City of Lincoln Park

Receivership Transition Advisory Board Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, June 20, 2017

Lincoln Park City Hall

Council Chambers

1355 Southfield Road

Lincoln Park, Michigan 48146

RTAB MEMBERS PRESENT:

KEVIN BONDS BRENDEN DUNLEAVY JESSICA THOMAS JOHN ZECH

ALSO PRESENT:

PATRICK DOSTINE, Michigan Department of Treasury

MATT COPPLER, City Manager

LISA GRIGGS, Finance Director

Reported by:
Nina Lunsford (CER 4539)
Modern Court Reporting & Video, LLC
SCAO FIRM NO. 08228
101-A North Lewis Street
Saline, Michigan 48176

(734) 429-9143/krs

1	Called to order at 1:00 p.m.
2	Tuesday, June 20, 2017
3	* * * *
4	MR. BONDS: Okay.
5	Good afternoon, and let's call the meeting to
6	order. We'll start off with roll call, please.
7	MR. DOSTINE: Brenden Dunleavy.
8	MR. DUNLEAVY: Here.
9	MR. DOSTINE: Jessica Thomas.
10	MS. THOMAS: Here.
11	MR. DOSTINE: John Zech.
12	MR. ZECH: Here.
13	MR. DOSTINE: Genelle Allen has been asked to be
14	excused, Mr. Chair.
15	Kevin Bonds.
16	MR. BONDS: Present.
17	MR. DOSTINE: You have a quorum, Mr. Chair.
18	MR. BONDS: Okay.
19	We'll need an approval of agenda. Mr. Coppler
20	has walked on Resolution 2017-212. We'll need to amend
21	the resolution to include. So I will entertain a motion
22	to approve the agenda as amended.
23	MR. DUNLEAVY: Motion to approve.
24	MR. BONDS: It's been moved. Is there a second?
25	MR. ZECH: Support.

1	MR. BONDS: And support.
2	All those in favor signify by saying aye.
3	MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye.
4	MS. THOMAS: Aye.
5	MR. ZECH: Aye.
6	MR. BONDS: Aye.
7	Please, public must sign up to speak during the
8	public comment portion of the meeting. Thank you, very
9	much.
10	Approval of the RTAB minutes from the regular
11	meeting of May 16, 2017. The Chair will entertain a
12	motion to approve the May 16th, 2017 RTAB minutes as
13	presented.
14	Did you get a chance to look at them?
15	MR. ZECH: I did look at them. I wasn't present
16	so I'm not certain if it's proper for me to make that
17	motion.
18	MS. THOMAS: So moved.
19	MR. ZECH: I did look at them.
20	MR. BONDS: It's been moved. Is there a second?
21	MR. ZECH: I guess I'll support.
22	MR. BONDS: And support.
23	Any discussion?
24	(No response.)
25	MR. BONDS: Hearing none, all those in favor

1	signify by stating aye. Aye.
2	MS. THOMAS: Aye.
3	MR. ZECH: Aye.
4	MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye.
5	MR. BONDS: Aye.
6	Old business. There's none.
7	New business, which is Item A in your packets,
8	which is the RTAB evaluation. It should be Attachment 2.
9	Mr. Dostine, do you want to provide a summary of
10	this Item to the board, please?
11	MR. DOSTINE: Sure.
12	Mr. Chair, you didn't want to do the walk-on item
13	first?
14	MR. BONDS: Oh, thank you for catching that. My
15	apologies.
16	The walk-on resolution is before you. This is
17	2017-12 as mentioned.
18	Mr. Coppler, do you want to walk us through this,
19	please?
20	MR. COPPLER: It actually should be 2017-17.
21	It'd be the last one in the packet. I'm sorry about that.
22	MR. BONDS: We have a
23	MR. COPPLER: Yeah, you have three. The first
24	two are already on the agenda.
25	MR. BONDS: Oh, thank you.

MR. COPPLER: It's the very last one.

MR. BONDS: Thank you. Thank you, very much.

MR. COPPLER: Yep. No problem.

MR. BONDS: So, and just for the minutes, the amended agenda includes, I think I mentioned 2017-212. It should be 2017-217. Okay, thank you.

MR. COPPLER: Thank you, very much. And thank you very much for being willing to consider this as a late addition to the agenda.

Motor Company and UAW on a project at a plant or a facility they own within the city of 25500 Outer Drive. It currently is a technical training center for employees of Ford. Through the UAW contract that was signed I believe a couple of years ago, they committed to actually providing a investment into this facility for their employees, as well as expanding it. And they are presenting to us a 14 million dollar expansion project that in the end will generate approximately \$18,890 per year at a minimum in tax proceeds. They have asked, as what they do to all of the communities which are in for a reduction in the building fees for the submission for a plan review.

And in the city there's actually two different fees that are going to be adjusted. One is a regular

building permit fee, and that's I believe an \$80 up front, and then it's \$10 per thousand after the first thousand. And then there's a plan review fee, it's \$200 up front, plus after the first 6,000, ten dollars per thousand. And so what this particular resolution does is adjust the fee schedule to set a \$10 per thousand to 750 per thousand.

It will still generate as a building permit estimated around \$210,000; 68 percent of that will go to the company that we hire for the building plan review. So in total we're going to be losing somewhere around \$22,000 by granting this reduction. However, just in a million dollar valuation increase to the facility, as I said, we're going to be generating over \$18,000, almost \$19,000 in new revenue because of the project. And we're estimating that the value added to the building is going to be well over a million dollars, so we'll recoup this the first year that the plan is in place.

MR. BONDS: Okay, thank you.

Any questions for Mr. Coppler?

MR. COPPLER: And -- well --

MR. BONDS: I'm sorry?

MR. COPPLER: So, we had to rush these things on to get them certified, and I see that they gave us the wrong one on this as I'm looking down at it.

MR. BONDS: Yeah, and that was going to be our

question here. This does not reflect your presentation. 1 MR. COPPLER: See, I'm not losing my mind. 2 MR. BONDS: No. 3 MR. COPPLER: I apologize for that. 4 5 MR. BONDS: That's okay. So we're still -- is it still under 2017-217? 6 7 MR. COPPLER: It's probably going to be a different number is my guess. 8 9 MR. BONDS: Okay. We'll correct the minutes as 10 soon as that comes before us. 11 MR. COPPLER: We will have it for you very 12 shortly. 13 MR. BONDS: Okay. MR. COPPLER: So how about that weather, huh? 14 15 MR. BONDS: Yeah, we could -- because this is 16 televised, we can chalk this up as one of those, you know, 17 those local government bloopers. 18 MR. COPPLER: Yes. MR. BONDS: So when you do your rework, your 19 20 reruns --21 MR. COPPLER: That's right. 22 It is the right year. And it's sort of close to 23 what it was supposed to be, so. 24 MR. BONDS: Well, you did -- you provided us 25 great presentation. We'll just need to have the factual

1 backup.

MR. COPPLER: Yeah. Well, you know, I'm looking down at it, and I don't see, because the resolution is a little bit lengthier than this, plus it has the dollar amounts for the --

MR. BONDS: Right.

MS. THOMAS: That's what we were looking for.

MR. COPPLER: And I'm going, "What did they do?

Did they doctor it and take that out of there for the

final?" And that's when I started looking and said, "Oh,

it says "fee schedule." I'll have to get that.

MR. ZECH: When you're a city manager, you're always out there by yourself and --

MR. COPPLER: Yeah. Yeah, that limb was bending there for a while.

MR. BONDS: Thank you, Lisa.

MS. THOMAS: All right.

MR. BONDS: Okay. Let's -- correction to the minutes, once again. The Resolution is 2017-218, and this is for the Ford Company investment to the City of Lincoln Park.

If you'd give us just a second.

MR. COPPLER: Sure.

MR. BONDS: If the board will make a motion, and let's handle the questions in the discussion, that would

be great, because I've got quite a few.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Motion to approve.

MR. ZECH: Support.

