STATE OF MICHIGAN - CITY OF ALLEN PARK

RECEIVERSHIP TRANSITION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING MINUTES

ALLEN PARK CITY HALL

CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

16630 Southfield Road

Allen Park, Michigan 48101

Thursday, March 10, 2016 - 11:30 a.m.

* * * * *

RTAB MEMBERS PRESENT:

Suzanne Schafer, Chair, Department of Treasury Scott Lites Kristine Barann

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Frederick Frank Mark Wollenweber

ALSO PRESENT:

Mark Kibby, City Administrator Robert Cady, Finance Director Patrick Dostine, Department of Treasury

Reported by:

Amy Shankleton-Novess (CER 0828) Modern Court Reporting & Video, LLC SCAO Firm No. 08228 101-A North Lewis Street Saline, Michigan 48176 (734) 429-9143/ajsn

1	CALLED TO ORDER: 11:30 a.m.
2	Allen Park, Michigan
3	Thursday, March 10, 2016
4	MS. SCHAFER: Okay. We're going to call the
5	meeting to order. It's 11:30. Mr. Dostine, will you do
6	roll call for me, please?
7	MR. DOSTINE: Suzanne Schafer.
8	MS. SCHAFER: Here.
9	MR. DOSTINE: Fred Frank has been asked to be
10	excused. Mark Wollenweber has been asked to be excused.
11	Kristine Barann?
12	MS. BARANN: Here.
13	MR. DOSTINE: Scott Lites?
14	MR. LITES: Present.
15	MR. DOSTINE: You have a quorum, Madam Chair.
16	MS. SCHAFER: Thank you.
17	The next item is the approval of the agenda. I
18	will entertain a motion to approve the agenda as
19	presented.
20	MR. LITES: So moved.
21	MS. BARANN: I'll support.
22	MS. SCHAFER: It's been moved and supported.
23	All those in favor say aye.
24	MR. LITES: Aye.
25	MS. BARANN: Aye.

1	MS. SCHAFER: Aye.
2	Opposed?
3	(No response.)
4	MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, the agenda has been
5	approved.
6	I just want to remind everyone to sign up for
7	public comment if they wish to speak during public comment
8	period.
9	We'll move on to the approval of the RTAB
10	minutes from the January 6, 2015 (sic) regular meeting.
11	MS. BARANN: So moved.
12	MR. LITES: Second.
13	MS. SCHAFER: It's been moved and supported.
14	Any further discussion?
15	(No response.)
16	MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, all those in favor
17	of approving the RTAB minutes from the January 6th, 2015
18	(sic) regular meeting say aye.
19	MR. LITES: Aye.
20	MS. BARANN: Aye.
21	MS. SCHAFER: Aye.
22	Opposed?
23	(No response.)
24	MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, the board has
25	approved the RTAB minutes from January 6, I think that's

1	supposed to be '16.
2	MS. BARANN: Yep.
3	MS. SCHAFER: Sorry.
4	MS. BARANN: I would agree.
5	MS. SCHAFER: We've approved the minutes from
6	the January 6th, 2016 meeting.
7	Moving on to old business of which there is
8	none, so we will go right to new business.
9	NEW BUSINESS:
10	MS. SCHAFER: The first item is the resolutions
11	from the regular city council meeting of December 22nd,
12	2015.
13	Do we have any questions or discussions?
14	MS. BARANN: I just had one real quick question
15	for Mr. Kibby perhaps. I notice that the audit was
16	presented. Anything out of the usual?
17	MR. KIBBY: No, nothing unusual. We did add
18	more money to the fund balance though.
19	MS. BARANN: Okay. Fantastic.
20	MS. SCHAFER: Any further questions?
21	Okay, I'll entertain a motion to approve, deny,
22	or table all the resolutions from the regular city council
23	meeting of December 22nd, 2015.
24	MS. BARANN: So moved.
25	MR. LITES: Second.

