STATE OF MICHIGAN - CITY OF ALLEN PARK RECEIVERSHIP TRANSITION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING MINUTES ALLEN PARK CITY HALL CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS 16630 Southfield Road Allen Park, Michigan 48101 Wednesday, June 22, 2016 * * * * * RTAB MEMBERS PRESENT: Suzanne Schafer, Chair, Department of Treasury Scott Lites Frederick Frank MEMBERS ABSENT: Mark Wollenweber Kristine Barann ALSO PRESENT: Mark Kibby, City Administrator Robert Cady, Finance Director Patrick Dostine, Department of Treasury Drew Van de Grift, Department of Treasury Reported by: Nina Lunsford (CER 4539) Modern Court Reporting & Video, LLC SCAO Firm No. 08228 101-A North Lewis Street Saline, Michigan 48176 (734) 429-9143/ajsn

| 1 | CALLED TO ORDER: 2:00 p.m. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Allen Park, Michigan |
| 3 | Wednesday, June 22, 2016 |
| 4 | MS. SCHAFER: Okay. We're going to get started. |
| 5 | Mr. Dostine, will you do roll call for me, |
| 6 | please? |
| 7 | MR. DOSTINE: Mark Wollenweber has been asked to |
| 8 | be excused. Kristine Barann has asked to be excused. |
| 9 | Suzanne Schafer. |
| 10 | MS. SCHAFER: Here. |
| 11 | MR. DOSTINE: Scott Lites? |
| 12 | MR. LITES: Here. |
| 13 | MR. DOSTINE: Fred Frank? |
| 14 | MR. FRANK: Here. |
| 15 | MR. DOSTINE: You have a quorum, madam chair. |
| 16 | MS. SCHAFER: Thank you. |
| 17 | The next item is the approval of the agenda. I |
| 18 | will entertain a motion to approve the agenda as |
| 19 | presented. |
| 20 | MR. LITES: So moved. |
| 21 | MR. FRANK: I would like to amend the agenda. |
| 22 | To add to agenda discussion of the remarketing of the 2015 |
| 23 | ending in 2015 B bonds. |
| 24 | MS. SCHAFER: Do you want to amend the agenda |
| 25 | for that or do you want that included in the city |
| | |

1 administrator update? 2 MR. FRANK: I think that should be part of the 3 meeting agenda and not after we vote on everything. 4 That's only my view. MR. LITES: You want it added to --5 6 MR. FRANK: To the agenda. Yes. 7 MR. LITES: Add it to the agenda. MR. DOSTINE: I put at the top. Under new 8 9 business. MS. SCHAFER: Under old or new? 10 MR. DOSTINE: I quess it would be old business. 11 12 MS. SCHAFER: It would be old business. 13 MR. FRANK: Old business. 14 MS. SCHAFER: But the Board will not be taking any 15 action of this. This would just be for discussion purposes. 16 MR. FRANK: Information purposes, correct. I'll 17 have to see what it says. I can't commit in advance not to 18 suggest we take any action. 19 MR. LITES: All right. I don't have any objection 20 to putting --21 MR. FRANK: New business. 22 MR. LITES: Okay. You had a motion to -- I'll 23 second that. 24 MR. FRANK: And we can add it to the agenda. 25 MR. LITES: Yeah.

1 MS. SCHAFER: It's been moved and seconded. All 2 those in favor of approving the agenda with the amendment of 3 adding discussion regarding bond tender under old business say 4 aye? 5 MR. FRANK: Aye. 6 MR. LITES: Aye. 7 MS. SCHAFER: Opposed? 8 (No response) 9 MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none we've approved the 10 agenda as presented with the amendment of discussion 11 regarding the bond tender. 12 I just want to remind everyone to sign up for 13 public comment period so that you can be recognized during 14 that period. 15 The next item is the approval of the RTAB 16 minutes from the May 4, 2016 meeting. I'll entertain a motion to approve those minutes as presented. 17 18 MR. FRANK: So moved. 19 MR. LITES: Second. 20 MS. SCHAFER: It's been moved and seconded 21 Any further discussion? 22 (No response.) 23 MS. SCHAFER: All those in favor of approving 24 the RTAB minutes from the May 4, 2016 meeting say aye. 25 MR. LITES: Aye.

Γ

| 1 | MR. FRANK: Aye. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MS. SCHAFER: Opposed? |
| 3 | (No response.) |
| 4 | MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, the RTAB minutes |
| 5 | from May 4, 2016 has been approved. |
| 6 | OLD BUSINESS: |
| 7 | MS. SCHAFER: That takes us to old business which |
| 8 | is the discussion regarding bond tender. |
| 9 | Mr. Kibby or Mr. Cady would you like to |
| 10 | MR. CADY: Good afternoon. |
| 11 | Is this on? |
| 12 | How about that. There we go. |
| 13 | Do you want to start out with where we're at |
| 14 | with this or did you have specific questions or |
| 15 | MR. FRANK: Tell us where we are? |
| 16 | MR. CADY: Yesterday we received final pricing |
| 17 | on the bonds. I've given you an update on the process |
| 18 | where we started and where it is as of now. The pricing |
| 19 | yesterday was as you know this was a negotiated sale. It |
| 20 | wasn't your typical bond sale that you would say where you |
| 21 | put something out on a market especially with also where |
| 22 | they're tax exempt. This was not tax exempt. It was a |
| 23 | taxable bond. Let me back up a little bit to explain what |
| 24 | happened in the market the last couple of weeks. |
| 25 | Last week bond rates went down significantly |
| | |

1 because of what was going on in the world. This week --2 you'd think that's a really good thing -- but this week what happened was everybody that was going to come to 3 4 market decided to come to market. There was over a 5 billion dollars in taxable bonds out there for sale. Our 6 little \$16.75 million dollar issue didn't get a lot of 7 notice. Even though they did a lot of due diligence to get the pricing where they thought it should be. 8 When 9 they tried to do pre sales there was only about \$280,000 10 that anybody wanted. BAMAL stepped to the plate, that's 11 Bank of America / Merrill Lynch and they bought the issue 12 at the interest rate that was suggested so it is scheduled 13 to close on the 29th. We are now doing all our due diligence, all the sign offs and all the paperwork 14 15 necessary to complete that process for next week which 16 would coincide with the close of our fiscal year.

