City of Ecorse

Receivership Transition Advisory Board Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, June 14th, 2016

Ecorse City Hall

Council Chambers

Albert B. Buday Civic Center

3869 West Jefferson

Ecorse, Michigan 48229

RTAB MEMBERS PRESENT: ROBERT BOVITZ JEFF SYKES

MEMBERS ABSENT: JOAN BROPHY

ALSO PRESENT:

PATRICK DOSTINE
Michigan Department of Treasury

Reported by:
Nina Lunsford (CER 4539)
Modern Court Reporting & Video, LLC
SCAO FIRM NO. 08228
101-A North Lewis Street
Saline, Michigan 48176
(734) 429-9143/nel

1	Called to order at 9:00 a.m.
2	MR. SYKES: Okay, so I'm going to go ahead and
3	call this meeting to order. So, I'll call the City of
4	Ecorse Receivership Transition Advisory Board meeting for
5	this Tuesday, June 14, 2016 to order.
6	So, Mr. Dustine (sic), could you Dostine
7	sorry. Do the roll call?
8	MR. DOSTINE: Sure. Ms. Brophy's asked to be
9	excused; Mr. Bovitz?
10	MR. BOVITZ: Here.
11	MR. DOSTINE: Mr. Sykes?
12	MR. SYKES: Here.
13	MR. DOSTINE: You have quorum, Mr. Chair.
14	MR. SYKES: All right, thank you. Okay, so our,
15	first, is the approval of the agenda. So I'm going to
16	entertain a motion to approve the agenda, but there's one
17	item that we'd like to modify on the agenda, and that is
18	in the new business section. We'd like to remove number
19	12, so I'm going to ask for a motion to approve the
20	agenda, excluding number 12.
21	MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
22	MR. SYKES: I'll support. Any discussion?
23	(No response)
24	MR. SYKES: Seeing none, those in favor of the
25	motion by saying aye. Aye.

1	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
2	MR. SYKES: Opposed, the same. All right, the
3	agenda is approved, with the one exception.
4	Next we'll move on to the RTAB minutes of May
5	10th. Oh, I'm sorry about that; thank you very much.
6	I wanted to remind the audience that if you
7	intend to speak during the public comments portion of the
8	meeting, it will be necessary for you to sign in at the
9	sheet at the podium. Is there a sheet at the podium? The
10	sheet over, located here. All right, thank you. Thank
11	you for that.
12	Okay, so, now it's approval of the RTAB minutes
13	of May 10th, so I will entertain a motion for approval of
14	the RTAB minutes of May 10th.
15	MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
16	MR. SYKES: I'll support.
17	Any discussion?
18	(No response)
19	MR. SYKES: Seeing none, those in favor the
20	motion say aye. Aye.
21	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
22	MR. SYKES: Opposed, the same.
23	(No response)
24	MR. SYKES: The minutes are approved. We will
25	move onto old business. We have the resolution, it's

1	number 101.11. This is a city council intends is
2	intending, actually, to rescind the proposed budget, and
3	so we're going to go ahead and put a motion in place to
4	deny number 101.16, so I'm putting forward a motion to
5	deny resolution number 101.16.
6	MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
7	MR. SYKES: I'll support. Any discussion?
8	(No response)
9	MR. SYKES: Seeing none, those in favor the
10	motion say aye. Aye.
11	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
12	MR. SYKES: Opposed, the same.
13	(No response)
14	MR. SYKES: All right, seeing that there is no
15	opposition, this motion has passed.
16	So we'll move onto new business. So this is
17	approval of the resolution and ordinance for city council
18	meetings. So the first item is resolution from the
19	regular city council meeting of April 26th, 2016. There
20	is Patrick, there is removal of?
21	MR. DOSTINE: Yes, Mr. Chair, what we'd like to
22	do is remove, pull out, Resolution 126, regarding the
23	Dingell Park restrooms, to make it an informational item
24	only. And City Administrator David Flaten is here to
25	provide that information.

