APPROVED - 4-22-15

CITY OF PONTIAC

RECEIVERSHIP TRANSITION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING WEDNESDAY, MARCH 18, 2015

1:00 P.M.

5

6

7

8

1

2

3

4

Meeting before the RTAB Board at 47450 Woodward Avenue, 2nd Floor, Council Chambers, Pontiac, Michigan 48342, on Wednesday, March 18, 2015.

9 10

11

12

BOARD MEMBERS:

Edward Koryzno, Chairperson Keith Sawdon, Member Robert Burgess, Member Louis Schimmel, Member (Absent)

14

15

16

17

13

ALSO PRESENT:

R. Eric Cline (Department of Treasury)
Joseph Sobota (City Administrator)
Nevrus Nazarko (Director of Finance)
Mayor Deirdre Waterman (City of Pontiac)

18

19

20

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ADDRESSING THE BOARD:

Billie Swazer Yvette Carson Rick David Darryl Fowlkes

21

REPORTED BY: Quentina R. Snowden, (CSR-5519) Certified Shorthand Reporter,

Notary Public

23

22

24

25

QRS Court Reporting, LLC 800.308.0068

1			
1		INDEX	
-		1 1 0 H 1	PAGE
2	I. CALL TO ORDI	ER	
3	A. Roll Ca	all	0 4
~		al of Agenda	05
4	C. Approv	al of Minutes	
1		gular Meeting -	0.6
5.		y 21, 2015 RTAB Meeting	n č
[ecial Meeting -	06
6	Februa	ry 4, 2015 RTAB Meeting	
7	II. OLD BUSINES	S:	
8	None.		
	and the state of t		
.9	III, NEW BUSINES		
10	A. Approv	al of Resolutions &	
	Ordina	nces for City	
11		1 Meetings	
, ,		MUNICIPAL ON THE NAME OF THE STATE OF THE ST	07
12	1. Ja 2. Ja	nuary 15, 2015 (approved) nuary 22, 2015 (approved)	07
1-3		nuary 22, 2015 (approved) —	-08
٠,٠		bruary 5, 2015 (approved)	08
14	5. Fe	bruary 12, 2015 (approved)	09
	6. Fe	bruary 19, 2015 (approved)	09
15	7. Fe	bruary 26, 2015 (approved)	10
	8. Ma	irch 5, 2015 (approved)	10
16		dminighastas Tisas	
17	B. City A	Administrator Items	
т./	1. Ar	oproval of Purchasing Agent	11
18	-	oproval of Election	15
	Sr	pecialist	. "
19	3. A	oproval of Change in Rate	16
_		Pay for Mickie Howard	0.0
20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	oproval of Payment to Peter	20
21	•	etzmann Associates oproval of Amended and	20
41	1 7	estated Conditional Zoning	40
22		nd Development Agreements	
	•	M1 Concourse	
23		ayoral Request - Request to	24
		ncrease the Compensation	
24		ackage of the Deputy Mayor	٥٣
25		obertson versus the City of ontiac lawsuit	25
45	' . P	ONCLAS LAWSULU	

QRS Court Reporting, LLC 800.308.0068

1	IN	D E X (cont.)	PAGE
2	IV. NON ACTIC	ON ITEMS	
3	A. Mont	thly Financial Reports	25
4	1. 2.	January 2015 February 2015	
5	B. Mayo	oral Report y Administrator Report	30 36
6	V. PUBLIC CO		38
7	VI. BOARD COM	MENT	44
8	VII. ADJOURNME	3NT	46
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17	<u>l</u> . ·		
18	· ·		
19			
20			:
21	1		
22			
2324			
24			
40	' 1		

21

22

23

24

25

PONTIAC, MICHIGAN; WEDNESDAY, MARCH 18, 2015 1:00 P.M.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Good afternoon.

It's 1:00 p.m. and I'll call the City of Pontiac

Receivership Transition Advisory Board meeting to order.

Mr. Cline, roll call.

MR. CLINE: Edward Koryzno.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Here.

MR. CLINE: Robert Burgess.

MEMBER BURGESS: Here.

MR. CLINE: Keith Sawdon?

MEMBER SAWDON: Here.

MR. CLINE: Louis Schimmel? Mr.

Schimmel is excused, but we do have a quorum.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Next item is approval of the agenda. I'd like to add an addition to the agenda at the request of the City Administrator, Robertson versus the City of Pontiac lawsuit. And I'd like to add that as Item Number 6 (sic) under the City Administrator's portion of the agenda.

MR. CLINE: Mr. Chairman, one other thing, just -- just for the clarity of the record.

Under monthly financial reports, it's listed as

February and March reports. It should actually be 1 2 January and February. 3 MR. CHAIRPERSON: All right. 4 you, Mr. Cline. I'll entertain a motion to approve the agenda as amended. 5 MEMBER BURGESS: I'll make a motion. 6 7 MEMBER SAWDON: Support. MR. CHAIRPERSON: Moved by Burgess, 8 9 support by Sawdon to approve the agenda as amended. 10 Any further discussion? Seeing none, all in favor 11 of the motion say "Aye". 12 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 13 MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign. The agenda is approved as amended. 14 15 Next item is Approval of Minutes, the regular meeting January 21st, 2015 RTAB meeting 16 17 minutes. I'll entertain a motion. 18 MEMBER BURGESS: I'll make that 19 motion. 20 MEMBER SAWDON: Support. 21 MR. CHAIRPERSON: Moved by Burgess, support by Sawdon to approve the January 21st, 2015 22 23 meeting minutes. Any further discussion? Seeing 24 none, all in favor of the motion say "Aye". 25 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign. The minutes are approved.

