City of Ecorse

Receivership Transition Advisory Board Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, June 13th, 2017

Ecorse City Hall

Council Chambers

Albert B. Buday Civic Center

3869 West Jefferson

Ecorse, Michigan 48229

RTAB MEMBERS PRESENT: JEFF SYKES ROBERT BOVITZ JOAN BROPHY

Reported by:
Nina Lunsford (CER 4539)
Modern Court Reporting & Video, LLC
SCAO FIRM NO. 08228
101-A North Lewis Street
Saline, Michigan 48176
(734) 429-9143/nel

1	Called to order at 9:00 a.m.
2	Tuesday, June 13, 2017
3	* * * *
4	MR. SYKES: All right, Pat, will you call the
5	meeting to order?
6	MR. DOSTINE: Sure. Rob Bovitz.
7	MR. BOVITZ: Here.
8	MR. DOSTINE: Joan Brophy.
9	MS. BROPHY: Here.
10	MR. DOSTINE: Jeff Sykes.
11	MR. SYKES: Here.
12	MR. DOSTINE: You have a quorum, Mr. Chair.
13	MR. SYKES: All right, thank you.
14	So the next item is approval of the agenda. So
15	I'll entertain a motion to approve the agenda as
16	presented.
17	MS. BROPHY: So moved.
18	MR. BOVITZ: Support.
19	MR. SYKES: All those in favor, say aye. Aye.
20	MS. BROPHY: Aye.
21	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
22	MR. SYKES: Those opposed the same.
23	(No response)
24	MR. SYKES: The agenda is approved.
25	I'd like to remind the audience, if you intend

to speak during the public comment portion of the meeting, it'll be necessary for you to sign in on the sign located at the podium. Is that up there, Pat?

MR. DOSTINE: It is.

MR. SYKES: All right, thank you. All right, so next, we'll move on to the approval of the RTAB minutes of May 9th, 2017. I'll entertain a motion to approve the May 19th, 2017, RTAB minutes.

MR. BOVITZ: So moved.

MS. BROPHY: Support.

MR. SYKES: All those in favor, say aye. Aye.

MS. BROPHY: Aye.

MR. BOVITZ: Aye.

MR. SYKES: Those opposed the same.

(No response)

MR. SYKES: This motion has been approved.

So next, we'll move on to old business. In old business, is Resolution 468; that's the approval of Veteran Village real estate development agreement. And so, with this, this is something that I have a couple of questions about. I had some concerns — and mostly, the concerns were revolved around, Mr. Wycoff, had some concerns.

And I wanted to be sure that you had an opportunity to come up and talk a little bit about what

your concerns were, and then also, to maybe talk about how those concerns were either resolved, or mitigated? And I also appreciate that you provided a letter to kind of speak to that. But I do appreciate you coming up here, to talk about it.

MR. WYCOFF: Not a problem at all. And you're absolutely right, I have, in fact, had concerns about this contract, for a number of reasons. Number one, I can tell you that the company has been in existence on and off for several years, and never kept their corporate minute books up.

As far as I can see, never did a project before, things of that nature. In other words, nature -- or, the experience and an ability to do the work. Consequently, we had to, and frankly, had you seen the initial contract that was sent, you would probably have more questions than I do.

I do know that my concerns were put in a email to Mr. Flaten, and I understand you've seen a copy of that, so I'm sure that you know pretty much what I was concerned about.

MR. SYKES: Yes.

MR. WYCOFF: I did a complete redraft of the contract between the parties. Not everything that I proposed has been adopted. But there have been a

substantial number, or substantial portion, of the concerns that have been addressed, and in reviewing the document -- and, I have two documents.

I have one signed by Veteran's, and I have one signed by the mayor, that I am told, comprises the contract. In looking at those two documents, primarily, the most important issues that I had have been in fact addressed.

