City of Hamtramck

Receivership Transition Advisory Board Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, June 23, 2015

Hamtramck City Hall

Council Chambers - 2d Floor

3401 Evaline

Hamtramck, Michigan 48212

RTAB MEMBERS PRESENT:

DEBORAH ROBERTS, CHAIR

MARK STEMA

CATHY SQUARE

KAREN YOUNG

ALSO PRESENT: DREW VAN de GRIFT

KATRINA POWELL Hamtramck City Manager

BHAMA CAIRNS City Controller

TRANSCRIPTION BY:
Amy Shankleton-Novess, CER 0838
Modern Court Reporting & Video, LLC
SCAO FIRM NO. 08228
101-A North Lewis Street
Saline, Michigan 48176
(734) 429-9143/ajsn

1	June 23, 2015 - Hamtramck, Michigan
2	* * * *
3	Called to order at 1:02 p.m.
4	MS. ROBERTS: It is 1:05 and I will call the
5	City of Hamtramck Receivership Transition Advisory Board
6	meeting for Tuesday, June, 23, to order.
7	Mr. Van de Grift, will you take a roll call,
8	please.
9	MR. VAN de GRIFT: Deborah Roberts.
10	MS. ROBERTS: Here.
11	MR. VAN de GRIFT: Cathy Square.
12	MS. SQUARE: Here.
13	MR. VAN de GRIFT: Mark Stema.
14	MR. STEMA: Here.
15	MR. VAN de GRIFT: Karen Young.
16	Karen Young is absent. We have a quorum.
17	MS. ROBERTS: Okay. I want to remind anybody
18	that wants to speak for public comment to please sign in. I
19	think the sign in sheet might be on the podium.
20	First up, approval of the agenda. I will
21	entertain a motion to approve the agenda.
22	MS. YOUNG: So move.
23	MR. STEMA: I'll second it.
24	MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?
25	(No response.)

```
1
                  MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
 2
    say aye.
 3
                  MS. SQUARE: Aye.
 4
                  MR. STEMA:
                              Aye.
 5
                  MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same?
 6
                   (No response.)
 7
                  MS. SQUARE: Motion carries.
 8
                  Next on our agenda is approval of the May 26,
 9
    2015 RTAB meeting minutes. I would entertain a motion to
10
    approve those minutes.
11
                  MS. SOUARE: So moved.
12
                  MR. STEMA: Second it.
13
                  MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?
14
                  (No response.)
15
                  MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
16
    say aye.
                  MS. YOUNG: Aye.
17
18
                  MS. SQUARE: Aye.
19
                  MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same.
20
                  (No response.)
21
                  MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
22
                  The first item on the agenda under Old Business
23
    is the Capital Improvement Plan. Mr. Gabor, could you please
24
    summarize the Capital Improvement Plan that has been
25
    submitted?
```

MR. GABOR: I certainly will. I don't know if you've had a chance to review it at all. It's made up of several departments. We've broken it into police, fire, DPW, IT, first floor administration, third floor administration, building code, general building maintenance, inside and out, as well as the library. I sat down with each of the department heads in each of those areas and we went through in some detail as to what equipment they have on hand, what they needed to replace and when and when it went into service, what the current cost to replace that is. When you look through the spreadsheet I also try to forecast some inflationary increases as you go out just so you -- it's a ballpark number. It's not exact but we tried to do that. We also looked at the opportunities of how we could fund different things outside of the general fund. That was the important part because when I looked through the approved budget, the second year approved budget, there's only \$1,700 in capital improvement for the library in the budget. So, a good portion of this right now, even though it's a plan, there's not a finance method to support that plan very well at this point in time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There were some opportunities at the DPW regards to major and local roads to fund some of their equipment.

They a good fund balance in there right now. The plan that Hennessey put together with regards to that was a separate document, okay. We planned a budget of \$1,000,000 a year for

major and local roads which doesn't eat up the total monies that we've been collecting but it does eat up a good portion of it. And then we also looked at water and sewer collections and I know they're revamping those rates right now and take a look at it but we used a half a million dollars as an annual budget to try and say, all right, this is what we can do with regards to the infrastructure of water and sewer. We tried to look at that. That plan is only about a five year view. It's not longer than that at this point in time. They are working on a much longer one that's required by the DEQ so I said, just keep it to five. That would get us going and then when we finish the DEQ plan we can blend the two together or use that one as an opportunity.

If you look at just the summary sheet, though, then you can see in the parentheses about on an annual basis if we were to get the grant funding that everybody estimated, this would be the shortfall to this plan. Keep in mind this plan is not frills. It keeps the basic equipment running, the building where it's at; we're not looking to build new buildings or purchase new buildings so that we have something to support. We do have a five-year lease in this for maybe some BPW area, small BPW area and try to get them a little more organized with some new equipment they want to get and get the roads, get some other stuff fixed.

