approved - 9/9/15

1	CITY OF FLINT
2	RECEIVERSHIP TRANSITION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
3	WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 12, 2015
4	2:00 P.M.
5	
6	Meeting before the RTAB Board at
7	1101 S. Saginaw, 3rd Floor, Council Chambers,
8	Flint, Michigan, on Wednesday, August 12, 2015.
9	
10	BOARD MEMBERS:
11	Frederick Headen, Chairperson
12	Robert McMahan, Member (via video conference) Brian Larkin, Member
13	David McGhee, Member Beverly Walker-Griffea, Member
14	ALSO PRESENT:
15	R. Eric Cline (Department of Treasury)
16	Natasha Henderson (City Administrator) Jody Lundquist (Director of Finance)
17	Mayor Dayne Walling (City of Flint) Joshua Freeman (Council President)
18	MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ADDRESSING THE BOARD:
19	Eric Mays Chris Del Morone
20	
21	REPORTED BY:
22	Quentina R. Snowden, (CSR-5519) Certified Shorthand Reporter,
23	Notary Public
24	
25	

1			I N D E X	
2	I,	CALL	TO ORDER	AGE
3		Α.	Roll Call	03
4		В.	Approval of Agenda	03
5		С.	Approval of July 15, 2015	0 4
6			RTAB meeting minutes (attachment #1)	
7	II.	OLD E	BUSINESS:	05
8		None.		
9	III.	NEW F	BUSINESS:	
10		Α.	Mayor and Council President	06
11		В.	Approval of Resolutions &	
12			Ordinances for City Council Meetings	
13			1. Resolutions from Regular City	12
14			Council meeting of July 13, 2015 (attachment #2) 2. Resolutions from Regular City	1 5
15			 Resolutions from Regular City Council meeting of July 27, 2015 (attachment #3) 	15
1.6				
17			a. Resolution #150559 - FY 15 Budget Amendments	15
18		~	(attachment #3a)	
19		С.	City Administrator Items	
20			 Budget to Actual - June 2015 (attachment #4) 	21
21			2. City of Flint Stragetic Plan -	21
22			Information Only (attachment #5)	
23	IV.		C COMMENT	37
24	٧.	JOUGA	FRNMENT	49
25				

Wednesday, August 12, 2015
Flint, Michigan
2:01 p.m.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: This RTAB meeting will be in order, please. Item 1A, roll call. Let the record reflect that all five members of the RTAB Board are present, as in person or remotely.

Item B, approval of the agenda. I believe Members have been informed of an addition of Resolution 785, adopted by the Council at their meeting last night. Are there other additions to the agenda?

MEMBER LARKIN: Was this simply sent electronically from the August 10th meeting as well, I believe we have to do an action on the Sorensen Gross --

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: The corrections of the resolution from the 22nd?

MEMBER LARKIN: Yes, or was that already taken care of?

MR. CLINE: Well, the resolution from August 10th was intended to replace a resolution from the July meeting, because of a typo and the Council felt it important to readopt that, because of the grant project that they needed to move

1	forward with or risk losing the grant fund.
2	So, they indicated just send us that
3	information and simply just replace that, because
4	the intent or the dollar amounts in the resolution,
5	as I understand, were not changed, it was just
6	correcting a couple of typographical errors.
7	MEMBER LARKIN: So, when we
8	MR. CLINE: Right. And that's the
9	only item from that meeting that we're addressing
10	and I just wanted to have a clean copy for all of
11	you to follow, so
12	MEMBER LARKIN: All right.
13	CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Other additions
14	to the agenda? Any? If not, I'll consider the
15	agenda to be approved with the addition.
16	Item C, approval of the minutes of
17	July 15th RTAB meeting. Members had a chance to
18	review those? Are there any corrections or
19	additions?
20	MEMBER McGHEE: No.
21	CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Is there a motion
22	that the minutes of July 15th be approved?
23	MEMBER McGHEE: So move.
24	CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Is there support?
25	MEMBER WALKER-GRIFFEA: Second.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Those in favor please, say "Aye."

(All ayes.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Opposed? Motion is adopted.

Item 2, old business, there is none. Item 3, new business. Beginning with this particular meeting, we are adding to our agenda an item to allow both the Mayor and City Council president or someone designated by Council president, an opportunity at each meeting to address the RTAB about any issue that happens to be on our agenda so that you don't have to wait to do so during public comment. We had a brief informal discussion about this after the last meeting, and we hope that, Mayor, by allowing you, your counterpart in the legislative branch be able to address us about any issue on the agenda, routinely, will help facilitate the transition process as we go along. think all of us, although, I'll speak only for myself, hope as each meeting goes along at the RTAB, you become less and less relevant, because you and City Council and the City Administrator basically run the City in a way that meets everyone's satisfaction. We hope to be able to, in some

instances perhaps, facilitate breaking the tie with differences of opinion, but we don't want ourselves to be viewed as a supra City Council, or be looking over your shoulders on a regular basis. And so I'll — if other members have thoughts along those lines, please feel free to express that. But we felt like this step with regards to the agenda, would be an initial step on our part.

1.9

So with that, Mayor, if you would. We won't hold you to a clock.

MAYOR WALLING: I'll be brief. And certainly if there are questions or concerns, either at the microphone this afternoon or at any other time, please feel free to get a hold of me. I think we've worked very hard in the last few months to have open communication and extensive discussion with City Council members for their committee structure and formal meetings, even at a special Council meeting last night, and we'll continue to do that.

