City of Ecorse

Receivership Transition Advisory Board Meeting Minutes

Monday, November 7th, 2016

Ecorse City Hall

Council Chambers

Albert B. Buday Civic Center

3869 West Jefferson

Ecorse, Michigan 48229

RTAB MEMBERS PRESENT:

ROBERT BOVITZ JEFF SYKES

RTAB MEMBERS ABSENT:

JOAN BROPHY

ALSO PRESENT:

PATRICK DOSTINE

Michigan Department of Treasury

DAVID FLATEN

Interim City Administrator

Reported by:

Amy Shankleton-Novess (CER 0939) Modern Court Reporting & Video, LLC

SCAO FIRM NO. 08228

101-A North Lewis Street

Saline, Michigan 48176

(734) 429-9143/nel

1	Called to order at 9:08 a.m.
2	MR. SYKES: Can you do roll call.
3	MR. DOSTINE: Sure. Joan Brophy's been asked to
4	be excused.
5	Rob Bovitz.
6	MR. BOVITZ: Here.
7	MR. DOSTINE: Jeff Sykes.
8	MR. SYKES: Here.
9	MR. DOSTINE: You have quorum, Mr. Chair.
10	MR. SYKES: All right, thank you Patrick.
11	First we have the approval of the agenda, and
12	it's my understanding that there's an item that we're
13	going to add to the agenda?
14	MR. DOSTINE: Yes, Mr. Chair, Mr. Flaten would
15	like to bring to your attention two items that will be
16	appearing before council tonight.
17	MR. SYKES: Okay. We'll go ahead and we'll add
18	those items to new business, first off.
19	MR. DOSTINE: Sure.
20	MR. SYKES: Okay, so, I'll entertain a motion to
21	approve the agenda with the two items that will be added.
22	MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
23	MR. SYKES: With that, I will
24	MR. BOVITZ: You have the support?
25	MR. SYKES: Support. I will support.

1	All those in favor say aye. Aye.
2	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
3	MR. SYKES: Those against, the same.
4	(No response)
5	MR. SYKES: Okay, this item is approved.
6	I'd also like to remind the audience, if you
7	intend to speak during the public comment portion of the
8	meeting, it'll be necessary for you to sign in at the
9	podium. Okay.
10	First, we have the approval of RTAB minutes of
11	the October 11th, 2016. I'll entertain a motion to
12	approve the October 11th, 2016, RTAB minutes as presented.
13	MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
14	MR. SYKES: All those in favor say oh, I
15	support. All those in favor say aye.
16	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
17	MR. SYKES: Aye.
18	Those against, the same.
19	(No response)
20	MR. SYKES: This motion has passed.
21	Next we have old business, and there is no old
22	business, so we'll move on to new business. And we'll
23	start off with the two items, the new equipment items. So
24	Mr. Flaten, if you could come up and talk a little bit
25	about those two items, we'd appreciate it.

MR. FLATEN: In speaking with the DPS director,
DPW director, Kevin Lawrence, we talked about snow
removal, we talked about sewer maintenance items, water
maintenance items, and so forth. And I asked him if he
could get me an idea of how much money we have spent over
the past couple years on those items where we contracted
out as opposed to being able to do it on our own. And he
indicated that he could probably, with the personnel that
he has, they could be trained to do this work, and they
are doing that kind of work at the present time, with the
water and sewer. And but we also talked about snow
removal, too.

And so the two items that I'm bringing before you today is one, to purchase a new GMC Sierra small dump truck with plow and spreader. Kevin got the quotes, I believe you have the background information on that, got the quotes, and he wants to purchase that from Todd Wenzel Buick.

And the other item is to purchase a backhoe, that has certain attachments, that we could utilize here, to do a lot of the work that we have been contracting out. And Kevin, in a memo to me, had highlighted and indicated some of the costs that are involved that we have incurred in the past that he could either reduce -- well, definitely reduce because we can do our own work.

