macomb.	Ingrid Peeples	2021-10-07 17:20:16+00
10-06-2021 HD v1 RA Opinion		I agree with Jennifer Austin -- Fair Maps are paramount. I have been lobbying for a simple Midland County House District for better representation without ever imagining that Midland could be drawn into a competitive district! Please take the time to look at and consider the maps the AFL-CIO have drawn. This is not to disparage the work you have drawn -- with you we have all learned a lot but now is not the time for ego. Your efforts are admirable. Thank you. Portal I.D. p3621 <a href="https://miaflcio.org/fairmaps/">https://miaflcio.org/fairmaps/</a>	Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman	2021-10-07 17:16:37+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>It makes absolutely no sense to move Troy to Macomb County. We want Troy to stay with Rochester and Rochester Hills, and Bloomfield in Oakland County! We are a community of Interest with cities there and are deeply connected to all of our services in the area. We really have nothing in common with the communities touching Lake St. Clair.</p>	Kelly R Dewald	2021-10-07 17:07:04+00
10-06-21 v2 HD corre	Dislike	<p>As someone who lives in West Bloomfield, it makes more sense to unpack this district and put half of West Bloomfield with Commerce and half with Waterford</p>	Marci W	2021-10-07 17:06:24+00

This is a very HARD NO WAY!!  
Not sure how we get back to  
another map that separates  
Midland from Midland County  
and puts us in with  
Flint/Saginaw which we have  
proven that we have no  
community of interest or  
similarities of beliefs. Again  
this begs to have the question  
of who is putting together this  
map as it appears to be  
politically motivated, with the  
motive of diluting the  
representation of Midland by  
throwing us in these large  
metro cities.

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

Mary J Quehl

2021-10-07 17:03:08+00

I grew up in Troy, a community with much of its Office space, commercial and retail base located along the 16-mile corridor. The interests of Troy's economic engine are by necessity aligned with Oakland County, rather than Macomb County. Troy's inclusion in an Oakland County district would properly align the interests of its local and federal representation reduce the need for the Member of Congress to meet with two County commissioners. This map should be rejected in its current form.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

John Hughes

2021-10-07 17:01:17+00

10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Like	MUCH BETTER THAN SOME OF THE RECENT MAPS THAT SEPARATE MIDLAND FROM MIDLAND COUNTY! However I cannot understand with the reasoning that was involved in the development and submission of this map that you would remove the townships of Porter, Ingersol, Warren, and Mt Haley from us and put hem in with the metro Flint and Saginaw of which they have no community of interest similarities. Please put these townships back in with us.	Mary J Quehl	2021-10-07 16:58:37+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy belongs in Oakland County, not lumped with Macomb. This is not a reflection of our community.	Greg Jones	2021-10-07 16:54:38+00
10-06-2021 SD RAS	Like	on further review, it places a great deal of the true numbers, in one small highly populated area.	Ed Schuitema	2021-10-07 16:54:01+00

This is a definite NO. As previously stated by so many why would you separate Midland from Midland County which has a strong community of interest, such as sharing the same public schools, healthcare in Midland (nobody refers to McLaren, Saginaw, of Flint; we send to U of M that we are affiliated with, NOT and of the others), sports, community beliefs, as well as many similarities that others have eloquently stated in previous comments.

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

Dennis Quehl

2021-10-07 16:52:40+00

Tying the lakeshore together seems to be a good deal on the surface, we shall see if it works out that way.

10-06-2021 SD RAS Like

Ed Schuitema

2021-10-07 16:51:16+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	<p>District 8 spreads too far across the state to be reflective to regional differences. This would break up the current District 6 that includes Allegan, Berrien, Cass, St Jospeh, Van Buren and Kalamazoo. One of the tasks assigned to the commission is to create compact districts. District 8, as drawn, seems to contradict this edict. The eastern, central and western portions of District 8 should be divided and grouped in closer geographical proximity to neighboring counties. Keeping the community together in the same district only makes sense! The Tri-cities along with Pinconning and Linwood are all united in many ways they should all have the same representation.</p>	Robert C	2021-10-07 16:49:05+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like		Julie Hilliker	2021-10-07 16:48:59+00

The separation of Madison Heights and Hazel Park from Oakland County separates those communities' federal representation from their representative County governments. This will create a more complex relationship between these leadership elements and marginalize the interests of those communities at either level. Aligning the interests of those levels of representation is more effective. This map should be rejected.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

John Hughes

2021-10-07 16:46:53+00

While I like this map much better than some of the more recent maps that put Midland in with metro areas like Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint and/or also separate Midland from Midland County; it still leaves out Porter, Warren, Mt Haley, and Ingersoll. Why would you send these small townships to the Saginaw and Flint districts? There is absolutely nothing that these small townships have in common from a distant huge metro area. No shared community of interest. One question that should be asked is the reasoning for pulling them out. It absolutely makes no sense at all and I do not believe that there is any data that can provide any similarities, but there is a lot which shows the dissimilarities.

10-04-21 CD V3b AE Like

Dennis Quehl

2021-10-07 16:45:01+00

While I like what is drawn here, Whitehall and Muskegon county have very little in common with the rest of the 101st district

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Like

Ed Schuitema

2021-10-07 16:44:31+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>I mistakenly hit the LIKE button instead of DISLIKE. This district of lumping Troy in with Macomb County makes NO SENSE! We share no demographic characteristics with Macomb. Troy should be districted in Oakland County.</p>	Kelly Jones	2021-10-07 16:41:41+00
10-06-21 v2 HD corre	Dislike	<p>Splitting up Farmington Hills into 2 separate Senate Districts is splitting up an area that should be kept together. Farmington Hill should be together with Farmington, as well. Those two cities share resources, share a school district, share a library system. They should be contained within the same districts - State Senate, State House, and Congressional.</p>	Dana Fortier	2021-10-07 16:41:40+00

Splitting up Farmington Hills into 2 separate Senate Districts is splitting up an area that should be kept together. Farmington Hill should be together with Farmington, as well. Those two cities share resources, share a school district, share a library system. They should be contained within the same districts - State Senate, State House, and Congressional.

10-06-2021 SD RAS Dislike

Dana Fortier

2021-10-07 16:40:39+00

Why is Ottawa County divided into THREE districts that stretch half way across Michigan? Does it make any sense to group these portions of the county away from the more proximal district 4 that is centered around Grand Rapids? Preserving the area around Grand Rapids into one district seems more logical. Why not draw district 4 to cover both Ottawa and Kent counties and then group Ionia county into district 5? Following county lines in less populated areas best preserves the appearance of fairness.

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

Robert C

2021-10-07 16:36:49+00

I currently reside in northern Ottawa County, but was raised in Gratiot County where my family still resides. Grand Haven and Muskegon being in the same district as Ithaca and Clare makes very little sense to me. Lakeshore communities are unique in that they are "blue economies," the use of lake resources is well known and integral in the collaboration between other lakeshore municipalities. These communities have much more in common with each other, than landlocked counties in the middle of the state.

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

Jacob Acker

2021-10-07 16:36:41+00

Troy should remain with Oakland County - and NOT be districted with Macomb. I have lived in Troy for 22 years, and Troy does not share demographics or population structure with Macomb. Please redistrict Troy to remain in Oakland County.

10-05-21 v1 CD Like

Kelly Jones

2021-10-07 16:35:54+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	It only makes sense to keep the trip city area together in every way! United in common interest and common purposes. A community working together!	Julie Hilliker	2021-10-07 16:31:38+00
----------------	------	--	----------------	------------------------

10-06-21 v1 HD	Like	I have been waiting for a map that has some common sense to it regarding community of interest. My dad said common sense is uncommon. Unfortunately there has been a lot of maps recently like 208 through 210 that seem to be politically motivated by taking a slice sideways and tearing apart Midland from Midland County. This map makes sense to us in the Midland area. Thank you for a map that ACTUALLY appears to be based on community of interest and not motivated by political concerns. Thank you for a nonpartisan map that makes common sense.	Dennis Quehl	2021-10-07 16:30:16+00
----------------	------	---	--------------	------------------------

10-06-21 v6 HD      Opinion      I believe Saugatuck and Douglas and Saugatuck Township should be added to the Lakeshore district (#87). These communities are lakeshore communities with the most commonality with all the others in current district #87 and have way less in common than the current proposed district #88.      Glenna DeJong      2021-10-07 16:29:02+00

10-06-21 v2 HD      Like      I have been waiting for a map that has some common sense to it regarding community of interest. My dad said common sense is uncommon. Unfortunately there has been a lot of maps recently like 208 through 210 that seem to be politically motivated by taking a slice sideways and tearing apart Midland from Midland County. This map makes sense to us in the Midland area. Thank you for a map that ACTUALLY appears to be based on community of interest and not motivated by political concerns. Thank you for a nonpartisan map that makes common sense.      Dennis Quehl      2021-10-07 16:26:30+00

10-06-21 v2 HD	Like	This map looks better. It keeps Midland whole and will help in our recovery process from the floods of 2020.	Anne Van Hulle	2021-10-07 16:26:22+00
		What is this??? Why is Taylor included with Hazel Park?		
		What do these two communities have in common? TYhe northern suburbs, Detroit and the downriver suburbs each deserve independent representation, not another snake-like district		
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Chris Motzenbecker	2021-10-07 16:25:19+00
		Why is this section added to district 5? In fact, why is the entire west portion of Ionia county separated from the other half of Ionia county, given its distance from Grand Rapids? Why can't county lines be better preserved in creating districts when they involve less populated areas?		
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike		Robert C	2021-10-07 16:24:22+00

As someone who was born and raised in Troy, why the HECK is Troy lumped in with the east side? Troy has a lot more in common with the other Oakland County communities like Auburn Hills, Rochester, Rochester Hills, Birmingham, etc. Some of these groupings are extremely bizarre/confusing and seem to come from people who don't live, work or play in these areas regularly.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Chris Motzenbecker

2021-10-07 16:23:38+00

Why are Madison Heights and Hazel Park not grouped with Ferndale? Our communities are very similar and have WAY more in common with Ferndale, Royal Oak, Oak Park, etc than they do with Detroit.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Chris Motzenbecker

2021-10-07 16:21:15+00

TO REMOVE OR USE THIS  
MAP. It appears that you have attempted and are trying to achieve the splitting of Midland and Midland County who share such a strong community of interest. Examples of this are our kids attending the same schools, all utilizing the same healthcare system (we do not refer patients to McLaren, or the Saginaw hospitals, we refer to the U of M whom we are directly affiliated with), problems with damage from the 2020 flood, many similar community beliefs, and many other reasons. share. This is exactly what you have done in this map. The commission is recently in maps has taken apart cities and counties for what appears to be political purposes. This new map looks like what the mandate in 2018 said it was not going to do. You have made a sideways slice which makes

10-06-21 v4 HD

Dislike

Dennis Quehl

2021-10-07 16:20:07+00

TO STRONGER OFFICE THIS  
MAP. It appears that you have attempted and are trying to achieve the splitting of Midland and Midland County who share such a strong community of interest. Examples of this are our kids attending the same schools, all utilizing the same healthcare system (we do not refer patients to McLaren, or the Saginaw hospitals, we refer to the U of M whom we are directly affiliated with), problems with damage from the 2020 flood, many similar community beliefs, and many other reasons. share. This is exactly what you have done in this map. The commission is recently in maps has taken apart cities and counties for what appears to be political purposes. This new map looks like what the mandate in 2018 said it was not going to do. You have made a sideways slice which makes

10-06-21 v5 HD

Dislike

Dennis Quehl

2021-10-07 16:18:58+00

10-06-21 v4 HD	Dislike	Please do not cut out communities of interest. This map makes no sense by cutting Midland out of Midland county. Keep Midland and Gladwin counties together.	Anne Van Hulle	2021-10-07 16:15:57+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	Why is this section carved out of district 5 and put with district 11? Please be mindful of county lines when dealing with less populated areas, otherwise it looks suspicious.	Robert C	2021-10-07 16:13:22+00

TO REMOVE OR USE THIS  
MAP.

It appears that you have attempted and are trying to achieve the splitting of Midland and Midland County who share such a strong community of interest. Examples of this are our kids attending the same schools, all utilizing the same healthcare system (we do not refer patients to McLaren, or the Saginaw hospitals, we refer to the U of M whom we are directly affiliated with), problems with damage from the 2020 flood, many similar community beliefs, and many other reasons. share. This is exactly what you have done in this map. The commission is recently in maps has taken apart cities and counties for what appears to be political purposes. This new map looks like what the mandate in 2018 said it was not going to do. You have made a

10-06-21 v6 HD

Dislike

Dennis Quehl

2021-10-07 16:12:06+00

10-06-2021 SD RAS	Like	<p>Thank you for keeping the Tri-Cities together in most of the Senate maps. We are a community of interest and should be together. I believe keeping these cities together also helps to create fair maps with as close to zero political bias as possible which is the main reason the voters of this state created this commission in the first place.</p> <p>I very much agree with creating a lakeshore district that reflects the common needs and concerns, as well as economic, transportation and educational realities of this region. Our voices will best be heard if we have someone who is able to represent these needs and concerns specifically. Thank you</p>	Jennifer Austin	2021-10-07 16:09:05+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Like	<p>Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti should be in two separate districts. We are packing black and minority votes in a single district. Even though Ypsilanti is a major urban area, it has not been given its own senate district, and that needs to</p>	Amy Scrima	2021-10-07 16:06:08+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>change.</p>	Dwayne Grantland	2021-10-07 16:05:07+00

This lakeshore community of interest map is the best I have seen yet. #87 pulls together people who face the issues of the lake, tourism, seasonal economies, unique rental and housing issues. Including Saugatuck might make sense as well.

10-06-21 v6 HD

Like

Audrey Lester

2021-10-07 16:04:20+00

I'm torn on this map. I would love to see districts more compact and square. I would love to see COIs kept together. And I would love to see House districts that aren't spread out so candidates don't have to travel as much to talk to voters and concerns are more centralized around an area. At the same time, partisan fairness is the most important factor as far as I am concerned. We have had maps that decided how our legislature was made up for decades. Republicans gerrymandered so badly that even though there were a majority of voters who voted for Democrats, the Republicans held minority rule. That has to end. We need maps that achieve as close to zero political bias as possible and if that means my district is shaped like a bone then so be it. We can't all have what we want. The most

10-06-21 v6 HD

Opinion

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-07 16:01:25+00

I'm torn on this map. I would love to see districts more compact and square. I would love to see COIs kept together. And I would love to see House districts that aren't spread out so candidates don't have to travel as much to talk to voters and concerns are more centralized around an area. At the same time, partisan fairness is the most important factor as far as I am concerned. We have had maps that decided how our legislature was made up for decades. Republicans gerrymandered so badly that even though there were a majority of voters who voted for Democrats, the Republicans held minority rule. That has to end. We need maps that achieve as close to zero political bias as possible and if that means my district is shaped like a bone then so be it. We can't all have what we want. The most

10-06-21 v5 HD

Opinion

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-07 16:00:12+00

The City of Midland needs to stay with Midland county. Removing it makes no common sense!! Communities are formed and built around like interests, ideas, and purpose. midland county and the city of Midland have one huge common purpose, the rebuilding of the communities after the disaster of 2020!!!! <https://michigan.mydistricting.com/legdistricting/comments/plan/210/23>

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC

Dislike

Carl L Hamann

2021-10-07 15:59:50+00

10-06-21 v5 HD

Opinion

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-07 15:59:32+00

I'm torn on this map. I would love to see districts more compact and square. I would love to see COIs kept together. And I would love to see House districts that aren't spread out so candidates don't have to travel as much to talk to voters and concerns are more centralized around an area. At the same time, partisan fairness is the most important factor as far as I am concerned. We have had maps that decided how our legislature was made up for decades. Republicans gerrymandered so badly that even though there were a majority of voters who voted for Democrats, the Republicans held minority rule. That has to end. We need maps that achieve as close to zero political bias as possible and if that means my district is shaped like a bone then so be it. We can't all have what we want. The most

10-06-21 v4 HD

Opinion

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-07 15:57:54+00

I'm torn on this map. I would love to see districts more compact and square. I would love to see COIs kept together. And I would love to see House districts that aren't spread out so candidates don't have to travel as much to talk to voters and concerns are more centralized around an area. At the same time, partisan fairness is the most important factor as far as I am concerned. We have had maps that decided how our legislature was made up for decades. Republicans gerrymandered so badly that even though there were a majority of voters who voted for Democrats, the Republicans held minority rule. That has to end. We need maps that achieve as close to zero political bias as possible and if that means my district is shaped like a bone then so be it. We can't all have what we want. The most common with Macomb County.

10-06-2021 HD v1 RA Opinion

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-07 15:54:20+00

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Harry M Philo

2021-10-07 15:52:21+00

10\_3\_21 RSzetela CD Dislike I oppose of this map. It detaches Midland county from Gladwin county this counties have a common connection, the disaster of 2020. These counties have been working together to rebuild their communities, Carl L Hamann 2021-10-07 15:51:28+00

10-06-21 v6 HD Dislike keep our communities of interest together. This is what the citizens commission said they would do but you are not. The counties of Midland, Gladwin and Central Michigan have more in common with our agricultural base than we do with Saginaw or Flint or Bay City for that matter. Midland alone has 500 farms and 88,000 acres. Then there is the flood of 2020. You are cutting Midland right out of the recovery process so that we can be restored as a whole with Gladwin county Anne Van Hulle 2021-10-07 15:46:38+00

Here again is an oddly shaped sub-section that is being removed from its county lines and added to a different district. The only area that this should occur is the very populated city of Detroit and its suburbs. I see no justification for this to occur with smaller towns/cities. Please keep county lines clean to avoid the appearance of special interests that breach public trust.

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

Robert C

2021-10-07 15:43:27+00

The City of Midland needs to be whole with midland county. Communities are formed with common interests, likes, ideas, purpose. The counties of midland and Gladwin along with the City on Midland have a huge common purpose, the disaster of 2020!! These communities have been working together to rebuild. splitting them up would not be beneficial to that goal.

10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike

Carl L Hamann

2021-10-07 15:42:56+00

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Dislike	<p>District 21 makes no sense. Splitting Oak Park in half is ridiculous. This district should have a horizontal configuration, not a long vertical one. Oak Park, Huntington Woods and Royal Oak Township should be kept with other inner ring suburbs, especially Ferndale and Pleasant Ridge. We have much more in common with those communities than we do with Detroit.</p>	Sharon Baseman	2021-10-07 15:37:29+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike	<p>Why is this section carved out of its county lines? What compelling interest is there to do this, here and elsewhere on this map? There are several areas that are carving out odd shaped sections to include in a district. Whose special interest does this carving out reflect? How will this be justified to Michigan's voters when the final report is promulgated?</p>	Robert C	2021-10-07 15:34:14+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Opinion	<p>Troy and Novi have significant Asian American population and belong together in the same district because that keeps the COI together.</p>	Shuvra Das	2021-10-07 15:31:43+00

10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Dislike	Midland county needs to stay on one district!! I do not like this map! It removes the City of Midland from the rest of the county!! That makes NO COMMON SENSE!! The people of midland county the city of Midland and Gladwin county suffered a huge catastrophe a little more that a year ago. These communities have been working together to rebuild. This map would not assist in that effort to accomplish that goal.	Carl L Hamann	2021-10-07 15:31:16+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike		Carl L Hamann	2021-10-07 15:28:00+00

I like that most of south Oakland County has been kept together on this map, with the exception of Madison Height and Hazel Park, which should also be kept with Oakland County. I disagree for a number of reasons that Southfield should be moved to District 2. I believe it should be kept in District 3. Among other things, there is a significant Jewish population in Southfield and moving it to District 2 would split up a large community of interest, taking the Southfield Jewish community away from the Jewish community in Oak Park and Huntington Woods, as well as West Bloomfield and Farmington Hills. The Oakland County cities where there is a large Jewish community should be kept in the same district.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Sharon Baseman

2021-10-07 15:27:32+00

my name is Andrey Duzyj, I live in Warren and am a proud member of the Ukrainian American Community. Many in our community fled communist rule in Eastern Europe and are deeply committed to our Faith, Families, and Local Communities. Our community is centered in Warren, based at the Ukrainian Cultural Center and lives across Southeast Oakland County and the surrounding Macomb county cities of Sterling Heights and Roseville. We are asking that you keep our Warren and Ukrainian community together with Macomb county and areas along 696. I know you have a very difficult task, but these communities are important to us and it appears that you could very easily return Warren to Macomb and keep us whole. Thank you.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Opinion

Andrey Duzyj

2021-10-07 15:23:55+00

my name is Andrey Duzyj, I live in Warren and am a proud member of the Ukrainian American Community. Many in our community fled communist rule in Eastern Europe and are deeply committed to our Faith, Families, and Local Communities. Our community is centered in Warren, based at the Ukrainian Cultural Center and lives across Southeast Oakland County and the surrounding Macomb county cities of Sterling Heights and Roseville. We are asking that you keep our Warren and Ukrainian community together with Macomb county and areas along 696. I know you have a very difficult task, but these communities are important to us and it appears that you could very easily return Warren to Macomb and keep us whole. Thank you.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Andrey Duzyj

2021-10-07 15:22:23+00

10-06-21 v1 HD

Like

Yes!

Natalie Gingras Hazen

2021-10-07 15:21:05+00

		Troy, Rochester and Rochester Hills should be kept with Oakland County. They have much more in common with Oakland than they do with Macomb. Warren and Centerline should be kept with Macomb County. Hazel Park and Madison Heights should also be kept with		
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Oakland County.	Sharon Baseman	2021-10-07 15:20:49+00
10-06-21 v2 HD	Like	Yes!	Natalie Gingras Hazen	2021-10-07 15:20:31+00
		No connecting the City of Midland to Bay City or		
10-06-21 v4 HD	Dislike	Saginaw	Natalie Gingras Hazen	2021-10-07 15:19:42+00
		No connecting the City of Midland to Bay City or		
10-06-21 v5 HD	Dislike	Saginaw	Natalie Gingras Hazen	2021-10-07 15:18:48+00
		No connecting the City of Midland to Bay City		
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	Midland to Bay City	Natalie Gingras Hazen	2021-10-07 15:17:45+00
		No way that the City of Midland should be added to		
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	Flint/Saginaw	Natalie Gingras Hazen	2021-10-07 15:13:52+00
		Not my favorite because it leaves behind Porter, Warren, Mt. Haley and Ingersoll and ships them to the Flint/Saginaw District. Happy it leaves the City of Midland with the rest of the County.		
10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Like		Natalie Gingras Hazen	2021-10-07 15:11:36+00

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Dislike		There are many COI submissions to guide what this area should look like but none of them ask for anything like this.	JF	2021-10-07 15:09:34+00
		These maps are partisan. Keep Novi together, this completely eliminates the power of the Asian vote. These maps are drawn intentionally to unfairly favor the republican vote. These maps need to be re-done. Why is Novi in a Senate district with Frain Lake and Superior Township in Washtenaw County?		
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	include Harper woods with the Grosse Pointes, all of the COI submissions request this and they share a school district	Stacey Smith	2021-10-07 15:08:42+00
10-06-21 v2 HD corre Dislike			JF	2021-10-07 15:07:22+00

Genesee County is a strong democrat county. always has been. with this map it is currently cut into 8 different Representative. looking at the map 5 of those would be republicans and 3 would be dems. this is a bad map. it is not representative of the people and the voting trends of people. It also packs majority minority communities into 2 districts. I understand that we are looking to make it non-partisan however, you have to look at the previous voting trends because if the people are 60% dem and 40% republican but the map is 40% dem and 60% republican it is a bad map.

10-06-21 v2 HD corre Dislike

Holly Armstrong

2021-10-07 15:06:44+00

10-06-21 v1 HD Like

FINALLY A STATEHOUSE MAP THAT APPEARS TO BE MORE BASED ON COMMUNITY OF INTEREST. This map makes sense to us in the Midland area. Thank you for a map that ACTUALLY appears to be based on community of interest and not motivated by political concerns. Thank you for a nonpartisen map that makes common sense.

Mary J Quehl

2021-10-07 15:02:33+00

10-06-21 v2 HD Like

FINALLY A STATEHOUSE MAP THAT APPEARS TO BE MORE BASED ON COMMUNITY OF INTEREST. This map makes sense to us in the Midland area. Thank you for a map that ACTUALLY appears to be based on community of interest and not motivated by political concerns. Thank you for a nonpartisen map that makes common sense.

Mary J Quehl

2021-10-07 15:00:30+00

same map as 200 and 201.

Makes no sense. Again there appears to an attempt to change votes by political maneuvering of this map to break apart our community around Midland and thus eliminating our voice and choice in appropriate representation. Please keep the promise made in 2018 and follow the true communities of interest submitted by the people of Michigan.

The commission is recently in maps taken apart cities and counties for what appears to be political purposes. The commission needs to remember that they are supposed to be nonpartisen! It appears that partiesenship is driving this process, and that they are being innapropriately influenced by others away from their duties on hand.

10-06-21 v4 HD

Dislike

Please represent us based on Mary J Quehl

2021-10-07 14:53:49+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike Include more or all of Warren in with the rest of Macomb and put Troy and Rochester back with Oakland. People in Macomb County want Macomb County representation. JF 2021-10-07 14:52:31+00

10-06-21 v6 HD Dislike Unfortunately, this map looks like the very definition of gerrymandering. It makes absolutely no sense to cut out Midland from the rest of Midland county. Likewise, it makes no sense to cut out Saginaw and Bay City from the rest of their counties. There is little no similarity in culture or people between the three cities and it's hard to imagine any "community of interest" between them. However, there is a far greater community between Midland, Midland County, and Gladwin Counties because they were all heavily affected by the flooding that occurred in 2020. By dividing up Midland, you are dividing up a unified voice for flood recovery. Keep Midland together with Midland and Gladwin counties. Aaron Majorana 2021-10-07 14:49:46+00

Unfortunately, this map looks like the very definition of gerrymandering. It makes absolutely no sense to cut out Midland from the rest of Midland county. Likewise, it makes no sense to cut out Saginaw and Bay City from the rest of their counties. There is little no similarity in culture or people between the three cities and it's hard to imagine any "community of interest" between them. However, there is a far greater community between Midland, Midland County, and Gladwin Counties because they were all heavily affected by the flooding that occurred in 2020. By dividing up Midland, you are dividing up a unified voice for flood recovery. Keep Midland together with Midland and Gladwin counties.

10-06-21 v5 HD

Dislike

Aaron Majorana

2021-10-07 14:49:23+00

This is a good map because it keeps Midland whole. Midland county needs a unified voice, especially in flood recovery due to the heavy flooding that occurred here in 2020.

10-06-21 v2 HD

Like

Aaron Majorana

2021-10-07 14:48:26+00

10-06-21 v1 HD	Like	This is a good map, it keeps Midland whole and provides a unified voice for flood recovery. Thank you!	Aaron Majorana	2021-10-07 14:47:09+00
----------------	------	--	----------------	------------------------

10-06-21 v6 HD	Like	As someone who went to Albion College for four years, I can say with confidence that there is a strong COI between Battle Creek and Albion. Both have post-secondary education institutions, have industrial economies, and are urban in nature. Keep these two communities together!	Robert Joerg	2021-10-07 14:45:03+00
----------------	------	---	--------------	------------------------

Unfortunately, this map looks like the very definition of gerrymandering. It makes absolutely no sense to cut out Midland from the rest of Midland county. Likewise, it makes no sense to cut out Saginaw and Bay City from the rest of their counties. There is little no similarity in culture or people between the three cities and it's hard to imagine any "community of interest" between them. However, there is a far greater community between Midland, Midland County, and Gladwin Counties because they were all heavily affected by the flooding that occurred in 2020. By dividing up Midland, you are dividing up a unified voice for flood recovery. Keep Midland together with Midland and Gladwin counties.

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

Aaron Majorana

2021-10-07 14:45:01+00

STRENGTH OF USE THIS  
MAP.

Why would you split Midland County from Midland which has the same community of interest. I live in the city of Midland and 6 miles up the road is my cousin's 1000 acre farm. Their kids went to Midland public schools, were on the same sports teams, ... We have none of these things in common going east through Bay City.

This appears to be someones attempt to meet an equal number of voters on each side which is not what redistricting is about. If this were true and 90% of an area/community of interest of the state was one party, would you then split this community apart and link it with another area not even in close proximity to try to make it 50/50?? This is exactly what you have done in

10-06-21 v5 HD

Dislike

this map. The commission is Mary J Quehl

2021-10-07 14:44:17+00

This is a good map because it keep Midland County unified with Gladwin County to give this area a unified voice in flood recovery. These areas were heavily affected by flooding in 2020 and need a unified voice.

10-04-21 CD V3b AE Like

Aaron Majorana

2021-10-07 14:44:16+00

Unfortunately, this map looks like the very definition of gerrymandering. It makes absolutely no sense to divide up Midland County just as it would make no sense to divide up Saginaw and Bay Counties. There is a far greater community between Midland, Midland County, and Gladwin Counties because they were all heavily affected by the flooding that occurred in 2020. By dividing up Midland, you are dividing up a unified voice for flood recovery. It's interesting that one comment here claims that federal representation is somehow not important to flood recovery. Federal Emergency Management Association (FEMA) funding was granted to help in flood recovery. There needs to be a unified congressional district to represent these areas instead of splitting over two congressional districts and

10-04-21 CD V3a AE Dislike

Aaron Majorana

2021-10-07 14:41:49+00

STRENGTH OF USE THIS  
MAP.

It is hard for me to believe that this map could even be allowed to be on this portal. There is NO WAY that this map fits the rules set out in the constitution. This appears to be someones attempt to meet an equal number of voters on each side which is not what redistricting is about. If this were true and 90% of an area/community of interest of the state was one party, would you then split this community apart and link it with another area not even in close proximity to try to make it 50/50?? This is exactly what you have done in this map. The commission is recently in maps taken apart cities and counties for what appears to be political purposes. The commission needs to remember that they are supposed to be

10-06-21 v6 HD

Dislike

nonpartisen! It appears that

Mary J Quehl

2021-10-07 14:36:32+00

Unfortunately, this map looks like the very definition of gerrymandering. It makes absolutely no sense to cut out Midland from the rest of Midland county. Likewise, it makes no sense to cut out Saginaw and Bay City from the rest of their counties. There is little no similarity in culture or people between the three cities and it's hard to imagine any "community of interest" between them. However, there is a far greater community between Midland, Midland County, and Gladwin Counties because they were all heavily affected by the flooding that occurred in 2020. By dividing up Midland, you are dividing up a unified voice for flood recovery. Keep Midland together with Midland and Gladwin counties.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Aaron Majorana

2021-10-07 14:35:13+00

Unfortunately, this map looks like the very definition of gerrymandering. It makes absolutely no sense to cut out Midland from the rest of Midland county. Likewise, it makes no sense to cut out Saginaw and Bay City from the rest of their counties. There is little no similarity in culture or people between the three cities and it's hard to imagine any "community of interest" between them. However, there is a far greater community between Midland, Midland County, and Gladwin Counties because they were all heavily affected by the flooding that occurred in 2020. By dividing up Midland, you are dividing up a unified voice for flood recovery. Keep Midland together with Midland and Gladwin counties.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Aaron Majorana

2021-10-07 14:33:36+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Opinion	Like so many of the later maps I have looked, this one does not have 2 minority-majority districts, as is demanded by the Voting Rights act. Unless I am reading the data wrong, or misinterpreting it.	James A Nowc Jr	2021-10-07 14:28:30+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Southfield should be in District 2	Sandra Riccardi	2021-10-07 14:23:52+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	Warrren should remain in Macomb County!!!! Troy should be kept with Oakland County!	Sandra Riccardi	2021-10-07 14:21:52+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Like	I like keeping Mt. P and Alma together. I went to Alma College and think that those communities have similar interests and values.	Casey Adams	2021-10-07 14:21:10+00
10-06-21 v1 HD	Like	Strong like on this map. Keeps Midland County whole!	Mike Scott	2021-10-07 14:20:51+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Like	Urban areas normally get put in the same district. Good map.	Casey Adams	2021-10-07 14:20:40+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Like	I like this map a lot. It makes sense to keep BC and Albion together.	Casey Adams	2021-10-07 14:19:42+00
10-06-21 v2 HD	Like	Strong like on this map. Keeps Midland County whole!	Mike Scott	2021-10-07 14:19:38+00

10-06-21 v4 HD	Dislike	Strongly dislike. Cuts the City of Midland out of Midland County. Makes no sense.	Mike Scott	2021-10-07 14:17:49+00
10-06-21 v5 HD	Dislike	Strongly dislike. Cuts the City of Midland out of Midland County. Makes no sense.	Mike Scott	2021-10-07 14:16:42+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	This is absolute madness! Who's in charge of this mess? Our city deserves more respect than obvious political stunts like this redistricting. I strongly DISAGREE	Brent Rhoads	2021-10-07 14:16:03+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	Strongly dislike. Cuts the City of Midland out of Midland County. Makes no sense.	Mike Scott	2021-10-07 14:15:27+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	Dislike this map very much. Basically carved the City of Midland out of the Midland County and grouped it with Cities like Flint of which it has no connection or similarities.	Mike Scott	2021-10-07 14:11:31+00

10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Like	This is a good map. This keeps the city of Midland with the rest of the rural areas around it. The City of Midland has little in common with Saginaw, Bay City and Flint so this map is a good representation of the people of Midland.	Mike Scott	2021-10-07 14:08:20+00
10-06-21 v5 HD	Dislike	DISLIKE MAP- - this map rips cities and townships into pieces and makes no sense	Michelle Smith	2021-10-07 14:06:15+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	Legislatures should represent our shared communities interest not portions of 3 or 4 communities who all have different needs. STRONGLY DISLIKE MAP	Michelle Smith	2021-10-07 14:03:48+00

This is the best map the Commission has produced showing a "community of interest" that hasn't been recognized in the past. Every resident of these 4 communities watches the same local TV channels "Flint, Bay City, Saginaw and Midland". We hear the same radio stations. Saginaw, Midland and the County of Bay actually own a regional airport together. Workers from this entire area work in 1 community and commute to one of the other 3. Youngsters in the 4 communities have grown up playing sports with each other in the Saginaw Valley League since 1904. Perfect map.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Good job!

Brian K. Elder

2021-10-07 14:01:31+00

LIKE this map- this makes sense and keeps Midland and Gladwin interests for flood recovery and watershed. This is great for Midland and

10-06-21 v1 HD

Like

Central Michigan, thank you

Michelle Smith

2021-10-07 14:00:32+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Opinion	<p>Cutting Auburn Hills in half yet keeping Oakland Twp. whole isn't fair to the community of Auburn Hills. Similarly, Oakland Twp. could also be divided so that the northern portion is appropriately aligned with northern Oakland County communities. The map for this district can and should be drawn more in keeping with the intent and letter of the law to ensure the gerrymandering of districts wouldn't continue.</p>	Barbara Anness	2021-10-07 13:59:48+00
10-06-21 v1 HD	Like	<p>LIKE this map- this makes sense and keeps Midland and Gladwin interests for flood recovery and watershed. This is great for Midland and Central Michigan, thank you</p> <p>LIKE this map- it keeps Midland and Gladwin interests for flood recovery and watershed. This is great for Midland and Central Michigan!</p>	Matthew J Smith	2021-10-07 13:59:28+00
10-06-21 v2 HD	Like	<p>LIKE this map- it keeps Midland and Gladwin interests for flood recovery and watershed. This is great for Midland and Central Michigan!</p>	Michelle Smith	2021-10-07 13:57:35+00

10-06-21 v2 HD	Like	LIKE this map- this makes sense and keeps Midland and Gladwin interests for flood recovery and watershed. This is great for Midland and Central Michigan, thank you	Matthew J Smith	2021-10-07 13:55:44+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Like	This is better. It keeps Grand Rapids area together, Muskegon area together, and Kalamazoo area together. It is/was awkward and artificial to link GR to a portion of one of the others. That linking was overly partisan, ignoring higher priority criteria, both in the commission's instructions and in the lives of real citizens, who for very good reason rarely interpret everything as political	Doug Koopman	2021-10-07 13:54:44+00
10-06-21 v4 HD	Dislike	Over the last few days, I have watched the commission rip cities and townships in half and cut them into thirds, for political purposes. Separating communities of interest does not align with your constitutional requirements. DISLIKE this map	Michelle Smith	2021-10-07 13:51:39+00

10-06-21 v4 HD	Dislike	<p>the last few days, I have watched the commission rip cities and townships in half and cut them into thirds, for political purposes. Separating communities of interest does not align with your constitutional requirements.</p> <p>STRONGLY DISLIKE</p>	Matthew J Smith	2021-10-07 13:50:27+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>Thank you for putting Jackson with western Washtenaw! You are on the right track, but need to go further into Washtenaw. Many, many, many public comments supported the AFL-CIO maps which put Jackson and Washtenaw together with no other counties.</p>	Conner	2021-10-07 13:47:33+00
10-06-21 v5 HD	Dislike	<p>The way you drew this map with the arm connecting Midland to Bay city removes alot of communities of interest to hit a parisian quota-</p> <p>STRONGLY DISLIKE MAP</p>	Matthew J Smith	2021-10-07 13:46:51+00

I don't think there was ANY comment in favor of putting Calhoun and Jackson together at the Jackson public hearing or since. Not sure why this is here. Certainly Marshall and rural Calhoun belong with Battle Creek. I could see keeping Albion with Jackson but that's it.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Conner

2021-10-07 13:46:35+00

There is no reason to split Hillsdale County - all of it should be in District 26.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Conner

2021-10-07 13:43:18+00

I object strenuously to the way Senate District 34 lines are drawn. The configuration doesn't respond to our main complaint, which was that very rural areas shouldn't be lumped with the more urban area of Muskegon. The map you drew is very similar to the one that exists, where two very rural counties were lumped with Muskegon's more urban environment. We want a map which lumps the more urban Lakeshore communities together stretching from Holland north through Muskegon and eliminating the eastern half of those counties, which is very rural.

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		Nancy Lynn Stier	2021-10-07 13:40:35+00
10-06-21 v1 HD	Like	Thank you for recognizing our community interests.	Jesseca Elza	2021-10-07 13:38:31+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	The last few days, I have watched the commission rip cities and townships in half and cut them into thirds, for political purposes. Strongly dislike this map-	Matthew J Smith	2021-10-07 13:38:04+00
10-06-21 v2 HD	Like	Thank you for recognizing our community interests.	Jesseca Elza	2021-10-07 13:37:56+00

The constitution requires The Commission use "communities of interest" to draw fair maps. Slicing out the City of Midland and adding them into larger urban centers like Bay City dilutes the voices of the Midland community. Please discontinue political interests and follow the constitutional requirements that protect our community interests and needs.

10-06-21 v4 HD

Dislike

Jeseca Elza

2021-10-07 13:35:47+00

The constitution requires The Commission use "communities of interest" to draw fair maps. Slicing out the City of Midland and adding them into larger urban centers like Bay City dilutes the voices of the Midland community. Please discontinue political interests and follow the constitutional requirements that protect our community interests and needs.

10-06-21 v5 HD

Dislike

Jeseca Elza

2021-10-07 13:35:12+00

10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	<p>The constitution requires The Commission use "communities of interest" to draw fair maps. Slicing out the City of Midland and adding them into larger urban centers like Bay City dilutes the voices of the Midland community. Please discontinue political interests and follow the constitutional requirements that protect our community interests and needs.</p>	Jessica Elza	2021-10-07 13:34:34+00
10-06-21 v4 HD	Like	<p>Midland city needs to stay with midland county</p>	Dawn Bartell	2021-10-07 13:33:39+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	<p>The residents of Midland County want to be kept together in one congressional district. The City of Midland had major flooding along with the flooding in Sanford and in Gladwin County. Midland County should remain in its entirety and Gladwin County should remain in the same district to ensure continuity and a united effort in our recovery. Your proposed map will result in a major setback in our flood recovery and flood management efforts.</p>	Jessica Elza	2021-10-07 13:32:50+00

10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Opinion	Thank you for keeping the City of Midland together with Midland County. My concern is the farming communities like Ingersoll, Mt. Haley, Porter and Jasper (also in Midland County) do not have much in common with Flint/Saginaw communities. Please reconsider adding them back to the rest of Midland County.	Jesseca Elza	2021-10-07 13:31:05+00
10-06-21 v4 HD	Dislike	Keep midland city with midland county	Dawn Bartell	2021-10-07 13:30:56+00
10-06-21 v1 HD	Like	This statehouse map makes perfect sense The split of Dickinson county is especially worrying. Dickinson county has been voting increasing blue over the last years. To place Iron Mountain in the 110 dilutes the democratic vote of Dickinson county and adding the rest of Dickinson to the 109 dilutes the democratic vote of the 109. Please consider communities of interest such as lake shore communities, inland communities.	Dawn Bartell	2021-10-07 13:28:50+00
9-29-21 RAS v2 HD	Dislike		Renee Richer	2021-10-07 13:27:07+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This map is very unfair to my city. We are torn apart. How very confusing for people to be able to work for us. Does part of our city get funding and the other not? Etc. please go back to drawing board and keep communities together!	Christine Ann Wilkins-Goodearl	2021-10-07 13:26:47+00
10-06-21 v2 HD	Like	This map makes sense. Keep midland city with midland county!	Dawn Bartell	2021-10-07 13:26:31+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	Strongly DISLIKE! City of Midland and County of Midland are communities of interest! City of Midland does not share a community with Flint!!! Our city received the historic flood in 2020 and needs to stay with our watershed partners upstream. Please don't artificially break us away! We work with our county neighbors on all sorts of community service campaigns! Fair grounds are in our backyard and are shared between city and county of Midland. We have nothing in common with Flint!	jane scott	2021-10-07 13:24:41+00
10-06-21 v5 HD	Dislike	Strongly dislike keep midland city with midland county	Dawn Bartell	2021-10-07 13:21:33+00

		Would prefer all of Midland County was kept whole but appreciate you kept city of Midland with our rural partners and watershed partners. Leaves behind Porter, Warren, Mt. Haley and Ingersoll, please consider adding them back in to the rest of Midland County.		
10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Like	of Midland should go west.	jane scott	2021-10-07 13:20:35+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	Strongly dislike	Dawn Bartell	2021-10-07 13:18:33+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	Keep city of Midland with our county	Dawn bartell	2021-10-07 13:16:57+00
		This Statehouse map makes perfect sense. Thank you for recognizing keeping the City of Midland with the County of Midland supports the important requirement of communities of interest.		
10-06-21 v1 HD	Like	This map is great! Keeps County of Midland and City of Midland whole! We work together all the time on shared issues! Thanks so much!	RODNEY KLOHA	2021-10-07 13:15:43+00
10-06-21 v1 HD	Like	Unfair districting cannot be tolerated! Fix these unfair maps now!	jane scott	2021-10-07 13:15:39+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		Kathleen Roderick	2021-10-07 13:15:23+00

10-06-21 v2 HD	Like	This map makes sense. Thank you for keeping Midland County and City of Midland together!!!! We are a community of interest!	jane scott	2021-10-07 13:14:16+00
10-06-21 v2 HD	Like	This Statehouse map makes perfect sense. Thank you for recognizing keeping the City of Midland with the County of Midland supports the important requirement of communities of interest. City of Midland needs to stay with County of Midland. City of Midland collaborates all the time with the county on flood issues, fair grounds, community programs. Not	RODNEY KLOHA	2021-10-07 13:12:48+00
10-06-21 v4 HD	Dislike	with Bay City! Strongly dislike! Keep city of Midland with Midland County! We work closely with our Midland county neighbors! The county	jane scott	2021-10-07 13:12:36+00
10-06-21 v5 HD	Dislike	fairgrounds are in the city!	jane scott	2021-10-07 13:10:45+00

fair districts help both parties by eliminating the election of extremists in "safe" districts.

We ask for what VNP organized around, the end of districts drawn to favor one political party.

From here on out, this commission must map with partisan data in front of them.

To achieve fair maps, they need to be aware of partisanship and adjust the district lines accordingly.

The commission has created plans for the state House, state Senate, and Congress that favor Republicans, with state house and state senate heavily favoring Republicans.

Voters across Michigan passed Prop 2 because we wanted new fair maps that reflect the will of Michigan voters. In their current form, these maps do not meet the standard of fair maps.

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	We want the commission to	Mark V	2021-10-07 13:09:26+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	Strongly dislike! Keep city of Midland with our county!	jane scott	2021-10-07 13:07:58+00

10-06-21 v6 HD	Opinion	<p>Why not keep Oceana County INTACT!? Colfax and Leavitt are SMALL areas to begin with. Out of four counties, why is not even ONE of the four actually a whole/unseparated county? Pertaining to Oceana County, Colfax and Leavitt should remain together with Oceana. Lori Pranger</p> <p>This map is what gerrymandering looks like! The Commission appears to be ignoring the constitution which requires that they use "communities of interest"™ to draw fair maps. Instead it appears that the Commission is trying to dilute the voices of the Midland community slicing out the City of Midland and adding them into larger urban centers like Bay City.</p>	Lori Pranger	2021-10-07 13:07:27+00
10-06-21 v4 HD	Dislike		RODNEY KLOHA	2021-10-07 13:05:46+00

10-06-21 v5 HD Dislike Voters Not Politicians chose to rank Communities of Interest higher than partisan fairness because they know that breaking our communities damages our voice and choice in representation. We URGE you to follow the requirements of the constitution when finishing your maps. RODNEY KLOHA 2021-10-07 13:01:03+00

10-06-21 v6 HD Dislike Voters Not Politicians chose to rank Communities of Interest higher than partisan fairness because they know that breaking our communities damages our voice and choice in representation. We URGE you to follow the requirements of the constitution when finishing your maps. RODNEY KLOHA 2021-10-07 12:57:45+00

10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Opinion	<p>Thank you for recognizing that the City of Midland should go west, but farming communities like Ingersoll, Mt. Haley, Porter and Jasper (also in Midland County) do not have much in common with Flint/Saginaw communities. Please reconsider adding them back to the rest of Midland County.</p>	RODNEY KLOHA	2021-10-07 12:49:16+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	<p>Given the Population Size of Midland County, and the connections the County has North and West, this map would simply disconnect communities that have common economic, social and environmental interest</p>	David Kepler	2021-10-07 11:24:31+00
10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Like	<p>This Map reflect for District 2 a view of Counties and communities that are more aligned. I think for the Central Part of the State it reflects a better opportunity for community engagement with Elected officials</p>	David Kepler	2021-10-07 11:20:32+00

10-06-21 v1 HD	Like	This Map as it relates to District 31, reflects a community of interest, that is linked through the watershed the exists in that district, as well as the economic and social connections	David Kepler	2021-10-07 11:09:53+00
10-06-21 v2 HD	Like	This Map as it relates to District 31, reflects a community of interest, that is linked through the watershed the exists in that district, as well as the economic and social connections	David Kepler	2021-10-07 11:09:11+00
10-06-21 v4 HD	Dislike	This Map, along with Map 208 and 209 all have the same issue related to District 53. you have linked to Cities together and create a barbell type district > Midland, Bay City would not be well served nor would the communities around them	David Kepler	2021-10-07 11:05:35+00
10-06-21 v5 HD	Dislike	I cannot see any logic in this map, as reflects little of how these communities in what you have defined in what is defined as district 31 ,53,57. I think any maps that have these types of shapes need to be challenged	Dave Kepler	2021-10-07 11:02:13+00

		Creating a Barbell type Map for District 53, for connecting two cities, really does disservice two both and the communities and townships		
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	around those Cities	David Kepler	2021-10-07 10:56:30+00
10-06-21 v1 HD	Like	This Statehouse map makes perfect sense.	Joseph Lunsford	2021-10-07 10:41:57+00
10-06-21 v2 HD	Like	This Statehouse map makes perfect sense.	Joseph Lunsford	2021-10-07 10:40:29+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Please do not put Midland in with Saginaw and Flint.	Joseph Lunsford	2021-10-07 10:33:56+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	Please do not put Midland in with Saginaw and Flint.	Joseph Lunsford	2021-10-07 10:30:54+00

I am concerned with breaking Oakland County into so many districts particularly for the federal congressional districts and to a lesser extent, the state Senate districts.

Oakland County is a cohesive entity particularly along the I-75 corridor and the M-59 corridor. I understand that the increasing population of Oakland County makes it impossible to keep the entire county within one federal congressional district, but regional and shared resources such as fire, police, and park services that receive both state and federal funding are best served by keeping communities that share those resources in the same congressional district or at least just a couple adjoining congressional districts.

Although it may appear to make sense to separate Troy Sandra L Dyl

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD Dislike

2021-10-07 07:37:50+00

Agree with Cathy L. and Daniel H. This attempt at creating representation that serves Midland or Bay counties does not service either one fairly. When the people voted on the creation of this commission they did not expect to see district lines drawn that do not let voters pick politicians that represent their communities. This map will give less voice to its citizens in both counties due to the gerrymandering proposed by this map.

10-06-21 v6 HD

Dislike

Doreen F Starner

2021-10-07 06:25:31+00

What were you thinking?  
Why would you take the city  
from the county to join with a  
city in a neighboring county?  
This appears to be  
gerrymandering to meet  
political interests and  
justifying it by the statistics  
you list below the map. But  
statistics do not reflect  
community values and  
representation should try to  
be with those that have  
common shared purposes.  
We need to stay whole as a  
county and not be put in with  
Bay City --Midland city needs  
to be linked to the west and  
north to help with common  
interest of flood recovery.  
Please keep Midland County  
intact with Gladwin County.

10-06-21 v5 HD

Dislike

Doreen F Starner

2021-10-07 06:09:17+00

What were you thinking?  
Why would you take the city  
from the county to join with a  
city in a neighboring county?  
This appears to be  
gerrymandering to meet  
political interests and  
justifying it by the statistics  
you list below the map. But  
statistics do not reflect  
community values and  
representation should try to  
be with those that have  
common shared purposes.

10-06-21 v4 HD	Dislike	Doreen F Starner	2021-10-07 06:01:08+00
10-06-21 v2 HD	Like	Doreen F Starner	2021-10-07 05:48:15+00
10-06-21 v1 HD	Like	Doreen F Starner	2021-10-07 05:46:43+00

Agree with Jennifer M. Thank  
you!  
Perfect - kept Midland County  
whole and understood the  
importance of flood recovery  
and interest of people in  
Midland and Gladwin  
counties.

This map is the definition of 'gerrymandering'! All of a sudden, this commission decided to take perfectly logical Statehouse maps based on communities of interest, and rip cities and townships into pieces, for political purposes. The Commission appears to be ignoring the constitution which requires that they use "communities of interest"™ to draw fair maps. This "robot arm"™ you drew to connect the cities of Midland and Bay City completely removes multiple communities of interest solely to hit a partisan quota. Our legislators should represent our shared community interests, not portions of three or four communities who all have different interests and needs.

10-06-21 v4 HD

Dislike

Cathy Leikhim

2021-10-07 05:43:23+00

This map is the definition of 'gerrymandering'! All of a sudden, this commission decided to take perfectly logical Statehouse maps based on communities of interest, and rip cities and townships into pieces, for political purposes. The Commission appears to be ignoring the constitution which requires that they use "communities of interest"™ to draw fair maps. This "robot arm"™ you drew to connect the cities of Midland and Bay City completely removes multiple communities of interest solely to hit a partisan quota. Our legislators should represent our shared community interests, not portions of three or four communities who all have different interests and needs.

10-06-21 v5 HD

Dislike

Cathy Leikhim

2021-10-07 05:42:00+00

This map is the definition of 'gerrymandering'! All of a sudden, this commission decided to take perfectly logical Statehouse maps based on communities of interest, and rip cities and townships into pieces, for political purposes. The Commission appears to be ignoring the constitution which requires that they use "communities of interest"™ to draw fair maps. This "robot arm"™ you drew to connect the cities of Midland and Bay City completely removes multiple communities of interest solely to hit a partisan quota. Our legislators should represent our shared community interests, not portions of three or four communities who all have different interests and needs.

10-06-21 v6 HD

Dislike

Cathy Leikhim

2021-10-07 05:39:43+00

Midland County should be whole - do not carve out the city and disagree with Jan B. as the community in the city of Midland identifies more with its citizens that live within the boundaries of Midland County than that of being joined with Flint which is not part of the Great Lakes Bay Region. Further Midland County needs to stay aligned with the counties that were impacted by the recent flood and the recovery efforts needed for the region.

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC

Dislike

Doreen F Starner

2021-10-07 05:39:41+00

Farmington Hills should not be split up. There is a close COI with Farmington City. All of FH should be in HD 9.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Murray A Gorchow

2021-10-07 05:29:47+00

I agree with Noah Arbit and Judah Karesh re the placement of West Bloomfield, joining it in a more dominant Republican area notwithstanding that West Bloomfield is an increasingly Democratic stronghold, yet those votes will continue to be wasted in the Senate seat as drawn, notwithstanding this huge change in demographics over the last decade. WB simply has no COI with any other part of the District as drawn. It should be joined with SD 14 or with SD 9 along with Farmington Hills as well. Midland County should be kept whole.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Murray A Gorchow

2021-10-07 05:25:20+00

10-04-21 CD V3b AE Like

Doreen F Starner

2021-10-07 05:25:13+00

This map#200 does a good job for the City of Midland (District#11) and puts much of Midland County with Gladwin and other truly rural areas (District #13). I bet the Gladwin County residents are happy with this split! The City of Midland is NOT rural"thus it is good to include it with other cities, with the Tri-Cities of Bay City, Midland, and Saginaw, and with Flint"all urban/suburban cities. It is important to recognize the communities of interest formed by the Tri-Cities and with Flint. These have been described before but include economic/industrial shared base, cultural and educational shared interests. I like this map. We all share in the Saginaw Bay watershed which includes 22 counties, so that aspect is better managed by State of Michigan entities, such as the state legislature.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Lynn Pottenger

2021-10-07 05:03:28+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>I live in Oakland County and have worked in Troy for a long time. I have no idea why Troy would be in a district with St. Clair Shores. Also, I have family that live downriver, and it makes no sense to have Warren heading all the way to Taylor and Allen Park. We chose to live in Oakland County 12 years ago, and we all know that Oakland County is a community of interest. I know this commission can make a better map than this.</p>	Joshua P. Cecil	2021-10-07 04:58:18+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	<p>This map combines us with cities and counties where we have very little in common. Our standards are different, our local governments operate differently, and we interactions are more to the west and north. We should be kept with out neighbors to the west and north.</p>	Joseph Sova	2021-10-07 04:55:02+00

You are doing important and hard work. Thank-you. This map #201 does a reasonable job of keeping the City of Midland together with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint, to create District 11. These are all urban/suburban populations that share many communities of interest, including the economic/industrial base and their cultural & educational opportunities. I know some folks have complained about keeping Gladwin County and Midland County linked but the City of Midland is NOT rural and Gladwin County (like northern/western Midland Country) is rural. It makes sense to put those parts together. Some of the complaints are about watershed mgmt. and the flooding, but these are not well-thought-out because the watershed (of Saginaw Bay, which includes the

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Lynn Pottenger

2021-10-07 04:50:25+00

This map is acceptable. Thank you for keeping the City of Midland in the westward facing portion of the district.

10-04-21 CD V3b AE Opinion

Joseph Sova

2021-10-07 04:49:27+00

The residents of Midland  
County want to be kept  
together in one, westward  
facing congressional district.  
Please do not carve out the  
City of Midland from the rest  
of the county.

