

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The State believes the activities and strategies funded through the Consolidated Plan are making an impact on identified needs. The demand for the programs funded under CDBG, HOME, ESG, HTF and HOPWA remain greater than the funding available. As demonstrated by the 2016 production numbers, federal funding is being used to accomplish the major goals cited in the State of Michigan Consolidated Plan. The State does not believe an adjustment to its strategies is needed at this time.

Furthermore, the staff of the Division of Housing and Homeless Services was able to train providers on key areas of the Homeless Management Information System last year and therefore there has been a significant data quality enhancement of the information that is being collected. These program system and capacity enhancements have allowed for a more detailed evaluation of the program going forward and provide better data to identify any issues that may be occurring in order to assist the providers with the administration of the program.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Blight Elimination - MSF CDBG	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	5	7	140.00%	5	7	140.00%

Community Dev. Infrastructure & Facades - MSF CDBG	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	10	0	0.00%		17	
Community Development Jobs - MSF CDBG	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	20	0	0.00%			
Community Development Jobs - MSF CDBG	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	0	0		20		%
Economic Development Jobs - MSF CDBG	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	400	1101	275.25%	400	554	138.50%
ESG	Homeless	ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	0	0		206	0	0.00%
ESG	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	0	0		413	0	0.00%
ESG	Homeless	ESG: \$	Other	Other	206	0	0.00%			
HOME DPA	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	83	0	0.00%	83	0	0.00%

HOPWA	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	100	0	0.00%	100	0	0.00%
HOPWA	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Housing for People with HIV/AIDS added	Household Housing Unit	106	0	0.00%	106	0	0.00%
HOPWA	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	HIV/AIDS Housing Operations	Household Housing Unit	44	0	0.00%	44	0	0.00%
Housing Trust Fund	Affordable Housing	Housing Trust Fund: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	10	0	0.00%	20	0	0.00%
Housing Trust Fund	Affordable Housing	Housing Trust Fund: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	10	0	0.00%			
MSHDA HOME and MSF CDBG Housing Activities	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	10	0	0.00%	25	0	0.00%
MSHDA HOME and MSF CDBG Housing Activities	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	100	93	93.00%	75	56	74.67%
MSHDA HOME and MSF CDBG Housing Activities	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	0	0		5	0	0.00%

MSHDA HOME and MSF CDBG Housing Activities	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	40	531	1,327.50%	30	123	410.00%
MSHDA HOME Rental	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	150	0	0.00%	150	0	0.00%
MSHDA HOME Rental	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	600	0	0.00%	600	0	0.00%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

There was a successful transition last year as the housing CDBG program was moved from MSHDA to the MEDC. A tremendous amount of staff time was attributed to the transition and ensuring that the awarded projects continued to progress and a plan for the future developed along with policies and procedures. The goal of expanding economic opportunities for low and moderate income persons is being met with CDBG economic development program. All CDBG downtown programs are designed to increase the availability/accessibility of economic opportunities in Michigan's small downtown areas in order to revitalize their living/working environments and improve the quality of life; with emphasis placed on assisting low and moderate income persons. The overall goals of providing affordable housing and a suitable living environment are being accomplished with our homeowner, homebuyer, rental housing development as well as the blight elimination/demolition programs.

The goal of providing housing and/or assistance to persons with disabilities, the homeless and persons with HIV/AIDS are being funded through the Rental and Homeless Housing Programs and the HOPWA Program. The households that were using the HOPWA program are currently using more of the main stream resources than in previous years which may be the primary reason that the short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance was lower than expected. The assistance that was provided for this year was more long term than short term, which means that

many of the eligible households that were being assisted in previous years have found ways of being self-sufficient and stably housed.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

The ESG reports are being made available and provided as part of the E-CART data collection process attached to this CAPER and will be submitted in conjunction with the CAPER as Attachment 2.

The HOME beneficiary reports are being made available and provided in conjunction with the CAPER as Attachment 4.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	CDBG	3,598,173	2,724,785
HOME	HOME	11,332,375	
HOPWA	HOPWA	1,070,464	
ESG	ESG	4,729,137	
Housing Trust Fund	Housing Trust Fund	3,522,622	
Other	Other		

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Community, Planning and Development (CPD) Programs are integral components of broader efforts by the State through the Michigan State Housing Development Authority (MSHDA), Michigan Strategic Fund (MSF)/Michigan Economic Development Corporation (MEDC), and the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS).