Item 2, Special Meeting of February 4th, 2015. I'll entertain a motion to approve these minutes.

MEMBER BURGESS: So moved.

MEMBER SAWDON: Support.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Moved by Burgess, support by Sawdon to approve the February 4, 2015 meeting minutes. Any discussion? Seeing none, all in favor of the motion say "Aye."

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign.
, 2015 meeting minutes are approved.

I'd like to bring to the audience's attention that if you intend to speak during public comment, there's a sign-up sheet in the back of the room. And if you do not sign the sheet, then you would not be recognized.

Old Business. There is none. So we'll move right into the New Business.

Item A, Approval of Resolutions and Ordinances for City Council meetings. The January 15th, 2015 regular council meeting.

MEMBER SAWDON: I'll make that motion.

MEMBER BURGESS: Support it. MR. CHAIRPERSON: Moved by Sawdon, 2 support by Burgess to approve the minutes. 3 Seeing none, all in favor of the motion 4 discussion? 5 say "Aye." 6 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign. 7 The minutes are approved. 8 Item 2, the January 22nd, 2015 regular 9 10 meeting minutes. MEMBER SAWDON: I'll make that motion 11 for approval. 12 13 MEMBER BURGESS: Support. MR. CHAIRPERSON: Moved by Sawdon, 14 support by Burgess to approve the January 22nd, 2015 15 meeting minutes. Discussion? Seeing none, all in 16 favor of the motion say "Aye." 17 18 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign. 19 The minutes are approved for January 22nd. 20 Item 3, the January 29, 2015 regular 21 22 meeting minutes. 23 MEMBER SAWDON: Motion to approve. 24 MEMBER BURGESS: Support. MR. CHAIRPERSON: Moved by Sawdon, 25

QRS Court Reporting, LLC 800.308.0068

1 Seeing none, all in favor of the motion Discussion? 2 say "Aye". 3 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 4 MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign. 5 Motion is approved. Item 6, the February 19, 2015 meeting 6 7 minutes. 8 MEMBER BURGESS: Make that motion. 9 MEMBER SAWDON: Support. 10 MR. CHAIRPERSON: Moved by Burgess, 11 support by Sawdon to approve the minutes. 12 Discussion? Seeing none, all in favor of the motion 13 say "Aye." **BOARD MEMBERS:** 14 Aye. 15 MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign. 16 The February 19 minutes are approved. 17 Item 7, the February 26, 2015 meeting 18 minutes. 19 MEMBER BURGESS: I'll make that 20 motion. 21 MEMBER SAWDON: Support. 22 MR. CHAIRPERSON: Moved by Burgess, 23 support by Sawdon to approve the minutes. 24 Discussion? Seeing none, all in favor of the motion 25 say "Aye."

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign.

The February 26th meeting minutes are approved.

Item 8, the March 5, 2015 meeting

minutes.

MEMBER SAWDON: Motion to approve.

MEMBER BURGESS: Support.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Moved by Sawdon, support by Burgess to approve the March 5 minutes.

Discussion? Seeing none, all in favor of the motion say "Aye."

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign. The March 5th meeting minutes are approved.

Item B, City Administrator Item. Item

1, Approval of Purchasing Agent. Mr. Cline, could

you provide some clarification on this matter?

out that Mr. Sobota provided an updated recommendation on this issue, which was transmitted to the Board, that he would like to adjust his -- his recommendation and extend the contract for Account Temp Services for this position through the end of the fiscal year, which would be June 30th, at an estimated cost of about \$16,000. So I believe that was provided to you. If there's any questions

- 1	
1	on that, certainly.
2	MR. CHAIRPERSON: Questions from the
3	Board Members?
4	MEMBER BURGESS: No.
5	MR. CHAIRPERSON: All right.
6	MEMBER SAWDON: I'll make that motion.
7 8	MEMBER BURGESS: I'll support it. MR. CHAIRPERSON: Moved by Sawdon,
9	support by Burgess to authorize an additional
10	\$16,000 to allow the City to continue to use its
11	services of Account Temps through June 30, 2015.
12	Discussion? Seeing none, all in favor of the motion
13	say "Aye."
14	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
14 15	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign.
15	MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign.
15 16	MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign. Motion is approved. Item 2, Approval of Election
15 16 17	MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign. Motion is approved. Item 2, Approval of Election Specialist. I'll entertain a motion.
15 16 17 18	MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign. Motion is approved. Item 2, Approval of Election Specialist. I'll entertain a motion. MEMBER BURGESS: I'll make that
15 16 17 18 19	MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign. Motion is approved. Item 2, Approval of Election Specialist. I'll entertain a motion. MEMBER BURGESS: I'll make that motion.
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign. Motion is approved. Item 2, Approval of Election Specialist. I'll entertain a motion. MEMBER BURGESS: I'll make that motion. MEMBER SAWDON: I'll support.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign. Motion is approved. Item 2, Approval of Election Specialist. I'll entertain a motion. MEMBER BURGESS: I'll make that motion. MEMBER SAWDON: I'll support. MR. CHAIRPERSON: Moved by Burgess,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign. Motion is approved. Item 2, Approval of Election Specialist. I'll entertain a motion. MEMBER BURGESS: I'll make that motion. MEMBER SAWDON: I'll support. MR. CHAIRPERSON: Moved by Burgess, supported by Sawdon

