STATE 911 COMMITTEE
Emerging Technology Subcommittee
January 7, 2026
Meeting Minutes

Voting Members Present	**Representing**
Mr. Tim McKee	Peninsula Fiber Network
Ms. Pat Coates	Public Member
Mr. Jon Moored	Kalamazoo County Consolidated Dispatch
Mr. Mark Holmes	Department of Technology Management and Budget
Ms. Jaime Seling	Peninsula Fiber Network
Mr. Scott Temple	INdigital
Mr. Mike Armitage	Calhoun County Consolidated Dispatch
Mr. Jerry Nummer	Michigan Public Safety Communications System
Ms. Katie Holzman	Ottawa County Central Dispatch
Voting Members Absent	**Representing**
Ms. April Heinze	National Emergency Number Association
Mr. Pat Savage	TSSI Consulting, LLC
Mr. Mike Gank	Grand Traverse County Central Dispatch
Mr. Bill Rensberger	Muskegon County Central Dispatch
Non-Voting Members Present	**Representing**
Ms. Cindy Homant	State 911 Office
Ms. Amy Magirl	State 911 Office
Ms. Stacie Hansel	State 911 Office
Ms. Lyndsay Keith	State 911 Office

A. Call to Order
The meeting was called to order.

B. Roll Call
Roll call was taken, and a quorum was present. HEINZE and SAVAGE participated in the discussion remotely.

C. Call for Additions to the Agenda
There were no additions.

D. Old Business
Approval of Minutes
A **MOTION** was made by MOORED, with support by SELING, to approve the September 3, 2025, meeting minutes. With no discussion, the **MOTION** carried.

E. Standing Agenda Items
Geo-Routing
Phase I and II are not the same as what is in the FCC Report and Order. PFN is working with carriers on delivery of calls in an IP-format; some are complete, some are in testing, and some have an agreement in place. A request for Phase I implementation was submitted on July 15, giving major carriers a six-month period. PFN is working with the FCC on any extensions requested. Local phone companies have one year to complete.

Outage Reporting Workgroup
There was no update.

Technology Issues and Challenges
There are at least three PSAPs using AI for admin calls. CJIS compliance is not involved in the non-emergency side; that comes into play with QA and anything that ingests CAD data. More than AI, CJIS is more of a struggle. Vendors say they are compliant, but are not. The new version of CJIS requires companies to go through an audit process. Vendors are doing federal audit checks; however, Michigan has its own rules. For example, there is a federal background and fingerprint check, but Michigan has their own. Mr. Moored will write an article for the State 911 Office newsletter about struggles with vendor CJIS compliance and what to look out for.

When AT&T Mobility was turned up with their Phase I, they are sending the PIDF-LO in the call, but PFN is stripping it at the ESRPs until PSAPs are ready to receive it. ESRP upgrades are scheduled for later this quarter.

NG911 GIS Repository Updates
Mr. Holmes presented an updated repository map to show where PSAPs are in the process of uploading their data. The big tasks now are to get the last of the information entered and have PSAPs complete a refresh of their data. A webinar will be scheduled in February to explain what is needed and why it is important.

The goal is to be i3 complaint with calls delivered in a format that gives a dispatchable location. If counties don't provide their data in the repository, they won't be able to move to the FCC's Phase II. It was suggested putting wording in the act during the rewrite about requirements and if the county/PSAP refuses to comply, they will need to pay for their network. Mr. Holmes is confident all data will be uploaded and his office will help with correcting information as needed.

There are currently two MOAs between the counties and Mr. Holmes' office. One is specifically for the NG911 repository and an intergovernmental agreement for sharing address points, road centerlines, and tax parcel data. The other is with the state to provide and pay for aerial imagery for anyone who was sharing data. The data being provided to the state is not only being used for the repository, but the counties have signed agreements in 2018 to share address points and centerlines with state government as a whole, benefiting both.

A Data Sharing Agreement was drafted which merges the previous agreements into one. In the new agreement, there are language updates and the option to make data layers open. It still maintains current uses of GIS data and maintains the same language for third party requests. One new item gives the signatory the option to make whichever layer they want available and open to the public at no charge (address points, road centerlines, tax parcels). They can choose as many layers as they want. Outreach will be coming to explain benefits of having open data.

To explain open data, if a county makes their data 'open data', it would be an aggregated statewide data set and address points would be available on the open data portal. A user could download at no cost. The Attorney General wrote a disclaimer protecting anyone providing data regarding errors when a user downloads it. One benefit is that the open data fields are information that is already public.

A **MOTION** was made by COATES, with support by MOORED, to recommend approval at the State 911 Committee of the GIS Data Sharing Agreement. With no discussion, the **MOTION** carried.

F. New Business
Technology Forum

Regarding last year's forum, there were no comments or things to change for 2026. The Call for Papers will be reviewed at the March meeting. If there is a specific speaker you would like, send contact information to Ms. Homant as well as potential vendor contacts.

G. Public Comment
There was no public comment.

H. Committee Member Comment
There was no committee member comment.

I. Next Meeting
March 10 at 10 a.m.
MSP HQ

J. Adjourn
The meeting adjourned at 11:37 a.m.