1	MR. SYKES: Okay. Would you like to provide
2	that information now?
3	MR. DOSTINE: Well, I mean, you could actually
4	have a vote to approve all the resolutions but 126, which
5	will we can make it an informational item. Mr. Flaten
6	will come up and address that.
7	MR. SYKES: I would move to approve all of the
8	resolutions from April 26th, 2016, excluding number
9	125.16.
10	MR. DOSTINE: 126.
11	MR. SYKES: I'm sorry, 126.
12	MR. BOVITZ: Support.
13	MR. SYKES: Any discussion?
14	MR. FLATEN: On the Dingell Park, I had met up
15	with Hennessy engineers, and asked them to redesign that
16	floorplan to include a concession area. And to do it in
17	such a fashion that you're not going to increase the cost,
18	if any, but definitely not really that much. To put, you
19	know, make that adjustment on the floorplan so that we can
20	then resubmit that to Detroit Edison and get their
21	concurrence on that plan.
22	And what the alternative there, is, that with
23	that concession area, we would contract with someone to
24	come in and run the concession area, as opposed to using
25	personnel from here. They would run that concession area

1	and we would take a percentage of their gross sales. As,
2	you might say, rent, for being there.
3	And that would keep our costs down, we'd get a
4	little extra not much, but, it would be something. And
5	we wouldn't be incurring significant cost for operation.
6	Hennessy should probably have those plans back next week.
7	MR. SYKES: Okay, so this is something that will
8	come back?
9	MR. FLATEN: Yes, it'll be probably from there
10	to the end of July TAB meeting.
11	MR. SYKES: Okay.
12	REPORTER: Sir, can I get your name for the
13	record, please?
14	MR. FLATEN: Sure, it's David, last name's
15	Flaten. F as in Frank, L-A-T-E-N.
16	REPORTER: Thank you.
17	MR. SYKES: Thank you. All those in favor of
18	the motion to approve the minutes?
19	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
20	MR. SYKES: Aye. Opposed, the same.
21	(No response)
22	MR. SYKES: All right, motion is passed. All
23	right, the next is, I'm entertaining a motion for the
24	resolutions from the regular city council meeting of May
25	10+b 2016

```
1
                  MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
 2
                  MR. SYKES: I'll support.
 3
                  Any discussion?
 4
                  (No response)
 5
                  MR. SYKES: Seeing none, those in favor of the
 6
         motion say aye. Aye.
 7
                  MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
 8
                  MR. SYKES: Opposed, the same.
 9
                  (No response)
10
                  MR. SYKES: This motion is passed.
                  Next, we have the motion to approve the claims
11
12
         and accounts from the regular city council meeting, from
         the draft minutes of May 24, 2016.
13
14
                  MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
                  MR. SYKES: I'll support. Any discussion?
15
16
                  (No response)
17
                  MR. SYKES: Seeing none, those in favor the
18
         motion say aye. Aye.
19
                  MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
20
                  MR. SYKES: Opposed, the same.
21
                  (No response)
22
                  MR. SYKES: This motion, too, has passed.
23
                  Next is city administrator items.
24
                  First is the approval of city council minutes.
25
         I'll entertain a motion.
```

1	MR. BOVITZ: Will it
2	MR. SYKES: Support, we'll
3	MR. BOVITZ: There's a you're calling for a
4	motion on which item?
5	MR. SYKES: Approval of city council minutes.
6	MR. BOVITZ: Which one?
7	MR. DOSTINE: That was addressed in new
8	business.
9	MR. BOVITZ: Yeah, that's already
10	MR. SYKES: Oh, okay. Sorry about that. Okay.
11	So
12	MR. DOSTINE: You can move to budget to actual
13	now
14	MR. SYKES: Okay. So now we're looking at the
15	approval of the budget to actual, okay. So I'll entertain
16	a motion to approve the budget to actual report for May,
17	April - May, 2016.
18	MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
19	MR. SYKES: I will support. Any discussion?
20	MR. BOVITZ: Do we have Mr. Sadowski's address?
21	Anything you want to because I know last month, we
22	you wanted to say something, and any updates? The
23	first thing we did on the old business was turn down the
24	budget proposal, and I think that's because some new
25	numbers have surfaced, or the new administration has so

I'm just --

MR. SADOWSKI: Correct. As you know, we have three weeks left in our financial fiscal year. So basically, we know how this year will end. Right now, the city will have an excess surplus in the general fund of about \$350,000. Well more than projected at the 20,000. In your budget amendment number five, which was why the reason why it was turned down, is the city's operational costs are less than what was budgeted.

