APPROVED - 10/27/15

City of Hamtramck

Receivership Transition Advisory Board Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, September 22, 2015

Hamtramck City Hall

Council Chambers - 2nd Floor

3401 Evaline

Hamtramck, Michigan 48212

RTAB MEMBERS PRESENT:

KAREN YOUNG MARK STEMA DEB ROBERTS

ABSENT:

CATHY SQUARE

ALSO PRESENT: KATRINA POWELL City Administrator

DREW VAN de GRIFT Michigan Dept of Treasury Office of Fiscal Responsibility

Reported by:
Amy Shankleton-Novess CER 0838
Modern Court Reporting & Video, LLC
SCAO FIRM NO. 08228
101-A North Lewis Street
Saline, Michigan 48176
(734) 429-9143/ajsn

1	Called to order at 1:05 p.m.
2	MS. ROBERTS: I would call the Hamtramck
3	Transition Advisory Board to order for Tuesday, August
4	25th. Roll call, Mr. Van de Grift?
5	MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Deborah Roberts?
6	MS. ROBERTS: Here.
7	MR. VAN de GRIFT: Cathy Square?
8	(No response)
9	MR. VAN de GRIFT: Absent; Mark Stema?
10	MR. STEMA: Here.
11	MR. VAN de GRIFT: Karen Young?
12	MS. YOUNG: Here.
13	MR. VAN de GRIFT: Our quorum is present.
14	MS. ROBERTS: Thank you. Just a reminder to the
15	public, if anyone would like to speak during public
16	comment, please sign up on the signup sheet.
17	The first item is approval of the agenda. I
18	would entertain a motion to approve the agenda as
19	presented.
20	MS. YOUNG: So moved.
21	MR. STEMA: Second it.
22	MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?
23	(No response)
24	MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
25	say aye. Aye.

1	MS. YOUNG: Aye.
2	MR. STEMA: Aye.
3	MS. ROBERTS: Opposed, the same.
4	(No response)
5	MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
6	Next on the agenda, I would entertain a motion
7	to approve the August 25, 2015 RTAB meeting minutes.
8	MR. STEMA: Motion.
9	MS. YOUNG: Second.
10	MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?
11	(No response)
12	MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
13	say aye. Aye.
14	MS. YOUNG: Aye.
15	MR. STEMA: Aye.
16	MS. ROBERTS: Opposed, the same.
17	(No response)
18	MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
19	There is no Old Business.
20	The first item under New Business is
21	"Resolutions from the Regular City Council Meeting of
22	August 11, 2015."
23	I would entertain a motion to approve all
24	ordinances and resolutions from the August 11, 2015
25	regular city council meeting with the exceptions of

1 Resolution 2015-39 for Tyler Technologies; Resolution 2015-40 setting of construction fees for SRF project and 2 Resolution 2015-41, contract for reconstruction of Goodson 3 Street and water main replacement of Gallagher Street and 4 Resolution 2015-42, contract for Hanley Street 5 6 Resurfacing. I would entertain that motion. 7 Motion to approve. 8 MS. YOUNG: 9 MR. STEMA: Second it. 10 MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion? 11 (No response.) 12 MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor 13 say aye. 14 Aye. 15 MS. YOUNG: Aye. 16 MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same? 17 (No response.) 18 MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries. 19 Next on the agenda is Resolution 2015-39, "Tyler 20 Technologies, Contract for Complete Re-appraisal for 21 Hamtramck Properties." Because the contract is not yet 22 available for review it seems that this matter should be 23 tabled until the final contract for re-appraisal services is submitted. Therefore, I would I would entertain a 24

motion to postpone Resolution 2015- \searrow until that contract

1	is received.
2	MR. STEMA: Motion to postpone.
3	MS. YOUNG: Second.
4	MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion?
5	(No response.)
6	MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
7	say aye.
8	Aye.
9	MS. YOUNG: Aye.
10	MS. ROBERTS: Aye. Opposed the same?
11	(No response.)
12	MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
13	Resolution 2015-40, "Setting of Construction
14	Fees for the SRF Project." Ms. Powell, would you please
15	provide a summary of this item to the Board?
16	MS. POWELL: Actually, I'm going to defer to our
17	city engineer who is here to discuss that.
18	Would you introduce yourself?
19	MR. KERN: I'm Ryan Kern with Hennessey
20	Engineers.
21	If I recall, I believe this is for our
22	construction service fees for the SRF program which
23	involves a sanitary relief sewer project along Joseph
24	Compeau as well as the city-wide sewer rehabilitation
25	program. These fees cover our time for inspecting the

