



RESIDENTS OF AUBURN:

I am going to tell you why you should VOTE NO on the re-writing of the City Charter, and why you should vote for Gerald Janowicz and Sue Emerson. It is being done by Mr. Kilbourn and Mr. McNally with their own agenda, to maintain total control of the City with their family and friends. If the new Charter passeswill ruin this Town for the next 40 years!

The Charter Committee consisted of Amy Reder, daughter of Bill, Kathy Kilbourn, Matt Charbonneau, son of current commissioner Ken, who now wants to be a commissioner too. Jan Dzurka, who also wants to be a Commissioner. Gary Black and Betty Owens, these two needed to resign from the other committees they serve on, but didn't (per P.A. 279 of 1909, the "Home Rule Act", section 117.80 of the M.C.L.)

Mr. Kilbourn and Mr. McNally by their actions believe they are above the LAW. They have been doing this for at least the past 5 years. They claim that the "reason" they have spent nearly \$80,000 on revising the charter is to make the City current with "laws", and they are also re-writing the ordinances. They violate F.O.I.A. and won't release requested information. They haven't been following the current Charter! I guess we will have to "Trust" them to follow City and State Statutes and the NEW Charter if passed!

The City has violated the Open Meetings Act in Jo-Ellas office before the Mr. Bacon and his Bee's "Meeting". They spent \$8,000. On attorneys and Mr. John Bacon a POW in WW2 is supposed to install a 6 foot fence around his hives. Someone should tell the bees! My tape recording of that meeting was taken by 2 deputies.

The City spent \$4,000. on a study of the Auburn Pond. We have the most expensive bluegills at \$10.00 each.

The City has raised water/sewer rates by over 50%, and it's going up again by 14%. The City owes 1.2 Million dollars to the MERS retirement fund (that's \$600.00 for every man, woman and child in Auburn). We have more unfunded liabilities than cash.

The city wants to buy 2 more Welcome Signs for Midland Road and 9 Mile at \$10,000 EACH. They are planning on buying 10 "Destination" signs at \$4,000 EACH to show how to get to Western High School and the Library etc. We are not a destination, plus repaint City Hall that doesn't need to be done at all.

In closing, VOTE NO on the Charter and please vote for Gerald Janowicz for Mayor and ONLY Sue Emerson for City Commissioner, or it's a vote against her. Just because you can vote for 3 people DON'T.

Please show this to your family and neighbors.



STATE OF MICHIGAN RUTH JOHNSON, SECRETARY OF STATE DEPARTMENT OF STATE LANSING

December 12, 2013

Amy Reder 319 South Auburn Road Auburn, Michigan 48611

Dear Ms. Reder:

The Department of State received a response to the complaint you filed against Gerald Janowicz, which concerns an alleged violation of the Michigan Campaign Finance Act (MCFA), 1976 P.A. 388, MCL 169.201 *et seq.* A copy of the response is provided as an enclosure with this letter.

If you elect to file a rebuttal statement, you are required to send it within 10 business days of the date of this letter to the Bureau of Elections, Richard H. Austin Building, 1st Floor, 430 West Allegan Street, Lansing, Michigan 48918.

Sincerely,

Lori A. Bourbonais
Bureau of Elections

Michigan Department of State

c: Gerald Janowicz

BUREAU OF ELECTIONS MI DEPT OF STATE

2013 DEC -3 AM 10: 37



STATE OF MICHIGAN RUTH JOHNSON, SECRETARY OF STATE DEPARTMENT OF STATE LANSING

January 13, 2014

Gerald Janowicz 4815 Garfield Road B-11 Auburn, Michigan 48611

Dear Mr. Janowicz:

This letter concerns the complaint that was recently filed against you by Amy Reder, which relates to a purported violation of the Michigan Campaign Finance Act (MCFA or Act), 1976 PA 388, MCL 169.201 *et seq*. The Department of State has received a rebuttal statement from the complainant, a copy of which is enclosed with this letter.