If you remember the budget, when it was submitted when I redid it, I estimated expenditures high, so that this would happen at the year end. If we went on task as current operating, the city would end up with a surplus every year. And that surplus will probably be over \$400,000. But it will be at least \$350,000 in the general fund, come June 30th.

That's the major reflection in the financials for April and May. We saved a significant amount in retiree healthcare this year, creating much of that surplus. Those numbers are actuals, because insurance are prepaids; obviously that's what all insurance are. So we had the year end numbers for Blue Cross/Blue Shield and HAP, and if you look at the retiree healthcare, that savings is several hundred thousand dollars, which created much of the surplus.

1	We did receive the MERS numbers. The MERS
2	numbers have gone up significantly. And I think, going
3	out in the future, that's going to that's definitely
4	impacting your five year forecast. Especially the AFSCME
5	units.
6	But as far as this budget, like I said, you'll
7	have a surplus, and the city will report a surplus in
8	almost every single fund.
9	MR. BOVITZ: So the revenue sharing from the
10	state, was that what you anticipated, then?
11	MR. SADOWSKI: Correct. Yeah, that always comes
12	retroactive to us. It's paid after sales tax is
13	collected; on top of that, we get it even further, because
14	the city pledged its revenue sharing for our stabilization
15	bonds, so it actually goes to US Bank. They take their
16	cut, and then they refund us after that.
17	So we're about three months always behind the
18	ball for revenue sharing. That's why it's not recorded on
19	the financial statements and only shows it shows less
20	than 100 percent year end. It's because we're paid in
21	arrears.
22	MR. BOVITZ: I applaud your efforts. I know,
23	with an \$11 million budget, and you lose a million dollars
24	from the settlement fund from National Steele, that says a
	1

lot to be cut, so it's encouraging.

25

1	MR. SADOWSKI: This year's financial, we're
2	definitely
3	MR. BOVITZ: We'll see what the audit says.
4	MR. SYKES: All right, thank you. I'll
5	entertain oh, I've got that.
6	Let's see, all those in favor of the motion say
7	aye. Aye.
8	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
9	MR. SYKES: Opposed, the same.
10	(No response)
11	MR. SYKES: This motion's passed.
12	Okay, so at this point, we're going to talk
13	about a number of items that are coming up. These items
14	are for a number of hires and terminations that have
15	already taken place, and so one of the things that I would
16	like to see is that we get back to a place where these
17	things are actually being approved or denied prior to
18	those actions taking place.
19	So with that, Mr. Dostine, could you please give
20	us a little bit of background on items number three
21	through 14? Obviously, excluding number 12.
22	MR. DOSTINE: Sure. Now, at this point, I would
23	defer to the city attorney, Chuck Wycoff.
24	MR. WYCOFF: Good morning, sir.
25	MR. SYKES: Good morning.

MR. WYCOFF: Actually, there are only two of the
individuals on this list who have previously been employed
and are working, and that happens to be the two
individuals identified as number three and number four on
this list.

The first one, number three, is Mr. DeLeon, and the second one is Mr. Parker. As you will recall, we had a number of DPW employees who were terminated over a period of time. The workforce became so sparse that we had to put a couple of people to work just to get the job done. That's why they are working prior to your approval.

But it is appropriate that they would be approved; they are up and working. They are hired according to the terms of employment applicable to DPW workers, and there is nothing that I have that's objectionable to their employment at this time.

The third individual is not working at this time; that's the one identified as number five. His name is Bell, he has not been put to work but we do need him to be employed as soon as possible. Again, within the DPW.

I also reviewed number six, which is the interim super -- not superintendent; I guess they call him superintendent. DPW superintendent's contract, that's Mr. Maier. I've reviewed the contract, I have approved the contract, and Mr. Maier, of course, is working, he is in

that position at this time, approved by the city council.