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

Amanda Oster

2021-10-07 04:42:37+00

regarding map #202 for US Congressional Districts, I like this map. It puts together in one district the Tri-Cities of Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw, a real community of interest, sharing many resources and economic interests and cultural and educational interests. It places norther/western Midland County with Gladwin and Isabella and Clare Counties, all decidedly rural and so different from the City of Midland which is definitely NOT rural. I know lots of folks have mentioned the flooding and watershed mgmt. requiring Midland & Gladwin to be linkedâ€”well they donâ€™t realize that the Saginaw Bay watershed, of which our Tittabawassee is partâ€”covers 22 counties! That watershed mgmt. can be managed by state entities and by Michigan legislature. There is no reason to require it to be Lynn Pottenger

10-04-21 CD V3a AE Like

2021-10-07 04:40:55+00

10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Opinion	<p>Thank you for recognizing that the City of Midland should go west, but farming communities like Ingersoll, Mt. Haley, Porter and Jasper (also in Midland County) do not have much in common with Flint/Saginaw communities. Please reconsider adding them back to the rest of Midland County. Why would you separate Troy from the rest of Oakland County?</p>	Amanda Oster	2021-10-07 04:40:42+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Julia L Galliker	2021-10-07 04:25:16+00

I live in Clawson and this map puts me in a district with Wixom, Walled Lake and Northville Township instead of Troy, which is a literal stones throw from my house. I find that very odd to be grouped in with those locations and not Troy, Rochester and Madison Heights, which are right down the street. Having spent the last ten years in a ridiculously drawn district, I do not wish to trade one bad set up for another. Let's try and keep actual communities with common interests together.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Matthew Hoepfner

2021-10-07 04:18:49+00

10-06-21 v2 HD	Like	This map is logical and makes sense. It harmonizes into one district communities of interest of Midland and Gladwin for flood recovery and watershed management; as well as the agricultural community of interest that benefits from representation that understands the importance of agriculture here in Midland and Central Michigan. Thank you, Commission!	Jennifer Majorana	2021-10-07 04:18:35+00
----------------	------	--	-------------------	------------------------

10-06-21 v1 HD	Like	This map is logical and makes sense. It harmonizes into one district communities of interest of Midland and Gladwin for flood recovery and watershed management; as well as the agricultural community of interest that benefits from representation that understands the importance of agriculture here in Midland and Central Michigan. Thank you, Commission!	Jennifer Majorana	2021-10-07 04:17:30+00
----------------	------	--	-------------------	------------------------

This house map makes absolutely no sense. Most of the other districts in MidMichigan look like they follow county lines - Please do not carve out Midland in this way and lump it in with Bay City. As a resident of Midland County, it makes the most sense to me to keep Midland in one, westward facing house district. Midland has more in common with Sanford and more rural western areas than Bay City. The 2020 flooding affected multiple areas in Midland County and splitting up these areas into different districts will harm flood recovery. Please keep the city of Midland with Midland County and do not lump us in with Bay City!

10-06-21 v5 HD

Dislike

Jennifer Majorana

2021-10-07 04:14:43+00

Troy should be drawn with other neighboring communities in Oakland County as a clear community of interest. Whether we are looking at recreation, commuting, shopping or entertainment, there is much more movement to and from Troy and other communities within Oakland County to our south and west. We share county government and some municipal services, and we deserve representation in Congress that will understand and be responsive to the needs of this whole, larger community.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Ashleigh Jennings

2021-10-07 04:14:28+00

This house map makes absolutely no sense. Please do not carve out Midland in this way and lump it in with Bay City. As a resident of Midland County, it makes the most sense to me to keep Midland in one, westward facing house district. Midland has more in common with Sanford and more rural western areas than Bay City.

10-06-21 v6 HD

Dislike

Jennifer Majorana

2021-10-07 04:11:52+00

Please do not carve out the city of Midland like a turkey to include it with Saginaw and Flint. This makes absolutely no sense! Midland belongs in westward-facing rural district and that is what the residents of Midland want and deserve. It appears that the Commissioners have a misunderstanding on the 2020 flooding. The City of Midland had major flooding along with the flooding in Sanford and in Gladwin County. That's why it is so important that Midland County remain in its entirety and Gladwin County needs to remain in the same district to ensure continuity and a united effort in our recovery. Jennifer Majorana

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

2021-10-07 04:06:48+00

Your proposed map will result in a major setback in our flood recovery and flood management efforts. Why are you cutting Sanford out of representation with Midland? The Commission appears to be ignoring the constitution which requires that they use "communities of interest"™ to draw fair maps. Instead it appears that the Commission is trying to dilute the voices of the Midland community by adding them into larger urban centers with Flint and Saginaw. If you talk to anyone who lives in Midland, we share little in common with Saginaw and especially not with Flint!

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Jennifer Majorana

2021-10-07 04:02:52+00

I spend a lot of time shopping and eating in both Farmington and Farmington hills - these are both in Oakland county and I believe have a common COI economically - it makes no sense to split these communities.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Marian Mahoney

2021-10-07 03:53:58+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	I applaud your efforts. Please remain strongly resistant to all partisan efforts to influence you to deviate from your defined task. They are still out there working hard to undermine this.	Michael Robert Huntress	2021-10-07 03:50:57+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This make ZERO sense for Novi. This map combines Novi with 2 other communities in 2 other counties. We are COI within Oakland county.	Marian Mahoney	2021-10-07 03:48:34+00

This absolutely makes no sense to combine Grand Rapids, Kent County and Muskegon together. Muskegon County has different requirements, desires and community goals. These current proposed districts appear to be politically motivated and that should not be the case because that is gerrymandering! Each community has its different values and community needs and goals and us on the lakeshore have different governing needs than elsewhere. We need our community and individual voices to be heard equally but these proposed boundaries will not give us a greater voice but suppress it. This is a bad idea and I vote NO!

9-20-21 v4 CD

Dislike

Rachel Nienhouse

2021-10-07 03:46:21+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>I appreciate the difficulty of getting these maps right, but Troy and Rochester should be included with other Oakland County cities. I understand drawing districts that overlap counties in some cases, but there is a stronger COI with cities west and south of Troy/Rochester than there are east. Bloomfield, Birmingham, Clawson, Berkley, Royal Oak are all cities that make much for sense from a COI perspective than Sterling Heights, Washington Twp, Utica, etc. Thank you for all your hard work on this!</p>	Matthew Haupt	2021-10-07 03:38:37+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Berrien County should be kept whole and included with Cass and St. Joseph Counties. EVERYONE down here identifies as living in "Michiana" and we all get our TV news from south bend. Please keep all of Berrien County together!</p>	John W	2021-10-07 03:29:02+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	Looking at the whole state, and comparing this map to the current one, the boundaries look very reasonable to keep counties and regions logically grouped.	Richard K Helling	2021-10-07 03:20:43+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Dislike	I think you guys forgot to clean up Districts 81, 88, and 95 after you created District 87. These boundaries could be much more organized and coherent messing up any of your metrics (partisan fairness, total population, VRA, etc).	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-07 03:12:44+00
10-06-21 v6 HD	Like	I want to commend the Commission for drawing a 55 D-55 R map. I know some of the individual districts are a little ugly, but the ultimate result (especially when combined with the 19-19 State Senate map) will be a State Legislature that is responsive. With this map, we're looking at 20-30 seats in play every two years, with both parties having a realistic shot at the majority. That's how democracy is supposed to work.	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-07 03:09:56+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	The point was to have an unbiased map. There should not be a republican advantage.	Julia Goode	2021-10-07 02:55:39+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Ann Arbor and ypsilanti should be separate districts. Ypsilanti has different demographics and needs the opportunity to elect leaders who will listen to the specific needs of the area.	Hawthorn Stabler	2021-10-07 02:53:57+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	What Jeff Risdale said!	Anthony Scannell	2021-10-07 02:50:28+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Agree with Ned Staebler's comment 100% & would add that it seems an odd paring to group northern downriver cities in district with Macomb county communities	Anthony Scannell	2021-10-07 02:48:00+00

I am very disappointed to see the current maps for the Novi area Senate district. This district covers 3 counties, and combines many areas which have no connection to one another. Simply put, rural Washtenaw county has nothing in common with suburban Oakland County. Even worse, the map provides a clear advantage to Republicans despite the increasing concentration of Democratic voters in Oakland County. Adding Novi to these areas would also drastically silence the voice of our area's growing concentration of Asian residents and voters. There are few other areas in which the interests of this community are able to be represented effectively.

Further, this district would divide historically connected communities of Farmington and Farmington Hills. On

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Aaron Martinez

2021-10-07 02:47:03+00

Grand Rapids, Kentwood, and East Grand Rapids should be a senate district. Why is Grand Rapids being split?

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Merlin Steffes

2021-10-07 02:46:52+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	Not sure why District 1 crosses county line. The pairing of downriver with cities in Macomb county seems uncommon	Anthony Scannell	2021-10-07 02:44:40+00
10-04-21 v1 HD am s	Dislike	Grand Rapids, Kentwood, and East Grand Rapids should be a senate district. Why are you splitting Grand Rapids. These odd and disparate districts do not respect COIs and is not necessary under VRAs. Fix them.	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-07 02:42:21+00
10-05-21 v1 HD	Dislike		Lisa	2021-10-07 02:39:50+00

I can't believe you are actually going to present this map to the public. The configurations of districts make no logical sense, even with VRA considerations. The reality is that you've created ugly looking districts that would have voted the same whether packed or not. At least a person would be representing a defined community. Now you have these narrow strips of district encompassing several communities...divided communities all over the place. For what purpose...to please the social scientists and their ivory tower gobbly gook?? I hope the 2nd round of public comments will lead you to make significant changes to this map. You've taken what should be simple and made it unnecessarily complex. I don't think this is the product that voters had in mind in 2018 when they created this commission.

10-06-21 v1 HD

Opinion

Daniel Grams

2021-10-07 02:38:05+00

38.4 Coalition Minori Dislike	<p>Still terrible. You have deliberately split communities and COIs and shoved areas with no common interests into oddly shaped and absurd districts that will serve no one well.</p>	Lisa	2021-10-07 02:35:07+00	
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>My concerns are related to the fact that the maps as currently drawn favor Republicans over Democrats when in fact Democrats outnumber Republicans in Michigan. The entire intent of this was to draw fair maps that don't advantage one party over the other, and so far you have failed in that core mission. We need fair maps!</p>	Marcy B Waldinger	2021-10-07 02:34:06+00

So Emmett is no longer included with it's COI, the local tribe no longer has their COI honored (to be in a district with Athens, now they will have to deal with several reps to get anything passed) and several other townships got "reallocated", so that you could "reach" far enough to get the district % you wanted. The election of this commission was supposed to stop this behavior, not continue it.

10-06-21 v6 HD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-07 02:29:49+00

Warren is the largest city in Macomb and the third largest city in the state of Michigan. Putting us in a congressional district with Detroit is insulting. I would note that Warren past the VNP petition by a wide margin to avoid this type of packing and gerrymandering.

Warren is the heart and sole of Macomb. It has the GM Tech Center which is the anchor of the automotive industry in Macomb. With factories all up and down the Mound Van Dyke Corridor, it is wrong to separate them from the tech center.

Army Tacomb is in Warren. Their are several defense businesses in Sterling Heights that work closely with Army Tacomb.

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Employees in these two industries live in Warren and This was an awful decision. While I am not from the Monroe area, I feel very badly that their community was	Jeremy Fisher	2021-10-07 02:23:33+00
10-06-21 v5 HD	Dislike	sacrificed today.	Lisa	2021-10-07 02:21:52+00

10-06-21 v4 HD	Dislike	<p>Still terrible. Look at the Detroit Metro area. It is a jigsaw puzzle. I think that you have gotten caught up in the numbers and have lost sight of the goal. The goal should be to have fair and competent representation for local communities by their local representative. That will be very difficult to achieve in these disparate and shredded communities. Additionally, there is the extra work and cost for local municipalities regarding elections and for school district elections. This makes no sense. There are more logical and cohesive ways to comply with the VRA, population, COI and other requirements.</p>	Lisa	2021-10-07 02:17:18+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Ypsilanti and Ann Arbor are separate districts with critical demographic and character differences, and therefore should be drawn differently. Ann Arbor is much more conservative than Ypsilanti and Ypsilanti Township. Ypsi and Ypsi Twp should be a combined separate district.</p>	Amber Fellows	2021-10-07 02:14:39+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Novi and Troy should be in the same congressional district. The Indian Americans belonging to these cities have countless areas of interest.	Ratna Rao	2021-10-07 02:14:00+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy and Rochester Hills share a community of interest with the rest of Oakland County. These communities are much more like Oakland County with a large percent of white collar workers versus blue-collar Macomb County. Troy should be in Oakland County and in the same congressional district as Novi. These cities are communities of interest for the Indian American community and should remain in the same district.	Allen Wolf	2021-10-07 02:04:56+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Ratna Rao	2021-10-07 02:04:24+00

This is the worst representation of a map for the city of Midland. We would be seperated from Midland County, then put in with metropolatan areas such as Bay City, Saginaw and Flint. This is in direct conflict with our community of interest. My husband's cousins own over 1000 acres of land less than 5 miles north of me on the same road that i get on to to leave my neighborhood. We are a rural community and should not be separated from Midland County north of us as well as Isabella and Gratiot counties. We share nothing in common with Saginaw and Flint,, nor do they share anything in common with us. When I look at comments about people living in the larger surrounding metro cities like Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint but driving to Midland to work here, thus

10-04-21 CD V3a AE Dislike

Mary J Quehl

2021-10-07 02:01:10+00

map 200, 201, 202

This is the worst representation of a map for the city of Midland. We would be seperated from Midland County, then put in with metropolatan areas such as Bay City, Saginaw and Flint. This is in direct conflict with our community of interest. My husband's cousins own over 1000 acres of land less than 5 miles north of me on the same road that i get on to to leave my neighborhood. We are a rural community and should not be separated from Midland County north of us as well as Isabella and Gratiot counties. We share nothing in common with Saginaw and Flint,, nor do they share anything in common with us.

When I look at comments about people living in the larger surrounding metro cities like Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint but driving to Troy and Rochester Hills belong in Oakland county - this is an awful mistake - these communities are COI together !!!

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Mary J Quehl

2021-10-07 01:59:28+00

10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike

Marian MAhoney

2021-10-07 01:58:33+00

Southfield needs to be with District 2, not 3. A large percentage of Southfield residents moved there from Detroit. Many people in Southfield shop, eat and go to recreational activities in Detroit and visa versa. Ethnically, Southfield is much more like Detroit than the rest of Oakland County.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Allen Wolf

2021-10-07 01:57:35+00

This is the worst representation of a map for the city of Midland. We would be seperated from Midland County, then put in with metropolatan areas such as Bay City, Saginaw and Flint. This is in direct conflict with our community of interest. My husband's cousins own over 1000 acres of land less than 5 miles north of me on the same road that i get on to to leave my neighborhood. We are a rural community and should not be separated from Midland County north of us as well as Isabella and Gratiot counties. We share nothing in common with Saginaw and Flint,, nor do they share anything in common with us. When I look at comments about people living in the larger surrounding metro cities like Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint but driving to Midland to work here, thus

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Mary J Quehl

2021-10-07 01:56:37+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy should not be lumped with Macomb County. Troy has nothing in common with Macomb. Troy should be with its Oakland County neighbours ( Royal Oaks, Madison Heights etc)	Hirak Chanda	2021-10-07 01:52:31+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Warren should be in a community of interest in Oakland County. It shouldn't be in a district with Detroit. There are no commonalities of culture, socio-economic, or ethnicity. Southfield needs to move down to District 2-VRA	Allen Wolf	2021-10-07 01:49:59+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	District. I taught in Troy for more than 40 years. Troy needs to be in Oakland County. It share common interests wiht Birmingham and Bloomfield	Debbie Rosenman	2021-10-07 01:45:31+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Township. Thank you for including Troy in District 6! We do share many things in common with Rochester Hills. This takes into account COI and not political parties!	Debbie Rosenman	2021-10-07 01:44:12+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	Keep up the good work! :)	Sally	2021-10-07 01:42:08+00

Warren and Sterling Heights  
are sister cities that share  
economic ties.  
Move Warren to District 6  
and keep it in Macomb  
County.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Debbie Rosenman

2021-10-07 01:41:58+00

Combining Westphalia with Pewamo makes sense considering their shared school district, but it may be worth considering adding Pewamo with Clinton County instead of adding Westphalia with Ionia. The precinct lines in Lyons township follow very closely with the school line so you could even send Pewamo East and Muir/Lyons west. The School district is in the Clinton County Regional Educational Service Agency, the district dips into neighboring Dallas and Lebanon Townships in Clinton County, and the two towns are very connected to the village of Fowler in neighboring Dallas township. All three towns were founded in the mid 1800s by German Catholic migrants. There are a lot of families connected through Westphalia Pewamo and Fowler that have live between here for Warren belongs in Macomb county - they are the anchor city for the county and COI. This is a huge mistake to remove them from the Macomb county district.

10-06-21 v6 HD

Opinion

Zach Rudat

2021-10-07 01:39:46+00

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Marian MAhoney

2021-10-07 01:36:33+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Troy and Rochester Hills belong in Oakland county - they are part of our Oakland county COI - it is a mistake to separate these areas from Oakland.</p>	Marian MAhoney	2021-10-07 01:32:42+00
10-04-21 CD V3a AE	Opinion	<p>I respectively disagree with Jim Morrisey's comments on living in Midland for 30 years and that we have more in common with the larger metro areas of Saginaw and Flint because farming has nothing to do with the city of Midland. As well he stated that we have more in common with the larger metro areas because of Nexteer, and McLaren Health Care hospital. This could not be further from the truth. These larger metro areas are union areas, and Midland overall is not, nor does it operate in the community of interest like that. Also I worked at MidMichigan Health in Midland for over 40 years and we have nothing in common with McLaren. We do not refer any patients there and never have. If a patient needs to be transferred we send them to the U of M in Ann Arbor. We</p>	Dennis Quehl	2021-10-07 01:27:30+00

10-06-21 v1 HD	Opinion	<p>Well, all of the State House maps have been extremely messy but especially compared to Versions 4, 5, and 6 released today, this one is the least bad.</p>	Daniel Harris	2021-10-07 01:22:53+00
		<p>As a resident of Orion Twp, I am appalled at the Senate District I have been drawn into. We are more of an exurb area than the areas we have been lumped with. Why are we combining counties when the necessity is not there.</p>		
		<p>Also, as someone who worked countless hours for the opportunity to form this non partisan Commission, I am dismayed to view a map that favors Republicans by as much as 6.5% in some cases. This much advantage for a party that is actually in the</p>		
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>minority is unacceptable</p>	Theresa Fougne	2021-10-07 01:21:36+00

Bay County looks really ugly in this map, especially District 53. Bay is split between 4 different districts despite having a population only slightly more than 1 district. Meanwhile Midland has slightly less than the population for one district. Therefore it makes a lot of sense to draw the vast majority of Bay into one seat and give a small amount to all of Midland County for another seat.

10-06-21 v6 HD

Dislike

Daniel Harris

2021-10-07 01:18:58+00

Please don't take the city of Midland away from the rest of the county. We want to be kept as one congressional district.

10-04-21 CD V3a AE

Dislike

Justin Scott

2021-10-07 01:18:18+00

Hazel Park should be within a district with other small inner-ring Oakland County cities like Ferndale and Oak Park. We have a sizable and growing LGBTQ population and this is a community of interest that links the southern Oakland County communities. We held our city's first Pride event this past August which was attended by over 2000 people. I'm providing that as an example to demonstrate the size of Hazel Park's LGBTQ population.

38.4 Coalition Minori Dislike

Mike McFall

2021-10-07 01:16:59+00

I don't think Saginaw or Flint will adequately represent the farming community in Midland.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Justin Scott

2021-10-07 01:14:42+00

THE LIKE COMMENTS stating that Midland is like Saginaw and Flint's COI community of interest because we have a fortune 500 company here and many people from Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint work here has absolutely no bearing on the COI. Working in a city and living in another city does not mean that there is COI because of that. I totally disagree with the "like" comments seen in this portal that state; living in Bay City and working in Midland does not make you a resident of Midland. If you wanted to be totally connected to the community of interest of Midland you would live in Midland. I had 6 staff at the medical center in Midland that lived in Essexville, Flint, north of Detroit, north of Lansing, Bridgeport ,... They chose to work in Midland but wanted to live elsewhere because it better fit their

10-04-21 CD V3a AE Dislike

Dennis Quehl

2021-10-07 01:14:17+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Rochester and Rochester Hills are a COI with Oakland County, in which they are located. They belong with Oakland County in CD 3--not in CD 6 with Macomb County. I am concerned that combining Midland with these other counties will hinder our flood recovery.	Murray A Gorchow	2021-10-07 01:12:39+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Justin Scott	2021-10-07 01:12:22+00

10-04-21 CD V3a AE	Like	I really like this map. Midland is a vital part of the Tri-Cities and shares many infrastructure concerns with the other industrial cities of Bay City, Saginaw and Flint. I have lived in Midland for over 30 years and know many people through work, schools and volunteering. I have yet to meet one person that is a farmer in the city of Midland so to say we are a farming community is so strange. On the other hand almost all the people I know either work for Dow, Corteva, Dupont or the hospital. Those companies make up the biggest economic base for our community and they have more in common with Nexter, McLaren Bay Regional Center and General Motors.	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-07 01:09:43+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	This allows the city of Midland to be represented with other urban areas that have similar demographics, as well as economical, and social needs.	Kimberly Cruppenink	2021-10-07 01:09:40+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Southfield belongs in CD 2-- Not CD 3	Murray A Gorchow	2021-10-07 01:08:37+00

replace Sterling Heights with  
Madison Heights and Hazel  
Park in the Senate map.  
Pontiac and Waterford should  
be kept together, as they are  
similar communities which  
are part of the M-59 corridor.  
Likewise, Rochester and  
Rochester Hills are similar  
communities and also part of  
the M-59 corridor; keep those  
two cities together in the  
same district as well. Troy  
should be kept completely  
within Oakland County and  
kept whole in all maps to  
protect the growing Asian-  
American/Pacific Islander  
community. Farmington Hills  
and Novi are similar  
communities (part of the 696  
corridor) and should be kept  
within the same district. Ann  
arbor and Ypsilanti, however,  
should be split into two  
districts. These suggestions  
are based on the criterion of  
communities of interest,  
which is one of the more

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Kristine McLonis

2021-10-07 01:07:37+00

Troy belongs with an Oakland County CD #3--not with a Macomb County district that includes areas along Lake St. Clair. There is no COI with Macomb County, Troy has COI with Oakland County in which it is located.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Murray A Gorchow

2021-10-07 01:05:45+00

I think it is absurd to separate the city of Midland from Midland County. If there is anyone in which we have more in common regarding just about any community of interest it would be Midland County.

I totally disagree with the "like" comments seen in this portal that state; living in Bay City and working in Midland does not make you a resident of Midland. If you wanted to be totally connected to the community of interest of Midland you would live in Midland. I had 6 staff at the medical center in Midland that lived in Essexville, Flint, north of Detroit, north of Lansing, Bridgeport ,... They chose to work in Midland but wanted to live elsewhere because it better fit their community of interest.

The comment that I visit Midland a lot, and Midland

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Dennis Quehl

2021-10-07 01:00:04+00

10-04-21 CD V3a AE	Like	<p>This map is excellent! It puts Midland in with other urban cities that share similar industrial based infrastructure. Midland is an urban center with several Fortune 500 compaies not a rural town. But we do share a lot of environmental amenities with close rural cities like Sanford so this is a perfect compromise. It also puts Tusacola with the thumb which will serve that community better.</p>	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-07 00:59:07+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Warren does not belong in Dist 1. Warren is the heart of Macomb County and is a COI with Macomb County. Warren should be in District 6.</p>	Murray A Gorchow	2021-10-07 00:57:39+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Warren needs to be kept in the same district as the rest of Macomb County. Troy needs to be kept with the rest of Oakland County. And Southfield needs to be moved from District 3 to District 2. These are all examples of communities of interest, which is one of the more important criterion for redrawing district lines.</p>	Kristine McLonis	2021-10-07 00:55:07+00

As a former Farmington Hills City Council Member, throughout this process I have been delighted to see that you had Farmington and Farmington Hills (and, often, Novi - making up the I696 corridor). Now that this map was released I am appalled. Farmington & FH are a textbook definition of a COI. We are a diverse community with a shared school district, library system, festivals, and many boards and commissions. Having the same representation in the State House and Senate is what we need. Please undo this travesty of breaking up Farmington Hills.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

Theresa Orlaske-Rich

2021-10-07 00:49:36+00

I live in Bloomfield and travel the short distance to Troy regularly. It clearly needs to be in the same district as the Birmingham Bloomfield area. These communities are closely tied and residents are back and forth all day. They should be in the same district.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Christian Keller

2021-10-07 00:45:55+00

I totally disagree with the "like" comments seen in this portal that state; share a lot of the same non profits, I visit Midland a lot, and Midland shares more in common with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint. First of all these comments come from outside Midland and Midland County so how would they know; Secondly regarding to sharing a lot of the same non profits they must be referring to Salvation Army, Red Cross, and others like this that are seen in every city and county across the state and the U.S. so it makes no sense to roll us together for that reason. We may have some of these non profits in common, but the needs are vastly different in each community. Midland is not similar to Saginaw and Flint in needs; Thirdly, regarding the point that someone stated that they visit Midland frequently

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Dennis Quehl

2021-10-07 00:45:16+00

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

Better than most I have seen. Lisa

2021-10-07 00:42:30+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>Thank you for keeping the cities of Bay City, Flint, Midland, and Saginaw together. These cities work together on many regional issues and it makes sense to keep them together.</p>	Lisa Gano	2021-10-07 00:36:36+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>This is an excellent map that has The City of Midland in with Saginaw, Bay City and Flint. The City of Midland is part of the economic area with the Tri Cities and Flint does not look west to Midland county or the other counties West of Midland county or North. The City of Midland is distinctly different than the rural areas in the western and northern districts and is much better aligned to the east and south with Saginaw, Bay City and Flint. Good job on this map.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	Greg Mayville	2021-10-07 00:31:05+00

This map is absurd; Metro Detroit looks like a jigsaw puzzle. Will look worse after the changes today; such as Taylor and Monroe in a district. Start over and do things correctly please. I note that commenters from Detroit were also highly unhappy with the maps during the public comments at today's meeting. There are ways to get VRA compliance without destroying everyone's community representation. Local Reps for local communities! Why is farm county (Clinton county) in with Brighton??

10-06-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-07 00:29:27+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC

Dislike

Larry Isham

2021-10-07 00:28:48+00

This is an excellent map that has The City of Midland in with Saginaw, Bay City and Flint. The City of Midland is part of the economic area with the Tri Cities and Flint does not look west to Midland county or the other counties West of Midland county or North. The City of Midland is distinctly different than the rural areas in the western and northern districts and is much better aligned to the east and south with Saginaw, Bay City and Flint. Good job on this map.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Thank you.

Greg Mayville

2021-10-07 00:26:14+00

Just as bad as before. Why is a tiny slice of Union Lake and Waterford in a district with Pontiac, with whom there is no educational, social, economic or COIs, when you could include Lake Angelus (shares infrastructure and school district), Auburn Hills (COIs, schools, infrastructure), Sylvan Lake (schools/taxes) or even West Bloomfield (a large portion of Pontiac students go to school in W Bloomfield) and still not have jeopardized the VRA. Splitting up a COI and community is nothing to take lightly. Additionally, many, if not most, of these metro Detroit districts in this map split COIs, communities, are strangely shaped and have little if no common interests and will make no one happy. Not good choices; looks worse than what we have had in the past.

10-06-21 v2 HD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-07 00:23:50+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Having worked in Warren with lots of Macomb County residents, I know Warren is the heart of Macomb County. It doesn't make sense that it should be with anything that is not Macomb County, it's COI.	Theresa Orlaske-Rich	2021-10-07 00:17:28+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Troy needs to stay in an Oakland County district like 3. I used to be a Ferndale resident. Hazel Park is separated from it. Hazel Park and Ferndale share schools and are a community of interest. They should be together.	Gina Keller	2021-10-07 00:16:06+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	These maps put Oakland County in 5 of 13 districts. This is ridiculous. Why is Warren with Detroit, Troy w/ Macomb County, Highland in the Thumb and New Hudson in a district with Ypsilanti. This is nothing more than splitting or "reach". These districts do not represent the COIs for Oakland County.	Jonathan Warshay	2021-10-07 00:15:12+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike		Lisa	2021-10-07 00:10:22+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Warren needs to stay with Macomb County. Troy belongs with Oakland County. Troy and Novi should be together to balance out Southeast Michigan.	Marci W	2021-10-07 00:07:10+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	You have separated people from their counties and municipalities by including this section of Oakland and Macomb with Wayne. This district does not reflect a shared community of interests.	Jonathan Warshay	2021-10-06 23:59:32+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	The brown area of the map that includes both Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti is a very densely populated area with very diverse population creating a more bias region. This area needs to be restructured separating these two major metropolitan areas making it less partisan in nature making for a more fair voting map. Thank you for the work you have already done. I hope you will take my suggestions under consideration and make adjustments accordingly.	Debbie Naylor	2021-10-06 23:10:09+00

I am concerned that Oakland County senate districts delving south of 8 mile will dilute black voices and representation.

As an elected person myself, I know how tempting it is to draw all your attention to better resourced (and often times more vocal) parts of a district.

When adding in the component of race, I worry that black detroit's will not be heard the same amount as their less structurally disadvantaged white, suburban voters.

Also--looking at campaign finance filings it is clear that elections in Detroit are less costly to run than in Oakland County...This could lead to Detroit candidates not being viable simply because of their donor base. Thus re-affirming structural inequities.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Charlie Cavell

2021-10-06 23:05:41+00

I see comments from people from Midland saying we are similar to Saginaw and Flint. This can't be farther from the truth. We have no similarities with these two cities. Their big issues are poor schools and high crime rates. Midland has some of the best schools and a very low crime rate. People in Midland do not typically go shopping in Flint or Saginaw. This is just not accurate at all. We have much more in common with the rural counties of Gladwin, Isabella and Arenac counties especially because of the ongoing issues with the dams and flooding.

10-04-21 CD V3a AE Dislike

Mike Scott

2021-10-06 23:02:44+00

This map is inappropriate for several reasons. First, you break up Warren, Macomb County's largest city, for no reason. We are the third largest city in Michigan, but we are treated as after thought in this mapping.

Second, from my home I will practically be able to hit the 6 Congressional District by throwing a foot ball north or west. Yet somehow I am in a district with Detroit? I love the city of Detroit. However, I live in Macomb. Warren's connections are with Macomb.

Third, both Warren and Sterling Heights have significant minority populations that straddle the border. The Chaldean community is large in both Warren and Sterling Heights. The Asian-American community is large in both

9-20-21 V3 CD

Dislike

Jeremy Fisher

2021-10-06 23:00:42+00

I see comments from people from Midland saying we are similar to Saginaw and Flint. This can't be farther from the truth. We have no similarities with these two cities. Their big issues are poor schools and high crime rates. Midland has some of the best schools and a very low crime rate. People in Midland do not typically go shopping in Flint or Saginaw. This is just not accurate at all. We have much more in common with the rural counties of Gladwin, Isabella and Arenac counties especially because of the ongoing issues with the dams and flooding.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Mike Scott

2021-10-06 23:00:03+00

I see comments from people from Midland saying we are similar to Saginaw and Flint. This can't be farther from the truth. We have no similarities with these two cities. Their big issues are poor schools and high crime rates. Midland has some of the best schools and a very low crime rate. People in Midland do not typically go shopping in Flint or Saginaw. This is just not accurate at all. We have much more in common with the rural counties of Gladwin, Isabella and Arenac counties especially because of the ongoing issues with the dams and flooding.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Mike Scott

2021-10-06 22:56:27+00

Midland, Bay City and Saginaw have much more in common with each other than with counties way up north. In addition, the remainder of Midland County should be kept with the city of Midland if possible, as they share schools and other interests and facilities.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Randall Swierzbini

2021-10-06 22:46:47+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	<p>Midland, Bay City and Saginaw have much more in common with each other than with counties way up north. The outlying areas of Midland County should be kept with the rest of the county if possible. They share schools and other interests as well. Perhaps all of Midland County along with Bay and Saginaw Counties, and eliminate part of Genesee. This map MUST be made nonpartisan. Michigan voted on prop 2 because we are sick and tired of partisan political maps. There is no reason to make partisan maps - it doesn't represent Michigan.</p>	Randall Swierzbin	2021-10-06 22:42:59+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		Danee Kaplan	2021-10-06 22:41:56+00

I want to express my concern about your proposed state Senate map. My understanding is that it skews Republican by 6.5%, by the Commission's internal measurements. I happen to be a Democrat, but that really doesn't matter in this discussion - what I want is for a fair distribution of voters in districts, so that the legislative body (the Senate in this case) ends up with a majority accurately reflecting the majority of voters in the state. The very nature of gerrymandering is that the reverse is obtained - by shoehorning Democrats into a few districts, Republicans can get a majority, even though there are demonstrably more Democrats than Republicans in this state.

I know a lot of your respondents are laser-focused on preserving Communities of

William Asher

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

2021-10-06 22:27:12+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike Please split Ypsilanti and Ann Arbor in your Senate Map. These 2 communities are CLEARLY different Communities of Interest. They are worlds apart in economics, diversity and in landscape. They need 2 separate Senate Representatives. Marie Fielder 2021-10-06 22:05:50+00

10-05-21 v1 CD Like I am strongly in favor of this district. These are the places that I regularly visit. Also this district encompasses many of the regional non-profits that serve this community. I would consider this a good representation of the Tri-Cities region. A place I have grown up in and continue to love. Shawna Walraven 2021-10-06 21:52:54+00

Thank you for this map which reflects the shared interests of the Tri Cities and Flint. I am a Midland resident and I am so looking forward to the fruits of your labor on the difficult (to put it lightly) job of drawing our maps while testing them for all the areas of compliance. i am so grateful for this.

I feel that the future of this area of Michigan is going to be impacted in the coming years due to our natural gem which is the Great Lakes and we share the important Saginaw River basin and the Saginaw Bay Watershed. The Midland Bay Great Lakes region deserves a district that will keep an eye out for future growth and the issues associated with it.

This district will help residents combine talents to the prepare for population growth.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

katrhleen curell

2021-10-06 21:49:49+00

I love this map. I definitely think Midland connects most with Bay City - Saginaw - Flint. I live in Homer Township, about 3 miles south of Sanford and my daughter lives in Larkin. However, we both connect with Midland for schools, doctors, exercise programs, church and shopping. I know friends who live in Sanford would say the same because I see them in those places. For medical specialists, I head to Bay City or Saginaw. For more extensive shopping, to Saginaw or Flint. For regional church gatherings, I head to Flint or Clarkston. Those are all part of my "home" area. Even though I am equidistant between Mt. Pleasant and Saginaw, it never crosses my mind to go to Mt. Pleasant for doctors or shopping. They are not part of my Tri-City community. I appreciate the

10-04-21 CD V3a AE Opinion

unity of interest represented Pamela Buchholz

2021-10-06 21:45:35+00

This map meets the goal of being geographically compact. It largely keeps whole communities/counties intact, and it groups together areas with a common interest, in this case lakeshore communities who have common interest in issues like invasive species, water quality, shoreline erosion, water-based tourism and more. This map allows the lakeshore to elect a representative who will focus on the issues that specifically affect our area. Please keep it as is.

09-07-21 v7 SD

Like

Ted Dyson

2021-10-06 21:41:06+00

I definitely think Midland connects most with Bay City - Saginaw - Flint. My one concern is splitting off the rest of Midland County. I live in Homer Township, about 3 miles south of Sanford. However, I connect with Midland for schools, doctors, exercise programs, church and shopping. I know friends who live in Sanford would say the same because I see them in those places. For medical specialists, I head to Bay City or Saginaw. For more extensive shopping, to Saginaw or Flint. For regional church gatherings, I head to Flint or Clarkston. Those are all part of my "home" area. Even though I am equidistant between Mt. Pleasant and Saginaw, it never crosses my mind to go to Mt. Pleasant for doctors or shopping. They are not part of my Tri-City community. Without including Saginaw and Flint,

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Pamela Buchholz

2021-10-06 21:41:03+00

I am in favor of this district. These communities share similar interests and resources. Many organizations/ educational opportunities/ businesses etc. either operate within the Great Lake Bay Region ( Midland, Saginaw, and Bay), or the Tri-Cities, (Saginaw, Bay, and Flint). The encompassing of all of these together is wonderful and reflects the interests of residents and constituents that live here.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Rachelle Hilliker

2021-10-06 21:40:01+00

This map meets the goal of being geographically compact. It largely keeps whole communities/counties intact, and it groups together areas with a common interest, in this case lakeshore communities who have common interest in issues like invasive species, water quality, shoreline erosion, water-based tourism and more. This map allows the lakeshore to elect a representative who will focus on the issues that specifically affect our area. Please keep it as is.

10-04-21 v1 HD am s€Like

Ted Dyson

2021-10-06 21:37:37+00

I definitely think Midland connects most with Bay City - Saginaw - Flint. My one concern is splitting off the rest of Midland County. I live in Homer Township, about 3 miles south of Sanford. However, I connect with Midland for schools, doctors, exercise programs, church and shopping. I know friends who live in Sanford would say the same because I see them in those places. For medical specialists, I head to Bay City or Saginaw. For more extensive shopping, to Saginaw or Flint. For regional church gatherings, I head to Flint or Clarkston. Those are all part of my "home" area. Even though I am equidistant between Mt. Pleasant and Saginaw, it never crosses my mind to go to Mt. Pleasant for doctors or shopping. They are not part of my Tri-City community. Without

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Opinion

including Saginaw and Flint, Pamela Buchholz

2021-10-06 21:36:34+00

This map meets the goal of being geographically compact. It largely keeps whole communities/counties intact, and it groups together areas with a common interest, in this case lakeshore communities who have common interest in issues like invasive species, water quality, shoreline erosion, water-based tourism and more. This map allows the lakeshore to elect a representative who will focus on the issues that specifically affect our area. Please keep it as is.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

Ted Dyson

2021-10-06 21:36:24+00

Please do not divide Muskegon. Our unique lakeshore concerns will be overshadowed by big city Grand Rapids if you do.

10-04-21 CD V3a AE

Dislike

Ted Dyson

2021-10-06 21:30:43+00

10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Dislike	<p>Bad map. Divides Muskegon needlessly and draws half our county in with Grand Rapids where they will be overshadowed and ignored next to that huge city. Please adopt map #205. That is a much superior plan that keep Muskegon and adjacent lakeshore areas together as a community of interest, and allows Grand Rapids and it's surrounding suburbs to be their own separate community of interest focused on their own concerns.</p> <p>Northville is not well represented by Central/Eastern Oakland County. Small Northville Public Schools will have THREE US Reps?! Just cut off Novi, or pull "Noville" to a Wayne/Washtenaw district. This is not fair to have one town isolated from it's neighbors.</p>	Ted Dyson	2021-10-06 21:28:17+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike		Diana Chirillo	2021-10-06 21:24:13+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Like	<p>Yes. Good map for Muskegon County and the lakeshore communities. Thank you!</p>	Ted Dyson	2021-10-06 21:24:00+00

This is much better than the map that was out yesterday for District 3. It makes more sense to have Southfield, Lathrup Village, etc. with these Oakland County cities than it did to have them pulled out and put with western Wayne County. However, I'm not sure why Birmingham, Rochester Hills, Troy and part of Clawson were put with Macomb County, It doesn't seem to make much sense and splits up communities of interest.

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Like

Sharon Baseman

2021-10-06 21:16:22+00

The republican areas around Grand Rapids need to be split up so the democrats can garner four rep. seats instead of 3. Kentwood/EGR is one for democrats and Grand Rapids is two for democrats. Grand Rapids is being split three ways so the democrats can garner an extra rep seat. Also why the democrat part of Wyoming is being merged with Kentwood to ensure a democrat win.

38.4 Coalition Minori: Dislike

Merlin Steffes

2021-10-06 21:14:19+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	<p>It doesn't make sense to have one twp, Edwards Twp, in one congressional district and all the rest of the Ogemaw in another. Ogemaw County is closely aligned with Northern MI and so is its Township of Edwards. Perhaps eliminating Missaukee County, and putting Roscommon County and Edwards Twp in would satisfy the population requirements. Thank you.</p>	Joel A Sheltroun	2021-10-06 21:14:04+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>Thank you for all the work on this new map. Putting the city of Midland with similar interested and developed cities makes a lot of sense.</p>	Cindy Smerdon	2021-10-06 21:11:54+00
38.4 Coalition Minori	Dislike	<p>This district is still awful. The cities in this district have nothing in common with the portion of Detroit that is included. The skinny, vertical configuration looks contrived and created randomly. This should be a purely Oakland County district and have a more horizontal configuration to include Ferndale, Pleasant Ridge, etc. Also, splitting Oak Park makes no sense.</p>	Sharon Baseman	2021-10-06 21:11:31+00

Thank you for your work on the commission! Although I appreciate your efforts, I'm Very disappointed to see that my district (and many of my 1204 members district) is drawn in a very partisan way. I thought the purpose of this commission was to ensure fair voting maps were drawn. This seems to be opposite of that. Please continue to work this map until it's fair. Thank you.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Ryan Matthew Husse

2021-10-06 21:00:03+00

I really like this map because it respects the COI that are shared by the City of Midland, the Tri Cities and Flint. The city of Midland has very little in common with the vast rural areas to the north and west of the city. We share the watershed issues, and we have a shared water source. There are vast economic and cultural opportunities and we make up the Great Lakes Bay region!

People from Bay City, Saginaw and Flint drive to Midland for work at Dow Chemical and all of its subsidiaries. People who live in Midland drive to Bay City, Saginaw and Flint for employment at Nexteer, GM plants, Consumers Power, Michigan Sugar, along with many others. We are tightly connected on many levels as the Tri Cities and Flint. Not to mention the Airports, Hospitals with various areas of specialization and

10-04-21 CD V3a AE Like

kathleen curell

2021-10-06 20:58:47+00

I do not think the proposed District 34 Map works for the following reasons. Muskegon County makes up over 50% of the population and yet has very little in common with the rural counties to the north. While they have small tourist towns like Pentwater and Ludington, these towns virtually shut down in the winter. The rest of these counties are rural and Republican.

Muskegon County is unique in that it is the ONLY community along the lakeshore that is diverse both racially and economically. All of our countywide elected offices are held by Democrats and our county went for Biden despite a strong Trump effect on the eastern side of the county. Geographically, this district would span a distance of 125 miles, making it difficult for a person to run

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Ellen M. Beal

2021-10-06 20:47:30+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>It is important to make this map non-partisan. That was your charge. Having a map that isn't balanced, doesn't promote a good faith effort, as you were all directed to do to correct our gerrymandered communities to begin with.</p> <p>My concerns are related to the fact that the maps as currently drawn favor Republicans over Democrats when in fact Democrats outnumber Republicans in Michigan. The entire intent of this was to draw fair maps that don't advantage one party over the other, and so far you have failed in that core mission. We need fair maps!</p>	Marsha Kamin	2021-10-06 20:41:48+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>Thank you for keeping Texas and Oshtemo Townships together with Portage. Given that Texas Township kids attend Portage schools, this makes sense from a COI perspective. This also puts together three suburban areas around Kalamazoo.</p>	Richard Spring	2021-10-06 20:41:08+00
38.4 Coalition Minori	Like		Naomi Siegel Morse	2021-10-06 20:32:43+00

This map took Midland out of the Great Lakes region, away the TRI CITIES and Flint, and grouped it with a big western area of the state. Im not at all in favor of it.

10-04-21 CD V3b AE Dislike

katrhleen curell

2021-10-06 20:28:33+00

This map is too big and puts Muskegon County at a disadvantage. We represent more than 50% of the population and lean Democratic. All of our countywide elected offices are filled by Democrats and our county went for Biden despite a strong Trump influence from the rural eastern part of the county. Muskegon is unique in that it is the only diverse community both racially and economically, along the entire lakeshore. By grouping it in with sparsely populated rural counties whose tourist towns close down for the winter, you have created a district where we have little in common.

I support a lakeshore district that would include Muskegon County and the Western side of Ottawa County including Holland. Spring Lake,

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Ellen M. Beal

2021-10-06 20:25:56+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This map is unacceptable. A 6% bias toward one party does not meet the constitutional requirement of a fair non-partisan map. Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti must be unpacked, with western Ann Arbor and Scio Township paired with Jackson.	Alex Elkins	2021-10-06 20:23:26+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	I like this map , puts Tuscola, Genesee, Bay county in the same USHouse district All of Oxford Twp & Addision Twp should remain in District 46 because they share a non-partisan community of interest with their single Oxford-Community School System.	ROBERT W DECOE DECOE	2021-10-06 20:23:20+00
10-05-21 v1 HD	Dislike		Joshua Schriver	2021-10-06 20:19:15+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I am thrilled to see Midland in with other urban cities. This puts the interest in a 40K city together with other similarly sized communities, as opposed to Midland's current district consisting of other Northern Michigan Communities with less than half of that. Additionally, this would put Midland together with other communities that share similar social, economic, and educational interests.	Lee William Cruppenink	2021-10-06 19:55:03+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	The map as currently drawn is not acceptable. The commission should strive for a completely unbiased map, whereas this one has a Republican bias. One way this could be better drawn is to separate Ann Arbor from Ypsilanti, since both have different interests and Ypsilanti has been overshadowed by Ann Arbor for far too long. The map should also be redrawn to unpack Ann Arbor-area voters into surrounding districts to make sure things are more balanced.	Aaron Stark	2021-10-06 19:52:33+00

I'm a former mayor of the City of Albion.

Please change this district. Albion and Battle Creek have significant African-American populations which should be aligned as communities of interest. Both are industrial towns and share institutions of higher education. You could achieve this by drawing a district similar to the current maps.

38.4 Coalition Minori: Dislike

Garrett Brown

2021-10-06 19:51:30+00

I am thrilled to see Midland in with other urban cities. This puts the interest in a 40K city together with other similarly sized communities, as opposed to Midland's current district consisting of other Northern Michigan Communities with less than half of that. Additionally, this would put Midland together with other communities that share similar social, economic, and educational interests.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Lee William Cruppenink

2021-10-06 19:47:52+00

The arbitrary division of Macomb Township (at Hall and Hayes) doesn't make sense at all. You are literally cutting us off from our sister cities and communities -- Clinton Township, Sterling Heights, Shelby. This Commission is supposed to do away with gerrymandering. I'm tired of throwing away my vote. Please do not again stick us with the Thumb, with which we have nothing in common. Macomb Township should be kept with her sister cities. I've studied every single map and thusfar, map #144 is the best that I've seen. That one should be one of the final ones put out for comment.

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

Thanks.

Mayoora

2021-10-06 19:40:48+00

I lived in Midland until recently and agree with Jennifer Austin. Others ask that the City of Midland not be separated from the rest of Midland County, but the city is not like the rest of the county which is rural, farm land. I also agree that it makes more sense to include areas surrounding Midland with the city, move Tuscola County which actually is largely rural, and carve out less of Oakland County to go with the Thumb. The Thumb is largely rural farm land while - like the City of Midland - Oakland County is becoming less farm land and more subdivisions.

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Opinion

Jan Baumgras

2021-10-06 19:37:29+00

I feel bad for the commission who have to read comments from partisan hacks who obviously got their DNC newsletter and are crying about the lies they've been fed. You wanted this "independent" commission, now shut up and deal with it.

10-04-21 v2 SD Opinion

Angelo D Guarnieri

2021-10-06 19:27:21+00

Please keep Allegan and Van Buren counties together and whole. Antwerp Township does not belong with Kalamazoo!! We do not live in Kalamazoo for a reason - we are different!!

As a suggestion, perhaps consider adding the Barry county townships around Gull Lake to Kalamazoo County. The Gull Lake community makes more sense than pushing Antwerp and Kalamazoo together. Paw Paw, Plainwell, Otsego, Mattawan & Lawton (Both in Antwerp Township!), are small town communities with common sense values, similar economies, rural areas that share many strengths and challenges. Allegan and Van Buren counties collaborate on providing public defender services and veteran treatment courts to our residents. Both Allegan and

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Patricia

2021-10-06 19:09:57+00

I've lived in Macomb County all of my life and am really upset to see map after map drawing northern Macomb in with the thumb, as it's been for the last 20 years. We have nothing in common with the thumb area and for the last 20 years, my family, neighbors and I have felt that our votes have meant nothing. My neighborhood 23 and Romeo Plant has more in common with Shelby Township, Utica, Sterling Heights. We have zero in common with Bad Axe! You had a REALLY good map early on - #144 - that met all criteria. You even used it to fix some of the lines on your other maps, yet you otherwise seem to be discounting it. #144 is the most fair map that I've seen in every respect and it needs to receive more serious consideration. Honestly, the fact that you're discounting M.A.

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

2021-10-06 19:08:54+00

38.4 Coalition Minori Dislike	<p>The verticle path of the districts are rediculous. Its matching new suburbs with other tattered areas, its is unlike with unlike and allows domination by Republicans. An horizontal path would more likely put like with like and be fairer. The new schedule is limiting the power of diversity with minority people.</p>	ninadabrams	2021-10-06 19:01:34+00	
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>This is gerrymandered to favor Republicans, who are the minority in Michigan but continue to foist their unpopular and dysfunctional policies upon all of us. This cannot stand. Every voice matters, every vote should be counted, and their firehose of lies needs to be shut off once and for all.</p>	Jean Marie Knowlton	2021-10-06 18:59:37+00

The Federal Office of Management and Budget defines the Saginaw-Midland-Bay City Combined Statistical Area in Mid/Central Michigan as the area that surrounds the business, transportation, environmental and residential infrastructure resources within Saginaw Bay and Saginaw River watershed region. The MBS combined statistical area is the 4th largest CSA in the state of Michigan.

In 2009, the Bay Area, Midland Area and Saginaw County Chambers of Commerce, Bay Future, Midland Tomorrow and Saginaw Future Inc. jointly decided prior to the last census that the Great Lakes Bay Region of Mid/Central Michigan includes Bay City, Midland and Saginaw.

This map ignores the communities of interest of Midland and Gladwin for flood recovery and watershed management, as well as the agricultural community of interest that benefits from representation that understands the importance of agriculture here in Midland and Central Michigan. Midland County should be kept whole in this process and be included in a district that is representative of the innately rural agriculture base that makes up Central Michigan.

10-04-21 CD V3a AE Dislike

Laura Gray

2021-10-06 18:58:49+00

The Federal Office of Management and Budget defines the Saginaw-Midland-Bay City Combined Statistical Area in Mid/Central Michigan as the area that surrounds the business, transportation, environmental and residential infrastructure resources within Saginaw Bay and Saginaw River watershed region. The MBS combined statistical area is the 4th largest CSA in the state of Michigan.

In 2009, the Bay Area, Midland Area and Saginaw County Chambers of Commerce, Bay Future, Midland Tomorrow and Saginaw Future Inc. jointly decided prior to the last census that the Great Lakes Bay Region of Mid/Central Michigan includes Bay City, Midland and Saginaw.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

All three cities share the same Eric Anders

2021-10-06 18:51:28+00

This map ignores the communities of interest of Midland and Gladwin for flood recovery and watershed management, as well as the agricultural community of interest that benefits from representation that understands the importance of agriculture here in Midland and Central Michigan. Midland County should be kept whole in this process and be included in a district that is representative of the innately rural agriculture base that makes up Central Michigan.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Laura Gray

2021-10-06 18:49:43+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	This map ignores the communities of interest of Midland and Gladwin for flood recovery and watershed management, as well as the agricultural community of interest that benefits from representation that understands the importance of agriculture here in Midland and Central Michigan. Midland County should be kept whole in this process and be included in a district that is representative of the innately rural agriculture base that makes up Central Michigan.	Laura Gray	2021-10-06 18:40:03+00
38.4 Coalition Minori	Like	I like this district. Traverse City and Leelanau County share similar values and have more similar economies than TC and lower GT County.	Casey Adams	2021-10-06 18:17:23+00
10-05-21 v1 HD	Dislike	I like putting Alma and Mt. Pleasant in the same district. This is a good community of interest.	Casey Adams	2021-10-06 18:14:16+00
10-05-21 v1 HD	Dislike	I don't get the benefit of putting Emmet County in with the Upper Peninsula. Emmet has a tourism based economy.	Linda Adams	2021-10-06 18:12:33+00

The City of Midland has always been very distinct from the surrounding townships. From the level of services the city provides to the manufacturing base. Unlike other communities that have similar sized communities within the county. The city is very isolated much like Saginaw and Bay City and I-75 and US-10 corridor are the connectors to these similar sized population centers. This map makes a lot of sense as it pertains to employment, services and future issues that residents in the Tri-cities will need to address.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Neil Rankin

2021-10-06 18:09:22+00

10-05-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>This carve out of Madison Heights will remove one of our most populous precincts and dilute the City's representation in both districts. For a small community, there is a need to keep the City whole in it's representation and create unnecessary and costly splits for conducting elections. Please consider revisiting this issue and keeping Madison Heights in one district.</p>	Cheryl Rottmann - Madison Heights	2021-10-06 18:07:53+00
10-04-21 CD V3a AE	Dislike	<p>Midland County is home to more than 500 farms covering 88,000 acres. The needs of our community are different from those of big cities like Saginaw and Flint.</p>	Jennifer Anway	2021-10-06 17:54:26+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>Midland County is home to more than 500 farms covering 88,000 acres. The needs of our community are different from those of big cities like Saginaw and Flint.</p>	Jennifer Anway	2021-10-06 17:53:25+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Midland County is home to more than 500 farms covering 88,000 acres. The needs of our community are different from those of big cities like Saginaw and Flint.</p>	Jennifer Anway	2021-10-06 17:52:27+00

9-28-21 v1 HD	Opinion	<p>You can achieve a fairer, more competitive district by removing Emmett Township and adding Lee, Clarence, and Sheridan Township, along as the City of Albion.</p> <p>Please change this district. Albion and Battle Creek have significant African-American populations which should be aligned as communities of interest. Both are industrial towns and share institutions of higher education. You could achieve this by drawing a district similar to the</p>	Jonathan Byrd	2021-10-06 17:51:01+00
9-28-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>current maps.</p> <p>It makes sense to include Kalamazoo and Battle Creek in the same district. Thank</p>	Jonathan Byrd	2021-10-06 17:48:22+00
10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Like	<p>you for doing that.</p>	Denise Hartsough	2021-10-06 17:38:39+00

The latest 10/04/21 State of Michigan Senatorial Map or Plan seems to favor the GOP who are projected as winners in the plan by 6.5% more of the districts while in the State of Michigan in the last election votes for Dems leaned toward 6.5% in favor of Dems.

This is a difference of 13% from reality.

The Senate seems to be packed or stacked.

This is not fairness or competitiveness and is an absolute, disproportionate, disadvantage for Dems.

The plan needs to be corrected.

The outcome has been "corrupted" by using the phrase "communities of interest."

It is used by the GOP as their code phrase for packing a few voting districts with a large number of Dems.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Doing this, Dems win by a

Mark Roger Putnam

2021-10-06 17:29:42+00

I like most of this map. The Tri-County (Bay City, Saginaw, Midland) and Flint together feels like home. But why would Tuscola be added in? Nice County and all, but we don't have a shared history with them. I'd rather we had more of our communities from Saginaw, Bay Midland and Genesee counties added in, we have much more in common. Together we share common jobs history (from the Lumber Era to GM); even today, lots of people I know, family and friends, live in one of the 4 communities and work in another. I was born in Saginaw and worked in Saginaw, Bay, and Flint before I retired. For a while, I led the Tri-County Fair Housing Center that served Saginaw, Bay and Midland counties. Our waters and water issues are shared as are our cultural outlets. For instance, I live in Bay County and love

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

Gloria Woods

2021-10-06 17:23:09+00

Thank you so much for all the hard work you've put into this project! For the future success of our regional growth, everything about the tri-cities is aimed promoting, growing and building the Great Lakes Bay Region - obviously including the cities of Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint. It makes perfect sense that our voting district should reflect the same.

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	Thank you!	Tammy J DeRuyter	2021-10-06 17:14:57+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Like	I think district 4 looks like a great representation of the Grand Rapids metro area	Dan Oesch	2021-10-06 17:05:31+00

This map splits Calhoun County 3 ways. It is hard enough trying to help people find community resources when you're broken up into 2 districts. 3 districts would make things worse. Also Battle Creek is the resource hub for all of Calhoun County. All of our community agencies, resources, educations systems, military systems are located in Battle Creek, with a few in Marshall (such as our county seat & Veterans ID card service). We need a legislators who are focused on the people living in Calhoun County and being able to get them the help they need, when they need it. Community Action Agency is very important to struggling families, disabled Veterans, Senior citizens. They provide several programs/services in Calhoun, Barry, Branch, & St.

10-04-21 v1 HD am s€ Dislike

Joseph Counties.

Sonja Marie Patrick

2021-10-06 16:41:40+00

You should not split Plainfield Township, it should be one township and be included iwth Rockford.