MR. CHAIRPERSON: To approve the 1 appointment of an election specialist. Discussion? 2 MEMBER SAWDON: I have a couple of 3 questions. 4 MR. CHAIRPERSON: Certainly, Mr. 5 Sawdon. 6 MEMBER SAWDON: We are elevating that 7 position to -- I'm just curious where the funding is 8 coming from. I know many times you say the budget 9 is -- there's money available in the budget, but you 10 never really specified reduction in expenditures, 11 increase in revenues. Could you clarify that for 12 13 MR. SOBOTA: Well, for the ensuing 14 fiscal year --15 MEMBER SAWDON: Right. 16 MR. SOBOTA: -- this was already 17 contemplated, so we're there. 18 MEMBER SAWDON: What about the 19 current --20 MR. SOBOTA: For the current fiscal 21 year, we have savings from insurance, as one 22 example. We have a rather significant savings from 23 insurance. We had about \$400,000 refund that we're 24 able to recognize in the general fund. 25

MEMBER SAWDON: Okay. And the other question I have is more about the need for expanded hours for the position.

MR. SOBOTA: The City Clerk, when she made her argument to the Mayor during the budget prep last year, she indicated that other clerks' offices have three or more full-time employees. So that was the main justification at that time. I have talked to the Clerk when I suggested bringing this up to full-time at this point in time, and one of the issues that we hear a lot from the City Council is they don't have support staff, they don't have support staff.

Well, during one of these meetings where you recently approved the minutes -- I take that back, it was the prior meeting, the City Council wanted to conduct an audience survey of how many people supported a potential road millage tax increase, and they asked the City Clerk's office if they wouldn't mind serving as the official recorder of those phone calls as they were coming in.

So I sort of took that idea and ran with it. And if the City Council has already asked the Clerk's office to serve someone in that support capacity, then the Clerk agreed she would be willing

to step up and be a little bit more vocal in that. Hopefully that will be able to address the City's Council's concern that they don't have the support staff. So having the three people in the office full-time, that allows usually to be two full-time people in the office in the event someone is out on vacation, and also will allow the Clerk's office to have a more active role serving as the secretary to the City Council, as by Charter she is required to do.

MEMBER SAWDON: I take it you're satisfied with that answer then?

there are some downtimes definitely in the Clerk's office when there are not elections, however it's quite possible that with the changes in the City Charter, that could potentially be enacted with the May election, as well as more activity by the City Council in the week-to-week administration of the City. We do get provided a lot of information.

We're -- it could be considered justified at this point in time.

MR. SOBOTA: I -- I do believe that

And I will say this: We did receive
-- and I know it came after the deadline, we did
receive annual reports from all of the departments,

1 and I asked the City Clerk to provide some 2 information. And one of -- of the things that the 3 office is doing, and one of the statistics that she 4 provided is that her office is answering about, I 5 think it was 12,000 telephone calls because she 6 serves as the central telephone operator for City 7 Hall. So she -- they were counting and they said 8 they processed about 12,000 calls. Now, granted 9 during election time, it's up for that reason. 10 they do serve that capacity. And if I were to move 11 that from the Clerk's office, I don't believe I have 12 another office that would be able to absorb that 13 volume of telephone calls. 14 MEMBER SAWDON: Thank you. MR. CHAIRPERSON: Any further

questions, Mr. Sawdon? Mr. Burgess?

MEMBER BURGESS: No.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: All right. Motion on the floor is to approve the appointment of an election specialist. I see no further discussion. So all in favor of the motion, say "Aye."

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign. The motion is approved.

800.308,0068

Item 3, Approval of Change in Rate of

QRS Court Reporting, LLC

1	Pay for Mickie Howard.		
2	MEMBER SAWDON: Motion to approve.		
3	MEMBER BURGESS: Support.		
4	MR. CHAIRPERSON: Moved by Sawdon,		
5	support by Burgess. Discussion? Seeing none, all		
, 6	in favor of the motion say "Aye."		
7 8 9	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. MR . CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign. The motion to change the rate of pay is approved.		
10	Item 4, approval of payment to Peter		
11	Letzmann Associates. I'll entertain a motion.		
	MEMBER SAWDON: I'll make a motion for		
12			
13	discussion.		
14	MR. CHAIRPERSON: All right.		
15	MEMBER BURGESS: I'll second.		
16	MR. CHAIRPERSON: Motion to approve,		
17	postpone or deny?		
18	MEMBER SAWDON: I guess make it		
. 19	approval.		
.20	MR. CHAIRPERSON: Support, Mr.		
21	Burgess?		
22	MEMBER BURGESS: I'll support.		
2	MR. CHAIRPERSON: Moved by Sawdon,		
2	supported by Burgess to approve the payment of Pete	r	
2	5 Letzmann Associates. Discussion?		
	i	- 1	

MEMBER SAWDON: I have a number of questions I'd like to ask.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: All right. Mr.

Sobota.

MEMBER SAWDON: The selection of the firm, how was the selection done? Was it done through a request for proposal? I mean, how did we arrive at this firm being the selected firm?

MR. SOBOTA: I believe the Mayor has the answer because she was a member of the Charter Revision Commission at the time that the selection was made.

MAYOR WATERMAN: The Charter Revision Commission, elected with a three-year term, was -- did not have any budget to work with to start with, did not have any consultation, did not have any way of having any kind of experience brought to that Commission.