The next one is the termination of Mr. Colby.

Mr. Colby was a firefighter for the City of Ecorse; he serves pursuant to a collective bargaining agreement. We had a emergency service call to one of our local companies. For reasons known only to Mr. Colby, in spite of two calls from the citizen, or from the company, I should say, he refused to take those calls.

And so, we held a chief's hearing in accordance with the collective bargaining agreement. There was no viable excuse provided for his conduct, and as a result of that, we felt that he endangered the individual who needed assistance, and his employment was terminated. We have in fact received a grievance on that, and his termination will be reviewed according to the process and the collective bargaining agreement.

The next one is the termination of a police officer, Mr. Patten. Mr. Patten suffered some legal problems in the City of Flat Rock, which without a doubt provided reasonable and just cause for his termination. I don't believe there's any necessity to go into the merits of that, but I will tell you that as legal counsel for the city, I am extremely well satisfied that there's reasonable and just cause for that termination.

There was a chief's hearing held in that matter;

the hearing took place, I'm sorry, I forget the date at this point. But it took place in accordance with the provisions of the collective bargaining agreement. The chief considered all of the evidence, and made the ultimate determination that termination was appropriate. So, that's that.

The next one is the termination of Mr. Hamel, that's number nine. Mr. Hamel was employed to take the position occupied by one of the persons previously terminated and authorized by this body. After he was employed, there were several complaints relative to his demeanor toward his clients, which would be senior citizens that he was driving. And in addition to that, he was observed, if you will, sleeping on the job, and as a probationary employee, was terminated.

We hired a person to take his position; that is Mr. Cecil Hayden, identified in number ten. We do need to get him on board, I don't believe he is working at this time. But his employment is appropriate as necessary for that program.

The next three, 11, 13 and 14, you have removed number 12 appropriately because he has accepted employment in another community. These three individuals are all certified police officers, they are being employed part time to fill in and assist with overtime, number one, and

1 because we are currently down either two or three police 2 officers in the police department. 3 They have not been put to work at this point, 4 although they've been tentatively employed subject to your 5 approval. And their employment is also subject to drug testing and completion of a physical examination. 6 7 that's where we stand with each of these. 8 Do you have any questions? 9 MR. BOVITZ: Well in the case of the termination 10 of the part time bus driver, a simple letter from the 11 former city administrator was sufficient, but in the case 12 of the firefighter and the police officer, Director Moore 13 wrote a very comprehensive letter. In both cases, cited the reasons for termination; you mentioned there may be a 14 15 grievance case on the case of the firefighter? 16 MR. WYCOFF: On the case of the firefighter, we 17 do in fact have a grievance. It was delivered to me this 18 morning. 19 MR. BOVITZ: Chief Moore, did you want to -- ? 20 CHIEF MOORE: In the case of the firefighter, there was a warrant request with the prosecutor's office, 21 22 for criminal charges, also. So that may be --23 MR. BOVITZ: Because of the nature of 24 disregarding a call? 25 CHIEF MOORE: It's for reckless indifference.