1	MS. SCHAFER: You're moving to approve?
2	MS. BARANN: So yeah, sorry. Moving to
3	approve them. Thank you.
4	MS. SCHAFER: And it's been supported?
5	MR. LITES: Yes.
6	MS. SCHAFER: Okay. Been moved and supported to
7	approve all the resolutions from the regular city council
8	meeting of December 22nd, 2015.
9	Any further discussion?
10	(No response.)
11	MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, all those in favor
12	of approving, say aye. Aye.
13	MR. LITES: Aye.
14	MS. BARANN: Aye.
15	MS. SCHAFER: Opposed?
16	(No response.)
17	MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, the board has
18	approved all resolutions from the regular city council
19	meeting of December 22nd, 2015.
20	The next item are the resolutions from the
21	regular city council meeting of January 12th, 2016.
22	Any questions?
23	(No response.)
24	MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, I'll entertain a
25	motion to approve, deny, or table all resolutions from the

```
1
         regular city council meeting of January 12th, 2016.
2
                  MR. LITES: So moved.
3
                  MS. SCHAFER: To approve?
                  MR. LITES:
4
                              Yes.
 5
                  MS. BARANN:
                               We'll get that.
 6
                  MS. SCHAFER: Sorry.
7
                  MS. BARANN: I'll second that.
8
                  MS. SCHAFER: It's been moved and supported to
9
         approve all resolutions from the regular city council
         meeting of January 12th, 2016.
10
11
                  Any further discussion?
12
                  (No response.)
13
                  MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, all those in favor
14
         say aye.
15
                  MR. LITES:
                              Aye.
16
                  MS. BARANN: Aye.
17
                  MS. SCHAFER: Aye.
18
                  Opposed?
19
                  (No response.)
20
                  MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, the board has
21
         approved all resolutions from the regular city council
22
         meeting of January 12th, 2016.
23
                  The next item are the resolutions from the
24
         regular city council meeting of January 26th, 2016.
25
                  Any questions?
```

1	MS. BARANN: I would move to approve the
2	resolutions from the regular city council meeting of
3	January 26, 2016.
4	MR. LITES: Second.
5	MS. SCHAFER: It's been moved and supported to
6	approve all resolutions from the regular city council
7	meeting of January 26, 2016.
8	Any further discussion?
9	(No response.)
10	MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, all those in favor
11	of approving the resolutions from the regular city council
12	meeting of January 26, 2016 say aye.
13	MS. BARANN: Aye.
14	MR. LITES: Aye.
15	MS. SCHAFER: Aye.
16	Opposed?
17	(No response.)
18	MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, the board has
19	approved the all resolutions from the regular city council
20	meeting of January 26, 2016.
21	The next item are the resolutions from the
22	regular city council meeting of February 9th, 2016. Any
23	questions?
24	MR. DOSTINE: Madam Chair, this is the one where
25	we might want to add the words "and ordinances."

1	MS. SCHAFER: Oh. Okay.
2	MR. DOSTINE: I believe Ordinance 01/2016 is
3	part of this motion.
4	MS. SCHAFER: Okay. So any questions?
5	MR. LITES: This is the Mr. Dostine, this is
6	the ordinance amending the, increasing the people the
7	number of people on the pension board?
8	MR. DOSTINE: Correct.
9	MR. LITES: From five to seven?
10	MR. DOSTINE: Correct.
11	MR. LITES: Who are the we're adding two
12	people, and if I understand correctly, after if this is
13	approved there will be two citizens, the finance director,
14	policeman, a fireman, a a general employee
15	MS. BARANN: Yes.
16	MR. LITES: and financial so there'll be
17	two citizens. Who are the additions from five to seven
18	are who are the two two additions?
19	MR. KIBBY: The new person coming in would be a
20	voting member from the fire department.
21	MR. LITES: Yep.
22	MR. KIBBY: And a citizen.
23	MR. LITES: Okay.
24	MR. KIBBY: Now there is some changes there
25	because of how the order was written there, so currently

