

# Final Outcome Report

**Date:**

The Final Outcome Report must be submitted at the same time as the Final FSR by the Grantee.

Grant #:	
Grant Begin Date:	Grant End Date:
Grantee:	
Contact:	Phone:
Email Address:	Fax:

## Questionnaire

### Project Results Summary

**What indicators were used to measure results?**

**How were the indicators measured?**

## Sample Questionnaire

### Project Results Summary

- Sample Response #1: As a result of the NEP in the Smithvale neighborhood, property values will increase.
- Sample Response #2: As a result of the pavilion upgrades being added to the neighborhood park more public gatherings have taken place.
- Sample Response #3: As a result of the Housing Enhancement in the North neighborhood, homeownership pride has increased.
- Sample Response #4: As a result of the Housing Enhancement in the North neighborhood, visual/tangible enhancements can be seen in the neighborhood.

### What indicators were used to measure results?

- Sample Response #1: Home sale prices in the neighborhood
- Sample Response #2: The number of reservations for the pavilion.
- Sample Response #3: Community surveys, social media pages, and emails were used to get neighborhood feedback.
- Sample Response #4: Code violations, and inspections were used to measure the results along with before and after photos.

### How were the indicators measured?

- Sample Response #1: Compared the average sale price at the start of the NEP to the average price at the end of 2- 1/2 years.
- Sample Response #2: Compared the number of reservations at the start of the NEP grant to the end of the NEP for the following year.
- Sample Response #3: Gathered the resident responses from public forms and compiled them for an overall average response.
- Sample Response #4: Compared number of code violations at the start of the NEP grant and at the end of the NEP grant. Compared before and after photos.

### What were the findings of the measurements including baseline data?

- Sample Response: Average sales price in the beginning was \$61,000; at the end was \$67,000.
- Sample Response #2: The pavilion had 3 rentals last year and 6 rentals for the upcoming year.
- Sample Response #3: The average response of residents in the area was a positive outcome and more interest has developed in the program.
- Sample Response #4: There were 10 code violations in the beginning of the NEP grant and 7 at the end of the NEP grant.

### What Lessons Were Learned?

- Sample Response #1: Not only have the sales prices increased, but the time on the market has also decreased. The homes we built had waiting lists as we built them; and other properties in the neighborhood are selling more quickly.
- Sample Response #2: The community needed outdoor gathering spaces for community events.
- Sample Response #3: The community supported the program and there is much more interest from other neighborhoods.
- Sample Response #4: Dangerous safety conditions were corrected to help residents' quality of life.

**What were the findings of the measurements including baseline data?**

**What Lessons Were Learned?**

**Leverage Funds Summary**

**\$ Amount:**

**Funding Source:**

**Brief Description:**

### Approval and Request for Contractor Payment

**SUBMISSION #:**

**DATE:**

Name of Owner: Suzanne ADIER.  
Property Address: address, city, state zip 48E HUDSON, MADISON HEIGHTS MI 48091.  
Name of Contractor: LEAFGUARD.  
Total Contract Amount: \$ 5,112  
Amount Being Approved for Payment: \$ 5,112

**Description of Work Performed:**

**Notes, Corrections:** REPLACED GUTTERS & EAVES ON HOME.

**Workmanship:**  Acceptable  Unacceptable

**Grantee Approval:** I hereby certify that I have reviewed the work completed and find it acceptable as described in the Contract Work Specifications. At this time, the Contractor has completed 100 % of the project and  may  may not be paid \$ 5,112.

Date: 9/7/21

*Adam Oj...*  
Grantee Signature

#### Request for Contractor Payment

I hereby express approval of the work performed and hereby agree the specified work has been performed to satisfaction by above Contractor. Further, I authorize the above payment in an amount of \$ 5,112 as the Full draw on this project.

Date: 9-7-21

*Suzanne Adier*  
Signature of Owner

Date: 9-7-21

*Suzanne Adier*  
Signature of Owner

### Household Participation Engagement Survey



1. Overall, how would you rate the experience out of 5 stars (5 is the best rating and 0 is the worst) *5*

2. Suggestions on how the process could it be improved.

*The process went very well*

3. How would you describe the overall benefit/impact of this grant on your household and neighborhood?

*Great! It makes my house look better and improves the value of my house.*

4. Did you receive any energy efficiency assistance? If so, was this beneficial?

*No*



NEIGHBORHOOD ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM  
MSHDA  
Michigan State Housing Development Authority  
Project Sponsored by  
Neighborhood Housing Initiatives Division  
to assist with ongoing neighborhood efforts  
Additional Enhancements Coming Soon



## NEP Grantee Participation Engagement Survey

Overall Ratings: ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

(5 is the best rating and 0 is the worst)

4/5

1. Suggestions on how the process could it be improved.

WE Really Struggled to Find Contractors to do jobs within the \$7,500 limit. Inflation has made this hard to complete jobs. Residents are hesitant to get the down on their home.

2. How would you describe the overall benefit/impact of this grant on the neighborhood and community?

Overall, this is a great Program that Madison Heights is glad to be a part of. We hope to work with MSHDA in Round 2.

- ADAM Owczarzak.

### Household Participation Engagement Survey



1. Overall, how would you rate the experience out of 5 stars (5 is the best rating and 0 is the worst)

5/5

2. Suggestions on how the process could it be improved.

N/A. Good Program.

3. How would you describe the overall benefit/impact of this grant on your household and neighborhood?

Huge! Big improvement to the neighborhood.

4. Did you receive any energy efficiency assistance? If so, was this beneficial?

NO.

### Approval and Request for Contractor Payment

**SUBMISSION #:**

**DATE:**

Name of Owner: Suzanne ADIER.

Property Address: address, city, state zip 48E HUDSON, MADISON HEIGHTS MI 48091.

Name of Contractor: LEAFGUARD.

Total Contract Amount: \$ 5,112

Amount Being Approved for Payment: \$ 5,112

**Description of Work Performed:**

**Notes, Corrections:** REPLACED GUTTERS & EAVES ON HOME.

**Workmanship:**  Acceptable  Unacceptable

**Grantee Approval:** I hereby certify that I have reviewed the work completed and find it acceptable as described in the Contract Work Specifications. At this time, the Contractor has completed 100 % of the project and  may  may not be paid \$ 5,112.

Date: 9/7/21

[Signature]  
Grantee Signature

**Request for Contractor Payment**

I hereby express approval of the work performed and hereby agree the specified work has been performed to satisfaction by above Contractor. Further, I authorize the above payment in an amount of \$ 5,112 as the Full draw on this project.

Date: 9-7-21

[Signature]  
Signature of Owner

Date: 9-7-21

[Signature]  
Signature of Owner

### Household Participation Engagement Survey

Ratings: 

1. Overall, how would you rate the experience out of 5 stars (5 is the best rating and 0 is the worst) *5*

2. Suggestions on how the process could it be improved.

*The process went very well*

3. How would you describe the overall benefit/impact of this grant on your household and neighborhood?

*Great! It makes my house look better and improves the value of my house.*

4. Did you receive any energy efficiency assistance? If so, was this beneficial?

*No*