MR. BONDS: Discussion.

First question, please. On the second whereas clause, you've got the addition of two full-time employees. Are these city employees?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ COPPLER: No, these are employees at the facility.

MR. BONDS: Okay.

MR. COPPLER: So it's not for the city; it's for Ford Motor.

MR. BONDS: Okay.

MR. COPPLER: Yeah.

MR. BONDS: Let me ask you this: when a company such as the size of Ford Motor Company, which is wonderful that they're investing here, have they made any type of allowances for the hiring of resident from the City of Lincoln Park?

MR. COPPLER: Actually, I believe at that facility there are already residents hired there. Again, I don't know, and they never really brought forward that these were going to be brand new hires or if they're going to be shifting employees from other locations into this, but it would be four, technically four new positions

they're looking at bringing into this facility. 1 MR. BONDS: Yeah. The reason why I say that is 2 because you had a couple of negotiating points here, one 3 is, you know, the fee structure; that would have been a 4 5 great angle for the city to negotiate --MR. COPPLER: Yeah. 6 7 MR. BONDS: -- that to Ford to hire city --MR. COPPLER: Sure. 8 9 MR. BONDS: -- you know, residents. So that's, 10 you know, probably something you want to consider at the next go-round with this. 11 12 MR. COPPLER: Sure. 13 MR. BONDS: Any other questions of the board? 14 MR. DUNLEAVY: Just that is this currently a 15 training facility? 16 MR. COPPLER: Yes, it is. 17 MR. DUNLEAVY: And they currently service 250 18 people? 19 MR. COPPLER: No, it's approximately 125 per five 20 week cycle --21 MR. DUNLEAVY: So now they're --22 MR. COPPLER: -- is what they've given this --23 MR. DUNLEAVY: -- doubling it? 24 MR. COPPLER: Yeah. 25 MR. DUNLEAVY: And so those folks will come from

wherever?

MR. COPPLER: Yeah, all over the United States.

MR. BONDS: Okay.

MR. COPPLER: I mean, primarily they're Michigan and relatively close, but they do have plants all over the United States that --

MR. DUNLEAVY: But you're thinking they're going to have to eat somewhere and sleep somewhere.

MR. COPPLER: Yeah, and that's actually, you know, in discussing -- the number of meetings we've had with them and discussing everything, that was one of the things that kind of came out in terms of partnering with providing, you know, leads to people that are there, you know, where to stay, where to eat, where to shop, because again, there are going to be people that are housed in a hotel that are attending these trainings for five weeks, so.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Super.

MR. COPPLER: And so they committed to that.

MR. BONDS: Mr. Zech.

MR. ZECH: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

So if by approving this Resolution the city is forgiving or giving up, whatever you want to call it, \$22,000 in fees, but they would still be getting \$210,000 in --

MR. COPPLER: Yeah, in total the estimated permits are going to be about \$210,000. It would have been approximately I think 270 or 280; I don't remember that number off the top of my head.

MR. ZECH: 270 or 80?

MR. COPPLER: Yeah, 270 or 80,000 because we're going to be losing 20. But because we have the agreement with SAFEbuilt, who does our third party -- our reviews for the plan, or for building, you know, they're going to be getting the vast majority of that money. They get 68 percent of the permit fee. So, when you look at it, they're losing about \$40,000; we're losing about \$20,000.

MR. BONDS: And taxable value of the property --

MR. ZECH: Oh, okay.

MR. COPPLER: Yeah.

MR. BONDS: -- is in that as well.

MR. ZECH: Sure.

MR. COPPLER: Yeah. Where we gain is once it's fully completed and it's on the tax rolls, you know, we're again estimating that this is going to be probably three times what the current value is, which is about \$1.1 million.

MR. BONDS: Mr. Dunleavy?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ DUNLEAVY: Just one more question and then I'll stop.

You didn't have this budgeted in your budget 1 anyways, did you? 2 MR. COPPLER: No, not at all. 3 MR. DUNLEAVY: So this is new money no matter 4 5 what? 6 MR. COPPLER: Yeah. 7 MR. DUNLEAVY: Correct? Okay. MR. BONDS: Okay. Any further discussion? 8 9 (No response.) 10 MR. BONDS: Hearing none, all those in favor of approving Resolution 2017-218, signify by stating aye. 11 MR. ZECH: Aye. 12 13 MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye. 14 MS. THOMAS: Aye. 15 MR. BONDS: Aye. 16 No opposition. The motion passes. 17 Okay, back to the agenda, and we are at the RTAB 18 evaluation. Mr. Dostine. 19 MR. DOSTINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 20 First, one housekeeping matter. I provided a new 21 draft for all the members, and there are two small edits, 22 and I'll walk you through them. On page one, the third 23 paragraph, under the Summary of Evaluation heading, the

number, second line, "City increased its unassigned

general fund balance by..." The number should be 3.4

24

25

million. I had 1.3 million I believe.

And then the other edit, page two, second paragraph, third line from the bottom, "Lincoln Park now spends," I had \$625,000 annually on the OPED stipend (sic). It's \$612,000. I had talked to Matt; he read the evaluation, and these are a couple of edits he had made, so.

All right, moving on. So this RTAB was appointed December 22nd, 2015. This is just a matter of background. In the Governor's appointment letter, among some of the board's duties, it's listed their responsibility to conduct a formal evaluation of the city's administrative and financial operations. As a practical matter, treasury staff administers that.

So I sat down with Mayor Karnes, City Manager Matt Coppler, and Finance Director Lisa Griggs at the end of February, and implemented a very thorough evaluation, and it contained many questions that measured administrative and financial operations, many of which come out of the public itself and EM Order 60.

By the way, this evaluation covered the time period from December of 2015 to December of 2016. And obviously I'm not going to go over all the details; it's a very detailed document. I'll just read more sort of concluding remarks:

"The evaluation concludes that the City of Lincoln Park has addressed the review team's findings, it has increased its operational efficiencies, it's improved its financial stability, and it's progressing in its economic development opportunities."

The many accomplishments are more detailed in the report, and among them are the structural operating deficit in the general fund had been addressed. The city outperformed year one of the EM's two year budget. The 2016 audit overall fund balance was \$3,465,000. And this is worth underscoring because the review team noted in its final report that in fiscal years 2011 through 2013, city officials depleted a 4.5 million dollar fund balance in the general fund with, as they called it, "out of control expenditures." That's been reversed.

"The city uses the adopted budget and amended budget as an effective management tool. It has adopted a fund balance policy, 20 percent. Lincoln Park made its required contributions to the pension fund. It's developed and maintained a six year rolling capital improvement plan, and a five year financial and operations plan.

And lastly, the operation of the city has

an effective and professional city manager.

While the City of Lincoln Park still must deal with legacy costs, the city is in a significant operational and financial progress, which puts it in a favorable position to successfully manage these challenges going forward.

Moreover, other positive conditions exists within this city that reinforce the decision for a release from receivership.

Therefore, the recommendation is for the City of Lincoln Park to return to full local control. If there is concurrence with this, the RTAB should consider making an official recommendation today to the Governor to terminate receivership."

Well, let me qualify that. Actually what you'd be doing is moving this recommendation to return to full local control, which is contained in the report.

That concludes my report, Mr. Chair.

MR. BONDS: Than you, very much, and very well done.

The chair will entertain a motion to approve, deny, or postpone the RTAB evaluation as presented today.

MS. THOMAS: So moved.

MR. ZECH: Support.

MR. BONDS: And support.

Now for discussion. Any questions of Patrick? Any questions of the city?

MS. THOMAS: I think overall, I mean, comments, is that okay?

MR. BONDS: Yeah, that's fine.