So, they went to non-profit agencies which are well-known for their civic municipality -- municipal expertise. They went to the Michigan Municipal League. Michigan Municipal League recommended this person, Mr. Peter Letzmann, who had also worked with the 1981 revision of the Charter and was experienced with Pontiac's structure.

Λ

.18

so, he worked -- originally there was no provision to pay him, and he said he would work in concert with the Charter Revision Commission probono until they found the funding to pay him.

So, for two and a half years, he did all the redraft, the research, and also did all of the — the communication with the Attorney General's Office to get the final draft approved by the Attorney General. So he did that just on the faith that somewhere along the line, he would be in some way be remunerated for his efforts; and this was the cost of that. This is the only expense that the City has been charged by the Charter Revision Commission to complete their task.

MEMBER SAWDON: The other question I had, or an additional question I had was funding.

Where -- where would you -- you're proposing 15,000.

Where in the budget were you going to find that?

MR. SOBOTA: In our Legal Department. I had actually contemplated that at some point we would have to engage the services of our City attorney to assist with the transmittal of the Charter to Lansing. So we had placed some extra funds in the City's attorney's account, and I have funds available there.

MEMBER SAWDON: Okay.

2

Do we have any

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

MEMBER BURGESS: additional invoices coming from this effort?

I do not believe we will. MR. SOBOTA: The Chairman of the Charter Revision Commission sent this invoice to me asking that it be paid, and there was no indication on there that additional invoices would be forthcoming. And by reviewing the details of the invoice, I believe that this is the one and only invoice that he would be submitting to the City for consideration.

If we vote to approve, MEMBER SAWDON: we're approving 15,000 period?

MR. SOBOTA: Yes. And the reason I am only recommending 15,000, is that based on the minutes of the Charter Revision Commission, that was the dollar amount that they approved. So I don't see how this Board can approve an invoice higher than that that was approved by the Charter Revision Commission.

> MEMBER SAWDON: Thank you.

Any further MR. CHAIRPERSON: questions? Seeing no further discussion, all in favor of the motion to approve a \$15,000 payment to Peter Letzmann Associates say "Aye."

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 1 MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign. 2 The motion is approved. 3 Next Item 5, Approval of Amended and 4 Restated Conditional Zoning and Development 5 Agreements for the M1 Concourse. Mr. Cline? 6 I just Yes, Mr. Chairman. MR. CLINE: 7 wanted to point out that the last emergency manager 8 ordered us -- 334 gives the City Administrator 9 approval to sign off on these rezoning agreements 10 with concurrence of the Board. So I just wanted to 11 draw your attention to that point, so --12 Motion to approve. MEMBER SAWDON: 13 MEMBER BURGESS: I support. 14 MR. CHAIRPERSON: Moved and supported 15 to approve the Amended and Restated Conditional 16 Zoning and Development agreements. Any further 17 Seeing none, all in favor of the motion discussion? 18 say "Aye." 19 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 20 MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign. 21 The motion is approved. 22 Item number 5 (Sic), Mayoral Request. 23 Request to Increase the Compensation Package of the 24 Deputy Mayor. Mr. Cline? 25

2.0

2.4

MR. CLINE: Yes. I wanted to point out for the Board, as you know, Treasury has been working with the City on a search process that unfortunately needs to be restarted. And, in consideration of restarting this process, there's been a suggestion or -- to increase the salary range from the initially projected \$85,000 per year to a range between 85 and \$100,000 per year. Plus, there was a recommendation from the Mayor regarding vacation time, which was transmitted to you, and also to provide a stipend to cover healthcare costs which corresponds to the hard cap amounts outlined in State law.

So, that information was sent to the Board. I just wanted to make sure that if this is approved, that those -- those -- you're aware that those three aspects are -- are being taken into consideration.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Any questions for Mr. Cline?

MEMBER SAWDON: No.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: All right. I'll entertain a motion.

MEMBER BURGESS: I'll make a motion.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: To?

MEMBER BURGESS: To approve. 1 MR. CHAIRPERSON: To approve. Okay. 2. 3 Thank you. I'll support. MEMBER SAWDON: 4 Moved by Burgess, MR. CHAIRPERSON: 5 supported by Sawdon to approve the request to : 6 increase the compensation package of the Deputy 7 Any discussion? 8 Mayor. I do have a couple of MEMBER SAWDON: 9 questions. Obviously it's going to be an increase 10 in what is currently budgeted. What's the offset 11 for that increase in the budget? 12 I think the Mayor will MR. SOBOTA: 13 agree that the chances of having a deputy mayor on 14 board by July 1st are slim and none. And if that is 15 the case, we have budgeted \$95,000 in health 16 insurance benefits in the ensuing fiscal year's 17 budget. 18 MEMBER SAWDON: Okay. Good. The 19 other question I have is, what -- what is that 20 stipend for healthcare that we're really talking 21 about, what is it in terms of dollars, do you know? 22 It depends on, I'm MR. SOBOTA: 23 assuming, the plan that the person would be coming 24 in for. If they had a family, instead of taking the 25

family health insurance, what we're essentially 1 saying is taking whatever the City would pay, which 2 3 is the hard cap limit 15, MR. NAZARKO: 4 \$/6300 currently, and SOBOTA: 5 that's what would be given to the deputy mayor so he 6 7 in turn could buy health insurance. We have a similar situation with Mr. Nazarko, if you recall, 8 And then if there's 9 when Mr. Nazarko was hired in. any increases in cost, Mr. Nazarko is responsible, 10 just like the deputy mayor would be responsible. 11 The -- the information MR. CLINE: 12 13 that I received is that the hard cap amounts that will go into effect July 1st of this year, for 14 single coverage are 5,900. I'm rounding off. 15 an employee plus one would be 12,500, and as they 16 indicated, the family coverage is 16,300. 17 MEMBER SAWDON: 18 range somewhere, roughly between 6,000 and 16,3? 19 MR. SOBOTA: Correct. 20 MEMBER SAWDON: Okay. Thank you. 21 MR. CHAIRPERSON: Any further 22 Seeing none, all in favor of the motion 23 discussion? say "Aye." 24 BOARD MEMBERS: 25