1	myself and Bob both sit on there.
2	MR. LITES: Uh-huh.
3	MR. KIBBY: Bob replaced the one citizen because
4	her term limit, her term had ended, and Ms. Parker's order
5	put him back on after that term.
6	MR. LITES: Okay.
7	MR. KIBBY: And then going forth it would be the
8	members you mentioned. Likelihood is the one member that
9	was the citizen that Bob replaced is interested in coming
10	back on, and my guess is the mayor will probably reappoint
11	her, and he was looking for somebody else that was in the
12	banking-type industry to also sit on that board if it's
13	finalized.
14	MR. LITES: And how big are the assets under
15	management by the system now?
16	MR. KIBBY: Right now they're at just about \$80
17	million.
18	MR. LITES: Okay. Okay. And we're not
19	there's not a concern that seven people is a lot of
20	members of a board for only eight it's a relatively
21	small system with having it to have seven members of the
22	board. We're comfortable with that?
23	MR. KIBBY: Yes. It helps also resolve the
24	there was a grievance in there as well.
25	MR. LITES: Right.

1	MR. KIBBY: The two members, the fire department
2	had a non-voting member, so that member has been
3	attending, as well as the court has a non-voting member
4	that does attend. When it goes to closed session they
5	obviously leave it because they don't have a vote.
6	MR. LITES: Sure.
7	MR. KIBBY: But.
8	MR. LITES: Sure.
9	MR. KIBBY: Going back through my notes, I don't
10	I haven't seen any of those members absenteeism
11	hasn't been an issue or anything like that either, so.
12	MR. LITES: Okay. Okay.
13	MS. SCHAFER: Before we I have a question for
14	Mr. Dostine. The resolution says subject to state
15	approval necessitated by emergency manager order number
16	52. Am I reading the right one?
17	I'm sorry. I think I'm jumping ahead. I am.
18	I'm sorry.
19	MR. DOSTINE: Okay.
20	MS. SCHAFER: That's the next resolution.
21	Forget my question.
22	MR. DOSTINE: Okay. Did that refer to the
23	order? Is that what you were
24	MS. SCHAFER: Yes. I'm sorry. Yes, it's the
25	couple orders that we pulled ahead.

1	MR. DOSTINE: All right. Which is going to work
2	in unison with the ordinance.
3	MR. CADY: All orders go to the treasury
4	MS. SCHAFER: Correct.
5	MR. CADY: for approval?
6	MS. SCHAFER: They do. So I was just
7	misreading, so.
8	MS. BARANN: So I'd like can as long as
9	we're I would like to make a motion to approve the
10	resolutions and ordinances from the regular city council
11	meeting of February 9th, 2016.
12	MR. LITES: Second.
13	MS. SCHAFER: It's been moved and supported to
14	approve all the resolutions and ordinance from the regular
15	city council meeting of February 9th, 2016.
16	Any further discussion?
17	(No response.)
18	MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, all those in favor
19	of approving all the resolutions and ordinance from the
20	regular city council meeting of February 9th, 2016 say
21	aye.
22	MR. LITES: Aye.
23	MS. BARANN: Aye.
24	MS. SCHAFER: Aye.
25	Opposed?

1	(No response.)
2	MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, the board has
3	approved all the resolutions and the ordinance from the
4	regular city council meeting of February 9th, 2016.
5	So that brings us to the city administrator
6	items, the first of which is the approval of amendment of
7	EM Order 2014-055A. And I would ask Mr. Dostine to
8	provide a summary of this item for the board.
9	MR. DOSTINE: Sure. I'd be glad to.
10	So this order was written to prescribe a way
11	that the city would sell the property fronting Southfield
12	Road, the 11 acres where old city hall sits. And the
13	order requires among other things that the city use an RFF
14	process, and the city is requesting that they be allowed
15	the option to hire an expert firm to market the property
16	and sell it that way.
17	You know, an RFP process is something that at
18	the moment, as the city told me, they don't really have
19	the staff and resources to undertake something like that.
20	And, you know, a national firm would, you know, touch more
21	potential buyers.
22	So the request is to amend the order to allow
23	them, allow the city to hire hire a firm to market the
24	property.
25	MR. LITES: And thus obviate the RFP process,