MS. THOMAS: Yeah, just in my experience in working with several communities, the things that make, or will allow a city or municipality to remain on a path of success, it requires you to continue to make solid decisions, to continue to follow a roadmap of what your goals and expectations are of the community, and I would strongly advise or just suggest that the operations and the decisions that you make relating to the operations will impact your budget. And I think that staying on a course where you're challenging and questioning yourselves, your decisions, your motives, those are the things that's going to keep Lincoln Park sustainable. The moment that you deviate from an overarching plan is the moment that you will be back in a situation where, you know, you're wondering what happened.

So I would just strongly advise that, you know, I think that all of the things that have been set forth in this plan, it's very positive. It's nice to see progress.

But I would also, you know, urge you to stay focused and stay the course because deviation from that course can easily put you back in a situation of distress. I always say every community is one decision away from a deficit. It doesn't matter who you are, it doesn't matter how much money you have in the bank or what your fund balance is; you're always one decision away. So I would encourage everyone to make informed decisions, I would encourage everyone to continue on a course, have a strategic plan, be reasonable, but also put some faith and trust in the individuals in this room that have put forth a lot of effort over the past year or so to make this work.

MR. BONDS: Comments? Mr. Dunleavy.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Just maybe a comment and a question.

Pat, was there anything in that that maybe highlights the increase in property values for the period of time?

MR. DOSTINE: Actually there is. It's cited in a report. I probably won't be able to find it very quickly, but I believe the city told me they're projecting, the finance director is projecting a two percent increase in taxable value in 2017.

MR. BONDS: Uh-huh.

MR. DUNLEAVY: I don't know if you want to put

that in your conclusion, but we'll approve it as is but -MR. DOSTINE: Sure.

MR. DUNLEAVY: -- I think that's something you might want to just stress because I find what's going to destroy a city a lot and what's destroyed a lot of governments is the decrease in property value, and making sure that you take steps to -- and it seems like they've, some of the things that we've approved they've already taken steps to make sure that property values remain high and that they stay on property owners to maintain their properties, and especially they even have something to do with rentals to make sure that the rentals keep their value up, so.

MR. DOSTINE: Yep.

MR. DUNLEAVY: I have no problem with the document.

MR. BONDS: Mr. Zech.

MR. ZECH: I have no problem with the document either. I enjoyed working on this project. I'm -- nice to see the mayor, and I often -- we often see Councilman Kelsey at these meetings and others. I think that Mr. Coppler is putting together a good administrative team, and has been given the support to make tough recommendations and difficult decisions to come before the council.

MR. BONDS: Yes.

MR. ZECH: I know it's difficult, and I know the council has to work through those things too because they have pressures put on them by the public. But they've come a long way in 15, 18 months.

MR. BONDS: Yes, they have.

MR. ZECH: I tip my hat.

MR. BONDS: Thank you.

Let me just end by saying, for a point of clarification. We're approving this recommendation. It still needs to be presented to the Governor for his approval. Although we don't see any indication of it going any other way than what's recommended, it still needs to go to the Governor.

But I do want to say this, is that the city should be commended, and I'll start with the mayor because the mayor probably has the fortitude to hire Mr. Coppler. And between Mr. Coppler and Ms. Griggs, we understand the nature of local government finance, and so you all have just done a phenomenal job during this process. And I know it's a collective, you know, which is part of the team, but you all have just done an outstanding job of taking, you know, the bull by the horns, so-to-speak, and guiding your community on a pathway to success.

As Ms. Thomas has stated, and Mr. Dunleavy, don't

rest on the laurels. Make sure you look at those three 1 buckets, which are your pension, your infrastructure, and 2 you day-to-day operations, but most importantly the tax 3 levies that you have, and use those resources in a very 4 5 conservative, cautious manner. So that's all I wanted to 6 say. 7 So, having said that, all those in favor of approving Resolution --8 9 MR. DUNLEAVY: Did you have a comment? 10 MR. DOSTINE: Well, actually, I just want to make 11 sure that we will need one more RTAB meeting. 12 MR. BONDS: Yep. Yep. We're aware of that. 13 We're aware of that. 14 MR. DUNLEAVY: Yes, yes. 15 MR. BONDS: We're giving them all the praise now. 16 Yes, we've got that. 17 MR. ZECH: I thought he was taking the summer 18 off. 19 MR. DOSTINE: No, we need you for one more. 20 MR. BONDS: There'll be a meeting to exit is what 21 Mr. Dostine is referring to. 22 MR. DOSTINE: That's correct. 23 MR. BONDS: So All those in favor of approving 24 the Resolution as provided, signify by stating aye.

MR. ZECH: Aye.

25

MS. THOMAS: Aye. 1 2 MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye. MR. BONDS: Aye. 3 4 Any opposed? 5 (No response.) 6 MR. BONDS: Hearing none, motion passes. Thank 7 you. Next item is the approval of resolutions and 8 ordinances for city council meeting. The first item is 9 10 the resolution from the regular city council meeting of May 1st, 2017, which is Attachment 3 in your packet. 11 12 There were numerous resolutions from this council meeting 13 that were passed at our last board meeting. The Chair 14 will entertain a motion to approve the remaining resolutions from the May 1st, 2017 regular city council 15 16 meeting. 17 MR. DUNLEAVY: Move approval. 18 MS. THOMAS: Support. 19 MR. BONDS: Any discussion? 20 And you do, as I mentioned, the one that was 21 approved at our last meeting was 2017-171. So all those 22 in favor signify by stating aye. 23 MR. ZECH: Aye. 24 MS. THOMAS: Aye.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye.

25

MR. BONDS: Aye.

No opposed. Motion passes.

Resolution 2017-172, which is the amendment of the EM Order 60, which is the repeal of the promotional tax. This was discussed and pulled forward at the last meeting. The board did not take any action, so we're addressing it under new business as part of a council meeting that we are reviewing today.

Mr. Coppler, can you provide us a summary? Although you did at the last meeting.

MR. COPPLER: Sure. I have no problem.

So during the budget process, city council decided that they would like to relinquish the promotional tax that the city has been applying to the residents for the last, I think since 2013 if I'm not mistaken. That particular fund pays for a number of different promotional items related to the website, to the concert series, to promoting recreational opportunities within the city, and it levies per the state order or the state statute \$50,000 per year. We've been spending, at least this past year I think we budgeted up to about \$48,000, and I don't think we'll spend all that.

Prior to this past year the city really hadn't spent any of it. There's approximately \$109,000 in the fund balance for that. And so through, you know, looking

at how we're spending it, making sure that the expenditures are worthy and necessary, we feel that we could probably run the \$109,000 out at least two more years. And so I don't think it's going to be a dramatic impact on the budget if this is approved. Again, I think some of the things could easily be taken up within the general fund in future years, but again, the city always has that option should the need be to once again reinstate that particular tax if so desired.

MR. BONDS: Okay. Any questions of Mr. Coppler? (No response.)

MR. BONDS: Okay, hearing none, all those in favor of approving the Resolution as provided, Resolution 2017-172, signify by stating aye.

MR. ZECH: Aye.

MS. THOMAS: Aye.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye.

MR. BONDS: Aye.

No, opposed. Motion passes.

Thank you, Mr. Coppler.

MR. COPPLER: Thank you.

MR. BONDS: Resolution 2017-173, which is the budget amendment for the police and fire pension. In your packets, this is Attachment 4b as in boy.

Mr. Coppler, would you provide a summary of this

item to the board, please?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. COPPLER: Sure.

If you remember, one of the things that was not included in the current year budget was the full ARC for the police and fire pension. When we had talked about way back when with the budget, this was actually a number that we hadn't received yet from the pension system to know, so we budgeted effectively what the EM had put in the budget for that particular expenditure. It was about I think \$565,000 short of what the ARC required. Much like we did the previous year, if you remember we didn't make full payments during the budget. Towards the end once we saw where the general fund was going to end up, we then, you know, felt comfortable making it. Again, this year we feel comfortable with the collections from the court as well as where we're at expenditure-wise, that we're going to exceed what our goal was at the end of the year for the fund balance, so we feel comfortable making this recommendation to go ahead and make the appropriation and make the payment before the end of the fiscal year.