38.4 Coalition Minori: Dislike

cheryl scales

2021-10-06 16:32:30+00

10-04-21	CD V3a AE	Dislike	<p>This map is better, but keep Kent County together. Moving Muskegon and Grand Haven together makes sense, but sending northern Kent County and Muskegon County to the north does not.</p>	cheryl scales	2021-10-06 16:30:27+00
10-04-21	CD V3b AE	Dislike	<p>Keep Kent County together. Better that you have Rockford with Grand Rapids, but surrounding townships of Algoma and Courtland should be with Rockford in Kent County.</p>	cheryl scales	2021-10-06 16:28:12+00
10-05-21	v1 CD DJC	Like	<p>This map does a great job of keeping Kent County together. Great for planning and infrastrure collaboration.</p>	cheryl scales	2021-10-06 16:25:47+00
10-05-21	v1 CD DJC	Like	<p>This map is perfect! It keeps Kent County together and makes sense for shared planning and infrastructure users. Thank you for revising this.</p>	cheryl scales	2021-10-06 16:22:44+00
10-05-21	v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>Doesn't make sense to draw lines through counties. Keep one county in the same district.</p>	Quinn Nichols	2021-10-06 16:21:34+00

The combining of the Tri-Cities and Flint makes perfect sense, especially economically. There are similarities that do not exist with rural areas and doing so waters down the effectiveness of representation for both. KEEP the cities together in one voting district!

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

JoAnn M Pobocik

2021-10-06 16:16:55+00

Thank you for keeping the cities of Midland, Bay City and Midland together. This is a great improvement in apportioning. Keeping the "tricity" together makes sense. They share a manufacturing base and their schools compete against each other in athletics. They have more in common than the rural and farm communities around them and would be best represented as one area. Flooding of 2020 impacted all of these areas, as well as Arenac and areas to the north. Response, FMES and other, reached across political districts and can in the future.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Linda Weaver

2021-10-06 16:16:46+00

This is a great improvement in apportioning. Keeping the "counties" together makes sense. They share a manufacturing base and their schools compete against each other in athletics. They have more in common than the rural and farm communities around them and would be best represented as one area. Flooding of 2020 impacted all of these areas, as well as Arenac and areas to the north. Response, FMES and other, reached across political districts and can in the future. Linda Weaver

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

2021-10-06 16:13:52+00

The residents of Midland County want to be kept together in one congressional district for many community interests as well for the surrounding "communities of interests" that we share.

My family lives in all areas of Midland County, many within just miles of city limits, and my immediate family lives less than 2 miles from the City of Midland. We were all affected by the recent flooding in both 2017 and 2020. The downtown Midland area within the City of Midland was drastically affected. As a result of the conditions downtown, all surrounding areas were directly affected as water spilled over and backed up from the merging rivers, thus affecting those living outside the city limits. Removing the city of Midland will result in a major setback in our flood

10-04-21 CD V3a AE Dislike

Jessica Elza

2021-10-06 16:12:59+00

THANK YOU for creating a district that keeps like communities together! Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint all have common economic, cultural and social similarities and concerns.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

THEY BELONG TOGETHER!!

JoAnn M Pobocik

2021-10-06 16:11:36+00

The residents of Midland County want to be kept together in one congressional district for many community interests as well for the surrounding "communities of interests" that we share.

My family lives in all areas of Midland County, many within just miles of city limits, and my immediate family lives less than 2 miles from the City of Midland. We were all affected by the recent flooding in both 2017 and 2020. The downtown Midland area within the City of Midland was drastically affected. As a result of the conditions downtown, all surrounding areas were directly affected as water spilled over and backed up from the merging rivers, thus affecting those living outside the city limits. Removing the city of Midland will result in a major setback in our flood

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Jessica Elza

2021-10-06 16:11:02+00

The residents of Midland County want to be kept together in one congressional district for many community interests as well for the surrounding "communities of interests" that we share.

My family lives in all areas of Midland County, many within just miles of city limits, and my immediate family lives less than 2 miles from the City of Midland. We were all affected by the recent flooding in both 2017 and 2020. The downtown Midland area within the City of Midland was drastically affected. As a result of the conditions downtown, all surrounding areas were directly affected as water spilled over and backed up from the merging rivers, thus affecting those living outside the city limits. Removing the city of Midland will result in a major setback in our flood

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Jessica Elza

2021-10-06 16:05:22+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>Fully agree with Noah here. West Bloomfield is a diverse, suburban community with little to do with Independence, Highland, or Milford. Additionally, this is a crack of Democratic voters in West Bloomfield that is harsher than the current Republican gerrymander, and as such it significantly contributes to the map's Republican bias.</p>	Judah Karesh	2021-10-06 16:04:11+00
38.4 Coalition Minori	Dislike	<p>I cannot stress enough how awful the districts in southern Oakland county are on this map. They look like they were randomly generated by a computer. Farmington should be with Farmington Hills, not a random sliver of Detroit 10 miles away, and so on. No one will be happy with these districts</p>	Jeff Ridsdale	2021-10-06 16:00:31+00

10-04-21 CD V3a AE Like	<p>Putting the city of Midland in a district with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint is excellent! We really do have more in common with those urban/suburban parts of the state than with the rural areas to the west. The issue of flooding is not the only issue facing the City of Midland, so lumping us with rural Midland county and Gladwin because we were impacted by the dam failures in 2020 is not the way to go for congressional districts. On the federal level, infrastructure issues like improving roads, dams, and water systems is something that the entire state is united on, so putting the city of Midland with rural areas is not necessary.</p>	Allison M Wilcox	2021-10-06 15:52:32+00
10-04-21 CD V3a AE Dislike	<p>Your proposed map will result in a major setback in our flood recovery and flood management efforts. This also dilutes the voices of the Midland community by adding them into larger urban centers with Flint and Saginaw. Strongly dislike this map</p>	Michelle Smith	2021-10-06 15:49:18+00

10-04-21 CD V3a AE	Dislike	<p>Your proposed map will result in a major setback in our flood recovery and flood management efforts. The Commission appears to be ignoring the constitution which requires that they use "communities of interest" to draw fair maps. Instead it appears that the Commission is trying to dilute the voices of the Midland community by adding them into larger urban centers with Flint and Saginaw. Strongly dislike</p>	Matthew J Smith	2021-10-06 15:47:12+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Pinconning &amp; Linwood should be kept in with the rest of Bay County in District 11. The watershed, the shoreline, the County seat, the school district are just a few of the common interests that tie these areas together. I don't feel that our interests will be represented if we are not included with District 11.</p>	Ellen Charlebois	2021-10-06 15:46:14+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	<p>Your proposed map will result in a major setback in our flood recovery and flood management efforts. Strongly dislike map</p>	Michelle Smith	2021-10-06 15:43:12+00

I believe the residents of Midland County should be kept together in one, westward facing congressional district. Please do not carve out the City of Midland from the rest of the county. The City of Midland had major flooding along with the flooding in Sanford and in Gladwin County. That is why it is so important that Midland County remain in its entirety and Gladwin County needs to remain in the same district to ensure continuity and a united effort in our recovery. Your proposed map will result in a major setback in our flood recovery and flood management efforts. Thank you for keeping Midland, Bay City and Saginaw together as the Tri-Cities in a senate district as we are a clear COI. Please continue to assess partisan fairness as you perfect these maps and create maps with as close to zero political bias as possible.

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

MARCIA BLACKSON

2021-10-06 15:42:24+00

10-04-21 v1 HD am s Like

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-06 15:41:44+00

Your proposed map will result in a major setback in our flood recovery and flood management efforts. The Commission appears to be ignoring the constitution which requires that they use "communities of interest" to draw fair maps. Instead it appears that the Commission is trying to dilute the voices of the Midland community by adding them into larger urban centers with Flint and Saginaw.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Matthew J Smith

2021-10-06 15:41:13+00

Thank you for keeping the Tri-Cities together in a senate district as we are a clear COI. Please continue to assess partisan fairness and create a map that achieves as close to zero political bias as possible.

10-04-21 v2 SD Like

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-06 15:39:43+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Dislike this map- The Commission appears to be ignoring the constitution which requires that they use "communities of interest" to draw fair maps. Instead it appears that the Commission is trying to dilute the voices of the Midland community by adding them into larger urban centers with Flint and Saginaw.	Michelle Smith	2021-10-06 15:38:42+00
10_3_21 RSzetela CD	Like	While I like that Midland is in with the tri-Cities and Flint for a congressional district, this district needs work. It's too much like the dragon's that Voters Not Politicians campaigned against. Partisan fairness is extremely important, so continue that work, but I think we can do better than this.	Jennifer Austin	2021-10-06 15:36:13+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>The Commission appears to be ignoring the constitution which requires that they use "communities of interest" to draw fair maps. Instead it appears that the Commission is trying to dilute the voices of the Midland community by adding them into larger urban centers with Flint and Saginaw.</p>	Matthew J Smith	2021-10-06 15:35:00+00
10-04-21 CD V3a AE	Like	<p>Wow!!! I like this District 11 a lot! You've put Midland in with District 11, and not just the city. You put Tuscola County with the Thumb district where it belongs. And Saginaw, Bay and Genesee are kept whole. This is a great district. I know Arenac would like to be with Bay for good reason, but we unfortunately can't have every ask from every group. This helps to create closer to zero political bias. I don't know how the rest of this map weighs out, but this district is close to the one I drew in the zero political bias map I created.</p>	Jennifer Austin	2021-10-06 15:28:01+00

I believe the residents of Midland County should be kept together in one, westward facing congressional district. Please so not carve out the City of Midland from the rest of the county. The City of Midland had major flooding along with the flooding in Sanford and in Gladwin County. That is why it is so important that Midland County remain in its entirety and Gladwin County needs to remain in the same district to ensure continuity and a united effort in our recovery. Your proposed map will result in a major setback in our flood recovery and flood management efforts.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Marcia Blackson

2021-10-06 15:26:48+00

Not in favor of this district at all. The City of Midland should be in District 11 with Saginaw, bay City and flint. Tuscola County should be with the Thumb, which would allow for more of Midland County in this district and less taking from Macomb and Oakland in the Thumb district.

10-04-21 CD V3b AE Dislike

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-06 15:23:47+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I believe the residents of Midland County should be kept together in one, westward facing congressional district. Please so not carve out the City of Midland from the rest of the county.	Marcia Blackson	2021-10-06 15:21:40+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Like	Thank you for putting the city of Midland with the tri-Cities and Flint. They should be together in a congressional district. I would suggest putting Tuscola County in with the Thumb area and replacing it with some or all of Midland County. From my experience living in the Thumb for 11 years is that Tuscola is more closely aligned with the Thumb and you wouldn't have to dig into Oakland and Macomb counties as much,	Jennifer Austin	2021-10-06 15:20:12+00

Van Buren and Allegan should be kept whole and together for the Senate as a community of interest. They share many functions of government as well as a large Hispanic population. Both are rural, agriculture and tourism based economies with many similar small towns through the counties. Aside from being a community of interest, together, they fit nicely as about 2/3 of a Senate district and could take in other parts of similar rural or coastal counties. Holland would be a good fit, Barry County would be another. Van Buren and Allegan have much more in common with each other than they do with the "Michiana" border counties.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Joshua P

2021-10-06 15:19:12+00

This map that separates Gladwin county from Midland county make NO SENSE!!!! We have suffered from an catastrophic disaster last year !! Along with Gladwin county the county of Midland is struggling to return to some kind of "normal". The 2 counties have been working together to return our lake back to the way they were before the dam failures. As a councilman of the Village of Sanford I strongly oppose this map.

10-04-21 CD V3a AE Dislike

Carl L Hamann

2021-10-06 15:16:43+00

I find it extremely important that Van Buren and Allegan Counties remain together in the same senatorial district. Our 2 counties are so similar in so many ways-rural, agricultural, small towns and the life styles that go with all of that. I find it very important that we be represented by a person that understands our strengths, weaknesses, needs and desires.

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike

Kathy Weisner

2021-10-06 15:16:23+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	The latest draft for senate redistricting by the MICRC favors Republicans and gives them an unfair advantage. This isn't an acceptable solution in a state that leans Democratic. The analysis by consultant Lisa Handley found a lopsided margin advantage of 6.9%, which is not acceptable. Please find a better way to resolve this disproportionate plan.	Kristine Malone	2021-10-06 15:15:02+00
9-14-21 v14A	Dislike	Again Ravenna township needs to be with Muskegon county Ravenna township needs to be with Muskegon county	John Swanson	2021-10-06 15:14:19+00
9-15-21 v15A SD	Dislike	Also Muskegon county needs to be with Newaygo county.	John Swanson	2021-10-06 15:13:11+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	This removing the city of Midland from Midland county make NO SENSE!!!! We have suffered from an catastrophic disaster last year !! Along with Gladwin county the county of Midland is struggling to re turn to some kind of "normal". As a councilman of the Village of Sanford I strongly oppose this map.	Carl L Hamann	2021-10-06 15:12:06+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Thank you for keeping the tri-cities together with their shared community interests	Melissa Wolf	2021-10-06 15:12:03+00
09-09-21 v10 SD	Dislike	This proposal separates our township of Ravenna from Muskegon county. This is crazy for school system and business infrastructure. We are so small we would feel like no representation.	John Swanson	2021-10-06 15:10:03+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	This removing the city of Midland from Midland county make NO SENSE!!!! We have suffered from an catastrophic disaster last year !! Along with Gladwin county the county of Midland is struggling to re turn to some kind of "normal". As a councilman of the Village of Sanford I strongly oppose this map.	Carl L Hamann	2021-10-06 15:09:23+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Breaking up Farmington Hills into 2 Senate districts is DUMB! Keep Farmington and ALL of Farmington Hills together. That is a community that has a strong history and needs to be kept together.	Dana Lynn Fortier	2021-10-06 15:01:53+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	Thank you MICRC for a Tri-City and Flint congressional district. It makes perfect sense.	Kathleen L Dolan	2021-10-06 14:59:17+00

10-05-21 v1 CD Dislike Keep the residents of Midland County in one district. Do not include Saginaw, Bay City, Pinconning, etc. It seems as though you are trying to keep it the same as before, where the interest and votes in the city of Midland are overshadowed & ignored by the outlying areas. Carol Arnosky 2021-10-06 14:57:19+00

10-04-21 CD V3a AE Dislike This map does not represent the needs of the city of Midland and Midland County. We have nothing in common with Flint and Saginaw. Midland is tightly connected to our rural neighbors through our shared watershed, especially Gladwin County and our agricultural communities. The commission appears to be ignoring the constitution which requires that they use "communities of interest" to draw fair maps. Instead it appears that the Commission is trying to dilute the voices of the Midland Community by adding them into the larger urban centers of Flint and Saginaw. jane scott 2021-10-06 14:54:47+00

Overall, this plan is reasonable but could be improved. I agree with Robert C, that "All efforts should be made to align districts with county lines except for the most populated area of Detroit. Carving out small sections, cities and neighborhoods will breed skepticism and distrust in this process. This map is starting to again carve out small sections and group them in a variety of districts. Please keep lines simpler and clean."

For example, while District 13 is fair overall, it has too many small carve-outs such as the City of Midland and the Holland area. More effort should be made to preserve whole counties with Districts, as counties represent a Community of Interest (all under the same government entity). The same can be said

It's important to keep Livonia together as a single district. It is very clearly a community of interest and should be treated as such. Splitting up the city into multiple districts will only serve to confuse and discourage voters, limiting their participation in our democracy. Keep Livonia together.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Jason Rodriguez

2021-10-06 14:53:39+00

The residents of Midland County want to be kept together in one, westward facing congressional district. Please do not carve out the City of Midland from the rest of the county.

It appears that the Commissioners have a misunderstanding on the 2020 flooding. The City of Midland had major flooding along with the flooding in Sanford and in Gladwin County. That's why it is so important that Midland County remain in its entirety and Gladwin County needs to remain in the same district to ensure continuity and a united effort in our recovery. Your proposed map will result in a major setback in our flood recovery and flood management efforts.

The Commission appears to be ignoring the constitution which requires that they use

10-04-21 CD V3a AE Dislike

communities of

Natalie Gingras Hazen

2021-10-06 14:51:41+00

The residents of Midland County want to be kept together in one, westward facing congressional district. Please do not carve out the City of Midland from the rest of the county.

It appears that the Commissioners have a misunderstanding on the 2020 flooding. The City of Midland had major flooding along with the flooding in Sanford and in Gladwin County. That's why it is so important that Midland County remain in its entirety and Gladwin County needs to remain in the same district to ensure continuity and a united effort in our recovery. Your proposed map will result in a major setback in our flood recovery and flood management efforts.

The Commission appears to be ignoring the constitution which requires that they use

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

communities of

Natalie Gingras Hazen

2021-10-06 14:51:08+00

The residents of Midland County want to be kept together in one, westward facing congressional district. Please do not carve out the City of Midland from the rest of the county.

It appears that the Commissioners have a misunderstanding on the 2020 flooding. The City of Midland had major flooding along with the flooding in Sanford and in Gladwin County. That's why it is so important that Midland County remain in its entirety and Gladwin County needs to remain in the same district to ensure continuity and a united effort in our recovery. Your proposed map will result in a major setback in our flood recovery and flood management efforts.

The Commission appears to be ignoring the constitution which requires that they use

communities of

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Natalie Gingras Hazen

2021-10-06 14:50:28+00

You've drawn Grand Rapids and East Grand Rapids together, Portage and Kalamazoo, St. Joe and Benton Harbor, Battle Creek and Springfield, etc.

Throughout the state you've drawn municipalities with shared needs and interest together. Lansing and East Lansing should be kept the same.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Ron K

2021-10-06 14:48:04+00

This map is terrible for  
Midland. I am a 30 year  
resident of city of Midland  
and want to be kept with my  
county and watershed  
counties. WE ARE PHYSICALLY  
CONNECTED BY OUR  
WATERSHED. I have lived  
through many floods and the  
multi million dollar project to  
restore our watershed in  
concert with out county and  
Gladwin only can be  
successful by having focused  
representation. Flint and  
Saginaw will not represent  
our needs and is a purely  
artificial grouping of  
communities. We are a small  
community with completely  
different needs compared to  
Saginaw and Flint and  
pretending otherwise is  
disingenuous. Saginaw and  
Flint have many important  
issues distinct from ours and  
we should not dilute their  
efforts. Please do NOT carve  
out the city of Midland.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

jane scott

2021-10-06 14:47:28+00

This statewide map is overall better than most of the other draft maps, but what it's doing to north and west Oakland County is still a major issue.

Northern and western Oakland County do not have any affiliation with the counties of the thumb. We are affiliated with other Detroit exurbs like Novi, Pontiac, West Bloomfield, and Farmington & Farmington Hills. Creating a single, unified exurban district like that would allow you to keep the Oakland portions of District 6 as-is while moving Southfield into a Detroit-based VRA district and splitting Oakland County only three times as opposed to five. Tuscola County and Shelby Township could offset the population loss in 10. I've attached what such an exurban district could look like here.

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

Northern Oakland County is a Alexander Gavulic

2021-10-06 14:44:48+00

Separating Lansing and East Lansing is ridiculous. They share public transit, public health departments, economic development/footprints, they are one community. If you traveled from West Lansing through Lansing and into East Lansing on M-43 (Grand River Ave) you wouldn't be able to tell which municipality you are in. The school districts overlap. If you included just the zip codes for Lansing and East Lansing it makes almost exactly one Senate district. We don't travel to Charlotte, St. Johns or Owosso unless we want to pretend to be rural and wear flannel for a day.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Ron K.

2021-10-06 14:44:15+00

This map is terrible for Midland. I am a 30 year resident of city of Midland and want to be kept with my county and watershed counties. WE ARE PHYSICALLY CONNECTED BY OUR WATERSHED. I have lived through many floods and the multi million dollar project to restore our watershed in concert with out county and Gladwin only can be successful by having focused representation. Flint and Saginaw will not represent our needs and is a purely artificial grouping of communities. We are a small community with completely different needs compared to Saginaw and Flint and pretending otherwise is disingenuous. Saginaw and Flint have many important issues distinct from ours and we should not dilute their efforts. Please do NOT carve out the city of Midland.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

jane scott

2021-10-06 14:38:52+00

All efforts should be made to align districts with county lines except for the most populated area of Detroit. Carving out small sections, cities and neighborhoods will breed skepticism and distrust in this process. This map is starting to again carve out small sections and group them in a variety of districts. Please keep lines simpler and clean.

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC Dislike

Robert C

2021-10-06 14:36:35+00

One more partisan fairness improvement: Pontiac area. Rochester and Rochester Hills together are only slightly under the population threshold, and that area is growing. I'd recommend simply making that the district rather than cutting up another community (in this map, Oakland Township). Then have the Pontiac district go south to Bloomfield Hills instead of west (this is better for COIs, as well). Then do an Auburn Hills/Lake Angelus/Waterford district, which I know is a funny shape, but then again so is District 29 on the current draft.

10-05-21 v1 HD

Opinion

Christopher Khorey

2021-10-06 14:35:47+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Opinion	<p>While this map looks good for the Senate district that includes Grosse Ile (by insuring that local downriver communities are included which is vital) the Commission desperately needs to consider the map as a WHOLE. The maps still allow the GOP to receive far fewer votes and hold the majority of seats. That is gerrymandering.</p>	Judith Maiga	2021-10-06 14:33:36+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>This makes very little sense and seems to bypass many of the criteria established by the constitution. It seems that the very reason to redistribute is the base reason for this map - to make one political party more competitive over another</p> <p>Another partisan fairness improvement: re-align the boundaries of 51 and 52 to give 51 Genesee Township, Thetford Township and the Vienna Township/Clio area and move Davison and Goodrich to 52.</p>	Scott Noesen	2021-10-06 14:32:50+00
10-05-21 v1 HD	Opinion		Christopher Khorey	2021-10-06 14:29:11+00

Another partisan fairness option is here in the Lansing area. I'd suggest creating a "Western Suburbs" district with Delta Twp, Grand Ledge, Watertown, etc, then linking DeWitt and maybe Bath with the northern half of Lansing. Then re-align districts 93 and 97 to take in the left over rural areas.

10-05-21 v1 HD

Opinion

Christopher Khorey

2021-10-06 14:24:43+00

The second partisan fairness improvement would be to review Commissioner Eid's proposed lakefront district in the Benton Harbor/St. Joseph area.

10-05-21 v1 HD

Opinion

Christopher Khorey

2021-10-06 14:20:37+00

Given the partisan fairness metrics reviewed at the end of the last meeting, the Commission needs to create 4-6 more districts that lean Democratic (the metrics showed 60 R-leaning districts and 50 D-leaning districts on this map despite a 52-48 D statewide vote). I am going to place several comments to suggest places where the commission could revise the map to meet the partisan fairness criteria.

The first is to adopt Commissioner Rothhorn's proposed District 85 that is on Map 206.

10-05-21 v1 HD

Opinion

Christopher Khorey

2021-10-06 14:19:45+00

Please reference the AFL-CIO Fair Maps Project maps & data. Ann Arbor & Ypsilanti should be separate districts.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Ingrid Peterson

2021-10-06 14:18:25+00

Having Midland be included with the rest of the Mid-Michigan is a welcome change. Splitting up the Tri-Cities didn't make a whole lot of sense to me economically, socially, geographically, and now congressionally.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Jane Youn

2021-10-06 14:17:57+00

38.4 Coalition Minori	Like	<p>I like this change proposed by Commissioner Rothhorn. It splits up the City of Wyoming, but it unites the Kelloggsville and Kentwood School Districts. It's not a true "opportunity to elect" district, but it also ensures that the minority populations in Wyoming and Kentwood are in a district where they have a good chance of electing their candidate of choice. This alignment should also help with the partisan fairness metric.</p>	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-06 14:15:54+00
9-29-21 RAS v2 HD	Dislike	<p>I'm confused. Are you trying to split up Dickinson County? This makes no sense whatsoever. Leave us Tiny Counties alone! This map favors Republicans, by as much as 6.5% in some measures. 6.5% is absolutely a disproportionate advantage to Republicans and must be fixed.</p>	CAROL BRONZYK	2021-10-06 14:14:23+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Opinion		Jill A Warren	2021-10-06 14:12:55+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>This map is not worthy of the effort put forward by the legion of volunteers who petitioned to ensure fairly drawn electoral maps. The partisan advantage is obvious, especially near Ann Arbor. These partisan slights, which we citizens worked hard to have a chance to overcome, must not be permitted in the final boundaries.</p>	James Grant	2021-10-06 14:09:08+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>I do not feel the Sanford Lake Sanford area should be in district 13, but rather District 11. We work, shop, worship, in the Midland area and are more in tune with this area.</p>	Mary C Moe	2021-10-06 13:51:55+00

Thank you for drawing District 7 with an intact Lake St. Clair community of interest. This is critical in getting a representative in Lansing who can champion this issue. Over 8 Billion gallons of Sewage was dumped in the lake in September alone from CSOs. There is no action on this critical issue for our state because there is no representation of the people most effected. 40% of the State's drink water comes from this lake. This map gives me hope!

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

Daniel Grano

2021-10-06 13:43:07+00

This is an ideal map for the possibility of excellent senate representation for Midland in district #1 as it includes the downstream watershed as well as holding together our economic, medical, cultural, historic and educational interests with our sister cities. This map is fair as it will allow more voices to be heard and represented.

Thank you for your hard work to try to listen to all of us and hold to the criteria of the constitution. And, thank you for working together in such a respectful and congenial manner.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman

2021-10-06 13:42:01+00

I find that the through Auburn Hills (cutting it in half) to link Bloomfield Hills and Lake Orion/Oakland Township is grossly and obviously gerrymandered. Auburn Hills is a very different demographic than Lake Orion/Oakland Township and the needs of the community are different. It is very clear this is intentional to link more "red" areas. All of Auburn Hills should be together with more similar communities in Rochester Hills, Bloomfield Hills and Pontiac. This is a disgrace that this was drawn this way and textbook gerrymandering. As a citizen of Auburn Hills and a proud supporter of my community it is shameful the community drew it this way.

9-29-21 RAS v2 HD

Dislike

Vanessa Wentzloff

2021-10-06 13:41:00+00

10-04-21 v2 SD

Opinion

My previous comment relates to the State House Map

Vanessa Wentzloff

2021-10-06 13:39:45+00

9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	I am confused as a citizen of Auburn Hills why we are linked with communities so far away that are different in demographics and needs. The needs of our community better match those south and east of us such as Rochester Hills. This is clearly gerrymandered!	Vanessa Wentzloff	2021-10-06 13:39:14+00
10-04-21 CD V3a AE	Dislike	Please do not place Midland with Saginaw and Flint.	RODNEY KLOHA	2021-10-06 13:37:29+00

I am one of many people who went out collecting signatures all day, every day, to make sure you got the right to do what you're doing now. It was arduous. Worth it, though, if the new maps are exemplary.

Oakland county isn't quite right, having only one fully-contiguous state senate seat, and not even even one fully-contiguous congressional seat. Certainly that must be fixed.

You've undoubtedly heard that "close" is only good in horseshoes, hand grenades, and shaves. Getting these maps this far is a good thing. But you must eliminate the current state of minority rule. 6% would be an early Christmas present. If the maps are representative of the will of the people, not politicians, you will be lauded as the best at getting this

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Pauline Smith

2021-10-06 13:36:13+00

I find that the through Auburn Hills (cutting it in half) to link Bloomfield Hills and Lake Orion/Oakland Township is very gerrymandered. Auburn Hills is a very different demographic than Lake Orion/Oakland Township and the needs of the community are different. It is very clear this is intentional to link more "red" areas. All of Auburn Hills should be together with more similar communities in Rochester Hills, Bloomfield Hills and Pontiac.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Vanessa Wentzloff

2021-10-06 13:34:49+00

I totally agree with Danial Grams regarding keeping Troy with District 6! Please keep this version of the map.

I would still prefer to see Macomb with District 6 and Eastpoint with District 1. But, I understand you can never everyone happy!

Thank you commissioners!  
Please ignore AE maps. With all due respect Anthony! :)  
Troy, Rochester Hills and Sterling Heights are COI. No reason to split them and dilute our community voices!  
It makes no sense to separate Mildland County and the City of Mildand. Please do not gerrymander the City of Midland into an unrelated district.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Yousif

2021-10-06 13:33:18+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW

Dislike

Rodney Kloha

2021-10-06 13:28:34+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>This is the worst form of gerrymandering for political reasons.</p> <p>I grew up in Bay City and now live in Midland. There is very little that these communities share. The "tri cities" moniker is a marketing myth. Please do not put midland in with Saginaw and Filint.</p>	Rodney Kloha	2021-10-06 13:21:19+00
38.4 Coalition Minori	Dislike	<p>This version splits Grand traverse County into two legislative house districts. Suggest that Leelanau county be included with the 101st and Kalkaska County be in the 102nd.</p>	Jack L FERRIS	2021-10-06 13:08:25+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Like	<p>this map is such a vast improvement over the previous district which was an obvious gerrymander. Thank you for combining the city of Midland with the Tri cities and Flint. The Great Lakes Region is bound together in shared interests and i look forward to fair representation.</p>	kathleen curell	2021-10-06 13:07:58+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Thank you commission for adding the city of Midland to the Bay City district. Many residents of Bay City work in the city of Midland and travel almost every day of their lives. The interests are mutually beneficial between the cities. Thank you!	Mike Laczko	2021-10-06 12:38:40+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	Redistricting is a huge undertaking and many hours of discussion and give and take go into finding an equitable result. It is important to keep Midland whole and not split the rural from the city.	Darby Gwisdala	2021-10-06 12:34:34+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Opinion	I spent hundreds of hours volunteering to collect signatures on the Voters Not Politicians petition and getting it passed into law. The large disparity in the representation of political parties is not the letter or intent of the law. I like to see that the districts are more compact and community oriented, but perhaps slight adjustments could help with the over-representation of Republicans.	Harper West	2021-10-06 12:25:23+00

Thank you to the Commission for your hard work on this massive undertaking. This map, however, is not representative of what the County of Midland is about. This map removes the county seat for the County of Midland and puts it in a separate district from the entire remainder of Midland County, which is definitely not in the best interests of this area. The extremely well attended annual Midland County Fair, held in the City of Midland, is a great example of how important agriculture and rural life are for this district. Additionally, there are many years of work ahead in this watershed district to make whole the dams and lake systems after the major flooding event in 2020. These are very important communities of interest within the counties of Midland and Gladwin, as well

10-04-21 CD V3a AE Dislike

KIM HOLZHAUER

2021-10-06 12:20:57+00

Thank you to the Commission for your hard work on this massive undertaking. This map, however, is not representative of what the County of Midland is about. This map removes the county seat for the County of Midland and puts it in a separate district from the entire remainder of Midland County, which is definitely not in the best interests of this area. The extremely well attended annual Midland County Fair, held in the City of Midland, is a great example of how important agriculture and rural life are for this district. Additionally, there are many years of work ahead in this watershed district to make whole the dams and lake systems after the major flooding event in 2020. These are very important communities of interest within the counties of Midland and Gladwin, as well Kim Holzhauser

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

2021-10-06 12:18:23+00

10-04-21 CD V3a AE Dislike	<p>At no point should Midland ever be included with Flint or Saginaw, and Midland county should never be split apart. Anyone who's ever visited midland knows that there is no singly part anywhere in the county or city that resembles any of the district it was drawn into. Listen to residents. It's your job.</p>	Carter Houtman	2021-10-06 12:14:22+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike	<p>At no point should Midland ever be included with Flint or Saginaw, and Midland county should never be split apart. Anyone who's ever visited midland knows that there is no singly part anywhere in the county or city that resembles any of the district it was drawn into. Listen to residents. It's your job. Splitting midland county in two and sperating it from its western half and disconnecting it from gladwin, is agianst the natural floor of these communities work together</p>	Carter Houtman	2021-10-06 12:12:46+00
10-04-21 CD V3a AE Dislike		David E KeplerII	2021-10-06 12:10:17+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	At no point should Midland ever be included with Flint or Saginaw, and Midland county should never be split apart. Anyone who's ever visited midland knows that there is no singly part anywhere in the county or city that resembles any of the district it was drawn into. Listen to residents. It's your job.	Carter Houtman	2021-10-06 12:09:08+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	This Map misses the point that in small city like Midland, the rural areas townships and villiages around it especially those to the north want west, are aligned significantly in their interests.	David E Kepler	2021-10-06 12:02:54+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	This looks pretty strange. you take one city and pull it out of district 11 and put it in 13. The townships and villiages that surround Midland and the rural areas in Midland county and the surrounding counties like Gladwin deserve more than this	David Kepler	2021-10-06 11:57:35+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Like Thank you for drawing this district 7 to reflect the Lake St. Clair Community of Interest. We will finally have a voice in Lansing to speak-up for stewardship of our lake and our lakefront infrastructure issues. Thank you! Robert Dindfofer 2021-10-06 11:54:32+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike I ask you to draw fair districts. This map clearly favors Republicans. Fair districts help both parties by eliminating the election of extremists in "safe" districts. Michigan has seen extremists after 10 years of gerrymandering. Stop they cycle now, draw fair districts. Lisa DiRado 2021-10-06 10:42:56+00

Thank you for creating a map that keeps Midland with other areas of shared interest such as Bay City, Saginaw and Flint. We are tied to these communities with cultural activities, shopping, transportation, colleges, and community resources. Midland is a populated area with strong business ties to the other Tri-Cities. It needs to stay with the other Tri-cities to maintain the ability to vote with communities of like interest.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Danielle

2021-10-06 10:23:18+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>I love seeing Midland kept part of the Tri-Cities. This much more accurately reflects the community bonds and relationships than other maps I have seen which separate it from Bay City and Saginaw. A concern I have seen is that Midland needs to be kept with some of the rural areas around it to address flooding issues. This makes no sense as Bay City, Saginaw, Flint, Detroit and many other areas of the state are also dealing with flooding issues. This is a larger issue and Midland does not need to be disconnected from the Tri-cities to address this concern.</p>	Danielle Fergin	2021-10-06 10:15:30+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Like	<p>I like this map. District 8 has counties that are very similar to each other. Plus the counties are mainly whole, compact, clean looking map.</p>	Sonja Marie Patrick	2021-10-06 06:02:55+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	The Commission appears to be ignoring the constitution which requires that they use "communities of interest" to draw fair maps. Instead it appears that the Commission is trying to dilute the voices of the Midland community by adding them into larger urban centers with Flint and Saginaw.	Amanda Oster	2021-10-06 05:50:41+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	The Commission appears to be ignoring the constitution which requires that they use "communities of interest" to draw fair maps. Instead it appears that the Commission is trying to dilute the voices of the Midland community by adding them into larger urban centers with Flint and Saginaw.	Amanda Oster	2021-10-06 05:49:43+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	This map makes absolutely zero sense.	Amanda Oster	2021-10-06 05:45:11+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	This map makes zero sense! this map carves out the City of Midland and adds it to Flint/Saginaw. This is a HARD	Amanda Oster	2021-10-06 05:44:18+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	NO!	Amanda Oster	2021-10-06 05:40:36+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	this map carves out the City of Midland and adds it to Flint/Saginaw. This is a HARD NO!	Amanda Oster	2021-10-06 05:39:28+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	Splitting Ann Arbor and Ypsi would be done for one reason only - to provide a partisan advantage to the Democratic party. The whole state know Ann Arbor and Ypsi are very much a like Community of Interest. And constitutionally, communities of interest rank HIGHER than the partisan balance criterion. The commission is doing the right thing by keeping AA and Ypsi together!!	Daniel G.	2021-10-06 05:37:57+00

I think the commission is doing an admirable job of following the constitutional criteria which often are in conflict with one another. You have drawn a 50/50 State Senate map which means it does not provide a disproportionate partisan advantage. I think there are many people who believe the only fair map is one that gives the Democrats an advantage. Our political geography is what it is...with more heavily populated regions having higher amount of Democratic voters. The commission cannot undo that nor can they change it.

Once again, I want to say I like this State Senate District. Troy fits with Sterling Heights and the Rochesters, in part because of the Asian community. We have more in common with these communities than Royal Oak. Daniel G.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

2021-10-06 05:34:03+00

I like this version of the congressional map. PLEASE KEEP TROY WITH 6. We are not Royal Oak or Ferndale. We have more in common with the Rochesters and Sterling Heights (as the commission has noted in particular with the Asian communities.)

For what its worth, I also think the map looks much better w/o drawing Grand Rapids into Muskegon or Kalamazoo. Making Kent County whole was something I heard alot about prior to the map drawing.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Daniel Grams

2021-10-06 05:21:11+00

Thank you for addressing the concerns in Midland County.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Connie Anders

2021-10-06 04:48:19+00

It was definitely needed.

Thank you for your hard work on redistricting the Midland area. It needed to be done!!

10-05-21 v1 CD DW

Like

Connie Anders

2021-10-06 04:46:06+00

The results of your efforts so far are a huge disappointment to the people of this state who have been disenfranchised through unfair representation for years and hoped this commission would fix it. These maps favor Republicans by 6-6.5% and that is 106-106.5% unacceptable. They must be drawn without bias to prevent political minority rule from continuing to shackle this state to the dark ages. In District 28, the Washtenaw section of the City of Milan is going actually backward. This section has more in common with Ann Arbor than the Lenawee/Monroe County areas it would be lumped in with on this map. This needs to be addressed. We have to live with this for ten years and if the maps aren't fair, we may not even have a democracy to vote in by 2031.

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Jillyn Schultz	2021-10-06 04:37:14+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	Sue Prager	2021-10-06 04:31:47+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike	John	2021-10-06 04:28:44+00

This doesnt represent Troy,  
Rochester Hills and Sterling  
Heights.

These AE maps really dilutes  
those communities.

10-04-21 CD V3a AE	Dislike	Please use This version: 10-05- 21 v1 CD	Yousif	2021-10-06 04:24:47+00
10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Dislike	This doesnt represent Troy, Rochester Hills and Sterling Heights. Again, this map is far better for Michigan than our current districts. I support grouping the Tri-cities like this as they share many common needs and interests. Thank you for the efforts to make this happen!	Yousif	2021-10-06 04:23:30+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	This is a much better representation overall for Michigan, but especially the Tri-cities area is better represented together than being split to dilute their votes. These cities have more similar needs than the surrounding rural communities so I think all are better off with this map.	Robert Moglia	2021-10-06 04:22:22+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like		Robert Moglia	2021-10-06 04:19:04+00

This is a much better representation of Districts 1, 3 and 6.

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	Please vote YES on this version! Birmingham and Clawson should be with district 3. Romeo and Macomb with District 6. Eastpoint with District 1.	Yousif	2021-10-06 04:15:42+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DJC	Dislike		Yousif	2021-10-06 04:13:19+00

I live in District 13. I'm not understanding how this district has been drawn. Drawing the inner ring suburbs of Oak Park, Royal Oak Township, Ferndale, Huntington Woods, Royal Oak, Berkley, even Birmingham makes sense and could be described as a community of interest. Instead of drawing it down into Detroit which is a different county and different interests, it should include all of Hazel Park, Madison Heights, and Clawson, instead of splitting those cities. These combined cities that I'm suggesting would also be more partisan balanced than drawing part of Detroit into the district which would be packing more Dems into just one Senate district.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Mary Ann Fontana

2021-10-06 04:02:29+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	I want to thank you for District #1 on map #199. This district appears considerably more efficient and has a better chance of reflecting common experiences in the Tri-City electorate, than our preexisting district. Midland, Bay and Saginaw share the Saginaw Valley Watershed, as well as many common business interests. As to the equitable distribution of voters within the new district, please reference Fair Maps -- AFL-CIO maps with 0% Efficiency Gap. We deeply appreciate your concerted efforts to produce competitive districts.	catherine L mitzel	2021-10-06 03:59:48+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Opinion	Midland, Saginaw, Bay City and Flint belong in the same congressional district. Thank you for including Midland with communities that share the same size and economic interests. This is a much more fair representation of our concerns as a community. I fully support this iteration of the district maps.	Carolyn Mahaffey	2021-10-06 03:56:45+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like		Katrina Bucknell	2021-10-06 03:54:29+00

Thank you for including  
Midland with communities  
that share the same size and  
economic interests. This is a  
much more fair  
representation of our  
concerns as a community. I  
fully support this iteration of  
the district maps.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Katrina Bucknell

2021-10-06 03:50:07+00

Thank you commissioners for your service.

I am looking at District 36 on your redistricting map. Having lived on the shores of Lake Huron in Thunderbay for 50 years, and managed a farm for over 20 years I can attest that the concerns of these two are worlds apart. I would suggest that the concerns of the Lake Michigan and Lake Huron shore dwellers are more similiar than those of land bound Agricultural communities and the Forestry and inland lakes communities. Please adjust your map disection accordingly. Whether you go up down or sideways to me, would not seem to matter. When representatives are voted into office, their ability to focus on their constituents issues and be physically in proximity to these issues to me would seem best. Good luck. Thanks for listening. God bless.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Like

Mary Alice Comar

2021-10-06 03:42:03+00

I still like district 6. But other versions show different cities included and rearranged. Are those versions SW generated? Yousif

10-05-21 v1 CD DJC

Like

2021-10-06 03:32:05+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>This proposed "District" packs Democratic voters and clearly violates the constitutional criteria surrounding partisan fairness. Having an approximately 80% Democratic non-VRA district is indefensible. Unpack this district and create a fair map.</p>	Robert Joerg	2021-10-06 03:23:39+00
10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Dislike	<p>Kent, Ottawa, and Muskegon counties are being split so as to create a district favorable for a democrat. Folks, this is called gerrymandering. Check out the 3rd. "Independent Commission" since you are so determined towards splitting the City Of Grand Rapids up for state senate and rep. districts, advantage democrats, then surely you don't mind splitting the City Of Grand Rapids, democrat vote, into two different congressional seats</p>	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-06 03:18:50+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint are part of a geographic, economic, and cultural region. They belong in the same congressional district.</p>	William Leahy	2021-10-06 03:07:21+00

I am a resident of Tuscola County and this proposed plan is excellent for the future of Tuscola County and our neighboring counties that have rivers that flow finally into the Saginaw Bay.

On this Congressional Plan, proposed District 11, contains rivers that lead to the Saginaw River and that contain a heritage that we all have in common.

Our "communities of interest," were built with the City of Midland on the Tittabawsee River, with Bay and Saginaw Counties on the Saginaw River, with Flint County on the Flint River, and with Tuscola County and the Cass River.

The Tittabawsee, Flint, and Cass Rivers flow into the Saginaw River and then on to Saginaw Bay.

Tuscola is proud to be a part of this demography and geography that will be

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Mark Roger Putnam

2021-10-06 03:04:21+00

10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Like	Thank you. This seems excellent for Western Metro Detroit - more compact and regional. Jackson Cnty is kept whole too. Very much like how Novi/Northville ended up here. The current MI11 is the definition of gerrymandering by putting Western Wayne with Auburn Hills and this is a nice reset to regional representation. Please keep. Splitting Farmington Hills in Oakland County, and placing the northern section in the 11th District, combined with towns in Wayne and Washtenaw countys that share little in relationship with Farmington and Farmington Hills is unfair and yields a bias towards the GOP. I expected fair maps from this commission. I hope you revise and improve this and other districts to create one, because this one missed the	Diana Chirillo	2021-10-06 02:37:52+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	mark.	Darryl Conliffe	2021-10-06 02:37:36+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>This map of Tri Cities and Flint makes good sense for the metro areas on the I-75 corridor; it aligns the cities in mid-Mich with fair representation for state senate as well as congress; plus keeping Saginaw and Flint together acknowledges the minority polulations of these communitites and gives a good balance as far as partisan fairness.</p>	Thomas E Bock	2021-10-06 02:21:46+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>I wish to thank the Commission for keeping the Tri Cities of Bay, Saginaw and Midland together in a state senate district. These cities grew up together with a shared history along the Sag River; and are the northern edge of the Industrial I-75 corridor. While they are surrounded by more rural areas, these 3 cities combine to make a well recognized metropolitan community that share common interests that require a cohesive voice in Lansing.</p>	Thomas E Bock	2021-10-06 02:12:51+00

I am a retired U.S. Attorney.

First of all, thanks to all on the Commission for serving and for all the hard work you have done.

The map for Senate District 3 does not even follow your stated guidelines for commonality of interests. The northern section is comprised of eastern suburbs of Grand Rapids (where I reside) and is strongly attached to the greater Grand Rapids/Kent county area. However, then the district extends south through Barry County, which has totally different interests. Grand Rapids/Kent County is very industrial while Barry County is very agricultural. The two areas share very little commonality of interest. Further, the population of this area is overwhelmingly caucasian. There is little diversity. Apparently, you

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Roger Rittenhouse

2021-10-06 02:00:49+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	Thank you for this congressional map and recognizing that Midland is a vital part of the MidMichigan region. The basic foundation of our city is our chemical manufacturing companies so we are an industrial urban city. It makes sense for us to be in with other urban cities. I like this district 5 for several reasons. Thank you for keeping the Tri-Cities united and in the same district as Flint. This makes sense because of so many shared interests among these counties. I also like that the boundaries align with the county lines. This would be a strong district for my area. Thank you for your hard work!	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-06 02:00:46+00
10-04-21 CD V3a AE	Like		Cassandra M Foley	2021-10-06 01:58:02+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like Thank you for this congressional map and recognizing that Midland is a vital part of the MidMichigan region. The basic foundation of our city is our chemical manufacturing companies so we are an industrial urban city. It makes sense for us to be in with other urban cities. Jim Morrissey 2021-10-06 01:57:50+00

9-29-21 v1 CD Like I much prefer this map in that it keeps Niles with the rest of Berrien County and within a western Michigan - lake shore community of interest. I am adamantly against carving out Niles and putting it with a southern Michigan tier of counties, most of which have been allowed to remain whole and with which I feel Niles has little in common. Laurence Funk 2021-10-06 01:56:48+00

10-04-21 CD V3b AE Dislike This map doesn't keep the Tri-Cities together in the same district. It ignores the strong ties that Bay City, Midland, Saginaw, and Flint have with regards to industry, medical systems, culture, and educational systems. Other maps are much better in supporting these communities.

Cassandra M Foley 2021-10-06 01:51:36+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like Thank you so much for putting the city of Midland in with our other communities of interest; Bay City, Saginaw and Flint. We have so much in common with these other cities especially our economic and manufacturing interests. Midland is home to 3 Fortune 500 companies which makes us more urban like the other cities in this congressional district. Thank you!

Molly Morrissey 2021-10-06 01:47:00+00

Thank you so much for putting the city of Midland in with our other communities of interest; Bay City, Saginaw and Flint. We have so much in common with these other cities especially our economic and manufacturing interests. Midland is home to 3 Fortune 500 companies which makes us more urban like the other cities in this congressional district. Thank you!

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Molly Morrissey

2021-10-06 01:44:41+00

Overall changes to Oakland County are a step in the right direction, but in my opinion this configuration is still somewhat flawed.

Southfield Twp (not the city to its south) is heavily white and makes no sense as part of a VRA district. Simple fix would be to exchange it for Oak Park and Royal Oak Twp (not the city to its north), which are majority Black, though this would leave #12 ~15k over and #9 ~15k under, but this could be solved by shuffling population through Novi and parts of Wayne county using #8 as an intermediary.

On a similar note, either Novi or Rochester/Rochester Hills would be a better fit for #9 than White Lake. I see two potential solutions. Solution one would be to add

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike I commend the commission for drawing districts that look very different from the districts of the past, and look nothing like what our elected officials would have drawn for themselves. However, this map, like the maps of the past, retains a strong and real Republican lean, and I hope the commission will find a fairer version. Max Milstein 2021-10-06 01:30:50+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like Thank you, members of the Commission, for combining the economic, educational and cultural areas of Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint. We truly consider ourselves Mid Michigan and I am thrilled to see a map that reflects that. Adding Midland to the northern areas of the state like we've seen in other maps would be a mistake. Midland is an urban city with a population of over 40,000. The next largest city northern lower peninsula is less than half the size. Truly, this is the map that represents the far more accurate community of interest. THANK YOU! Sarah Schulz 2021-10-06 01:26:06+00

10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Opinion	I can't tell if I like this map or not because I HAVE NO IDEA IF IT IS FAIR.	Lauren Lisi	2021-10-06 01:08:00+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Several years at this, and all you can do is maintain status quo? With a governor and both senators D and have been for years it's clear the D's are underrepresented. You conflate and pack communities of color as much as possible. You really haven't addressed fairness at all, and when we have another statewide body elected to redistrict it's clear the existing biased panelk will have to go.	Samuel Lindhorst	2021-10-06 01:03:49+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Verticle map drawing is crazy. Its mixing very diverse community of interests: inner suburbs with wealthy Birmingham and poorer Detroit. It is packing Democrats and not achieving a balance. The area should be horozontal OP/Royal Oak Township east to St. Clair Shores and Warren. The area would be inner suburbs with similer problems of aging, schools, and sewer systems.	Nina Dodge Abrams	2021-10-06 00:54:46+00

As someone who grew up in Ann Arbor, I know that city is in many ways worlds apart from Ypsi. Both are great places to live, but their identities are entirely different, as anyone living you there would point out. I would urge you not to draw a district that includes both Ann Arbor and Ypsi; this lumps together two distinct cities in SE Michigan and packs together communities of color.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Benjamin Ratner

2021-10-06 00:47:30+00

Ann Arbor needs to be unpacked. It's size alone warrants it but it has more than one type of community to consider. Ypsilanti should be it's own district or at least the bulk of a district. Ypsilanti's communities are different from the communities of the city Ann Arbor. The Universities' student communities are even different. This would be more representative of each area and be a step toward correcting the partisan bias you have created in your maps. You should use the Fair Maps Project maps as your guide.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Jennifer Jones Barnes

2021-10-06 00:40:28+00

These districts make way more sense than previous versions. A relatively compact Macomb, Oakland, and two compact Wayne districts with adjustments made to satisfy other criteria  
I meant to write I do envy your your job. All this people who keep blowing the sound bite " I don't envy your job" is stuck on my mind.

10-04-21 CD V3a AE Like

Jeff Ridsdale

2021-10-06 00:21:43+00

10-05-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Merlin Steffes

2021-10-06 00:16:24+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>These maps are unconstitutional. To allow a 6% bias towards one party is unacceptable and the commission must work towards zero partisan bias. You can do this by unpacking Ann Arbor and giving its western neighborhoods and Scio Township to the Jackson Senate district.</p> <p>The City of Grand Rapids is 200,000. It should be split to create roughly two rep. seats. You are using parts of Grand Rapids to create three districts. You democrats on the "Independent Commission" are trying hard to create democrat leaning districts in the Kent/Muskegon area for the congressional, state senate and state rep. I don't envy your job, I could do this redistricting fairly and on time easily!</p>	Alysia Trevino	2021-10-06 00:14:20+00
10-05-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Scio Twp and Western Ann Arbor needs to be unpacked into western Washtenaw.</p>	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-06 00:13:38+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		Pat Ulanowicz	2021-10-06 00:11:18+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>These maps have a 6% bias towards one political party. This goes against the mandate you have to conform to a partisan fairness standard. You can do this in part by unpacking Ann Arbor and allocating some of its neighborhoods to a separate district. The maps developed by the Michigan AFL-CIO is a great set of examples of fair maps that you can look to as an example. Thank you.</p>	Dani Hoover	2021-10-06 00:10:47+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>A 6% bias towards one party is unacceptable. The Constitution requires you to draw fair maps! You can so this by unpacking Ann Arbor, gives its western neighborhoods and Scio Township to the Jackson Senate district. That will go a LONG way toward helping partisan fairness! The Michigan AFL-CIO Fair Maps Project has a good example of how that might look!</p>	Aaron Pistor	2021-10-06 00:09:51+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike These maps are unacceptable. We need nonpartisan maps. Ann Arbor needs to be unpacked into different maps. Ypsilanti should be separate from Ann Arbor. This will bring the area near as possible to zero partisan. Michael Cox 2021-10-06 00:09:13+00

10-04-21 v2 SD Dislike These maps are not fair. It has a 6% bias towards one political party, which defies the mandate you have to conform to a partisan fairness standard. You can do this in part by unpacking Ann Arbor and allocating some of its neighborhoods to a separate district. The maps developed by the Michigan AFL-CIO is a great set of examples of fair maps that you can look to as an example. Thank you. Larry Barton 2021-10-06 00:07:08+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	I work in Ann Arbor and Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti should be split apart. They do not share a community of interest and are nothing alike. Ann Arbor has more in common with Jackson and putting them together would be a more fair. It looks like your lumping all the Democratic districts together which isn't fair. Ann Arbor needs to be split up and remove Ypsilanti out from Ann Arbor. Ann Arbor needs to be unpacked into multiple districts.	Robin Tarter	2021-10-06 00:05:09+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This district must be redrawn and it is unacceptable as drawn. Michigan's districting map must be drawn to have a partisan bias of zero. Ann Arbor must be unpacked into multiple districts in order to adequately represent the voters of my city. Ypsilanti should also be included in a different district than Ann Arbor. These changes are paramount so that voters of Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti can be represented fairly.	Nancy Heine	2021-10-06 00:04:17+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		Nora Krinitsky	2021-10-06 00:02:45+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	These maps are unacceptable and need to work towards zero partisan bias. Please do not pack Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti together, the way this map is drawn is unacceptable you need to	Grace Trudell	2021-10-06 00:01:57+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	work on non partisan bias. UNFAIR PLEASE REDRAW	Tim Ganton	2021-10-06 00:01:45+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	MAP 0 PARTIAN	DESHON LEEK	2021-10-06 00:00:57+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Opinion	The maps as drawn have a 6% bias toward one political party -- while I am opposed to both of the major political parties I think this is fundamentally unfair. My understanding is that a couple measures to remedy this would be to separate Ypsilanti from Ann Arbor and to split Ann Arbor into more than one district. Zero partisan bias should be the goal!	Edward McTaggart	2021-10-06 00:00:56+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	<p>This map is unacceptable as it favors one party over the other, which was not the intent of the redistricting rules. YOU need to reach as close to zero non bias as possible. Ann Arbor should be divided into two more competitive district, and Ypsilanti should have its own district.</p>	Kirsten Herold	2021-10-06 00:00:47+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>This current map is unacceptable - not partisanly balanced. Please unpack Ann Arbor - we need more representation in Lansing and separate Ann Arbor from Ypsilanti. Please go to the AFL-CIO Fair Maps Project to find fair solutions to this biased map.</p>	Frederick Klein	2021-10-06 00:00:11+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>These maps are unacceptable. It is absurd that this map still favors Republicans. The point of fair redistricting is to have zero partisan favorability. In order to achieve that, Ypsilanti should be split apart from Ann Arbor, and Ann Arbor should be unpacked into different districts as well.</p>	Kat Brausch	2021-10-05 23:58:02+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>These maps are not fair. It has a 6% bias towards one political party, which defies the mandate you have to conform to a partisan fairness standard. You can do this in part by unpacking Ann Arbor and allocating some of its neighborhoods to a separate district. The maps developed by the Michigan AFL-CIO is a great set of examples of fair maps that you can look to as an example. Thank you.</p> <p>It is unfair that the overall map should give a 6 percent advantage to Republicans when clearly the majority of voters in Michigan are Democratic...as seen by the election of positions that are voted upon statewide, such as a Democratic Governor, a Democratic Secretary of State, and a Democratic Attorney General. Please correct this bias to reflect the population accurately. Thank you.</p>	Daniel Blinderman	2021-10-05 23:57:36+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		Cynthia Hampel-Litwinowicz	2021-10-05 23:55:01+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	unacceptable needs to be non partisan split up ypsilanti and ann arbor ann arbor should be unpacked into multiple districts	JILL HASEN	2021-10-05 23:54:06+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Grand Rapids, Kentwood , and East Grand Rapids should be a senate district. Why are you splitting Grand Rapids?	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-05 23:53:57+00
10-04-21 CD V3b AE	Dislike	Version 10-05-21 v1 CD DW made much more sense for a good part of Oakland County. Putting Southfield/Lathrup Village with the other southeast Oakland County cities made far more sense. Breaking off Southfield/Lathrup Village/Beverly Hills/Franklin and putting them with that huge part of Wayne County makes no sense. Those cities should be kept with the neighboring communities of Oak Park, Huntington Woods, Ferndale, Berkley, Royal Oak, etc. as they were on the last iteration of this map. They have far more in common.	Sharon Baseman	2021-10-05 23:08:15+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	I would like to thank the commission for creating a district that makes cultural, economic, and geographic sense for residents of the city of Midland. Its a vast improvement over the existing district.	Joseph Weir	2021-10-05 23:06:57+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	This map is unacceptable as it has a 6% bias towards one political party. After all of your time on mapping to come up with a bias which is just as lopsided as the one developed by politicians in 2010 does not fulfill the spirit of Proposal 2. Please revise to get better political balance.	Robert Kennedy	2021-10-05 23:04:45+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I would like to thank the commision for creating a district that makes cultural, economic, and geographic sence for Midland residents. Its a vast improvement over the existing district.	Joseph Weir	2021-10-05 23:03:40+00

Really think this is the best map you guys have drawn yet. Don't envy the job you guys are doing but keep going, you will never please everyone, especially in metro Detroit with the population size and trying to keep counties together. I like the grouping of towns east of I75 together, probably the best part of all these maps. The west side of the state is looking better also with Grand Rapids and it's suburbs all together rather than making a path to the coast.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Keep up the good work!

