

Final Outcome Report

Date: 11/17/2022

The Final Outcome Report must be submitted at the same time as the Final FSR by the Grantee.

Grant #: HDF 2021-9945-NEP	
Grant Begin Date: 2021	Grant End Date: 12/31/2022
Grantee: Village of Mancelona	
Contact: Maureen Naumcheff	Phone: 231-587-8331
Email Address: clerk@villageofmancelona.org	Fax: 231-587-5730

Questionnaire

Project Results Summary

The Village has seen an increase in overall taxable value of homes. In addition we have witnessed other houses not improved by the grant being improved by the homeowners themselves giving the village more curb appeal

What indicators were used to measure results?

We have seen house sales increase over the last couple of years.

How were the indicators measured?

We have been in discussions with local realtors.

What were the findings of the measurements including baseline data?

We did not collect any baseline data.

What Lessons Were Learned?

Home improvements are contagious.

Leverage Funds Summary

\$ Amount: 0

Funding Source:

Brief Description:

The Village did not leverage any additional funds for these projects.

Sample Questionnaire

Project Results Summary

- Sample Response #1: As a result of the NEP in the Smithvale neighborhood, property values will increase.
- Sample Response #2: As a result of the pavilion upgrades being added to the neighborhood park more public gatherings have taken place.
- Sample Response #3: As a result of the Housing Enhancement in the North neighborhood, homeownership pride has increased.
- Sample Response #4: As a result of the Housing Enhancement in the North neighborhood, visual/tangible enhancements can be seen in the neighborhood.

What indicators were used to measure results?

- Sample Response #1: Home sale prices in the neighborhood
- Sample Response #2: The number of reservations for the pavilion.
- Sample Response #3: Community surveys, social media pages, and emails were used to get neighborhood feedback.
- Sample Response #4: Code violations, and inspections were used to measure the results along with before and after photos.

How were the indicators measured?

- Sample Response #1: Compared the average sale price at the start of the NEP to the average price at the end of 2- 1/2 years.
- Sample Response #2: Compared the number of reservations at the start of the NEP grant to the end of the NEP for the following year.
- Sample Response #3: Gathered the resident responses from public forms and compiled them for an overall average response.
- Sample Response #4: Compared number of code violations at the start of the NEP grant and at the end of the NEP grant. Compared before and after photos.

What were the findings of the measurements including baseline data?

- Sample Response: Average sales price in the beginning was \$61,000; at the end was \$67,000.
- Sample Response #2: The pavilion had 3 rentals last year and 6 rentals for the upcoming year.
- Sample Response #3: The average response of residents in the area was a positive outcome and more interest has developed in the program.
- Sample Response #4: There were 10 code violations in the beginning of the NEP grant and 7 at the end of the NEP grant.

What Lessons Were Learned?

- Sample Response #1: Not only have the sales prices increased, but the time on the market has also decreased. The homes we built had waiting lists as we built them; and other properties in the neighborhood are selling more quickly.
- Sample Response #2: The community needed outdoor gathering spaces for community events.
- Sample Response #3: The community supported the program and there is much more interest from other neighborhoods.
- Sample Response #4: Dangerous safety conditions were corrected to help residents' quality of life.