MR. BONDS: All right. Chair will entertain a motion to approve, deny, or postpone the recommendation to the state treasurer to amend the FY '17 budget.

MR. ZECH: I'll make that motion.

MR. BONDS: Because this will require an

1	amendment.
2	MR. ZECH: I'll make that motion.
3	MR. DUNLEAVY: Second.
4	MR. BONDS: And supported.
5	Is there any discussion on this?
6	MR. ZECH: Just one. So if the amendment calls
7	for the city to pay an additional \$560,000 you said?
8	MR. COPPLER: Yeah, I think the total impact to
9	the general fund is \$565,893.00.
10	MR. BONDS: That's on the second page there.
11	MR. COPPLER: So that gets us to that ARC amount
12	that we're supposed to have, which is roughly 6 million
13	dollars.
14	MR. ZECH: Thank you.
15	MR. BONDS: Okay.
16	MR. ZECH: Oh, there it is.
17	MR. BONDS: All those in favor signify by stating
18	aye.
19	MR. ZECH: Aye.
20	MS. THOMAS: Aye.
21	MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye.
22	MR. BONDS: Aye.
23	Motion passes.
24	While the next is the city manager's items, which
25	are Attachment 5 in your packet excuse me Items 1

through 6, while action on Items 1 through 6 occurred during a council meeting outside of the normal review for today's meeting, the city manager is requesting to bring them forward for early review. All of these items have been approved by the city council at their June 5th council meeting. The first item is Resolution 2017-193, which is the FY '17/'18 budget and mileage rates.

Mr. Coppler, please.

MR. COPPLER: So as I mentioned, mayor and council acted up on the budget for fiscal year 2017/'18. I'm happy to report that it is a balanced budget. It, you know, unfortunately doesn't accomplish all the needs of the city, but again, we're not financially fit right now to be able to accomplish all the needs of the city. But what it does do is put us again, continuously I should say, on that track that we've started this past year. In total, the general fund is looking to raise about \$23,328,655, and the appropriation requests matches that as well.

A lot of things are going on within it. We do fully fund both pension ARCs within this budget. We also are able to contribute money towards capital projects that are necessary to keep operations going forward. Just to kind of reiterate something that we talked about in terms of how we appropriated this year versus previous years,

you know, we weren't really comfortable, at least I wasn't comfortable with what is the watermark or water level for the court fees that we have been taking in. And the plan was to try to establish what we thought was going to be an ongoing number that operationally we wouldn't count on any more money, and that additional money be put towards So if for whatever reason we see a capital projects. downturn, it's not going to impact our operation; we just get rid of some of the capital expenditure. And so that's what we've lived up to with this. You know, that number is somewhere around 3.3, 3.4 million is where I decided that that waterline was. And so with that, we're committing about \$600,000 towards the capital that, if for whatever reason next year it looks like that is going to start going down, we just reduce the capital expenditure. Not the best way to go about it, but again, what we're trying to do is ensure that we have an operational stability from year to year so we don't have to mess with the levels of services that we're providing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Needless to say, to pull this off is this is going to be a little bit tighter than what it was the first year, definitely a little bit tighter than it was this year, but I still think that what our requirements that we set internally and what the EM had put forward is about \$300,000 ultimately going back into the general fund

operation, or general fund undesignated fund balance.

MR. BONDS: I have some questions on this.

MR. COPPLER: We do, within the water and sewer, again continue our commitment to the capital improvements. We did adjust rates on an average of about 9 percent. We've been realigning how we charge people on that, and there are capital components to the water and sewer rates that now are lining up with our actual water/sewer capital requirements as well. So the money coming in on those are actually going into the capital, so we have a stable fund that we know that we're going to be getting this much every year and being able to spend it out.

There is a large commitment being made both in the sewer lines as well as the storm sewer lines. We have a SAW Grant from the state that there's a considerable push in the upcoming year to do that, which we think ultimately is going to reduce, one, our costs for wastewater treatment, but also improve the level of services that we have with the residents, and what you don't see within this is the start of the water side of all that mix where we're going to be doing some reviews of how the water system is running, and find out where the leaks are that we, you know, we definitely know are out there. So there's a lot this upcoming year in trying to start fixing those infrastructure problems.

We commit, again, about a little over 1.3 million in roads. We're actually able in the sanitation fund, which is our trash fund, to actually give a rebate back to the residents this year of about \$5.11 per quarter because, again, we've met our requirements for the fund balance and make sure operationally we can pay for what we're doing, and so we can give back a little bit to the residents on that.

MR. BONDS: Okay. The Chair will entertain a motion to approve, deny, or postpone Resolution 2017-193, which is the FY '17/'18 budget and millage rates.

MR. ZECH: So moved.

MS. THOMAS: Support.

MR. BONDS: And supported.

Discussion?

Yes, I have a couple questions.

MR. COPPLER: Sure.

MR. BONDS: Can you let us know under your capital improvement plan, what are the top three items that the city will be investing in for this budget, for the FY '17/'18 budget?

MR. COPPLER: Yeah, the three big ones are of course our roads. I said we're putting about 1.35 million dollars into the capital fund for road replacement.

MR. BONDS: Okay.

MR. COPPLER: Second is, you know, and I guess
I'm going to lump these together --

MR. BONDS: Sure.

MR. COPPLER: -- but it's the water and sewer infrastructure.

MR. BONDS: Okay.

MR. COPPLER: I want to say we have a little bit over a million dollars budgeted to do line replacement and improvements. We received a grant, which you might remember from the treasury for a waterline which, you know, increases that amount going forward as well.

MR. BONDS: Okay.

MR. COPPLER: And I think the third biggest item within there is in our facilities. We have a large commitment to going into the facilities this year that will, again, stabilize what we have. You know, we're doing projects on roofs, both the police department and at our recreation center. We got grants, money from HUD for CDBG going into our senior center building that again has a number of issues, so.

MR. BONDS: Okay.

MR. COPPLER: So there are a lot of facility improvements going on --

MR. BONDS: Great. Good to hear that.

MR. COPPLER: -- to stabilize it.

MR. BONDS: The second follow-up on that is you talked about some of your revenue adjustments, and kind of looking at your benchmarking of the court fees. Were there any other areas where to get that balanced budget you had to look at that from a serious angle of adjusting those potential revenue markers?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. COPPLER: Not really. You know, we have seen an uptick in the building fees, and again, I think that's kind of reflective of what we started to see that people are starting to reinvest in their houses and businesses again, and so the previous year I want to say those fees were right around \$800,000; that included rental fees. You know, all the different types of registrations that we The upcoming year we are making a renewed have as well. commitment, both with the company right now that's responsible, as well as looking at some internal in-house abilities to increase the rental enforcement. And so you see a little bit of an uptick there in this upcoming year for revenue collections on that side because, and I'm not trying to get too far into the weeds, but you know, we felt, or at least I felt, that there's probably about 1,000 rentals that we are not capturing at this point at a minimum. I mean, if you just looked at what the census says is the number of rentals, and you look at the number that we have registered, there's a big difference.

again, you know, you have to take some of that out for changing hands that, you know, used to be a rental, now it's owner occupied, but I think it's at a minimum of about 1,000. And so that's \$150 to \$250 per rental.

MR. BONDS: Okav.

MR. COPPLER: So when you start seeing that those numbers tend to go up.

MR. BONDS: Great.

MR. COPPLER: And then just on the average year, the number that expire as well, I think there's about 632 or something like that --

MR. BONDS: Okay.

MR. COPPLER: -- rentals that expire in the upcoming year. So when you start doing the math, you know, it starts working out that our projections are pretty decent, and probably, as always, more conservative than not.

MR. BONDS: Great.

And I apologize, I have one more question and then I'll turn it over to the other board members. Where is the city right now with its community development readiness status?

Because I know that \$50,000, although it is not going to affect that, I believe the EM had it in his intent that it would be part of that process of getting

the city ready for that designation through the MEDC.