1	There was a warrant request in the prosecutor's office for
2	neglect. Criminal neglect.
3	MR. BOVITZ: So you feel and I feel, you
4	know, satisfied that your letter pretty much spelled it
5	out, and as long as our attorney's and as far as
6	replacement officers, these are part time officers; would
7	they be entitled to the same benefits as
8	CHIEF MOORE: No, not at all. No. You have
9	straight \$15 an hour employees. And what there may be
10	forward is that we've got people that have been terminated
11	or there are other positions, and when vacations and
12	things kick in, we start bleeding as far as overtime.
13	These guys will stop the overtime bleeding,
14	where, instead of we're paying 45-50 bucks an hour, we'll
15	be paying \$15 an hour.
16	MR. BOVITZ: And it looks like they're qualified
17	individuals; the resume, everything checks out. And
	18 bilingual and the whole shot, so, so you have a good crop
19 20	of part time reserve there? CHEIF MOORE: Absolutely.
21	MR. BOVITZ: The corps crops, the trenches.
22	CHIEF MOORE: Thank you.
23	MR. SYKES: Anything else? Thank you.
24	Okay, I'll entertain a motion to approve the
25	hirings and appointment that are pointed out in numbers

```
1
        three, four, five and six.
2
                  MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
3
                  MR. SYKES: And I will support. Any discussion?
4
                  (No response)
5
                  MR. SYKES: Seeing none, those in favor of the
6
        motion say aye. Aye.
7
                  MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
8
                  MR. SYKES: Opposed, the same.
9
                  (No response)
10
                  MR. SYKES: Okay, this motion's passed. Now
11
         I'll entertain a motion to approve the terminations
12
         spelled out in numbers seven, eight and nine.
13
                  MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
14
                  MR. SYKES: And I will support. Any discussion?
15
                  (No response)
16
                  MR. SYKES: Hear none, those in favor of the
17
        motion say aye. Aye.
18
                  MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
19
                  MR. SYKES: Opposed, the same.
20
                  (No response)
21
                  MR. SYKES: Hearing none, this motion is passed.
22
                  Now I'll entertain a motion to approve the
23
        hiring of the items number ten, eleven, thirteen, and
24
         fourteen.
                  MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
25
```

```
1
                  MR. SYKES: And I will support; any discussion?
2
                  (No response)
3
                  MR. SYKES: Hearing none, all those in favor of
4
         the motion say aye. Aye.
 5
                  MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
 6
                  MR. SYKES: Opposed, the same.
7
                  (No response)
                  MR. SYKES: And this motion is passed.
8
9
                  Mr. Dostine, number 15, is this the item that we
10
         discussed earlier? Or is this a separate item?
                  MR. DOSTINE: It was addressed by Mr. Flaten.
11
12
                  MR. SYKES: So what's the best way to handle
13
         this item? Do we just skip over it, or?
14
                  MR. DOSTINE: Yup, it doesn't have to -- and
         same with number 16, it was addressed in new business as
15
16
         well.
17
                  MR. SYKES: Okay, and 17, checks released,
18
         information only?
19
                  MR. DOSTINE: Correct.
                  MR. SYKES: What do we do with that?
20
21
                  MR. DOSTINE: There were no checks this month,
22
         so there's --
23
                  MR. SYKES: Okay. So we're on to public
24
         comment?
25
                  MR. DOSTINE: Correct.
```

1	MR. BOVITZ: Well, one thing I noted was that
2	there were two attachments; looks like identical. Was
3	that just a redundancy? Attachments 50 and 5P are both
4	checks released memo of June 3rd? I don't see any
5	difference between the two. It's the same. So, they're
6	really, so, our agenda package, you really conclude at
7	Attachment 50, I would think?
8	MR. DOSTINE: Yeah, it just looks like a
9	duplication.
10	MR. BOVITZ: Okay.
11	MR. SYKES: All right, you're done?
12	MR. DOSTINE: We have one request for public
13	comments, it's from Timothy Sadowski.
14	MR. SADOWSKI: I already addressed it.
15	MR. BOVITZ: You want to make sure you get your
16	two cents in, okay.
17	MR. SYKES: Okay, so at this time, then, with no
18	public comment, we'll move to board comment.
19	MR. BOVITZ: No comment.
20	MR. SYKES: I have no comment.
21	I'll entertain a motion for adjournment.
22	MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
23	MR. SYKES: And I will support.
24	I probably don't expect any discussion, so with
25	that, those in favor of the motion say aye. Aye.

```
1
                   MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
2
                   MR. SYKES: Opposed the same.
                   (No response)
 3
                   MR. SYKES: This motion is passed. Thanks,
 4
 5
         everyone.
                   (Proceedings adjourned at 9:22 a.m.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COUNTY OF WASHTENAW).ss
I certify that this transcript is a complete, true, and
correct transcript to the best of my ability of the RTAB
meeting held on June 14, 2016, City of Ecorse. I also certify
that I am not a relative or employee of the parties involved
and have no financial interest in this case.
RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED: June 23rd, 2016
s/Amy Shankleton-Novess
Amy Shankleton-Novess (CER 0838)
Certified Electronic Reporter