1	project as well as any material testing that needs to take
2	place as well as any construction staking and layout. And
3	I'm not sure what the final cost of that will be, \$330,000
4	I believe it was, somewhere around that.
5	MS. ROBERTS: Okay.
6	MR. KERN: And that's based on the bid amount
7	that was received for both projects. It's based on a
8	percentage.
9	MS. ROBERTS: Okay. I would entertain a motion
10	to either approve, deny or postpone Resolution 2015-40,
11	"Setting of Construction Fees for SRF project"?
12	MR. STEMA: Motion to approve.
13	MS. YOUNG: Second.
14	MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?
15	(No response.)
16	MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
17	say aye.
18	Aye.
19	MS. YOUNG: Aye.
20	MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same?
21	(No response.)
22	MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
23	Next on the Agenda is "Resolution 2015-41,
24	Contract for Reconstruction of Goodson and Water Main
25	Replacement of Gallagher Street."

Ms. Powell, could you provide a summary of this item to the Board?

MS. POWELL: I will once again defer to our city engineer.

MR. KERN: This item is for the partial reconstruction of Goodson Avenue. It's about a 300 foot section west of Gallagher that we're doing a full reconstruct as well as replacement of water main; it's \$293,000 plus a 10 percent contingency. That work is anticipated to start next week with the removal of the road and start the water main replacement contingent upon DTE Energy finishing their gas main replacement work that's currently ongoing there. As of right now that should be completed in time for RRV Enterprises to start on the Goodson project.

MR. STEMA: I just have one quick question. I didn't have time to review all the details of the contract. Are the standard warranties and all that for the road construction in there and all that for --

MR. KERN: Yeah. There is a two-year maintenance and guarantee bond which starts at the completion of the project.

MS. ROBERTS: I would entertain a motion to either approve, deny or postpone Resolution 2015-41, "Contract for Reconstruction of Goodson Street and Water

1	Main Replacement of Gallagher Street."
2	MR. STEMA: Motion to approve.
3	MS. YOUNG: Second.
4	MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion?
5	(No response.)
6	MS. ROBERTS: All those in favor say aye.
7	Aye.
8	MS. YOUNG: Aye.
9	MR. STEMA: Aye.
1.0	MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same?
11	(No response.)
12	MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
13	Resolution 2015-42, "Contract for Hanley Street
14	Resurfacing." Ms. Powell, will you provide a summary?
15	MS. POWELL: I will refer to our city engineer.
16	MR. KERN: This involves the milling and
17	resurfacing of two and a half inches of the center lane of
18	Hanley Street. The center lane was in far worse condition
19	of the parking lanes along the street; at a cost of
20	\$255,000. That's basically a summary of that project.
21	That also goes to concrete base repairs where needed.
22	MR. STEMA: Same question for me, warranties,
23	all that in order?
24	MR. KERN: Yep.
25	MS. ROBERTS: I would entertain a motion to

1	either approve, deny or postpone Resolution 2015-42,
2	"Contract for Hanlon Street Resurfacing."
3	MR. STEMA: Motion to approve.
4	MS. YOUNG: Second.
5	MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion?
6	(No response.)
7	MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
8	say aye; aye.
9	MS. YOUNG: Aye.
10	MR. STEMA: Aye.
1.1	MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same?
12	(No response.)
13	MS. ROBERTS: The motion carries.
14	Next on the agenda, "Resolution from the Regular
15	City Council meeting of August 25, 2015." I would
16	entertain a motion to approve all ordinances and
17	resolutions from the August 25, 2015 Regular City Council
18	meeting with the exception of "Resolution 2015-44,
19	Requesting City Manager to Inquire about HUD Declared
20	Disaster Recovery Funds."
21	MR. STEMA: Motion to approve.
22	MS. YOUNG: Second.
23	MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion?
24	(No response.)
25	MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor

