City of Ecorse

Receivership Transition Advisory Board Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, January 10, 2017

Ecorse City Hall

Council Chambers

Albert B. Buday Civic Center

3869 West Jefferson

Ecorse, Michigan 48229

RTAB MEMBERS PRESENT:

ROBERT BOVITZ JOAN BROPHY

MEMBER ABSENT:

JEFF SYKES

ALSO PRESENT:

PATRICK DOSTINE

Michigan Department of Treasury

Reported by:
Amy Shankleton (CER 0539)
Modern Court Reporting & Video, LLC
SCAO FIRM NO. 08228
101-A North Lewis Street
Saline, Michigan 48176
(734) 429-9143/nel

1	Called to order at 9:09 a.m.
2	Tuesday, January 10, 2017
3	* * * *
4	MR. DOSTINE: Mr. Chair, I think we can get
5	going.
6	MR. BOVITZ: I'd like to call the meeting of the
7	City of Ecorse Receivership Transition Advisory Board to
8	order.
9	Roll call, please?
10	MR. DOSTINE: Jeff Sykes. Jeff Sykes has asked
11	to be excused. Joan Brophy.
12	MS. BROPHY: Here.
13	MR. DOSTINE: Rob Bovitz.
14	MR. BOVITZ: Here.
15	MR. DOSTINE: You have quorum, Mr. Chair.
16	MR. VAN de GRIFT: Joan Brophy.
17	MS. BROPHY: Here.
18	MR. BOVITZ: Okay, a reminder to the audience,
19	if you intend to speak during the public comment portion
20	of the meeting, it'll be necessary for you to sign the
21	sheet located at the podium.
22	At this point, would ask for approval of the
23	minutes from the RTAB meeting of December 13th, 2016.
24	MS. BROPHY: Would you like me to make a motion
25	to approve the agenda first, and then?

1	MR. BOVITZ: Yes, my first meeting and I'm
2	already strike that. Okay.
3	I'd like to entertain a motion to approve the
4	agenda as presented.
5	MS. BROPHY: So moved.
6	MR. BOVITZ: Support.
7	Any discussion?
8	(No response)
9	MR. BOVITZ: All in favor, aye. Aye.
10	MS. BROPHY: Aye.
11	MR. BOVITZ: Opposed, same sign.
12	(No response)
13	MS. BROPHY: Then I will make a motion to
14	approve the December 13th, 2016, RTAB minutes as
15	presented.
16	MR. BOVITZ: I support. Any discussion?
17	(No response)
18	MR. BOVITZ: All in favor, aye. Aye.
19	MS. BROPHY: Aye.
20	MR. BOVITZ: Opposed, same sign.
21	(No response)
22	MR. BOVITZ: Old business, there is no old
23	business.
24	New business, the approval of resolutions and
25	ordinances from the city council meetings. At our last

1 RTAB meeting, we approved Resolution 337-16, of the November 22nd meeting, which is Attachment 2. 2 3 At this point, I'd like to entertain a motion to 4 approve the remaining resolutions from the regular city 5 council meeting of November 22nd, 2016. MS. BROPHY: 6 So moved. 7 MR. BOVITZ: Support. Any discussion? 8 (No response) 9 MR. BOVITZ: All in favor, aye. Aye. MS. BROPHY: Aye. 10 11 MR. BOVITZ: Opposed, same sign. 12 (No response) 13 MR. BOVITZ: Motion passes. 14 The resolutions from the city council meeting of December 6th of 2016. I'll entertain a motion to approve 15 16 the resolutions from the regular city council meeting of 17 December 6th, 2016. 18 MS. BROPHY: I'll move to approve that. 19 I'll support. Any discussion? MR. BOVITZ: 20 (No response) 21 MR. BOVITZ: All in favor, aye. Aye. 22 MS. BROPHY: Aye. 23 MR. BOVITZ: Opposed, same sign. 24 (No response) 25 MR. BOVITZ: Then the December 20th meeting fell outside our regular scheduled approval process, so at this point, we can only approve the claims and accounts from the regular city council meeting draft minutes, of December 20th, 2016. I'll entertain a motion to accept those claims and accounts.

MS. BROPHY: I'll move to approve the claims and accounts from the December 20th, '16 minutes.

MR. BOVITZ: Support. Any discussion? (No response)

MR. BOVITZ: All in favor, aye. Aye.

MS. BROPHY: Aye.

MR. BOVITZ: Opposed, same sign.

(No response)

MR. BOVITZ: Item B, the city administrator items, that's Attachment 5. First of all, the approval of city council minutes we addressed in new business. The stabilization funds, information only. I'd like to call on acting city administrator, Mr. Flaten, to kind of comment on what the status of that is?