MR. COPPLER: So we've been doing a lot of, probably over the last year a lot of things behind the scenes that are going to be actually providing greater movement in the upcoming year. So, you know, our economic development person, the EDA director, has been getting the training that is required to be a part of that program, and so I think he's completed all the training necessary. In this budget that we have before you, we have the update to the master plan in there as well.

MR. BONDS: Okay.

MR. COPPLER: And we've already begun in the development projects that we've already started working on, we've been making a lot of notes on the changes to then zoning code --

MR. BONDS: Okay.

MR. COPPLER: -- that's going to come after the master plan.

MR. BONDS: Great.

MR. COPPLER: So, you know, once this budget is adopted, we'll go out and do an RFP for a company to help us with that. That'll take throughout most of the fall and probably into the winter to get that finalized, which will lead us right into the budget, you know, the next budget, which then we'll budget for the changes to the

zoning code that may be a little bit more expensive than 1 just us fixing them. I think there's, you know, been 2 signs and parking, and actually looking at our districts 3 that we have and maybe realigning them a little bit to 4 5 reflect what is going to be there, not what, you know, 20 years ago they wanted to be there. 6 7 MR. BONDS: Right. MR. COPPLER: You know, it's going to take a few 8 9 dollars to do that. Great. 10 MR. BONDS: Good report. Thank you. Any other questions of the board? 11 12 (No response.)

MR. BONDS: Hearing none, all those in favor signify by stating aye.

MR. ZECH: Aye.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. THOMAS: Aye.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye.

MR. BONDS: Aye.

And no opposed. Motion passes.

Next item is the approval of Resolution 2017-197, which is the collective bargaining agreement for TPOAM Clerical, which is Attachment 5b as in boy in your packets.

And Mr. Coppler, a summary, please.

MR. COPPLER: And just to streamline this so I

don't talk about all of them, because they all kind of follow the same pattern, you know, what we tried to do, one is to stabilize the employee workforce. In, not necessarily in these first two, but throughout the --we've had a retainment as well as recruitment issue within the city's workforce. Just for example, and it's actually in the FOP contract, in this fiscal year alone we've lost I think it's almost 19 percent of our police officers in that particular bargaining unit, primarily going to other communities to make more money.

So we looked throughout all the collective bargaining agreements and said, okay, let's try to do adjustments that, one, financially make sense over the next three to four years, but also, you know, provide us a little bit better opportunity to recruit as well as retain the employees that we think are worthwhile. And although not to that same extent of, you know, nearly 20 percent, or 19, you know, we've experienced loss of a number of good employees throughout the city's organization. And so we made an effort to try to adjust the starting wages in the middle and the lower ranking or lower experience levels throughout all of the contracts. The second thing we tried to do was to strengthen our pension fund, and we had met with the pension, the operators of the pension fund systems and talked about what could we do through

collective bargaining that would help stabilize and actually help grow the pensions against, and it ended up being that, you know, we had to get a higher commitment from the employees to put into the pension system so we can build the money going into the pension system, as well as start reducing the annuity withdrawal that the city allows retirees to take.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Just so you can see, if you remember our discussions about the police and fire pension a number of months ago, one of the things that led to it being where it is is during the outflow of employees that happened in 2004/2005, and again I think it was 2012/2013, you know, the city lost over 7 million dollars of value because they were able to withdraw that. And so we, you know, can't negotiate out everything. We reduced the amount of years that annuity would be able to be taken, and we think that that alone is going to add in value somewhere between \$50,000 to \$125,000 a year in value for the ARC. And so, again, and dollar we can keep in the pension for any length of time is going to have a large value to the pension overall. And I think when you see, if you're around, if you look at next year's actuarials for both pension funds, when these things are taken into consideration, I think you're going to see healthier funds once again.

There's still more work that has to be done, you know, the city is doing things that we've talked about to operationally make it more -- you know, get more bang for the dollar that we're investing, but there's going to be a delta that we're going to have to get from somewhere to make them as healthy.

MR. BONDS: Okay.

MR. COPPLER: And so, you know, those are really the two big things that we tried to do. And then, you know, the third is look at some of the language that's there that operationally may cause, you know, a little bit more cost within the system and trying to address that. But we tried to keep it simple, tried to keep it, you know, the length of the contracts between two to four years. You'll see throughout all these there's some that are two, some that are four. So we don't have to negotiate all six, seven of them at the same time because that's a nightmare.

MR. BONDS: Yeah.

Okay, the Chair will entertain a motion to approve, deny, or postpone Resolution 2017-197, which is the collective bargaining agreement for the Lincoln Park Technical, Professional, Office Workers Association of Michigan.

MR. ZECH: So moved.

1	MS. THOMAS: Support.
2	MR. BONDS: And support.
3	Any further discussion?
4	Yes, sir, Mr. Zech.
5	MR. ZECH: Thank you.
6	One question. What is hybrid member of your
7	pension program? On page three I just
8	MR. COPPLER: So they're going to be getting
9	actually two parts to their pension: one is I think the
10	traditional kind of defined benefit portion; the other is
11	a more like 457 DC, a defined contribution.
12	MR. ZECH: Okay, so some are strictly defined
13	benefit, and some of your employees are hybrid? They're
14	in both programs?
15	MR. COPPLER: Yeah, there's yeah.
16	MR. ZECH: That's what I thought but I wasn't
17	sure. And I notice that throughout these agreements
18	MR. COPPLER: Yeah, those are all municipal
19	MR. ZECH: you're asking us to approve
20	MR. COPPLER: Yeah, and the municipal employees
21	is, you know, there's no hybrids for the police and fire;
22	it's all municipal employee.
23	MR. ZECH: Thank you.
24	MR. BONDS: Any further discussion?
25	(No response.)

MR. BONDS: Hearing none, all those in favor 1 2 signify by stating aye. MR. ZECH: Aye. 3 4 MS. THOMAS: Aye. 5 MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye. 6 MR. BONDS: Aye. 7 No opposed. Motion passes. Next item is approval of Resolution 2017-198, 8 9 which is the collective bargaining agreement for the Lincoln Park Police Command Officers Association. 10 11 MR. DUNLEAVY: Move approval. 12 MR. BONDS: It's been moved. 13 MS. THOMAS: Second. 14 MR. BONDS: And second. 15 Any discussion? 16 (No response.) 17 MR. BONDS: Hearing none, all those in favor 18 signify by stating aye. MR. ZECH: Aye. 19 20 MS. THOMAS: Aye. 21 MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye. 22 MR. BONDS: Aye. 23 Motion passed. 24 Next item is Resolution 2017-199, which is the 25 collective bargaining agreement for Governmental Employees

1	Labor Council.
2	MR. DUNLEAVY: Move approval.
3	MS. THOMAS: Second.
4	MR. BONDS: It's been properly moved and
5	seconded. Any discussion?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. BONDS: Hearing none, all those in favor
8	signify by stating aye.
9	MR. ZECH: Aye.
10	MS. THOMAS: Aye.
11	MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye.
12	MR. BONDS: Aye.
13	Motion passed.
14	Next item is the approval of Resolution 2017-200,
15	which award the three year contract to Bank of America,
16	Merrill Lynch for banking services, which is Attachment 5e
17	in your packet.
18	MR. ZECH: I'll make that motion.
19	MR. BONDS: Motion has been made to approve. Is
20	there a second?
21	MR. DUNLEAVY: Second.
22	MR. BONDS: And second.
23	Any discussion?
24	MR. ZECH: Mr. Chairman?
25	MR. BONDS: Yes, sir?

MR. ZECH: I'm just curious as to how did you come to this --

MR. BONDS: Mr. Coppler.

MR. COPPLER: I'm going to ask our director of finance and operations to come up because she handled that with our city treasurer.

MR. BONDS: Ms. Griggs. Thank you.

MS. GRIGGS: Yeah, so we received three bids from various banking services, and we reviewed things like the analysis fees that they charge monthly for all of the different items, as well as the interest earnings.