I think you're aware we're working on lots of fronts all the time with the City of Flint, but we do still have a great number of significant challenges. But in each of those areas, we have strong department heads, incredibly hardworking

employees and we've worked diligently to build community partnerships so that we're really leveraging all of our resources.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I think you've seen what's occupied us intently over the last two weeks, and that has been a spike in homicides. Unfortunately, since July 31st, we've had 10 incidents of deadly gun shootings, and we've had 11 lives lost in those We did an update yesterday with the 10 incidents. Michigan State Police. We have a joint Major Crimes Investigative Detective Bureau staffed by both Flint Police Department as well as Michigan State Police We had Lieutenant Young from the State detectives. Police and Captain Birnie and Lieutenant Bernritter together yesterday to update the media and the public on those cases. Without the details of course in each one, we're very pleased that, in five of those instances, we have individuals who are arrested and in custody. We have suspects identified in four more of those cases, and it only leaves the one incident a couple nights ago on West Home where we're still searching for leads and information from the community. We tragically lost a grandmother figure for the community, and a one-year-old toddler who was in the home.

were -- thankfully, if you can say that in events 1 2 like that, there were eight children who were also 3 in the home who were unaffected by physical 4 violence; of course will be affected for many years 5 by what took place. But it's a joint effort, and 6 it's making some significant progress. I'll just 7 say, because you were willing to add this to your agenda, very last notice, City Administrator and I 8 9 went to Council on Monday night during their 10 regularly scheduled meeting under a special order to 11 start the discussion about whether the City of Flint 12 could do anything more within its existing resources 13 to add police protection to the community. 14 Council was very receptive to that discussion. 15 knew that there were unfilled positions in the Fire 16 Department that we have been managing without for 17 more than six months. And when you look at the data 18 of what's taken place this year, we have made 19 significant progress in reducing the number of 20 structure fires and the number of arsons, and very 21 much so the number of occupied building fires. 22 Whereas the police, despite the efforts to bring 23 down crime generally in the last year-and-a-half to 24 two years, there's a sense from the Flint Police 25 Department and Michigan State Police that we were at a point where that progress was being threatened by the recent events.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, we went to the next night -- just last night, we were in this same room with City Council and they called a special meeting and we had a resolution available to them for consideration. We didn't know, going into that meeting, if they'd be prepared to act on that, if they would want to have further discussion, but we were able to answer the questions from Captain Birnie from the Flint Police Department, Chief Cox was here, Chief Financial Officer, City Administrator, myself of And we received strong support from City course. Council to shift seven firefighter positions that have been unfilled, to the equivalent cost of five Flint police officers so we could add police And that was not something we came to protection. It's a very difficult proposition to put lightly. forward, to reduce the budgeted staffing of one department, even though there wouldn't be any actual reduction in firefighters who are working for the City of Flint, because those were open positions, and then, you know, to add that to the Police Department.

But we believed it was necessary at

this time to take that step, and we certainly would look for you to also consider that today in answering any questions that you may have about why we feel that's necessary. The number five is important, because that's what allows us to add one additional police officer in every shift, 24 hours a day, seven days a week. So that — that gives the police chief and his leadership staff the ability to add one additional police officer, whether that's general patrol or directed patrol or another special assignment. That allows us to have that coverage throughout the week, throughout the day, and have that capacity in place.

more positive note for our community, and that is we're kicking off this afternoon with the cruise, the Back to the Bricks. So, we're going to have an extraordinary spotlight on our community. Our men and women in uniform will also be working very hard on that event. Special events pay for services now from the City of Flint, so those are on overtime hours. The Back to the Bricks Committee is paying for the police services and traffic engineering that's necessary for that event, so it doesn't conflict with the officers we need to have on patrol

and doing the detective work. But we hope to have a wonderful, peaceful, fun next couple of days here in the City of Flint, as we continue to work hard on the ongoing issues that we manage every day.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Thank you.

MAYOR WALLING: All right. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Council President

Freeman.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I'm going to be brief. MR. FREEMAN: I appreciate the changes you guys made in the agenda And the only time you're going as we move forward. to see me up here is if we got a difference of opinion with myself or the administration or Ms. Henderson; and working on just the little things that the City Council passes might be easier for all of us. But you know, other than that, you're not going to see me, and I hope -- I mean, I would just be prolonging the meeting and make it longer than it needs to be. So, thanks for this change. I've qot nothing for you today. You guys can get home. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Thank you.

Hopefully it goes without saying, Ms. Henderson, I

just wanted to point out that the addition of the

Mayor and Council President to the agenda in no way

diminishes your central role as City Administrator. We have not amended the last EM order and under that order you remain primary point of contact with the RTAB. Just simply wanted to include those two officials, again, as part of the transition.

MS. HENDERSON: Okay.

approval of resolutions and ordinances. We'll begin with those from the July 13th Council meeting. You also have, I believe in your packet, a memorandum from Mr. Cline. There are approximately nine resolutions. 13, I think Mr. Cline pointed out the majority of those concern rather routine purposes in the Water Department with regards to water, sewer, wastewater and so forth. They are Resolutions 490, 491.1, 492, 493, 494, 495.1, 496, 497 and 519.