MR. FLATEN: We got more than we expected.

That's the good news. What we did is, we took \$1.2

million and put it into the pension funds, which will help lower next year's liability for us. Hopefully. And because we got as much as we did, it works well with our budget for this year.

But do we expect that kind of money for next year? Not at this point, I don't. But there's something good in the wind down the line, we'll accept it. But you know, we got almost \$6 million, so. A little over \$6 million. We're doing well. The majority went to the general fund, some to the rubbish, library, water, and bond debt.

MS. BROPHY: So Mr. Chair, I wanted to find out if the five year budget projections -- would we be updating those, based on the --

MR. FLATEN: Yes, that's coming. Tim gave me a copy; I'm currently reviewing that copy that he sent to me, and doing comparisons with the budget and the revenue expense report, through December 31st. But there will be a change coming on the five year projection.

MS. BROPHY: Okay.

MR. BOVITZ: You're also looking through the minutes of the December 20th meeting, about the resolution that city council adopted, regarding the \$1.2 million payment. This is related to that?

MR. FLATEN: Right.

MR. BOVITZ: But I remember seeing something -- I was trying to find it, where MERS rejected our original proposal, they wanted cash only? Was it, what was that regarding?

1 MR. FLATEN: We thought we could make a deal 2 with MERS, but MERS doesn't make deals. They want cash only. We thought we could trade off some of our bonds or 3 4 grant them the bonds in lieu of a payment, cash payment. 5 But they would not accept. 6 MR. BOVITZ: And, in the past meetings, we've 7 asked the state treasury if there could be any assistance, 8 maybe use their leverage at their level. Is there any 9 authority that the state can give, in this situation, as 10 far as you're concerned? MR. FLATEN: Not that I'm aware of. 11 12 want to sit down and discuss that, Treasury, as well as 13 MERS, I'd be more than happy to do it. 14 15

MR. BOVITZ: And have you talked with other city administrators, regarding the same issues, especially with our neighboring cities like Allen Park, who may have the same issue?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FLATEN: Got the same issue. Maybe not as much, but they've, too, have been told that it's a cash only.

MR. BOVITZ: So the good news, on Attachment 5A, which was the spreadsheet, that will -- it's a short term fix, at least it buys us some time.

MR. FLATEN: Sure. It buys us some time.

MR. BOVITZ: And how are our union

representatives --?

MR. FLATEN: We're in negotiations now with fire, and the police officers. We met with the police officers yesterday. We did not discuss any economic issues; we indicated to them that we're going to hold off on any economic issues at this time. We're going to clean up what's in their agreement, that really needs to be addressed, which are non-economic items.

But in the overall, everything is going to be economic. But we have to really look, I think -- I, you probably don't have the spreadsheet that Tim did for the five year projection as yet. But when you get that, I think you're going to see that we still have the difficulty of trying to get to a balanced budget and so forth. And we explained that to the police officers yesterday.

MR. BOVITZ: I just wanted to make sure that the retirees and the current employees, don't perceive a probable unfunded liability, where they don't want to come to work for the City of Ecorse, if they can't keep their retirement benefits.

MR. FLATEN: Well, that's the thing. And I mean, the other problem that we have is the post-employment benefits that have to be -- that has to be reviewed, and looked at.

I know that in the session prior, between

December 1st and Christmas, the state legislature wanted
to put together a plan where 20 percent of the retirees'

premium would be paid by those retirees, and the other 80

percent would be the obligation of the city. And in some
cases, their offset on that 80 percent is also done,

because employees contribute to their medical benefits.

And -- but that legislation fell by the wayside. There was a lot of concern by the city managers association, a lot of concerns by various municipalities, because police officers and the firefighters are exempt from some legislation. So they're trying to work that out, and when I look at it, our premiums are, for retirees, are quite high.

When you take 20 percent of that, if you've got a retiree, that has been -- with Ecorse, that has been retired for a good number of years, it's quite possible that his 20 percent of medical is going to equal his benefit. And that's got to be addressed, too.

MR. BOVITZ: So there's nothing we can do with the retirees who were on the books when we started this process. I know with the municipal employees, I believe it was AFSCME, that handles there.

And so with the new hires, in the last few years, with normal attrition of employees leaving, and are

replacing them with either part time or non-union employees, a lot of the current unfunded liability's going to be a lot less --

MR. FLATEN: Right.

MR. BOVITZ: Which I'm sure Mr. Sadowski's factoring that into his comprehensive worksheets.