MR. BOVITZ: Well, just so I understand the timeline, the original email we saw last month was February 28th, from you, to Flaten. And then the contract that we reviewed, that they wanted us to approve, was April 5th. So this April 5th contract was the one that you had already had your hands on, or was this --?

MR. WYCOFF: I had my hands on the con -- the original contract, I believe, probably, perhaps, back in January. As early as January.

MR. BOVITZ: So the April 5th contract that we reviewed last month, was after you already had your concerns addressed?

MR. WYCOFF: Yes.

MR. BOVITZ: Okay.

MR. WYCOFF: Absolutely. The primary things that I was concerned about is making sure that the city would not -- let's just say acquire, any liability out of

the operation of this contract. So the first thing that I addressed was the issue of insurances for Veteran's Village, and the contract now makes it very clear that they have to have insurance sufficient to cover any probability that occurs.

I recommended that the limits of that insurance be addressed by our risk management company, and it's my understanding that that will take place; they will help set the limits. In addition to that, there's a very broadly written hold harmless clause; that hold harmless clause is intended to hold us harmless from anything that happens as long as it's within the ambit of the law, it is extremely broad.

We also provide -- oh, the other -- there's another important issue to this, that I think you should be aware of. Initially, the contract was written so that Veteran's Village would be able to go in and nitpick which properties they would acquire.

The way we have this re-written, at this point in time, is that the city ultimately determines which properties they get. One of the purposes of this contract is to stimulate development within the city, and so we want that stimulated in various locations.

This way, the city can choose where those locations are, and make it the most effective as possible.

So I think that's really relevant, and then, I think, the third thing is, they have to produce before they can get the other properties.

They're going to receive three properties; they have a year to get the constructions completed, get those houses sold, and prove that they have the ability to do the job before they get anything else. And so, to me, those are the key factors.

There is a practical issue, or practical side to this, perhaps, that needs to be stated, too. I outlined those in my letter to you. But I think it's very important -- there is nobody knocking the doors down to city hall, to get a contract to build properties here.

With all due respect, we have tried, over the last five years, to get someone interested in development. As you know, we receive a number of properties from tax foreclosures. I forget what our total inventory of vacant lots is, at this point in time, but it's substantial.

I think you already know that our SEV is continuing to decline. I have suggested a number of reasons that that is happening in my letter to you, and I assure you, all of that is in fact happening.

Some of these properties have been recycled through the tax system two and three times. And it's always to an absentee landowner, and some of those people

are as far away as Arizona and California. So they really have no interest in the community; they suck out what they can get, and let it go back, and you start the process all over again.

By making this -- getting some new housing developments going, we hope to pique interest, bring some additional people in, who will continue to do that process. And I think that would be good for the city, and it should stabilize our SEV.

MR. SYKES: Okay. Two things, though. So one, you said that the adequate insurance isn't quite in place yet?

MR. WYCOFF: It's in place in the contract. The only point that it's not complete is they have not provided us with that insurance. We will have a say in what those limits are.

MR. SYKES: Okay, what's the timeframe on that, do you think? Is it --?

MR. WYCOFF: I would assume it's very soon. I know they wanted to start May 1st.

MR. SYKES: Okay. All right. The other thing is, is exactly what you had talked about makes a tremendous amount of sense. I get that bringing folks to come in and do development in the community at this point in time, is challenging. But that's also the exact reason

why I was concerned about the capacity of the group that
you were bringing in, and making sure that the city was
legally covered.

And so when you raised concerns about that, tha

And so when you raised concerns about that, that was my biggest sort of red flag. So I really appreciate you coming in and talking about this. I think you can potentially have a successful developer, and development come in, that doesn't have the capacity.

But if they're not, it's good to make sure that those documents are papered appropriately, and the city has the opportunity to get out as unscathed as possible. So I do appreciate it.

MR. WYCOFF: Any kind of breach, and the city has the right to terminate the agreement.

MR. SYKES: Right.

MR. WYCOFF: So.