1	say aye.
2	Aye.
3	MS. YOUNG: Aye.
4	MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same?
5	(No response.)
6	MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
7	Next on the Agenda is "Resolution 2015-44
8	Requesting City Manager to Inquire about HUD Declared
9	Disaster Recovery Funds."
10	Ms. Powell will you provide a summary of this
11	item to the Board?
12	MS. POWELL: One of our Council members,
13	Councilman Zwolek requested that I inquire about HUD
14	Disaster Recovery funds and a grant for some flooding that
15	happened here in the city and so I'll be looking into that
16	for future year funding.
17	MS. ROBERTS: I would entertain that motion to
18	approve, deny or postpone "Resolution 2015-44, Requesting
19	City Manager to Inquire about HUD Declared Disaster
20	Recovery Funds."
21	MR. STEMA: Motion to approve.
22	MS. YOUNG: Second.
23	MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion?
24	(No response.)
25	MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor

1	say aye.
2	Aye.
3	MS. YOUNG: Aye.
4	MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same?
5	(No response.)
6	MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
7	Next on the Agenda re the "Claims and Accounts
8	from the Regular City Meeting draft minutes of September
9	8." I would entertain a motion to approve Claims and
10	Accounts from the Regular City Council Meeting draft
11	minutes of September 8, 2015.
12	MS. YOUNG: Motion to approve.
13	MR. STEMA: Second.
14	MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion?
15	(No response.)
16	MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
17	say aye.
18	Aye.
19	MS. YOUNG: Aye.
20	MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same?
21	(No response.)
22	MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
23	Under City Administrator Items.
24	Approval of City Council minutes was addressed
25	in New Business.

Next on the Agenda is "Approval of Budget-to-1 2 Actual and Cash Flow Reports." Ms. Cairn, do you want to say anything to say 3 4 about the cash flow? 5 MS. CAIRNS: Any questions? MR. STEMA: I actually do. I just had question. 6 7 I forget the fund, it's the expenses for the human 8 resources. There is just one item on there on the 9 The worker's comp was budgeted at \$150,000 worker's comp. 10 for the year. I notice that two months into it we're 11 already at \$53,000. 12 MS. CAIRNS: Yes. 13 MR. STEMA: If projected over the year it's 14 basically double, you know what I mean. I'm just curious, 15 is that a timing issue or? 16 MS. CAIRNS: No, we have to budget more for it. 17 MR. STEMA: So you might have to do some budget adjustments later in the year? 18 19 MS. CAIRNS: Yes. 20 MR. STEMA: Because it's been higher? 21 MS. CAIRNS: Yes. 22 MS. POWELL: And actually, we -- it's going to 23 decrease what we've paid in the past. We actually got a 24 lower quote. We went out for bid and we got a lower quote

for our worker's comp. So it should go down.

1	MS. CAIRNS: And we have the one settlement.
2	MS. POWELL: And the settlement, of course, is
3	going to come out of this as well. We've got the \$90,000
4	settlement that you all approved at the last meeting so
5	that will also be included in here so we'll do a budget
6	amendment to reflect that. But overall our costs for
7	worker's comp should go down for this year.
8	MR. STEMA: Do you know, estimate about what you
9	think you're going to be over then going to have to amend
10	or no?
11	MS. POWELL: I don't at this point.
12	MR. STEMA: Okay.
13	MS. POWELL: Any other questions? I would
14	entertain a motion to approve, deny or postpone the
15	Budget-to-Actual and Cash Flow Report.
16	MR. STEMA: Motion to approve.
17	MS YOUHG: Second.
18	MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?
19	(No response.)
20	MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
21	say aye.
22	Aye.
23	MS. YOUNG: Aye.
24	MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same?
25	(No response.)