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

The State uses a combination of methods for distributing funds that are described in more detail within the specific CDBG, HOME, ESG, HTF and HOPWA sections below. Methods of distribution may include: competitive awards, need based awards, direct funded awards based on an RFP evaluation, NOFA(s) issued based on funding levels, and on-going open application windows per program parameters.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

For the CDBG program matching funds are generally required. Grants approved in program year 2016 are projected to generate nearly \$XXXXXX in matching funds.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	13,032,621
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	0
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	13,032,621
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	0
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	13,032,621

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
0	0	0	0	0

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			
Number	0	0	0			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0			
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0		0		
Businesses Displaced		0		0		
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0		0		
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0		0		
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Total	0	0

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	0	0
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	0	0
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	0	0
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	0	0
Total	0	0

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

The ESG data identified on the first table above reflects goals that will reflect and be adjusted based on the actual data collected for homeless, non-homeless and special needs populations. The goals should be reflective of the data provided through HMIS and the e-cart attached reports.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

It is not anticipated that future annual action plans will be impacted and/or that the CDBG, HOME, HTF and/or HOPWA five year goals will need to be adjusted. This is primarily because grants are funded on a two year term and the HTF funding was delayed. The ESG program no longer provides tenant-based rental assistance/rapid rehousing but TBRA is still provided by HOPWA.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	40	0
Low-income	39	0
Moderate-income	38	0
Total	117	0

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

Above in the table identifying number of persons served, this is based on CDBG and HOME households assisted (completed) by income range and component.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

MSHDA's Chief Housing Solutions Officer chairs the Michigan Interagency Council on Homelessness (MI ICH). This council consists of leaders from seven other state agencies and four other interested parties. In addition, a team of state and non-profit leaders meet monthly to further develop and strengthen the Campaign to End Homelessness in Michigan. The Campaign began in 2006 and several pilot programs and initiatives were developed, many of which have become common practice in the day-to-day work of ending homelessness. The Campaign also has four on-going statewide workgroups that address housing, communications, technology, and training needed to further our goal of ending homelessness.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

MSHDA provides Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) funding to community based agencies and organizations. ESG funds are awarded through a statewide formula based allocation in conjunction with a community based Continuum of Care planning process. These funds support a broad array of eligible activities, including homelessness prevention and rapid rehousing, shelter operations and essential services.

Through the Emergency Solutions Grant Program (ESG) and the PATH Program CoC's provide outreach to people living on the streets and in shelters. Through a MSHDA grant to the Michigan Coalition Against Homelessness (MCAH), Continuum of Care hold Project Homeless Connect events to provide housing outreach to people living in homelessness, food, haircuts, dental work, etc. MSHDA also provides media/awareness and training on conducting a Point in Time account.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

MSHDA has aligned our 10-Year Plan with HUD's. In working to end homelessness, MSHDA preferences all of our Housing Choice Vouchers (approximately 28,000+) to people who are living in homelessness, i.e., people on the homeless preference waiting list are given a voucher before people on the regular

(non-homeless preference) waiting list. Through the federal Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) Program MSHDA creates housing for all homeless populations. In 2016, MSHDA provided a dollar-for-dollar match to HUD's ESG funding. In addition, MSHDA mandates that CoC use a percentage of their ESG for rapid re-housing. MSHDA works to keep ESG case management costs reasonable thereby enabling ESG dollars to reach the public in the form of prevention and rapid re-housing.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

The Housing Choice Voucher Program utilizes the private rental market to assist Michigan's extremely low income families to afford decent, safe, and sanitary housing. Residents live in single family or multifamily rental dwellings, paying between 30% and 40% of their gross income for rent. In PY 2016, a total of 31,829 families participated in this program. The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development also allows a Public Housing Authority to allocate up to 20% of the Housing Choice Vouchers to a Project Based Voucher program, provided it does not contribute to additional concentrations of extremely low income families in certain properties or neighborhoods. (Project-based units are defined as units where the rental subsidy assistance is assigned to a specified unit, not a tenant.) As such, MSHDA may allocate up to 20% of its Housing Choice Vouchers to this initiative.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

The State does not own or operate public housing in Michigan; consequently no initiatives are planned in this area.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