MR. BOVITZ: So the contract where you -- on April 5th, have there been any amendments to that?

MR. WYCOFF: I have no amendments to that contract.

MR. BOVITZ: So as far as you're concerned, that contract signed with the city is still in effect?

MR. WYCOFF: They have signed that contract, and as far as I'm concerned, that is the contract.

MR. BOVITZ: Okay.

MR. SYKES: Okay, the only other that I'd say, before you know, going through a motion, unless you guys have anything else, is really, there is a process. And this really should have come to the board before anything was signed. I mean, our concerns are not just that folks have to, you know, walk through this.

But we're here for a reason, and one of those reasons is to make sure that these contracts are put in place appropriately, and I really appreciate you coming and talking about this. I just hope that in the future, that this can be done in the appropriate process.

MR. WYCOFF: Well, Mr. Sykes I think you're point is well taken, and I certainly do not disagree with it. But I do believe that there is a certain sense of urgency among the city council, and the administration, to get something going. Every time we turn around, we end up with a reduction in our SEV.

I think that it is probably, at this point, a primary goal of the city to put a -- put the brakes to that. And I suspect that's why this was given the attention that it was.

MR. SYKES: Thank you. Do you guys have any other questions? All right, thank you.

MR. WYCOFF: After your vote, may I be excused?
MR. SYKES: Of course.

```
1
                  MR. WYCOFF:
                               We have a busy day today.
2
                  MR. SYKES: Okay. I'll entertain a motion to
3
         approve Resolution 468.
 4
                  MS. BROPHY: So moved.
 5
                  MR. BOVITZ:
                               Support.
 6
                  MR. SYKES: All those in favor, say aye. Aye.
7
                  MS. BROPHY:
                               Aye.
8
                  MR. BOVITZ:
                               Aye.
 9
                  MR. SYKES: Those opposed the same.
10
                  (No response)
                              This resolution is, shall I say,
11
                  MR. SYKES:
12
         finally approved.
13
                  Okay, so we'll move on to new business.
14
         start with the approval of resolutions and ordinances for
15
         the city council meetings. The first is resolutions from
16
         the regular city council meeting of April 25th, 2017.
17
         I'll entertain a motion to approve these resolutions.
18
                  MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
19
                  MS. BROPHY:
                               Support.
20
                  MR. SYKES: All those in favor, say aye. Aye.
21
                  MS. BROPHY: Aye.
22
                  MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
23
                  MR. SYKES:
                              Those opposed the same.
24
                  (No response)
25
                  MR. SYKES:
                              These resolutions have been
```

```
1
         approved.
2
                  Next we'll move on to the resolutions from
3
         regular city council meeting of May 9th, 2017. All those,
         I'm sorry --
4
                  MS. BROPHY: We voted on the last one?
 5
                  MR. SYKES: We voted on April 25th; you have
 6
7
         something?
8
                  MS. BROPHY: No. No, I think we're fine.
9
                  MR. SYKES: Okay.
10
                  MS. BROPHY:
                               I'll move approval of the
11
         resolutions for the regular city council meeting of May
12
         9th, 2017.
13
                  MR. BOVITZ:
                               Support.
14
                  MR. SYKES: All those in favor, say aye. Aye.
15
                  MS. BROPHY:
                               Aye.
16
                  MR. BOVITZ:
                               Aye.
17
                              Those opposed the same.
                  MR. SYKES:
18
                  (No response)
19
                  MR. SYKES:
                              These resolutions have been
20
         approved.
21
                  Next, we'll move to claims and accounts from
22
         regular city council meeting draft minutes of May 23rd,
23
         2017. I'll entertain a motion to approve the claims and
24
         accounts.
```

So moved.