í	
1	MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
2	Next on the agenda is "Approval of Invoice
3	Register and Pre-Approved Expenditures." I would
4	entertain a motion to approve, deny or postpone the
5	"Invoice Register and Pre-Approved Expenditures.
6	MR. STEMA: Motion to approve.
7	MS. YOUNG: Second.
8	MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion?
9	(No response.)
10	MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
11	say aye.
12	Aye.
13	MS. YOUNG: Aye.
14	MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same?
15	(No response.)
16	MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
17	Approval of Resolution 2015-44 was addressed in
18	New Business.
19	Approval of 2015-45 was addressed in New
20	Business.
21	Approval of Resolution 2015-46, "Submittal of
22	'Transportation Asset Management Plan to State of Michigan
23	Transportation Asset Management Council."
24	Well, action on this item occurred during a
25	Council meeting outside the normal review period for

today's Board Meeting. The Board recognizes the city's need to have this item reviewed timely.

Ms. Powell, please provide a summary of this item for the Board.

MS. POWELL: Actually, I would defer to the city engineer but we will also be bringing this before you at a later date.

MS. ROBERTS: I assume you want this pulled from the agenda? Or tabled?

MS. POWELL: No, actually I don't. I'm sorry.

MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

1.0

MS. POWELL: I'm in the wrong item. There's another item.

MR. KERN: Back in April of this year our office went through the entire city, rated each street using what's called the PSER System, Pavement Surface Evaluation Rating which is what MDOT uses to rate all the streets, one through ten. One being a poor, failing street; ten being a street in good condition. We compiled all that information and put it into a transportation asset management report to submit to the state for review and approval. If the state approves this plan right now the city can transfer up to 50 percent of major road funds into the local road fund. With the approval of this plan it will allow the city to transfer additional funds from

the major Act 51 fund, over to the local Act 51 fund. 1 Part of that plan does provide a five to ten year capital 2 improvement plan for the roads. It's not a plan that's 3 4 set in stone. It's basically to be used as a guideline. It can change throughout the course of time. 5 recommended that the plan is updated every one to two 6 vears as well. 7 MS. ROBERTS: I would entertain a motion to 8 9 approve --I have just a quick question. 10 MR. STEMA: 11 MS. ROBERTS: Okay. 12 MR. STEMA: Just for an educational standpoint, is something like this done so we have some better 13 chances, the state has some chance to get more funds in 14 the future based on the roads or it's not going to affect 15 the funding at all? Just curious. 16 17 No, it wouldn't affect the funding. MR. KERN: It's basically a way to get more money. 18 19 MR. STEMA: Okay, so it is to get potentially 20 more grants for roads and all that because you have an 21 asset management. Okay. There is the potential for that, yes. 22 MR. KERN: MR. STEMA: Just curious. 23 MS. POWELL: Well, we were just able to transfer 24

money that we may not use on our major roads into our

1	local roads.
2	MR. STEMA: Right.
3	MS. POWELL: Because that's where we need the
4	most money. That's your side streets, your thoroughfares
5	that have the potholes that we need to repair. So this
6	will allow us to have more money in that fund versus the
. 7	major road fund that we probably won't be able to use all
8	of that.
9	MR. STEMA: Okay. I would entertain a motion to
10	approve/deny/postpone Resolution 2015-46, "Submittal of
11	Transportation Asset Management Plan to State of Michigan
12	Transportation Asset Management Council."
13	MS. YOUNG: Motion to approve.
14	MR. STEMA: Second.
15	MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion?
16	(No response.)
17	MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
18	say aye.
19	Aye.
20	MS. YOUNG: Aye.
21	MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same?
22	(No response.)
23	MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
24	Next on the agenda is "Approval of Employment
25	Position for Part-Time Police Dispatcher."