However, but if you look at that line that's

1 second from the bottom, it basically says there's a shortfall. 2 Now, if you go how do you find that shortfall, based on what I saw with regards to our assessing package and what a mill 3 would generate, one mill of millage generates about \$180,000, 4 5 okay, on an annual basis. Assuming that we're only going to 6 get 70 percent of the grants that they say they're going to 7 get, we would probably need about 1.5 to 2 mills of some extra 8 funding to actually support this particular plan 100 percent, 9 okay. But it does put it into perspective of what we need to 10 look for. We're still looking at what we're doing with 11 pensions and local longevity costs to see if we can't trim 12 some of that down and maybe use some of that to fund a portion 13 of this plan. But that is kind of the general consensus of all the department heads as to what they need and what this 14 15 plan would look like in total. 16 I can field any questions that anybody might 17 have or I can go into greater detail by department here. 18 I hope this meets the needs that you were 19 looking for. At least in general. It should. 20 MS. ROBERTS: I just have one question on the 21 grants. Have these grants been applied for for the next 22 fiscal year? 23 MR. GABOR: We applied for them in the past and got them so they're, you know, it's kind of like a rolling 24 25 grant. First of all we have to see if it's available through

```
1
    the FED and then we go after it but these are grants that we
2
    have had success with in the past. So I said, don't -- I mean
    if something new comes out, great, you know, we'll just add it
3
4
    to the mix but what do you know has worked for you in the past
5
    and what has been available at least over the last five to
6
    seven years. I didn't go back and look at that. So does that
7
    answer your question?
8
                  MS. ROBERTS: Yes.
9
                  MS. SQUARE: I've got a couple questions.
10
    the capital improvement plan, this is for non-infrastructure,
    correct?
11
12
                  MR. GABOR: Right. This particular document
13
    here is not infrastructure. The second document from
    Hennessey is a five-year infrastructure plan.
14
15
                  MS.
                       SQUARE:
                                Okay.
16
                  MR. GABOR: Right.
17
                  MS. SQUARE: Okay, so Hennessey's plan is for
18
    water and sewer --
19
                  MR. GABOR: Water, sewer and roads.
20
                  MS. SQUARE: Okay.
                  MR. GABOR: Okay, that's what his plan is for.
21
22
    And for sure we have funding for roads. The water and sewer
23
    we're looking at as collections go up and we look at the rates
24
    and what have you. But based on what we saw in the budget we
```

think we can fund somewhere close to a half a million.

1 MS. SQUARE: Now, are the S-1 improvements part 2 of that Hennessey's plan so, you know, I see we have Baird (phonetic) here, so the improvements to the infrastructure so 3 4 you know. 5

MR. GABOR: What we did is --

MS. SQUARE: The water, the sewer lines, I mean.

What we looked at is what's our MR. GABOR:

8 worst ones out there that we have most trouble with, okay.

9 And is there a mechanism that we can fix a section of it,

Especially, I mean, we had sections where in this past

winter we had what, five, six breaks within a two-block area. 11

12 So we're looking at what is the oldest and most problematic

13 that causes us the most trouble and most expense. And that's

what they put up front in their five-year plan. These are the 14

ones we need to look at to get that in. It's not a complete

16 replacement at this point in time.

6

7

10

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The DEQ portion really looks at, what are you planning on doing with the whole city in general and what does that 20-year plan look like. It would be a much bigger plan.

MS. SQUARE: So you've got two plans, then.

MR. GABOR: Yeah.

MS. SQUARE: You've got one for capital infrastructure repairs and then you have one for just things that capital replacements and vehicles and things.

MR. GABOR: Yeah. Absolutely. Building

maintenance. I mean, IT infrastructure. You name it, we put
a list of items together that would keep the city well running
and stable and what have you. That you would do at any of
your businesses or what have you.

MS. SQUARE: And what's the year, what's the longevity of that plan? Is that a five year plan, too?

MR. GABOR: That goes out to 2042. I mean I ran that plan from 2015 all the way out to 2042.

MS. SQUARE: So are you anticipating that the city will need to set money aside? I mean because you have to fund it somehow.

MR. GABOR: We have to find a funding mechanism; either we have to reduce costs or reduce some longevity costs that we're going to have to look at it the future or we have to figure out what the tax base is going to look like and can we get, you know, a couple hundred thousand to help fund that, okay? I don't know where that's coming from yet. Like I said, I looked through the current approved budget and I found \$1,700 that was in there. As we start for the next budget year I know Katrina and myself and the department heads will go through that and say all right, how are we going to fund this capital improvement plan here? We know we have money coming in from ACT 51 and what have you that will help with the roads. We think water and sewer will have some funding available to help on that side of it but the basic equipment

- 1 and operations of the city are not well-funded other than 2 grants. And typically they're either 70/30 or 50/50 so we're still going to have to have some general fund money to support 3 4 that.
- 5 MR. STEMA: What about, I know -- I understand 6 like when you're going out and it's obviously you're trying to 7 find funding but at least the first couple of years you have to, based on what you're budgeted you have to know that, if you have funding or not. So the amount that you've got 10 budgeted for like next year, and the year after at least those should you --
 - MR. GABOR: We think we have great opportunity for grants and a lot of that is coming from local Act 51 as well. Okay.
 - MR. STEMA: So let's just say, for example, you come \$100,000 short. Do you have like especially when you're talking vehicle maintenance, things like that, do you have what's going to drop off that you can't fund?
 - MR. GABOR: Absolutely. We understand that.