MR. BOVITZ:

25

1 MS. BROPHY: Support. 2 MR. SYKES: All those in favor, say aye. Aye. 3 MS. BROPHY: Aye. 4 MR. BOVITZ: Aye. 5 MR. SYKES: Those opposed the same. 6 (No response) 7 MR. SYKES: All right, next we'll move on to city administrator items. First, we have the approval of 8 9 city council minutes, and those have already been 10 addressed. Next is the approval of budget to actual, for 11 12 April, 2017. With that, I'll entertain a motion to 13 approve the budget to actual for April, 2017. 14 MS. BROPHY: Move to approve. 15 MR. BOVITZ: Support. 16 MR. SYKES: All those in favor, say aye. Aye. 17 MS. BROPHY: Aye. 18 MR. BOVITZ: Aye. 19 MR. SYKES: Those opposed the same. 20 (No response) 21 MR. SYKES: Next, we have a series of 22 resolutions that have been addressed in new business. 23 There's Resolution 479, 480, 495, and 496, and also 497. 24 Last is the checks released, and this is informational 25 only. So at this point, we'll move on to public comment.

MR. BOVITZ: A couple questions on the resolutions. The water and sewer payment plan -- I see that in order to avoid shutoff, it's one third down on the past due bill, but then the past due balance, the second and third thirds, is spread over the next two monthly periods.

Now, is the water bill still collected every three months, and so this allows the residents to get on like a monthly payment plan?

MR. SADOWSKI: The city's been working on reducing its collectibles. It ranges between \$900,000 and \$1.3 million. Ongoing, year after year after year. One of the problems we faced is people were walking in saying, I have \$5 this week, so I get a shutoff notice. I have a buck. So there was no plan. So I recommended to the city council that they adopt a plan, so instead of people making up their own payment plan, it is now set.

So, what it does, is basically allows them, as you said, to make monthly payments. They're on a quarterly plan now, so that it gives them until their next bill to catch up. And they can keep on re-enrolling, as long as they don't default.

MR. BOVITZ: So that, the intent is to have the current quarter paid in full, on a monthly payment plan, before they can get hit with the next bill?

MR. SADOWSKI: Yes.

MR. BOVITZ: So that there's no carry over prior balance?

MR. SADOWSKI: Exactly.

MR. BOVITZ: Okay.

MR. SADOWSKI: That's what this payment plan does. We have started to implement the steps for an active shutoff program, to reduce that uncollectibles. Basically what happens is, we roll over to tax; it gets written off, and it goes to foreclosure, and the city just loses the uncollectible balances year after year after year.

Which is not a good operational policy. So this is one of the first steps; the other step was the city implemented the rental deposit program, where rentals, when they file a lease, under state law, the city cannot roll over that on the tax levy for leases that have been filed with the utility billing department.

So now we collect a \$100 deposit. That's very low but it was a start, so we have implemented that also. We're trying to follow up with re-reads now. Instead of people -- we have people that have had estimated reads as far as seven years in a row. They're getting large bills now, because we were trying to more accurately do reads every cycle.

And this, again, allows them to reduce that large bill, even if it is over three months. So this was, that's what we were -- that's the intention. Eventually, the city is going to go to an active shutoff plan. And we're trying to put all our ducks in a row before we implement it.

MR. BOVITZ: Since you're up there -- on the next amendment, are the Hennessy Engineers proposal for Ridge Street -- first of all, I'm not clear where Ridge Street is located? Is it -- so it's pretty to close to this --?

MR. SADOWSKI: Yes, so Ridge Street currently has lead pipes to the residential services. Its water main is the worst water main in the city, so, we're going to, the plan is, to bid out for a full reconstruction.

Replace the water, replace the sewer, replace the lead pipes, which is required under the new DEQ regulations. And do the street reconstruction. That's going to be our large project for 2017-2018 fiscal year.

MR. BOVITZ: So Act 51 funding, which Hennessy is estimating is going to be almost a half million dollars, that's covered by a grant of some sort?

MR. SADOWSKI: No. The city plans on using that out of its Act 51 monies. We believe that number is extremely high. Usually, Hennessy overestimates. Most of

the projects come in under half of what their proposals are.