1 Ms. Powell, will you provide a summary at this 2 time to the Board? 3 MS. POWELL: Yes. I will, as you can recall you approved this item for us to hire a central dispatcher 4 to lower our overtime and this was a case we have chosen a 5 current employee, actually, with the city of Hamtramck. 6 7 She currently works in the city clerk's office and she's 8 looking again into getting into the criminal justice field 9 and so she would like to become a part-time dispatcher and 10 so we've already completed her background information and 11 now she just needs through a psychological and a physical 12 exam and we'll be able to hire her. So we're extremely 13 excited to be able to keep one of our team members still 14 on our team in a different capacity in something that she 15 really aspires to excel in. 16 MS. ROBERTS: I would entertain a motion to 17 either approve, deny or postpone "Employment Position for 18 Part-time Police Dispatcher." 19 MS. YOUNG: Motion to approve. Second it. 20 MR. STEMA: 21 MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion? 22 (No response.) 23 MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor 24 say aye.

Aye.

1	MS. YOUNG: Aye.
. 2	MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same?
3	(No response.)
4	MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
5	Approval of "Contract for Reconstruction of
6	Goodson Street and Water Main Replacement" was addressed
7	in new business.
8	Approval of the "Contract for Hutch Paving for
9	Hanley Street" was addressed in New Business.
10	Approval for "Contract to Alan C. Young for
11	Citywide Auditing Services." The city administrator has
12	requested that this item be reviewed at a later date so no
13	action is required.
14	Approval of "Purchase of Used Vehicle for Auto
15	Theft Investigator with Matching Grant Funds." The city
16	administrator is requesting that this item be reviewed at
17	a later date so no action is required.
18	Approval of "Citywide Overtime Report for
19	August, 2015."
20	Ms. Powell, would you provide a summary of this
21	item for the Board?
22	MS. POWELL: Yes. Actually, I'm going to defer
23	to the city controller on this item.
24	MS. CAIRNS: Do you have any questions?
25	The traffic overtime, if you look at the

1	district court revenues, that \$83,000 should cover that.
2	MS. POWELL: We also provided you the district
3	court revenues, it's your last item. The fines and costs.
4	MR. STEMA: The fines and costs is what covers
5	the
6	MS. CAIRNS: Yes. The traffic. Police traffic.
7	MR. STEMA: Oh, all right.
8	MS. CAIRNS: The FBI is there is total
9	reimbursement for that.
10	MR. STEMA: And then some of these other ones
11	you said are automatically reimbursed, then too, right?
12	MS. CAIRNS: The drug forfeiture. It comes from
13	the drug forfeiture funds.
14	MR. STEMA: Okay.
15	MS. CAIRNS: The rest are borne by the general
16	fund.
17	MR. STEMA: So the amount that's being absorbed
18	is the \$38,000, is that what gets absorbed? Back into the
19	general fund?
20	MS. CAIRNS: That 23 plus the 15? No, the 23
21	you mean?
22	MR. STEMA: Well, that's traffic, right, the
23	\$23,000?
24	MS. CAIRNS: Yes.
25	MR. STEMA: Yeah, that gets offset by the fines,

1	right?
2	MS. CAIRNS: Yes, that is correct.
3	MR. STEMA: So the \$38,278, that is what affects
4	the general fund?
5	MS. CAIRNS: The general fund, yes.
6	MR. STEMA: Okay.
7	MS. CAIRNS: And the eleven of the fire.
8	MR. STEMA: Okay.
9	MS. POWELL: Well, that's the year-to-date
10	totals. The current one is \$13,000 and
11	MS. ROBERTS: I think the hours are down a
12	little bit.
13	MS. POWELL: Yeah, they are.
14	MS. ROBERTS: You guys had some special
15	festivals and stuff going on last month.
16	MS. POWELL: And you'll actually see that in the
17	next month's overtime report but 290 hours of that
18	overtime will be reimbursed by the festival so you'll see
19	that at next month's report that the majority of that will
20	be reimbursed.
21	MR. STEMA: Okay.
22	MS. POWELL: Yes, the numbers are down this
23	month.
24	MS. ROBERTS: I would entertain a motion to
25	either approve, deny or postpone the Citywide Overtime