Overall Bank of America came in slightly better. There was one that was very close as well, but Bank of America is our current provider as well, so it didn't justify switching banks. There was not enough savings with the other ones, and actually we come out a little bit ahead with this. So they did reduce some of the fees that we're currently paying in our monthly charges.

MR. ZECH: That's the magic words; they reduced their fees.

MS. GRIGGS: No -- no, not for the pension. This is just for city banking.

MR. ZECH: I understand.

MS. GRIGGS: Yeah. Pension handles all of their own separate.

MR. BONDS: Okay. Any further discussion? 1 (No response.) 2 MR. BONDS: Hearing none, all those in favor 3 4 signify by stating aye. 5 MR. ZECH: Aye. 6 MS. THOMAS: Aye. 7 MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye. MR. BONDS: Aye. 8 9 Motion passes with no opposition. Next item is Resolution 2017-201, which is award 10 of a three year contract to Plante Moran for auditing 11 12 services. 13 MR. DUNLEAVY: Move approval. 14 MR. BONDS: It's been moved for approval. Is 15 there a second? 16 MR. ZECH: Support. 17 And there's support. Any discussion? MR. BONDS: 18 MR. DUNLEAVY: Just a quick discussion. 19 MR. BONDS: Yes, sir, Mr. Dunleavy. 20 MR. DUNLEAVY: Anybody else bid on it? MR. COPPLER: We'll have our director of finance 21 22 and operations handle that. 23 MS. GRIGGS: So there was four firms total. 24 MR. DUNLEAVY: Who were the other firms? 25 MS. GRIGGS: The Raymond Group. Yeo & Yeo I

think is how you say that. And Clark Schaefer.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Okay.

MS. GRIGGS: I think they're out of Toledo. I'm not sure if I got their names exactly right. As well as Plante Moran.

MS. THOMAS: I have a -- I was going to say --

MR. DUNLEAVY: Was this the lowest bid or --

MS. GRIGGS: Well, it --

MR. DUNLEAVY: -- or the best qualified?

MS. GRIGGS: Best qualified. All of the firms bid the proposals a little bit different as far as hours go, so what we had to do is do an analysis of comparative hours, so we reviewed everybody's hours and made them the same, along with the hourly fees to see where they came in. If it was just the base audit along with the single audit, Plante Moran was about \$3,000 higher. But, because we do generally require some additional hours, when you add in some of those hours, their hourly rate was lower, so then they come in lower.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Okay.

MS. GRIGGS: So it's all going to depend on where we end up, but they were within the ballpark. There was two of the firms that were quite a bit higher, so it really came down to two in the end.

MS. THOMAS: I have a question regarding those

hours, because in my experience it's not so much the base of the audit, it's those additional charges that happen and occur when there may be prior period adjustments or whatnot. So my first question is is what was the base rate prior with Plante Moran, and how much did we actually I guess get charged in additional hours for that additional work?

MS. GRIGGS: For the previous years?

MS. THOMAS: Yeah, just out of curiosity. And where do you anticipate that panning out?

Because although, you know, sixty-three sounds reasonable, but if you have 30,000 in additional charges in fees for different services or work that's being done to the audit, it's going to put you back at a pretty high number.

MS. GRIGGS: Right. Right.

MS. THOMAS: And I know that it was a little bit higher last year. So I guess I would like to understand how you're going to mitigate that in the future, but also get an understanding of what those additional hourly rates or charges would be.

MS. GRIGGS: Right. So last year there was close to 30,000 I believe in overage. I don't know exactly what the hours equate to for that. And their base fee this year includes, or for the proposal for the three years is

about 425 hours is what it's estimated at. So we know we've got some work to do on our end. We do have additional staff now that's helping to alleviate some of the overages that were there in the past, so we're working along with them. The plan is to work with them ahead of time and make sure that we have all of those things in line to reduce those hours. I think the first year there will still be some, but our goal is to make that much lower than it has been in the past.

MS. THOMAS: And I guess, again, and my question is -- I guess I'm -- I'm trying to better understand, because 30,000 is a lot. It's a significant number.

MS. GRIGGS: Uh-huh.

MS. THOMAS: And my question is is, you know, with some of the other firms, were they able to provide a better approach that didn't require as many hours?

I mean, because I guess that 30,000 is hefty, and that is unusual; you know, I will say that in my experience it's a bit unusual for an audit of this size, and I guess I'm just a little concerned because --

MS. GRIGGS: Right.

MS. THOMAS: -- again, that's a hefty number, and I know you have additional staff and support, but given that they've been with you for over 30 years, I would anticipate that there have been some efficiencies over 30

years.

MS. GRIGGS: Right.

MS. THOMAS: So I guess I'm just trying to understand the logic there. I don't know if that makes sense, but I'm just trying to sort through that, because I don't -- I'm having a hard time understanding that logic.

MS. GRIGGS: As far -- well, one of the things I think as time has gone on and staff has gone down, if you -- I don't have that in front of me, but if you look at traditionally the journal entries have crept up as staff has gone down. And then I think sometimes there's just a reliance on our part where we know if we don't get it done, you know, we've got that little bit of reliance, but we've already started to put things in place internally to help alleviate that.

MS. THOMAS: Okay.

MS. GRIGGS: I don't know if I answered your question.

MR. BONDS: Any other discussion?

MS. THOMAS: Yes, I -- I do get it, but I am still concerned about those hours. I think that 30,000 is -- that's huge. That is more than half, or just about half of what you're paying and, you know, you budget the sixty-three, and you know, the question is are you budgeting more; how much more are you budgeting over that

sixty-three, because if it comes in at thirty as what it has been in the past, you're already thirty grand over budget.

MS. GRIGGS: Right.

MR. BONDS: Mr. Zech.

MR. ZECH: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

You've indicated you've been able to add some staff in the finance area?

MS. GRIGGS: Yes.

MR. ZECH: Full or part-time?

MS. GRIGGS: Full-time.

MR. ZECH: Full-time. And the people that you're able to hire come with experience in terms of, are they kind of entry level employees, or are they more seasoned so that they could actually help with some of those journal entries and other things so you don't have to rely on your auditor to do that part of the job --

MS. GRIGGS: Right.

MR. ZECH: -- plus do the audit.

MS. GRIGGS: Yeah, so they are going to help in that area, but the main goal is that, for instance, my assistant who would normally be helping prepare audit schedules and do those entries was doing accounts payable last year as well as water billing. So we have a fulltime -- we've added full-time in both water and finance so

that she's more available to actually do what her prime role is and, you know, assist in those things that we were not able to get to.

MR. ZECH: Because I share Ms. Thomas' concern.

MS. GRIGGS: Uh-huh.

MR. ZECH: If the budget is 60,000 or 63,000 and you've got \$30,000 in additional charges, but your goal it seems that you are devoting people to be able to do the work so that the auditors don't have to do it.

MS. GRIGGS: Right, and we're --

MR. ZECH: Over and above just doing the audit.

MS. GRIGGS: Right, because we've already spoke with them about it as well. So we're going to meet with them ahead of time and get things, you know, have deadlines that are well ahead of the audit so that if there are things that come up before then, there's still time to get those done on our end.

MR. ZECH: Prior to the EM, did you have, for instance, a clerk or someone that did the water billing and someone who did this sort of thing, and then your staff was significantly reduced because of the situation?

MS. GRIGGS: The reductions --

MR. ZECH: And so you're kind of --

MS. GRIGGS: -- actually started prior to the EM coming in both water and finance. Some of those employees

that were traditionally full-time went down to part-time. There's a lot of turnover throughout the years. So it goes back probably a good five to six years where that staff started to reduce.

MR. ZECH: Are you closer to sort of what you used to have in terms of staff than -- or are you still a little below what you used to have in the way of staff, or are you above what you used to have some years ago?

MS. GRIGGS: We're definitely not above. We're still below what we were at one point in time, but that goes back closer to ten years. So we are now back to where we were about five or six years ago.