Not applicable.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

Not applicable.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

- The MSFs programs are designed to provide affordable housing to families and/or to provide additional economic opportunities through job creation. All CDBG funded jobs must be made available to at least 51% low or moderate income people.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The Regional Prosperity Initiative has been utilized to align services and programs offered by the State of Michigan to better serve the population. The streamlining of policies, programs and paperwork was a top priority in FY 2016.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

The MSF CDBG staff provides on-site monitoring for construction projects to verify program and labor standards compliance. CDBG funded job creation projects verify job creation annually during the project timeline. CDBG staff also closely monitors semi-annual progress reports submitted by the communities. Single audit reviews are also a part of the monitoring process for applicable communities. The MSF does take relative risk into consideration when making decisions on the need for onsite or desk monitoring. Projects that are very low risk; awards under \$25,000, RLF/CDBG Loan administrative funds and planning grants may rely on desk monitoring only.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The CAPER report is posted on the MSHDA website to provide for a two week public comment period prior to submission of the report. Comments were requested to be submitted in written format via the hidmailbox@michigan.gov. In addition, the final report is posted on MSHDA's website and will be retained on the website as part of the five year Action Plan documents. Any comments were received during the two week public comment period will be included within the report.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

When required for units, each jurisdiction must provide an affirmative marketing plan to MSHDA.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

This data is available via IDIS reports and MSHDA databases. We can account for all expenditures to date, projects, tenant characteristics etc. The AMI, unit size and dollar amount would be duplicative of what has already been provided. A sample of the data collected on the completion report for each unit looks like the following:

Unit No.; Number of Bedrooms; Is Unit Occupied?; % of Area Median; Ethnicity of Head of Household Hispanic or Latino?; Race of Head of Household; Household Size; Household Type; Monthly Rent/Utilities; Tenant Contribution; Subsidy Amount; and Rental Assistance

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

MSHDA's LIHTC program is fully integrated into its other affordable housing production programs, in particular the multi-family HOME program. MSHDA's 9% LIHTC allocation policies place a focus on preserving existing affordable housing units, creating new units in strong locations, and creating permanent supportive housing units. Additionally, MSHDA also has an active tax-exempt bond lending program that also uses the 4% LIHTC for either the creation or rehabilitation of affordable housing units. In many of these LIHTC transactions, HOME is also used a source of financing to fill funding gaps

that may exist between the total costs of a development and the sources that are available to cover those costs, which helps to achieve MSHDA policy and financing objectives. The current market for LIHTC equity is fairly strong, which means that less HOME is needed in each transaction (or not needed at all in some cases), allowing HOME and LIHTC dollars to go further, which ultimately allows for the completion of more developments in total.

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments		
Tenant-based rental assistance		
Units provided in transitional housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds		
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds		
Total		

Table 14 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

Narrative

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name MICHIGAN
Organizational DUNS Number 809037120
EIN/TIN Number 386000134
Identify the Field Office DETROIT
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance St. Clair Shores/Warren/Macomb County CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix Mrs
First Name RENEE J.

CAPER

Middle Name J
Last Name CONKLIN
Suffix 0
Title Business Manager

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1 735 E. Michigan Avenue
Street Address 2 0
City Lansing
State MI
ZIP Code -
Phone Number 5173738544
Extension 0
Fax Number 5173732450
Email Address conklinr@michigan.gov

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix
First Name
Last Name
Suffix
Title
Phone Number
Extension
Email Address

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 07/01/2016
Program Year End Date 06/30/2017

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name
City
State
Zip Code
DUNS Number
Is subrecipient a victim services provider
Subrecipient Organization Type
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	
Female	
Transgender	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	
18-24	
25 and over	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans				
Victims of Domestic Violence				
Elderly				
HIV/AIDS				
Chronically Homeless				
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill				
Chronic Substance Abuse				
Other Disability				
Total (unduplicated if possible)				

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	0
Total Number of bed-nights provided	0
Capacity Utilization	0.00%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

See Attachment 3

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
Expenditures for Rental Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services			
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program			
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention			

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
Expenditures for Rental Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services			
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program			
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing			

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
Essential Services			
Operations			
Renovation			
Major Rehab			
Conversion			
Subtotal			

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
Street Outreach			
HMIS			
Administration			

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2014	2015	2016

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2014	2015	2016
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds			
Other Federal Funds			
State Government			
Local Government			
Private Funds			
Other			
Fees			
Program Income			
Total Match Amount			

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2014	2015	2016

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

Attachment