Are there any questions concerning either Mr. Cline's memorandum or any questions about those particular resolutions?

MEMBER McMAHAN: No.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: And did you have any comments to make regarding any of those?

MR. CLINE: No, nothing to add beyond what I've already put down there that these are annual purchases that the City makes for both

purchases. Everything has been budgeted for and all of the proper vending and approvals have been acquired on this.

So, I think for the majority of these, we have already covered that. And we also covered in our last meeting, we put forward resolution, I believe, it's 518, which dealt with the ballot language for the Transportation Authority millage. So that's already been addressed.

So I don't have anything further to add if there are no questions.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Are there, with respect to these items, because they total roughly about \$1.6 million, any cash flow or receivables issues with regards to the water, sewer, non-payment of bills, anything like that, that would --

MR. CLINE: None that I'm aware of at this point. I know that the City is looking closely at their water budget windows. As it stands now, it has been budgeted and probably the City will be acquiring those resources, sort of as they need them, on an ongoing basis. So, they won't be going out and making any new purchases of say \$100,000 at one time, it would be in increments throughout the fiscal year.

1	CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: But nothing of
2	which you're aware
3	MR. CLINE: No, not at this time.
4	CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: that would
5	adversely impact
6	MR. CLINE: I don't know if the City
7	has anything to add to that, but I'm not aware of
8	any immediate problem.
9	CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Ms. Henderson?
10	MS. HENDERSON: Just as Mr. Cline
11	said, these are purchase orders so that we can
12	purchase those things as we go throughout the year,
13	so that we're not coming back each time we need to
14	purchase, say, you know, the chemicals for the
15	plant. Those are things that we do throughout the
16	year, and this is the beginning of this fiscal year,
17	and we're only in the second month of the fiscal
18	year which began July the 1st.
19	CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: If there are no
20	questions, is there a motion then that the
21	aforementioned nine resolutions from City Council
22	meeting July 13th be approved?
23	MEMBER WALKER-GRIFFEA: So moved.
24	CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Support?
25	MEMBER LARKIN: Support.
1	

QRS Court Reporting, LLC 800.308.0068

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Those in favor please say "Aye."

(All ayes.)

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Opposed? Motion is adopted.

Next item of business, Resolutions from City Council meeting of July 27th. There are approximately 21 resolutions from that meeting. Mr Cline's memorandum made note of approximately 9, some of which indicate that further information is being sought and will hopefully be available as of this RTAB meeting.

Mr. Cline, do you want to go through the various ones you identified in your memorandum?

MR. CLINE: Yes, just very briefly. I had asked for the City to be ready to clarify a few things in the event that you had questions. Most of the issues, I think, were fairly straightforward. Again, there was some bulk purchases, there were some change orders to some contracts that I just wanted to make sure that if we needed to clarify. They dealt with, say, major streets versus the water system and all, that we had all of that information ready to address. There was nothing in particular that many of these items that I had any special

concerns about. I did want to mention, again, Resolution 560, which deals with the Riverbank Park improvements. We had to exchange that ordinance from the one from the August 10th meeting just simply to clarify a typographical error in the resolution. The entire scope of the project has not I do understand, from the City, that been altered. they are under somewhat of a time constraint to move forward with this, because it is grant funding and they do not want to lose that grant funding, so they need to execute this project. They have been trying to get some, I guess what I would describe as competitive bids in for the project, and have gotten what they feel is the best bid, and then have gotten some additional funding for -- to take care of some of the differences. And then we also have, within this meeting, the City Council readopted the year-end budget amendments which we discussed at the last meeting, and those were approved as they were presented. And that is Resolution 559. So that is there before you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I think I will just pause on any additional comments, unless there's any specific questions, but I think that there are -- it's fairly straightforward as to what the City is doing at this

meeting.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: And you had raised in your memorandum a question with respect to Resolutions 527 and 547, both of which, it appeared, dealt with the same issue. One of which was to, to use the colloquial, "Drop the issue", the other one was to approve it. Is that -- was there an explanation as to what happened with respect to those?

MR. CLINE: I think that has more to do with an internal process within the City in the way that they actually record their motions. And I know the City Administrator and City Clerk I believe are discussing that. So, I think it's more -- it's not really a process that causes any problems with RTAB and the voting, it's more of internal procedure process that just need to get clarified within the City.

So, I don't have any particular concerns about what they're attempting to do or anything like that. It's just more of identifying how the City goes about keeping their own internal records and the minutes and everything. And what I try and do with the memo that I provided to you, is just point out areas where I'm trying to look

through your eyes where you may have some confusion or some questions, or as I see it, maybe I need to point out any particular facet of something that may be worth noting to you. So I just wanted to draw your attention to that. But I don't have any real concern about the ultimate result of it. So, I think it's more of just an internal process and I've spoken with the City Administrator and they're working on kind of getting a little more consistency in that area, make it a little -- make it a little less confusing for us in our day, that when we read these minutes we understand what we're looking at here, so --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: So are both

Resolutions 527 and 547 before us, or only 547?

MR. CLINE: I think it would only be
547.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Questions for Mr. Cline at this point? So let's first take up resolutions mentioned in Mr. Cline's memorandum. 526.1 and 546, 547, 553, 558, 559, 560, which we understand basically incorporates Resolution 783 from August 10th. And then Resolutions 562 and 563.

Is there a motion that those resolutions from July 27th be approved?