Section 15(10) of the MCFA, MCL 169.215(10), requires the Department to determine within 60 business days from the receipt of the rebuttal statement whether there is a reason to believe that a violation of the Act has occurred. Ms. Reder's complaint remains under investigation at this time. At the conclusion of the review, all parties will receive written notice of the outcome of the complaint.

Sincerely,

Lori A. Bourbonais Bureau of Elections

Michigan Department of State

c: Amy Reder

BUREAU OF ELECTIONS MI DEPT OF STATE

December 23, 2013

Re: Gerald Janowicz

2014 JMM - 8 MM 10: 31

I am writing in response to Gerald's response to my complaint. The only reason I filed a complaint is because Mr. Janowicz was not in compliance with the campaign laws. I see no where has that been addressed. I have received a copy of the letter that proves nothing except he has now added harassment to my claim.

I would like to receive something that states he has corrected the errors that were made by his committee, if he has one. I would like proof that this has been taken care of. Every other candidate did have "paid for by the committee to elect on their signs, they were checked.

Anything else Mr. Janowicz has put in the response letter is nothing but petty assumptions and I will not be responding to such nonsense now or any time in the future.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely

Amy Reder



STATE OF MICHIGAN RUTH JOHNSON, SECRETARY OF STATE DEPARTMENT OF STATE LANSING

LANSING

April 1, 2014

Gerald Janowicz 4815 Garfield Road B-11 Auburn, Michigan 48611

Dear Mr. Janowicz:

The Department of State (Department) has completed its investigation of the complaint filed against you by Amy Reder, which alleged that you violated section 47(1) of the Michigan Campaign Finance Act (MCFA or Act), 1976 PA 388, MCL 169.247(1), by failing to include a complete and correct identification statement on certain campaign-related material. This letter concerns the disposition of Ms. Reder's complaint.

The MCFA and corresponding administrative rules require a person who produces printed material that relates to an election to include the phrase "Paid for by [name and address of the person who paid for the item]." MCL 169.247(1), R 169.36(2). A knowing violation constitutes a misdemeanor offense punishable by a fine of up to \$1,000.00, imprisonment for up to 93 days, or both. MCL 169.247(5).

The Act also requires the Department to "endeavor to correct the violation or prevent a further violation by using informal methods [,]" if it finds that "there may be reason to believe that a violation ... has occurred [.]" MCL 169.215(10). The objective of an informal resolution is "to correct the violation or prevent a further violation [.]" Id.

The complaint was filed by Ms. Reder on October 29, 2013, and you filed a written response on December 3, 2013. Ms. Reder filed a rebuttal statement on January 8, 2014.

Ms. Reder alleged that your campaign signs did not contain a complete and correct paid-for-by statement. In support of her complaint, Ms. Reder provided pictures of signs stating "JANOWICZ for MAYOR[.]" It appears there is no paid-for-by statement on the signs.

In response, you filed a letter in which you stated that "[t]he City Clerk didn't tell the Candidates that it was mandatory to have" the paid-for-by language, and the requirement was not "explained on any printed materials" you received.

While the Department believes that the evidence tends to show that your campaign material failed to contain a complete paid-for-by statement, section 15(10) of the MCFA requires the Department to "endeavor to correct the violation or prevent a further violation by using informal methods such as a conference, conciliation, or persuasion [.]" In order to resolve this manner informally and prevent further violation, the Department issues this warning letter.

Gerald Janowicz April 1, 2014 Page 2

The Department is advising you that section 47(1) and R 169.36(2) require you to print a complete and accurate identification statement on all campaign materials, consisting of the phrase "paid for by" followed by the full name and address of your committee. Note that all printed materials that refer to an election or your candidacy produced in the future must include this identification statement.

Please be advised that this notice has served to remind you of your obligation under the Act to identify your printed matter, and may be used in future proceedings as evidence that tends to establish a knowing violation of the Act. A knowing violation is a misdemeanor offense and may merit referral to the Attorney General for enforcement action. MCL 169.247(5), 215(10).

The Department now considers this matter closed and will take no further action against you at this time.

Sincerely,

Lori Bourbonais

Bureau of Elections

Michigan Department of State

c: Amy Reder