Yeah. I mean, we've already made

- 20 MR. STEMA: So do you have a plan and all that?
- 22 notes in the boxes that says we can push this out two years,
- 23 we can push this out. You know, some timeframe, you know,

MR. GABOR:

24 short of falling apart.

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

25 MR. STEMA: Yeah. No, okay, because when I was

- 1 looking at it, it looked you were using almost like tax useful
- 2 | life and stuff like that. Okay, a vehicle you get five-year
- 3 depreciation on. You know what I mean, just using the regular
- 4 | useful life and maybe it can last longer. Sometimes it's even
- 5 going to last shorter.
- 6 MR. GABOR: It depends on the level of
- 7 | maintenance you put on there and what have you.
- 8 MR. STEMA: Okay.
- 9 MR. GABOR: I mean, normal, most vehicles were
- 10 at ten to 20 years depending on what we thought their -- what
- 11 | I called on this was 'duty load'.
- MR. STEMA: Okay.
- MR. GABOR: Okay, is it a light duty load or
- 14 severe duty load or what have you. And that was with
- 15 reasonable maintenance or something like that.
- MS. SQUARE: Okay, and I think this exercise was
- 17 performed per the direction of the Treasury, correct, Drew? I
- 18 | think --
- 19 MR. VAN DE GRIFT: It was contained in the final
- 20 EM order.
- 21 MS. SQUARE: Right. So within a certain six
- 22 | months they had to produce this plan and then the plan will go
- 23 where? I mean is it just something for the city to use as a
- 24 quide?
- 25 MR. VAN de GRIFT: For the edification and

```
1
    review of the city.
2
                  MS. SQUARE: Okay. All right.
3
                  MS. ROBERTS: Any further questions?
4
                  MR. GABOR: Thank you.
5
                  MS. ROBERTS:
                                I would entertain a motion to
6
    either approve, deny or postpone the Capital Improvement Plan.
7
                  MR. STEMA: Motion to approve.
8
                  MS. ROBERTS:
                                Second?
9
                  MS. SQUARE:
                               Support.
10
                  MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?
11
                  (No response.)
12
                  MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
13
    say aye?
14
                  MR. STEMA: Aye.
15
                  MS. SQUARE: Aye.
16
                  MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same?
17
                  (No response.)
18
                  MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
19
                  Okay. Next on the agenda is an audit deficiency
20
    letter. Ms. Powell, we were informed by the Department of
21
    Treasury that the city was sent a letter detailing the
22
    deficiencies found in the city's most recent audit. Is the
23
    city preparing a plan to address these issues or can you give
24
    us an update on where we are with that?
25
                  MS. POWELL: Yes, ma'am and actually I'm going
```

```
1
    to defer to the city controller.
2
                  MS. ROBERTS: Okay.
3
                  MS. POWELL: She is working on this, it's in the
4
    works.
5
                  MS. CAIRNS: Yes. We have requested and was
    granted an extension up to the end of June and before that it
6
7
    will be filed. Would you like a copy of that?
8
                  MS. ROBERTS: Yes, please.
9
                  Okay. Moving on to new business. The first
10
    item is the Resolutions from the Regular City Council meeting
    of May 12, 2015. I would entertain a motion to approve all
11
12
    the resolutions from the regular city council meeting with the
13
    exception of resolution 2015-16 which was the contract to
    highway maintenance. We previously denied that at the last
14
15
    RTAB meeting.
16
                  MS. SQUARE: So move.
17
                  MS. ROBERTS: Second?
18
                  MR. STEMA: Second it.
19
                  MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?
20
                  (No response.)
21
                  MS. ROBERTS: All those in favor say aye.
22
                  Aye.
23
                  MS. SQUARE: Aye.
24
                  MS. YOUNG:
                             Aye.
25
                  MR. STEMA:
                              Aye.
```

```
1
                  MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same?
2
                  (No response.)
3
                  MS. ROBERTS: The motion carries.
                  The next item is Resolutions from the Regular
4
5
    City Council meeting of May 26, 2015. I would entertain a
6
    motion to approve all resolutions from the regular city
    council meeting of May 26, 2015 with the exception of
7
8
    Resolution 2015-19, the Issuance of Water Supply and Sewerage
9
    Disposal Revenue Bonds and Resolution 2015-20 to Purchase,
10
    Acquire and Construction Improvements to the Water Supply and
    Sewerage Disposal as we'll address those later.
11
12
                  MS. SQUARE: So moved.
13
                  MS. ROBERTS: Second?
14
                  MR. STEMA: Second.
15
                  MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?
16
                  (No response.)
17
                  MS. ROBERTS: All those in favor say aye?
18
                  MR. STEMA: Aye.
19
                  MS. SQUARE:
                               Aye.
20
                  MS. YOUNG: Aye.
21
                  MS. ROBERTS: Aye.
22
                  Opposed the same?
23
                  (No response.)
                  MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
24
25
                  Next on the agenda is Resolution 2015-19
```

1 Issuance of Water Supply and Sewerage Disposal Revenue Bonds.

2 Ms. Powell, could you please provide a summary to the Board on

3 this matter?

you have.