1 MEMBER LARKIN: I have a question 2 before the motion. I guess I'd like to amend it, do 3 we have to take 559 out and do it separately as a 4 recommendation to State Treasury? 5 MR. CLINE: The reason -- yes. You 6 don't have to. 7 MEMBER LARKIN: So, we can do it 8 together? 9 MR. CLINE: You can do it together as 10 long as you -- in other RTABs, they signify whether 11 they make a recommendation to State Treasury. 12 usually separate it as a separate motion just so it 13 doesn't get confusing. You don't have to do it that 14 way. You can just approve, for example, all of the resolutions including 559, which is a recommendation 15 to State Treasurer for the budget amendments. 16 17 you could separate it out as a separate motion. It's sort of your preference. We just wanted to 18 19 identify it separately on anticipation that you 20 would want to make a separate motion for that. 21 CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Is it your 22 preference to separate it out?

make a motion that we adopt all of the resolutions as previously presented.

Not really.

So, I

MEMBER LARKIN:

23

24

25

1	CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Is there support?
2	MEMBER WALKER-GRIFFEA: Support.
3	CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Any discussion?
4	If not, those in favor of the motion would you
5	please say "Aye."
6	MEMBER McGHEE: I'm sorry. Should we
7	do roll call
8	CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: If we were
9	adopting the resolution of the RTAB, roll call would
10	be required. We're simply adopting a motion to
11	approve resolutions adopted by City Council.
12	MEMBER McGHEE: My apologies.
13	CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Quite all right.
14	Those in favor, please say "Aye."
15	(All ayes.)
16	CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Opposed? Motion
17	is adopted. I got to get adjusted to that delay.
18	MEMBER McMAHAN: I'll try and
19	anticipate.
20	CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: The remaining
21	resolutions from the 27th meeting are 391, 548, 549,
22	550, 551, 552, 554, 555, 556, 557 and 561. I take
23	it, Mr. Cline, you have no comments or observations
24	regarding those?
25	MR. CLINE: No. Nothing of

QRS Court Reporting, LLC 800.308.0068

significance, no.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Is there a motion that those resolutions from July 27th also be approved?

MEMBER McGHEE: So moved.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Is there support?

MEMBER McMAHAN: Support.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Motion has been made and seconded. Any discussion? If not, those in favor please say "Aye."

(All ayes.)

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Opposed? Motion is adopted.

Item C, City Administrator items. Ms. Henderson, we have two items here, Budget to Actual and the Strategic Plan. Ms. Lundquist.

MS. LUNDQUIST: Good afternoon. In this case, no news is good news. I just wanted to state that the report that you have before you, the Budget to Actual, is through June 30, 2015, however, those are unaudited numbers. And due to the time period within which the City has to prepare these reports, those numbers are subject to change as things continue to — for instance, the invoices continue to be received by the City for the prior

fiscal year, those are posted through a June 30 date. So, for instance, it may be understated to what has actually been in the audit, posting journal entries and do payroll approvals, all sorts of accounting jargon, but we are on target. And thank you very much for adopting the budget amendments so that those numbers also can be reflected in our audit.

The numbers for the budget reflected in your report do not take into consideration those amended resolutions that were approved today. And I have nothing further.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: I did have one question. The general fund, budget to actual, general government, as I look at 2014, and then the year-to-date balance in the 2015, for general government the numbers went from \$8.6 million to roughly \$7.8 million. And then a large jump to \$20 million on the expenditure side. \$20.7 million. So there was a significant jump.

With respect to police, things went in the opposite direction, \$22 million budget of '14. \$21 million would be the balance June 30th of '14, down to roughly \$13 million by '15. Similar phenomenon with regards to Fire.

Was there just a movement of money, it looks like within the expenditures? Because the overall expenditures weren't really that different. \$49 million in '15; \$50 million in '14. I was just curious as to what happened there.

MS. LUNDQUIST: I believe that that's just general accounting where we had perhaps, this is my suspicion, I can confirm and provide that to the City Administrator to distribute to the RTAB, but my suspicion would be that those were be indirect cost allocations.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Thank you.

MS. LUNDQUIST: Any further questions?

MEMBER McGHEE: Not from me.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Item 2, the Strategic Plan is an information item only.

with you all the strategic plan ending the fiscal year '015. And this is a summary and it -- if you had time to look at it, look through it, it tells you where we are in different areas. There are tasks that are associated with those that I actually worked with the department heads on to ensure that we are in compliance with the strategic plan, and that we do meet different goals that are within this

plan. And this is where we were at the end of -- of this year -- I mean, of the last fiscal year. And we have a set of strategic objectives for this next year. And these things are available online, however, I thought it would be good for you all to at least -- we do each quarter -- give a report as to where we are with the strategic plan and give an update. And we actually publicize that on the website. So I thought it would be good for you all to see where we were ending June 30th.

It lists our challenges, the community and economic development, where we are with that, just things that stand out; how are we improving the infrastructure and the challenges that we have moving forward and how we are addressing those. And all of these strategic objectives, they do tie into — if you'll notice, they tie into the Council priorities that are set at the beginning — well, before the beginning of each fiscal year. So, we try to ensure that our strategic objectives match those priorities that are set by the Council.

