

MICRC

20231214 - 1000 Meeting

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Good morning.

>> Good morning.

>> CHAIR ORTON: As Chair of the Commission, I call the meeting of the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission to order at 10:00 a.m.

This Zoom webinar is being live streamed on YouTube at The Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission YouTube channel.

For anyone in the public watching who would prefer to watch via a different platform than they are currently using, please visit our social media at Redistricting MI.

Our live stream today includes closed captioning. Closed captioning, ASL interpretation, and Spanish and Arabic and Bengali translation services will be provided for effective participation in this meeting. Please E-mail us at Redistricting@michigan.gov For additional viewing options or for additional details for accessing language translation services for this meeting.

People with disabilities or needing other specific accommodations may also contact Redistricting at Michigan.gov.

This meeting is also being recorded and will be available at www.Michigan.gov/MICRC for viewing at a later date.

This meeting also is being transcribed and those closed captioned transcriptions will be made available and posted on the Michigan.gov/MICRC website and written public comment submissions.

Members of the media who may have questions before, during or after the meeting should direct those questions to Edward Woods III, Executive Director For the Commission at WoodsE3@Michigan.gov or 517-331-6309.

For the public watching and the public record I will turn to the department of state staff take note of the commissioners present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Good morning Commissioners. Please say present when I call your name. If you are attending the meeting remotely, please announce during roll call where you are attending the meeting remotely from. And then unless your absence is due to military announce your physical location by stating the county, City, Township or village and the state from which you are attending the meeting remotely. I will begin roll call. Doug Clark?

>> COMMISSIONER CLARK: Present and I'm attending today's meeting from Huntington Beach, California.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Juanita Curry?

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Present attending the meeting from Detroit Michigan, remotely from Detroit Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Anthony Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Good morning present remotely attending from Detroit, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Brittini Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM:

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Rhonda Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Present attending remotely from sun shiny Osceola County, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Send some sunshine. Steve Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Attending remotely from Lee county, Florida.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Cynthia Orton?

>> VICE CHAIR ORTON: Present attending remotely from Battle Creek, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: MC Rothhorn?

>> VICE CHAIR ROTHORN: Present attending remotely from East Lansing, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Rebecca Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Sorry about that. Present attending remotely from Wayne County, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: You're okay. Janice Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Present attending remotely from Highland Township, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Erin Wagner?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Present remotely from Eaton Township, Eaton County, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Richard Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Remotely from Saginaw Township Saginaw Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Dustin Witjes?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: You just muted yourself, Dustin.

>> COMMISSIONER WITJES: Present remotely from Tuscola, Illinois.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Say again where you are attending from.

>> COMMISSIONER WITJES: Tuscola, Illinois.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Tuscola, Illinois, got it. There are 12 Commissioners present and we have a quorum.

>> CHAIR ORTON: You can view the agenda at www.Michigan.gov/MICRC. I would entertain -- oh, Commissioner Eid, you have your hand up?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yes. Thank you Madam Chair at this time I would like to motion to adjourn.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Second.

>> CHAIR ORTON: There is a motion and a second to adjourn the meeting. Is there any discussion on the motion?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I have discussion.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Thank you, Chair. This is coming as a surprise to me so I would like to know what the reason for the motion is. And if there was discussion that was had outside of the open meeting about this, our rules state that we must have one meeting a month and we have missed two months. So unless there is extenuating circumstances, I don't think it's proper or right for the public for us to adjourn. So I would just like to know what the reasoning of the adjournment is. Thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid has his hand up so Commissioner Eid do you want to address that?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Sure, yes, I'm looking at the agenda. Well first you know we are having a meeting. We have called the meeting. There is a quorum and now there is a motion to adjourn. So I would say this is the meeting. I'm making the motion to adjourn because I don't see anything on the agenda that is time sensitive. I think given the circumstances of the Agee Court case it would make the most sense to adjourn and come back once we hear the verdict of the case and do everything that is on today's agenda and then figure out depending on the verdict what there is to be done later.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Wagner?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: I see no reason to adjourn. We haven't had a meeting and the public deserves to know what the hell is going on I want to know what the hell is going on and I'm a little upset we printed out all this material, all got ready for this meeting only to have it adjourn after the adoption of the agenda or whatever. This is ridiculous.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Sorry I keep muting myself. I don't really have any commentary on the motion to adjourn but a point of order I would like to discuss before we move to voting and I can hold that if you have comments I just wanted to make you aware of it.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Any other discussion on the motion? Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I would be interested since you raised a point of order Commissioner Szetela what your point of order is or are you withdrawing your point of order?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No, I can make it right now. Under Roberts rules of order the point of order takes precedent over voting on a motion. So this was actually on the agenda today but if we are going to adjourn it has to be brought up now before we vote. I have some concerns around the residency of two of the members who are

currently sitting on the Commission. Specifically Mr. Clark who resigned on February 12th, 2022, and moved to California.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I have a point of order Rebecca is out of order bringing up things on the agenda.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I actually am not.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: So are you.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I made a motion and there was a second.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Pardon me Commissioners.