17 The rate is just south of 4 and 1/2 overall. Ιt 18 starts out in the early years it's a variable rate. 19 Starts out at about 3 and 1/8 and it goes up I think it's 20 up around 4.8 near the end. To help balance the bonds with the untendered 2009 A and B bonds that are still out 21 22 there and if you look at the schedule and I can forward 23 that to you so you can see the debt schedule. You see in 24 the first, I think six or seven years we're only going to 25 pay interest so that we can keep a level amount every year

in payment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

18

19

25

The most important factor of this whole thing is that our bond payment goes from about \$2.2 million annually now to about \$1.5 million so we're saving almost \$600,000 a year overall. With the tendering and the refinancing.

MR. FRANK: And some of them have been paid off already, right? Some of the bonds that were tendered?

9 MR. CADY: Yes. When -- you know, we've been 10 paying on the bonds obviously every year. As a matter of 11 fact that's what got us into trouble. We paid on the 12 bonds instead of paying the pension system but so matter 13 of fact we just made a \$230,000 bond payment on May 1st so pay off some of those. There were some -- there was some 14 15 when we did the tendering initially, \$935,000 was paid on 16 principal right off the bat which was the proceeds that we 17 took from the sale of this property.

MR. LITES: It appears from the summary you gave us on November 18 you sold \$16,750,000 of bonds.

20 MR. CADY: That was the tendered. That's -- in other words, of the \$28,000,000 that was out there --21 22

MR. LITES: Yeah.

23 MR. CADY: -- 62.4 percent of the people sold those bonds back to us. 24

MR. LITES: Okay. So how much -- was the 60 --

1 what's the amount of the debt that was closed on 2 yesterday? It's going to be closed on the 29th? 3 MR. CADY: The 16.75. That's --4 MR. LITES: It's the same \$16,750,000? 5 MR. CADY: Basically what we did was -- let me 6 back up again, then. When we tendered the bonds we didn't 7 have the rate, the interest rate. The city couldn't get 8 anything above a triple C. So we basically parked that 9 money is the best way to put it, at Bank of 10 America/Merrill Lynch, kind of like a TANA (sic) a TANA. 11 And we had a 5 percent interest rate and they gave us 12 until I think it was the end of August, Suzanne, to get 13 our final financing in place which gave us from when we closed in November until August to get the interest rate -14 15 - or the rating back up to where we needed to be 16 investment grade as they call it. 17 MR. LITES: Uh-huh. 18 MR. CADY: Once we achieved that at the end of 19 May we got our A minus rating, they were then able to 20 proceed to go to market with a negotiated sale and get this whole thing done. 21 22 If we hadn't finished this process by August 23 BAMEL would have kept it but it would have stayed at the 24 five percent rate and it would have had I think there was 25 some more provisions in there as far as closing and things

1 like that that would have cost us extra. 2 So it was imperative that we get this process done as soon as possible. 3 MR. LITES: But my question is does the savings 4 5 that was place that in November to now is how much? The 6 annual savings? 7 MR. CADY: Interest rate, annually, overall it's 8 about half a percent a year. 9 MR. LITES: Right. 10 MR. CADY: We went from about five to about 4.58. 11 12 MR. LITES: So it's about --13 MR. CADY: Just at .48. 14 MR. LITES: Right. So it's about \$250,000? 15 MR. CADY: From where we are to November to now 16 if you'll look at the net present value the whole thing is 17 just over a million dollars, a million two. Over 30 18 years. 19 MS. SCHAFER: Any further questions for Mr. 20 Cady? 21 MR. FRANK: So it took almost two years you're 22 satisfying? 23 MR. CADY: Nothing I can do now. No, it didn't 24 take two years. We started the process in earnest, I 25 would say November of '13, somewhere in there. So a year

| 1 | and a half. The tendering process was long and arduous |
|----|---|
| 2 | and probably could have went a little faster but uhm it |
| 3 | didn't. Let's leave it at that. |
| 4 | MR. FRANK: I have nothing else. |
| 5 | MS. SCHAFER: Thank you, Mr. Cady. |
| 6 | MR. FRANK: Glad to hear we're at this stage. |
| 7 | MR. CADY: I'm telling you right now I'm sure |
| 8 | that anybody that was involved in this can say that we're |
| 9 | glad it's over. We can go on with our business now. |
| 10 | Thank you. |
| 11 | MS. SCHAFER: Thank you, Mr. Cady. |
| 12 | MR. FRANK: Thank you. |
| 13 | NEW BUSINESS: |
| 14 | MS. SCHAFER: Okay. We'll get on to new |
| 15 | business. |
| 16 | The first item is the draft amended order or EM |
| 17 | order number 2014-057 and I just want to note for the |
| 18 | record that the draft handed to the board members by Mr. |
| 19 | Dostine a few minutes ago contained one minor amendment. |
| 20 | On page 3 the date was incorrect. It was a March date and |
| 21 | Mr. Dostine has given us a draft that has reflects the |
| 22 | correct date of September 25, 2014. |
| 23 | With that said, I'm going to ask Mr. Dostine to |
| 24 | provide a summary to the board regarding the amendment. |
| 25 | MR. DOSTINE: Sure. |
| | |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Madam Chair, let me start off by saying first and noting that this board and the city for that matter, have been very engaged in this amendment process with discussions at the previous meetings and subsequent communications to treasury and actually even before that the board's involvement with the content of their annual evaluation and talking about discussing a recommendation so thank you.

9 This proposed amended order before the board 10 represents a significant departure and nearly total revision of the original EM order. It's been reduced from 11 12 an 11-page document to two and three quarter pages, 2500 13 words to 734 words. Under this proposed order the controlling document for the city of Allen Park will be 14 15 the city charter with certain exceptions. And the board 16 will meet on an as needed basis for these exceptions. And 17 they're listed in the order. I will touch on a few of 18 them at this point; adoption of budgets, budget 19 amendments, collective bargaining agreements, legal 20 settlements greater than \$250,000, new contracts greater 21 than \$250,000. When there's either a modification of 22 amendment or to terminate existing contracts greater than 23 \$250,000. The issue refund or incurring of additional 24 debt, these items would come before this board and the 25 board would call special meetings for that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

15

16

17

I should add that all the resolutions adopted by the city council going forward would be advantaged by this order if it is adopted today and signed by the treasurer. So for example, if there's some items on the June 28th council meeting that perhaps would need a July RTAB to approve. If the order is signed by the treasurer it's -you would be advantaged by that.

I also want to point out one other aspect of the order and it's on the last page. Within 90 days of the approval of this order by the state treasurer the board may resolve to revive one more provisions from the original order without submission to the state treasurer. So if some provision is needed back in the order it can be done with a vote of this board.