1	Major items of sewer and water repair would have
2	to be contracted out, but some of the smaller items that
3	take place, like a small main break or a small pipe
4	replacement or something like that, we don't need to
5	contract that; we can do it ourselves. And we'd save a
6	lot of money.
7	MR. SYKES: Okay.
8	MR. FLATEN: So that's why I'm bringing it to
9	you. I understand this is a bit out of order to do that,
10	but it'll be on the agenda tonight for their approval, and
11	I would appreciate yours today, conditional upon that.
12	MR. SYKES: Okay. Any other questions?
13	MR. BOVITZ: It looks like the search was done
14	online, looking for different, you know, comparisons, to
15	make sure the price is in the
16	MR. FLATEN: Yeah.
17	MR. BOVITZ: So it looks like at least some of
18	the homework was done by Mr. Lawrence. My question would
19	be, in the past there's been concern about whether we have
20	licensed and qualified people to operate the machinery.
21	And so are you satisfied that the city has somebody that
22	would
23	MR. FLATEN: He's satisfied that we've got
24	and Kevin can operate this stuff, too, and has operated
25	this type of equipment.

1	MR. BOVITZ: Yeah, there's no sense to contract
2	it out if we have qualified individuals on the payroll,
3	so.
4	MR. FLATEN: That's right.
5	MR. BOVITZ: So yeah.
6	MR. FLATEN: Considering the amount of money
7	we've paid out over the years.
8	MR. BOVITZ: Okay.
9	MR. SYKES: Okay. Patrick, can we approve both
10	of these equipment purchases at once? Do that separate,
11	what's
12	MR. DOSTINE: David, how will they be brought to
13	council?
14	MR. FLATEN: They'll probably be brought
15	together. But if you want to approve them separately,
16	that's fine.
17	MR. SYKES: No, that's okay. I'm just
18	wondering.
19	MR. FLATEN: I have no problem with that. I
20	think that would probably be the best way to do it,
21	approve them separately.
22	MR. DOSTINE: Approve them separately?
23	MR. FLATEN: Right.
24	MR. DOSTINE: I think either way would work, Mr.
25	Chair

1	MR. SYKES: All right, we'll go ahead and do it
2	separately if that matters.
3	Okay, so, I'll entertain a motion to approve the
4	purchase of the dump truck, understanding that this
5	approval is contingent on city council approval.
6	MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
7	MR. SYKES: Support. All those in favor say
8	aye.
9	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
10	MR. SYKES: Opposed the same.
11	(No response)
12	MR. SYKES: The purchase of the dump truck has
13	been approved.
14	move on to the purchase of the backhoe. So I'll
15	entertain a motion for the purchase of the backhoe, again,
16	understanding that this is contingent on city council
17	approval.
18	MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
19	MR. SYKES: I will support. All those in favor
20	say aye.
21	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
22	MR. SYKES: Aye. Opposed the same.
23	(No response)
24	MR. SYKES: That has been approved, as well.
25	Patrick, we're now moving onto the plans, new

business. Could you speak for a moment about annual RTAB
evaluation?

MR. DOSTINE: Sure, Mr. Chair.

Every year, the RTABs are charged with, and this comes from the governor's appointment letter, where he requests the RTAB do a formal evaluation, or lookback, of the previous year. And it looks over a variety of stuff; essentially it can be categorized into operational financial components.

The evaluation itself took place in April of 2015, and the lookback was on May, 2015 to May 2016. And what we use to sort of develop the questionnaire, it's a five or six page legal size paper, a list of questions. These indicators come both through a governor's appointment letter, Public Act 436, and then EM Order, the final Order 94, okay.

I and my colleague Drew Van de Grift sat down with, in April 2015, with Mayor Lamar Tidwell, then city administrator Wayne O'Neal, and controller Tim Sidowski. It was a two and a half hour evaluation. Afterwards, I had to -- Tim was very helpful, by the way, you know, I must say, providing Treasury with documents, you know, financial documents explaining things to me, so I could write the most accurate evaluation of this one year lookback as possible.

It's a long evaluation. I'm not going to read
the entire thing; I will just highlight stuff, and then
move to the recommendation. At the time of the
evaluation, the city's audited total general fund balance
for fiscal year 2015 was \$5.4 million, which was really a
healthy 59 percent of expenditures.

And the entire fund year balance was slightly higher, at 4.5 million -- \$4.538 million, largely due to a payment from U.S. Steel, in the settlement of one million dollars towards the 2014 fund balance.

After I completed the evaluation, the city submitted a revised five year budget. I added it to the evaluation, and it projected deficits in the general fund for fiscal years 2018 through 2021. The deficits were in amounts of, in chronological order, 1.2 million, 1.6 million, 1.9 million, and 2.7 million.