>> This is the interpreter I'm unable to interpret effectively and create accessible communication if people are talking over each other.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Can we hear from Ms. Reinhardt she was trying to say something and I don't know if she had a chance to be heard.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Thank you Executive Director Woods. When a point of order is raised it is the point of order before the Commission and will be determined by the Chair. If a second point of order would like to be raised it will and can be raised after the first and initial point of order is addressed by the Chair. So Commissioner Eid to your point if you would like to make a point of order after Commissioner Szetela's points of order you are welcome to do so. Reminder to Commissioners to help our interpreters and our viewing members of the public please refrain from outbursts and talking over each other during today's meeting. Thank you so much.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Ms. Reinhardt can you also share for the record that Commissioner Kellom has joined.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Absolutely. Welcome Commissioner Kellom. Can you let us know where you are joining today's meeting from?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Good morning, I'm attending from Wayne County.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Thank you. And for the record Commissioner Kellom has joined at 10:10 a.m. thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I have a question for the parliamentarian with a motion on the floor do we take care of points of order before we vote?

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Yes generally whenever points of order are raised they are addressed at the time they are raised and that would be addressed through a ruling of the Chair.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay so Commissioner Szetela you may proceed.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Thank you. So as I mentioned Commissioner Clark to my understanding, well, I know he resigned on February 12, 2022, because I received the communication and moved to Huntington Beach, California and calling in for today. Constitution and rules of procedure require Commissioners are eligible and registered to vote in the State of Michigan. Someone who does not live in the State of Michigan is not eligible to vote in the State of Michigan so I have concerns about Mr. Clark. And in addition Commissioner Witjes moved to Illinois last year

approximately February, I'm sorry not February, July of 2022 and accepted a position with a railroad company. And at the time I was told it would be impossible for him to reside in Michigan because of the obligations of that job and that he now resides in Tuscola Illinois which is where he has called in from today and again the same issue there in order to be eligible to be on the Commission you need to be eligible to vote in Michigan and registered to vote in Michigan and so in addition to not residing in Michigan any more based on my understanding based on my searches I also don't believe that Commissioner Witjes is currently registered to vote in Michigan. So I raise that as a point of order under both the Michigan Constitution and under the rules of procedure like I mentioned this was actually supposed to be an agenda item today. It has been presented to both the Department of State attorneys and to the Commissioner's attorneys for review. I was hoping we could discuss it rather than a point of order but if we are going to adjourn and not be able to raise the issue which I think is extremely important particularly because we are in the middle of litigation in the Agee case and potentially could have an order as soon as next week. If that is the case and have two vacant positions I would like to see the positions filled by feel who are actually residing in the State of Michigan. That's all.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So what are you asking that we do with this point of order?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: As the Chair you actually have the authority to make a determination on the rule of order. You could choose to demote Mr. Witjes and Mr. Clark or you could choose to proceed with them currently voting. But I have registered my objection to them doing so.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: May damage Chairperson this is Edward Woods Executive Director. I think Commissioner Szetela said she did raise the issue with both our attorneys and the Michigan Department of State. So we would need to actually hear from them first. Before the Commission can actually go forth because there has to be some type of inquiry or investigation with regards to what was shared.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Mr. Fink I see you are on camera do you wish to address this now?

>> Mr. Fink: Yes thank you Madam Chairwoman. And I just broadly speaking I'm not sure about the authority of the Chair in this context to demote a Commissioner. But that's not really why I asked to speak up. First of all good morning to everybody, thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak. I was planning to address this if it came up later in the meeting but since it came up now I would like to say a few things which is last Friday, less than a week ago Commissioner Szetela sent an e-mail raising questions about the eligibility of Commissioner Clark and Commissioner Witjes to serve on the Commission.

Commissioner Szetela questioned whether they are registered and eligible to vote in Michigan. Which is a requirement to serve on the Commission. And it's important to emphasize both registered and eligible to vote. Both are necessary. Of course we are

not in the position and don't want to rush any sort of formal or informal legal opinion on the subject. You know, once we have a public meeting even if we are qualifying as some sort of preliminary analysis it can sometimes be sort of accepted as that's the opinion. So we don't want to rush to any sort of conclusion on that.