Dean

2021-10-05 23:03:23+00

10-04-21 CD V3a AE

Like

This and 10-04-21 CD V3B AE for Macomb makes much more sense then maps 10-05-21 V1 CD, 10-05-21 V1 CD DW

William N Wilson

2021-10-05 22:58:47+00

I thought the last version of this map was bad, but this may be even worse. A number of the inner ring suburbs that have always been together should have been kept together -- Huntington Woods, Oak Park, Ferndale, Pleasant Ridge, etc. We have nothing in common with the part of Detroit that this map puts us with. And splitting Oak Park makes no sense whatsoever. It should be kept together. This House map should not be combining Oakland County and Wayne County. It should be a totally Oakland County seat made up of a group of the inner ring suburbs.

10-1-21 HD RAS VRA 'Dislike

Sharon Baseman

2021-10-05 22:57:27+00

This map is better, but I think it would be better to have Algoma and Courtland with Rockford and move Coopersville toward Ravenna, similar communities.

10-04-21 v1 HD am s Opinion

cheryl scales

2021-10-05 22:52:43+00

This work better for Rockford, but it would be even better if you could put Courtland and Algoma Townships with Rockford, we work together all the time. Maybe move some of the Coopersville to the North, they associate with Ravenna better than with Grand Rapids area.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Opinion

cheryl scales

2021-10-05 22:50:59+00

Well this is definitely better than the other recent draft maps so thank you for doing this. Keeping Kent County together looks so much better than snaking parts of it down to Kalamazoo. The part that makes the least sense is the double crossing of districts between Oakland and Macomb counties. District 6 could be entirely contained in Macomb while district 10 and 3 could split up Oakland in a more compact way.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Opinion

Daniel Harris

2021-10-05 22:48:51+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	I want to thank you for all your hard work. I was one of the signature collectors for Voters Not Politicians. All I ask is for the maps to be fair. It does not look fair when there appears to be a more Republican bias.	Mary O'Neill	2021-10-05 22:46:40+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	Finally a version that keeps Kent County whole. This make sense and works for us.	cheryl scales	2021-10-05 22:46:36+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	The totality of maps STILL favor republicans in a state that votes majority democratic in every election in the past 20 years (or more.) This is NOT acceptable. You need to insure that the maps are fair and reflect the total voting population of the state on top of what you are doing. I understand this is all very complicated and difficult but that is the task before you. Thank you. Please insure that the correct changes are made.	Judith Maiga	2021-10-05 22:45:22+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	This does not make sense. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo do not have any common efforts together, they are in sperate metropolitan areas, and have no relations to each other. Kent County should be together as one.	cheryl scales	2021-10-05 22:43:21+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	Once again you have seperated Rockford and the surrounding areas from Grand Rapids. Keep Kent County together. We are part of regional planning, infrastructure sharing, and over 80% of the residents from the City of Rockford work in Grand Rapids. Kent County is mostly urban, and you have attached us with rural counties that we do not share any issues with.	cheryl scales	2021-10-05 22:40:48+00

This new map is an improvement in District 13 over the previous maps with the elimination of Highland Park and the extension in Oakland County to Birmingham and part of Clawson, however, I still think it's wrong to cram so many Democrats into one district by including any portion of Detroit. This helps to eliminate one Democratic District. It also makes no sense to split up Farmington and Farmington Hills. Overall, it's insane for Republicans to have a 6% advantage in a state where we overwhelmingly elected a Democratic president, two U.S. Senators and three statewide leaders in Gov. Whitmer, SOS Benson and AG Nessel. More work is still

10-04-21 v2 SD

Opinion

needed on this map.

Sharon Baseman

2021-10-05 22:40:37+00

Thank you for making a district that includes the business and manufacturing interests of Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint into one shared district.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Christine Graves Klykken

2021-10-05 22:27:52+00

10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	Chambers of Commerce in Midland, Saginaw and Bay City have worked closely to promote economic development in the region. It makes sense to link them together and with another urban area.	Sara Clavez	2021-10-05 22:21:14+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	I would not include the Downriver area in with Ann Arbor/Washtenaw county. It has much more in common with Dearborn and western wayne county.	Ned Staebler	2021-10-05 22:12:07+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Like	Thank you for including Midland with Bay City, Saginaw and Flint. It only makes sense to have our Representative represent the business interests that these cities share.	Christine Graves Klykken	2021-10-05 22:11:57+00
10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Dislike	This map is identical to '10-05-21 v1 CD'. Also 5-8 Republican advantage for 2016, 6-7 Republican advantage for 2020 presidential elections. (1 Democratic seat is a close win). I think that is barely an improvement for DW's previous map.	Yalcin Yanikoglu	2021-10-05 22:09:29+00

I think the committee has done a remarkable job of balancing district populations and keeping districts geographically sensible. I have read the analyses and believe that this proposal favors Republican candidates a bit too much and encourage the committee to reconsider how districts are drawn around the state's largest cities to ensure that historically Democratic voters are not all lumped together. I say this because I think that an equal balance of Dems and Repubs does the most to ensure a moderate government overall. Thanks for considering my thoughts.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Opinion

Christopher Stvartak

2021-10-05 22:09:04+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>As Mayor of Farmington Hills, I strongly object to splitting Farmington Hills into 2 State Senate Districts. In over 40 years, Farmington Hills and Farmington have remained in the same district due to our common socioeconomic background, common school district and shared services, boards and commissions. To divide Farmington Hills does a disservice to our residents.</p>	Vicki Barnett	2021-10-05 21:55:57+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>It is not a natural fit to include Edwards Twp in Ogemaw County, one little chunk into 13. Edwards Twp is a rural community with values and an economy the same as 12.</p>	Joel A Sheltroun	2021-10-05 21:52:42+00

9-15-21 v16A SD	Like	This map is reflective of the COI that has developed through decades of economic development work in which the the Midland, Saginaw, and Bay City Chambers of Commerce have been engaged. This coupled with the linkage with Flint in a proposed Congressional district will allow more effective advocacy for economic and social policies that promote development of a thriving twenty first century community in the I-75/10 corridor.	Sara Clavez	2021-10-05 21:47:00+00
9-30-21 HD	Like	This map (60) is excellent. Represents the best for the communities. The communities have much in common with this map. Good job.	Joel A Sheltroun	2021-10-05 21:45:13+00
10-1-21 HD RAS VRA 'Like		More fair than the old 103rd Again, excellent work on the 36th on this map.	Joel A Sheltroun	2021-10-05 21:41:58+00
10-04-21 v1 HD am s€	Like	Excellent work on the 36th..	Joel A Sheltroun	2021-10-05 21:38:19+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	Thank you	Joel A Sheltroun	2021-10-05 21:36:42+00

Thank you for listening to us!  
We are very pleased to see you acknowledge the longstanding economic and cultural ties between Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw, along with the urban issues and shared economic concerns with Flint and Genesee County. Saginaw and Flint minority populations are well served by consolidated representation. And this I-75 corridor and Saginaw Valley Community of Interest is well served by a unified voice on industries in these urban areas. Thank you for your work and I support this map.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Thank you.

Barbara H Miller

2021-10-05 21:34:14+00

Doesn't seem at all practical to split out Ogemaw Co, with Edwards Twp in a separate district. Leave all of Ogemaw in with Northern MI 12th (old 1st) Thank you for

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

consideration

Joel A Sheltrown

2021-10-05 21:32:27+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	How can these maps STILL favor Republicans by at least 6% when Michigan increased the vote for Democratic president from 10K to 150K, comparing 2016 to 2020, has 2 Democratic US Senators AND 3 Statewide Dems including Governor, SOS, and AG?	Barbara Miller	2021-10-05 21:31:48+00
10_2_ DustinWidjets	Dislike	According to both 2016 as well as 2020 Elections this map gives 8 seats to Republicans, and 5 to Democratic party. At least it is consistently gerrymandered. No district is a close call. I would like to say a BIG THANK YOU to the commission for including the City of Midland in a Congressional map along with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint. These cities are the core of Mid-Michigan and a community of interest. Midland will finally be included in an area that makes economic and geographic sense! Please continue to look at partisan bias when tweaking maps.	Yalcin Yanikoglu	2021-10-05 21:28:00+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like		Cindy Weir	2021-10-05 21:25:23+00

I would like to say a BIG  
THANK YOU to the  
commission for including the  
City of Midland in a  
Congressional map along with  
Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint.  
These cities are the core of  
Mid-Michigan and a  
community of interest.  
Midland will finally be  
included in an area that  
makes economic and  
geographic sense! Please  
continue to look at partisan  
bias when tweaking maps.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Cindy Weir

2021-10-05 21:23:31+00

Lumping our distinct, which voted strongly Democratic in all recent elections, with a large swath of rural area to the west is absolutely ridiculous. It very much seems designed to nullify Democratic numbers and turn the advantage to the Republicans. All of my local, state, US representatives are Democrats. This is a reflection of the beliefs of the people in this district. Also quite odd, as state and national elections lean significantly Democrat.

All told, this map favors Republicans by as much as 6.5% in some measures. 6.5% is absolutely a disproportionate advantage to Republicans and must be fixed.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Opinion

Leon F.

2021-10-05 21:19:07+00

Thank you for keeping Midland County all in one district. As a former resident of Sanford, now moved to Midland I can attest that residents of the more rural parts of the county look to Midland as the source of jobs and services while Midland residents often look to the northern and western areas of the county for recreational opportunities. Given the common concerns in Midland and Gladwin counties around Tittabawassee River flooding issues, it makes sense to add that section to district 32 if additional population is needed to meet the required population numbers.

9-29-21 RAS v2 HD Like

Sara B Clavez

2021-10-05 21:18:46+00

Why is the map leaning 6% toward Republicans. I have had a hard time understanding how Michigan has so many Republican legislators when the votes for Senators, governor and president are for Democrats. The Republicans should be in the minority because they are in the minority. Please fix the map so it reflects the true nature of the State.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Thank you for all the work you are doing.

Ronald Anthony Schlaff

2021-10-05 21:13:25+00

Thank you for including the city of Midland in the congressional district with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint. This makes much more sense than keeping the city with the rest of Midland county. The economic interests of the city are closely tied with the other cities in this district and improves the level of partisan fairness. Please continue to look at partisan bias/fairness when tweaking the districts.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Allison M Wilcox

2021-10-05 21:02:05+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>I am attempting to understand in what world does it make sense for this map to lean Republican by over 6%. Our state is led by three Democratic leaders. The state voted for a Democratic president by over 154,000 votes. We currently have 7 Republican congressional representatives and 7 Democratic congressional representatives. We have two Democratic state senators. So tell me why this map is leaning Republican by 6%? It is disappointing to see the commission settle for Republican leaning maps.</p>	Marci W	2021-10-05 21:01:25+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>I really like that you have included the city of Midland with Bay City and Saginaw (the Tri-cities) and Flint together in the same congressional district. The City of Midland is very different from the rural parts of Midland county, so separating them makes sense. This will also improve the partisan fairness for the 11th district. This is a good map!</p>	Allison Wilcox	2021-10-05 20:55:47+00

10-05-21 v1 CD DW	Opinion	Why not keep Muskegon County whole? Seems like an odd carve out.	Brian Burch	2021-10-05 20:54:21+00
10-05-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Some serious gerrymandering going on here, and on the east side of this Kent County district.	Brian Burch	2021-10-05 20:52:59+00

I generally like that most of Muskegon County is kept together and grouped with our natural lakeshore communities of interest. However, the tiny carve out of Moorland Township seems a bit random and odd, but not a huge deal if that is the compromise needed to balance population.

My larger concern is the long meandering district that stretches to link Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo. Although I don't live in that area, this seems contrived to produce a partisan outcome.

I would encourage you to chose map 200 over this one. #200 does a better job of honoring geographic compactness and keeping whole communities together. Carving two far-flung urban centers away from their

Good to see Kent together,  
but apparently that can only  
come at the expense of  
Ottawa County, which is once  
again Gerrymandered  
incoherently, and separated  
from Muskegon. There seems  
to be no rhyme or reason,  
except to breakup the  
Lakeshore economic region  
and make assumptions for the  
eastern 1/3 of our county. At  
the same time, placing  
Kalamazoo/Portage into a  
Lakeshore district is the  
opposite of reasonable.

Make it simple! Restore the  
Lakeshore!  
Ottawa County Belongs  
Together!

10-05-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Brian Burch

2021-10-05 20:51:17+00

Yes, this map serves West Michigan best! Muskegon County is kept whole as the "community of interest" we are, and we are grouped with other adjacent lakeshore communities like Grand Haven and Ludington who share common concerns as port cities.

Grand Rapids, Kent County and those suburbs make up their own district representing their own unique interests as a single large inland metro-area.

These are the natural boundaries of our lifestyles, economies and culture in West Michigan, and it makes sense that district boundaries follow suit. Thank you.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Ted Dyson

2021-10-05 20:37:05+00

If Novi or "Noville" is so set on being included with Northville Township - draw a line to include them in a Wayne county district.

Representation for Western Wayne again, will be from Central/East Oakland County. Geographically distant, as well as a different COI. We share infrastructure concerns in this corner of Wayne/Washtenaw/ and Novi. Folks here live and work in places like Dearborn, Detroit and Ann Arbor.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Dislike

Auburn Hills & Northville do NOT belong together.

Diana

2021-10-05 20:33:30+00

I am very happy about the map which has Midland with the Tri cities and Flint! I live in Midland and have been waiting for many years for an end to this previously well gerrymandered district. Thank You. And please try to ignore the comment asking to keep the city of Midland with the rural counties. that is an obvious attempt to maintain the gerrymander.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

kathleen curell

2021-10-05 20:32:51+00

On this map #193, district #32 appears considerably more efficient and has a better chance of reflecting common experiences in the electorate, than our preexisting district. As to the equitable distribution of voters within the new district, please reference Fair Maps -- AFL-CIO maps with 0% Efficiency Gap. We deeply appreciate your concerted efforts to produce competitive districts. If this can be accomplished without undue partisanship that would be deeply respected!

9-30-21 HD

Like

Catherine L Mitzel

2021-10-05 20:12:39+00

I still feel like based on my experience of living in the Thumb for 11 years that Tuscola County would be better served being with the Thumb district than a Tri-Cities+Flint district. It is more agrarian and rural like the rest of the Thumb and would allow you to not dig so deep into Oakland and Macomb. It's not easy to move all of these pieces around, and you might have other concerns to address, but just thought I would mention it.

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Opinion

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-05 20:12:25+00

I still feel like based on my experience of living in the Thumb for 11 years that Tuscola County would be better served being with the Thumb district than a Tri-Cities+Flint district. It is more agrarian and rural like the rest of the Thumb and would allow you to not dig so deep into Macomb and other areas near Detroit. It's not easy to move all of these pieces around, and you might have other concerns to address, but just thought I would mention it.

10-05-21 v1 CD Opinion

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-05 20:11:16+00

On this map #194, district #31 appears considerably more efficient and has a better chance of reflecting common experiences in the electorate, than our preexisting district. As to the equitable distribution of voters within the new district, please reference Fair Maps -- AFL-CIO maps with 0% Efficiency Gap. We deeply appreciate your concerted efforts to produce competitive districts. Once relative fairness is achieved in a district we would expect you to vigorously defend that model. If this can be accomplished without undue partisanship would be Excellent!

10-1-21 HD RAS VRA 'Like

Christopher W. Mitzel

2021-10-05 20:03:34+00

Yes! This is much better.  
Thank you for putting the city  
of Midland with the Tri-Cities  
and Flint in a congressional  
district. This is what we need!  
Please continue to assess  
partisan fairness as you tweak  
the maps. Michigan is a swing  
state with a split electorate  
and our maps should reflect  
that with as close to zero  
political bias as possible. We  
need maps that allow the  
voters to select their  
representatives, not the maps  
do the selection for us!

10-05-21 v1 CD

Like

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-05 19:58:32+00

Yes! This is much better.  
Thank you for putting the city  
of Midland with the Tri-Cities  
and Flint in a congressional  
district. This is what we need!  
Please continue to assess  
partisan fairness as you tweak  
the maps. Michigan is a swing  
state with a split electorate  
and our maps should reflect  
that with as close to zero  
political bias as possible. We  
need maps that allow the  
voters to select their  
representatives, not the maps  
do the selection for us!

10-05-21 v1 CD DW Like

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-05 19:58:10+00

This is getting closer - I think it  
would be better if Southfield  
was moved to district 2,  
Dearborn moved to 1, Warren  
moved to 6, and Madison  
Heights and Troy moved to 3.

10-05-21 v1 CD

Opinion

Jeff Ridsdale

2021-10-05 19:38:05+00

Looking at West Michigan, it seems as if you are unfairly packing the Democratic cities of Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo into a single district at the expense of having each of those cities be included within their respective counties. And I've never understood the idea of a "Lakeshore community of interest" as being a priority. For starters, small resort towns such as my hometown of Saugatuck has little to do with more major cities such as Benton Harbor and Muskegon and virtually zero in common with the rural inland communities which you found necessary to include. Overall, it seems the Commission is making a conscious effort to maximize Republican districts at the expense of Democratic voters across Michigan. Simply unfair.

9-29-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Mark LaChey

2021-10-05 18:38:36+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Opinion	Thank you for putting the city of Grand Rapids into 2 State Senate seats. One change: A better dividing line for Grand Rapids would be Wealthy St, which is just a few blocks south of the current dividing line. Making this adjustment would be more accurate for Grand Rapids representation.	Rocky Adams	2021-10-05 17:57:14+00
9-29-21 RAS v2 HD	Like	I am supportive of this map. I believe you have kept communities of interest in the Great Lakes Bay Region together.	Catherine A Macomber	2021-10-05 17:54:32+00
9-30-21 HD	Like	I support this map. I agree that the inclusion of the majority of Bay County with southern Bay County keeps this community of interest together.	Catherine A Macomber	2021-10-05 17:53:16+00

Thank you, commissioners,  
for this draft map. It could  
use some tweaks (given my  
local knowledge of Kent  
County)

Cascade township is the  
Grand Rapids suburbs and has  
the Grand Rapids airport. It  
should be combined with the  
24th (Northern GR) State  
Senate District. It should  
definitely not be part of this  
20th!

East Grand Rapids should also  
be a part of the North GR  
district, not the South. Thank  
you.

10-04-21 v2 SD

Dislike

Barbara Karenko

2021-10-05 17:52:53+00

I cannot support this map. I  
do not understand the  
splitting up of northern Bay  
County into two districts. I  
think there needs to be some  
consideration of the  
community of northern Bay  
County as a community of  
interest that affiliates more  
with southern Bay County  
than with the northern or  
central parts of the state.

10-04-21 v1 HD am s Dislike

Catherine A Macomber

2021-10-05 17:43:26+00

10-1-21 HD RAS VRA 'Dislike		It must be challenging for the commission to determine what exactly the COI Delton and Mason have with each other...	Ben McLeod	2021-10-05 17:40:12+00
		I do not agree with this map. I do not believe Bay County should be split in this way. I believe the northern portion of Bay County has more in common with the rest of Bay County than to the northern or western districts as this map does.		
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike		Catherine A Macomber	2021-10-05 17:09:51+00
		I am supportive of this map. I believe it keeps communities of interest in mind for the district that includes Linwood.	Catherine A Macomber	2021-10-05 17:07:25+00
10-1-21 HD RAS VRA 'Like				
		This map does not recognize the ties between Bay City, Saginaw and Midland. I am glad to see the inclusion of Genesee County in this congressional map, but would like to see the city of Midland included in recognition of the established ties of the Tri Counties and Flint. Thank you.	Barb H Miller	2021-10-05 17:05:12+00
10_2_ DustinWidjets	Like			

9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	I appreciate the districting for Ottawa here just because I have felt a strong sense of community within the county!	Greg Molchan	2021-10-05 17:01:29+00
10_2_	DustinWidjets Opinion	you need to keep Rose Township and Holly together in Oakland County. We do not have any to do with up north and this is where you have mapped us. We are next to Milford and Highland.	Debbie Miller	2021-10-05 16:58:44+00
10_2_	DustinWidjets Like	I am supportive of this map. Putting Bay County, Saginaw County, and Genesee County together in a district is appropriate and meets the needs of those communities as one community of interest.	Catherine A Macomber	2021-10-05 16:54:23+00
10_3_21	RSzetela CD Dislike	I cannot support this map for district 9 and 12. Please consider that Linwood and Pinconning affiliate as a part of Bay County and the Tri-Cities as opposed to "Up North". Please move the entirety of Bay County into District 9, rather than keeping the northern portion in District 12.	Catherine A. Macomber	2021-10-05 16:52:21+00

9-20-21 V3 CD	Dislike	<p>Muskegon and Midland can't be in the same Congressional District. Muskegon has more in common with Grand Rapids.</p> <p>Starting the 21st Democratic township of West Bloomfield (and its satellite communities of Orchard Lake, Sylvan Lake, and Keego Harbor) - which encompasses a diverse suburban community with substantial and very large Jewish (25%), Chaldean/Assyrian (10%), Black (13%), Muslim, East Asian, South Asian communities (15%), all constituencies, which favor Democratic candidates and policies by overwhelming margins - with exurban/rural, largely white, staunchly conservative townships is asinine. These voting blocs are all concerned about rising bigotry, ethnic intimidation, and hate crimes, similar to Farmington Hills, Bloomfield Township, Troy, and other diverse communities to the East. To cram these West Bloomfield voters in a district,</p>	Ryan D Bennett	2021-10-05 16:45:28+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>which will not elect a</p>	Noah Arbit	2021-10-05 16:44:42+00

9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	Thank you for keeping Ottawa Co. together.	James V mulder	2021-10-05 16:33:57+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	Wayne County carved into 11 districts? I thought the independent redistricting commission was supposed to END gerrymandering rather than multiply it.	David Guenthner	2021-10-05 16:32:34+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike	Madison Heights was once part of Royal Oak Township. It's schooling, housing stock, education levels, racial diversity--especially significant East Asian and Chaldean communities--tie the city politically, culturally, historically to Royal Oak and its other SE Oakland County neighbors. This design makes it appear that Madison Heights was considered a marginal community that could be allocated to a neighboring district based on convenience of borders and population size without consideration to history or present reality.	Brian	2021-10-05 16:29:10+00

Madison Heights was once part of Royal Oak Township. It's schooling, housing stock, education levels, racial diversity--especially significant East Asian and Chaldean communities--tie the city politically, culturally, historically to Royal Oak and its other SE Oakland County neighbors. This design makes it appear that Madison Heights was considered a marginal community that could be allocated to a neighboring district based on convenience of borders and population size without consideration to history or present reality.

10\_3\_21 RSzetela CD Dislike

Brian

2021-10-05 16:27:39+00

Madison Heights was once part of Royal Oak Township. It's schooling, housing stock, education levels, racial diversity--especially significant East Asian and Chaldean communities--tie the city politically, culturally, historically to Royal Oak and its other SE Oakland County neighbors. This design makes it appear that Madison Heights was considered a marginal community that could be allocated to a neighboring district based on convenience of borders and population side without consideration to history or present reality.

10\_2\_ DustinWidjets Dislike

Brian

2021-10-05 16:24:04+00

I'm not sure what the citizens of western Sterling Heights have common with the citizens in Oakland Twp. The city of Warren on this map will have three senate seats and could possibly be represented in the senate by no one that lives in Macomb County. You may not think this matters but it does. You also created a COI along the lakeshore in mostly Macomb county yet downriver you divert off the lakeshore and go west to include Taylor. What's the COI there? Communities of interest don't matter if they don't have a representative that cares about their community. Honor county boundary lines, at least close to the margins, and try to create more districts as politically balanced as possible. After all, politically fairer districts are why many, if not most, of us, voted for this law in the first place. This is what a district looks like when partisan fairness is all of a sudden the top consideration instead of the fourth. Trash this map.

9-15-21 v16A SD

Dislike

Henry Yanez

2021-10-05 15:55:42+00

9-29-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Brenden Boudreau

2021-10-05 15:47:42+00

9-15-21 v16A SD	Like	I agree with splitting Ingham County into two Senate Districts. I feel this will help with overall partisan fairness and undo the longstanding Republican gerrymander of the state.	Nate Pilon	2021-10-05 15:46:44+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	This district is nonsensical. Under no circumstance does this actually make sense.	Brenden Boudreau	2021-10-05 15:45:04+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	This map is well-drawn. The issues facing the city of Grand Rapids have little in common with lakeshore communities. Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids make a much more logical and cohesive community of interest. It makes sense to keep the lakeshore together as well, as they face similar issues like shoreline erosion and produce unique agricultural products such as blueberries in Ottawa and VanBuren counties.	Ben Umanos	2021-10-05 15:30:25+00

10-1-21 HD RAS VRA 'Dislike		<p>Why is this very small (Elizabeth Lake Road to Cass Elizabeth Lake Road) area of Union Lake and Waterford in a district with Pontiac and removed from the rest of their community? Auburn Hills and Lake Angelus actually have ties to Pontiac, but you choose to include communities that do not? The shapes of these districts make it obvious that this is splitting of these voters communities. These are terrible maps.</p>	Tom	2021-10-05 15:27:53+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Opinion	<p>The changes to 3, 20, 21 appear to be an improvement. However, still not fond of part of Kent being in 20. It seems to me it would make more sense to rearrange Allegan a little bit and put those two Kent townships into 3 with the other four Kent townships that are already in 3. Just a thought kids. Keep working.</p>	Jack Bengtsson	2021-10-05 15:21:28+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>Thank you for keeping Ottawa County and the other lakeshore communities together!</p>	Linda Mitchell	2021-10-05 15:12:49+00

Still don't like 20 stretching  
from Berrien to Kent. Not  
sure that's the best  
configuration for 3 and 21. 26  
is okay, but I'm not sure  
dipping down into Hillsdale is  
all that necessary.

10-04-21 v1 HD am s Opinion

Jack Bengtsson

2021-10-05 15:07:03+00

This map now splits Oakland County into SIX congressional districts. Residents of Oakland County spent the last ten years being split into 5, and want a unified voice. There is absolutely no reason Oakland should be split into more Congressional seats than Wayne.

Additionally, this map STILL suffers from attempting to combine parts of Oakland with the thumb. As a resident of Independence Township, I can say that northern and western Oakland County share NO communities of interest with Lapeer, Sanilac, Huron, Saint Clair, and Tuscola Counties, economically, politically, or otherwise.

A more reasonable map would combine the Detroit exurbs in Oakland County - the northern and western townships, along with

10\_3\_21 RSzetela CD Dislike

Pontiac, Rochester, Auburn

Alexander Gavulic

2021-10-05 14:47:02+00

9-29-21 v1 CD

Like

Thank you for keeping Ottawa  
Co together with the other  
lake shore counties. We are  
of few people compared to  
the cities of GR, Kentwood,  
Wyoming and the rest of Kent  
County. This is far more fair! Suzie

2021-10-05 14:43:12+00

no part of Oakland County should be paired with the thumb. As a resident of Independence Township, I can say we share no communities of interest (economically, politically, or otherwise), with Lapeer, Sanilac, Huron, or Tuscola Counties.

Instead, a much more reasonable map would put most of Oakland County (the northern townships, Rochester, Pontiac, Waterford, West Bloomfield, Farmington & Farmington Hills, Novi, and the western townships), into a single district that represents all of the Detroit exurbs. Troy and Royal Oak could then be paired with Sterling Heights and Warren, with Southfield going into a VRA district in Wayne County. I have attached an image of such a proposed district.

It's important to people of Oakland County that we Thank you for switching to map #187, keeping the lakeshore community together.

10\_2\_ DustinWidjets Dislike

Alexander Gavulic

2021-10-05 14:39:05+00

9-29-21 v1 CD

Like

Sue

2021-10-05 14:38:01+00

9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	Thank you for keeping the entire Ottawa County together!	Mrs. Curtis Van Roekel	2021-10-05 14:33:07+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Opinion	As a resident of Independence Township, I can say that our area has much more in common with close-by Detroit exurbs like Orion Township and Auburn Hills than it does with the much more rural Highland and Milford Townships. I'm also not too big a fan of splitting Independence Township - as someone who has lived in both halves that you've split, I can say there's really no reason to do so. The entire township is one community of interest. Overall the district isn't terrible, but could be slightly improved.	Alexander Gavulic	2021-10-05 14:31:36+00

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD Like Regarding the comment by Adam Kroczaleski, putting Arenac into the 5th is a pretty easy fix. Arenac to the 5th, replaced by portions of Wexford or the northernmost twps of Wexford and Manistee into the 1st, and four townships out of Midland into the 2nd. Something to consider if you're serious about this map being a final option. Jack Bengtsson 2021-10-05 14:29:06+00

10-04-21 v1 HD am s Opinion I'm fine with this district - it's highly competitive and unites Communities of Interest in middle and western Oakland County. HOWEVER, it could achieve the same result while being much more compact if Highland and Milford Townships were removed and the rest of Independence and Orion Townships were added in. As a resident of Independence Township, I feel that those areas are more aligned to our Detroit exurb community than much more rural Highland and Milford. Alexander Gavulic 2021-10-05 14:26:25+00

9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	Thank you for keeping Ottawa County together as a whole! We appreciate that we are unified as one county and one voice! Thank You!	Elizabeth Kik	2021-10-05 13:47:20+00
9-15-21 v16A SD	Like	I like that the Tri City area is compact and that Midland is included with Bay City and Saginaw. However I think the map should be drawn so that not one political party is favored. This map appears to have a lean toward one political party	Laura Doty	2021-10-05 12:58:45+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	Thanks for keeping Ottawa County together! We're the fastest growing county in Michigan and shouldn't be split up. I appreciate map #187 as it keeps my local community together with the lakeshore. We consider ourselves part of the lakeshore community.	Laurie Takens	2021-10-05 12:14:13+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Dislike	How do I know if this is a balanced map? Does party vote translate equally to seats? I can't tell. What metric, like efficiency gap, was used to determine whether the maps are fair?	Charlie Close	2021-10-05 11:39:25+00

9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	How do I know if this is a balanced map? Does party vote translate equally to seats? I can't tell. What metric, like efficiency gap, was used to determine whether the maps are fair?	Charlie Close	2021-10-05 11:38:42+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	I appreciate this map #187 that keeps my home and local communities together with the nearby lakeshore. The homeowners in our area consider ourselves part of the lakeshore community. This keeps our community of interest intact. We are a somewhat rural area and will not be adequately represented if added to Kent County/Grand Rapids.	Jlm Mol	2021-10-05 10:54:42+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Like	This is likely the best map I've seen for Pontiac. Grand Rapids is the 2nd largest city in Michigan. I am wondering why the suburbs around Grand Rapids are not included with the city? Living in a nearby suburb, we are only a fifteen minute drive from the city. Why do the suburbs get stuck with the lakeshore and a lot of rural area?	Scott Stewart	2021-10-05 05:40:08+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Opinion		Randy Buist	2021-10-05 04:35:18+00

Arenac is part of the Great Lakes Bay Region of Arenac, Gladwin, Clare, Isabella, Midland, Bay, Saginaw, and Gratiot. We have very few civic, social, or economic ties with northern Michigan or the UP, even though we are on the edge of what many consider "up north". Our communities of interest lie to our south. We are extremely connected with Bay County and the congressional and state districts should reflect this as a community of interest. Thank you.

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD Dislike

Adam Kroczaleski

2021-10-05 04:20:40+00

After all of the time, effort, and public input on communities of interest and attempting to draw fair districts is this commission creating maps that are now embracing gerrymandering? These maps are packing, cracking, and reaching in order to play partisan politics. This is unacceptable. Please uphold the public trust you were given and return to a previous map that doesn't draw lines based on political games.

10\_3\_21 RSzetela CD Dislike

Adam Kroczaleski

2021-10-05 04:14:53+00

This map is atrocious. I respect that this process is difficult but you are going backwards on the progress you were making with communities of interest and respecting county boundaries. This map breaks not only townships, but individual precincts! That kind of gerrymandering puts a huge burden on election officials, volunteers, and voters. Please scrap this map and return to a better one.

10\_2\_ DustinWidjets Dislike

Adam Kroczaleski

2021-10-05 04:07:59+00

10_2_ DustinWidjets	Dislike	<p>I still not liking the shapes of these maps, it feels like there is way too much packing and odd choices for balancing districts. This district alone would likely be considered a pack job. Is this really the best map for the State, for the next decade?</p>	Kent Douglas	2021-10-05 04:06:19+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>Thank you for listening to Ottawa's voice. Thanks for keeping Ottawa County together as a community of interest. We are the fastest growing county in Michigan and we shouldn't be split up. Please use map 187 to keep our wonderful lake shore community together.</p>	Staci Perkins	2021-10-05 03:50:05+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Dislike	<p>I don't live here now, but am familiar with this NEIGHBORHOOD. This is the middle of a actual neighborhood street - couldn't this be divided along a more major road like Lasher?? This looks nuts.</p>	Diana	2021-10-05 03:25:33+00

9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	I like how this map keeps my home and community together with the nearby lakeshore cities and townships. The people in our area consider ourselves part of the lake shore community, and I'm glad to see Ottawa with Muskegon and Allegan. This looks fair and balanced to me.	Joe Moss	2021-10-05 03:11:55+00
10_2_ DustinWidjets	Dislike	Why are Clarkston, Highland and Milford from Oakland County in a district with Port Austin in the Thumb? Please explain the COIs, educational, economic, social interests and geographical interests these areas share. This is absurd.	Lisa	2021-10-05 02:46:27+00
9-29-21 RAS v2 HD	Like	Keeping Farmington & Farmington Hills together in one District makes sense. It is ridiculous that Oakland County is in 5 of 13 districts statewide. This is	Dana Fortier	2021-10-05 02:45:14+00
10_2_ DustinWidjets	Dislike	gerrymandering. These revisions make sense. Thanks for reworking so that our lakeshore area stays	Lisa	2021-10-05 02:43:29+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	together.	Sandra Betten	2021-10-05 02:25:28+00

10-04-21 v2 SD	Opinion	<p>Well, this is an improvement over the earlier State Senate map today with the changes to Oakland County and cleaning up the Kalamazoo district. District 1 that splits parts of Saginaw, Midland, and Bay still doesn't make much sense. Just Midland and Saginaw Counties together in one district with maybe a small area subtracted out to another district to get closer to 265,193 would be cleaner. Thank you for keeping Troy, Rochester Hills and Sterling Heights together.</p>	Daniel Harris	2021-10-05 02:02:16+00
10_2_ DustinWidjets Like		<p>One suggestion, Macomb is better fit with District 6 and Eastpoint with District 1. They have more in common with those respective districts and make their communities better served!</p>	Yousif	2021-10-05 01:55:22+00

The current draft map of the State Senate Districts encompassing Washtenaw County concern me for two reasons:

1st, because they perpetuate the packing of Ann Arbor voters into one State Senate district, which means that anyone who identifies as a Republican in that district has essentially no chance of being represented by someone who aligns with them. One of the things you are tasked with is to not provide disproportionate advantage to political parties or candidates, which this does.

The 2nd reason for my concern about the proposed districts is that they do not take into consideration the fact that there is a significant economic "Community of Interest" connection

9-15-21 v16A SD

Dislike

between the western part of Jennifer Fairfield

2021-10-05 01:48:54+00

10_3_21 RSzetela CD Like		This is an improvement. More downriver communities are kept together - keeping Southgate, Wyandotte, Trenton and Grosse Ile together is imperative. A decent map.	Judy Maiga	2021-10-05 01:46:00+00
10_2_ DustinWidjets Dislike		These districts are horrible. Why would you split up downriver we share so much in common with our downriver areas.	Kelly Correy	2021-10-05 01:32:20+00
10-04-21 v1 HD am s	Dislike	Better than many of the other maps I have seen, but why is Birmingham separated from Bloomfield and Beverly Hills and in a district with Royal Oak? Sterling Heights does not belong with Rochester and Oakland Township. Makes no sense. Map needs some tweaking.	Lisa	2021-10-05 01:17:47+00
10-04-21 v2 SD	Opinion	Probably the most reasonable map I have seen out this commission.	Lisa	2021-10-05 01:11:04+00

Why is a tiny slice of Waterford and Union Lake separated from their communities and shoved into a Pontiac district with whom they share no COIs, economic, educational or social interests? Auburn Hills, Lake Angelus (share COIs, schools, social and economic interests) and even Sylvan Lake (shares school district) are better aligned to Pontiac than the area of Waterford/Union Lake in this map.

10-1-21 HD RAS VRA 'Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-05 01:07:04+00

One other small suggestion for SE Michigan. You might consider moving Taylor, Romulus, and Oak Park (majority Black) to the 12th; Dearborn to the 13th along with a few Detroit precincts. Southgate to the 8th; additional parts of Novi to the 9th. Doing that gives you two majority minority districts and increases the Black population to 45% in the 12th while leaving the black population in the 13th at 50%. Just a thought, they're pretty minor adjustments.

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD Like

Jack Bengtsson

2021-10-05 00:51:45+00

9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	I like map 187. It keeps Ottawa County together and combines it with similar counties.	Jack Holmes	2021-10-05 00:48:36+00
		This map is better than the other later maps - Grosse Ile has more in common with Wyandotte, Southgate, Trenton, etc and more in common with Ann Arbor and Ypsi than it does the very, very rural areas in the later maps.		
9-20-21 v4 CD	Like		Judy Maiga	2021-10-05 00:45:02+00
		Grosse Ile does NOT belong in this map! We are a downriver community - we share police and EMS resources with Trenton, Wyandotte, Southgate etc. We share a river front with massive concerns about the cleanup of McLouth steel. PLEASE remove Grosse Ile from this district. The shape is wrong, the thinking is wrong.		
9-29-21 Eid v2a CD	Dislike		Judy Maiga	2021-10-05 00:39:00+00

10\_2\_ DustinWidjets Dislike I am a Warren resident, but work in Sterling Heights and have many family members and friends in Roseville, Madison Heights, and greater Macomb county. Warren has a lot in common with its neighbors, and I do not think a district that bundles Warren with Detroit could adequately represent both disparate communities. Aristotle Economon 2021-10-05 00:35:24+00

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD Dislike Grosse Ile does NOT belong in this map. We share ZERO interests with the cities/townships to the right. We have waterfront issues that need congressional attention - we are nothing like any of those rural communities. We are suburb of Detroit and share police force/ambulance support with Trenton, Southgate, Wyandotte etc. DOWNRIVER has asked many times to stay together as a community of interest. Please re do that portion of the map. Judy Maiga 2021-10-05 00:29:00+00

I agree with the many voices that have called and are calling for Midland to be with Bay City, and Saginaw for the Senate and with Flint for the Congressional maps as you have drawn in a number of previous drafts. This map disconnects us from our sister cities with our myriad connections and our watershed. Please reconsider. Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman

10\_2\_ DustinWidjets Dislike

2021-10-05 00:24:21+00

If you are going to insist on drawing messy lines to connect together cities in separate counties for the US House map for the sake of keeping urban areas together, then you should be consistent and connect similar urban areas together in the same State Senate districts.

Example - the city of Grand Rapids should be kept whole in one district rather than split between two districts.

Grand Rapids plus Kentwood plus East Grand Rapids has almost exactly the correct population for one district and is a clear community of interest. Another example - Lansing and East Lansing are clearly connected and similar communities that are right next to each other that belong in the same seat. In fact about 94% of Ingham County could be one district

10-04-21 v1 HD am s Dislike

on its own.

Daniel Harris

2021-10-05 00:14:14+00

10\_2\_ DustinWidjets Like

The democrats are gerrymandering with the 4th.

Merlin Steffes

2021-10-04 23:49:52+00

10_3_21 RSzetela CD Dislike		I see you have adjusted district 11. Muskegon MUST be separated from Grant Rapids! Muskegon has very little in common with Grand Rapids. Muskegon has everything in common with other lakeside counties! Please do not allow this gerrymandering to be in the final revision!	Susan Rockwell	2021-10-04 22:57:27+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	This map is so much better than the last one. Keeping the lakeshore communities together makes a ton of sense. They have similar tourism and commerce interests.	Keith den Hollander	2021-10-04 22:26:12+00
10_2_ DustinWidjets Dislike		The City of Midland is part of the Tri-Cities and should be with Saginaw and Bay City in a Congressional and Senate District. The three of them together should be with Flint for a congressional district. Just look at the hundreds of comment son the portal that put the Tri-Cities together to see that this is a necessary ask.	Jennifer Austin	2021-10-04 21:54:15+00

10_2_ DustinWidjets	Dislike	<p>I concur with Trenton Berry, namely that Midland is part of the Tri-Cities. It belongs with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint and not with a district that ends at the shores of Lake Michigan. His solution to attach the western townships of Saginaw County to the Central Michigan District makes sense.</p>	Michael O'Donnell	2021-10-04 21:41:30+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>We have nothing in common with the counties as drawn. It really seems to shift our suburban needs and drive them towards northern counties with a very different constituency.</p>	Danielle McCluskey-Schink	2021-10-04 21:21:57+00

As Mayor of Farmington Hills and a former State Representative, I strongly object to splitting Farmington and Farmington Hills into 3 separate districts. During the last 40 or more years, these 2 communities have always been in the same district! To break them up is a disservice to the residents of these municipalities that share a common public school district, joint programs and joint citizens commissions are truly ONE COMMUNITY!

10-1-21 HD RAS VRA 'Dislike

Vicki Barnett

2021-10-04 21:08:57+00

Going to Agree with Christopher on this one, if any parts of Southern Macomb should be included with Eastern Detroit it makes more sense for it to be the Jefferson, Mack, and Gatroit corridors. Saint Clair Shore, Harrison, Fraser, Roseville, and Eastpoint all are tied much closer to the rest of the 1st districts then Warren is.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike

William N Wilson

2021-10-04 20:59:48+00

9-15-21 v16A SD Like Keeping both Higgins Lake and Houghton Lake in the same district is great considering they share the same watershed. What impacts Higgins Lake will impact Houghton since they are connected via the Cutt River. Expanding a touch north of Higgins Lake to the I-75 and US-127 junction may help in regards to construction project planning. Joshua A Springer 2021-10-04 20:56:32+00

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike Sure, but it completely cuts up the working-class population of Southern and Middle Macomb. Look the Bangladeshi and Yemeni Communities deserver representation, but they are a large enough COI to warrant cracking southern Macomb at the Congressional Level. They would be better represented at the State House and Senate level have maps drawn around that COI. William N Wilson 2021-10-04 20:54:30+00

9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	<p>It doesn't make sense for parts of SE Oakland county (specifically district 11) to be cut between two other counties. It also looks as if the way district 18 is cut slants towards republican voters. Also in District 31, Holly should be kept with north western Oakland county.</p>	Nathan Mosseri	2021-10-04 20:48:22+00
10_2_ DustinWidjets	Like	<p>Thank you for keeping Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids together. Having these two cities together fairly gives them a voice in congress while allowing the suburbs and rural areas to have their own chance to elect a member of Congress. County lines are less important then having similar areas i.e western Michigan cities with similar demographics, together.</p>	Hudson Villeneuve	2021-10-04 20:43:10+00

9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	<p>District 13 should not be split between Oakland County and Wayne County. You've kept a few of our inner ring suburbs together, but making up such a large part of the district with Detroit dilutes many communities of interest--both in the Oakland part and the Wayne part. This should be an entirely suburban district to keep communities of interest together on both sides of 8 Mile.</p>	Sharon Baseman	2021-10-04 20:38:44+00
9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	<p>This is a bad map, you've completely messed up SE Oakland County communities, this is awful and obviously slants things toward Republicans.</p>	Jennifer Nagle	2021-10-04 20:35:06+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Excuse me? Madison Heights belongs with the other communities of SE Oakland County, we have different communities of interest that are more in common with District 3.</p>	Jennifer Nagle	2021-10-04 20:30:37+00

9-29-21 RAS v2 HD	Dislike	<p>I agree with the earlier comments. Huntington Woods and Oak Park should not be separated from our neighboring communities of Ferndale, Pleasant Ridge, Berkley and Royal Oak Township. We have much in common and have always, in my memory, shared a state House district. Adding such a large portion of Detroit with Huntington Woods and Oak Park dilutes a number of our communities of interest.</p>	Sharon Baseman	2021-10-04 20:29:50+00
9-29-21 Eid v2a CD	Dislike	<p>Combining the rural areas of St. Charles and surrounding areas with Flint is completely wrong and is just an attempt to dilute the votes toward the Dems. Separate Flint out from these areas and combine the rural parts with the areas they have more in common with. Isn't that what your 'Communities of Interest' is all about?</p>	Mary Moylan	2021-10-04 20:27:26+00

Disproportional coverage along I-96 West will adversely impact Wixom which has only a 1 mile stretch along the major artery connecting US23 to SE Michigan. Possible solutions are extending District 18 south to include a section of I-96 or extending District 14 southern border to the east providing a larger footprint along I-96. Wixom, New Hudson, and Milford have extensive traffic flows from I-96 to the rural community. Those communities will have little say about I-96 impact, but will be adversely impacted with traffic flow changes.

9-15-21 v16A SD

Dislike

Joshua A Springer

2021-10-04 20:26:44+00

This district is not sensible. This completely ignores COI which is a higher priority than partisan fairness. Breaking out GR from Kent County does a serious disservice to the Great GR region.

10\_2\_ DustinWidjets Dislike

Brenden Boudreau

2021-10-04 19:36:11+00

10-1-21 HD RAS VRA 'Like	<p>This Map #194 does a good job with regard to district #31 keeping Midland Co. intact and including the part of Gladwin Co. that shares the Tittabawassee watershed. Also, District #50 keeps Mt. Pleasant with Alma -- both college towns. Thank you for all your hard work to make our districts as fair as possible.</p>	Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman	2021-10-04 19:36:06+00
10-04-21 v1 HD am s Dislike	<p>District 16 in this senate map will make better sense if Madison Heights is included with Troy. That is a natural community of interest linkage than having Oakland Charter Township in the same district. So it would be better to add madisson heights to 16 and take out Oakland charter township.</p>	Shuvra Das	2021-10-04 18:40:12+00
10_2_ DustinWidjets Like	<p>Thank you for doing a nice job with most of these districts and thanks for keeping the urban regions of Grad Rapids and Kalamazoo together as a Community of Interest</p>	Shuvra Das	2021-10-04 18:16:45+00

9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>Thank you for keeping the Bangladeshi &amp; Yemeni communities in/around Hamtramck together in one united voting bloc with this map.</p>	Lynn Blasey	2021-10-04 18:12:17+00
10-1-21 HD RAS VRA 'Dislike		<p>Several communities in southeast Oakland County along the 9 mile corridor should be kept together. In Hazel Park we share all of our park services with Ferndale and Oak Park. Our local governments also work together with grant applications and what not. Why- why would we be linked up to Clawson and a small part of Troy? We literally have nothing in common with those communities. Not surprised- you've cut off Hazel Park and Madison Heights from the rest of the county in every other version of your maps. And Highland Park up to Clawson? The district as drawn currently would leave the southern portion of the district with little to no representation-the bulk of voters in a primary would come from Troy and Clawson, which again are places that have zero in common with a</p>	Amanda Klein	2021-10-04 18:11:44+00

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD	Like	Thank you for keeping the Bangladeshi & Yemeni communities in/around Hamtramck together in one united voting bloc with this map.	Lynn Blasey	2021-10-04 18:11:19+00
9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Like	Thank you for keeping the Bangladeshi & Yemeni communities in/around Hamtramck together in one united voting bloc with this map.	Lynn Blasey	2021-10-04 18:10:21+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	As a Kalamazoo resident, I like the way this congressional district is drawn.	Emily Boyer	2021-10-04 17:52:43+00

Thank you for placing the Tri-Cities and Flint together in a Congressional district. I feel, together , we are a community of interest, sharing very prominent Industries such as Dow Chemical, Mi. Sugar, General Motors and S.C. Johnson. We share well loved cultural events at the Midland Center for the Arts, the Great Lakes Loons Dow Diamond stadium, the Delta College Planetarium, at Cross roads village and the Whiting. I want a state House district that keeps Midland county whole. Splitting the county up adds a financial burden to elections as well as making it harder and more expensive for candidates.

Thank you for your consideration and for serving on this commission'

9-29-21 RAS v2 HD Like

Gisela BaileyThank you

2021-10-04 17:50:03+00

10_3_21	RSzetela CD	Dislike	Chris Andrews, please justify your assertion. Why is it that trying to balance Republican/Democrat representation outweighs contiguous districts? Should we re-draw state lines to balance partisan representation in the Senate too?	Luke Dornon	2021-10-04 16:57:12+00
10_2_	DustinWidjets	Dislike	Do not like the breaking up of downriver communities, particularly Southgate & Taylor - makes more sense to include Dearborn and Allen park versus Dearborn and Taylor	Benjamin Timpf	2021-10-04 16:26:58+00
9-27-21	v1 HD	Like	Livonia has a population of close to 100,000 and should have its own State House district like it has for many decades.	Timothy Howse	2021-10-04 16:06:53+00

The Commission needs to slightly adjust this map to hit partisan fairness index, and I think the east side of Detroit and Macomb County are the place to do it. Right now, 6 and 8 are lopsided Democratic districts, while 5 and 7 are narrow Republican districts. I would recommend putting the Grosse Pointes in 6, moving Eastpointe and the portions of Warren and Sterling Heights from 6 to 5, and Macomb Township to 7. That should create 3 Dem-leaning districts, one of which (5) would probably be winnable for Republicans in a good year, and one safely Republican district (7). That should help with the partisan fairness analysis.

9-15-21 v16A SD

Opinion

Christopher Khorey

2021-10-04 16:06:36+00

Oxford Precinct 3 and 5 should be in District 47 with the rest of Oxford and Addison because they share one single school district as a non-partisan community of interest.

9-27-21 v1 HD

Opinion

Timothy K Howse

2021-10-04 15:55:53+00

The present map as shown displays little-zero community of interest. Alpena, Presque Isle and Alcona counties (Lake Huron coastal counties) have little to nothing in common w/Montmorency (Ogemaw) and Oscoda counties (non coastal) in terms of Communities of Interest. Furthermore, it favors Republicans! Please continue to re-work the HD maps.

9-29-21 RAS v2 HD Dislike

Marie Fielder

2021-10-04 15:54:15+00

My name is Lynn Blasey. I am a Michigander with deep roots in our state, resident of Hamtramck, and have been actively engaged in community work in Dearborn/Detroit/Hamtramck for over 15 years.

I've had a chance to meet many of you during the town-hall in Warren and again when you came to Hamtramck. Thank you for making time for us.

Thank you all for your continued effort to keep the Banglatown community together. I know you have been doing your best given everything else you need to take into consideration.

I ask that you keep the following in mind when considering District 2 "home to most of the Bangladeshi community.

If/when you need to shift us around, please consider adding more precincts south of Hamtramck united in this map.

9-28-21 v1 HD

Opinion

Lynn Blasey

2021-10-04 15:46:03+00

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

Lynn Blasey

2021-10-04 15:45:10+00

10_3_21 RSzetela CD Dislike	Muskegon interests are aligned with the other lakeshore counties. This linking of Muskegon to Grand Rapids is an example of gerrymandering. Districts should be grouped with surrounding communities, not linked by a narrow stripe	Susan F Rockwell	2021-10-04 15:25:53+00
10_3_21 RSzetela CD Dislike	No thanks. Plan #188 9-29-21 EID V2A CD comes closer to the mark.	Jack Bengtsson	2021-10-04 14:40:47+00
10_2_ DustinWidjets Dislike	No thanks. Plan #188 9-29-21 EID V2A CD comes closer to the mark. This looks like a gerrymandered district.	Jack Bengtsson	2021-10-04 14:38:32+00
10_3_21 RSzetela CD Dislike	You are ignoring public comment from before you started drawing maps. Kent County said they want to be whole in one CD not split like it is now!	Daniel Grams	2021-10-04 14:29:44+00
10_2_ DustinWidjets Like	Troy and Sterling Heights along with the Rochesters are a great fit...we have more in common there than we do with Royal Oak!	Daniel G	2021-10-04 14:27:04+00

10_2_ DustinWidjets Opinion	<p>You are ignoring all the public input you got before you started drawing maps re: putting all of Kent County in 1 Congressional District. That's a Community of Interest. The only COI represented by the GR and Kzoo district is the DEMOCRATIC PARTY.</p>	Daniel G	2021-10-04 14:25:43+00
10_2_ DustinWidjets Opinion	<p>District 10 appears to be draw to pack Republican voters into a vote-sink. Hard to imagine what SW Oakland County has to do with the tip of the thumb!</p>	Daniel	2021-10-04 14:23:03+00
10-1-21 HD RAS VRA 'Dislike	<p>The districts in south Oakland county just keep getting worse. It seems like trying to make them racially diverse, which I generally support, they are sacrificing all other priorities. As they are they look very gerrymandered and don't represent any coherent COI.</p>	Jeff Ridsdale	2021-10-04 14:15:02+00

10_2_ DustinWidjets	Opinion	I appreciate all the different options that are being presented and the many priorities, but this one makes even less sense than including GR with Muskegon. Greater Grand Rapids should be its own district without being chopped up	Jeff Ridsdale	2021-10-04 14:03:07+00
8-31-21 v1 SD	Dislike	Why do you split Kent County up so much? Muskegon County is staying whole, put more together in Kent County.	cheryl scales	2021-10-04 14:00:07+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	This is the only map I've seen that preserves the West Michigan community, it just makes sense to leave communities whole.	Katie Heyboer	2021-10-04 14:00:04+00
10-1-21 HD RAS VRA 'Dislike		Why would you put a small area of Kent County in 100? Move them to 99. You have split up Kent county so many ways, please try to keep more if it together.	cheryl scales	2021-10-04 13:57:43+00
10-1-21 HD RAS VRA 'Dislike		This is better, but it still splits Plainfield Township. If you squared off the line on the south you would included Plainfield in 98 it would work.	cheryl scales	2021-10-04 13:54:53+00

Midland is a part of the Tri-Cities, so it should be included with Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint. The population of the 4 counties is almost exact for a congressional district and only a few townships needs to be taken off one of the counties. My thoughts are to keep Midland, Bay, and Genesee whole while taking the western townships of Saginaw County and putting it with the

10\_2\_ DustinWidjets Dislike

Central Michigan District.  
I like that you put Rockford with Grand Rapids, but I still think it would be better to have all of Kent County

Trenton Berry

2021-10-04 13:53:37+00

10\_3\_21 RSzetela CD Opinion

together as one district.

cheryl scales

2021-10-04 13:51:50+00

10_2_ DustinWidjets Dislike	<p>Why in the world would you separate Kent County and include Grand Rapids with Kalamazoo? There is never any connection with Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo Cities, they do no planning together, and are very separate. The City of Rockford is a bedroom community for Grand Rapids, over 80% of our residents work in Grand Rapids. Keep Kent County with Grand Rapids.</p>	cheryl scales	2021-10-04 13:46:40+00	
9-29-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>I do not like the way the 10th is set up here. The major reasoning for this commission is to create districts that are evenly split between Dems and Republicans. Your mapping does not show this information but I am guessing it has not changed from what it is currently</p>	Deborah Parker	2021-10-04 13:46:24+00

Why would part of Muskegon County be grouped with Grand Rapids? This makes no sense until one zooms in on the map and sees that it is the heaviest density of businesses in the county that is being included with Grand Rapids! Shame on you. This looks like an obvious tactic to use part of our county for someone else's agenda. Muskegon County deserves to be represented in its entirety with all the other lake shore counties. Please do not lump us in with Kent County - they have much different issues than we do. Keep Muskegon County whole! Thank you.