During the evaluation, the city controller disclosed the following uses of the city's general fund balance, in response to a mandated redemption provisions.

1.9 million redemption of series 2002 fiscal stabilization bonds, a little over 2 million dollars purchased of non-alternative minimum tax, federally tax exempt bonds, and a \$400,000 payment to MERS, reducing the fund balance to a unrestricted amount of \$674,587, down from approximately 5.4 million dollars.

Some other highlights in the evaluation, in the
2015/2016 budget cycle, the city submitted a required five
amendments to the budgets. The city's overall debt level
actually increased \$199,000. The city, it was noted in
the evaluation, was not making its annual required
payments for MERS or OPEB OPAD. There were contribution
deficiencies. And the legal costs, I note, had increased
52 percent between 2014 and 2015.

Okay. I just want to read this paragraph here; I don't want to make this an entire rainy cloud here because there are some positive things that have went on that I noted in the evaluation, Mr. Chair. Ecorse had set goals by which it measures itself operationally. The mayor highlighted the city's blight program as a priority, partnering with local service agencies, and using federal funds, the city had cleared 90 blighted homes, and at that time noted another 40 to 50 ready for demolition.

The mayor said he had received positive feedback, during the evaluation he told me, from residents in the neighborhoods where blight removal took place. And more generally the mayor noted that the citizens are satisfied with the direction of the city.

Consistent with the mayor's perception of city satisfaction, with the answers from the RTAB, whom I also interviewed for this evaluation, that the RTAB had not

received complaints about undelivered services, and/or other more general complaints.

The city had also reduced its fire and police personnel in an effort to reduce costs. Fire went from 12 to five, and police from 30 to 19, with a total public safety force of 21. I do note though that the attempts to consolidate the fire department with the City of River Rouge did not happen.

Skipping down to the more, sort of a conclusion of the organizational stability. In the November 2015 election, five of the seven city council members were reelected to council, and the mayor was re-elected as well. The city administrator was hired as an interim administrator in November 2014 and became permanent in February, 2015.

In May, 2016, the city administrator was terminated by council. Presently, an interim city administrator has been appointed and will serve until a fulltime permanent administrator is hired. This is the fifth city administrator council has hired since the appointment of the RTAB in May of 2013. The lack of continuity in the city's operational leadership we believe disrupts and impedes progress, Mr. Chair.

Finally, I would just read the conclusion and the recommendation Treasury's going to be making to the

governor, with regards to the direction of the RTAB.

The City of Ecorse is the tale of two cities. One year ago, the city had a strong fund balance, 5.4 million dollars and 59 percent of expenditures, a sustainable five year budget, and a full time city administrator.

Today, at this moment in time, the fund balance is largely restricted, the five year budget projects deficits every year through 2021, and the city has an interim city manager. And according to documents supplied by the controller, the city has an unassigned fund balance of \$674,587.

This comes at a time, Mr. Chair, when the city terminated the city administrator and is currently looking for a replacement. The city is being asked to join the Downriver Utility Wastewater Authority that has significant costs associated with membership in the proposed sale of the system.

The city is not meeting its annual required contributions to MERS and OPEB OPAD. Both have contribution deficiencies. MERS, last time I talked to the city, is increasing their contribution requests by a million dollars next fiscal year.

The city's legal costs seem to be still uncontrollable, and the taxable value has been declining

1	since 2010/2011; it's down a total of almost 30 percent,
2	from 300 million to 100 million dollars. Having a fund
3	balance that is largely restricted, Mr. Chair, does not
4	position the city for future contingencies.
5	While the financial emergency has legally ended,
6	the city remains in receivership, as defined by subsection
7	2Q of Public Act 436. The principal goal of the board is
8	to assist the city in transitioning out of receivership.
9	In order to do this, Public Act 436 requires,
10	among other things, that financial conditions of the city
11	must be corrected in a sustainable fashion, as required by
12	subsection 9-7 of 436.
13	Ecorse's financial position has changed for the
14	worse since 2014/2015 audit. The abovementioned
15	developments need to be monitored in accordance with the
16	governor's appointment letter of the RTAB. Therefore, it
17	is the recommendation of Treasury a continued RTAB
18	presence is recommended.
19	MR. SYKES: Thank you, Patrick.
20	MR. DOSTINE: You're welcome.
21	MR. SYKES: Now, while I haven't been here the
22	entire time of this evaluation, this does sound very
23	consistent with my understanding of what was taking place

during that period of time, and it certainly seems to do a

nice job of kind of explaining the situation that Ecorse

24

25

is in right now. Do you have any comments?