MR. FLATEN: I, he has to.

MR. BOVITZ: One thing you can't determine is how long someone is going to live and draw on benefits.

MR. FLATEN: No. That's the problem. I've met with our medical benefits people, especially HAP. Because I've looked at the premiums that we're paying for retirees are, in a lot of cases, greater than what we're paying for active employees, and I have a problem with that. And I asked for an explanation on why that is.

The underwriters say, well, when you get older, you have more need for medical. And I said well, that's fine, I says, but not all of our retirees are what you would consider old. Some are 55, some are 60. They're not approaching those days where you spend every Wednesday at the doctor's office. So I would like to see some kind of adjustment in our retirees' -- or, in our retirees' medical benefits.

The premium -- because it's just, absolutely absurd, the way they're figuring this out. In fact, I'll

give you one good example. We have a firefighter, whose wife worked here. She retired, she kept the benefits, he stays on here without, you know, he's not -- we're not assigned a benefit for him. He's covered under her plan. Her plan is \$1,000 more than it would be if we were to cover him and his wife. Now, that does not make sense. And she's only, what, not quite in her mid 50's yet.

MR. BOVITZ: And could very well go another 30, 40, years.

MR. FLATEN: Mm-hm. But they can't explain, other than the fact that they're retired, they're older, and when I addressed that issue with them, they had nothing to say. They couldn't understand it themselves.

So what I'm thinking is, you know, and it doesn't help us in terms of negotiating a contract.

Because if you can find an individual that's married, and he can — he or she can switch to their spouse's coverage, that saves us money, and that gives us an opportunity to negotiate better with them.

But when it's like this, that's not going to happen. Because they're going to want to come back off of their spouse's retirement and come back on ours. And it just adds a few thousand dollars to our cost.

MR. BOVITZ: So at least the discussion's ongoing, and we have good news for today. But then the

other related, are the social security benefits. I see it all the time as a CPA, and with divorces, and remarriages, and you can have a, like one spouse did with Johnny Carson, for instance, where he has three former spouses who qualify for his social security benefits on his account. And what that -- what it does to the the unfunded liability. And I'm sure the same thing with pensions, and health benefits.

MR. FLATEN: That's true.

MR. BOVITZ: So, any other comments or discussion?

MS. BROPHY: No.

MR. BOVITZ: Okay, Mr. Flaten, could you comment on the approval of the sale of 3715 West Jefferson? We took that outside of context of our last meeting, to approve it in advance of the city council and we had -- did that go through?

MR. FLATEN: I'm going to leave that to Terri.

I know my limitations.

MR. BOVITZ: All right. You can be seated, sir.

MS. CAPRA: The mayor and council approved the sale. I've been in discussion with the potential purchaser; he is due in today. He has signed some paperwork, he's bringing me a deposit check.

The holdup's been his partner's dad is in

1 hospice, so, and it doesn't look good, so that's kind of 2 been the hold. But he -- we're hoping to move forward and have it closed, probably 60 to 90 days. 3 4 MR. BOVITZ: The 40,000 plus all the extra 5 revenues we're going to get from the water and the inspection fees and so forth? 6 7 MS. CAPRA: Yeah, the water, the permits, the --8 MR. BOVITZ: And if the -- if they can make it 9 into senior citizen apartments, it's a win-win-win. 10 MS. CAPRA. Yes. I'm hoping. Got my fingers 11 crossed. 12 MR. BOVITZ: Thank you. Any questions? 13 No. All right, thank you. MS. BROPHY: 14 great. 15 MR. BOVITZ: Okay. The checks released, 16 information only; there were no checks released outside of 17 the normal context of the city operations that need 18 approval by this RTAB. Then the approval of budget to actual, for October 16th -- Mr. Sadowski's not here to 19 20 comment. Was there any question on the year to date, or the actual results of compared to budget? 21 22 (No response) 23 MR. BOVITZ: Mr. Flaten, did you have any 24 comment on the current financial statement, that you'd

25

like to address?

MR. FLATEN: I think we got -- council looked at it, the mayor's looked at it. There's no real questions at this time.

MS. BROPHY: I'll make a motion to approve the budget to actual report, for October 2016.

MR. BOVITZ: Support.

Any comment?

(No response)

MR. BOVITZ: All in favor, aye. Aye.

MS. BROPHY: Aye.

MR. BOVITZ: Opposed, same sign.

(No response)

MR. BOVITZ: Okay, the Resolution 350.16 was an item that occurred during a council meeting outside of our normal review period. The city manager is requesting to bring this item forward for early review. Mr. Flaten, could you please provide a summary of this item for the board?