1	right?
2	MR. DOSTINE: Right.
3	MR. LITES: Okay.
4	MR. DOSTINE: I don't know if you guys want to
5	talk about
6	MR. KIBBY: I think the concern that we have
7	from the city perspective is we just we're not experts
8	in real estate sale. We know a lot of other things, and
9	real estate is not one of them.
10	MR. LITES: Uh-huh.
11	MR. KIBBY: And knowing the city's track record,
12	I don't think that's the best interest of the city to be
13	involved in some on that aspect either. It should
14	probably be handled by a professional.
15	MS. BARANN: So what would be the city's process
16	in identifying that partner?
17	MR. KIBBY: We'd probably, the best way to go on
18	is to talk to the couple of firms that are out there.
19	There's, you know, a handful of firms, CBRE is one.
20	Signature. There's a new marketer for this property as
21	well that the owner of the or purchase of the land
22	contract is using, talk to them, see what their proposals
23	would be; choose the best one that can market that
24	property and then go from that point.
25	MR. LITES: And then in theory there'd be a

1	recommendation by the city council as to which broker to
2	use?
3	MR. KIBBY: Correct.
4	MR. LITES: After after an interview process?
5	MR. KIBBY: Correct.
6	MR. LITES: Okay, so we'd not be tied just to CB
7	Richard Ellis which is in the
8	MR. KIBBY: No.
9	MR. LITES: Okay. All right.
10	MR. KIBBY: We had some connection with them in
11	the past. I don't know if that's still under contract or
12	not. I don't believe it is, but, you know, there's a
13	couple other markets out there too.
14	MR. LITES: Okay.
15	MS. SCHAFER: Any further questions?
16	MS. BARANN: I would move to approve the
17	recommendation to the treasurer to amend Emergency Order
18	2014-055A as requested.
19	MR. LITES: Second.
20	MS. SCHAFER: It's been moved and supported to
21	approve the recommendation to the treasurer to amend EM
22	Order 2014-055A.
23	Any further discussion?
24	(No response.)
25	MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, all those in favor

1	say aye.
2	MS. BARANN: Aye.
3	MS. SCHAFER: Aye.
4	MR. LITES: (no verbal response)
5	MS. SCHAFER: Opposed?
6	(No response.)
7	MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, the board has
8	approved the recommendation to the treasurer to amend EM
9	Order 2014-055A as requested.
10	The next item is the approval of amendment of EM
11	Order 2013-029.
12	Mr. Dostine, could you please provide a summary?
13	MR. DOSTINE: Sure.
14	So Order 29, it relate to Public Act 152 of
15	2011, and that Act essentially mandated that employees
16	share in the costs of their healthcare as employees of
17	local units of government. Order 29 mandated that Allen
18	Park provide using the 80/20 model of cost sharing, which
19	is one of the options in Public Act 152. The city is
20	requesting the option of either providing 80/20 cost
21	sharing or the hard cap. And Mark, do you want to?
22	MR. KIBBY: One of the main issues we're running
23	into is we're trying to recruit police officers and
24	others, and I know this board has listened to that battle
25	cry for a long time now. We're just not being able to

attract the people to come in. We're competing with a little bit lower wages. We did make some amendments to those, and we are, you know, getting close to being at that point. But I use an example, the last candidate chose another community, a neighboring community, and one of the items that he listed as why he wasn't coming here was the healthcare cost. We do agree that there should be some sort of cost sharing with the employees; that is not a question. We're just asking for the ability to have mayor and council be able to be — choose between either 80/20 or hard cap. Our recommendation would be to go to hard cap. It would be a cost savings for those potential employees coming in, somewhere from \$1,200 for a single person to \$2,500 for a family. Those are real dollars in their pockets.

We have already, preparing the 2016/17 budget, Mr. Cady has already accounted for that change to go into place, and we have been able to balance the budget using those numbers. So that is not a concern going forth if that is approved; it would be budgeted properly and be handled.