MS. POWELL: Madam Chair, I would defer to our consultants that are here today meeting with our council members individually discussing the project. I'll defer to them to give you the highlights and answer any questions that

MS. ROBERTS: Okay. Those that are speaking please approach the podium and introduce yourselves. And if you could spell your last name because we're like taking notes longhand.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Good afternoon. My name is Eric McGlothlin, I'm with Dickinson Wright here in town. My last name is spelled M-c capital G-L-O-T-H-L-I-N.

MS. ROBERTS: Okay, thank you.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Okay. So Resolutions #2015-19 and #2015-20, gets the legal process rolling for the issuance of bonds to improve the water system here in town. The first one, #2015-19, that's the resolution approving the notice of intent. That's a statutorily required process where you approve a form of notice that gets published in the paper. It explains the purpose for the bonds and a not to exceed amount; how the bonds will be repaid and that the residents of the city and voting on the election -- or if they were to

petition, they have the right to petition and then if they do petition the bonds can't be sold unless there's an election to approve them. That's that resolution.

The second resolution is 2015-20. That's the bond resolution. That's the resolution that authorizes the issuance of the bonds, sets the parameters within which the bonds can be issued and delegates certain authority to certain officers of the city to determine the final terms of the bond.

In addition, it also enacts the statutory lien against the net revenues of the system to secure the repayment of the bonds. The net revenues means after the maintenance and operation expenses of the system have been paid then "X" amount of money secures the bonds. So that keeps the revenues coming in, repay the bonds. That's how that's set up.

It also sets forth certain covenants regarding tax compliance. That maintains the tax exempt status of the bonds and it sets forth a rate covenant so that the rates will all be kept at a level that they can repay the bonds and keep the system operating.

MR. STEMA: Just a question just for my knowledge. What's the Hamtramck's bond rating?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes, I don't think the city is even rated. I don't recall that, so.

MR. STEMA: Okay. I just was curious what our interest is would be on that.

```
1
                  MR. McGLOTHLIN: And Brian will explain more but
2
    again these bonds will be secured by the net revenues of the
3
    system.
                              Yeah.
4
                  MR. STEMA:
5
                  MR. McGLOTHLIN: Rather than have everyone pay
6
    taxes on that.
7
                  MS. SQUARE: And what's the duration of the
8
    period?
                  MR. McGLOTHLIN: Well, that's to be determined
9
10
    but in some cases could be as much as 30 years.
                  MS. ROBERTS: And then not to exceed the $7
11
12
    million, correct?
13
                  MR. McGLOTHLIN: Correct. And that's the
    typical strategy used when authorizing bonds is to authorize
14
15
    an amount that at the time is larger than what's expected so
16
    that you can factor in changes in market or changes in project
    costs.
17
18
                  MR. STEMA: What's the determining factor on the
19
    length of them?
20
                  MR. McGLOTHLIN: What the market will bear but
21
    I'll let Brian explain that in more detail.
22
                  Any other questions?
23
                  MR. LEFLER:
                               Legal questions.
24
                  MS. POWELL:
                               He's the legal, he's the financial,
```

we have the engineers too, to talk about the actual project

1 | itself.

go into the project cost.

MR. STEMA: Does everybody want to know how many nuts and bolts are going to be in there?

MS. POWELL: Yeah.

MR. STEMA: They can tell me that, excellent.

MR. LEFLER: My name is Brian Lefler with Robert W. Baird. We are serving as the city's financial adviser. Brian is serving as the city's engineer. For this project in terms of the improvements to the system we're relying on their report and the budget estimates. And working with his team he thinks that the project's going to be about six to six and a half million dollars. We asked for the resolution to say seven as the amount not to exceed at this time. One of the things is once they conduct their bids then it'll finalize and

These bonds are anticipated to participate in the Michigan Finance Authority's SRF program so the cost of the money is very competitive in the sense the interest rate is at two and a half percent. Once the project is complete which is anticipated in fiscal year end '16, the amortization of the bonds will commence and that's going to be not more than 20 years and that's under the state's guidelines. So there's — that question is duration, the cost; one of the things that we have been working with is to participate at the program at the state is that there has to be an investment

grade-type rating on this issue. And we are working with the city staff finance and also public works, looking at the past three years or four years of audited current budget and then the next five years. One of the things we're working on is trying to make sure that we isolate or have the system be investment grade. Because it is an enterprise, it's outside the general fund. By trying to isolate this financing within the system we are not trying to rely on LTGO taxes or revenue sharing because they need those dollars to stay for general fund purposes.

With the strong positive fund balance which is around \$5 million in this enterprise fund, we are layering on this transaction and working with the city to say there is going to have to be a rate increase that's going to have to go forward. The annual debt service of \$7 million for 20 years is about \$450,000. The current amount of revenue they're generating per their last audit is about \$6 million, \$6.3 million. So you can already see the increase. What's going to probably have to happen is around ten percent just this first year, okay. But that in terms of working on, that's in gross revenue and how that is going to be determined is going to be another resolution in terms of an ordinance which Eric talked about, is that there's going to have to have another ordinance that's got to come back for a rate increase.