> That concludes my introduction, madam chair. MS. SCHAFER: Thank you.

Any discussions or questions?

18 Two questions. In section 3D Roman MR. FRANK: 19 at IV, applies to all economic development projects. Must 20 be submitted to the board for approval prior to 21 implementation. I'm just wondering should -- are other situations have a -- put a value on that in that almost 22 23 anything could be an economic development project. And I 24 don't think it's the intent to hamper the city officials 25 or the city council in moving forward with projects.

1 MR. DOSTINE: I guess the short answer is it's 2 an inclusion in the order that I think the state treasurer would be comfortable approving. I understand your comment 3 4 that it's broad and it may involve the board and perhaps 5 too many projects. I guess I would leave it to the board to discuss it. 6 7 MR. FRANK: If I may -- to the chair -- I mean 8 did the city have -- I quess I should ask whether the city 9 administrator or the council or may or the financial 10 director have an issue with this? 11 UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: Can you read the whole --12 MS. SCHAFER: May I interject for just a second? 13 MR. FRANK: Sure. 14 MS. SCHAFER: Maybe perhaps if Drew Van de Grift 15 from Department of Treasury could step forward and maybe 16 explain some of the -- because I believe Drew has worked 17 with you at the amendment and perhaps between Drew and the 18 city we can get some clarification? 19 MR. VAN de GRIFT: Good afternoon. Drew Van de 20 Grift, Department of Treasury. 21 MS. SCHAFER: I think we've got to turn a 22 microphone on. Thank you. 23 MR. VAN de GRIFT: Good afternoon. Drew Van de 24 Grift, Department of Treasury. 25 It's true, I have worked with amendments to

1 final orders, most notably in the city of Pontiac where 2 very similar language was adopted and it served well. 3 If I heard the concern correctly, Board Member 4 Frank, it's that your concern that the verbiage "economic 5 development projects" would include more than is intended, that you would think that perhaps some other development 6 7 or contracts would be included in that and then would be -- there would be a chilling effect on it because it would 8 have to come to the board. Well, I think this would be a 9 10 great opportunity, you know, to clarify if you will, kind of almost the legislator's intent here. I don't think 11 12 that that was designed to include more than, you know, 13 large-scale projects that are labeled "economic development projects" and so as you are aware there are 14 15 enabling statutes in the state code for you know, economic 16 development projects. And if the city were to undertake one of those large-scale projects that you know, is called 17 18 an economic development project then that would be an 19 important thing for the city and perhaps something that 20 the board would want to review prior to an investment by the city into such a project. 21

22 So for what it's worth, let me give you, you 23 know, my interpretation and I suppose treasury's assurance 24 that this is not going to be applied to small-scale contracts that, you know, could arguably have some

25

1 economic import.

2

3

4

5

6

7

21

22

Does that address your concern?

MR. FRANK: Well, the agreement of the proposed amended order that the treasurer has reviewed already provides for any resolution of contract over \$250,000 and I didn't know whether this was intended to maybe deal with smaller ones, \$100,000, \$50,000, I don't know.

MR. VAN de GRIFT: Indeed it could. If it was 8 9 one of those, you know, predescribed economic development 10 projects so you know if you get together some sort of 11 inter-local agreement or a 425 agreement that as a 12 necessary component has an economic development project 13 and if it were to be \$200,000 I imagine it would come before this board. These projects tend to be long-term. 14 15 They tend to involve investment from multiple parties and 16 so that initial contract amount may not be the full amount with the economic development project so it was set aside 17 18 specifically.

19Any other questions from any other board20members?

MR. FRANK: Can I ask on one other section? MS. SCHAFER: Sure.

23 MR. FRANK: So in that same general provision it 24 provides that any action to modify current pension plans 25 must be approved by the board. I would think you might

1

2

3

4

18

want to include although probably unlikely to happen whether it's modify or any new pension plans. That is one of the things that put the city a little bit over the edge.

5 MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Well, of course you know as 6 you just pointed out and I fully agree but pension 7 liability and plan can only be modified by contract whether it's the increase or modification of a benefit to 8 9 a bargaining unit or the management of pension assets. So 10 it would be very difficult, in fact perhaps impossible, to 11 modify a pension plan of a scale of a city of Allen Park 12 and still be below the quarter of a million dollars. So 13 I'm much more confident that any action would be caught by the contract clause. Can you think of any example where 14 15 the pension plan could be implemented without a contract? 16 MR. FRANK: A new pension plan that was less 17 than -- ultimate impact of less than \$250,000 probably

wouldn't have been concerned. So. Thank you.

19 MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Very good. 20 MS. SCHAFER: Any further questions? 21 MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Any other concerns? 22 Thank you very much. 23 MS. SCHAFER: Thank you. 24 MR. FRANK: Thank you. 25 MS. SCHAFER: So I will entertain a motion to

1 approve, deny, or postpone a draft of -- of recommending a 2 draft amended EM order to the state treasurer, EM order number 2014-057? 3 4 MR. LITES: So moved. 5 MR. FRANK: Support. 6 MR. CADY: One moment. 7 I'm sorry. 8 MR. CADY: We're not too sure we can jump and --9 MS. SCHAFER: You can jump in. 10 MR. CADY: I'm sorry. 11 The question of budget amendments or transfers, 12 it mentions in the order that we would have a special 13 meeting for that. I'd hate to call a special meeting of 14 the RTAB to approve my mid-year adjustments or transfers 15 which probably in total would be under the original 16 threshold of \$250,000. Is there a reason for that or can we amend that or? It looks like all transfers the way 17 18 it's read now have to be approved by the RTAB. 19 MS. SCHAFER: We'll call on Drew again. 20 MR. CADY: I'm sorry. 21 MS. SCHAFER: That's okay. 22 MR. KIBBY: I think the budget amendment we have 23 on there currently for -- about \$100,000 and that was for 24 the full year. 25 MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Yeah. Uh-huh. No, I think

1 that in a way that makes sense. I do want to 2 contextualize the purpose kind of the enabling statute for your board and the purpose of Emergency Manager orders. 3 4 You know, by virtue of state law Section 23 at 436, it's 5 one of the, I'll say hard-wired duties of the board is to 6 review all budget amendments and there's not a dollar 7 lower there and so you know, I think the notion was that 8 you know, the legislators that passed the law that created 9 this board did not anticipate such a floor. And so we 10 didn't include it in the order. And it's also possible 11 that there would be budget amendments that would be about 12 a subject matter that's very important to the city but 13 perhaps at a large dollar amount. So you know you could 14 imagine the changing of the operations or structure of the 15 city in some way that the board may wish to be aware of 16 before it happens. So I think it's possible to imagine. 17 I agree. I think your example may not be particularly 18 important but there could be an important budget amendment 19 that would have a relatively small dollar amount. 20 So I think there's a perhaps a good reason to

21 leave it as it is.