Opposed, same sign. MR. CHAIRPERSON: 1 The motion to increase the compensation package for 2 the deputy mayor is approved. 3 4 Next is the Non-Action Items, Monthly Financial --5 6 MR. CLINE: Mr. Chairman? MR. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, the 7 additional item. 8 9 MR. CLINE: Yes. MR. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. 10 11 Cline. Item 6 (sic) is the additional -- the 12 addition to the agenda of Robertson versus the City 13 14 of Pontiac. Mr. Sobota? Classic slip and fall MR. SOBOTA: 15 We believe the \$100,000 16 greater than two inches. case evaluation is wise, considering that in the 17 event that Plaintiff prevails at trial, he only 18 needs to be award \$110,000, in which the City then 19 would be responsible for all of his legal costs. 20 date, the City has spent \$50,000 defending this 21 case, and I don't believe that the Plaintiff's 22 attorneys is charging him at such a good rate as the 23 24 City's attorneys are.

So in light of that information, and

25

the fact that we believe that this is very 1 reasonable considering where the Plaintiff was 2 asking, that this should definitely be approved. 3 And we have until tomorrow to actually make this 4 decision, otherwise we're considered rejected and we 5 take our chances at trial. 6 MR. CHAIRPERSON: So you're asking the 7 Board to approve \$100,000? 8 MR. SOBOTA: Correct. 9 evaluation. 10 Motion to approve. MEMBER SAWDON: 11 Support. MEMBER BURGESS: 12 MR. CHAIRPERSON: Moved by Sawdon, 13 supported by Burgess to approve the recommendation 14 of the City Administrator to settle the lawsuit for 15 Discussion? Seeing none, all in favor of \$100,000. 16 the motion say "Aye." 17 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 1.8 MR. CHAIRPERSON: Opposed, same sign. 19 The motion to settle is approved. 20 Now Non-Action Items, Monthly 21 22 Financial Reports. Mr. Nazarko? MR. NAZARKO: Good afternoon. 23 MR. CHAIRPERSON: Good afternoon. 24 MR. NAZARKO: The financial reports 25

presented for the month of January and February, as indicated, were provided timely to the RTAB. I would like to summarize it briefly for the Board and for the audience.

Our financial situation appears stable for the last two months that we are reporting on, January and February. A few items. We are tracking with the budget on the expenditure side. We are bringing in more revenue on the income tax collections and the property tax collections that ended on February 28th. We're ahead compared to last year, not by much, but every little bit helps. So there is improvement on both those major sources of revenue for the City of Pontiac.

the most important one is the budget, preparation for 2016 and '17 tax year -- or fiscal year, I should say. The department heads at this time have submitted, with the exception of the court, which is a separate fund, has submitted to me their requests. I am working with that. So by the end of next week, we have established an interim deadline, I'll provide those numbers to the Mayor. We are on target to meet the deadlines and to hopefully have a balanced budget from the Mayor to the City Council

and through the whole process. We are -- again, we are doing okay with the time and for the numbers, although they need some adjustments, and they are looking okay for the time being.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another item that I've been working for the past few months has been the need to appraise our infrastructure. And I cannot emphasize that enough, because the City of Pontiac, as we speak, is not in compliance with GASB 34, nor has it ever been in compliance with GASB 34. We have been written up in the audit reports every year, and we decided to put a stop to that hopefully.

I tried to contact three firms. kind of like hard to find specialized firms in that area for GASB 34. Mr. Sawdon probably has another one, I have not approached him too. But after I sent correspondence or e-mails to three firms I decide -- both Joe and I had decided to settle with a firm out of state, I believe Illinois, it's called American Appraisals. And they had done for -- work for us in other municipalities satisfactorily They did good work. And they came below speaking. \$10,000 threshold that we are required to go out there and get -- get bids, at this time, that's We got a lot of help from our City their quote.

Engineer, Mr. Balint, because they wanted to know our current situation. As you know, we do not have to appraise our -- our water and sewer systems because of the sale of the assets to the DWRC, however, we have some drainage that we need to appraise along with the roads and bridges. Those are -- and some buildings. So those are the major items.

.18

And so, the firm, again, through the data that we were provided from Mr. Balint, and I'm not sure if they realized what they're getting into yet, but they — they are satisfied that they can perform this job under \$10,000. I'm moving forward to — if we can complete that so we can have the financial statements for this fiscal year on June 30, 2015 to detail our assets as well as be in compliance with GASB 34. So this is kind of like an open item that you'll hear reporting from me every month.

Another item is that I was concerned, and I still am, about the reports that we have been -- the actuarial reports for both Pension Boards and OPEB. We -- during the last year, I have been working as a financial director and at the same time a Board member of both pension plans and VEBA.