MR. BOVITZ: Yeah, I'm disappointed with the overall negative tone of it. It seems like things are moving on the right track. From a non-financial standpoint, there's more stability in the leadership with Mr. Flaten, with his interim city administration. It seems like the city council has the right attitude going forward.

From a budgetary standpoint, without a million dollar settlement from U.S. Steel, it is tough to make adjustments to your budget, so you know, Mr. Sidowski's been doing a good job trying to make amends for that.

Over the last three years I've been on this board, the only times I've really been disappointed is when the city council failed to take advantage of the grant from the state to consolidate police and fire with River Rouge. And so we had actually condemned the actions, or the non-action, of the city council.

But in my three years of serving, I think things have been going in the right direction. Number one, the audit was unqualified, or, for the layman's terms, a clean audit. So the CPA auditors were happy with the financial presentation, but without the debt elimination plan in the five year budget, that's the only thing, with the unfunded legacy costs and so forth, I'm not sure what the city can

do.

It's nothing that the city is not doing, from a financial standpoint; it's with declining property values. And another thing with the legal costs, when I first came on there were a lot of lawsuits against the city police department and so forth, and those have seemed to go away. And there was some question about corruption within city departments; that seems to have gone away.

So from the overall feel good and then the new master plan being set up by McKenna & Associates, there are good things on the horizon from a non-financial. So the only thing I have, from an RTAB member being on this board since the very beginning, is if we get the financial part fixed, then the rest will follow.

But the overall recommendation to keep the RTAB in place is, that's coming from Treasury. And I just want to make sure that, you know, you heard my side of it.

MR. DOSTINE: Sure. I just want to say for the record, that this is -- the recommendation is that the RTAB remain status quo. There's three paths that we could have recommended to the governor, and it's his decision, ultimately; the RTAB serves at his pleasure: receivership could be recommended to end; it could be recommended that the RTAB role be diminished, that Order 94 be amended in a way that it gives a lot more autonomy and authority back

1 to the city; or the status quo remains.

So this recommendation, if you approve it, to send forward the way it is, we'll make the recommendation the RTAB remain status quo. But ultimately it's the governor's call. And I don't know what that -- whether he'll agree with it, or send it back with a recommendation other than what we submit, okay?

MR. SYKES: You know, and I'd like to add too, I

-- from the standpoint of, do I feel like things are
moving in the right direction; I mean, I listen to folks
talk about what they're trying to accomplish in the City
of Ecorse, and continuously now bringing ideas forward,
where we're talking about bringing work in house to try
and save money. I'm hearing a lot of positive things.
And I get that. I'm looking from that standpoint, that we
want to hear a positive direction of what Ecorse is trying
to accomplish.

But also, the majority of the types of things that we're looking at are from a financial standpoint. And that's why I do think that a lot of the information in here, while it has -- it comes off as a bit negative, I think it's accurate. But I don't want that to take away from the fact that we don't, or I personally, don't think people are not doing what it takes to try and turn the city around and get it on stable footing.

1	Okay, so with that, let's see where we are here.
2	I'll go ahead and entertain a motion to approve the annual
3	RTAB evaluation, specifically, the way I'm looking at
4	this, is that we are recommending continued presence of
5	the RTAB going forward.
6	MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
7	MR. SYKES: I will support. All those in favor
8	say aye.
9	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
10	MR. SYKES: Aye.
11	Those opposed the same.
12	(No response)
13	MR. SYKES: The annual RTAB evaluation has been
14	approved.
15	Next, we'll go through the we'll look at the
16	approval of resolutions and ordinances for the city
17	council meetings.
18	First resolution from the regular city council
19	meeting of September 27th. Please note that Resolution
20	288 was previously approved by this board.
21	So at this point, I'll entertain a motion to
22	approve the remaining resolutions from September 27th,
23	2016, regular city council meeting, with the exception of
24	Resolution 287.
25	MR. BOVITZ: So moved.