The whole purpose of all this is to show to

Standard & Poor's that the system has an investment grade rating so that then the city can sell these bonds into the program, or the SRF program and get their funding here in the fourth quarter.

The timing of this schedule is that the funding would have closed and would happen in September, we kind of have dovetailed the first resolution by city council. Once your approval, the next step is that there would be a publish of these two, the notice of intent and then going forward with the issuance of the bonds.

Assuming S&P gives an investment grade rating for the financing and we know what the budget is in terms of the cost associated with that. So, again, in sum we're looking to submit the information to Standard & Poor's in July. It's going to take three weeks. But it's also going to allow during that same time period during the notice of intent that 45-day period we're going to work with inside that period so that if there is no referendum -- request for a referendum, everything will dovetail in the month of August and be ready to close in September with the state. At the same time, the city is going to have to determine about a rate increase because we know this transaction is coming. And again, we're doing this assuming no use of funds on hand, keeping the \$5 million budget of the enterprise without saying oh, yeah, we don't have to have any rate increase but let's protect the \$5

million fund balance for what else is going to be coming for future capital needs or other needs.

And also, too, given the fact that what we're discovering is that, you know, that things are changing here in terms of the shutoffs and the collection of water bills. That's not factored into any of these numbers because this has just been happening the last 35, 40 days. So we're going based on 2014 audited numbers with this year's current budget. And that could change as — the rates may not have to go as high as say six percent next year once you have that collection history known.

Again, as we have even been telling the city is that we're going to have to look at this every year, on an annual basis, to make sure that you're collecting the correct amount of revenue to cover this debt service and all your operating expenses and we've actually had them to do their five-year budget for us for operating, maintenance, capital improvements. Cost of water is going to be the same thing as the contract with WSDHELA (phonetic) in terms of your source of your cost, though.

I'll be more than happy to answer any questions that you may have at this time.

MR. STEMA: I just have one. If let's say we don't get that investment grade, how does that adjust the plan?

MR. LEFLER: That's going to be an option of saying to the -- the resolutions are allowing for the pledge of an LTGO or other revenue sharing to get that investment grade. That's your backup plan. To continue with the project to be done on a timely basis as scheduled. That is Plan B.

MR. STEMA: All right.

MR. LEFLER: I would say that our recommendation is probably not to try to do that. And the only reason we say that is if we did the same type of financing for the city of Ecorse and in relationship to their fund balance to their operation was much lower and we got them to be an investment grade without an LTGO pledge or the revenue sharing. This is a function of saying we're going to increase our rates to cover this debt service. And not use fund balance.

MS. SQUARE: So does the indication seem likely that Standard & Poor's will accept this plan?

MR. LEFLER: I hope so. We can't speak for them so we just make applications to see what their concerns are and hopefully we can mitigate their concerns with more information or in terms of saying what will the rate increase be. We're certainly going to communicate a new collection policy that's in, going to turn off into collection. Hopefully that will again, our numbers don't show that so if you're going from a 30 percent delinquency to only 20 percent,

```
1
    that's a huge increase in additional revenue in the first year
2
    of -- (indiscernible) something. These numbers do not reflect
3
    that.
                  MS. ROBERTS: Any further questions?
5
                  (No response.)
                  MS. ROBERTS: I would entertain a motion to
6
7
    approve, deny or postpone resolution 2015-19, the Issuance of
8
    Water Supply and Sewerage Disposal Revenue Bonds.
9
                  MS. SQUARE: So moved.
10
                  MR. STEMA: Second it.
                  MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion?
11
12
                  (No response.)
13
                  MS. ROBERTS: All those in favor say aye?
14
                  MS. SQUARE: Aye.
15
                  MS. YOUNG: Aye.
16
                  MR. STEMA:
                             Aye.
17
                  MS. ROBERTS: Aye. Opposed the same?
18
                  (No response.)
19
                  MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
20
                  The next resolution is 2015-20 which is for the
21
    Purchase, Acquire, and Construction Improvements to the Water
22
    Supply and Sewerage Disposal. Ms. Powell, could you please
23
    provide a summary to the Board on this matter. If there is
24
    anything further, I don't know if your engineer wants to add?
25
    Are we good?
```

```
1
                  MS. POWELL: We're good.
2
                  MS. ROBERTS: We're good. Okay.
                                                     Then I would
3
    entertain a motion to approve, deny or postpone Resolution
    2015-20.
4
5
                  MS. SQUARE: So moved.
6
                  MS. ROBERTS: Second?
7
                  MR. STEMA: Second that.
8
                  MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion?
9
                  (No response.)
10
                  MS. ROBERTS: All those in favor say aye?
11
                  Aye.
12
                  MS. SQUARE: Aye.
13
                  MS. YOUNG: Aye.
14
                  MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same?
15
                  (No response.)
16
                  MS. ROBERTS: Okay.
                  Next on the agenda is Claims and Accounts from
17
18
    the Regular City Council meeting draft minutes of June 9,
19
           I would entertain a motion to approve, deny or postpone
20
    the Claims and Accounts from the Regular City Council meeting?
21
                  MS. SOUARE:
                               So moved.
22
                  MR. VAN de GRIFT: Pardon me. What is the
23
    motion? Is that to approve?
24
                  MS. SQUARE: To approve.
25
                  MS. ROBERTS: Is that on this one or did you get
```

```
1
    the last one? Are we good?
2
                  MR. VAN de GRIFT: Yeah, the motion to approve,
3
    I just wanted to --
4
                  MS. SQUARE: All of them are to approve.
5
                  MS. ROBERTS: Okay.
                  MR. VAN de GRIFT: All right. Very good.
6
7
                  MS. ROBERTS: All those in favor?
8
                  MR. STEMA: Second.
                  MS. ROBERTS: Oh, second over here.
9
10
                  MR. STEMA: Aye.
11
                  MS. SQUARE: Aye.
12
                  MS. YOUNG: Aye.
13
                  MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same?
14
                  (No response.)
15
                  MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion? We should have
16
    done that first but motion carries. You can't get us off
    track.
17
18
                  Next on the agenda is City Administrator Items:
    Approval of Minutes were addressed in new business.
19
20
                  Budget-to-Actual Cash Flow Reports. I would
21
    entertain a motion to approve, deny, or postpone the Budget-
22
    to-Actual and Cash Flow Reports.
23
                  MS. SQUARE: So move to approve.
24
                  MR. STEMA: Second it.
25
                  MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?
```