I think it also would be a great tool to help retain some employees too if we have anybody that's on that cusp while looking, they might be able to see that there's a savings here, put some money back in their

1	pockets; \$2,500 for a family I'm thinking of a couple
2	of employees I know have been looking elsewhere, and we'd
3	like to definitely retain them, and this could be one of
4	those tools to help do that as well.
5	MS. SCHAFER: Any further questions?
6	MR. LITES: Mark, does the is there any
7	effect on giving this option now in this year's budget?
8	By the time we're done implementing it will it
9	have any effect on this year's budget?
10	MR. KIBBY: Pretty minimal at this point. By
11	the time we get it back from if you approve it today
12	it'd have to go to treasury for a change. We'd get it
13	back from treasury; it'd have to go to mayor and council
14	for approval, and my guess is it would have to come back
15	here to the RTAB for final approval at that point. So
16	you're probably looking at the earliest, probably I'm -
17	- if you, you know, fast track everything, maybe the May
18	meeting. But I'm thinking
19	MR. LITES: No, but
20	MR. KIBBY: probably more likely the June
21	meeting.
22	MR. LITES: I'm sorry. I'm not doing a good job
23	of asking my question.
24	Do they what would be the economic effect to
25	the city, what would be the additional cost incurred by

1	the city if we in fact went to and gave the hard cap
2	option?
3	Do we have any projections on that?
4	MR. KIBBY: Yeah, for the for the full year
5	the difference is about \$188,000. Currently we collect
6	around \$261,000 total for all the employees. There's 87
7	employees that pay into that. With the hard cap the
8	number drops to \$72,245, and the difference for the city
9	would be the \$188,863.
10	MR. LITES: There's the \$261,000 and the
11	\$72,000.
12	MR. KIBBY: Yeah. For this current fiscal year,
13	by the time you get it implemented you'd probably be
14	looking at earliest, what I'm thinking is probably June.
15	MR. LITES: Right. So, but that 188, that's
16	annualized though?
17	MS. BARANN: Right.
18	MR. KIBBY: Yeah, correct.
19	MR. LITES: That was my okay, that was my
20	question. Okay, so it'd be
21	MR. CADY: You might want to mention that we're
22	saving more than that since we moved.
23	MR. KIBBY: Yeah, absolutely. Yeah. I think we
24	mentioned that in the letter we sent to Pat. I'm not sure
25	if the board had seen that. We made a couple of moves
	1

earlier in the year. We went to a new health a new
prescription drug coverage that has reaped a fair amount
of savings on that, and we also went to a self-insured
plan. On that projection there, we're looking at
somewhere between \$250,000 to \$500,000 is what we're
seeing right now, and that's, being honest, that's being
conservative.
MS. BARANN: Annualized?
MR. CADY: Big savings.
MS. BARANN: Annualized?
MR. LITES: Annualized savings. So in essence
from the budget, from budget to actual in theory, even if
we were to approve this option we would still be ahead?
MR. KIBBY: Correct.
MR. LITES: Compared budgeted to actual?
MR. CADY: Based on five months of data
MR. LITES: Right.
MR. CADY: that we have so far since we made
MR. LITES: Strictly projections. I understand.
Right. Okay.
MS. SCHAFER: Any further questions?
MS. BARANN: I would move to approve the
recommendation to the treasurer to amend EM Order 2013-029
as requested.

1	MR. LITES: Second.
2	MS. SCHAFER: It's been moved and supported to
3	approve a recommendation to the treasurer to amend EM
4	Order 2013-029 as requested.
5	Any further discussion?
6	(No response.)
7	MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, all those in favor
8	of approving a recommendation to the treasurer to amend EM
9	Order 2013-029 as requested say aye.
10	MR. LITES: Aye.
11	MS. BARANN: Aye.
12	MS. SCHAFER: Aye.
13	Opposed?
14	(No response.)
15	MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, the board has
16	approved a recommendation to the treasurer to amend EM
17	Order 2013-029 as requested.
18	The next order of business is the approval of
19	amendments of EM Order 2014-052. Mr. Dostine?
20	MR. DOSTINE: So this order was written and
21	addressed to membership of the pension board. We just
22	spoke about it briefly a few minutes ago. The order
23	specifically, just for your benefit, appointed a city
24	administrator to the board who replaced the former EM and
25	appointed Bob Cady by name in replacement of the mayor and