1	MR. SYKES: I will support.
2	All those in favor say aye.
3	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
4	MR. SYKES: Aye.
5	Opposed the same.
6	(No response)
7	MR. SYKES: This resolution has been approved.
8	At this point, we'd like to ask Mr. Flaten if he
9	could come up and talk a little bit about Resolution 287,
10	which is fiscal year '17 budget amendment.
11	MR. FLATEN: I'll leave that to the controller.
12	Tim?
13	MR. SYKES: That's Tim?
14	THE REPORTER: Your name, please?
15	MR. SIDOWSKI: Timothy Sidowski, city
16	controller. Every quarter, I prepare a budget amendment
17	for the actual operations of the city. You can see that
18	the increased use of fund balance is required to balance
19	the general fund.
20	MERS contributions have been adjusted,
21	replacement of the air conditioner at the senior center
22	building, and adjustment for interest for higher interest
23	for the emergency loans was all adjusted.
24	Water and sewer funds were adjusted for the new
25	rate that was proposed, and our CDBG is always adjusted to

1	actual grants that we receive through Wayne County, and
2	that has been adjusted as well.
3	Pretty much everything else is in line, as it
4	was before, just with those adjustments.
5	MR. SYKES: You have any questions?
6	MR. BOVITZ: No.
7	MR. SYKES: I have no comments.
8	I'll entertain a motion to approve Resolution
9	287.
10	MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
11	MR. SYKES: I will support.
12	All those in favor say aye.
13	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
14	MR. SYKES: Aye.
15	Resolution 287 is passed.
16	Next we'll move on to resolutions from the
17	regular city council meeting of October 11th.
18	I'd like to note that Resolution 303 was
19	previously approved by the board, so with that, I'll
20	entertain a motion to approve resolutions from regular
21	city council meeting of October 11th, 2016, again, with
22	the exception of Resolution 299.
23	MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
24	MR. SYKES: I will support.
25	All those in favor say aye.

1	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
2	MR. SYKES: Aye.
3	Those opposed?
4	(No response)
5	MR. SYKES: This resolution is passed.
6	I see, would it be Tim, again?
7	MR. SIDOWSKI: Yes.
8	MR. SYKES: All right, Tim, could you talk to us
9	a little bit about Resolution 299, which is the budget to
10	actual for September 2016?
11	MR. SIDOWSKI: Well, the city's on track for the
12	quarterly expenditures, like I said before. We did
13	receive word from MERS, and their plan is not possible as
14	that stands. Going future, that's the major concern for
15	the city. I said that.
16	MR. SYKES: I think that's yeah, I think
17	that's clear.
18	MR. SIDOWSKI: Yeah, so, that's just pretty much
19	standard operating.
20	MR. SYKES: So nothing specific?
21	MR. SIDOWSKI: Nothing specific has really came
22	out. It was we still haven't received the local
23	community stabilization share. It was supposed to come in
24	October. It was delayed to November because they pushed
25	it back a month: which is several million which would belo

1 with cash flow in the city, but it hasn't happened. 2 And we did finish the audit this week, and it seemed to go well. And all their testing has been 3 4 finished, so they are supposed to be preparing the 5 financial statements this week. Okay. And I appreciate having this 6 MR. SYKES: 7 done in a timely manner. 8 Robert, do you have any comments? 9 MR. BOVITZ: Nope. 10 MR. SYKES: Okav. 11 MR. FLATEN: Mr. Chairman? 12 MR. SYKES: Yes? 13 MR. FLATEN: One comment that I have. I think, 14 I believe that we need to do -- because Tim can explain 15 this MERS situation probably a lot better than most. But 16 if you read through all of the data on the plans itself, I 17 think a letter from this board to Treasury and to MERS 18 requesting a sit down with myself, Tim, possibly the city 19 attorney, and a member of this board, or if you had 20 Dostine, and somebody from Treasury, to sit down with the MERS people and see if there's a direction we can take to 21 22 get our pension up to a better position. The thing that 23 troubles me, I don't know if I shared this opinion with 24 anybody else, but the thing that troubles me is we get a 25 report from MERS, and that's all we get.