9-20-21 v4 CD

Dislike

Susan Peterson

2021-10-04 13:44:31+00

Why are you seperating Kent County? It makes no sense to to that and include Grand Rapids with Kalamazoo. The City of Rockford and our surrounding area are part of Kent County and we need to be conencted to them We are not rural like the other areas in this district, we are a bedroom community to Grand Rapids. Over 80% of our residents work in Grand Rapids. This makes no sense. Keep Kent County whole, you did it for Muskegon and Ottawa.

10\_2\_ DustinWidjets Dislike

cheryl scales

2021-10-04 13:43:34+00

I need to see the political data to know for sure, but I would bet that the townships added and subtracted at the corners of counties are constructed this way to build a fair statewide map. Eliminating partisan advantage is a higher priority than county and city boundaries.

10\_3\_21 RSzetela CD Like

Chris Andrews

2021-10-04 12:55:25+00

10_3_21 RSzetela CD Like	<p>This is not necessarily a gerrymander. A gerrymander seeks to create a map that gives disproportionate advantage to one party. This may be a district drawn to undo the disproportionate advantage in other parts of the state.</p>	Chris Andrews	2021-10-04 12:52:09+00
10_2_ DustinWidjets Dislike	<p>There isn't really a good way to argue for these maps that try to tie grand rapids with another urban area except as a partisan gerrymander. Just include enough of the suburbs and make greater GR its own district.</p>	Luke Dornon	2021-10-04 12:43:23+00

This map would effectively disenfranchise Muskegon County. While other proposals keep us whole and align us with other shoreline cities, this map cut the heart out of our county and illogically throws it in with Grand Rapids where we will get lost in the shuffle. We share little in common with that massive city, and we would be doomed to forever be an afterthought to whoever represents this awkward pink district. The representative's attention would inevitably be on Grand Rapids with it's massive population, and not on the unique issues that a lakeshore community like us faces such as critical dune preservation, invasive species, water quality, shipping and water based tourism. Please trash this map and adopt one of the alternatives that keep Muskegon county whole, and Ted Dyson

10\_3\_21 RSzetela CD Dislike

2021-10-04 12:28:25+00

This is the version we want here in Muskegon County. Our county shares infrastructure like a common wastewater system, a community college district, road commission, and other things that made us a strongly interconnected community of interest. We don't share any of those ties with Grand Rapids. Please do not connect us with that massive city where our concerns would get overshadowed. Keep us aligned with other lakeshore communities. Thank you!

Ted Dyson

2021-10-04 12:16:01+00

10\_2\_ DustinWidjets Like

This map as a whole seems to pack democrats together too much. I don't think that it will produce an accurate party distribution for our state.

Please check to make sure an appropriate number districts that lean republican and democrat are created. Ideally, I would like to see all of the districts be as competitive as possible as well. As of right now, the Detroit area seems to have too much of a concentration of democrats in each district.

9-20-21 v1 CD

Opinion

Eric Jutila

2021-10-04 06:09:50+00

Highland and Milford should not be in a district with Port Austin in the Thumb.

Rochester should not be in a district with Sterling Heights and Eastpointe. Troy, Southfield and Royal Oak are better aligned to the Warren and Sterling Heights area.

Highland, Milford, Waterford, Commerce and Clarkston should be in a district.

Rochester should be in a district with Oakland Township, Romeo, Lake Orion and Oxford. Of course, that is if you are respecting the COIs, educational, economic, social and environmental interests of the communities.

10\_2\_ DustinWidjets Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-04 05:47:10+00

The entire southern portion of Oakland County is wild in this iteration. Strongly believe it should be changed to reflect the real communities of interest. This definitely just looks randomly thrown together.

10-1-21 HD RAS VRA 'Dislike

Scott Stewart

2021-10-04 05:20:31+00

10-1-21 HD RAS VRA 'Dislike		Adding Pontiac and Auburn Hills would make way more sense than the sliver of Waterford currently included.	Scott Stewart	2021-10-04 05:19:25+00
9-30-21 HD	Dislike	Strongly believe that Auburn Hills and Pontiac have strong connections that would make sense to be together (including voting on schools) rather than using AH as a way to split the districts currently. It would make sense to add AH with Pontiac rather than include the random sliver of Waterford.	Scott Stewart	2021-10-04 05:18:03+00
10_2_ DustinWidjets	Like	Thank you for keeping Auburn Hills and Southfield in a district with Pontiac. This makes sense overall.	Scott Stewart	2021-10-04 05:12:23+00
10_2_ DustinWidjets	Dislike	Cutting Madison Heights off from the rest of Oakland County, particularly when they are strongly connected to both Troy to its north and its neighbors to the west is quite ill-sighted.	Scott Stewart	2021-10-04 05:11:57+00

9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike	<p>Including this district with the random community in Wayne County doesn't make much sense. I also still strongly believe that Auburn Hills and Pontiac should be together. Additionally, Lake Angelus votes in Pontiac schools. This is a terrible map. Why are Highland, Milford and Waterford in a district with Pontiac and Rochester is in a district with Sterling Heights, which is not even in the same county? These maps are certainly not based upon COIs, economic, educational or social interests of the communities; must be political then.</p>	Scott Stewart	2021-10-04 05:08:10+00
9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	Gerrymandering.	Lisa	2021-10-04 05:08:00+00

10_2_ DustinWidjets Opinion	<p>Instead of giving the 11th a sliver of Shiawassee County you can keep the entire county in the 5th, add a little more of Gratiot County to the 11th, and a little more of Clinton county to the 13th. Doing this little shift will help follow Communities of Interest better. You can also do a very similar thing around Kalamazoo to get rid of a county split there.</p>	Casey Lewis	2021-10-04 05:06:19+00
10_2_ DustinWidjets Opinion	<p>Instead of giving the 7th a tiny slice of Lenawee, just give it a little more of Jackson</p>	Casey Lewis	2021-10-04 05:02:04+00
10-1-21 HD RAS VRA 'Dislike	<p>Still terrible with oddly shaped districts. Livonia is a little better, but look at districts 5,9,16, 21, 29 and 38. A razor thin slice of Waterford and Union Lake are still separated from the rest of their community to be shoved in a Pontiac district, when Auburn Hills is much more closely aligned to Pontiac and would correct the absurdly shaped district 29. Fix it!</p>	Lisa	2021-10-04 05:01:25+00

10_3_21 RSzetela CD Dislike	<p>Why are the 6th and 9th districts splitting Tuscola, Lapeer, AND Oakland counties. It makes no COI sense and just needlessly makes the maps messier. You can accomplish a very similar but cleaner map by giving more of one county to the 9th instead of parts of lots of counties</p>	Casey Lewis	2021-10-04 04:59:03+00
10_2_ DustinWidjets Dislike	<p>Certainly better than map 195. Still needs some work, but making progress.</p> <p>Why is Waterford Township in a district with Highland Park and Rochester is in a district with Eastpointe? None of these communities have any COI, economic, social, educational or other common concerns. Look at the horrible shapes of these districts - this is nothing more than gerrymandering and quite frankly, I am tired of this. Do your job.</p>	Lisa	2021-10-04 04:56:01+00
10_3_21 RSzetela CD Dislike		Lisa	2021-10-04 04:52:08+00

9-30-21 HD	Like	<p>the map for House District #32 makes good sense to me as these communities are connected by a watershed. Also, Gladwin residents greatly rely on Midland for work, healthcare, and shopping.</p>	kathleen curell	2021-10-04 04:35:28+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions	Like	<p>Good map. Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint all share both large and small business, education and transportation interests. A mid-Michigan community of interest. Thank you</p> <p>Thank you for this congressional map! It acknowledges the cities that make up Mid Michigan and that share common interests in countless ways. I am so looking forward to equal representation for the Tri cities and Flint. Thank you again for all the hard and vital work you are doing.</p>	stephen m Curell	2021-10-04 04:15:16+00
9-29-21 Eid v2a CD	Like		kathleen curell	2021-10-04 03:59:43+00

This is such an important effort to get right---thank-you for your hard work on these maps. Michigan needs fair maps very badly and it is a very difficult and extremely important effort. I like how this Michigan House District map (#183) keeps Midland County as a single entity (district #32), along with some of Gladwin County to provide adequate numbers with very low deviation. I also like how this map keeps Alma & Mt Pleasant, both college towns, together as district #51. As drafted, this district #32 can address upper Tittabawassee watershed issues, which have been mentioned frequently in others' comments on different maps. And, although City of Midland is not rural, unlike northern & western Midland County, we do function as an entity already

9-28-21 v1 HD	Like	as a county, so this could	Lynn Pottenger	2021-10-04 03:36:01+00
9-20-21 V3 CD	Like	This map is acceptable.	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-04 03:35:20+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Like	The democrats are gerrymandering with the 4th.	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-04 03:34:09+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	The democrats are gerrymandering with the 4th.	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-04 03:33:14+00

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD	Like	The democrats are gerrymandering with the 3rd.	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-04 03:30:10+00
9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Like	The democrats are gerrymandering with the 3rd.	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-04 03:28:41+00
10_3_21 RSzetela CD	Like	The democrats are gerrymandering with the 11th.	Merlin Steffes	2021-10-04 03:26:17+00

This is such an important effort to get right---thank-you for your hard work on these maps. Michigan needs fair maps very badly and it is a very difficult and extremely important effort. This Michigan House District map (#190) keeps Midland County as a single entity (district #32), along with some of Gladwin County, to provide adequate numbers with very low deviation. I also like how this map keeps Alma & Mt Pleasant, both college towns, together, as district #51. As drafted, this district #32 can address upper Tittabawassee watershed issues, which have been frequently mentioned in others' comments on different maps. And, although City of Midland is not rural, like northern & western Midland County, we do function as an entity already, so this could work well to

9-29-21 RAS v2 HD Like

share Michigan House  
The democrats are  
gerrymandering with the  
11th.

Lynn Pottenger

2021-10-04 03:24:42+00

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike

Merlin Steffes

2021-10-04 03:24:19+00

Thank-you for your hard work on this very difficult and extremely important effort. This Michigan House District map (#194) does a good job, keeping Midland County as a single entity, along with some of Gladwin County to provide adequate numbers with very low deviation. This map also keeps Alma & Mt Pleasant, both college towns, together, as district #50. As drafted, this district #31 can address upper Tittabawassee watershed issues, which have been frequently mentioned in others'™ comments on different maps. Although City of Midland is not rural, like northern & western Midland County, we do function as an entity already, so this could work well to share Michigan House representation.

10-1-21 HD RAS VRA 'Like

Lynn Pottenger

2021-10-04 03:12:03+00

This Michigan House District map (#193) does a good job, keeping Midland County as a single entity, along with some of Gladwin County to provide adequate numbers. This map also keeps Alma & Mt Pleasant, both college towns, together, as district #51. As drafted, this district #32 can address upper Tittabawassee watershed issues, which have been frequently mentioned in others' comments on different maps. Although City of Midland is not rural, like northern & western Midland County, we do function as an entity already, so this could work well to share Michigan House representation. Thank-you for your hard work on this very difficult and extremely important effort.

9-30-21 HD

Like

Lynn Pottenger

2021-10-04 03:05:41+00

9-30-21 RAS revisions	Like	<p>I support this map. These counties are similar to each other in that they each have one major city and then several smaller cities. They are a mix of agriculture, large industry and small businesses. People travel frequently between these areas for shopping, education, medical care, travel, tourism and employment. Thank you for listening to our citizen input to date and putting these counties in one district.</p> <p>Midland is urban just like Bay City, Saginaw and Flint and is a key part of MidMichigan. Midland is home to 3 Fortune 500 companies, a large cultural center, and a large hospital center. Midland is not rural and should be represented with other urban areas.</p>	Kay Rochlitz	2021-10-04 02:11:02+00
9-20-21 v2 CD	Dislike		Jim Morrissey	2021-10-04 01:12:22+00

Midland is a vital part of the Tri-Cities. It is not a rural community and should not be lumped together with the other rural parts of our county. Midland needs a representative that knows the needs of a city. And the rural parts of Midland County need a representative that has their interest at heart. You have put Midland in with the Tri-Cities in the State Senate map and it needs to stay the same for the US

9-20-21 v2 CD

Dislike

Congressional map.

Molly Morrissey

2021-10-04 01:08:50+00

This separates the City of Midland from the rest of the County and puts us with Counties downstate of which we have nothing in common.

9-16-21 v2 CD

Dislike

Mike

2021-10-04 00:50:42+00

my name is Gaye Perwiegan.  
My community of interest is  
Midland County. I live in  
Ingersoll Township, which is in  
the southeast corner of  
Midland County. And I am a  
4th generation resident of  
Midland County. My great  
Grandfather, Edward  
Ostrander moved to Midland  
in 1870. We are a community  
sustained long ago by the  
logging industry, utilizing our  
famous river system. With  
myself as a County  
Commissioner, as well as  
other Midland and Gladwin  
County Commissioners, we  
are diligently working toward  
our priority, to guide  
rebuilding of Sanford and  
Wixom Lake communities.  
The Commission needs to  
recognize the significant  
devastation to Midland and  
Gladwin counties by May  
2020 flood. Both Counties  
are currently working as a  
team and are in strategic

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike

Gaye

2021-10-04 00:48:19+00

I see comments from people from Midland saying we are similar to Saginaw and Flint. This can't be farther from the truth. We have no similarities with these two cities. Their big issues are poor schools and high crime rates. Midland has some of the best schools and a very low crime rate. People in Midland do not typically go shopping in Flint or Saginaw. This is just not accurate at all. We have much more in common with the rural counties of Gladwin, Isabella and Arenac counties especially because of the ongoing issues with the dams and flooding.

9-20-21 EID v1 CD

Dislike

Mike Scott

2021-10-04 00:47:47+00

Midland is urban just like Bay City, Saginaw and Flint and is a key part of MidMichigan. Midland is home to 3 Fortune 500 companies, a large cultural center, and a large hospital center. Midland is not rural and should be represented with other urban areas.

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD

Dislike

Jim Morrissey

2021-10-04 00:46:20+00

9-20-21 v1 CD Dislike This map removes the City of Midland from the rest of Midland County. It puts the City of Midland with other counties down state that have nothing in common. We need to stay with Gladwin and Isabella counties and the County of Midland should not be broken up. Mike 2021-10-04 00:45:55+00

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD Dislike Midland is a vital part of the Tri-Cities. It is not a rural community and should not be lumped together with the other rural parts of our county. Midland needs a representative that knows the needs of a city. And the rural parts of Midland County need a representative that has their interest at heart. You have put Midland in with the Tri-Cities in the State Senate map and it needs to stay the same for the US Congressional map. Molly Morrissey 2021-10-04 00:43:43+00

This is a good map. We are grouped with rural areas around Midland. Many of the workers at my business are coming to Midland from the Gladwin and Isabella counties. This map keeps the City of Midland together with the areas that have experienced the issues with the dams failing and flooding. We have very little in common with Flint and Saginaw. We have very different school systems and the crime rates are very different. The issues that require significant work in Flint and Saginaw are much different than those in the City of Midland. This is a good map.

9-20-21 v2 CD

Like

Mike

2021-10-04 00:41:10+00

I see comments from people from Midland saying we are similar to Saginaw and Flint. This can't be farther from the truth. We have no similarities with these two cities. Their big issues are poor schools and high crime rates.

Midland has some of the best schools and a very low crime rate. People in Midland do not typically go shopping in Flint or Saginaw. This is just not accurate at all. We have much more in common with the rural counties of Gladwin, Isabella and Arenac counties especially because of the ongoing issues with the dams and flooding. The way this pulls the City of Midland out looks like gerrymandering!

9-20-21 V3 CD

Dislike

Mike

2021-10-04 00:40:00+00

This is a great map. Keeps Midland County whole. We are grouped with rural areas around Midland. Many of the workers at my business are coming to Midland from the Gladwin and Isabella counties. This map keeps the City of Midland together with the areas that have experienced the issues with the dams failing and flooding. We have very little in common with Flint and Saginaw. We have very different school systems and the crime rates are very different. The issues that require significant work in Flint and Saginaw are much different than those in the City of Midland. This is a great map.

9-20-21 v4 CD

Like

Mike

2021-10-04 00:38:09+00

This is a good map because it keeps Midland with the other urban parts of MidMichigan. Midland is home to 3 Fortune 500 companies, a large cultural center and a large hospital center. Midland is not rural and should be represented with other urban areas.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

Jim Morrissey

2021-10-04 00:36:46+00

This is a great map! Keeps the County of Midland whole. We are grouped with rural areas around Midland. Many of the workers at my business are coming to Midland from the Gladwin and Isabella counties. This map keeps the City of Midland together with the areas that have experienced the issues with the dams failing and flooding. We have very little in common with Flint and Saginaw. We have very different school systems and the crime rates are very different. The issues that require significant work in Flint and Saginaw are much different than those in the City of Midland. This is a great map!

9-29-21 v1 CD

Like

Mike

2021-10-04 00:36:28+00

I see comments from people from Midland saying we are similar to Saginaw and Flint. This can't be farther from the truth. We have no similarities with these two cities. Their big issues are poor schools and high crime rates. Midland has some of the best schools and a very low crime rate. People in Midland do not typically go shopping in Flint or Saginaw. This is just not accurate at all. We have much more in common with the rural counties of Gladwin, Isabella and Arenac counties especially because of the ongoing issues with the dams and flooding.

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD Dislike

Mike

2021-10-04 00:34:18+00

This is a good map. We are grouped with rural areas around Midland. Many of the workers at my business are coming to Midland from the Gladwin and Isabella counties. This map keeps the City of Midland together with the areas that have experienced the issues with the dams failing and flooding. We have very little in common with Flint and Saginaw. We have very different school systems and the crime rates are very different. The issues that require significant work in Flint and Saginaw are much different than those in the City of Midland. This is a good map.

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD Like

Mike

2021-10-04 00:27:10+00

I see comments from people from Midland saying we are similar to Saginaw and Flint. This can't be farther from the truth. We have no similarities with these two cities. Their big issues are poor schools and high crime rates. Midland has some of the best schools and a very low crime rate. People in Midland do not typically go shopping in Flint or Saginaw. This is just not accurate at all. We have much more in common with the rural counties of Gladwin, Isabella and Arenac counties especially because of the ongoing issues with the dams and flooding.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike

Mike

2021-10-04 00:15:14+00

~~NORTHVILLE and NORTHVILLE~~  
Township should continue to be linked with Novi in the Congressional districts as well as the State House and State Senate districts. Northville and Novi share a fundamentally stronger community of interest than Northville shares with any of its neighbors including Plymouth and Canton Township, who we are represented with right now. First and foremost is the school district that Northville shares with Novi. Northville Public Schools includes a sizeable chunk of Novi within its bounds. This boundary decision made decades ago, has huge ramifications which create an even stronger community of interest. These students participate together in organizations outside of school like Northville Soccer, Boy Scouts or Girl Scouts, Bulldogs swimming, the

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

Tom O

2021-10-03 23:06:13+00

hello, my name is Celeste. I live in Ferndale; currently part of Senate District 11 and House District 27 and I am a racial justice voter.

I am addressing the Commission today because I believe that voters should elect their leaders and not the other way around, and as a racial justice voter I believe our communities need more resources and opportunities for reflective representation.

I am concerned about the draft State Senate map proposed by the Commission because of the way it condenses demographics, decreasing the opportunities for communities to have their voices heard.

I recommend that the Commission follow the recommendations of the Fair Map Project and apply the map they have submitted.

The Fair Maps Project

9-15-21 v16B SD

Dislike

rendering is a more accurate Celeste Shimoura Goedert

2021-10-03 21:50:47+00

9-30-21 RAS revisions	Like	<p>Tying Midland votes into the Great Lakes Region with Bay City, Saginaw and Flint makes so much sense. We are part of the business and industrial core for this region of Michigan and our Representative should reflect our interests.</p> <p>I truly appreciate this district as mapped. It has connected communities of similar population, industry, and activities. It gives a voice to communities that have shared ideals &amp; outlook that may not be heard otherwise. Thank you for your hard work, dedication, &amp; commitment to our state.</p>	Christine Graves Klykken	2021-10-03 21:30:51+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Like		Jill Stout	2021-10-03 19:27:46+00

I do not agree with this configuration for Midland. This congressional map intentionally excludes the City of Midland from it's sister cities of Bay City, Saginaw and Flint. As so many have said, Midland is not a rural city. We are the City of Modern Explorers! I like the configurations for Midland in #188 that keeps the rural western Midland townships with the other more rural counties. I also like #191 that keeps Midland County intact with our sister cities but that may overly dilute the minority voices. I realize this is a challenging job thank you for listening. Good luck to us all!

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD Dislike

Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman

2021-10-03 18:00:12+00

9-30-21 RAS revisions	Like	<p>This draft for District 9 makes a lot of sense. Midland, Bay City and Saginaw are "the Tri-Cities" for a reason. I think it might be wise to include Flint as well. Workers and shoppers often commute between the communities. Dow Chemical is a global company that employs thousands of workers throughout the proposed district. These workers deserve to be kept together and their economic interests fairly represented.</p>	Angela Marie Kelleher	2021-10-03 17:42:22+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike	<p>What exactly is the driving force behind this "redistricting" ? It appears to me that the issue is being propagated by tactics that are other than "for we the people".</p>	Donna Zook	2021-10-03 17:29:09+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>Well drawn map. Keeps in mind things like geographical boundaries - not everything is Detroit - and maintains diversity of the populace in each district.</p>	Casey Oonk	2021-10-03 17:18:03+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>Great map. Respects geographically unique areas as great as possible with the census update. Good work!</p>	Casey Oonk	2021-10-03 17:14:43+00

9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	<p>I lived in the City of Livonia my entire life, plan on moving back. It is my understanding you have it mapped into 5 districts , thats ridiculous. Very large City yes but people mapping this that dont know what they are doing . Someone trying to create unfair partisan edge.</p>	Maureen Cirocco	2021-10-03 16:24:19+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions	Like	<p>Thank you for this map of District 9. It makes sense to keep the Tri-Cities of Midland, Bay City, Saginaw together + Flint. The people of these cities support each other whether it be the arts, Delta College, hospitals, shopping, MBS Airport, places of work. I live in Midland County and while we do have farms in the area, the city of Midland has so much to offer like bigger cities do and we are not like rural Gladwin. Please keep this map!</p> <p>I would appreciate it if the commission would include a simple, bullet point PRO/CON of the redistricting options. What is driving you to</p>	Lynda Magirl	2021-10-03 15:35:18+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike	<p>redistrict Midland?</p>	Rebecca S Smith	2021-10-03 12:55:41+00

9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	<p>As a person who grew up in Metro GR. This is absolutely silly. Very few of Kent County's communities have a deep connection with the southern portions of the Lake Shore like this. Especially not Cascade Twp. Way off the mark here. Try keeping Kent County communities together.</p>	Sam Jones-Darling	2021-10-03 09:41:21+00
		<p>This district should exclude the portions of Allegan County and include the City of Portland in Ionia County. The Greater Lansing Area and much of Ingham County are better associated with the I-95 corridor than the rural sections of Barry County. They are also more closely related in economic, social and racial demographics to Oakland County than Barry County.</p>		
9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike	<p>I strongly oppose this drawing of CD8 and would recommend it clip to the east rather than the west.</p>	Sam Jones-Darling	2021-10-03 09:29:23+00

9-30-21 RAS revisions: Opinion

While I believe the inclusion of Muskegon along with Grand Rapids makes a lot of demographic and political sense, I am concerned about the lack of representation Allendale would get out of this. Perhaps Allendale should be in 10?

Sam Jones-Darling

2021-10-03 09:23:47+00

9-30-21 RAS revisions: Dislike

Washtenaw County, particularly Ann Arbor, Ypsilanti and their suburbs have more in commons with Wayne County communities such as Romulus and Taylor than they do with Jackson County communities such as Grass Lake. It would be wrong to place Grass Lake with Ann Arbor. Aside from demographic differences, the areas have vastly different political differences which could make the district difficult for a representative to represent. The section of Jackson county in 5 should be given to 7 and a section of 2 should be shifted into 5 OR just not replaced, given the population of the district should meet legal standards.

Sam Jones-Darling

2021-10-03 09:22:19+00

The commissioners are still not listening to Calhoun County. You eliminated the hearing for Kalamazoo, and took away any chance I had to speak. You separated the military establishments, which shows very little respect for me and the other Veterans living here. Calhoun County does not need or want to be with Kalamazoo County. Kalamazoo County does not NEED Calhoun County. Kalamazoo County has the population for 3 house Districts without splitting in multiple ways keeping the residents from electing a representative focused solely on Kalamazoo.

10-1-21 HD RAS VRA 'Dislike

This makes no sense

Sonja Marie Patrick

2021-10-03 05:57:29+00

Even though this particular configuration is odd, I'm glad that this has district connects Midland, Saginaw, Bay City, and Flint together. These areas share much in common due to their industrial history's. I would say that instead of adding Tuscola and Oakland in with this district, it should include all of Genesee as well as keeping 2 of the other counties of Midland, Saginaw, and Bay whole where one of those three takes out some townships. But overall, I approve this map just with some configurations that should be done to make it the best it can be.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

Trenton Berry

2021-10-03 05:06:24+00

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Like	<p>District 8 seems great. Northville is no longer a carved out after thought added on to central Oakland county. Much more in common with the Washtenaw along with neighbors from Western Wayne the south end of downriver. Townships have county roads, and need a rep with ties to other areas of the county, too. Don't carve out Northville as a lone straggler. Thx on this one!</p> <p>Midland is urban just like Bay City, Saginaw and Flint and is a key part of MidMichigan. Midland is home to 3 Fortune 500 companies, a large cultural center, and a large hospital center. Midland is not rural and should be represented with other urban areas.</p>	Diana	2021-10-03 04:54:26+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Dislike		Jim Morrissey	2021-10-03 04:18:01+00

Northville Township needs to stay in Wayne County. EZ switch, put South Lyon with Oakland like it should be, and move Northville with Wayne County. Wayne RESA schools, Western Wayne Water, too many to list! Most of all, our neighborhood streets are WAYNE COUNTY roads, and we need representation to keep them fixed properly!!!! Northville is linked to Dearborn via Hines Drive live and work in Wayne Cnty. Need a rep that lives in Wayne and will be connected to other elected official here. Beyond absurd! Move Northville City if you must - they cross 8 mile - the township does not. Why the specific carve out in ALL 3 of the map types. Absurd.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike

Diana

2021-10-03 03:54:53+00

9-29-21	Eid v2a CD	Like	<p>This is a good map because it keeps Midland with the other urban parts of MidMichigan. Midland is home to 3 Fortune 500 companies, a large cultural center and a large hospital center. Midland is not rural and should be represented with other urban areas.</p>	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-03 03:12:26+00
9-29-21	Eid v2a CD	Like	<p>Thank you for recognizing Midland as a vital part of the Tri-Cities. Midland needs a representative that knows the needs of a city just as the rural parts of Midland and Bay Counties need a representative that will fight for their needs.</p>	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-03 03:10:04+00
9-30-21	RAS revisions	Dislike	<p>Why is part of Detroit included in this district? I cannot think of a single reason for this. The fact that there are zero plurality-black districts on the map is a direct result of this and not including Southfield, Oak Park, and Royal Oak Township (not the city) in the 2nd.</p>	Judah Karesh	2021-10-03 02:50:56+00

Thank you for keeping  
Midland County all together  
in this version. However; I do  
not understand why one  
would consider putting  
Midland County in with  
Saginaw and Flint as we are  
largely an agriculture base in  
central Michigan. It would  
dilute our needs and voice to  
combine us with urban areas  
such as Flint and Saginaw and  
also would obscure the  
communities of Midland and  
Gladwin for flood recovery  
and watershed management. Deborah Plaver

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike

2021-10-03 01:47:24+00

This map (#100) looks pretty good to me. I have resided in Midland for a long time and I can assure you that we are NOT a rural community. Midland has a strong community of interest with the Tri-Cities: Bay City, Midland, and Saginaw, and this map preserves and honors that COI! You've read about the shared interests from economic, industrial, cultural, and educational there is also a shared airport, MBS. While some folks are complaining about not keeping a watershed intact, they are forgetting that the flooding continued on down the watershed all the way to the mouth of the Saginaw River in Bay City. The lines have to be drawn somewhere and it makes sense to me to keep the suburban/urban character of Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw together under a

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD Like

Lynn Pottenger

2021-10-02 23:09:32+00

This map (#107) places the City of Midland in a rural group of counties. The City of Midland is NOT rural—it forms a community of interest with Bay City and Saginaw. It shares a strong industrial base with those cities and shares so many interests with them. I see comments from folks who call Midland rural but they must not live in the City of Midland because no resident would consider it to be rural. I also see comments that are in support of keeping Midland County as a whole for governance, but frankly the City of Midland has its own governance and there is no good reason to separate City of Midland from Bay City & Saginaw. I am sure there will need to be split counties and I am fine with splitting Midland County, especially if it keeps the City of Midland from being lumped in with rural

9-29-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Lynn Pottenger

2021-10-02 22:59:18+00

9-29-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>This map does not provide the city of Midland with a COI. We are a city not a part of rural communities. We have not had a representative that truly represents the city because Midland has been lumped in with rural communities for the past 10 years. Our current rep does not have to listen to about half the residents of Midland because he is in a safe seat. City people need reps that fight for urban agendas and rural people need reps that will fight nor rural agendas. Midland is not a rural community so this map is terrible. We are home to 3 Fortune 500 companies and function as an urban community just like Saginaw, Bay City and Flint.</p>	Jim Morrissey	2021-10-02 22:30:36+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Fracturing communities. This is awful and does not represent my community by lumping other's from different counties into district</p>	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-02 22:23:56+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike	<p>4. I object!</p>	Shannon Fashho	2021-10-02 21:53:21+00

9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	<p>You have cut off every outlying area associated with Saline and just included the declared municipal boundaries and Pittsfield. This area is actually worse than the old 2010 maps. If you are looking for an area to work on to get a better partisan balance and bring down your efficiency gap number, this A2/Ypsi concentration is a great place to start.</p> <p>Communities to the north of Grand Rapids, such as Rockford and Cedar Springs, have far more in common with Grand Rapids and its suburbs than they do with the lakeshore and Muskegon. This looks like a gerrymandered district--keep Kent County together in one district, don't stretch the district to the lakeshore.</p>	Alice	2021-10-02 21:01:48+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike		Gary Stark	2021-10-02 19:56:25+00

Please keep our small town communities together! Our small towns in Allegan and Van Buren Counties are very similar and share many interests. It would be a disservice to break them apart. Hartford, Lawrence, Decatur, Lawton, Paw Paw, Allegan, Otsego, Plainwell and Wayland are very similar small towns in the Southwestern part of Michigan. These towns are similar in size, development and cost of living. They are mostly rural, but also benefit from tourism and the proximity to larger cities such as Kalamazoo and Grand Rapids. They should be kept together as a community of interest and fit nicely into a Senate district.

9-15-21 v16A SD

Opinion

Mike Chappell

2021-10-02 19:33:45+00

I don't like 20 at all, Van Buren to Cascade Twp? I think you can do some rearranging between 20, 21, & 3 to make that a little better. 23 is fine. 24, not too sure, however, assuming that is a competitive district, I can live with it. Other than that, it's a very interesting map. A nice district stretching from Muskegon to Benzie, Ottawa mostly intact, a good sized mid Lower Peninsula District, a lot of that seems to make pretty good sense. You might be close, but keep working on it.

9-15-21 v15A SD

Opinion

Jack Bengtsson

2021-10-02 19:24:52+00

I'll limit my comments to the western half of the lower peninsula. First of all, I get it that you're trying to create some political balance across the state, and some competitive districts where you can, and I'm okay with that for the most part. That said, here are my comments. perfect - 80. Maybe perfect - 78, 83, 84, 86. Okay - 79, 87, 84, 86, 98, 99, 100, K-zoo/BC/Jackson. Kind of okay - 97, Lansing area, 76/77 GR (needs tweaking, in terms of commonality Easttown, East Hills, other hoods along Wealthy make more sense as part of the 76th, as do some hoods N of 196 just E of the river being part of the 77th. Splitting Plainfield may not be too popular with some, but I get it, it's probably the only option if you're going to split GR like that), also some inland districts seem okay.

9-30-21 HD	Opinion	Questionable/Not okay - 65, Please consider this districting. It makes sense to include the tri-city area and	Jack Bengtsson	2021-10-02 19:14:55+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Like	the Great lakes Bay Region.	Connie Nicholson	2021-10-02 19:03:47+00

So, in order to be fair and unbiased you took the 19th State house seat which was a 6 mile by 6 mile square where the rep for all of Livonia lived in Livonia and divided it into thee parts. The proposed 17th district runs from the middle of Livonia to McNichols and Ardmore in Detroit. This district is the true definition of gerrymandering. How does a Representative who Lives in Livonia Understand the struggles of Living in Brightmore? I would Hope that a Representative elected to represent an area would live in the area of the people they are fighting for. This map is shameful.

9-30-21 HD

Opinion

Kenneth E Crider

2021-10-02 16:41:52+00

9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>This is a very strange looking district. But to fulfill the commissions mandate to not draw a "disproportionate advantage to any political party". Article IV Â§6 (13)(d). and Article IV Â§6 (13)(c) "Districts shall reflect the state's diverse population and communities of interest". Some compromises will have to be made. I live in southern Kent County and in my opinion, share a community of interest with Kalamazoo far more than Holland or Georgetown Township to the west or Lowell/Nashville/Dowling to the West.</p> <p>Southern Kent County does not share a community of interest with Benton Harbor, South Haven, or Saugatuck.</p>	Patrick Quist	2021-10-02 16:17:06+00
9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	<p>A better solution would be to extend this district more to the east/south with Lowell/Hastings/etc. Even Georgetown Township would be better than a lakeshore district.</p>	Patrick Quist	2021-10-02 16:02:30+00

Thank you for this map that keeps the Tri-Cities of Bay City, Midland, and Saginaw together along with Flint. These areas share a manufacturing base, hospital systems, and common, forward-looking goals of cultural and educational enrichment. Midland, where I live, clearly wishes to have a small city vibe rather than a rural town vibe. Uniting these areas with so many common interests is a great plan.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

Cassandra M Foley

2021-10-02 14:51:32+00

Why are Highland, Milford and Waterford in a district with Pontiac, but Rochester is in a district with Sterling Heights? There are no COIs, schools or similar economic/social interests between the above and Pontiac or Rochester and Sterling Heights. At least Pontiac is in the same County, but this appears to be just an attempt to insert more urban communities into exurban and suburban areas that hold no common interests. Not good.

9-15-21 v16A SD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-02 13:38:28+00

Why is a razor thin slice of Waterford and Union Lake (from Elizabeth Lake Road to Cass Elizabeth) inserted into a district (39) with Pontiac with whom it shares no COI, economic and social interests, nor any schools, etc. Why is this small portion of the township separated from their neighbors and the rest of the community? Why the big backward "C" shape carve-out for the District (30) containing Auburn Hills, which is much more closely tied to Pontiac in COI, social and economic interests? It appears that you are trying to dilute the conservative vote in proposed District 30 and entirely suppress the conservative voters in Union Lake/Waterford in District 39. This is also true in Districts 21 and 22. Completely unacceptable.

9-30-21 HD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-02 13:30:29+00

I am getting very fed-up with all the strangely shaped districts in all these maps that seem to merely be attempting to insert small portions of suburban and exurban communities into multiple urban districts with which they have no COIs, school, landmark, economic, social or other interests.

Please explain what COI or economic and social interests there are between Highland Park and Union Lake or Eastpointe and Romeo. This is absurd and quite frankly, offensive.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike

Lisa

2021-10-02 13:19:18+00

Thank you for the work on this map. I live in Midland and believe that we share far more commonalities with Bay City, Saginaw and Flint than we do with more rural areas of our county. For the sake of educational, economic, cultural and diversity concerns, please keep the Trip-Cities and Flint in a single voting district.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

JoAnn Pobocik

2021-10-02 13:15:01+00

I have issues with many of these districts as it's very difficult, to see with clarity, What major community interest these are designed to respect, while also take the most of partisan advantages off the table.

I some instances communities are getting packed into super majorities that make it difficult to say that partisan lean is all but unlikely.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Opinion

Kent Douglas

2021-10-02 07:05:55+00

Thank you for this map. It is good to see Midland is a part of the Tri-Cities. Like the other cities in this area, Midland is industrial based. The City of Midland has been under represented for many years because we have always been lumped into the rural parts of Midland County. But the city is very urban and much different than the remaining part of the county. Midland needs representation by someone that will look out for urban/industrialized communities and the rural part of Midland county needs a rep that will do the same for them.

9-15-21 v16A SD

Like

Molly Morrissey

2021-10-02 04:07:20+00

This is a good map that keeps Midland with the Bay City and Saginaw because we make up the Tri-Cities. We share an airport, economic industry, health care systems and a cultural center. Our kids play sports together so our schools are COIs too.

9-15-21 v16A SD

Like

Jim Morrissey

2021-10-02 04:01:23+00

This is a good map because it keeps Midland with the other urban parts of MidMichigan. Midland is home to 3 Fortune 500 companies, a large cultural center and a large hospital center. Midland is not rural and should be represented with other urban areas.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

Jim Morrissey

2021-10-02 03:51:45+00

Thank you for putting this map out for public comment and the refreshing proposal for the boundaries of District 9. I am a resident of the City of Midland. The city of Midland is a small, progressive and increasingly diverse city created and based on manufacturing - very much like its sister cities of Saginaw, Bay City and much larger Flint. We are not a rural, isolated town, but "The City of Modern Explorers" and perhaps the last outpost before Michiganders head out to the great north. Countless numbers of citizens commute between the cities of Midland, Saginaw, Bay City and Flint for work, family, shopping and recreation. Midland's issues, needs and desires closely parallel the populations and cities of this proposed 9th District.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

Jeffrey John Beckwith

2021-10-02 03:40:37+00

The inclusion of Midland where it belongs with the Tri-Cities is a great change here from the previous maps. Our colleges, businesses, media, transportation and community partnerships are with the Saginaw and Bay City. This MSP much better reflects the needs of the community as a whole. Others I have seen which continue to lump Midland with the rural areas.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

Danielle Fergin

2021-10-02 03:38:19+00

This map looks pretty good to me. I have resided in Midland for a long time and I can assure you that we have a community of interest with the Tri-Cities: Bay City, Midland, and Saginaw, and Senate District #1 in this map preserves and honors that COI. You've read about the shared interests from economic, industrial, cultural, and educational there is also a shared airport, MBS. While folks are complaining about not keeping a watershed intact, they are forgetting that the flooding continued down the watershed all the way to the mouth of the Saginaw River in Bay City; the lines have to be drawn somewhere and it makes sense to me to keep the suburban/urban character of Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw together under a single Michigan Senate district. Thank-you for your  
This is a good map. Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint have commonality in industrial, economic, education, transportation and cultural interests.

9-15-21 v16A SD Like

Lynn Pottenger

2021-10-02 03:23:10+00

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

Karen Beckwith

2021-10-02 03:06:51+00

9-30-21 HD Like I Like that you split Ann Arbor into a northern district and a southern district rather than East-West. Casey Lewis 2021-10-02 02:47:41+00

9-15-21 v16A SD Dislike This 27th district that includes Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti is a democratic pack and doesn't represent communities of interest well. Just because they are close geographically does not mean they are alike. Ann Arbor should be put with areas in Western Washtenaw that are also wealthier and whiter, whereas Ypsi should be put with areas in Western Wayne county like Romulus, Bellevue, and potentially Canton that are more diverse. Thanks for your hard work, I just wanted to offer my feedback Casey Lewis 2021-10-02 02:28:31+00

Thank you for combining the Great Lakes Bay region of Bay, Midland, Saginaw counties and Flint in one district. There are regional interests as well as local county interests. Combining these Great Lakes Bay Region counties together gives the potential for access to regional funding for projects as well as funding for the counties.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

Connie Horsell Glave

2021-10-02 02:24:11+00

This map puts the Great Lakes Bay area together as it should be: Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint. I'm sure lots of others will explain all reasons to support this map. Just let me add that this map is a step forward in districting that will also help the representative who is elected reflect his district without being spread too thin. Thank you for the effort you have all put into this effort. It is hopeful to see democracy in action.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

Luana Russell

2021-10-02 02:17:14+00

9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	<p>This proposed map shows an unexplainable stretch to group part of Muskegon county with Grand Rapids/Kent County. There is no good reason I can think of to group Muskegon with the landlocked Kent county. Muskegon County in its entirety should be grouped with the lakeshore, with whom it shares a greater amount of common interests. This is an obvious play of gerrymandering, and I hope it will be soundly rejected.</p>	Melissa Brower	2021-10-02 02:05:45+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions	Like	<p>This new district intelligently groups the Tricities and Flint into one district. There may not be a more obvious COI in the state. It only makes sense to keep it together.</p>	Joseph Weir	2021-10-01 23:19:26+00

9-15-21 v16A SD Like This is a vast improvement over the existing 36th senate district. The proposed district groups one of the most obvious communities of interest in the state (the Tri Cities) into one district. I think this distict will allow rural western Midland county to get the seperate representation that should go with a ag/rural district. Joseph Weir 2021-10-01 23:11:51+00

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike Thanks for doing this work. It's not your fault that West Michigan isn't represented on this commission but that fact surely contributed to the disservice this map does to Muskegon and Ottawa County populations. I can't speak for other communities (there seems to be a debate about Midland) because I'm not familiar with those communities. I am, however, very familiar with Muskegon and Ottawa Counties and can tell you that these need to be redone. Numerous communities of interest are needlessly broken up, even into 3 districts. Best wishes in fixing this. Brant VanOrman 2021-10-01 22:44:00+00

This map represents a good consolidated district that encompasses major urban areas of Flint, Saginaw, Bay City and Midland and their combined economic, environmental and educational interests, as well as rural areas in those counties. Please continue to recognize the shared interests of the Tri Counties of Saginaw, Bay and Midland, and the ties to Flint/Genesee County. Thank you.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

Barbara H Miller

2021-10-01 22:19:48+00

I like this map..... Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and Flint is a clear community of interest that should be maintained in Congressional representation. These cities all share economic, cultural, and educational interests.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

Cindy J Weir

2021-10-01 21:50:11+00

It is good to keep the Tri-Cities together. Born and raised in Saginaw, moved to Midland, I work in all three counties and spend money in all three counties. Those who say that there is no connective tissue between our agricultural, manufacturing and service economies simply have it wrong. We share colleges and universities, a well used and well maintained airport, and a watershed that we all depend on. Keep us together for representation in our state and in Congress. And while Flint may not appear at first glance to be apart of our economic triangle, the economic and manufacturing along the I75 corridor that takes us through Birch Run and Frankenmuth to Flint is also important connective tissue. Well drawn and well done.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

Jan Taylor Sutherland

2021-10-01 21:40:18+00

9-15-21 v16A SD	Like	<p>I would like to thank the Commission for drawing a state Senate district that includes the cities of Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw – also known as the Tri Cities. These 3 cities are an obvious community of interest who all have industrial based businesses, a shared tri city airport, several shared media outlets, regional colleges and universities, and together we are considered the Great Lakes Bay Area – . That is what I believe is considered a community of interest.</p>	Cindy J Weir	2021-10-01 21:35:01+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike	<p>I added a comment that was pinned to 11, it should be district 12 The Committee should be aware that the Midland culture is very different than the Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint culture. Proper representation is vital for each community.</p>	cheryl scales	2021-10-01 20:54:38+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike		Elizabeth Scott	2021-10-01 20:44:28+00

9-30-21 HD	Dislike	<p>Keep all of Plainfield township in one district. It should be in 99. The City of Rockford, Courtland twp, Plainfield Twp, Cannon and Algoma twps have common planning and infrastructure projects, we should all be together in district 99.</p>	cheryl scales	2021-10-01 20:42:47+00
9-29-21 Eid v2a CD	Like	<p>This makes sense. Keep the City of Rockford with Kent County and Grand Rapids. It would be better if Algoma and Courtland Township were with this area too</p>	cheryl scales	2021-10-01 20:38:51+00
9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	<p>Do not like city of Midland broken away from Midland county and our watershed. Flooding is major issue for our city and solutions need to work with our county as river flows through entire county. Tri cities is just a name. Please pay attention to physical geography. Watershed remediation and flood recovery are long term multi million dollar issues that vastly outweigh other considerations.</p>	jane scott	2021-10-01 20:37:40+00
09-09-21 v9 SD	Dislike	<p>Do not like Midland county broken up and city of Midland separate from watershed.</p>	jane scott	2021-10-01 20:33:14+00

09-09-21 v10 SD	Dislike	Do not like breaking up Midland County. City of Midland needs to stay with watershed. Tri cities is just a name. We are physically tied together with our upstream watershed neighbors.	jane scott	2021-10-01 20:32:25+00
9-15-21 v15A SD	Dislike	Do not like breaking city of Midland away from watershed. Tri cities is just a name. We are tied geographically to the watershed and is a major long term issue for our city.	jane scott	2021-10-01 20:30:40+00
9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Like	I like how you kept the City of Rockford with Plainfield Township. We associate with Grand Rapids and Plainfield Township. It would be better if you added Courtland and Algoma Township to district 3, we have a lot of joint planning and infrastructure projects together	cheryl scales	2021-10-01 20:30:08+00

While this map isn't perfect, it is good to see the MCRC following through on its promise to listen to locals and take COI into account. Keeping the Tricity metro area together as an economic unit and then tying it together with the Flint area gives our historically close community, real representation. Our residents spend money throughout the region. Workers commute between the communities whether it be on their way to Dow, Michigan Sugar, or one of the auto manufacturers. There seems to be some push to pretend Midland is "rural" and belongs with a vast stretch of low population counties. Dow Chemical is a global company that employs thousands of workers throughout the proposed district. These workers deserve to be kept together and their economic interests

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

Jacob Hilliker

2021-10-01 20:28:29+00

9-14-21 v14A	Dislike	Don't like Midland County broken up. Our major issue is flood recovery and planning. We need to be part of district that includes the water flowing into our city!	jane scott	2021-10-01 20:28:05+00
9-14-21 v14 SD	Dislike	Do not like Midland county broken up. "Waters" down our flood recovery issues which will be long term and needs focused representation on the watershed area.	jane scott	2021-10-01 20:26:32+00
9-8-21 v8 SD	Dislike	Don't like breaking up Midland County. This ignores geographic reality that city of Midland is part of watershed and needs our representation to focus on flood issues with neighboring counties.	jane scott	2021-10-01 20:24:55+00
9-15-21 v16A SD	Like	The way the 33rd senate distract includes more rural northern areas of Kent county and places them with other rural areas to me makes great sense. Thank you for the work the commission is doing.	Robert Doyle	2021-10-01 20:24:32+00

The City of Rockford is a strong partner with Plainfield, Cannon, Courland and Algoma Townships. This proposed map separates us from our partners ( including our membership in our shared wastewater plant) and aligns us with rural townships we have no shared issues with. The City of Rockford needs to be with Plainfield Township, Grand Rapids ( where most of our citizens work) and Kent County! This alignment assures us that we will never have representation that meets our Community profile and needs. Reconsider this and add us with district 11

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike

cheryl scales

2021-10-01 20:24:11+00

Don't like because it breaks up Midland County. I have lived in City of Midland for over 30 years. Our city is at the bottom of the watershed and needs our representation to work with entire Midland, Gladwin and Arenac county to work on flood issues.

09-07-21 v7 SD

Dislike

jane scott

2021-10-01 20:23:29+00

Yes, keeping Midland, Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint together along with adjacent rural communities makes good sense. The population in District 9 frequents these areas whether they consider themselves rural or urban. This is a fair approach with the balance of city, industrial, agrarian, and tourist locations.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

Christina S Pashby

2021-10-01 20:06:05+00

Let me say that I live in  
Homer Township, about 3  
miles south of Sanford.  
However, I connect with  
Midland for schools, doctors,  
exercise programs, church  
and shopping. I know friends  
who live in Sanford would say  
the same because I see them  
in those places. For medical  
specialists, I head to Bay City  
or Saginaw. For more  
extensive shopping, to  
Saginaw or Flint. For regional  
church gatherings, I head to  
Flint or Clarkston. Those are  
all part of my "home" area.  
Even though I am equidistant  
between Mt. Pleasant and  
Saginaw, it never crosses my  
mind to go to Mt. Pleasant for  
doctors or shopping. They are  
not part of my Tri-City  
community. Without  
including Saginaw and Flint,  
we would be a pretty white-  
washed district. I appreciate  
the unity of interest

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

represented by this map as Pamela Buchholz

2021-10-01 19:45:00+00

This is a great map, representing a true community of interest - the Tri Cities. The tri-cities, Midland-Bay-Saginaw are called the tri-cities for a reason. We are a community. We share an economic based, a medical system, and arts community, and our schools are connected through sports. Our airport is even called "MBS" - Midland/Bay/Saginaw. Any other map in this area that does not include the 3 cities would not be a community of interest. Let's stick to the plan of COS and competitiveness and choose a map like this.

9-15-21 v16A SD

Like

Sarah Schulz

2021-10-01 19:40:13+00

I know some adjustments will be made in the next couple of days overall, but I think the Livingston County area was mapped well. The Brighton COI and Howell/Fowlerville COI were kept together. Livingston County was kept as whole as possible. The part outside of a full Livingston district (Tyrone Twp) does have an alignment with Fenton/Linden so it will have representation. These are good, and should stay the same. Thank you.

9-30-21 HD

Like

Dan Wholihan

2021-10-01 19:25:19+00

Thank you for putting this map out for comments. I like the way you've drawn this map, #191. I live in Midland and can assure you that folks who live in the City of Midland (about 50% of the total Midland Country population) do NOT think they are a rural community. We see ourselves as part of the Tri-Cities, as urban/suburban, and this map recognizes that community of interest among the Tri-Cities and includes Flint. You've seen lots of comments describing the many ways we are all linked together. You've also seen concerns expressed about splitting up Midland County from Gladwin due to flood plain mgmt. and recovery"to me those are very important issues; we were flooded out of our house and have only this year managed to complete all our repairs. However, I see that as Lynn Pottenger

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

2021-10-01 19:24:10+00

Thank you! I appreciate our rural areas being kept together in District 8 and our communities of interest being considered, i.e., Raisin River, farming communities and lakes communities, schools, hospitals.

9-20-21 v4 CD

Like

Wendy Chetkovich

2021-10-01 19:14:54+00

Pointsâ€”Congressional  
Districtsâ€”Plan P5715  
By Mark Putnam

1. The Putnam Plan is the best Congressional Districts Planâ€”Plan P5715:
  - a. In the Plan P5715, whole counties are utilized to form Michiganâ€™s 13 Congressional Districts except in the three dense Detroit Area counties.
  - b. In this plan, districts are contiguous and compact.
  - c. Districts created are regular and have a look that is traditional or familiar.
2. First: In Plan P5715, small parts of many broken counties are not used to form larger, new, and unusual districts.
  - a. The borders of each district outside of and even in the Detroit Area are based on historical boundaries, our counties, that are easily

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike

Mark Roger Putnam

2021-10-01 19:08:20+00

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like	Keep,Bay City, Midland and Saginaw with Flint. These communities share a common manufacturing base as well as watershed. Splitting off these urban areas to dilute them with rural areas is not keeping in the spirit of communities of interest.	Linda Weaver	2021-10-01 19:05:53+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions Like	Thank you for recognizing Midland as a vital part of the Tri-Cities. Midland needs a representative that knows the needs of a city just as the rural parts of Midland and Bay Counties need a representative that will fight for their needs.	Molly Morrissey	2021-10-01 18:59:11+00

Cascade Township, on the most inland eastern portion of Kent County has no business being lumped into a lakeshore district reaching down to Stevensville and Michigan's southwestern state line. As a vibrant suburb of the Grand Rapids region it shares far too many regional and suburban resources with Grand Rapids, Kentwood, and Wyoming to be disenfranchised from it's partners and neighbors in Kent County.

9-15-21 v16A SD

Dislike

Jeff Winston

2021-10-01 18:41:11+00

This is much better than previous proposals. Midland should remain with the Tri-Cities for all legislative districts possible and should be with Flint for the congressional districts. There have been hundreds of reasons given why the Tri-Cities and the Tri-Cities + Flint are a COI from their urban/suburban status, to their industrial economic base, to their schools participating with each other, and that's not mentioning the arts community collaborations, shopping, colleges and their collaborations and so much more. Thank you for creating this map and please keep the Tri-Cities and Flint together!

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

Jennifer Austin

2021-10-01 18:34:38+00

Thank you for your work & efforts. I do not think the current lines including the Township of Orion/Lake Orion represent communities of interest at all. We share school districts, churches, businesses & neighborhoods with our 'sister city' of Oxford (which should also have the entire Twp. in one district) as well as Oakland Twp. We should also be in the same district with our other urban neighbors of Oxford, Oakland, Brandon & Leonard. NORTH Auburn Hills could be called at best a borderline similar community but in NO WAY is Bloomfield or Bloomfield township similar to Orion or North Oakland County. We would do better to have the district go West into Village of Clarkston than south to these other communities. I urge you to take another look at these areas & re-draw these lines to better identify with

9-30-21 HD

Dislike

Renée Rapanotti

2021-10-01 18:33:04+00

9-30-21 HD	Opinion	I appreciate that these are attempts to satisfy VRA compliance, but the way northern Detroit and Southeast Oakland county are chopped up doesn't make sense as communities of interest. Ferndale, Pleasant Ridge, Huntington Woods, Oak Park, Berkley, and Royal Oak Township belong together	Jeff Ridsdale	2021-10-01 18:20:00+00
9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Like	This map is very partisanly fair and respects communities of interest. Very fair map, thank you for your hard work	Adele Klink	2021-10-01 17:46:46+00

I am glad to see the tri-cities + Flint combined on this map. A strong case has been made regarding the educational interests of Delta College attendees being included in one District. A strong case has been made regarding the MBS airport as a transportation hub for the region. A strong case has been made by the business and economic interests connecting Dow to the automobile industry in this proposed District. As a resident of Midland, I spend more time and money in Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint than I do in the previous district's geography.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Like

Ellen Wehrman

2021-10-01 17:46:07+00

Sadly, it appears that this was NOT really done by an Independent NON Biased group. You really think these are fair and equal and representative of all communities? As Joe says "Come on Man!" why would you so blatantly put some Conservative communities in with large highly liberal ones. There voices are NOT be represented when 100,000 liberal voters drown out 10,000 conservative ones. Shame on you! Whatever has been done to others by you, will be done to you. Whether by man or by God. Please make this redistricting map fair for everyone!

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike

Maryann P Fisher

2021-10-01 17:38:12+00

Please keep our small town communities together! Our small towns in Van Buren are very similar and share many interests. Their communities spread into the outlying rural areas and border one-another. It would be a disservice to break them apart. Van Buren county is one big cohesive community. Breaking it up makes no sense whatsoever.

9-28-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Ray Martin

2021-10-01 17:35:09+00

Please keep our small town communities together! Our small towns between Allegan and Van Buren are very similar and share many interests. Their communities spread into the outlying rural areas and border one-another. It would be a disservice to break them apart. Lawton, Paw Paw, Allegan, Plainwell and Otsego are a few examples of small town communities in this region that should not be separated. A sensible Senate district can include everything from Decatur, up to Allegan, over to Wayland, and back down to Lawton within a contiguous area that forms a community of interest.

9-15-21 v16A SD

Dislike

Ray Martin

2021-10-01 17:30:52+00

The Congressional map for #5 is an excellent configuration for the future of our area and could make our representation much more responsive to all our community which is the hope of Proposal 18-2. Calls to keep Midland with the rural counties are calls to keep the status quo. Michiganders voted for fair maps in 2018 -- we are tired of representatives in comfortable districts that let them ignore our issues. This configuration keeps the entire eastern part of the watershed together. It is also relatively compact and keeps our communities of interest together. This is a fair map for our area. Thank you and good luck to us all!