So, I will be coming to you all each quarter to give you an update as to where we are with the strategic objectives so that you can see how we're actually doing things as an organization,

and what we are actually trying to accomplish and where we are with those.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1.9

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, I just wanted you all to understand that the objectives are tied to the different tasks that myself and the various departments who work on that, and try to include different tasks to ensure that the Mayor and Council priorities are addressed, and so that they can have a document that they can refer to and say "Okay, where were we on this and this is -- you know, this is a way that you all said that you would actually look at our priorities." This is your strategic objectives; what are we doing. And we actually meet every month we have a Finance Administration Committee meeting, we have a Public Works Committee meeting and we have a Community and Planning -- a Planning and Community Development Committee We have those meetings every month and we meeting. actually talk about the things that we're doing to try and address the priorities of the Mayor and Council.

And if you have any questions at this time or if you all have any questions later, but these -- this information is online and I would encourage you all to use that as a resource to see

what's going on in the City. We do have our different press releases there. Just the different things that are going on with the City. We are definitely moving forward. There are a lot of things that great — that are great, going on in the City. So, you can utilize that as a resource if you want to know where we are with our objectives and what we're accomplishing and the priorities that the Mayor and Council have set. You can see those there and how we are attacking those things.

 $\label{eq:member_walker-griffea:} \mbox{MEMBER WALKER-GRIFFEA: I have a} \\ \mbox{question.}$

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Yes.

MEMBER WALKER-GRIFFEA: Thank you for this. I thought it was very convenient in finding out what we're doing and how we're getting there. I did, though, as I was reading it, have a concern on the challenges going forward. I know that the document is flexible. Since it's a strategic plan, will you be updating it and will the information from the latest water suit that was just done on last week, I believe, are there any thoughts about moving forward with that kind of strategic plan?

MS. HENDERSON: I would -- at the end of this quarter, that probably -- we hope that that

won't be a challenge. However, we are cognizant of that, and we are talking about that, and the possible impact of that. So, that definitely does impact where we would go moving forward.

But just so that you understand, like as far as from our perspective, that is not -that's something that it could -- we don't know how that's going to happen. However, we are planning in the event that it does happen.

MEMBER WALKER-GRIFFEA: And it will be reflected here as challenges? I'm saying that, because your first one is the possible outcome -
MS. HENDERSON: The retirement

lawsuit.

MEMBER WALKER-GRIFFEA: Right. So that's a possible outcome as well.

 $\label{eq:MS.HENDERSON: Yes, unfortunately} % \begin{center} \be$

MEMBER LARKIN: To that question, and I don't know if this is an appropriate time, but could you just speak really broadly as to what the potential impact — do we have numbers and sense around what the potential impact would be to the operation of the City as we are looking at upcoming months.

MS. HENDERSON: This is something that it's -- it will of course have a very detrimental impact. However, at this point, like me talking to you all about that in this forum, that's -- we don't have attorney/client privilege, so I can't really say much about it at this point, so I apologize for that, but --

MEMBER LARKIN: No problem.

MS. HENDERSON: -- those are concerns, but I can't speak to all of you about it in this forum.

MEMBER WALKER-GRIFFEA: I think the important thing is that you are planning and thinking about possibilities.

MS. HENDERSON: Any more questions about --

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Any other questions? Thank you. If there's no objection, we'll consider the City Administrator items 1 and 2 to be received. The additional items, new business, resolution, I believe 785 from the City Council special meeting of last night, to transfer approximately \$323,000 from the Fire Department to the Police Department. The Mayor spoke to that issue briefly earlier. This is an opportunity for

Board Members, if they have questions, to pose those to the City officials.

account?

MEMBER WALKER-GRIFFEA: May I?

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Yes, please.

MEMBER WALKER-GRIFFEA: I have a question. I actually have two questions. The first one, looks at -- the Mayor has said that the funding has been there for about six months, which is why you all looked at that to move forward. How long had it been previous within the six months in that

MS. HENDERSON: It -- budgeted for, it was -- it was budgeted for -- it would have been budgeted in the last fiscal year.

MEMBER WALKER-GRIFFEA: Okay.

MS. HENDERSON: So -- and with the Fire Department, at some point you have retirements, but that's been a static level that's been budgeted. So, I'll say at least eight months. So it pre-dated me even coming to the City, that some of these positions were open, just not filled.

MEMBER WALKER-GRIFFEA: Okay. When I was watching the news, and saw what happened during the special meeting, the Fire Chief talked about he was willing for that money to be moved. But he was

wanting it back at some point so that they could fill the positions they needed filled. Is there a plan or a thought to, I guess, make the Fire Department whole if you did this?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HENDERSON: From a budgeting perspective, I can't look at it as though I'm making them whole. I can only look at it from an operational standpoint, in the sense that they are operating with the current staff that they have and I think they're doing a great job. That's not to say that moving forward at some point we would not look at, you know, trying to allocate -- reallocate money to the -- the Fire Department. However, I believe that they're doing a great job with the staffing level that they have now. And as a City Administrator, I have to look at what is going on here, what is going here, and look at the different statistics in both areas. So, of course the Fire Chief and I are going to look at those things totally different, because by way of the position of being the City Administrator, and looking at all of the operations and what you need here, and those tough decisions have to be made. So I can't sav that even if we -- if we say -- have \$300,000 available, that it would not go into the Police

Department. So, I have to make those decisions and make those recommendations just as the Mayor had to make that decision that, okay, this is where we get the money from, because that's something that we had already discussed, and say, okay, how do we get more police officers? So, those are just decisions that will be made.