But we do want -- we want to provide you with the information that we have gathered since Friday. After we received the message from Commissioner Szetela, we immediately connected with Secretary of State's office on Friday to begin gathering information about these issues. The Secretary of State's office consulted with the Bureau of Elections. And worked together to gather information. What we've learned is both Commissioner Clark and Commissioner Witjes are registered voters in the State of Michigan. They are both currently registered voters.

It's important to emphasize again not getting into any sort of deep legal analysis on the issue, but the issue of voter registration and eligibility are governed in part by Federal law. Which governs when people can be removed from voter roles and the extent to which they remain eligible voters depending on where they may be and what they may be doing. And again not prepared to speak with any kind of legal analysis, but to expand on the facts that we've been able to gather.

We spoke with Commissioner Clark on Tuesday, Tuesday afternoon. And Commissioner Clark shared the following information: That he had as I think probably all of you know he had a very serious personal health issue that arose in February of 2022. And that necessitated him going to California so that his family could help care for him during his treatment. As far as my understanding is he continues to undergo that treatment.

Since he went to California, he has maintained a residence in Michigan. He sold the House that he had but he has maintained a residence here and has continued to pay rent since he, again since he went to California in February of 2022. He has also maintained his Michigan driver's license, which he still has. And as I noted he is registered to vote in Michigan. He indicated that he voted by absentee in the 2022 general election and that he also pays Michigan tax, continues to pay Michigan income tax.

When I asked him whether he sees Michigan as home and intends to come back to Michigan when his health would allow it he said yes when his health allows it he does intend to move to Michigan. He said his friends are here. And he actually frankly said that California isn't all it's cracked up to be and really does, you know, hope to be back in Michigan when his health will allow it.

Now, that is the information we have been able to gather from Commissioner Clark and wanted to share that with you. Again, kind of thought we might be doing it later in the meeting but since the issue has come up now I wanted to share that information we have gathered about his current status and the facts.

We also spoke with Commissioner Witjes on Tuesday. And as the Commission has been -- is and has been aware, in mid I think it was mid to late -- I don't know the exact time that he actually received the job opportunity in Illinois with the railroad company, but it was I know I believe as of October 2022 he had at least started working at the company. And/or began training. And as I mentioned, as with Commissioner Clark he is a registered voter in the State of Michigan. And he was and remains a registered voter in the State of Michigan. In October of 2022 he began renting an apartment in Illinois and according to Commissioner Witjes and again we have not dug into all the different legal issues but according to Commissioner Witjes under Illinois law if you rent you have an Illinois driver's license within 30 days I don't know if it's signing a lease or basically starting to rent some sort of dwelling.

So he did that. And as a result he surrendered his Michigan driver's license around that time. He said that when he went to take this job opportunity in Illinois he intended -- he believed that it would be a temporary opportunity that had come up. He would get training and that he would come back to Michigan as soon as a job opportunity would arise here. Possibly with one of the railroad companies in Michigan. He was candid and said he isn't exactly sure when that will be. But should an opportunity arise for him to come back to Michigan, he anticipates that he would take it.

Now, on the issue that Commissioner Szetela mentioned about this resignation related to Commissioner Clark. In her e-mail that she sent I think she may have sent that earlier this week but and today noted that Commissioner Clark had submitted a resignation on February 12th, 2022. And Commissioner Clark or excuse me Commissioner Szetela.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Just a quick one?

>> Commissioner Lange did you is a question?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yes actually I want to make a quick personal privilege point of order I guess. You just stated a minute ago and I apologize they had my line muted that the Commission was well aware of Mr. Witjes' employment. That's not factual. I was not aware of his employment and actually was not made aware of it until just this week. So I just want to put that out there if we are speaking factually. I was not aware of his residency in another state nor the status of any employment he may have. So I just want to be accurate in that. And I apologize, but please continue.

>> Mr. Fink: Okay, well, my understanding and again I have not had -- you know, the issue was just raised less than a week ago, haven't done a full deep dive into this. My understanding as Commissioner Witjes had been appearing for the remote meetings the Commissioner has been having over the last year plus he has been indicating he is appearing from I don't know exactly what the county is but in Illinois and so, you know, it's my understanding that is part of the record. But I appreciate you, you know, sharing that comment, Commissioner Lange.