I've had some concerns about the accuracy of this (sic) reports, so I -- we had approached an independent actuarial firm, and I gave them two years' worth of reports, 2011 and 2012, for the Police and Fire Pension Plan, because the -- my concern is that we contributed to them and the contribution jumped from \$900,000 in one year to \$2.3 million the following year. And I -- I have not seen that big of a swing in a plan that is doing well, and more importantly a closed plan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 23

24

25

We didn't spend The review came back. The review came back kind a lot of money, \$3,500. of positive saying that, in a nutshell, they said that the reports look okay to the fact that they do not -- we do not need to go out there and conduct a full-blown actuarial report. Remind you that we do those every year. And there's some news in that regard, accounting, we're going to switch firms for the current year. But, they gave me some points to pass on, and I'm holding a session with the current vendor who did those reports for 2011, '12 and I have a list of items to talk to them as to ask them for their response and why those items are different in different places.

So I believe it was worth money spent.

And still my concern has not been alleviated because I still believe that there is room for improvement 2 in that area, and especially since it's affecting 3 our budget, you know, we contributed \$2.3 million a 4 year to that pension fund not including the OPEB 5 litigation -- or OPEB contribution. 6 And the -- those are the items of 7 interest that I would like to bring to the Board 8 this morning (sic). 9 All right. MR. CHAIRPERSON: 10 questions for Mr. Nazarko from the Board? 11 MEMBER SAWDON: No, he answered mine, 12 I think. 13 MR. CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank 14 you. 15 MR. NAZARKO: Thank you. 16 MR. CHAIRPERSON: Next item is the 17 report from Mayor Waterman. 18 I'm very happy MAYOR WATERMAN: Yes. 19 to bring you the report for this month here. 20 Good afternoon. MR. CHAIRPERSON: 21 MAYOR WATERMAN: I'd like to start 22 with the fact that -- you just approved the search 2.3 firm for deputy mayor, which is a matter which is 24 near and dear to my heart here. I've been working 25

with Treasury on the responsibilities for that position. I'm looking forward to having a partner and ally in helping to develop the many things that

are going on in Pontiac right now.

We have galvanized a number of groups who are helping with moving the Pontiac situation further, including I talked previously about the economic recovery plan that's going forward with -in concert with Oakland County. We are -- have had a number of meetings now, including with many project advisors, have adopted certain momentum projects that I won't take time to go through right But we do -- one of the main things that they're concerned about in developing the momentum projects is we have to find resources to be able to -- and manpower to be able to implement these, and to get all of the necessary people at the table to be able to bring them into realization. deputy mayor position will be one of those people will be assigned to make sure that that happens.

We also are developing more the
Oakland University partnership. That's developed
into a really working organization here with
President Hynd has made that one of his top two
agenda items, and we have divided into four work



1

2

3

4

. 5

. 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

committees based upon the needs of the City, and those are reporting back with real projects, and they're going to help with such things as having an on-site presence of Oakland University to help with both school facilitation, college readiness for our children, as well as a number of other issues.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that's a couple of groups that have been galvanized to help with the City, as well as the next thing I'm going to talk about is blight.

And you do have before you an article which was -- followed a press release that we did I'm not sure if I had a chance to bring last month. that to you, because we had the special meeting earlier, but it reports on where we are in terms of eradicating blight. One of things that -- with the resources we have, I have put on top of my agenda to work toward eradicating neighborhood blight in Pontiac in three years, and we are on target with We're one-third of the way through. we've had a number of helpers in this regard, including the Pulte organization, as well as some other groups that have donated funds to this, including most notably St. Joseph Mercy Oakland Hospital, which is donating \$60,000 to do some demolitions in their area.

Also as an outgrowth of the blight 1 2 project, we are of course working still on finishing the City-wide survey I talked to you about. 3 4 found another means to do that for less cost, and so 5 that's going to be completed, and that's going to centralize that whole effort. And we will well put 6 7 to use the Blight Grant Management Grant that we got from the Distressed Cities Grant for \$125,000 that 8 9 will help to accelerate that whole management process from identifying vacant and dangerous 10 buildings to take into the whole process of 11 12 So that process is being accelerated, demolition.

expedited and centralized.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In addition to that, it's something that has required a lot of moving parts that we've been working on for a while. I'm happy to say we're about to launch the pilot project, and that's a deconstruction project. By "deconstruction", we mean several things. We are taking — before demolition, we're taking salvageable components from the structures, and that we're going to see if we can recycle them.

So there are several things that are accomplished by this. Number 1, we want to lower the cost of demolition by taking away, doing the

1 previous deconstruction.

-5

Number 2, we plan on using unemployed or trainable workforce to do these projects. So we're also helping with our workforce development. And we're using several State agencies to help to fund that part of it.

Number 3, we want to have a renewable revenue cycle so that we take the salvageable products and then they can be generated and used in other kinds of artisans or maker crafts activities. So that is now going to be part of the pilot project. We were trying to get a business model. But that will be the — take a part of the project and enlarge it.

The other thing we're doing is we are decreasing the waste going to landfills, so it has a green project component to it as well.

So all of these components of the deconstruction project that we hope to bring to Pontiac, and I'm very happy to say that we have the first five homes that we're going to do that, we're going to take that as a model in which we can move forward with a bigger component for blight removal in Pontiac. So that is going forward.