MR. ZECH: Okay, thank you.

MS. GRIGGS: You're welcome.

MR. BONDS: Thank you.

MR. COPPLER: And if I could add?

MR. BONDS: Yes, sir.

MR. COPPLER: You know, as we looked at this situation, and I know that Ms. Thomas and I have talked about that particular overage and what the audit is costing, you know, we have two choices: we can either try to bring in a higher level staff, or we can use the higher level staff we have to do the higher level thing that we're paying them to do. You know, when staffing was reduced, they started doing multiple things. And so as we

looked at it, if we get the basic pieces taken care of, a lot of those issues that were developing over time that ultimately the auditors came in and fixed for us can be fixed by the people that we're paying a lot more money to. And quite frankly, you know, we're just financially not in the marketplace to get that more midlevel experience, knowledgeable, financial person, where I think we're set up well to get the lower level that could do those tasks that, again, both the finance director and the deputy have been doing.

So we'll be spending money the way it should be spent on a higher level task with higher level people, and a lot of the more labor-intensive work will be done by the lower level individuals that we're bringing on who we can afford. So it was, you know, that kind of decision that was made to do that. It's not optimal, not the way that I would like to do it, but I understand financially that's the best option that the city had at its disposal.

MS. THOMAS: I just have a quick question. So just for clarification though, so what we have budgeted is 63,000 or are you factoring in that cushion of those additional hours --

MR. COPPLER: Yeah.

MS. THOMAS: -- that you anticipate?

MR. COPPLER: Do you remember what the number

was? 1 Yeah, I think it was about 80,000 is what in 2 total was budgeted throughout the different funds. 3 MS. THOMAS: Okay. 4 5 MR. BONDS: Okav. MR. COPPLER: You know, my hope, as well I as I 6 think the desire of our staff is that, you know, we're not 7 going to be spending that amount of money, but as Ms. 8 9 Griggs said, you know, there's no doubt there's going to 10 be some again this year. I mean, we know that there's 11 going to be some. But we think it's going to be a lot 12 better than what we did, you know, the way we performed 13 this past year. 14 MR. BONDS: Any further discussion? 15 (No response.) 16 MR. BONDS: Hearing none, all those in favor 17 signify by stating aye. 18 MR. ZECH: Aye. 19 MS. THOMAS: Aye. 20 MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye. 21 MR. BONDS: Aye. 22 Motion passes. 23 Alrighty. Items 7 through 8. While action on 24 Items 7 through 8 occurred during a council meeting

outside the normal review for today's board meeting, the

25

city manager is requesting to bring them forward for early 1 review. 2 I need to make sure, and I just want to confirm, 3 these were approved at the June 19th council meeting? 4 5 MR. COPPLER: Yes. Yes. 6 MR. BONDS: Okay. All right. 7 I'll look at them real quick just MR. COPPLER: to make sure. Yep, 12 and 13. 8 9 MR. BONDS: All right. 10 MR. ZECH: So just last night? MR. COPPLER: 11 Yes. 12 MR. BONDS: Yeah, these are some fast 13 turnarounds. I need to make sure of a couple things. 14 first item is Resolution 2017, but I don't have the

MR. COPPLER: It's 212. I mean of the three resolutions I gave to you --

MR. BONDS: The original one.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

number.

the FOPLC agreement.

MR. COPPLER: -- that'd be the first one, yes.

This is the collective bargaining agreement for

MR. BONDS: Okay. Thank you very much. And Mr. Coppler, I don't know if we need a summary because I think we've read it. So the Chair will entertain a motion to approve or deny Resolution 2017-212, which is a collective bargaining agreement for FOPLC.

1	MR. DUNLEAVY: Move approval.
2	MR. BONDS: It's been moved. Is there a second?
3	MS. THOMAS: Second.
4	MR. BONDS: Any discussion?
5	Is there anything you want to add? We see the
6	impact statement.
7	MR. COPPLER: Yeah.
8	MR. BONDS: Any questions of the board?
9	(No response.)
10	MR. BONDS: Hearing none, all those in favor
11	signify by stating aye.
12	MR. ZECH: Aye.
13	MS. THOMAS: Aye.
14	MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye.
15	MR. BONDS: Aye.
16	Motion passes.
17	Next item will be Resolution 2017-213, which is
18	the collective bargaining agreement for the TPOAM-Fields.
19	Chair will entertain a motion to approve, deny, or
20	postpone Resolution 2017-213 for the collective bargaining
21	agreement for TPOAM-Fields.
22	MR. ZECH: So moved.
23	MR. BONDS: It's been moved. Is there a second?
24	MR. DUNLEAVY: Second.
25	MR. BONDS: Any discussion?

Yes, sir, Mr. Dunleavy? 1 MR. DUNLEAVY: Just on the bottom here it shows 2 the same people voting yes who voted no and who abstained. 3 Is that -- I'm sure it's a typo. 4 5 MS. THOMAS: Right here. MR. BONDS: Yeah. We're trying to understand, 6 7 the question is on the city's Resolutions you've got the same people are voting yes and the same people voting no. 8 9 Is that a --10 MS. THOMAS: And abstaining. 11 MR. BONDS: And abstaining. Is that negating the 12 Resolution? How does that -- how is this --13 MR. DUNLEAVY: I think it's probably a typo, but 14 -- I assume. 15 MR. COPPLER: Which -- I -- I am not quite --MR. BONDS: The actual Resolution --16 17 MR. COPPLER: Yeah, I'm looking at it. What --18 MR. DUNLEAVY: On the bottom here, on the bottom 19 page. 20 MR. ZECH: Below the black line. 21 MR. DUNLEAVY: It says who voted yes --22 MR. ZECH: Yes, no, and abstain. 23 MR. DUNLEAVY: -- who voted no, and who 24 abstained. 25 MR. COPPLER: Okay, so yeah, so what that --

1	MR. DUNLEAVY: They're all the same.
2	MR. COPPLER: Yeah, that is the Resolution that
3	we prepared going into the meeting.
4	MR. DUNLEAVY: Oh.
5	MR. COPPLER: And so when you get the certified,
6	which is what I handed out earlier today
7	MR. DUNLEAVY: Okay, I didn't see it.
8	MR. COPPLER: the certified actually shows
9	what the vote was on that. That's just kind of the
10	
	template we use
11	MR. ZECH: Boilerplate.
12	MR. COPPLER: so the clerk can follow along
13	and take roll call.
14	MR. DUNLEAVY: I apologize. I don't have a copy
15	of that.
16	MS. THOMAS: Yeah, I don't either.
17	MR. ZECH: Do you want it? Here you go Brenden.
18	Pass this down to Brenden.
19	MS. THOMAS: Oh, I have it, too.
20	MR. DUNLEAVY: Fantastic.
21	MR. BONDS: Okay.
22	MR. DUNLEAVY: Thank you.
23	MS. THOMAS: This one doesn't have the names
24	MR. BONDS: Yeah, there we go. Yeah. Good
25	catch.

1 MR. COPPLER: Yeah, so when I	
2 MR. DUNLEAVY: I was kind of interested	d if
3 anybody voted no.	
4 MR. COPPLER: But I put the packet toge	ether if
5 they hadn't voted on it yet	
6 MR. DUNLEAVY: That's fine.	
7 MR. COPPLER: you'll get the templat	ce that
8 shows the	
9 MR. DUNLEAVY: I just wanted to see	
10 MR. COPPLER: pre-council.	
11 MR. DUNLEAVY: if anybody voted no,	or if
12 there was a close call.	
13 MR. COPPLER: There was only one person	n absent at
14 that point in time.	
15 MR. BONDS: Okay.	
MR. COPPLER: The rest was unanimous.	
MR. BONDS: Any further discussion?	
18 (No response.)	
19 MR. BONDS: Hearing none, all those in	favor of
20 approving Resolution 2017-213 signify by stating	aye.
21 MR. ZECH: Aye.	
MS. THOMAS: Aye.	
MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye.	
MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye. MR. BONDS: Aye.	