So, the short answer to your question is, that I can't say that the Fire Department has to have seven more staff to operate. But what I can say is, right now, in this moment, and even months before this, we do need the money in the Police Department, because the crime stats reflect that. And it's not to say that we don't need more firemen, but what I do know is we need more police at this time. And it's just a decision that the -- I'm glad that the Council did support it and that you all supported that as well.

So that was not my thought process when making that decision to move forward.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Other questions?

MEMBER LARKIN: I have a question. Is
the -- well, you might not be able to answer right
now. But looking at kind of the -- the
circumstances that were going on were directly

responding to the environment, there has been a spike in crime, looking to address it. Is this shift or this movement like this level that we have of police staffing, is it the desire moving forward as we look at future fiscal years to maintain this level; or is this kind of a support to get crime to a certain level and then this money becomes flexible again to move; what's the desire of the administration?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HENDERSON: The desire is to increase it even -- even more, because I'll be honest, this is not enough. We need it to increase And that's not to say that it doesn't need to increase in fire. But we -- we need -- I can say we need more police and more fire. So, what -- the steps that we have to take to address that, those are the things that we're doing. This is -- this is just something that we could do now. However, I have been charged with we need more. The Mayor says we need more. The Council says we need more. So, we will continue to work to address that.

MEMBER WALKER-GRIFFEA: And I do have one other question. I know that when I first got here there was a report done, I believe, that shared how understaffed the Police Department was or is; do

we know those numbers?

MS. HENDERSON: Yes. It really should be triple the size.

MS. HENDERSON: Do you know that?

MEMBER WALKER-GRIFFEA: So about triple. Any more questions?

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Yes. Do I understand correctly that this dollar amount reflects budgeted, but unfilled positions in the Fire Department?

MS. HENDERSON: Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: So, were we to look at the budget without this item having come up, would we find other amounts, either in the Fire Department or in other departmental budgets, where there would be -- I can't really describe it as a surplus, but where there would be amounts that could be identified to be used for other purposes, because they're attached to positions that haven't been filled?

MS. HENDERSON: Actually, we -- we actually looked at other departments before we actually looked at the Fire Department, because we know public safety, that is high and top priority to the Council. So, we looked to see if we had any

more positions that were -- and I just want to be clear that they had to be general fund positions.

If they were water and sewer, it didn't -- you can't pay for police with water and sewer. Specific grants, you can't pay for police officers or fire.

So, given that scenario, we really are very lean in the sense that we have two positions that could be of impact. Meaning, that we could actually draw the funding from. However, at this time, they are critical positions, and we didn't believe that it was the time to say, okay, we're not going to have a treasurer. Okay, we're not going to have an HR director.

So, at this time, I cannot bring that forward and feel good about it and feel that the City was in a position to be sustainable with cutting those positions. A treasurer position is very important. And that's not to say other positions are not, but we have someone contracted out, like, part-time to do that, and that is a need. We need to get that filled.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: So, other than these positions in the Fire Department which were budgeted but not filled, there are only several others --

MS. HENDERSON: Those are the several.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: -- budgeted --

MS. HENDERSON: Yes, sir. Those are the two that we have that are not filled at this time. Anything else, we -- it is filled. And that's just where we are. We are very lean at this point.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: I posed the question partly because last month, as you recall, we had the conversation about fund balance, in terms of what the levels should be, where it happened to be within the context of transferring some portion of that for, I believe, cutting the grass. And so I was trying to get an overall handle on, sort of amounts like this that might possibly be scattered elsewhere throughout the budget. You're indicating that there are no others.

MS. HENDERSON: We have -- if I may?

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Yes.

MS. HENDERSON: We have -- for the grass cutting, we did go into the Assessment Department to find that, to fund that. It was a very minimal level, however, we did go into the -- we found that possibly -- that we would come out a little lower in that area, so we did take it from

there. And we do account for every single dime, because we know that there's always a threat of property tax going down, those different things. And we know that we have a minimal fund balance, because right now we have -- we have to budget for \$1 million -- \$1 million that goes into the fund balance automatically. And then we have the \$500,000. So we truly have the \$1.5 million going into this year as of the second month into this fiscal year.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: And then a final question on this point, if this is approved today, how long would you anticipate it take to have the five additional police officer positions filled?

MS. HENDERSON: I know that we're in the process of hiring right now. I would have to talk to the Police Chief and HR Director to see how fast they could get five more, five additional police officers through.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Other questions?

If not, is there a motion that City Council

Resolution 785 from last night's special meeting

previously described, that resolution be approved?

MEMBER LARKIN: So moved.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Is there support?