Also, economic development, which is

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-- we follow very closely in Pontiac. development is certainly the key to increasing -one of the keys to increasing our revenue streams. And we have started generating a chart of the economic development projects, either of which have been completed or approved or have at least gone through the planning stage. We -- of course this list started out with St. Joseph Mercy Hospital which was \$125 million economic development project, but so far in the -- this year and the last part of last year we have another 76 million dollars' worth of projects which are -- either have been presented site plans, are in the planning stage or about to be And so, that is even including Bloomfield started. Park, which we don't even have the figures on or the So that is good news indeed for site plans. Pontiac, and we are moving forward in that regard.

The last two items I'll just touch upon, and that is the OPEB litigation which continues in mediation, and Phoenix Center which continues in discussion. I had discussion with Treasury as late as last week in terms of what the philosophy should be in terms of how we proceed with the plan for dealing with that center city structure as well as downtown development. So gentlemen, that

completes my report. 1 2 Any questions for the Mayor? 3 4 5 6 7

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.

MEMBER SAWDON: No.

Thank MR. CHAIRPERSON: All right.

you, Mayor Waterman.

MAYOR WATERMAN: All right.

Mr. Sobota, your MR. CHAIRPERSON:

report.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I hinted earlier in the MR. SOBOTA: meeting that each of the departments have completed the first annual report for the City of Pontiac that was transmitted to staff yesterday or Monday. you should receive that for your review for the next meeting.

The report comes in four different parts. The first part is essentially from the Code of Ordinances or the chart that identifies the responsibilities of the various departments. Then there's a section on statistics. We're trying to compare 2014 to calendar year 2013, what the key accomplishments were in 2014 and also long-term goals. And those departmental reports have been posted on the City's website for all of the public to view.



19.

So some of the information and some of the statistics, if you don't know really know about the day-to-day operations of the City, or things that we have done, that would be a good point of reference.

Leads me to my next point is that I'm now starting to try and build on the nuts and bolts of operating the City. We seem to be beyond crisis management mode. Now we can start to develop some — a sense of normalcy here. So annual reports, reviewing policies, procedures, ordinances, doing one nice thorough review of everything so that we can get a system going so in future years this will not be as a time-consuming process and it will be a good sense of management for the elected officials in the coming years.

Over the next three weeks, I will be heavily engaged with Mr. Nazarko next week, and Mr. Nazarko and the Mayor for weeks two and three for the preparation of the budget. We anticipate having this sent up to Treasury before tax day. I do know that there will probably be some requests coming before the Board in April where you're going to be asking well how -- what's the impact on the budget. So we feel we'll have the budget done first and that

2.4

way you'll be able to have some good discussion and consideration of any requests that may be coming before you in April.

And other than that, everything seems to be operating normally. The Council seems to be working well in terms of the decisions that they're making. And as can be seen, nothing was rejected. And as long as we continue to move in that right path, Pontiac is going in the correct direction.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: All right. Any questions for Mr. Sobota from the Board members?

MEMBER SAWDON: No.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr.

Sobota.

Next item is Public Comment. Per the Board rules, you will have two minutes to speak to the Board. Mr. Cline will announce the speakers.

Mr. Cline, our first, please.

MR. CLINE: Yes. Billie Swazer.

MS. SWAZER: I just have one question. Mr. Burgess, a couple months ago I asked about the decision to reject the two appointees for the GERS Retirement Board, and you said you would so review your notes and give me an answer as to why you rejected them. Thank you.

MR. CLINE: All right. Darryl

Fowlkes.

2.0

MR. FOWLKES: Darryl Fowlkes, former Chair of the City of Pontiac Charter Revision Commission.

The question came about of the \$20,000 that Mr. Letzmann billed the City. Somewhere in the translation it's lost. Mr. Letzmann said he would do this without pay until the Charter was put to bed. He never said he was doing this total 100 percent pro bono, and I'll get it on the back end.

Also, in that same time limit, I put
before your TAB last year, or even 2013, a \$5,000
budget that was never approved for us to do our job.

Now that the Charter is done and put to bed, I think
that Mr. Letzmann, who probably could have charged
us two to three times as much of that for what he
did just in travel alone from Grand Rapids to

Pontiac two to three times a week, should be paid
his full amount of \$20,000, not a -- a stipend of
\$15,000. I know as someone who used to work and you
guys who have been employed by somebody, you
wouldn't want somebody to short-change you for what
you've done, knowing you could have charged two to

three times as much more for the work that was done.

At this time, the Charter has been put

to bed, everything is said and done, so I'm asking that he be compensated for what he nicely billed the City. Thank you.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr.

Fowlkes.

MR. CLINE: Yvette Carson.

MS. CARSON: Hello.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Good afternoon.

MS. CARSON: To everyone on TAB and everyone listening, about the Charter, you know, several of us put money into that Charter and did paperwork too. I am a (sic) Charter Revision Commission, and we put our own money in there in that Charter too, and we get our own, not just Mr. Letzmann.

And another thing is, we're going to give the Mayor a deputy manager (sic) and I want to know since we're on such a budget and the Council and the Mayor is doing a good job, I take it from what you guys are saying, because you all opened up the door for the Council and the Mayor, when is Mr. Sobota — not that he's doing a bad job, but we need to start deducting from our expenses. So we want —

and the City and people of Pontiac, we want to know with the deputy manager (sic) when Sobota leaving?

Thank you.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Ms. Carson.