But just if I could return back to you know, the information that we gathered, as I was starting to say Commissioner Szetela indicated that she believes or indicated that she believes that Commissioner Clark's resignation took effect immediately upon submitting that letter back in February 12th of 2022. So we reviewed the records that Commissioner Szetela did send us. Again without being able to provide any sort of real formal legal analysis on the issue we did try to review this so we could gather what information we could should the issue come up today so we could share it with you. On February 12, 2022, which was a Saturday when state Government is closed Commissioner Clark sent an e-mail to Sarah Reinhardt at MDOS and copied then Executive Director sue Hammersmith and Commissioner Szetela she was the Chair of the Commission I believe at the time and was the reason she was copied on it.

In the e-mail that he sent, that Commissioner Clark sent on February 12th he indicated that or he noted that his he would be resigning effective February 28. So not that day but February 28.

And in that message he said that he had a very serious health issue that required him to be with his family for support. And so that's why he would be resigning.

A couple of hours later, it might have been a few hours later, within three or four hours, also on that same day, Saturday, Ms. Hammersmith sent an e-mail to that same group and may have added Edward to that, Mr. Woods to that one. But roughly that same e-mail group including to Sarah at MDOS and said that she was requesting, Sue was requesting no action be taken until they could speak further with Doug. This is all on the same Saturday, February 12th. Then two days later, February 14, the next business day, that Monday, Valentine's day, Sue Hammersmith informed the same group now including Edward and Mike Brady from MDOS that Commissioner Clark had regained his strength and had been released, I assume that means he had been released from the hospital where he was I assume he was. I don't actually know what that reference is but I assume that means he was released from the hospital. He had reconsidered and he would not be resigning.

So I take that to mean that he was resigning in reaction or initially was intending to resign because he had this very serious health diagnosis. Thought about it. And then when the actual next business day came up said, no, I don't want to do that. And Ms. Hammersmith again sent that e-mail and advised the Secretary of State's office that he actually was not going to be resigning. Again, this was well before February 28th which was the day he said that his resignation would be taking effect. And he has of course, in fact, served on the Commission as a Commissioner for the last 22 months since then.

Again, we are still reviewing the different legal questions and you know, the extent to which as you apply these facts to the law, you know, what the ultimate sort of opinion might be. But wanted to take this opportunity to and thank you for giving me the

opportunity to share some of the facts that we've been able to obtain and discover over the last few days.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you, Mr. Fink. So Commissioner Lett, did you have something pertinent to this discussion?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Well, that remains to be seen. The point of order raised by Commissioner Szetela, she has managed to hijack into a full blown discussion about an agenda item that's not even on the agenda. So I think the point of order is out of order and should be ruled accordingly. So once that ruling is made, if it is made, then I have comments on the motion to adjourn.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, yes, considering the investigation is ongoing, we've taken enough time with this, we will move on from that point of order.

>> VICE CHAIR SZETELA: Can I comment on that?

>> VICE CHAIR ORTON: If it's short

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Sonya, I just want to say it's listed on the agenda. It's I believe under four under new business, business discussion, that is what it's intended to be and listed on the discussion. Also wanted to correct a point that Mr. Fink mentioned.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I think you can hold that. We are done with this point of order.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: That is fine thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid did you have a separate order or can we dismiss that.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I have a comment on this point of order, we can move on to the original.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Now we have a motion on the floor, is there any more discussion about the motion on the floor?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Personal privilege.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Lange what did you say?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I kind of have a point of personal privilege I think. But it's more or less just a comment that I hope you guys would take into consideration. Regarding.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: If I may Commissioner Lange apologies for the interruption to clarify with Roberts rules a point of order is when you want to interrupt the proceedings to point out a rule violation that will be determined by the Chair. A point of personal privilege is more of a comment addressing a personal need rather than a sharing of an opinion during a meeting. So I'm not -- I apologize for the interruption. I'm not sure what you were about to share but I want to make sure what you are about to share is in line of the point of personal privilege is supposed to be.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Thank you. I think it might be. I'm sure the Commission will correct me if I'm wrong. The point I want to make is this meeting has been rescheduled, rescheduled and rescheduled. I have had to cancel two very

important appointments to attend these meetings that keep getting cancelled last-minute.

I don't think it's right that this is being done. And it's obviously being done and decisions being made outside. And I'm not blanket covering everybody. But I don't think it's right that people are making adjustments to their calendars to be here. And then this is being thrown out like this repeatedly. So I don't know. Rule me out of order, whatever but thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you for your comments. We will take the comments of the hands that are up and then we will vote. Commissioner Lett did you still have something about this?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes. Thank you, Madam Chair. I support the motion to adjourn for the exact reason that we have just seen this Commission has deteriorated into a no longer a debating Commission but one that is severely ham strung now by the events that occurred in the last several weeks, which I would say everybody is aware of. However, what we think everybody is aware of isn't always what everybody is aware of.