MS. SCHAFER: So -- I'm sorry, Drew. You're indicating that the Act itself requires the RTAB to approve a budget amendment and --MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Correct.

1 MS. SCHAFER: -- and that's the basis of this 2 clause? 3 MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Yeah, I think that's one of 4 the reasons that buttresses -- that rationale. Yes, you 5 know, Section 23 includes all budget amendments. So, you 6 know, without regard to dollar amount. 7 The board can waive any authority and I think we're seeing that today. This is a really big step for 8 9 the city and I don't know that I've heard that said yet 10 but you know, from the financial emergency to you know, 11 the exit of the emergency manager to the implementation of 12 a robust final order, this is another really big step for 13 the city and so you know, the things that are in this order are really kind of the bare bones authorities of the 14 15 board and it's a one of those authorities is the review of 16 budgets and budget amendments. And so that's why it reads the way it is and I fully appreciate and in a way I 17 18 empathize with the notion of a really small budget 19 amendment still coming before the board. But you won't 20 know what future budget amendments will hold and so you 21 know there has not been a floor yet and so I think that's 22 why we got to this order. 23 MS. SCHAFER: Okay. Thank you. 24 Any questions for Drew? 25 MS. MCLEOD: I do.

| 1 | MR. FRANK: Mayor Pro-tem. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. CADY: Madam Chair. |
| 3 | MR. FRANK: To the chair. |
| 4 | MS. SCHAFER: I'll recognize the Mayor Pro-tem. |
| 5 | MS. MCLEOD: Is this still on? |
| 6 | MR. VAN DE GRIFT: It is. |
| 7 | MS. MCLEOD: Mayor Pro-tem, Gail Mcleod. I've |
| 8 | been listening to all this and I'm hearing a lot of things |
| 9 | like how he interprets or the state might interpret and it |
| 10 | seems a little ludicrous to me that we're talking about |
| 11 | unless an expenditure is over \$250,000 we don't have to |
| 12 | come or seek board approval. But if there's a budget |
| 13 | amendment of some miniscule amount we have to come. |
| 14 | Now granted you can waive it but it's still time |
| 15 | spent. I just I think Fred brought up a wonderful |
| 16 | point. I think you need to be a little more definitive |
| 17 | about some of these items so that people aren't wasting |
| 18 | their time or sitting there thinking well, does this go or |
| 19 | doesn't? I can't believe that some minor budget |
| 20 | adjustment is going to have great import or impact on |
| 21 | anything. Just my opinion. |
| 22 | Thank you. |
| 23 | MS. SCHAFER: Does the |
| 24 | MR. FRANK: I think as a practical matter the |
| 25 | mid-year Drew, the end of year budget amendments don't |
| | |

1 usually have any particular time sensitivity and it could wait and as this board will continue to meet on a regular 2 3 basis although much less often, I don't think it would --MR. VAN DE GRIFT: I would agree. 4 5 MR. FRANK: I don't think it would hamper 6 anything. 7 MS. SCHAFER: Any further questions or 8 discussions? 9 (No response) 10 MS. SCHAFER: Okay. Then I will entertain a motion 11 to approve, deny, postpone the recommendation to the state 12 treasurer for the draft amended EM Order Number 2014-057. 13 MR. LITES: Move to adopt. 14 MR. FRANK: Second. 15 MS. SCHAFER: It's been moved and supported. 16 Any further discussion? 17 (No response) 18 MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, all those in favor of 19 approving the recommendation of the draft Amended Order 2014-20 057 say aye. 21 MR. LITES: Aye. 22 MR. FRANK: Aye. 23 MS. SCHAFER: Aye. 24 Opposed? 25 (No response)

1 MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, the board has approved 2 the recommendation to the state treasurer for the draft 3 Amended EM Order 2014-057. 4 The next item of business is the approval of 5 resolutions from the regular city council meeting of April 26, I will note that Resolutions Number 102, 105 and 106 6 2016. 7 were approved by this board at our last RTAB meeting. Do we have any questions regarding the resolutions 8 9 from the April 26, 2016? 10 MR. FRANK: I have no other than I see that we're calling it Resolution Number 107 for separate discussion. 11 12 MS. SCHAFER: Thank you. That's a good point. 13 So, I would entertain a motion to approve all 14 resolutions from regular city council meeting of April 26, 2016 with the exception of Resolution Number 16-0426-107 which 15 16 is the award bid for demolition of the old city hall. Which 17 will be discussed next. 18 MR. FRANK: So move. 19 MR. LITES: Second. 20 MS. SCHAFER: It's been moved and supported. Any 21 further discussion or questions? 22 (No response.) 23 MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, all those in favor of 24 approving all resolutions from the city council meeting of 25 April 26, 2016 with the exception of Resolution Number 16-

1 0426-107 say aye. 2 MR. FRANK: Aye. 3 MR. LITES: Aye. 4 MS. SCHAFER: Opposed? 5 (No response.) 6 MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, the board has approved 7 all resolutions from the regular city council meeting of April 26, 2016 with the noted exception. 8 9 The next item is Resolution Number 16-0426-107 which 10 is the award bid for the demolition of old city hall and I'm 11 going to ask Mr. Dostine to provide a summary for the board. 12 MR. DOSTINE: Sure. 13 So Madam Chair, on April the city council approved a 14 demolition contract to low bidder and actually I think at that 15 time the city wanted to pull this forward in order to move on 16 it. And unfortunately we're a 55(a) is a -- it stipulates 17 that the purchaser of the property must also incur the debt --18 or incur the cost of demolition and the way the city was 19 moving forward the city would have themselves would have had 20 to pay for the demolition. So the resolution had the 21 consequence -- had to be placed on the agenda as being denied. 22 Mark, did you want to add? 23 MR. CADY: When we amended 55(a) to allow for the 24 sale of the property that part slipped by. It actually lists 25 out that Boji would be responsible for the cleanup -- or the

1 demolition and the final cost. But obviously that's not going 2 to be the case as Mr. Boji's group is no longer interested in the parcel. We have already talked to the real estate group 3 4 and mentioned that this is still an issue, that ideally I 5 think that everybody would like to see the building gone, be easier to sell. But it involves standing until and unless 6 7 this board says otherwise it'll be part of the sales agreement that will be credited or they can use that contractor or 8 9 something on that. It was an excellent price that we were 10 able to receive for that, I think it was less than \$100,000 for demolition of that building. And those bids range from 11 12 the \$98,500 which was the low bid to \$496 (sic). So a wide 13 variety of pricing in there. That's why we were wanting to move forth, get it cleared off but. 14

MR. CADY: Mark, a real estate person would get a
better price if it's gone, too.