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD Like

Bonnie Jill Haver-Crissman

2021-10-01 17:00:43+00

Definition of gerrymander. Looks like Mickey Mouse's ears on either side, North & South

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike

Anthony Scannell

2021-10-01 17:00:30+00

This is probably the best map I've seen so far. It isn't perfect, but that's okay, as there is no such thing. But, this goes a long way toward addressing a lot of comments that I've read. Apparently, you've been listening. I did notice some population discrepancies between your data and Dave's Redistricting. And, there is Chester Township in Ottawa County that seems to have been separated from both the 3rd and the 6th. That shouldn't be. I have kind of remedied that situation, and the population on a duplicate map in Dave's redistricting (<https://davesredistricting.org/join/84dbf07c-a6d0-4a50-80da-32c3ac365286>). So, I would say this particular map is very close and probably a keeper, with a couple minor adjustments perhaps.

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD Like

Jack Bengtsson

2021-10-01 16:49:13+00

I oppose the configuration of this map, especially the separation of Midland county from Gladwin county, Isabella county and Gratiot county!!

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike

Carl L Hamann

2021-10-01 16:46:04+00

First, this is an extremely difficult job and applaud your warm and respectful treatment of each other in these very contentious times. You are a model for all of us! Thank you!

I strongly approve of the districts you have drawn for #32 and #51 for House Districts! For once #32 as drawn would keep Midland County complete in a House District and the Gladwin townships are a good fit as they are in the same watershed affected by the flooding. Also, Gladwin residents shop in Midland and have several direct routes to Midland. #51 Keeps Mt. Pleasant and Alma, are both college towns with lots of connections as well. Please consider taking a hard look at the Fair Maps -- AFL-CIO maps with 0% Efficiency Gap -- they may help you address the pleas of

9-30-21 HD

Like

Jill Haver-Crissman

2021-10-01 16:35:34+00

Dear Commissioners, thank you for submitting another map for our consideration, but you don't seem to be listening to us. It appears that you are ignoring the constitution which requires that you use "communities of interest" to draw fair maps. Please let me remind you what the constitution says: The commission shall abide by the following criteria in proposing and adopting each plan, in order of Priority. Then skip to: Article IV Sec. 6, line item (c)

(c) Districts shall reflect the state's diverse population and communities of interest. Communities of interest may include, but shall not be limited to, populations that share cultural or historical characteristics or economic interests. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike

Marcia Blackson

2021-10-01 16:31:17+00

12 looks decent, most of these are rural communities. We def don't want to be lumped in with Grand Rapids. However, looking off to District 11 it is weird that Allendale (a rural) town is lumped into Grand Rapids. Having Grand Valley State University doesn't change the community standards. Allendale is still somewhat rural with some wonderful growth that happens to have a large university presence and also potential many transient voters that aren't going to live there past graduation ie don't have a strong stake in the community.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Opinion

Sandra K Ridders

2021-10-01 16:30:27+00

This would be a great senate map for Midland and the future of our Tri-City area. It is relatively compact and a refreshing demographic mix that addresses the partisan imbalance that for the past 20+ years has allowed our representatives to ignore the voices of many their constituents. We are the City of Modern Explorers and this district might allow us to elect a representative who will address the complex problems of our area instead of just keeping the "things the same" which has greatly contributed to the lack enforcement of regulations and the consequent the flooding you have heard so much about. A district of this configuration would unite us with our economic, cultural, historical and educational interests. I support this senate map.

9-15-21 v16A SD

Like

Jill Haver-Crissman

2021-10-01 16:04:52+00

9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	Muskegon is a unique area and deserves its own unique representation rather than being lumped in with Kent County/Grand Rapids. They are distinctly different populations with different concerns. Muskegon would effectively lose representation as all focus would be on Grand Rapids. City of Midland's need more closely align with the counties and cities to our west. This map does not address that we are part of the flooding district.	Kimberly Lowe	2021-10-01 15:36:12+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike	I'm starting to think that it may not be possible to be VRA-compliant AND have fair partisanship AND unite communities of interest AND draw a map that looks nice. I commend the commission for trying to achieve all of those goals at once, though I agree that the in this version of the Detroit area, VRA compliance seems to have taken precedence and resulted in a weird bacon-mander.	Jaime Vanchura	2021-10-01 15:10:17+00
9-30-21 HD	Like		Christopher Khorey	2021-10-01 15:04:30+00

9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	Why would I want to be a part of Macomb and St Clair County District? Harper Woods should be re-aligned again with the 5 Grosse Pointes again as well as a portion of Detroit to keep the district as part of Wayne County.	Margaret Yee Nelson	2021-10-01 14:56:03+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike	I understand the need for District 1 to extend north of 8 Mile. don't understand the Commission's repeated desire to insert Warren into that district rather than the SE Macomb communities that are much more aligned with Grosse Pointe, Harper Woods, and the east side of Detroit. Not sure what happened here? Why split the Muskegon area into three parts?	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-01 14:38:02+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike	Why are Clarkston, Highland, Waterford and White Lake in a district with Pontiac and Auburn Hills, and Sterling Heights in a district with Rochester and Oakland Township ? There are no COIs here, nor shared schools or economic and social interests.	Christopher Khorey	2021-10-01 14:36:36+00
9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	Map is bad.	Tom	2021-10-01 14:30:33+00

9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike	<p>Hello,          Thanks for submitting another map for our consideration. Unfortunately, this map does not meet the needs of Midland county. It does keep us whole but we are not an urban area and are considered more rural. Also, we need to be included with the counties to the N and W for ease of working on our watershed flooding issues. Thanks for considering our request.</p>	Laura Norcutt	2021-10-01 14:29:54+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Like	<p>This map is good as it keeps Midland County together and with our watershed partner Gladwin county. Midland does not have the urban issues facing Saginaw and Flint and we should be separate in our representation.</p>	jane scott	2021-10-01 14:26:12+00
9-30-21 HD	Dislike	<p>This is atrocious. Look at districts 22, 30 and 39. This is an obvious attempt to shape a district to get the result you want. Exactly what we were supposed to be correcting.</p>	Tom	2021-10-01 14:24:17+00

9-14-21 v14 SD Dislike I am from Battle Creek and am very concerned that my city will be drawn in with Kalamazoo. Kalamazoo has very different interests than my city and will dominate us if we are in the same district. Nathan Halder 2021-10-01 14:22:20+00

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike I really prefer the Version 187, which coupled Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo, and had a "taller" Lake Michigan CD. Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are both mid-sized cities, college towns and have similar community interests than their surrounding rural townships. The Lake Michigan District is all similar lakeshore communities, focused on recreation, tourism and clean water, much more similar to the rural areas East of the Lake. Good work in SW MI, do better in SE Mi. Jim Pedersen 2021-10-01 14:15:58+00

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike	<p>This map is terrible - all the strangely shaped and split communities (especially bad district 4). What COI is there between Eastpointe and Rochester, what are their shared schools/infrastructure and social/economic needs? This is just an obvious attempt to split up districts to get the result you want. Don't the people who live in these communities deserve a voice as well? Worse than what we had before.</p> <p>Midland and Gladwin are connected and have many common interest, one major one right specifically right now its the recovery of the Four Lakes, in those counties. People and the Environment are linked. This map would break a key linkage that would have a significant impact locally in those counties</p>	Tom	2021-10-01 14:15:00+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions Like		David D Kepler	2021-10-01 11:28:12+00

I thought this plan was a decent starting point and made some modifications to do a better job keeping together communities of interest:

<https://districtr.org/plan/567>

37 The districts follow the same general idea here but are more compact/clean.

These changes also greatly improve the map in terms of partisan fairness. Here is the plan score analysis:

<https://planscore.campaignlegal.org/plan.html?20211001T053458.288005776Z>

9-30-21 RAS revisions Opinion

053458.288005776Z

Kris

2021-10-01 06:45:18+00

UP communities of interest are our counties. Please keep them together. Each county has its own sheriff, prosecutor, schools, hospital, and economic and historical identity. Moreover, given the already vast size of UP districts, unnecessarily splitting up counties to be in two separate house districts will decrease citizen access to their already overwhelmed representatives. The counties represented by two different reps will introduce confusion and lessen the ability of local officials to advocate for their citizens at the state level.

9-30-21 HD

Dislike

Jake Putala

2021-10-01 05:49:35+00

I like where you're going with district 10 since it unites a lot of lakeshore communities. I would try a version of 10 that takes in Muskegon, which wouldn't be hard to do since it already goes all the way up to Norton Shores. This would keep Muskegon with lakeshore communities but also areas with Black and Latino populations like Kalamazoo, Benton Harbor, and Holland. To get equal population I would then take out eastern parts of Ottawa like Zeeland, which could be put in the more Grand Rapids-oriented district 11. I also think Warren should be kept with the rest of southern Macomb in district 4. The Black population should be evened out between 1 and 2. And finally, I would move Barry county into 9 or 12 and Shiawassee County into 8.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Opinion

KR

2021-10-01 05:20:19+00

9-15-21 v16A SD	Like	<p>I really like this lakeshore district. My community is a lakefront community. I am from Grosse Pointe Woods, but I also have family in St. Clair Shores, Harrison Township, and Harsens Island in St. Clair County. We all live in lakeshore communities and share the same lake resources. I like that we will have a unified voice to address issues like water levels and their impact on lakefront infrastructure. Thank your for drawing this district.</p>	Elizabeth	2021-10-01 05:00:48+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike	<p>Splitting Oakland county into 7 districts is absurd. There would only be 6 districts across the entire state that don't include part of Oakland county in this map.</p>	Rob	2021-10-01 04:51:08+00

To clarify, the justification for a 90% black VAP district in Detroit is that most neighborhoods in Detroit are over 90% black. Just by keeping neighborhoods together, you will end up with that result. As long as there are a sufficient number of opportunity districts (like 14 - 15 total statewide), African American will win 13% of the seats. This is completely reasonable as they account for 13% of the population. There is no need to hack of communities as the out of state "experts" are

9-30-21 RAS VRA revi Dislike

demanding. test

2021-10-01 04:45:16+00

Splitting Oakland county into 6 separate districts is absurd. Northern Oakland county, in particular, has nothing in common with the thumb.

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD Dislike

Rob

2021-10-01 04:44:41+00

East Lansing should not be in  
with Owosso, Corunna, St  
Johns, Fowlerville etc etc.  
One of the more red areas of  
lower Michigan with enough  
blue added to cancel it all out.  
East Lansing in no way  
represents the rest of the  
district it is in, in this image.

9-15-21 v16A SD

Dislike

Dan Law

2021-10-01 04:44:13+00

wow . I would think the commissioners would be questioning this NEW map and also who exactly came up with it. Honestly this map looks like someones attempt to appease the concern from Midland in the separation of the city of Midland and Midland county.... BUT A CLOSER LOOK,... REVEALS they have tried to attempt to dilute Midland by adding us with Saginaw and Flint. NOT GOOD. We have no shared community of interest at all with them, and I do not believe that anyone has commented that they believe we do. I worked in healthcare for over 40 years.

MidMichigan Health has it's flagship hospital in Midland, and other hospitals in our system are in Clare, Gladwin, Alma, and a few other areas. They are not connected in any way to the hospitals in

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike

Saginaw or Flint. We do not Dennis Quehl

2021-10-01 04:41:36+00

BRUCE ADELSON HAS DONE something that no one else has ever done. He has united Democrats, Republicans, Independents, Black, White, and Brown . . . and everyone else I haven't mentioned. Everyone who is paying attention despises these maps. These maps offend the conscience of ordinary people and experts alike. They hack communities to bits in the name of racial quotas. And, ironically, these maps will be highly unlikely to produce the intended results anyway. By that I mean a sizable number of these districts will be won by suburban white democrats, who are NOT candidates of choice in the primaries. We, the people of the state of Michigan, are universally offended by this out-of-state "expert" who is using the State of Michigan as the guinea pig for his untested and outlandish view Test

9-30-21 RAS VRA revi Dislike

2021-10-01 04:39:57+00

The Great Lakes Bay Region includes Arenac, Gladwin, Clare, Bay, Midland, Isabella, Saginaw and Gratiot. These are the communities that make up the Great Lakes Bay Regional Alliance. This economic alliance come together after careful study of the interconnectedness of these communities. We share a labor force, talent pool, business resources, civic groups, social groups, and economic groups. The rural and the urban work together and strengthen each other. This map slices off Bay City, City of Saginaw, and City of Midland from the rural regions they interact with and puts them with Flint and Genesee county, which they do not share many civic, social, or economic ties with at all. Please do not use.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike

Adam Kroczaleski

2021-10-01 04:39:47+00

This map looks like a huge step backwards compared to many of the others. Lots of gerrymandered squiggly lines, odd shapes, and strange pieces of counties and communities cut off or stuck together. This is not what the people voted for. Please use a different map that does a better job of keeping counties and communities whole while keeping communities of interest together.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike

Arica Gillespie

2021-10-01 04:32:30+00

I have lived in Midland for over 30 years. I strongly dislike dividing Midland County up. We share our watershed issues with Gladwin county and our city has much more in common with our rural neighbors than Saginaw and Flint. Combining the city of Midland with Flint and Saginaw will hurt all involved. Saginaw and Flint need representatives to deal with inner city urban issues and the lead issue. Midland needs help with watershed and flooding issues. Don't dilute our representative's efforts by asking them to deal with completely different issues.

9-20-21 EID v1 CD

Dislike

jane scott

2021-10-01 04:24:19+00

This may be the worst representation of a map for the city of Midland. We would be seperated from Midland County, then put in with metropolatan area such as Saginaw and Flint. This is in direct conflict with our community of interest. My husband's cousins own over 1000 acres of land less than 5 miles north of me on the same road that i get on to to leave my neighborhood. We are a rural community and should not be separated from Midland county north of us as well as Isabella and Gratiot counties. We share nothing in common with Saginaw and Flint,, nor do they share anything in common with us.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike

Mary J Quehl

2021-10-01 04:20:29+00

Do not like at all that Midland County is cut in half. Our watershed exists and drawing artificial lines cutting it up won't change that. We need representation for the whole watershed to fix this multi million dollar, multi year problem.

9-20-21 v1 CD

Dislike

jane scott

2021-10-01 04:16:38+00

9-20-21 v2 CD	Like	I like that this map keeps Midland county whole and with Gladwin and other rural counties.	jane scott	2021-10-01 04:13:12+00
9-20-21 V3 CD	Dislike	This map cuts the city of Midland away artificially from Midland county and the other watershed communities. Our geographical reality is we have been devastated by the flood and need to remain together with the other watershed counties/communities to solve this huge problem. We need the same representation to get us through.	jane scott	2021-10-01 04:10:38+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike	I don't think Flint will adequately address the needs of the Midland community.	Justin Scott	2021-10-01 04:03:35+00
9-29-21 Eid v2a CD	Dislike	Do not like that Midland County is broken up and we are separated from our upstream watershed communities. Midland county needs to remain whole and with Gladwin county.	jane scott	2021-10-01 04:01:53+00

9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike	<p>This map ignores the communities of interest of Midland and Gladwin for flood recovery and watershed management; as well as the agricultural community of interest that benefits from representation that understands the importance of agriculture here in Midland and Central Michigan. Including Manistee and Benzie County with Grand Traverse aligns with cultural and economic similarities. Beyond tourism industry acting as an economic driver in these counties, they have much more cultural connection to each other than Traverse City does with Sault Ste. Marie.</p>	Amanda Oster	2021-10-01 03:58:24+00
9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike		Reed Anderson	2021-10-01 03:45:03+00

I like the current map. Thank you commissioners. I would like to make a response to the comments given by Trenton Berry. In all due respect Midland is not really a part of the tricities since the 2000 census as we are not a metro area and we do not really share a community of interest. I do not understand how it would make sense to carve out Midland Townships and add Saginaw townships to us as a rural community. Taking a county (Saginaw) south of us and splitting it up from those around it and adding it to Midland is like individually and indiscriminately picking counties not even in close proximity and adding them together.

9-29-21 v1 CD

Like

Dennis Quehl

2021-10-01 03:44:33+00

9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	<p>Muskegon County should absolutely NOT be combined with Kent County. Kent County always tries to consider themselves the Lakeshore, and they are not. We live here and DO NOT want it combined! This is redrawing lines for strategic political purposes. Muskegon County has different needs than Grand Rapids and is an hour away. DO NOT DO THIS!!!</p>	Julie Reynolds	2021-10-01 03:44:21+00
9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	<p>I agree with the other commenters that this district contains communities such as Highland, Milford, Waterford, and White Lake, which have no commonalities with Pontiac. This district serves no one.</p>	Lisa	2021-10-01 03:37:58+00

9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike	<p>This map does not keep Midland County with our other watershed counties/communities. Midland is a highly agricultural community and should remain with our rural neighbors. We have little in common with Saginaw and Flint so this map makes no sense and will only hurt Midland and Saginaw and Flint.</p>	jane scott	2021-10-01 03:35:08+00
9-28-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Splitting the city of Manistee from Manistee county doesn't align with industry and transportation. Manistee and Filer Township have common interests with Onekama and Frankfort in the growing tourism industry (i.e., establishment of major beach front hotels and Little River convention center). Manistee also differs from Mason county and others southward because it is not connected to a four lane highway that facilitates different kinds of economic growth.</p>	Reed Anderson	2021-10-01 03:34:00+00

9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>Thank you commissioners for keeping Midland County and the city of Midland together with other rural communities such as Isabella and Gratiot. I think that this map provides for a good representation of these communities of interest. This will also keep the restoration of all these communities that have been affected by the Tittabawasee watershed and suffered significant property damage as well as the loss of Sanford and Wixom Lakes which we all use.</p>	Dennis Quehl	2021-10-01 03:33:58+00
9-30-21 RAS VRA revi	Dislike	<p>I cannot believe that this map is being presented. Please explain how these districts are communities of interest and how they are connected via schools, social, infrastructure or economic issues. This process has gone from ridiculous to offensive.</p>	Lisa	2021-10-01 03:33:47+00

Anyone who lives in this area can tell you that Midland does NOT belong in the same district as Saginaw and Flint. They are completely different communities and deserve different representation. Just as an example - Midland County has almost 90,000 acres of farmland! Midland is not a big city like Saginaw and Flint. Please don't carve up the map this way - keep Midland county whole and include it in a district representative of its rural agricultural base.

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike

Jennifer Majorana

2021-10-01 03:33:35+00

Splitting the city of Manistee from Manistee county doesn't align with industry and transportation. Manistee and Filer Township have common interests with Onekama and Frankfort in the growing tourism industry (i.e., establishment of major beach front hotels and Little River convention center). Manistee also differs from Mason county and others southward because it is not connected to a four lane highway that facilitates different kinds of economic growth.

9-29-21 RAS v2 HD Dislike

Reed Anderson

2021-10-01 03:32:38+00

Splitting the city of Manistee from Manistee county doesn't align with industry and transportation. Manistee and Filer Township have common interests with Onkama and Frankfort in the growing tourism industry (i.e., establishment of major beach front hotels and Little River convention center). Manistee also differs from Mason county and others southward because it is not connected to a four lane highway that facilitates different kinds of economic growth.

9-30-21 HD

Dislike

Reed Anderson

2021-10-01 03:30:12+00

This map is horrific. What is going on that Oakland County is diced and sliced in every map you create? Districts from north of Dearborn through Lake Orion are especially bad. How is a very small section of Waterford, from Elizabeth Lake Road to Cass Elizabeth Lake Road from the eastern side of Waterford through Union Lake, in a district with Pontiac (instead of with the rest of the Waterford community), and Lake Orion is in a district with Bloomfield Hills? Why is Southfield in a district with Beverley Hills, but Oak Park is in a district with Berkley, while Royal Oak is in a district with Highland Park? This is ridiculous and worse than any map we have had in the past. Lisa

9-30-21 HD

Dislike

2021-10-01 03:28:40+00

Thank you commissioners for keeping midland county and the city of midland together. Midland does not share community of interests with Bay, Saginaw, and Flint. We are more of a rural district, more aligned with Isabella, Gratiot, and Midland county north of us such as Clare and Gladwin. We are not a metropolitan area. Thank you argain.

9-29-21 v1 CD

Like

Mary J Quehl

2021-10-01 03:26:32+00

The Commission appears to be ignoring the constitution which requires that they use "communities of interest"™ to draw fair maps. Instead it appears that the Commission is trying to dilute the voices of the Midland community by adding them into larger urban centers with Flint and Saginaw. This map ignores the communities of interest of Midland and Gladwin for flood recovery and watershed management; as well as the agricultural community of interest that benefits from representation that understands the importance of agriculture here in Midland and Central Michigan. Midland County is home to more than 500 farms covering 88,000 acres. The needs of our community are different from those of big cities like Saginaw and Flint. Midland County should be kept whole

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike

Cathy Leikhim

2021-10-01 03:26:19+00

9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike	This map focuses so heavily on getting the population distribution correct that it ignores keeping communities together. It also punishes metro areas like Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo, which have one oblong district, rather than bifurcating the metro area to provide a larger voice to the population of those cities in Congress.	David Luther	2021-10-01 03:20:16+00
9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Like	Rather than diving up Kalamazoo County and Calhoun County, keep them whole. Take the bottom strip from Kalamazoo County and use it to fill in the rest of Calhoun.	Sonja Marie Patrick	2021-10-01 03:18:47+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike	This map is terrible. Why does every map carve up communities and contain oddly shaped districts with communities who share no interests in them? Do better.	Lisa	2021-10-01 03:18:11+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions	Like	I like this map. District 7 considers our communities and needs with the other Districts	Sonja Marie Patrick	2021-10-01 03:16:46+00

9-29-21 RAS v2 HD Like Assuming that district 26 is drawn to include the entirety of Troy (I'm not 100% sure of our southern border), I support this portion of the map. Pamela Knapp 2021-10-01 03:04:51+00

9-28-21 v1 HD Dislike Same thing I say on all the maps. I have no idea if they are fair or not, since this information isn't tabulated for me here. Voters who should decide on who has the majority in the state house, not geography. This is especially true in a relatively evenly divided state like Michigan, where voters should actually get what they voted for. A map I made in an hour or two does this. <https://districtr.org/plan/50744>. Is this true for this plan as well? No idea. Someone clearly knows, but it isn't readily accessible to the public. Josh Vermaas 2021-10-01 02:16:15+00

9-29-21 RAS v2 HD	Dislike	<p>I'm going to say the same thing on each map. I have no way of evaluating if the map is fair, since it can't be imported into districtr. I liked playing with that tool, since I could evaluate for myself if the recent vote shares are representative of the votes for the whole state.</p> <p><a href="https://districtr.org/plan/50744">https://districtr.org/plan/50744</a></p>	Josh Vermaas	2021-10-01 02:06:28+00
9-30-21 HD	Dislike	<p>I have no idea if this is a fair map or not, since I can't evaluate partisanship. It was trivial for me to make a map where vote share and seat shares are very well correlated together.</p> <p><a href="https://districtr.org/plan/50744">https://districtr.org/plan/50744</a> From this representation of the data, I can't tell if this is a fair map or not, and that's dumb.</p>	Josh Vermaas	2021-10-01 02:01:37+00

9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	<p>Map as drawn puts the City of Troy inexplicably in with multiple cities on the eastside. These are not communities of shared interests. Troy has great diversity and shares much more in common with cities to the south and to the west. From a perspective of representation, placing Troy outside it's county and with areas that have different priorities, effectively silences the voices of a majority of Troy citizens.</p>	Lori Roach	2021-10-01 01:57:03+00
9-29-21 Eid v2a CD	Dislike	<p>This map should not be considered at all. It splits Midland in half. Midland County should remain whole and aligned to Gladwin County in any version of this map. Anything less will result in a major setback to the flood recovery and management process.</p>	Cathy Leikhim	2021-10-01 01:35:01+00
9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Like	<p>This version of the map - anchoring Southfield in district 12 is essential to unpacking Oakland County.</p>	Noah Arbit	2021-10-01 01:34:51+00

9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike	Having lived in the upper peninsula most of my life it would be nice if the U.P. was split from the travers area we have little in common and different need and wants. The upper peninsula needs to have it own representation	Rebecca L Anderson	2021-10-01 01:17:34+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Opinion	In any southwestern Michigan district, Berrien County needs to be kept whole and Niles should definitely be included. I also think Berrien, Van Buren and Kalamazoo counties form a solid district along the I-94 corridor, much like the old 6th district. Ferndale belongs with the other communities of southeast Oakland County	Laurence Funk	2021-10-01 01:12:45+00
9-29-21 RAS v2 HD	Dislike		Jeff Ridsdale	2021-10-01 01:02:44+00
9-30-21 RAS revisions	Dislike	I really appreciate the work of the commission and the amount of options we get to look at. This one feels like it misses the mark, like communities are being chopped district by district to get a certain political result	Jeff Ridsdale	2021-10-01 00:45:47+00

Redistricting Commission  
notes 9.30.21

My name is Phillip Reid and I live in the Clarkston area of North Oakland County. Referring to Congressional District Map 187 of 9.29.21: In regard to the districts as proposed North Oakland County which is mainly "bedroom" communities has strong associations with Flint and Genesee counties, and has little in common with the Northeastern part of the state.

I believe Tuscola County has much more in common with the Thumb Area than North Oakland County has and I would recommend you revise the CD maps with this in mind.

9-29-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Phillip Reid

2021-09-30 22:38:29+00

I have the utmost respect for this commission and the difficult job you are taking on, but map is atrocious. This sort of obviously contrived tentacle reaching out to grab a certain demographic is exactly the reason we took this responsibility away from politicians. Please don't carve up Muskegon like a turkey and use our parts to engineer certain outcomes in other districts. I can't imagine this would pass VRA muster and certain doesn't pass the smell test.

Muskegon County is a single community of interest with a common shared identity. Please keep our entire county in one district, connect us with other lakeshore communities, not with a giant inland metropolis like GR who would dominate us and with whom we have little or nothing in common. Thank

Ted Dyson

9-30-21 RAS revisions Dislike

2021-09-30 22:30:10+00

9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>This map looks good in relation to Midland county. It keeps the county governing body together, and includes Midland with the watershed to the N and W so we can more easily address our flooding issues. Thanks for you hard work pulling together everyone's ideas.</p> <p>Oops my above suggestion is in error. There isn't much of Tuscola included. I'll leave balancing the population to the Commission.</p>	Laura Norcutt	2021-09-30 22:27:00+00
9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Dislike		Carol Heron	2021-09-30 21:46:04+00

I am less in favor of this map compared to others that include the Tri-cities. It's obvious that pains were taken to leave The city of Midland out of this map. I would prefer that Midland City is included. The tri-Cities is treated as a unit by many concerns, including commerce, religious communities, transportation, higher education, media, watershed, DHS. Please stick with one of the maps that includes the tri-cities, Flint, Genesee County, Bay and Saginaw Counties. Removing Tuscola County would enable the right population with minor tweaks of Shiawasee or even Arenac County.

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD Dislike

Carol Heron

2021-09-30 21:40:37+00

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Dislike	<p>While dipping the 11th over into Oakland does make sense, Macomb Twp and Chesterfield have very little to do with anything north of the 24-mile road (the border with Lennox Twp. you have a very Urban/Suburb area of single-family homes and shopping centers and that ends right at 24 where you hit farms. and there isn't anyone driving north unless it on 94 to Port Huron or taking 23 until it turns into dixie highway headed toward Algonac Leelanau and T.C. are better put together, especially with the agricultural similarities of the two peninsulas</p>	Bill Wilson	2021-09-30 21:19:27+00
9-28-21 v1 HD	Like		Todd Wilson	2021-09-30 20:54:07+00
9-29-21 Eid v2a CD	Like	<p>I think this map is quite an improvement. It keeps the tri-cities/counties together with Flint/Genesee which satisfies our community of interest. Further our CD is across 4 counties, not eight, which is another improvement. For federal representation, this map makes good sense.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	Carol Heron	2021-09-30 20:48:40+00

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD	Like	I like this district that Commissioner Eid has created. It keeps Midland with the Tri-Cities and Flint. I know there are many comments about keeping Midland whole though. A suggestion would be to take townships out of western Saginaw County rather than western Midland County. Whatever happens with that, I agree with this configuration or the one that I stated previously.	Trenton Berry	2021-09-30 20:35:34+00
9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Dislike	Why is Muskegon being split? This zoning makes much more sense than previous versions that lump oxford into lansings district.	steven sioma	2021-09-30 20:17:38+00
9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Like	Oxford and Lake Orion are distinct communities that have little to nothing to do with Auburn hills, this is a very odd shape that makes little sense. Please keep Oxford, Orion separate from Auburn Hills.	Devon Sioma	2021-09-30 20:11:05+00
9-29-21 RAS v2 HD	Dislike		Steve Sioma	2021-09-30 20:10:36+00

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD	Like	<p>Great job, commissioners. Thank you for keeping the Grand Rapids metro area within its own Congressional district. This is obviously a COI that should be kept intact @ the Congressional level.</p>	Barbara	2021-09-30 20:10:10+00
9-29-21 RAS v2 HD	Dislike	<p>Chesterfield and New Baltimore are more connected to the towns and cities to the north/northwest than they are to the east. Towns like Lenox, Richmond, Armada, and Ray. It would make sense to keep Chesterfield township together in one district, Harrison and Chesterfield are completely disconnected due to Selfridge.</p>	Drew Disbrow	2021-09-30 20:09:16+00
9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	<p>The proposed boundary proposes to combine three counties, numerous cities that have vastly different social and economic needs. I am concerned that voices of Northeast Detroit will be lost even more and have even less representation.</p>	Sherisse M. Butler Butler	2021-09-30 20:09:16+00

9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	This is a much more reasonable Congressional map for West Michigan. It creates a lakeshore district and maintains the Grand Rapids Metro area completely as a COI within its Congressional district. Keep up the good work!	Barbara	2021-09-30 20:07:37+00
9-29-21 RAS v2 HD	Dislike	Do not split up Oxford, we are a non-partison community of interest and doing so would be agains the very rules used to devlope the new zones. I am concerned that the proposal moves Canton in to another district and clubbing it with cities in the Washtenaw county. I urge to keep Novi and Canton together in the same District. Thanks in advance for the consideration.	Devon Sioma	2021-09-30 20:04:28+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	You have an empty precinct here. Is this meant to go with district 7?	Sumanth Makunur	2021-09-30 18:51:42+00
9-29-21 Eid v2a CD	Opinion		Benjamin Greene	2021-09-30 18:46:53+00

9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	<p>Pontiac should not be included with Highland, Milford, and White Lake. Similar to another comment, there is really no connection between these communities and it does dilute the vote in these areas.</p>	Scott Stewart	2021-09-30 18:38:07+00
9-15-21 v16A SD	Like	<p>Pontiac should not be included with Highland, Milford, and White Lake. Similar to another comment, there is really no connection between these communities and it does dilute the vote in these areas.</p> <p>It would make sense for Auburn Hills to be engaged with Pontiac instead of included in this weird map. There is a lot of connection here, including with the school district.</p>	Scott Stewart	2021-09-30 18:37:45+00
9-29-21 RAS v2 HD	Dislike	<p>Pontiac should not be included with Highland, Milford, and White Lake. Similar to another comment, there is really no connection between these communities and it does dilute the vote in these areas.</p>	Scott Stewart	2021-09-30 18:35:44+00

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Opinion	<p>As noted, I think the other map from this day (#187) would make more sense for this area of Oakland County. Southfield has a lot of connection to Southern Oakland County, particularly to its east. I think it should be added to District 9. Rochester and Troy are well connected. However, I do think Novi and Northville are good to be connected like it is here.</p> <p>Don't like how you separated north oakland county and cut it out of our old district. Lake Orion and Clarkston are nothing like all the farm towns in the thumb area. The design of the district is all over the place.</p>	Scott Stewart	2021-09-30 18:33:59+00
9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Dislike	<p>I think including Southfield with its other southern Oakland County communities makes the most sense. It is very much aligned there, particularly with Ferndale, Oak Park, etc.</p>	Theresa Nallamotheu	2021-09-30 18:33:10+00
9-29-21 Eid v2a CD	Opinion		Scott Stewart	2021-09-30 18:31:52+00

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Dislike	Please revise this district as it makes no sense to group border communities together for the sole purpose that they are border communities. This district literally fits zero redistricting criteria that I am aware of.	Bob Wolfer	2021-09-30 17:48:58+00
9-15-21 v15A SD	Dislike	<p>This map continues the practice from the Congressional map of packing as many southern border communities into as few districts as possible?</p> <p>What is the purpose for this? What do these communities all have in common apart from, by chance, being located on the state's border? Pretend you're elected to represent District 20 and a constituents asks you "where is you district?" How do you respond?</p>	Bob Wolfer	2021-09-30 17:46:32+00
9-15-21 v15A SD	Dislike	This district needlessly, and inexcusably, crosses over four county lines. Why?	Bob Wolfer	2021-09-30 17:45:09+00

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Dislike	If the commission insists on drawing districts apparently exclusively to include two urban areas (one can only see this as a partisan gerrymander) why maintain any pretense of geographical continuity? Cut out the rural 'bridge' and just make a district out of urban islands.	Luke Dornon	2021-09-30 17:23:17+00
9-29-21 Eid v2a CD	Dislike	If the commission insists on drawing districts apparently exclusively to include two urban areas (one can only see this as a partisan gerrymander) why maintain any pretense of geographical continuity? Cut out the rural 'bridge' and just make a district out of urban islands.	Luke Dornon	2021-09-30 17:23:04+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Dislike	If the commission insists on drawing districts apparently exclusively to include two urban areas (one can only see this as a partisan gerrymander) why maintain any pretense of geographical continuity? Cut out the rural 'bridge' and just make a district out of urban islands.	Luke Dornon	2021-09-30 17:21:44+00

9-29-21 RAS v2 HD	Dislike	District 30 is disjointed. The community or Orion is more aligned with Oxford, Oakland, Independence, and maybe the north part of Auburn Hills- but not a small piece of Oxford, Auburn hills and the Bloomfield's. The roads, pathways, local governments, school districts, economy, community of interests, rural verses city setting are all different	Donni Steele	2021-09-30 17:13:06+00
9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	Why are Highland Twp, Milford Twp, and Pontiac in the same district? These communities have little in common with each other, and this grouping only serves to dilute the votes on all these residents.	Everett Keyser	2021-09-30 16:20:32+00
9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	Grosse Pointe/Harper Woods should not be separated from Wayne County in this proposed redistricting.	Monica Hubbard	2021-09-30 16:13:30+00

9-15-21 v16A SD Like As a Muskegon County resident, I think this division of Muskegon County makes sense. It keeps the lakeshore area together with regions north and keeps the eastern part of the county, an area with more rural farming interests, with similar regions in Newaygo and northern Kent Counties. Jennifer Reeths 2021-09-30 16:06:09+00

9-15-21 v16A SD Dislike Why are all the communities along Lake St. Clair grouped together? We have the water in common, but that's it. Politically, these communities are very different. It's a once-in-a-lifetime event when I travel up to New Baltimore, Algonac, or Marine City. I'm much more likely to go to neighboring Harper Woods, Eastpointe, or the east side of Detroit. Everett Keyser 2021-09-30 15:54:23+00

Midland should be part of the Tri-Cities to keep our communities of interest together. As many have stated, they want to keep Midland County whole. So here is an idea, instead of carving out the townships in Midland County, why not take out some of the western townships in Saginaw County. It keeps the Midland whole, and puts some rural areas of Saginaw with other rural areas.

9-29-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Trenton Berry

2021-09-30 15:32:56+00

I want to thank the commissioners for recognizing that Arenac County is part of the Great Lakes Bay Region and is closing aligned to Bay County in their Congressional Map #187. Please consider recognizing and keeping our communities of interest together in the senate maps as well.

9-15-21 v16 SD

Dislike

Adam Kroczaleski

2021-09-30 15:28:24+00

I want to thank the commissioners for recognizing that Arenac County is part of the Great Lakes Bay Region and is closing aligned to Bay County in their Congressional Map #187. Please consider recognizing and keeping our communities of interest together in the senate maps as well.

9-15-21 v16B SD

Dislike

Adam Kroczaleski

2021-09-30 15:27:48+00

I want to thank the commissioners for recognizing that Arenac County is part of the Great Lakes Bay Region and is closing aligned to Bay County in their Congressional Map #187. Please consider recognizing and keeping our communities of interest together in the senate maps as well.

9-15-21 v16A SD

Dislike

Adam Kroczaleski

2021-09-30 15:25:47+00

9-20-21 v4 CD	Like	<p>If I have clicked on the correct button for my district which includes the City of Jackson in which I live, I wish to thank you for what I believe to be a fair representation of my community. I cannot speak for those several outlying areas that got included which are not in Jackson county, but I do believe that we in Jackson county benefit from working together and being represented together. Thank you.</p>	Mark Preston Mathias	2021-09-30 15:19:20+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>I would like to thank the commissioners for seeming to take the public comment they heard on Wednesday seriously. I spoke during public comment about the strong ties Arenac and Bay Counties have and how they should be kept together. This map does just that. I also heard public comment from folks asking for Midland and Ottawa counties to be kept whole as well, and this map achieves that as well. Job well done!</p>	Adam Kroczaleski	2021-09-30 15:18:59+00

I oppose the current drawing of State Senate #20. Southeastern Kent County has different political issues than the lakeshore communities that are included in this district. The communities of Alto and Lowell appear to be divided into 3 different State Senate districts (20, 21, & 33) in this proposal. I thought the entire point of this commission was to end gerrymandering and allow people to have better regional representation? How does dividing Alto & Lowell into 3 different State Senate Districts make any sense especially when the 20th is being added to a Senate District that covers multiple counties and the lakeshore? State Senate districts 20 & 21 should be redrawn.

9-13-21 v1 SD

Dislike

Daniel Oesch

2021-09-30 15:18:42+00

Thank you again for taking comments. This map does keep most of the Lake Saint Clair communities in one district, but I would like the five Grosse Pointe (and perhaps harper woods) moved out of district 13 and into district 8, District 8 could give up some northern Oakland County areas (two complaints from there, and District 13 could include more of the City of Detroit, which is clearly a community of shared

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD

Opinion

interest

Gregory Spangler

2021-09-30 15:16:44+00

The proposed map to split Redford Township into 3 districts is ridiculous. Splitting such a small tight knit community into three districts would create an administrative nightmare to print and distribute ballots. The entire Township is 11.2 square miles. To split or this or any small township or city makes no sense. The division, confusion and administrative costs far outweigh any benefit.

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD

Dislike

Linda K Jackson

2021-09-30 15:06:29+00

9-20-21 EID v1 CD Dislike Standish is a whole other world, its not the same as the rest of the counties on this map. With all due respect has the commission traveled our region? Keep us north of 55 if possible. Dave Cooksey 2021-09-30 14:45:35+00

9-20-21 v2 CD Like Battle Creek does not belong with Kalamazoo. The people trying to pair us are trying to bully us and take away our voice. The Kalamazoo-Portage Metropolitan Statistical consists of Kalamazoo and Van Buren County. By districting us the way the commission is, will have no effect on those trying to push us together for partisan reasons, but if you change the lines to better suit 1 party, then it will have a detrimental effect for thousands who will have no representation. Why are they trying to self pack themselves and then cry over gerrymandering. Sonja Marie Patrick 2021-09-30 14:22:56+00

9-15-21 v16A SD	Like	<p>The Grosse Points and Harper Woods have always been more linked to the northern suburbs than they are to the more urban Detroit. The needs and concerns are quite different and it would be great to have representation that would the better reflects those concerns.</p> <p>I like that my community stays in tact. I was worried the lines wouldn't be fair but this looks pretty good and I feel we would be represented in a fair way.</p>	Ronald Tolfree	2021-09-30 14:07:26+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Like	<p>Thank you</p>	Richard Arno	2021-09-30 14:05:42+00

This 4th district is very odd, with the attempt to link the GR and Kazoo urban areas along the 131 corridor. It looks like you're trying to get one, and maybe two, possible Democratic districts out of this, something I would expect from a Democratic-run redistricting process in the legislature, if it was so controlled. But you can do better with 4, 9, and 13. Most people don't think partisan--they think job, home, local school, local shopping, etc., all arguing for geographically compact districts, as much as possible even in rural and small town areas. The lack on the commission of deep familiarity with western Michigan is so apparent, and so disappointing.

9-29-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Doug Koopman

2021-09-30 14:03:32+00

This is a great map! For the first time, it creates a contiguous Lakeshore district from the state border through Muskegon County. It respects existing borders and communities of interest. With the inclusion of Muskegon to Benton Harbor/St. Joe, Grand Haven-Holland-Georgetown, and Allegan-Saugatuck-South Haven can speak with a voice that aligns with our shared economic and environmental interests! Well done.

9-29-21 v1 CD

Like

Brian Burch

2021-09-30 14:00:11+00

The absurdity of these EID maps are beyond belief. These two maps (V2A and V2B) carve up the Lakeshore in a way that appears strategic, which begs the question, "why?" They are structured and gerrymandered to ignore communities of interest, even neighborhoods! It appears to intentionally drive a wedge through Georgetown Township, the largest community in Ottawa County. District 3 appears to gerrymander a map that gives an unfair advantage to a political party.

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD Dislike

Brian Burch

2021-09-30 13:55:40+00

Just simply chiming in to agree that Arenac shouldn't be included. That there needs to be another option. Having Standish in our Senate District doesn't makes sense. Having Wexford makes a bit more sense, barely.

09-07-21 v7 SD Dislike

Jayne Germond

2021-09-30 13:43:54+00

It's impossible to comment on the whole map because there is no data regarding whether you have eliminated disproportionate partisan advantage that has been in every map so far. The Lansing region is poorly drawn. By packing heavily Democratic Delta Township in with Ingham County, you add to the disproportionate partisan advantage of the GOP by turning the purple Eaton County District red. Better approach would be to add southern ingham County to District 92 and put Delta Township and Grand Ledge into 94.

9-29-21 RAS v2 HD

Opinion

Chris Andrews

2021-09-30 13:32:15+00

Thanks for your hard work! Unfortunately, I can't give meaningful input because I don't have access to whether this continues to give a disproportionate advantage to one party. If anyone has a link to PlanScore, that would be helpful!

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD

Opinion

Chris Andrews

2021-09-30 13:22:22+00

9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	I approve of this map. It make sense to put community that are geographically aligned together. Theses areas are developed over time with common interests. That's what a community is made up of , people with common interests.	Carl L Hamann	2021-09-30 13:09:12+00
9-15-21 v16A SD	Like	As a Grosse Pointe Park resident, I love this map! Thank you for creating a district to reflect our Lake St. Clair community! We will finally have a voice in Lansing to address stewardship of our lake, water levels, lakefront infrastructure, and issues related to combined sewer and storm-water overflows. I cannot thank you enough for giving us this voice!	Erin Dindoffer	2021-09-30 13:08:32+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Like	Appreciate this set of maps working to keep more counties whole. The northern counties tend to have more in common via this than other issues I have seen. Appreciate Midland being whole and aligned with the more similar counties to the West.	Christian Velasquez	2021-09-30 12:32:25+00

What a horrible map. Why would you put the Grosse Pointes and Harper Woods with \*Marine City\*?? I thought this process was supposed to empower communities of interest. Iâ€™ve never set foot in those faraway suburbs and their interests are quite different from the interests of inner-ring suburbs. Please keep the Grosse Pointes and Harper Woods with Detroit or at least with cities like Eastpointe, Roseville, and St. Clair Shores. We have nothing in common with the rural areas with which we have been randomly clumped together and much more in common with Detroit, where we work, attend university, recreate, and shop.

9-15-21 v16A SD

Dislike

Louise Bacon

2021-09-30 12:30:52+00

9-29-21 RAS v2 HD Like The Livingston County area was drawn well. The Brighton area is all in one district. The 55th covers the Howell and Fowlerville areas well, along with the rest of Livingston County. Population keeps a small part of the county's northeast into a different district, but that portion also fits well with Fenton. I have no complains in regard to Livingston County. Dan Wholihan 2021-09-30 12:18:16+00

9-29-21 v1 CD Like This overall map seems to best reflect a better Aligment of Geography and Community of interest , especially for Districts 9 through 12. I think it needs to be recognized that in rural area, there is more reliance on the county structure, and that needs to be factored in community of interest. Certainly for District 13th, in which my family lives, I feel this maps has a good balance of interest around social , environmental and economic factors. David D Kepler 2021-09-30 11:44:32+00

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD	Like	<p>I think this is a much better configuration for Wayne county than in the collaboratively-drawn Congressional map.</p> <p>what a strange set of districts for Western MI! I understand what you're going for with a lakeshore district but it seems like avoiding county-splitting is being prioritized over communities of interest (maybe due to the Ottawa comments pushed by the county Republicans). The result is this odd district where Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo are cut out of their respective counties and connect through a very rural part of Barry because that's what you're left with. This doesn't make much sense. The configurations with Grand Rapids-Muskegon and Kalamazoo-Battle Creek-lakeshore in Eid's maps just make a lot more sense from a community of interest standpoint. I think you should go in that direction instead of sticking with this.</p>	Anthony Scannell	2021-09-30 07:45:18+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Alternatively, you can draw a district that extends along the</p>	KR	2021-09-30 06:35:10+00

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD	Like	<p>Thank you for keeping Battle Creek and Kalamazoo together. Our communities are closely intertwined and we share an airport, watershed council, and several economic development projects. It would be absurd to split us up.</p>	Joey Segal	2021-09-30 05:41:17+00
9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Like	<p>Thank you for keeping Battle Creek with Kalamazoo. Our cities are closely intertwined. We share an airport, watershed council, and several economic development projects. It would be absurd to split us up.</p>	Joey Segal	2021-09-30 05:36:46+00

I live in Norton Shores and work in Muskegon public schools and I strongly support this map. Our school district is a majority students of color including one third Black and 10% Latinx. Nearly 90% of our students receive free or reduced lunch and 25% don't have internet at home. This is the reality of living in a community faced with historical racism and disinvestment. Our kids face real challenges that students in white, more affluent schools generally do not. It is essential that we be grouped with other diverse communities facing similar challenges so that we will have a representative who is fighting for our kids and making sure we have the funding we need to give them the same opportunities their white peers have.

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD Like

#BlackKidsMatter

Latasha Boland

2021-09-30 05:32:25+00

Good drawing of Lansing region, treating it as a unified region. It adds to statewide fairness, which is the most important goal of all of this

9-15-21 v16A SD Like

Chris Andrews

2021-09-30 05:23:50+00

Creates disproportionate  
partisan advantage for Eaton  
County by packing most  
Democratic Delta Township in  
with Lansing. Better idea to  
keep Delta Township in Eaton  
County district and replace  
those voters in the 92d  
District with southern Ingham  
County voters (Aurelius,  
Mason, Onondaga, Leslie,  
Bunker Hill, Stockbridge)

9-28-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Chris Andrews

2021-09-30 05:19:45+00

I live in Norton Shores and work in Muskegon public schools and I strongly support this map. Our school district is a majority students of color including one third Black and 10% Latinx. Nearly 90% of our students receive free or reduced lunch and 25% don't have internet at home. This is the reality of living in a community faced with historical racism and disinvestment. Our kids face real challenges that students in white, more affluent schools generally do not. It is essential that we be grouped with other diverse communities facing similar challenges so that we will have a representative who is fighting for our kids and making sure we have the funding we need to give them the same opportunities their white peers have.

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD Like

#BlackKidsMatter

Latasha Boland

2021-09-30 05:19:22+00

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD	Like	<p>This map is by far the best so far. I strongly encourage the commission to start working off this plan moving forward. It reflects communities of interest so much better than any of the other plans the commission has put forth. It also scores very very well on partisan fairness metrics.</p> <p>Great job!</p>	Kris R	2021-09-30 05:01:32+00
9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	<p>Northern Michigan (which is a single cultural entity) is divided in a strange way. Although the existence of two Northern Michigan districts is absolutely necessary, the county split in this map is a snakelike, nonsensical disarray . The current gerrymandered map does a better job in Northern Michigan.</p> <p>Nothing you can do for us here. You can't even find a Dem on the ballot. This district will always be Republican endorsed by Right to Life, the NRA blah blah blah. Dems are just outnumbered here.</p>	Patrick Snabes	2021-09-30 04:56:20+00
9-29-21 RAS v2 HD	Opinion		Julie Ann Racine	2021-09-30 04:47:26+00

The only suggestion I would make on my area, currently 4 but 2 on this map would be to remove Midland. Nobody gets to be congressman in this district unless they have close ties and are sanctioned by Dow Chemical which means we always get a Republican with a bad environmental record and too much donor money to make it a decent race. Both our Congressman Moolenaar, a former employee of Dow and Dave Camp, married to a Dow lawyer had seats so safe they came with toilet paper and reading material because Dow Chemical makes sure of it. Neither of them had to spend a Dutch nickel in ads or participate in debates. Id' like a real race this time.

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD Like

Julie Ann Racine

2021-09-30 04:34:39+00

Thank you for keeping Midland County whole and for keeping Midland County aligned to a rural, westward-facing District. Please consider the same logic to the Senate maps!

9-29-21 v1 CD Like

Cathy Leikhim

2021-09-30 04:21:09+00

The inner ring suburbs of Ferndale, Pleasant Ridge, Huntington Woods, Oak Park, Berkley, and Royal Oak Township all share 3 school districts and this is my community of interest.

Besides the fact that this House District 18 map is split between Oakland and Wayne County, it is also splitting our school districts. Our communities also share the 9 Mile business district, and the 11 Mile business district. The Jewish communities in Oak Park and Huntington Woods have synagogues on 10 Mile, on Lincoln, and on Woodward. These are all Communities of Interest. The way your map is drawn you would be splitting our cities between 3 different districts and I fear our common

interests would be diluted.

9-29-21 RAS v2 HD Dislike

Mary Ann Fontana

2021-09-30 04:20:25+00

As a resident of northern Oakland County, I don't personally have any issue with being combined with Flint and Genesee County, but the bigger issue with the proposed District 10 is that it also draws in the very rural Lapeer County (which my community does not share any mutual interests with), to create the equivalent of a Republican gerrymander, diluting the Democratic votes in Flint to create a decently red seat out of what could otherwise be a competitive district.

This state map as a whole could easily create an inadvertent 9R-4D split, in large part because of dilutions like the one found in District 10 and the packing of Democratic voters in districts in the Detroit Metro. I'd personally like to see a map that creates more

District 9 can be better drawn to unite the Detroit exurbs in northern and western Oakland County rather than combining them with the thumb in District 10. As someone from Independence Township, I do not have any mutual interests (economic, political, or otherwise) with communities in the thumb, but rather those in Oakland County like Rochester Hills, Novi, Wixom, West Bloomfield, and Pontiac. The population loss in District 10 can be offset by adding Utica, Washington Township, Clinton Township, etc. to 10 and uniting Warren in 6. The new District 9 would unite the Oakland County exurban areas of metro Detroit and would be highly competitive on a partisan level, while still allowing a VRA district to unite Southfield and Detroit. An image of such a proposed district is attached.

9-20-21 v2 CD

Dislike

Alexander Gavulic

2021-09-30 03:47:02+00

District 3 can be better drawn to unite the Detroit exurbs in northern and western Oakland County rather than combining them with the thumb. As someone from Independence Township, I do not have any mutual interests (economic, political, or otherwise) with communities in the thumb, but rather those in Oakland County like Rochester Hills, Novi, Wixom, West Bloomfield, and Pontiac. The population loss in District 10 can be offset by adding Utica, Washington Township, Clinton Township, etc. to 10 and uniting Warren in 6. The new District 3 would unite the Oakland County exurban areas of metro Detroit and would be highly competitive on a partisan level, while still allowing a VRA district to unite Southfield and Detroit. An image of such a proposed district is attached.

9-20-21 V3 CD

Dislike

Alexander Gavulic

2021-09-30 03:45:20+00

District 3 can be better drawn to unite the Detroit exurbs in northern and western Oakland County rather than combining them with the thumb in District 10. As someone from Independence Township, I do not have any mutual interests (economic, political, or otherwise) with communities in the thumb, but rather those in Oakland County like Rochester Hills, Novi, Wixom, West Bloomfield, and Pontiac. The population loss in District 10 can be offset by adding Utica, Washington Township, Clinton Township, etc. to 10 and uniting the rest of Warren in 6. The new District 3 would unite the Oakland County exurban areas of metro Detroit and would be highly competitive on a partisan level, while still allowing a VRA district to unite Southfield and Detroit. An image of such a proposed

9-20-21 v4 CD

Dislike

Alexander Gavulic

2021-09-30 03:42:24+00

9-14-21 v14 SD	Dislike	I think Eastern Michigan Border Town and Lakefront communities outside of Metro Detroit (around I-94 & M-25, between Harrison Township and Port Huron/Lexington) have mutual interests that should be represented together. Districts 7, 25, and 2 split these interests.	Alexander Karpinski	2021-09-30 03:31:37+00
9-29-21 v1 CD	Opinion	Correction to my initial comment: Utica, Washington Township, Clinton Township, etc. could be added to District 6, not District 11, while 6 would then expand to unite all of Warren. The prior comments also relate to District 3 on this map, not District 9.	Alexander Gavulic	2021-09-30 03:28:13+00
9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Dislike	Why, yet again, are you carving up Oakland County? Why are Holly & Highland Township in a district with the Thumb area? This makes no sense whatsoever. District 9, 10 & 11 (minimally) need to be redrawn. A better option is to start over.	Lisa	2021-09-30 03:27:42+00

District 9 can be better drawn to unite the Detroit exurbs in northern and western Oakland County rather than combining them with the thumb. As someone from Independence Township, I do not have any mutual interests (economic, political, or otherwise) with communities in the thumb, but rather those in Oakland County like Rochester Hills, Novi, Wixom, West Bloomfield, and Pontiac. The population loss in District 10 can be offset by adding Utica, Clinton Township, etc. to 10 and Troy to 11. The new District 9 would unite the Oakland County exurban areas of metro Detroit and would be highly competitive on a partisan level, while still allowing a VRA district to unite Southfield and Detroit. An image of such a proposed district is attached.

9-29-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Alexander Gavulic

2021-09-30 03:21:02+00



The inclusion of the Clarkston and Davisburg areas make sense for the Pontiac and Waterford areas and also grateful that Auburn Hills is included in the same district. However, the White Lake, Highland, and Milford areas do not have a strong connection here. They should be included in a district that is more related to their needs.

9-15-21 v15A SD

Dislike

Scott Stewart

2021-09-30 03:10:14+00

The 36th Senate District is close, but could use a small change. I agree with the other comment that Arenac should not be included in the 36th. For many of the same reasons he listed, I would like you to consider adding the rural areas of Cheboygan County instead. Cheboygan, Otsego, and Presque Isle are in the same ESD. Additionally, Cheboygan is in Heath Department District 4, along with Alpena, Montmorency, and Presque Isle counties. And, finally, the area is rural and it flows well into the surrounding areas, with the exception of Mackinaw City and the City of Cheboygan, the entirety of that county seems to have more in common with the rest of the surrounding counties in the proposed 36th district, than that of Arenac.

09-07-21 v7 SD

Opinion

Sue Fisher

2021-09-30 03:01:32+00

9-28-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Southern Oakland County doesn't make sense. The entire southern part of the county - Oak Park, Hazel Park, Madison Heights, and Ferndale, probably Southfield as well - have changed in significant ways at the same time over the last decade and have major interest in staying together.</p>	Scott Stewart	2021-09-30 03:01:04+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Like	<p>Thank you for listening to our opinions and concerns. I own properties and have several clients in different rural counties. It helps when these areas share common government regulations and concerns. I appreciate your hard work in all of this! Thank you!</p>	David walters	2021-09-30 02:59:45+00
9-20-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>My farm and agricultural issues in Lenawee County are unique to rural areas/counties. Thank you for grouping several of our agricultural areas together for representation.</p>	Margery Neuroth	2021-09-30 02:56:29+00

I appreciate that you have kept Lenawee County included with other border counties in the proposed Congressional District 8 map. These counties all have similar demographics, being largely rural and small town communities. As such they share many of the same challenges. Including them in the same district is important in helping their common needs to be efficiently met. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

9-20-21 v4 CD

Like

Jeffrey Yeutter

2021-09-30 02:56:13+00

District 9 can be better drawn to unite the Detroit exurbs in northern and western Oakland County rather than combining them with the thumb. As someone from Independence Township, I do not have any mutual interests (economic, political, or otherwise) with communities in the thumb, but rather those in Oakland County like Rochester Hills, Novi, Wixom, West Bloomfield, and Pontiac. The population loss in District 10 can be offset by adding Utica, Clinton Township, etc. to 10 and Troy to 11. The new District 9 would unite the Oakland County exurban areas of metro Detroit and would be highly competitive on a partisan level, while still allowing a VRA district to unite Southfield and Detroit. An image of such a proposed district is attached.