Items 9 through 12. These are the financial reports that are received and filed.

Any questions or comments? Which is the budget to actual cash flow and budget sheet, the check disbursement, overtime report, tax collections, and the monthly reports.

Any question for Mr. Coppler and/or staff?

MR. ZECH: Mr. Chair?

MR. BONDS: Yes, sir, Mr. Zech.

MR. ZECH: I just wanted to comment on your question that you asked about the three top priorities for the capital improvement plan.

MR. BONDS: Yes.

MR. ZECH: I was glad to hear the word "roof" in reading your packets and talking about the police roof, this roof, that roof. I'm glad that you're -- I know the roads are very important, water/sewer are very important, but if you're going to stabilize the buildings, you've got to start with the roof.

MR. COPPLER: Yep.

MR. ZECH: And the heating and cooling system, and I'm glad you're in a position to put somebody there.

MR. COPPLER: All right. Thank you.

MR. BONDS: Thank you.

Any other questions or comments?

MR. DUNLEAVY: No. 1 MR. BONDS: Okay, we will move right into the 2 3 city administrator report. MR. COPPLER: I have no report. 4 5 MR. BONDS: All right. We're going to move right 6 into public comment. 7 MR. DOSTINE: Mr. Chair, the first request comes from Councilman Larry Kelsey. 8 9 MR. KELSEY: Good afternoon. 10 MR. BONDS: Good afternoon. 11 MR. KELSEY: Jee, I don't know what I'm going to 12 do with my Tuesdays at 1:00. I'm going to have to find a 13 hobby or drive through the city, you know, my sister cities a little bit and do something. 14 15 MR. BONDS: Come to Lansing. 16 MR. KELSEY: Oh, no; I don't want to get nowhere 17 near Lansing. Thank you, but no thank you. 18 MR. ZECH: You're welcome to come south of 19 Goddard. 20 MR. KELSEY: Yeah, I've been down there. 21 Heritage Days was this past weekend I see. 22 I'm just going to make a couple observations, not 23 really negative, but I think you have to consider them, 24 because like Board Member Jessica said, you're only one

step away from something happening, okay. Unfortunately,

25

we live in a cosmopolitan organization. We have the state, the county, and all that works with us, supposed to work with us anyways, okay. I know last year I come up here and I complained about I-75 and digs in the grass. Ι don't know who they're hiring or who they're not hiring, but I don't think they know how to cut grass, okay, and if I had my way, I'd send my people out there to cut the grass and send the state a bill, but I can't do that because they're the state and I'm just a city, okay, but you drive by there and it's half done and half not done, and it's been that way for two weeks. And the county was so concerned they sent us a letter saying, "Hey, it's not us; don't be yelling at us. It's the state, because we don't want to put our name out there." So, you know, if you're going to work with somebody, work with them. I don't think it's that hard to ask for something to be done, and patience is two, three weeks. I mean, come on; you're cutting grass. I used to do it at Ford Motor Company. It's not that hard. It's not impossible, it's not that hard.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the other thing is is that, you know, it's fortunate, and like I say, take this in the grain it's said, is that we're stuck with what kind of money we can get. The state has the luxury of robbing -- excuse me -- taking money out of the tobacco fund, taking -- and this

comes all from the newspaper, so it's nothing I'm making up, okay, and if you read the newspapers you're well aware of where I'm going with this, and some other issues where the state took some money from unemployment people and now they're getting flack for that, okay?

We don't have that luxury. We're bound by a different monetary as far as our county. And it's great to be accountable, but I think accountability goes across the board, okay? What the state does doesn't necessarily dry me, but it does impact me, okay? Some things that happen up there, I could care less what they do. It doesn't bother me. But other things do, and if I'm diligent in my job and I'm not looking in the rearview mirror and something comes down, it's a little hard to say that, well, you were driving the car real nice, but that person rear-ended you, and I don't know what happened; you should have been looking in all kinds of ways.

So I take the job seriously, I always have, and I want to put that quite out that there's more involved than just the city, when cities go into problems, okay? It's not all the city, it's not all the county, it's not all the state; we all work together, okay? And when you have people that don't work together, somebody suffers, okay? And I want to make that quite clear because I think the citizens watching this need to know that. It's a

composite; you have to work together. The road out here is the county fixing it; we had nothing to do with that, okay? But we get complaints if it ain't done and somebody hit something, because they could care less whether it's the county; it's the -- we're the city. We're the ones that come up here because we don't drive to Lansing 90 miles one way. They come right up here and says, "Hey, what are you guys doing," okay?

So just keep that in mind, and I'm just saying that as the person down on this end of the food chain soto-speak, is that you have to remember sometimes is that when you're telling somebody that you have to be responsible, that's fine. But look at the position you put them in. You can't run a race with both legs tied behind you. I mean you've got to have one leg at least to hop around on, okay? And all I'm saying is being in the position for the last four or five years I find sometimes it's very hard to be responsible when you have other things affecting you that you have no control over.

That's all. Nothing more than that.

Sorry to see you -- like I say, maybe one of these days the golf course will be open; you never know. Thank you.

MR. BONDS: Thank you very much.

Under board comment, I want to just make sure

again, for the record, we -- with the report going to the Governor, we will need to do an exit interview, or to approve the exit. And so I want to make sure the board knows to be ready when that comes in, because that will require a special meeting.

MR. DOSTINE: That's correct.

Okay. The next request comes from Mayor Thomas Karnes.

MR. BONDS: My apology, Mayor.

MAYOR KARNES: That's all right, Mr. Chairman.

Members of the board. When we went out to look for a city manager, the EM, Brad Coulter, initiated a nationwide search, and we had a couple of candidates, and then the decision was made -- Mr. Zech said that it was my decision, but it was actually, and I'll take credit for it, but it was actually all of the council that agreed on Mr. Coppler. And as we went in and we received Order

Number 60 and saw the requirements that were there for the city manager, not only do you have to try to lead this city out of from the depths of receivership, but then you also had to go through the requirements of what the RTAB was asking for. And that in that order was very large.

And I would have to say that we had the right person there. He did an admirable job with this, and his team, and it was his team that he put together. So he's worked

very hard for us to get to that position, and I think that 1 we would not be where we are, and I'm hoping that we're 2 coming to the end of receivership, not to put you guys out 3 of work, but --4 5 MR. BONDS: That's okay. -- without the work of Mr. 6 MAYOR KARNES: 7 Coppler. But I want to also thank you ladies and gentlemen 8 9 for the work that you have done with this. This has not 10 been an easy job for you to do, and there's been tough decisions that you've had to do. So I just wanted to 11 12 share my appreciation for everything that you've done. 13 MR. BONDS: Thank you very much. Thank you. 14 MR. DOSTINE: Mr. Chair, that concludes public 15 comments. 16 MR. BONDS: Thank you. 17 Sorry I was out of order. 18 Any other comments from the board? 19 (No response.) 20 MR. BONDS: All right, then we're at move for 21 adjournment. 22 MR. DUNLEAVY: Motion to adjourn. 23 MS. THOMAS: Support. 24 MR. BONDS: All those in favor signify by stating 25 aye.

1	MR. ZECH: Aye.
2	MS. THOMAS: Aye.
3	MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye.
4	MR. BONDS: Aye.
5	Meeting adjourned. Thank you all.
6	(At 2:13 p.m., meeting adjourned; off the record)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

STATE OF MICHIGAN

COUNTY OF WASHTENAW).ss

I certify that this transcript is a complete, true, and correct transcript to the best of my ability of the RTAB meeting held on June 20, 2017 at the City of Lincoln Park.

I also certify that I am not a relative or employee of the parties involved and have no financial interest in this case.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

June 28, 2017

s/Amy Shankleton-Novess

Amy Shankleton-Novess (CER 0838)

Certified Electronic Reporter