1	council's appointee, and it stipulated a five member
2	five members made up the board. And so the order would be
3	amended in a way that it's dovetailed with the ordinance
4	that we just approved. It would it would put I
5	mention by name the title finance director being put onto
6	the pension board, and really that's about it.
7	MR. KIBBY: Currently it does not mention the
8	finance director. Through the orders it doesn't mention
9	the finance director; it just mentions Bob by name.
10	MR. DOSTINE: Right.
11	MR. KIBBY: So I assume if Bob left he'd still
12	be here.
13	MS. BARANN: Congratulations.
14	MR. KIBBY: It's like a lifetime
15	MS. BARANN: You're a board forever.
16	MR. KIBBY: a lifetime appointment. So to
17	help him save him from the future I guess. But it would
18	it goes back to naming positions as opposed to
19	MS. BARANN: People.
20	MR. KIBBY: names themselves.
21	MR. DOSTINE: Right.
22	MR. LITES: Sure.
23	MS. SCHAFER: Any further questions?
24	MR. LITES: I would move to approve the order,
25	the recommendation to the treasurer to amend EM Order

1	2014-052 as requested.
2	MS. BARANN: I'll support.
3	MS. SCHAFER: It's been moved and supported.
4	Any further discussion?
5	(No response.)
6	MS. SCHAFER: Okay, all those in favor of
7	approving a recommendation to the treasurer to amend EM
8	Order 2014-052 say aye.
9	MR. LITES: Aye.
10	MS. BARANN: Aye.
11	MS. SCHAFER: Aye.
12	Opposed?
13	(No response.)
14	MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, the board has
15	approved a recommendation to the treasurer to amend EM
16	Order 2014-052.
17	Okay, then we're going to move on to the next
18	section, the non-action items.
19	NON-ACTION ITEMS:
20	MS. SCHAFER: We have the Budget-to-Actual
21	Report for December 2015 and 2016, as well as the
22	Litigation Report and the Quarterly Report in the board's
23	packets. Those have been noted as received and filed.
24	That brings us to the city administrator update.
25	Mr. Kibby?

MR. KIBBY: I'm the happiest person that Order 52 will be changed because I actually come off the board. I thought that was the best -- best one of them all.

Yeah, we've had quite a busy time since we met two months ago. We did receive notification from the state that we were recipients of a grant for an emergency generator through the Distressed Communities grant program.

Subsequent to that, we've had some changes in the priority, need priority here, and we did contact the Department to see if there's a possibility of maybe switching that to a higher need project which is actually the HVAC system at the fire department. That is completely come to a -- it's pretty much dead. The boiler system needs to be replaced, and so we've looked at that. It looks like the state is -- had a couple questions and we're just waiting to hear back from them if they'll approve that or not. Although the emergency generator is a very high need, we felt that health and safety for the fire department and also a portion of the DPS building was a little bit higher need at this point in time, so we'll continue.

One of the other changes is we look to eventually leave this building and do a police department, city hall, either individually or together, emergency

generator would be part of that plan as well, too, for those buildings.

One of the items that was also here before the board in the council minutes was the structure at 4100 Allen Road, formerly known as a gun range it was supposed to be turned into. This had been a nuisance building for at least 30 years if not longer. And that was finally demolished by the owner at the owner's cost. They did pay for some of our costs. We had a study done for the asbestos, and they did reimburse us for those costs.

In the past couple weeks we've opened up bids for the solid waste collection. We're in the process of reviewing those. It looks like we'll be able to potentially save a little bit of money and also enhance the service that we provide to the residents with the possibility of adding the 96 gallon carts. That has become a popular feature in this area amongst all the neighboring communities, and we feel if that's financially affordable and the council or mayor approve, could help with the rodent issue that we've had in the city for a number of years.