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD Dislike

Alexander Gavulic

2021-09-30 02:56:03+00

This map makes sense - that area of Waterford has a lot of connection to the City of Pontiac and follows the M-59 corridor. I do think that Auburn Hills is significantly connected to the City of Pontiac however, as it is one of the cities that impact the Pontiac School District (individuals on the northern border attend Pontiac Schools), used to be Pontiac Township and thus connected to the city, and many individuals that used to live in Pontiac live on the eastern border of Auburn Hills of the city. Additionally, there is strong connection with Oakland University in the City of Pontiac through the OU/Pontiac Initiative, which makes sense to connect the surrounding areas from OU, rather than randomly splitting Auburn Hills into the other district with Bloomfield.

9-28-21 v1 HD

Opinion

Scott Stewart

2021-09-30 02:55:14+00

District 9 can be better drawn to unite the Detroit exurbs in northern and western Oakland County rather than combining them with the thumb. As someone from Independence Township, I do not have any mutual interests (economic, political, or otherwise) with communities in the thumb, but rather those in Oakland County like Rochester Hills, Novi, Wixom, West Bloomfield, and Pontiac. The population loss in District 10 can be offset by adding Utica, Clinton Township, etc. to 10 and Troy to 11. The new District 9 would unite the Oakland County exurban areas of metro Detroit and would be highly competitive on a partisan level, while still allowing a VRA district to unite Southfield and Detroit. An image of such a proposed district is attached.

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD Dislike

Alexander Gavulic

2021-09-30 02:55:07+00

District 9 can be better drawn to unite the Detroit exurbs in northern and western Oakland County rather than combining them with the thumb. As someone from Independence Township, I do not have any mutual interests (economic, political, or otherwise) with communities in the thumb, but rather those in Oakland County like Rochester Hills, Novi, Wixom, West Bloomfield, and Pontiac. The population loss in District 10 can be offset by adding Utica, Clinton Township, etc. to 10 and Troy to 11. The new District 9 would unite the Oakland County exurban areas of metro Detroit and would be highly competitive on a partisan level, while still allowing a VRA district to unite Southfield and Detroit. An image of such a proposed district is attached.

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD Dislike

Alexander Gavulic

2021-09-30 02:53:11+00

I think District 3 mostly makes sense for the City of Pontiac. Including it in a district with Southfield and the southern parts of Oakland County make sense. I do agree that Madison Heights should continue to stick with its Oakland County communities as it has been similar to the changes occurring at the southern part of the county and fits with communities of interest there. This is NOT partisan, my family grew up in Madison Heights and lives in Troy and there's a lot of connection there.

9-20-21 v4 CD

Like

Scott Stewart

2021-09-30 02:49:28+00

I'm glad that the committee kept my small, urban community of Harper Woods joined to our traditional neighbors of the Grosse Pointe communities with whom we share many services and similarities, but the map ties us to agricultural rural communities whose concerns are vastly different. It would have made much more sense to join us with St. Clair Shores, Roseville, Eastpointe and portions of Detroit (Cornerstone Village on the map) because our interests are much more similar. I believe our representation will not reflect the needs of our community. Please reconsider the district lines for the lower portion of District 7 where our urban nature is considerably different from the needs of the more rural communities.

9-15-21 v16A SD

Dislike

Vivian M Sawicki

2021-09-30 02:48:51+00

I like that district 41 does a good job at encompassing similar residential and community areas to mine in Sterling Heights and Utica. However, I think the District 10 area North of 11 Mile Rd. shown here has more in common with Districts 41 and 42 rather than the rest of District 10.

I think that Districts 49 and 19 shown here are good at representing the mutual interests of East Michigan's Border Towns and Lakefront Communities outside of Metro Detroit.

9-29-21 RAS v2 HD Like

Alexander Karpinski

2021-09-30 02:44:04+00

Why are you putting a Wayne Co. community with Macomb Co. We have always been a part of the Detroit community and are appalled that you are completely separating us from them. This appears to still contain the very gerrymandering we were hoping to avoid, and will negate my vote completely.

9-15-21 v16A SD Dislike

MARSHA GOAN

2021-09-30 02:32:05+00

I think District 6 here does not fully represent the areas of Oakland and Macomb county that I have an interest in. It does not completely encompass the government and industrial defense communities of Southeast Michigan/Metro Detroit. My wife and I have family all across District 10 and I believe it does a good job at joining the mutual interests of the Thumb communities, Eastern Michigan-Canadian border communities, and Lake St. Clair communities outside of Metro Detroit.

9-29-21 v1 CD

Opinion

Alexander Karpinski

2021-09-30 02:22:00+00

I like the way District 11 represents the areas of Oakland and Macomb county that I have an interest in. It is especially aligned with the government and industrial defense communities of Southeast Michigan/Metro Detroit. My wife and I have family all across District 10 and I believe it does a good job at joining the mutual interests of the Thumb communities, Eastern Michigan-Canadian border communities, and Lake St. Clair communities outside of Metro Detroit.

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD Like

Alexander Karpinski

2021-09-30 02:10:47+00

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Like	<p>I like the way District 11 represents the areas of Oakland and Macomb county that I have an interest in. It is especially aligned with the government and industrial defense communities of Southeast Michigan/Metro Detroit. My wife and I have family all across District 10 and I believe it does a good job at joining the mutual interests of the Thumb communities, Eastern Michigan-Canadian border communities, and Lake St. Clair communities outside of Metro Detroit.</p>	Alexander Karpinski	2021-09-30 02:04:42+00
9-29-21 RAS v2 HD	Opinion	<p>This district seems odd. Why split Auburn Hills? Lake Angelus with Pontiac would seem more logical, to keep all of AH in one district.</p>	Lori Craddick Lynch	2021-09-30 01:58:10+00
9-22-21 v1 HD	Like	<p>I have no idea how I feel about this map because I don't see data about non-partisan fairness. I don't think it in any way appears to have been drawn based on Communities of Interest so I don't have a clue what criteria were considered other than equal numbers in each district.</p>	Lauren Lisi	2021-09-30 01:57:39+00

I dislike this map because I feel that Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti should not share one district. Ann Arbor with the University overshadows Ypsilanti too much. When COVID happened our state senator had 1/2 of his district well-cared for and resourced, and the other half sick and dying. One person cannot adequately fight for two such economically and racially disparate communities. Move A2 district boundary to the west. Move Ypsi area district boundary to the east.

9-14-21 v14 SD	Dislike		Margaret Schankler	2021-09-30 01:53:11+00
		This map provides only 1 majority black district when there should be two. A single district creates packing of minority voters which is a violation of the VRA. Please adjust to make 2 majority black districts from the		
9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	Detroit area. Thank you.	Margaret Schankler	2021-09-30 01:35:10+00
		This district accurately reflects our communities and shared resources, services		
9-14-21 v14 SD	Like	and interests.	Carolyn Young	2021-09-30 01:05:41+00

I appreciate the effort you have made in keeping communities of interest intact. I am a board member of the Jackson District Library and spoke at the initial districting meeting stressing the importance in keeping all 13 branches of the Jackson County library community in the same district as we offer many important services to our community. This current map keeps 12 of the branches together, but excludes the Grass Lake Branch located at 130 W. Michigan Ave. in Grasslake, MI 49240. I suggest possibly swapping the small portion of Berrien County with Grasslake (keeping Jackson County whole) to keep the Jackson District Library community of interest whole. Thank you for your consideration!

9-20-21 v4 CD

Like

Barbara Dame

2021-09-30 01:03:53+00

I agree with this district, you could take it north and west to include more of Oakland Twp in the Greater Rochester area.

9-29-21 RAS v2 HD

Like

Carolyn Young

2021-09-30 01:02:28+00

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD	Dislike	I do not like this map. I do not feel that my voice will be heard if you combine Kalamazoo & Battle Creek together.	Deborah Lynn Baker	2021-09-30 01:00:59+00
9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Dislike	I do NOT like this map. I feel that my voice will not be heard if the district lines are drawn combining Kalamazoo and Battle Creek.	Deborah Lynn Baker	2021-09-30 00:59:38+00
9-29-21 Eid v2a CD	Dislike	Oakland Twp identifies more with rochester and lake orion areas rather than the thumb	Carolyn Young	2021-09-30 00:57:38+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Like	I like this map. It keeps Calhoun County complete, and doesn't lump us in with Kalamazoo. I feel that my voice would be lost by lumping us in with Kalamazoo and Portage. This map does a wonderful job of keeping my Community of Interest intact. Great job!	Deborah Lynn Baker	2021-09-30 00:56:07+00
9-29-21 Eid v2b CD	Dislike	We associate more with the Rochester Area rather than the thumb. You've cut the village of Luther in half. Luther has a total population of 306. Do they really need different state reps here?	Carolyn Young	2021-09-30 00:50:52+00
9-28-21 v1 HD	Dislike		Kelly Anne Weaver	2021-09-30 00:36:12+00

OMG. Harper Woods is in Wayne County. Our State Rep is Wayne County. Our State Senator is Wayne County. Our County District Commissioner is Wayne County. We are an URBAN city; Detroit borders us on two sides! Do not add a Wayne County city to Macomb and St. Clair Counties (which is what this map does). We have totally different issues -- not suburban issues and certainly not farmland or marine issues. The I-94 highway corridor does not define us, and neither does the lake (which is at least two miles from us). This map would make it difficult for Harper Woods to get the specific attention we need, specific funding, and address our urban and cultural issues.

9-15-21 v16A SD

Dislike

Veronica Paiz

2021-09-30 00:30:12+00

9-15-21 v16B SD	Opinion	Map is generally reasonable. Muskegon is grouped well with other shoreline communities with shared interests. It would be nice however if the remaining three townships of our county could be included in the same district.	Ted Dyson	2021-09-30 00:05:43+00
9-15-21 v16 SD	Opinion	Map is generally reasonable. Muskegon is grouped well with other shoreline communities with shared interests. It would be nice however if the remaining three townships of our county could be included in the same district.	Ted Dyson	2021-09-30 00:04:08+00
9-15-21 v16A SD	Opinion	This map seems mostly reasonable. Muskegon County is joined with other shoreline communities with shared interests. It would be nice if we could have the eastern townships of our county included into the same district however.	Ted Dyson	2021-09-30 00:00:09+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Like	Thank you commissioners for your time on this project. I like that you have kept the rural border counties intact (district 8). I think this is important for our communities.	Jill Adams	2021-09-29 23:57:06+00

This district 3 look like the gerrymandering of the "bad old days". This is not acceptable for Muskegon County. Our intact county is a "community of interest". We need to be all in one district and ideally joined with other lakeshore communities who have shared interests such as invasive species, shoreline erosion, water quality etc. Grand Rapids is a land-locked major metropolitan area, much bigger than us. Muskegon would get lost in the shuffle and ignored if a portion of our county is carved off to be "packed" with them.

9-29-21 Eid v2b CD Dislike

Ted Dyson

2021-09-29 23:55:11+00

This district 3 look like the gerrymandering of the "bad old days". This is not acceptable for Muskegon County. Our intact county is a "community of interest". We need to be all in one district and ideally joined with other lakeshore communities who have shared interests such as invasive species, shoreline erosion, water quality etc. Grand Rapids is a land-locked major metropolitan area, much bigger than us. Muskegon would get lost in the shuffle and ignored if a portion of our county is carved off to be "packed" with them.

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD Dislike

Ted Dyson

2021-09-29 23:52:30+00

This map satisfactorily represents Muskegon County. Our intact county is a "community of interest". This keeps us together and we are joined with other lakeshore communities who have shared interests such as invasive species, shoreline erosion, water quality etc. Grand Rapids is a land-locked major metropolitan area, much bigger than us. Muskegon would get lost in the shuffle and ignored if a portion of our county were carved off to be put with them.

9-29-21 v1 CD

Like

Ted Dyson

2021-09-29 23:47:11+00

This map serves Muskegon community of interest well. Our county is kept in one single district and we are grouped with other adjacent lakeshore communities who share common interests. Please do not carve out our urban core to "pack" with the city of Grand Rapids. That sort of division would be detrimental to our sense of community. We have little in common with GR, a much larger, landlocked city. Our interests align more naturally with other shoreline cities like Grand Haven and Ludington.

9-20-21 v1 CD

Like

Ted Dyson

2021-09-29 23:20:38+00

This map serves Muskegon community of interest well. Our county is kept in one single district and we are grouped with other adjacent lakeshore communities who share common interests. Please do not carve out our urban core to "pack" with the city of Grand Rapids. That sort of division would be detrimental to our sense of community. We have little in common with GR, a much larger, landlocked city. Our interests align more naturally with other shoreline cities like Grand Haven and Ludington.

9-20-21 v2 CD

Like

Ted Dyson

2021-09-29 23:19:17+00

This map serves Muskegon community of interest well. Our county is kept in one single district and we are grouped with other adjacent lakeshore communities who share common interests. Please do not carve out our urban core to "pack" with the city of Grand Rapids. That sort of division would be detrimental to our sense of community. We have little in common with GR, a much larger, landlocked city. Our interests align more naturally with other shoreline cities like Grand Haven and Ludington.

9-20-21 V3 CD

Like

Ted Dyson

2021-09-29 23:16:49+00

the Grosse Pointes were split  
10 year ago in the state house  
and there is unanimous,  
bipartisan and non-partisan  
opposition to any districts  
that would split us again. I  
was concerned to hear a  
commissioner suggest  
splitting up the Grosse  
Pointes today. That would be  
counter-productive because  
this District 4 as drawn is  
ALREADY IN THE VRA SWEET  
SPOT. According to the  
commission's consultants,  
you should look to create  
districts that are 40-45%  
African American VAP. This is  
one of the only districts that  
actually satisfies that goal.  
Although the Grosse Pointes  
would prefer to be with  
Harper Woods (a majority  
black city that shares a school  
system with us) and St. Clair  
Shores (another non-  
industrial, lakeshore suburb),  
we want to stay together as  
the Grosse Pointe COI most of Robert

Love this map! It solves a lot of the issues that the Commission was struggling with. Plus it puts the district numbers in the same places they have been historically (UP in 1, GR in 3, Flint in 5, etc).

Each district works, in my opinion. 1 is a rural "Up North" district. 2 unifies rural areas in West Michigan. 3 is Grand Rapids and Muskegon without being a wacky shape and keeps Ottawa County communities of interest together. 4 keeps the Lansing area together and keeps Livingston County together. 5 keeps Flint, Saginaw, Bay City, and Midland together without cutting Midland off from most of its county. 6 unifies Kalamazoo and Battle Creek, keeps lakeshore communities together (though maybe tweak the boundary near

9-29-21 Eid v2a CD Like

Benton Harbor/St Joseph? It Christopher Khorey

2021-09-29 22:36:18+00

1. Albion has a significant percentage of African-American residents. I do not believe Albion should be disconnected from Battle Creek.

2. Marshall, like Albion, has much more significant ties to Battle Creek than to all these Calhoun and Jackson County townships.

9-28-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Garrett Brown

2021-09-29 20:24:52+00

I believe the commission is on the right track here - Including Muskegon with the GR area is probably the fairest outcome in any scenario. The population of the 3rd district without it would have to expand either into rural areas - favoring the GOP - or grab voters from Kzoo and Battle Creek - favoring Dems - to make up for the shortfall. The current layout is rated to have a partisan lean of R +1, which I believe is much closer to the reality of the population in the area trying to be represented. While Muskegon may be on the lakeshore and is certainly distinct in ways from GR, I can only imagine how different Muskegon would be from Mt. Pleasant or any of the rural areas that comprise most of the 13th. Even a short trip up to Sparta or to Newaygo county shows the already stark differences in population, despite their

9-20-21 v4 CD

Like

James MacWilliam Aidala

2021-09-29 20:15:42+00

The demographics of Albion and Battle Creek do not sync with the municipalities of the

9-20-21 v4 CD

Dislike

Garrett Brown

2021-09-29 20:09:09+00

9-20-21 v4 CD

Like

I like this layout.

Granville Hayworth

2021-09-29 18:52:03+00

		Why are we including Muskegon in district 4, yet north Ottawa with 13. This is obvious gerrymandering. Also, District 9 needs more people.		
9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	Please Add North Ottawa to Dist 4, and Add Muskegon to District 13.	Benjamin Spencer	2021-09-29 18:51:45+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	Muskegon and Norton Shores should not be part of the GR area. Plus you have holland and grand haven in a diff area. Muskegon, GH and Holland should be in the same area and GR should have the same also	james anton	2021-09-29 18:44:53+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Like	I really like this map. Lenawee county has a lot of connections and similar interests to the areas you put in District 8. I hope you keep this.	Deanna walters	2021-09-29 18:26:04+00
9-28-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Silly to break up a County.	Mark F Pontti	2021-09-29 17:53:36+00

@Christopher Khorey,

I did watch some of the meetings on Youtube. At least the parts where they were talking about District 6. They had to make it work population wise, demographics and previous voting history. Honestly, it looked like a really difficult work to take everything into account and also make it VRA compliant. There are some parts I also wished them to include and parts to exclude, but overall, I am happy they took communities into account rather than who would get a partisan advantage. As a Chaldean, I am happy that most of the areas that Chaldeans reside in are in one district! :)

9-20-21 v1 CD

Like

Yousif

2021-09-29 17:52:25+00

it is tragic that Kalamazoo County residents in suburban areas surrounding the cities of Kalamazoo and Portage will not share representation with their neighbors living in the cities. The commission cannot reasonably justify creating a Senate district that includes unconnected portions of several counties. This is a compromised map, borne of an unfounded desire to include Battle Creek and Kalamazoo together that tramples over school district lines, connects areas that have nothing to do with each other and seems to be solely a partisan division that separates Democratic areas and Republican areas from each other. Kalamazoo is a bipartisan county that is never dominated by one party or the other and in the past 10 years has been represented by BOTH a

9-15-21 v16A SD

Dislike

Democratic Senator and a

Madison Mazer

2021-09-29 17:16:05+00

9-15-21 v16B SD	Like	<p>Kalamazoo county being drawn as it's own senate seat has been a major benefit over the past decade, despite being represented by BOTH a Democratic Senator and a Republican Senator. I am happy with this alternative to the Battle Creek - Kalamazoo map that unnecessarily and possibly discriminately packs Democratic and minority voters into a single district. I would hope the commission recognizes the possible ramifications of making such a decision and decides to draw Kalamazoo county as it's own Senate district.</p>	Madison Mazer	2021-09-29 17:13:34+00
9-20-21 v2 CD	Like	<p>This is a fair map for Livingston County. This is a 50/50 map for our draft congressional district. It can be won by either party. It does not overwhelm rural voters. It keeps Livingston County whole and does not put us with Washtenaw County. Thank you.</p>	Dan Wholihan	2021-09-29 17:04:10+00

9-15-21 v16A SD Dislike This map divides the Lansing/East Lansing COI. Both cities are very reliant on one another and literally share a name. Please consider drawing a senate seat with both cities wholly within one district and a second district with the Lansing metro area suburbs. Zach Rudat 2021-09-29 16:56:16+00

9-20-21 EID v1 CD Like This district is great, Midland should be included with the rest of the Tri-cities and Flint which this map has. If people really insist on keeping Midland county whole, then take a little bit of western Saginaw county off as that is very rural. Do not, under any circumstance, include Arenac or Tuscola county with this district as those counties are extremely rural. Please include this district in your final plan. Zach Geyer 2021-09-29 16:56:04+00

9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	<p>This is quite a stretch of the goal of geographically compact districts. There is no reason for GR to be in the same district as lakeshore communities except to 'pack' voters. Put Muskegon county in District 13 and add more of Kent county to District 4.</p> <p>Thank you for all the hard work drawing the congressional district maps. The 8th district does represent our communities of interests with the other rural/border counties. Thank you for keeping rural counties together. Please keep this map as drawn.</p>	Luke Dornon	2021-09-29 16:50:51+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Like		Carol Knoblauch	2021-09-29 16:26:34+00

I want to thank you for listening to our voices in the more rural counties, by uniting us so that our voices don't get lost, mixing us with more metropolitan areas. Our livelihoods, school districts, and community concerns are similar in these areas, as opposed to those of more urban areas. Also, the counties you have left together share funds, resources, and often collaborate educationally.

9-20-21 v4 CD

Like

Kristine Yeutter

2021-09-29 16:25:18+00

keeping the I-54 corridor intact seems to be a major reason for drawing the Battle Creek and Kalamazoo metro areas together, but I would like to remind the commission that Kalamazoo county has TWO major freeways passing through it and so does Calhoun county. The interests the commission believes the cities share are not as valid as the interests between Battle Creek and Marshall or Kalamazoo and the suburbs in Texas and Oshtemo townships.

As well, this map packs Democratic and minority voters in a seeming partisan Gerrymander while simultaneously lumping rural and likely-Republican voters from several counties into a horrible even more Gerrymandered district. This is absolutely not what the

voters intended to have the

9-15-21 v16A SD

Dislike

Ben McLeod

2021-09-29 15:49:04+00

This map is the compromised result of the horrible Stevensville to Caledonia map to the west. The commission's desire to draw a coast based district is admirable and makes sense, but deciding to keep the rest of Van Buren and Allegan counties apart seems to abandon reason, especially with the inclusion of several outlying Grand Rapids suburbs.

A cleaner and more logical map would have been drawing the remainder of Calhoun County, Barry County and the remaining Kent County portions together. These areas share state highways and school districts and also are the "in-between" area that makes up the landmass between the relative metropolises surrounding it. (Kalamazoo, the greater Lansing area,

9-15-21 v16B SD

Opinion

Jackson...)

Ben McLeod

2021-09-29 15:33:56+00

9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	<p>Don't divide Muskegon County. Our whole county considers City of Muskegon our downtown. This map would divide our inner city from our suburbs and instead connect have our community with Grand Rapids, which we have nothing in common with. Please keep our whole county together. The lakeshore is very different than Kent County.</p> <p>I believe that keeping lakeshore communities together in a State House District is most important. Coloma and Hartford have much more in common with Paw Paw than does South Haven. South Haven should be in a district that runs along the west coast of Michigan - included with Benton Harbor and St. Joe. The communities west of I-196 need representation that will advocate for the challenges and concerns of our lakeshore communities, as do the more rural communities east of I-196.</p>	Ted Dyson	2021-09-29 15:10:21+00
9-28-21 v1 HD	Dislike		Rick L Catherman	2021-09-29 15:01:59+00

9-28-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>This is obscene. The population of Barry county does not justify being represented in 3 districts... For that matter neither does Van Buren county. Barry and Van Buren residents would find themselves a minority interest in most of those districts. I would hope the commission starts over and chooses to keep as many counties whole. Especially rural, smaller counties.</p>	Ben McLeod	2021-09-29 14:57:30+00
9-20-21 V3 CD	Dislike	<p>It makes no sense to cut out the city of Midland from the rest of Midland county to put it with Saginaw and Bay County. This is not partisan fairness, it's gerrymandering. Midland was devastated by the flooding in 2020 along with the rest of Midland County and Gladwin County. Splitting the City of Midland away from the rest of the county will divide the voice and hinder flood recovery.</p>	Aaron Majorana	2021-09-29 14:50:05+00

9-14-21 v14 SD	Dislike	<p>It makes no sense to split up Midland County and put it with the cities of Saginaw and Bay City, splitting up Saginaw and Bay Counties as well. Midland should be kept whole and part of Gladwin county to aid in flood recovery. These communities were devastated by flooding in 2020 and they should be kept together to have a unified voice in recovery.</p>	Aaron Majorana	2021-09-29 14:46:45+00
9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	<p>It makes no sense to split Midland County and combine it with the cities of Saginaw and Bay City, splitting up Bay and Saginaw Counties as well. Midland should be kept whole and part of Gladwin County, this will aid the flood recovery that devastated Midland and Gladwin counties by giving us a unified voice in recovery!</p>	Aaron Majorana	2021-09-29 14:44:12+00
9-28-21 v1 HD	Like	<p>Leelanau County and Traverse City are culturally more similar than the southern have of Grand Traverse County. I like this one.</p>	Casey Adams	2021-09-29 14:38:03+00

9-20-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Response to Yousif - I agree on Troy/Rochester Hills/Sterling Heights. What I don't understand is why Warren would not be included in that group, but Saint Clair Shores would be?	Christopher Khorey	2021-09-29 14:37:22+00
---------------	---------	--	--------------------	------------------------

Please keep Allegan and Van Buren counties together!! Paw Paw, Plainwell, Otsego, Mattawan, are small town communities with common sense values, similar economies, rural areas that share many strengths and challenges. Allegan and Van Buren counties collaborate on providing public defender services and veteran treatment courts to our residents.

Both Allegan and Van Buren rely heavily on tourism and have strong agriculture communities and histories.

This map breaks up the voice of Van Buren and Allegan county residents which result in a lack of cohesive representation in our state legislature.

I strongly encourage you to keep Van Buren and Allegan

9-15-21 v16A SD

Dislike

Patricia

2021-09-29 14:36:42+00

9-28-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Battle Creek and Albion should be put together like before. This one misses the population mark of only 89k, off by 2368. This one should look more like the district does now.</p> <p>Flint belongs with Saginaw, Bay City, and (I think) Midland, though there seems to be some disagreement among Midlanders on that point? Either way, Flint should not be placed with rural areas in Lapeer County or Oakland County exurbs.</p>	Casey Adams	2021-09-29 14:36:40+00
9-20-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Good job keeping the rural aspects of the district intact.</p>	Christopher Khorey	2021-09-29 14:36:14+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Like		John Calhoun	2021-09-29 14:35:43+00

Responding to Jennifer Austin's comment - I really like the map she linked. It takes the general idea of this map, but fixes some of the issues. The only thing I disagree with in her comment is the idea that 4 D, 4 R, 5 Competitive is a bad thing - I think that's inevitable with our states' political geography. And 5 competitive districts is a lot compared to many states. Texas and Oregon are both poised to pass partisan maps that would only include one competitive district in each state, for instance.

9-20-21 v4 CD

Opinion

Christopher Khorey

2021-09-29 14:33:43+00

9-28-21 v1 HD	Like	<p>Overall, I like what the commission did to reflect the Lake St. Clair Community of Interest. Although I would have preferred that the Grosse Pointes and ST. Clair Shores be included in a district together, I appreciate that there are competing concerns. I appreciate that the Grosse Pointes were not split-up and that the commission drew multiple districts that clearly reflect the distinct lakeshore nature of the area and our unique legislative needs. Thanks.</p>	Robert Dindoffer	2021-09-29 14:04:03+00
9-15-21 v16B SD	Dislike	<p>This needs to be corrected. I am a resident of Midland and I want the city of Midland to remain with the rest of the county. Midland is not a suburban city and we don't have a lot in common with Saginaw or Bay City.</p>	Kevin Sellnow	2021-09-29 13:54:28+00
9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	<p>This needs to be corrected. I am a resident of Midland and I want the city of Midland to remain with the rest of the county. Midland is not a suburban city and we don't have a lot in common with Saginaw or Bay City.</p>	Kevin Sellnow	2021-09-29 13:53:27+00

9-14-21 v14 SD	Dislike	This needs to be corrected. I am a resident of Midland and I want the city of Midland to remain with the rest of the county. Midland is not a suburban city and we don't have a lot in common with Saginaw or Bay City.	Kevin Sellnow	2021-09-29 13:52:13+00
9-23-21 v1 HD	Like	I like this map because it keeps Midland County as it should be. It keeps the county whole, instead of splitting it up. Splitting County up and removing the City of Midland would strictly be silencing our voices. I am a resident of Midland and I want the city of Midland to remain with the rest of the county. Midland is not a suburban city and we don't have a lot in common with Saginaw or Bay City.	Kevin Sellnow	2021-09-29 13:49:41+00
9-20-21 v2 CD	Like	I am a resident of Midland and I want the city of Midland to remain with the rest of the county. Midland is not a suburban city and we don't have a lot in common with Saginaw or Bay City.	Kevin Sellnow	2021-09-29 13:41:31+00
9-20-21 V3 CD	Dislike	I am a resident of Midland and I want the city of Midland to remain with the rest of the county. Midland is not a suburban city and we don't have a lot in common with Saginaw or Bay City.	Kevin Sellnow	2021-09-29 13:39:28+00

9-20-21 v2 CD	Like	<p>I am a resident of Midland and I want the city of Midland to remain with the rest of the county. Midland is not a suburban city and we don't have a lot in common with Saginaw or Bay City.</p> <p>I like the way this map is drawn. As a current County Commissioner, I feel we have a lot in common with Bay County and should be kept in the same district. Also, our school districts in Arenac and Bay are in the same ISD, so Au Gres-Sims career students travel to Bay City for career and technical education. We also share a shoreline in the Great Lakes Bay Region. Thank you for your work, and please use this map going forward.</p>	Kevin Sellnow	2021-09-29 13:37:27+00
9-20-21 v2 CD	Like	<p>I like how District 11 is drawn. It keeps Arenac and Bay County together and doesn't gerrymander the northern part of Bay County or the city of Midland. This is the best version so far. Thank you.</p>	Lisa Salgat	2021-09-29 13:18:48+00
9-20-21 v2 CD	Like		Arica Gillespie	2021-09-29 13:14:32+00

9-20-21 v2 CD	Like	<p>This map makes sense. Arenac and Bay County have a lot in common. People from here go to Bay City and Saginaw whenever they need to go shopping or something. There aren't any jagged lines or anything for district 11.</p> <p>Looks good.</p>	Nick Hawkins	2021-09-29 13:10:59+00
9-28-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>This district is significantly too large in population. It exceeds the recommended limit of 91,612 by over 10,000 people. I'm not quite sure why Montrose and Montrose Township were wrapped into this district. Taking those two jurisdictions out would lower the population overage to just 2,400.</p>	Michael H	2021-09-29 12:41:42+00

Barry County should not be lumped in Kalamazoo, Holland, St Joseph or Benton Harbor in District 9. Our County is rural compared to those cities. We will have no voice in matters as they will be over shadowed by issues in high population areas. We should be with other areas that have similar population types. How about adding the southeast corner of Berrien county to District 9 and adding Barry County to

9-20-21 v4 CD

Dislike

District 8.

David Neubauer

2021-09-29 12:25:10+00

Warren is Michigan's third largest city. Yet under this set of maps, Warren will have no chance of electing a single state representative from within its borders. You have carved our collective power up and distributed it to other cities. This is wrong. The proposal that appointed you specifically requires you to respect city and county borders. No respect was shown here. Michigan's third largest city is disrespected and destroyed

9-28-21 v1 HD

Dislike

on this map.

Jeremy Fisher

2021-09-29 12:11:34+00

Thank you for your hard work commissioners! Those negative comments in district 6 and 1 are partisan.

Warren and Madison Heights fit better with District 1. Troy, Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights belong together. This also represent the Chaldean community! Hopefully we will have a bigger voice and a representative who would care about our values!

9-20-21 v4 CD

Like

Please Keep this map as is!  
Thank you commissioners! :)  
These maps make sense!

Yousif

2021-09-29 06:31:23+00

I know there are other partisans who wants to influence the commissioners so their party gets a boost. But I can tall you Troy, Rochester Hills, Sterling Heights share a lot more in common than the districts they are in now.

9-20-21 v1 CD

Like

Thank you for your great work!

Yousif

2021-09-29 06:25:51+00

I like how Troy, Rochester, Sterling Heights and Macomb are together in this map. We share similar values.

9-15-21 v16A SD

Like

Don't let other partisans tell you otherwise! Great job everyone! So far at least! ;)

Yousif

2021-09-29 06:20:47+00

Kalamazoo County fits into an entire Senate District by itself. There is absolutely no need to break up this county. Texas and Oshtemo Townships belong with Kalamazoo and Portage. Battle Creek belongs with Calhoun County. This map dilutes the minority vote and erases the competitive district that Calhoun County has been for a very long time. Those wanting Battle Creek with Kalamazoo are packing minorities into a single district, instead of giving them the opportunity to elect 2 public officials to properly represent them.

9-15-21 v16A SD

Dislike

Sonja Marie Patrick

2021-09-29 06:11:50+00

This map makes the most sense as it gives those of us in rural communities representation For too many years we were lumped in with big cities and our voices were not valued Our needs are not the same as people who live in bigger cities

9-20-21 v4 CD

Like

Vicki Osburn

2021-09-29 05:56:23+00

Once again, you appear to be carving up Oakland County, amongst others, with the oddly shaped and ill-thought out districts. The point of this exercise was to give constituents a representative that could be responsive to the entire district and take their needs and concerns to Lansing. This is not that map. Based upon the maps that have been presented, it appears that this entire redistricting process has been a waste of time and money and will bring no one closer to having proper representation. Lisa

9-15-21 v16A SD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-09-29 05:39:23+00

I followed your discussion Tuesday about changing the Midland Map #179 and I am requesting that you listen to Commissioner Lange and all of the community voices asking that Midland be kept whole and with its rural neighboring counties of Gladwin, Isabella, Clare and Gratiot in the proposed 13th district.

Bruce Adelson discussed Midland's lack of minorities diluting the votes in Saginaw and Flint. I agree and believe Midland's voices would also be lost if included in the proposed 11th district with Bay, Saginaw and Flint.

It is well known that the City of Midland had major flooding along with the flooding in Sanford and in Gladwin County. That's why it is so important that

9-20-21 v2 CD

Like

Cathy Leikhim

2021-09-29 05:38:18+00

This map is ridiculous and offensive. The area north of Dearborn through Lake Orion Township is completely carved up into unrecognizable and disparate districts that defy common sense. Districts 14, 15 and 17 and 21, 22, 23, 30 and 39 are especially egregious. Oak Park and Southfield should remain as one district, especially given the large Jewish populations there. Franklin, Birmingham and Beverly Hills and a portion of Bloomfield should be in 1 district. Pontiac should be in a district with Auburn Hills, and Waterford should remain whole. Royal Oak, Ferndale and Berkley should be in 1 district. This map looks like it was drawn for political reasons and NOT to give fair representation to the communities it encompasses. This is shameful.

9-28-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Lisa

2021-09-29 05:22:13+00

9-15-21 v16A SD	Like	<p>I like how this State Senate District recognizes the South Asian / Asian Indian communities present in the Rochesters, Troy, and Western Sterling Heights. We fit better in this district than our current district with Royal Oak.</p>	Daniel Grams	2021-09-29 04:48:42+00
9-28-21 v1 HD	Opinion	<p>Based on this proposed map, you have so carved up Livonia, that within one mile of either direction of where I live there are 3 different house districts. Livonia is a big suburb like Troy and is almost a district by itself. It should be kept whole!</p>	Linda Grams	2021-09-29 04:42:28+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Like	<p>I have a lot of family in the tri-cities area. I like that this plan keeps them together because they are definitely connected. I can see there is a lot of disagreement about splitting Midland county vs. splitting the tri-cities. A compromise would be to do neither. You could extend the district to take all of Midland county and then trim off some of Bay or Saginaw county.</p>	Joey Segal	2021-09-29 04:39:17+00

9-14-21 v14 SD	Dislike	I am very concerned with the current layout of senate district 20. I am from a very rural area and I am afraid my concerns will not be shared by my senator who will be most likely from Benton Harbor or Southern Grand Rapids Metro Area.	Henry J Reinart	2021-09-29 04:36:00+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	Battle Creek and Kalamazoo are closely intertwined and should be kept together. We share an airport, watershed council, and several economic development initiatives. It would be absurd to split us up.	Joey Segal	2021-09-29 04:32:49+00
9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	Battle Creek and Kalamazoo are closely intertwined and should be kept together. We share an airport, watershed council, and several economic development initiatives. It would be absurd to split us up.	Joey Segal	2021-09-29 04:27:40+00
9-20-21 v2 CD	Dislike	Battle Creek is closely intertwined with Kalamazoo and the two cities should be kept together. We share an airport, watershed council, and several economic development initiatives. It would be absurd to split us up.	Joey Segal	2021-09-29 04:25:17+00

9-20-21 V3 CD	Dislike	<p>Battle Creek is closely intertwined with Kalamazoo and the two should be kept together. We share an airport, watershed council, and several economic development initiatives. It would be absurd to split us up.</p>	Joey Segal	2021-09-29 04:21:53+00
9-28-21 v1 HD	Like	<p>Although I don't like how many pieces Allegan county is split up into, I do appreciate that the other townships in my district are similar to my own.</p> <p>Please just completely redo the Detroit metro districts. It does not meet VRA requirements and is basically a 4 district Democratic pack. The macomb district should also include grosse pointe, and should be made more competitive. The inclusion of parts of warren and madison heights in district 1 makes no sense at all, and southfield should be in district 2.</p>	Henry J Reinart	2021-09-29 04:21:42+00
9-20-21 V3 CD	Dislike	<p>should be in district 2.</p>	Zach Geyer	2021-09-29 04:16:44+00

9-20-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Battle Creek is closely intertwined with Kalamazoo and the two cities should be kept together. We share an airport, watershed council, and several economic development initiatives. It would be absurd to split us up.	Joey Segal	2021-09-29 04:16:33+00
9-20-21 V3 CD	Dislike	Tuscola county is very rural and should be included with the rest of the thumb, I'm not sure why the commission insists on putting it with Flint/Tri-Cities but it does not belong.	Zach Geyer	2021-09-29 04:12:20+00

I am an old white guy but I agree with several people with pins near mine who I feel are likely not. I feel a connection to parts of Muskegon County but that connection is barely different in any district created around me headed in any direction from West Central Ottawa County. I believe the African American communities in Kent and Muskegon Counties should be merged together, should be the first district created and should have the highest priority in West Michigan on the Congressional Level, then build districts out from there. They are the group with the highest need for having their community respected since history has done anything but. I am not black, but I am "blue" and it could create a COMPETITIVE DISTRICT in West Michigan to give voices like mine, of

9-20-21 v1 CD

Dislike

David Barnosky

2021-09-29 04:09:31+00

9-20-21 V3 CD	Like	Midland belongs in a district with Flint and the other tri-cities and that matches this map. It's a tri-city for a reason, is not rural like the rest of midland county, and it is needed in this district to attain partisan fairness.	Zach Geyer	2021-09-29 04:09:31+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	Battle Creek needs to be kept with Kalamazoo. The two cities are so intertwined. We share an airport, watershed council, and several economic development initiatives. It's absurd to split them up	Joey Segal	2021-09-29 04:08:48+00

At the public hearing in Kalamazoo, plenty of people spoke in favor of putting Battle Creek and Kalamazoo in the same Senate district. We share a regional airport, have many other economic ties, and this map connects the I-94 corridor in this area. This map also keeps most of Kalamazoo County together. I'm most concerned that Oshtemo and Texas Townships remain in the same district as Kalamazoo and Portage. Those two townships are suburban areas with very strong ties to Kalamazoo and Portage. Texas and Oshtemo Townships are not rural areas. Amongst the many connections these two townships have with Kalamazoo and Portage, Oshtemo kids attend Kalamazoo schools and Texas Township kids attend Portage schools. Please do not

9-15-21 v16 SD

Like

Naomi Siegel Morse

2021-09-29 02:19:55+00

I agree with other comments that the City of Midland is better grouped with Bay City and Saginaw. I'd include Mt Pleasant too if possible. Many people live in one of these cities and work in another along the M-20 and I-75 corridors. Much of northern Midland, Bay, and Isabella counties is rural farming country, but half the population of Midland County is in the city which is home to a large regional hospital and the Dow Chemical headquarters and manufacturing which is decidedly not rural. There are also several colleges and mid-sized to large universities located in Mt Pleasant, Midland, Saginaw, and Bay City including Delta which has campuses in two of these three cities. If Flint is also in the same district, it is also a manufacturing city with a large university.

9-20-21 v4 CD

Opinion

Jan Baumgras

2021-09-29 02:17:30+00

I dislike this version of Senate District 21 because Texas and Oshtemo Townships are cut off from Kalamazoo and Portage. Texas and Oshtemo townships are suburban areas with very strong connections with Kalamazoo and Portage. They are not rural areas, and should not be included in the mostly rural district 3. Oshtemo kids go to Kalamazoo Public Schools, and Texas Township kids attend Portage Public Schools. They are connected economically, culturally, and geographically and should not be put in a mostly rural district.

9-15-21 v16A SD

Dislike

Naomi Siegel Morse

2021-09-29 02:07:44+00

I am the Superintendent of Standish-Sterling Community Schools and my school district is in the Bay Arenac Intermediate District which is made up of schools from Arenac and Bay Counties. I have employees who live in Bay County and Bay City. Many residents of Arenac County work in Bay City. Arenac and Bay Counties are part of the Great Lakes Bay Region. The Great Lakes Bay Region is made up of both rural and urban communities that rely on each other. This map does a good job of keeping our communities of interest together and should be implemented. Thank you for your efforts.

9-20-21 v2 CD

Like

Darren Kroczaleski

2021-09-29 02:04:14+00

I agree that the northeast portion of the Lower Peninsula fits well (mostly rural and small towns) with most of the Upper Peninsula, but the northwest portion is demographically and culturally quite different. It would make more sense to extend district 12 further south into Roscommon, Gladwin, Clare and northern Bay County. The northwest part of the Lower Peninsula could then be extended from the Straits to include Ludington, which is again more like the other coastal towns. I have lived all over the Lower Peninsula and don't believe residents of these areas would agree with this map.

9-20-21 v4 CD

Opinion

Jan Baumgras

2021-09-29 02:03:18+00

This CD is perfect, many shared similarities between the communities. Good job.

9-20-21 v4 CD

Like

Raymond Snell

2021-09-29 01:57:34+00

9-20-21 v2 CD	Like	<p>It sounded like the Commissioners had a misunderstanding on the 2020 flooding. The City of Midland had major flooding along with the flooding in Sanford and in Gladwin County. That's why it is so important that Midland County remain in its entirety and Gladwin County needs to remain in the same district to ensure continuity and a united effort in our recovery. This is not good for Midland. Midland needs to stay with Gladwin and Isabella County due to watershed issues.</p>	Amanda Oster	2021-09-29 01:46:10+00
9-20-21 V3 CD	Dislike		Anne Putnam	2021-09-29 01:44:36+00
9-20-21 v2 CD	Like	<p>I support the proposed map keeping Midland County whole and with Gladwin and Isabella. Why is Van Buren County carved up like a jigsaw? Van Buren needs to be represented as a whole as one. not divided up into 3 different districts!</p>	Anne Putnam	2021-09-29 01:42:04+00
9-28-21 v1 HD	Dislike		DOUGLAS KYLE NELSON	2021-09-29 00:57:56+00

9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	Niles needs to be with the rest of Berrien County. As a former resident I feel that Niles and eastern Berrien County reflect with all of Berrien County and Van Buren.	Douglas Kyle Nelson	2021-09-29 00:47:11+00
9-20-21 v2 CD	Like	District 8 nicely represents the area where I live. As I shared in my testimony and the Jackson public hearing Jackson County is solidly connected to Lenawee and Hillsdale counties through intergovernmental partnerships, economic development organizations, and non profits. Thank you for clearly using Moon's data showing community of interests when drawing this district.	Jeffrey F	2021-09-29 00:26:26+00
9-20-21 v1 CD	Like	Thanks for recognizing Ingham-Eaton Clinton as a unified region.	Chris Andrews	2021-09-29 00:09:19+00

Van Buren and Allegan should be kept whole and together for the Senate as a community of interest. They share many functions of government as well as a large Hispanic population. Both are rural, agriculture and tourism based economies with many similar small towns through the counties. Aside from being a community of interest, together, they fit nicely as about 2/3 of a Senate district and could take in other parts of similar rural or coastal counties. Van Buren and Allegan have much more in common with each other than they do with inland rural areas like rural Calhoun or with the

9-15-21 v16B SD

Opinion

"Michiana" border counties.

Josh P

2021-09-29 00:00:41+00

9-28-21 v1 HD

Like

Well done, here

Anthony Scannell

2021-09-28 23:38:28+00

9-15-21 v16B SD	Dislike	Dislike this map because grouping Midland into a "Tri City" area does not properly represent the unique issues and values of Midland. The 2 other cities of the "Tri City" grouping are too dissimilar as far as their issues and needs. Keep Midland with the rest of Midland County and Gladwin County.	Laura Gray	2021-09-28 23:36:08+00
9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	Not a good drawing for Midland. The issues and values of Midland are going to be lost if grouped with the more suburban areas represented in this drawing.	Laura Gray	2021-09-28 23:29:33+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Like	I believe keeping Washtenaw whole is important and the Plymouth-Canton community is the most natural partner. Thank you!	Robert	2021-09-28 23:25:09+00
9-14-21 v14 SD	Dislike	Carving out the city of Midland into a "Tri City" district does not create proper representation of the values and issues of those in Midland. Put Midland back together with the rest of Midland County and Gladwin County.	Laura Gray	2021-09-28 23:21:45+00

9-20-21 v1 CD	Dislike	I don't understand why group cities like Melvindale, Allen Park, Lincoln Park, Rouge & Ecorse with . . . the Grosse Pointes, Harper Woods, Madison Heights, and parts of Warren... There is not much affiliation between those far-flung areas nor COI that I can conceive.	Anthony Scannell	2021-09-28 23:20:25+00
9-20-21 V3 CD	Dislike	I don't understand why group cities like Melvindale, Allen Park, Lincoln Park, Rouge & Ecorse with . . . the Grosse Pointes, Harper Woods, Madison Heights, and parts of Warren. .. There is not much affiliation between those far-flung areas nor COI that I can conceive.	Anthony Scannell	2021-09-28 23:18:46+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	I don't understand why group cities like Melvindale, Allen Park, Lincoln Park, Rouge & Ecorse with . . . the Grosse Pointes, Harper Woods, Madison Heights, and parts of Warren. .. There is not much affiliation between those far-flung areas nor COI that I can conceive.	Anthony Scannell	2021-09-28 23:16:17+00

9-23-21 v1 HD	Like	I liked this map because city of Midland and Midland County are kept together in representation.	Laura Gray	2021-09-28 23:15:22+00
		As a resident of Midland I do not consider the interests and values of many who choose to live in this small town represented in this map (#180). To carve out the city of Midland from the rest of Midland County in this way feels very "gerrymandered"		
9-20-21 V3 CD	Dislike	to me.	Laura Gray	2021-09-28 23:07:43+00
		Correcting my last comment, it seems that this part of Ottawa was intended to be placed in District 9 but was put in 13 by error. District 9 is about 26k underpopulated		
9-20-21 v4 CD	Opinion	and 13 is 26k overpopulated. District 13 is not contiguous the way it is drawn here. No way is that legally acceptable. I'm honestly shocked the MICRC would draw something	Benjamin Greene	2021-09-28 23:02:47+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	that way.	Benjamin Greene	2021-09-28 22:50:00+00

I live in Norton Shores and work in Muskegon public schools. Our school district is a majority students of color including one third Black and 10% Latinx. Nearly 90% of our students receive free or reduced lunch and 25% don't have internet at home. This is the reality of living in a community faced with historical racism and disinvestment. Our kids face real challenges that students in white, more affluent schools generally do not. It is essential that we be grouped with other diverse communities facing similar challenges so that we will have a representative who is fighting for our kids and making sure we have the funding we need to give them the same opportunities their white peers have.

9-20-21 v1 CD

Dislike

#BlackKidsMatter

Latasha Boland

2021-09-28 22:37:10+00

I live in Norton Shores and work in Muskegon public schools. Our school district is a majority students of color including one third Black and 10% Latinx. Nearly 90% of our students receive free or reduced lunch and 25% don't have internet at home. This is the reality of living in a community faced with historical racism and disinvestment. Our kids face real challenges that students in white, more affluent schools generally do not. It is essential that we be grouped with other diverse communities facing similar challenges so that we will have a representative who is fighting for our kids and making sure we have the funding we need to give them the same opportunities their white peers have.

9-20-21 v2 CD

Dislike

#BlackKidsMatter

Latasha Boland

2021-09-28 22:36:25+00

I live in Norton Shores and work in Muskegon public schools. Our school district is a majority students of color including one third Black and 10% Latinx. Nearly 90% of our students receive free or reduced lunch and 25% don't have internet at home. This is the reality of living in a community faced with historical racism and disinvestment. Our kids face real challenges that students in white, more affluent schools generally do not. It is essential that we be grouped with other diverse communities facing similar challenges so that we will have a representative who is fighting for our kids and making sure we have the funding we need to give them the same opportunities their white peers have.

9-20-21 V3 CD

Dislike

#BlackKidsMatter

Latasha Boland

2021-09-28 22:35:39+00

I like this map (#179) because it keeps Midland County whole and allows for representation with those communities to our west who share similar values. Those who choose to live in Midland do so because of the small town living and values it provides, which align more with our more rural neighbors that we are joined with in this particular map.

9-20-21 v2 CD

Like

Laura Gray

2021-09-28 22:34:37+00

I live in Norton Shores and work in Muskegon public schools. Our school district is a majority students of color including one third Black and 10% Latinx. Nearly 90% of our students receive free or reduced lunch and 25% don't have internet at home. This is the reality of living in a community faced with historical racism and disinvestment. Our kids face real challenges that students in white, more affluent schools generally do not. It is essential that we be grouped with other diverse communities facing similar challenges so that we will have a representative who is fighting for our kids and making sure we have the funding we need to give them the same opportunities their white peers have.

9-20-21 EID v1 CD

Dislike

#BlackKidsMatter

Latasha Boland

2021-09-28 22:34:10+00

I strongly dislike how the Commission has handled Southeast Oakland County. It is very easy to draw a VRA compliant district that keeps Berkley with Huntington Woods and Northern Oak Park, which all share a school district. Additionally, this map splits Ferndale from Southern Royal Oak; two areas which are very similar and have major downtown shopping and commercial districts. Northern Royal Oak is far more "suburban" in the traditional sense, while Southern Royal Oak (specifically looking at south of Catalpa/Gardenia) is far more in-line with Ferndale. Southern Royal Oak has a much greater concentration of medium and high density housing, and faces similar issues to Ferndale when it comes to issues of Housing Affordability, Zoning, and new

9-28-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Downtown Developments. I Ian Sandler-Bowen

2021-09-28 22:33:21+00

The Livingston County area was drawn fairly and represents communities of interest here. The Brighton area and its townships is in a district. The Howell/Fowlerville areas are in a district. Tyrone Township was the odd area out for population issues, but it went with Fenton and Linden. Most residents are in Fenton or Linden schools and have a Fenton area address. This area was IMO drawn correctly.

9-28-21 v1 HD

Like

Dan Wholihan

2021-09-28 22:26:11+00

I live in Norton Shores and work in Muskegon public schools. Our school district is a majority students of color including one third Black and 10% Latinx. Nearly 90% of our students receive free or reduced lunch and 25% don't have internet at home. This is the reality of living in a community faced with historical racism and disinvestment. Our kids face real challenges that students in white, more affluent schools generally do not. It is essential that we be grouped with other diverse communities facing similar challenges so that we will have a representative who is fighting for our kids and making sure we have the funding we need to give them the same opportunities their white peers have.

9-16-21 v2 CD

Dislike

#BlackKidsMatter

Latasha Boland

2021-09-28 22:26:02+00

I agree this needs to be modified to be a more reasonable shape. That being said, it is absolutely essential that a district like this be drawn.

I live in Norton Shores and work in Muskegon public schools. Our school district is a majority students of color including one third Black and 10% Latinx. Nearly 90% of our students receive free or reduced lunch and 25% don't have internet at home. This is the reality of living in a community faced with historical racism and disinvestment. Our kids face real challenges that students in white, more affluent schools generally do not. It is essential that we be grouped with other diverse communities facing similar challenges so that we will have a representative who is fighting for our kids and

9-20-21 v4 CD

Like

North end of Wyoming north of Porter (LEE area) should be together with 79th district, latino area that is much closer in similarity to SW GR than S Wyoming

Latasha Boland

2021-09-28 22:21:08+00

9-28-21 v1 HD

Like

Wyoming

Ivan

2021-09-28 22:16:27+00

Van Buren and Allegan should be kept whole and together for the Senate as a community of interest. They share many functions of government as well as a large Hispanic population. Both are rural, agriculture and tourism based economies with many similar small towns through the counties. Aside from being a community of interest, together, they fit nicely as about 2/3 of a Senate district and could take in other parts of similar rural or coastal counties. Van Buren and Allegan have much more in common with each other than they do with inland rural areas like rural Calhoun or with the

9-15-21 v16A SD

Opinion

"Michiana" border counties.

Josh P

2021-09-28 21:51:07+00

9-28-21 v1 HD

Like

The map is messed up in South Washtenaw, some precincts are not assigned and its messing up the shapfeile.

Zachary Solomon

2021-09-28 21:44:06+00

I have just figured out that House District 54 as drawn, lops off Southend Bay City from the House District. Not only is the Southend in Bay County, It is in Bay City! It is in the Bay City Public Schools School District! It has far more in common with Bay City (as part of it!) than Mt. Forest which is miles from Bay City. It makes sense to draw lines for House District 54 that encompass Bay City and the immediate townships adjacent to it, Moving out from there. People would understand that. Also following suit with the shoreline and the Saginaw River. I write this as a resident of Essexville which is on the Eastern edge of Bay City, The Southern edge of Saginaw Bay, at the mouth of the Saginaw river. It was a stroke of luck that my house/street were included in House

9-24-21 v1 HD

Opinion

District 54. At first it had not Carol Heron

2021-09-28 21:29:04+00

9-27-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>1. Albion has a significant percentage of African-American residents. I do not believe Albion should be disconnected from Battle Creek.</p> <p>2. Marshall, like Albion, has much more significant ties to Battle Creek than to all these Calhoun and Jackson County townships.</p>	Garrett Brown	2021-09-28 20:30:59+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Like	<p>This is a fair map for the Livingston County area. It's a 50/50 map for partisan purposes. It also respects the wishes of the majority of commenters to keep Livingston County whole and not put us with Washtenaw County.</p>	Dan Wholihan	2021-09-28 20:29:01+00
9-27-21 v1 HD	Like	<p>I think these are good State House districts for the Livingston County region. The Brighton area is a community of interest, as is the Howell area. These districts keep those areas together. Tyrone Twp is the odd township out, but most of the residents there are in Fenton schools and with Fenton addresses.</p>	Dan Wholihan	2021-09-28 20:26:13+00

9-24-21 v1 HD	Like	<p>I approve this map for House District 54 with one tweak being necessary. As Bay County is drawn into the House District, the Southern line of District 54 cuts University Center out of Bay County. Delta College is in Bay County. University Center is mostly in Bay County and is a Community of Interest together with Saginaw Valley State University. For this reason, University Center needs to be included in House District 54.</p>	Carol Heron	2021-09-28 20:00:04+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Like	<p>I really like how district 11 is drawn. It well represents the community by including the entirety of Warren and Grosspointe which are more closely related to such a community than northern areas such as Washington and New Haven.</p>	Nick Yee	2021-09-28 19:33:37+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	<p>I believe district 6 should include the entire city of Warren, such a highly populated community shouldn't be split in half. Can take off some of the most northern portions to make an easy fix.</p>	Nick Yee	2021-09-28 19:14:52+00

The previous map with my comments seems to be gone. I like this Map because it does not split Midland county which allows the county governing body to better serve the community. It also keeps Midland with the smaller towns that are similar like Mt. Pleasant, Clare, Gladwin and Alma. These same towns are also in the Chippewa and Tittabawasee watersheds dealing with regular flooding events where we need to work together. Midland does not share the more urban interests of Saginaw and Flint, and even Bay City. Thanks for considering these points.

9-20-21 v2 CD

Like

Laura Norcutt

2021-09-28 18:56:15+00

9-27-21 v1 HD Dislike The Macatawa peninsula on Lake Michigan should be with the district to the south which puts it with other beach communities on our continuous stretch of beach and dune along the lakefront. I have given written and oral testimony 3 TIMES on this and submitted a map. DO NOT FOLLOW THE COUNTY LINE--IT CUTS OUR COMMUNITY IN HALF. Lisa M Jevens 2021-09-28 18:01:51+00

9-20-21 v1 CD Like This map (#178) does maintain the Tri-Cities/Great Lakes Bay Region community of interest, in part, but then it pairs that with the very rural Thumb region stretching down to the shores of Lake St Clair. This is not a reasonable combination as the Thumb has no community of interest with the Great Lakes Bay region--different economic, social, and educational concerns. Please do NOT adopt this map. Lynn Pottenger 2021-09-28 17:44:15+00

This map (#180) is better than others in that it combines Midland, where I reside, with its sister cities Bay City & Saginaw, and with Flint--all of which form a community of interest (Tri-Cities/Great Lakes Bay Region) based on economic (industrial principally) and cultural (shared services and interests) and educational (SVSU, Delta College, Northwood University, sports leagues) aspects. While it separates Midland from the rest of Midland County, this is not a problem, given the much more rural base of (western/northern) Midland Country. Clearly counties will have to be split to meet the requirements of redistricting and this split is acceptable. While I think the map #144 does a better job, this one could work.