1 Report for August 2015? 2 MS. YOUNG: Motion to approve. 3 MR. STEMA: Second it. MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion? 4 5 (No response.) Seeing none, all those in favor 6 MS. ROBERTS: 7 say aye. 8 Aye. MS. YOUNG: Aye. 9 10 MS. ROBERTS: Opposed the same? (No response.) 11 12 MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries. 13 Next on the agenda, "Approval for Accepting 14 Assistant for Firefighters Grant." The city administrator 15 is requesting that this item be reviewed at a later date 16 so no action is required. 17 The last item is the "District Court Revenues." Ms. Powell, would you like to provide a summary 18 of that request? 19 20 Yes, ma'am and we actually just MS. POWELL: 21 kind of covered it. You can see in the report under fines 22 and costs that's the revenue that was brought in by our 23 traffic this month for tickets. You also see it's broken 24 down by our parking ticket revenue as well and any parking 25 tickets that were issued in our DDA, which is our downtown district on Joseph Compeau. You can see that we brought in revenue from that also.

We just really wanted to show you the breakout of how we're collecting the funds now to cover the cost of the traffic. I believe the Board requested that we do that.

MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

2.3

That request is for information only and no action is required.

Mr. Van de Grift, do we have anybody for public comment?

MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Only one. Councilman Zwolek. Two minutes.

COUNCILMAN ZWOLEK: Good afternoon.

MS. ROBERTS: Good afternoon.

COUNCILMAN ZWOLEK: My chief concern that I wanted to bring to the attention of the TAB Board is that our legal account. I voice my concern because we've experienced one current tax judgment of -- currently on the -- which would depend on the urban renewal tax judgment to make up for the shortfall in monies for the development of properties that will be going on December and possibly next summer's tax roll. The thing that I am concerned with is that our legal expenses, we're not seeing any real victory, so to speak, in our legal defense

and in force so we see this pattern of tax judgments rather than coming out of the general fund and otherwise. I'm concerned with some of our ongoing legal cases that we have and I don't know if the TAB Board is being apprised of some of these cases that are ongoing right now but it might be something that you might want to be aware of for the future expenses and how it's going to impact our budget.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, I'm concerned with tax judgments. that more often than not that's how things are going to be settled in court cases that will be thrown back to the homeowner, the taxpayer. So, and again we don't get an update per se from the Council in terms of how these cases are going. We have -- I just had a recent loss with one of the cases. We don't know how that impact is or if that's going to be appealed. I hate to see us keep on appealing things if we're going to continue to lose situations or we're going to continue to have extensive legal procedures in trying to win cases that we can't win. It's like saying well, you've got an awful lot invested in this case so we can't afford to stop right now defending this city. So, those are my concerns in terms of our legal expenses and to bring it to your attention that maybe you can be brought up-to-date also with that in your reports and things of that nature.

1	Thank you.
2	MS. ROBERTS: Thank you.
3	MR. VAN DE GRIFT: That concludes public
4	comment.
5	MS. ROBERTS: Any Board comment?
6	(No response.)
7	I would entertain a motion for adjournment.
8	MS. YOUNG: Motion to adjourn.
9	MR. STEMA: Second that.
10	MS. ROBERTS: It's approximately 1:30 oh,
11	it's 1:23 (sic) and I close the meeting. Thank you all.
12	(At 1:30 p.m. meeting adjourned.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
STATE OF MICHIGAN
1
2
    COUNTY OF WASHTENAW ).ss
3
4
     I certify that this transcript is a complete, true, and
5
    correct transcript to the best of my ability of the RTAB
6
    meeting held on September 22, 2015, City of Hamtramck. I also
7
    certify that I am not a relative or employee of the parties
8
    involved and have no financial interest in this case.
9
10
11
12
13
14
    RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:
                                           September 30, 2015,
15
    s/amy Shankleton-Novess
16
17
18
    Amy Shankleton-Novess (CER 0838)
19
    Certified Electronic Reporter
20
21
22
23
24
25
```