We've also had bid openings for the pavement replacement project, which was the millage, the road millage that was approved by the voters in November. We went out to bid for that. They came in under the

estimated cost by I think by about \$25,000 or something like that, but we're happy that they're within the engineer's estimates. And so we'll be bringing those forth. You'll be seeing these items come up on the -- in the next couple -- I think the next meeting is when they should come up. So we're very happy to see that progress being made. The roads in Allen Park, like everywhere else, are in pretty bad shape. So this is a step in the right direction. That's a total of 3 million dollars for that portion. And then we also have a street sectioning included in there for about another half million. And some other projects, community development block grant; that'll be another \$100,000 in eligible areas.

And we also added a new component to the utility repair portion. When we have a water or sewer problem the city goes out and makes the repairs. Previous years those projects have kind of waited to be repaired in front of the resident's home until the contractor was doing street sectioning got to that area of town. Unfortunately though the resident waits four, six, eight months up, you know, or even sometimes longer, and we want to make sure that they're done a little bit quicker, so we've gotten a contractor just strictly to do that work. They have to be out there and completed within 30 to 40 days, and we think that'll help eliminate the concerns of the residents

having to come to the podium to, you know, to voice their
concern to mayor and council. That's a function of our
job we should be taking care of in a timely fashion. They
should not have to wait that long. I personally in my own
residence had to wait. And it's not it's not fair, and
it wasn't fair to those residents either.
We did have a study session with the mayor and

We did have a study session with the mayor and council to discuss the MML wage study that was conducted and the White Hall Group C overall operation review. I'll get those up Pat so they can be shared with the board.

MS. BARANN: Mark, I'm sorry to interrupt, is the thought to incorporate that study into the next year's budget?

MR. KIBBY: Yeah, there was a couple items there of concern. When they did the wage study, three positions were green lined that were outside what they -- what they considered to be a high, low, and medium range for those positions. So those -- that -- those three positions come up for recommendation to make an adjustment now, and the rest of the adjustment would be made at the -- I'll start again -- the July 1st budget start.

MR. LITES: Mark, what's the status with the rebidding process on the trash removal?

MR. KIBBY: We have a meeting scheduled with the low bidder for tomorrow, and there is a question of, you

1 know, there's always questions in their bid to make sure
2 we --

MS. BARANN: Yeah.

MR. KIBBY: -- we're all on the same page. We always -- it's kind of, and you kind of laugh a little bit because the other contractors will always point out all the flaws that they feel are made, and that that's happening right now.

So we'll meet with that contractor to make sure, clarify, make sure that what we see in the bid is what they -- what they put in their bid and there's no miscommunication over cost.

The issue that we're seeing with this one we need to clarify is they incorporated in their price to take the disposal to their own landfill site. Currently we landfill with Riverview, the City of Riverview; an additional cost the city pays and not the contractor. So we wanted them to bid on that disposal. They even said that it's incorporated in their bid, and we want to clarify that. That alone would save I believe \$200,000 of what we're paying to Riverview per year. So there's a huge savings on that aspect. It's -- because of that savings then we can turn around and do the containers for the residents.

MR. LITES: Right. And if that were to be

```
1
        clarified tomorrow by the low bidder, what would be the
2
        total -- what would be the total savings from what we've
3
        paid in the past?
                  MR. CADY: Even with that change I believe we're
4
5
        about $200,000 below where we are now --
                  MR. LITES: So it could be --
6
7
                  MR. CADY: -- per year.
                  MR. LITES: -- it could be up to four?
8
9
                  MR. CADY: Right.
10
                  MR. LITES: Okay. Good.
                  MR. CADY: If we don't do something, and pardon
11
12
        me for interrupting, if we don't do something, the fund
13
        balance in the trash fund will be at zero by June 30.
14
                  MR. LITES: Right. And didn't we have to give
15
        them a six month notice to terminate?
16
                  MR. CADY: Sixty days.
17
                  MR. DOSTINE: Sixty days.
18
                  MR. LITES: Oh, sixty. So we're way beyond the
19
         60 days.
20
                  MR. CADY: Way beyond that.
21
                  MR. KIBBY: Yeah, they're still collecting.
22
        They're --
23
                  MR. LITES: Okay. All right.
24
                  MR. KIBBY: They're happy to collect. They were
25
        not the highest bid, but they were the third highest bid.
```