9-20-21 V3 CD

Like

Lynn Pottenger

2021-09-28 17:35:52+00

Midland, placed in proposed District 13 of this proposed map for US Congressional Districts (#181). This map is NOT good, as it ignores completely the long-time association of the Tri-Cities: Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw, that fits best with Flint. The Tri-Cities, known more recently as the Great Lakes Bay Region, forms a clear community of interest that should be maintained in Congressional representation. This map places Midland, a suburban/urban community, with rural communities that stretch all the way across the state to the shore of Lake Michigan. There would be no representation of Midland's common interests with our sister cities--economic, cultural, educational, etc.--with this map. Midland has an industrial economic base, not a rural/agricultural one. There are other maps that combine

9-20-21 v4 CD

Dislike

Lynn Pottenger

2021-09-28 17:26:51+00

This is the best map drawn so far, and gives good representation to the Great Lakes Bay Region. It keeps communities of interest together, including Arenac with Bay County and Midland with Gladwin County. Bay and Arenac share many civic, social, and economic ties. We share an ISD, a community foundation, and a Rotary Club to name a few. Many of our businesses work together, and we share a common workforce. Midland and Gladwin share an important watershed, share a community fund, and have a common workforce. I have left these comments before on another map, that no longer exists, but I hope you will read them here. Thank you for your hard work, and good job on these districts.

9-20-21 v2 CD

Like

Adam Kroczaleski

2021-09-28 16:51:58+00

I am focused on Midland, being a 30+-year resident. I really like this proposed approach, which keeps the long-time Tri-Cities, now known as the Great Lakes Bay Region, together. Midland, Bay City, and Saginaw form a community of interest based on shared economic, cultural, educational, and functional aspects. We have an industrial base in Midland, not an agricultural one and District 5 as drawn in map #144 recognizes this. Examples of each of these aspects include: employers (Dow & other chemical manufacturers, GM & associated automobile/supply companies); SVSU & Delta College & Northwood University and high school sports leagues; our orchestras and theater groups, restaurants, and water sports; and the MBS airport. We are a suburban/urban area that fits

Lynn Pottenger

9-20-21 EID v1 CD Like

2021-09-28 16:39:32+00

9-27-21 v1 HD	Opinion	<p>Would make more sense to keep Gladwin county complete and include Alcona county and southern (south of city of Alpena) Alpena county opposed to Ogemaw county and more of northern Bay county to just south of Linwood as opposed to any of Clare county.</p> <p>Great job creating logical districts for the Jackson and Battle Creek areas!</p>	Michael Hoadley	2021-09-28 16:36:04+00
9-27-21 v1 HD	Like	<p>I don't understand this leg down into Hillsdale County. These don't seem to be communities of interest with the Jackson/Albion/Dexter/etc. Maybe trade these townships for population in the Tecumseh area?</p>	Christopher Khorey/McKenna	2021-09-28 16:33:50+00
9-15-21 v15A SD	Dislike		Christopher Khorey	2021-09-28 16:28:42+00

I am focused on Midland,  
 placed in proposed District 13  
 of this proposed map for US  
 Congressional Districts (#179).  
 This map is NOT good, as it  
 ignores completely the long-  
 time association of the Tri-  
 Cities: Midland, Bay City, and  
 Saginaw, that fits best with  
 Flint. The Tri-Cities, known  
 more recently as the Great  
 Lakes Bay Region, forms a  
 clear community of interest  
 that should be maintained in  
 Congressional representation.  
 This map places Midland, a  
 suburban/urban community,  
 with rural communities that  
 stretch all the way across the  
 state to the shore of Lake  
 Michigan. There would be no  
 representation of Midland's  
 common interests with our  
 sister cities--economic,  
 cultural, educational, etc.--  
 with this map. There are  
 other maps that combine  
 Midland with our sister cities  
 of Bay City and Saginaw, with  
 I really like this Kentwood-  
 Forest Hills District. It unifies  
 suburban communities of  
 interest without breaking up  
 municipalities.

9-20-21 v2 CD

Dislike

Lynn Pottenger

2021-09-28 16:08:11+00

9-27-21 v1 HD

Like

Christopher Khorey

2021-09-28 15:56:44+00

Battle Creek does not belong with Kalamazoo. I live in Emmett Township, I was injured in Iraq in 2003 from a mortar round. I am unable to move because my home had to be adapted to my limitations. If you put me with Kalamazoo, I will never have a voice. The University students will overshadow me. There are more Veterans here in similar situations. Please don't take away any chance we have left to have a representative who will work on behalf of Veterans. Thank you

9-20-21 v4 CD

Like

Sonja Marie Patrick

2021-09-28 15:52:27+00

I too understand the need to split counties to make these maps work but in this case, it is splitting a COMMUNITY. Map 179 shows that Midland County does not have to be split to make this work. I truly dislike this map as it splits my community (Midland) in half. Currently there is no physical barrier splitting this well-knit community, why would you drive a political wedge between us.

9-16-21 v2 CD

Dislike

Richard Cesaretti

2021-09-28 15:51:54+00

not sure if it's possible to see the map I attached, so I'll describe my suggestion in words:

District 4 should include the Muskegon area (Laketon, N. Muskegon, City, RP, Norton Shores, Heights, Musk Twp, Eggleston, Fruitport), the Grand Haven area (GH, Spring Lake, GH Twp, Spring Lake Twp), and the Kent County Grand Rapids Metro (Core 6 plus the first ring of Townships without going into Ottawa County). They should be linked by the portion of Ottawa County that is north of the Grand River (Coopersville, Marne, etc).

Then District 9 should get the rest of Ottawa County. To make up for the extra population, District 13 should get Barry County and Richland and Ross Townships in

9-20-21 v4 CD

Like

Kalamazoo County. Don't put Muskegon Heights in a 90% White district. Black

Christopher Khorey

2021-09-28 15:50:59+00

9-20-21 v2 CD

Dislike

voices deserve to be heard.

Darius Boyles

2021-09-28 15:49:22+00

9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	Don't put Muskegon Heights in a 90% White district like this. Black voices need to be heard.	Darius Boyles	2021-09-28 15:46:51+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	I live in Muskegon Heights. Don't put us in a 90% White district	Darius Boyles	2021-09-28 15:44:14+00

Putting my dot next to Shannon Moore to piggy back on her comment. Attached is a suggestion on how to draw Districts 4, 9 and 13 to create a Muskegon-Grand Rapids district that unites communities of interest, rather than just linking townships in a straight line. The entire Muskegon area, entire Grand Haven area, and the entire Kent County Grand Rapid metro area are included, linked together by I-96 and the Grand River.

District 9 then includes all of southern Ottawa County - Holland, Zeeland, Hudsonville, Jenison, Allendale.

District 13 gets Barry County and a couple townships in Kalamazoo County, which makes it huge and sprawling, but that's what happens when we lose a district and, at the same time, the population Muskegon belongs in a diverse district like this, not with a 90% White district where we can't be heard. Please make a more compact version of this.

9-20-21 v4 CD

Like

Christopher Khorey

2021-09-28 15:42:26+00

9-20-21 v4 CD

Like

Darius Boyles

2021-09-28 15:41:21+00

9-27-21 v1 HD	Opinion	How about putting Davison and Goodrich in the Lapeer? Truly dislike this map as it splits my community (Midland) in half. Currently there is no physical barrier splitting this well-knit community, why would you drive a political wedge	Renee Kirby	2021-09-28 15:40:35+00
9-20-21 v1 CD	Dislike	between us.	Richard Cesaretti	2021-09-28 15:39:53+00
9-20-21 v2 CD	Like	Love this map (#179). This allows Midland County to not be split and to be aligned with other communities that share similar values and challenges.	Richard Cesaretti	2021-09-28 15:31:43+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Like	Even though this map splits Midland County, it is a logical split. The western section is definitely more rural and shares educational and agricultural interests with District 7. I approve of this plan to unite the Tri-Cities! I live in Midland and know how much we share with Bay City and Saginaw. Our support of Delta College and SVSU and of our international airport are just two examples. Please adopt this map. Thank you for all your work!	Cassandra M Foley	2021-09-28 15:15:10+00

9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	<p>I don't understand why Warren and Madison Heights were included in District 1 instead of District 6. They fit with Troy and Sterling Heights as a community of interest. Meanwhile, the southeast Macomb communities (St. Clair Shores, Eastpointe, etc) fit more with the Grosse Pointes and the east side of Detroit, and should be in District 1 instead. Please flip Warren and SE Macomb. This splits the lakeshore communities from New Buffalo up to South Haven into THREE pieces. At the very least, the area spanning from St. Joseph to South Haven should be joined into</p>	Christopher Khorey	2021-09-28 15:13:25+00
9-27-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>on community of interest. I like map 179, serves Midland best to keep with rural communities as well as our</p>	Thaddeus Hackworth	2021-09-28 14:53:09+00
9-20-21 v2 CD	Like	<p>city.</p>	Darcie Johnson	2021-09-28 14:46:05+00

9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	<p>I disapprove of this district map. The city of Midland , Midland county and the county of Gladwin should be in the same district. We experienced a catastrophic disaster last year in our community. We have been working together to rebuild our region of the State. This map would not be conducive to these endeavors.</p>	Carl L Hamann	2021-09-28 14:12:27+00
9-20-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>The City of Midland and Midland County need to remain in the same district. After last years disaster we are all working together to rebuild our community. Gladwin County should also remain the the same district as Midland County.</p>	Carl L Hamann	2021-09-28 14:00:49+00
9-20-21 v2 CD	Like	<p>Midland County and the City of Midland should be in the same representative district, along with Gladwin County. In the past year we have suffered a catastrophic disaster. These areas are working together to rebuild our communities. We need to be together. What happen to map #146 and my comments there??</p>	Carl L Hamann	2021-09-28 13:56:23+00

9-20-21 V3 CD	Dislike	<p>This map does not represent the positions and views of the people of the City of Midland and the residences of Midland County.</p> <p>Thank you for drawing the Lake St. Clair District and for keeping our Lake St. Clair COI intact. The inland industrial communities on the other side of I-94 have different interests and will outvote us every time if our COI is not kept together. One important consideration is the dumping of sewage into our lake. Although federal law requires communities to separate storm and sewer systems, there has been no enforcement. As a result, many communities, particularly the inland communities, have not separated their storm and sewer lines. This means that when there is a rain event, the system's capacity becomes overloaded and sewage is discharged into Lake St. Clair. When that happens, our beaches and lakefront amenities are shut</p>	Carl L Hamann	2021-09-28 13:49:29+00
9-15-21 v16 SD	Like	<p>down because their is too</p>	Robert Dindoffer	2021-09-28 13:46:50+00

Thank you for drawing this Lake St. Clair District and for keeping our Lake St. Clair COI intact. The inland industrial communities on the other side of I-94 have different interests and will outvote us every time if our COI is not kept together. One important consideration is the dumping of sewage into our lake. Although federal law requires communities to separate storm and sewer systems, there has been no enforcement. As a result, many communities, particularly the inland communities, have not separated their storm and sewer lines. This means that when there is a rain event, the system's capacity becomes overloaded and sewage is discharged into Lake St. Clair. When that happens, our beaches and lakefront amenities are shut

9-15-21 v16B SD	Like	down because their is too	Robert Dindoffer	2021-09-28 13:45:46+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Like	This map works for the midland county residences	Carl L Hamann	2021-09-28 13:45:44+00
9-20-21 v2 CD	Like	I like map 179, serves Midland best to keep with rural communities and not split.	Michelle Smith	2021-09-28 13:00:03+00

9-20-21 v2 CD	Like	<p>I like map now 179, I am a resident of Midland, and it makes the most sense to keep Midland County together. Midland is not "suburban". We have much more in common with our rural neighbors and is critical to keep us together. Where did map and all the comments go for 146?</p>	Matthew Smith	2021-09-28 12:57:52+00
9-27-21 v1 HD	Like	<p>The residents of Birmingham have interests and concerns more like the residents of Bloomfield Hills and Bloomfield Township as opposed to Southfield and other communities in the new proposed district.</p>	David Hohendorf	2021-09-28 12:50:35+00

Thank you for drawing the Lake St. Clair District and for keeping our Lake St. Clair COI intact. The inland industrial communities on the other side of I-94 have different interests and will outvote us every time if our COI is not kept together. One important consideration is the dumping of sewage into our lake. Although federal law requires communities to separate storm and sewer systems, there has been no enforcement. As a result, many communities, particularly the inland communities, have not separate their storm and sewer lines. This means that when there is a rain event, the system's capacity becomes overloaded and sewage is discharged into Lake St. Clair. When that happens, our beaches and lakefront amenities are shut down because there is too much Muskegon doesn't belong in this 90% white, very rural district. Please place us with other African American communities so our vote won't be diluted.

9-15-21 v16A SD

Like

Robert Dindoffer

2021-09-28 12:09:20+00

9-20-21 v1 CD

Dislike

Keisha Highland

2021-09-28 07:58:39+00

9-20-21 v2 CD	Dislike	Please don't put Muskegon with a 90% White and very rural district. We don't belong there and the African American vote will be diluted. Muskegon doesn't belong in a 90% White district. This will disadvantage our African American community. Please	Keisha Highland	2021-09-28 07:55:38+00
9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	don't do this to us.	Keisha Highland	2021-09-28 07:50:50+00
9-20-21 V3 CD	Dislike	Muskegon and Muskegon Heights don't belong in a 90% White and very rural district. We are a mostly Black community and are very connected to Grand Rapids Please don't put Muskegon and Muskegon Heights in a 90% White district	Keisha Highland	2021-09-28 07:47:21+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	This is a much better placement for Muskegon than the 90% White, very rural district in other drafts. It clearly needs to be fixed up though. Take out Jamestown and Georgetown and add townships in north Ottawa county. Caledonia and Cannon can also be removed if necessary. This will be a	Keisha Highland	2021-09-28 07:42:40+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Like	much better shape.	Keisha Highland	2021-09-28 07:37:51+00

9-20-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>Battle Creek is part of Calhoun and has more in common with the Branch, Hillsdale, Jackson, Eaton, St. Joseph, than it does with Kalamazoo. People don't seem to think about the several outside townships attached to the city of Battle Creek. If you force us with Kalamazoo, you will be eliminating the voices of thousands. People in Muskegon, shouldn't be trying to voice where they think district lines belong for other parts of the state.</p>	Sp	2021-09-28 07:15:25+00
9-20-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>I think this map is very clean and show the Commissioners are respecting our communities of interest. This map also shows a great level of respect for county boundaries.</p>	Sonja Marie Patrick	2021-09-28 07:08:32+00

Robert Wolfer is incorrect about the VRA. A Muskegon-Grand Rapids district would be about 30% minority, which certainly does not constitute packing from a VRA perspective. In fact splitting these areas could be considered a "crack" under the VRA. Cracking is a bigger concern than packing when you have smaller clusters of concentrated minority population as opposed to very large concentrated minority population like in Detroit.

9-20-21 v4 CD

Like

Shannon Moore

2021-09-28 04:43:36+00

I really like this configuration of the Tri-Cities being kept together as well as adding Flint with it. These areas have many industrial similarities. I will also note, that many people are saying to keep their counties together, even though it is impossible to keep every county whole. So some county has to be split somewhere, and this split in Midland county is one in which I agree with.

9-20-21 EID v1 CD

Like

Trenton Berry

2021-09-28 04:42:18+00

9-20-21 V3 CD	Opinion	<p>I prefer this map over the other proposed maps. I really like that the Tri-Cities and Flint are grouped together in one district, also that the Greater Lansing Area is properly placed in the same district. However, I really hope that the Committee considers the map proposed in a comment by Jennifer Austin on the 09-20-21 V4 map. I have been looking it over and, in my opinion, looks better than any map proposed by the Committee.</p>	Ethan Edward Fick	2021-09-28 04:33:21+00
9-20-21 v1 CD	Like	<p>I appreciate that the Committee is keeping the Greater Lansing area within the same district in most of its proposed maps.</p>	Ethan Edward Fick	2021-09-28 04:17:36+00

9-15-21 v16A SD	Opinion	I think Downriver should be its own State Senate district, including River Rouge, Ecorse, Wyandotte, Trenton, Grosse Ile, and Gibraltar, as well as Riverview, Lincoln Park, Allen Park, Taylor and other near communities to achieve the target population. All these communities are connected to each other through proximity to the Detroit River, which influences our lifestyles, recreation, environmental awareness, and our local economy.	Roberta Urbani	2021-09-28 04:14:35+00
9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	Battle Creek belongs with Kalamazoo	Elizabeth Deerdrik	2021-09-28 04:08:02+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	Battle Creek belongs with Kalamazoo	Elizabeth Deerdrik	2021-09-28 04:05:16+00
9-20-21 v2 CD	Dislike	Battle Creek belongs with Kalamazoo	Elizabeth Deerdrik	2021-09-28 04:02:01+00
9-20-21 v2 CD	Like	This map keeps Midland with its neighbors who share concerns like flooding and rebuilding the dams. This map will allow for appropriate representation of the concerns of Midlanders.	Jaime Vanchura	2021-09-28 03:52:47+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	Battle Creek belongs with Kalamazoo in District 9.	Elizabeth Deerdrik	2021-09-28 03:50:20+00

9-20-21 V3 CD	Dislike	Battle Creek belongs with Kalamazoo in district 9.	Elizabeth Deerdrik	2021-09-28 03:46:35+00
		<p>This is a good start for Western MI, but more can be done to unite communities of color. I think district 3 needs to absorb Muskegon, which can be done in a compact way. District 6 should then take more of Southern Ottawa county. District 6 should also take Battle Creek. District 2 can then absorb Barry. District 7 can absorb rest of Cass and southern Berrien (south of St. Joseph). This should get you pretty close but you'll need to shift some things for population still. Please try to minimize the diluting of communities of color here and draw a plan that doesn't crack our major population centers in</p>		
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Opinion	Western MI across 4 districts.	Shannon Moore	2021-09-28 03:33:53+00

9-15-21 v16B SD	Dislike	Please do not split up Midland County. I am a resident of Midland, and we have much more in common with the rest of the rural parts of Midland County than with the suburban areas of Bay City and Saginaw. I am very concerned that our voices will not be heard if this map is used.	Christine Sellnow	2021-09-28 03:32:07+00
-----------------	---------	--	-------------------	------------------------

9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	Please do not split up Midland County. I am a resident of Midland, and we have much more in common with the rest of the rural parts of Midland County than with the suburban areas of Bay City and Saginaw. I am very concerned that our voices will not be heard if this map is used.	Christine Sellnow	2021-09-28 03:28:55+00
-----------------	---------	--	-------------------	------------------------

9-14-21 v14 SD	Dislike	<p>Please do not split up Midland County! I am a resident of Midland, and we have much more in common with the rest of the rural parts of Midland County than with the suburban areas of Bay City and Saginaw. I am very concerned that our voices will not be heard if this map is used.</p>	Christine Sellnow	2021-09-28 03:26:51+00
9-23-21 v1 HD	Like	<p>I like this map solely because it keeps Midland County together. I am a resident of Midland, and I am very concerned about being separated from the rest of our community. We have much more in common with our rural neighbors to the north and west than with our suburban neighbors to the east and south. Keeping the City of Midland with the rest of Midland County ensures that our voices will be heard.</p>	Christine Sellnow	2021-09-28 03:21:29+00

9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	I live in Hastings, the seat of Barry county. Barry is a very rural district and we don't fit well with district 6 here which includes mostly urban and suburban areas like Kalamazoo, St. Joseph, and Holland. I think we'd make more sense in district 2 or 7 which are more rural.	Mary Warkowski	2021-09-28 03:20:31+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	Grouping in Muskegon and Grand Rapids likely represents a fairly serious "packing" problem, as the term is commonly used, related to the VRA.	Robert Wolfer	2021-09-28 03:17:14+00
9-20-21 V3 CD	Dislike	I am a resident of Midland, and I am very concerned about being separated from the rest of Midland County. Just because the term "Tri-Cities" was once used (long ago at this point) to refer to Midland, Bay City and Saginaw, doesn't mean we have much in common. Midland is not suburban. Our "community" stretches north and west, not east and south.	Christine Sellnow	2021-09-28 03:13:26+00
9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	Dislike	Tina Anganis	2021-09-28 03:12:53+00

9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	I live in Hastings, the county seat of Barry. Barry is a very rural county and I don't think its a good fit for district 9 which includes Kalamazoo, Holland, St. Joseph, and other urban and suburban areas. I think it would make a lot more sense for us to be moved to district 13 or district 8 which are rural in nature. We would be better represented that way.	Mary Warkowski	2021-09-28 03:10:13+00
9-20-21 v2 CD	Like	I am a reisdent of Midland, and it makes the most sense to keep Midland County together. Midland is not "suburban" by any stretch of the imagination. We have much more in common with our rural neighbors to the north and west. Midland needs to stay together with Sanford and	Christine Sellnow	2021-09-28 03:08:14+00
9-20-21 v1 CD	Dislike	rural areas	Tina Anganis	2021-09-28 03:04:33+00
9-20-21 v2 CD	Like	Good map Need to keep Midland	Tina Anganis	2021-09-28 03:02:52+00
9-20-21 V3 CD	Dislike	together	Tina Anganis	2021-09-28 03:00:14+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Like	Like this map	Tina Anganis	2021-09-28 02:58:03+00

		<p>I am a resident of would-be District 13 and it just really doesn't make much sense to me. I think it needs to be kept rural to make sure our interests are represented. While mostly rural, it randomly includes some much more urbanized areas that don't seem to belong like Muskegon, Grand Haven, and Midland. These areas could easily be reallocated to other districts. If other areas need to be added I think Ionia and Barry are a better fit for district 13. Thank you for</p>		
9-20-21 v2 CD	Dislike	listening to my comment.	Jeremy Glazer	2021-09-28 02:49:47+00
9-20-21 v2 CD	Dislike	<p>Muskegon belongs in district 4 and Battle Creek belongs in district 9. This will reduce the cracking of voters of color in Western Michigan.</p>	Shannon Moore	2021-09-28 02:36:51+00
9-20-21 v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Muskegon should be in district 4 and Battle Creek should be in district 9. This will better enfranchise voters of color.</p>	Shannon Moore	2021-09-28 02:33:37+00
9-20-21 V3 CD	Dislike	<p>I think Muskegon needs to be in District 4 and Battle Creek needs to be in 9 to better enfranchise voters of color.</p>	Shannon Moore	2021-09-28 02:26:07+00

I think it is a good idea to include Muskegon with Grand Rapids because Muskegon has a large Black population. However, this plan was haphazardly drawn and does not do a very good job executing this goal. I'm afraid the public will shoot it down because it's poorly drawn, not because putting Muskegon with Grand Rapids is a bad idea. Many people on the portal have provided ways to make a more compact version of District 4. I think the commission has an obligation to put forward a more reasonable and compact version of this plan for consideration given the public comment regarding disenfranchising Muskegon and western communities of color. What I recommend is extending 4 into Northern Ottawa and removing more exurban parts of Kent and placing them in 13. Then put

9-20-21 v4 CD

Like

Shannon Moore

2021-09-28 02:19:25+00

This is not the right way to split Greater Lansing. You can easily do a core Lansing district, core East Lansing/Okemos district, South Lansing/Holt and out-county district, and a district based on Lansing's western suburbs and environs.

With this map I've attached below, you get a unified Clinton County save for the small portions of Lansing & East Lansing which project into it, and you added in the neighboring Shiawassee Co. communities most similar to it and connected to the Lansing area. You only get one municipal split: Lansing. And that's because it's too large for a single district. You get very compact districts. The Eaton County district remains a swing district.

9-27-21 v1 HD

Dislike

Any state map that doesn't keep 3 Dem-leaning Ingham

Damon

2021-09-28 01:58:00+00

9-20-21 v2 CD	Like	<p>I was informed that my comments on district map #146 was no longer on tis portal. This is very concerning to me and I think an explanation is needed to clear this concern up. Due to this I am resubmitting a comment to state that I support this map as it keeps the citizens on Midland and Midland county together. I have friends in the city of Midland, west of us towards Mt Pleasant, in Gladwin, and Sanford that have been affected by the flood of 2020. We need to keep these areas together on this map to make sure we are represented together as one and have a full recovery.</p>	Mary J Quehl	2021-09-28 01:10:45+00
9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	<p>The lack of consideration for county lines makes this feel gerrymandered. Troy doesn't belong with Macomb County. By lumping us in Macomb you are effectively canceling our votes.</p>	Dina Shargabian Stuber	2021-09-28 00:36:29+00

It is disturbing to see how you split Oakland County's Troy, Rochester, Rochester Hills and Oakland Twp and put them with Macomb County's Sterling Heights. Oakland County and Macomb do not share the same priorities and shouldn't share the same

9-15-21 v16A SD

Dislike

representation.

Dina Shargabian Stuber

2021-09-28 00:16:10+00

9-22-21 v1 HD

Like

Thank you for keeping Troy unified.

Dina Shargabian Stuber

2021-09-28 00:07:19+00

I do not understand where map #146 went, as well as my comments and the many other "like" positive comments. The map is now #179. I would like to know who changed the map number as well as what happened to my documented comments. I am concerned that my comments as well as others may not have been listened to. To be very honest, how can the commissioners expect the citizens to have any trust in this format if we are not being made aware of these changes. I did not see any documentation on this page that informs me that these changes have been made as well as the reasoning. You do have our email addresses so we could be notified that maps have been changed and that we may need to make new comments. There is plenty of IT software that could reload

9-20-21 v2 CD

Like

Dennis Quehl

2021-09-27 23:12:45+00

I like that this map keeps the City of Midland with the Tri-Cities and Flint. Its economic base is industrial, not agricultural and needs appropriate representation as such. Dow is more than 1/3 of tax base and without it the small businesses that thrive in this city wouldn't exist. I have lived in rural Midland county and the city and I can attest to the different needs of each area as well. Midland is a city with a large international industrial business as its main economic base, it has a vibrant theater and arts community that connects in many ways with the Tri-Cities and Flint including theater productions and art shows that incorporate people from all these cities, and many of our non-profits have regional directors that serve the entire area, not just one city. We have been called the Tri-Cities as well as Mid-Michigan for a

9-20-21 EID v1 CD

Like

Jennifer Austin

2021-09-27 21:31:09+00

I like that this map keeps the Tri-Cities together in a congressional district but they should really be with Flint.

9-20-21 v1 CD

Opinion

Jennifer Austin

2021-09-27 21:18:50+00

9-14-21 v14 SD	Like	Thank you for keeping the Tri-Cities together in a senate district!	Jennifer Austin	2021-09-27 21:04:05+00
9-15-21 v16B SD	Like	I like that this map keeps the Tri-Cities together and is relatively compact.	Jennifer Austin	2021-09-27 21:01:42+00
9-15-21 v16A SD	Like	This is a great senate district for Midland. The Tri-Cities are kept together and it is relatively compact. It is very close to zero political bias and has a good demographic mix that does not overwhelm the votes of any particular demographic group.	Jennifer Austin	2021-09-27 20:59:44+00
9-24-21 v1 HD	Like	This seems like a good house district for Midland County. The City of Midland should be with the Tri-Cities and Flint because it is suburban and should be with other urban/suburban areas. It has an industrial economic base like Bay City, Saginaw, and Flint, unlike the rest of Midland County which is agricultural based and should be with other rural/agricultural areas so each group can have proper representation.	Jennifer Austin	2021-09-27 20:57:17+00
9-20-21 v2 CD	Dislike		Jennifer Austin	2021-09-27 20:56:22+00

I like this map better than 9-21-21- v4. It puts Midland with the Tri-Cities and makes a better District 1 in Detroit. I think Tuscola should be with District 10 and if the people of Muskegon and Grand Rapids want to be together I think there is a way to make that happen.

9-20-21 V3 CD

Like

Jennifer Austin

2021-09-27 20:54:19+00

there are some problems with this map as drawn. The population deviation is at 6.2% which is far outside the allowable deviation of .75%. Districts 4 and 13 don't appear to be contiguous. This map has 4 Dem and 4 Rep and 5 competitive districts. I would prefer to see more districts closer to zero bias.

Below I have provided a link to a map I drew that alters your map somewhat. It puts Midland with the Tri-Cities and Flint for a congressional district, moves Tuscola to the Thumb, fixes the area around Grand Rapids and Muskegon that is not contiguous, uses more of the city of Detroit for District 1 and alters some of the other corresponding districts to make it all work. I'm still not happy with it because it has 4 D and 4 R and 5 competitive districts, but it

9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	meets the population	Jennifer Austin	2021-09-27 20:50:45+00
9-20-21 V3 CD	Like	I think it's good that Flint, Saginaw, Bay City, and Midland would be kept in the same district	Jeff Ridsdale	2021-09-27 19:47:00+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Like	This is, by far, the best map.	Chris Topher	2021-09-27 19:41:21+00

9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	<p>What the heck is this  gerrymandered nonsense?!?!  The City of Midland should be  with the Tri-Cities and Flint  because they are the only  urban/suburban places in Mid-  Michigan and urban areas  need representation that will  focus on issues that concern  them while rural areas  deserve to have  representat5ion that will fit  their needs as well. I have  lived in both urban and rural  parts of Midland County and  the concerns of the citizens of  each area would be better  met by representation that  would focus on their  individual needs. Please put  Midland in with the Tri-Citites  and Flint for a congressional  district. I suggest moving  Tuscola County in with the  Thumb congressional district  as they are more closely  aligned. I have also lived in  Harbor Beach in the Thumb  and I can tell you that Tuscola  is more closely aligned with</p>	Angelo D Guarnieri	2021-09-27 19:26:43+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	Huron, Sanilac, and St. Clair	Jennifer Austin	2021-09-27 19:01:40+00

9-20-21 v1 CD	Dislike	Bay County is not in the Thumb. There is no reason to put us in a district with the Thumb, which assures us of no representation.	Carol Heron	2021-09-27 18:04:37+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Like	This stretches the definition of "contiguous", but I really do like adding grand rapids and Muskegon together. Arenac County is part of the Great Lakes Bay Area, which is Bay and Midland Counties. Our Schools are part of Bay Arenac Intermediate School District. Arenac County has a community of interest with	Patrick Quist	2021-09-27 16:17:34+00
9-15-21 v16B SD	Dislike	Bay and Midland Counties. I dont believe this map can pass a Voting Rights Act legal	Darren Kroczaleski	2021-09-27 15:27:31+00
9-20-21 v4 CD	Dislike	challenge. This map dilutes the power of the voters in Macomb County. The 3rd largest county in the state has 3 State Senators. This map would only guarantee only 1. You must respect county borders when drawing maps. The Counties operate as their own community of interest and should not be diluted across	John Caron	2021-09-27 13:29:20+00
9-15-21 v16B SD	Dislike	county lines.	John Caron	2021-09-27 13:27:49+00

9-15-21 v15A SD	Dislike	<p>This map dilutes the power of the voters in Macomb County. The 3rd largest county in the state has 3 State Senators. This map would only guarantee only 1. You must respect county borders when drawing maps. The Counties operate as their own community of interest and should not be diluted across county lines.</p>	John Caron	2021-09-27 13:25:29+00
9-24-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>On a Senate Map, St Clair Shores is joined with other lake front communities since there is a shared interest. This map splits St Clair Shores with the southern portion being combined with Detroit where needs and issues are far different than southern St Clair Shores. This dilutes the power of the voters to split the City into 2 when it does not need to be split.</p>	John Caron	2021-09-27 13:20:10+00

9-16-21 v2 CD	Like	<p>While it certainly a goal to keep counties intact within congressional districts, there are going to be unavailable situations where a county must split. Not sure why there are so many here against splitting Midland county but to me, it is fine and makes sense to if needed to make a district out of the tri-cities. It seems like districts 12 and 13 unfairly pack a large amount of Dem leaning voters into two districts. While I understand it's unavoidable to a certain extent, I hope the the the final map fairly represents the even partisan split in our state.</p>	Ryan T.	2021-09-27 01:21:28+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike		Ryan T.	2021-09-27 01:14:55+00

Regarding districts 1 and 2 - I think that the North East and North Central portion of the lower peninsula align much more with the upper peninsula than the Lake Michigan shoreline. I'd suggest a district with the UP and NE Lower Penn. and a District that covers Lake Michigan from the Bridge down to Muskegon and including the chain of lakes.

9-20-21 EID v1 CD

Dislike

Thanks!

Ryan T.

2021-09-27 00:54:49+00

Regarding district 5 - I do think it makes sense to group the tri cities (Saginaw, Bay City, Midland) and Flint given their congruent interests and close geographic proximity to one another.

9-20-21 EID v1 CD

Like

Ryan T.

2021-09-27 00:46:10+00

9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Like	<p>Focusing on District 5, I like this map! This finally would give the citizens of the City of Midland, Bay City, Saginaw and the metropolitan area of Flint representation. We can keep Midland County together in a house district and in a Congressional district.</p> <p>I also agree with the points made by Kenneth Shoon regarding the rest of this map. Please adopt this map or something very close to it. Thank you for your attention to fair maps!</p>	(Bonnie) Jill Haver-Crissman	2021-09-26 18:55:58+00
8-26-21 v1 HD	Like	<p>I like putting Leelanau County and Traverse City together. People strongly value the environment, many college-educated professionals, tourist-based economies, and similar problems (high cost of real estate and rentals).</p>	Casey Adams	2021-09-26 16:08:09+00
8-26-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>I think it would make more sense to put Marquette in with Houghton. Two college towns with export industries.</p>	Casey Adams	2021-09-26 16:05:09+00

9-23-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>I think this iteration of the district map needs serious rethinking. Splitting a small community like Redford into 3 (!) different districts is ridiculous. We should all be represented by one Representative working for our best interests. Tacking little bits of us onto other larger communities all but guarantees our voices will not be heard.</p>	Melinda Jean Graczyk	2021-09-26 14:36:48+00
9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	<p>In what world did you take into account communities of interest in this map? Battle Creek has more in common with Kalamazoo than ANY of the border counties. Not only the fact that there is a major military base straddling both Calhoun and Kalamazoo Counties, but also the shared workforce and I-94 corridor. It almost feels like our concerns were NOT taken into consideration.</p>	Anthony Pennock	2021-09-25 21:17:48+00

9-15-21 v16A SD Like This is the ONLY map of Battle Creek I agree with. In what world is there is a community of interest in teh House maps between Battle Creek and the border counties when we are the I-94 corridor? This makes NO sense at all! Anthony Pennock 2021-09-25 21:13:26+00

9-15-21 v16A SD Dislike Once again, Warren is Michigan's third largest city, and Macomb County's largest city. Yet under this map, the voting power of our citizens is torn apart. This map will devastate the ability of Warren residents to vote for elected officials who truly care about our issues. There is no reason to tear apart our city other than a desire to dilute the voting power or working class residents. Jeremy Fisher 2021-09-25 20:50:12+00

Warren is Michigan's third largest city. We are a major hub for the auto industry, the defense industry, and manufacturing in general. We are the largest city in Macomb County.

This map divides Warren into four state rep districts diluting the power of our voters. It is likely to lead to the third largest city in the state having zero state representatives elected from within our borders.

This map is unfair to the working class citizens of Warren.

9-24-21 v1 HD

Like

Jeremy Fisher

2021-09-25 20:46:03+00

Midland Should not be separated from Gladwin County. The City of Midland greatly affected by the flood and has different issues/interests than Saginaw and Bay City.

9-16-21 v2 CD

Dislike

Anne Putnam

2021-09-25 13:41:00+00

Midland Should not be separated from Gladwin and the rest of the watershed. The City of Midland greatly affected by the flood and has different issues/interests than Saginaw and Bay City.

9-20-21 EID v1 CD

Dislike

Anne Putnam

2021-09-25 13:39:16+00

8-26-21 v1 HD	Dislike	I don't like how this divides up larger communities in districts 1/2/3. Could you please try to take county lines into account when dividing up the Upper Peninsula? Most townships that have synergy are being split.	Rachel Millard	2021-09-25 05:00:09+00
09-07-21 v7 SD	Dislike	Why not just add the least amount needed to District 38? I would like my representative to have time to visit my area in the district more. As it stands the district is already rather large, it doesn't need to be that much larger. District 37 gets extremely undercut, why not expand that one more in the Upper Peninsula?	Rachel Millard	2021-09-25 04:55:19+00

9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	<p>I feel this map doesn't adequately enfranchise the people of the Upper Peninsula like our current district does. Please keep our district to the Upper Peninsula and the Northern Lower Peninsula. This map is taking up too much of central Michigan, and in doing so it wrongly carves up some of the central counties. Please try to keep more to county lines</p>	Rachel Millard	2021-09-25 04:49:30+00
9-24-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>This map is terrible. It divides cities and counties all over the place for no good reason. Lapeer County has the population to be one district and has been so for decades but is split three ways. Livonia is similarly chopped up between 4 seats despite having to population for exactly 1 seat. Nine different districts cross 8 Mile Road. Please start over from scratch.</p>	Daniel Harris	2021-09-25 03:38:39+00
9-24-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>I think this piece is part of Utica. It should not be excluded.</p>	Neil J. Lehto	2021-09-24 23:34:34+00

9-24-21 v1 HD	Dislike	Huntington Woods shares a lot of services and schools with Berkley. They should not be separated.	Neil J. Lehto	2021-09-24 23:29:58+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	This map (as others) fails the partisan fairness test. Jackson should be with Ann Arbor. Battle Creek with Kalamazoo. Dem voters are either packed or divided. Not what Prop 2 was all about. We need purple districts.	Margaret Schankler	2021-09-24 22:09:59+00
9-15-21 v16A SD	Dislike	YOU ARE SPLITTING A LITERAL NEIGHBORHOOD IN HALF?! This is ridiculous. You are taking portions of Oakland County to create District 2 when you can leave Macomb Township complete to create it. This is a laughable attempt to keep Macomb residents happy and your map cuts my community in half.	Colin Solomon	2021-09-24 20:15:17+00
9-20-21 v1 HD	Like	#FreeCynthiaAJohnson	RICHARD C CLEMENT	2021-09-24 19:09:25+00

9-20-21 v1 HD	Like	<p>Don't separate our communities and neighbor by making the boundaries so large. Also the changing of the District numbers was not need because now voters must learn all their districts all over again. This process was not suppose to add confusion but new lines.</p>	Roslyn Ogburn	2021-09-24 19:02:07+00
9-23-21 v1 HD	Like	<p>This is a very good district for the Tittabawassee River area community of interest. It will help continue strong advocacy surrounding our flood mitigation concerns. It also keeps the city and county of Midland together which is an important community to keep whole.</p>	William Schuette	2021-09-24 17:20:50+00

09-07-21 v7 SD	Dislike	<p>Thank you for all of your work so far, but this is a very bad part of the senate map. Arenac County shares an ISD with Bay County, a Community Fund, a Rotary Club. We are part of EMCOG for economic development. When Arenac citizens want to go shopping or consume entertainment, they often go to Bay City, Saginaw, or Midland. We have very little in common with Cadillac or Alpena and should be included in a district that covers the Great Lakes Bay Region. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Adam Kroczaleski	2021-09-24 17:08:41+00
9-14-21 v14 SD	Like	<p>I like the idea of keeping all the "downriver" communities together. As a whole it's an area of interests that people identify as part of them Happy to see Allen Park and Melvindale in the same district. Northern Allen Park residents send their kids to Melvindale schools and we should all have one representative to look over our interests.</p>	Angelo D Guarnieri	2021-09-24 16:08:42+00
9-23-21 v1 HD	Like	<p>I like the idea of keeping all the "downriver" communities together. As a whole it's an area of interests that people identify as part of them Happy to see Allen Park and Melvindale in the same district. Northern Allen Park residents send their kids to Melvindale schools and we should all have one representative to look over our interests.</p>	Angelo D Guarnieri	2021-09-24 15:57:47+00

8-26-21 v1 HD	Dislike	I do not like "pitting" Leelanau County vs Traverse City. This map also includes Kingsley which bears little synergy	Dvaid Baldwin	2021-09-24 15:45:27+00
9-16-21 v2 CD	Like	Map has clean lines and is the best map that I have seen so far.	Sonja Marie Patrick	2021-09-24 15:37:13+00
9-15-21 v16B SD	Like	This map is better	Sonja Marie Patrick	2021-09-24 15:34:49+00
8-24-21 v1 HD	Dislike	I'm disappointed to see the way these maps split up the city of Lansing. While the surrounding suburbs do share some identity with the city, in many ways they are dealing with different concerns. Under the current maps, we would be heading into next year with one representative from Delta Township in Eaton County, and one representative from Delhi Township in Ingham County, and no representatives who actually live in the city of Lansing. There are plenty of residents in the city to draw a district specific to Lansing and combine suburban voters in a different way.	Erin Schor	2021-09-24 15:14:27+00

9-15-21 v16 SD	Dislike	<p>This map puts Calhoun County into 4 separate districts. This would be detrimental to all the residents here.</p> <p>This map completely ignores the 100+ comments asking the commission to not include Battle Creek with Kalamazoo. Emmett Township and Marshall have absolutely nothing in common with Kalamazoo and Portage. Comments that are claiming Battle Creek and Kalamazoo should be put together are because of Andy Helmboldt, a local candidate who keeps losing elections for various political positions. He put out a call to action and had his supporters try to persuade the district lines to get rid of some of his competition. I do not know him personally and I don't have any political experience. I only found out what he is trying to do because of his blatant disregard for where I live in Emmett Township. This map also splits up Battle Creek and pushes me away from the Veteran Community.</p>	Sonja Marie Patrick	2021-09-24 15:08:51+00
9-15-21 v16 SD	Dislike		Sonja Marie Patrick	2021-09-24 15:05:33+00

9-16-21 v2 CD Dislike The City of Midland should not be separated from the rest of Midland County. The City of Midland also has significantly different issues and interests than Saginaw and Bay City. Additionally, Midland and Gladwin Counties should be kept together as we share extremely important interests in our infrastructure and watershed, as we learned in the 2020 dam failures. These communities need to be represented together. Teresa Quintana 2021-09-24 12:17:58+00

9-20-21 EID v1 CD Dislike The City of Midland should not be separated from the rest of Midland County. The City of Midland also has significantly different issues and interests than Saginaw and Bay City. Additionally, Midland and Gladwin Counties should be kept together as we share extremely important interests in our infrastructure and watershed, as we learned in the 2020 dam failures. These communities need to be represented together. Teresa Quintana 2021-09-24 12:15:35+00

my immediate impression.  
this map makes sense! A big  
improvement from the  
current map and from the  
other plans presented. Please  
adopt this map.

This map is VRA compliant,  
and the majority-minority  
13th District brings together  
clear communities of interest  
along the Detroit River. It  
makes much more sense to  
combine Ann Arbor with the  
Western Wayne County and  
Western Oakland County  
suburbs than with the  
Downriver suburbs. The 9th  
district brings together the  
bulk of Oakland County's  
diverse, relatively affluent  
suburbs minus those that are  
joined with Ann Arbor.  
Madison Heights and Hazel  
Park are divided from  
neighboring Royal Oak and  
Ferndale, but they share  
characteristics with diverse,  
neighboring Warren as well,

9-20-21 EID v1 CD Like

Kenneth Schoon

2021-09-24 09:15:00+00

		These irregularly shaped districts are ridiculous and smack of serious gerrymandering. Districts 18, 22 and 39 are particularly bad. The districts should be compact and contain communities that have similar needs and concerns. Start over and use logic and reason		
9-23-21 v1 HD	Dislike	in creating these maps.	Lisa	2021-09-24 04:48:01+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	Splits Midland County	Walter Rupprecht	2021-09-24 03:52:01+00
		Not impressed. The irregularly shaped districts presented so far look like they could be used for an ad to create a redistricting commission. Keep counties and communities together and create orderly and compact districts.		
9-22-21 v1 HD	Dislike		Lisa	2021-09-24 03:46:30+00
		I know there are many things to consider, but are you serious about splitting Livonia into 5 (!) different districts, which really don't align to school districts or school boundaries or anything else? And dividing Redford into 3 districts? I think this needs to be reconsidered.		
9-23-21 v1 HD	Opinion		Marie Adams	2021-09-24 02:53:42+00

		How can we download these maps. Downloadable shape files are missing components.		
9-22-21 v1 HD	Opinion	Please provide guidance.	Yalcin Yanikoglu	2021-09-24 02:29:01+00
9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	Dislike. Don't divide Midland	Carol Jean Cushman	2021-09-24 02:10:06+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	Dislike. Don't divide Midland	Carol Jean Cushman	2021-09-24 02:08:46+00
		I am not at all a fan of splitting Ferndale from Southern Royal Oak. These regions are very similar demographically, both have major downtown areas, and are as a whole more "progressive," for lack of a better word, than some of the areas surrounding them.		
9-23-21 v1 HD	Dislike	While I appreciate the 11th District's general shape, I am not a fan of the way Southeast Oakland is split up. I believe that this district would work better if Ferndale, Berkley, Pleasant Ridge, Royal Oak, and Huntington Woods were kept together with Madison Heights and Hazel Park, and then this allow more rural areas in Northern Macomb to be better represented.	Ian Sandler-Bowen	2021-09-24 02:07:31+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Opinion		Ian Sandler-Bowen	2021-09-24 01:56:41+00

9-22-21 v1 HD	Like	Thank you for keeping the city of Troy redistricted together. This makes sense, as we are a diverse and close knit community. I am very pleased with this map	Kelly Jones	2021-09-24 01:04:03+00
9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	We cannot let the Democrats become Big Brother.	Justin Scott	2021-09-24 00:55:10+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	We cannot let the Democrats become Big Brother.	Justin Scott	2021-09-24 00:54:11+00
9-14-21 v14A	Dislike	This district does not make sense. Troy and Sterling Heights are in two different Counties and do not share partisan demographics. Troy would be more appropriately districted with Madison Heights, Hazel Park, Clawson. Not a Macomb city.	Kelly Jones	2021-09-24 00:54:10+00

9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	<p>I strongly oppose linking Midland with Flint in this map. I am concerned with this map even being suggested as there is no way proper representation for the citizens in Midland to be represented by the same interests that would be seen in Flint. Two totally different communities with totally different dynamics that need representation</p>	Mary Quehl	2021-09-23 22:20:03+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	<p>I strongly disagree with this map. This map puts Midland in with Flint. I have no understanding why anyone would present this map in good conscience. Not sure what commissioner Eid was thinking. Maybe someone on the commission should ask. There is nothing that Midland and Flint have in common, other than we are both located in Michigan. Unfortunately I think that simply covers the reason why not to approve this map.</p>	Dennis Quehl	2021-09-23 22:14:02+00

I'm a former mayor of the city of Albion. Albion historically has been in an awkward space in terms of political geography ([http://www.albionmich.com/history/histor\\_notebook/950108.shtml](http://www.albionmich.com/history/histor_notebook/950108.shtml)). But separating Albion from Battle Creek in a state house district would do serious harm to the political power of Albion's racial minorities. Albion is approximately 30% Black or African American. Severing Albion's political ties with Battle Creek would do major damage to this community of interest of Great Migration-era Black family transplants.

FIRST, most important is to keep Albion and Battle Creek in the same state house district—a district that could also possibly include the City of Marshall because Albion and Marshall now share the same school district after a

9-22-21 v1 HD	Dislike	<p>Splitting Livonia up into pizza slices doesn't make any sense. The same goes for Redford. Stacking these districts like pancakes looks like gerrymandering in another form.</p>	Dominic Restuccia	2021-09-23 20:43:41+00
		<p>I love this map! As a resident of Melvindale, I'm very pleased to see my town included with the rest of Downriver.</p>		
		<p>The only thing I'd change would be to swap the townships of Sumpter, Augusta, and Huron to District 8, and consequently swapping areas near Chelsea and Lyndon Township to District 7. Other than that, this is the best map I've seen from the commission.</p>		
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Like	<p>I hope this one gets adopted! This makes no sense to separate the city of Midland</p>	Aram Nersesian	2021-09-23 20:28:12+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	<p>from the County of Midland</p>	Deborah Plaver & Frank Michael Plav	2021-09-23 19:16:31+00

Any redistricting that separates Gladwin County from Midland County or separates the City of Midland from the County of Midland; separates the environmental, social and economic interest of Midland and Gladwin Counties. Speaking, as a resident of Edenville township, that touches both Wixom and Sanford Lake, with family businesses in the City of Midland, a redistricting map, that doesn't keep Gladwin and Midland connected fails to understand the amount of employment interconnection that exists in these counties, as well the social structure of the community and their historical links.

Also, the linkage of the environmental drivers of the Tittabawassee watershed and the importance of its management for the

9-16-21 v2 CD

Dislike

David Kepler

2021-09-23 18:38:45+00

Again this is a messy suggestion. Midland is now linked together with the watershed disaster. We need to stay together so those many problems can be solved. This is a horrible suggestion. Why would we, Midland County, Central Michigan be clumped with the Thumb? We are central Michigan. Seriously all counties throughout the state should be kept in tack for simpler governing needs and monies. Follow county lines. Quit making it an impossible zigsaw puzzle. Keep it simple. MARCIA BLACKSON

9-16-21 v2 CD

Dislike

2021-09-23 18:36:23+00

Any redistricting that separates Gladwin County from Midland County or separates the City of Midland from the County of Midland; separates the environmental, social and economic interest of Midland and Gladwin Counties. Speaking, as a resident of Edenville township, that touches both Wixom and Sanford Lake, with family businesses in the City of Midland, a redistricting map, that doesn't keep Gladwin and Midland connected fails to understand the amount of employment interconnection that exists in these counties, as well the social structure of the community and their historical links.

Also, the linkage of the environmental drivers of the Tittabawassee watershed and the importance of its management for the

9-20-21 EID v1 CD

Dislike

David Kepler

2021-09-23 18:36:19+00

9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	<p>This map does not make sense. I agree it makes no sense to split up Midland County. Also it does not go enough west or north into the watershed areas that will now need lots of congressional attention and help with local oversight.</p> <p>This is a total disregard for the fastest-growing county in the state. No way should Ottawa county be divide into as many as four (4) districts and being piecemealed in with other counties. I can't believe this is even a consideration. My recommendation to the</p>	MARCIA BLACKSON	2021-09-23 18:25:51+00
9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	<p>commission - Just Say No!</p> <p>I dislike this map as much as any other map that aligns Bay County with the Thumb and with St. Clair Shores. Bay COunty is not in the Thumb. Their interests are different from those of the tri-Cities and Flint. I oppose this map</p>	Timothy Smith	2021-09-23 17:40:53+00
9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	<p>for District 5.</p>	Carol Heron	2021-09-23 17:32:35+00

9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	I oppose this map. It ignores requests by residents of the tri-Cities and Flint/Genesee to be kept together. In Bay County we have no common interest of which I am aware with St. Claire County as well as counties in the Thumb.	Carol Heron	2021-09-23 17:27:16+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Like	I find this map to offer representation for Bay City and County, for Saginaw and County, and For Flint and Genesee, as well as for the populous part of Midland, ie. Midland City. While the NH White population is high, I believe that taking population west, east or north will only increase that figure. I like this map. My focus is on District 5.	Carol Heron	2021-09-23 17:23:30+00

9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	<p>This is a very bad map. It does not recognize the civic, social, and economic ties of Arenac County or the Great Lakes Bay Region. Arenac and Bay county share a lot in common they even used to be the same county. Please keep out communities of interest together and do not split us from the Great Lakes Bay Region. Also, why would the City of Midland be carved out from its county? That is separating very obvious communities of interest.</p>	Adam Kroczaleski	2021-09-23 17:06:30+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	<p>I agree with the others. Midland County should not be spit up. We are one governing body. Thanks</p>	Laura Norcutt	2021-09-23 16:52:13+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	<p>This map does not represent the positions and views of the residence of Midland county</p>	Carl L Hamann	2021-09-23 16:35:51+00

		I have 2 issues with this map. Midland County should be kept whole as a governing body. Midland County has more in common with Central Michigan communities, not the bay or the thumb or even the UP. Develop a central Michigan district. Thanks	Laura Norcutt	2021-09-23 16:32:57+00
9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	No community should be split up!	Tina Anganis	2021-09-23 15:54:27+00
9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	This map is not make sense for Midland Co	Tina Anganis	2021-09-23 15:51:37+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike			
		I dislike this map very much. No one from Midland County will benefit from this. Taking a third of the county from us? Who would benefit? Not the citizens of that area.	Elizabeth Scott	2021-09-23 15:41:06+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike			
		While I get the intent of not wanting to racially pack districts near Detroit, I would not split Redford Township into 4 separate districts. The township is better off being left whole and there is a way to include both precincts in NW Detroit and precincts in Livonia to have it remain racially balanced.	Noah Walker	2021-09-23 15:29:14+00
9-22-21 v1 HD	Dislike			

9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Like	<p>Terrible map-dislike this split of Midland- does not have Midland interest- not a good map</p> <p>I do not understand or support this map. Midland County is one community of interest that should be maintained. Maps that split up our county will make it more difficult to coordinate our flood mitigation efforts.</p>	Matthew J Smith	2021-09-23 15:05:39+00
9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	<p>I do not like this map. It makes no sense to split up Midland county. Our county is a community of interest that should be maintained.</p>	William Schuette	2021-09-23 14:49:34+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	<p>Why would you want to split Midland county. I oppose this map. Splitting a county would be similar to splitting a household or next door neighbors who have similar interests. Keep Midland and its county whole.</p>	William Schuette	2021-09-23 14:46:50+00
9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike		Mary Quehl	2021-09-23 14:43:09+00

9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	<p>I oppose this map. Why would you want to split up Midland County. This would be similar to splitting up the state of Michigan on a senatorial seat and connecting us with another state such as Ohio, only impacting us at a local level. Keep counties whole and together.</p>	Dennis Quehl	2021-09-23 14:39:55+00
9-22-21 v1 HD	Opinion	<p>Greetings. The small triangle of Royal Oak boarding Beverly Hills is called "The Beverly Hills neighborhood of Royal Oak." It makes sense to include it in District 21. That should make it possible for you to include more or all Clawson in 23.</p> <p>Strongly dislike. Midland County should not be split up. Our watershed with our rural communities is one of our top issues and should not be broken apart. City of Midland is aligned with our rural neighbors not big cities.</p>	Daniel Grams	2021-09-23 14:19:27+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike		Jane	2021-09-23 13:45:16+00

9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	Strongly dislike. Splits Midland County from City. Our city and county work closely together on many issues. Our city is small and more closely aligned with our rural communities.	jane scott	2021-09-23 13:40:21+00
9-16-21 v2 CD	Dislike	Midland County should *not* be split.	D	2021-09-23 13:06:05+00
9-20-21 EID v1 CD	Dislike	The district labeled "5" is not acceptable - chopping off a third of Midland County? No. The overall Congressional districts proposed by this map are among the fairest presented so far1	D	2021-09-23 12:53:32+00
9-16-21 v2 CD	Like		Peter Michael Keating	2021-09-23 01:03:27+00
9-22-21 v1 HD	Like	This is the part of Grosse Pointe Shores that was cut off from the rest of Grosse Pointe. It is a small population to shift if the commission were so inclined.	Bob Dindoffer	2021-09-22 23:25:58+00

Thank you for mostly keeping the Grosse Pointes together. Obviously, this is strong VRA opportunity to elect district. However, unlike 10 years ago, the Grosse Pointes are mostly together, which will at-least make us relevant to our state representative. This will hopefully allow us to advocate for stewardship of our lake and lakefront infrastructure issues. Please note that the Commission did split Grosse Pointe Shores into two districts. Also, I would very much have liked to have Harper Woods with the Grosse Pointes as the communities share many services and share a school system. However, given what happened to us 10 years ago, I will take the map as drawn and thank the Commission for it.

9-22-21 v1 HD

Like

Bob Dindoffer

2021-09-22 23:25:08+00