1	For a number of years, MERS knew that we were
2	having difficulty in maintaining our proper pension
3	funding. Nobody from MERS contacted us to even sit down
4	and ask what how we could remedy that situation; what
5	we could do. Knowing that we're a financially distressed
6	community, that we're probably going to be even more
7	financially distressed if things go the way they're
8	planned at U.S. Steel and so forth. We need to sit down
9	with somebody that's going to say, okay, so you can't
10	afford 4 million dollars, which is almost what percent
11	of our total budget? No, we can't afford 4 million
12	dollars to bring that up to snuff.
13	So we need somebody to because Tim, I know,
14	has talked to MERS. The mayor has talked to MERS. There
15	is nothing coming back from them that even shows that
16	they're the least bit concerned about our situation. So a
17	letter from you folks to them recommending that somebody
18	from MERS sit down with us and go over and develop a plan
19	that we can start funding properly.
20	MR. BOVITZ: Well, I know we had a discussion
21	last month, I'm not sure exactly what the direction was,
22	but was there any action taken on that?
23	MR. FLATEN: No. Nobody MERS is difficult to
24	talk to. Right, Tim?
25	MR. SIDOWSKI: They responded twice to that.

1	MR. FLATEN: But nothing of value to us.
2	Nothing to give us any idea of how we can adjust the
3	proper adjust the funding that they're requesting. We
4	just can't afford that. So we want to get clarified.
5	And the other issue is, we've got a millage for
6	police and fire pensions, and they're taking money that we
7	sent to them from the police and fire pensions to pay the
8	pensions of the general employees. You can't do that,
9	Bob. I think you'd know that.
10	MR. BOVITZ: Well, what you want is the state,
11	the Treasury to put the pressure on MERS to at least come
12	to the table.
13	MR. FLATEN: I want somebody to put pressure on
14	them.
15	MR. BOVITZ: Because your voice isn't strong
16	enough as the City of Ecorse; you want some help
17	MR. FLATEN: Well it's obvious. They're not
18	listening to us. And I'm tired of being having this
19	city, and I'm only interim here, but these people are
20	thinking they're the red-haired stepchildren, and that's
21	not an attitude to have.
22	MR. BOVITZ: That's why I thought we had
23	discussion last month, so we just need to make sure
24	Treasury
25	MR. FLATEN: It's got to be a little more

1	forceful.
2	MR. BOVITZ: Okay.
3	MR. SYKES: So let me ask this then.
4	MR. FLATEN: We're not trying to be destructive;
5	we're trying to be constructive.
6	MR. SYKES: All right. I'm going to ask this,
7	then: Patrick, could you do me a favor and maybe
8	investigate a little bit if there's an appropriate role
9	for either yourself or for the board members where we
10	could do something to help in this regard?
11	MR. DOSTINE: I will. As a matter of routine, I
12	always report on the RTAB's activities that day to my
13	upper managers.
14	MR. SYKES: Okay.
15	MR. DOSTINE: So I will talk to them about this.
16	MR. SYKES: Okay.
17	MR. FLATEN: Thanks.
18	MR. SYKES: We'll look into that.
19	Okay, so with that, I'll entertain a motion to
20	approve Resolution 299.
21	MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
22	MR. SYKES: Support.
23	All those in favor say aye.
24	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
25	MR. SYKES: Aye.

1	Opposed the same.
2	(No response)
3	MR. SYKES: Resolution 299 has passed.
4	Next, we have claims and accounts from regular
5	city council meeting draft minutes of October 25th, 2016.
6	I'll entertain a motion to approve the claims
7	and accounts from regular city council meeting draft
8	minutes of October 25th, 2016.
9	MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
10	MR. SYKES: Support. Those
11	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
12	MR. SYKES: Thanks. Those in favor say aye.
13	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
14	MR. SYKES: Aye.
15	Those opposed the same.
16	(No response)
17	MR. SYKES: This has passed as well.
18	So next, we have city administrator items, and
19	there are a number of items in there which have been
20	addressed already in new business, and those are the
21	approval of city council minutes, approval of Resolution
22	299, Resolution 287, and Resolution 301.
23	So, with that, the final city administrator item
24	is checks released, and this is an informational item
25	only.