I would hope that we could adjourn and let the spirit of Christmas infuse in everybody come back in January having had an opportunity to consider the events that have occurred. And to consider what the request is now being made without having such hot topics, not genuinely considered under a more calm method. And that is why I would concur with the adjournment.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you for your comment. Commissioner Wagner do you have a comment?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: I actually do. I do have a comment. Are we discussing the adjournment now? We put the point of order aside, correct?

>> VICE CHAIR ORTON: Right. We are about to vote.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Okay my question is why in hell did we call a meeting for today, for all of us to get here. We have now postponed one meeting, November. We are postponing December. Technically calling roll is just roll call. You have not attended class.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay thank you.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: So I'm completely against this. I think we need to get this business out of the way before we get hit with something bigger in the future.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay thank you for your comment, Commissioner Eid do you have a comment about the motion.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yes the reasons are relatively obvious we know Plaintiffs are watching this meeting. We know we have a Commissioner working hand in hand with the Plaintiffs. My fear is that this meeting, as Commissioner Lett said will be used in a method to only hurt us, generate negative headlines and come after Commissioners. I will tell you what we are not going to do we are not coming after Commissioners for being sick or taking other job opportunities. That is not what we are

doing Commissioner Clark and Commissioner Witjes are good Commissioners and we will not reboot them. Maybe some other entity says they can't be on the Commission.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Point of privilege he is going in the point of order that was discussed.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Stop discussion.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: With that said I agree we should adjourn in the spirit of Christmas and the spirit of the holidays and I would now like to motion to end discussion.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Discussion anyway.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Apologies for the interruption Madam Chair just a reminder to Commissioners that when a discussion is occurring about a motion that has been seconded, that the discussion should remain germane to that particular motion. Which currently before the Commission is the motion to adjourn. And now a motion to close discussion or call the question has been made, which does require a second.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay not hearing a second. I would like.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Second, second.

>> CHAIR ORTON: That is seconded so we are going to vote on calling the question.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Convenient when other people had things to say. Go ahead.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So can we have a roll call vote?

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Yes, we are roll calling the vote for the adjournment.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: This would be to end discussion.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: End discussion, okay, thank you, Sarah. A yes vote means yes you would like it to end and no means that you do not agree. I will start with Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Commissioner Curry had to leave.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: She is here. She is just on mute.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm here. I vote to dismiss this meeting.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: "Yes" or "No."

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Thank you.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Apologies Commissioner Curry the motion right now is to end discussion. We are not voting to end the meeting. We are voting to end discussion about talking about ending the meeting.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Okay thank you. Commissioner Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lange?

- >> COMMISSIONER LANGE:
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Did we lose Commissioner Lange? Is she muted again?
- >> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Thank you if I could please stop being muted that would be wonderful because I don't know how to unmute myself using the thing. I vote, no. Thank you.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Thank you. Steve Lett?
- >> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Cynthia Orton?
- >> VICE CHAIR ORTON: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: MC Rothhorn?
- >> VICE CHAIR ROTHORN: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Rebecca Szetela?
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Janice Vallette?
- >> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Erin Wagner?
- >> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: No.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Richard Weiss?
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Dustin Witjes?
- >> CHAIR WITJES: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Doug Clark?
- >> COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: With a vote of 11 to 2, the yes win.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Okay thank you. Now we are voting on the motion to adjourn the meeting. And can we have another roll call vote, please.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Absolutely. This is the motion to adjourn this meeting.
- Anthony Eid?
- >> COMMISSIONER EID: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Brittini Kellom?
- >> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Rhonda Lange?
- >> COMMISSIONER LANGE: No.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Steve Lett?
- >> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Cynthia Orton?
- >> VICE CHAIR ORTON: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: MC Rothhorn?
- >> VICE CHAIR ROTHORN: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Rebecca Szetela?

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Janice Vallette?
- >> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Erin Wagner?
- >> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: No.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Richard Weiss?
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Dustin Witjes?
- >> CHAIR WITJES: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Doug Clark?
- >> COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Juanita Curry?
- >> VICE CHAIR ORTON: I think maybe Juanita had to leave.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Did we lose her.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: I think so.
- >> MR. EDWARD WOODS: She had another appointment.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: With a roll call vote of nine yes to three no the yes wins.
- >> CHAIR ORTON:
- >> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Of course it does.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: The ayes have prepared and we are adjourning this meeting at 10:38 a.m.
Merry Christmas.
- >> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Merry Christmas.
- >> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Merry Christmas, happy new year.