MR. FLATEN: That is relative to the Dingell Building, in the park, that was destroyed. We had been negotiating with Detroit Edison on the gas side of the house for a long time, for quite some time. Finally reached resolution after having a floorplan drawn up by Hennessy, and approved.

We also had the cost associated with the

reconstruction of that. The initial answer from Detroit Edison is that they would cover \$121,000, because they took cost minus depreciation and so forth. We said that's totally unacceptable. That we gave them a cost initially of \$221,000, because I added a couple of things. Didn't expect them to pay for it, and it's something we could have picked up on our own if we wanted to; it may not be as much as 221.

We again met with the representative of Detroit Edison, and told them that we would like an agreement that would include a total cost of \$184,000 and change. That the items that are under the foundation, gas lines that are under the foundation, water lines, sewer lines, and electrical lines that were under that foundation.

It was determined, through the course of reconstruction of the facility, that those lines had been damaged in any way, that Detroit Edison will be responsible for the repair. And they agreed.

MR. BOVITZ: So this is ongoing, we've been talking about this for the last year, but basically the 220 that you asked for, that probably included some architectural renderings, or engineering studies, that you would have picked up anyway?

MR. FLATEN: No, what that included was, we wanted a -- wanted to expand the footprint of the

building. And we wanted to put a area inside the building that would allow us to sell concessionary items. Such as ice cream.

MR. BOVITZ: So to enhance the existing structure, it wasn't just a replacement? But the 120 that DTE was proposing, there's a big difference between 184 and 120.

MR. FLATEN: Yeah. They figured in depreciation. They said okay, if this -- and they gave me a list. An actual list, of what items were being replaced, and everything else. And what that cost is, and then the insurance adjuster came through, and looked at it, and said well, there's depreciation here on this building, too, that's got to come off.

And I said, wait a minute. You guys are just totally responsible for the destruction of this building. It was your gas line that ruptured, and blew the thing up. It took the roof of the building away from the building, and then just dropped it back down.

So now all the walls are cracked, the ground foundation, if you will, has been damaged. But it's the underground that we were concerned about, too. So after we convinced them that we're not going to be accepting of \$121,000, that your theory of depreciation just doesn't fit. Because and adjuster does that with residential

1 property, but he doesn't do that with commercial property, 2 they saw it our way. 3 MR. BOVITZ: So it's replacement value, as 4 opposed to fair value? 5 MR. FLATEN: Fair value. 6 MR. BOVITZ: Okay, that's all. 7 Can I have a motion then, to approve Resolution 350.16? 8 9 MS. BROPHY: So moved. 10 MR. BOVITZ: Support. Any discussion? 11 (No response) 12 MR. BOVITZ: All in favor, aye. Aye. 13 MS. BROPHY: Aye. 14 MR. BOVITZ: Opposed same sign. 15 (No response) 16 MR. BOVITZ: There's one other item I wasn't 17 able to get on the approved agenda for today, so if we 18 could add it to the next meeting, that would be the 19 changing of the dates of our July meeting. Since we 20 already approved the agenda for today, I don't want to go 21 back, and it's not so urgent, it's not until July. 22 Dostine, at this point, is there any public comment that's 23 been requested for today? 24 MR. DOSTINE: There are no requests at this time

for public comments, Mr. Chair.

25

```
1
                  MR. BOVITZ: And is there any board comment at
 2
         this point?
 3
                  MS. BROPHY:
                                No.
 4
                  MR. BOVITZ: Hearing none, call for adjournment.
 5
                  MS. BROPHY: I will move to adjourn.
                  MR. BOVITZ: I'll support.
 6
 7
                  Any discussion?
 8
                  (No response)
 9
                   MR. BOVITZ: All in favor, aye. Aye.
                  MS. BROPHY: Aye.
10
11
                  MR. BOVITZ: Opposed, same sign.
12
                   (No response)
13
                  MR. BOVITZ: Meeting adjourned, thank you.
                   (Proceedings adjourned at 9:28 a.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
    STATE OF MICHIGAN
2
    COUNTY OF WASHTENAW ).ss
3
4
5
     I certify that this transcript is a complete, true, and
6
7
    correct transcript to the best of my ability of the RTAB
8
    meeting held on January 10th, 2017, City of Ecorse. I also
    certify that I am not a relative or employee of the parties
9
    involved and have no financial interest in this case.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
    RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:
                                    January 11th, 2017
17
18
    s/Amy Shankleton-Novess
19
20
    Amy Shankleton-Novess (CER 0838)
21
22
    Certified Electronic Reporter
23
24
25
```