MR. BOVITZ: So the bidding is going to start in the next few weeks, and then you hope to have construction starting August? Any idea how much -- how long it's going to take, to finish? Or I guess, that's going to depend on the contractor?

MR. SADOWSKI: Yes, it's going to depend on the contractor. But there is no grant money for this. Every year, the city budgets for a project. The city has never really implemented that. We just usually roll over that money in the fund balance.

So this was kind of the first year we actually budgeted and implemented for a capital improvement project.

MR. BOVITZ: So it sounds like this is critical, too, because it's not only just repairing; it's enhancing, and so things with the Americans with Disabilities Act, making the streets safer for pedestrians, making, getting rid of the lead, the sewers, hopefully preventing flooding in the future. So all these problems we've had in the last few years, hopefully this will rectify.

MR. SADOWSKI: Yes. So that is the main priority right now. Is Ridge Street. We plan on doing a project like this every year, going forward. That's the

worst water main, water service, block, we have currently in the city.

MR. BOVITZ: And since I'm on my soapbox --

MR. SYKES: No, keep going, keep going.

MR. BOVITZ: On the asphalt paving, Al's Asphalt, I'm assuming that was granted because of the city's previous experience with their ability to perform?

MR. SADOWSKI: They -- it was -- we have trouble getting bidders. They were the low bidder, also. They did the boat ramp, so, I don't know if you're familiar with the work. The city council was happy with their work. So they were the low bidder, and then they're usually quick on responding.

MR. BOVITZ: And last, the audit. I truly appreciate the city using a local CPA. In fact, the Darnell Meyering, I've worked with them over the last few years, on the charter school audits and so forth, and I really highly recommend their work.

And I'm happy that we were able to save \$8,000 over last year's fee, and that does include all the proper audit requirements that we need to go forward. So I'm encouraged by that.

MR. SADOWSKI: They were here yesterday, doing the preliminary testing and internal controls audit. That letter will be issued today. They basically reviewed the

1 deficiencies in the 2016 audit. 2 They reviewed our SAS report, they reviewed the job descriptions that were implemented. And basically 3 4 came up with a one-page letter on what has taken place. 5 In the last year. 6 MR. BOVITZ: Good. 7 MR. SADOWSKI: And that will be issued today. 8 MR. BOVITZ: The main thing is that you can work 9 well with Randy and his firm going forward, and hopefully, 10 you know, proactive advice. MR. SADOWSKI: Yes. So that went well 11 12 yesterday, they were here all day. 13 That's all I have, I'll get off my MR. BOVITZ: 14 soapbox. 15 MR. SYKES: No, you need to stay up there. 16 jumped the gun; I was moving along pretty quickly with the things that were addressed in new business, but thanks. 17 18 Okay, with that, shall we move on to public 19 comment? 20 MR. DOSTINE: Mr. Chair, there are no requests 21 today for public comment. 22 MR. SYKES: All right, thank you, Patrick. 23 Any board comment? Then I guess we'll entertain 24 a motion for adjournment.

So moved.

MS. BROPHY:

25

1	MR. BOVITZ: Support.
2	MR. SYKES: All those in favor, say aye. Aye.
3	MS. BROPHY: Aye.
4	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
5	MR. SYKES: We're adjourned.
6	(Proceedings adjourned at 9:20 a.m.
7	

```
1
    STATE OF MICHIGAN
2
    COUNTY OF WASHTENAW ).ss
3
4
5
    I certify that this transcript is a complete, true, and
    correct transcript to the best of my ability of the RTAB
 6
    meeting held on June 13, 2017, City of Ecorse. I also certify
7
8
    that I am not a relative or employee of the parties involved
    and have no financial interest in this case.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
    RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED: June 21, 2017
16
    s/Amy Shankleton-Novess
17
18
    Amy Shankleton-Novess (CER 0838)
19
20
    Certified Electronic Reporter
21
22
```