

MI Power Grid New Technologies and Business Models Workgroup: Microgrids

Identified Barriers	Possible Solutions
1. There are varying definitions of microgrid. ⁱ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adopt a consistent definition of microgrid.
2. No rate design or planning from the MPSC to move microgrids forward (time of use and demand charges).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pilots to allow utilities and customers to experiment and learn about microgrids.ⁱⁱ • Support digitization to allow utilities to be more situationally aware.ⁱⁱⁱ • Incentivize early adopters.^{iv} • Develop regulations
3. Lack of interconnection rule coverage.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Examine where currently practice of treating microgrid interconnection as a DER interconnection request^v is sufficient. • Develop interconnection rules and standards for connecting microgrids. •
4. Microgrid project can be viewed negatively by utility due to reduction in demand from the site.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Find ways to build partnerships with utilities, vendors, engineers, and contractors to avoid adversarial relationship.^{vi}
5. Self Service language in Act 3 presents geographical constraints that can prevent a microgrid from being realized. ^{vii}	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modify language in Act 3.^{viii} • Have utilities allow a certain amount of work arounds.^{ix} • Don't call it a microgrid. Call it storage and onsite generation^x
6. Financial challenges (high cost) due to need for tailored analysis, engineering, and equipment. ^{xi}	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grid services help make projects economically feasible.^{xii} • Provide market access provided by FERC.^{xiii} • Incentivize flexibility through time-based tariffs, other regulatory incentives and rebates.^{xiv}
7. Locational challenges (e.g., limitations from infrastructure and grid conditions).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning practices, islanding protection • Hosting capacity analysis/maps to assist with locational challenges.
8. Gap between the utility and customers.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Collaboration between utilities and developers • Ensure customer benefits of resiliency and sustainability are equitably distributed through regulatory rate designs that allow the stability and resiliency of microgrids to be realized.^{xv} • Figure out how to best partner to provide customers solutions, meet demand, and educate regarding safety, control, communication, and cybersecurity standards.^{xvi}
9. Resiliency currently not clearly valued. It also lacks standards, unlike reliability. ^{xvii}	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Legislation clarifying the value of resiliency and vision for Michigan. • Develop microgrid projects to support critical infrastructure like hospitals, communications, water systems, sewage systems. • Analysis of critical infrastructure needs and how microgrids might support them. • Define what resilient outcomes planning should pursue.^{xviii}

Identified Barriers	Possible Solutions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Different aspects of resiliency can be explored and clarified. Resiliency can be for assets/equipment or for the grid. Resiliency practices can be established for operations as well as standards for development of new systems.^{ix}
10. Resilience is a locational value that varies significantly depending on the site and its risks. ^x However, during times of severe outages, microgrids can export power to support demand and grid stability. ^{xi}	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct locational studies that examine local grid conditions and specific project needs when designing microgrid projects.^{xii}
11. Technology is rapidly evolving (faster than utility can keep up with)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Utility must keep up with changes through pilots and engage with stakeholders to identify needs and wants. • Pilots to understand new, rapidly evolving technologies.^{xiii} • Explore planning processes and necessary studies, like fault studies, to evolve current practices.^{xiv}
12. Developing microgrids for affordable housing is complicated by the difficulties in adding solar to affordable housing due to low-income housing tax credits. ^{xv}	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
13. There is community interest in developing microgrids, but there is a lack of knowledge of the necessary processes. Those that do try to develop such projects can find it overwhelming. ^{xvi}	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop/use virtual modeling as a way to “de-risk” microgrid projects to support customer implementation.^{xvii}
14. Most commercial and industrial customers want to focus on their core business, no operate microgrids, even if they are interested and willing to pay for full backup. ^{xviii}	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Look to developers to operate.^{xix}

Applicable and Emerging Business and Ownership Models

- Veridian Living Community Microgrid (Matt Grocoff)
 - Net zero energy neighborhood
 - All electric, no gas
 - Solar panels, EV chargers, batteries, etc.
- Parker Village “Smart Neighborhood Microgrid” (Juan Shannon)
 - Proposed neighborhood with rooftop solar, EV chargers
 - Leasing options for housing
- Everything as a Grid (Eaton Corp.)
- Non-utility ownership of microgrid or microgrid components.

ⁱ Panel: Business Perspectives on Microgrid Development. 04/21/2021 panel discussion.

ⁱⁱ Panel: Business Perspectives on Microgrid Development. 04/21/2021 panel discussion.

ⁱⁱⁱ Panel: Business Perspectives on Microgrid Development. 04/21/2021 panel discussion.

-
- iv Panel: Business Perspectives on Microgrid Development. 04/21/2021 panel discussion.
 - v Panel: Utility Microgrid Perspectives. 04/21/2021 panel discussion.
 - vi Ontiveros. 04/21/2021 workgroup PPT. Slide 10.
 - vii Panel: Business Perspectives on Microgrid Development. 04/21/2021 panel discussion.
 - viii Panel: Business Perspectives on Microgrid Development. 04/21/2021 panel discussion.
 - ix Panel: Business Perspectives on Microgrid Development. 04/21/2021 panel discussion.
 - x Panel: Business Perspectives on Microgrid Development. 04/21/2021 panel discussion.
 - xi Gagne. 04/21/2021 workgroup PPT. Slide 10.
 - xii Twitchell. 04/21/2021 workgroup PPT. Slide 20.
 - xiii Panel: Business Perspectives on Microgrid Development. 04/21/2021 panel discussion.
 - xiv Panel: Business Perspectives on Microgrid Development. 04/21/2021 panel discussion.
 - xv Panel: Business Perspectives on Microgrid Development. 04/21/2021 panel discussion.
 - xvi Panel: Utility Microgrid Perspectives. 04/21/2021 panel discussion.
 - xvii Twitchell. 04/21/2021 workgroup PPT. Slide 21.
 - xviii Twitchell. 04/2/2021 workgroup PPT. Slide 12.
 - xix Panel: Utility Microgrid Perspectives. 04/21/2021 panel discussion.
 - xx Twitchell. 04/21/2021 workgroup PPT. Slide 10-11.
 - xxi Swinson. 04/21/2021 workgroup PPT. Slide 15.
 - xxii Twitchell. 04/21/2021 workgroup PPT. Slide 13.
 - xxiii Panel: Utility Microgrid Perspectives. 04/21/2021 panel discussion.
 - xxiv Panel: Utility Microgrid Perspectives. 04/21/2021 panel discussion.
 - xxv Grocoff. 04/21/2021. Workgroup PPT.
 - xxvi Shannon. 04/21/2021. Workgroup PPT.
 - xxvii Eaton Corp. 04/21/2021. Workgroup PPT & Q&A.
 - xxviii Panel: Business Perspectives on Microgrid Development. 04/21/2021 panel discussion.
 - xxix Panel: Business Perspectives on Microgrid Development. 04/21/2021 panel discussion.