17

18

19

20

MR. KIBBY: The idea was to tear it down for the -have a clean parcel there as it is more appealing to the potential buyers. Like in the order 55(a) is still -- a portion of that was not modified.

21 MR. FRANK: Thank you, Mr. Dostine for reviewing 22 the order carefully. It's correct. I am wondering if it 23 would be appropriate given that the city administrator is 24 indicating that it would make the property more marketable and 25 obtain a higher price if it would be the pleasure of this

1

2

3

4

5

6

board to recommend to the treasurer to modify 55(a) as under its last amendment to remove the stipulation that a purchaser of the property must take care of demolition? Which is an indirect way of my asking does treasury staff have a view about that also? Not wanting to go too far without hearing from them?

7 MR. DOSTINE: So I quess I'm just trying to think 8 how it would be handled practically here, right now. I mean 9 it's not on the agenda, the order per se to be amended. 10 Otherwise it would just follow the normal protocol which is to you know, amend the order and send it. Or recommend the state 11 12 treasurer approve the amendment. It would require us, I 13 quess, to that to have a meeting perhaps not. I'm thinking on 14 my feet here. I'm sorry.

MR. FRANK: I think orders have been amended without a recommendation from this board. But I might be wrong.

18 MR. DOSTINE: I quess the problem I have is the 19 only -- I mean if -- I've been part of now four or five orders 20 that have been amended and have always been on the agenda and 21 discussed and then move forward to the state treasurer with a 22 recommendation and they approve it; 55(a) is not on the 23 agenda. I don't know if my colleagues at treasury could offer 24 some suggestions on how to move this forward. 25 MR. FRANK: Drew?

Γ

| 1 | MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Hello, again. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Drew Van De Grift, Department of Treasury. I want |
| 3 | to make sure I understand your question, Board Member Frank. |
| 4 | MR. FRANK: Yes. |
| 5 | MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Was your question logistically |
| 6 | how to amend an order without a recommendation from this |
| 7 | board? |
| 8 | MR. FRANK: My suggestion was that we should |
| 9 | consider recommending to the treasurer amending the order to |
| 10 | delete that provision. Based upon the city administrator's |
| 11 | report with they believe it would be possible to obtain a |
| 12 | better price and to market more quickly. |
| 13 | MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Okay. |
| 14 | MR. FRANK: And it's I won't say that \$99,000 is |
| 15 | an insignificant amount but it is well under the threshold |
| 16 | that we just recommended for any resolutions and contracts. |
| 17 | MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Well |
| 18 | MR. FRANK: So perhaps you could tell us |
| 19 | logistically what we can do? |
| 20 | MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Well, that's the easy part. You |
| 21 | know, if you wanted to recommend an amendment then I suppose |
| 22 | there would be an addition to the agenda and then there would |
| 23 | be a motion to make that recommendation. After a second and |
| 24 | discussion this board could do that. Just for my own |
| 25 | edification, are you discussing an amendment to Order 57 as it |
| | |

1 stands right now or as it has been recommended to be amended 2 one resolution ago? 3 MR. FRANK: This would be an amendment to Order 4 55(a) as amended. 5 MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Okay, 55(a). That's a different 6 order. 7 MR. FRANK: Right. 8 MR. VAN DE GRIFT: I see. 9 MR. FRANK: It was amended I think twice. 10 MR. VAN DE GRIFT: I see. Well, you know I would defer to the willingness of the board. If a majority of the 11 12 board would like to do that then it can be done. 13 MS. SCHAFER: I would suggest that we act upon the 14 Resolution Number 107 first and then if it is the pleasure of 15 the board to add to the agenda and make a recommendation to the treasurer we do so but I do think that we have to at least 16 17 address the resolution at hand at the moment. 18 MR. FRANK: I move to deny Resolution Number 16-046 19 0426 - 107, the award bid for demolition of old -- excuse me, 20 city hall. 21 Madam Chair, may I ask a question? MR. DOSTINE: 22 MS. SCHAFER: Sure. 23 MR. DOSTINE: So, if we don't deny this and 57, 24 Amended Order Number 57 has been passed and move forward would 25 this then be advantage by that order -- by the order that just

| 1 | has been approved, the amended order that's been approved |
|----|---|
| 2 | today? |
| 3 | MS. SCHAFER: Drew, I think you need to weigh in on |
| 4 | that? |
| 5 | MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Okay. So you know, your board |
| 6 | makes recommendations to the state treasurer who on his own |
| 7 | authority by virtue of both PA 436 and your appointment |
| 8 | letters makes the amendment to the order. At the time that |
| 9 | the treasurer amends the final order all of the resolutions |
| 10 | that are outstanding as it may have not been approved by your |
| 11 | board, those are all now subject to that final order. So |
| 12 | hypothetically if this board were to take no action on this |
| 13 | resolution and the treasurer were to amend later today or |
| 14 | tomorrow or next week the recommendations to the final order |
| 15 | then unless it hits one of those criteria in that recommended |
| 16 | order it would not return to you. Is that the answer to the |
| 17 | question that was asked? |
| 18 | MR. LITES: Yes. |
| 19 | MR. DOSTINE: Partially, yes. |
| 20 | MR. VAN DE GRIFT: So the rules change once the |
| 21 | final order is amended as you recommended. |
| 22 | MR. LITES: What's the time frame for that? |
| 23 | MR. VAN DE GRIFT: I can't speak to when the |
| 24 | treasurer will sign. Pontiac it happened quite quickly. I |
| 25 | would anticipate that this would happen within short order. |
| | |