MR. STEMA: Actually, just have one question on it. Based on the plan that Cathy when she was Emergency
Manager, did you guys have to make any major adjustments to adjust for new revenue, loss of revenue or anything since then?

MS. CAIRNS: Yes, we had to adjust for new

MS. CAIRNS: Yes, we had to adjust for new revenues and spend for some expenditures. When it came to general fund the net revenues over expenditures actually increased by \$83,000.

MR. STEMA: Oh, okay.

MS. CAIRNS: There was some funds where we had to pull out from the fund balance. For example we had to pull \$500,000 out of the water fund in order to fund the sewer line repairs which was -- we budgeted at \$400,000. It was close to \$900,000.

MS. SQUARE: Those are water main breaks from last month?

MS. CAIRNS: Water main breaks.

MS. SQUARE: Uh-huh.

20 MS. CAIRNS: Another fund would be the building 21 fund. We had to pull \$100,000 from the fund balance.

The next fund would be the 9-1-1. Again we had to pull from the fund balance in order to cover the dispatcher's wages. Can't remember the other one. I think it was the federal and the state drug for agent funds. The state

1 brought in quite a lot of funds but the federal had fallen so

2 again we had to pull out from the fund balance. And the

3 | library which I have already brought to your attention.

4 That's a concern.

MS. ROBERTS: We had quite a discussion last month on the library. Is there any update as to any changes

7 | there or?

MS. POWELL: They're all locked down. Well, Bhama can tell you about that but we're basically approving every expenditure that they have coming out of there before they ever purchase so even when they're getting ready to purchase they have to contact Bhama and I. It has to be approved by both of us before they can purchase anything.

MS. CAIRNS: But as you had requested we also are going to be looking into getting a consultant who is familiar with library operations and they are very receptive to that.

MS. SQUARE: Yeah, because we've got to -- the library can't, you know, the library needs to continue and so whatever we need to do to keep the library we need to do it because at this rate they'll just, you know, keep spending and spending and end up defunct. So I think any professional help you can get for them would be, you know, appreciated because the community really use the library and they need the library.

```
1
                  MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion?
2
                  (No response.)
3
                  MS. ROBERTS: Okay. The motion before us is to
4
    approve the Budget-to-Actual and Cash Flow Reports. All those
5
    in favor say aye. Aye.
6
                  MS. SQUARE: Aye.
7
                  MR. STEMA: Aye.
8
                  MS. YOUNG: Aye.
9
                  MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same?
10
                  (No response.)
                  MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
11
12
                  Next on the agenda is the Approval for Invoice
13
    Register and Pre-approved Expenditures. That was addressed in
14
    New Business.
                  Item four and five was also addressed so now
15
16
    we're to Approval of Resolution 2015-21, Authorizing a
    Contract for Spray Patching Services to Highway Maintenance &
17
18
    Construction.
19
                  MS. SOUARE: So moved.
20
                  MR. STEMA: Second it.
21
                  MS. ROBERTS: Okay. Any further discussion?
22
                  (No response.)
23
                  MS. ROBERTS: All those in favor say aye.
24
                  MS. SQUARE: Aye.
25
                  MS. YOUNG: Aye.
```