1	So we will move on to public comment. And
2	Patrick, could please take look to see if there are any
3	public comments?
4	MR. DOSTINE: Mr. Chair, we have one request,
5	from Mr. Kevin Barkman.
6	MR. BARKMAN: Kevin Barkman. I'm a police
7	officer here with the City of Ecorse. Currently union
8	president. And I just want to touch base on that MERS
9	issue. Is the frustration also comes from not just our
10	local unions, but POAM themselves. And they were trying,
11	when I just went to the POAM conference, and the year
12	prior, Terry and I went to the
13	MR. SYKES: What's POAM?
14	MR. BARKMAN: Police Officer's Association of
15	Michigan.
16	MR. SYKES: Okay.
17	MR. BARKMAN: It's our union. The prior, Terry
18	and I were nominated to go to the MERS conference, and
19	that's where we learned that they basically said that
20	they're taking our amortization of 30 years down to 15.
21	So they had, when we went into this unfunded
22	liability class that they had, they had cities that are at
23	100 percent now at 50 percent. They're making these
24	decisions, and our union as a whole, you know, is pretty
25	upset about it, because MERS are strapping these already

	financially	strapped	cities
L		strapped	CTCTC3.

So they, I know, were trying to work to get some kind of legislation where MERS has to go through Treasury to make decisions like that; that they can't just openly screw up city's financials, so, I mean that they don't plan for. So and I just wanted to say that, you know, as he said, you know, we feel like the redheaded stepchild; that this isn't our fault. You know, and then when MERS makes the decision that they want to do, without taking consideration that cities are trying. It's not like we're receiving the cable bill, and hey, you come and shut it off, I'm going to kick you off my property. It's not like that; we want to pay it, you know.

We have people that worked several years to -this is all we got. It's all we got. We haven't had
raises in nine years, but I mean now all of a sudden I'm
going to lose my pension? You know, I mean, that's the
way it looks right now, so. At any rate, that's all I
just wanted to let you guys know.

MR. SYKES: No, I appreciate your comment, and it's clearly an issue, a real large issue for the City of Ecorse.

Any further public comment?

MR. DOSTINE: That concludes public comments.

MR. SYKES: Okay, board comments?

I have none.

MR. BOVITZ: I just want to share an experience. We had a public forum hosted by Michigan State University, a graduate program. They're doing a study of how Public Act is working throughout Michigan. Mayor Tidwell was there, I was there, it was in Ecorse. The mayor of Highland Park was there, and transition advisory board members from Detroit and Pontiac were there. The mayor of Hamtramck was there, and the CPA, a colleague of mine, who was the emergency manager of Benton Harbor, was there. And his interesting comments was that how Benton Harbor has come out of receivership and, because of the models and the examples that were left behind, have allowed the city to then go forward and be off of the state's control.

So, there is hope on the horizon. I'm not sure what this, how the final report's going to show the comments -- oh, the mayor of Allen Park was there also, Bill Matakis, and we're all sharing our experiences, and we had some breakout sessions.

The state treasury from New Jersey and Pennsylvania was there to kind of watch what we were doing. We had a non-profit think tank that was there monitoring, taking notes. And when I get the final report, I'll share it with the RTAB board and with Treasury to show how the summarized comments come forth.

1	But after the meeting they actually met with the
2	mayor, so Mayor Tidwell was there with the mayor of
3	Highland Park and Hamtramck, and they had a little powwow
4	after, so, and the mayor of Allen Park, so hopefully,
5	they'll have some progressive action out of that.
6	MR. SYKES: Thank you for those comments.
7	And with that, I'll entertain a motion for
8	adjournment.
9	MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
10	MR. SYKES: Support.
11	All those in favor say aye.
12	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
13	MR. SYKES: Aye.
14	Those opposed?
15	(No response)
16	MR. SYKES: Thank you very much.
17	(Proceedings adjourned at 9:43 a.m.)

```
1
 2
    STATE OF MICHIGAN
 3
    COUNTY OF WASHTENAW ).ss
 4
 5
 6
 7
     I certify that this transcript is a complete, true, and
 8
    correct transcript to the best of my ability of the RTAB
    meeting held on November 7th, 2016, City of Ecorse. I also
 9
    certify that I am not a relative or employee of the parties
10
    involved and have no financial interest in this case.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
    RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:
                                    November 17, 2016
19
    s/Amy Shankleton-Novess
20
21
22
    Amy Shankleton-Novess (CER 0838)
23
    Certified Electronic Reporter
```