1	MR. LITES: Okay.
2	MR. CADY: The plan if all this is approved to
3	bring this back to the RTAB I believe for the April
4	meeting so that we could begin the new trash service May
5	1st.
6	MS. BARANN: Wow.
7	MR. LITES: Okay, that was my next question.
8	Okay, good. Good.
9	MR. KIBBY: Yeah, we normally would have met on
10	Tuesday, but because of the election it was moved to the
11	15th.
12	MR. LITES: Okay.
13	MR. KIBBY: Just a couple other items that the
14	board has been approving on the past, we did take delivery
15	of the Ford Transit van and a Ford Transit Connect which
16	are being used by the senior program. Those were block
17	grant projects that were purchased with block grant
18	dollars, community development block grant. And the
19	seniors are raving about the Transit Connect. It's a
20	little bit smaller, lower to ground.
21	MS. BARANN: It's lower.
22	MR. KIBBY: They can get in a lot easier. And
23	so we're trying to use that service for the to make it
24	a little bit better for the residents.
25	LiquiForce has finished lining, sewer lining. I

think they had 53 locations for emergency sewer repairs which were part of the SAW grant program. So the SAW grant paid to go through and evaluate the condition of the sewers, and then one of the requirements of accepting the SAW grant is that you have to make the commitment to go back and make those repairs which were done. And I think they finished the last ones last week. That's it for those.

The building department and the DPW, they have also taken delivery of their vehicles, and again I think those were some of the items that were approved by the board here in the past.

And other than that, we're just waiting -- we'll get working with the -- to see what's out there for the CRE (sic) type signatures for the building next door and see if we can get that moving along. But mayor and council have had -- they have committees set up to discuss the municipal complex building, which we are in a timeframe to get out of this building and getting those locations is discussion at this point in time. I think the one location right now is right next door between the front portion and the back portion of property, kind of like the dead man zone, is one of the locations they're looking at, but they have others on their agenda as well that they're having to take a look at to see what can come

1	about. So hopefully we'll be getting that moving along
2	rather quickly. Time is ticking here so we need to get
3	out of here as quickly as possible.
4	MS. SCHAFER: Thank you.
5	Any other questions for Mr. Kibby?
6	MR. LITES: Uh-uh.
7	MS. BARANN: Thanks.
8	MS. SCHAFER: Okay, that brings us to the public
9	comments.
10	PUBLIC COMMENTS:
11	MR. DOSTINE: Madam Chair, there are no requests
12	for public comments today.
13	MS. SCHAFER: Okay. Then that takes us to board
14	comments.
15	BOARD COMMENTS:
16	MS. BARANN: A big thank you to all the
17	employees, the city, for doing what you do. We appreciate
18	it.
19	MS. SCHAFER: Any other comments?
20	(No response.)
21	ADJOURNMENT:
22	MS. SCHAFER: Then I move to adjourn. Or I
23	don't move. I ask for a motion to adjourn.
24	MS. BARANN: I will move to adjourn.
25	MR. LITES: Second.
	1

```
1
                  MS. SCHAFER: Darn. I was trying to get out --
 2
                  MS. BARANN: It's a nice day.
 3
                  MS. SCHAFER: Exactly.
                  So it's been moved and supported. All those in
 4
 5
         favor of adjourning say aye.
 6
                  MS. BARANN: Aye.
 7
                  MR. LITES: Aye.
8
                  MS. SCHAFER: Aye.
9
                  Opposed?
10
                  (No response.)
11
                  MS. SCHAFER: Hearing none, the meeting is
12
         adjourned. Thank you.
                  (At 12:01 p.m., meeting concluded)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

STATE OF MICHIGAN

COUNTY OF WASHTENAW).ss

I certify that this transcript is a complete, true, and correct transcript to the best of my ability of the RTAB meeting held on March 10, 2016 at the City of Allen Park. I also certify that I am not a relative or employee of the parties involved and have no financial interest in this case.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

March 16, 2016

s/ amy Shankleton-Novess

Amy Shankleton-Novess (CER 0838)

Certified Electronic Reporter