1.5

1 MEMBER WALKER-GRIFFEA: Support. 2 CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Is there further 3 discussion? Hearing none, those in favor of the 4 motion please say "Aye." 5 (All ayes.) 6 CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Opposed? Motion 7 is adopted. I believe that concludes our new 8 business. 9 Next item, item 4, public comment. 10 Mr. Cline, do you have anybody sign in to --11 MR. CLINE: We have one name signed 12 up, that would be Eric Mays. 13 CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Council. 14 MR. MAYS: Yeah, good afternoon. 15 MR. LARKIN: Good afternoon. 16 MR. MAYS: I sat and listened to each 17 of the RTABs, and I've watched this process, and you 18 know, the RTAB did make a big fuss about the surplus and money being spent. I called that special 19 20 meeting, and I wanted to hear what you heard. didn't hear about the other areas. I called it to 21 22 peruse the budget and look for money. And so you 23 getting information that we ain't getting -- and 24 then to me, this RTAB is letting me down, because 25 you're falling for it. When I say "You're falling

for it", you didn't ask the question, Mr. President, we got State Police coming in, additional police. don't think it was -- that it had to be moved on this hastily. I was the descending vote. before you and said, "I wish you could hear from Council and/or the Council President", and everybody's view ain't the same. And my honest opinion, some of the decisions that's been made financially, that you're approving, is made by some of the same people who didn't handle it last time. And you're now buying into it, moving too fast without the right -- in other words, I might have held off on them five officers, because they're And I know, in my opinion, the best way to abate some of the killings, is to deal with the 10,000 plants laying in the Detective Bureau, this community doesn't talk about the undercover officers. You got State Police coming in. This, to me, was a political move to react to something that happened already. I'm very sensitive about the killings in my community. And it happened in my I know about Baltimore, they have been coming ward. there for years before we even reported that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, people get excited and reactionary, and even you make moves, but the right

question was, how can you do a State policy that lets State Police change their policy and take calls? They said they here for just traffic, traffic stops. They pulling people over walking on by, but they won't answer a 911 call.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

See, I try to change and make a And so I'm really disappointed in positive effect. the RTAB not asking the right questions, not hearing the right things talked about that you can ask about from certain people who know the details, and then we make a decision. It is some validity in that lawsuit. Judge Hayman ruled, refunds, shut-off That can be talked about, it's no client notices. privilege or attorney/client privilege, it's common knowledge. How much detail are you all going to do, or is you all going to let us sit back and slip into financial ruin, because certain people want to stand and talk polite? I'm glad that you all put that on But I told you there's always two sides the agenda. The Mayor, the Council President, he to a story. said he won't even be here. I'll be here. And you're welcome to try and communicate, but this is a serious business to me. It's financial, it's open, it's honest and you have to spend the time. All you all got other things to do, but my responsibility is

first with this City of Flint, and I'm very disappointed with the inquiry, with the information. And I broke your two-minute rule, and I apologize, because if I don't, this is going to be another People need to be open, honest, transparent, mess. and we need to hear what we need to hear when I called the special meeting before I can hear it That was good questions about where in the Remember Ambrose made the budget when he budget. I appreciate you amending your rules, but if you going to really do this job and peruse some of these financial dealings, believe me, I won't break your rules too many times, but you need to reach out more and become more knowledgeable, because we can save money and still get the same thing done. This one had to do with employing the State Police and it's a Patrol Bureau, a Detective Bureau and undercover. I'm trying to be proactive rather than reactive. And now we're dealing with murders, and you involved in it as well. So, let's save some people's lives and quit politicking and just -- for the money. Just a little after the fact. you.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Thank you,

25 Council.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MEMBER LARKIN: May I speak?

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Certainly.

1.1

MEMBER LARKIN: Council, may I speak to your remarks? Don't leave. I do want to -- I think there was a statement that was made earlier by the Chairperson of this Board that the Receivership Transition Advisory Board is not in place to be a supra council.

And so in places where we see clear agreement between the Council and the City

Administrator, we're only giving it -- you've attended most of our meetings, we're only given the opportunity to say "Yes" or "No."

And so, when serious dialogue needs to be had and engagements we have, needs to be among the residents of Flint that have elected and the people who have been duly appointed based on their professional critique. We're here as a kind of "Yes" or "No."

So, sometimes -- I think I feel a lot of what you're discussing is the inter-workings of how the legislative and elective and management body are going to operate together. When it gets to this point, it's kind of "Yes" or "No."

So, while I'll definitely be open for

any continued dialogue, because there is a lot of inside input that all of the Council people have, the Mayor and his Administrator, Finance Director, our goal is to -- one is always be open for continued dialogue. Keep in mind that when it makes it to the RTAB, we're at a "Yes" or "No", up or down, based on you guys doing that point -- doing the work that is of the Council and --

MR. MAYS: Question, if I may. When it comes to \$300,000 in transfers, do you have a third option to postpone and inquire? In my book, you don't just have "Yes" or "No", you have the option --

MEMBER LARKIN: Yes --

MR. MAYS: -- to postpone and inquire before you make major financial decisions. So believe me, I hear you, I appreciate you addressing me, but those are the options I've looked at, just like last month when you did the tax abatement. You postponed, you got input from both sides and then you made a decision. No different here. \$300,000 transfer, I know how everything -- so that's what I want to emphasize, it's not just up or down, you have a third option to postpone and --

MEMBER LARKIN: That's fair, that's

fair. 1 2 -- inquire. That's what MR. MAYS: I'm referring to in this instance, because you 3 didn't have the whole story. 4 Thank you. 5 MEMBER LARKIN: MR. MAYS: Thank you. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Did anyone else sign in for public comment? 8 9 MR. CLINE: No, sir. 10 CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: We do have a 11 gentleman. 12 MR. CLINE: I wasn't aware of that. CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: 13 You have two 14 minutes, please. 15 MR. DEL MORONE: Thank you. I didn't see any forms back there. I was late. But my name 16 17 is Chris Del Morone. I live in Flint, Michigan. 18 I have a couple of questions. notice, like, on your new business number 1 and 1.9 20 number 2, those are things that happened back in 21 July. And my question is, can the City, the administration take action on something prior to 22 23 your approval? And, you know -- the other thing is, I 24 25 guess, Mr. Mays was just talking about it, and it

was one of my questions before he came up here was, does the RTAB have the ability to amend or deny with recommendations?