1 MR. LITES: Sure. 2 MR. FRANK: So I will withdraw my motion and I am 3 going to suggest that we either perhaps table this or not take action today. And I understand it's treasury's to ask 4 5 conclusion that this particular resolution would then be governed by Amended Order --6 7 MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Fifty seven. 8 MR. FRANK: And would not be deemed to be so in 9 conflict if the order was to require it not to be able to go 10 forward. 11 MS. SCHAFER: Okay, so there's a motion to table 12 Resolution 16-0426-107. 13 MR. LITES: Second. 14 MS. SCHAFER: Second. It's been moved and 15 supported. Any further discussion or questions? 16 (No response.) 17 MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none all those in favor of 18 tabling Resolution Number 16-0426-107 say aye. 19 MR. FRANK: Aye. 20 MR. LITES: Aye. 21 MS. SCHAFER: Opposed? 22 (No response.) 23 MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none the board has tabled 24 Resolution Number 16-0426-107. 25 Okay, next item of business, resolutions from the

1 regular city council meeting of May 10, 2016. 2 Do we have any questions or discussions regarding 3 the resolutions from that meeting? MR. FRANK: This is the meeting which adopted the 4 5 budget for '16-'17 to the upcoming fiscal year? MR. LITES: Uh-huh. 6 7 MS. SCHAFER: Yes. 8 (Brief pause, reviewing documents.) 9 MR. FRANK: I'll move to approve all of the 10 resolutions from the regular city council meeting of May 10, 2016. 11 12 MR. LITES: Second. 13 MS. SCHAFER: It's been moved and supported. Any 14 further discussions or questions? 15 (No response.) 16 MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, all those in favor of 17 approving all resolutions from the regular city council 18 meeting of May 10, 2016 say aye. 19 MR. FRANK: Aye. 20 MR. LITES: Aye. 21 MS. SCHAFER: Opposed? 22 (No response) 23 MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, the board had 24 approved all resolutions from the regular city council 25 meeting of May 10, 2016.

| 1 | Next item of business, the resolutions from the |
|----|--|
| 2 | regular city council meeting of May 24, 2016. |
| 3 | Any questions or discussion? |
| 4 | (No response.) |
| 5 | MS. SCHAFER: I'll entertain a motion to |
| 6 | approve, deny or postpone all resolutions from the regular |
| 7 | city council meeting of May 24, 2016. |
| 8 | MR. LITES: So moved. |
| 9 | MR. FRANK: I'll second the motion to approve. |
| 10 | MS. SCHAFER: It's been moved and supported. |
| 11 | Any further discussion? |
| 12 | (No response) |
| 13 | MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, all those in favor |
| 14 | of approving the resolutions from the regular city council |
| 15 | meeting of May 24, 2016 say aye. |
| 16 | MR. LITES: Aye. |
| 17 | MR. FRANK: Aye. |
| 18 | MS. SCHAFER: Opposed? |
| 19 | (No response.) |
| 20 | MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, the board has |
| 21 | approved the resolutions from the regular city council |
| 22 | meeting of May 24, 2016. |
| 23 | Next item are the resolutions from regular city |
| 24 | council meeting of June 14, 2016. I will note that we |
| 25 | will address Resolution Number 16-0614-143 separately. |
| | |

1 Any questions or discussion? MR. FRANK: No. I believe that's 142. 2 3 MS. SCHAFER: One-four-two? 4 Yes. You're right, 142. 5 MR. LITES: So moved. 6 MR. FRANK: I'll support the motion to approve 7 all resolutions from that meeting except for number 142. 8 MS. SCHAFER: It's been moved and supported. 9 Any further discussion? 10 (No response.) 11 MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, all those in favor 12 of approving the resolutions from the regular city council 13 meeting of June 14, 2016 with the exception of resolution 14 142 say aye? 15 MR. FRANK: Aye. 16 MR. LITES: Aye. 17 MS. SCHAFER: Opposed? 18 (No response.) 19 MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none the board has 20 approved the resolutions from the regular city council 21 meeting of June 14, 2016 with the exception of 142, 22 Resolution 142 of which we will address next. 23 Mr. Kibby could you please provide a summary of Resolution Number 142? 24 25 MR. KIBBY: Go ahead.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

24

25

MR. CADY: He's deferring to me.

I'm actually kind of shocked that there's only five post amendments at year end but I think it's a testimony to the diligence of the staff to hold their expenditures in line with the budget year that we've had. I will just really go quickly through the five. The first one is to actually increase the budget. The clerk went over on his elections but he also got reimbursed by the state for one of the school elections so you over expend on the expenditure side but the money came in on the revenue side so all we're doing here is increasing the budget and increasing the revenue on the expenditure side equally.

14 The other four are ones where we've actually 15 gone over budget. Plante-Moran went over. I had, as you 16 know I used PM Gap (sic) up to two days a week here to 17 help fill the needs of the finance office. During the 18 audit last year when I was -- before Mark came in full 19 time as administrator I was doing both jobs. I had to 20 rely on them a lot more than I thought I would and as a consequence we went over budget there. So that will 21 22 require a budget amendment from the general fund 23 contingency fund.

> Also with the treasurer's office, postage went over because of the mailings that we've done throughout

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

24

25

the year to the residents regarding the road millage, the change in the trash service. All those different things and at the time that the budget was done, let me think about it, probably over 18 months ago those things weren't anticipated.

The third one is the City Hall utilities. I think I've mentioned this at one of our RTAB meetings before. It took us a lot longer than we anticipated to get all the services moved from that building over to this building: phones, a lot of the police dispatch services, those things. And I wasn't able to turn the power off over there and those bills kept running so went over budget on utilities. So that'll be also be another transfer from contingency to cover that.

15 And lastly the DPW pension contribution 16 typically when I do the budget I try to figure out how 17 many people are going to be working on water and sewer and 18 how many in general fund. And we try to estimate you 19 know, because water has money that contributes. It's an 20 enterprise funding. Pension and general fund, more people 21 worked in general fund than water last year so that 22 consequently that went over so that's another transfer 23 from the contingency.