MS. CARSON: Wait a minute. I forgot one thing. And the Bowen's Center. I'm a member of the Bowen's Center. We're a group over there, we're doing our best, we're entertaining the citizens and the community, and we have a nice building over there, and we want to know why is it — why are we having an issue about the way that we're producing money for — to the — to our program, and we want to know since we're mainly doing our own issues through our — our money and we do have a millage, we want to know why is it that there is being issues that is being brought up against the Bowen's Center. Thank you.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

MR. CLINE: All right. One last individual. I'm afraid I'm going to -- I'm having a little trouble understanding the name here. They wanted to speak on benchmarks.

MR. DAVID: Rick David is my name.

MR. CLINE: Oh, okay. Yes. I'm

sorry. Please, go right ahead.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Good afternoon. My name MR. DAVID: I'm a businessperson here, retired is Rick David. head of the United Way of Oakland. Now I have a bed and breakfast and art gallery, and I'm head of the Historic Commission in Pontiac, active in the City's well-being; was supportive originally of the -- of the EM concept, concerned and weary, as a lot of citizens are, about the lack of understanding of when this ends, and the lack of training wheels -sufficient sophistication for and training wheels for our City Council and Mayor to have more power. Just as a person observing, you see Mayor Duggan very visible in -- in Metro Detroit. We don't see We're that for our -- for our community of Pontiac. just quite weary.

really wonderful changes that would have probably prevented the EM from having to come. One is an ethics area, strong ethics area that — that is against nepotism, and that was always a challenge here in Pontiac. Another is sufficient financial oversight that is — was not there in the earlier Charter. And I would encourage the TAB to look at that. But as just a citizen of Pontiac, I'm eager

to understand what are you still looking for? get confused here. And finally, I just wanted you to be aware that a fellow who's an active attorney in Detroit, for the creditors, for -- and myself are 6 looking at a ten-year kind of process of what was 7 the best outcome: A bankruptcy for Detroit or an EM And we really -- we're looking at a 8 for Pontiac? . 9 ten-year window on this, to just see at the end of 10 the day what -- what strategy really worked. 11 And so, again, I just want you to look 12 at that. 13 And finally, I believe the City Council members need again to look at salary. You 14 15 know, it's sort of like the Iranian negotiations and everything along that order. I think that they need 16 some sort of further substance and help. And while 17 18 the City Manager -- the City Administrator won't be 19 offering anything in that area, I think 20 strategically it's an important thing. Thank you. 21 MR. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr.

22 David.

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

MR. CLINE: That's all of the public

24 comment.

> Thank you, Mr. MR. CHAIRPERSON:

Cline. Next item is Board Comment. Board Members, any comment?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEMBER BURGESS: Yes.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Burgess?

MEMBER BURGESS: At the January RTAB meeting, Ms. Swazer asked why I did not -- sorry -- why I voted not to place Mr. Harrison and Bowman to the Pension Board. I promised to revisit the facts and give her an answer, and I will do so at this time.

I would like to first commend the Pension Board for making the pension fund and ensuring that it is fully funded to protect the individuals who have paid into that fund. one of the two I think pension funds in the State I understand that the that has a sizeable surplus. pension fund has about \$500 million in assets. That's an outstanding accomplishment. along with that accomplishment comes some responsibilities. Since I've been a member of this Board, I've heard many former employees and citizens requesting assistance with their medical insurance. I am unsure if you would be prudent, from a Pension Board perspective, to consider assisting them, because the Pension Board's primary responsibility



is to its pensioners. I get that.

Also, I believe that you have a responsibility to help one another, if possible. I understand that the Pension Board has been asked to listen to a presentation by MERS staff to just get the facts and see if it would make sense to draw down some of the assets that you have in order to help your citizens.

It is my understanding that the Pension Board has refused to even listen to a presentation to determine if they could assist their fellow citizens and coworkers without jeopardizing their own members. Failure to listen and make an informed decision, to me, is unreasonable.

So going forward, I'm looking, as a Board member, to at least get members on the Pension Board who will listen to all options and then make an informed decision. That's my only requirement; and I think that is the Pension Board's responsibility.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank
you, Mr. Burgess. And I'll respond in general to
the two comments regarding the potential exit from
receivership, and also the community center. Those
two items are local issues that would be resolved

between the City and Treasury, and then brought before the RTAB. So it would be initiated locally and then the RTAB would -- would then decide upon those.

In terms of Mr. David's question about criteria for exit, there's an annual -- as part of the Governor's appointment of this Board, there's an annual evaluation that takes place, that will be done shortly in terms of specific criteria that the City is being evaluated upon. And once that report is completed and approved by the Board, it's then transmitted to the Governor who ultimately decides whether the RTAB remains in place or not.

That's the only comments I have. So I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.

MEMBER SAWDON: Support.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Moved by Burgess, supported by Sawdon to adjourn. All in favor say "Aye."

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye

MR. CHAIRPERSON: The meeting is adjourned at 1:49 p.m. Thank you.

(At 1:49 p.m., proceedings concluded.)

QRS Court Reporting, LLC 800.308.0068

CERTIFICATE

I, Quentina Rochelle Snowden, do
hereby certify that I have recorded stenographically
the proceedings had and public comment taken in the
meeting, at the time and place hereinbefore set
forth, and I do further certify that the foregoing
transcript, consisting of (47) pages, is a true and
correct transcript of my said stenographic notes.

Dated: March 23, 2015

Yushin R. Shon Qu

Quentina R. Snowden, CSR-5519

Notary Public, Genesee County, Michigan

My commission expires: 1/4/2018