So, let's say on this \$300,000 for police, could you put a stipulation on something that says, "Yes, go ahead and you can hire the police, but they need to be used in this manner"? In the sense of, well, we don't need any more police officers at a City Council meeting, we don't need police officers here, and you can use them as long as you're out patrolling. I don't know if you have that power or if you can simply turn something down, give a recommendation and then have it come back to you.

The other thing is, there is a good question in there by the Chairperson as to when will the police officers come on or how long will it take.

Now, my thinking is the longer it takes to put the police officers on, the less money they will need. So, if the officers are going to be here for 11 months versus 6, it would seem like they would need less than the \$300,000. So, I would hope you would consider those three things when they combine the actual cost, approval first and can

things be amended or denied with recommendations? Thank you.

2.4

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: The answer to the first question is, typically -- I believe the Council meets twice a month. Typically whenever the RTAB meets, we would concern ourselves with matters that would have been taken by City Council prior to the meetings, today would be an example. So the Council is free to act.

with regards to resolutions as an example, those resolutions wouldn't take effect until they've been approved by the RTAB. We try to meet monthly so that we go no longer than two Council meetings each time.

With regards to your second question,

I suspect we would have the authority to do what you suggest, but again, I think that to exercise that authority would set us up as a supra City Council.

If we have a situation like this, as Mr. Larkin referred to a moment ago, when there seems to be consensus from the Mayor, at least the majority of the Council, and the City Administrator with regards to this transfer and questions that we have with regards to either the impact upon the Fire

Department or the money being transferred from, or

other questions are answered to our satisfaction, then we're inclined to say "Yes" or "No" with regards to the proposal. I personally would not be inclined to say, rather than using money to hire officers, use them to hire detectives, because that seems to me to be sort of an observational decision, that while I might have the authority as an RTAB member to inquire about or to suggest, isn't really my role. I don't see my role as to getting into the minutia of the operations of the City on a day-to-day basis, if the principal parties are in agreement as to how to go forward.

Other members may have different perspectives on that, but that would be my perspective on the issue.

MR. DEL MORONE: And the amount of money, if it's needed for the police, would it be --could it be less than the \$300,000 the later the police officers are hired? So if they're hired today, that, you know, they'll have to start being paid. If they're hired three months from now, there won't be any payment then, and for that fiscal year it would seem like it would be less money that would be needed to operate that.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: That's certainly

a possibility in terms of the dollar amount to some the principal parties coming to us. more questioning and debate from us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

extent to be a reflection of when the positions are Again I do know the sort of operational questions are handled by the Administrator, City Administrator or others. Our response is that from a large standpoint, the policy questions of what or not to do and that we're going to be -- at least I'm going to be influenced, to some extent, by, again, If there's disagreement, if you had a situation where the Mayor was, you know, sort of at one end of the spectrum on this issue, and the City Council was at the other, and the City Administrator was -- you know, occupied a third position, I think you would have found much And perhaps in a situation like that, we might very well have tabled the issue and send it back to the principal parties and they have to try to reach a consensus. Where all three come to us -- and again, the Council vote was not unanimous, I believe it was 8 to 1, where there's near unanimity from the Council, as well as it has the support of the Mayor, and it has the support of the City Administrator, I'm not going to look at political consideration or otherwise. They're not within my purview. It's their

responsibility to do what they think is best for the City.

2.4

And I would have liked to have had this issue a little bit sooner so that the RTAB would have had more opportunity to view the issue, but I understand the circumstances as well as to how it -- as to how it got to us.

MR. DEL MORONE: Well, I thank you for your answers. And I agree with and understand what you're saying.

The other thing I would point out is, part of our problem here in the City, in the past, has been that both Council and Mayor would agree on something, and be — let's say, the wrong thing that they're agreeing on, they're mistaken even though you have maybe an 9-0 unanimous vote, and the Mayor's — and I'm not referring to our current Mayor here necessarily, but sometimes everyone can be wrong, and with your eyes, you sent us in the right direction. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Thank you. That concludes public comment. Is there any further business before the RTAB?

If not, is there a motion that we adjourn?

1	
1	MEMBER McGHEE: So moved.
2	CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Support?
3	MEMBER LARKIN: Support.
4	CHAIRPERSON HEADEN: Without
5	objection, we're adjourned.
6	(Meeting adjourned at 3:06 p.m.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE 1. 2 I, Quentina Rochelle Snowden, do 3 hereby certify that I have recorded stenographically 4 the proceedings had and public comment taken in the 5 meeting, at the time and place hereinbefore set 6 forth, and I do further certify that the foregoing 7 transcript, consisting of (50) pages, is a true and 8 correct transcript of my said stenographic notes. 9 10 August 19, 2015 11 Dated: 12 13 Ulubri R. Anon Qu 1.4 15 Quentina R. Snowden, CSR-5519 16 Notary Public, Genesee County, Michigan 17 My commission expires: 1/4/2018 18 19 20 21 22 23 2.4 25