So overall, the transfers are less than \$100,000. So that's less than 1/2 of one percent of the

1 total budget. So. Pretty good. 2 MR. LITES: What was total amount of original 3 budget for Plante Moran? MR. CADY: I think it was \$85,000. 4 5 MR. FRANK: And the upcoming fiscal year budget? 6 MR. CADY: Well, we have in the upcoming fiscal 7 year budget to replace that with a fulltime position. Obviously if you look at the numbers we can get a full-8 9 timer in here five days a week with benefits for less than 10 we're paying them for two days a week. Not that I don't 11 appreciate their expertise, I mean. I make a call and it 12 goes all the way up the food chain. But we really need 13 somebody in finance full time. 14 MR. LITES: Full time, five days a week. Right. 15 MS. SCHAFER: Thank you, Mr. Cady. 16 Any further questions or discussion? 17 (No response) 18 MS. SCHAFER: Then I'll entertain a motion to 19 approve, deny or postpone Resolution Number 142. 20 MR. LITES: So moved. 21 MR. FRANK: Support. 22 MS. SCHAFER: It's been moved and supported to 23 approve Resolution Number 142. Any further discussion? 24 (No response) 25 MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, all those in favor

| 1 | of approving say aye? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. FRANK: Aye. |
| 3 | MR. LITES: Aye. |
| 4 | MS. SCHAFER: Opposed? |
| 5 | (No response) |
| 6 | MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, the board has |
| 7 | approved Resolution Number 16-0614-142. |
| 8 | I've been handed a note by our liaison that we |
| 9 | still need to address resolution 55(a). |
| 10 | MR. DOSTINE: Correct. |
| 11 | So the board still needs to recommend that 55(a) |
| 12 | be amended in order to allow the city well, what we'd |
| 13 | do is strike out that language that says the purchaser of |
| 14 | the property has to pay for the demolition cost. |
| 15 | Resolution 107 was correctly tabled. And pending Amended |
| 16 | Order 57 getting approval by the state treasurer then it's |
| 17 | advantaged by that so it won't have to come to the board |
| 18 | to be approved. But we still need to address 55(a) which |
| 19 | would allow the city to incur the cost of demolition. So |
| 20 | a motion should be made to recommend amending Order 55(a) |
| 21 | such that city can pay for the cost of demolition of old |
| 22 | city hall. |
| 23 | MR. FRANK: I'll move that this board recommend |
| 24 | to the treasurer that Emergency Manager Order 55(a) as |
| 25 | amended be further amended to delete the requirement that |

1 the purchaser of the old city hall property be required to 2 pay for the demolition. 3 MR. DOSTINE: That's correct. MR. LITES: Second. 4 MS. SCHAFER: It's been moved and seconded to 5 amend Emergency Manager Order Number 55(a) -- I'll get 6 7 this right. Any further discussion? 8 9 (No response) 10 MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, I will -- all those 11 in favor of recommending to the state treasurer to amend 12 Emergency Order Number 55(a) to delete the requirement for 13 the purchaser to pay for the demolition say aye? 14 MR. FRANK: Aye. 15 MR. LITES: Aye. 16 MS. SCHAFER: Opposed? 17 (No response) 18 MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, the board has 19 approved recommending to the state treasurer to amend EM 20 Order Number 55(a). 21 That takes us to city administrator items of 22 which there are none. 23 And then we move on to the non-action items. 24 The budget to actual report for April 2016 has been 25 received and filed. And we now go to the city

1 administrator update. 2 CITY ADMINISTRATOR REPORT 3 MR. KIBBY: Good afternoon. 4 We work -- I'll give you a quick update. I've 5 got a couple items here but this is a team. I've mentioned that a number of times when we've been here. 6 We 7 work very hard as a team and a couple meetings ago I failed to mention the most vital parts of our team. 8 It's 9 not Bob, it's not me. It's not anybody here. It's Deb 10 Zettel and Jackie Baxter. Deb is our administrative 11 assistant. She keeps us in line, kind of like your Angela 12 at your office and then Jackie came on board to help with 13 the -- as payroll coordinator she's been helping with HR and just kind of helping move everything along in the 14 administrative side as well. So I don't want to fail to 15 16 mention those two. 17 As important as Bob is and everything like that, 18 Bob would even agree. It's those ladies back there. 19 MR. CADY: I agree wholeheartedly. 20 MR. KIBBY: Since we met last time we had some 21 great news. We've been able to hire four new police 22 officers. We went through a stretch there, you'll recall 23 seeing up here and you heard us each time we're going to 24 go out and try this effort and try that effort. I think 25 there was two key components that we were able to do with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

the help of the state approval and the RTAB approval of a new contract for the police department. That helped give some stabilization back to the department. One of those items that were in there was an increase and a new hire portion and I think we jumped up about \$4,4500 and we got into a range that is now competitive with some of our neighboring communities. Probably still in the lower end of that but we're still at least competitive.

9 The second item that was approved and that was 10 through a change in one of the orders and approved here by 11 the RTAB and also by the city council was to change the 12 health care premium share for the employees to go to a 13 hard cap. That, for a family and I think we've got a couple of the new hires are on the family plan -- it is 14 15 about \$2500 less -- that they'll be able to take home. 16 That's real dollars. It's \$100 a paycheck. I had an 17 example that one of the gentlemen we hired came from Wayne 18 County. He was paying in the range of \$180 per pay for 19 his health care. He'll now be in the range of about \$45 20 per pay. You know that's \$135, \$140 that he's going to be able to take home additionally each paycheck and that made 21 22 a big difference for him to be able to leave. I think he 23 had 13 years at the county as well so it's kind of hard 24 sometimes to walk away from that. But when you can look 25 at the benefits of coming to a community. He's going to

1 be out on road patrols and that. So we're hoping that we 2 can now convince those quys to maybe look to moving into the community and also just will be here for their entire 3 4 career, will come here for the next 25 years. 5 Kind of interesting and we have one of the young men is -- as we joked around he's old enough to arrest you 6 7 but he's not old enough to go drink in the bar room. So 8 it's a 20-year-old young man so we're very happy to have 9 him and the other three officers as well. 10 Additionally, we've got the road work is 11 continuing on. I think we just drove by there after 12 They've got one -- two more small sections of lunch. 13 block to finish on the first road. Probably been about six to seven weeks that we'll be with that portion of the 14 15 project and it'll be moving on. That's Garfield Street so 16 they did a long four-block section. It was the biggest 17 section of road that we were working on for this year's 18 road millage money. They'll be moving on to Niver Street. 19 I think they're going to start on Niver at the end of this 20 week by working up some asphalt and getting on there. We're looking probably about a month on that time frame. 21 22 Shenandoah is another street that we'll be working on in 23 about another month. And then Colwell will be our last 24 one. So those re four major roads that were in really bad 25 shape that are now either completed or ready to be

earmarked for completion.

1

23

24

25

2 Additionally, the orange barrels are still hot and heavy here in the city of Allen Park. The streets 3 4 sectioning work has continued on throughout the city. 5 Also the block grant work continued in the south end. All those were street sectioning projects in various areas. 6 7 We tried to make sure that with the millage money we 8 touched each section of the city. The same with the 9 sectioning money that we were able to touch each section 10 of the city and the middle of this block grant we are kind 11 of limited to our particular area. But the people are 12 seeing the money, they're seeing their tax dollars, their 13 federal tax dollars at work as well.