1 MR. STEMA: Aye. 2 MS. ROBERTS: Aye. Opposed the same? 3 (No response.) MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries. 5 MS. POWELL: Oh, thank you. 6 MS. ROBERTS: Next item is approval of Ordinance 7 2015-01, Providing for the General Appropriations of the City, Setting Millage Rates and Adopting the 2015-2016 Budget; 8 9 Adopting a Fee Schedule for Public Records and Services and 10 Adopting Water and Sewerage Disposal Rates. While this action occurred during a meeting outside the normal review period it 11 12 warrants moving up to the review of this meeting. 13 Mr. Van De Grift, could you please update the Board on this matter? 14 15 MR. VAN de GRIFT: Yes, Emergency Manager Square 16 adopted a two-year budget pursuant to Section 21 of PA 436. That adoption happened on July 1st of last year, 2014. 17 18 that included Fiscal '15 and Fiscal '16. The city also has an 19 emergency loan which requires among other things that the 20 treasurer approve a locally adopted budget each year. 21 term of the emergency loan. The city council has approved the second year of the two-year budget. It's Fiscal '16 which 22 23 will take effect at the beginning of the month and so that 24 resolution is before the Board now. If it were to be approved

by the Board it still needs to be submitted to the treasurer

```
1
    for final approval and then all of the boxes will be ticked
2
    for the budget as intended by the Emergency Manager Square.
3
                  MS. ROBERTS:
                                Thank you.
4
                  I would entertain a motion to either approve,
5
    deny, or table Resolution -- or no, Ordinance 2015-01.
6
                  MS. SQUARE: Move to approve.
7
                  MS. ROBERTS:
                                Second?
8
                  MR. STEMA: Second.
9
                  MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion?
10
                  (No response.)
11
                  MS. ROBERTS: All those in favor say aye.
12
                  MS. SQUARE: Aye.
13
                  MR. STEMA: Aye.
14
                  MS. YOUNG: Aye.
15
                  MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same.
16
                  (No response.)
17
                  MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
18
                  We already looked at the Capital Improvement
19
           So next we have approval of Citywide Overtime Report
20
    for May 2015. Ms. Powell could you provide a summary to the
21
    Board?
22
                  MS. POWELL: Yes, ma'am. Actually I will defer
23
    to our city controller and also if you have any questions
24
    regarding the police overtime, the police chief is here as
25
    well.
```

```
1
                  MS. ROBERTS: Okay. Thank you.
2
                  MS. CAIRNS: Do you have any questions or do you
3
    want a summary?
4
                  MS. ROBERTS: Do you want to give us a summary
5
    and then we'll see what questions we have.
6
                  MS. CAIRNS: Yes. Just from me, the overtime
7
    costs out of general fund was $31,000. Out of that police was
8
    $30,000.
              Out of the police, regular police overtime was about
9
    $19,000. For traffic overtime was about close to $10,000 and
10
    the FBI, one of the officers gets reimbursed by the FBI, he is
11
    conducting something. I'll let the police chief talk about
12
    that. But we get reimbursed. That 22 hours usually
13
    reimbursed by the federal government. Water fund had an
    overtime of $283 consisting of ten and a half hours of
14
15
    overtime. The drug forfeiture, that's about 144 hours.
                                                             The
16
    cost was about $6,000.
17
                  MS. SQUARE: What was the annual cost for
18
    overtime this fiscal year?
                  MS. BHAMA: I may have to get back to you on
19
20
    that.
21
                  MS. SQUARE: Could you provide that next months'
22
    annual? Maybe you could add a year to date column on this?
23
                  MS. POWELL: We had planned on doing that
24
           We are -- we asked last month if we could do just
    anyway.
```

this month. We're working on the overtime area.

1 MS. SQUARE: Okay. 2 MR. STEMA: I have a question. For the -- I 3 know you'd -- date is not here. Where does it compare to what 4 you originally budgeted? Is it over the original year budget? 5 MS. CAIRNS: Police overtime is. 6 MR. STEMA: How much of a percentage? Double, 7 triple? 8 MS. ROBERTS: Does the chief want to come up? 9 Because I do have a couple questions on the police overtime. 10 ACTING CHIEF MOISE: My name is Ann Moise. 11 currently the Acting Chief of the Hamtramck Police Department. 12 Nice to see you all. 13 I kind of have a question on the MS. ROBERTS: number of officers we have and how much over, is this one or 14 15 two officers getting overtime or is this overtime spread out 16 over ten officers, 20 officers? I think maybe if there was a number of employee column affected that we could see. 17 18 MS. POWELL: I'll have that for our next report. 19 ACTING CHIEF MOISE: This is going to be 20 overtime that's spread out throughout the department pretty 21 much and it also includes the civilian dispatchers that we 22 have and that was where the last couple months quite a bit of 23 our overtime for the shift overtime came from the dispatchers. 24 We had nine dispatchers, we're down to five. We have three

full-time dispatchers and two part-time so the part-time

dispatchers are very limited in the hours that they can work. So the overtime that has occurred because obviously this is a 24-hour, seven-day-a-week operation that we have to staff. A lot of our fulltimers are picking up the overtime and when they are either unable to work because some of them do have other jobs, that we do have to give it out to the officers to work the dispatch overtime due to staffing that center. So a lot of that overtime did come from that.

Basically, it was just up for the month. We had a little warmer weather, has gotten our crime stats are up.