I think also one of the projects we have 14 15 scheduled for the budget year 2016-17 is ready to start in 16 earnest after the July 1st. It's going to be the DTE street lighting project where they'll be converting over 17 18 to LED's. Southfield Road, I think is going to be one of 19 those and then we have some neighborhood areas. It should 20 be safe to -- I think there was about a year and a half pay back on that. And which was an excellent return on 21 22 that investment there.

The master plan update is continuing on. In fact the Thursday the Max Smith will be here to do a public hearing, public event with the board members of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

planning commission and ZBA. I believe the DBA is going to be letting out of their meeting early so I can make it over here for that meeting as well. So they're moving forth. They're about half way through that process and we figure it'll be about a year so they should be done around December, so.

7 And then finally the last one, just kind of an interesting item here. Just going to steal some notes 8 9 The police department has started a program called here. 10 "Extra Eyes" and this is an ability where the residents 11 can sign up with the police department to let them know 12 that they have a camera system at their house and if 13 there's a crime in that area the police department will 14 then contact those residents, say hey you know, you live over on 123 Colwell. We had a crime in that area. 15 And 16 they'll be able to look at their video footage to see if 17 they can find any signs of the criminal on that aspect. 18 And a lot of the cameras now scan not only your own 19 property but the neighboring areas so it's a volunteer 20 program and just allows them to go in and look at that 21 footage. We're not viewing that footage, it's not big 22 brother where we look at that footage on a regular basis. 23 But only if there's a crime they'll be able to go back and 24 work with the homeowner to get that footage to be viewed. 25 I believe it's a similar program that Troy is

1

2

3

4

5

6

also involved with and has had some good success on that. So people want to help, this might be the best way for them in their neighborhood, keep their neighbor safe. So.

Other than that, a lot of other small projects going on. Just been very, very busy with the construction that's been taking a lot of the effort out.

7 We have had some issues with trash. We did 8 transfer that contract over to Advance Disposal. I cannot 9 tell you it hasn't been without problem. There has been a 10 few issues. I think one of the issues that they moved 11 very quickly and we were happy that they were able to move 12 rather quickly. But it's kind of not allowed us to get 13 the word out as quickly as we'd like to to the residents. So placement of a garbage can, I don't know if I'd ever 14 15 thought there'd be an issue but that has been the main 16 issue so far. As the residents are conflicted as to where 17 they need to put their can at. So we're continuing to 18 work with Advance Disposal on that and hopefully within 19 the next few weeks we'll get that all wrapped up and go 20 I think we've heard a lot of positive responses on on. the cans. We've had some negative responses as well. 21 So 22 it's just change. But it seems to have worked out fairly 23 well so far.

24 MS. SCHAFER: Thank you. Any questions for Mr. 25 Kibby?

1 (No response) 2 MS. SCHAFER: Thank you, Mr. Kibby. 3 That takes us to public comment. 4 MR. DOSTINE: Madam Chair, there was one 5 request, Mr. Ray Magusin. 6 MR. MAGUSIN: And as I always do when I come up 7 here, I give respect to the American flag. So I'm doing it again. I pledge allegiance to that flag of the United 8 9 State of America and to the republic for which it stands, 10 one nation under God indivisible with liberty and justice for all. 11 12 Now for a couple of my other comments. I want 13 to thank Mr. Lite and Mr. Frank for the very intense 14 discussion. You're involved. You're very involved. Ι 15 want to thank you. I also want to bring up a couple other 16 things. I think it is a resolution for what you try to do 17 with us paying for knocking down the building next door 18 should be brought up. But we have a city council. Ιt 19 should be brought up on the city council. It should be 20 asked by the city council and then brought up to you. 21 They run this city. I think it's a very good amendment. 22 It needs to be brought in. 23 You know, I just respect the flag. I'm sorry. 24 We've got a lot of people that have died for that flag and 25 gave us the opportunity to come up here.

1

So I want to thank you for it.

2 I know many, many months ago I might have asked a couple of you guys to go ahead and please step down and 3 4 resign and the reason why I did that was because there was 5 no involvement. You are involved. I did not see the 6 involvement behind the scenes. I just see the involvement 7 when I come up here. So you know what, thank you very 8 much. If I came over harsh that day I apologize. But 9 thank you. And hopefully moving forward and really watch 10 how we, the citizens, have to be deeply involved moving our city forward by paying millages and our taxes. And 11 12 we, the citizens, of Allen Park with the help of our 13 administrators and with the help of our city council can move the city forward. But most of that comes out of my 14 15 wallet. And I respect some of that. So thank you very 16 much. You guys all have a good day and let's enjoy this 17 weather. 18 MS. SCHAFER: Thank you. 19 That concludes public comments, MR. DOSTINE: 20 Madam Chair. 21 MS. SCHAFER: That brings us to board comments. 22 Any board comments? 23 MR. FRANK: I would just like to congratulate the 24 officials, the employees, the citizens of Allen Park. This 25 board has made a recommendation to make a large step forward

| 1 | and we hope that the treasurer will see fit to approve the |
|----|--|
| 2 | order as recommended and while I might miss seeing each of you |
| 3 | every month not so much that I feel I would need to be here. |
| 4 | I want to also thank the staff of treasury who ably helped us |
| 5 | move through this process. |
| 6 | Have a wonderful afternoon. |
| 7 | MS. SCHAFER: Any other comments? |
| 8 | (No response.) |
| 9 | MS. SCHAFER: I shall entertain a motion to |
| 10 | adjourn. The meeting. |
| 11 | MR. LITES: So moved. |
| 12 | MR. FRANK: Support. |
| 13 | MS. SCHAFER: Darn. |
| 14 | So it's been moved and supported. I'm assuming |
| 15 | there's no discussion. |
| 16 | The meeting is adjourned. Thank you. |
| 17 | (At 3:00 p.m., meeting concluded) |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

STATE OF MICHIGAN COUNTY OF WASHTENAW).ss

I certify that this transcript is a complete, true, and correct transcript to the best of my ability of the RTAB meeting held on June 22, 2016 at the City of Allen Park. I also certify that I am not a relative or employee of the parties involved and have no financial interest in this case.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

June 24, 2016

s/ amy Shankleton-Novess

Amy Shankleton-Novess (CER 0838) Certified Electronic Reporter