We have in a lot of reports, we've had a lot of violent crimes that we've been handling. We had a shooting, we had some carjackings. We had a CSC of a younger child. And basically, I'm going to be blunt with you that since the former chief has left the department, morale is very high in the department. There are officers that never worked overtime before, that never worked traffic overtime that are now working because they're just happier to be here. It's just a fact.

We did the "Click-it or Ticket". We participated in that in the month of May. So I encouraged some of the newer officers that had never worked traffic overtime before to participate so they could see what it was like to work the overtime and to see what it was like to work as a team because it was a four officer operation.

1 We also had an auto theft large case that 2 involved over 4,000 vehicles that we were working on that 3 caused a lot of overtime for other officers, road officers to 4 participate in that. That came from the auto theft forfeiture 5 and the auto theft grant overtime. 6 So it was pretty much spread out throughout the 7 As Bhama stated, we do have an officer assigned department. to the FBI that when he works overtime some of his overtime is 8 9 reimbursed. I'll have to get those numbers. I don't have 10 those available today. And also we have an officer in the DEA which his overtime is also reimbursed up to a certain amount 11 12 and he just submitted his reimbursement last week. 13 MS. ROBERTS: And are you still doing fire dispatch? 14 15 ACTING CHIEF MOISE: No, we're not. That's done 16 by Detroit. 17 MS. ROBERTS: They took it back? 18 ACTING CHIEF MOISE: Yes. That's done by the 19 city of Detroit. 20 MS. ROBERTS: It's not on that regional? 21 ACTING CHIEF MOISE: Yes, because they do 22 Highland Park, Hamtramck and Detroit. They have a --23 MS. POWELL: We don't pay anything for that. 24 MS. ROBERTS: So that probably skewed the

numbers a little bit. When did they start doing that?

1 January. 2 MS. CAIRNS: Couple months ago, yeah. 3 MS. POWELL: Before I got here. In January. 4 ACTING CHIEF MOISE: So before January. Yeah, 5 it just happened that we lost three dispatchers in the same months due to resignations, going to other departments. 6 7 were part-time so they were trying find other. So that's pretty much, you know, where a lot of the overtime came in the 8 9 last couple months. It was higher. 10 MR. STEMA: Does traffic overtime pay for itself? 11 12 ACTING CHIEF MOISE: It pretty much does. 13 would say that -- unfortunately I don't have a breakdown of what the percentage is that goes to the state, to the court, 14 15 to the police department. But I can tell you that in the 16 report that I submitted to the city manager for the month of May our officers wrote 1,385 civil infractions and they wrote 17 18 157 misdemeanor tickets as part of their daily traffic 19 operations and the traffic overtime combined. So. 20 have the numbers for total of the month because those 21 collections come from the Court so those could be outstanding 22 tickets that people paid. Those could be for the month of 23 April. They could be a little, -- they're not exactly B. 24 MS. ROBERTS: Thank you Chief, you're doing an

25

excellent job.

```
1
                  ACTING CHIEF MOISE: Thank you, ma'am.
2
    appreciate that. Thank you. So if you don't have any more
3
    questions I'll go.
                  MS. ROBERTS: I would entertain a motion to
4
5
    approve the Citywide Overtime Report.
6
                  MS. SQUARE: Move to approve.
7
                  MR. STEMA: Second it.
8
                  MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion?
9
                  (No response.)
10
                  MS. ROBERTS: All those in favor say aye.
                  MS. YOUNG: Aye.
11
12
                  MS. SQUARE: Aye.
13
                  MR. STEMA: Aye.
14
                  MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same.
15
                  (No response.)
                  MS. ROBERTS: The motion carries.
16
17
                  Mr. Van de Grift, do we have anybody for public
18
    comment?
19
                  MR. VAN de GRIFT: We have no public comment.
20
                  MS. ROBERTS: No public comment. Okay. Any
21
    Board comment?
22
                  (No response.)
23
                  MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, I would take a motion
24
    to adjourn.
25
                  MS. SQURE: Move to adjourn.
```

```
1
                  MS. ROBERTS: Second?
 2
                  MR. STEMA: Second it.
                  MS. ROBERTS: All those in favor? Aye.
 3
 4
                  MS. SQUARE: Aye.
                  MS. YOUNG: Aye.
 5
 6
                  MS. ROBERTS: The meeting is called to closure
 7
    at 1:45. Thank you everyone.
                   (At 1:45 p.m. meeting concluded.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 STATE OF MICHIGAN) 2 COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)ss. 3 I certify that this transcript is a complete, true, and correct transcript to the best of my ability of the RTAB 4 5 meeting held on June 23, 2015. The proceeding was recorded, and the digital recording provided to this transcriptionist by 6 7 the State of Michigan representative and this certified reporter accepts no responsibility for any events that 8 9 occurred during the above proceedings, for any inaudible 10 and/or indiscernible response by any person or party involved in the proceeding or for the content of the digital media 11 12 provided. 13 I also certify that I am not a relative or employee of the parties involved and have no financial interest in this 14 15 case. DATED: July 1, 2015 16 17 18 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED: 19 20 s/Amy Shankleton-Novess 21 22 Amy Shankleton-Novess CER 0838 23 Certified Electronic Reporter 24 25